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HOUSE OF BISHOPS

SESSION OF 1961

SEPTEMBER 18, 1961

(*Not present at this Session)

THE RIGHT REVEREND

*Granville Gaylord Bennett, D.D., LL.D., L.H.D., Bishop.
*Alfred Alonzo Gilman, ST.D., Bishop.
*Shirley Hall Nichols, S.T.D., Bishop.
*John Thomson Dallas, D.D., Bishop.
D., Bishop.
Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., LL.D., Bishop.
John Boyd Bentley, D.D., S.T.D., Vice President of the National Council.
*Efrian Salinas y Velasco, D.D., Bishop.
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Summer Francis Dudley Walters, S.T.D., Bishop of San Joaquin.
Harry Sherbourne Kennedy, D.D., Bishop of Honolulu.
Bravid Washington Harris, D.D., Bishop of Liberia.
Reginald Heber Gooden, S.T.D., Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone.
Henry Irving Louttit, D.D., Bishop of South Florida.
Thomas Henry Wright, D.D., Bishop of East Carolina.
John Elbridge Hines, D.D., Bishop of Texas.
Lane Wickham Barton, D.D., Bishop of Eastern Oregon.
George Purnell Gunn, D.D., Bishop of Southern Virginia.
Louis Chester Melcher, D.D., Bishop.
Russell Sturgis Hubbard, D.D., Bishop of Spokane.
Mathew George Henry, D.D., Bishop of Western North Carolina.
Donald James Campbell, D.D., Bishop.
Girault McArthur Jones, D.D., Bishop of Louisiana.
*Randolph Royall Claiborne, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Atlanta.
Robert Fisher Gibson, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Virginia.
Charles Larrabee Street, S.T.D., Ph.D., Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.
Allen Jerome Miller, D.D., Ph.D., Bishop of Easton.
Egmont Machado Krischke, D.D., Bishop of Southern Brazil.
Donald Hathaway Valentine Hallock, D.D., Bishop of Milwaukee.
Iveson Batchelor Noland, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana.
Lyman Cunningham Ogilby, D.D., Bishop of the Philippines.
John Seville Higgins, D.D., LL.D., Bishop of Rhode Island.
Frederick John Warnecke, D.D., Bishop of Bethlehem.
Leland William Frederick Stark, D.D., Bishop of Newark.
Clarence Alfred Cole, D.D., Bishop of Upper South Carolina.
Charles James Kinsolving, III, D.D., Bishop of New Mexico and Southwest Texas.
Charles Gresham Marmion, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Kentucky.
Albert Rhett Stuart, D.D., Bishop of Georgia.
Harry Lee Doll, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland.
Richard Earl Dicus, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of West Texas.
Arnold Meredith Lewis, D.D., Bishop of Western Kansas.
Earl Miller Honaman, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg.
*James Parker Clements, D.D., Bishop.
Frederic Cunningham Lawrence, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts.
John Pares Craine, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis.
Clarence Rupert Haden, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Northern California.
Jose Guadalupe Saucedo, D.D., Bishop of Mexico.
Philip Frederick McNairy, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Minneapolis.
Daniel Corrigan, D.D., Director of Home Department of the National Council.
David Shepherd Rose, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia.
Donald MacAdie, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Newark.
Edmund Knox Sherrill, Bishop of Central Brazil.
Allen Webster Brown, D.D., Bishop of Albany.
Benito Cahanhan Cahanhan, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines.
George Leslie Cadigan, D.D., Bishop of Missouri.
William Godsell Wright, D.D., Bishop of Nevada.
Charles Ellsworth Bennison, D.D., Bishop of Western Michigan.
Ivol Ira Curtis, Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.
Thomas Augustus Frazer, Jr., Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina.
Robert Lionne De Witt, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.
Edwin Burton Thayer, Suffragan Bishop of Colorado.
Gray Temple, Bishop of South Carolina.
Harvey Dean Butterfield, Bishop of Vermont.
Russell Theodore Rauscher, Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska.
Charles Packard Gilson, Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu.

(Total number 188, including 13 Overseas Missionary Bishops, 1 Overseas Suffragan, 3 Bishops in offices created by the General Convention, 1 Bishop acting as Anglican Executive Officer, 54 retired Bishops, and 3 resigned Bishops without vote. Quorum in the House, 61; Constitutional Majority, 93.)
This being the day and place designated for the meeting of the General Convention of 1961, the Holy Communion was celebrated in the Cathedral Church of St. Paul at 7:30 a.m. by the Presiding Bishop, assisted by the Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill; the Bishop of Michigan; the Bishop of Ohio; the Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel; the Secretary of the House of Deputies; and the Secretary of the House of Bishops.

The Bishops had previously participated in the Opening Service of the General Convention, held at 7:30 p.m. on Sunday, September 17th, in the Convention Arena at Cobo Hall, at which time the Bishop of Ohio led the Service of Evening Prayer. The Secretary of the House of Bishops read the First Lesson, and the Secretary of the House of Deputies read the Second Lesson. The Presiding Bishop delivered the address.

The House of Bishops met for business in Cobo Hall at 10:30 a.m. The Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska read the Lesson. The Presiding Bishop conducted the opening devotions. The Presiding Bishop called on the Bishop of Michigan to present the Mayor of Detroit, Mayor Louis B. Miriani, who brought greetings and welcome in the name of the citizens of Detroit.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger of the Diocese of Newark was elected Secretary of the House of Bishops. The Rev. Mr. Rodger then nominated the Rev. Albert O. Judd and the Rev. Leon H. Plante as Assistant Secretaries.

The roll was called, and it was found that 141 Bishops, being more than a quorum, were present, to-wit:
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Bishop Sterrett
Bishop Julian
Bishop Mitchell
Bishop Sturtevant
Bishop Hobson
Bishop Robert Gooden
Bishop Henry Knox Sherrill
Bishop Goodwin

The Vice President of the National Council

Bishop Dagwell
Bishop Whittemore
Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence
Bishop William P. Roberts
The Bishop of Alabama
Bishop Peabody
Bishop McKinstry
Bishop Blankingship
The Bishop of Connecticut

Bishop Craigill
The Bishop of Maine
The Bishop of Maryland
The Bishop of Olympia
The Bishop of Pennsylvania
The Bishop of Northern Michigan
The Bishop of Harrisburg
The Bishop of West Texas
The Bishop of Haiti
The Suffragan Bishop of New York (Boynton)

The Bishop of San Joaquin
The Bishop of Honolulu
The Bishop of Washington
The Bishop of Eau Claire
The Bishop of Northern Indiana
The Bishop of Liberia
The Bishop of South Dakota
The Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone

The Bishop of South Florida
The Bishop of Arizona
The Bishop of Dallas
The Bishop of New Jersey
The Bishop of East Carolina
The Bishop of Lexington
The Bishop of Michigan
The Bishop of Eastern Oregon
The Bishop of Northwest Texas

The Anglican Executive Officer
The Bishop of New York
The Bishop of Southern Virginia
The Bishop of New Hampshire
Bishop Melcher
The Bishop of Wyoming
The Bishop of Los Angeles
The Bishop of Western New York

The Bishop of Alaska
The Bishop of Spokane
The Bishop of Western North Carolina
The Bishop of Florida
The Bishop of Central New York
The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island
Bishop Donald J. Campbell
The Bishop of Louisiana
The Bishop of Virginia
The Bishop Coadjutor of Pennsylvania

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of Easton
The Bishop of Ohio
The Bishop of Southern Brazil
The Bishop of Rochester
The Bishop of West Missouri
The Bishop of Iowa
The Bishop of West Virginia
The Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of North Carolina
The Presiding Bishop
The Bishop of Western Massachusetts

The Bishop of Utah
The Bishop of Puerto Rico
The Bishop of North Dakota
The Bishop of Central America
The Bishop of Oklahoma
The Bishop of Milwaukee
The Bishop of Minnesota
The Bishop of Erie
The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana
The Bishop of the Philippines
The Bishop of Rhode Island
The Bishop of Bethlehem
The Bishop of Fond du Lac
The Bishop of Newark
The Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama
The Suffragan Bishop of Pittsburgh
The Bishop of Upper South Carolina

The Bishop of New Mexico & Southwest Texas
The Bishop of Kentucky
The Bishop of Southwestern Virginia
The Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
The Bishop of Colorado
The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Crowley)

The Bishop of Georgia
The Bishop of Massachusetts
The Bishop of Tennessee
The Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland  The Bishop of Southern Ohio
The Suffragan Bishop of  The Bishop of Central Brazil
West Texas  The Bishop of Central Brazil
The Suffragan Bishop of Arkansas  The Bishop of Albany
The Bishop of Western Kansas  The Suffragan Bishop of the
The Bishop of Oregon  Philippines
The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg  The Bishop of Missouri
The Bishop of Southwestern Brazil  The Bishop Coadjutor of
The Bishop of Kansas  Washington
The Bishop of Montana  The Suffragan Bishop of California
The Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts  The Bishop of Nevada
The Bishop of Idaho  The Bishop of Western Michigan
The Bishop of Northern California  The Bishop of the Dominican
The Bishop of Mexico  Republic
The Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota  The Suffragan Bishop of New York
The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut  (Wetmore)
Director of the Home Dept. of the  The Suffragan Bishop of
National Council  Los Angeles
The Bishop of California  The Bishop Coadjutor of
The Suffragan Bishop of Southern  North Carolina
Virginia  The Suffragan Bishop of
The Bishop of Quincy  Michigan (DeWitt)
The Suffragan Bishop of Newark  The Suffragan Bishop of

On motion, reading of the Minutes of the last meeting of the
House of Bishops was dispensed with.

The Secretary read the list of Bishops recently consecrated and
not yet presented to the House. They were presented as follows
and welcomed by the Chair, to-wit:

The Suffragan Bishop of Colorado, the Right Reverend Edwin Burton
Thayer, presented by the Bishop of Kansas and the Bishop of Montana.

The Bishop of South Carolina, The Right Reverend Gray Temple, pre­
sented by the Bishop of North Carolina and the Bishop of Tennessee.

The Bishop of Vermont, the Right Reverend Harvey Dean Butterfield,
presented by the Bishop of New Hampshire and the Bishop of Western
Massachusetts.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska, the Right Reverend Russell
Theodore Rauscher, presented by the Bishop of Iowa and the Bishop of
Kansas.

These were welcomed by the Presiding Bishop.

The Necrology was read by the Secretary, to-wit:

The Right Reverend Donald Bradshaw Aldrich, D.D., L.H.D., Retired

The Right Reverend Edmond Pendleton Dandridge, D.D., Retired Bishop


The House stood while the Presiding Bishop offered a prayer for the above mentioned members.

The Secretary read memorials which were sent to families of members of the House, who had departed this life since its last meeting, prepared by Committees appointed by the Presiding Bishop as directed by the House.

To the Right Reverend Donald Bradshaw Aldrich, D.D., Retired Bishop Coadjutor of Michigan, by a committee composed of Bishop Whittemore, the Bishop of Northern Michigan and Bishop Kirchhofer.

To the Right Reverend Edmond Pendleton Dandridge, D.D., Retired Bishop of Tennessee, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Alabama, Bishop Hobson and the Suffragan Bishop of Tennessee.

To the Right Reverend Norman Spencer Binsted, D.D., Retired Bishop of the Philippines, by a committee composed of the Bishop of the Philippines, Bishop Nichols, and the Vice President of the National Council.


To the Right Reverend Henry Herbert Shires, S.T.D., Retired Suffragan Bishop of California, by a committee composed of the Bishop of San Joaquin, the Bishop of Olympia, and Bishop Dagwell.


To the Right Reverend Robert Nelson Spencer, D.D., Retired Bishop of West Missouri, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Long Island, the Bishop of West Missouri, and Bishop Washburn.

To the Right Reverend Theodore Knott Barth, D.D., Bishop of Tennessee, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Maryland, the Bishop of Mississippi, and the Bishop of Atlanta.

To the Right Reverend Charles Asa Clough, D.D., Bishop of Springfield, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Northern Indiana, the Bishop of Maine, and the Bishop of Quincy.
The Bishop of Olympia nominated the Bishop of Ohio as Vice Chairman of the House of Bishops.

The Bishop of Ohio was elected.

The Secretary was instructed to notify the House of Deputies that the House of Bishops was organized and ready for business.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 1]

The Presiding Bishop welcomed the following visiting dignitaries who brought messages of greeting to the House:


The Presiding Bishop welcomed Bishop Sherrill, who briefly addressed the House.

The House extended greetings to Bishop Robert B. Gooden in his 87th birthday.

On motion of the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, these guests were given seats in the House.

The noonday prayers were led by the Presiding Bishop.

The Presiding Bishop directed the Secretary to read the list of appointments to various committees, to-wit;

**STANDING COMMITTEES**

**CONSTITUTION:**
- Bishop Carpenter, Chairman
- Bishop Girault Jones
- Bishop Miller
- Bishop Dudley Stark
- Bishop Carman
- Bishop Crittenden
- Bishop Turner
- Bishop McNairy
- Bishop Esquirol, Secretary

**CANONS:**
- Bishop West, Chairman
- Bishop Burroughs
- Bishop Hatch
- Bishop Minnis
- Bishop Craine, Secretary
- Bishop Pike
- Bishop Creighton
- Bishop Paul Kellogg
**CHRISTIAN EDUCATION**
- Bishop Watson, Chairman
- Bishop Oliver Hart
- Bishop Kennedy
- Bishop Cole, Secretary
- Bishop C. Gresham Marmion
- Bishop Frederic Lawrence
- Bishop Blanchard

**CONSECRATION OF BISHOPS**
- Bishop Duncan Gray
- Bishop Gordon, Secretary
- Bishop Gunn, Chairman
- Bishop Burrill
- Bishop Doll
- Bishop Lickfield
- Bishop Millard

**DISPATCH OF BUSINESS**
- Bishop Louttit, Chairman
- Bishop Everett Jones
- Bishop Hall
- Bishop Bloy
- Bishop Smith
- Bishop Hallock
- Bishop Fraser, Secretary

**DOMESTIC MISSIONS**
- Bishop Gesner, Chairman
- Bishop Arthur Kinsolving
- Bishop Quarterman
- Bishop Hubbard
- Bishop Claiborne
- Bishop Hamilton Kellogg
- Bishop William Wright, Secretary

**OVERSEAS MISSIONS**
- Bishop Stokes, Chairman
- Bishop Harris
- Bishop Donegan
- Bishop Gibson
- Bishop Richards, Secretary
- Bishop Ogilby
- Bishop Edmond Sherrill

**GENERAL THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY**
- Bishop DeWolfe
- Bishop Boynton
- Bishop Scaife, Chairman
- Bishop Sherman, Secretary
- Bishop Armstrong
- Bishop Welles
- Bishop Foote

**MEMORIALS AND PETITIONS**
- Bishop Heistand
- Bishop Mallett
- Bishop Banyard
- Bishop Leland Stark, Chairman
- Bishop Haden, Secretary
- Bishop Rose
- Bishop Cadigan

**NEW DIOCESES**
- Bishop Henry, Chairman
- Bishop Walters
- Bishop Higley
- Bishop Dicus
- Bishop Allen Brown
- Bishop Cabanban
- Bishop Butterfield, Secretary

**PRAYER BOOK**
- Bishop Walter Gray, Chairman
- Bishop Noble Powell
- Bishop Pardue
- Bishop Noland, Secretary
- Bishop Higgins
- Bishop William Marmion
- Bishop Rauscher

**RESIGNATION OF BISHOPS**
- Bishop C. Avery Mason, Chairman
- Bishop Street
- Bishop Stuart
- Bishop Crowley
- Bishop Vander Horst
- Bishop Goddard
- Bishop Honaman, Secretary

**RULES OF ORDER**
- Bishop Horstick, Chairman
- Bishop C. Wilburn Campbell
- Bishop Baker
- Bishop Swift, Secretary
- Bishop Chilton Powell
- Bishop William Thomas
- Bishop Charles Kinsolving

**RURAL WORK**
- Bishop William Lewis, Chairman
- Bishop Moody
- Bishop Emery
- Bishop Arnold Lewis
- Bishop Sterling
- Bishop Saucedo, Secretary
- Bishop Temple
SOCIAL AND INTER-NATIONAL AFFAIRS:
Bishop Loring
Bishop Page, Chairman
Bishop Barton
Bishop Warnecke
Bishop Mosley
Bishop Robert Brown
Bishop MacAdie, Secretary

COMMITTEE ON THE INTERIM MEETING:
Bishop Quarterman
Bishop Hatch
Bishop Emery

COMMITTEE ON THE BROTHERHOOD OF ST. ANDREW:
Bishop Wilburn Campbell
Bishop Walter Gray
Bishop C. Avery Mason
Bishop Louttit
Bishop Emrich
Bishop Burrill
Bishop Honaman

COMMITTEE ON THE HEALING MINISTRY:
Bishop Wilburn Campbell
Bishop Stuart
Bishop Honaman

COMMITTEE ON COUNSEL TO THE CLERGY:
Bishop Scaife
Bishop Donegan
Bishop Miller
Bishop Chilton Powell
Bishop Craine

COMMITTEE ON THE PASTORAL:
Bishop Dun
Bishop Louttit
Bishop Emrich
Bishop Bayne
Bishop Warnecke
Bishop Gibson

SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION:
Bishop Hines
Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence
Bishop William Lewis
Bishop Emrich
Bishop Donald Campbell
Bishop Gibson

COMMITTEE ON THE INTERIM MEETING:
Bishop Quarterman
Bishop Hatch
Bishop Emery

OTHER COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS

COMMITTEE OF NINE:
Bishop Pardue
Bishop Walter Gray
Bishop Dun
Bishop Horstick
Bishop Hines
Bishop Bayne
Bishop Gibson
Bishop Brady
Bishop Murray

ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS—Provinces:
1st: Bishop Hall
2nd: Bishop Donegan
3rd: Bishop Rose
4th: Bishop Louttit
5th: Bishop Burroughs
6th: Bishop Hunter
7th: Bishop Hines
8th: Bishop Walters

The Secretary presented notice of the resignation of the Bishop of Cuba as follows:

Notification was given the Secretary by the Presiding Bishop on May 15, 1961, that a majority of consents had been received from the House of
Bishops to the resignation of the Bishop of Cuba, which resignation had been submitted under Canon 43; Section 8 (c), to become effective on April 30, 1961, with instructions that this resignation be entered on the Roll of the House of Bishops.

The resignation has been so recorded.

The Secretary presented the following resignations which were referred to the Committee on Resignation of Bishops:

The Right Rev. Angus Dun, S.T.D.
Bishop of Washington
Under Canon 43, Section 8 (a), effective May 4, 1962.

The Right Rev. Howard Rasmus Brinker, D.D.
Bishop of Nebraska
Under Canon 43, Section 8 (a), effective Jan. 31, 1962.

The Chairman on the Committee on the Dispatch of Business presented his report. He outlined the general schedule of business for the House for the period of this Convention. He then introduced the following resolutions which were adopted:

Resolved, That unless otherwise ordered and except when Joint Sessions are scheduled, the daily sessions of the House of Bishops shall be as printed in the official program.

Resolved, that the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint a committee to present nominations to the Court for the Trial of a Bishop, and for the Court of Review, as provided in Canon 55, Sec. 14-15.

The following were appointed by the Chair:
The Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of Southwestern Virginia
The Bishop of Rochester.

The House recessed at 12:15 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:15 p.m.

The Secretary presented by title the following Memorials and Petitions, which were referred to Committees as indicated:

A Memorial from the Diocese of Oklahoma concerning a study of Alignment of Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the Several Provinces. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A memorial from the Diocese of Maryland concerning a request regarding Church Union Proposal. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Michigan, Long Island, Dallas, Missouri, Delaware, and Western Massachusetts concerning Church Unity. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of West Texas, Delaware, Dallas, and Minnesota on a plan for Testing Proposed Changes in the Book of Common Prayer. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Northwest Texas, Western New York, and Louisiana, concerning a Book of Propers. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.
A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Pennsylvania, Western Massachusetts, and Washington, on Withholding Final Approval of Proposed Alteration of Book of Common Prayer. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

A Memorial from the National Council concerning a Joint Commission to Study All Aspects of Missionary Districts. Referred to the Committee on Domestic Missions and the Committee on Overseas Missions.

A Memorial from the National Council concerning Financial Partnership Plan Between Dioceses and the National Council. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Chicago, Fond du Lac, and Quincy, on Changing the Name of the Church. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Chicago, Long Island, and Colorado, to repeal Canon 36. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the Diocese of Delaware, proposing a Joint Commission to Study Implications of Canon 36. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida concerning a Recommendation in the Report of Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida on the Assignment of Specific Functions to the Synods of the Provinces. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida proposing to Amend Article X of the Constitution. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

Memorials from the Dioceses of Massachusetts and Quincy on a Plan of Church Union. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the Diocese of Newark on Clerical Salaries. Referred to the Committee of Memorials and Petitions.

A Proposal from the Overseas Department of National Council that certain alterations in the Book of Common Prayer be authorized for use in Missionary Jurisdictions, which comprise sovereign states. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

A Memorial from the Diocese of Quincy concerning a uniform English Translation of the Bible. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

A Memorial from the National Council on Communism. Referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

On motion, the House adjourned at 3:30 P.M.
SECOND DAY
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1961

The House convened at 2:30 p.m., with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair. The Bishop of Vermont read the lesson, followed by prayers by the Presiding Bishop.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the first day, which were approved as read.

The Presiding Bishop presented to the House the record of his official acts during the last Triennium as follows:

OFFICIAL ACTS OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP

1958

December 12, 1958—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Edmond Knox Sherrill, as Bishop of the Missionary District of Central Brazil by the Right Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill, D.D., the Bishop of Southern Brazil and the Bishop of Southwestern Brazil.

January 9, 1959—Received notification from the Archbishop of Capetown of the consecration of the Venerable Stanley Chapman Pickard as Bishop of Lebombo on November 23, 1958.


January 22, 1959—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Benito C. Cabanban as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of The Philippines,


February 24, 1959—Authorized the Bishop of The Philippines to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop Elect of the Missionary District of The Philippines.

February 26, 1959—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. William Leopold Essex, D.D.

March 9, 1959—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. George Leslie Cadigan, D.D., as Bishop Coadjutor of Missouri by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Rochester, and the Bishop of Arkansas.

March 30, 1959—Agreed to serve as Honorary President of the Church Society for College Work.


April 7, 1959—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Edwin Anderson Penick, D.D.

April 16, 1959—Consecrated the Rev. George Leslie Cadigan, D.D., as Bishop Coadjutor of Missouri.


May 1, 1959—Consecrated the Rev. William Forman Creighton, D.D., as Bishop Coadjutor of Washington.


July 28, 1959—Received notice from the Provincial Registrar of the Province of Canterbury that the Archbishop of Canterbury had consecrated the Rev. Oliver Stratford Tomkins, D.D., as Bishop of Bristol, the Rev.
Russell Berridge White, M.A., as Bishop Suffragan of Tonbridge, the Rev. Arthur Mervyn Stockwood, D.D., as Bishop of Southwark, the Rev. Canon William Frank Percival Chadwick, M.A., as Bishop Suffragan of Barking, and had confirmed the election of the Right Rev. Geoffrey Francis Allen, D.D., as Bishop of Derby.

August 8, 1959—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Henry St. George Tucker, D.D.


September 11, 1959—Appointed the Bishop of Utah as Bishop-in-Charge of the Missionary District of Nevada, effective October 1, 1959, until such time as a successor shall be consecrated.


November 12, 1959—Received notification from the Lord Archbishop of Melbourne and Metropolitan of the Province of Victoria in the Commonwealth of Australia, of the consecration of the Rev. Canon David Arthur Garnsey as Bishop of the Sea or Diocese of Gippsland, on February 2, 1959.

December 11, 1959—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. William Godsell Wright, D.D., as Bishop Elect of the Missionary District of Nevada by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of San Joaquin, and the Bishop Elect of Olympia.

December 29, 1959—Agreed to serve as Honorary Co-Chairman of the Grace Cathedral San Francisco Committee of Fifty.
1960


January 23, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Raymond Adams Heron, D.D.

January 25, 1960—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Canon Paul Axtell Kellogg as Bishop Elect of the Missionary District of the Dominican Republic by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Puerto Rico, and the Bishop of Haiti.


February 26, 1960—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Canon James Stuart Wetmore as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of New York by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of New York, and the Bishop of Western New York.

February 29, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. John Durham Wing, D.D.

March 9, 1960—Consecrated the Rev. Canon Paul Axtell Kellogg as Bishop of the Missionary District of the Dominican Republic.

March 18, 1960—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Ivol Ira Curtis as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Los Angeles by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Los Angeles and the Right Rev. Robert B. Gooden, D.D.

March 24, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Robert Franklin Wilner, D.D.


March 28, 1960—Received notification from the Bishop of Victoria, Hong Kong, of the consecration of the Rev. James Chang Ling Fong as Bishop and to act as Assistant Bishop in the Diocese of Borneo on February 3, 1960.

by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Virginia and the Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia.

April 6, 1960—Appointed the Right Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Bishop-in-charge of American Churches in Europe, and the Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion, to exercise the necessary episcopal functions for the members of our Church in the Armed Services stationed in Europe.

April 19, 1960—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Thomas Augustus Fraser, Jr. as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of North Carolina by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Virginia and the Bishop of North Carolina.


April 29, 1960—Received notice of the resignation of the Right Rev. Daniel Corrigan, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Colorado, effective June 1, 1960. (To become Director of the Home Department of the National Council.) Notified Secretary of the House of Bishops of change of status.


May 13, 1960—Consecrated the Rev. Thomas Augustus Fraser, Jr. as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of North Carolina.

June 12, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Thomas N. Carruthers, D.D.

June 22, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Douglass H. Atwill, D.D.

July 6, 1960—Received notification from the Archbishop of Canterbury of the consecration of the Rev. Canon Alan F. B. Rogers, as Bishop of Mauritius, the Rev. John A. T. Robinson, as Bishop Suffragan of Woolwich, the Venerable James H. L. Morrell, as Bishop Suffragan of Lewes, the Venerable William A. Parker, as Bishop Suffragan of Shrewsbury, the Right Rev. William L. S. Fleming, as Bishop of Norwich, the Right Rev. John M. Key, as Bishop of Truro, the Venerable John H. L. Phillips, as Bishop of Portsmouth, the Rev. Stanley A. Hallameley, as Bishop of Gibraltar, the Rev. Arthur W. G. Nudson, as Coadjutor Bishop of Sydney, and the Rev. Canon Victor J. Pike as Bishop Suffragan of Sherborne.

July 18, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Edward L. Parsons, D.D.

July 28, 1960—Designated the first Sunday after the Epiphany, January 8, 1961, as the Church in Human Affairs Sunday.

August 2, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Vedder Van Dyck, D.D.


August 10, 1960—Sent letter to the Archbishops, Metropolitans, and Presiding Bishops of the Provinces of the Anglican Communion informing them of the transfer of the Missionary District of Taiwan (Formosa) of
the Nippon Seikokai to the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America as of July 6, 1960.


September 24, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Benjamin T. Kemerer, D.D.

October 5, 1960—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Frederick Lehrle Barry, D.D., Bishop of Albany.

October 11, 1960—Received notice from the Archbishop of Capetown of the consecration of the Rev. Edward George Knapp-Fisher as Bishop of Pretoria.


October 17, 1960—Appointed the Right Rev. William Hampton Brady, Bishop of Fond du Lac, as the official representative of our Church at the consecration of the Rev. Robert H. Mize as Bishop of Damaraland on November 27th of this year.

October 24, 1960—Received notification from the Archbishop of Rupert's Land of the consecration of the Very Rev. William Gerald Burch, as Bishop Suffragan of the Diocese of Edmonton.

October 24, 1960—Received notification from the Archbishop of Rupert's Land of the consecration of the Rev. Canon William Henry Howes Crump, as Bishop of the See of Saskatchewan.

October 24, 1960—Received notification from the Archbishop of Rupert's Land of the consecration of the Very Rev. George Frederic Clarence Jackson, as Bishop of the See of Qu'Appelle.

October 24, 1960—Received notification from the Bishop of Adelaide of the consecration of the Rev. John Charles Vockler, as Bishop Coadjutor of Adelaide.

October 24, 1960—Received mandate from the Archbishop of East Africa for the consecration of the Rev. Ernest Urban Trevor Huddleston, to be Lord Bishop of Masasi.

October 24, 1960—Received notification from the Archbishop of East Africa of the consecration of the Venerable Archdeacon Neville Lanford Smith, to be Assistant Bishop of the Diocese of Mombasa.


December 2, 1960—Took order for the Ordination and Consecration of the Rev. Gray Temple, Bishop of the Diocese of South Carolina by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Upper South Carolina and the Bishop of East Carolina.

1961

January 10, 1961—Took order for the Ordination and Consecration of the Rev. Harvey Dean Butterfield as Bishop of the Diocese of Vermont, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Connecticut and the Bishop of Maine.

January 10, 1961—Received notice that the Archbishop of Capetown consecrated the Rev. Harold Beardmore as Bishop of St. Helena, the Rev. Alphaeus Hamilton Zulu as Assistant Bishop of St. John's, Kaffraria, and the Rev. Robert Herbert Mize as Bishop of Damaraland.


February 1, 1961—Received notice of the consecration of the Rev. Ernest Urban Trevor Huddleston to be Bishop (4th) of the Church and the See of Masasi from the Archbishop of Capetown.


February 8, 1961—Consecrated the Rev. Harvey Dean Butterfield as Bishop of the Diocese of Vermont.


February 20, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Norman S. Binsted retired Bishop of the Philippines.

February 20, 1961—Received deed from the Archbishop of Canterbury transferring jurisdiction in the Republic of Ecuador to the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, effective March 1, 1961.

March 7, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. R. Bland Mitchell, D.D.

March 28, 1961—Received notice from the Provincial Secretary of the Church of the Province of New Zealand of the enthronement of the Right Rev. Henry Wolfe Baines, formerly Bishop of Singapore, as Bishop of Wellington on September 21, 1960; of the consecration and enthronement of the Venerable Eric Austin Gowing as Bishop of Auckland on November 1, 1960; of the election of the Right Rev. Norman Alfred Lesser, Bishop of the Diocese of Waiapu, as Primate and Archbishop of New Zealand, by its General Synod on February 28, 1961.

April 5, 1961—Took order for the Ordination and Consecration of the Rev. Russell Theodore Rauscher as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Nebraska, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Oklahoma and the Bishop of Nebraska.

April 14, 1961—Received notice from the Lord Bishop of Nassau and the Bahamas of the enthronement of John Cyril Emerson Swaby, formerly Archdeacon of South Middlesex, as Bishop Suffragan of Kingston on January 25, 1961.

May 1, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. Henry Herbert Shires, D.D.


June 8, 1961—Notified the Secretary of the House of Bishops of the change of status of the Right Rev. Iveson B. Noland from Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Louisiana to Bishop Coadjutor of that Diocese.


July 17, 1961—Received notice of the consecration of the Very Rev. William Auchterlonie Hardie as Bishop of the See or Diocese of Ballarat in the Ecclesiastical Province of Victoria, from the Metropolitan of Melbourne.

July 31, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Rev. William Francis Moses, D.D.

August 1, 1961—Received notice from the Archbishop of Canterbury of the Consecration or Confirmation of the Election of Bishops of the Right Rev. Edward Barry Henderson as Bishop of Bath and Wells; the Venerable Gordon David Savage, as Bishop Suffragan of Buckingham; the Rev.
Canon Forbes Trevor Horan, as Bishop Suffragan of Tewkesbury; the Rev. Richard David Say, as Bishop of Rochester; the Rev. Canon Cyril Kenneth Sansbury, as Bishop of Singapore and Malaya; and the Very Rev. George Edmund Reindorp, as Bishop of Guilford.

August 4, 1961—Received notice from the Archbishop of Wales of the consecration of the Venerable Thomas Maurice Hughes, as Assistant Bishop in the Diocese of Llandaff; and the consecration of the Reverend Benjamin Noel Donny Vaughan as Bishop Suffragan of Mandeville in the Diocese of Jamaica in the Province of the West Indies.

August 19, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Reverend Robert N. Spencer.

August 22, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Reverend Theodore N. Barth, D.D.

September 9, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Right Reverend Charles Asa Clough.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the Order of the Day.

The Secretary presented two Memorials as follows:

From the Round Table Group concerning the name of the Church; referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

From some Clergy of the Diocese of Western Michigan, concerning Canon 36; referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Bishop of Northern California reported for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Committee recommended that a resolution from the Diocese of South Florida relating to a study of the Provincial System needed no action by the House.

He made similar recommendation on a resolution requesting the assignment of specific functions to the Synods of the Provinces. Both recommendations were adopted.

The Bishop of Newark reported for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions from the Diocese of Chicago and the Diocese of Delaware relative to Canon 36. The Committee recommended no action, inasmuch as this material will be covered in a forthcoming report from the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity.

This recommendation was adopted.

The Committee recommended no action on a memorial from the Diocese of Michigan regarding Church Union, because the
matter would be covered in the report of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity. This recommendation was adopted.

The Bishop of Newark moved that this House send its affectionate greetings to Bishop Ludlow, who was prevented from attending the Convention by illness. Motion carried.

The Rev. Peter Harvey, editor of *Anglican World*, addressed the House.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania reported on the Church Pension Fund.

The Bishop of Honolulu offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Okinawa Mission be constituted a missionary jurisdiction of this Church, such jurisdiction to comprise the Ryukyu Islands and to be known as the Missionary District of Okinawa.

Referred to the Committee on Overseas Missions, which, on the Seventh Day, recommended that it be referred to the Strategic Advisory Board of the National Council.

Bishop Hobson offered a resolution of gratitude and thanks to Miss Elizabeth McCracken for her life-long devotion in reporting the work of the House for the Living Church.

The resolution was adopted.

A letter was read from a group of Clergy of the Diocese of Fond du Lac expressing appreciation for the last Pastoral Letter.

Three additional members of the House notified the Chair of their presence.

On motion, the House adjourned at 4:45 P.M.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings: American Bible Society, Architecture and the Allied Arts, Joint Commission on Canon 51, Sec. 12, Church Historical Magazine, Church Historical Society, Constitution and Canons, Annotated, Deaconesses, Joint Commission on the Work of Healing, Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of National Council, Nomination of Members, San Joaquin, Admission of, as a diocese, Secretary of General Convention, Suffragan Bishop for South Florida)
The House convened at 10 a.m., with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

The Lesson was read by the Bishop of South Carolina, and the Devotions were led by the Presiding Bishop.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the last meeting, which was approved as read.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence offered a resolution on the Provisions for Renunciation of the Ministry. Referred to Committee on Canons.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence offered a resolution on the Repeal of Canon 47. Referred to Committee on Canons.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence offered a resolution on the Place of the Church in the Present World Situation. Referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence offered a resolution on The Need for Strengthening the United Nations. Referred to Committee on Social and International Affairs.

The Bishop of Western New York introduced to the House the Vicar General of the Syrian Orthodox Archdiocese of Toledo, who came to bring greetings from the Patriarch of Antioch.

Bishop Mitchell offered a resolution on a Way for Settling International Disputes. Referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

A Resolution presented by Bishop Mitchell on the question of clerical housing was tabled.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania presented a resolution regarding Certain Provisions of the National Selective Service Act. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

On motion, the House adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:30 p.m.

The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg reported for the Com-
mittee on the Retirement of Bishops and presented the following resolution:

*Resolved*, that the House of Bishops accept the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Angus Dun, D.D., Bishop of Washington, effective May 4, 1962, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (a).

This resolution was adopted.

The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg also presented the following resolution:

*Resolved*, that the House of Bishops accept the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Howard R. Brinker, D.D., Bishop of Nebraska, effective January 31, 1962, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (a).

This resolution was adopted.

On behalf of the Committee, the Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg presented a recommendation for the study of Canon 60, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania offered the following resolution on Canons:

*Resolved*, that the Committee on Canons be requested to review all references in the Constitution and Canons to “admonition”, “suspension”, “deposition”, “degradation”, and “inhibition”, and to report to the next meeting of this House, in order that we might study and consider the same with a view of a revision at the next General Convention.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of West Virginia presented a resolution regarding organizations using the name of the Church without official affiliation. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Rev. Robert B. Appleyard, D.D., was introduced and addressed the House on new Parochial Report blanks and Parish Cash Books, to be introduced for the use of the Church at the end of the year.

The Presiding Bishop introduced the Rt. Rev. Frederick High Wilkinson, D.D., Bishop of Toronto, who spoke briefly on plans for the Anglican Congress to be held August 13 - 23, 1963, the theme of which is to be, “The Church's Mission to the World.”

The Bishop of Middleton, England, the Rt. Rev. Edward R. Wickam, was introduced by the Chair, and brought Greetings from the Archbishop of Canterbury. He also spoke briefly on the work of the Church in Industry in England.
The Dean of the General Seminary, The Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, S.T.D., was presented by the Presiding Bishop and made informal comments intended to supplement the report of the Trustees to the Joint Commission on Theological Education to be made in the House of Deputies.

The Chair announced the following appointments to the Committee on Appreciation:

- The Bishop of Tennessee
- The Bishop of Alaska
- The Bishop of Northern Indiana

The Bishop of Arizona advised the House that public charges against the National Council of Churches in the United States, which had been attributed to his Diocese, were fallacious and without foundation.

The Bishop of Albany moved that the House send its affectionate greetings to Bishop Oldham. Motion carried.

On motion, the House adjourned at 3:15 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
  - Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity
  - Bishop Coadjutor-elect of Mississippi
  - Registrar
  - Joint Committee on Expenses)

---

FOURTH DAY

SEPTEMBER 21, 1961

The House convened at 2:20 p.m.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the opening Devotions.

The Suffragan Bishop of Colorado read the Lesson, and Prayers were read by the Presiding Bishop.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes for the third day, which were approved with a minor correction.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

The Bishop of Dallas offered the following resolution:
WHEREAS, there is need for additional study of the field of evangelism in order that further recommendations may be made as to ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective, therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that a Joint Commission on Evangelism, consisting of three bishops, three presbyters, and three laymen, be continued; and that the sum of $6,000 for the work of this Commission be appropriated for the triennium from the General Convention budget.

On motion of the Bishop of Newark, this resolution was tabled.

The Bishop of South Florida introduced the Rev. Canon A. Rees Hay, who spoke on the problems and the opportunities facing the Church through the influx of Cuban refugees.

The Bishop of South Florida also introduced Mr. Paul Tate, who spoke on the efforts being made by the Church to improve the unhappy lot of the Cuban refugees and the vast needs that are yet unmet.

The Chair welcomed the Lord Bishop of Nassau to the House.

Bishop Hobson spoke on the work of the Forward Movement; and introduced the Rev. Dr. Clement W. Welsh, Editor, who gave a brief insight into his plans for the publication.

The Bishop of Maryland moved that a rising vote of thanks be extended to Bishop Hobson for his faithful work on the Forward Movement Commission.

Motion carried.

The Bishop of South Florida called on Mr. Robert Jordan to speak on the work of the Episcopal Church Foundation.

He described briefly the help that this foundation had been able to give in many areas of the country in erecting Church buildings and providing other services to Churches already in operation or contemplated for the future.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana reported for the Committee on the Prayer Book, and offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The Standing Liturgical Commission has requested opportunity to revise its original recommendations, which were adopted by the General Convention of 1958, and the reasons thereof seem to this Committee to be proper.

Therefore, Be it resolved that the House of Bishops does not adopt the
proposed Propers for Minor Holy Days as an alteration of the Book of Common Prayer.

This resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that greetings be sent to the Bishop of Mississippi and the Bishop of Atlanta, who are recovering from illnesses that prevented their being present at the Convention.

This motion was carried.

A telegram of greetings was received from the African Methodist Episcopal Church, also meeting in Detroit, and the Chair appointed the Bishop of Delaware to personally carry our greetings in return.

A Memorial was received from the Episcopal Society for Cultural and Racial Unity, and referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

A resolution was received from the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Church Women concerning the interpretation of the term "Laymen".

Referred to the Committee on the Constitution.

The Bishop of Northern California, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions on a Memorial from the Diocese of Newark, relative to establishing a Joint Commission on Clergy Salaries, stated that, though this is a subject which concerns every Bishop, it is the opinion of the Committee that no such Joint Commission is needed at this time. He moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Motion carried.

The Bishop of Northern California, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, indicated that the subject matter of Memorials from the Dioceses of Quincy and Long Island was dealt with in the reports of the Joint Committees on Ecumenical Relations and Unity, and moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Motion carried.

The House went into Executive Session at 4:45.
The House rose.

The House adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
Ecumenical Patriarch
Summary by Secretary
Joint Commission on Evangelism
"An Appeal to All Christian People."
Forward Movement
Amendments to the Constitution
Prayer Book for outside U. S. A.
Suffragan Bishop Elect of Connecticut
Joint Commission on Church Music
Christian Pacifists
Uniform English Bible)

FIFTH DAY

SEPTEMBER 22, 1961

The House convened at 10:00 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop lead the opening devotions, and the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan read the Lesson.

The Secretary read a summary of the minutes of the fourth day, which were approved as read.

The Chair presented the Right Rev. Ivor Arthur Norris, D.D., Bishop of Branden, Manitoba.

The Bishop of South Florida called for the report of the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Bishop of Newark reporting for the Committee, moved that no action be taken on the Memorial from the Diocese of Chicago on changing the name of the Church, and the Memorial from The Churchman's Round Table, and that the Committee be dismissed from further consideration of the matter.

The motion was carried.
The Bishop of Newark moved that a Memorial regarding changing the name of the Church, received from the Diocese of Quincy, be given no further consideration, because it was substantially identical with a similar Memorial from the Diocese of Fond du Lac, which would be given attention.

The motion was carried.

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Social and International Affairs, presented a resolution submitted by Bishop Mitchell and Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence, as follows:

WHEREAS, during the last 15 years or so, by resolutions of Lambeth Conference, General Convention, and our House of Bishops meeting separately, the Church has gone on record (and I quote from the Lambeth Conference Resolution) that “War as a method of settling international disputes is incompatible with the teaching and example of our Lord Jesus Christ”.

Yet many bishops and other clergy and laymen have supported the wars in which our Nation has been engaged on the ground that they were “just wars”. The result is that many of our people have become confused and bewildered.

It would seem, therefore, that the House of Bishops should make clear what we meant by these resolutions.

On the basis of our past practice, by a “just war” is meant that side in which our Country and her allies are engaged. By an “unjust war” is meant the other side. By “allies”, we mean the other nations which are, for the moment, on our side. Therefore, it is our duty to commend them and their acts.

In the last World War, Russia was our ally, and Japan and Germany our enemies. We had, therefore, to support everything Russia did and to denounce everything Germany and Japan did. Now, however, since Russia is our enemy, and Japan and Germany our allies, our attitude toward them must be the exact opposite. We must denounce and defame the Russian government and commend and applaud those of Japan and Germany.

Further, when our Country prepares for war, we should urge our people to be patriotic and, if war comes, to support it, no matter what horrible suffering may be visited upon defenseless men, women, and children—including our own—rather than the known will of God as revealed in Jesus Christ, set forth in the resolution just quoted.

We say we are against dictators because they deny to their people the freedoms that we so much cherish. But when it comes to acting in accordance with these convictions, that seems to depend upon circumstances. We went to war against Hitler and Mussolini, and we justified it on the ground that these dictators would have destroyed not only our freedoms but those of the whole world.

On the other hand, when it comes to the dictator, Franco, since he does not threaten us as Russia does, we approve of the bi-partisan policy of
our government. As a result, we have poured out billions of dollars to strengthen his regime because he is against Russia, as we are, and that makes us natural allies.

We are troubled by the fact that by supporting Franco, we have made it impossible for the Spanish people to have freedom of worship, freedom of the press, freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom from secret police, and freedom of the ballot, which we value and enjoy.

We are troubled also that this policy makes it clear to all peoples everywhere, striving to be free, that we can help them only if it also serves our interests. Perhaps this may account for the loss of our influence among them.

For example, we have held back from assisting Algeria because France is with us against Russia, and we cannot endanger that relationship.

It troubles us because we know that our great heroes, Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and others, are the ones who have inspired these peoples.

All of this troubles us. It makes it appear that we value these "inalienable rights" primarily for ourselves and only secondarily for others. We say one thing; we do the opposite.

Therefore, be it Resolved, that this Statement be referred to the Committee of this House on Social and International Affairs, with the instructions that they bring back a report to this House in time for due consideration at this meeting of the House."

The Committee moved that this resolution be referred to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council, with the request that it prepare the requested report and present it for consideration by this House at its next meeting.

The motion was carried.

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, reporting on a Memorial from the Episcopal Pacifist Fellowship, had no recommendation to make on the subject and moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of it.

The motion was carried.

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark presented a resolution in response to a request from the National Council that the Committee frame a resolution on Christianity and Communism that would be acceptable to the Church. He moved its adoption.

The Bishop of Lexington presented a motion of reference that it be recommitted and copies of a new resolution be prepared and distributed to the House for further consideration.

The motion was carried.

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark moved that a letter received
from the Episcopal Society for Cultural and Racial Unity be referred to the Committee on the Pastoral, and the Committee on Social and International Affairs be dismissed from further consideration of it.

The motion was carried.

Noonday Prayers were said by the Presiding Bishop.

The Bishop of Pittsburgh presented a resumé of past subjects considered by the Committee of Nine and advised the House that a pamphlet, covering some of these subjects, would soon be prepared for their information.

The House adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

The House assembled in Executive Session at 2:15 p.m.

The House rose.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
Name of Church
Bishop Mitchell's Reminiscences
Uniform Marriage Laws
Canon 36
Site of Next General Convention
North India (Message to Metropolitan regarding Union)
Archbishop of Canterbury, Greetings to
Philippine Independent Church in Hawaii, Greetings to
Historiographer
Recorder of Ordinations
Treasurer's (of General Convention) Report
Bishop White's Anniversary
Treasurer—Domestic & Foreign Missionary Society)

SIXTH DAY

SATURDAY SEPTEMBER 24, 1961

The House of Bishops did not meet on this day.
Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a Celebration of the Holy Communion in St. John's Church at 7:30 a.m., the Presiding Bishop being the Celebrant, the Bishop of East Carolina read the Epistle, the Vice President of the National Council read the Gospel, and the Vice Chairman of the House of Bishops assisted in the Celebration.

The House assembled in Executive Session in the nave of the church, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina reading the Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Veni Creator Spiritus was said.

Silence was observed for a space, and the Service to be used before balloting was concluded.

During the recess, the Bishops signed the Testimonials, according to the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), by a constitutional majority.

The House rose.

The Presiding Bishop called the House to order at 10:30 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the Opening Devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Virginia reading the Lesson.

A summary of the Minutes of the fifth day was read by the Secretary and accepted with one correction.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

The Chair presented the Hon. Ben R. Reifel, Ph. D., Representative of the First Congressional District of South Dakota, who briefly addressed the House on The Mission and Ministry of the Episcopal Church to Indians.

The Chair presented Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, Jr., Chairman of the Church Magazine Advisory Board, who addressed the House on aspects of the publication of The Episcopalian.

The Bishop of Texas reported for the Special House of Bishops Committee on Theological Education. He reported that the
task for which the committee had been established, a review of Canon 30, had been completed. He presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the assignment of the Committee has been completed, therefore be it

Resolved, that the committee be discharged.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that affectionate greetings be sent to Bishop Ivins.

The motion was carried.

Noonday Prayers were said by the Presiding Bishop.

The House adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:15 p.m.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

The Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, moved that in view of action already taken by this House the committee be discharged from further consideration of memorials from the Diocese of Massachusetts, the Diocese of Missouri, the Diocese of Maryland and the Diocese of Michigan.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark offered the following Resolution:

WHEREAS, In 1960 the Most Rev. Geoffrey Francis Fisher, Archbishop of Canterbury and recognized spiritual leader of the Anglican Communion, by his courtesy visit to Pope John XXIII did re-establish conversation between the See of Canterbury and the See of Rome for the first time in 563 years, and by his conversations with the Eastern Orthodox Patriarchs of Constantinople and of Jerusalem did substantially strengthen the already cordial relationship between Anglicanism and Eastern Orthodoxy, therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that this Convention expresses its confidence and gratitude to the Archbishop for his actions, and appreciation for the graciousness and concern of Pope John and the Patriarchs, and further, to request the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church meeting in Detroit to instruct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to take due cognizance of these approaches to unity.

The Resolution was adopted, but not communicated to the House of Deputies.
The Bishop of Newark, reporting on a proposal for church unity from the Diocese of Delaware, moved that in view of the action already taken by this House that the Committee on Memorials and Petitions be dismissed from further consideration of this memorial.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark moved approval of a memorial from the National Council regarding a standard of giving for parishes and dioceses. On motion of the Bishop of Bethlehem this motion was tabled.

The Bishop of Newark moved that a re-edited memorial on church unity from the Diocese of Western Massachusetts be adopted. The committee was requested to rewrite the memorial and report back to the House.

The Chair called on the Bishop of Michigan to present the Rev. Canon I. C. Johnson, D.D., Rector of St. John’s Church, Detroit, and Chairman of the Committee on Arrangements of the Convention. Canon Johnson received the thanks of the House together with a special citation for the work of himself and his Committee.

The Bishop of Western Michigan moved that this House express its appreciation to Bishop Whittemore for his book which has helped to inform its readers of the Philippine Church.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of the Philippines expressed appreciation to the Presiding Bishop for his efforts in having this text distributed to members of the House.

The Bishop of the Philippines also expressed appreciation to those members of the House who had taken an active part in the discussions and preparatory ground work for the full communion established between the Philippine Independent Church and the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

The Bishop of Northern California reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions moved that no action be taken on a memorial from the Diocese of West Virginia relative to unofficial organizations using the name of the Church and that the Committee be dismissed from further consideration of the matter.

The motion was carried.
The Bishop of Florida presented, in tentative form, a suggested revision of Canon 36, in order to secure the reaction of the House to its form and provisions. After discussion by the House, on motion of the Bishop of Chicago, this matter was recommitted to the Committee for further study.

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Social and International Affairs, presented the draft of a resolution on communism. After discussion by the House this resolution was referred back to the Committee for redrafting.

The House adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
Bishops—Election of Missionary
Registrar's Report
General Theological Seminary
Canons (45, Sec. 8 (a) and 6)
Joint Commission on Human Affairs
Honolulu—Permission to elect Suffragan Bishop
Overseas Policy and Strategy)

EIGHTH DAY

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1961

The House convened at 11.00 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop lead the Opening Devotions. The Suffragan of Los Angeles read the Lesson.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the seventh day, which was adopted as corrected.

The Bishop of Honolulu recalled how, at the General Convention meeting in Denver 30 years ago, Bishop Bentley and Bishop Salinas were consecrated. He requested that, if possible, a similar consecration could be arranged for Suffragan Bishop-elect Gilson at this Convention.

The Presiding Bishop said the Noonday Prayers.
On motion, the House adjourned at 12:15 p.m.

The House convened at 2:15 p.m.

The Chair presented the Right Rev. Chandu Ray, Assistant Bishop of Lahore, who addressed the House briefly.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

The Bishop of Northern Michigan introduced the Rev. Fred W. Kern, Director of the Office of Church Civil Defense, who addressed the House on the part the Church should play in Civil Defense.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, reporting for the Committee on the Constitution, requested that the Committee be dismissed from consideration of a resolution concerning the interpretation of the term “Laymen”, inasmuch as this matter had already received adverse action by the House of Deputies. On motion the Committee was dismissed.

The Bishop-Elect of Cuba, the Very Rev. Romauldo Gonzalez-Agueros, was presented to the House by the Committee appointed for this purpose by the Chair, of which Bishop Blankingship was Chairman.

The Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, explained that the subject matter of a Memorial from the Diocese of Quincy, relative to Church Union, was already provided for in the normal committee structure of the House, and moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter. Motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark indicated that the subject of a resolution received from the Diocese of Dallas on statements made by the National Council of Churches of Christ in America having already been dealt with by convention action, moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter. Motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark, reporting on Memorials received from the Diocese of Quincy and the Diocese of Long Island, relating to relations with the Eastern Orthodox and Old Catholic Churches, indicated the Committee’s sympathetic concern with the matters covered in the Memorials. The Committee felt, however, that the Joint Committee on Ecumenical Relations is the proper body to act on these matters and that no new committee
needs be formed for this purpose. He moved that the Committee on Memorials and Petitions be dismissed from further consideration of the matter. Motion was carried.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of a suggested revision of Canon 47, because of their feeling that the present Canon is adequate.

The motion was carried.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 4:00 p.m. The House rose. The House adjourned.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:

- The Courts
- Amendments to the Constitution
- National Council—Nomination of members
- Orthodox Churches
- Canons (Repeal of #36 & 38—new #36)
- Episcopal Church Center—Gift day.)

NINTH DAY

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 1961

The House convened at 10:00 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the Opening Devotions, and the Suffragan Bishop of New York read the Lesson from the Scripture.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the Eighth Day, which was approved as read.

The Bishop of Michigan announced plans for the consecration of Suffragan Bishop-elect Gilson in St. Paul's Cathedral on Thursday, September 28th, at 8 a.m.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the order of the day.

The Bishop of Liberia, the Bishop of Washington, and the
Bishop of Massachusetts, presented Bishop Coadjutor-elect of Liberia, the Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., to the House.

The Noonday Prayers were said by the Presiding Bishop.

The Bishop of Erie reported on the work and future plans of the Church Army.

The Bishop of Massachusetts introduced the Bishop of Birmingham. He brought brief greetings to the House.

On motion, the House adjourned at 12.30 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:30 p.m.

The Bishop of West Virginia reported on the work of the Brotherhood of St. Andrew, following which other members of the House also spoke of its good work.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, explained that convention action had already dealt with the matters pertaining to Memorials from the Diocese of Colorado, the Diocese of Chicago and the Diocese of Long Island. He moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of these Memorials. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Florida asked that his Committee be discharged from consideration of a Memorial from the Diocese of Colorado requiring an oath of conformity from persons already ordained under Canon 36. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark, reporting for Committee on Memorials and Petitions, asked to be discharged from consideration of a Memorial from the Diocese of Oklahoma requesting a study of the present alignment of Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the Provinces. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Newark reported on a Memorial from the National Council on Parishes and Dioceses giving fifty percent of their income to the work of the National Council. The Committee recommended favorable consideration of this Memorial. It was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

The Bishop of Newark reported briefly on his attendance at the Kirkchen Tag Conference held in West Berlin during July, 1961, after which he moved that the House send greetings to Otto Dibelius, Dr. Kurt Schaff, and Dr. Reinhold von Thassen-Trieglauff.

The motion was carried.
The Bishop of Liberia, who had also been present, spoke briefly on the subject.

The Bishop of Northwest Texas, reporting for the Committee on Interim Meetings of the House of Bishops, announced that the next meeting of the House of Bishops would be held at Columbia, South Carolina, on October 27 through November 1, 1962, and that in consideration of the scheduling of the Anglican Conference, which will be held in August 1963, no other interim meeting will be held in the year 1963. He further stated that future invitations were on hand from the Diocese of San Joaquin, the Diocese of Montana, the Diocese of North Carolina, and the Missionary District of Spokane.

On motion the House went into Executive Session at 4:00 p.m.

The House rose.

The House reconvened at 4:45 p.m. with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
The Courts
United Nations
Communism
Disloyalty
Canons (5, 16, 50)
National Council—Election of members
Program & Budget
Liberia—Jurisdiction of Bp. Coadjutor)

TENTH DAY

SEPTEMBER 28, 1961

The House convened at 11:00 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the Opening Devotions, and the Bishop of the Dominican Republic read the Lesson from Scripture.
The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes, which was approved.

The Bishop of Lexington addressed the House on the work of the Episcopal Theological Seminary in Kentucky.

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions as follows:

Your Committee has considered the request from the Bishop-in-charge of the Virgin Islands that a bishop be elected to that jurisdiction so that for the first time in its history as a missionary district it might have a Bishop who could devote his full time and entire attention to the welfare and extension of the Church in that area.

Our thinking in this matter was greatly influenced by the fact that negotiations are now under way with the Province of the West Indies to transfer certain adjacent areas to the jurisdiction of the Church in the United States.

Such transfer cannot take place until the Synod meets in August 1962. If and when such a transfer takes place, then the jurisdiction under consideration will be enlarged.

Your Committee feels that until such an enlargement takes place, we are not justified in recommending that a Bishop be elected.

We look with favor on the action requested by the Bishop-in-charge, but we recommend that action be deferred on this urgent and important matter until a subsequent meeting of the House of Bishops.

We sympathize with the problems that the Bishop-in-charge faces. We commend him for his capable administration of both Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. We do not reject his request, and we look forward to reconsidering it when the jurisdiction of the Virgin Islands is extended and enlarged.

We move the acceptance of this report.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Quincy requested permission to introduce a matter of new business. Permission was not granted.

Bishop Chandu Ray, on invitation of the Chair, addressed the House briefly on problems and opportunities in his jurisdiction.

On motion, the House adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:30 p.m. with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

At the invitation of the Chair the Bishop of Southern Virginia presented the Rev. Joseph W. Pinder, Rector of the Church of the Redeemer, Chesterfield County, Virginia, who addressed the
House on his experience with a portable church building. He answered questions from the floor of the House.

The Bishop of the Philippines invited any members of the House travelling to or from the Third Assembly of the World Congress of Churches scheduled to meet in New Delhi in 1962 to visit the Church in The Philippines if possible.

The Bishop of Alaska, reporting for the Committee on Resolutions of Appreciation, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved: That this House expresses to the Bishops, Clergy and Laity of the Diocese of Michigan and to all others who have had a share in making the 60th General Convention of the Church such a convenient, comfortable, happy and outstanding success—our gratitude and heartfelt appreciation for the part that each person, too numerous to ever possibly mention individually, has played in sacrificially giving of time, talent, and material means, so that in this gathering here our vision has been renewed and the message and mission of our Lord strengthened throughout the world.

The Resolution was adopted.

The Presiding Bishop announced his intention to consecrate Bishop-elect Brown and Gonzales-Agüeros in the Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul in Washington, D.C., on October 19 at 10:00 a.m.

On motion the House adjourned at 5:20 p.m.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:
Consecration—Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu
Canons (35, Sec. 2)
Program and Budget
Joint Committee on Committees & Commissions
Women Church Workers)

ELEVENTH DAY

SEPTEMBER 29, 1961

The House convened at 9:30 a.m. with the Vice-chairman in the Chair.

Devotions were led by the Vice-chairman, the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu reading the Lesson from Scripture.
The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes, which was approved.

The Secretary read a resolution received from the Triennial Meeting of the Churchwomen requesting integration into the life of this Church of women on every level of lay participation.

The House offered sincere congratulations and good wishes to the Vice President of the National Council on the occasion of the thirtieth anniversary of his consecration.

On motion the House expressed its special thanks to the Bishop of Michigan for his efforts and consideration in entertaining the General Convention.

The Bishop of Western New York explained that the Patriarch of Jerusalem, who was to have brought greetings to this House, was prevented from doing so by illness. The House sent its greetings to him and offered prayers for his recovery.

The Bishop of Western Missouri moved that the House express its gratitude and thanks to the Presiding Bishop.

The motion was carried.

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 177 announcing that it had completed its business and stood ready to adjourn when final reports were received from this House.

On motion of the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business the following motion was sent to the House of Deputies:

The Secretary of the House of Bishops notifies the Secretary of the House of Deputies that the House of Bishops has completed its business and stands ready to adjourn, to meet with the House of Deputies in the closing service of the Convention with the reading of the Pastoral Letter at 11:00 a.m., in the House of Deputies of Cobo Hall.

(Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 187)

After Benediction by the Chairman, the House adjourned sine die.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Secretary.
THE PASTORAL LETTER
Issued by the House of Bishops, September 29, 1961

In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.

DEARLY BELOVED BRETHREN:

As we come to the end of our 60th General Convention our thoughts and prayers turn to all the congregations of Christ’s flock knit together in the communion and fellowship of our Episcopal Church at home and overseas.

A chief value of a General Convention, over and above its essential legislative and decision-making tasks, is that it lifts us out of our localism. It takes us beyond our often too narrow preoccupation with the problems and needs of our own parishes and dioceses, and enables us to realize anew the wholeness of our Church.

Of course the Church is most real to us in our local congregation. It is here that a company of people join together in common prayer in Christ’s name. It is here that we answer in faith to the Word of the Gospel. It is here that our Lord’s reconciling offerings of Himself for us is celebrated and taken to ourselves. It is here that the charity, which is the greatest gift of His Spirit, is manifested in the fellowship of His people.

But no congregation of Christ’s flock knows itself for what it really is save when it knows itself as a local embodiment of a wider community of life in Christ reaching across time and distance, as heirs of an apostolic mission and partners in a catholic community whose calling is to reach to the world’s end. At our General Convention the persons we meet, the programs and budgets we accept, the reports presented to us compel us to think in terms of a nation and to look far beyond our own borders.

It is good to regain a vision of the wholeness of our common life and of our shared mission. It is very good to recover our all-one-body feeling transcending our interesting and sometimes aggravating differences.

Yet this experience, so valuable in itself, can be misleading. It can give an illusory sense of our strength. There have been so many Episcopalians concentrated in Detroit that we can almost think the world is made up of Episcopalians.

We need to see ourselves in a still wider setting and to know that the Lord of lords and King of kings has not put His whole cause into our hands.

To begin with what is nearest to us in terms of shared inheritance, we have had many reminders here in Detroit of the fact that our Episcopal Church is tied into a family of Churches known as the Anglican Communion. There have been with us here Archbishops and Bishops of the Church of England, of the Anglican Church of Canada, of the Province of South Africa, of the Churches of Ceylon and Pakistan and Japan. These brethren of our wider household are but a little sampling of the outreach of our Anglican fellowship. As a result of this outreach our Anglican
Communion binds us to peoples of all races and of many nations, not only in the English-speaking world, but in Asia and Africa. Our communion includes many millions of African clergy and laity, and is firmly rooted in Asia and Latin America. To all of these Churches we are bound by a common inheritance. We use the same prayers. What stronger bonds can there be between peoples? The Prayer Book of our Anglican family exist in some 170 languages. We hold fast to the same standards of faith and the same Church order. Increasingly we shall be called upon to take a larger share of responsibility within the total mission of Anglicanism, as the balance of resources shifts from the older countries to the newer.

Our cherished membership in the Anglican Communion can help us know what it is to belong to a world-wide Church with a world-mission. It makes high claims upon us. But the Anglican Communion is a small part of the whole number of Christ's people.

When we look out on the American scene in its total dimensions we can easily see how modest a place we Episcopalians hold numerically within it. We see multitudes of churches of many names in the cities and towns and rural areas of the United States. Few of us look often and objectively at the total figures. In this total population of about 180 millions, some 60% are reported as Church-related. Of these roughly one-third are Roman Catholics, and the great majority of the balance are related to non-Roman and non-Episcopal Churches. We report about three million and a half baptized members and about two million communicants. Baptists, Methodists, Lutherans, Presbyterians outnumber us, some of them heavily, not to mention other millions in many smaller Christian bodies. For every congregation gathered to worship God according to the good way of our Book of Common Prayer, there are some thirty-five other congregations gathered to worship God according to another way.

We would not overwhelm you with figures. But when we look at them it is plain, without minimizing one whit the heritage and the mission God has committed to us, that Christ's cause in America and overseas is in many hands besides ours.

If to look out on the American scene is to see our own calling and role modestly and humbly, how much more is that true when we look out on the world scene. To that widest outlook we are called by the one Lord who ever bids us, "Go into all the world." His Word has gone out into the world and He has gone with His witnesses. By waves of heroic missionary zeal, interrupted by long periods of torpor and quiescence, by the migrations of peoples, by colonization, the movement of new life in Christ has at least penetrated all the continents of the earth, though very meagerly in vast areas.

The total number of "all who profess and call themselves Christians" is perhaps seven or eight hundred million in a world population approaching three billion. In some of the most densely peopled areas, Christians are only 1½% to 3% of the total population. In areas of high Christian concentration the major Church families and their sub-divisions are very unevenly distributed. The Roman Catholic Church probably includes in its membership more than half the Christians in the world. There may be 125 to 175 millions in the Orthodox allegiance. There are estimated to be some 70 million Lutherans. Presbyterians, Baptists, Methodists and Anglicans are thought each to number some 40 millions, more or less. We Anglicans comprise perhaps 5% of the whole body of Christ's people.
With these great companies of fellow-Christians we share precious things. With them all we pray, "Our Father, who art in heaven, . . . . . forgive us as we forgive." To us and to them alike, there come the great and terrible commandments. To us all there come, again and again, the same beautitudes, the same parables, the same prophetic judgments, the same psalms of Israel. With most we share the same ancient creeds, and even where these are not used we find our brethren confessing the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit, one God.

Above all, they and we together confess one Lord and Saviour. In Him, in His self-giving love for us and our imperfect answer of faith to Him, we have a oneness that lies beneath and overreaches all our real and difficult differences of faith and order and worship. And just in the measure that we penetrate the barriers which divide us and come to know one another inwardly, we find that in these other households of faith and prayer the holy love of God in Christ is breaking through to men and calling out penitent faith. In these other households we find men who know themselves forgiven and called to be forgiving; we find men who have beheld the Suffering Servant and who have in high measure been unsel£ed and made servants; we find men knit together in shared devotion to Him.

We are a small Church. Our whole Anglican Communion is a small part of the total Christian community. But the calling and mission of a Church can not be measured by numbers only. With mingled pride and humility, we can recognize that in our membership are found a disproportionate share of men and women who occupy positions of great responsibility and influence in our sorely troubled world. This fact must deepen our sense of mission, even while it brings a troubled concern for the simple and the poor with whom our Lord identitified Himself.

Securely enshrined in our inheritance is the vision of the Great Church whose mission is to all sorts and conditions of men. That is preserved for us in our historic Creeds, rooted in Scripture, and in our common prayer. Our deepest allegiance is not to the Episcopal Church nor to the Anglican Communion, but to the "one Catholic and Apostolic Church". At every eucharist we pray for "the whole state of Christ's Church", beseeching God "to inspire continually the Universal Church with the spirit of truth, unity and concord." Every Bishop among us holds a certificate addressed, "To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the world", and declaring that he has been ordained a Bishop "in the Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church of God". We are committed to the one Great Church and must know ourselves called to be faithful to it.

So it is that we, as a Church and as a Communion, have found ourselves constrained to take a full and responsible share in what has come to be spoken of as the Ecumenical Movement. In simplest and broadest terms, this is the movement at work among nearly all the separated companies of Christ's people throughout the world as they seek to overcome their separation, one from another. Wherever it is found we see Churches and bodies of Christians moving out of isolation into communication, out of competition into cooperation, out of estrangement into good will and understanding.

To call this movement "ecumenical" means that in its fullest nature it is concerned with the whole Church in all its partial manifestations in the whole world. Because the Kingdom we are all called to seek first is one and the King we all confess is one, this movement seeks for the unity God wills for us, with the recognition that we are far from knowing or agreeing upon just what that unity would be. We are agreed that it must be a
manifest unity, manifest among ourselves and manifest to the world, not invisible. It is coming to be widely recognized that the Church can only be manifestly one when all who confess Christ Jesus as Lord share a fully committed fellowship with one another through baptism into Him, hold the one apostolic faith, preach the one Gospel and break the one bread; and when the ministry and members are acknowledged by all.

This movement has many expressions and takes many forms: prayer with one another and for one another; talking together not to prove how much better we are than the others, but to understand one another in love; the establishment of continuing councils of Churches on a world scale, or a national scale or on the local level; official conversations between separated Churches with a view to limited intercommunion or full communion or complete union.

The way which has been most open and which has brought into closer relationship the largest number of separate Churches has been the establishment of councils which provide for common counsel and planning by the member Churches; for common study of our shared Scriptures, of the things that unite us and the things that divide us, and of the problems and opportunities we all confront in the same distracted world; for mutual help; for shared service to refugees and the dispossessed and the victim of disasters; and for common testimony and action where possible.

Our Episcopal Church and more widely the self-governing Churches and Provinces of our Anglican Communion have been responsible members of the World Council of Churches since its formation. That has brought us into deepening relationships with one hundred and seventy-eight Church bodies of many races and nationalities in more than fifty countries. In it we are linked with major Churches of the Reformation and post-Reformation inheritance in Europe, with hard-pressed Christian brethren behind the Iron Curtain, with younger Churches of Asia and Africa and South America, and with a growing representation of the Orthodox and Oriental Churches. That the Roman Catholic Church is not a member is a grievous limitation in the ecumenical scope of the World Council. But we can rejoice that that Communion is increasingly represented by officially approved "observers" at major meetings of the Council and that there are many evidences of the seriousness and respect with which it views this organ of the Ecumenical Movement.

Our Episcopal Church is likewise a member of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States. That membership brings us into consultation and many-sided cooperation with thirty-two other major Churches, Protestant and Orthodox.

In both of these Councils we are represented by a fair proportion of carefully chosen Bishops, Presbyters and lay people. Each of these major Councils explicitly disclaims any pretension of being a Church or of possessing the power to speak for the member Churches save as they officially concur. If any among us are troubled by statements issuing from assemblies or governing bodies of these Councils, or by meetings held under their auspices, our proper recourse is to request our own representatives in these bodies to guard more carefully what they take to be our rightful interests and convictions.

We rejoice in the level of cooperation and mutual trust in which we have been privileged to share in these two Councils, although they do not embody the fulness of the unity to which God calls us.
In obedience to God we are necessarily led to follow other approaches to unity. The other approaches are less inclusive in scope, but they have to do with deeper and more difficult levels of unity.

At this Convention we have been faced with ecumenical decisions in many directions. With great unanimity we have voted to enter into full communion with the Philippine Independent Church, a Church approximately the size of our own Church in the United States, and we are confident that this step can mean much for Christ's cause in the Republic of the Philippines. We have decided to enter into full communion with the very small Spanish Reformed Church and the Lusitanian Church of Portugal, and we are hopeful that this will bring encouragement to those struggling brethren sharing our Episcopal Church order. We have informed our Anglican brethren in Ceylon, who have been negotiating for many years for a Church union with other Christian bodies of non-Episcopal traditions, that we thankfully anticipate our readiness to enter into full communion with that united Church when it is established, in the hope that difficulties troubling some of us may be sufficiently overcome. We have replied to an invitation from the United Presbyterian Church that we are prepared, with representatives of our brothers in the Polish National Catholic Church, to enter into conversations with the Presbyterians and with other Churches to explore the possibilities of serious negotiations, which would inevitably extend over many years, for a major break-through toward reunion in the United States.

Our purpose in this Pastoral is not to express our judgment as your Bishops on particular proposals or issues, nor are we undertaking to restate the basic principles of Faith and Order with which we as Anglicans and Episcopalians come to our conversations and relationships with other Churches. Our purpose is rather to help our people gain a vision of the largeness and the wholeness and the urgency of the Ecumenical Movement in which we are called to take our part. In faithfulness to God we cannot evade it.

In vision and in hope we have for three-quarters of a century publicly and officially declared as a Church our readiness for reunion conversations with other Christian bodies. As we rejoice in the fruits of that labor and that loyalty which have been harvested at this very meeting of the General Convention, we urge our members onward to ever deepening obedience to one Lord, one faith, one holy fellowship which is His Body.

We urge patience, for centuries of division and misunderstanding are not soon overcome. We urge restraint, for there will be inevitable strains within our own corporate life and in that of others with whom we seek unity. We urge humble sacrifice, for obedience is costly and treasures shared in love mean change for all.

Above all, we urge deep awareness that we are committed to the One Great Church and that we are called to be faithful to it. We, your Bishops, call you therefore, to work and to pray without ceasing until, by God's grace and in His time, the divisions by which we dishonor our One Lord are done away.

We have met in a time of dread disorder in our world. We must confess that the divided companies of Christ's people caught up in the dark balance of terror that hangs over our common humanity, have little direct power to determine the fateful decisions of the nations. Our final hope is in God.
in the reconciliation of men with God and with one another in Christ. If we are obedient, God will do great things for us and our world.

Our calling is to set forward and make manifest our human oneness in Him.

Pray with us that our own beloved Church may be granted such wisdom and courage, and such brotherly love for one another and for all our fellow Christians, as may enable us to have a worthy part in healing the divisions among Christ's people in all the world.
Pursuant to the call of the Presiding Bishop and by resolution of this House at the General Convention of 1958, the House of Bishops met in Special Session in the Main Ballroom of the Otesaga Hotel, Cooperstown, New York, on Saturday afternoon, October 17, 1959.

The Presiding Bishop took the Chair.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Washington read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the Devotions of the House.

The Secretary read the call for the Special Meeting:

June 24, 1959

This is notification that the House of Bishops is called to meet at the Otesaga Hotel, Cooperstown, New York, on Saturday afternoon through Thursday, October 17-22, 1959. The first session of the House will convene at 4:00 p.m. on Saturday. It is hoped that adjournment will be possible following luncheon on Thursday.

The agenda will include the following:

1. A Quiet Day on Sunday between the hours of 10:00 a.m.-12:00 m., and 2:00-4:00 p.m., which will be led by the Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., Canon of the Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, and Warden of the College of Preachers, Washington, D.C.


3. A Report by the House of Bishops' Committee on Theological Education.
4. A Report by the Committee on Arrangements for the 1960 Interim Meeting.

5. A Report by the Committee of Nine, with a period following for small conferences and discussions.

6. A meeting of the House of Bishops by Provinces.

7. A Report by the Committee on the Resignation of Bishops.

8. The election of a bishop for the Missionary District of Nevada.

9. A discussion on the proposal to elect a missionary bishop for the Dominican Republic.


12. A Report of the National Council on the Study of Grants to Aided Dioceses,

An address by His Eminence, Archbishop Iakovos, Archbishop of the Greek Orthodox Church of North and South America, on the subject, "The Role of the Ecumenical Patriarchate and the Work of the Holy Orthodox Church for Church Unity throughout the World."

The roll was called and it was found that 101 Bishops, being more than a quorum, were present.

The Chair announced with regret that the following members of the House had indicated their inability to be present: The Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill, the Bishop of Southern Virginia, the Bishop of Chicago, the Bishop of Northern Indiana, the Bishop of Harrisburg, the Bishop of Nebraska, the Bishop of Southern Brazil, the Bishop of the Philippines, the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, the Bishop of Cuba, the Suffragan Bishop of Pittsburgh, the Bishop of Mississippi, the Bishop of New Jersey, the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida, Bishop McNeil, Bishop Melcher, Bishop Nichols, Bishop Clingman, Bishop Rhea and Bishop Parsons.

On motion, the greetings of the House were sent to the above mentioned members.

On motion of the Bishop of Ohio, the Secretary was instructed to send the greetings of the House to Mrs. Henry St. George Tucker and to the Rt. Rev. and Mrs. Beverley D. Tucker.

The House stood while the Secretary read the names of those bishops who had passed away since the last meeting of the House of Bishops, to wit:


The House stood while the Presiding Bishop offered a prayer for the above mentioned members.

The Secretary read a memorial to the Rt. Rev. William Leopold Essex, D.D., which had been prepared and sent to the members of Bishop Essex' family by a committee composed of the Bishop of Chicago, the Bishop of Springfield and the Bishop of Quincy.

The Secretary read a memorial to the Rt. Rev. Henry St. George Tucker, D.D., which had been prepared and sent to the members of Bishop Tucker's family by a committee composed of the Rt. Rev. Henry W. Hobson, the Bishop of Alabama and the Bishop of Virginia.

The Bishops recently consecrated and not yet presented, were now presented to the House, to wit:

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, the Rt. Rev. Donald MacAdie, D.D., was presented by the Bishop of Long Island and the Bishop of Bethlehem.

The Bishop of Southern Ohio, the Rt. Rev. Roger Wilson Blanchard, D.D., was presented by the Bishop of Michigan.

The Suffragan Bishop of Albany, the Rt. Rev. Allen Webster Brown, D.D., was presented by the Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York and the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut.

The Bishop of Missouri, the Rt. Rev. George Leslie Cadigan, D.D., was presented by the Suffragan Bishop of New York.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Washington, the Rt. Rev. William Forman Creighton, D.D., was presented by the Bishop of South Dakota and the Bishop of Rhode Island.

The Bishop of Central Brazil and the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, recently consecrated but unable to attend this meeting, were not presented.

The Secretary presented the resignations of the following members of the House, to wit:
The Rt. Rev. Edwin Anderson Penick, D.D., Bishop of North Carolina, under Canon 43, Sec. 7 (a), effective June 30, 1959. Bishop Penick died April 6, 1959, after the acceptance of his resignation by a majority of the House, but before its effective date.

The Rt. Rev. Henry Wise Hobson, D.D., Bishop of Southern Ohio, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (a) and (b), effective June 30, 1959.

The Rt. Rev. Goodrich Robert Fenner, S.T.D., Bishop of Kansas, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (a) and (b), effective September 29, 1959.

Notification was given the Secretary by the Presiding Bishop that a majority of consents had been received from the House of Bishops to the resignations of the Bishop of Southern Ohio and the Bishop of Kansas, with instructions that these resignations be entered on the Roll of the House of Bishops.

The following resignations not yet acted upon were presented to the House, to wit:


The Rt. Rev. Dudley Barr McNeil, D.D., Bishop of Western Michigan, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (a), effective September 1, 1959.

The Rt. Rev. Donald James Campbell, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, under Canon 41, Sec. 6 (e), effective November 1, 1959.


On motion, these resignations were referred to the Committee on the Resignation of Bishops.

The Chair called upon the Bishop of Albany, who extended greetings of the Diocese of Albany to the House of Bishops.

The Secretary read personal greetings to the House of Bishops from Nelson A. Rockefeller, Governor of the State of New York; from Alfred M. Buecher, Secretary, on behalf of the Cooperstown Council of Churches; and from the Rev. William A. Highfield of the First Methodist Church of Cooperstown.

The Bishop of Georgia, reporting for the Standing Liturgical Commission on Proposals for the Revision of the Book of Offices, requested that members of the House study a mimeographed report of the Commission, which had been distributed, in order that
they might be prepared to discuss the limited changes found therein at a later session.


At the request of the Presiding Bishop, interpretation of Article I, Sec. 2, of the Constitution, concerning the rights of these three Bishops was referred to the Committee on Constitution.

The Rt. Rev. W. Appleton Lawrence presented a report on the Placement Bureau established by the Province of New England. Bishop Lawrence explained that this was not really a "Placement Bureau," but a "Service Bureau" to supply information, especially to bishops, but also to parishes and missions; that it was not going to solve all the problems of ineffective clergy and that it was not anything especially new; that one had been set up by the National Council in 1920, and that the subject had had consideration at seven out of the last ten General Conventions. He then went on to explain the importance of having the support of the Bishops if it were to work and reported that largely as a result of a covering letter supplied by the Bishops of New England, he had received replies from 72 per cent of the clergy to whom a questionnaire had been sent, which seemed an amazingly high return for any questionnaire. He gave a statistical report of the number of clergy and parishes which had been served, both inside and outside the Province. He said, however, that he felt that many of the intangible results were fully as important as the tangible ones. He closed by urging that each Province set up such a bureau with information perhaps coordinated through a National Bureau, which might also request men interested in specialized aspects of this country and those for foreign places.

The Chair offered the gratitude of the House to Bishop Lawrence for his presentation of this report.

The Chair spoke about a letter received from the Chaplain to the Archbishop of Canterbury regarding requests for auto-
graphs by the Archbishop for American personal desires and requested that members of the House discourage their communicants from such further requests whenever possible.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

---

Cooperstown, New York.

Sunday, October 18, 1959.

The House of Bishops met at 7:30 a.m. for the Celebration of the Holy Communion in Christ Church, Cooperstown.

Acting in accordance with the resolution adopted by the House at the 1958 General Convention, a Quiet Day was observed from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., Canon of the Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, and Warden of the College of Preachers, Washington, D.C., was the leader. Canon Wedel led the House in devotions on "Prayer and Grace."

The wives of the Bishops met during the same hours for their Quiet Day, the leader of which was the Rt. Rev. Lewis Bliss Whittemore, D.D., Retired Bishop of Western Michigan.

---

Cooperstown, New York.

Monday, October 19, 1959.

The House met at 10:00 a.m., after devotions, the Bishop of Missouri reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary called the roll of those who had not been present at the previous session and the attendance of 16 additional members was noted.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session, which were accepted.

Messages were read from the Bishop of Northern Indiana and the Methodist Church of Cooperstown.

The Chair announced that information from Archbishop Car-
rington, then Acting Primate of the Anglican Church of Canada, pertaining to the Anglican Congress, which is to be held in mid-August, 1963, in the city of Toronto, was available at the desk of each member.

The Chair announced that a copy of the publication *God Giveth the Increase, The History of the Episcopal Church in North Dakota*, a gift of the Missionary District of North Dakota, was available at each desk, and thanked the Bishop of North Dakota for his thoughtfulness in this matter.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Bishop of Central New York reported on the status of the fund for a nuclear reactor voted by General Convention in 1958 as a gift to St. Paul's University and St. Luke's Hospital in Tokyo. He stated that the response, in general, had been cooperative, but that only $176,328 of the needed $360,000 had thus far been received from the dioceses and missionary districts of the Church. He recommended that each bishop of a diocese or missionary district sign a pledge card showing what they anticipated that their payments to this fund would be by December 31, 1959.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that pledge cards be distributed to be received by the Secretary so that the Bishop of Central New York and the National Council might be assured of what further payments they could expect toward this gift.

The motion was carried.

Bishops of dioceses and missionary districts were requested to place these pledges in the hands of the Secretary. Bishop Hobson spoke of the importance of the General Church meeting this obligation and of the impact that such a gift would make upon our Christian Church in Japan. The Chair stated that the National Council will be ultimately responsible for the raising of this $360,000, through the Church and thanked the Bishop of Central New York, as Chairman of the Committee on the Gift of a Nuclear Reactor, for the excellent work that he had done.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Olympia reported for the Special Committee of the House of Bishops on Theological Education on the complex needs and problems being faced in the field of theological education within our Church today. He said it was the
consensus of opinion of the Special Committee on Theological Education that they could not adequately deal with the problems involved on a part-time study basis. The Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia spoke to the report, stating that in his opinion the Church must create a committee that will be able to look into the total problem of theological education.

The Special Committee of the House of Bishops on Theological Education suggested that a new Joint Commission of eight men, composed of two bishops, two deans of seminaries, two presbyters and two laymen, be set up in place of the present Joint Commission on Theological Education; that such a Joint Commission should have an Executive Secretary and that the Canons be revised so that such a change could be made.

The Bishop of Olympia moved that the whole discussion on Theological Education, as precipitated by this report, be put on the Calendar for study at a later session.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Georgia reported for the Standing Liturgical Commission on proposals for revision of The Book of Offices. The following proposals were presented and discussed:

Proposals for Revision

of
The Book of Offices

Check and revise Table of Contents in accordance with changes and additions.

Pp. 1-2. ADMISSION TO COMMUNION

Change title p. 1 to “The Admission of a Communicant.”
The word “Communion” is dealt with in the first rubric.
P. 2. amend rubric to read “... the Lord’s Prayer, the prayers following, and other suitable prayers with the Blessing.”
Add (for “the prayers following”)
The Collect for the first communion on Whitsunday, P.B., p. 182.
The second Collect for Good Friday, P.B., pp. 156-7.

Pp. 3-6. THE BLESSING OF MARRIED PERSONS

Change p. 4 by substituting after “I do” the following:
Then the Priest may bless the Ring or Rings; the Wife (and Husband) extending the hand (or hands) toward him, he shall say,
Let us pray.
Insert present prayer: “O God, whose blessed Son . . . .”
Then the Priest and the Married Persons, still standing, shall say the Lords’ Prayer.
Resume.

Pp. 7-12. THE ORDER FOR THE ADOPTION OF CHILDREN
Seek consent of the Morehouse-Barlow Co. to change the second question on p. 10 to read:
Minister. Will you bring him up in the knowledge and love of the Lord, in the godly discipline of the home and of Christ’s holy Church?
On p. 11 and likewise wherever else it occurs (i.e., pp. 23, 36, 45, 52, 79) expand the “Kyrie” to the form
Lord, have mercy upon us.
Christ, have mercy upon us.
Lord, have mercy upon us.

Pp. 13-18. THE FORM FOR THE SETTING APART OF DEACONESSES
1. Omit from Book of Offices, OR
Beginning with p. 16 substitute the following:
Dearly beloved in the Lord, who are minded to take upon you this service in the Church of God, have you duly considered how weighty an undertaking this is, and are you prepared with a willing mind to take upon you this office?
Answer. I have so considered it, and will do so, by the help of God.
Bishop. Will you endeavor faithfully to fulfill the duties of the same?
Answer. I will.
Bishop. Will you diligently ask of God the grace to enable you to persevere in this endeavor?
Answer. I will.
Bishop. Will you be diligent in prayer and in reading of the Holy Scripture, and in such studies as help to the knowledge and teaching of the same?
Answer. I will so do by the help of the Lord.

Bishop. Will you be obedient to those who are over you in the Lord, cheerfully and faithfully performing the service that shall be appointed to you as Deaconess in singleness of heart?

Answer. I will endeavor so to do, the Lord being my helper.

‖Then shall the Candidate kneel, and the Bishop shall say,
Almighty God, who hath called you to serve him in this holy life, give you power to fulfill this your service acceptably; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

‖Then shall the Bishop lay his hand upon the head of the person to be made Deaconess, saying,

God the Father, God the Son, God the Holy Ghost, bless, preserve, and sanctify you; and so endow you with all faith, wisdom, and humility that you may serve before him to the glory of his great Name and to the benefit of his Church and people, and make you faithful unto death, and give you the crown of everlasting life. Amen.

N. I admit thee to the office of Deaconess In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.

Bishop. The Lord be with you.

Answer. And with thy spirit.
Let us pray.

‖Then, the people kneeling, the Bishop shall say these prayers following.

OUR FATHER

Endue, O Lord, we beseech Thee, these thy servants, with the meekness and gentleness of Christ. Preserve them alike from faithless fears and unreasonable desires, that with a steadfast heart and settled purpose they may run the way of thy commandments; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

‖Then shall be said:

Bishop. O magnify the Lord with me;
Answer. And let us exalt his Name together.
Bishop. O give thanks unto the God of Heaven;
Answer. For his mercy endureth for ever.
Bishop. O give thanks unto the Lord of Lords;
Answer. For his mercy endureth for ever.

Bishop. The God of hope fill you with all joy and peace in believing, that ye may abound in hope, through our Lord Jesus Christ. Amen.

‖It is desirable that the Service of Holy Communion should follow, the newly set apart Deaconesses communicating.

At the Communion

The Collect

O God, who hast prepared for those who love thee such good things as pass man's understanding; Pour into our hearts such love toward thee,
that we, loving thee above all things, may obtain thy promises, which exceed all that we can desire; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.


Pp. 19-26. THE INSTALLATION OF A BISHOP

p. 22. Change "promise" after second rubric to read:

I, N., Bishop of the Church of God, now inducted and acknowledged by you as the Bishop of this Diocese (receive . . . .)

p. 23. Change second sentence of the Declaration, "So now . . . ." to read:

. . . Installed. Wherefore it is incumbent upon us to thank God . . . .

pp. 24-25. Omit the present blessing and in its place substitute the blessing from Hebrews 13:20-21 as follows:

The God of peace, who brought again from the dead our Lord Jesus Christ, the great shepherd of the sheep, through the blood of the everlasting covenant; Make you perfect in every good work to do his will, working in you that which is well pleasing in his sight; through Jesus Christ, to whom be glory for ever and ever. Amen.

Pp. 27-37. THE ADMISSION OF LAY MINISTERS

P. 27. Add to title words "and Officers."

P. 35. Change 6. to

7. OF WARDENS AND VESTRYMEN

and insert:

6. OF OTHER LAY WORKERS


Having then gifts differing according to the grace that is given to us, whether prophecy, let us prophesy according to the proportion of faith; or ministry, let us wait on our ministering; or he that teacheth, on teaching; or he that exhorteth, on exhortation; he that giveth, let him do it with simplicity; he that ruleth, with diligence; he that showeth mercy, with cheerfulness. Let love be without dissimulation. Abhor that which is evil; cleave to that which is good. Be kindly affectioned one to another with brotherly love; in honour preferring one another; not slothful in business; fervent in spirit; serving the Lord.

The Admission.

I ADMIT you to the Office of . . . in this Parish (or Diocese); and I give you this . . . * as a Token of your ministry; In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.

I will think also of thy works;

And my talking shall be of thy doings.

Let us pray.

O Lord, without whom our labour is but lost; we humbly beseech thee to prosper all works in thy Church undertaken according to thy holy will;

*The token to be given must be determined by the office: e.g., a Prayer Book, the Diocesan Canons, etc.
and grant to thy labourers a pure intention, patient faith, sufficient success on earth, and the blessedness of serving thee in heaven; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Pp. 61-71. BENEDICTIONS

Change title, p. 61, to read "BENEDICTIONS OF CHURCH FURNISHINGS AND ORNAMENTS."

Omit present rubrics, and insert:

GENERAL RUBRICS

It is fitting that Altars and Fonts, dedicated apart from the service of consecration, should be dedicated by the Bishop. Other articles of furniture and adornment may be dedicated by the Priest.

It is desirable that the dedication should take place preceding the Offertory at the Holy Communion or following the Collects at the Daily Offices.

Since local situations vary and gifts serve different purposes and may embody quite distinct iconography or symbolism, it is fitting that there should be variety in the form of versicles and prayers. There is therefore provided a general form around which dedication may be planned with the consent of the Ordinary, and prayers applicable to a variety of gifts which may be used where suitable after the dedication.

It is suggested that where the object to be dedicated is fixed, there should be a procession to the location, and where it is portable, it should be brought to the Altar and presented to the Minister.

Where the object is a memorial, the Prayer of Commemoration should be said, and where it is a gift, the Prayer for Benefactors as provided may be used.

And note that when the form of service cannot be provided in print, the versicles and responses (if not familiar to the congregation) may be recited by the officiant.

OF AN ALTAR

The Altar, at the time of the Benediction, shall be bare.

The Bishop with the other Ministers shall enter the sanctuary, the people standing, and the Bishop or someone appointed by him shall read,


There was also a strife among them, which of them should be accounted the greatest. And he said unto them, The kings of the Gentiles exercise lordship over them; and they that exercise authority upon them are called benefactors. But ye shall not be so: but he that is greatest among you, let him be as the younger; and he that is chief, as he that doth serve. For whether is greater, he that sitteth at meat, or he that serveth? is not he that sitteth at meat? but I am among you as one that serveth. Ye are they which have continued with me in my temptation. And I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me; that ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel.

Then shall the Bishop say,

O send out thy light and thy truth, that they may lead me:
And bring me unto thy holy hill and to thy dwelling.
That I may go unto the altar of God;
Even unto the God of my joy and gladness.
Let us bless the Lord.
It is meet and right so to do.

Thanksgiving and honour and glory be unto thee, Almighty God, who didst give thine only Son, Jesus Christ, to die for our sins and to rise again for our justification; and who by thy blessed Son didst sanctify the Holy Table in the upper room by his blessing of the bread and wine to be his Body and Blood. Accept this Holy Table which we offer for thy service and have set up to thy glory, so blessing it that all who shall here make their offerings of praise and thanksgiving may be pleasing unto thee and abide in the communion of the same Jesus Christ our Lord; to whom, with thee and the Holy Ghost, one God, be all praise and dominion for ever and ever. Amen.

(Here the Bishop may trace with his finger the customary five crosses on the mensa and may say,

With Faith in Jesus Christ we dedicate* this Altar to the glory of God for the use of his Holy Church, In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.)

Grant, O Lord, that whosoever shall receive in this place the blessed Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ may come to that holy ordinance with faith, charity, and true repentance; and being filled with thy grace and heavenly benediction, may, to their great and endless comfort, obtain remission of their sins, and all other benefits of his passion, to the praise and glory of thy holy Name; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

(Then shall the Altar be vested and the service proceed with the Offertory.

The Lesson. Romans 6:3

Know ye not that so many of us as were baptized in Jesus Christ were baptized into his death? Therefore we are buried with him by baptism into death; that like as Christ was raised up from the dead by the glory of the Father, even so we also should walk in newness of life. Now if we be dead with Christ, we believe that we shall also live with him: Knowing that Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more; death hath no more dominion over him. For in that he died, he died unto sin once, but in that he liveth, he liveth unto God. Likewise reckon ye also yourselves to be dead indeed unto sin, but alive unto God through Jesus Christ our Lord.

*Note that where this word appears there may be substituted the words “set apart.”)
Then the Bishop shall say,
There is one body, and one Spirit, even as ye are called in one hope of
your calling.
One Lord, one faith, one baptism, one God and Father of all.
Unto him that loved us, and washed us from our sins in his own blood;
To him be glory and dominion for ever and ever.
Let us bless the Lord.
It is meet and right so to do.
Thanksgiving and honour and glory be unto thee, Almighty God, that thou
didst give thine only Son, Jesus Christ, to take upon him our flesh and
by his cross and passion to baptize us unto a living hope; who after his
precious death and glorious resurrection, gave command to his Church
to preach the Gospel to all nations, baptizing them in the Name of the
Father, Son and Holy Ghost; Accept this Font which we offer unto thee
for thy service (and in memory of . . . or in thanksgiving for . . . ), so
blessing those who are here admitted to thy holy Church that they may be
partakers of thy Holy Spirit and be united with thy saints in life ever-
lasting; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord, to whom, with thee and
the Holy Spirit, one God, be all praise and dominion for ever and ever.
Amen.

(Then the Bishop may say,
With Faith in Jesus Christ we dedicate* this Font to the glory of God
(and in memory of . . . or in thanksgiving for . . . ) for the use of his
holy Church, In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy
Spirit. Amen.)

Then shall the procession return to the chancel unless there immedi-
ately follow the service of Holy Baptism.

GENERAL FORM

If there is a procession, the Minister shall say,
Let us proceed with a grateful sense of God’s mercies.

For the procession a Hymn or Anthem may be sung.

The gift may be presented to the Minister with the words, Reverend
Sir, we present to you this . . . to be set apart with thanksgiving for the
service of Christ’s holy Church.

Then the Minister shall say,
All things come of thee, O Lord.
And of thine own have we given thee.
Prosper thou the work of hands upon us;
O prosper thou our handiwork.
Show thy servants thy work;
And their children thy glory.
Let us bless the Lord.
It is meet and right so to do.

*Or, "set apart."
Thanksgiving and honour and glory be unto thee, Almighty God, that from ancient times thou hast put it into the hearts of men to make offerings for thy service and hast been pleased at all times to receive them at their hands; Mercifully accept this ... which we devoutly offer and set apart to thy glory (and in memory of ... or in thanksgiving for ...), and grant that this gift may be found worthy through thy blessing to magnify thy Name, to benefit thy Church, and to minister grace and joy to those who rightfully use it; through Jesus Christ our Lord, to whom, with thee and the Holy Spirit, be all praise and dominion for ever and ever. Amen.

(Here the Minister may add:
With Faith in Jesus Christ, we dedicate* this ... to the glory of God (and in memory of ... or in thanksgiving for ...) for the use of his holy Church, In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit. Amen.)

[Here may follow a prayer from those below suitable to the gift dedicated.

A Prayer of Commemoration

Almighty God, we remember this day before thee thy faithful servant (N.), and we pray that, having opened to him the gates of larger life, thou wilt receive him more and more into thy joyful service; that he may win, with thee and thy servants everywhere, the eternal victory; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

A Prayer for Benefactors

Blessed be thy name, O Lord, that it hath pleased thee to put into the hearts of thy servants to offer this gift for thy worship; Remember them, O Lord, for good and grant that all who shall enjoy the benefit of this pious work may show forth their thankfulness to thee by making a right use of the same; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. (Chelmsford)

[NOTE: If the dedication be in honor of some living person or persons the following prayer may be used.

Heavenly Father, whose Name is glorified in all the faithful; be pleased to accept the dedication of this ... in honor of thy servant(s) ... and grant that the service thou has enabled him to perform may be accepted as a memorial before thee, and that we and those who come after may be moved hereby to follow his good example and share in thy enabling grace; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

PRAYERS
OF ALTAR VESSELS

The bread which we break, is it not the communion of the Body of Christ?

The cup of blessing which we bless, is it not the communion of the Blood of Christ?

Let us pray.

Grant, O Lord, that those who with these sacred vessels commemorate the sacrifice of thy dear Son may be sustained by thy presence, and that those

*Or, “set apart.”
who receive therefrom the holy mysteries may be fulfilled with thy grace and heavenly benediction; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF ALTAR HANGINGS OR VESTMENTS

O Lord my God, thou art become exceeding glorious;
Thou art clothed with majesty and honour.

Let us pray.

O glorious God, whose perfect beauty the wonderful design of all thy works proclaim; Grant that the adornment of thine altar (or of thy priests) may be unto us an act of joyful service, and that we may continue in thy worship until we are all clothed with the garment of praise and show forth thy glory for ever and ever; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF A CROSS

God forbid that I should glory;
Save in the cross of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Let us pray.

O gracious God, who in thy mercy didst ordain that thy Son should suffer death upon a cross of shame; we thank thee that it has become to us the sign of his triumph and the banner of our salvation, and we pray that this cross may ever draw our hearts unto Him who leads us through tribulation to the glory of thy Kingdom; even Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF LIGHTS

Thou also shalt light my candle;
The Lord my God shall make my darkness to be light.

Let us pray.

O heavenly Father, who showed the vision of thy Son amidst the candlesticks, and thy Spirit in seven lamps of fire before thy throne; Grant that these lights, kindled for thy glory as we worship thee, may be to us the sign of thy presence and the promise of eternal light; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF A BIBLE

Thy Word is a lantern unto my feet;
And a light unto my path.

Let us pray.

O heavenly Father, whose blessed Son taught the disciples in all the Scriptures the things concerning himself; we thank thee for the revelation of thy ways contained in this Book, and we pray that thou wilt grant us so diligently to search thy Holy Word, that we may be wise unto salvation, and furnished unto every good work; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF A LECTERN

When thy Word goeth forth;
It giveth light and understanding unto the simple.
Let us pray.
Grant, O Lord, that all who in this place shall read the Holy Scriptures may be filled with the faith of thy Gospel, and so read that all may hear and understand; and grant that thy people may receive the Word into honest and good hearts, and bring forth fruit with patience; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. (Canterbury)

OF A SERVICE BOOK

All Kings shall bow down before him;
All nations shall do him service.

Let us pray.
Grant, O Lord of Hosts, that as thy saints and angels always do thee service in heaven, so we with them may continue to worship thee acceptably upon earth, to the glory of thy Name; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF A PULPIT

The righteousness of thy testimonies is everlasting;
O grant me understanding and I shall live.

Let us pray.
Grant, O Lord, that by thy holy Word which shall be preached in this place, and by thy Holy Spirit grafting it inwardly in the heart, the hearers thereof may both perceive and know what things they ought to do, and may have power and strength to fulfill the same; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF AN ORGAN

Praise him in the sound of the trumpet;
Praise him upon the strings and pipe.

Let us pray.
O holy and eternal God, Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, whom all the joyful companies of heaven laud and adore; graciously grant that this organ may minister to the excellency of praise in thy holy temple; and so bless us as we magnify thee upon earth with music and the voice of melody, that hereafter we may sing the new song in the heavenly city, where thou reignest, almighty, all-glorious, world without end. Amen.

OF BELLS

Their sound is gone out into all lands;
And their words into the ends of the world.

Let us pray.
O God, who of old didst appoint silver trumpets to sound in the temple; grant that the voice of this bell may call men to worship, and that with joy we may lift up our hearts unto thee; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF WINDOWS

Behold, the glory of the God of Israel came from the way of the east;
And the glory of the Lord filled the House.
Let us pray.

O God, who hast filled the world with the radiance of thy glory; grant that as the light shines through this window in the splendour of many colours, our lives may show forth the beauty of thy manifold gifts of grace; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF A SCREEN, COMMUNION RAIL, OR SANCTUARY GATES

Let us pray.

O God, who hast consecrated for us a new and living way into the holiest by the death and resurrection of thy dear Son; grant that all who shall approach thy holy sanctuary may lay hold on the things which are eternal, and serving thee with reverence and godly fear, may be acceptable in thy sight; through the same thy Son, Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF CLERGY SEATS AND PRAYER DESKS

Let us pray.

O Lord God Almighty, who didst give to thy servant a vision of the elders seated before thy throne; grant that those whom thou dost appoint to serve in thy house may exercise their ministry with such humility and love and their authority with such mercy, that they may be wholesome examples to the flock committed to their charge; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

OF ANY OTHER CHURCH ORNAMENT

Let us pray.

O God, who by thy blessed Son hast sanctified and transfigured the use of all material things; bless with the presence of thy hallowing grace this which we have set apart to thy service, that using it humbly as we minister to thee, we may glorify thy holy Name; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Insert after the foregoing a new section entitled

BENEDICTIONS FOR CERTAIN OCCASIONS IN THE CHURCH YEAR

1. PALM SUNDAY

¶With the consent of the Ordinary the following procession and preparation may be used preceding the ante-Communion or after Morning Prayer. The Ministers shall take their places within the sanctuary, the people standing. The palms may be ready on the Altar or may be presented to the Priest, who shall place them on the Holy Table.

¶The Priest shall say,

The Lord be with you.

And with thy spirit.
Let us pray.

O Saviour of the world, who by thy cross and precious blood hast redeemed us;

Save us and help us, we humbly beseech thee, O Lord.

Hear the words of the Gospel written in the eleventh chapter of Saint Mark.

(Mark 11:1-9)

Thanks be to God.

Lift up your hearts.

We lift them up unto the Lord.

Let us bless the Lord.

It is meet and right so to do.

Then shall the Priest turn to the Holy Table and say,

It is very meet, right, and our bounden duty, that we should at all times, and in all places, bless thee, O Lord, Almighty, Everlasting God, for and by thy whole creation; but especially do we praise thee, at this time, for the mighty acts whereby thou hast redeemed us through thy Son, Jesus Christ our Lord. And we beseech thee, O Lord, send thy blessing upon us who now make our prayer unto thee, and sanctify to our use these branches of palm; that we who bear them in thy Name may ever hail him as our King, the same thy Son, Jesus Christ our Lord, to whom with thee and the Holy Ghost be all honour and glory, world without end. Amen.

Then shall the palms be distributed to the People, or, the procession being started, the People shall receive their palms as they join the procession, the Priest first singing or saying,

Let us proceed in peace.

In the name of the Lord. Amen.

During the procession shall be sung the Hymn, All glory, laud, and honour. When the procession has returned to the Chancel steps, the procession shall pause, and there shall be said,

Psalm 122. Laetatus sum.

Then, if the ante-Communion is to follow, the Priest shall add, Assist us mercifully with thy help, O Lord God of our salvation, that we may enter with joy upon the meditation of those mighty acts, whereby thou hast given unto us life and immortality; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

If there be no ante-Communion, the Priest shall add,

O Lord Jesus, whom the multitudes in Jerusalem at thy first coming greeted as their King, strewing thy way with palm and olive branches; Grant that we, by steadfast obedience to thy sovereign will, many prepare the way for thee to come again.

Hosanna to the Son of David: Blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord; Hosanna in the highest.

2. EASTER EVEN

Blessing of the Paschal Candle.

When the Ministers are in their appointed places, the Deacon (or if there be no Deacon, then the Priest) shall stand before the Paschal Candle, and sing or say as followeth, the People all standing.
The Paschal Song: *Exultet.*

Rejoice now, all ye heavenly hosts of angels, for the King who cometh with victory let the trumpet proclaim salvation.

Sing with joy, O earth, illumined with celestial splendour, and the glory of the eternal King, for the darkness of the world has now been put away.

Be joyful, O Church, adorned with the radiance of so great a light, and let your holy courts resound in praise, with the voices of thy people.

Wherefore, beloved brethren, all ye who seek this heavenly brightness, I beseech you to pray God Almighty that he would show forth his mercy towards us, through our Lord Jesus Christ, his only Son, who liveth and reigneth with him, in the unity of the Holy Ghost ever, one God, world without end. Amen.

*Deacon.* The Lord be with you.

*Answer.* And with thy spirit.

*Deacon.* Lift up your hearts.

*Answer.* We lift them up unto the Lord.

*Deacon.* Let us give thanks unto our Lord God.

*Answer.* It is meet and right so to do.

It is very meet, right, and our bounden duty, that we should at all times and in all places, give thanks unto thee, O Father Almighty, invisible God, and to thine only-begotten Son, Jesus Christ our Lord; for this is the Paschal Feast wherein the true Lamb is slain, who by his death hath destroyed death, and by his rising to life again hath restored unto us everlasting life.

This is the night wherein thou didst lead forth our fathers, the children of Israel, out of Egypt, making them to pass over the Red Sea on dry land. This is the night wherein all who believe in Christ are delivered from the shadow of death, and are renewed unto grace and made partakers of everlasting life. This is the night wherein Christ loosed the bonds of hell, and from the grave did rise again victorious over sin and death. How wonderful art thou, O God, in thy loving-kindness unto us, that to redeem a servant, thou didst give up thine only Son, Jesus Christ our Lord!

*¶Here the Deacon shall light the Paschal Candle. And from its light shall all other candles and lights in the church be illuminated.*

*¶And after that the Deacon shall continue as follows.*

Wherefore we beseech thee, O Lord, Holy Father, accept this our evening sacrifice, that this Candle which we have consecrated to thine honour may shine forth continually to dispel the shades of darkness, and may the Day-star that knoweth no setting find it ever burning, the same thy Son Jesus Christ, who giveth his light unto all creation.

We therefore pray thee, O Lord, that thou wouldst direct, sanctify, and govern us thy servants, and all thy faithful family, with thy continual grace, that we may pass our time in peace and gladness, in the festival of our redemption; through Jesus Christ, thy Son our Lord, who liveth and reigneth with thee in the unity of the Holy Ghost ever, one God, world without end. Amen.

*¶Then shall the Minister appointed begin the Order for Evening Prayer.*
Evening Prayer

Psalm 27.
The Second Lesson: Romans 6:3-11.

NOTE

In the forms of benediction above for Palm Sunday and for Easter Even, the forms, "It is very meet . . ." and the Exultet, are printed with the permission of the Seabury Press from Holy Week Offices, edited by Massey H. Shepherd, Jr., 1958, in which other Holy Week offices may be found. For Epiphanytide a service, The Feast of Lights, is published by the National Council. For Rogationtide a service and procession, The Cross and the Plow, is published by the National Council.

3. SETTING UP THE CHRISTMAS CRECHE

It is fitting that the following, when used, should follow the services of the Fourth Sunday in Advent and precede the services of Christmas Eve.

Priest: Let us proceed in peace.

In the Name of the Lord. Amen.

To a Hymn, the choir and clergy may proceed in procession around the church, joined at some convenient place by children bearing the (crib and) figures for the nativity scene. The procession having arrived at the place, the Minister shall say,

The Word was made flesh and dwelt among us;
And we beheld his glory, full of grace and truth.

Then shall the Minister say,

The Lord be with you.
And with thy spirit.
Let us pray.
Glory to God in the highest;
And on earth peace, goodwill towards men.
Let us bless the Lord.
It is meet and right so to do.

Thanksgiving and honour and glory be unto thee, Almighty God, who for us men and for our salvation didst give thine only Son to be born in great humility of the Virgin Mary his mother. Accept and bless to our use this Christmas Creche that it may set before us the exceeding love of our Saviour and bring us with the shepherds and kings to pay him our true devotion; to whom, with thee and the Holy Ghost, be all praise and worship, world without end. Amen.

O God, who makest us glad with the yearly remembrance of the birth of thine only Son Jesus Christ; Grant that as we joyfully receive him for our Redeemer, so we may with sure confidence behold him when he shall come to be our Judge, who liveth and reigneth with thee and the Holy Ghost, one God, world without end. Amen.

Then may a Hymn be sung before the Blessing.
Pp. 72-81. THE BLESSING OF HOUSES

P. 72 From the second rubric omit the words “be used”. Add, as a third rubric:

NOTE. For a shorter form the section entitled, The Blessing of the House, may be used.

P. 79. Add to rubric:

Or if the shorter form is used, the Priest shall stand in the principal room and begin the service as follows.

P. 80. From the rubric omit the words following “nature of the building.”

P. 81. After the third prayer add:

The service shall conclude with this prayer and blessing.

Come, O Lord, we humbly beseech thee, and fill this house with the serenity of thy love and joy and peace; Let thine abundant grace and benediction be upon those who make their home herein, that dwelling together in health in this habitation made with hands, they may themselves be evermore thy dwelling-place; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen. (Canterbury)

Let us bless the Lord.

Thanks be to God.

For the blessing substitute:

The Peace and Blessing of God Almighty rest upon this house and upon all who dwell in it. The Lord preserve your going out and your coming in. And the blessing of God Almighty, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, be upon you and remain with you for ever. Amen. (Canterbury)

After p. 81 add new section:

CHRISTIAN VOCATION IN DAILY WORK

Prayers and a Litany for Labor Day or other suitable occasion.

For the Psalter: Selection from Psalms 8; 33; 75; 104.

First Lesson: Ecclesiastes 3:1-13

Second Lesson: II Thessalonians 3:1-13

or

Luke 12:13-21

A LITANY OF LABOR

Minister. Lord, have mercy upon us.
People. Christ, have mercy upon us.
Minister. Lord, have mercy upon us.

O God the Father of all,

People. Have mercy upon us.
Minister. O God the Son, Redeemer of the world,
People. Have mercy upon us.
Minister. O God the Holy Spirit, dwelling in men,
People. Have mercy upon us.

Minister. O Holy Trinity, one God,

People. Have mercy upon us.

Minister. We beseech thee, O Lord, mighty and ever wise, that thou wilt guide, protect, and inspire all those who learn and labor truly to get their own living.

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For those who till the earth,
For those who tend machinery,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For those who strive on the deep waters,
For those who venture in far countries,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For those who work in offices and warehouses,
For those who labor at furnaces and in factories,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For those who toil in mines,
For those who buy and sell,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For those who keep house,
For those who train children,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For all who live by strength of arm,
For all who live by cunning of hand,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For all who control, direct, or employ,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For all who enrich the common life through art and science and learning,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For all who guide the common thought, as writers or as teachers,

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. For all who may serve the common good as pastors, physicians, soldiers, lawyers, merchants, and for all social workers, leaders, and statesmen.

People. We beseech thee to hear us.

Minister. And for all those who are poor and broken and oppressed:
For all whose labor is without hope,
For all whose labor is without honor,
For all whose labor is without interest,
For those who have too little leisure,
For those who are underpaid,
For those who oppress their employees through love of money,
For all women workers,
For those who work in dangerous trades,
For those who cannot find work,
For those who will not work,
For those who have no home,
For prisoners and outcasts,
For the victims of lust,
For all who are sick or hungry,
For all who are intemperate, luxurious, and cruel,

*People.* Dear Lord, we pray to thee.

NOTE. This Litany consists of parts 20A and 20E of the Litany of Labor from, *The Kingdom, the Power and the Glory*, American Ed. 1933, Oxford University Press. If adopted, permission to publish will be needed; if not secured, the source may be listed as in the cases above.

**PRAYERS**

For the Remembrance of God's Presence.

O Heavenly Father, in whom we live and move and have our being; We humbly pray thee so to guide and govern us by thy Holy Spirit, that in all the cares and occupations of our daily life we may never forget thee, but remember that we are ever walking in thy sight; through Jesus Christ our Lord. *Amen.* (Canadian Draft P. B. 1955)

For the Dedication of Daily Work.

God, who hast made every calling of man acceptable to thy sight, if only thy glory be intended in it; Give us day by day the desire to do our work, of what sort soever it be, for thine honour, and the joy of rendering it to thee well done; through Jesus Christ our Lord. *Amen.* (Milner-White)

For Industrial Relations.

O God, the Father of all mankind, we beseech thee to inspire us with such love, truth, and equality, that in all our dealings one with another we may show forth our brotherhood in thee; for the sake of Jesus Christ our Lord. *Amen.* (English P.B. 1928)

For the Unemployed.

O God, thou Lord of the Vineyard, who wouldest not that any should stand idle in the market-place; Hear our prayer for those who are without employment or assurance of livelihood; and in thy loving wisdom, declare unto us the counsels to help and heal all our distresses; through Jesus Christ our Lord. *Amen.* (Milner-White)

The Chair stated that the report should be considered in two parts: (1) the approval of the suggested revision and (2) the provision of a separate book for bishops’ use.

The Bishop of South Florida moved the adoption of the proposals for revision as submitted by the Standing Liturgical Commission.

The motion was adopted.
The Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution:

Resolved. That the Standing Liturgical Commission be requested to transfer "The Form for the Setting Apart of Deaconesses" and "The Institution of a Bishop" to a new book, together with other services generally restricted to bishops, and such a book be proposed for the use of bishops.

The Bishop of Connecticut stated that the present Book of Offices had never been authorized by either the House of Bishops or by the General Convention.

A motion by the Bishop of Upper South Carolina to reconsider was not seconded.

The motion was lost.

A motion by the Bishop of Upper South Carolina that "The Form of Secularization of a Church," printed in the former Book of Offices, but which was omitted in the present edition, be included in the new edition under consideration, was carried.

The Bishop of Georgia presented the following motion recommended by the Standing Liturgical Commission at its last meeting:

WHEREAS, The Standing Liturgical Commission is unprepared further to extend its study on the Visitation and Ministration to the Sick without guidance from the Church on the theological and pastoral implications of the Church's ministry of healing, the Commission requests the House of Bishops to initiate a study of the subject by persons expert and experienced in the field to provide guidance to the Church at large and for the guidance of this Commission.

The Bishop of Bethlehem offered the following as a substitute motion, which was not carried.

The House of Bishops commends the Standing Liturgical Commission for its interest in seeking further basis for its work in the area of Christian Healing and recommends that the Standing Liturgical Commission inaugurate such a study.

The motion was lost.

The Bishop of Texas spoke of the need of more adequate background and material in the Church for carrying out the Ministry to the Sick.

He moved that the House of Bishops requests that the Committee on the Pastoral prepare and present a suggested procedure by which the Church could more adequately deal with the question of the Ministry of Healing.
The Bishop of Long Island offered a substitute motion, as follows:

Resolved, That the Ministry of Healing be referred to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council and that it make a report to the next meeting of General Convention.

The motion was carried.

The House stood for Noonday Prayers conducted by the Presiding Bishop.

The House adjourned at 12:10 p.m. to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.

The House met in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented a motion that a bishop be elected for the Dominican Republic. The Rt. Rev. John B. Bentley, the Bishop of Central America and the Bishop of Haiti spoke favorably to the subject.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of San Joaquin, reporting for the Eighth Province, submitted names for consideration for Bishop of Nevada.

The Bishop of South Dakota, reporting for the Committee on Domestic Missions, submitted names for consideration for Bishop of Nevada.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, the nominations were closed.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, submitted names for consideration for Bishop of the Dominican Republic.

The Bishop of South Carolina, reporting for the Committee of Nine, presented a statement of procedure for nine study groups, including all Bishops in the House, which, he said, would meet for a two hour period. The House rose at 2:45 p.m. to enter these discussion groups. The groups terminated their discussions at 4:45 p.m. to report their findings to the House of Bishops in Executive Session on Tuesday morning.
The House of Bishops met at 10:00 a.m. after devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Albany reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary called the roll of those who had not been present on the previous days and the presence of five additional members was noted.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous day, which, after correction, were accepted.

On motion of the Bishop of North Dakota, the greetings of the House were sent to the Rt. Rev. Douglas H. Atwill, D.D., Retired Missionary Bishop of North Dakota.

On motion of the Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama, a message of special appreciation was sent to the Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., for his leadership of the Quiet Day on Sunday.

On motion of the Bishop of Kentucky, greetings of the House were sent to the Rt. Rev. and Mrs. Charles Clingman, in commemoration of their Fiftieth Wedding Anniversary.

On motion of the Chair, greetings of the House were given to the Bishop of Upper South Carolina and the Bishop of Georgia on the anniversary of their Consecrations.

The Bishop of Central New York reported to the House that 60, out of a possible 102, pledges from Bishops of dioceses and missionary districts toward the Nuclear Reactor Fund had been received, and urged those Bishops who had not yet made their returns to do so by the end of the present session.

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, on behalf of the Bishops of the Second Province, presented the following requests and recommendations to the House:

1. The Bishops of the Second Province request the House of Bishops to render an interpretation of "Godly admonitions" in the Ordinal, with reference to inhibition apart from suspension or deposition.

   Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

2. The Bishops of the Second Province recommend to the House of Bishops that a committee be appointed to study the question of the transla-
tion of Bishops, together with problems relating to the separation of a Bishop from the jurisdiction or position for which he was consecrated, and that this committee be requested to report on this question at the next General Convention.

Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

3. The Bishops of the Second Province recommend to the House of Bishops that consideration be given to the question of the office and function of a Deacon, with particular reference to the usefulness of this office in its present form, and that this question be referred to an appropriate committee for study, with the request that a report be made to the next General Convention.

Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida the House went into Executive Session.

The Vice President of the National Council reported on the Church's work in the Pacific area.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Missionary District of Honolulu be defined and limited geographically as comprising those islands of the Hawaiian Island Group which form the State of Hawaii.

Adopted by the House.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops affirms that ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the islands of Midway, Wake, Guam and American Samoa in the Pacific Ocean belongs to the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, the charge thereof being placed upon the Presiding Bishop with the power of appointing some other Bishop of this Church as his substitute in such charge.

Adopted by the House.

The House stood for Noonday Prayers, which were conducted by the Chair.

The House rose at 12:10 p.m. to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.

The House reconvened in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

The Bishop of South Carolina reported on the group discus-
The Bishop of South Carolina presented the following motion on behalf of the Committee of Nine:

Resolved, That the Committee of Nine suggests to the Committee on the Pastoral that they consider the possibility of a Pastoral based on the great facts of the Christian Faith, using a positive approach.

The Bishop of Long Island moved an amendment that the words "suggest to" in the foregoing motion be changed to "request that".

The motion, as amended, was carried.


The motion was carried.

The Committee moved that the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Donald James Campbell, D.D., be not accepted.

The motion was not carried.

A motion of the House that the resignation be accepted was carried.

The Bishop of South Dakota reported for the Committee on Domestic Missions on the men nominated for Bishop of Nevada.

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions on the men nominated for Bishop of the Dominican Republic.

The Bishop of West Virginia moved that the House reconsider the motion on the Healing Ministry, which had been referred to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council on the previous day.

The motion was carried and it was placed on the Calendar.

The House rose at 4:30 p.m.
Cooperstown, New York.
Wednesday, October 21, 1959.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a celebration of the Holy Communion in Christ Church, Cooperstown, at 7:30 a.m., the Presiding Bishop being the Celebrant, the Bishop of Ohio, the Bishop Coadjutor of Olympia and the Bishop of Haiti assisting.

The House assembled in Executive Session, the Bishop of Southern Ohio reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for vacancies in the Missionary Districts of Nevada and the Dominican Republic.

The Veni Creator Spiritus was sung.

Silence was observed for a space and the Service to be used before balloting was conducted.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for Bishop of the Missionary District of Nevada. The Chair appointed the Bishop of Southern Ohio, the Suffragan Bishop of Albany, the Bishop of Missouri and the Bishop Coadjutor of Washington as Tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots and the Rev. William G. Wright, D.D., Director of the Home Department of the National Council, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of Nevada.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. William G. Wright, D.D., subject to the approval of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop Coadjutor of Olympia, the Bishop of San Joaquin and the Bishop of New Mexico and Southwest Texas to notify the Rev. William G. Wright, D.D., of his election.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for Bishop of the Missionary District of the Dominican Republic.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of California, the Suffragan
Bishop of Southern Virginia, the Bishop of Quincy and the Suffragan Bishop of Newark as Tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots and the Rev. Canon Paul A. Kellogg, Canon of St. John the Baptist Cathedral, San Juan, Puerto Rico, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Missionary Bishop of the Dominican Republic.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Canon Paul A. Kellogg, subject to the approval of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Haiti, the Bishop of Albany and the Bishop of Delaware to notify the Rev. Canon Paul A. Kellogg of his election.

Following the singing of the Doxology, the House rose at 8:30 a.m. to reconvene at 10:30 a.m.

During the recess the Bishops signed the testimonials in accordance with the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), by a constitutional majority.

The House reconvened at 10:30 a.m., after Devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Newark reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop made formal announcement of the election of the Rev. William G. Wright, D.D., Director of the Home Department of the National Council, as Bishop of Nevada, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Presiding Bishop made formal announcement of the election of the Rev. Canon Paul A. Kellogg, Canon of St. John the Baptist Cathedral, San Juan, Puerto Rico, as Bishop of the Dominican Republic, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Secretary read the Minutes of the previous day, which were accepted.

The Bishop of Los Angeles presented the following motion, which was carried:
In accordance with the provisions of Article II, Section 2, of the Constitution and Canons of this Church, the Standing Committee of the Diocese of Los Angeles respectfully requests through its Bishop, the consent of the Bishops of this House exercising jurisdiction within the United States, to the election of a Suffragan Bishop for the Diocese of Los Angeles by reason of the extent of work within the Diocese and further occasioned by the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Donald James Campbell which has been accepted by this House.

The Bishop of New Hampshire requested the members of the House to fill out the questionnaire on Women Workers of the Church, which was to be found at their desks and to mail it to him at his diocesan headquarters.

The Presiding Bishop appointed the following committee to draw up letters of appreciation:

- The Bishop of Long Island.
- The Bishop of Arkansas.
- The Bishop of Wyoming.

The Bishop of Eau Claire reported for the Committee on Rules of Order and moved the adoption of the following revisions in the Rules of Order in the House of Bishops:

(NOTE: Print in brackets are deletions; print in capitals are additions.)

**RULES OF ORDER—HOUSE OF BISHOPS**

**SERVICES AND DEVOTIONS**

Page 1, Sec. VI. Preceding the ballotin for the election of A PRESIDING BISHOP, a Missionary Bishop, or on the proposed transfer of a Missionary Bishop from one District to another, there shall be a celebration of the Holy Communion, with a special prayer for the guidance of the Holy Spirit. THE BISHOPS SHOULD BE VESTED FOR THE SERVICE.

The Bishop of Milwaukee moved that this section be amended by changing the word SHOULD to SHALL.

The motion was lost.

**FIRST DAY OF SESSION**

Page 1, Sec. I. The House of Bishops shall meet for business at such time and place as shall have been duly notified by the Presiding Bishop, or the Vice Chairman of the House, to the members of this House, and shall be called to order by the Presiding Bishop or the VICE Chairman, or, in their absence, by the Senior Bishop present.
Sec. II. The House shall then proceed to elect (by ballot) a Secretary. He shall continue in office until the triennial Convention following his election. With the approval of the Presiding Officer, the Secretary may then, or later, appoint an Assistant Secretary.

Sec. III. The roll of members shall be called by the Secretary. (On the second and third days the Secretary shall call the names of those only who were not present on the preceding day or days, omitting those whose absence has been excused or accounted for. After the third day the roll shall not be called unless by order of the House.)

On the second and third days the Secretary shall make a note of the late arrivals who shall inform him of their presence.

Page 2, Sec. VI. (The House shall then proceed to elect by ballot a Vice Chairman of the House, who in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, or at his request, shall be the presiding officer of the House. He shall continue in office until the triennial General Convention, but shall not be eligible for subsequent re-election except after an interval of at least three years.)

The House shall then proceed to elect a Vice Chairman, after hearing the report of a Special Nominating Committee, appointed prior to the meeting by the Presiding Bishop, and after receiving any other nominations from the floor. The Vice Chairman in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, or at his request, shall be the presiding officer of the House.

Page 3, Sec. V. The Secretary shall keep a Calendar of Business, on which shall be placed in the order in which they are presented, reports of Committees, resolutions which lie over, and other matters undisposed of, indicating the subject of each item. (A copy of which Calendar shall be furnished to each member of the House. It shall be the duty of the Secretary to prepare and distribute each morning after the opening of the House, a Calendar of all Orders of the Day not yet discharged.)

General Rules

Page 4, Sub. Sec. 7. On (Foreign) Overseas Missions, who shall also serve as Committee on Nominations of Overseas Missionary Bishops.

Sub. Sec. 11. (On Christian Social Relations.)

Sub. Sec. 17. On Social and International Affairs.

Re-number the whole section.
Page 6, Sec. XXIII. Two Bishops MAY be appointed by the Presiding Officer to act with the Secretary in preparing daily reports of the action of this House, and furnishing them, at their discretion, to the public press.

Sec. XXIV. Bishops admitted to honorary seats in the House shall be conducted to the seats assigned to them by the Bishops who introduce them, and except when the House is in Executive Session or when meeting as a Council of Bishops, shall at all times be entitled to be present. AT SUCH A CALL THE SECRETARY SHALL ASK THE GUESTS TO LEAVE THE HOUSE.

Sec. XXVI. At each General Convention THE PRESIDING BISHOP WITH THE CONSENT OF THE HOUSE shall APPOINT a Committee of three Bishops who shall prepare a Pastoral Letter to be presented to the House at the General Convention following their appointment.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania moved that this section be amended as follows:

The Committee on the Bishops' Pastoral SHALL BE a Standing Committee of the House composed of men eminently qualified for the task, and empowered to enlist additional assistance, with the consent of the Presiding Bishop, as may seem wise. The Committee shall make a report at each Session of the House.

The motion was carried.

THE PRESIDING BISHOP

This would be a whole new division.

I. All nominations made for the election of a Presiding Bishop shall be made only in Executive Session, and the names of the nominees shall not be made known to the public. Only the name of the Presiding Bishop-Elect shall be made known to the public and only after the election has been confirmed by the House of Deputies.

The Bishop of Georgia moved that this section be amended as follows:

All nominations for the election of a Presiding Bishop shall be made only in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

The motion was carried.

II. The Nominating Committee, as provided by Canon 2, Sec. 1, shall present to the House not less than three names of its members.

The Bishop of Georgia moved that this section be amended as follows:
That "names" be omitted and the word "members" be inserted in its place.

The motion was carried.

III. Opportunity for nominations from the floor shall be given but without the calling of the roll.

IV. The House of Bishops shall remain within the confines of the church where the election has been held, until word has been received of the action by the House of Deputies.

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that this section be amended as follows:

By substituting the word SHOULD for SHALL.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Eau Claire moved that Section XI on the Committee of the Whole of the General Rules be amended to read as follows:

On motion duly put and carried the House may resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole, at which only members of the House shall be present and no records made of its action.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Eau Claire moved that Section XII on the Executive Session of the General Rules be amended as follows:

On motion duly put and carried the House may go into Executive Session at which only members of the House shall be present. The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business shall act as clerk and make a record of all motions adopted.

The motion was carried.

MISSIONARY BISHOPS

Page 8, Sec. II, Sub. sec. 1. The Committee on Domestic Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in Domestic Missionary Districts; and the Committee on (Foreign) OVERSEAS Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in (Foreign) OVERSEAS Districts; and (may) SHOULD make NOT LESS THAN THREE nominations for such vacancies.

Sub. sec. 4. The Bishops making nominations, and others having knowledge of the persons nominated, shall give to the Committee on Domestic Missions or the Committee on Overseas Missions, as the case may be, full information regarding the nominees, and such Committee having secured further information as may be possible, shall report to the House in Executive Session. Such further information concerning the intellectual, moral,
and physical qualifications of the persons nominated, with dates of birth, graduation, and specific statements as to theological attainment, proficiency in languages (ancient and modern) and as to any specialty in sacred duties to which he may have devoted himself. Questions may be asked and other information given by the Bishops, (but no nominating speeches shall be made).

Sub. sec. 5. All nominations for vacant Missionary Districts and for the Presiding Bishop shall be made only in Executive Session, and the names of nominees shall not be made known to the public until after the elections have been confirmed by the House of Deputies.

The Bishop of Georgia moved that Sub. Sec. 5 be amended to read as follows:

All nominations for vacant Missionary Districts shall be made in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

The motion was carried.

Sub. sec. 6. (The election shall, if possible, be held in the Church following a celebration of the Holy Communion, and if possible, completed before other business is taken up.)

Sub. sec. 7. (Prior to the balloting for the election of a Missionary Bishop the Secretary of the House shall prepare a list of Bishops present and entitled to vote, in the order of their consecration. At the time of the first ballot taken at such election, each Bishop depositing his ballot shall announce his name to the Secretary, or Assistant Secretary, who shall check such name on the prepared list of Bishops.)

STANDING ORDERS

Page 10, Sec. 8. (It is the judgment of the House of Bishops that the Foreign Missionary Bishops of the Church should attend the session of the General Convention.)

STANDING RESOLUTIONS

Page 10, Sec. 1. (Resolved, That Bishops of the Church (other than those specified in Article 1, Sec. 2, of the Constitution), who have resigned their jurisdiction with the consent of the House of Bishops, are welcome to seats, without vote, at all sessions and meetings of the House of Bishops.)

Resolved, that the Standing Committee on the Resignation of Bishops be requested to prepare a resolution taking note of the service of each Bishop whose resignation is being accepted, such resolution to be presented to the House of Bishops along with the recommendation on the resignation, where a resignation is accepted between meetings of the House. Such resolution shall be presented at the next meeting.
RESOLVED, THAT THE PRESIDING BISHOP BE REQUESTED TO APPOINT ON EACH OCCASION A COMMITTEE OF THREE OR MORE BISHOPS TO PREPARE, ON BEHALF OF THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS, AND SEND TO THE FAMILY OF EACH BISHOP WHO DIES, A MEMORIAL MESSAGE, SUCH COMMITTEE TO REPRESENT THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS AT THE FUNERAL WHERE IT IS PRACTICAL FOR THEM TO ATTEND.

(These two resolutions adopted at General Convention, 1958)

OFFICES OF DEVOTION

I.
1. A Lesson.
2. Salutation.
3. Versicles: O Lord, show they mercy upon us, etc.
4. Litany for Ordinations (omitting the special supplications for ordinands).
5. The Grace.

II.
1. A Lesson.
2. The Creed.
4. Collects (as now printed—except to make the last one for the Holy Catholic Church conform to the text of the Prayer Book).
5. The Grace.

III.

At the Election of a Bishop.
1. Lesson: Exodus 3:1-15
   Isaiah 6:1-8
   Jeremiah 1:1-10
   St. Luke 6:12-23
   Acts 20:17-36
   Rev. 7:9-17
   (Any one of these lessons, and allow the reader to use whatever Bible translation he wishes).
2. Salutation and Lord’s Prayer.
4. Veni, Creator Spiritus.
5. The Grace.
6. Holy Communion (print the propers at this place).

IV.

At Confirmation of an Election.
2. Collect(s) and Grace (as at present).
The Bishop of Alabama amended Section 3 by adding after the words "Bible translation he wishes" UNDER CANON 20. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of California amended the Acts of Devotion as presented by requesting that the Service at the election of a Bishop be as it is actually ordered in our present Service. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that the title of the Offices of Devotion II, on page 13, read as follows:

A Form to be used before balloting for ONE to be chosen for a Missionary Bishopric.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Eau Claire reporting for the Committee of the House of Bishops on the Rules of Order moved the adoption of the report of the Committee, with the amendments as herein noted. The motion was carried.

The Chair called to the attention of the House General Rule XXV and asked that each Province take action accordingly.

The Bishop of South Florida presented the following motion, which was carried:

Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop appoint a committee to draw up a suitable resolution to be presented to the General Convention requesting the appointment of a Joint Committee to study the theological foundation of the Church's Ministry to the Sick and Her Healing Ministry. The membership of such committee to be composed of men skilled in medicine, psychology, psychiatry, theology, and clergy experienced in the Healing Ministry.

The Chair appointed:

The Bishop of West Virginia, Chairman.
The Bishop of Georgia.
The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg.

The Bishop of Connecticut presented the following motion, which was carried:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops requests the National Council to arrange that at least once each year a delegation consisting of one Bishop from each Province visit mission fields of the Church selected by the Na-
tional Council, such Bishops to report to the Dioceses of the Provinces on their observations; the expenses of the visits to the mission fields to be defrayed by the National Council.

The Right Rev. Walter Mitchell presented the following resolution, which was carried:

WHEREAS, A typhoon recently struck Japan causing damage second only to that done by the earthquake and fire of some years ago, hundreds having been killed and a million people having lost all of their possessions; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops hereby tenders to the people and the Church in Japan our deepest sympathy and urge our own people to contribute generously to the relief of all who have suffered loss by this typhoon and especially to the restoration of the work of our own Church.

The Bishop of West Virginia presented the following resolution, which was carried:

WHEREAS, The Episcopal Church Foundation, in cooperation with the National Council, has initiated a program whereby several dioceses, on an experimental basis, received expert leadership and competent training in developing a diocesan-centered ministry of stewardship; and

WHEREAS, The results of this program in these several dioceses indicate that this field is surely an area where the National Council can provide invaluable leadership training and be of great benefit to the whole Church; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops assembled at its 1959 meeting in Cooperstown, New York, commends the Episcopal Church Foundation and the National Council for pioneering in this program of stewardship; and be it further

Resolved, That the House of Bishops expresses its hope that the National Council will continue and expand this program and make it available to all Dioceses and Districts.

The Bishop of Bethlehem reported to the House on the progress being made for the relocation of our National Church Headquarters, stating that its final decision was that a new building should be obtained in New York City. No site, as yet, had been chosen.

The House adjourned at 12:20 p.m.

The House reconvened at 1:45 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

The Bishop of New Hampshire offered the following resolution, which was adopted.

That smoking be permitted at all meetings of the House of Bishops, with the exception of those sessions in General Convention where the Executive Session clause will apply.
The Bishop Coadjutor of Olympia reported for the House of Bishops Committee on Theological Education. He presented a questionnaire which he asked members of the House to complete and return to him so that the Committee could be advised of the direction by which they were to proceed in their work.

The Bishop of Olympia spoke to the questionnaire. He moved that the House of Bishops Committee on Theological Education be continued and that additional members be added when necessary. The motion was carried.

The Chair added the Rt. Rev. Donald J. Campbell to this Committee.

A motion by the Suffragan Bishop of Colorado to reconsider this resolution was lost.

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago moved that this questionnaire of the Committee on Theological Education be accepted for further study and consideration. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Alabama, reporting for the Committee on Constitution moved the following resolution, which was adopted:


   A. Recognizing that the resignation of Bishop Lloyd Rutherford Craighill was made under the circumstances of political duress in China, the Committee unanimously recommends that reconsideration be given to the action of the House of Bishops, at its meeting in San Francisco in 1949, in giving a seat but not a vote to Bishop Craighill; and, further, that this House affirm that the action taken at that time be rescinded.

   B. Recognizing also that the resignation of Bishop William Payne Roberts to the House of Bishops at its meeting in Boston in 1952, was made under similar circumstances, and although no action was taken concerning said resignation other than its acceptance, the Committee, nevertheless, unanimously recommends that this House record its recognition of the right of Bishop William Payne Roberts to a seat and vote in the House of Bishops.

The Bishop of Alabama, reporting for the Committee on Constitution presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

2. Re: The Bishop of Olympia.

   In the opinion of this Committee, the recently created post of Executive Officer of the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy of the Anglican Communion comes within the intent of Article I, Sec. 2, of the Constitution. The Committee, therefore, unanimously recommends that, if the resignation of the Bishop of Olympia from his present jurisdiction is accepted, it be recognized that he retains his seat and vote in the House of Bishops.
The Bishop of Alabama, reporting for the Committee on Constitution presented the following resolution:


It is the unanimous opinion of the Committee that the resignation of the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles to the House of Bishops, for the reasons stated by him, does not come within the wording or the intent of Article I, Sec. 2, of the Constitution, and that, therefore, if his resignation is accepted, he would have neither seat nor vote in the House of Bishops.

The Bishop of Connecticut presented the following resolution:

Whereas, The Committee on Constitution of this House has unanimously reported that, because of the reasons given by the Rt. Rev. Donald James Campbell, D.D., for his resignation as Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, he is not entitled under the Constitution to a seat or a vote in the House of Bishops; and

Whereas, It is clear that this is in accord with the Constitution; therefore be it

Resolved, That this House invites the Rt. Rev. Donald James Campbell, D.D., to have a seat and a voice in this House, without vote.

Adopted by the House.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Special Order of the Day.

The Bishop of Western New York introduced His Eminence, Archbishop Iakovos, Archbishop of the Greek Orthodox Church of North and South America, who addressed the House on the subject “The Role of the Ecumenical Patriarchate of the Holy Orthodox Church for Church Unity Throughout the World.”

The Presiding Bishop thanked Archbishop Iakovos for his interest and willingness in participating in the fellowship of the House of Bishops.

The Bishop of Central New York, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, presented the following report:

With regard to three requests from the House of Bishops of the Second Province, the Committee on Memorials and Petitions begs to report:

1. That they feel incompetent to deal with the canonical problems involved in the interpretation of the term “godly admonition,” including as it does the use of such technical terms as “inhibition,” “suspension,” and “deposition,” and suggest that the matter be referred to a more competent canonical authority, such as the Committee on Canons of this House, to be reported back at the next meeting of this House.

The motion was carried.
2. That the inquiry into the office and function of the Order of Deacons is worthy of careful investigation, (a) because the function of a Deacon as described in the Ordination Service has become largely irrelevant in the contemporary scene; (b) because the Deacon under our present plan, which keeps him under the direction of the Bishop for not more than a year, often does not receive the oversight and supervised training that a newly ordained man should have; (c) because the celebration of the Holy Communion, which a newly ordained man can readily learn to do, is the one function which he is not permitted to perform. We therefore recommend that this problem be referred to the Committee of the House of Bishops on Theological Education, with the request that it submit this matter to careful study and report its findings to the next meeting of the House of Bishops.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Sacramento, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, recommended that no action be required for the following resolution:

The Bishops of the Second Province recommend to the House of Bishops that a committee be appointed to study the question of the translation of Bishops, together with problems relating to the separation of a Bishop from the jurisdiction or position for which he was consecrated, and that this committee be requested to report on this question at the next General Convention.

The Bishop of Idaho presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That in the interpretation of Canon 63, Sec. 2, any Minister whose name has been placed upon the list of the Secretary of the House of Bishops shall be restored to canonical residence in his former Diocese or District, upon his own written request to the Secretary of the House of Bishops.

On motion of the Bishop of Maine, the House referred the foregoing resolution to the Committee on Canons for a report back to the next meeting of the House.

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago reported to the House on the recent Cincinnati Conference on the Urban Church.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina reported to the House on the work of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol.

The Bishop of Texas reported to the House on the summer service projects of the Church.

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island reported to the House on the work of the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts. He reminded the House that the Commission would welcome suggestions from Bishops on ways by which it could best serve the Church's needs.
The Suffragan Bishop of Dallas reported that the dates for the 1960 Interim Meeting of the House of Bishops would be November 12-17, 1960, and that the House would be guests of the Diocese of Dallas.

The Right Rev. Walter Mitchell offered suggested topics for discussion at the Dallas Meeting.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that because of the meeting of the Anglican Congress in the year 1963, the Interim Meeting of the House of Bishops in that year be omitted.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Central New York presented the following report as Chairman of the Committee on the Nuclear Reactor:

I have been in touch with nearly all of the Bishops present at this meeting of the House and have received from them firm pledges in the sum of $275,678, as nearly as I can figure it.

Many Bishops who have not yet made pledges have promised to bring the matter of making a firm pledge before their Diocesan Executive Councils, which are to meet within a month, and to write me the result of their deliberations. Certain other Bishops who have already made pledges have promised to seek further funds when they get home.

I feel that it is reasonable to expect, if every Bishop will do his utmost to press the appeal, that cash and firm pledges could be in hand by the first of December for a total of at least $300,000. While immediate cash gifts are hoped for, pledges will be acceptable which are payable at any time during 1960.

The Bishop of Long Island, reporting for the Committee on Appreciation, offered the following resolutions, which were adopted:

Entrusted with the distinct privilege of acknowledging the manifold and gracious hospitality afforded the House of Bishops by the Bishop of Albany and his Suffragan, by their people and especially the Rector of the Parish of Christ Church, we find ourselves embarrassed because they have been so many. Surely no place within the sway of our Communion could be more lovely physically, nor more challenging in an historical interest, than this theater of our present interim meeting. Perhaps to many this locality is associated with war drums along the Mohawk. In the Sessions of our House, it has been strangely peaceful. Sessions that, to some of us at least, caused us to feel for the first time that if we were not kin, we were very much more than kith. So far as we can recall, no war drums were beaten, no words uttered that had need to be recalled. These are not the impressions of our Committee of three. In one way or another, everyone seems to be saying, "Cooperstown, thy very name is Courtesy."

While it would be invidious to single out individuals in their graciousness and good will, we wish to record our profound gratitude to our Presiding
Bishop for the many graces as evidenced in his first presiding over this House since his election. His parliamentary gifts were at once apparent to all, but he injected a deep note of spirituality into our counsels and prayers. To his wisdom, he added delightful flashes of wit.

To the Central Committee, which Bishop Barry appointed with the guidance of his knowledge of his people—to name some who had special commitments, we mention the Rev. George F. French and his wife, the Vestrymen, Parish and people of Christ Church, Mr. and Mrs. Stephen C. Clark, Sr., Mr. and Mrs. Stephen C. Clark, Jr., (who so beautifully and graciously entertained at Mohican Lodge), Mrs. Webster Tilton, Mrs. James M. Symington—we express to them all our grateful appreciation.

The House of Bishops expresses to Mr. John S. Packard and all of his working staff our grateful appreciation for the hospitality extended to us by the Otesaga Hotel and asks him to extend to his staff what a comfortable and happy time we have spent here during this Meeting of the House of Bishops.

After the Benediction of the Presiding Bishop, the House adjourned sine die.

Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop.

Alexander M. Rodger, Secretary.
Pursuant to the call of the Presiding Bishop and by resolution of this House at the General Convention of 1958, the House of Bishops met in Special Session in The Great Hall of St. Matthew's Cathedral, Dallas, Texas, on Saturday afternoon, November 12, 1960.

The Presiding Bishop took the Chair.

The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop De Witt) read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the Devotions of the House.

The Secretary read the call for the Special Meeting:

Dear Bishop:

This is notification that the House of Bishops is called to meet in The Great Hall of St. Matthew's Cathedral, Dallas, Texas, on Saturday through Thursday, November 12-17, 1960. The first session of the House will convene on Saturday afternoon at 4:00 o'clock. It is hoped that adjournment will be possible following luncheon on Thursday.

The agenda will include the following:

1. A Quiet Day on Sunday between the hours of 10:00 a.m.—12:00 noon, and 2:00—4:00 p.m. for Bishops and their wives. The leader will be the Rt. Rev. Robert W. Stopford, C.B.E., D.D., D.C.L., Bishop of Peterborough. The Quiet Day will be held in St. Mark's Chapel.

2. A report by the House of Bishops' Committee on Theological Education.

3. A report by the Bishop of Olympia, which will be followed by a discussion on the work of the United Thank Offering.

4. The preliminary consideration of a resolution from the Philippine Independent Church.

5. A report on the subject of "Society and Alcohol" by members of the
Joint Commission to Study the Problems of Alcoholism. A full day will be given to this report and study.

6. Other such business as may come before the House.

The roll was called and it was found that 109 Bishops, being more than a quorum, were present.

The Chair announced with regret that the following members of the House had indicated their inability to be present: the Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill, the Bishop of Rhode Island, the Bishop of Ohio, the Bishop of Eau Claire, the Bishop of Nebraska, Bishop Peabody, Bishop Littell, Bishop Mason, Bishop Clingman, Bishop Nichols, Bishop Melcher, Bishop Gravatt, Bishop Porter, Bishop Aldrich, Bishop Washburn, the Bishop of Alaska, the Bishop of New Hampshire, the Bishop of Spokane, the Bishop of Tennessee, the Bishop of Haiti, the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop Crowley), and the Suffragan Bishop of Pittsburgh.

On motion, the greetings of the House were sent to the above mentioned members.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Virginia as temporary Vice Chairman of the House for this Meeting.

The Secretary read the names of those bishops who had passed away since the last meeting of the House of Bishops, to wit:


The House stood while the Presiding Bishop offered a prayer for the above mentioned members.
The Secretary read memorials, which were sent to families of members of this House who had departed this life since its last Meeting, prepared by Committees appointed by the Presiding Bishop as directed by the House.

To the Rt. Rev. Edwin Anderson Penick, D.D., Bishop of North Carolina, by a committee composed of the Bishop of North Carolina, the Bishop of Alabama, the Bishop of South Carolina.

To the Rt. Rev. Raymond Adams Heron, D.D., Retired Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts, by a Committee composed of Bishop Nash, Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence, Bishop Peabody.

To the Rt. Rev. John Durham Wing, D.D., Retired Bishop of South Florida, by a Committee composed of Bishop Sturtevant, the Bishop of Florida, the Bishop of South Florida.

To the Rt. Rev. Robert Franklin Wilner, D.D., Retired Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, by a Committee composed of Bishop Binsted, the First Vice President of the National Council, the Bishop of Bethlehem.

To the Rt. Rev. Thomas Neely Carruthers, D.D., Bishop of South Carolina, by a Committee composed of Bishop Juhan, the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, the Bishop of North Carolina.

To the Rt. Rev. Douglass Henry Atwill, D.D., Retired Bishop of North Dakota, by a Committee composed of the Bishop of North Dakota, the Bishop of South Dakota, the Bishop of Minnesota.


To the Rt. Rev. Benjamin Tibbetts Kemerer, D.D., Retired Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota, by a Committee composed of the Bishop of Northern Michigan, Bishop Sturtevant, the Bishop of Eau Claire.

To the Rt. Rev. Frederick Lehrle Barry, D.D., Bishop of Albany, by a Committee composed of the Bishop of Long Island, the Bishop of New York, Bishop Oldham.

The Bishops recently consecrated and not yet presented, were now presented to the House, to wit:

The Bishop of Central Brazil, the Rt. Rev. Edmund Knox Sherrill, presented by the Bishop of Southern Brazil and the Bishop of Southwestern Brazil.

The Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, the Rt. Rev. Benito Cabanban Cabanban, D.D., presented by the Bishop of the Philippines and the Bishop of Honolulu.


The Bishop of Nevada, the Rt. Rev. William Godsell Wright, D.D., presented by the Bishop of New Mexico and Southwest Texas and the Bishop of South Dakota.
The Bishop of Western Michigan, the Rt. Rev. Charles Ellsworth Bennison, D.D., presented by the Bishop of Eau Claire and Bishop Randall.


The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, the Rt. Rev. Thomas Augustus Fraser, Jr., D.D., presented by the Bishop of Long Island and Bishop Gribbin.

The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, the Rt. Rev. Robert Lionel De Witt, presented by the Bishop of Michigan.

The Secretary presented the resignations of the following members of the House, to wit:

The Rt. Rev. Daniel Corrigan, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Colorado, under Canon 41, Sec. 6 (e), effective June 1, 1960.

The Rt. Rev. Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., Bishop of Virginia, under Canon 43, Sec. 7 (a), effective December 31, 1960.

The Rt. Rev. James Parker Clements, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Texas, under Canons 41, Sec. 6 (e) and 43, Sec. 8 (a), effective December 31, 1960.

Notification was given the Secretary by the Presiding Bishop that a majority of consents had been received from the House of Bishops to the resignation of the Suffragan Bishop of Colorado, that he might be able to accept the appointment of Director of the Home Department of the National Council, with instructions that this resignation be entered on the Roll of the House of Bishops.

On motion the following resignations were referred to the Committee on the Resignation of Bishops:

The Rt. Rev. Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., Bishop of Virginia, under Canon 43, Sec. 7 (a), effective December 31, 1960.

The Rt. Rev. James Parker Clements, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Texas, under Canons 41, Sec. 6 (e) and 43, Sec. 8 (a), effective December 31, 1960.

The Chair presented the Rt. Rev. Robert W. Stopford, C.B.E., D.D., D.C.L., Bishop of Peterborough, to the members of the House and announced that Bishop Stopford would be the leader of the Quiet Day for bishops and their wives at St. Mark's Chapel,
Dallas, on Sunday, between the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 m. and 2:00 to 4:00 p.m.

The Chair called upon the Bishop of Dallas, Host to the Meeting, who brought greetings to the House from the Diocese and the Governor. He introduced the Dean of St. Matthew’s Cathedral, the Very Rev. Frank L. Carruthers, who welcomed members of the House to the Cathedral.

The House offered its congratulations to the Bishop of Connecticut upon the 20th anniversary of his consecration.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:25 p.m.

__________

DALLAS, TEXAS.

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 1960.

The House of Bishops met at 8:00 a.m. for a Celebration of the Holy Communion in St. Mark’s Chapel, Dallas.

Acting in accordance with the resolution adopted at the 1958 General Convention, a Quiet Day was observed from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The Rt. Rev. Robert W. Stopford, C.B.E., D.D., D.C.L., Bishop of Peterborough, was the leader.

The wives of the bishops were invited to participate in the Quiet Day.

__________

DALLAS, TEXAS.

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 1960.

The House met at 9:30 a.m., after devotions, the Bishop Co-adjutor of North Carolina reading a lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session, which were accepted. Thirteen additional members notified the Secretary of their presence in the House.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Secretary presented the following Report of the Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with the Treasurer of the General Convention:

...
The House of Bishops, meeting in 1958 at Miami Beach, adopted a resolution (p. 46, Convention Journal) requesting this Committee "to make a study of the work of the Church Historical Society; the preservation and safekeeping of Church records; the cataloging, shelving and staffing of the Society, and report to the next Convention on this matter."

The Committee has considered this request. While such a study might be profitable, the Committee is unanimous in the opinion that the request is quite unrelated to the purpose for which this Committee was established, as well as entirely impracticable for it to make the study.

It therefore begs to inform the House of Bishops that it respectfully denies the request that it make such a study.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that the Committee be discharged.

The motion was carried.

The Secretary read a communication from Mr. Herbert J. Mainwaring of the Diocese of Massachusetts on "The Tamworth Associated Churches" of Tamworth, N.H. The Chair referred this letter to the Committee of Nine.

On motion, the House sent its greetings to the Bishop of Easton, who had been taken ill after his arrival in Dallas, and then hospitalized at the Methodist Hospital, Dallas.

The Bishop of Olympia spoke to the work of the United Thank Offering, comparing its growth from just over $2,000.00 in the year 1889 to its 1958 total of over $3,800,000.00. He particularly emphasized the work that this Offering is doing through pensions for women workers, support of the General Convention Budget, to the Church's program, the special projects represented in the Church and the special grants and scholarships given to women both at home and overseas. He stated that only one-seventh of all requests received could be filled, and requested, on behalf of the officers of the United Thank Offering, that the Missionary Bishops indicate special future needs and also that Diocesan Bishops send requests for special emergency grants.

The Bishop of Olympia was thanked for his presentation and answered questions from the floor. Several members spoke to various aspects about his report, the consensus of opinion being that it would be advantageous to the Church for as much of the United Thank Offering as possible to be dispensed as soon after the Triennial Meeting as feasible.

The Bishop of Salina spoke on the advantages involved in a change of name for his Missionary District, presenting a resolu-
tion that the name, the Missionary District of Salina, be changed to the Missionary District of Western Kansas.

The resolution was adopted.

Bishop Robert Erskine Campbell presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The 176th anniversary of the consecration in Aberdeen of the first Bishop for the United States, the Rt. Rev. Dr. Samuel Seabury, falls during the meeting in Dallas, Texas, of the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops sends cordial, grateful greetings to the Primus and Bishops of the Scottish Episcopal Church, with the prayer for God's continued blessing upon them.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania reported for the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence reported on the Clergy Information Service of the First Province. A discussion on the subject followed and Bishop Lawrence was warmly thanked for his presentation.

The Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution for the bishops of the Fourth Province:

WHEREAS, Since ancient times in the Primitive Church, it has been the custom of the faithful to give our alms and offerings for relief of the poor and those in distress at celebrations of the Eucharist on the Lord's Day; and

WHEREAS, Canon 45, Of Ministers and their Duties, Sec. 2 (e), concerning alms and contributions, is evidently designed to maintain the laudable custom; but

WHEREAS, Said Sec. 2 (e) of Canon 45 apparently was adopted when the general custom in the Church regarding Sunday Services was to have one Morning Service with the celebration of the Holy Communion on the first Sunday of the month and Morning Prayer on the succeeding Sundays; and

WHEREAS, The widespread custom in our day is to have an early celebration of the Holy Communion each Sunday, followed in a growing number of congregations by a family service, usually the Eucharist, and by the traditional 11 o'clock service; and

WHEREAS, The present situation makes it difficult to interpret said Sec. 2 (e) to our clergy and vestries, and to apply its provisions thoroughly in regard both to the support of the budget of the Church and of the ministers' discretionary fund for the relief of the poor and those in need, thus frequently causing unnecessary tensions between minister and vestry or vestry committee; therefore be it
Resolved, That the House of Bishops requests its Committee on Canons to prepare a suitable revision of this said Sec. 2 (e) of Canon 45 to make it applicable to our present customs in regard to Sunday services; and be it further

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons introduce said revision in amendment of Sec. 2 (e), of Canon 45 for consideration by the next General Convention.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution for the bishops of the Fourth Province:

WHEREAS, The ecclesiastical authorities of certain metropolitan dioceses seem unable or unwilling to abide by the provisions of Canon 45, Of Ministers and Their Duties, Sec. 5 (a) and (d), concerning Letters Dimissory, and hence, refuse to accept Letters Dimissory from ministers called to a cure in a parish or congregation unless said minister be called to be rector of a parish, or unless said minister remain in the cure more than twelve months; and

WHEREAS, Denial of the authority of the Canon Law can only lead to lawlessness in the Church; and

WHEREAS, Such arbitrary local rules place an undue hardship on smaller dioceses and since all of us are assessed our share of the support of the budget of the General Convention on the basis of clergy canonically resident; therefore be it

Resolved, That this House recommends that at the next meeting of the General Convention assessments for support of its budget be based on the number of clergy actively at work in each diocese and missionary district as of January 1st of the year in which the General Convention is held, omitting canonically resident clergy serving in other dioceses, retired clergy, and those not engaged in full-time Church work; and be it further

Resolved, That this House requests its Committee on Canons to study said Canon 45, Sec. 5 (a) and (d); and prepare suggested revisions and amendments by which all of us can abide, and introduce the aforesaid revisions and amendments to the next General Convention for action thereupon.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution on behalf of the Armed Forces Division of the National Council:

WHEREAS, Since World War II a large number of the clergy of our Church, a larger number than those serving in many of our dioceses, have served and are now serving as chaplains to the Armed Forces of the United States and United States Veterans Administration; and

WHEREAS, Present world conditions seem to indicate a large military establishment of United States in the foreseeable future; and

WHEREAS, Such a ministry to the Armed Forces and Veterans Administration has no constitutional nor canonical standing in our Church, save for the one negative reference in Canon 48, Of Deacons, Sec. 2 (a), which states
that a deacon shall not be permitted to accept a chaplaincy in the Armed Forces of the United States, and

WHEREAS, This important pastoral and missionary ministry should be recognized and regularized by canon; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons be requested to prepare suitable revisions to Canon 45, Of Ministers and Their Duties, in order to grant ministers of this church serving as chaplains in the Armed Forces or the Veterans Administration, canonical standing in the Church, and in order to regulate their ecclesiastical relationships; and be it further

Resolved, That said Committee be instructed to use as a guide the following suggested changes in Canon 45, herewith tentatively approved by this House; the subsequent sections to be numbered accordingly: Canon 45, Sec. 7 (a)

Any priest of this church that desires to serve as a chaplain in the Armed Forces of the United States of America or as chaplain for the Veterans Administration, with the approval of the ecclesiastical authority of the diocese in which he is canonically resident, may be given ecclesiastical endorsement for such service by the Armed Forces Division of the National Council of the Church.

Sec. 7 (b)

Such ministers serving on active duty with the Armed Forces shall retain canonical residence in a diocese of this Church, and shall be under the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the bishop of such diocese, even though his work as a chaplain shall be under the general supervision of the Armed Forces Division, or such bishop of the Church as the Presiding Bishop may designate.

Sec. 7 (c)

Any chaplain serving on a military installation or at a Veterans Administration facility shall not be subject to either Sec. 4 (a), nor Sec. 6, of this Canon. When serving off of a military installation or outside the Veterans Administration facility such chaplains will be subject to said section.

The resolution was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Bishop Craighill reported on the work of the American Bible Society, requesting the continued interest and support of the Church for its ever expanding work.

The Bishop of Chicago presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, A priest of the Protestant Episcopal Church has been recently elected to be Bishop of Damaraland in the Province of South Africa; and

WHEREAS, This is significant of the stronger corporate life of the whole Anglican Communion; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops assembled in Dallas, Texas, sends greetings to the Church in South Africa and bids God's blessing upon her native son, the Rev. Robert H. Mize, Jr., on the occasion of his consecration as a Bishop in the Church of God.

The resolution was adopted.
The Chair appointed the following Committee on Appreciation:

The Bishop of Puerto Rico.
The Bishop of Oklahoma.
The Bishop of Idaho.

The House stood for Noonday Prayers, conducted by the Presiding Bishop.

On behalf of some of the members of the House, Mr. Victor Lallier of the Texas Society of History and Fine Arts, Inc., Dallas, Texas, unveiled a life-sized oil portrait of the Presiding Bishop which was presented to him.

The House recessed at 12:25 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

Mr. Howard T. Tellepsen, member of the National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church and member of the National Council of Boy Scouts of America, presented, through the Presiding Bishop, to the National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church a plaque of appreciation in recognition of the leadership and wonderful cooperation given to the Boy Scout movement since its inception in 1910.

The Chair appointed the following two members to the Committee of Nine:

The Bishop of Fond du Lac.
The Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama.

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago reported for the Committee on the Resignation of Bishops. The Committee moved that the following resignations be accepted:

The Rt. Rev. Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., Bishop of Virginia, under Canon 43, Sec. 7 (a).

The Rt. Rev. James Parker Clements, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Texas, under Canons 41, Sec. 6 (e) and 43, Sec. 8 (a).

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Bethlehem reported for the Committee for Securing New Housing for the Offices of the National Council. The present schedule calls for relocation of tenants by the summer of 1961, the breaking of ground by the fall of 1961 and expected occupancy by 1963. The report was accepted with gratitude to the Bishop of Bethlehem and his Committee for their work on this project over a two-year period.
The Chair announced that there will be a Committee to Receive Gifts and Memorials to be applied toward the construction of the new building.

The Bishop of Central New York presented the following Report for the Committee on the Nuclear Reactor:

The Committee authorized by General Convention at Miami Beach in the autumn of 1958 was appointed near the end of March 1959 and went right to work on the assignment of raising $360,000.00 to pay for our share of the Nuclear Reactor at St. Paul's University, Tokyo. The Committee met several times. It devised publicity material and mounted a solicitation for the required funds. The Chairman later followed up the first request by personal conferences with the Bishops at the meeting of the House of Bishops in Cooperstown in November, 1959, at which time the record of the Diocesan pledges for a total of $294,646.32 were received. Pledges and payments are submitted herewith together with the financial summary as of October 31, 1960 which appears below:

**Receipts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Pledges</td>
<td>$259,258.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Non-Pledges</td>
<td>26,629.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash Received</strong></td>
<td><strong>$285,887.64</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Payments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Receipts</td>
<td>$285,887.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minus Expenses</td>
<td>- 9,598.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>276,289.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minus payments 1 and 2 on Reactor</td>
<td>- 144,534.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Balance, November 1</td>
<td>131,755.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash still needed for payments 3 and 4</td>
<td>144,534.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash still needed</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,778.72</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Personal letters from the Chairman have gone out to all of the Dioceses still owing major sums. From this source we are hoping to receive at least $25,000.00, which should enable us to meet the total cost of the Nuclear Reactor which still amounts to only $289,070.00, a reduction of some $61,000.00 from the sum total that was originally believed to be required. This reduction in total costs has occurred through an unexpected contribution of the Trustees of St. Luke's Hospital which also benefits from the Reactor. St. Paul's University will be required to pay $60,000.00 from its own funds for installation costs.

The project which was introduced to General Convention by the Rev. Charles S. Martin of Washington and seconded by the Rev. William G. Pollard, Oak Ridge, Tenn., found warm support at the hands of the General Convention. My impression is, however, that the initiators led many of the delegates to believe that considerable funds for the project could be expected from Foundations and that the actual moneys required from the Dioceses would be small. This was an error which handicapped many Bishops in appealing for funds to their people. Further difficulty arose from a misapprehension concerning the possible use of nuclear materials for military purposes. No such possibility, of course, exists by reason of the very
nature of the products of the reactor, which can be used only in the pro-
motion of peaceful activities.

In reality the project is a very noble one, with untold possibilities for
good. The fact that such a relatively small sum of money has been difficult
to raise should not be taken as a sign of the Church’s reluctance to respond
to a stirring opportunity, but rather to the handicaps I have described in
communicating the appeal to the Church throughout the country.

The Committee offers this as its final report and asks to be discharged.

On motion, the Committee was discharged.

The Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion, the Rt. Rev.
Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., spoke to the House on the work entailed
in his new position and answered questions from the floor.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia spoke as Chairman of the
Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity on preliminary dis-
cussions being conducted by the Church with possibility of union
between the Protestant Episcopal Church and the Philippine In-
dependent Church. The Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia called
upon the Bishop of the Philippines, the Bishop of Honolulu, the
Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines and Bishop Whittemore to
speak to this issue. A question was raised about the problem of
parallel Episcopates under Canons 40, Sec. 1 and 44, Sec. 2. The
matter was referred to the Committee on Canons for consider-

Bishop Whittemore presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, In 1947 the House of Bishops of the Episcopal Church granted
the petition of the Philippine Independent Church for the consecration of
their bishops; and

WHEREAS, The relationship between the two Churches since that time
has been increasingly close as evidenced in many ways but especially by the
fact that candidates for the Ministry of the Philippine Independent Church
are trained at St. Andrew’s Theological Seminary in Quezon City; and

WHEREAS, The development and growth of the Philippine Independent
Church still have an important bearing on the future of our own Church
in the Philippines so that in the long prospect this Church may be enabled
to do as much for us as we for them; and

WHEREAS, The relationship between the two Churches makes possible
the establishment on a national scale of a strong catholic but reformed
tradition which has long been the purpose of the work of the Episcopal
Church in the Philippines; and

WHEREAS, The Philippine Independent Church has now proposed that
there should be a Concordat of full communion between the two Churches;
therefore be it

Resolved, That this House receives this proposal with deep thanksgiving in
Christ, and unanimously recommends that a Concordat be entered into;
and be it further
Resolved, That the members of our Church in the Philippines be encouraged to pursue with all earnestness and warmth the steps presently taken looking toward closer companionship between the two Churches; and be it further

Resolved, That a committee of this House be appointed by the Presiding Bishop of which the Bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines shall be the Chairman, which committee shall make a study of the present needs and opportunities of both Churches and report on a possible program of increased cooperation. Recognizing that financial problems of considerable magnitude would be involved in any major effort, the Committee is asked to analyze this aspect of the matter and to report in detail about amounts needed for specific projects if the program is to be implemented.

The Chairman is to have the power to appoint to this Committee additional members, episcopal, clerical and lay, from both Churches, as the basic study must, of necessity, be done in the Philippines. These persons would function in an advisory capacity; and be it further

Resolved, That this Committee report both orally and in printed form to this House and to the General Convention in 1961; and be it further

Resolved, That this House recommends to the National Council that the sum of $25,000.00 be set aside for the expense of this Committee.

This resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Dallas reported for the Joint Commission on Evangelism which has been meeting during the past two years.

On motion, the House adjourned at 4:50 p.m.

DALLAS, TEXAS.
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1960.

The House met at 9:15 a.m., after devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Virginia reading a lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session, which were accepted.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Chair called on the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, who presented to the House Ebbe C. Hoff, M.D., a member of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol and professor of neurological science at the Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, Va.

Dr. Hoff spoke of the temptation of people, including many
leaders in the Church to avoid the problem of alcoholism. He urged on the Church and the country a greater awareness of this problem, and then reminded the House that the Church, of all institutions, should be aware of its power to help; that we are Christ's Body, having the Holy Spirit to strengthen us and lead us on to wisdom and truth. Thus is the Church not just an auxiliary group but an integral force for good in this matter, and should provide information and education to its lay people, its young people, its clergy and its seminarians.

He concluded by urging the Church to have a non-judgmental attitude toward alcoholics and their families and to become aware of the help that it can give to the therapeutic professions.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina then presented Dean K. Brooks, M.D., a member of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol and superintendent of the State Hospital at Salem, Oregon, to the House. Dr. Brooks also spoke on the subject of alcoholism, describing it as the disease that it is, and stating that drinking is not the basic problem, but only indicative of a very complex and severe problem inherent in individuals.

Symptoms of the alcoholic were set forth as egocentricity, low tolerance for tension, dependency upon others and omnipotence (grandiosity). Dr. Brooks said that the problem is being faced by medical approaches but that the greatest resources today lie in the program of Alcoholics Anonymous.

He spoke of the pamphlet now in process of preparation by the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol and urged its widespread use by the clergy of the Church.

The Chair warmly thanked Drs. Hoff and Brooks for their meaningful presentations.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina announced study group breakups in meeting rooms, urging all members of the House to participate in the discussion of this problem for a period of one hour and thirty minutes.

The Chair announced that the House would reconvene in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m.

The House reconvened in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair. The House rose at 4:10 p.m. The House adjourned.
The House met at 10:00 a.m., after devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles reading a lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session, which were accepted.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Chair presented the Rt. Rev. Richard Ambrose Reeves, Bishop of Johannesburg, South Africa, who addressed the House on the Church in South Africa. Bishop Reeves said that the very heart of the present South African issue posed the question, does the Church believe that Jesus Christ has broken down the middle wall of partition, and stated that the more truly the Church is the Church of Jesus Christ, the more stern the condemnation of anything that is an affront to the Gospel and the more surely will it be called upon to face the opposition and hatred of the world. In a deep sense, he said, there is no color problem in this contemporary world. Everywhere in the world the race problem is a "white problem" in the sense that all over the world the white people, who have for so long been politically dominant and so powerful economically, are faced with emergent black, brown and yellow people who vastly outnumber them and who today are clamoring for a full share in the heritage and riches of the human race.

The Chair warmly thanked Bishop Reeves for his clear Christian witness and for visiting the House on this occasion.

The Bishop of Dallas reported for the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses. He said that a proposed amendment to Canon 51, which the Commission hoped would supplement the income of deaconesses in their retirement period, would be presented to the General Convention in 1961.

The Presiding Bishop appointed the following committee to carry on negotiations with the Philippine Independent Church:

The Bishop of the Philippines, Chairman.
The Bishop of Honolulu.
The Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia.

The Chair introduced the Very Rev. William S. Lea, D.D., Dean of St. John's Cathedral, Denver, Colo., and a member of the
editorial board of the church magazine, The Episcopalian, and Mr. Henry L. McCorkle, its editor.

Dean Lea spoke to the future plans of The Episcopalian, of its progress thus far, of its needs, and of the value of our seeking individual subscriptions placed in the homes of every Episcopal family. He and Mr. McCorkle answered questions from the floor and were thanked by the Chair for the excellent progress the magazine has made in quality and circulation during its short lifetime.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 11:30 a.m.

A resolution that the House approve the Pastoral Letter was adopted.

A resolution that the House thank the Episcopal Theological Seminary in Kentucky and the Diocese of Lexington for the briefcases given to its members was adopted.

The House rose at 12:50 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

The Bishop of Florida reported for the Committee on Canons. He presented the following resolution of the Bishop of Idaho from the meeting of the House of Bishops in 1959:

Resolved, That in the interpretation of Canon 63, Sec. 2, any Minister whose name has been placed upon the list of the Secretary of the House of Bishops shall be restored to canonical residence in his former Diocese or District, upon his own written request to the Secretary of the House of Bishops.

The Committee recommended the adoption of the resolution.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of West Virginia moved for reconsideration.

The motion for reconsideration was adopted.

The above resolution was not adopted.

The Bishop of Florida reported for the Committee on Canons on the following motion presented by the Bishop of South Florida for the Bishops of the Fourth Province:

Whereas, Since ancient times in the Primitive Church, it has been the custom of the faithful to give our alms and offerings for relief of the poor and those in distress at celebrations of the Eucharist on the Lord's Day; and
WHEREAS, Canon 45, Of Ministers and Their Duties, Sec. 2 (e), concerning alms and contributions, is evidently designed to maintain the laudable custom; but

WHEREAS, Said Sec. 2 (e) of Canon 45 apparently was adopted when the general custom in the Church regarding Sunday services was to have one Morning Service with the celebration of the Holy Communion on the first Sunday of the month and Morning Prayer on the succeeding Sundays; and

WHEREAS, The widespread custom in our day is to have an early celebration of the Holy Communion each Sunday, followed in a growing number of congregations by a family service, usually the Eucharist, and by the traditional 11 o'clock service; and

WHEREAS, The present situation makes it difficult to interpret said Sec. 2 (e) to our clergy and vestries, and to apply its provisions thoroughly in regard both to the support of the budget of the Church and of the ministers' discretionary fund for the relief of the poor and those in need, thus frequently causing unnecessary tensions between minister and vestry or vestry committee; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops requests its Committee on Canons to prepare a suitable revision of this said Sec. 2 (e) of Canon 45 to make it applicable to our present customs in regard to Sunday services; and be it further

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons introduce said revision in amendment of Sec. 2 (e), of Canon 45 for consideration by the next General Convention.

The Committee recommended no change in the Canon and requested that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Florida reported for the Committee on Canons on the following motion presented by the Bishop of South Florida for the Bishops of the Fourth Province:

WHEREAS, The ecclesiastical authorities of certain metropolitan dioceses seem unable or unwilling to abide by the provisions of Canon 45, Of Ministers and Their Duties, Sec. 5 (a) and (d), concerning Letters Dimissory, and hence, refuse to accept Letters Dimissory from ministers called to a cure in a parish or congregation unless said minister be called to be rector of a parish, or unless said minister remain in the cure more than twelve months; and

WHEREAS, Denial of the authority of the Canon Law can only lead to lawlessness in the Church; and

WHEREAS, Such arbitrary local rules place an undue hardship on smaller dioceses and since all of us are assessed our share of the support of the budget of the General Convention on the basis of clergy canonically resident; therefore be it

Resolved, That this House recommends that at the next meeting of the General Convention that assessments for support of its budget be based on the number of clergy actively at work in each diocese and missionary district
as of January 1st of the year in which the General Convention is held, omitting canonically resident clergy serving in other dioceses, retired clergy, and those not engaged in full-time Church work; and be it further

Resolved, That this House requests its Committee on Canons to study said Canon 45, Sec. 5 (a) and (d); and prepare suggested revisions and amendments by which all of us can abide, and introduce the aforesaid revision and amendments to the next General Convention for action thereupon.

The Committee recommended no change in the Canon and moved that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Florida reported for the Committee on Canons on the following motion presented by the Bishop of South Florida for the Armed Forces Division of the National Council:

WHEREAS, Since World War II a large number of the clergy of our Church, a larger number than those serving in many of our dioceses, have served and are now serving as chaplains to the Armed Forces of the United States and United States Veterans Administration; and

WHEREAS, Present world conditions seem to indicate a large military establishment of the United States in the foreseeable future; and

WHEREAS, Such a ministry to the Armed Forces and Veterans Administration has no constitutional nor canonical standing in our Church, save for the one negative reference in Canon 48, Of Deacons, Sec. 2 (a), which states that a deacon shall not be permitted to accept a chaplaincy in the Armed Forces of the United States; and

WHEREAS, This important pastoral and missionary ministry should be recognized and regularized by canon; therefore be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Amendments to the Canons be requested to prepare suitable revisions to Canon 45, Of Ministers and Their Duties, in order to grant ministers of this Church serving as chaplains in the Armed Forces or the Veterans Administration, canonical standing in the Church, and in order to regulate their ecclesiastical relationships; and be it further

Resolved, That said Committee be instructed to use as a guide the following suggested changes in Canon 45, herewith tentatively approved by this House; the subsequent sections to be numbered accordingly: Canon 45, Sec. 7 (a)

Any priest of this Church that desires to serve as a chaplain in the Armed Forces of the United States of America or as chaplain for the Veterans Administration, with the approval of the ecclesiastical authority of the diocese in which he is canonically resident, may be given ecclesiastical endorsement for such service by the Armed Forces Division of the National Council of the Church.

Sec. 7 (b)

Such ministers serving on active duty with the Armed Forces shall retain canonical residence in a diocese of this Church, and shall be under the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the bishop of said diocese, even though his work
as a chaplain shall be under the general supervision of the Armed Forces Division, or such bishop of the Church as the Presiding Bishop may designate.

Sec. 7 (c)

Any chaplain serving on a military installation or at a Veterans Administration facility shall not be subject to either Sec. 4 (a), nor Sec. 6, of this Canon. When serving off of a military installation or outside the Veterans Administration facility such chaplains will be subject to said section.

The Committee presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops recommends to the General Convention of this Church, that a new section be added to Canon 45 to be known as Sec. 8, and that subsequent sections be renumbered accordingly: Canon 45, Sec. 8 (a), to read as follows:

Any priest of this Church desiring to serve as a chaplain in the Armed Forces of the United States of America or as chaplain for the Veterans Administration, with the approval of the ecclesiastical authority of the diocese in which he is canonically resident, may be given ecclesiastical endorsement for such service by the Armed Forces Division of the National Council of the Church.

Sec. 8 (b)

Such ministers serving on active duty with the Armed Forces shall retain canonical residence in a diocese of this Church, and shall be under the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the bishop of said diocese, even though his work as a chaplain shall be under the general supervision of the Armed Forces Division, or such bishop of the Church as the Presiding Bishop may designate.

Sec. 8 (c)

Any such minister serving on a military installation or at a Veterans Administration facility shall not be subject to either Sec. 4 (a), nor Sec. 6, of this Canon. When serving outside of military installations or of Veterans Administration facilities, such chaplains shall be subject to said sections.

The House of Bishops recommends to the General Convention that it resolved that the words "of this Church" be added after the words "No Minister" in Canon 45, Sec. 6, so that Sec. 6 will now read: "No Minister of this Church shall officiate more than two months, by preaching, etc." ending "from the ecclesiastical authority."

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Florida reported for the Committee on Canons, as follows:

There has been referred to the Committee on Canons the question whether the proposed concordat between the Protestant Episcopal Church and the Philippine Independent Church would under the present canons, especially Canon 40, Sec. 1, and Canon 44, Sec. 2, require that we abolish the Missionary District of the Philippines.

The Committee felt that this was a matter for future consideration and requested that it be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The motion was carried.
The Chair called upon the Bishop of Indianapolis, who reported for the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention. The Bishop spoke of the desire of the Committee for a reduction of the size of the present diocesan delegations in the House of Deputies from their present number to two clerical and two lay deputies, a deputy a year to be elected from each diocese for a four-year term. He said this recommendation will be presented to the General Convention of 1961.

The Bishop of Texas presented the following report for the Special Committee of the House of Bishops on Theological Education:

The Special Committee on Theological Education, authorized by this House in Miami Beach and continued at the Cooperstown meeting, was charged with the responsibility of "considering the complex needs and problems connected with the field of theological education," including the reviewing and possible revision of Canon 30. This Committee has since come to see the problems associated with a possible revision of the Canon in a wider framework of theological education in the whole Church. Working on this premise, among its other meetings, there has been conversation between a subcommittee of this Committee and a subcommittee of the Joint Commission on Theological Education, so that this Committee of this House has some knowledge of a discussion concerning possible revision of Canon 30, now going on in the Joint Commission itself. We are sympathetic towards that which we understand to be the thinking in the Commission along this line, so that we are not now presenting a suggested revision. We are also aware of the proposal made by Bishop Warnecke to the National Council that into National Council's budget for the next General Convention should be put annual scholarship grants totaling $125,000.00 to seminarians, under certain restricted conditions, plus a total annual parallel grant of $125,000.00 to those seminaries in which these recipients are enrolled. We see no conflict here with the proposal this Committee now makes.

The Bishop of Texas presented the following resolution for the committee:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops requests the National Council to make a study of theological education in this Church, and, for this purpose

a. To appoint an Advisory Committee of Bishops, Priests and Laymen to assist in this study.

b. This study shall include:

1. The financial needs of theological education.
2. Seminaries.
3. Training schools for men and women.
4. Recruitment and screening.
5. Post-ordination training for clergy.

c. To include in the budget of National Council to be presented to the General Convention for the ensuing triennium sufficient funds for an appropriate staff and expenses of this study.
Finally, this Committee requests that the House continue it at least through the General Convention of 1961.

The motion was carried.

The Chair spoke to the essential features of the Wates-Seabury Plan, an exchange plan worked out between the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Presiding Bishop, for one-year clergy exchanges between the Church of England and the Protestant Episcopal Church. The plan has been endorsed by leaders of both Churches, and the Chair announced that special trust funds of the National Council are adequate to supply grants for two men a year from our Church.

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions. He presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That this House accepts for this Church jurisdiction in Ecuador from the Anglican Diocese in Argentina and Eastern South America, with the Falkland Islands.

The resolution was adopted.

He also stated that under consideration is the possibility of transfer of the British Virgin Islands to the jurisdiction of the American Virgin Islands, and of the possibility in the future of electing Missionary Bishops for the Virgin Islands and for Taiwan.

The Anglican Executive Officer spoke to the study under way for the North India and Ceylon plan (plan of church union).

The Bishop of California recommended that the book entitled Ceylon-North India-Pakistan, a study in ecumenical decision, be placed by the bishops in the hands of deputies to the 1961 General Convention, so that they be more fully informed about this plan.

The Bishop of Michigan spoke of plans in progress for the forthcoming General Convention of 1961 in Detroit.

The Bishop of West Virginia spoke on the work of the Brotherhood of St. Andrew. He urged awareness on the part of the House of the "flesh and blood, the fire and hope" of the laity.

The Bishop of West Virginia presented a resolution of thanks to the Bishop of Johannesburg for his compelling address to the House on Christian witness.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of East Carolina spoke to the capital needs of the
overseas missionary work of the Church. He presented a suggested resolution (not for action, but for consideration in working toward the 1961 General Convention) that the Church be aware of these needs.

The Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop appoint a special committee to study the problem of capital needs in the missions of the Church and the possibility of obtaining diocesan and parochial support from their Capital Fund Campaigns.

The resolution was not carried.

The Bishop of South Florida presented a report for the Armed Forces Division of the National Council. He stated that the Division had found the best way to meet the needs of our Church people in overseas areas was to put some civilian clergy in the field to carry on the work. This, he said, has meant a cutting down of some appropriations to home dioceses so that the money could be used in this necessary overseas work.

Bishop W. Appleton Lawrence presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The Second Assembly of the World Council of Churches at Evanston said “It is not enough for the Churches to proclaim war is evil—they must study afresh this Christian approach to peace,” and

WHEREAS, The Central Committee of the World Council at its meeting in 1957 urged that “as a first step governments conducting nuclear tests should forego them at least for a trial period—either together or individually in the hope that others will do the same and a new confidence be born and foundations laid for reliable agreement,” and

WHEREAS, We believe that in the cataclysmic events in our time this Church has been given another chance for a prophetic witness; therefore be it

Resolved, That a majority of the members of this House of Bishops gathered in Dallas go on record that we ratify and accept these statements as our own.

The resolution was not carried.

The Bishop of Newark presented the following resolution:


The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Puerto Rico presented the following resolution of appreciation:
We, the members of the House of Bishops, assembled in the City and Diocese of Dallas, would take this occasion to express our profound appreciation to the Bishop, the Rt. Rev. C. Avery Mason, and the Suffragan Bishop, the Rt. Rev. Joseph M. Harte, and to those associated with them, for their hospitality to us and to our wives during the course of our 1960 meeting.

The preparation for our coming to this area, in which the Church is obviously growing so rapidly, must have involved many hours of tireless effort, but the gracious way in which we have been cared for during our stay has impressed all of us. Dean Carruthers, Archdeacon Carson, Father Jarrett and Dr. Tate, Mesdames Trigg and Milliken and Messrs. Lynch, Thomas, Rowley, Matson and Cook, who served with the Bishops, we would thank especially and in addition to them the other clergy and lay people throughout the Diocese, particularly those who entertained us in their churches, in their homes and in their various clubs.

Furthermore, we would take notice of and express our gratitude for the vision of the Diocese of Dallas in making it possible for our Overseas Bishops to come together from all parts of the world for their own Conference ahead of time and in the process through a glorious Missionary Mass Meeting and in other special services all over the Diocese to have the opportunity to report on the Church's mission beyond Continental United States.

Finally, your Committee members, in spite of our being, as are all members of this House, honorary citizens of the State of Texas, a fact perhaps best personified by our "Texas Mitres," find it impossible adequately to express in true Texas terms the sentiments within our hearts. Nevertheless, we would ask that the Secretary of this House be instructed to send copies of this letter to the Bishops and to all others referred to therein with the hope that they may so understand our intent that they may appreciate to the fullest that which we have endeavored to say.

The resolution was adopted.

The Chair thanked the members of the House for their cooperation, interest, and deep Christian fellowship.

After the Benediction of the Presiding Bishop, the House adjourned sine die.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop.

ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Secretary.
THE PASTORAL LETTER
Issued by the House of Bishops, November 16, 1960

TO OUR WELL BELIEVED IN CHRIST, GREETINGS:

As we, your bishops, are assembled in Dallas, Texas, in the year of our Lord 1960, we, like you, are keenly conscious of the way “bad news” captures the headlines in our day. The daily press and weekly news publications, lead articles in magazines, books pouring forth from ever rolling presses, radio, television, even the oratory of those who sought election to public office, assail our eyes and ears with dire warnings and prophecies of doom. Everywhere and always we see and hear diagnoses of this world’s ills, the sickness of our civilization, the deterioration of our culture. It is an age of anxiety, of uncertainty, of fear, because it is an age of constant tension, conflict, struggle between nations, races, cultures, societies, classes; between philosophies, ideologies, religions.

Because all this is true we who are Christian need to remind ourselves that we are the bearers, like the Angel of Bethlehem, of “glad tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people.” Pre-eminently the Church always is the herald of “good news.” This is its mission: to proclaim the Gospel. Hence, with the psalmist we would cry, “O come, let us sing unto the Lord: let us make a joyful noise to the Rock of our salvation.” We would recall and reemphasize the Rock of our faith.

Anglican Churches are clearly and unequivocally committed to the Apostles and Nicene Creeds as the symbols of that faith. When the first proposal for an American Prayer Book in 1786 was reviewed by the bishops of our mother Church of England, they insisted that these two creeds should be kept in their integrity. Our Church not only accepted the English bishops’ proposals but made the Nicene Creed a possible alternative for the Apostles Creed in the Daily Offices, a unique and useful usage. By continuous Prayer Book worship, by teaching, by preaching, and by our position in all church unity discussions, the Episcopal Church has shown its loyalty to the historic creeds. As expressed in the Lambeth Quadrilateral, we hold the Nicene Creed as part of the essential core of the continuous, historic tradition of the Church and therefore an element in the life of any united church. The Apostles Creed is likewise held to be the minimal Baptismal Confession. Thus our Church is irrevocably committed to the historic creeds and regards the Nicene Creed as it was affirmed at the Council of Chalcedon in 451 A.D., as an indispensable norm for the Christian Faith.

This position is held because the creeds are rooted in the biblical record of God’s historical acts in Christ. It is the purpose of the creeds to preserve the meaning of the historical revelation of God in Christ and to witness to the revelatory facts in their historicity and givenness. In the face of God’s revelation in events, man’s primary function is to testify to what has been given to him. When something is truly given to man, testimony is the only way in which he can describe the gift. The creeds summarize the good news proclaimed by the Primitive Church, as recorded in the New Testament. The creeds are a proclamation of a gift, a gift whose kind and nature does not in itself change from generation to generation. Under the guidance of the Spirit, however, man can grow in appreciation and understanding of this gift.
The recitation of the creeds as normative for our faith is at least a way of certifying that we are Christians because we accept what God did for all men in Christ once and for all. To say that Christ is God's final gift to man means that no gift can be as great or greater, not that God's giving ceases. To say less than this is to deny the uniqueness and completeness of the self-disclosure and the redeeming work of God in Christ.

The faith of the Apostolic Church as gathered up in the literature chosen as the canonical New Testament is the final authority for Anglicanism. The creeds are the skeleton of the Bible, and the Bible is the flesh and blood of the creeds. The Bible and the creeds are seen together, each interpreting the other, with the Bible as the ultimate norm.

Christianity is primarily an affirmation of what God has done, is doing, and will do, and of our participation in these mighty acts of God by our penitent and thankful response. Its native language, therefore, is narrative rather than abstract and propositional language. The Christian story proclaims that God created all that is. The doctrine of creation rightly understood is the gateway to the understanding of the Christian life and Christian theology. The good news is primarily a message of the creation and of the new creation which redeems and fulfills what was implicit from the beginning.

The doctrine of creation is not a description of how the universe was made but a statement of the complete dependence of the universe in its total being upon God. The first article of the creeds is the context for the other articles. It affirms the totality of God's actual power as Creator and is the indispensable basis for all the other creedal affirmations. If God is not the only Creator of all that is, something other than God can, then, in the end frustrate the completion of His purpose.

God is Lord of the physical as well as the spiritual aspects of the universe. The term "Father Almighty" in its ancient use especially refers to God as all-ruling in the world, and intimately working in the world. God is the Creator and Governor of the universe. He uses the physical world and adapts it to his special supranatural purposes. As St. Augustine said, the original creation is a greater miracle even than the resurrection of the body. The scriptural story goes on to say that man, made in the image of God, sinned, and disordered the goodness and harmony of God's creation, that God chose unto Himself a special people revealing Himself to them, as to no others, in the vicissitudes of their history until they were prepared to receive His complete revelation in Himself in Christ. These acts of God for and in His chosen people are recorded in the Old Testament and are a preparation for the coming of Christ. Old Testament history is the original Advent Season in which God reveals Himself as demand, seen in the Law, and as promise of salvation, as proclaimed by the prophets.

When the preparation was finished the promise was kept; and God in the person of His Son "came down from heaven, and was incarnate by the Holy Ghost of the Virgin Mary, and was made man." Man's sin and God's love came to dramatic encounter on Calvary. For the moment Evil seemed triumphant as the Incarnate One was crucified, died, was buried. But the eternal righteousness of God cannot finally be defeated, and "on the third day He rose again from the dead: He ascended into Heaven, and sitteth on the right hand of God the Father Almighty."

These mighty acts of God in Christ are celebrated in the church year from Christmastide through Ascensiontide and we participate in them in our worship and our Christian living. "God was in Christ reconciling the
world unto Himself" and we are sharers in that reconciling action of God. In Christ, God gives us the meaning and goal of all existence. For the Eternal Son who was made visible, tangible, and audible as Jesus of Nazareth is He "by whom all things were made" and the Final Victor who "shall come again with glory to judge both the living and the dead; whose Kingdom shall have no end."

To say, therefore, "I believe in one Lord Jesus Christ, the only—begotten Son of God . . . whose kingdom shall have no end" is to make the ultimate decision. "I believe" means "I trust absolutely," "I commit myself to," and "I shall obey." So to believe is to join the community of believers. God in Christ has come inside our manhood Himself as a man, made Himself accessible in human terms, acted in and through our humanity. For all men and for our reunion with Him, God has come down from the level of Deity to our human level, revealing in the common language of a human life what He is like, and what man is meant to be. Christ is God's idea of what it is to be a man. By His life, focussed in Christ's death for us, He has grasped us through our answering love and lifted us into love of Him.

To believe in Christ is to be caught up by His Holy Spirit and to belong to the community of His Spirit which is the one, holy, catholic, and apostolic Church. The third paragraph of the Creed testifies to the continuing work in the world of God the Holy Ghost. He binds together those who accept Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour, in the fellowship of the Holy Spirit, the family of God. In this mystical Body of Christ, the Lord and Giver of life, the Holy Spirit is working with, among, and in us and confirming the word of God. This common life with its worship of God, its new quality of living, its mission to draw all men to Christ, and its duty to work to fulfill and to transform human society is the first fruit of such life with God. Its final consummation is stated in the last, great hope of such a life with God. "I look for the Resurrection of the dead; and the Life of the World to come." Whitsuntide and Trinity Season issue in Advent Season as the certain expectancy of Christ's complete victory in His final Advent.

The biblical Story, the historic creeds, the Church Year are three different ways of saying what God has done, what He is doing, what He will do and that we have entered into and are participants in that divine action. Everywhere the story is the same. In our prayer of General Thanksgiving, for instance, we recite the story of the creeds and the Bible eucharistically: "We bless thee for our creation, preservation, and all the blessings of this life; but above all, for thine inestimable love in the redemption of the world by our Lord Jesus Christ; for the means of grace, and for the hope of glory." Above all, this story is the living structure of our Prayer Book worship. Particularly and explicitly it is the living structure of the Holy Communion service.

The Nicene Creed is not only a recital of the biblical Story of "the mighty acts of God" but it is a carefully reasoned protection of that story from interpretations which would deform the story and even destroy it. Christ is the center of this story as the western, and now universal, calendar testifies by dividing time into Before Christ and Anno Domini, "The Years of Our Lord." Everything, therefore, depends upon a true understanding of Christ.

The first six Ecumenical Councils of the Church were concerned to express the true understanding of Christ against typical misunderstandings. Simply put, in the words of William Temple, the Church says two things about Christ. In Him it was truly God who came. In Him God truly came. Christ was fully God and fully man, the perfect unity of God and Man. Yet He was that in such a way that the union of the two natures did not
change the divine nature. God, and no other, acts in Christ and He acts in and through a completely human historical manhood. He was born of a woman whose name we know and bless. He suffered in history under a Procurator of Judea, Pontius Pilate. He was crucified, dead, and buried, and went to the realm of the dead. It was this complete historical manhood who came from God and was kept in unity with deity from conception through death, and remains in unity with deity eternally. He is and shall be Victor and Lord of all Creation.

If it were not truly God who came, then the revelation and work of God in Christ is only one revelation and work among many others. Then the true God is still unknown behind partially conflicting revelations. If God did not truly come in our fully human situation, then He has not fully grasped us and lifted us into union with Himself. This is what the bishops saw clearly at Chalcedon; and this is why they promulgated officially the augmented statement of the Nicene Faith together with the statement which interprets it. With them we, your bishops, are in complete accord.

So also if the Spirit whom we Christians receive is not God Himself, one with the Father and the Son, we are estranged from God and lost in the relativities of the history of religions. This is not to say, however, that the Church should not seek in every way to interpret its historic faith intelligently to the cultures and religions which it confronts. Both Bible and creeds must be constantly interpreted in terms of the language and thought forms of successive times. This living interpretation is a necessary although a dangerous work. It is a dangerous work because the rephrasing of the Gospel may bring the restatement under the power of the culture in which it is rephrased. Contemporary interpreters are in danger of becoming heretics even as champions of orthodoxy are in danger of becoming unintelligible. From this dilemma spring some of the tensions and the controversies in the Church.

When the Creeds speak of the “descent” of the Eternal Son to take our manhood into union with Himself, or of the “Ascension” of the risen Incarnate Son, we know that “descent” and “ascent” are movements between God and man and not in inter-stellar space.

The creeds, like the Bible, are conditioned by the outlook of the culture and the historical period in which they were written. Christianity does not demand that we believe in an outmoded scientific hypothesis against a more demonstrable one. The Church does not serve Christ by asking a Galileo to believe in Aristotle’s astronomical theories in the name of Christ. It understands that the Christian meaning of the stars and their movement does not pretend to give a scientific description of their nature. St. Augustine once deplored the effect on non-Christians who have “knowledge derived from most certain reasoning or observation” when they hear a Christian “talking such nonsense that the unbeliever . . . can hardly restrain himself from laughing.” He warned those Christians who identified Christianity with their own astronomical hypotheses by saying: “The Gospels do not tell us that our Lord said, ‘I will send you the Holy Ghost to teach you the course of the sun and the moon,’ we should endeavor to become Christians and not astronomers.”

So also it is with the findings of the historians. Historians may correct the biblical and so the creedal description of an historical event as to its date and its photographable details without impugning the revelation of God which breaks through and out of that event. The biblical authors were primarily concerned with witness to God’s revelation in and through historical events, not with being archivists of the events themselves. St. Luke,
for instance, was an evangelist more than a historiographer. In regard to the problem of affirming the divine revelation in its reality as an event, without identifying that affirmation with every detail of the record of the event, Anglicanism can give us a good principle derived from its affirmation of the Real Presence of Christ in Eucharistic worship. Our Church holds to the dogma of Christ’s Real Presence without identifying the fact with any particular theory of the mode of the Real Presence. The historic creeds of our Church affirm the indispensable dogmas of the Christian Faith. They do not intend to do otherwise than that.

It is one of the tragedies in Christian history that large sections of Christendom have abandoned the creeds partially because they were not listened to for what they were really saying. Our Church has not done that, principally because it is unafraid of truth come whence it may. That God’s truth will not contradict itself is self evident. The Anglican acceptance of that principle permits us to hold to the great creeds as religious and theological dogmatic statements without denying or dominating new ways of finding truth on other than religious and theological levels.

But we are not concerned merely to seem to defend the creeds. In our agonized world, our first duty is to preach the good news of God’s action—what He has done, is doing, and will unfailingly do. This is the only imperative and relevant mission of the Church. And in this task, the creeds play a central part. Without them to keep steadily before our minds and hearts the truth on which alone the Church is built, we should run the danger of bringing only our own wisdom to meet mankind’s needs. Without them to hold in thoughtful balance the whole of God’s revelation in Holy Scripture, we should be in constant peril of hearing and saying only those things which, for the moment, seem to us important to say. But with them at the heart of our corporate life, we are gathered and held by God’s revelation, controlled by His action, strengthened in our corporate witness by His guidance through the whole life of the Church, so that we may assuredly know that what we do and say is obedient to His truth.

Hence, we can go forward unafraid. As the people of Israel did long ago, we stand in a wilderness. But, please God, we also may stand at the borders of the promised land. The call comes clear, “Be strong and of good courage, fear not, nor be afraid of them: for the Lord Thy God, He it is that doth go with thee; He will not fail thee, nor forsake thee.”
RULES OF ORDER—HOUSE OF BISHOPS
1961

SERVICES AND DEVOTIONS

I. As an indication of our humble dependence upon the Word and Spirit of God, and following the example of Primitive Councils, a copy of the Holy Scriptures shall always be reverently placed in view at all meetings of this House.

II. On each day of the Session of the House, the meeting shall be opened with prayer and the reading of the Holy Scriptures.

III. At the hour of noon on each day of the Session, there shall be a short devotional service, including prayers for Missions and other appropriate prayers.

IV. The daily session of the House shall be closed with the Benediction pronounced by the Bishop presiding.

V. At every session of the House of Bishops there shall be a daily celebration of the Holy Communion at such time and place as the Presiding Bishop or Vice Chairman of the House shall appoint.

VI. Preceding the balloting for the election of a Presiding Bishop, a Missionary Bishop, or on the proposed transfer of a Missionary Bishop from one District to another, there shall be a celebration of the Holy Communion, with a special prayer for the guidance of the Holy Spirit. The Bishops should be vested for the service.

VII. The opening service of the General Convention and selection of the preacher shall be in charge of the Presiding Bishop, the Vice Chairman of the House of Bishops, and the Bishop of the Diocese wherein the Convention is to be held. The sermon shall be delivered by the Presiding Bishop, unless he shall elect to appoint some other Bishop as Preacher.

FIRST DAY OF SESSION

I. The House of Bishops shall meet for business at such time and place as shall have been duly notified by the Presiding Bishop, or the Vice Chairman of the House, to the members of this House, and shall be called to order by the Presiding Bishop or the Vice Chairman, or, in their absence, by the Senior Bishop present.

II. The House shall then proceed to elect a Secretary. He shall continue in office until the triennial Convention following his election. With the approval of the Presiding Officer, the Secretary may then, or later, appoint an Assistant Secretary.

III. The roll of members shall be called by the Secretary. On the second and third days the Secretary shall make a note of the late arrivals who shall inform him of their presence.

The minutes of the last meeting shall then be read by the Secretary and acted upon by the House. Such reading may be dispensed with by a majority vote of the House.
IV. Bishops appearing in the House for the first time after their Consecration shall then be presented to the President by one or more Bishops who took part in their Consecration; or in the absence of such Bishops, by one or more other Bishops.

V. The Presiding Bishop shall then announce, without word or comment, the fact and the date of the death of any members who have passed away since the last preceding meeting; after which he shall lead the House in prayer.

VI. The House shall then proceed to elect a Vice Chairman, after hearing the report of a special nominating committee, appointed prior to the meeting by the Presiding Bishop, and after receiving any other nominations from the floor. The Vice Chairman in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, or at his request, shall be the Presiding Officer of the House.

VII. As soon as the House shall have been organized by the election of its Secretary and Vice Chairman, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Officer to instruct the Secretary to communicate to the House of Deputies the fact of its organization, and that it is ready to proceed to business.

DAILY ORDERS

I. The regular order of business of the House shall be as follows:

1. Devotions.
2. Roll call (except after the third day).
3. Minutes of the previous meeting.
4. Presentation of New Members.
5. Communications from the Presiding Bishop.
7. Petitions and Memorials.
8. Messages from the House of Deputies not yet disposed of.
10. Reports of Standing Committees in the order in which the Committees are named in General Rule I.
11. Reports of Commissions.
12. Reports of Special Committees.

II. 1. At any special meeting of the House, the Secretary shall present and read the Official Call for such meeting and incorporate such Call in the Minutes.

2. The order of business at any special session shall be as follows:

1. Call to order.
2. Devotions.
3. The Reading of the Call for the Special Meeting.
4. Roll Call.
5. Presentation of New Members.
6. Communications from the Presiding Bishop.
7. The Special Business of the Meeting.
8. Reports of Special Committees.
9. Reading of the Minutes.
10. Adjournment.

III. On the second day of the Session, after Devotions, the Presiding Bishop shall lay before the House a statement of his official acts during the recess of the General Convention.

IV. On the days when the House of Bishops is expected to meet with the House of Deputies and others in Joint Session, the first order of business shall be the consideration of such matters as the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall report as urgently demanding attention. Then shall follow consideration of messages from the House of Deputies not disposed of, reports from Standing Committees, and other business for which time shall remain. If the Joint Session shall adjourn before the customary hour for adjournment of the House of Bishops, the House shall resume its sitting. Any part of this rule may be suspended by a majority vote.

V. The Secretary shall keep a Calendar of Business, on which shall be placed in the order in which they are presented, reports of Committees, resolutions which lie over, and other matters undisposed of, indicating the subject of each item.

VI. The Order of the Day shall be taken up at the hour appointed, unless postponed by a vote of two-thirds of the members present.

VII. Bishops invited to honorary seats may be introduced by the Presiding Officer whenever no other business occupies the House.

GENERAL RULES

I. Committees shall be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House, unless otherwise ordered. The Bishop first named on a Committee shall act as its convener, and each Committee at its first meeting shall elect its own Chairman and Secretary. Whenever an appointment to any place or position is made by direct action of the House, such appointment shall be by ballot. At his discretion the Presiding Bishop may refer to the Standing Committees for their consideration, matters which arise and which should receive consideration at the next meeting of the House. The Standing Committees, to be announced not later than the third day of the session, shall be as follows:

1. On Dispatch of Business.
2. On Rules of Order, of which the Presiding Bishop shall be a member ex officio.
5. On Memorials and Petitions.
6. On Domestic Missions, who shall also serve as Committee on Nominations of Domestic Missionary Bishops.
7. On Overseas Missions, who shall also serve as Committee on Nominations of Overseas Missionary Bishops.
10. On Christian Education.
11. On the Consecration of Bishops.
12. On the Admission of New Dioceses.
15. On Social and International Affairs.

Each of these Committees shall consist of not less than three nor more than seven members, at the discretion of the Presiding Officer of the House.

II. No memorial, petition, or address shall come before this House unless presented by the Presiding Officer of the House, or some other Bishop present.

III. Nothing other than reports and other documents printed for the use and by the order of the House, except the private correspondence of its members, shall be distributed in the House without having first been entrusted to the Secretary, and submitted to the approval of the Presiding Officer.

IV. All resolutions shall be reduced to writing, and no motion shall be considered as before the House until seconded.

V. Members in discussion shall address the Chair, and shall confine themselves to the question in debate. No member shall speak more than twice in the same debate without leave of the House.

VI. Officers of the House of Bishops, when addressing the House in debate, shall in all cases do so from the floor of the House.

VII. When a division is called for, every member present shall be counted, unless personally interested in the question to be decided. When, in such procedure, the vote of the Presiding Officer produces a tie, the motion shall be considered as lost.

VIII. When it is proposed to give consent to the consecration or confirmation of a Bishop-elect or of a Bishop Coadjutor-elect or of a Suffragan Bishop-elect, it shall be competent for any three members of the House to call for a vote by ballot.

IX. On any question before the House the ayes and nays may be required by any three members, and shall in such cases be entered on the Journal.

X. When a question is under consideration, no motion shall be received unless to lay it upon the table, to postpone it to a certain time, to postpone it indefinitely, to commit it, to substitute another motion dealing with the question, to amend it, to divide it, or for a Committee of Conference; and motions for any of these purposes shall have precedence in the order herein named. Motions to lay upon the table, to commit, to refer, and to adjourn:
shall be decided without debate. A motion to adjourn shall always be in
order.

XI. On motion duly put and carried the House may resolve itself into a
Committee of the Whole, at which only members of the House shall be
present and no records made of its action.

XII. On motion duly put and carried the House may go into Executive
Session at which only members of the House shall be present. The Chairman
of the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall act as clerk and make
a record of all motions adopted.

XIII. Reports of Committees shall be in writing, and shall be received
course. Reports recommending or requiring any action or expression
of opinion by the House shall be accompanied by specific resolutions.

XIV. Reports of Committees appointed to sit during the recess, if not
acted upon at once, shall, when presented, be made the Order of the Day
for a time fixed. Printed committee reports which have been delivered to
and circulated among the members of the House of Bishops in advance of
the making of such reports upon the floor of the House, shall be presented
by Title and the Chairman or Committee member presenting said report
shall be allowed five minutes for summarizing the same, which time may
be extended only by a two-thirds vote of the House.

XV. When a report (or reports) of a Joint Committee or Joint Com-
mission is referred to the Committee on Constitution and Canons, it shall
be within the province of such Committee to pass and report only on the
canonical form and not on the contents of such report or reports.

XVI. All questions of order shall be decided by the Chair without
debate, but appeal may be taken from such decision. The decision of the
Chair shall stand unless over-ruled by a two-thirds vote of the mem-
bers present. On such appeal no member shall speak more than once
without express leave of the House.

XVII. Amendments shall be considered in the order in which they
are moved. When a proposed amendment is under consideration, a motion
to amend the same may be made. No after-amendment to such second
amendment shall be in order, but a substitute for the whole matter may be
received. No proposition on a subject differing from the one under con-
sideration shall be received under color of a substitute.

XVIII. A question being once determined shall stand as the judgment
of the House, and shall not be again drawn into debate during the same
session of the House except with the consent of two-thirds of the
House. A motion to reconsider can be made only on the day the vote was
taken, or on the next succeeding legislative day; and must be made and
seconded by those who voted with the majority.

XIX. Except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, no new
business shall be introduced for the consideration of the House after the
fifth day of the Session, and for the purpose of this rule all days shall
be counted excepting Sunday.

XX. All resolutions which are to be communicated to the House of
Deputies, unless they contain information of action incomplete in this
House, or be temporarily withheld by order of this House at the time of
their passage, shall be transmitted to the House of Deputies as soon as
conveniently may be, under the direction of the Presiding Officer of the House.

XXI. Committees from the House of Deputies shall be admitted immediately. Messages from the House of Deputies shall be handed by the Secretary of this House to the Presiding Officer, to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient. However, consideration of such message shall be subject to a motion for the appointment of a Committee of Conference as hereinafter provided in these Rules. All such messages communicating any legislative action on the part of the House of Deputies shall, without debate, be referred to the proper Committee, unless without debate the House shall decide to consider such messages without such reference. When the consideration of such message shall have been begun, it shall continue to be the Order of the Day until final action thereon. The final action of this House upon any message from the House of Deputies shall be by vote upon the question—"Shall this House concur in the action of the House of Deputies as communicated in their Message No. ?"

XXII. If, during the consideration by this House of any action taken by the House of Deputies, a motion is made stating the position of this House and requesting a Committee of Conference, such motion shall have precedence and be put to a vote without debate, and if passed by a majority of the members of this House then present, a Committee of Conference shall be appointed. A Committee of Conference shall also be in order with or without motion (1) in cases where the House of Deputies has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by this House, or (2) in cases where this House has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by the House of Deputies. When a Committee of Conference has been appointed, final action upon the matter under consideration shall be deferred until the Committee of Conference shall have reported to this House; provided such report shall be made not later than the next business day or within one hour after the convening of the last Session of this House in Convention Assembled, whichever event shall first occur. Further, the Chairman of any Standing or other Committee shall have full authority, either alone or with members of the Committee, to confer with the Chairman of any Committee of the House of Deputies having duties and responsibilities, the same or similar to those of the Committee of the House of Bishops chaired by him.

XXIII. Two Bishops may be appointed by the Presiding Officer to act with the Secretary in preparing daily reports of the action of this House, and furnishing them, at their discretion, to the public press.

XXIV. Bishops admitted to honorary seats in the House shall be conducted to the seats assigned to them by the Bishops who introduce them, and except when the House is in Executive Session or when meeting as a Council of Bishops, shall at all times be entitled to be present. At such a call the Secretary shall ask the Guests to leave the House.

XXV. There shall be constituted an Advisory Committee of eight Bishops, one to be chosen by the Bishops of each Province, with which Committee the Presiding Bishop may counsel upon questions arising between the meetings of the House of Bishops.

XXVI. The Committee of the Bishops' Pastoral shall be a Standing Committee of the House composed of men eminently qualified for the task,
and empowered to enlist additional assistance, with the consent of the Presiding Bishop, as may seem wise. The Committee shall make a report at each Session of the House.

XXVII. Additions and amendments to, or suspension or repeal of, these rules shall require a vote of two-thirds of the members present.

XXVIII. These rules shall be in force in subsequent sessions of this House until otherwise ordered.

**Bishops in Council**

I. It shall be competent for the House of Bishops to convene as, or being convened to resolve itself into, a Council of Bishops, at which only members of the House of Bishops and elected officers of the Council shall be present. Should neither the Presiding Bishop nor the Vice Chairman of the House act as the Presiding Officer of the Council, such officer shall be elected *pro tempore*. One of the members of the Council, chosen for that purpose, shall act as Clerk.

II. The body known as the Bishops in Council, as an assemblage of Catholic Bishops, and considering and acting upon matters of duty or responsibility resting on them as a portion of the universal Episcopate, may be convened at any time, suitable notice being given by the Presiding Bishop or the Vice Chairman of the House of Bishops. Words spoken by anyone in Council shall be held by all as strictly confidential, and no proceedings shall be known to others than Bishops, save by order of the Council.

III. The body known as the Bishops in Council, when considering matters which are subject to the authority of the House of Bishops in its constitutional and canonical capacity, shall be guided by the following rules:

1. Such meetings shall be for mutual counsel and consideration only.

2. In such meetings no resolutions shall be adopted, except to adjourn, to rise, to report to the House of Bishops, to recommend to the House of Bishops, to take order for the giving out of information, or to commit; *Provided*, that no Committee or Commission of the Council shall be clothed with any power beyond the promotion of considerations, the preparation of reports, or the furthering of recommendations, to be submitted by the Council to the House of Bishops for action by the latter.

**The Presiding Bishop**

I. All nominations for the election of a Presiding Bishop shall be made only in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

II. The Nominating Committee, as provided by Canon 2, Sec. 1, shall present to the House not less than three of its members.

III. Opportunity for nominations from the floor shall be given but without the calling of the roll.

IV. The House of Bishops should remain within the confines of the church where the election has been held, until word has been received of the action by the House of Deputies.
MISSIONARY BISHOPS

I. 1. When a vacancy occurs or is about to occur in the Missionary Episcopate, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Bishop to investigate the situation existing in the District, to consult with those persons in the field and at home best fitted to advise as to the conditions in the District, and to submit to the members of the House such information as he may secure.

2. Before any vacancy in the Missionary Episcopate is to be considered or filled at any special meeting of the House, notice to this effect shall be given in the call of such meeting, together with an invitation to the Bishops to send to the Secretary of the House any names of persons suggested for the vacancy. In such case, three weeks before the special meeting, the Secretary shall notify confidentially each Bishop of the names so suggested. The ballot for the choice of any such vacancy shall not, without unanimous consent, be taken at a special meeting until at least the first day, nor at a meeting of the General Convention until at least the second day, after such names and any others which may be offered shall have been presented in the House. In the event of the occurrence of a vacancy in a Missionary District, or the resignation of a Missionary Bishop, between the issuance of the call for a special meeting of the House of Bishops and the meeting thereof, the House by a two-thirds vote of those present and entitled to vote, shall be competent to fill such vacancy, or to act upon such resignation.

II. Further proceedings for the election of a Missionary Bishop shall be as follows:

1. The Committee on Domestic Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in Domestic Missionary Districts; and the Committee on Overseas Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in Overseas Districts; and should make not less than three nominations for such vacancies.

2. The Presiding Bishop may at his discretion, make nominations for such vacancies.

3. Opportunity for further nominations from the floor shall be given, but without the calling of the roll.

4. The Bishops making nominations, and others having knowledge of the persons nominated, shall give to the Committee on Domestic Missions or the Committee on Overseas Missions, as the case may be, full information regarding the nominees, and such Committee having secured further information as may be possible, shall report to the House in Executive Session. Such further information concerning the intellectual, moral, and physical qualifications of the persons nominated, with dates of birth, graduation, and specific statements as to theological attainment, proficiency in languages and as to any specialty in sacred duties to which he may have devoted himself. Questions may be asked and other information given by the Bishops.

5. All nominations for vacant Missionary Districts shall be made in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

6. In the case of a declination, or of another vacancy, another election can be held from the same names without further formality than re-
nomination; but if new names are introduced, the order prescribed above shall be repeated.

7. In the case of the proposed transfer of a Bishop in charge of a Missionary District to another District, action shall be as in the case of the election of Missionary Bishops.

8. All proceedings in Executive Session shall be held strictly confidential. In the case of elections held in Executive Session and to be confirmed by the House of Deputies or by the Standing Committees of the Church, the names of those elected shall not be made known until they are published by the House of Deputies, or until they are ordered to be sent to the Standing Committees.

STANDING ORDERS

I. WHEREAS, By provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), (b), and Canon 39, Sec. 2 (c), the Presiding Bishop of the House is empowered to take order for the ordination and consecration of Diocesan and Missionary Bishops, either in his own person or by commission issued to three Bishops:

It is hereby ordered that, in all cases of Episcopal consecrations, the place for the same shall be designated only with the consent of the Ecclesiastical Authority in whose Diocese or Jurisdiction such proposed place is; that the Bishop-elect shall have the right to designate the preacher and the two Bishops by whom he is to be presented; and that, in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, the Senior Bishop by consecration who is present shall preside, unless some other Bishop shall have been designated by the Presiding Bishop.

II. Seniority among the Bishops is according to the time of the consecration of each Bishop.

III. The House of Bishops shall assemble on every morning during the period of the General Convention, except the Lord's Day, for business, unless adjournment beyond that morning has been ordered by the vote of the House.

IV. Two or more of the Bishops shall be appointed at each General Convention to take charge, together with the Secretary of the House of Bishops, of the Journal of its proceedings, and to see that the whole, or such parts of it as the House may direct, be entered in its proper place in the Journal of the General Convention.

V. The Secretary of the House of Bishops shall keep, in a suitable book to be provided for this purpose, a Record of the members and officers of the House from the beginning, and shall record therein the names of the Bishops who are or have been members of this House, the date and place of their consecration, the names of their consecrators, together with the date of the termination, by death, resignation, or otherwise, of the membership of such Bishops as have ceased to have seats in this House, all of which facts shall be recorded only upon official information, for which it shall be the duty of the Secretary to call upon such persons as may be competent to furnish the same. The said book of record shall be the official Register of this House, and the roll of the House made up therefrom by the Secretary shall be by him certified to its Presiding Officer, who shall at each regular or special session of the House communicate the same to the House, as its official roll, as soon as
he shall have taken the chair. Such roll shall be subject to change only by vote of the House.

VI. In making up the list of the Bishops who have retained their constituted rights to seats in this House, the Secretary is instructed to leave the name of any Bishop resigned in the place which he occupies in the order of his consecration, with the addition of the word "Bishop," which shall be considered as the sufficient official title of such resigned Bishop.

VII. In the event of the loss by any Bishop of his seat in the House of Bishops, with the consequent omission of his name from the roll, and his return to the House, his name shall be entered on the roll at the place corresponding with the time of such return.

VIII. At every meeting of the House of Bishops a seat for the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall be assigned near the front of the House.

IX. At every meeting of the House of Bishops seats on the platform shall be assigned to such Bishops present as have formerly held the office of Presiding Bishop, and at every service of General Convention such Bishops as have formerly held the office of Presiding Bishop shall be assigned places immediately in front of the Chaplain of the Presiding Bishop.

Standing Resolutions

I. Resolved, That the Standing Committee on the Resignation of Bishops be requested to prepare a resolution taking note of the service of each Bishop whose resignation is being accepted, such resolution to be presented to the House of Bishops along with the recommendation on the resignation. Where a resignation is accepted between Meetings of the House, such resolution shall be presented at the next Meeting.

II. Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint on each occasion a Committee of three or more Bishops to prepare, on behalf of the House of Bishops, and send to the family of each Bishop who dies, a memorial message, such Committee to represent the House of Bishops at the funeral where it is practical for them to attend.

III. Resolved, That within six months after the adjournment of each General Convention the Secretary of the House of Bishops shall communicate with the Bishop named as Convener of each Commission or Joint Commission appointed during the preceding General Convention, and inquire whether the Commission has convened and organized, keeping a record of the replies received.

Joint Rules as to Joint Committees

1. Joint Special Committees, having made their final report, are to be considered as having exhausted their functions, and can only be revived by the concurrent action of the two Houses.

2. It shall be the privilege of either House to refer to a Joint Committee any matter relating to the subject for which it was appointed; but neither House shall have the power, without the consent of the other, to instruct the Joint Committee as to any particular line of action.
3. The Secretaries of both Houses, in accordance with present practice shall, not later than the month of January following the meeting of General Convention, notify the members of the respective Houses who have appointments upon Joint Commissions and Joint Committees of such appointment and their duty to present a report to the next Convention; and shall remind them one year prior to the opening day of Convention of this duty.

4. When, in the judgment of any Joint Committee or Joint Commission, it is deemed advisable that its report should be sent to the members of the General Convention prior to its meeting, such report shall be sent by the first of June prior to said Convention to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, who shall print and distribute the same, as far as practicable, to all members of said Convention.

5. No Joint Committee or Joint Commission shall be deemed to have performed the duty assigned to it, until it shall have presented its final report. Any Joint Committee or Commission which shall not have reported to the General Convention following its appointment shall be discharged at the termination of that Convention unless action is otherwise ordered.

6. Vacancies in Joint Committees and Joint Commissions occurring during the recess between General Conventions shall be filled by the Chairman of the House of Bishops on the part of that House and by the President of the House of Deputies on the part of that House.

7. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall set forth the names of its original members, any changes in membership, the names of all those who concur in and all those who dissent from its recommendations, and shall further state, if less than a majority of its entire membership sign the report, their authority for presenting it.

8. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission shall be convened by the Bishop first named, and when convened shall elect a Chairman, a Vice Chairman, and a Secretary. The Chairman shall be a Bishop. The Vice Chairman and the Secretary shall be a Presbyter or a layman. In the event that the Committee is not organized as above provided within one year from the date of adjournment of the Convention which created or continued the Committee or Commission, any three members may take such action as may be necessary to organize the Committee. After the Committee or Commission shall have been convened, and officers chosen, the Chairman or the Vice Chairman shall be empowered to call a meeting at a time to be fixed by him on the signed request of three members.

9. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall include a detailed report of all receipts and expenditures, including moneys received from any source whatsoever, during the preceding triennium, and its estimated requirements for the coming triennium.

10. Every Joint or Special Committee or Commission, or Committee or Commission of either House whose report requests expenditure out of the funds of the General Convention (except for the printing of the report) shall present to the Joint Committee on Expenses its written request on or before the fourth business day of the session, and all resolutions providing for any such expenditures shall be immediately referred to the Joint Com-
mittee on Expenses. No proposition involving such an expenditure shall be considered unless so presented.

11. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission, as a condition precedent to the presentation and reception of any report in either House in which such Joint Committee or Commission proposes the adoption of any resolution, shall, by vote, authorize a member or members of that House, who, if possible, shall be a member of the Joint Committee or Commission, with such limitations as the Joint Committee or Commission may impose, to accept or reject on behalf of the Joint Committee or Commission, any amendments proposed in such House to any such resolution; provided, however, that no such amendment may change the substance of the proposal but shall be primarily for the purpose of correcting errors. The name of the member or members of the particular House upon whom such authority has been conferred, and the limitations of authority, shall be communicated in writing by the Chairman of such Joint Committee or Commission to the Presiding Officer of such House not later than the presentation of such report in that House. The application of this Rule in either House may be suspended, in any particular case, by the majority vote of the members of such House.

12. There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention, to consist of five Bishops, five Presbyters, and eight laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and Treasurer of the General Convention ex officio but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the later approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction or eliminated of any items, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

OFFICES OF DEVOTION

I.

1. A Lesson from Holy Scripture.

2. The Lord be with you.
   And with thy spirit.
   O Lord, show thy mercy upon us.
   And grant us thy salvation.
   O God, make clean our hearts within us.
   And take not thy Holy Spirit from us.

3. O God the Father,
   Have mercy upon us.
   O God the Son,
   Have mercy upon us.
   O God the Holy Ghost,
   Have mercy upon us.
   O Holy Trinity, one God,
   Have mercy upon us.
We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord; and that it may please thee to
grant peace to the whole world, and to thy Church;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to sanctify and bless thy holy Church through­
out the world;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to inspire all Bishops, Priests and Deacons, with
love of thee and of thy truth;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to endue all Ministers of thy Church with devo­
tion to thy glory and to the salvation of souls;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to guide by thy indwelling Spirit those whom
thou dost call to the Ministry of thy Church; that they may go forward
with courage, and persevere to the end;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to increase the number of the Ministers of thy
Church, that the Gospel may be preached to all people;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to hasten the fulfilment of thy purpose, that thy
Church may be one;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*
That it may please thee to grant that we, with all thy saints, may be
partakers of thy everlasting kingdom;
   *We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.*

Lord, have mercy upon us.
   *Christ, have mercy upon us.*
Lord, have mercy upon us.
   *Our Father, who art in heaven, etc.*
Hearken unto our voice, O Lord, when we cry unto thee;
   *Have mercy upon us and hear us.*
O Lord, arise, help us;
   *And deliver us, for thy Name's sake.*
Let thy priests be clothed with righteousness;
   *And let thy saints sing with joyfulness.*
Lord, hear our prayer;
   *And let our cry come unto thee.*

Let us pray

O God, who dost ever hallow and protect thy Church; raise up therein,
through thy Spirit, good and faithful stewards of the mysteries of Christ.
that by their ministry and example thy people may abide in thy favour and be guided in the way of truth; through Jesus Christ our Lord, who liveth and reigneth with thee in the unity of the same Spirit ever, one God, world without end. Amen.

4. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.

or this

1. A Lesson from Holy Scripture.
2. The Creed.
3. The Lord be with you.
   And with thy spirit.
4. The Lord's Prayer.
5. Collects.

Collect

O God, who dost teach the hearts of thy faithful people by sending to them the light of thy Holy Spirit; Grant us, thy servants, the Bishops and Pastors of thy flock, by the same Spirit to have a right judgment in all things, and evermore to rejoice in his holy comfort; through the merits of Christ Jesus our Saviour, who liveth and reigneth with thee, in the unity of the same Spirit, one God, world without end. Amen.

Collect for the First Sunday after the Epiphany

O Lord, we beseech thee mercifully to receive the prayers of thy people who call upon thee; and grant that they may both perceive and know what things they ought to do, and also may have grace and power faithfully to fulfill the same; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Collect for St. Peter's Day

O Almighty God; who by thy Son Jesus Christ didst give to thy Apostle Saint Peter many excellent gifts, and commandest him earnestly to feed thy flock; Make, we beseech thee, all Bishops and Pastors diligently to preach thy holy Word, and the people obediently to follow the same, that they may receive the crown of everlasting glory, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Collect for the Church

O gracious Father, we humbly beseech thee for thy holy Catholic Church; that thou wouldest be pleased to fill it with all truth, in all peace. Where it is corrupt, purify it; where it is in error, direct it; where it is in anything amiss, reform it. Where it is right, establish it; where it is in want, provide for it; where it is divided, reunite it; for the sake of him who died and rose again, and ever liveth to make intercession for us, Jesus Christ, thy Son, our Lord. Amen.

6. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.
II.

A Form to be used before balloting for one to be chosen for a Missionary Bishopric

1. Lesson, to be read by a Bishop designated by the Presiding Bishop, to wit: Exodus iv. 1-12; Isaiah vi. 1-8; Acts xx. at verse 17; or Revelation vii. at verse 9.

2. Veni, Creator Spiritus, the form provided in the Hymn of the Revised Hymnal, or the form in the Office for the Ordering of Priests.

3. The Lord be with you. 
   And with thy spirit.

4. Secret prayer, for which there shall silence be kept for a space.

5. The Lord's Prayer.

6. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.

III.

At the Confirmation of an Election

The Presiding Bishop shall bid the House to prayer, and after silence shall have been kept for a space, he shall say:

1. The Collect for the Fifth Sunday after the Epiphany.
2. The Collect for the Ninth Sunday after Trinity.
3. The Collect for the Nineteenth Sunday after Trinity.
4. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.

IV. Special Prayers

A Prayer for a Bishop-elect

O God, great in power, unsearchable in understanding, wondrous in counsels toward the children of men: Do thou fill with the gift of the Holy Spirit him whom thou hast willed to undertake this Ministry; that he may be worthy to stand before thy holy Altar unblamably, to announce the Gospel of thy Kingdom, to administer the Word of thy truth, to offer gifts and spiritual sacrifices unto thee, and to renew thy people in the font of Regeneration; that, at the second coming of thine only-begotten Son, he may go forth to meet him, and by the multitude of thy mercies receive his reward; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord, to whom, with thee and the Holy Ghost, be all honour and glory, world without end. Amen.

A Prayer for a Bishop who has accepted election

O God, who at this time didst teach the hearts of thy faithful people, by sending to them the light of thy Holy Spirit; Grant us by the same Spirit to have a right judgment in all things, and evermore to rejoice in his holy comfort; through the merits of Jesus Christ our Saviour, who liveth and reigneth with thee, in the unity of the same Spirit, one God, world without end. Amen.
MR. CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE, LL.D.

President of the House of Deputies, 1961
OFFICERS
OF THE
HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

——

PRESIDENT
Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D.
New York, New York

SECRETARY
The Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter
Church of the Good Shepherd
Post Office Box 5176, Austin, Texas

ASSISTANT SECRETARIES
The Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D.
281 Park Avenue South, New York 10, New York

The Rev. John G. Mills,
Cold Spring on Hudson, New York

Mrs. Johanna L. Lally,
Woodside, New York

——

TREASURER OF THE CONVENTION
Richard P. Kent, Jr.,
170 Remsen Street, Brooklyn 1, New York
DEPUTIES TO THE GENERAL CONVENTION
DETROIT, MICHIGAN
September 17-29, 1961

DEPUTIES FROM DIOCESES

ALABAMA

Clerical
Rev. R. Emmet Gribbin, Jr., (A) Tuscaloosa.
Rev. Benjamin A. Megliniss, Jr., (13) Dothan.
Rev. William R. Hill, (B) Fairhope.

Lay
Mr. Basil Horsfield, Jr., (2) Florence.
Mr. Evans Dunn, (E) Birmingham.
Mr. Paul T. Tate, Jr., (C) Mobile.
N. Hamner Cobbs, (D) Greensboro.

ALBANY

Rev. Darwin Kirby, Jr., (12) Schenectady.
Rev. Schuyler D. Jenkins, (C) Glens Falls.
Very Rev. David S. Ball, (D) Albany.

Mr. Seton R. Droppers, (19) Albany.
Stuart A. Winning, M.D., (2) Ogdensburg.
Mr. Alton Barlow, (A) Canton.
Mr. Richard E. Bolton, (B) Rensselaer.

ARIZONA

Rev. David C. Trimble, (22) Prescott.
Rev. Jerry Wallace, (B) Tucson.

Mr. William W. Clore, (19) Phoenix.
Mr. Stephen Shadegg, (E) Phoenix.
Mr. Paul M. Roca, (D) Phoenix.
Mr. Harry Musgrove, (C) Coolidge.

ARKANSAS

Rev. Christoph Keller, Jr., (2) Harrison.
Rev. J. Rayford McLean, (C) El Dorado.

Mr. John H. Holloway, (9) El Dorado.
Mr. Edwin B. Cromwell, (A) Little Rock.
Mr. Felix Green, (20) Little Rock.

1 Mr. T. A. Peters took the place of Mr. Shadegg 7th day.
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**ATLANTA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Harry Tisdale, (6)</td>
<td>Mr. Seaton G. Bailey, (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hugh Saussy, Jr., (B)</td>
<td>Mr. Thomas S. Perry, (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Stewart Matthews, (C)</td>
<td>Major C. L. Daughtry, (E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Macon.**

| Mr. Seaton G. Bailey, (3)  | Mr. Hinton F. Longino, (11) |
| Mr. Thomas S. Perry, (D)  | Major C. L. Daughtry, (E) |

**Griffin.**

**Atlanta.**

| Mr. Seaton G. Bailey, (3)  | Mr. Hinton F. Longino, (11) |
| Mr. Thomas S. Perry, (D)  | Major C. L. Daughtry, (E) |

**Avondale Estates.**

---

**BETHLEHEM**

|Ven. Dean T. Stevenson, (20)  | Mr. Marius Bressoud, Jr., (16) |
| Rev. Burke Rivers, (1)  | Mr. Byron S. Miller, (22) |
| Rev. Lyle E. Scott, (D)  | Mr. Frank T. Green, (C) |
| Rev. Merrill M. Moore, S.T.D., (E)  | Mr. James R. Bazley, (B) |

**Bethlehem.**

| Mr. Marius Bressoud, Jr., (16)  | Mr. Byron S. Miller, (22) |
| Mr. Frank T. Green, (C)  | Mr. James R. Bazley, (B) |

**Greenwich, Conn.**

**Jermyn.**

**Pottsville.**

---

**CALIFORNIA**

| Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., (18)  | Mr. Donald George, (14) |
| Very Rev. S. E. Johnson, Ph.D., (P)  | Mr. Lloyd L. Hamilton, (B) |
| Rev. Walter Williams, D.D., (22)  | Mr. Aubrey Hackshaw, (A) |

**San Francisco.**

| Mr. Donald George, (14)  | Mr. Lloyd L. Hamilton, (B) |
| Mr. Philip Adams, (7)  | Mr. Aubrey Hackshaw, (A) |

**San Mateo.**

**Oakland.**

---

**CENTRAL NEW YORK**

| Rev. William H. Cole, (2)  | Mr. Frederick P. Weymer, (12) |
| Rev. W. Paul Thompson, (A)  | Mr. Robert A. Branan, (D) |
| Rev. Harold L. Hutton, (B)  | Mr. Walter A. Hamlin, (E) |

**Syracuse.**

| Mr. Frederick P. Weymer, (12)  | Mr. Hugh R. Jones, (6) |
| Mr. Robert A. Branan, (D)  | Mr. Walter A. Hamlin, (E) |

**Utica.**

**Binghamton.**

**Liverpool.**

---

**CHICAGO**

| Very Rev. Howard S. Kennedy, D.D., (7)  | Mr. Hamilton S. Newsom, (A) |
| Rev. Edwin Hunt Badger, Jr., (12)  | Mr. Stuart E. Ullman, (4) |
| Very Rev. Walter C. Klein, Ph.D., Nashotah, Wisconsin, (D)  | Mr. George Eisele, (E) |

**Chicago.**

**Hinsdale.**

**Lake Bluff.**

**Wisconsin.**

---

*Mr. J. Derry Burns took the place of Mr. Perry 7th day.*
COLORADO

Clerical

Lay

CONNECTICUT


DALLAS


Mr. Ray F. Holmes, (1) Fort Worth. Mr. Jos. Irion Worsham, (A) Dallas. Mr. W. E. Chilton, Jr., (C) Fort Worth. Mr. Robert Doss, (D) Denison.

DELAWARE


Mr. Houston Wilson, (P) Georgetown. Mr. Daniel M. Thornton, III, (B) Wilmington. Mr. W. Albert Haddock, (A) Wilmington. Mr. S. Thomas Pippin, (C) Wilmington.

EAST CAROLINA


Mr. Will G. Gaither, (P) Elizabeth City. L. Sidney Christian, O.D., (E) Williamston. Mr. Walker Taylor, Jr., (D) Wilmington. Mr. Thurman Williams, Jr., (C) Fayetteville.

*The Rev. David A. Jones took the place of the Rev. Mr. DeWolfe Jr. 8th day only.
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EASTON

Clerical
Rev. Allan Whatley, (D) Cambridge.
Rev. Waldo I. Peterson, (A) Berlin.

Lay
Mr. William H. Adkins, II, (6) Easton.
Mr. John Holbrook Chapman, (2) Wittman.
Mr. Joseph Auman, (A) Chesterfield.
Mr. Charles LaMotte, (B) Chesterfield.

EAU CLAIRE

Rev. Stanley Atkins, (B) Hudson.
Rev. E. Harvey Buxton, (C) Sparta.

Mr. Arthur Moody, (17) La Crosse.
Louis Weisbrod, M.D., (B) New Richmond.
Mr. Ward D. Thomas, (E) Hudson.
Mr. Cuthbert Claxton, (A) South Range.

ERIE


Mr. Robert Durham, (3) Warren.
Mr. Lyle G. Hall, Jr., (C) Ridgway.
Mr. D. Harvey Phillips, (B) Bradford.
Mr. Rollo McCray, (D) Waterford.

FLORIDA

Rev. George Chester Bedell, (B) Panama City.

Mr. Arthur W. Platt, (P) Tallahassee.
Mr. Alfred Thomas Airth, (C) Live Oak.
Mr. George W. Milam, J.D. (A) Jacksonville.
Mr. Fred G. Jones, (E) Neptune Beach.

FOND DU LAC

Rev. John O. Bruce, (1) Shawano.
Rev. Thomas K. Chaffee, (C) Menasha.
Rev. James W. Samter, (D) Sheboygan Falls.

Mr. Andre J. Perry, (20) Fond du Lac.
Edwin W. Webster, Ph.D., (B) Ripon.
Hon. F. H. Schlichting, (A) Sheboygan.
Mr. Robert Braun, (E) Sheboygan.

*Mr. Gilbert Dickerman took the place of Mr. Schlichting 7th day.
GEORGIA

Clerical
Rev. Kenneth M. Gearhart, (2) Savannah
Rev. A. Nelson Daunt, (E) Albany
Rev. Michael Kippenbrock, (A) Valdosta
Rev. Alfred Mead, (B) Augusta

Lay
Mr. B. Barnwell Cubbedge, (12) Savannah
Mr. Blake D. Ellis, (C) Valdosta
Mr. H. V. E. Platter, (D) Moultrie
Mr. Samuel C. Waller, (E) Augusta

HARRISBURG

Rev. Canon Heber W. Becker, (5) Lancaster
Very Rev. Howard G. Clark, (21) Harrisburg
Ven. Harry T. Frownfelter, (C) Wellsboro
Rev. E. Edward M. Philipson, (D) Sunbury

Lay
Mr. Theodore R. Banks, (19) Hershey
Mr. Samuel A. Burns, (11) Harrisburg
Col. John J. Dubbelde, Jr., (B) Camp Hill
Mr. William R. Witmeyer, (A) Mannheim

INDIANAPOLIS

Very Rev. Paul Moore, Jr., S.T.D., (19) Indianapolis
Rev. Canon Frank V. H. Carthy, (E) Indianapolis
Rev. Thomas A. Dixon, (A) New Castle
Rev. G. Ernest Lynch, (B) Indianapolis

Lay
Mr. Charles W. Battle, (D) Muncie
Irving W. Burr, Ph.D., (C) West Lafayette
R. Shirrell Rogers, M.D., (D) Terre Haute
Mr. John M. Webb, (3) Indianapolis

IOWA

Rev. Robert W. Kem, (3) Des Moines
Rev. E. William Strauser, (13) Burlington
Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer, (1) Dubuque
Rev. Charles R. Fletcher, (C) Fort Madison

Lay
Mr. John Kyle, (16) Waterloo
Mr. William Talbot, (E) Keokuk
Mr. Jack Benjamin, (D) Shenandoah
Mr. Russell O. Lamson, (B) Waterloo

KANSAS

Rev. Boyd C. Latimer, (E) Chanute
Rev. Frederic J. Raasch, (16) Wichita
Very Rev. L. Skerry Olson, D.D., (P) Topeka
The Rev. Henry H. Breul, (D) Topeka

Lay
Mr. Clifford W. Stone, (17) El Dorado
John E. Sweeney, M.D., (B) Topeka
Mr. J. Douglas Veith, (A) Independence
Mr. John K. Peck, (C) Arkansas City

*The Rev. Robert K. Bernhard took the place of the Rev. Mr. Lynch 10th day.
*The Rev. Mr. Raasch took his seat 4th day.
Mr. E. Joseph Dvorak took the place of Mr. Kyle 7th day.
Mr. Lamson took his seat 5th day.
KENTUCKY

Clerical

Rev. J. F. G. Hopper, (A) Paducah
Rev. Charles G. Leavell, (B) Henderson
Rev. William H. Langley, Jr., (14) Louisville

Lay

Mr. George H. Greer, (9) Owensboro
Mr. Sterling S. Lanier, Jr., (E) Hopkinsville
Mr. Harry J. Scheirich, (13) Louisvillle
Mr. William Bessire, (C) Louisville

LEXINGTON

Rev. T. Clarke Bloomfield, D.D., (6) Lexington
Rev. Canon Robert W. Estill, (8) Lexington
Very Rev. Edgar C. Newlin, D.C.L., (C) Danville
Rev. Charles K. C. Lawrence, (D) Lexington

Lay

Mr. Angus McDonald, (E) Lexington
Mr. Mark C. Holmes, (17) Erlanger
Mr. Maurice A. Jackson, (A) Versailles
Mr. Irle R. Hicks, Sr., (D) Covington

LONG ISLAND

Ven. Canon Charles W. MacLean, S.T.D., (P) Garden City
Ven. Canon Harry J. Stretch, (20) Garden City

Lay

Mr. Hunter L. Delatour, (16) Brooklyn
Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., (16) Merrick
Mr. Richard W. Beyer, (B) Lindenhurst
Mr. William K. Allison, (C) Garden City

LOS ANGELES

Rev. Harold Barrett Robinson, (4) San Diego
Rev. John H. M. Yamazaki, (4) Los Angeles
Rev. R. Parker Jones, (A) Los Angeles

Lay

Mr. George Gibbs, Ph.D., (P) Claremont
Mr. Merton A. Albee, J.D., (6) Los Angeles
Mr. William D. Campbell, (C) Los Angeles
Mr. Alfred B. Focke, Ph.D., (B) Claremont

LOUISIANA

Rev. Robert E. Ratelle, (B) Alexandria
Rev. Canon Sherwood S. Clayton, (9) New Orleans
Very Rev. Leonard E. Nelson, (C) New Orleans

Lay

Mr. Russell E. Sprague, (4) Tailulah
Mr. Samuel A. Carleton, (A) New Orleans
Mr. Tom Fitzhugh Wilson, (D) Monroe
Mr. Charles M. Waters, Jr., (E) Alexandria

*The Rev. C. Boone Sadler took the place of the Rev. Cary 7th day.
**Dr. James C. Cantrill took the place of Mr. Hicks 5th day.
***Mr. James E. Minds took the place of Dr. Gibbs 7th day.
****Mr. Campbell took his seat 5th day.
MAINE

Clerical

Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, (5) Augusta.
Rev. Wilbur E. Hogg, Jr., (D) Falmouth Foreside.

Lay

Mr. Rodney E. Ross, Jr., (3) Bath.
Mr. Ralph G. Kennison, (10) Augusta.
Mr. Roger B. Ray, (A) Cape Elizabeth.

MARYLAND

Rev. Canon David C. Watson, D.D., Mr. Harrison Garrett, (B) & (4) Baltimore.
Rev. Bennett J. Sims, (A) Baltimore.

MASSACHUSETTS

Ven. John M. Burgess, (2) Newton Centre.

MICHIGAN

Ven. Charles D. Braidwood, (B) Lapeer.
Very Rev. John J. Weaver, (C) Detroit.

MILWAUKEE

Very Rev. Edward Jacobs, (D) Milwaukee.

Mr. Charles B. Crouse, (P) Detroit.
Mr. Gerald G. Guinan, (10) Pontiac.
Mr. William A. Walker, (E) Grosse Pointe.
Mr. Claude F. Cowley, (D) Dearborn.

Mr. Garrett took his seat 2nd day.

Mr. Harrison Garrett, (B) & (4) Baltimore.
Mr. Theodore C. Waters, (D) Baltimore.
Mr. Wilson K. Barnes, (C) Baltimore.
Mr. W. Page Dame, Jr., (B) Ruxton.

Mr. James Garfield, (6) Cambridge.
Mr. John E. Buddington, (20) Melrose.
Mr. William A. Coolidge, (A) Topsfield.
Mr. Lispenard B. Phister, (P) Boston.

Mr. Charles B. Foulkes, LL.D., (7) Milwaukee.
Mr. Peter Day, (10) Milwaukee.
Mr. Van Tyle W. Coddington, (B) Wauwatosa.
Frederick L. Browne, Ph.D., (22) Madison.
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**MINNESOTA**

**Clerical**

- Rev. John W. Hildebrand, (21) Duluth.
- Rev. Denzil A. Carty, (B) St. Paul.

**Lay**

- Mr. David E. Bronson, (6) & (15) Minneapolis.
- Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch, (C) St. Paul.
- Mr. John W. Gregg, (19) Minneapolis.
- Mr. Lyman L. Huntley, (E) Grand Rapids.

**MISSISSIPPI**

- Rev. Edward H. Harrison, (C) Jackson.
- Rev. Duncan M. Hobart, (D) Meridian.

**Lay**

- Mr. Laurence McDuff, (B) Hattiesburg.
- Mr. Reynolds S. Cheney, (6) Jackson.
- Mr. Frank C. Englesing, (A) Port Gibson.
- Mr. D. A. Elliott, (C) Meridian.

**MISSOURI**

- Ven. Charles F. Rehkopf, (B) St. Louis.

**Lay**

- Mr. Harry Giessow, (D) St. Louis.
- Mr. Forrest F. Crane, (5) Columbia.
- Mr. Walter Swearengen, (C) Jefferson City.
- J. Owen Blache, M.D., (A) St. Louis.

**MONTANA**

- Rev. George T. Masuda, (P) Billings.
- Rev. Jackson E. Gilliam, (C) Great Falls.
- Rev. Charles A. Wilson, (6) Helena.
- Rev. Ralph E. Krohn, (D) Livingston.

**Lay**

- D. Stuart MacKenzie, Jr., M.D., (1) Havre.
- Mr. Howard E. Connor, (7) Great Falls.
- Mr. Donald MacKay, (E) Roscoe.
- *Mr. Willis B. Haskell, (10) Lewistown.

**NEBRASKA**


**Lay**

- Mr. Sterling F. Mutz, (P) Lincoln.
- Mr. Andrew D. Mapes, J.D., (2) Norfolk.
- Mr. Reginald M. Sutton, (C) New York.
- Mr. Floyd F. Edelman, (D) Hyannis.

---

*The Rev. Robert M. Allen took the place of the Rev. Mr. Hobart 5th day.

**The Rev. Robert M. Allen took the place of the Rev. Mr. Gould 2nd day only.

**The Very Rev. Mr. Steinberg took his seat 2nd day.

**Mr. L. W. Barksdale, Jr. took the place of Mr. Elliott 7th day.

**Mr. John H. Leach took the place of Dr. Blache 5th day.

* Indicates non-attendance.
NEW HAMPSHIRE

Clerical
Rev. Donald H. Marsh, (B) Rochester.
The Ven. Donald H. Lyons, (C) Concord.

Lay
Mr. James S. Barker, (5) Concord.
Mr. Elmer M. Anderson, (8) Concord.
Mr. Eric N. Ebbeson, (E) Portsmouth.
Mr. James B. Godfrey, (A) Concord.

NEW JERSEY

Ven. Samuel Steinmetz, Jr., (1) Trenton.
Rev. Canon Raymond H. Miller, (D) Sea Girt.

Mr. Albert L. Hancock, Sr., (A) Levittown, Penna.
Mr. Walter E. Cooper, (1) Cranford.
Mr. J. Arthur Jones, (5) Camden.
Mr. Daniel S. Weigand, (B) Little Silver.

NEW MEXICO AND SOUTHWEST TEXAS

Rev. Charles E. Fish, (3) Albuquerque, N. M.
Rev. Charles R. Davies, (A) Roswell, N. M.
Rev. George A. Stracke, (B) Las Vegas, N. M.
Rev. Henry F. Seaman, (C) Santa Fe, N. M.

Mr. William G. Ikard, II, (21) Mesquite, N. M.
Mr. Tom B. Newman, Jr., (D) El Paso, Texas.
Mr. William W. Gilbert, (8) Santa Fe, N. M.
Mr. Victor C. Moulton, (E) Albuquerque, N. M.

NEW YORK

Rev. John A. Bell, (D) New York.

Theodor Oxholm, LL.D., (F) New York.
Mr. Andrew Oliver, (A) New York.

NEWARK

Rev. George L. Grambs, (B) East Orange.

Mr. F. Bruce Gerhard, (13) Summit.
Mr. John B. Rhodes, (C) Short Hills.
Mr. William C. Young, (D) Montclair.
Mr. K. Wade Bennett, (E) Mendham.

19 Mr. Godfrey took his seat 3rd day.
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NORTH CAROLINA

Clerical
Rev. Carl F. Herman, (4) Greensboro.
Rev. Thom W. Blair, (D) Charlotte.

Lay
Sydenham B. Alexander, M.D., (A) Chapel Hill.
Richard G. Stone, Ph.D., (2) Raleigh.
Mr. George E. London, (22) Raleigh.
Mr. Hyman H. Philips, Jr., (E) Tarboro.

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

Rev. Canon Kenneth B. Samuelson, (3) Sacramento.
Rev. Lloyd F. Gebhart, (E) Chico.
Rev. Edward McNair, (A) Marysville.

NORTHERN INDIANA

Very Rev. Robert F. Royster, (5) South Bend.
Rev. William C. R. Sheridan, (B) Plymouth.
Rev. Carl H. Richardson, (C) Elkhart.

NORTHERN MICHIGAN

Rev. Reno W. Kuehnel, (A) Ishpeming.

NORTHWEST TEXAS

Rev. Lawrence M. Berry, (8) Odessa.
Rev. Richard F. Neal, (B) Midland.
Rev. William E. West, (D) Pampa.

Mr. Lee T. Bivins, (E) Amarillo.
Mr. George Miller, (B) Lubbock.
Mr. Joe M. Scott, Jr., (A) Dalhart.

* Indicates non-attendance.
OHIO

Clerical

Very Rev. David Loegler, (E) Cleveland.
Rev. Samuel Peard, (C) Mansfield.

Lay

F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., (9) Gambier.
Mr. Robert M. Lindstrom, (C) Cleveland.
Mr. Hugh C. Laughlin, (D) Toledo.

OKLAHOMA

Rev. Charles E. Wilcox, (4) Tulsa.
Rev. Herbert N. Conley, (C) Shawnee.
Rev. Kenneth W. Kadey, (D) Oklahoma City.

Mr. Malcolm Deisenroth, Jr., (B) Tulsa.
Mr. A. T. Singleteray, (E) Perry.
Mr. Roy C. Lytle, (A) Oklahoma City.
Mr. E. C. Hubert, (16) Tulsa.

OLYMPIA

Rev. Lincoln Paul Eng, (8) Seattle.

Mr. W. Paul Uhlmann, (14) Seattle.
Mr. George W. Farnsworth, (B) Seattle.
Mr. B. Franklin Miller, (F) Seattle.
Mr. M. J. Muckey, (D) Tacoma.

OREGON

Rev. Alfred S. Tyson, (B) Roseburg.

Dean K. Brooks, M.D., (11) Salem.
Mr. L. Dale Pederson, J.D., (D) Eugene.
Mr. John Vassie, (16) Portland.

PENNSYLVANIA

Rev. Peter C. Van der Hiel, Jr., (10) Aerdmore.

Mr. P. Blair Lee, (13) Philadelphia.
Mr. William White, Jr., (9) Bryn Mawr.
Mr. C. Jared Ingersoll, (E) Fort Washington.
The Hon. E. Leroy van Roden, (A) Media.

26 The Rev. Dr. Charles H. Long, D.D., took the place of the Rev. Van der Hiel 2nd day only.
27 Mr. Robert Mason took the place of Dr. Lund first three days. Dr. Lund took his place fourth day.
28 Mr. Robert Mason took the place of Judge Ford 7th day.
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PITTSBURGH

Clerical

Rev. Russell W. Turner, (B) Aliquippa.

Lay

Mr. Michael Budzanoski, (20) Monongahela.
Mr. Louis R. Schmertz, (D) Pittsburgh.
Mr. William S. Tallman, (C) Sewickley.

QUINCY

Very Rev. Charles B. Upson, Jr., (B) Quincy.
Rev. Howard W. Brummitt, (C) Henry.
Rev. Theron R. Hughes, Jr., (D) Peoria.

RHODE ISLAND


ROCHESTER

Rev. Canon Arthur R. Cowdery, (B) Rochester.

SOUTH CAROLINA

Rev. S. Grayson Clary, (1) Charleston.
Rev. Lynwood C. Magee, (B) Charleston.

John Richard Sosnowski, M.D., (1) Charleston.
Mr. George B. Daniels, (C) Charleston.
Mr. Jack J. Wright, (5) Florence.
Mr. Philip G. Porcher, (D) Mount Pleasant.

Mr. Joseph C. Haefelin, (8) Peoria.
Mr. John D. Morgan, (E) Moline.
Mr. James B. Fulton, (A) Kewanee.
Mr. William H. Van Wyk, (D) Quincy.
Mr. Joseph W. Vanable, (2) Providence.
Mr. Charles A. Kilvert, (B) Providence.
Mr. T. Dawson Brown, (1) East Providence.
Mr. Thomas E. Hargrave, (14) Rochester.
Mr. Donald R. Harter, (A) Rochester.
Mr. Lee Harder, (21) Wellsville.
Theodore T. Odell, Ph.D., (22) Geneva.

Mr. Haefelin took his seat 4th day.
Mr. John W. Wall took the place of Mr. Brown 3rd day.
SOUTH FLORIDA

Clerical
Rev. Samuel C. W. Fleming, (C) Fort Lauderdale.
Rev. James L. Duncan, (D) St. Petersburg.

Lay
Mr. Lewis E. Cooke, (19) Sarasota.
Mr. Joseph A. Sperry, (A) Tampa.

SOUTHERN OHIO

Rev. Robert E. Leake, (B) Columbus.

Mr. Edward A. Dougherty, (E) Cincinnati.
Mr. Fredricks D. Berger, (6) Cincinnati.
Mr. Horace M. Huffman, (C) Dayton.

SOUTHERN VIRGINIA

Rev. Boston M. Lackey, Jr., (C) Petersburg.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, (16) Portsmouth.
Mr. James Mann, Jr., (7) Norfolk.
Mr. Jack H. Mason, (3) Princess Anne.
Hon. F. Nelson Light, (A) Chatham.

SOUTHWESTERN VIRGINIA

Rev. Frederick Griffith, (C) Salem.

Mr. Francis T. West, (12) Martinsville.
Gen. E. Walton Opie, (E) Staunton.
Mr. Ben F. Parrott, (D) Roanoke.
Mr. Robert E. Johnson, (B) Wytheville.

SPRINGFIELD

Ven. Wayne L. Johnson, (2) Pekin.
Rev. Walter J. Harris, (12) Carbondale.
Rev. David E. Nyberg, (A) Granite City.

Mr. William H. Ahlenius, (8) Decatur.
Mr. Paul C. Baker, (C) Bloomington.
Marcus Selden Goldman, Ph.D., (B) Urbana.
Mr. Thomas P. Shafer, (D) Danville.
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TENNESSEE

Clerical

Rev. Tracy H. Lamar, Jr., (B) Knoxville.

Lay

Mr. Charles M. Crump, (18) Memphis.
Charles Wofford, M.D., (A) Johnson City.
Edward McCrady, Ph.D., (C) & (10) Sewanee.
Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr., (E) Chattanooga.

TEXAS

Rev. J. Thomas Bagby, (C) Houston.
Rev. Scott Field Bailey, (1) Houston.

Lay

Mr. Sheldon H. Crocker, (22) Houston.
Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin, (F) Beaumont.
Mr. J. Walter Kilpatrick, (A) Houston.
Mr. T. Deal Reese, (B) Beaumont.

UPPER SOUTH CAROLINA

Rev. William W. Lumpkin, (D) Rock Hill.

Lay

Mr. W. Croft Jennings, (9) Columbia.
Mr. Chester E. Hatch, Jr., (C) Greenville.
Mr. Stephen D. Mitchell, (11) Greenville.
Mr. David G. Ellison, Jr., (B) Columbia.

VERMONT

Rev. Robert S. Kerr, (B) Burlington.

Lay

Mr. James H. Viele, (E) Burlington.
Mr. John Flint, (A) Stowe.

VIRGINIA

Rev. David H. Lewis, Jr., (E) Richmond.

Lay

Mr. B. Powell Harrison, Jr., (E) Leesburg.
Mr. John Paul Causey, (1) West Point.
Mr. George R. Humrickhouse, (C) Richmond.
Mr. Blake T. Newton, Sr., (D) Hague.
### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Silver Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Curtis Draper, Jr., (B)</td>
<td>Mr. Harry A. Boswell, (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Marlboro</td>
<td>Hyattsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. E. Felix Kloman, D.D., (C)</td>
<td>Hon. Oliver Gasch, (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Edgar R. Mellon, (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver Spring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry A. Boswell, (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyattsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ernest W. Greene, (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Oliver Gasch, (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WEST MISSOURI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST MISSOURI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward R. Sims, (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Eugene G. Malcolm, (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Arleigh W. Lassiter, (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Donald R. Woodward, (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WEST TEXAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST TEXAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph L. Brown, (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gerald N. McAllister, (D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thomas H. Morris, (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WEST VIRGINIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEST VIRGINIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frank Rowley, (P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluefield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert P. Atkinson, (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thomas C. Seitz, (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairmont</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert L. Curry, D.D., (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. Vincent Bennett, D.D., (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitchburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Bradford Hastings, (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worcester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The Rev. Allen R. Hingston took the place of the Rev. Mr. Sims 10th day.

The Rev. Birney W. Smith, Jr., took the place of the Rev. Mr. Malcolm 10th day.

Mr. Phil S. Lyon took the place of Mr. Wagstaff 2nd day.
WESTERN MICHIGAN

*Clerical*  
Rev. William C. Warner, (10)  
*Holland.*  
Rev. George A. Stams, D.D., (D)  
*Grand Rapids.*  
Rev. Francis J. Foley, (E)  
*Traverse City.*  
Rev. William P. D. O'Leary, (A)  
*Dowagiac.*

*Lay*  
Brig. Gen. Miles A. Cowles, (3)  
*Three Rivers.*  
Mr. George W. Perrett, (C)  
*Battle Creek.*  
Mr. George H. Post, (D)  
*Kalamazoo.*  
Mr. Robert A. Addison, (B)  
*Sturgis.*

WESTERN NEW YORK

*Buffalo.*  
Rev. Canon Leslie F. Chard, (E)  
*Dunkirk.*  
Rev. Alexander A. Corti, (C)  
*North Tonawanda.*  
Rev. Edward H. Kryder, (D)  
*Williamsville.*

Mr. William C. Baird, (10)  
*Buffalo.*  
Mr. Mervin W. Gleasner, (A)  
*Buffalo.*  
Mr. Melvin W. Elliott, (8)  
*Buffalo.*  
Mr. W. La Verne Baldwin, (B)  
*Tonawanda.*

WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA

Rev. James Y. Perry, Jr., (17)  
*Asheville.*  
Rev. Welch Kenneth Tester, (E)  
*Spruce Pine.*  
Rev. John Walter Tuton, (A)  
*Asheville.*  
Rev. Frank E. McKenzie, (B)  
*Wilkesboro.*

Mr. James Y. Perry, Sr., (B)  
*Arden.*  
Mr. David Kelmet, (D)  
*Waynesville.*  
Mr. Andrew Burnet Stoney, (3)  
*Morganton.*  
Mr. Guy G. Wedthoff,  
*Tryon.*

DEPUTIES FROM MISSIONARY DISTRICTS

ALASKA

*Clerical*  
Rev. Hugh F. Hall, (C)  
*Seward.*  
Rev. Robert M. Demery, (A)  
*Bananera, Guatemala.*  
Rev. Saulo M. da Silva, (E)  
*Brasilia.*

*Lay*  
Robert A. Smithson, D.D.S., (A)  
*Anchorage.*  
Mr. Leonard Gordon, (E)  
*Bluefields, Nicaragua.*  
Mr. Vivian Camargo, (D)  
*Sao Paulo.*
CUBA

Clerical

Lay
Mr. Buenaventura Lopez, (B) Moron, Prov. Camaguey.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Rev. William L. Wipfler, (B) Mr. Fausto Richardson, (C) La Romana.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Rev. William L. Wipfler, (B) Mr. Fausto Richardson, (C) La Romana.

EASTERN OREGON

Rev. Don B. Walster, (1) Mr. Dean B. Erwin, (A) Pendleton.

HAITI


HONG KONG

Rev. John J. Morrett, (C) Mr. Hugh Shearer, (D) Honolulu.

IDAHO

Rev. Norman E. Stockwell, (D) Mr. Bert Larsen, (C) Twin Falls.

LIBERIA


MEXICO

Rev. Alfonso Gomez Camberos, (A) Guadalajara, Jalisco.

Mr. Theodore van Gelder, (11) Guadalajara, Jalisco.

NEVADA

Rev. Wayne B. Williamson, (B) Mr. John P. Thatcher, (1) Reno.

NORTH DAKOTA


Mr. Ralph W. Black, (A) Fargo.

The Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzalez-Agüeros took the place of the Rev. Mr. Salvador-Fonseca 1st day.

The Rev. Mr. Salvador-Fonseca took his seat 5th day.
1961] LIST OF MEMBERS

PANAMA CANAL ZONE

Clerical
Rev. Edwin C. Webster, (C) Margarita.

Lay
Mr. Cecil L. Carter, (D) Panama.
Mr. Timothy D. Chaokas, (E) Bontoc.
Mr. Candido Rivera-Torres, (22) Ponce.
Mr. Robert J. Newell, (C) Bakersfield.

PHILIPPINES
Rev. Alejandro Roman Tauh, (D) Baguio.
Mr. Timothy D. Chaokas, (E) Bontoc.
Mr. Timothy D. Chaokas, (E) Bontoc.

PUERTO RICO
Mr. Candido Rivera-Torres, (22) Ponce.

SAN JOAQUIN
Mr. Robert J. Newell, (C) Bakersfield.

SOUTHWESTERN BRAZIL
Rev. Glauco Soares de Lima, (C) Porto Alegre, R.G.S.
Mr. Oine de Moraes, (E) Santa Maria, R.G.S.

SOUTHERN BRAZIL
Rev. Ernst Bernhoeft, (B) Montenegro, R.G.S.
Carlos N. Tietboehl, M.D., (A) Porto Alegre, R.G.S.

SPOKANE
Rev. Ernest J. Mason, (D) Spokane.
Mr. Charles E. Allison, (C) Coeur d'Alene, Idaho.

TAIWAN
Rev. Canon C. P. Gilson, (E) Taipei.
Mr. S. T. Lee, (C) Taipei.

UTAH
Mr. James W. Beless, Jr., (B) Salt Lake City.

VIRGIN ISLANDS
Rev. Norman Kenneth Yates, (B) St. Thomas.
Mr. Claude Markoe, (A) Christiansted.

The Very Rev. Harry B. Lee took the place of the Rev. Mr. Rivera 9th day.
Mr. H. H. Meday took the place of Mr. Newell 6th day.
**WESTERN KANSAS**

**Clerical**
- Rev. David deL. Scovil, D.D., (2)  
  *Hutchinson.*

**Lay**
- Mr. Sidney D. Miller, (E)  
  *Great Bend.*

**WYOMING**

- Rev. Raymond C. Knapp, (C)  
  *Laramie.*
- Mr. Les E. Evans, (4)  
  *Cheyenne.*

---

**AMERICAN CHURCHES IN EUROPE**

- Rev. Joseph B. Bernardin, Th.D., (1)  
  *Munich.*
- Mr. Karl Cate, (D)  
  *Paris.*

---

**KEY TO COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS**

1. State of the Church
2. General Theological Seminary
3. Missions
4. Admission of New Dioceses
5. Consecration of Bishops
6. Amendments to the Constitution
7. Canons
8. Elections
9. Prayer Book
10. Christian Education
11. Christian Social Relations
12. Memorials of Deceased Members
13. Church Pension Fund
14. Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes
15. Rules of Order
16. Expenses
17. Rural Work
18. Dispatch of Business
19. Evangelism
20. National and International Problems
21. Ecumenical Relations
22. Theological Education

**STUDY COMMITTEES**

A. Home Department
B. Overseas Department
C. Christian Education
D. Christian Social Relations
E. Promotion

---

P. Members of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget
This being the day designated by the previous Convention for holding the meeting of the General Convention, the Deputies-elect assembled in Cobo Hall, Detroit, Michigan.

The House was called to order at 10:30 a.m. by the Secretary, the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter, who read a passage of Scripture from the 15th Chapter of St. John's Gospel, followed by the Apostles' Creed and prayers.

The Secretary proceeded to call the roll, at the conclusion of which he announced that there was a quorum present, and the House was open for business. (See list on preceding pages).

The first order of business was the election of the President, and the Chair appointed the following persons as Tellers:

Mr. Anson McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, Chairman.
The Rev. Alexander A. Corti, of Western New York.
The Rev. William W. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina.
The Rev. John W. Tuton, of Western North Carolina.
The Very Rev. Donald R. Woodward, of West Missouri.
Dr. Sydenham B. Alexander, of North Carolina.
Mr. C. Jared Ingersoll, of Pennsylvania.
General Malcolm Hay, of Pittsburgh.

The Chair called for nominations for the office of President of the House. The Rev. Charles D. Kean, D.D., of Washington, nominated Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse of New York. The nomination was seconded by the Rev. Dr. Walter Stowe, of New Jersey; the Rev. Dr. George Barrett, of New York; Mr. Peter Day, of Milwaukee; and the Very Rev. Dr. Harold Lemoine, of Long Island.
Mr. Phillip Adams, of California, moved that the nominations be closed and that the Chair cast the unanimous ballot for the election of Mr. Morehouse as President, dispensing with the required secret ballot. The vote being called for, Mr. Morehouse was declared unanimously elected. The Chair then appointed Mr. Peter Day, of Milwaukee, and the Rev. Dr. George Barrett, of New York, to escort Mr. Morehouse to the Chair.

Mr. Morehouse briefly addressed the House, thanking them for his election, and announcing his intention of presiding with fairness and dispatch. Before assuming the gavel, however, he moved a resolution of privilege, expressing appreciation to the Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., the former President:

WHEREAS, the Reverend Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., S.T.D., D.D., has served faithfully as President of this House of Deputies since 1952; and

WHEREAS, Canon Wedel has always presided with utter fairness, calm firmness, unfailing courtesy, patience, and good humor, no matter how complex the issue or stormy the session, and in his many appointments has shown great wisdom, broad understanding, and complete impartiality; and

WHEREAS, having retired as Warden of the College of Preachers, although still brilliantly engaged in teaching, writing, and other constructive activities, he has declined to stand for re-election to the House and its presidency; therefore be it

Resolved, that this House of Deputies hereby extends its heartfelt greetings to Canon Wedel; and in token of our appreciation of his leadership in the past, of our affectionate loyalty to him in the present, and of our prayers and good wishes for him in the future, we do hereby confer upon him, honoris causa, the title

PRESIDENT-EMERITUS OF THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

Resolved, further, that this resolution be spread upon the minutes of the House of Deputies, and that a copy of it, signed by the President and Secretary of the House, be presented to Canon Wedel.

The resolution was adopted.

The Rev. Canon Wedel was escorted forward and was greeted with acclamation, and briefly addressed the House.

The President asked for nominations for Secretary of the House. The Very Rev. Dr. Blandy, of Texas, placed in nomination the name of the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter. It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed, and that a unanimous ballot be cast. The motion was carried unanimously, and the President declared Canon Baxter elected Secretary of the House.
Canon Baxter announced the appointment of the following Assistant Secretaries: The Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, of California, the Rev. John G. Mills, of Milwaukee, and Mrs. Johanna L. Lally, of Long Island. Mrs. Lally was introduced by Canon Baxter to the House with praise for her devoted service for ten General Conventions. She was greeted by a round of applause.

The Rev. Canon Guilbert was recognized by the Chair, and presented a motion of privilege, as follows:

WHEREAS, The Rev. Canon C. Rankin Barnes, S.T.D., D.D., has served this House with great distinction over a period of almost three decades, first as Assistant Secretary, and, since 1946, as Secretary of the House of the General Convention; and

WHEREAS, This is the first General Convention since his retirement; and

WHEREAS, We shall greatly miss his encyclopedic knowledge of the Church, and its laws; his scrupulous attention to detail; and his unfailing courtesy and impartiality; now therefore be it

Resolved, That this House expresses to the Rev. Canon C. Rankin Barnes its cordial greetings, its sense of loss in his absence, and its heartiest best wishes in his retirement.

Adopted by the House.

The President announced the appointment of the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett of California as Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business. The President appointed the additional Members of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, as follows:

Provo Clerical Prov. Lay
4. Mr. Charles M. Crump, Tennessee

Report No. 1 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business was made by Dean Bartlett, of California:

Resolved, That a committee of two, consisting of one Presbyter and one layman, be appointed by the President to convey the cordial greetings of this House to the House of Bishops, and to inform the House of Bishops that the House of Deputies has been duly organized by the election of Clifford P. Morehouse as President, and the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter as Secretary, and is now ready to proceed to business.

This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 1]

The President appointed the Very Rev. John Leffler, D.D., of
Olympia and Dr. Anson McCook of Connecticut as a committee to present Message No. 1 to the House of Bishops.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 2:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Session of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies, for the presentation of the report of the National Council and the reception of notable visitors, be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 19, in the meeting hall of the House of Deputies; and that at this Joint Session the privileges of the floor, with the right to speak, shall be accorded to all officers, members, and department executives of the National Council, and to the officers and official representatives of the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Churchwomen; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Presiding Bishop be requested to invite the officers and official representatives of the Triennial Meeting to convene with the members of the two Houses of the General Convention in this Joint Session.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 11.]

Dean Bartlett yielded the floor to a representative of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, Dr. Varley, of Easton, who presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the morning of Thursday, September 21, be reserved for a visitation to industry in Detroit by the members of both Houses, beginning at 8:00 a.m., and that this be followed by a Joint Session of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies at 10:30 a.m., for the discussion of the Mission of the Church in modern industrialized Society, under the auspices of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs; that the members of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs be invited to sit on the platform, and that the Presiding Bishop be authorized to invite speakers who are not members of either House to address the Joint Session and to participate in the discussion.

This was seconded by the Rev. Dr. Gosnell, of West Texas.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 12.]

The Secretary read Message No. 1 from the House of Bishops:

The House of Bishops has been duly organized with the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., as President, and the election of the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger, of Newark, as Secretary, and is now ready to proceed with business.

Dean Bartlett, of California, continued with the report of the
Committee on Dispatch of Business, presenting the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, That in accordance with the recommendation of the 1958 General Convention, the President of the House be requested to appoint five Study Committees, related to the work respectively of the Departments of Overseas Missions, Home Missions, Christian Education, Social Relations, and Promotion, and that he assign to these committees primarily those members of the House who are not members of Standing Committees; and be it further

Resolved, That the said Study Committees meet on Tuesday, Wednesday, Friday, and Saturday of the first week of the General Convention, from 8:30 a.m. until 9:45 a.m., in rooms to be assigned.

The Chair appointed the Chairmen of the five Study Committees as follows:

Committee A
The Rev. Raymond T. Ferris of Tennessee

Committee B
Mr. Harrison Garrett of Maryland

Committee C
Dr. Edward McCrady of Tennessee

Committee D
Mr. Franklin Miles of Northern Indiana

Committee E
Mr. Powell Harrison of Virginia.

(The members of each Study Committee are designated by the above letters beside their names in the Roster on pp. 142-160, as well as on the following pages.)

The Secretary announced the meeting places for the Study Committees, and emphasized the days when they would be meeting.

MEMBERS OF STUDY COMMITTEE "A"
(HOME DEPARTMENT)

The Rev. Raymond T. Ferris, Tennessee, Convener

Name | Diocese | Name | Diocese
--- | --- | --- | ---
Rev. Eric B. Asboe | Nebraska | Sydenham B. Alexander, M.D. | North Carolina
Rev. Louis M. Breerton, D.D. | Ohio | Mr. James B. Godfrey | New Hampshire
Rev. Bernard G. Buley | Milwaukee | Mr. Joseph Anman | Easton
Rev. Alfonso Gomez Camberos | Mexico | Mr. Alton Barlow | Albany
Rev. John R. Campbell | Southwestern Virginia | Mr. Ralph W. Black | North Dakota
### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles R. Davies</td>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thomas A. Dixon</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Maximiliano S. Fonseca</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John G. Forell</td>
<td>West New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Emmet Gribben, Jr.</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hal R. Gross</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. F. G. Hopper</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph R. Horn</td>
<td>N.S. South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ralph E. Hovecamp</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Parker Jones</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Michael Kippinbrock</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edwin E. Hovencamp</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Parker Jones</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Michael Kippinbrock</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Sidney C. McCammon</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Theodore H. McCrea</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward McNair</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward L. Merrow</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. David E. N. Berry</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William F. D. O'Leary</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Anthony R. Parshley</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. LaVerne Baldwin</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James R. Bazeley, Jr.</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. V. W. Elbash</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. R. Elbash</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William F. D. O'Leary</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Paul Thompson</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Douglas With</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Wm. R. Withc</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J. Owen Blache, M.D.</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Samuel A. Carlston</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William A. Cox</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William A. Cromwell</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frank C. Engelsing</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Dean B. Erwin</td>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Flint</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James B. Fulton</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Mervin W. Gleason</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Albert Haddock</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Albert L. Hancock</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Donald R. Harter</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Maurice A. Jackson</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. Walter Kilpatrick</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. F. Nelson Light</td>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles M. McCrea</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Claude Markoe</td>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George W. Milam</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. B. Franklin Miller</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hamilton S. Newcomb</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Andrew Oliver</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roger B. Ray</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. E. Leroy van Roden</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Joe M. Scott, Jr.</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Addison</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. LaVerne Baldwin</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles A. Albert</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James B. Bazeley, Jr.</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James W. Beless, Jr.</td>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard W. Beyer</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roy H. Bill</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard F. Bolton</td>
<td>Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George A. Clay</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Van W. Coddington</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Page Dame</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Members of Study Committee “B” (Overseas Department)

**Mr. Harrison Garrett, Maryland, Convener**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Stanley Atkins</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George Chester Bedell</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ernst Bernhoft</td>
<td>Southern Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph L. Brown</td>
<td>West Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Denzil A. Carvile</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Anthony R. Cowdery</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert L. Curry</td>
<td>D.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James P. DeWolfe, Jr.</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Curtis Draper, Jr.</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Humeley A. Elebash</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George L. Grambs</td>
<td>Newark</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Addison</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Addison</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. LaVerne Baldwin</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James B. Bazeley, Jr.</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James W. Beless, Jr.</td>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard W. Beyer</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roy H. Bill</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard F. Bolton</td>
<td>Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George A. Clay</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Van W. Coddington</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Page Dame</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. G. Russell Hargate, D.D.</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William R. Hill</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Harold L. Hubble</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert S. Kerr</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Tracy H. Lamar</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert E. Leake</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles G. Leavell</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. G. Ernest Lynch</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frank E. McKenzie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Lyndwood C. Magee</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald H. Marsh</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alfred Mead</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Richard F. Neal</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert E. Ratelle</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles F. Rehkopp</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Wilford E. Roach, II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. H. Snider</td>
<td>Southeastern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William C. R. Sheridan</td>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George A. Stracke</td>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alfred S. Tyson</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Charles B. Upson, Jr.</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Jean E. Tyson</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Wayne B. Williamson</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William L. Wipfier</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Norman Kenneth Yates</td>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles V. Young</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Malcolm Deisenroth, Jr.</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. John J. Dubbele, Jr.</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David G. Ellison</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George W. Farnsworth</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Alfred B. Focke</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Charles H. Gerhardt</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcus Seiden Goldman, Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lloyd Hamilton</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George Miller</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert C. Jacobs, LL.D.</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert E. Johnson</td>
<td>Southwestern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles A. Kilvert</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lewis Kirchner</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles LaMoite</td>
<td>Easton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Russell O. Lamon</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Buenaventura Lopez</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Laurence McDuff</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Henry Meigs</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James Y. Perry, Sr.</td>
<td>West North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. D. Harvey Phillips</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. T. Deal Reese</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John E. Sweeney, M.D.</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Daniel M. Thruston, H.</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Tritle, D.D.S.</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Walter S. Underwood</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwin W. Webster, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Daniel S. Weigand</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Weisbrod, M.D.</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Members of Study Committee “C” (Christian Education)

Edward McCrady, Ph.D., Tennessee, Convener

### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Thomas Bagby</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Howard W. Brummit</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Harvey Buxton, Jr.</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thomas K. Chaffee</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Louis L. Vail</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Herbert N. Conley</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alexander A. Curti</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. D. Ruby Edwards</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Samuel C. W. Fleming</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. E. V. H. Germany</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Harry T. Brownfelter</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Jackson E. Gilliam</td>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederick Griffith</td>
<td>Southwestern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hugh F. Hall</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ernest A. Harding</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward H. Harrison</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Bradford Hastings</td>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Warren Hutchens</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Alfred Thomas Airth</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John E. Sweeney</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Wm. K. Allison</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul C. Baker</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Wilson M. Barnes</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Curtis T. Beecher</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Bessire</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving W. Burr, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. D. Campbell</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. E. Chilton, Jr.</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George B. Daniels</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Blake D. Ellis</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Clement French, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Spokane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frank T. Green</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lyle G. Hall, Jr.</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Chester E. Hatch, Jr.</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Horace M. Huffman</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George R. Humrickhouse</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Wm. E. Kell</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bert Larsen</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. S. T. Lee</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert M. Lindstrom</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William D. Millet, M.D.</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry Munsell</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert J. Newell</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Members of Study Committee "D"

**Christian Social Relations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Franklin B. Miles</td>
<td>Northern Indiana, Convener</td>
<td>R. Shirrell Rogers, M.D.</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. David S. Ball</td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Mr. Charles W. Battle</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Robert S. Beecher</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>Mr. Jack Benjamin</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. A. Bell</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Branan, Central New York</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thom W. Blair</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Branan, Central New York</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Henry H. Breul</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Mr. Vivian Carmargo, Central Brazil</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles H. Cooper</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Mr. Cecil E. Carter, Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>Panama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James L. Duncan</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Mr. Karl Cate, Am. Churches in Europe</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald H. Gratiot, S.T.D.</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Mr. H. V. E. Platter</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Walter J. Harris</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>Mr. Claude F. Cowley</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Charles A. Higgins, D.D.</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>Mr. Robert Dogan</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Duncan M. Hobart</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>Mr. Floyd F. Edeman</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Wilbur E. Hogg, Jr.</td>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Mr. David Felmey</td>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Theron R. Hughes, Jr.</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>Mr. Hon. Oliver Gasch</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward Jacobson, Milwaukee</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Mr. Harry Giessow</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Kenneth W. Kadey</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Mr. Sam Gottlich, Jr.</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Walter C. Klein, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Mr. Sam Earle Hobbs</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ralph E. Krohn</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>Mr. Bradford B. Laidley, West Virginia</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward H. Kryder</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Mr. Hugh C. Laughlin, Western Ohio</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles K. Lawrence, Lexington</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Mr. Clifford Lewis, Northern Michigan</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William W. Lumpkin</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>Mr. Rollo McCray</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gerald N. McAllister, West Texas</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>Mr. Wallace M. Mulliken, Springfield</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ernest J. Mason, Spokane</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Mr. Tom B. Newman, Jr.</td>
<td>New Mex. &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Raymond H. Miller</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Mr. Blake T. Newton, Sr.</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John W. Morris, S.T.D.</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Mr. Martin H. Ohlender</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. E. Edward M. Philipson</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>Mr. Ben F. Parrott</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James W. Spitzer, Fond du Lac</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Mr. Thomas S. Perry</td>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Lyle E. Scott, Bethlehem</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Mr. L. Dale Peterson, Oregon</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thorne Sparkman, D.D.</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Mr. Philip G. Porcher, South Carolina</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. George H. Post, Western Michigan</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Paul M. Rock, Arizona</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Louis R. Schmertz, Pittsburgh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Hugh Shearer, Hawaii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. M. J. Muckey, Olympia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MemBERS OF STUDY COMMITTEE “E” (PROMOTION)

**Mr. B. Powell Harrison, Jr., Virginia, Convener**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Lay Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George A. Stams, D.D.</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>Mr. Walker Taylor</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Norman E. Stockwell</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>Mr. Charles Tuttle, Jr.</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Richard M. Trelease, Jr.</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Mr. William H. Dauherty</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Kenneth E. Truedson</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>Mr. Tom Fitzhugh Wilson</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William E. West</td>
<td>Northwest, Texas</td>
<td>Mr. William C. Young</td>
<td>Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William W. Wiedrich</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Peyton R. Williams</td>
<td>Southern Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Walker Taylor</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>Mr. Charles Tuttle, Jr.</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William H. Dauherty</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>Mr. Tom Fitzhugh Wilson</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William C. Young</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. B. Powell Harrison, Jr., Virginia, Convener</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. K. Wade Bennett</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry A. Boswell</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Timothy D. Chaokas</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Sidney Christian, D.D.</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Edward A. Daugherty</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hyman H. Philip, Jr.</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Evans Dunn</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Eric N. Ebbeson</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ward Thomas</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Walter A. Hamlin</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mr. Sam M. Hartnett       | New Mexico                  |
| Mr. Lyman L. Huntley     | Minnesota                  |
| Mr. C. Jared Ingers, D.D. | Pennsylvania               |
| Mr. Roger Jean           | Haiti                      |
| Mr. Fred G. Jones        | Florida                    |
| Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr.   | Tennessee                  |
| Dr. Kenneth Kintner      | Northern Indiana           |
| Mr. Sterling S. Lanier   | Kentucky                   |
| Mr. Porter Loring, Jr.   | West Texas                 |
| Mr. Angus McDonald       | Lexington                  |
| Mr. Donald MacKay        | Montana                    |
| Mr. Edward Weber         | Northwest Texas            |
| Mr. Sidney D. Miller     | Western Kansas             |
| Mr. Olin de Moraes       | Southwestern Brazil        |
| Mr. John D. Morgan       | Quincy                     |
| General E. Walton Opie   | Southwestern Virginia      |
| Mr. Robert Braun         | Fond du Lac                |
| Mr. Stephen Shadegg      | Arizona                    |
| Mr. A. T. Singletary     | Oklahoma                   |
| Maj. C. L. Daughtry      | Atlanta                    |
| Mr. William Talbot       | Iowa                       |
| Mr. Kenneth E. Timm      | Chicago                    |
| Mr. John A. Van Lund     | Western Massachusetts      |
| Mr. James H. Viele       | Vermont                    |
| Mr. William A. Walker    | Michigan                   |
| Mr. Charles M. Waters, Jr. | Louisiana               |
| Mr. Lee T. Bivens        | Northwest Texas            |
| Mr. Anson T. McCook      | Connecticut                |
| Mr. Samuel C. Waller     | Georgia                    |
Dean Bartlett, of California, presented the following resolutions, which were adopted.

Resolved, That unless otherwise ordered the daily sessions of the House of Deputies during the first week shall be as follows:

Monday, from 10:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m., and from 2:15 p.m. to 5:15 p.m.
Tuesday, from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
Wednesday, from 10:00 a.m. until 12:30 p.m., and from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
Thursday, from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
Friday, from 10:00 a.m. until 12:30 p.m., and from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
Saturday, from 10:00 a.m. until 1:00 p.m.

Resolved, That whenever a morning session of the House of Deputies shall be held, it shall begin with such devotions as the President shall prescribe; and that at 12 o'clock prayer for missions shall be said, as prescribed by Rule 1.

Resolved, That the public be cordially invited to occupy seats provided for visitors during the sessions of this House, except when the House is in Executive Session.

Resolved, That the President appoint a Sergeant-at-Arms, and such assistants as may be required, to serve for the period of this General Convention.

The hour of 12 having arrived, the President led the House in noon-day Prayers.

Dean Bartlett, of California, resuming his report, presented the following resolutions, which were adopted.

Resolved, That a Special Committee on Privilege and Courtesy be appointed, consisting of three presbyters and three laymen, to which may be referred resolutions of privilege and courtesy and other resolutions not pertaining to a Standing Committee, and which shall originate for presentation on the last day of this General Convention, such resolutions of appreciation, recognition, and courtesy as may seem to them appropriate.

Resolved, That Deputies be requested to submit at today's session, when the Order of Business shall permit, by title, such motions and resolutions as may be ready, for reference to appropriate committees; and that they bear in mind that such motions and resolutions as require concurrent action may not be presented after the third calendar day except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, as provided by Rule of Order 36.

Resolved, That the President shall, at this time, appoint the Standing Committees of this House; and be it further

Resolved, That committees be requested to hold meetings for organization during the noon recess, and that upon re-convening of the House, the committees shall submit their first reports, indicating their organization, and the chairman and secretary chosen for each committee.

The President appointed members of the Standing Committees of the House, as follows:
1. On the State of the Church
(2 Deputies from Overseas Missionary Districts, and 1 from American Churches in Europe)

Prov. Clerical
5. Rev. S. Grayson Clary, South Florida.

Prov. Lay
1. Dr. Albert C. Jacobs, Connecticut.
2. Mr. Walter E. Cooper, New Jersey.
3. Mr. John Paul Causey, Virginia.
5. Mr. Kenneth Sannes, Northern Michigan.
7. Mr. Ray F. Holmes, Dallas.
8. Mr. John P. Thatcher, Nevada.

Overseas
Mr. Emmett Harmon, Liberia.

American Churches in Europe
Rev. Jos. B. Bernardin, Th.D., Munich, Germany.

2. On the General Theological Seminary
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical
7. Rev. Christoph Keller, Jr., Arkansas.

Prov. Lay
1. Mr. Joseph W. Vanable, Rhode Island.
4. Mr. Basil Horsfield, Jr., Alabama.
6. Mr. Andrew D. Mapes, Nebraska.
2. Stuart A. Winning, M.D., Albany.
3. On Missions
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
1. Mr. Rodney E. Ross, Jr., *Maine.*

4. On the Admission of New Dioceses
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
5. Mr. Stuart E. Ullman, *Chicago.*

5. On the Consecration of Bishops
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
1. Mr. James S. Barker, *New Hampshire.*
4. Mr. Jack J. Wright, *South Carolina.*
7. Mr. Forrest F. Crane, *Missouri.*
8. Mr. George W. Farnsworth, *Olympia.*
2. Mr. George B. Rhodes, *Newark.*
6. **On Amendments to the Constitution**  
(13 members—1 from each Province)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rev. Harry Tisdale, Atlanta.</td>
<td>Mr. James Garfield, Massachusetts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rev. Wm. Paul Barnds, Ph.D., Dallas.</td>
<td>Mr. Frederiks D. Berger, Southern Ohio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rev. Canon David C. Watson, D.D., Maryland.</td>
<td>Mr. Merton A. Albee, Los Angeles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **On Canons**  
(13 members—1 from each Province)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Very Rev. H. S. Kennedy, D.D., Chicago.</td>
<td>Mr. Winthrop S. Dakin, Western Massachusetts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very Rev. Charles O. Brown, Maine.</td>
<td>Mr. James Mann, Jr., Southern Virginia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rev. Lawrence M. Berry, Northwest Texas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rev. Lincoln Paul Eng, Olympia.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **On Elections**  
(13 members—1 from each Province)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very Rev. Charles O. Brown, Maine.</td>
<td>Mr. Fritz W. Alexander, Northern Indiana.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rev. John W. Drake, Jr., East Carolina.</td>
<td>Mr. Chapman Young, Jr., Colorado.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Rev. Lawrence M. Berry, Northwest Texas.</td>
<td>Mr. William H. Ahlenius, Springfield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Rev. Lincoln Paul Eng, Olympia.</td>
<td>Mr. Melvin W. Elliott, Western New York.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Evans Dunn, Alabama.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. On the Prayer Book
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
3. Mr. William White, Jr., Pennsylvania.
5. F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., Ohio.
4. Mr. George Greer, Kentucky.
7. Mr. John H. Holloway, Arkansas.

10. On Christian Education
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
4. Edward McCrady, Ph.D., Tennessee.
1. Mr. Ralph G. Kennison, Maine.
5. Mr. Gerald G. Guinan, Michigan.
5. Mr. Peter Day, Milwaukee.
2. Mr. William C. Baird, Western New York.
6. Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch, Minnesota.

11. On Christian Social Relations
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical
6. Rev. A. Balfour Patterson, Jr., Colorado.

Prov. Lay
3. Mr. Samuel A. Burns, Harrisburg.
8. Dean K. Brooks, M.D., Oregon.
4. Mr. Stephen D. Mitchell, Upper South Carolina.
4. Mr. Hinton F. Longino, Atlanta.
7. Mr. Theodore van Gelder, Mexico.
12. On Memorials of Deceased Members
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical Prov. Lay
2. Rev. Darwin Kirby, Jr., 1. Mr. Charles L. Park, Jr., Albany.
5. Rev. Walter J. Harris, Springfield.

13. On The Church Pension Fund
(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical Prov. Lay

14. On Unfinished Business and the Certification of the Minutes
(3 Presbyters and 3 Laymen)

Prov. Clerical Prov. Lay

15. On Rules of Order
(6 members—including President)

Prov. Clerical Prov. Lay
President, ex officio, Chairman. 6. Mr. David E. Bronson, Minnesota.
2. Mr. Andrew Oliver, New York.
16. On Expenses

(13 members with Secretary and Treasurer ex officio but no vote)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
1. Mr. Tracy B. Lord, Connecticut.
2. Mr. Hunter L. Delatour, Long Island.
8. Mr. John Vassie, Oregon.
3. Mr. Marius Bressoud, Jr., Bethlehem.

17. On Rural Work

(3 Presbyters and 3 Laymen)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
4. Mr. Mark C. Holmes, Lexington.
5. Mr. Arthur Moody, Eau Claire.
7. Mr. Clifford W. Stone, Kansas.

19. On Evangelism

(13 members—1 from each Province)

Prov. Clerical

Prov. Lay
6. Mr. John W. Gregg, Minnesota.
4. Mr. Lewis E. Cooke, South Florida.
2. Mr. Seton R. Droppers, Albany.
3. Mr. Theodore R. Banks, Harrisburg.
7. Mr. Gill Miller, West Missouri.
8. Mr. William W. Clore, Arizona.
Dean Bartlett, of California, presented the following resolutions, which were adopted.

Resolved, That in order to expedite the work of the committees, such printed reports of Joint Committees and Commissions as are to be presented first in this House, and such Memorials and Petitions as may have been received, shall be presented by title by the Secretary of this House at today's session, and be referred forthwith to the appropriate committees of this House, or, in case there is no appropriate committee, be placed on the Calendar.
Resolved. That the President appoint a committee of three Presbyters and three laymen to bring in nominations of members of the National Council on the part of this House, and that, in accordance with Rule 46, the said committee hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations.

The President appointed the following as members of this Committee:

Mr. Samuel A. Carleton, *Louisiana.*
Mr. Richard P. Kent, *Long Island.*
Mr. Wilson K. Barnes, *Maryland.*

The President appointed the following as members of the Special Committees designated:

Place of Next General Convention (Joint)
Mr. Hugh Shearer, *Honolulu.*

Nominating Church Pension Fund Trustees (Joint)
Edwin W. Webster, Ph.D., *Fond du Lac.*

Nominating Treasurer of General Convention (Joint)
Very Rev. Charles B. Upson, Jr., *Quincy.*
Rev. Duncan M. Hobart, *Mississippi.*
Mr. Aubrey Hackshaw, *California.*
Mr. L. S. Christian, *East Carolina.*

Nominating Treasurer of D. and F. Missionary Society (Joint)
Rev. Frank E. Jarrett, *Dallas.*
Mr. James Y. Perry, Sr., *Western North Carolina.*
Mr. Robert Newell, *San Joaquin.*
Mr. C. Clement French, *Spokane.*
Committee on Privilege and Courtesy

Rev. Canon Leslie F. Chard, 
Western New York.
Rev. John W. Norris, S.T.D., 
Vermont.
Rev. Peyton R. Williams, 
South Virginia.

Irving W. Burr, Ph.D., Indianapolis.
Mr. Charles F. Turbiville, West Texas.
Louis Weisbrod, M.D., Eau Claire.

The President announced the appointment of a Sergeant at Arms, and three assistants, as follows:

Sergeant at Arms—Mr. D. Harvey Phillips, of Erie.
Assistants—Mr. Clifford Lewis, of Northern Michigan.
Mr. Angus McDonald, of Lexington.
Mr. J. Irion Worsham, of Dallas.

The President announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under instruction from the previous Convention, The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., then President of the House, had appointed the following to represent this House on the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, which has been at work in the days immediately preceding the opening of the Convention. The President ratified these appointments:

The Rev. George T. Masuda, of Montana.
The Rev. Frank Rowley, of West Virginia.
The Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., of California.
The Very Rev. L. Skerry Olsen, D.D., of Kansas.
The Rev. Morris F. Arnold, of Southern Ohio.
Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin, of Texas.
Mr. Sterling F. Mutz, of Nebraska.
Theodor Oxholm, LL.D., of New York.
Mr. Houston Wilson, of Delaware.
Mr. John H. Leach, of Missouri.
George Gibbs, Ph.D., of Los Angeles.
Mr. B. Franklin Miller, of Olympia.
Mr. Charles B. Crouse, of Michigan.
Mr. Will G. Gaither, of East Carolina.
Mr. Arthur W. Platt, of Florida.
Mr. Robert A. Adolphson, of Western Massachusetts.
Mr. Lispenard B. Phister, of Massachusetts.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies cordially invite the officers and members of the Triennial Meeting to visit this House at its regular morning session on Wednesday, September 20th, and that the President of this House be authorized to invite the Presiding Officer of the Triennial Meeting, on that day, following the opening devotions, to address this House, and to occupy a seat on the platform; and be it further

Resolved, That the President appoint one presbyter and one layman to convey the greetings of the House of Deputies to the Triennial Meeting and to extend this invitation to its Presiding Officer and members.
The President appointed the Rev. Dr. Kean of Washington and Mr. Peter Day of Milwaukee to convey the action of the House to the Triennial Meeting.

The President appointed a special committee to consider matters arising out of the Report of the Joint Committee on the Reconstruction of General Convention and related matters, consisting of:

- Rev. Bennett J. Sims, of Maryland.
- Rev. William W. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina.
- Rev. Gordon Gillett, of Quincy.
- Mr. Walter Underwood, of Chicago.
- Mr. George R. Humrickhouse, of Virginia.
- Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch, of Minnesota.
- Mr. Anson T. McCook, of Connecticut.

The Secretary presented the following communication from the Presiding Bishop:

To the House of Deputies: September 15, 1961.

In accordance with the provisions of Canon 41, Sec. 6 (b) and Canon 43, Sec. 8 (d), I hereby certify that the following is the list of the resignations of Bishops which have been accepted since the meeting of the General Convention in 1958:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Canon:</th>
<th>Date effective:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edwin Anderson Penick</td>
<td>43, 7(a)</td>
<td>June 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Wise Hobson</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>June 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodrich Robert Fenner</td>
<td>43, 8(a &amp; b)</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Fisher Lewis</td>
<td>43, 8(c)</td>
<td>October 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudley Barr McNeil</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>September 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald James Campbell</td>
<td>41, 6(e)</td>
<td>November 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Fielding Bayne, Jr.</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>December 31, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malcolm Endicott Peabody</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>February 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Corrigan</td>
<td>41, 6(e)</td>
<td>June 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Deane Goodwin</td>
<td>43, 7(a)</td>
<td>December 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Parker Clements</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>December 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Hugo Blankingship</td>
<td>43, 8(c)</td>
<td>April 30, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angus Dun</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>May 4, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Rasmus Brinker</td>
<td>43, 8(a)</td>
<td>January 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop.

The Chair recognized Dean Gressle of Delaware for a resolution of privilege, dealing with the sudden death of Dag Hammarskjold of the United Nations, and calling for appropriate recognition. The Chair referred this matter to the Committee on Privi-
lege and Courtesy, and, after appointing a Chaplain for this House, the Rev. Dr. Theodore P. Ferris of Massachusetts, requested that a suitable prayer be offered at this time.

Reports No. 1 were received from the following Committees, announcing their organization as follows:

1. Committee on Elections: Chairman, the Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr.; Secretary, Mr. Elmer M. Anderson.
2. Committee on Rules of Order: Chairman, the President, ex officio; Secretary, the Very Rev. Gordon E. Brant.
3. Committee on Admission of New Dioceses: Chairman, the Ven. Canon William L. Kite; Secretary, Mr. Jack H. Mason.
4. Committee on the Consecration of Bishops: Chairman, the Rev. Robert A. Magill, D.D.; Secretary, Mr. James S. Barker.
5. Committee on Amendments to the Constitution: Chairman, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D.; Secretary, Mr. David E. Bronson.
6. Committee on Canons: Chairman, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley; Secretary, the Hon. John W. Ford.
7. Committee on the General Theological Seminary: Chairman, the Rev. William H. Cole; Secretary, Mr. Joseph W. Vanable.
8. Committee on the State of the Church: Chairman, the Rev. Robert B. Appleyard, D.D.; Secretary, the Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D.
9. Committee on Expenses: Chairman, Mr. Hunter L. Delatour; Secretary, Mr. Tracy B. Lord.
10. Committee on Missions: Chairman, the Rev. George R. Mac Cintock; Secretary, Mr. Rodney E. Ross, Jr.
11. Committee on the Prayer Book: Chairman, the Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D.; Secretary, the Rev. Louis B. Keiter, D.D.
12. Committee on Christian Education: Chairman, the Rev. William C. Warner; Secretary, Mr. Peter Day.
14. Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes: Chairman, the Rev. Canon T. L. Small, S.T.D.; Secretary, Mr. Thomas E. Hargrave.
15. Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members: Chairman, the Ven. John A. Pinckney; Secretary, the Rev. Walter J. Harris.
16. Committee on Rural Work: Chairman, the Rev. William H. Crouch; Secretary, Mr. Arthur M. G. Moody.
17. Committee on The Church Pension Fund: Chairman, the Rev. Allen L. Ramsay; Secretary, Mr. Ernest W. Greene.
18. Committee on Evangelism: Chairman, the Rev. Raymond M. O'Brien; Secretary, the Rev. Albert T. Mollegen, D.D.
19. Committee on National and International Problems: Chairman, the Ven. Canon Harry J. Stretch; Secretary, Mr. John E. Buddington.
20. Committee on Ecumenical Relations: Chairman, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D.; Secretary, Dr. John Nicholas Brown.
21. Committee on Theological Education: Chairman, the Rev. Walter Williams, D.D.; Secretary, Frederick L. Browne, Ph.D.
22. Committee on Dispatch of Business: Chairman, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D.; Secretary, Mr. Daniel M. Thornton.
The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Elections:

Mr. Eric Hubert, of Oklahoma, in place of George Lynde; Mr. Guy Wedthoff, of Western North Carolina, in place of V. Jordan Brown; The Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Agueros, of Cuba, in place of the Rev. Maximiliano Salvador-Fonseca.

The Special Committee on Privilege and Courtesy presented its report No. 1: Chairman, Rev. Dr. John W. Norris; Secretary, Dr. Lewis Weisbrod.

The Secretary presented by title the following reports:

American Church Building Fund Commission. The report itself was placed on the Calendar No. 1, and a related resolution presented by the Rev. Dr. Appleyard of Connecticut was referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

Report of the Church Historical Society. Resolution No. 1 was placed on the Calendar No. 2. Resolution No. 2 was referred to the Committee on Expenses. A related resolution, introduced by Dean Blandy, was placed on the Calendar No. 3.

Report of the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches. Resolutions No. 1 and 2 were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations. Resolution No. 3 was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations. Resolution No. 2 was referred to the Committee on Expenses. The other resolutions were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Report on Forward Movement Publications. The report was placed on the Calendar No. 4.

Report of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention. Resolutions 1, 2 and 3 were referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention. The final resolution was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

Report of the Trustees of the General Theological Seminary. This was referred to the Committee on the General Theological Seminary.

Report of the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine. This was placed on the Calendar No. 5.
Report of the Joint Commission to Survey the Missionary Problems in Industrial Areas. Resolutions 1 through 5 were referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations. The final resolution was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

Report of the Joint Commission on Church Music. Resolutions 1 and 2 were referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book. Resolution 3 was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

Report of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol. The entire report was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

Report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education. Resolution No. 4 was referred to the Committee on Expenses. The remainder was referred to the Committee on Theological Education.

Report of the Joint Commission on Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers. Resolution No. 2 was referred to the Committee on Expenses. The other two resolutions were referred to the Committee on Christian Education and to the Committee on Canons.

Amendments to the Constitution proposed at the General Convention of 1958 and to be finally acted upon at the Convention of 1961. This was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

Report of the National Council on Ministry to American Indians. The entire report was referred to the Committee on Missions. Resolutions No. 7 and 8 were referred to the Committee on Rural Work. Resolution No. 9 was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

Report of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention. The final resolution was referred to the Committee on Expenses. The balance of the resolutions were referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.

Report of the Joint Advisory Committee to Co-operate with the Treasurer of the General Convention. Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Secretary presented by title the following Memorials and Petitions:

Memorial from the Provincial Council of the Province of Sewanee calling for the establishment of a Division of Statistical Research by the
National Council to prepare statistical material for the State of the Church. Referred to the Committee on the State of the Church.

Resolution adopted by the Provincial Synod of the Province of Sewanee, calling for substantially increased financial appropriation in support of the work of the Armed Forces Division of the Home Department. Referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

Resolution adopted by the Annual Convention of the Diocese of Delaware, calling for a pension plan for lay directors of Religious Education. Referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Memorial adopted by the Annual Convention of the Diocese of Delaware, dealing with permission for lay administration of the Chalice. Referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book and to the Committee on Canons.

Memorial from the Convention of the Diocese of Kansas, dealing with structure and frequency of the meetings of the General Convention. Referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.

Memorial adopted by the Annual Convention of the Diocese of South Florida, calling for biennial meetings of the General Convention and of Provincial Synods. Referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.

A group of Memorials from the Dioceses of Northern California, Connecticut, Upper South Carolina, South Florida, Rochester and New York, on the subject of Alcoholism. Referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

Memorial from the Diocese of Northern California, calling for the Lambeth Quadri-lateral to be the prime basis for all ecumenical conversations. Referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Memorial from the Diocesan Convention of the Diocese of Long Island, setting forth the qualifications of members and communicants in good standing. Referred to the Committee on Canons.

Memorial from the Diocese of Rochester, on the subject of Federal Aid to private, parochial or sectarian schools, which was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems, and to the Committee on Christian Education.

Memorials from the Dioceses of Massachusetts and New York, in support of the cooperation of the Episcopal Church with the World Council of Churches and the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida, concerning pension status at the age of 68 years, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Memorial from the Diocese of Bethlehem, calling for an amendment of Article I, Section 4 of the Constitution, with a view to permitting the election of women to the House of Deputies, which was referred to the Deputies, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.
Resolution from the General Division of Laymen's Work, concerning a new Canon on the Training and Standards for Licensing of Lay Readers, which was referred to the Committee on Theological Education and to the Committee on Canons.

Memorial from the Convocation of the Missionary District of Wyoming, dealing with the status of missionary districts and the convocation of the American Churches in Europe, which was referred to the Committee on Missions, the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, and to the Committee on Canons.

A communication from Bishop Santos M. Molina of the Spanish Reformed Church to the Presiding Bishop, sending greetings to the Protestant Episcopal Church in Convention assembled, was read by the Secretary:

"I address you in this present communication in your character as Presiding Bishop of the Episcopal Church in the United States of America to inform you we will keep you in our prayers during the meetings which the Church will celebrate in Detroit at the time of the General Convention.

"My small Church in Spain has many motives of gratitude for the Church in the United States. There is an increasing number of members of the Church who have proven and are proving at this moment many appreciable feelings of love, which we esteem and are grateful for in Spain.

"Two of your Bishops participated in my consecration and you yourself warmly approved the idea of my past visit to the United States from which visit I obtained much moral and spiritual benefit, having thus increased the circle of our friendship.

"For all these reasons and for others which I could mention I wish to take advantage of this opportunity to beg you in whatever moment you consider opportune to transmit a cordial greeting on the part of the Episcopal Reformed Spanish Church to the chambers which form the General Convention of the Episcopal Church in Detroit, assuring all that we are praying for the best possible success of the Convention, that God bless this Church in its entirety and also bless that country.

"I remain personally and in the name of this Church, your devoted and faithful brother in Christ Jesus."

This communication was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy.

Resolution from the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs for an appropriation for the triennium, which was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

Memorial from the Diocese of Pennsylvania, proposing commemorative activities in honor of the 175th anniversary of the consecration of the Rt. Rev. William White, which was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy.

Memorial from the Diocese of New York, calling for the sending of a Message to the Patriarch of Constantinople on the occasion of the convening of the Conference of the Orthodox Church on the Island of Rhodes, which was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy.
Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida, proposing an amendment of Article X of the Constitution to allow trial use of the proposed Liturgy as the most effective method of Prayer Book revision, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida, dealing with proposed changes in the provincial boundaries, which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, and to the Committee on Canons.

Resolution from the Diocese of South Florida, calling for the assignment by Canon of specific functions to the Synods of the Provinces and the means for their support, which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention, and to the Committee on Canons.

Two requests from the Diocese of South Florida for the election of two Suffragan Bishops, which were placed on the Calendar, No. 6 and 7.

Request from the Diocese of Mississippi for the ordination and consecration of a Bishop Coadjutor, which was referred to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

The President called for the presentation of resolutions or petitions from individual members of the House.

Canon Wattley of Louisiana presented a resolution on behalf of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, calling for an amendment to Canon 42, dealing with the consecration of Bishops for Foreign Lands, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Mr. Crump of Tennessee presented a resolution calling for an amendment to Rule No. 32 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies, which was referred to the Committee on Rules of Order.

Dean Gressle of Delaware presented a resolution concerning the financing of the new headquarters building for the Episcopal Church, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

Mr. Keese, of Tennessee presented a resolution dealing with the Joint Commission on Church Music, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

The Rev. Dr. Barrett of New York, on behalf of the deputation from the Diocese of New York, presented a resolution in support of the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Deputation from the Diocese of Maine presented a resolution concerning alterations to the Book of Common Prayer, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.
The Deputation from the Diocese of Maine presented a resolution calling for the submission of all plans for re-union including the North India, the Pakistan Plan and the Ceylon Scheme. This was referred to the Joint Committee on Approaches to Unity for further study, and to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Deputation from the Diocese of Maine presented a resolution urging approaches to unity with the Orthodox Church, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The following petition was presented from the Diocese of Upper South Carolina:

"The Clerical and Lay Deputation of the Diocese of Upper South Carolina hereby respectfully petition the President of the House that hearings be arranged outside the time of regular session on the subject of the Episcopal Church's proper relationship with the National Council of Churches, if this question is brought before the House. We remember with gratitude the manner in which such hearings clarified the matter of the Convention's discussion and vote in establishing a limited intercommunion with the Church of South India in 1958. We strongly suggest that more light and less heat will be spent on any discussion of the Episcopal Church and the National Council of Churches, if such hearings are arranged."

This was put to an immediate vote and was adopted.

Resolution offered by Mr. Cooper of New Jersey, calling for an amendment to the Constitution, Article I, Section 1, dealing with the name of the Church, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution and to the Committee on Canons.

Resolution offered by the Rev. Mr. Brummitt of Quincy, calling for the amendment to Canon 7, Section 2, providing that Trustees of The Church Pension Fund shall be members of the Episcopal Church, which was referred to the Committee on Canons and to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Resolution offered by the Rev. Mr. Brummitt of Quincy, calling for amendments to the Canons to reflect the attainment of statehood by Alaska and Hawaii, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Resolution offered by the Rev. Mr. Leavell of Kentucky, calling for changes in certain collects in the proposed supplement to the Prayer Book, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

Resolution offered by the Rev. Mr. Leavell of Kentucky, dealing with proportional representation in the House of Deputies, which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.
The Vestry of Christ Church, Pulaski, Virginia, offered a resolution, calling for withdrawal of this Church from membership in the National Council of Churches of Christ in America, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Bartlett of California presented a resolution dealing with theological training of seminarians in the devotional life, which was referred to the Committee on Theological Education.

It was moved that the Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention hold public hearings on the matters which have been and shall be submitted to it.

This motion was passed.

No more resolutions or petitions being forthcoming at this session of the House, the Chair called for items from the Calendar.

Item No. 1 was taken from the Calendar, being the printed report of the American Church Building Fund Commission. The report was accepted with resolutions of thanks to the Commission.

Item No. 7 was taken from the Calendar, being a request from the Diocese of South Florida for the election of a second Suffragan Bishop, which was referred to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

At this point the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, introduced the Rev. Peter Harvey, editor of the Anglican World, who spoke briefly to the House commending the Magazine and promoting its distribution and announcing the inauguration of a funding plan for its support.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:
Archives, Transfer of from N. Y. Historical Society
Constitution and Canons, Annotated
Church Historical Society
Stowe, Tribute to Dr. Walter H.
Church Historical Magazine
Church Pension Fund, Joint Committee to Nominate Trustees of
Good Friday Offering
Mississippi, Consent to consecration of Bishop Coadjutor
National Council, Committee to Nominate Members of
Prayer Book alterations for use outside of U. S. A.
Secretary of General Convention
South Florida, Permission to elect Suffragan Bishop
Structure of General Convention and Provinces, Joint Commission on
Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society
Treasurer, General Convention)
SECOND DAY

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The President led the House in prayers.

Canon Small, of Erie, for the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, presented Report No. 2:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 18 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the First Day were approved.

The President read a telegram:

"The Detroit Chapter for the United Nations will hold a memorial Service in memory of the late Secretary General Dag Hammarskjold at eleven o'clock Thursday morning in Mariners Church. The attendance of an official delegation representing the Episcopal Church will be appreciated.

Mrs. Warren B. Cooksey, President, Detroit Chapter for the United Nations."

The President appointed the Rev. Theodore P. Ferris and Dr. McCook to represent this House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following resolutions, which were adopted.

1. Resolved, That the House suspend Rule No. 40 temporarily in order to permit His Grace, the Primate of the Anglican Church in Canada, to address the House today at approximately 3:00 p.m.

2. Resolved, That the House suspend Rule No. 40 temporarily in order to permit the Rt. Rev. E. R. Wickham to address the House Wednesday, September 20, at approximately 2:15 p.m.

3. Resolved, That at this afternoon's session and also at tomorrow's session, the presentation of motions and resolutions by title, to be referred to committees, or to be placed on the Calendar, shall be made the Order of the Day at 4:30 p.m., unless previous opportunity for their presentation shall have been offered.

Resolved, That the Joint Committee on Expenses is requested to lay before this House and the House of Bishops on Friday, September 22, a tentative estimated budget of General Convention, as required by Rule 48, and their recommendations thereon, in order that all resolutions and reports calling for the expenditure of such funds may be considered by both Houses at the earliest possible moment, subject to later approval of the General Convention budget as a whole.
WHEREAS, The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops is prepared to report on the election of the Rev. J. Warren Hutchens as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Connecticut; and

WHEREAS, Consideration of this report requires that the House go into Executive Session; therefore be it

Resolved, That the report of this Committee be made a Special Order of the Day at 4:45 p.m.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Elections, as follows:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Charles H. Long, D.D., of Pennsylvania, in place of the Rev. Peter Van der Heil; Mr. J. Derry Burns, of Atlanta, in place of Mr. Thomas S. Perry; Mr. John M. Webb, of Indianapolis, in place of Mr. Wilbert Gilliom; Dr. R. Shirrell Rogers, of Indianapolis, in place of Dr. John H. Barrow; the Rev. Robert M. Allen, of Mississippi, in place of the Rev. William J. Gould; Mr. Phil S. Lyon, of West Missouri, in place of Mr. Robert W. Wagstaff.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented Report No. 2 of that Committee.

Dean Leffler’s presentation was interrupted by the arrival of the Special Order of the Day. The Rev. Thomas V. Barrett, of Florida, who had been appointed for that service by the Chair, brought to the platform the Most Rev. Howard J. Clark, Primate of the Anglican Church of Canada, who addressed the House briefly, and conveyed the greetings of the Anglican Church of Canada.

The House then resumed the consideration of the Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

The Rev. Dr. Alling, of Rochester, presented the Report of the Committee on the State of the Church. (See Appendix).

He made general comments on the national and provincial statistics for the years 1955 and 1960, which were also part of the report.

The report was received with thanks.

The Committee on Missions presented its Report No. 2:

"Your Committee has had referred to it a resolution concerning the Good Friday Offering."
We respectfully suggest that a more appropriate reference would be: Ecumenical Relations.”

The Report was accepted.

The President called for the presentation of Petitions and Memorials according to the Special Order of the Day as previously adopted.

Mr. Anderson, of New York, presented three related resolutions as substitutes for similar resolutions bound in the printed report of the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches. The first two resolutions were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations. The third resolution was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

The Rev. Thomas V. Barrett, of Florida, presented a Memorial from the Vestry of St John’s Church, Tallahassee, dealing with the National Council of Churches. This was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Harry Tisdale, of Atlanta, presented a resolution calling for a change of name of the Church on title page of the Book of Common Prayer, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, on behalf of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, presented a resolution dealing with minimum widow’s benefits, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, at the request of the Chancellors Association, presented a resolution calling for assembling of significant rulings of Diocesan Chancellors, which was placed on the Calendar No. 12.

The Rev. R. Parker Jones, of Los Angeles, presented two resolutions, dealing with the Good Friday Offering, which were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. William Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, presented a resolution dealing with the setting up of a Joint Commission on the Work of our Church among the Armed Forces, which was placed on the Calendar No. 13.

The Rev. Mr. Meginniss, of Alabama, presented a resolution dealing with changing the name of the National Council of the
Protestant Episcopal Church, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Dean Gressle, of Delaware, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Joint Committee on Program and Budget give serious consideration to an increase in the stipends of missionary bishops in view of the increased costs of living in these times.

This was put to an immediate vote and adopted. (Communicated to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget).

Mr. Causey, of Virginia, presented a resolution dealing with racial relations, which was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

The Rev. Ernest L. Badenoch, of South Dakota, presented a resolution on stipends of missionary clergy, which was referred to the Committee on Missions.

Mr. Robert Doss, of Dallas, presented a resolution on disassociating the Episcopal Church from the pronouncements and findings of the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island, presented a resolution calling for an amendment to Canon 1, Section 5(a), line 13, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island, presented a resolution suggesting an amendment to Resolution No. 1 of the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of the General Convention, which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.

The Rev. Mr. Matthews, of Atlanta, presented a resolution urging the President of the United States to bolster and increase the national program of Civil Defense, which was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, of Western Massachusetts, presented a resolution, calling for the establishment of a medical expense plan for clergy and their families, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

The Rev. Michael J. Kippenbrock, of Georgia, presented a
resolution dealing with the election of a Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces, which was placed on the Calendar No. 14.

Mr. Evans Dunn, of Alabama, called attention to several mistakes in the covering letter issued by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, accompanying their printed document entitled “Ecumenical Movement”, and referring to documents prepared by the Department of Christian Social Relations, in the interest of clarifying and limiting the wording.

The clarification was received, and noted.

The President called for the special order of business, the Executive Session to receive the Report of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops. The House was cleared and went into Executive Session.

The House rose and adopted Reports No. 3 and No. 4 of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

The Rev. Dr. Pollard, of Tennessee, presented a resolution on behalf of the Rev. Tracy H. Lamar, Jr., regarding the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Mr. Nyberg, of Springfield, presented a resolution dealing with a salary scale, conditions of employment, and standards for professional women Church workers, which was referred to the Committee on Christian Education.

Brig. Gen. Hugh Cort of Arkansas, presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The traditional setting of the platform of the House of Deputies includes the flag of our Episcopal Church and the flag of our country; and

WHEREAS, Such display has in the past been included in the instructions of the Secretary of the House of Deputies to the local Arrangements Committee; and

WHEREAS, These two flags are the outward and visible signs of our faith in God and Country; therefore be it

Resolved, That they be properly displayed in the House of Deputies of this 60th General Convention.
This was put to an immediate vote and was adopted.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:

- Canons, Add Section 12 to Canon 51
- Committees and Commissions, Joint Committees on Connecticut, Consent to Consecration of Suffragan Bishop
- Constitution, Amendments to, finally acted upon in 1961
- Dag Hammarskjold
- Deaconesses, Pension Plan for
- Deaconesses, Recommended emoluments for
- Ecumenical Officer
- Joint Sessions
- San Joaquin, Erection as a Diocese
- Secretary of General Convention
- South Florida, Consent to elect a Second Suffragan Bishop
- Standing Liturgical Commission
- Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society
- Trustees of Church Pension Fund, Committee to Nominate)

THIRD DAY

Wednesday, September 20, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. Devotions were led by the Rev. Dr. Theodore P. Ferris of Massachusetts, Chaplain of the House.

The President welcomed the members of the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church who were visiting the House by our invitation extended on the first day. The Presiding Officer of the Women's Triennial, Mrs. Paul Turner, of Wilmington, Delaware, was escorted to the platform. Mrs. Turner made an address of greeting to the House of Deputies and communicated to the House a resolution adopted by the Triennial on September 19:

"The Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church wishes to express appreciation to the House of Deputies for the gracious invitation to visit the House at its regular morning session on Wednesday, September 20.

"The Presiding Officer and members of the Triennial accept this invitation with pleasure and wish to convey congratulations,
and best wishes to Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse, newly-elected President of the House of Deputies, and to the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter, newly-elected Secretary.”

Mrs. Turner continued with an address on the subject of the work of the women in the life of the Church.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 19 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Second Day were approved.

The Secretary read a letter from the Hawaiian congregations of the Philippine Independent Church, thanking the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for its help in establishing the congregations and in maintaining their work.

This was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 3, which was adopted.

1. Resolved, That the Regular Order be interrupted at 12:05 immediately following Noon Prayers in order that official guests of the Presiding Bishop and the General Convention may be introduced.

2. Resolved, That Rule No. 40 be temporarily suspended in order to permit the Rev. David B. Reed to address the House in behalf of the American Bible Society, and that a Special Order be set for this purpose at 2:25 p.m.

3. Resolved, That the Regular Order be interrupted at 2:35 p.m. to permit the Rev. Dr. William Pollard to present a special report on the gift of the Atomic Reactor made by our Church to St. Paul's University, Tokyo.

4. Resolved, That the Order of the Day for motions and resolutions be deferred until 4:45 p.m., and that this session be extended until all such motions and resolutions as are to be presented have been introduced.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. John W. Wall, of Rhode Island, in place of Mr. T. Dawson Brown.

Dean Brant presented Reports No. 2 and 3 for the Committee on Rules of Order.
Dean Leffler, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented the following resolution:

*Resolved*, That in voting by Dioceses and Orders on Calendar items 10 and 11 (these being clarifying amendments to Article V, Sec. 6, and Article XI of the Constitution, which were adopted by concurrent action of both Houses of the 59th General Convention, and which have since been submitted to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts by the Secretary of the General Convention) the vote be taken by ballot.

This required unanimous approval for its adoption.

The President asked for any objections and heard none.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented reports of the Committee on Canons, first reporting on an interchange of telegrams between the Committee and Col. Jackson Dykman of Long Island, long-time Chairman of the Committee.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented the following report, for the Committee on Canons; which was adopted.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred a resolution passed by the 52nd Annual Convocation of the Missionary District of Wyoming, begs leave to report that it has considered the subject matter thereof and finds it not pertinent in its present form to the business of this Committee, and therefore asks to be discharged.

Following noonday prayers, there was an introduction of guests, according to the Special Order of Business adopted earlier in the morning.

1. The Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., D.D., Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church, who addressed and greeted the House.

2. The Rt. Rev. Benjamin C. Leano, Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church.


4. The Rt. Rev. Henry R. Hunt, Suffragan Bishop of Toronto, who brought greetings of the Primate of Canada, and of his Diocesan, the Bishop of Toronto. Bishop Hunt called attention to the display of the Anglican Church of Canada in the Exhibit
Hall, and also to the Anglican Congress to be held in Toronto in 1963.


In accordance with the Special Order of Business previously adopted, the Bishop of Middleton, Suffragan of Manchester, the Rt. Rev. E. H. Wickham, spoke on the new concept of industrial mission.

The Rev. David B. Reed, an officer of the Overseas Department of the National Council, addressed the House on the subject of the American Bible Society, urging support of that Society, and the observance of Bible Sunday.

A report on the nuclear research reactor for St. Paul’s University, Tokyo, Japan, was made by the Rev. Dr. William Pollard, of Tennessee.

Dean Bartlett, of California, Report No. 4 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted.

Resolved, That the report of the Committee on the Prayer Book concerning Lay Administration of the Chalice be made a Special Order of the Day when that Committee reports it out in the regular order; and be it further

Resolved, That a minimum of 15 minutes be provided for debate during which time no motion shall be permissible to terminate debate as long as any Deputies desire to speak to the question; and be it further

Resolved, That any one Deputy may speak to the question only once and then for a maximum of 2 minutes.

This was put to an immediate vote and adopted.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented the following reports for the Committee on Canons, which were adopted.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the proposed new Canon 30 as contained in the report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education, begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it to be in proper canonical form, and asks to be discharged from further consideration.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the proposed Amendments to Section 2 of Canon 34, "Of Ordination To The Diaconate", begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment, finds it to be in proper canonical form, and asks to be discharged from further consideration.
The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the proposal of the Reverend Benjamin A. Meginnis of Alabama, to change the name of "The National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church" to "The Cabinet of the Protestant Episcopal Church" begs leave to report that it has considered the matter and reports to the House its complete disapproval thereof and asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

A motion to reconsider the last report was made, and lost.

Archdeacon Thornberry, of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on the State of the Church, reported on the following resolution adopted by the Provincial Council of the Province of Sewanee:

"Resolved, That we recommend to General Convention and the National Council that a Division of Statistical Research be created by the National Council to prepare statistical material for the Committee on the State of the Church."

We recommend that it not be adopted and ask that the Committee be discharged from further consideration.

This was put to a vote, and the Committee's report was adopted.

Archdeacon Thornberry, of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on the State of the Church, presented the two following resolutions:

WHEREAS, the rate of growth and expansion of the Church in the recent past has been outstripping the population rates of the nation; and

WHEREAS, the larger the Church grows and the wider and more varied its program becomes, the more imperative it is for purposes of strategy and planning to secure and maintain an accurate, current view of the state of the Church's vital and financial statistics; and

WHEREAS, The development of modern electronic data processing techniques and machinery has now made possible the gathering and filing of such data for immediate readiness, and that this process may be used with ease and efficiency; and

WHEREAS, three (3) minor changes in Canon 5 are needed to take advantage of such modern statistical methods and to implement their immediate use; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That

1. The phrase "in duplicate" be inserted after the word "sent" in the sixth (6th) line of the first sentence of Section 1 of Canon 5; and at the end of the same sentence change the period to a comma and add the words "and to the General Division of Research and Field Study", and add the same "and to the General Division of Research and Field Study" after the
words House of Deputies in the 6th line of the 2nd sentence in Sec. II, Canon V.

2. That the following phrase be added to the third sentence of Section I of Canon 5; "(4) this report shall also include other relevant information needed to secure an accurate view of the State of this Church."

3. That the phrase "including the duplicate copies of the reports required in the previous section of this Canon" be inserted after the word "papers" in the eighth (8th) line of the first (1st) sentence of Section 2 of Canon 5.

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons be requested to define the words "communicants in good standing" and if need be, initiate such legislation as is necessary to give authority to the definition.

Both these resolutions were referred to the Committee on Canons.

Archdeacon Thornberry, of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on the State of the Church, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $2,000.00 be appropriated to the Committee for its work during the coming triennium.

Referred to the Committee on Expenses.

The Committee on the Prayer Book presented Report No. 2:

Your Committee, to which has been referred a Memorial from the Annual Convention of the Diocese of Delaware, regarding lay administration of the chalice, reports that it has considered the memorial and recommends that it be not approved by this House.

The Rev. Mr. Birney, deputy from Delaware, moved to substitute for this motion the original resolution. There followed a debate on the substitute, which continued until the time fixed by the previous order of business, and the previous question was called for. It was voted to take an immediate vote.

The clerical deputation of the Diocese of Los Angeles called for a vote by orders, which was held, with the following results:

   Clerical: Ayes, 34; Noes, 42½; Divided, 7.
   Lay: Ayes, 32; Noes, 45; Divided, 6.

The motion was lost.

The resolution of the Committee was therefore before the House, namely, that they be discharged from further consideration in the matter, and this was adopted.

It was moved that the resolution on the lay administration of the chalice be recalled from the Committee on Canons, to which
it had also been referred, and referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission. This motion was carried, and the matter was so referred.

The Chair introduced the Rev. John Maury Allin, Bishop Coadjutor-Designate of the Diocese of Mississippi, who made a brief acknowledgment.

The Committee on the Prayer Book presented the following report, which was adopted.

Your Committee, to which has been referred a resolution from Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr., of Kentucky, regarding the membership and meetings of the Joint Commission on Church Music, having considered the matter, recommends that in its opinion the resolution should not be adopted.

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations presented its Report No. 3:

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations requests that the Committee on Dispatch of Business set a time for the consideration of the resolutions concerning the United Church of Lanka, and suggests Thursday, September 21, at 3 p.m. It further requests that Dean Coburn and Dr. Vogel be asked to present the history and background of this question before the debate opens.

This report was adopted and communicated to the Committee on Dispatch of Business.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

Mr. Wilson K. Barnes, of Maryland, presented three resolutions having to do with the National Council of Churches of Christ, which were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Kenneth W. Cary of Los Angeles, on behalf of the Deputations from the Dioceses of Olympia, California, Massachusetts, Los Angeles and Virginia, and the Missionary District of Western Kansas, presented resolutions calling upon Church leaders, clerical and lay, to express the implications of the faith in social issues. Referred to the Committee on National and International problems.
The Rev. Dr. Alling, of Rochester, presented a resolution calling for the revision of Canon 4, dealing with quotas.

This was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Mr. Philip Adams, of California, presented a resolution concerning the delegates of the Episcopal Church to the National Council of Churches in the U. S. A.

This was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. William C. Warner, of Western Michigan, presented a resolution on the National Council of Churches of Christ, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, of Western Massachusetts, presented a resolution calling for the setting up of a Joint Commission to study the office and work of Bishops.

This was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, and to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

Mr. William Ikard, on behalf of the Diocese of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, presented a resolution concerning vacancies on the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

The Rev. James Y. Perry, of Western North Carolina, presented a resolution on the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. James Y. Perry, on behalf of the entire delegation of the Diocese of Western North Carolina, presented a resolution on the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Deputation of the Diocese of Georgia presented a resolution calling upon the Protestant Episcopal Church to affirm the Christian Faith, which was placed on the Calendar No. 17.

The Very Rev. James W. Montgomery, of Chicago, presented a resolution, concerning the action of two successive Conventions on any proposal for intercommunion between this Church and any other body, which was placed on the Calendar No. 18.

The Very Rev. James W. Montgomery, of Chicago, presented a resolution dealing with the whole matter of a Provincial System,
which was referred to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention.

The Rev. Canon Gordon E. Gillett, of Quincy, presented a resolution thanking the House of Bishops for its Pastoral Letter from Dallas in 1960, which was placed on the Calendar No. 19.

The Rev. Edwin C. Webster, for the Deputation of the Missionary District of the Panama Canal Zone, presented a resolution opposing mergers between the World Council of Churches and the International Missionary Council, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Robert Holzhammer, of Iowa, presented a resolution opposing Federal Aid to private and parochial schools, which was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

The Clerical and Lay deputations from the Dioceses of Upper South Carolina and South Carolina, presented a resolution concerning the use, by unofficial groups within the Church, of the name and symbol of the Church in their utterances and releases, which was placed on the Calendar No. 20.

The Very Rev. Edgar C. Newlin, of Lexington, presented a resolution concerning a system of numbering the canons of the General Church, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Deputation from the Diocese of Maryland presented a resolution concerning Capital Funds, which was placed on the Calendar No. 21.

A resolution was presented, calling upon the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to reissue the statement of Faith and Order adopted by the General Convention of 1949, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. David F. Gearhart, of Easton, presented a resolution providing for the authorization of the New English Bible New Testament (1961) to be read in the Church's services, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book and to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. James L. Duncan, of South Florida, presented a resolution concerning graduate scholarship funds, which was referred to the Committee on Theological Education.

The Deputation of the Diocese of Colorado presented a resolution concerning investments of The Church Pension Fund,
which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Archdeacon Stretch, of Long Island, presented a resolution calling upon the Church, in all of its negotiations with the Methodist Church, to include also the African Methodist Episcopal Church, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Mr. Seton R. Droppers, of Albany, presented a resolution calling upon the Episcopal Church to withdraw from membership in the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Deputation from the Diocese of Maine presented a resolution authorizing the Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church to celebrate the Holy Eucharist according to the Rites of that Church, which was placed on the Calendar No. 22.

The Deputies from the Missionary District of Wyoming, presented a resolution calling for the election of Episcopal Representatives on the National Council of Churches by concurrent vote of both Houses, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Archdeacon Stevenson, of Bethlehem, presented a resolution concerning services of a Deaconess, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, presented a resolution dealing with the incorporation of the Church Magazine Advisory Board, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

The Rev. Mr. Sturges, of Pennsylvania, presented a resolution concerning Christian Unity, and affirming the teaching of the undivided Church, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Mr. Roger B. Ray, of Maine, presented a resolution concerning withholding action on the Concordat with the Spanish Reformed Episcopal, and the Lusitanian Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Dr. William, of California, presented a resolution calling upon the Convention to reaffirm former actions of Convention on Social issues, which was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.
The clerical and lay deputies of the Diocese of Northwest Texas presented the following resolution congratulating the Missionary District of San Joaquin for the achievement of diocesan status:

WHEREAS, The House of Deputies of the 60th General Convention has noted with pleasure and approval the desire and decision of their fellow-Churchmen in the jurisdiction of San Joaquin to become a Diocese of this Church in union with the General Convention, and,

WHEREAS, this is an accomplishment resulting from fifty years of industry and devotion by the Bishops, Clergy and Laity working for the advancement of the Church; therefore be it

Resolved, that this House of Deputies express its gratitude to God, and to the Bishop, Clergy and Laity of the Missionary District of San Joaquin for this achievement and extend its congratulations to the Church in San Joaquin.

This was put to an immediate vote and was adopted.

The Rev. Mr. Chard, of Western New York, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, presented their Report No. 2, calling for a telegram from this Convention to the Ecumenical Patriarch of Constantinople on the occasion of the convening of the Conference of the Orthodox Churches, and offered an appropriate resolution, which was adopted and communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 30.

The deputations of the Missionary Districts of Central, Southern and Southwestern Brazil, presented a resolution calling for the revision of Canons 40 and 44 pertaining to missionary districts overseas, which was referred to the Committee on Missions.

The deputation of the Diocese of Oklahoma presented a resolution on the National Council of Churches, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for a group of clergy concerned about the Church in the City, presented a resolution on that subject, which was placed on the Calendar No. 23.

Mr. Draper, of Washington, presented a resolution calling for a revision of Canon 1, Section 1 (2) providing for the election of a President and Secretary as the last item of a Convention rather than the first action, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Antonio Villafane of Puerto Rico, for the clergy of that District, presented a resolution concerning revision of the matter of retirement age, which was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.
The Rev. P. F. Sturges, for the Deputation of the Diocese of Pennsylvania, presented a resolution setting up a Joint Commission for the Revision of the Prayer Book, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a special order of the day be set for 3 p.m. on Thursday, September 21, to receive the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations dealing with resolutions concerning the United Church of Lanka.

This was adopted.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:

American Bible Society
Archbishop of Canterbury, Greetings to
Architecture and the Allied Arts
Canon 1, Section 5 (a)
Canon 7, Section 2 (Not adopted)
Canon 8, Section 1.
Canon 42, new Section 3.
Church Music, J. Commission on
Committees & Commission, J. Committee on
Ecumenical Officer
Ecumenical Patriarch
Expenses, J. Committee on
Healing Ministry, J. C. on the Church's
Joint Sessions
National Council, Committee to Nominate Members of
Philippine Independent Church
Presbyterian Invitation
Rules of Order
Spanish Reformed and Lusitanian Churches
Summary of General Convention.)

FOURTH DAY

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair, who led the House in prayer.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:
Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 20 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Third Day were approved.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

1. Resolved, That immediately after the report of the Committee on Elections, the Rev. Clement W. Welch be granted the privilege of the floor to speak for Calendar Item No. 4, namely, the Report on Forward Movement Publications.

2. Resolved, That the House return thereafter to the regular order of the day.

3. Resolved, That at 3:00 p.m. as previously voted the House proceed to the Special Order to consider the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations on the Church of Lanka.

4. Resolved, That at the conclusion of the Special Order the House proceed to the Regular Order beginning with the Report from the Committee on Christian Education (that being where the Regular Order was interrupted on Wednesday, September 20th.)

The Rev. Frederick F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following is entitled to serve in this Convention: Dr. Edward Lund, of Ohio, in place of Mr. Robert Mason.


Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that we now take up the special Order of the Day for consideration of the resolution concerning the United Church of Lanka. In considering this Order of the Day, no deputy shall speak more than once nor for more than three minutes, except that, before the reading of the resolutions, two spokesmen on behalf of the Committee shall be allowed seven minutes each to make background explanations; and except that, following the reading of the resolution, one spokesman for the affirmative and one spokesman for the negative shall each be allowed five minutes for his opening and five minutes for his closing; and except that any deputy offering an amendment in writing shall be allowed five minutes for that purpose; and a spokesman for the Committee or for the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations shall be allowed five minutes to reply to the amendment.

If the President has reason to question whether any proposed amendment be of doubtful constitutional or canonical validity, he may recognize
the chairman of the appropriate committee to express his opinion on that
time point before taking a vote on the amendment.

Prior to 4:00 p.m., no motion shall be permissible which would terminate
debate while any deputy is on the platform waiting to speak, and either
before or after 4:00 p.m. no motion shall be permissible which would
preclude the closing speeches of five minutes each for the negative and
the affirmative, followed by action upon any proposed amendments and the
final vote, which in each case shall be upon the question: Shall the resolu­
tion be adopted?

Unless otherwise ordered, the House shall remain in session until action
on the resolution is completed.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented Report No. 10 of the
Committee on Canons, which was adopted.

The Committee on Canons takes note of the fact that by action
of the House of Deputies on Wednesday, September 20, the
subject matter of the Lay Administration of the Chalice has been
withdrawn from the consideration of the Committee, and there­
fore the Committee considers it is discharged from further con­
sideration of the matter.

Dean Blandy offered a motion of privilege that the rules be
suspended to receive Bishop Lakdasa de Mel of Kurunagala,
Ceylon. This was adopted, and Dean Blandy and Mr. Crump
were appointed to bring him to the platform.

Bishop de Mel warmly thanked the House of Deputies for
their action, and assured the deputies of the deep concern of
the Anglican Christians of Ceylon for the maintenance of the
Catholic faith and for their relationship with the other members
of the Anglican Communion.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:

- An Appeal to All Christian People
- Approaches to Unity, Continuing Responsibilities of the Joint Com­
  mittee on,
- Canon 51, Section 12
- Expenses, Joint Committee on
- Federal Aid to Sectarian Schools
- Forward Movement
- Lanks, Church of
- Methodist Church, Conversations with
- Mississippi, Consent to Consecration of Bishop Coadjutor
- State of the Church, Report of the Committee on)
FIFTH DAY


The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, Dr. Ferris of Massachusetts, led the devotions.

The President announced the following appointments to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions: the Rev. William H. Laird, D.D., of Missouri, and Major General Charles H. Gerhardt, of South Florida, in place of the Rev. Duncan M. Hobart, of Mississippi, and Everett S. Wallis, Ph.D., of New Jersey. These appointments are for the balance of this session of General Convention only.

The Chair recognized and extended greetings to the Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church, the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., and the Right Rev. Benjamin C. Leano, of the Philippine Independent Church.

Mr. Roger B. Ray, of Maine, made a motion of privilege taking exception to inaccuracies on the front page article, in the Convention Daily, on the action of this House concerning the Church of Lanka on the fourth day. The Chair ruled that no resolution was necessary. He appointed the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business to give a copy of the resolution to the press, and to explain the import of the resolution.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 21 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Fourth Day were approved.

Mr. Henry Bakewell, of Connecticut, moved that the minutes be corrected to include the statement of clarification on the import of the resolution on the Church of Lanka, which was given by the Chair prior to the final vote.

The following statement was inserted in the Minutes:

Upon questions being put from the floor, the Chair ruled that the wording of the resolution neither committed this Church to an automatic recognition of the Church of Lanka "as a province of the Church Universal, holding the Apostolic Faith and
possessed of true Bishops, Priests, and Deacons”, nor to “the
certain establishment of full communion with the Church of
Lanka”; both of these actions would have to be taken by a future
General Convention.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented
Report No. 6 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve
in this Convention: The Rev. Robert M. Allen, of Mississippi,
in place of the Rev. Duncan M. Hobart; Dr. James C. Cantrill,
of Lexington, in place of Mr. Irle R. Hicks; the Rev. Maxi-
miliano Salavador Fonseca, of Cuba, in place of the Rev. R.
Gonzalez; Mr. John H. Leach, of Missouri, in place of Dr. J.
Owen Blache.

The Chair recognized the Rev. Canon I. C. Johnson, D.D., of
Detroit, deputy from the Diocese of Michigan, who has been
General Chairman for the General Convention. Canon Johnson
presented a key to the city of Detroit to the President.

Dean Bartlett presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on
Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, that following the report of the Committee on Rules of Order,
Dean Rose of the General Seminary be granted the privilege of the floor
to address the House; and that following Dean Rose’s address the Regular
Order be resumed at the place reports were interrupted yesterday, (namely
with the report of the Committee on Christian Social Relations), subject to
the following Special Order: that the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations be received at 11:00 a.m. or as soon thereafter as may
be convenient, and

Resolved, that following the reports of the Special Committees (Order
#VII), the Regular Order be continued with the report of the Committee
on Rules of Order and continuing through the report of the Committee on
Christian Education, and

Resolved, that at 4:50 p.m. Rule 40 be temporarily suspended and Mr.
Robert D. Jordan be granted the privilege of the floor to report on the
Episcopal Church Foundation, and

Resolved, that Saturday morning, after the report of the Committee on
Elections, the Rev. Canon Howard D. Johnson be granted the privilege of
addressing the House.

The Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, S.T.D., was introduced for
the report of the Trustees of The General Theological Seminary.

The Secretary read the following message from the Trien-
nial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

Whereas, Throughout our Church, women are becoming more and more
active in the whole life of the Church, and it becomes more evident that
there is a need for men and women to plan together in General Convention for the Church's welfare and mission; and

Whereas, A Joint Committee of General Convention reported favorably in 1952, 1955 and 1958 that the General Convention adopt a resolution that Article I, Section 4 of the Constitution be amended by substituting the words "lay persons" for the word "laymen" and the words "lay person" for the word "layman": therefore be it

Resolved, That this Triennial Meeting respectfully urge that the General Convention of 1961 approve the interpretation in the Constitution, Canons, and other official documents of the Church.

This was informally communicated to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

Mr. Greene, of Washington, presented the following reports of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund; which were adopted.

The Committee has considered the resolution submitted by the Convention of the Diocese of South Florida, which requests General Convention to urge the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to change the rules so that a clergyman may retire after 40 years service, with full pension, regardless of age. Thus, a clergyman who had been ordained at age 25 could retire, with full pension, at age 65.

1. The Proposal for retirement at age 65 was before General Convention in 1955, was referred to the then existing Joint Commission on Clergy Pension Plans and Clerical Salaries, which reported its study and adverse recommendations to General Convention in 1958. (See page 387, Journal, 1958)

2. The Trustees of The Church Pension Fund prepared a Statement of the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund as to Retirement Age, dated April 27, 1956. It appears in full on page 399 of the 1958 Journal. It is as valid today as when it was written, because it deals with fundamental actuarial and financial facts and their effects. Certain paragraphs are quoted, as follows:

"Costwise, it takes about a 30 percent higher contribution to provide the same annuities at age 65 as at age 68; the period of accumulation is three years less, the period of annuity payments is three years longer, and interest would otherwise be compounding on a smaller accumulation for a long period.

"Conversely, for a given contribution rate an annuity starting at age 68 can be about 24 percent larger than one starting at age 65.

"Any attempt now to adjust to a lower retirement age would have unhappy effects in these elements of cost and adequacy of benefits and would introduce serious inconsistencies in the relation among the several benefits."

(See Page 399, 1958 Journal)

3. After all of the foregoing had been reported to the House of Deputies in 1958, a resolution was adopted recommending that there be no change
in the age of retirement as presently permitted with respect to qualification for pension from The Church Pension Fund.

In view of the record, and of the previous action by General Convention (The House of Deputies adopted the resolution and the House of Bishops concurred therewith, all as recorded on page 226 of the 1958 Journal), the following resolution is recommended for adoption:

Resolved, That the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the subject matter of the resolution submitted by the Convention of the Diocese of South Florida. This resolution was adopted.

The Committee has had before it the resolution presented by the Rev. Antonio Villafane, of the Missionary District of Puerto Rico, which asks that the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund be required to revise the rule as to retirement age in order to permit retirement at age 65.

This subject matter is fully reported in the previous report of this Committee. Therefore the Committee respectfully asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter. This report was adopted.

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, presented two reports from the Committee on National and International Problems; which were adopted.

This committee has considered the Memorial of the Annual Convention of the Diocese of Rochester regarding Federal aid to education.

This House at the end of yesterday's session passed a substitute resolve presented by the Committee on Christian Education. This Committee therefore begs to be discharged from further consideration.

A resolution on the same subject from the Rev. Robert Holzhammer of the Diocese of Iowa seems to be in the same category. This committee therefore requests to be discharged from further consideration of it.

The Committee has considered the resolution of the Rev. T. Stewart Matthews of the Diocese of Atlanta, on the President's intensification of civil defense. In view of action already taken in this area by the President, the Committee reports against this resolution and begs to be discharged from further consideration of it.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, asked that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of all other matters referred to them on the subject of the Churches of North India, and Pakistan, and on the Church of Lanka.

This was adopted.
The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Theological Education:

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received the report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education and has carefully considered and approved Resolution 3 of that report. The resolution reads as follows:

"WHEREAS, The Theological Education Sunday offering has become a vital element in the support of the program of the Church in preparing men for Holy Orders; therefore be it

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention calls upon every parish and mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that day, or on another day chosen for the purpose, for the support of the Seminaries of the Church."

Your Committee move the adoption of this resolution.

The Rev. Mr. Estill, of Lexington, presented an amendment to the resolution, which was seconded.

There being confusion as to the exact wording of the resultant resolution as amended, the President re-committed the matter to the Committee on Theological Education.

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, presented Report No. 4 for the Committee on Theological Education:

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received and considered the resolution presented by the Rev. James L. Duncan, of South Florida, for a study of the needs of the Church for trained scholars and moves its adoption, in amended form reading as follows:

WHEREAS, The Church has always had concern for a learned ministry, and

WHEREAS, It has a responsibility for encouraging properly qualified men to undertake graduate study; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Division of Christian Ministries of the National Council be asked to study the needs of the Church for trained scholars and for graduate scholarship funds to the attention of the General Church in order that such funds may be raised; and that there may be a deepening of the scholarship within the Church and a broadening of the availability of trained scholars to man our seminaries.

After discussion, it was moved that this matter be re-committed to the Committee, and this motion was adopted.
The Rev. George Masuda, of Montana, presented three reports for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, which were adopted.

The Joint Committee on Program and Budget has considered a resolution presented by the Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle, of Delaware, providing that no funds should be taken from the appropriation to Missionary Districts to pay either mortgage or carrying charges on the Episcopal Church Center. The Committee plans to introduce a different resolution protecting missionary salaries and recommends that the present resolution be not adopted.

The Joint Committee on Program and Budget has considered a resolution presented by the Rev. Dr. Robert B. Appleyard, of Connecticut, regarding an appropriation for the American Church Building Fund Commission. The Committee is taking this into consideration and, pending submission of the budget, recommends that the present resolution be not adopted.

The Joint Committee on Program and Budget has considered the memorials from the Synod of the Province of Sewanee, and from the Convention of the Diocese of Upper South Carolina, requesting a substantially increased appropriation for the work of the Armed Forces Division of the Home Department. The Joint Committee expresses its appreciation of the concern shown for the work of the Armed Forces Division and assures the Synod of the Province of Sewanee and the Convention of the Diocese of Upper South Carolina that it has taken this into consideration in making recommendations to the General Convention of 1961.

Mr. Hunter Delatour, of Long Island, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, presented Report No. 1:

The newly constituted Joint Committee on Expenses met and elected the Right Rev. William G. Wright, of Nevada, as Chairman; Hunter L. Delatour, of Long Island, as Co-Chairman; and Tracy B. Lord, of Connecticut, as Secretary.

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, presented the following report for the Joint Committee on Expenses, which was adopted.

The Joint Committee on Expenses has considered the following resolution, submitted by the Committee on the State of the Church, and has approved the same and will include this appropriation in its tentative budget:
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $2,000.00 be appropriated to the Committee for its work during the coming triennium.

The Joint Committee asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The report of the Committee was accepted.

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, reported on the resolution contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches on the Good Friday Offering, which had been referred to them, that this was no part of the competence of the Joint Committee on Expenses, and asked to be discharged from consideration in this matter.

They were so discharged.

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, presented the tentative budget for the triennium, and asked to have it distributed in mimeograph form to the deputies. Several questions for information and clarification were raised and answered, and it was moved that the Joint Committee hold public hearings and report their final budget next week.

This motion was adopted.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 9 for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, That a Special Order be set at 4:00 p.m. today to receive the report on the Philippine Independent Church from the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented the following report, which was accepted.

The resolution passed by the 52nd Annual Convocation of the Missionary District of Wyoming, requesting in substance that Missionary Districts be given representation equal to that of Dioceses in the General Convention, having been referred to this Committee has been given careful consideration. The proposal contained in the Resolution directly concerns the structure and organization of General Convention and has been referred to the special committee of this House dealing with that subject. If that Committee should report to this House recommending unfavorably with respect to such resolution, and if the House accepted such report, no further action by this Committee would
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seem to be required and, in that situation, this Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

On the other hand, should the Special Committee of this House report favorably on the above described Resolution and should the House accept such report, the following resolution would be in proper form to accomplish the purpose of the resolution of the Missionary District of Wyoming.

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that pursuant to Article XI of the Constitution the following amendments to the Constitution are hereby proposed and that the same be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe in accordance with Article XI in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention; to wit,

That the first two paragraphs of Article I, Section 4, be combined in one paragraph and amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 4. The Church in each Diocese which has been admitted to union with the General Convention and the Church in each Missionary District which shall have been established in accordance with the Constitution and Canons for the government of this Church, and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe shall be entitled to representation in the House of Deputies by not more than four Presbyters, canonically resident in such Diocese, Missionary District or Convocation and not more than four Laymen, communicants of this Church, having domicile in such Diocese, Missionary District or Convocation. Each such Diocese, Missionary District or Convocation shall prescribe the manner in which its Deputies shall be chosen. Despite the foregoing provision, the General Convention by Canon may reduce the representation to not fewer than two Deputies in each order."

And that the last paragraph of Article I be amended to read as follows:

On any question the vote of a majority of the Deputies present shall suffice, unless otherwise ordered by this Constitution, or, in case not specifically provided for by the Constitution, by Canons requiring more than a majority; or unless the Clerical or the Lay representation from any Diocese, Missionary District or the Convocation of the American Church in Europe require that the vote be taken by orders. In all cases of a vote by orders, the two orders shall vote separately, each Diocese and each Missionary District and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe having one vote in the Clerical Order and one vote in the Lay Order; and the concurrence of the votes of the two orders shall be necessary to constitute a vote of the House. No action of either order shall pass in the affirmative unless it receives the majority of all votes cast, and unless the sum of all the affirmative votes shall exceed the sum of other votes at least one whole vote.

And that Article I Sec. 6 be stricken from the Constitution and that Article I Sec. 7 be renumbered Sec. 6.

This report was adopted. (See page 245 for related material).

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, presented the following report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:
The resolution adopted at the 69th Annual Convention of the Diocese of Bethlehem requesting an amendment of Article I Sec. 4 of the Constitution has been considered by the Committee. To accomplish the purposes of the Diocese of Bethlehem resolution in question, the following resolution would, in the opinion of the Committee, be in proper form. It is presented by the Committee without recommendation since the proposed change in the Constitution is one which was rejected at the 1958 General Convention.

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That pursuant to Article XI of the Constitution the following amendment to the Constitution is hereby proposed and that the same be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with Article XI, in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention to wit:

That Article I Sec. 4 of the Constitution be amended by substituting the words "lay persons" for the word "laymen" and the words "lay person" for the word "layman".

The resolution was moved by a deputy from the Diocese of Bethlehem, and seconded. A move to place on the Calendar was defeated.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted.

Resolved, That to consider the resolution before the House relative to the eligibility of women to be members of this House the following rules shall apply:

Total minimum time for debate: 15 minutes.

Any delegate may speak 2 minutes and only once.

During the minimum time for debate no motion shall be in order which would result in the termination of debate.

At the time previously agreed upon for motions to terminate the debate, there was a motion by the Rev. Mr. Pinckney, of Upper South Carolina, to commit the subject matter to the Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention. The motion was seconded, but was lost.

The Rev. Dr. Stowe, of New Jersey, moved that debate cease and that a vote be taken immediately.

This was seconded and carried.

The lay and clerical delegation of the Diocese of Dallas called for a vote by orders and dioceses, and the Secretary began the roll call. The results of the ballot were as follows:
Clerical: Ayes, 27 1/2; Noes, 45; Divided, 11.
Lay: Ayes, 15 1/2; Noes, 59 1/2; Divided, 8.

The Chair announced that the resolution was lost.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted.

*Resolved*, That Rule 40 be temporarily suspended in order to extend the privilege of the floor to the Right Rev. Spence Burton, Bishop of Nassau and the Bahamas.

Bishop Burton addressed the House.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, rose to present a motion of privilege that the Philippine deputation escort Bishops Ogilby and Cabanban of the Philippine Episcopal Church, and Supreme Bishop de los Reyes and Bishop Leano of the Philippine Independent Church to the House. The Chair ruled that the action of the House on rising at the entrance of the Bishops would be considered as a rising vote of approval of Report No. 9 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations. The Bishops entered with the Philippine deputation, and Bishop de los Reyes was given the privilege of the floor. He expressed his deep appreciation and joy of his people in the action just concluded. This was followed by a brief address by Bishop Ogilby, an appropriate prayer of thanksgiving by the Chaplain of the House, the singing of the Doxology, and the blessing, pronounced by Bishop de los Reyes.

Dean Bartlett presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted.

*Resolved*, That a Special Order of the Day be set for 11:00 a.m. tomorrow, Saturday, September 23, for the purpose of consideration of the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations relative to the invitation from the United Presbyterian Church.

The Rev. Wilbur E. Hogg, Jr., of Maine, moved to withdraw Item No. 22 from the Calendar, asking the Presiding Bishop to invite the Supreme Bishop to celebrate according to the rite of the Philippine Independent Church during the time of Convention.

Several suggestions for changes in the language were made, and it was referred by the President to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, with the instruction to make an immediate report at this session.

Mr. Robert Jordan was introduced for a brief report on the Episcopal Church Foundation.
The Very Rev. Harold E. Lemoine, of Long Island, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on the Prayer Book; which was adopted.

Your Committee, to which was referred a resolution by the Rev. David F. Gearhart, of Easton, regarding the permissive use of the New English Bible New Testament in Morning and Evening Prayer, has considered the matter and regards such permission as inadvisable prior to the appearance of the Old Testament portion of the New English Bible. We therefore beg to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Dr. Norris, of Vermont, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, which was adopted.

WHEREAS, By action of this 60th General Convention, the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America has entered by concordat into full communion with the Philippine Independent Church; and

WHEREAS, At the Lord's Table we recognize that we are one loaf, one body in Christ; therefore be it

Resolved, That this House invite the House of Bishops to join with it in requesting the Presiding Bishop to extend an invitation to the Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church, assisted by those clergy of the Philippine Independent Church and of this Church, whom he shall choose, to celebrate the Holy Eucharist according to the Rite of that Church during this General Convention at a time and place appointed by the Presiding Bishop; and that the members of this Convention—Bishops, Deputies, and the delegates to the Triennial meeting of the Women of the Church—be invited to be present and to receive the Holy Communion.

(Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 59).

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:
Archbishop of Canterbury, Greetings to
Bishop White, 175th Anniversary of Consecration of
Canon 34, Section 2
Christian Pacifists
Church Pension Fund—Minimum Pension for Widows
Church Pension Fund—Recommendations for medical expense insurance
Church Pension Fund—Retirement Allowances for lay workers
Ecumenical Relations, Joint Commission on
Evangelism
Expenses, Joint Committee on
Forward Movement Publications
North India)
SIXTH DAY

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 1961

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain led the House in morning devotions.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 22nd read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Fifth Day were approved.

The President took note of the presence in the House of many young Churchmen, attending the Youth week end, and welcomed them in the name of the House of Deputies.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted:

As previously ordered, the Rev. Canon Howard D. Johnson will have the privilege of the floor after the report of the Committee on Elections.

Resolved, That after Canon Johnson's address, the Regular Order of the Day be resumed until 11:00 a.m. when the Special Order of the Day will be called for.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Elections; which was accepted.

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this House in this Convention: The Rev. Frederick W. Dorst, of Rochester, in place of the Rev. Frank Fisher; Mr. H. H. Meday, of San Joaquin, in place of Mr. Robert Newell.
The Rev. Canon Howard Johnson addressed the House on the subject of his two-year trip to the provinces of the Anglican Communion.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented their report No. 12, being the revision of Canon 50 on Lay Readers, presented originally by the General Division of Laymen's Work of the National Council. The Committee presented a corrected form of this Canon, which was amended by several suggestions from the floor accepted by the Committee.

This resolution had been referred concurrently to the Committee on Christian Education, which also made suggested amendments, which were accepted by the Committee.

There was considerable discussion with a view to perfecting the text, but before the report could be considered, the time for a Special Order of Business arrived, and the matter was re-committed to the Committee on Canons for further perfection of the text and final presentation.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business; which was adopted.

Resolved, that we now take up the Special Order of the Day for consideration of Message No. 34 of the House of Bishops concerning the invitation by the 173rd General Assembly of the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America. In considering this Special Order of the Day, no deputy shall speak more than once nor for more than two minutes, except that one spokesman on behalf of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and one spokesman on behalf of those members of the Committee making a minority report, if there be one, be allowed five minutes each for his opening and five minutes each for his closing debate and except that any deputy offering an amendment shall be allowed three minutes for that purpose and a spokesman for the Committee shall be allowed three minutes to reply to the amendment.

If the President has reason to question whether any proposed amendment be of doubtful constitutional or canonical validity, he may recognize the chairman of the appropriate committee to express his opinion on that point before taking a vote on the amendment.

Prior to 12:08 P.M., no motion shall be permissible which would terminate debate while any deputy is on the platform waiting to speak, and either before or after 12:08 P.M. no motion shall be permissible which would preclude the closing speeches of five minutes each above provided.

Final action shall be taken on each amendment as offered before debate continues on the main question and the time consumed in disposing of amendments shall not be charged to the hour provided for debate on the main question.

The Rev. Mr. Yates, of the Virgin Islands, offered a motion of personal privilege concerning the recently reported ruling of the Interstate Commerce Commission ending segregation of Interstate Bus transportation.
Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 60th General Convention notes with pleasure the decision of the Interstate Commerce Commission forbidding racial discrimination in interstate bus transportation.

This was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

The Rev. Dr. Appleyard, of Connecticut, made a motion of privilege, that the substance of the background material presented by the Rev. Dr. Kean in introducing the debate on the invitation from the Presbyterian Church, should be included in the Journal. On being put to a vote, this motion was not adopted.

Mr. Henry P. Bakewell, of Connecticut, moved a resolution of privilege, that a message of sympathy be sent to the Right Rev. Dr. Sherman, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, in his illness, together with prayers for his recovery.

This resolution was adopted.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented the following reports of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted:

Resolved, that the sessions of the House of Deputies be fixed as follows:

Monday, September 25—
9:30 a.m.—12:30 p.m.
2:15 p.m.—5:15 p.m.

Tuesday, September 26—
On adjournment of Joint Session—12:30 p.m.
2:15 p.m.—5:15 p.m.

Wednesday, September 27 and
Thursday, September 28—
9:30 a.m.—12:30 p.m.
2:15 p.m.—5:15 p.m.

Friday, September 29—
9:30 a.m. until completion of business.

Resolved, that the following Special Orders be set for Monday, September 25—

11:00 a.m.—Report of Committee on Ecumenical Relations relative to the National Council of Churches of Christ in America.

Following the Report of Committee on Elections, the Hon. Ben Riefel, first Indian American to be elected to the Congress of the United States of America, be accorded the privilege of speaking for five minutes relative to Indian affairs.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:
Joint Session for Program and Budget Report
Presbyterian Invitation
Russian Seminary in Paris)

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain led the House in devotions.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 23rd read and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Sixth Day were approved.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 20 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

As previously ordered, the Hon. Ben Reifel will be granted the privilege of the floor to address the House following the report of the Committee on Elections.

Resolved, That following Rep. Reifel's address, the regular order be resumed with the completion of the report of the Committee on Canons (that being the place where the regular order was interrupted on Sat., Sept. 23).

Resolved, That a Special Order of the Day be set at 10:30 A.M. for the service in memory of Deceased Members.

Resolved, That following the service for Deceased Members, the Special Order of the Day previously ordered be called for the purpose of receiving the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations concerning the several resolutions regarding the National Council of Churches of Christ.

Resolved, as soon as may be convenient after the convening of the House at 2:15 p.m., that Rule 40 be suspended temporarily to allow Mr. Robert E. Kenyon to address the House concerning the Church Magazine Advisory Board.

Resolved, That following Mr. Kenyon's address the House return to the Regular Order of the Day at the place it was interrupted in the morning.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. C. Boone Sadler, Jr., of Los Angeles, in place of the Rev. Kenneth W. Cary; Mr. James E. Minds, of Los Angeles, in place of Dr. George Gibbs; Mr. Joseph Dorzweiler, of Iowa, in place of Mr. John Kyle; Mr. J. W. Barksdale, Jr., of Mississippi, in place of Mr. D. A. Elliott.

The Hon. Ben Reifel was introduced and addressed the House.
Mr. Rodney E. Ross, Jr., of Maine, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Missions, which was accepted.

With reference to the resolution from the Missionary District of Wyoming relative to equal representation, this Committee feels that this matter should be referred to the Special Committee on Structure and Organization of the General Convention, and that we be discharged from further consideration.

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, presented the following reports of the Committee on the Prayer Book, which were accepted.

Your Committee, to which has been referred a resolution from the Rev. Harry Tisdale, of Atlanta, regarding the name of the Church as it appears on the title page of the Book of Common Prayer only, has considered the matter and regards it as inseparable from the whole matter of the name of the Church, and begs to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Your Committee, to which has been referred a resolution from Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, regarding the name of the Church, notes that the same resolution has also been referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, regards the matter of the name of the Church as not within the province of the Committee on the Prayer Book, and begs to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 21 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, That Report No. 15 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, covering the daily schedule for this week, be amended as follows:

(1.) Daily sessions will begin at 9 a.m. rather than at 9:30 a.m.

(2.) An evening session be scheduled for 8:30 p.m., on Wednesday, September 27.

The Secretary reported the receipt of Message Nos. 65, 66 and 67 from the House of Bishops, announcing the elections of Missionary Bishops, which were referred immediately to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops for their consideration and subsequent report.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented their Report No. 3, asking to be discharged from further consideration of several Memorials from Dioceses on the subject of Alcohol, which were already adequately cared for by previous action of the House.

The report of the Committee was accepted.

The Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members, through their Chairman, the Rev. Mr. Pinckney, of Upper South Caro-
The General Convention, held a Service of Commemoration and the roll of deceased members was read. (See Appendix).

Mr. Moody, of Eau Claire, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Rural Work, which was accepted.

The Committee has met, and having determined that The Committee on Christian Social Relations plans to take action on Section 7 and 8 of the Report on Ministry to American Indians, requests that it be discharged from further consideration of these matters.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 22 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, That we now take up the Special Order of the Day for consideration of the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations relative to the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America. In considering this Special Order of the Day, no deputy shall speak more than once for more than two minutes, except that one spokesman on behalf of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and one Deputy in opposition thereto be allowed five minutes each for opening and five minutes each for closing debate and except that any deputy offering an amendment shall be allowed three minutes for that purpose and a spokesman for the Committee shall be allowed three minutes to reply to the amendment.

If the President has reason to question whether any proposed amendment be of doubtful constitutional or canonical validity, he may recognize the Chairman of the appropriate committee to express his opinion on that point before taking a vote on the amendment.

Prior to the end of one hour after the presentation of the Report, no motion shall be permissible which would terminate debate while any deputy is on the platform waiting to speak, and either before or after the end of one hour after the presentation of the Report, no motion shall be permissible which would preclude the closing speeches of five minutes each above provided.

Final action shall be taken on each amendment as offered before debate continues on the main question, and the time consumed in disposing of amendments shall not be charged to the hour provided for debate on the main question.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 13, asking to be discharged from the several Memorials and Resolutions dealing with the subject of the NCCCUSA, which were adequately cared for by the action taken by the House on this day. The report was accepted.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 23, which was adopted.

Resolved, That the House go into executive session at this time for the purpose of receiving the report of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.
The House rose.

Mr. Kenyon, of The Church Magazine Advisory Board, made a report to the House.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on Canons, which was adopted.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the resolution presented by the Reverend David F. Gearhart of Easton and reading as follows:

"Whereas, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 20 be amended to delete therefrom the word "and" from the next-to-the-last line thereof, to substitute a comma for the period at the end of said Canon, and to add the words "and the New English Bible New Testament (1961)", after said comma."

begs leave to report that it has considered the matter and approves the canonical form with the correction that the brackets be removed from the figures "(1961)" and the word "of" be substituted before the said figures.

With this correction, the Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter and moves that it be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 24, which was adopted.

Resolved, That a Special Order of the Day be set as soon as may be convenient after convening the afternoon session on Tuesday, September 26, for the purpose of considering the report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget concerning the budget for the next triennium.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also Topical Arrangement headings:

Canon 5
Canon 16 (Definitions)
Christian Marriage and Population Control
Church in Human Affairs, Joint Commission on
Church Magazine Advisory Board
Demountable Church Structures
Historiographer, Nomination and Election of Dr. Stowe as Indians, Church’s Ministry to American
Lay Readers’ Guide and Syllabus
Lay Readers (New Canon 50)
Missionaries’ Stipends, Annual Review of
Missionary Bishops, Election of
Mitchell, Bishop R. Bland
National Council of Churches of Christ in the U.S.A.
Recorder, Nomination and Election of Church Pension Fund as Society and Alcohol, Joint Commission on
Workmen’s Compensation Laws)
EIGHTH DAY

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Dr. Theodore P. Ferris, led the House in devotions.

Canon Small, of Erie, presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes;

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 25th read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Seventh Day were approved.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 25 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted.

Resolved, That Item #23 be called from the Calendar and referred to the Committee on Social Relations.

Resolved, that the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be called up for a special report to be introduced for referral.

Resolved, that the Committee on Canons be called upon to complete their report interrupted yesterday.

As previously ordered, the Joint Session with the House of Bishops is scheduled for 10:00 A.M. If time permits, following the Joint Session, the Regular Order of the Day will be taken up.

As previously ordered, as soon as may be convenient after noon recess, the Special Order for consideration of the Budget for 1962-64 will be taken up.

Resolved, that following completion of the Special Order, the Regular Order be resumed.

Mr. Anderson, of New Hampshire, for the Committee on Elections, presented Report Nos. 9 and 10, which were adopted.

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. Gilbert Dickerman, of Fond du Lac, in place of Mr. F. H. Schlichting; Mr. Robert T. Mason, of Ohio, in place of Judge John W. Ford; Mr. T. A. Peters, of Arizona, in place of Mr. Stephen Shadegg; the Rev. David A. Jones, of Dallas, in place of the Rev. James P. DeWolfe, Jr.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 3:

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution presented by the Rev. Malcolm E. Eckel, of Western Massachusetts.

WHEREAS, We are living in a revolutionary age of extreme fluidity and rapid change and the Bishops of our Church are called upon to assume in-
creasing activities and responsibilities in addition to the necessary administrative functions of their office, and there is a growing sense of need for assistance to our Diocesan Bishops; and

WHEREAS, There is an increasing number of requests for Suffragan Bishops in Dioceses throughout the Church; and

WHEREAS, A rapidly increasing population is adding to the administrative problems of the several Diocesans; and

WHEREAS, An increase in the number of Dioceses might be practicable; and

WHEREAS, other types of administrative assistance might be utilized, therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a special Joint Committee be created, composed of three Bishops, three Presbyters and three laymen, to study the office and work of the Bishop, in the light of the nature of modern life, with special reference to the office and work of a Suffragan Bishop, as well as to other types of assistance needed, and to report to the next General Convention, and

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $500.00 be appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Committee.

While recognizing the problems implicit in the matters covered in the proposed resolution, the Joint Committee doubts the practicality of such a study being undertaken by a Joint Committee. We note such considerations as the canonical right of the separate Dioceses and Bishops to order their own administrative affairs, the way in which the functions and work of Suffragan Bishops vary widely from Diocese to Diocese and the expense involved in dividing existing Dioceses and creating new ones.

We suggest that such a study would be undertaken more appropriately by the House of Bishops itself, with the assistance of the Unit of Research and Field Study of the National Council.

The Joint Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of the resolution.

The report of the Committee was received, and the matter placed on the Calendar No. 25.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 4:

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution presented by the Committee of the House of Deputies on Amendments to the Constitution.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Special Committee on Proposals to Change the Name of the Church be created to consist of four Bishops, four Presbyters and eight laymen who shall, if possible, be chancellors of dioceses, to which Joint Committee the resolution offered by Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, (House of Deputies No. 110) and all similar resolutions dealing with a change in the name of the Church offered at this 1961 Convention, shall be referred, with authority
to such Joint Committee to study during the coming triennium the changes that will be required in the Constitution and Canons of the Church and of the several dioceses and that will be required in the laws of the various states and in parochial and other church corporations, such Joint Committee to report to the next General Convention on the problems involved and to submit suitable proposed legislation, which will assist in making such change of name, if adopted, effective in all areas involved in the most expeditious and effective way; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $10,000 be appropriated to the Joint Special Committee on Proposals to Change the Name of the Church for its work during the ensuing Triennium.

Noting that proposals to change the name of the Church have been rejected by the House of Bishops, the Joint Committee believes that there is now no need for any such Special Committee and asks to be discharged from further consideration of the resolution.

It was moved that this matter be placed on the Calendar No. 26.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, That a Special Order of the Day be set for consideration of Calendar Item #26 at 4:00 p.m. Wednesday, September 27th.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented the following reports, which were adopted.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution by the Very Rev. Edgar C. Newlin, of Lexington, with regard to a system of renumbering the Canons, and reading as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a permanent, uniform and integrated system of numbering the canons of this Church be established, following recognized principles employed by the Federal and State Governments, and utilizing so far as feasible the current canon numbers; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Committee representing both Houses of this Convention be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and the President of this House, to implement this Resolution by devising such a system of re-numbering the Canons of this Church and reporting back to the next triennial Convention of this Church the results of their efforts and a renumbering of the Canons for its consideration and action;

begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal and moves that it be referred to the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons, to determine the advisability of the proposal; and that the Committee on Canons asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution of the Diocese of South Florida with regard to the functions of the Provinces, and reading as follows:
Resolved, That the 39th Annual Convention of the Diocese of South Florida respectfully petitions the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church to assign by canon certain specific functions to the Synods of the Provinces and to specify the means for the support of these functions, either by the designation of a certain percentage of the National Quota of each Diocese or Missionary District, or by some other method;

begs leave to report that, since the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of the General Convention has this subject matter under advisement, the Committee on Canons asks to be discharged from consideration of the same.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution of the Rev. Dr. Alling, of Rochester, proposing an amendment to Canon 4, Section 6(d) and reading as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the following sentence be inserted after the second sentence of paragraph (d), Section 6 of Canon 4:

"Each Parish and Mission shall then include its assigned share of the total objective within its yearly operating budget."

begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment, finds it to be in due canonical form and moves that it be referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget; and asks to be discharged from further consideration.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented the following report:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution of Mr. Curtis Draper, Jr., of Washington, proposing an amendment to Canon 1, to make the election of a President and Secretary of the House of Deputies mandatory at one session of the General Convention for the next succeeding session, and reading as follows:

Resolved, That Canon 1, Section 1(a) be revised to provide for the election of a President and Secretary during one Convention, to take office as the last item of business of the Convention and that the same officers serve until the close of the next session of General Convention and that the Committee on Canons be requested to frame the contents of this resolution in a completely revised Canon 1;

begs leave to report that, in the opinion of the Committee, the suggested change would not be good legislative procedure for a representative body, such as is the House of Deputies, to bind the prerogatives of a successor session. Therefore, the Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Upon its becoming apparent that this was a debatable matter, it was placed on the Calendar No. 27.

Mr. Greene, of Washington, for the Committee on The Church Pension Fund, presented Report No. 8, which was adopted.
Your Committee has considered the resolution presented by The Ven. D. T. Stevenson, of Bethlehem, as follows:

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Convention strongly urges each Diocese, Parish or other agency of the Church employing the regular services of a Deaconess to make provision for an adequate pension upon her retirement at the expense of such employer."

This matter has been effectively dealt with in the resolution adopted by the House of Bishops, (House of Bishops Message No. 13) and the amendment of Canon 51 by the House of Bishops (House of Bishops Message No. 15). The House of Deputies has concurred with the House of Bishops.

The Committee respectfully requests that it be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. Greene, of Washington, for the Committee on The Church Pension Fund, presented the following report:

Your Committee has considered the resolution submitted by the Deputation of the Diocese of Colorado, as follows:

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention directs the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to demonstrate its faith in the continuity and solvency of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America by exploring the possibility of adding the Fund’s Investment Portfolio mortgages secured by Diocese or Parish mortgages for land or new construction of Chapels and Parish Centers, and be it further

"Resolved, That the Trustees establish such conditions and reasonable limitations as required properly to manage and secure repayment of principal and interest."

Canon 7 authorizes “The Church Pension Fund—to establish and administer the clergy pension system of this Church substantially in accordance with the principles adopted by the General Convention of 1913—with the view of providing for the clergy disabled by age or other infirmity, and for the widows and orphans of deceased clergy.”

The General Convention has always been concerned with the fiscal and actuarial soundness of the Plan, so that those who are its beneficiaries may look forward to receiving the benefits when they are payable.

The General Convention has never “directed” nor dictated the investment policies of the Trustees, insofar as the details of investments are concerned, or otherwise.

Loans for worthy Church purposes are available through The American Church Building Fund Commission, The Episcopal Church Foundation, the National Council Loan Fund, and the Construction Loan Fund, as well as from the usual banking sources. A number of Dioceses have revolving loan funds of their own. It should be possible to obtain funds for any sound project.

The Committee respectfully requests that it be discharged from further consideration of the matter.
Upon its being apparent that debate would be forthcoming, the matter was placed on the Calendar No. 28.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies now recess, to resume its session 10 minutes after the conclusion of the Joint Session, unless the Joint Session continues beyond 11:45 a.m., in which event the House of Deputies will remain in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Mr. Vanable, of Rhode Island, for the Committee on the General Theological Seminary, presented Report No. 2:

Resolved, That the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be and hereby is asked to bring in a definition of the function of the General Theological Seminary Committee of the House of Deputies at the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

This was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, for report at the next General Convention.

Mr. Vanable, of Rhode Island, for the Committee on General Theological Seminary, presented Report No. 3; which was adopted.

The Committee on General Theological Seminary notes with appreciation the action of the Dioceses of Alabama, Bethlehem and Texas in sharing the results of their own special capital fund drives with the General Theological Seminary.

The Committee hopes this example of stewardship with regard to Theological Education may be initiated soon and often.

Mr. Ross, of Maine, for the Committee on Missions, presented Report No. 6; which was adopted.

The Committee on Missions to which was referred the report on "Ministry to American Indians" has met both singularly and in joint session with the Committees on Rural Work and Christian Social Relations.

Since the suggested resolutions contained therein have already been adopted by this body on motion by the chairman of Christian Social Relations, we respectfully request that we be discharged from further consideration of this subject.

Mr. Ross, of Maine, for the Committee on Missions, presented Report No. 7; which was adopted.

In reference to Resolution No. 251 pertaining to Missionary Districts Overseas, this Committee has met with delegates from Central, Southern, and Southwestern Brazil. The purpose of this proposed legislation was to eventually develop autonomy and local authority in these districts.

The Overseas Department of the National Council in response to "the
Gray Report" on Overseas Missions has already made several recommendations, two of which are as follows:

1. That there be established a Permanent Advisory Council of Evaluation and Strategy on the Mission of the Church. This body should be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and should be advisory to him and through him to General Convention and to the National Council. This Advisory Council should be provided with a full-time executive and with adequate staff and budget, independent of any existing department or division of the National Council.

2. To encourage the steady development of autonomy, and local authority and responsibility, in existing Missionary Districts.

Work is actively progressing in accordance with these recommendations. Consequently, it would appear that further legislation is not warranted and the Committee requests that it be discharged from further consideration.

Mr. J. Arthur Jones, of New Jersey, presented the following resolution; which was adopted.

WHEREAS, The Chaplain of this House, the Rev. Dr. Theodore P. Ferris, has inspired the members thereof by his daily meditations; and

WHEREAS, The said meditations would be spiritually helpful to the members of the Church, if made available in print; therefore, be it

Resolved, That our Chaplain be requested to write out his meditations, and that the Forward Movement Commission be requested to publish the same for the edification of the Church's membership.

The President led the House in noon-day prayers, and immediately thereafter introduced the Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Agueros, Dean of Holy Trinity Cathedral in Havana, Cuba, and Bishop-elect of the Missionary District of Cuba, with the unanimous consent of the House. Dean Gonzales briefly addressed the House.

The Joint Committee on the site of the next General Convention, through its Chairman, the Rev. Canon I. C. Johnson, D.D., of Michigan, asked to make its report out of turn, which was granted.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 14; which was adopted.

The Committee on Christian Social Relations notes that the General Convention in session in 1958 asked that this Church work for the establishment, without distinction of race, of full opportunities in education, housing, employment and public accommodations and that this Convention will be asked in a further
resolution to reaffirm this position. We therefore feel that this resolution, offered only to the House of Deputies, will be better served by the larger resolution to be considered by the entire Convention and ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 15:

The Committee on Christian Social Relations respectfully wishes to submit that the American Law Institute, one of the most respected legal organizations in the nation, is the sponsor of the Commission on Uniform State Laws. This commission has prepared a series of uniform state laws which they have proposed, beginning with the Uniform Negotiable Instruments Law over forty years ago, and a large number of these uniform laws have been adopted by a large majority of the state legislatures.

Among these is the proposed Uniform Marriage and Divorce Law. This has been adopted by a considerable number of states, but the fixed cultural position of a number of states seems totally irreconcilable.

The Congress of the United States and the President have no jurisdiction in this field and have no such power under the Constitution. The churches, in seeking uniformity, can only work for the Uniform State Law.

The Committee therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration.

The President ruled that two questions were involved:

1. To discharge the Committee from further consideration of the Resolution; and

2. Does this House concur with House of Bishops' Message No. 49.

The first of these two questions was put and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. Charles Crump, of Tennessee, offered an amendment to the Message of the House of Bishops, as follows:

"urge the Dioceses and Missionary Districts to work for the adoption, by the respective state legislatures, of a uniform law on marriage and divorce, seriously considering the model adopted by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws."

This was seconded.

A motion was made to re-consider the vote on discharging the Committee, and the motion was adopted.

A further motion to re-commit the matter (with the Crump amendment) to the Committee on Christian Social Relations was made and carried, and it was so referred.
Mr. Cubbedge, of Georgia, on a motion of privilege, moved re-consideration of the hour of the debate on the name of the Church. The motion was defeated.

The Rev. Bradford Hastings, of Western Massachusetts, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention instruct the Joint Committee on Program and Budget for the ensuing year to study the whole matter of quotas in keeping with the spirit of their resolution No. 9, and that the Committee report its recommendations at the next General Convention.

It was moved by Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, to lay this resolution on the table, pending the receipt of a related Memorial from the National Council, now in committee in the House of Bishops.

This motion was carried.

The Rev. Mr. Masuda, of Montana, for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, presented Report No. 6; which was adopted.

The Joint Committee on Program and Budget received notice of resolution adopted by the House of Deputies that the Committee consider increasing the stipends of Missionary Bishops. The Committee did consider the matter and recommends that, since the Program and Budget Report is already in the printing stage, the matter be referred to the National Council and that the Committee be discharged from further consideration thereof.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 29; which was adopted:

WHEREAS, no member of the Standing Liturgical Commission is a member of this House; and

WHEREAS, that Commission has requested that this House give permission to the Rev. Dr. Massey H. Shepherd, Jr., to present its report. Therefore be it

Resolved, That Rule 40 be suspended for this purpose, and that the Rev. Dr. Shepherd be given the privilege of the floor to present this report; and be it further

Resolved, that a Special Order be set for this purpose for Wednesday morning as soon as may be convenient after convening.

Dean Klein, of Chicago, presented a resolution to suspend Rule No. 40 to allow the Rev. Dr. Dunphy to speak at the time the House considers the Message from the House of Bishops deal-
ing with the Spanish Reformed and Lusitanian Churches Concordats.

This motion was lost.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT headings:

Canons 6, Sec. 1 (5); 8, Sec. 1; 45, Sec. 6 and 8 (a)
Church in Human Affairs, Joint Committee on the
Church Pension Fund, Election of Trustees
Church Pension Fund, Vacancies among Trustees
Communicant, Definition of (Canon 16, Secs. 1, 2 and 3)
Communism, Education regarding
Cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, Joint Committee on
Demountable Church Structures (Architecture and Allied Arts)
The Episcopalian
General Theological Seminary, Election of Trustees of
Good Friday Offering
Industrialization
Missionary Districts, Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of
Missionary Salaries, Review of
N.C.C.C.U.S.A.
New Missionary Districts, Erection of
Orthodox, Conversations with
Philippine Joint Council
Program and Budget Committee, Authorization of for 1964 Convention
Program and Budget Committee, Report of the Committee on
Racial Discrimination
Summary of General Convention Actions
Treasurer of General Convention, Joint Advisory Committee to Co-operate with.)

NINTH DAY

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House led the devotions.

Canon Small, of Erie, for the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, presented Report No. 9:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of September 26th read, and certify them to be correct.
The Minutes of the Eighth Day were approved.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 30; which was adopted.

Resolved, that the Regular Order be resumed with report from the Committee on Ecumenical Relations (that being the place where the Regular Order was interrupted yesterday).

Resolved, that Rule 13 be amended for the duration of today's session to provide a maximum of 3 minutes for each statement.

Dean Clark, of Harrisburg, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, asked to be discharged from further consideration of the resolution originally submitted by Mr. Roger Ray, of Maine, with essentially the same import as Mr. Ray's amendment, which had already been defeated.

This resolution was adopted, and the Committee was discharged.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 31; which was adopted.

(a) Resolved, That items #13 and #15 be called from the Calendar and referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

(b) Resolved, That a Joint Committee on Arrangements for the General Convention of 1964 be appointed, to consist of the following: The Presiding Bishop, the President of the House of Deputies, the Chairman of Dispatch of Business of the House of Deputies; acting in consultation with the Bishop of the Host Diocese and the President of the Episcopal Church Women of the Host Diocese; and be it further

Resolved, That the sum of $1,000.00 be written into the budget to cover expenses of the Joint Committee.

The last resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 32; which was adopted.

Resolved, That the Rev. Dillard H. Brown, Bishop Coadjutor-Elect of Liberia be granted the privilege of the floor immediately following Noon Prayers and that Rule 40 be suspended temporarily for that purpose.

Dr. Anderson, of New York, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 20; which was adopted.

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has considered Resolution No. 230, from the Deputation of the Missionary District of the Panama Canal Zone, opposing the proposed integration of the World Council of Churches and the International Missionary Council at the Assembly of the World Council of Churches to be held at New Delhi, November and December, 1961, on the grounds that "such a merger would tend to alienate the Orthodox and perhaps even Anglicans from the new organization."
Your Committee begs leave to present a statement on this subject made by Fr. Timiades (now Bishop Timiades) representing the Patriarch of Constantinople, in regard to the proposed integration, as follows:

(Quotation from "World Council of Churches, Minutes and Reports of the Central Committee, St. Andrews, Scotland, August 16-24, 1960).

"Father Timiades said that abstention from voting on the part of the Orthodox representatives did not mean that they were against integration. On the contrary, they were thankful to Almighty God because two great links had been established between the Orthodox Church and the new body. One was the new Basis which would be warmly welcomed by the Orthodox; the other was the report on Proselytism. The Ecumenical Patriarchate had had reservations for many years resulting from the character of certain missionary agencies which had no theological basis or authority and the fear lest their coming into this new body might alter the character of the ecumenical movement. These anxieties had been removed and he was sure that acceptance by the Orthodox Churches of the integration proposal was only a matter of time."

Your Committee has been assured that the delegation of our Church to the Assembly in New Delhi, which includes the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies, are fully cognizant of the concerns expressed in the proposed Resolution No. 230 and will bear them in mind at the Assembly in New Delhi.

Your Committee therefore begs to be discharged from further consideration of the proposed Resolution.

The Bishop Coadjutor-Elect of Liberia, the Rev. Dillard H. Brown, was introduced and addressed the House briefly.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 33; which was adopted.

Resolved, That the time set for convening the House on Thursday morning be changed from 9:00 to 10:00 a.m. due to the consecration service for the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu.

The Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, presented a request that the Committee on Ecumenical Relations to be discharged from the remaining Memorials and Petitions on the subject of discussions on Unity, which was granted.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 25; which was adopted.

Your Committee has received the following resolution presented by the Ven. Harry J. Stretch of the Diocese of Long Island.

WHEREAS, The General Assembly of the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America has invited the Protestant Episcopal Church to enter discussions looking towards union with certain other Christian Bodies, among them the body called "The Methodist Church"; and
WHEREAS, The said Methodist Church is but one, albeit the largest, among several Methodist Churches in this Country; and

WHEREAS, This said Methodist Church is largely a segregated denomination; and

WHEREAS, The corresponding denomination to which Negroes and Negro congregations are freely admitted is the African Methodist Episcopal Church; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that any and all negotiations involving "The Methodist Church" shall also include the said African Methodist Episcopal Church.

Your committee believes that a more inclusive reference to other bodies is included in previously adopted message #37 from the House of Bishops; recommends that Archdeacon Stretch's resolution be referred to the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity; and asks that your committee be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Rev. Canon Stowe, of New Jersey, presented a resolution, that it is the sense of this House that the President should appoint himself as a member of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.

This was adopted, and the President was so instructed.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, moved that Item No. 18 from the Calendar be recalled and referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

It was so ordered.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 24; which was adopted.

The Committee on Canons to which has been referred a proposed Canon 52, "of Licensed, Qualified Women Workers," by the Joint Commission on Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers, understand that this matter has also been referred to the Committee on Christian Education; and further understand that this latter Committee will move to re-commit this matter to the Joint Commission for further study and subsequent report.

Therefore, the Committee on Canons asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia, for the Committee on Elections, presented Report No. 11, which was received.

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Very Rev. Henry B. Lee, of San Joaquin, in place of The Rev. Victor M. Rivera.

Archdeacon Thornberry, of Southern Ohio, for the Committee
on the State of the Church, presented Report No. 5; which was adopted.

The Committee met and unanimously recommends to the House of Deputies, the following Resolution:

Resolved: That there be a general printing of the statistical analysis as presented by the Committee on the State of the Church, and that such report be sent to all Parishes and Missions of the Church; and that a special letter be sent to the President of each Province commending the Report for study.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following reports, which were adopted.

Resolved, That Rule 40 of the House be temporarily suspended in order to extend the privilege of the floor to His Holiness, Benedictos, Patriarch of Jerusalem; and that he be received at approximately 10:00 a.m. on Friday.

Resolved, That in considering the question of changing the name of the Church no deputy shall speak more than once nor for more than two minutes in debating the main question or in debating amendments.

Prior to the end of ½ hour after the presentation of the report of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, no motion shall be permissible which would terminate debate while any deputy is on the platform waiting to speak.

Final action shall be taken on each amendment as offered before debate continues on the main question.

Mr. Longino, of Atlanta, presented an amendment to the above report to the effect that only one speaker be heard from each side, and that the House then proceed to vote. On a division, this motion was defeated.

Ayes, 216; Noes, 315.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 36; which was adopted.

Resolved, that we begin the evening session with the report of the Committee of Conference on Program and Budget (if ready) followed by messages from the House of Bishops.

Resolved, that we then resume the Regular Order of Business, beginning with the Committee on Theological Education.

Resolved, that no motion to adjourn be in order prior to 10:00 p.m.

Resolved, that all committees be prepared to report on Thursday all matters requiring concurrence by the House of Bishops.

Item No. 14 was taken from the Calendar, dealing with a Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces, presented by the Rev. Michael J. Kippenbrook, of Georgia.
This was put to a vote, and the resolution was defeated.

Item No. 18, which had previously been called from the Calendar and referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, being a resolution presented by the Very Rev. James W. Montgomery, of Chicago, stating that no proposal for intercommunion between this Church and any other body be in force unless approved by two successive General Conventions, was called for, and the Committee asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

This matter was put to a vote and the committee was discharged.

Item No. 19 was taken from the Calendar, being a resolution offered by the Rev. Canon Gordon E. Gillett, of Quincy, urging clergy to hold and keep the Christian Faith.

The Rev. Morris F. Arnold, of Southern Ohio, moved to lay on the table.

A division was called for, with the following results:

Ayes, 335; Noes, 143.

The resolution was tabled.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT headings:
"Appeal to All Christian People"
Approaches to Unity, Joint Commission on
Bishop Molina of Spanish Reformed Church, Greetings to
Bishop Peveira of Lusitanian Church, Greetings to
Canons: 1, Sec. 3, Sec. 6; 4, Sec. 2 (a) & (b); 5, Sec. 2 (2); 6, Sec. 1 (5); 16; 30; 36 (New; to replace present Canons 36 & 38); 42, Sec. 3; 45, Sec. 6, 8; 50 (Lay Readers).
Chancellors' Opinions
Civilian Defense
Communism, Church's Opposition to; Education regarding; and Hysterical Fear of
Constitution, Amendments to
Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, Joint Commission on Cooperation with
Episcopal Church Center Gift Day
Expenses, Joint Committee on
General Convention, Budget of
General Convention, Reconstruction of
Indians, Church's Ministry to American
Liberia, Bishop Coadjutor Elect of
Methodist Church, Conversations with
TENTH DAY


The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Rev. Theodore P. Ferris, D.D., Chaplain of the House, led the devotions.

Canon Small, of Erie, for the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, presented Report No. 10:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of September 26th read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Ninth Day were approved.

The Secretary read the following communication from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

WHEREAS, throughout our Church, women are becoming more and more active in the whole life of the Church, and it becomes more evident that there is a need for men and women to plan together in General Convention for the Church's welfare and mission; and

WHEREAS, a Joint Committee of General Convention reported favorably in 1952, 1955 and 1958 that the General Convention adopt a resolution that Article I, Section 4 of the Constitution be amended by substituting the words "lay persons" for the word "laymen" and the words "lay person" for the word "layman"; and
WHEREAS, a major emphasis of the 60th General Convention is a better understanding of the ministry of the lay person in the total ministry of the church; and

WHEREAS, word has come to us that the House of Deputies does not understand the expressed desire of the Women of the Church, in Triennial Meeting assembled, for a re-interpretation of the word "laymen" to read "lay persons"; and

WHEREAS, the Women of the Church do not feel that the interpretation of the word "laymen" to read "lay persons" in Article I, Section 4 of the Constitution would adversely affect the nature of the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church; now therefore be it

Resolved, that this Triennial Meeting respectfully inform the General Convention of this action of the Triennial Meeting of the Women on September 21, 1961, and that copies of this resolution be sent to the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 37; which was adopted.

Resolved, that Rule 13 be suspended for the day and that all debate be limited to three minutes for any one member.

Resolved, that we follow the Regular Order of Business, interrupted when necessary by messages from the House of Bishops, beginning with the Calendar #20.

Resolved, that the President of the House may call up matters out of the Regular Order from time to time as may be required in his discretion.

Resolved, that we remain in session this morning until all business requiring concurrence of the House of Bishops is completed.

Mr. Ahlenius, of Springfield, for the Committee on Elections, presented Report No. 12; which was accepted.

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Robert K. Bernhard, of Indianapolis, in place of the Rev. Ernest Lynch.

Item No. 20 was taken from the Calendar, and the Rev. Mr. Pinckney, of Upper South Carolina, speaking for the entire clerical and lay deputations from Upper South Carolina and South Carolina, which had originally presented the resolution, moved to deny to unofficial groups the use of names and symbols tending to suggest that their status is official.

After debate, the Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, moved to table the resolution, and on being put to a vote, the resolution was tabled.

Item No. 27 was taken from the Calendar, being Report No. 19 of the Committee on Canons (See page 229).
Mr. Greene, of Washington, moved a substitute for the report of the Committee on Canons, referring the resolution of Mr. Draper to the pertinent interim Commission, if there be one. Mr. Greene's resolution was defeated.

The motion of the Committee was adopted, and the Committee was discharged.

Item No. 28 was taken from the Calendar, being report No. 9 of the Committee of The Church Pension Fund (See page 230). The report was adopted.

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, for the Committee on the Prayer Book, presented two reports; which were adopted.

Your Committee has considered the resolution presented by the Rev. Charles G. Leavell, of Kentucky, regarding the wording of some collects in the proposed supplement of Collects, Epistles and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts, suggests that it be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission, and begs to be discharged from further consideration.

Your Committee has considered the resolution presented by the Rev. P. F. Sturges, for the Deputation from the Diocese of Pennsylvania, calling for the immediate appointment of a Joint Commission on Prayer Book Revision, in the light of the possible final passage of permission for Trial Use of proposed revisions by the General Convention of 1964, your Committee believes that such an appointment would be premature at this time, and begs to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 18, being a resolution originally presented by Mr. John P. Causey, of Virginia, on the subject of racial tensions and, on the basis that a broader and more comprehensive statement on this subject had already been adopted, they asked to be discharged from further consideration.

The motion to discharge was adopted.

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, for the Committee on National and International Problems, presented Report No. 7; which was accepted.

This Committee has considered the Resolution presented by the Rev. Kenneth W. Cary, on behalf of the Deputations from the Dioceses of Olympia, California, Massachusetts, Los Angeles, Virginia, and the District of Western Kansas:

"WHEREAS, The Holy Catholic Church of Jesus Christ is founded on the unmoveable conviction that He is the Lord of all life; and"

"WHEREAS, The Gospel commands all Christians to manifest devotion to
the dignity of man as a child of God and to his essential freedom under God; and

"WHEREAS, These Christian affirmations are enshrined in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and the Bill of Rights on which our nation is founded, undergirding specifically freedom for religion, freedom of speech, freedom of the press and freedom of opportunity as well as equal rights and equal justice for every man before the law; and

"WHEREAS, Militant Communism is currently seeking both openly as well as in secret ways to undermine the foundations of our free American society, frequently adopting disarming disguises of legitimate and commendable concern for human welfare to achieve its sinister ends; and

"WHEREAS, A climate of deepening fear, suspicion and divisiveness in our national community, often fostered in the name of opposition to Communism, now threatens freedom of thought and expression and leads to reckless, unfounded accusations of disloyalty and to the abridgement of basic human rights and Constitutional liberties; therefore be it

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Sixtieth General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America declare its utter rejection of Communism, Fascism, and all other manifestations of tyranny, whether of the right or of the left, which seek to destroy human dignity and to vitiate individual freedom; and be it further

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Sixtieth General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America call upon the leadership of the Church, both clerical and lay, at every level to examine courageously and honestly in the light of the revelation of God's will the crucial issues of our time and to share these insights charitably, yet candidly, one with another, that the prophetic voice of the Church may speak to men's consciences calling them to repentance for social ills and to renewed dedication to that dignity, freedom and equality with which Almighty God has endowed all men."

In view of the action taken on the House of Bishops Messages No. 119 and No. 126 on the same subject matter, which the Committee feels covers the spirit of the Resolution, it moves to be discharged from further consideration of this Resolution.

Dean Brown, of Maine, for the Committee on Elections, presented Report No. 13; which was received.

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Birney W. Smith, Jr., of West Missouri, in place of the Rev. Eugene G. Malcolm; the Rev. Allen R. Hingston, of West Missouri, in place of the Rev. Edward R. Sims.

Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, reported on the matters which had been referred to them; namely, the resolution of the Rev. Mr. Royster and the resolution of the Rev. Dr. Pollard, proposing amendments of the Constitution providing for annual General Convention meetings, if desired.
He notified the House that the Committee had found Dr. Pollard's proposal in proper constitutional language. Dr. Pollard moved the resolutions, as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that pursuant to Article XI of the Constitution, amendments to the Constitution are hereby proposed, the same to be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of American Churches in Europe in accordance with Article XI of the Constitution, in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention, to wit:

Art. I, Sec. 7: Delete the first portion to the semicolon and substitute therefor the following:

Sec. 7. The General Convention shall establish by Canon the frequency of its meetings subject to the condition that in no event shall meetings be held less frequently than every third year. Each General Convention shall appoint the day and place for the convening of its next regular meeting;

Art. X: Delete the second sentence, and substitute therefor the following:

No alteration thereof or addition thereto shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed in one meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent within six months to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or Convocation of the Missionary District or of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at a regular meeting, convened not less than twenty-two months after the General Convention at which it was first proposed, by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies and all the Missionary Districts, and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders, each to have the vote provided for in Article I, Sec. 4.

Art. XI: Amend this Article to read as follows:

No alteration or amendments of this Constitution shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed at one meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation, or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at a regular meeting, convened not less than twenty-two months after the General Convention at which it was first proposed, by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses and of all the Missionary Districts and of the Convocation of American
Churches in Europe, entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, voting by orders, each having the vote provided for in Sec. A of Article I.

Dr. Alexander, of North Carolina, moved to amend the resolution, adding at the end of the resolution the following words: "that the foregoing amendment shall become effective immediately." This was accepted by Dr. Pollard as amplification of the original motion.

The vote being called for, these resolutions were not adopted.

Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, then asked that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the Royster proposal to amend Article I only. The motion was carried, and the Committee was discharged.

On a motion of privilege, Mr. Leach, of Missouri, moved that the resolution originally presented by the Rev. Dr. Alling, of Rochester, be referred to the Committee on the Study of Quotas.

It was so referred.

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, for the Committee on Theological Education, presented Report No. 9; which was adopted.

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received and carefully considered the resolution submitted by the Division on Devotional Life of the Diocese of California, reading as follows:

WHEREAS, we would all agree that prayer is the foundation of the religious life and that experience shows that a great number of people are inadequately trained in methods of prayer; and

WHEREAS, there is a great awakening on the part of clergy and lay people in attendance at retreats and quiet days and in the participation of intercessory prayer groups; and

WHEREAS, there is a great renewal of interest within the whole church in the ministry of Christian healing; and

WHEREAS, a questionnaire survey directed to the active clergy of the Diocese of California brought the following requests from parochial clergy:

34 requesting retreats for their lay people.
40 requesting the finding of a conductor for retreats or quiet days.
29 requesting a priest to conduct a school of prayer.
20 requesting a speaker on the subject of Christian healing.
32 requesting a training course in the conduct of retreats and quiet days.
22 requesting training in conducting of healing services.
25 requesting training in the conduct of schools of prayer.
WHEREAS, this would appear to show that graduates from our theological schools and active clergy do not feel themselves sufficiently trained in fulfilling these very vital roles in their ministry; therefore be it

Resolved, That this General Convention requests the Theological Schools of the Protestant Episcopal Church, through the Deans of such schools, to consider seriously their responsibility in training theological students to more adequately fulfill their ministry in these areas of the devotional life.

The committee moves that the resolution be transmitted to the secretary of the Association of Deans of the Theological Seminaries and that the committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 6; which was adopted.

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution submitted by the Committee on the General Theological Seminary.

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be, and hereby are, asked to bring in a definition of the function of the General Theological Seminary Committee of the House of Deputies of the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

The Joint Committee suggests that this Committee of the House of Deputies is a part of the Joint Committee on the General Theological Seminary and that the functions of this Committee is to act as liaison agent between the General Theological Seminary and the General Convention: In suggesting names of persons to be elected trustees, in studying minutes of the Board of Trustees when sent to its members, in becoming familiar with the work of the General Theological Seminary and in suggesting ways in which the Seminary and its program might be supported and strengthened by the General Convention.

The Joint Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The House stood adjourned.

(See also Topical Arrangement Headings:

Anxieties of our Times
Armed Forces
Candidates for Holy Orders
Canon 1, Sec. 6
Canon 36 (New)
Capital Fund Campaigns
Chancellors' Opinions
Christian Pacifists
Civilian Defense
Committees and Commissions, Joint Committee on Constitution, Amendments to
Constitution, Proposed Amendments to
Episcopal Assistance
Evangelism
Forward Movement, Report of
Forward Movement, Work of
Healing, Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of
"Layman" and "Lay Person", Definition of
Lay Readers (Canon 50)
Missionary Districts, Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of
Missionary Jurisdictions
Missionary Work in Industrial Areas
National Council, Election of Members of
Nicea and Chalcedon
Nuclear Reactor, Appreciation to the Members of the Committee on
Prayer Book for Use Outside of U.S.A:
Program and Budget
Quota, Joint Committee on the Study of
Responsible Parenthood and Population Control
Society and Alcohol
Standing Liturgical Commission, Commendation of
State of the Church, Report of the Committee on
Structure and Organization of General Convention, Joint Committee on
Theological Education, Offering for
Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, Joint Committee to Nominate
Treasurer of General Convention, Election of
Uniform Marriage and Divorce Laws
United Nations
United Thank Offering
Urban Work
Workmen's Compensation Laws
Women Church Workers)

ELEVENTH DAY
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1961.

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the chair. Prayers were said.

The President announced the appointment of the following persons to serve with Bishop Swift in waiting upon the Triennial Meetings of the Women of the Church to express to them our congratulations and thanks for the United Thank Offering: the Rev. Mr. Webster, of the Panama Canal Zone, and Mr. Charles M. Crump, of Tennessee.
Canon Small, of Erie, for the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, presented Report No. 11:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met the Secretary, heard the Minutes of September 28th read, and certify them to be correct.

The Minutes of the Tenth Day were approved.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following reports, which were adopted.

Resolved, That in order to expedite the work of the House of Deputies at the next General Convention, the President be authorized to appoint all Standing Committees of the House prior to the convening of the 61st General Convention, provided that such appointments shall be tentative only, and subject to confirmation or change by the President elected at said Convention; and be it further

Resolved, That the President of the House, or the Convener of any Standing Committee designated by him, be empowered to activate any such Committees by mail, and if deemed advisable to call a meeting or meetings of the Committees at some suitable place and time prior to the formal organization of the House, to the end that the committee may consider matters referred to it at the earliest possible date; and be it further

Resolved, That the President or the Secretary of the House may refer by mail to any Standing Committee thus tentatively organized any matter deemed appropriate, including reports of Joint Commissions and Committees, memorials, petitions, and resolutions received prior to the opening of the 61st General Convention.

Resolved, That as previously ordered, His Holiness, Benedictos, Patriarch of Jerusalem, will be received as soon as may be convenient after opening devotions.

Resolved, That the Regular Order then be resumed, provided that the President may deviate from that Order from time to time as may be necessary or convenient.

Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island, was recognized, to express his thanks for his election as Treasurer of the General Convention.

Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, on a motion of privilege, offered the following resolution, which was adopted.

Whereas, the Episcopal Radio-TV Foundation is an instrument of the Episcopal Church with the purpose of reinforcing the spiritual outreach of the Church through the use of radio and television; and

Whereas, through its past efforts the Episcopal Hour has been broadcast for fifteen successive years and has been accepted for programming overseas, around the world, and for the armed forces; and it has successfully developed and produced the nationally recognized radio programs
Another Chance and the C. S. Lewis talks on Love, as well as radio and television one-minute spots for broadcast on national holidays; and

WHEREAS, the pilot film, Track 13, of the proposed television series One Reach One is a production measuring up to highest professional standards and offering opportunities of opening up new fields of service; and

WHEREAS, its work of carrying the Church's message to the international radio and television audiences is limited only by lack of financial endowment; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 60th General Convention, assembled in Detroit, being mindful of its obligation to promote and further the missionary program of the Church by every means possible, expresses its appreciation to the Episcopal Radio-TV Foundation Inc., and urges the promotion and financial support of this Foundation, so that its fullest potentialities may be realized and its efforts crowned with well deserved success.

Upon a question from the floor, there was discussion of various methods to provide consecutive pagination of the combined Reports of Joint Committees and Commissions, which had been frequently called for by members of the House. The President and the Secretary informed the House that this matter was under advisement, and some method would be found to meet the desires of the Deputies.

Dr. Anderson, of New York, who had been appointed to bring the Patriarch of Jerusalem into the House, reported that his Holiness was unable to visit the Convention by reason of a slight motor accident, but that he had conveyed greetings in a message, which Dr. Anderson had asked to be read into the minutes, a request which was granted:

"It is our prayer that Almighty God has richly inspired your deliberations, and that the results shall be fruitful and beneficial for your Church and its communicants."

Mr. Vanable, of Rhode Island, for the Committee on the General Theological Seminary, presented Report No. 5, which was adopted.

The Committee of the General Theological Seminary heard with interest and sympathy the resolution calling attention of the Theological Schools of the Protestant Episcopal Church, through the Deans of such schools, to consider seriously their responsibilities in training theological students to more adequately fulfill their ministry in these areas of devotional life.

The Committee has no action to propose and asks to be discharged from further consideration.

The Secretary read the following communication from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:
"The Triennial Meeting in session September 28, adopted the attached resolution:

WHEREAS, The Church has taken action in its House of Deputies favoring a program of study of problems of integration; and

WHEREAS, The women of the Church are keenly aware of the breadth and scope of the problem in that "God in His providence is leading His Church in this country towards a desegregated society," and

WHEREAS, The women of the Church are anxious that the best talent be used wherever and whenever it is available; now therefore be it

Resolved, That the women of this Church go on record as supporting a full study of integration and its problems as proposed by the House of Deputies; and be it further

Resolved, That we respectfully request that any study committees or programs which may be established to implement this resolution of the House of Deputies shall consider the possibility of full integration into the life of this Church of women on every level of lay participation; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies.

The Rev. Dr. Norris, of Vermont, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, presented the following report, which was adopted, with the addition of several amendments offered from the floor, by a rising vote and by acclamation.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies, concluding its 60th General Convention in the City of Detroit, express to the Bishop of Michigan, the Rt. Rev. Richard S. Emrich, D.D.; the Suffragan Bishops, the Rt. Rev. Archie H. Crowley and the Rt. Rev. Robert L. DeWitt and their staffs, its gratitude for the gracious hospitality shown to this Convention; to the General Chairman, the Rev. Canon Irwin C. Johnson, D.D., to the Convention Manager, the Rev. F. Plummer Whipple, to all the members of the committees and working groups of the Diocese of Michigan its thanks for the gracious and careful planning which has aided so greatly in the accomplishment of this Convention's work and in the convenience and pleasure of its members.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express its deep appreciation to the Hon. John Swainson, Governor of Michigan, to the Hon. Louis C. Miriani, Mayor of Detroit, for their warm welcome to us, expressed by them personally and through the courtesy and friendliness of the people of this great State and great City.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express to its President, Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse, its sincere appreciation and warm admiration for the patience, competence, efficiency, impartiality, firmness and good humor with which he has presided over the House during its deliberations.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies extend its sincere and deepest thanks to the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter, Secretary of the House of
Deputies for his efficient manner and expeditious handling of the complex and important duties of his office.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express its sincere appreciation to the Assistant Secretaries, the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., the Rev. John Mills, and Mrs. Johanna L. Lally; and to their aides, Miss Eleanor Morgan and Mrs. Kenneth Whittenberger, for their untiring and efficient performance of their several tasks and duties.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express to Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island, Treasurer of General Convention, its gratitude for his most efficient service.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express its appreciation to the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business and the other members of his committee for the efficient and competent manner in presenting in such orderly fashion the complex agenda that came before the House.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies warmly thank the Joint Committee on Expenses for its thorough and careful work in preparing the budget of General Convention for the next triennium.

Resolved, The House of Deputies express its appreciation to the Rev. Ward H. Clabuesch for his efforts in providing the music for the mass meetings; and further expresses its thanks to the choirs, organists and choir directors who gave of their talents to deepen the sense of worship at these meetings.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies expresses its appreciation to the pages, messengers and other members of the Diocese of Michigan for the many helps provided by them for making our work and stay in Detroit more profitable and pleasant.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies is deeply grateful to Mr. Douglas Bushy and Mrs. Dorothy White and their assistants for their fine handling of the work of the Press Room, including the stenographic pool and mimeographing service.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies expresses to the Management and staff of Cobo Hall, its sincere appreciation and gratitude for their courtesy and assistance extended throughout the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies expresses its appreciation to the Press, the Radio and the Television crews for their full handling of our activities, and especially to Mr. William Hevron for his skillful handling of the sound system in the House.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies expresses gratitude and appreciation to the American Red Cross for the faithful and efficient service of its First Aid Teams, physicians and ambulance drivers whose concern for the health of members of this Convention has been one of the unusual and most appreciated volunteer services of the City of Detroit to the 60th General Convention.
Resolved, That the House of Deputies expresses to all those people: the bus drivers, taxicab men, bell boys, waitresses and others who had no direct interest in this Convention, but yet went beyond the call of duty to make our stay in Detroit more pleasant.

Resolved, That the House of Deputies express especially its deep appreciation to Mrs. Jeremiah Jenks and her faithful assistants who, during the sessions of the Convention, have provided sustenance for the hungry in the form of 72,000 home made cookies, garnered from the women of fifty diocesan churches; and have off-set the aridity of some aspects of debates in this House with 2,160 gallons of coffee; and

Resolved, That the House of Deputies request the Secretary, in as far as possible, send copies of these various resolutions to the persons to whom they have been addressed.

Appreciation was expressed to Mr. Crump, of Tennessee, for his daily reports concerning resolutions in committee, with the hope that this practice would continue at future Conventions.

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 41:

Resolved, That we send the following Message to the House of Bishops:

The House of Deputies informs the House of Bishops that it has completed its business and stands ready to adjourn, when final reports are received from the House of Bishops.

This was adopted.

(Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 177).

Mr. Crouch, of Michigan, addressed the House on behalf of the Diocese, and the community of Detroit, expressing their pleasure in connection with our meeting in their city.

The Rev. Mr. Cary, of Los Angeles, addressed the House, suggesting that consideration be given to the Convention's meeting in Los Angeles in 1967.

The Secretary read the following Message from the House of Bishops:

Message No. 187

Eleventh Day of Session,

The Secretary of the House of Bishops notifies the Secretary of the House of Deputies that the House of Bishops has completed its business, and stands ready to adjourn to meet with the House of Deputies in the closing service of the Convention with the reading of the Pastoral Letter at 11:00 a.m., in the House of Deputies of Cobo Hall.

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Secretary.

Canon Small, of Erie, for the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, presented Report No. 12:
Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes knows of no business of this House which has not been completed.

The House adjourned *sine die*.

**CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE, President**

**SAMUEL N. BAXTER, Secretary**

(See also TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT Headings:

- Armed Forces
- Arrangements for 1964 General Convention, Committee on
- Canon 6, Sec. 1 (5); 30; 35; 36; 42
- Capital Fund Campaigns
- Constitution, Amendments to
- General Convention, Budget of
- Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of General Convention
- Lay Readers' Guide
- Missionary Work in Industrial Areas, Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of
- Name of the Church
- Nuclear Reactor, Appreciation to Committee on
- Prayer Book for Use Outside of U.S.A.
- Prospective Locations for Future General Conventions
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- Rules of Order—House of Deputies
- Standing Liturgical Commission
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- United Thank Offering)
JOINT SESSIONS OF THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS
AND THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

PLAN FOR JOINT SESSION,
SEPTEMBER 19th

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the First Day, presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Session of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies, for the presentation of the reports of the National Council and the reception of notable visitors be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 19, in the meeting hall of the House of Deputies; and that at this Joint Session the privileges of the floor, with the right to speak, shall be accorded to all officers, members and department executives of the National Council, and to the officers and official representatives of the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Churchwomen; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Presiding Bishop be requested to invite the officers and official representatives of the Triennial Meeting to convene with the members of the two Houses of the General Convention in this Joint Session.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 11.]

The House of Bishops concurred in the foregoing message on the First Day.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 25.]

JOINT SESSION, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 19
10:00 a.m.

The Joint Session of the two Houses of the General Convention and the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Churchwomen convened in the hall of the House of Deputies in Cobo Hall.

The Presiding Bishop was in the Chair. The Secretary of the House of Deputies acted as Secretary.

The Presiding Bishop opened the meeting with prayer.

The Rev. Albert T. Mollegen, D. D., of Virginia, asked the
privilege of the floor to present a resolution mourning the death to the Secretary-General of the United Nations, Dag Ham­marskjold. Permission was granted, and the Session stood in tribute. (See Topical Arrangement).

Mr. Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, and of the National Council, made his report. (See Appendix) This report, covering the Triennium 1958-1961, is also available as a separate illustrated booklet.

The Presiding Bishop spoke about the work of the National Council, as pictures of the members, followed by pictures of the staff of the several departments, were shown on the large screen. He also explained the work of the new Strategic Advisory Committee.

The Right Rev. Thomas E. Wright, D. D., Bishop of East Carolina, spoke on the plans of the National Council for the next Triennium. He explained that there had been chosen 17 areas which would appear to deserve special attention, but that these priorities had had to be reduced to ten. He mentioned each of these areas briefly.

Mr. B. Powell Harrison, of Virginia, explained some of the details of the technical side of the National Council and commended, from a layman's viewpoint, the usefulness of the capital funds which had been available during the Triennium.

The Right Rev. Frederick J. Warnecke, D. D., Bishop of Bethlehem, spoke about the strategy of the National Council in carrying out its responsibility during the Triennium in the face of the social and economic problems which beset us.

Miss Frances Young, Executive Secretary, General Division of Women's Work, spoke of the role of the laity and the new thinking which is now being given to the importance of having men and women make a witness for the Church "where they are" in their daily lives.

The Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., D. D., Executive officer of the Anglican Commission, was the concluding speaker, telling of the work of the Church overseas, and of the many opportunities being presented to the Church in various parts of the world.

The Joint Session stood adjourned.
PLAN FOR JOINT SESSION, SEPTEMBER 21

The Committee on Dispatch of Business, on the First Day, yielded the floor to the Rev. Robert P. Varley, of Easton, who, on behalf of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the morning of Thursday, September 21, be reserved for a visitation to industry in Detroit by the members of both Houses, beginning at 8:00 a.m., and that this be followed by a Joint Session of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies at 10:30 a.m., for the discussion of the Mission of the Church in modern industrialized society, under the auspices of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs; that the members of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs be invited to sit on the platform, and that the Presiding Bishop be authorized to invite speakers who are not members of either House to address the Joint Session and to participate in the discussion.

This was seconded by the Rev. Dr. Gosnell, of West Texas.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 12.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 26.]

JOINT SESSION, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21
10:30 a.m.

Following the Industrial Tour, the members of the two Houses met in Joint Session in the hall of the House of Deputies.

The Presiding Bishop was in the Chair. The Secretary of the House of Deputies acted as Secretary.

The Presiding Bishop opened the meeting with prayer and introduced the Right Rev. John E. Hines, chairman of the Executive Committee of the Industrial Study Project. Bishop Hines made introductory remarks preceding the showing of a motion picture, recently made in Detroit, which illustrated the plight of many people in our modern industrial society.

Following the film, members of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs came to the platform, and were recognized. Bishop Hines continued to act as moderator for the meeting.
Dr. Guy E. Swanson, Professor and Chairman of the Department of Sociology of the University of Michigan, was introduced. His topic was: "The Vocation of the Church in America: A Sociologist's View." He pointed out that, since the majority of people in this country now prefer urban life, and since it is the Church's task to influence society generally, the Church must put greater emphasis upon the urban situation. "The Church is an agency for uniting people with God."

Bishop Hines then introduced the Rev. John H. Burt, D. D., rector of All Saints Church, Pasadena, California, who spoke on the topic: "When Blindness Leads to Blasphemy: Implications of Industrialization for Mission and Ministry." Dr. Burt made suggestions regarding the Church's responsibility for action along lines dictated by our times in our urban industrial society.

Both these talks implemented what had been seen during the Industrial Tour and in the film which preceded them, emphasizing the need which the Church has for recognizing the complexities of modern life and working through them to reach all people.

The Presiding Bishop pronounced the Benediction.

The Joint Session stood adjourned.

---

PLAN FOR JOINT SESSION, SEPTEMBER 26

The House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, adopted the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Session of the two Houses be held on Tuesday morning, September 26th, at 10:00 a.m., to hear the Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Presiding Bishop be requested to invite the delegates of the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Churchwomen to this session.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 64.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 84.]
The Joint Session was held in the meeting hall of the House of Deputies on Tuesday, September 26, at 10 a.m.

The Presiding Bishop was in the Chair. The Secretary of the House of Deputies served as Secretary of the meeting.

The Presiding Bishop opened the meeting with prayer.

The Presiding Bishop expressed to the members of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, who were seated on the platform, the grateful appreciation of the two Houses and the Triennial Meeting of the Episcopal Churchwomen. He asked them to stand, and they were greeted by the hearty applause of the large audience.

The Right Rev. J. Wilson Hunter, D.D., Chairman of the Committee, presented its report. (See Topical Arrangement.) The report was received with enthusiasm.

The Session voted to refer this report to the two Houses.

The Presiding Bishop pronounced the Benediction.

The Joint Session stood adjourned.
RULES OF ORDER—HOUSE OF DEPUTIES
AS AMENDED, 1961

THE HOLY SCRIPTURES

As an indication of our humble dependence upon the Word and Spirit of God, and following the example of Primitive Councils, a copy of the Holy Scriptures shall always be reverently placed in view at the meetings of this House. This rule is to be carried into effect under the supervision of the President and Secretary of the House.

RULES IN FORCE

At the meetings of the House of Deputies the Rules and Orders of the previous meetings shall be in force until they are amended or repealed by the House. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (f).

OPENING OF THE SESSION

1. The daily sessions of the House shall be opened with prayer, and prayer for Missions shall be had daily at noon. Provided, however, that when the morning session is to be followed immediately by a Devotional Service which is to include prayer for Missions, the President may in his discretion omit the noonday prayer for Missions.

THE PRESIDENT

2. The President having taken the Chair, the roll of members shall be called whenever so ordered, without debate, by a majority of those present. At the opening of each daily session of the House, the Minutes shall be read unless they be certified as in these Rules provided. In absence of roll call any member shall advise the Secretary of his personal attendance on the Convention.

3. When the President shall be in the Chair, no member shall continue standing or shall afterwards stand up, except to address the President, nor shall he address the House or make any motion until after recognition by the President.

4. While the President is putting any question, the members shall continue in their seats, and shall not hold any private discourse.

5. When any member is about to speak or to deliver any matter to the House, he shall, with due respect, address himself to the President, state his name and his Diocese or Missionary District, and confine himself strictly to the point in debate.

6. When the House is about to rise, every member shall keep his seat until the President leaves the Chair. Before leaving the Chair the President may make any communication to the House, or may cause any notice to be read by the Secretary.

COMMITTEES

7. All Committees shall be appointed and announced to the House by the President, unless otherwise ordered. A list of the members of the Standing Committees shall be prepared and distributed to the House as soon as may be thereafter.

8. Not later than the first hour of the third day of the Convention, the President shall appoint the following Standing Committees, to wit:
I. On the State of the Church (to consist of two Clerical Deputies and one Lay Deputy from each Province, two Clerical Deputies and two Lay Deputies from the Foreign Missionary Districts and one Deputy from the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe).

II. On the General Theological Seminary.

III. On Missions.

IV. On the Admission of New Dioceses.

V. On the Consecration of Bishops.

VI. On Amendments to the Constitution.

VII. On Canons.

VIII. On Elections.

IX. On the Prayer Book.

X. On Christian Education.

XI. On Christian Social Relations.

XII. On Memorials of Deceased Members.

XIII. On The Church Pension Fund.

(XEach to consist of thirteen members, and to have at least one Deputy from each Province.)

XIV. On Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes, to consist of three Presbyters and three Lay Deputies.

XV. On Rules of Order (to consist of six members, of whom one shall be the President of the House), to which Committee shall be referred, without debate, all proposed amendments to the Rules of Order.

XVI. On Rural Work, consist of three Presbyters and three Lay Deputies.

XVII. On Dispatch of Business, to consist of six members.

XVIII. On Evangelism.

XIX. On National and International Problems, to consist of five Presbyters and five Lay Deputies.

XX. On Ecumenical Relations, to consist of six Presbyters and nine Lay Deputies.

XXI. On Theological Education.

XXII. On Urban and Suburban Work, to consist of three Presbyters and three Lay Deputies.

The President may appoint Study Committees related to the work of the National Council, and such Special Committees as he deems desirable.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

9. The Daily Order of Business shall be as follows:
   I. Opening prayer.
   II. Report on the Certification of the Minutes, or Reading of the Journal.
   III. Communications from the President.
   IV. Report of Committee on Dispatch of Business.
V. Reports of the Standing Committees in the following order:
1. On Elections.
2. On Rules of Order.
3. On Admission of New Dioceses.
4. On the Consecration of Bishops.
5. On Amendments to the Constitution.
8. On the State of the Church.
11. On Christian Education.
12. On Christian social Relations.
15. On Rural Work.
17. On Evangelism.
19. On Ecumenical Relations.
20. On Theological Education.
VI. Reports of Joint Committees and Joint Commissions in the following order:
Joint Committee on Expenses.
Other Joint Committees.
Joint Commissions.
VII. Reports of Special Committees.
VIII. Petitions and Memorials.
IX. Motions and Resolutions.
X. Business on the Calendar.
10. The Secretary shall keep a Calendar of Business, on which shall be placed, in the order of their presentation, the subjects being briefly indicated, Orders of the Day, reports from Committees, resolutions which lie over, and other matters undisposed of.
11. At twelve o'clock, after Prayer for Missions, unless there be an Order of the Day, or as soon thereafter as the Order of the Day shall be disposed of, the other business on the Calendar shall be taken up and be disposed of in the order in which it stands thereon.
A vote of two-thirds of the members present shall be required to take up any matter out of its order on the Calendar or to make any matter the Order of the Day for a particular time.

MOTIONS AND THEIR ORDER
12. No member shall address the President while any other member has the floor, except to present a parliamentary inquiry, a point of order, or a question of privilege touching the character of the House or of one or more of its members.
13. Except by leave of the House, no member shall speak more than twice in the same debate, nor longer than five minutes at one time.
14. Before being considered, all propositions involving expenses, except propositions to print, shall be referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

15. All resolutions must be reduced to writing, presented in duplicate, bearing the name and Diocese or Missionary District of the mover thereof, and the same shall appear in the Minutes of the House. No motion or resolution can be offered or shall be deemed to be before the House unless the maker has first been recognized by the President and the motion has been seconded.

16. If the question under debate contains several distinct propositions, at the request of any member the same shall be divided, and a separate vote shall be taken, but the motion to strike out and to insert shall be indivisible. When the voting is by Dioceses and Orders, the request for division must be made by the entire Clerical or Lay representation from any Diocese.

17. When a question is under consideration, no motion shall be received except (1) to adjourn, (2) to lay it upon the table, (3) to take a vote thereon immediately or a time certain, (4) to extend limits of debate, (5) to postpone to a time certain, (6) to commit or to recommit it, (7) to amend it, (8) to postpone it indefinitely or, (9) for a Committee of Conference.

Motions for any of these purposes shall have precedence in the order herein named.

18. If a motion be carried to lay on the table an amendment or a substitute, the matter before the house shall be proceeded with as though such amendment or substitute had not been offered.

The following questions cannot be laid on the table, viz., the motion (1) to adjourn, (2) to lay it upon the table, (3) to take from the table, (4) to take a vote immediately or at a time certain, or any questions as to (5) the Order of the Day, or (6) the priority of business.

Upon the arrival of the time when a vote is to be taken pursuant to a previous vote, the main question shall be put, and no debate shall then be in order nor any motion except that to adjourn.

The motion to adjourn shall always be in order, provided that the same shall not be offered when another member has the floor.

19. When a motion is pending the following amendments shall be in order: (1) one amendment may be made to each independent or separable portion thereof, and (2) one motion to amend that amendment shall be in order; and it shall be in order also (3) to offer a further amendment by way of substitute to which may be offered (4) one amendment.

No proposition not germane to the subject under consideration shall be received under color of an amendment or a substitute.

Neither the substitute nor its amendment shall be voted on (except to lay on the table) until the original matter is perfected. An amendment or a substitute may be withdrawn by the mover with the consent of his seconder before amendment thereof or before decision is had thereon.

The amendment or the substitute shall be debatable only when the main question is debatable.
The adoption of an amendment by way of substitute or otherwise shall not displace the main resolution, which, after being so amended, shall be the question before the House.

The following questions cannot be amended, viz.:

(1) The call for the Order of the Day, (2) an appeal from the decision of the Chair, (3) an objection to consideration, or the motions (4) to adjourn, (5) to lay on the table, (6) to take from the table, (7) for leave to continue speaking, (8) to postpone indefinitely, (9) to reconsider, (10) to suspend rules, (11) to take up business out of order, or (12) for leave to withdraw a motion.

20. When a substitute is pending the motion to postpone indefinitely shall not be in order, but unless otherwise therein provided the motion, (1) to postpone to a certain time, (2) to commit or to recommit, (3) to take a vote immediately or at a certain time, or (4) to extend limits of debate shall cover both the substitute and the main question.

Non-Debatable Questions

21. (a) There shall be no debate upon any of the following motions, viz: (1) to refer any matter or resolution to a standing committee, (2) to lay on the table, (3) to take from the table, (4) to take a vote immediately or at a fixed time, (5) to adjourn unqualifiedly, (6) to extend limits of debate, (7) of an objection to consideration, (8) for a recess, (9) to permit a change of vote, (10) to permit the withdrawal of a motion.

(b) Any member may speak, not more than two minutes, on the following motions, (1) to adjourn to a time certain, (2) to fix a time at which to adjourn, (3) to postpone to a definite time, or (4) to take up a question out of its order, (5) to suspend the Rules.

22. All questions of order shall be decided by the President without debate; but any member may appeal from such decision, and on such appeal any member may speak, but not for more than two minutes, nor more than once without express leave of the House. On such appeal the vote shall be upon the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be sustained?"

Consideration or Reference of Motions

23. Every resolution offered for the immediate action of the House shall be considered at once unless reference be requested, or objection be made as next provided.

If, before consideration of the resolution be begun, reference thereof be requested by any member, such resolution shall be referred to the appropriate Standing Committee, or if, in the opinion of the President, there be no appropriate Standing Committee, then to a Special Committee of such number as shall be designated by the President.

If no reference be requested, but timely objection be made to immediate consideration, then without any reference, the resolution shall lie over, and come up the next day as unfinished business.

But by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, the House may at once consider the resolution.
24. When memorials or petitions are presented, their contents shall be stated concisely by the Deputy presenting them, and they shall be referred, unless by a majority vote the memorial or petition shall be ordered to be read.

25. Reports of Committees appointed to sit during the recess, if not acted upon at once, when presented, shall be made the Order of the Day for a time fixed.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS

26. Messages from the House of Bishops shall be handed by the Secretary of this House to the President, to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient. All such messages communicating any legislative action on the part of the House of Bishops shall be referred without debate, to the proper Committee, unless without debate the House shall decide to consider such message without such reference. The report of the Committee upon any message so referred shall be entitled to consideration as of the date and priority of the original receipt of such message, and the question of its immediate consideration shall be submitted to the House as soon as the report is presented.

When either without reference, or after reference and report the consideration of such message shall have begun, it shall continue to be the Order of the Day until final action thereon, and shall not be subject to any motion to postpone or to lay on the table. However, consideration of such message shall be subject to a motion for the appointment of a Committee of Conference as hereinafter provided in this Rule.

The final action of the House upon any such message shall be by vote upon the question, “Shall this House concur in the action of the House of Bishops as communicated by their Message No. —?” If amendments have been adopted, then shall be added the further words, “as amended.” Upon the submission of such questions, all votes in the affirmative shall be counted in favor of such concurrence.

If, during the consideration by this House of any action taken by the House of Bishops, a motion is made stating the position of this House and requesting a Committee of Conference, such motion shall have precedence and be put to vote without debate, and if passed by a majority of the members of this House then present, a Committee of Conference shall be appointed. A Committee of Conference shall also be in order with or without motion (1) in cases where the House of Bishops has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by this House, or (2) in cases where this House has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by the House of Bishops. When a Committee on Conference has been appointed final action upon the matter under consideration shall be deferred until the Committee of Conference shall have reported to this House; provided, such report shall be made not later than the next business day or within one hour after the convening of the last session of this House in Convention Assembled, whichever event shall first occur.

The Chairman of any Standing Committee or other Committee, shall have full authority, either alone or with members of the Committee, to confer with the Chairman of any Committee of the House of Bishops, having duties and responsibilities the same as, or similar to, those of the Committee of the House of Deputies chaired by him.
Voting

27. Unless excused by the House, every member who shall be in the House when any question is put must vote on a division.

Unless he have leave, or be unable to attend, no member shall absent himself from the service of the House.

28. The vote upon any question shall be taken by Dioceses and Orders whenever required by the Constitution or by Canon, or whenever required by the entire Clerical or Lay Representation from any Diocese, before the voting begins. Whenever a vote shall be taken by Dioceses and Orders (except in the case of elections), the vote of each Order in each Diocese shall be stated by one member in each Order as "Aye" or "No" or "Divided." If so desired by the entire Deputation from such Diocese, the vote of the individuals of that Deputation shall be stated and recorded. Such record shall be made also in respect of the individual members of every Deputation, if so ordered, without debate, by a majority of the House.

29. Whenever a vote shall be taken by Orders (except in the case of elections), the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall audibly announce the vote in each Order in each Diocese, before announcing the result to the House; and the vote of each Order in each Diocese so announced shall be corrected before, but not after, the final announcement of the vote of the House.

Any member absent from the House when a vote is taken but coming in before the final announcement of the vote on any question may vote thereon if then permitted by the House, but not otherwise.

The election of President or of Secretary of the House or of Treasurer of the General Convention shall be by individual secret ballot; though by unanimous consent, and direction of the House, a single ballot may be cast by an officer of the House in its behalf.

Reconsideration

30. (a) A question once determined may be reconsidered by the vote of two-thirds of those present in the House when motion for such reconsideration is duly made as hereinafter provided.

(b) Except as so reconsidered every determination of such question shall stand as the judgment of the House. Neither such question nor any question of like import shall be drawn again into debate or presented for action again during the same Convention except with the consent of two-thirds of the members of the House present and voting upon such motion to reconsider.

(c) Only one reconsideration of any matter shall be had. All motions to reconsider shall be made and be seconded on the day the vote is taken, or on the next succeeding day on which the House shall be in session.

31. In all questions decided numerically, the motion to reconsider must be made by one Deputy, and seconded by another, who voted in the majority; or, in case of equal division, by those who voted in the negative. In case of a vote by Orders, where there is a concurrence of both Orders, the motion shall be made by a majority of a Deputation from any Diocese of either Order voting in the majority; and, in case of a non-concurrence of Orders, the motion shall come from a majority of a Deputation from a Diocese of that Order which gave the majority in the negative.
In either case, a motion to reconsider may be seconded by a majority of any Deputation of either side, without regard to its previous vote.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

32. The reports of all Committees shall be in writing, and unless re-committed by a vote of the House shall be received of course, and without motion for acceptance. All reports recommending or requiring any action or expression of opinion by the House shall be accompanied by a resolution for the action of the House thereon. Printed reports which contain no Resolutions and have been delivered by the members of the House in advance, shall be presented by title except that the spokesman for the report shall be allowed five minutes for summarizing the same.

No report of a Joint Committee or a Joint Commission that contains resolutions that has been printed and distributed to the members of this House at least three weeks before a meeting of the Convention, shall be read at length to the House, but the Chairman or a member of that Committee may make an oral summary from such Committee.

If there be a minority report of such Joint Committee or Joint Commission, a member of such minority shall be afforded an opportunity to make an oral summary on the floor of the House.

Every report of a Joint Commission shall be referred to the appropriate Standing Committee of this House, if there be one, but otherwise to a Special Committee of this House. The House may at any time refer any report or resolution to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution to draft a constitutional amendment or the Committee on Canons to draft a canon or canons which will carry into effect, if enacted, the report of resolution so referred. The appropriate Committee of the House to which such report has been referred shall afford an opportunity for the Chairman or other member of such Joint Commission (and if there be a minority report, a member of that minority) to appear before such Committee prior to its reporting to the floor of the House.

33. Reports from the Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members shall embody simply the name, Diocese, date of birth and death, and time of service in General Convention, of deceased members of the current or any preceding General Convention, of whom memorials shall not have theretofore been made; and after suitable devotions such reports shall be received by the House standing.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

34. Whenever so ordered by a vote of a majority of the members present, the House may go into Committee of the Whole for the consideration of any matter.

The President shall designate some member of the House to act as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, which, when in session, shall be governed by these rules as adapted by the Chairman, subject to appeal to the Committee, and also to the following provisions:

(a) A motion to rise and to report to the House, with or without request for leave to sit again, may be made at any time, and shall take precedence of all other motions, and shall be decided without debate. No such motion once made shall be renewed until after further proceeding shall have been had in the Committee of the Whole.

(b) A motion that a vote upon any pending proposition shall be taken
at some designated time may be made and be disposed of without debate at any time, but as before provided a motion to report to the House shall take precedence.

No motion to lay on the table shall be entertained.

35. No debate shall be allowed in the House of any motion to permit the Committee of the Whole to sit again. Requests for such permission shall take precedence of all other business, and the motion thereof shall be put to vote immediately without reference.

**General Regulations**

36. Except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present no new business requiring concurrent action, shall be introduced in this House after the third calendar day of its session exclusive of Sunday.

37. (a) When considering the election of a Bishop, the approval of his testimonials or assent to his consecration, and when acting upon the election of the Presiding Bishop, the House shall sit in Executive Session, which shall be held as soon as practicable after the receipt of official notification from the House of Bishops of these elections.

(b) The election of the Presiding Bishop shall be by individual secret ballot unless otherwise ordered by vote of the House, or unless a vote by orders be required by the entire Clerical or Lay Representation from any Diocese before the balloting begins.

(c) Confidential notifications from the House of Bishops of election by them of the Presiding Bishop or of any other Bishop shall be referred immediately, without reading, to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, who shall make report thereon to such Executive Session of the House.

38. No applause shall be permitted during any session of the House or of the Committee of the Whole.

39. Seats upon the platform shall be occupied by officers of the House of Deputies, by the Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, and such other persons as may be invited by the President or authorized by vote of the House.

40. No one shall be admitted to the floor except members and officers of this House; former members of the House, the Presidents of Church Colleges, Deans of Church Seminaries; and the Officers, Secretaries and Members of the National Council. The President of this House may further grant to any designated representative of any of the departments of the National Council the privilege of speaking, on the same footing as a member of the House, on any matter pertaining to the work of his department which is under discussion by the House.

41. Except with the assent of three-fourths of the members present, the House shall not accept any invitation, or participate in any exercises, which shall involve suspension, interruption or abridgement of its regular appointed sessions.

42. Except when otherwise ordered by the House, no books, pamphlets, or other printed matter shall be distributed in the House, or be placed in the seats of the members, without the express permission of the President;
but this prohibition shall not apply to the report of a Committee, or to any paper or other document presented to and accepted by the House or printed by its authority.

43. No rule shall be suspended without the assent of two-thirds of the members present.

44. By unanimous consent any action may be taken that is not in contravention of any provision of the Constitution or the Canons.

45. That a Committee of three Presbyters and three Laymen be appointed by the President of this House to certify to the Minutes of each day's session and said certification shall be in lieu of the public reading of the Minutes at the opening of the day's session, unless otherwise ordered by the House. This Committee shall meet for this purpose with the Secretary of the House by arrangement prior to the hour of assembly, and said meeting shall be open to any member of this House who may desire to attend.

46. Any Committee of this House presenting or considering nominations of members of

The National Council, of
The Church Pension Fund, or of
The General Theological Seminary,

to present to this House, shall, before acting thereon, set a time and place for an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations, and such hearings shall be publicly announced to this House, and shall be held on the legislative day before such Committee shall present its report thereon to the House.

47. The Committee on the Dispatch of Business, when in its opinion it is advisable, may provide that no report of a Joint Commission or Joint Committee or of any committee of this House to which any part of such report has been referred is made the order of business until the reports of all committees to which any part of such report has been referred be ready to report thereon.

STANDING ORDERS

I. Previous to the meeting of each General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies in the last Convention, under the direction of the Deputies from the Diocese in which the Convention is to be held, shall determine by lot the seats to be occupied by the Deputation from each Diocese and Missionary District. Missionary Districts shall be taken alphabetically in groups of four, and in the allotment each group shall be treated as one Diocese.

II. The names of Deputies who have not answered at the roll call, or who have not later signified their presence to the Secretary, shall be noted as absent in the List of members, as printed in the Journal.

III. Proper notice boards shall be provided by the Secretary to be placed near the Secretary's desk and in a prominent place in the lobby, upon which shall be posted notices of all the meetings of Committees or Commissions of the House.
JOINT RULES AS TO JOINT COMMITTEES

1. Joint Special Committees, having made their final report, are to be considered as having exhausted their functions, and can only be revived by the concurrent action of the two Houses.

2. It shall be the privilege of either House to refer to a Joint Committee any matter relating to the subject for which it was appointed; but neither House shall have the power, without the consent of the other, to instruct the Joint Committee as to any particular line of action.

3. The Secretaries of both Houses, in accordance with present practice shall, not later than the month of January following the meeting of General Convention, notify the members of the respective Houses who have appointments upon Joint Commissions and Joint Committees of such appointment and their duty to present a report to the next Convention; and shall remind them one year prior to the opening day of Convention of this duty.

4. When, in the judgment of any Joint Committee or Joint Commission, it is deemed advisable that its report should be sent to the members of the General Convention prior to its meeting, such report shall be sent by the first of June prior to said Convention to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, who shall print and distribute the same, as far as practicable, to all members of said Convention.

5. No Joint Committee or Joint Commission shall be deemed to have performed the duty assigned to it, until it shall have presented its final report. Any Joint Committee or Commission which shall not have reported to the General Convention following its appointment shall be discharged at the termination of that Convention unless action is otherwise ordered.

6. Vacancies in Joint Committees and Joint Commissions occurring during the recess between General Conventions shall be filled by the Chairman of the House of Bishops on the part of that House and by the President of the House of Deputies on the part of that House.

7. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall set forth the names of its original members, any changes in membership, the names of all those who concur in and all those who dissent from its recommendations, and shall further state, if less than a majority of its entire membership sign the report, their authority for presenting it.

8. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission shall be convened by the Bishop first named, and when convened shall elect a Chairman, a Vice Chairman, and a Secretary. The Chairman shall be a Bishop. The Vice Chairman and the Secretary shall be a Presbyter or a layman. In the event that the Committee is not organized as above provided within one year from the date of adjournment of the Convention which created or continued the Committee or Commission, any three members may take such action as may be necessary to organize the Committee. After the Committee or Commission shall have been convened, and officers chosen, the Chairman or the Vice Chairman shall be empowered to call a meeting at a time to be fixed by him on the signed request of three members.

9. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall include a detailed report of all receipts
and expenditures, including moneys received from any source whatsoever, during the preceding triennium, and its estimated requirements for the coming triennium.

10. Every Joint or Special Committee or Commission, or Committee or Commission of either House whose report requests expenditure out of the funds of the General Convention (except for the printing of the report) shall present to the Joint Committee on Expenses its written request on or before the fourth business day of the session, and all resolutions providing for any such expenditures shall be immediately referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses. No proposition involving such expenditures shall be considered unless so presented.

11. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission, as a condition precedent to the presentation and reception of any report in either House in which such Joint Committee or Commission proposes the adoption of any resolution, shall, by vote, authorize a member or members of that House, who, if possible, shall be a member of the Joint Committee or Commission, with such limitations as the Joint Committee or Commission may impose, to accept or reject on behalf of the Joint Committee or Commission, any amendments proposed in such House to any such resolution; provided, however, that no such amendment may change the substance of the proposal but shall be primarily for the purpose of correcting errors. The name of the member or members of the particular House upon whom such authority has been conferred, and the limitations of authority, shall be communicated in writing by the Chairman of such Joint Committee or Commission to the Presiding Officer of such House not later than the presentation of such report in that House. The application of this Rule in either House may be suspended, in any particular case, by the majority vote of the members of such House.

12. There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention, to consist of five Bishops, five Presbyters, and eight laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and Treasurer of the General Convention ex officio but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the later approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction or eliminated of any items, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

---

PARLIAMENTARY MANUAL FOR THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

By the late J. Randolph Anderson, Savannah, Georgia

I. CONSTITUTIONAL RULES.

1. Qualifications of Deputies—
   (a) Presbyters must be canonically resident in their Dioceses.
   (b) Lay Deputies must be communicants, and must have (legal) domicile in their Dioceses.

2. Quorum of House—

   Requires that majority of all the Dioceses be represented by at least one deputy in each Order.
3. Requisite Vote—

The vote of a majority of the Deputies present is sufficient on any question, Except as follows:

(a) When a Vote by Orders is demanded by the entire Clerical or Lay Representation from any Diocese. Const. Art. I, Sec. 4

(b) On amendments, at any meeting of General Convention, of Table of Lessons or of Tables and Rubrics relating to use of the Psalms. Const. Art. X

(c) On Final Adoption of Alterations or Additions to Book of Common Prayer. Const. Art. X

(d) On Final Adoption of Amendments to Constitution. Const. Art. XI

Note: In the above four excepted cases the vote must be taken by Dioceses and Orders and a majority vote of all the Dioceses, in each Order, is requisite. There must be a concurrence of the votes of the two Orders by not less than a majority of the whole votes in each Order represented in that Order at the time of taking the vote. Const. Art. I, Sec. 4

(e) On cession of territory to or retrocession of territory from Missionary Districts, requiring a two-thirds vote of all the Dioceses, in each Order. Const. Art. VI, Sec. 2

4. Adjournments—Any number less than a quorum may adjourn the House from day to day. Neither of the Houses, without consent of the other, can adjourn for more than three days, nor to any place other than that where the Convention is sitting. Const. Art. I, Sec. 5

II. CANONICAL RULES.

1. Assembling of Convention—House to be called to order by the former Secretary or an Assistant Secretary, etc., etc., who shall declare whether a quorum present. Record of names filed with Secretary by Dioceses is prima facie evidence of persons entitled. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (a)

2. House organized by electing one of its members as President, and electing a Secretary. Elections to be by ballot and a majority of all votes cast is necessary to a choice. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (a)

3. On election of President and Secretary, a committee to be appointed to wait on House of Bishops, inform them of the organization of the House and its readiness to proceed to business. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (a)

4. Rules of Order of previous House to be in force until amended or repealed. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (f)

5. Secretary of House and Treasurer of General Convention entitled to seats on floor, and with consent of President may speak on subjects of their respective offices. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (e)

6. Committee on Canons and Committee on Amendments to Constitution, at close of Convention, must each appoint two members to certify changes, etc., in Canons and Constitution to Secretary to be printed in Journal. Canon 66, Sec. 4

7. As to Election of Registrar, Historiographer and Recorder, see Canon 1, Sec. 3 (a), (d); Sec. 4 (a). For election of Custodian of Standard Book of Common Prayer, see Canon 21, Sec. 6.

Note: Under established practice all these officials are to be elected at each General Convention.
III. GENERAL RULES.

1. Prayers—Daily Session of House must be opened with prayer, and Prayer for Missions is normally had daily at noon. Rule 1

2. Unanimous Consent—Any action can be taken, which is not in contravention of Constitution or Canons. Rule 44

3. All questions of Order, of Parliamentary Inquiry or of Priority of Business shall be decided by the Chair without debate. Rules 21, 22
   (a) Questions as to Order of the Day or Priority of Business cannot be laid on the table. Rule 18

4. Questions Involving Expenses—All such, except propositions to print, must be referred to Joint Committee on Expenses, before being considered. Rule 14

5. Interruption, Etc., of Sessions—House cannot accept Invitations or participate in Exercises involving Suspension, Interruption or Abridgment of its regular sessions, unless so ordered by three-fourths vote. Rule 41

6. New Business—No New Business requiring concurrent action can be introduced after the 3rd legislative day of the session, unless permitted by two-thirds vote. Rule 36

7. Distribution of Printed Matter, etc., in House—Not allowed without express permission of President. Rule 42

   Exceptions—
   (a) When otherwise ordered by House.
   (b) Reports of Committees.
   (c) Papers, etc., presented to and accepted by House.
   (d) Papers, etc., printed by authority of House.

8. Attendance and Voting—
   (a) Deputies must attend the sessions of the House unless excused, or unable to be present. Rule 27
   (b) Every deputy present in the House must vote on all questions, unless excused by House. Rule 27
   (c) Absentees coming in before final announcement of any vote may vote thereon, if then permitted by the House. Rule 29

9. Roll Call and Minutes—
   (a) Roll of Members shall be called when ordered by majority vote. Rule 2
   (b) The Minutes shall be certified or the Journal read daily at openings of sessions. Rules 2, 9

10. Executive Sessions—
   (a) House to sit in Executive Session when considering the election of a Bishop, the approval of his testimonials or assent to his consecration; and also when acting on the Election of the Presiding Bishop. Rule 37 (a)
   (b) Election of Presiding Bishop is to be by individual secret ballot unless otherwise ordered. Rule 37 (b)
11. Suspension of Rules—No Rule shall be suspended except by vote of two-thirds of the members present. Rule 43

12. Adjournment, Note—Under established practice, if the hour fixed for Adjournment arrives while a vote is being taken, the voting is completed and result announced before actually adjourning.

IV. DECORUM AND DEBATE.

1. No Applause permitted in the House or in Committee of Whole. Rule 38

2. Must Address Chair—Members must not rise except to address the President (Rule 3); and no member may make a Motion or offer a Resolution until after he has first been duly recognized by the Chair. Rule 15

3. Adjournment of Session—Members must keep seated until President leaves Chair. Rule 6

4. Members Must Not Interrupt a Speaker— Rule 12
   Exceptions—
   (a) To present a Parliamentary inquiry to Chair.
   (b) To present a Point of Order.
   (c) To present a Question of Privilege affecting character of House or of a member.
   (d) To request leave to ask a question of speaker.

5. General Debate—
   (a) Remarks must be confined to point in debate. Rule 5
   (b) No one can speak more than twice in same debate, nor more than ten minutes at one time, except by leave of House. Rule 13
   (c) Individual Speeches are limited to two minutes on the following motions: (1) to adjourn to a time certain, (2) to fix a time at which to adjourn, (2) to postpone to a definite time, (4) to take up a question out of its order, (5) to suspend the Rules, (6) on Appeals from Rulings of Chair. Rules, 21, 22

V. ORDER OF BUSINESS.

Regular Order of Business. Rule 9

VI. MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS.

1. No Motion can be made or Resolution offered until after the maker has first been duly recognized by the Chair; and no motion is before the House unless it has received a "second." Rule 15

2. All Resolutions must be in writing and must bear the name of the mover. Rule 15

3. Resolutions offered for immediate action shall be considered at once unless Reference be requested or Objection be made by any member. Rule 23
(a) If Reference be requested, the Resolution shall be referred as of course to the appropriate Standing Committee, and if none exists then to a Special Committee of such number as the Chair may designate. Rule 23
(b) If merely an Objection is made (and no Reference is requested) the Resolution must lie over until the next day, and comes up as unfinished business. Rule 23
(c) A two-thirds vote is required for a resolution to be immediately considered over an Objection or a Request for Reference. Rule 23

NOTE: An Objection to Consideration is not amendable, (Rule 19) and is not debatable, (Rule 21). Neither a Request for Reference nor an Objection to Consideration can be laid on the table as they are questions as to priority of business (Rule 18).

An Objection is not in order as to matters coming up regularly on the Calendar nor as to an Order of the Day; and as to such matters a Motion for Reference instead of a Request would be necessary.

4. Memorials and Petitions—Must be referred without reading unless ordered read by a majority vote; but the contents may be concisely stated by the Deputy presenting them. Rule 24

5. Privileged Motions—Under our general practice are such as affect the rights, dignity or business of the House or the rights, privileges or standing of a Member and are in Order at any time when the House is not otherwise engaged.

6. Rejected Matter—When a matter is once finally determined, neither such question nor one of like import shall again be presented or drawn into debate during the same Convention, except by consent of two-thirds of the members present and voting upon a Motion to Reconsider.

NOTE: This being merely a Rule of the House of Deputies can only govern the initiation of matter in that House by its members. It has no application to any such matter contained in Messages from the House of Bishops, and all such matter in such Messages must necessarily be considered de novo by the House of Deputies.

VII. COMMITTEES—REPORTS, ETC.

1. All Committees are to be appointed by the President unless otherwise ordered. Rule 7

2. The Standing Committees are to be announced not later than the first hour of the third day of the Convention. Rule 8

3. All Committee Reports must be in writing and when requiring action must submit resolutions therefor. Rule 32

If there be a minority report, this shall be noted.

NOTE: An adverse report on any matter should close, according to the regular practice, with a resolution that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the subject.

4. Reports of Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members shall embody simply the name, Diocese, dates of birth and death, and time of service of deceased in General Conventions.

After suitable devotions such reports shall be received by the House standing. Rule 33
5. Reports of Committees appointed to sit during the Recess, if not acted on when presented shall be made a Special Order for a time fixed. Rule 25

6. The Chairman of any Committee may confer with Chairman of similar Committee of House of Bishops. Rule 26

VIII. DIVISION OF QUESTION.

(a) If measure contains distinct propositions, vote shall be taken on each separately; on request of any member. Rule 16

(b) When the voting is by Dioceses and Orders, the request for division must be made by the entire Clerical or Lay representation from any Diocese. Rule 16

(c) The motion to strike out and insert, is not divisible. Rule 16

IX. ORDER OF PRECEDENCE OF MOTIONS.

Motions shall have priority in the following order: Rule 17

1. Motion to Adjourn—

(a) Always in order when floor not occupied. Rule 18

(b) Not debatable, if unqualified. Rule 21

(c) Not amendable. Rule 19 (1)

(d) Cannot be laid on table. Rule 18

(e) Not admissible in Committee of Whole.

2. Motion to Adjourn to Time Certain—

(a) Always in order when floor not occupied. Rule 18

(b) Is debatable as to the time. Debate is limited to two minutes to each speaker. Rule 21

(c) Is amendable as to the time. Rule 18

(d) Cannot be laid on table. Rule 18

(e) Not admissible in Committee of Whole.

3. Motion to Lay on Table—

(a) Not debatable. Rule 21

(b) Not amendable. Rule 19 (1)

(c) Cannot be laid on table. Rule 18

(d) Not admissible in Committee of Whole. Rule 34 (b)

4. Motion to Take Vote Immediately, or at Time Certain—

(a) Not debatable. Rule 21

(b) Is amendable as to the time, if a time is specified. Rule 18

(c) Cannot be laid on table. Rule 20

(d) When applied to a Substitute, covers main question also; unless otherwise specified. Rule 20

(e) At the time fixed for taking a vote, the main question shall be put without further debate; and no motion shall be in order except to adjourn. Rule 18
5. Motion to Extend Limits of Debate—
   (a) Not applicable to “Time” of individual speakers.
   (b) Not debatable.
   (c) Is amendable as to the time, if a time is specified.
   (d) May be laid on table.
   (e) When applied to a Substitute, covers main question also; unless otherwise specified.

6. Motion to Postpone to a Time Certain—
   (a) Debate limited to two minutes to each speaker. Rule 21
   (b) Is amendable as to the time.
   (c) May be laid on table.
   (d) When applied to a Substitute, carries the main question with it; unless otherwise specified.

7. Motion to Recommit to any Committee, etc.—
   (a) Is amendable as to the Committee, etc., to which to be sent.
   (b) May be laid on the table.
   (c) When applied to a Substitute, carries the main question with it; unless otherwise specified.

8. Motion to Amend or Substitute—
   (a) Amendments, etc., must be germane. Otherwise not in order.
   (b) Amendments and Substitutes are debatable only when main question is.
   (c) One Amendment may be made to each independent or separable portion of a Resolution; and the right to amend extends only to one amendment of that amendment and to a substitute and one amendment thereto.
   (d) Amendments and Substitutes may be withdrawn by Maker, with consent of his seconder, before decision is had thereon.
   (e) A Substitute and its Amendment may be laid on table, but cannot be otherwise voted on until original matter is perfected.
   (f) If Amendment or Substitute is laid on table the effect is the same as if it had not been offered.
   (g) When Original Question and Substitute are both perfected, the vote comes first on the adoption of the Substitute, or Substitute as amended.
   (h) No action on an Amendment or Substitute changes the status of the Original Question. The Original resolution as so amended then remains the question before the House.

9. Motion to Postpone Indefinitely—
   (a) Not in order to a Substitute.
   (b) Opens up Main Question to debate on its merits.
   (c) Not amendable.
   (d) May be laid on table.
X. MISCELLANEOUS MOTIONS, ETC., WHOSE ORDER OF PRECEDENCE IS NOT FIXED.

1. Motion to Reconsider—
   (a) Not amendable. Rule 19
   (b) Requires a two-thirds vote; and can be had only once. Rule 30
   (c) Must be made on the day the vote on the main question was taken; or on the next succeeding day the House is in session. Rule 31
   (d) Must be made and seconded by persons who voted in the majority on the main question; or in the negative in case of equal division. Rule 31
   (e) In Voting by Orders, if there was concurrence of orders; the motion must be made by the majority of a deputation of either Order, which voted in the majority. Rule 31
   (f) In Voting by Orders, if there was non-concurrence of Orders; the motion must be made by the majority of a deputation of the Order which gave a majority in the negative. Rule 31
   (g) In Voting by Orders, the motion may be seconded by the majority of any deputation, without regard to its previous vote. Rule 31
   (h) The effect of a motion to reconsider, if carried, is to restore the matter reconsidered to its status prior to the original vote upon it.

2. Appeals from Decision of Chair—
   (a) Must be made at once, on the ruling objected to. Rule 19 (1)
   (b) Not amendable.
   (c) Debate limited to two minutes to each speaker. Can only speak once, except by express leave of House. Rule 22
   (d) May be laid on table.

3. Motion to Take from Table—
   (a) In order whenever House not otherwise engaged. Rule 21
   (b) Not debatable.
   (c) Not amendable. Rule 19 (1)
   (d) Cannot be laid on table.

4. Motion to Refer to a Standing Committee, etc. Rule 21
   (a) Not debatable.
   (b) Is amendable as to the committee.

5. Motion for Leave to Continue Speaking—
   (a) Not amendable. Rule 19
   (b) Involves suspension of Rule 13; ergo requires two-thirds vote. Rule 43

6. Call for Order of the Day—
   (a) Not amendable. Rule 19 (1)
   (b) No question as to the Order of the Day can be laid on the table. Rule 18
7. Motion to Make Special Order of Day for a Particular Time—
   (a) Is amendable as to the time.
   (b) Not debatable, as it involves questions of priority of business. Rule 21
   (c) Cannot be laid on table, for same reason. Rule 18
   (d) Requires two-thirds vote. Rule 11

8. Motion to Take Up Business Out of Its Order—
   (a) Not amendable. Rule 19
   (b) Debate limited to two minutes to each speaker. Rule 21
   (c) Requires two-thirds vote. Rule 11, Rule 43

9. Motion to Suspend Rules—
   (a) Not amendable. Rule 19
   (b) Debate limited to two minutes to each speaker. Rule 21
   (c) Requires two-thirds vote. Rule 43

10. Motion for Recess—
    (a) Not debatable. Rule 21
    (b) Is amendable as to the time, if a time is specified.

11. Motion for Leave to Withdraw a Motion, or Permit Change of Vote—
    (a) Not debatable. Rule 21
    (b) Not amendable. Rule 19

XI. VOTING BY DIOCESES AND ORDERS. WHEN? Rule 28

1. Must be had whenever required by Constitution or Canons, viz.:
   (a) On amendments, at any meeting of General Convention, of Table of Lessons or of Tables and Rubrics relating to use of the Psalms. Const. Art. X
   (b) On final adoption of Alterations or Additions to Book of Common Prayer. Const. Art. X
   (c) On final adoption of Amendments to the Constitution. Const. Art. XI
   (d) Whenever demanded by entire Clerical or Lay Representation from any Diocese before the Voting begins. Const. Art. I., Sec. 4, Rule 28
   (e) On cession of territory to or retrocession of territory from Missionary Districts. Const. Art. VI, Sec. 2

2. The vote of the individuals of a Deputation shall be stated and recorded whenever requested by the entire Deputation of a Diocese or when so ordered by the House on Motion without debate. Rule 28

3. The Vote of Each Order Shall Be Announced by One Member of each as "Aye" or "No" or "Divided." Rule 28

4. Vote by Either Order may be Corrected Before, but not After, Final Announcement of Vote of House. Rule 29

5. How Decided. See Constitutional Rules (Supra) 3, (e) Note.
XII. ELECTION OF OFFICERS.

1. Is to be by individual secret ballot. Rule 29
2. But Ballot of House May Be Cast by an Officer, by Unanimous Consent or by Order of House.
3. Above Provisions Include Elections of President or Secretary of House, or Treasurer of General Convention. Rule 29

XIII. COMMITTEE OF WHOLE.

1. Governed by Rules of House, as far as applicable. Rule 34
2. No Motion to Lay on Table can be Entertained. Rule 34 (b)
3. Order of Motions—
   (a) Motion to Rise and Report to House; with or without “leave” to sit again.
      (1) May be made at any time. Rule 34 (a)
      (2) Has precedence over all other motions. Rule 34 (a)
      (3) Not debatable. Rule 34 (a)
      (4) Cannot be renewed until after further action is had in Committee. Rule 34 (a)
   (b) Motion to Take Vote at Time Certain—
      (1) May be made at any time. Rule 34 (b)
      (2) Not debatable. Rule 34 (b)
4. Note: No motion to adjourn can be made, and no vote by Orders can be had in Committee of the Whole.

XIV. JOINT COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS.

1. House of Deputies may refer matters to, but can not give Instructions except through Joint Resolution concurred in by House of Bishops. Joint Rule 2
2. Can not be discharged until they have made their Final Report (Joint Rule 5): but are functus officio when that is made and can only be revived by concurrent action of the two Houses. Joint Rule 1
3. Members of: Must be notified of appointment by Secretary of House, Joint Rule 3
4. Publication of Reports: May have Report made public in advance of a Convention by sending the Report to Secretary of House of Deputies and distributed to all Members of the Convention. Joint Rule 4
5. Vacancies, occurring as to Deputies during the recess between Conventions, are to be filled by President of House. Joint Rule 6
6. Reports: Must indicate changes in membership, also assenters and dissenters. Joint Rule 7
7. Organization: Must include election of Chairman, Vice Chairman and Secretary. Joint Rule 8
8. Reports: Must include statements of all income received, expenses incurred and appropriations needed. Joint Rule 9
9. Requests for appropriations must be referred to Joint Committee on Expenses. Joint Rule 12

10. Joint Committee or Commission proposing resolution for adoption in House of Deputies shall authorize a Deputy or Deputies to accept or reject amendments thereto which do not change the substance thereof.

11. Joint Committee on Expenses (Joint Rule 12) Joint Rule 11

XV. MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS.

Shall be handed by the Secretary to the President, to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient. Rule 26

1. Confidential Notifications of election of Presiding Bishop or of any other Bishop shall be referred without reading to the Committee on Consecration of Bishops, and their report shall be presented in Executive Session of House. Rule 37 (c)

2. Messages Communicating Legislative Action Taken— Rule 26
   (a) Shall be referred to proper Committee, without debate, unless otherwise ordered by House without debate.
   (b) Report of Committee on Message referred to it, ranks as of date and priority of original receipt of message.
   (c) Question of immediate consideration of Committee's Report is to be submitted to House when Report is presented. Rule 26

   (a) When consideration of Message once begins, it becomes Special and Continuing order until finally disposed of.
   (b) No motion to Postpone or Lay on Table is then in order.

4. Votes on Message— Rule 26
   (a) If no amendments made by House; Vote is only on Motion to Concur.
   (b) If Amendments are made by House; Vote is only on Motion to Concur in Message as Amended.
   (c) Affirmative votes to be counted as in favor of concurrence.

5. NOTE—Practice as to Messages from the House of Bishops—Under Rule 26 a distinction is to be observed between the status of a House of Bishops Message before its consideration on its merits is actually begun and its status after it is placed before the House for its actual passage.

When a House of Bishops Message first reaches the House of Deputies it does not have immediate right of way over all other pending business, but is held by the President "to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient." Rule 26

When a Message Calling for legislation is first presented to the House of Deputies it has not been the practice to give it immediate consideration. It is handled like any other measure and Rule 26 itself provides that it shall be referred to the proper Committee, unless without debate the House on Motion shall otherwise order.

When so referred and even when the Committee report is presented the status is the same as that of any other measure and Committee report pending before the House, and is open to all the
same motions, dilatory or otherwise, to which any other measure is subject.

It is only when a House of Bishops Message has actually been presented to the House for passage and has begun to be considered on its merits that the third paragraph of Rule 26 applies. The Message then becomes the Order of the Day and is not subject to any motion to postpone or lay on the table, and its consideration must continue until final action is had upon it. This does not mean that the House must remain in session until final action is had, for a debate may continue several days. What it means is that the Message becomes the continuing Order of the Day and must be so handled.

XVI. CONFERENCE COMMITTEES.

1. Motion for appointment of, or for reference of any matter to, is only in order when House of Bishops has amended a House of Deputies' measure, or when the House of Deputies has amended a House of Bishops' measure. Rule 26

2. Message from House of Bishops requesting Conference stands on same footing with any other Message and is in order as to any measure from them which requires concurrent action and which has been amended by House of Deputies.

XVII. PRIVILEGES OF FLOOR, ETC.

Who entitled to? See Rules 39, 40.

XVIII. STANDING ORDERS OF HOUSE.

1. Seats to be occupied by Deputations are to be determined by lot by Secretary of House. S.O.I.

2. Absentee Members—Members who have not answered at roll call, or have not later notified Secretary of their arrival shall be printed in the Journal as absent. S.O.II.

3. Secretary to provide Notice Boards, etc. S.O.III.
TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT OF THE JOURNAL
IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER

This arrangement contains all the matters which were dealt with by both Houses. Also included are the selection of the members of the Courts (House of Bishops) and Rules of Order changes (Joint and House of Deputies). Matters dealt with by one House only appear in the Minutes of that House. Consult Index for details.

American Bible Society

Bishop Craighill, on the Second Day, reported on the work of the American Bible Society, and presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

WHEREAS, We do believe the Word of God as found in Holy Scriptures contains all things necessary to salvation, and has given inspiration to Christians since Apostolic times, and

WHEREAS, These same Holy Scriptures are an essential part of the heritage this Church is commissioned to carry to all people of the earth, and

WHEREAS, Four-fifths of the population of the world have access to Holy Scriptures only as they are made available through the work of the United Bible Societies in which this Church participates through the American Bible Society; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that General Convention reaffirms its interest in, and support of, the AMERICAN BIBLE SOCIETY in its services as the representatives of this Church in the translation, publication, and distribution of Holy Scriptures, and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Second Sunday in Advent, traditionally observed as Bible Sunday, be an occasion when all churches are urged to recognize the work of this Society through a presentation of its services to the Church and/or a special offering for the American Bible Society designated for the translation, publication, and distribution of the Bible.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 24.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 25.]

Anxieties of Our Times

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 17, being a resolution originally introduced by the Rev. Walter Williams, of California, on re-affirming previous statements of the General Convention and Lambeth on social issues.
The Rev. Raymond Ferris, of Tennessee, moved to amend the resolution by deleting the whole of it down to the last resolve. Inasmuch as the identical substance of the last resolve had been adopted in concurring with the House of Bishops Message No. 126, the amendment of the Rev. Mr. Ferris was declared out of order, and that resolve was stricken from the resolution.

Mr. Gilbert, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, moved to lay the whole matter on the table. A division was had and the motion lost, 233 to 245.

A motion to take the vote immediately was adopted, and the remaining portions of the resolution were before the House.

WHEREAS, People in the Church are seeking guidance in the conflicts, confusion, and anxieties of our times, and

WHEREAS, the Church, through General Conventions of these immediately past decades, has maintained consistent positions both as to its concern for the whole of human life under God's sovereignty as to Christian Bases for Society; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Convention reaffirms these positions anew in the face of our own day: "We believe it is possible to bring about among the nations of the world a lasting peace because Jesus Christ pointed the way to such a peace; that we should think and talk in terms of such a possibility, not closing our eyes to reality" such as Soviet-American power struggles; atomic weapon competitions, "lending our aid . . ." to the United Nations and its affiliated agencies; but daring to say and act on the premise that peace ultimately depends on the Christian standard of life; "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart and soul and mind, and thy neighbor as thyself." (General Convention 1958)

"We call first upon our fellow Churchmen by God's grace to cleanse themselves of all spirit of racial discrimination; and then upon persons, especially the members of our Church, to work together in charity and forbearance, towards the establishment, without racial discrimination, of full opportunities in fields such as education, housing, employment, and public accommodations." (General Convention 1958)

"We believe that there are millions of Christian homes in our land but we are concerned because there are not more, and further, with the special strains" which urbanization, industrialization, secularism, and rootlessness have brought about for the family. "We call upon our people to work (and witness) in their communities to alleviate those social strains which make family life difficult; to practice those disciplines of family prayer, public worship, and common work and play which are so necessary to family life; and to cooperate with those agencies trying to improve, to increase, and to hold together Christian homes." (General Convention 1946)

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 146.]
The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions. The Bishop of Newark, reporting for this committee, moved concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 159.]

Approaches to Unity

The Bishop of Connecticut, on the Fourth Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that any committee or commission of this Church, authorized to discuss or negotiate any plan of federation or union with any other Church or Churches, shall do so within the framework of "AN APPEAL TO ALL CHRISTIAN PEOPLE," adopted by the 1920 Lambeth Conference and affirmed in the 1958 Lambeth Conference Committee on "Church Unity and the Church Universal," reading as follows:

"We believe that the visible unity of the Church will be found to involve the whole-hearted acceptance of:

"The Holy Scriptures, as the record of God's revelation of himself to man, and as being the rule and ultimate standard of faith; and the Creed commonly called Nicene, as the sufficient statement of the Christian faith, and either it or the Apostles' Creed as the Baptismal confession of belief;

"The divinely instituted sacraments of Baptism and the Holy Communion as expressing for all the corporate life of the whole fellowship in and with Christ;

"A ministry acknowledged by every part of the Church as possessing not only the inward call of the spirit, but also the commission of Christ and the authority of the whole body."

Any such committee or commission is instructed that any proposal for federation or union between this Church and any other Church or Churches can be made on behalf of this Church only on bases which will permit this Church, or any federation or union of which this Church may become a member, to remain in full communion with the See of Canterbury and maintain full communion with other members of the Anglican Communion and other Churches with which this Church is now in full communion, the term "full communion" being understood to mean complete sacramental fellowship and mutual recognition and acceptance of ministries.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 40.]

The foregoing resolution was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.
The Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, on the Ninth Day, presented Report No. 22:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has received the following memorials and petitions, together with one message from the House of Bishops, all having to do with the theological framework within which unity conversations are to be conducted with other churches:

1. From the Diocese of Northern California, calling attention to the Lambeth Quadrilateral.

2. Without named sponsor, calling for a re-affirmation of the principles of the Chicago version of the Quadrilateral of 1886.

3. Without a named sponsor, calling for the Unity Commission to republish in readily available form the Faith and Order Statement, prepared by this Commission for the Lambeth Conference of 1948 and the General Convention of 1949; and

4. Message #40 of the House of Bishops, asking that unity conversations be conducted within the framework of the Lambeth Conference of 1920—the APPEAL TO ALL CHRISTIAN PEOPLE.

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has considered these memorials, petitions and the message, and is mindful of the fact that the substance of them is already attached to the resolution accepting the Presbyterian invitation, and therefore recommends as follows:

That this House concur with the House of Bishops Message No. 40, by substituting the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be reminded of the various historic statements defining this Church's stand in the field of Christian reunion beginning with Chicago version of the Quadrilateral in 1886 and including several statements by successive Lambeth Conferences, particularly the Faith and Order Statement prepared by the Commission itself for the Lambeth Conference of 1948 and the General Convention of 1949; and that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be, and it hereby is, instructed to make the historic position of this Church as defined in these several statements the framework for all church unity conversations in which it shall be engaged. And be it further

Resolved, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be instructed to republish the Statement on Faith and Order as soon as possible.

The House concurred with Message No. 40, of the House of Bishops, with amendments as noted above.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 122.]

The House of Bishops concurred with this Message on the Tenth Day.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 158.]
Archbishop of Canterbury

The Rev. Don H. Copeland, of South Florida, on the Third Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church meeting for the first time after the enthronement of the 100th Archbishop of Canterbury, the Most Reverend Arthur Michael Ramsey, greets the Primate of All England and expresses its cordial appreciation of his articulate championship of the Church's Faith and Freedom.

This was put to an immediate vote and adopted, and was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy for the drawing up of an appropriate statement, to be sent to the Primate.

The Rev. Dr. Norris, of Vermont, presented Report No. 3 for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, on the Fifth Day, repeating the above resolution.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 53.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 61.]

Architecture and the Allied Arts, Joint Commission on

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, on the Second Day, reported for the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts. (See Appendix.) He offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts be accepted, and that the Commission be continued.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 22.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 23.]

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, on the Second Day, offered the following resolution, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the present sum of $3,000.00 be continued in like amount and appropriated to the Joint Commission of Church Architecture and the Allied Arts for the continuance and development of its work during the coming triennium.
(Architecture) Demountable Church Structures

Mr. Crouch, of Michigan, for the Committee on Rural Work, presented Report No. 3 on the Seventh Day:

WHEREAS, the Committee on Missions and on Rural Work in joint meeting have determined that a need exists in many dioceses and missionary districts throughout the country and overseas for a demountable church structure; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Architecture and the Allied Arts be and hereby is directed to have plans drawn up for several types of demountable church structures, and be it further

Resolved, That the said Joint Commission shall make known to the several dioceses and missionary districts the fact that such plans are being prepared.

The report was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 65.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 88.]

Armed Forces

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 6:

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution, submitted by the Rev. William W. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Commission on the work of our Church among the Armed Forces, composed of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and six laymen, be created by this Convention, supported by a budget item of $500.00 per annum. This resolution is offered with special concern for the present world situation, and with the realization that this world situation will continue in intensity, at the least in the foreseeable future, involving a greatly increased number of our communicants being ordered away from their homes on active military duty.

The Joint Committee is fully aware of the needs mentioned in this resolution but doubts that the creation of another Joint Commission that would not report until the next General Convention would be a practical way to meet them. Instead we propose the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be asked to strengthen the Division of the Armed Forces by allowing for additional members and to give serious consideration to the creation of a Commission for the Armed Forces, made up of persons who would bring
help and support to our Church's Ministry to men and women so serving this nation.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 169.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 181.]

Arrangements, Joint Committee on for the 1964 General Convention

Dean Bartlett, of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented Report No. 39, on the Eleventh Day, which was adopted.

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Committee on Arrangements for the 61st General Convention be constituted of the following members:

The Presiding Bishop, the President of the House of Deputies, the Chairman on Dispatch of Business for the House of Bishops, the Chairman on Dispatch of Business for the House of Deputies, the Bishop of the Host Diocese, the General Chairman of Arrangements of the Host Diocese, and the Diocesan President of the Episcopal Church Women of the Host Diocese.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 171.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 177.]

Audit, Joint Committee on
(Treasurer of General Convention, Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with)

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 2:

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution presented by Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention be continued under the designation Joint Committee on Audit, consisting of one Bishop, one Presbyter and one layman, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of the General Convention and to serve as a Joint Advisory Committee to cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention.

The Joint Committee, agreeing on the need for this small committee
fully approved of its continuance under a name more accurately describing its function, and recommends the adoption of the resolution.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 90.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 94.]

Bishop White

The Rev. Dr. Norris, of Vermont, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy on the Fifth Day:

There was referred to this committee a resolution presented by the Rev. Peter C. Van der Heil, Jr., of Pennsylvania, calling upon the Church to take note of the 175th anniversary of the consecration of Bishop William White, the first Bishop of that Diocese; and asking that the Presiding Bishop and the National Council plan a suitable commemoration of the event. Your committee has approved of this proposal, and moves the adoption of the following resolution:

WHEREAS, On the 4th of February 1787, in Lambeth Palace Chapel, England, William White, Priest and Patriot, was consecrated a Bishop in the Church of God, being the first American so consecrated in the English line of succession; and

WHEREAS, He was largely responsible for the formation of the Diocese of Pennsylvania and for the organization of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, and became its first President of the General Convention; and

WHEREAS, He was instrumental in drafting the Constitution of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States, and incorporated the note that laity have an equal voice with the clergy in the affairs of this Church, and that there be a bicameral form of government paralleling that of our Federal Government; and

WHEREAS, The Diocese of Pennsylvania in its 177th Annual Convention requested its deputies to the General Convention to ask this Convention to recommend an appropriate recognition of this notable anniversary; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States take note of this historic and religious event and that, through its Presiding Bishop and the National Council, it consider such recognition and commemoration as seems appropriate to the fact that, on Easter Even 1962, it will be 175 years ago that William White brought the Episcopate to Pennsylvania, became the 1st Bishop of the Diocese and on July 28, 1789, became the first President of the General Convention.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 50.]
The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 59.]

**Canon 1, Sec. 5 (a)**

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Third Day, presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the proposal of Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr. of Long Island, to amend Section 5(a) of Canon 1, "Of The General Convention" by changing the words, "audited by a committee acting under its authority" to read, "audited at the direction of a committee acting under its authority," begs leave to report that it has considered the same, finds it to be in due canonical form, and offers the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Section 5(a) of Canon 1 be, and the same is hereby, amended by striking the words, "audited by a committee acting under its authority" and substituting the words, "audited at the direction of a committee acting under its authority" so that Canon 1, Section 5(a) shall read as follows:

"Sec. 5(a). At every triennial meeting of the General Convention a Treasurer shall be elected by a concurrent action of the two Houses, and shall remain in office until a successor shall be elected. It shall be his duty to receive and disburse all moneys collected under the authority of the Convention, and of which the collection and disbursement shall not otherwise be prescribed; and, with the advice and approval of the Presiding Bishop and the Treasurer of the National Council, to invest, from time to time, such surplus funds as he may have on hand. His account shall be rendered triennially to the Convention, and shall be audited at the direction of a committee acting under its authority."

The amendment was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 29.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 82.]

**Canon 1, Sec. 6**

Mr. Kent, of Long Island, on the Ninth Day, made a privileged motion, asking permission to present the following concurrent resolution:

*WHEREAS*, By joint action of both Houses of the General Convention the Committee on Expenses has become a Joint Committee on Expenses; therefore be it
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 1, Sec. 6, be amended by inserting the word "Joint" between the word "the" and the word "Committee" in line 26 of the said section, the sentence so amended to read as follows:

"The amount of such assessment shall be determined by the Joint Committee on Expenses"; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the foregoing amendment to Canon 1, Sec. 6, shall take effect immediately.

This was adopted.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 26:

The Committee on Canons takes note of the fact that, by action of the House of Deputies, a resolution to amend Canon 1, Section 6, by the insertion of the word, "Joint" between the words "the" and "Committee" in line 26 thereof, has been adopted, so that the line shall read, "the Joint Committee on Expenses."

The report was received.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 113.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 132.]

Canon 4, Sec. 2 (a) and (b)

The President, on the Ninth Day, asked for and received unanimous consent from the House to take up the report of the Committee on Canons out of turn. Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 20:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the request of the Episcopal Churchwomen that Canon 4, "Of the National Council", be amended so as to effect the change in name of the women of the Church from "Woman's Auxiliary to the National Council" to "Episcopal Churchwomen",

begs leave to report that it has considered this request and finds it to be in order. Therefore the Committee offers the following:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 4, Sec. 2(a), be, and the same is hereby, amended by striking the words "Woman's Auxiliary to the National Council" in lines 11 and 12 thereof and substituting therefor the words "Episcopal Churchwomen" and, further, that

Sec. 2(b) be, and the same is hereby, amended by striking the words "Woman's Auxiliary" in line 7 of the first paragraph and substituting therefor the words, "Episcopal Churchwomen"; and by striking the words "Woman's Auxiliary to the National Council, the Executive Board of the Woman's Auxiliary" in lines 3 and 4 of the final paragraph, and substi-
tuting therefor the words, "Episcopal Churchwomen, the General Division of Women's Work of the National Council".

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 125.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 137.]

**Canons 5**

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on Canons on the Seventh Day:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution of the Committee on the State of the Church, (printed on pages 198 and 199),

begs leave to report that it has considered the matter and finds that the proposed amendment would not accomplish the purposes which the Committee on the State of the Church desires. After long discussion with the Chairman of that Committee, with members of the General Division of Research and Field Study, and with other persons concerned, it is evident that the Committee on the State of the Church desires that the General Division of Research and Field Study shall have available duplicate copies of the Parochial and Diocesan Reports required by Canon 5, for analysis, study and report.

In order to accomplish these purposes, the Committee on Canons offers the following Resolution in place of that proposed by the Committee on the State of the Church:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 5 be, and the same is hereby amended so as to read as follows:

Section 1. A report of every Parish and other congregation of this Church shall be prepared annually for the year ending December 31st preceding, upon the blank form prepared by the National Council and approved by the Committee on the State of the Church, and shall be sent in duplicate not later than February 1st to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District, or, where there is no Bishop, to the Secretary of the Diocese or District. The Bishop or the Secretary, as the case may be, shall send the duplicate copy to the General Division of Research and Field Study of the National Council. In every Parish the preparation and delivery of this report shall be the joint duty of the Rector and Vestry; and in every other congregation the duty of the Minister in charge thereof. This report shall include the following information: (1) the number of baptisms, confirmations, marriages and burials during the year; the total number of baptized persons and communicants in good standing at the time of the report; and for all purposes the number of members of this Church shall be deemed to be the number of baptized persons; (2) a summary of all receipts and expenditures, from whatever source derived and for whatever purpose used; (3) a statement of the property held by the Parish, whether real or personal, with an appraisal of its value, together with a statement
of the indebtedness of the Parish, if any, and of the amount of insur-
ance carried; and (4) such other relevant information as is needed to
secure an accurate view of the state of this Church, as required by the
approved form. And every Minister not in charge of any Parish or
Congregation shall also report his occasional services, and if there have
been none, the causes or reasons which have prevented the same. And
these reports, or such parts of them as the Bishop may deem proper,
shall be entered in the Journal.

Section 2. It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Convention
of every Diocese and of the Convention of every Missionary District
to forward to the Secretary of the House of Deputies immediately
upon publication, the Journals of the Convention of the Diocese or
Convocation of the Missionary District, together with Episcopal charges,
statements and such other papers as may show the state of the Church
in his Diocese or Missionary District.

It shall also be his duty, immediately after the adjournment of the
Convention or Convocation to prepare in duplicate and forward forth-
with, one copy to the Secretary of the House of Deputies and one
copy to the General Division of Research and Field Study of the
National Council, upon the blank form prepared by the National
Council and approved by the Committee on the State of the Church,
a condensed summary of the statistics required by the preceding
section of this Canon, together with the information required by the
blank form specified in this Section pertaining to the Diocese or
Missionary District and to all institutions in any way connected with
the Diocese or Missionary District. The Secretary of the House of
Deputies shall, as soon as may be, present these papers to the Com-
mittee on the State of the Church; which Committee shall thereupon
present its report to the House. This report, when accepted by the
House of Deputies, shall be sent immediately to the House of Bishops.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 85.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on
Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Ninth Day, reporting for the
Committee on Canons, moved that the House concur with House
of Deputies Message No. 85, amending Canon 5, Sections 1 and 2,
with certain amendments as follows:

(1) In Sec. 2, paragraph 2, strike the words "the General Division of
Research and Field Study of" so that it shall read, "... and one
copy to the National Council . . . ." and

(2) In Sec. 2, paragraph 2, delete the last sentence, substituting for it
the following:

"The Secretary of the House of Deputies shall, as soon as may be,
present these papers to the House, and a committee shall be appointed
to prepare and present to the House a report on the State of the
Church, which report, when agreed to by the said House, shall be sent
to the House of Bishops."
The motion was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 120.]

Referred to the Committee on the State of the Church.

Archdeacon Thornberry, of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on the State of the Church, on the Tenth Day, moved concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 120:

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 131.]

Canon 6, Sec. 1 (5)

The Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, on the Eighth Day, asked permission of the House to introduce a joint resolution calling for a revision of the Canons. Permission was granted. He presented a resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 21:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the resolution by the Rev. Charles D. Kean of Washington and reading as follows:

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Section 1, sub-section 5, of Canon 6 be and hereby is amended, by the addition at the end thereof of the following sentence:

A certificate of audit shall be forwarded to the Bishop not later than July 1 of each year, covering the financial reports of the previous calendar year."

begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal and finds it to be in due canonical form. Therefore the Committee offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 6, Section 1, sub-section (5) be and the same is hereby amended by the addition of the following sentence at the end thereof: "A certificate of audit shall be forwarded to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority not later than July 1 of each year, covering the financial reports of the previous calendar year."

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 124.]

The foregoing message was referred to the Committee on Canons.
The Bishop of Florida, on the Tenth Day, reported for the Committee on Canons, recommending concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 171.]

**Canon 7, Sec. 2 (Not Adopted)**

On the Third Day, the Committee on Canons submitted the following report:

The proposal of the Reverend Howard W. Brummitt of Quincy, to amend Canon 7, Section 2, "Of The Church Pension Fund" by the insertion of the words, "of this Church" after the word "persons" in the third line, so that the section shall then read as follows: "The General Convention at each triennial meeting shall elect, on the nomination of a Joint Committee thereof, eight persons of this Church to serve as Trustees of The Church Pension Fund . . .", has been considered by the Committee and we report as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 7, Section 2, be and the same is hereby amended by striking the word "persons" in line 3 thereof and substituting the words, "members of this Church" so that the said Section 2 shall read as follows:

"Sec. 2. The General Convention at each triennial meeting shall elect, on the nomination of a Joint Committee thereof, eight members of this Church to serve as Trustees of the Church Pension Fund for a term of nine years and until their successors shall have been elected and have qualified, and shall also fill such vacancies as may exist on the Board of Trustees."

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas, moved an amendment specifying that the Trustees should be "communicants" instead of "members."

The motion was seconded.

There was a motion to place the matter on the Calendar, which was defeated.

A motion to table the resolution and the amendment was moved, and seconded. The voice vote being inconclusive, a division was called for, with the following results:

To table: Ayes, 199; Noes, 338.

The motion to table was defeated.

A motion was made to reconsider the action concerning putting the matter on the table, and this motion was lost.
Debate on the amendment followed. The previous question was called for and the amendment was voted upon and defeated. The original motion was put, and defeated.

**Canon 8, Sec. 1**

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Third Day, presented the following report for the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the resolution of the Reverend Howard W. Brummitt, of Quincy, to amend Canon 8, Section 1, "Of Provinces" by striking out in the last paragraph thereof the words, "the Territories of" so that the whole paragraph shall read as follows: "The Eighth Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Idaho, Utah, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Arizona, Alaska and Hawaii, and the Missionary District of the Philippines,” begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal and therefore presents the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 8, Section 1 be and the same is hereby amended by striking out in the last paragraph the words, "the Territories of" so that the whole paragraph shall then read as follows: "The Eighth Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Idaho, Utah, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Arizona, Alaska and Hawaii, and the Missionary Districts of the Philippines and Taiwan.”

Inasmuch as there was some question about the inclusion of the Missionary District of Taiwan in the Eighth Province, the matter was referred to the Committee on Missions.

Rodney E. Ross, Jr., of Maine, on the Fourth Day, for the Committee on Missions, presented Report No. 3:

The Committee on Missions has met to consider Report No. 4 from the Committee on Canons dealing with Canon 8, Section 1, which was referred to the Committee. The Committee recommends that the report of the Committee on Canons, (as printed above), be accepted.

This was put to a vote and adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 28.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Seventh Day, made a report for the Committee on Canons, moving that this House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 28. Motion was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 81.]
Canon 16, Secs. 1, 2 and 3

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Seventh Day, for the Committee on Canons presented Report No. 14:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the memorial from the Diocese of Long Island with respect to the definition of communicants, together with the request by the Committee on the State of the Church asking for a definition of "Communicants in Good Standing," begs leave to report that it has considered these matters and offers the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 16, "Of Regulations Respecting the Laity" be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of three new sections to be numbered 1, 2, and 3, and that the present sections of the said canon be renumbered accordingly.

The new sections shall read as follows:

"Sec. 1. All persons who have received the sacrament of Holy Baptism with water in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, and whose baptism has been duly recorded in this Church, are members thereof.

"Sec. 2. All such baptized persons who shall for one year next preceding have fulfilled the requirements of the Canon "Of the Due Celebration of Sundays," unless for good cause prevented, are members of this Church in good standing.

"Sec. 3. All such members in good standing who have been confirmed by a Bishop of this Church or a Bishop of a Church in communion with this Church or have been received into this Church by a Bishop of this Church, and who shall, unless for good cause prevented, have received Holy Communion at least thrice during the next preceding year, are communicants in good standing."

Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, moved to lay the matter on the table. The motion was defeated.

Mr. Dakin, of Western Massachusetts, moved an amendment.

A motion was made to re-commit the original resolution and the amendment to the Committee on Canons, that the report might be mimeographed and distributed to the Deputies for a clearer picture as to the changes proposed. This was adopted.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Canons, again presented Report No. 14.

He distributed duplicated copies of the report, calling attention to the Dakin amendment, which reads:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the third sentence of Section 1 of Canon 5 be amended by inserting, at the end of the clauses designated (1) and before the semi-colon immediately preceding the clause designation (2), the following words: "and for purposes of this report
communicants in good standing shall be deemed to be those who have communicated at least thrice in the year next preceding."

Mr. Dakin, of Western Massachusetts, was heard on behalf of his amendment. The President ruled that the amendment and the original resolution of the Committee, dealing with revision of different Canons, should be considered separately, and called for consideration first of the Dakin substitute, being a revision of Canon 5.

On being put to a vote, the substitute was defeated, and revised Canon 16 was before the House.

Mr. Day, of Milwaukee, introduced an amendment providing for exceptions to the classification of "communicants in good standing" of persons under ecclesiastical discipline. This amendment was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Barnds, of Dallas, presented an amendment calling for the deletion of Section 2, defining "members in good standing." This amendment was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Tisdale, of Atlanta, offered an amendment to delete the words "by a Bishop of this Church" referring to those received from other Churches. This motion was defeated.

A motion was made to take an immediate vote, and the motion carried.

Canon 16, as amended, was put to a vote and a standing vote was called for. The measure was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 96.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Ninth Day, reporting for the Committee on Canons, moved that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 96, amending Canon 16, "Of Regulations Respecting the Laity." The Bishop of South Florida moved that Section 3 be amended as follows:

Insert after the words "in communion with this Church" the following: "who, having received Episcopal Confirmation,"

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Southern Ohio moved that Section 3 be amended by adding the words "and those who work and pray and give for the spread of Christ's Kingdom." The motion was not carried.
On motion of the Bishop of Milwaukee, the House reconsidered the previously approved amendment offered by the Bishop of South Florida. On reconsideration, the amendment was not carried. The House then voted to concur with House of Deputies Message No. 96, as presented.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 121.]

**Canon 30**

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Theological Education, presented Report No. 8:

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received and carefully considered Resolution No. 1 in the Report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education and proposes that it be adopted with amendments so that it shall read as follows:

Whereas, the canonical jurisdiction of the Joint Commission on Theological Education has been interpreted as limited to the field of education for Holy Orders; and

Whereas, even within that field, and at least until the proposed study of the entire scope of Theological Education in all its aspects has been completed and its recommendations have become operative, it is desirable that the composition of the Joint Commission on Theological Education be modified and its jurisdiction and duties be more clearly defined; now therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 30 be amended to read as follows:

"**CANON 30**

**OF EDUCATION FOR HOLY ORDERS**

Sec. 1(a). There shall be a standing Joint Commission of the General Convention on Education for Holy Orders appointed at each triennial session, consisting of three Bishops, the Dean of the General Theological Seminary, and the Deans of three other Theological Seminaries of the Church appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and three Examining Chaplains, three other Presbyters and three Laymen appointed by the President of the House of Deputies. The Presiding Bishop shall designate one of the three Bishops so appointed as Chairman of the Joint Commission.

(b). There shall be an Executive Committee of the Joint Commission to consist of the Chairman and not less than five other members of the Joint Commission including both Presbyters and Laymen, appointed by the Presiding Bishop in consultation with the Chairman and the President of the House of Deputies.

Sec. 2. The duties of the Joint Commission shall be, viz:

(a). To study the needs and trends of education for Holy Orders in the Church, within the jurisdiction of this Church, and to make recommendations to the National Council and the General Convention with respect thereto.
To determine whether any institution of learning, within the jurisdiction of this Church, shall be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church in accordance with standards approved by the General Convention.

To advise and assist the Seminaries and other institutions of the Church for the training of men for Holy Orders within the jurisdiction of this Church.

To promote continuing cooperation between and among the Theological Seminaries of this Church.

To compile and present to each triennial session of the General Convention a complete statistical report of the work of the several Theological Seminaries of the Church and, as far as possible, of other institutions for the training of men for Holy Orders.

To be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church an institution of learning shall comply with the following standards, viz:

(a). Its primary purpose shall be the education of men for Holy Orders.

(b). It shall throughout each normal academic year offer courses of study in the subjects prescribed in these Canons for the learning and examination of Candidates for Holy Orders.

(c). Its faculty shall include at least four full-time professors duly qualified, according to generally accepted academic standards, to teach such courses.

(d). Its student body shall number not less than twenty men pursuing a three-year course normally leading to a baccalaureate degree in theology, of whom at least eighty percent shall hold an A.B. degree or its equivalent.

(e). Its organization, financial resources, equipment and facilities shall be such as reasonably to assure its stability and permanence and its separate identity as an institution for Theological Education.

It shall be the duty of each Theological Seminary of this Church and of each other institution for the training of men for Holy Orders to present annually to the Joint Commission statistical reports on forms prepared and provided by the Commission.

The Committee moves the adoption of this resolution.

After some discussion, Dean Bartlett, of California, moved to re-commit this resolution to the Committee on Theological Education. It was re-committed.

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Theological Education, presented Report No. 8, as amended in consultation with the representatives of overseas Theological Seminaries, as follows:

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received and carefully considered Resolution No. 1 in the Report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education and proposes that it be adopted as previously presented (see copy above) except that Sec. 3(d) shall read as follows:
(d). Its student body shall number not less than twenty men pursuing a three-year course normally leading to a baccalaureate degree in theology, of whom at least eighty percent shall hold an A.B. degree or its equivalent, except that, for seminaries outside the continental United States, the Joint Commission shall have the authority to establish such other standards as may be appropriate.

In this form, Canon 30 was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 123.]

The foregoing resolution was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Tenth Day, reporting for the Committee on Canons, recommended concurrence with the foregoing Message.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 172.]

Canon 34, Sec. 2

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Theological Education:

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received the report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education and has carefully considered and approved Resolution 2 of that report. The resolution reads as follows:

"WHEREAS, It is desirable that the duration of Candidacy for Holy Orders should be clearly defined in the Canons of the Church; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 34, section 2 be clarified and amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 2. No one shall be ordered Deacon within eighteen months from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, unless the Bishop, with the advice and consent of a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee, shall shorten the time of his candidacy; and in no case shall the time be shortened to less than six months."

Your committee moves the adoption of this resolution.

Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, offered an amendment which was accepted by the Committee, striking out the last six words of line 8 of the resolution, and the first word of line 9.

It was moved to amend the resolution by restoring the original wording of the Committee's resolution, and on a division the motion was adopted. Thereupon the original resolution, as first
reported by the Committee and printed above, was put to a vote and was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 61.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Tenth Day, moved that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 61, revising Canon 34. The Bishop of Connecticut moved that the words "under special circumstances" be added to Section 2 before the words "the Bishop." The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that the words "or Council of Advice" be added, after the words "the Standing Committee," in Section 2. The motion was carried.

The House concurred, with amendments, so that the final resolution reads as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 34, Section 2 be clarified and amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 2. No one shall be ordered Deacon within eighteen months from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, unless under special circumstances the Bishop, with the advice and consent of a majority of the members of the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, shall shorten the time of his candidacy; and in no case shall the time be shortened to less than six months."

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 144.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 170.]

Canon 35, Sec. 2

The Bishop of Florida, on the Tenth Day, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved: The House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 35, Section 2, be, and the same is hereby amended, to read "two years" instead of "three years," so that line six shall now read, "Nor within two years from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders."

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 173.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.
Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Eleventh Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 29:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Joint Resolution from the House of Bishops proposing to amend Canon 35 “Of Ordination to the Priesthood” by changing the word “three” to the word “two” in line six, so that the line shall read, “Nor within two years from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders” begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it in due form, and therefore offers the following:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in the amendment.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 175.]

Canon 36
(Repealing old Canon 36, and Canon 38)

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, offered the following resolution:

“Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 36 be, and the same is hereby repealed in its entirety; and that in its place there be enacted two new canons, to be numbered Canon 36-A and Canon 36-B, to read as follows:

Canon 36-A
OF THE ORDINATION OF MINISTERS WHO HAVE NOT RECEIVED EPISCOPAL ORDINATION

“Sec. 1 (a) When any minister who has not received episcopal ordination desires to be made a deacon and to be ordered a priest in this Church, he shall satisfy the Bishop of the Diocese or of the Missionary District: (1) that he has resided in that Diocese or Missionary District at least one year; (2) that he has been duly baptized with water in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost; (3) that he holds the historic faith of the Church as contained in the Apostles’ and Nicene Creeds; (4) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church; (5) that he now desires the grace and authority of Holy Orders as required for the exercise of the ministry of this Church; and (6) that there is no sufficient objection to the proposed ordination on grounds physical, mental, moral or spiritual.

“(b) The Bishop, thus satisfied, shall lay the facts before the Standing Committee or Council of Advice and shall obtain its consent before proceeding to ordain such minister. If consent be given, and the candidate has been confirmed, the Bishop may make him a deacon and, subsequently, ordain him a priest.

“(c) At the time of such ordination, the Bishop may read this preface to the service: ‘A.B., who has already been ordained a minister of Christ, now desires to be made a deacon (or ordained a priest) in this Church. He has satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary
District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. We are about to confer upon him the grace and authority of Holy Orders as this Church has received them and requires them for the exercise of the ministry therein."

"Sec. 2. The letters of ordination in such cases may contain the words: Recognizing the ministry which he has already received and hereby adding to that commission the grace and authority of Holy Orders as understood and required by this Church for the exercise of the ministry.

"Sec. 3. In all ordinations under this canon, the provisions for examinations contained in Canon 26, Sec. 5(e), or Canon 32, Secs. 1, 3 and 4 and of Canon 34, Sec. 3, shall apply.

Canon 36-B

OF CONDITIONAL ORDINATION

"Sec. 1 (a) When any minister who has been made a deacon or ordained a priest by a Bishop whose authority to convey such orders is open to question by this Church, shall desire to exercise his ministry in this Church, he shall so signify his desire to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District in which such minister has residence.

(b) The Bishop shall satisfy himself that such minister accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. He shall thereupon lay the facts before the Standing Committee or Council of Advice and shall obtain its consent before proceeding to ordain such minister. If consent be given, the Bishop shall, if necessary, baptize and confirm such minister.

(c) When all canonical requirements precedent to ordination have been fulfilled, the Bishop may ordain such minister conditionally to the diaconate and to the priesthood.

(d) At the time of such ordination, the Bishop shall read this preface to the service: 'A.B., who has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority is not recognized by this Church, has now satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church and that he now desires conditional ordination. By this service of ordination, we propose to give assurance that A.B. is qualified to minister in this Church.'

"Sec. 2. In all ordinations under this canon, the provision for examinations in Canon 26, Sec. 5(e), or Canon 32, Secs. 1, 3, and 4, and of Canon 34, Sec. 3, shall apply."

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Connecticut, on the Fifth Day, moved that the Committee on Canons be requested to submit its report on Canon 36 (a) and (b) in written form for general distribution and in sufficient time to allow for adequate study by this House. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Eighth Day, reporting for the Committee on Canons, proposed the repeal of Canon 36 and Canon 38, which would be replaced by a new Canon 36.
The Anglican Executive Officer moved that Section 1, paragraph (3), be amended to read as follows:

"Satisfactory evidence of his moral and godly character and that he is free from any vows or other engagements inconsistent with the exercise of ministry in this Church."

This amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of West Virginia moved that Section 5, paragraph (3) be amended to read as follows:

"make him a Deacon, and no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him a Priest. . . . . . . ."

This amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of Florida offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the present Canons 36 and 38 be repealed, and that the following be adopted as new Canon 36.

**CANON 36**

Of Ministers Ordained in Churches
Not in Communion with This Church

Sec. 1 (a). When a Minister ordained in a Church not in communion with this Church desires to be a Deacon or Priest in this Church, he shall apply to the Bishop, attaching to his written application the following:

(1) Evidence that he has been duly baptized with water in the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost;

(2) His letters of ordination and satisfactory evidence that they and his other credentials are valid and authentic;

(3) Satisfactory evidence of his moral and godly character, and that he is free from any vows or other engagement inconsistent with the exercise of ministry in this Church.

(4) Transcripts of his academic and theological studies;

(5) A certificate from at least two Presbyters of this Church stating that, from personal examination, or from satisfactory evidence laid before them, they believe that his desire to leave the Communion to which he has belonged has not arisen from any circumstance unfavorable to his moral or religious character, or on account of which it may not be expedient to admit him to the exercise of the Ministry of this Church;

(6) A certificate in the form provided in Canon 34, Sec. 5 (3) and (4), from the Ministry and Vestry of a parish of this Church; and

(7) A statement of the reasons which have moved him to seek to enter the Ministry of this Church.

(b) With regard to the fulfillment of requirements as to pre-theological education the provisions of Canon 26, Sec. 5 and Sec. 6 shall
be applicable. The applicant shall also submit to the examinations required in Canon 34, Sec. 3, and the results of such examination to be filed and submitted as therein required.

Sec. 2 (a) If such a Minister furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous communion, and have exercised his ministry therein with good repute and success for at least five years, he shall be examined by the Board of Examining Chaplains in the following subjects:

(1) Church History: the History of the Church of England, and of this Church;

(2) Doctrine: the Church's teaching as set forth in the Creeds and the Offices of Instruction;

(3) Liturgics: the Principles and History of Christian Worship; the Contents and Use of the Book of Common Prayer;

(4) Practical Theology:
   (a) The Office and Work of a Deacon and a Priest,
   (b) The Conduct of Public Worship,
   (c) The Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, and of the Diocese or District in which he is canonically resident,
   (d) The use of the voice in reading and speaking.

(5) The points of Doctrine, Discipline, Polity, and Worship in which the communion from which he has come differs from this Church. This portion of the examination shall be conducted in part at least by written questions and answers, and the replies kept on file for at least three years.

The Board of Examining Chaplains may, with the consent of the Bishop, and with due notice to the applicant, examine the latter in any other subject required by Canon 29, Sec. 1.

(b) But if such Minister cannot furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous Communion, or if he have not exercised his Ministry therein with good repute, and success for at least five years, he shall conform to the requirements of Canon 29, Sec. 1.

Sec. 3 (a) Prior to being examined as heretofore provided, the applicant shall have received certificates from the Bishop and from the Standing Committee, or Council of Advice, that he is acceptable as a Minister of this Church, subject to the successful completion of said examinations; but he shall not be ordained or received until, after the provisions of said certificates, at least six calendar months shall have elapsed, during which period he shall undertake such studies, in a theological seminary or otherwise, as shall be directed by the Bishop with the advice of the Board of Examining Chaplains.

(b) The Bishop, in a book to be kept for that purpose, shall enter the name of each applicant, with the fact of his approval or disapproval of the application, and the date of such entry. If he approve of the application, he shall inform the applicant of the fact, and of the date of his acceptance.
Sec. 4 Before such Minister shall be ordained or received into the Ministry of this Church, the Bishop shall require him to promise in writing to submit himself in all things to the discipline of this Church without recourse to any other ecclesiastical jurisdiction or foreign civil jurisdiction; and shall further require him to subscribe and make in his presence, and in the presence of two or more Presbyters the declaration required in Article VIII of the Constitution.

Sec. 5 (a) Thereafter the Bishop, being satisfied of such Minister's theological attainments and soundness in the faith, may

1. Receive him into the Diocese or Missionary District as a Deacon of this Church, and, no sooner than four months thereafter, as a Priest, if he has already been ordained by a Bishop in the historic succession; or

2. Confirm him and make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him as Priest if he has not received such ordination; or

3. Make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him as Priest conditionally (having baptized and confirmed him conditionally if necessary) if he has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority to convey such orders has not been recognized by this Church.

(b) In the case of an ordination pursuant to Sec. 5 (a) (2) of this Canon, the Bishop may, at the time of such ordination, read this preface to the service: "A.B., who is already a minister of Christ, now desires to be made a Deacon (or ordained a priest) in this Church. He has satisfied the ecclesiastical Authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. We are about to confer upon him the grace and authority of Holy Orders as this Church has received them and requires them for the exercise of the ministry therein."

The letters of ordination in such cases may contain the words: Acknowledging the ministry which he has already received and hereby adding to that commission the grace and authority of Holy Orders as understood and required by this Church for the exercise of the ministry.

(c) In the case of a conditional ordination pursuant to Sec. 5 (a) (3) of this Canon, the Bishop shall, at the time of such ordination read this preface to the service: "A.B., who has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority has not been recognized by this Church, has now satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church and that he now desires conditional ordination. By this service of ordination, we propose to establish that A.B. is qualified to minister in this Church."

Sec. 6 No one shall be ordered Deacon or received as such until he be 21 years of age. No one shall be ordered Priest or received as such until he be 24 years of age.

Sec. 7 Any other provisions in other Canons inconsistent with this Canon are inapplicable.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 26, Sec. 5 (c)
be repealed and that Sec. 5, sub-sections (f) and (g) be renumbered as sub-sections (e) and (f), respectively, and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 32, Sec. 3 be repealed, and that Sec. 4 be renumbered as Sec. 3.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 27, Sec. 4 be repealed and Secs. 5 and 6 be renumbered accordingly.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that Canons subsequent to Canon 37 be renumbered accordingly.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 97.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 25, on House of Bishops Message No. 97, proposing to repeal Canons 36 and 38, and the adoption of a new Canon 36, "Of Ministers Ordained in Churches Not in Communion with this Church."

For the Committee, Canon Wattley suggested numerous editorial changes. Mr. Gilbert, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, moved that this House concur with editorial amendments in House of Bishops Message No. 97. This was seconded.

A motion from the floor was made to the effect that Section 3 (b), line 4 following, be amended providing for an immediate notice to the ecclesiastical authority of the applicant.

This motion was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Gross, of Oregon, moved an amendment to delete Section 5 (a) (3b) and Section 5 (c). This amendment was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Patterson, of Colorado, called for a vote to be taken immediately. The President ruled that the order of vote would be as follows: first, on the editorial changes and then on the several resolutions seriatim.

On the editorial amendments, the House voted adoption.

Resolution No. 1, containing the text of the revised Canon 36 as amended, was then adopted, as follows:
Sec. 1 (a). When a Minister ordained in a Church not in communion with this Church desires to be a Deacon or Priest in this Church, he shall apply to a Bishop, attaching to his written application the following:

(1) Evidence that he has been duly baptized with water in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost;

(2) His letters of ordination and satisfactory evidence that they and his other credentials are valid and authentic;

(3) Satisfactory evidence of his moral and godly character; and that he is free from any vows or other engagements inconsistent with the exercise of Ministry in this Church;

(4) Transcripts of his academic and theological studies;

(5) A certificate from at least two Presbyteries of this Church stating that, from personal examination, or from satisfactory evidence laid before them, they believe that his desire to leave the Communion to which he has belonged has not arisen from any circumstance unfavorable to his moral or religious character, or on account of which it may not be expedient to admit him to the exercise of the Ministry of this Church;

(6) A certificate in the form provided in Canon 34, Sec. 5 (3) and (4), from the Minister and Vestry of a parish of this Church; and

(7) A statement of the reasons which have moved him to seek to enter the Ministry of this Church.
(b) With regard to the fulfillment of requirements as to pre-theological education the provisions of Canon 26, Sec. 5 and Sec. 6 shall be applicable. The applicant shall also submit to the examinations required in Canon 34, Sec. 3, the result of such examination to be filed and submitted as therein required.

Sec. 2 (a). If such a Minister furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous Communion, and have exercised his ministry therein with good repute and success for at least five years, he shall be examined by the Board of Examining Chaplains in the following subjects:

(1) Church History: the History of the Church of England, and of this Church;

(2) Doctrine: the Church's teaching as set forth in the Creeds and the Offices of Instruction;

(3) Liturgics: the Principles and History of Christian Worship; the Contents and Use of the Book of Common Prayer;

(4) Practical Theology:
   (a) The Office and Work of a Deacon and of a Priest,
   (b) The Conduct of Public Worship,
   (c) The Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, and of the Diocese or District in which he is canonically resident.
   (d) The use of the voice in reading and speaking.

(5) The points of Doctrine, Discipline, Polity, and Worship in which the Communion from which he has come differs from this Church. This portion of the
examination shall be conducted in part at least by written questions and answers, and the replies kept on file for at least three years.

The Board of Examining Chaplains may, with the consent of the Bishop, and with due notice to the applicant, examine the latter in any other subject required by Canon 29, Sec. 1.

(b) But if such Minister cannot furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous Communion, or if he have not exercised his Ministry therein with good repute and success for at least five years, he shall conform to the requirements of Canon 29, Sec. 1.

Sec. 3 (a). Prior to being examined as heretofore provided, the applicant shall have received certificates from the Bishop and from the Standing Committee, or Council of Advice, that he is acceptable as a Minister of this Church, subject to the successful completion of said examinations; but he shall not be ordained or received until, after the provision of said certificates, at least six calendar months shall have elapsed, during which period he shall undertake such studies, in a theological seminary or otherwise, as shall be directed by the Bishop with the advice of the Board of Examining Chaplains.

(b) The Bishop, in a book to be kept for that purpose, shall enter the name of each applicant, with the fact of his approval or disapproval of the application, and the date of such entry. If he approve of the application, he shall inform the applicant of the fact, and of the date of his acceptance.
Sec. 4. Before such Minister shall be ordained or received into the Ministry of this Church, the Bishop shall require him to promise in writing to submit himself in all things to the discipline of this Church without recourse to any other ecclesiastical jurisdiction or foreign civil jurisdiction; and shall further require him to subscribe and make in his presence, and in the presence of two or more Presbyters the declaration required in Article VIII of the Constitution.

Sec. 5 (a). Thereafter the Bishop, being satisfied of such Minister's theological attainments and soundness in the faith, may

(1) Receive him into the Diocese or Missionary District as a Deacon of this Church, and, no sooner than four months thereafter, as a Priest, if he has already been ordained by a Bishop in the historic succession; or

(2) Confirm him and make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him as Priest if he has not received such ordination; or

(3) Make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him a Priest conditionally (having baptized and confirmed him conditionally if necessary) if he has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority to convey such orders has not been recognized by this Church.

(b). In the case of an ordination pursuant to Sec. 5 (a) (2) of this Canon, the Bishop may, at the time of such ordination, read this preface to the service:
A.B., who is already a minister of Christ, now desires to be made a Deacon (or ordained a Priest) in this Church. He has satisfied the Ecclesiastical Authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the Doctrine, Discipline and Worship of this Church. We are about to confer upon him the grace and authority of Holy Orders as this Church has received them and requires them for the exercise of the Ministry therein.

The letters of ordination in such cases may contain the words:

Acknowledging the ministry which he has already received and hereby adding to that commission the grace and authority of Holy Orders as understood and required by this Church for the exercise of the Ministry.

(c). In the case of a conditional ordination pursuant to Sec. 5 (a) (3) of this Canon, the Bishop shall, at the time of such ordination, read this preface to the service:

A.B., who has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority has not been recognized by this Church, has now satisfied the Ecclesiastical Authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the Doctrine, Discipline and Worship of this Church and that he now desires conditional ordination. By this service of ordination, we propose to establish that A. B. is qualified to minister in this Church.

Sec. 6. No one shall be ordered Deacon or received as such until he be 21 years of age. No one shall be
ordered Priest or received as such until he be 24 years
of age.

Sec. 7. Any other provisions in other Canons in­
consistent with this Canon are inapplicable.

Resolution No. 2, calling for amendments of Canon 26, Section
5 (e), was adopted.

Resolution No. 3, calling for changes in Canon 32, was adopted.

Resolution No. 4, calling for changes in Canon 27, was adopted.

(See page 309 for details of these resolutions.)

In place of resolution No. 5, the Committee recommended the
following:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do not concur with the House of
Bishops in the re-numbering of Canons subsequent to Canon 37; but that,
instead, present Canon 38 be reprinted in the new edition of the Canons,
by title only, with the notation indicating that Canon 38 has been repealed
by the Convention of 1961.

This resolution was adopted.

A new resolution, as follows, was presented by the Committee:

Resolved, That Canon 15, Section 2 be and the same is hereby amended
by striking the words “Section 6” in the last line thereof, and by placing a
period after the words “Canon 36.”

This was adopted.

Thereupon Message 97, as amended, was before the House,
and the House concurred, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 155.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 177A.]

Canon 42, Sec. 3

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Third Day, presented
Report No. 3 of the Committee on Canons.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the
resolution presented by the Reverend Canon Donald H. Wattley
of Louisiana, on behalf of the Joint Commission On Approaches
To Unity a proposed amendment to Canon 42, “Of The Con­
secration Of Bishops For Foreign Lands” by the addition of a new
section thereto, has considered the proposed addition and begs
leave to report as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 42 be and the
same is hereby amended by the addition of a new Section 3, the present
Section 3 to be re-numbered Section 4, the new Section 3 to read as follows:

"Section 3. If a majority of the Bishops of this Church entitled to vote
in the House of Bishops shall have given their consent to the proposed
ordination and consecration as required in Section 2 of this Canon, but
the person seeking such ordination and consecration shall not have received
Episcopal ordination to the Diaconate and to the Priesthood, the Presiding
Bishop or some Bishop of this Church appointed by him for that purpose,
shall proceed to ordain such person to the Diaconate and to the Priesthood,
and may do so on successive days, the Order of Ordination being con­
formed as nearly as may be, in the judgment of the Bishop ordaining, to
that used in this Church."

This was placed on the Calendar No. 16.

Item No. 16, being Report No. 3 of the Committee on Canons,
as printed above, was taken from the Calendar on the Ninth Day,
and was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 135.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on
Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting on the Tenth Day for the
Committee on Canons, moved concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 185.]

Canon 45, Sec. 6

The Bishop of Florida, on the Seventh Day, offered the fol­
lowing resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the words "of this
Church" be added after the words "No Minister" in Canon 45, Sec. 6, so
that Sec. 6 will read: "No Minister of this Church shall officiate more
than two months, by preaching, etc." ending "from the ecclesiastical au­
thority."

This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 87.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on
Canons.
Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 23:

The Committee on Canons to which has been referred the Joint Resolution of the House of Bishops and reading as follows:

"Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the words 'of this Church' be added after the words 'No Minister' in Canon 45, Sec. 6, so that Sec. 6 will read: 'No Minister of this Church shall officiate more than two months, by preaching, etc.' ending 'from the ecclesiastical authority.'"

begs leave to report that it has considered the said Resolution, finds it to be in due canonical form and approves its substance. Therefore, the Committee on Canons offers the following:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in the adoption of the above-proposed amendment to Section 6 of Canon 45.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 128.]

Canon 45, Sec. 8

The Bishop of Florida, on the Seventh Day, reporting for the Committee on Canons, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that a new section be added to Canon 45 to be known as Sec. 8, and that subsequent sections be renumbered accordingly: Canon 45, Sec. 8 (a), to read as follows:

Any priest of this Church desiring to serve as a chaplain in the Armed Forces of the United States of America or as chaplain for the Veterans Administration, with the approval of the ecclesiastical authority of the diocese in which he is canonically resident, may be given ecclesiastical endorsement for such service by the Armed Forces Division of the National Council of the Church.

Sec. 8 (b)

Such ministers serving on active duty with the Armed Forces shall retain canonical residence in a diocese of this Church, and shall be under the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the bishop of said diocese, even though his work as a chaplain shall be under the general supervision of the Armed Forces Division, or such bishop of the Church as the Presiding Bishop may designate.

Sec. 8 (c)

Any such minister serving on a military installation or at a Veterans Administration facility shall not be subject to either Sec. 4 (a), nor Sec. 6, of this Canon. When serving outside of military installations or of Veterans Administration facilities, such chaplains shall be subject to said sections.
This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 86.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Canons, presented Report No. 22:

The Committee on Canons to which has been referred the Joint Resolution of the House of Bishops to amend Canon 45, Sec. 8, (as printed above) begs leave to report that it has considered the said Resolution, finds it to be in due canonical form and approves its substance. Therefore, the Committee offers the following:

Resolved, that the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in the adoption of the above-proposed amendment as new Section 8 of Canon 45.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 126.]

Canon 50

The General Convention of 1958 passed the following resolution:

Resolved, that the General Division of Laymen's Work of the National Council be requested to make a study of ways and means to improve the training and standards for the licensing of Lay Readers and to report its recommendations to the General Convention of 1961.

The Secretary of the House of Deputies, on the First Day, presented a proposed revision of Canon 50 (Of Lay Readers), which had been compiled in response to the above resolution. This was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Seventh Day, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Canons, proposing the repeal of Canon 50, and presenting a new Canon 50, as amended and refined.

It was moved by Mr. Bert Larson, of Idaho, that the Canon be amended further by striking out the last two sentences of paragraph 1 of section 1.

The amendment was defeated.
The previous question was moved, and by being put to a vote the following resolution was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the present Canon 50, "Of Lay Readers," be and the same is hereby repealed; and that a new Canon 50, "Of Lay Readers," be and the same is hereby enacted, as follows:

CANON 50, "OF LAY READERS"

Section 1. A competent male person ready and desirous to serve the Church in the conduct of public worship statedly as a Lay Reader, shall procure a written license from the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District of which he is a canonical resident. Where a Presbyter is in charge, his request and recommendation must have been previously signified to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority. Permission shall not be granted a Lay Reader to conduct the service in a congregation without an ordained Minister which in the judgment of the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority is able and has had reasonable opportunity to secure one.

The foregoing shall not be construed as preventing Lay persons not so licensed from assisting a Presbyter in the conduct of public worship in their own parish or mission.

Section 2. An applicant for the office of Lay Reader must be regular in participating in the worship of the Church and in receiving the Holy Communion. He must be active in the support of his mission or parish. He shall submit to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority a written application stating his age, his reason for seeking the office, evidence of his baptism and confirmation, and a statement from his immediate pastor or, if he has none, from the vestry of his parish or committee of the mission in which he is canonically resident, declaring his fitness for the office.

Before receiving a Lay Reader's license an applicant shall be examined and found competent in a basic knowledge of:

a. The Holy Scriptures, contents and background.

b. The Book of Common Prayer and Hymnal contents and use.

c. Church History.

d. The Church's Doctrine as set forth in the Creeds and Offices of Instruction.

e. The Conduct of Public Worship.

f. Use of the voice.

A Lay Reader assigned pastoral or administrative responsibility in a congregation without an ordained Minister, other than for a specified event, shall be trained and examined and found competent in the following additional subjects:

a. Parish Administration.

b. Appropriate Canons.

c. Pastoral Care.
The Bishop may designate a representative person or board with authority to act in the initial approval of applicants for licensing, and in their training, examination, and certification for licensing by the Bishop.

Section 3. The license of a Lay Reader shall be granted for a definite period, not to exceed one year, and may be renewed from time to time, at the discretion of the Bishop. Such renewal shall be determined on the basis of the Lay Reader's continuing interest and qualification as evidenced in an annual written report made by him to the Bishop. Such report shall include comment and endorsement of the local ecclesiastical superior of the Lay Reader.

The license of a Lay Reader may be revoked by the Bishop at any time.

Section 4. In all matters relating to the conduct of the service, to the Sermons or Homilies to be read, and to proper dress or attire, the Lay Reader shall conform to the directions of the Clergyman in Charge of the Parish, Congregation, or Mission in which he is serving, and, in all cases, to the directions of the Bishop. He shall read only the following offices, or parts thereof, and shall observe the limitations specified:

1. Morning and Evening Prayer, omitting the Absolution, and making no substitution for it;

2. The Litany;

3. The Penitential Office;

4. The Offices of Instruction;

5. In the Order for Holy Communion, the Epistle only;

6. The Burial Offices; substituting for the priestly blessing the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening; substituting for the priestly blessing at the grave the final prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Morning; and substituting for the priestly blessing at the Burial of a Child the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening.

He shall not deliver sermons or addresses of his own composition, unless, after instruction and examination, he be specially licensed thereto by the Bishop.

Section 5. A Lay Reader licensed in any Diocese or Missionary District may serve in a congregation of another jurisdiction at the invitation of the clergyman in charge and with the consent of the Bishop thereof.

A licensed Lay Reader may serve in a unit of the Armed Forces with the permission of the Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative.

The Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative may grant a Lay Reader's license to a member of the Armed Forces for use therein, in accordance with the provisions of this canon as they are applicable.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 86.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.
The Bishop of Florida, on the Ninth Day, moved concurrence with House of Deputies Message No. 86 with an amendment to Section 1 of the proposed new Canon 50. The amendment changed the word "their" to "his" in the last line of Section 1. The amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of Albany moved the following amendment to the last line of paragraph 2, Section 1: "or deaconesses as provided by Canon 51, Section 2, Paragraph 6 and commissioned officers of the Church Army from officiating under the direction of the Bishop." The amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of West Missouri offered an amendment to the last paragraph of Section 5 which was not carried.

The Bishop of Alaska moved that the words "male person" in Section 1 be replaced with the words "lay person." This amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that Paragraph 2 of Section 1 be deleted. The Bishop of California offered a substitute amendment for that of the Bishop of Connecticut which would add the words "on a special occasion" to line 2, Paragraph 2, Section 1. The substitute amendment was adopted.

The Bishop of South Carolina offered an amendment to strike out the words "Before receiving a Lay Reader's license an applicant shall be examined and found competent in a basic knowledge of" and to begin this paragraph with the words "A Lay Reader assigned pastoral or administrative responsibility in a congregation without an ordained Minister, other than for a specified event, shall be trained and examined and found competent in the following subjects:"; the subparagraphs in this section to be numbered consecutively. This amendment was adopted.

On motion of Bishop of Florida the House voted to concur with the new Canon 50 to read as printed above, with the amendments noted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 128.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, on the Tenth Day, asked that a Committee of Conference be called to consider the above Message.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 138.]
The Presiding Bishop appointed the following to serve as representatives of the House of Bishops:

The Bishop of Alaska
The Bishop of Connecticut
The Bishop of South Carolina

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 142.]

The Bishop of Connecticut, on the Tenth Day, reporting for the Committee of Conference that had been meeting with a similar committee of the House of Deputies, moved that House of Bishops Message No. 128 be recalled. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Connecticut then explained the changes desired by the House of Deputies in the revision of Canon 50, and stated that they were entirely agreeable to the members of the House of Bishops on the Committee of Conference. He moved therefore that the following changes be made in Canon 50 from the form in which the House of Bishops adopted it:

In Section 1, Line 1 the words "lay person" shall be changed to the words "male person".

Section 1, Paragraph 2, shall read as follows:

"The foregoing shall not be construed as preventing lay persons not so licensed, when authorized by the Bishop, from assisting a Presbyter on special occasions in the conduct of public worship in his own parish or mission. In isolated areas, where no ordained clergyman or male lay reader is available, the Bishop may license a competent woman as lay reader. A commissioned officer of the Church Army, by virtue of that commission, is considered as having the authority of a lay reader."

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that the House concur in this amended form of the Canon. The motion was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by revised Message No. 128.]

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee of Conference on the Lay Readers' Canon No. 50, presented the report of the Committee by offering the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the revised Message No. 128 of the House of Bishops, amending the text of Canon 50, "Of Lay Readers", so that it will read as follows:
Sec. 1. A competent male person, ready and desirous to serve the Church in the conduct of public worship statedly as a Lay Reader, shall procure a written license from the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District of which he is a canonical resident. Where a Presbyter is in charge, his request and recommendation must have been previously signified to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority. Permission shall not be granted a Lay Reader to conduct the service in a congregation without an ordained Minister which, in the judgment of the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority, is able and has had reasonable opportunity to secure one.

The foregoing shall not be construed as preventing lay persons not so licensed, when authorized by the Bishop, from assisting a Presbyter on special occasions in the conduct of public worship in his own parish or mission. In isolated areas, when no ordained clergyman or male Lay Reader is available, the Bishop may license a competent woman as Lay Reader. A commissioned officer of the Church Army, by virtue of that commission, is considered as having the authority of a Lay Reader.

Sec. 2. An applicant for the office of Lay Reader must be regular in participating in the worship of the Church and in receiving the Holy Communion. He must be active in the support of his Mission or Parish. He shall submit to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority a written application stating his age, his reason for seeking the office, evidence of his baptism and confirmation, and a statement from his immediate pastor or, if he has none, from the Vestry of his Parish or Committee of the Mission in which he is canonically resident, declaring his fitness for the office.

A Lay Reader assigned pastoral or administrative responsibility in a congregation without an ordained Minister, other than for a specified event, shall be trained and examined and found competent in the following subjects:

(a) The Holy Scriptures, contents and background.
(b) The Book of Common Prayer and Hymnal.
(c) Church History.
(d) The Church’s Doctrine as set forth in the Creeds and Offices of Instruction.
(e) The Conduct of Public Worship.
(f) Use of the voice.
(g) Parish Administration.
(h) Appropriate Canons.
(i) Pastoral Care.
The Bishop may designate a representative person or board with authority to act in the initial approval of applicants for licensing, and in their training, examination, and certification for licensing by the Bishop.

Sec. 3. The license of a Lay Reader shall be granted for a definite period, not to exceed one year, and may be renewed from time to time, at the discretion of the Bishop. Such renewal shall be determined on the basis of the Lay Reader’s continuing interest and qualification as evidenced in an annual written report made by him to the Bishop. Such report shall include comment and endorsement of the local ecclesiastical superior of the Lay Reader.

The license of a Lay Reader may be revoked by the Bishop at any time.

Sec. 4. In all matters relating to the conduct of the service, to the Sermons or Homilies to be read, and to proper dress or attire, the Lay Reader shall conform to the directions of the Clergyman in Charge of the Parish, Congregation, or Mission in which he is serving, and, in all cases, to the direction of the Bishop. He shall read only the following offices, or parts thereof, and shall observe the limitations specified:

1. Morning and Evening Prayer, omitting the Absolution, and making no substitution for it;

2. The Litany;

3. The Penitential Office;

4. The Offices of Instruction;

5. In the Order for Holy Communion, The Epistle only;

6. The Burial Offices; substituting for the priestly blessing the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening; substituting for the priestly blessing at the grave the final prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Morning; and substituting for the priestly blessing at the Burial of a Child the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening.

He shall not deliver sermons or addresses of his own composition, unless, after instruction and examination, he be specially licensed thereto by the Bishop.

Sec. 5. A Lay Reader licensed in any Diocese or Missionary District may serve in a congregation of another jurisdiction at the invitation of the clergyman in charge and with the consent of the Bishop thereof.

A licensed Lay Reader may serve in a unit of the Armed Forces with the permission of the Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative.
The Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative may grant a Lay Reader's license to a member of the Armed Forces for use therein, in accordance with the provisions of this canon as they are applicable.

This resolution was adopted and the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 156.]

Canon 51, Sec. 12.

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, on the Second Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 51 be amended by the addition of a new section to be numbered "Section 12" to read as follows: Each Diocese, Parish or other agency of the Church employing the regular services of a Deaconess shall make provision for a pension upon her retirement at the expense of such employer through the "Pension Plan for Deaconesses" provided by the Church Life Insurance Corporation, and administered by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses, a Membership Corporation of the State of New York, or through some other pension plan providing equivalent or better benefits and equivalent or better guarantees of a dependable retirement income approved by proper authority.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 15.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on the Church Pension Fund, and to the Committee on Canons.

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Fourth Day, presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the joint resolution of the House of Bishops in its Message No. 15 concerning the addition of a new Section 12 to Cannon 51, "Of Deaconesses," (and reading as printed above), begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it to be in due canonical form, and therefore moves as follows:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in the adoption of the new Section 12 of Canon 51 as above recited.

Mr. Greene, of Washington, for the Committee on The Church Pension Fund, being recognized, announced informally that that Committee had considered the matter also, and approved of the action.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 36.]
Canons—Certification of Changes in

The changes in the Canons, set forth above, were certified by the Chairman of the Committee on Canons of the House of Bishops (Bishop West) and the Secretary of the Committee on Canons of the House of Deputies (Mr. Foulkes of Milwaukee), as provided in Canon 66, Sec. 4 (a).

Capital Fund Campaigns

On the Third Day, the deputation from the Diocese of Maryland presented a resolution (printed below) regarding capital fund campaigns, which was placed on the Calendar, No. 21.

Item No. 21 being taken from the Calendar on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Mr. Watson, for the deputation of the Diocese of Maryland, presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the need for capital funds is everywhere apparent in the missionary work of the Church at home and overseas; and

WHEREAS, these needs are so great that they cannot be met to any appreciable degree in the capital funds item in the Church’s budget; be it therefore

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Parishes and Dioceses of the Church be urged to consider these Capital Funds needs when conducting capital campaigns of their own, and set aside 10% of the receipts of such campaigns for allocation by the National Council to strategic capital opportunities of the Church beyond the local Parish and Diocesan borders.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 141.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 163.]

Chancellors’ Opinions

On the Second Day Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, at the request of the Chancellors’ Association, presented a resolution (printed below) which was placed on the Calendar No. 12.

On the Ninth Day Item No. 12 was taken from the Calendar, being a resolution by Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, and reading as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Chancellors of the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts be and they hereby are requested to furnish to the National Council a copy of each written opinion given by
them which construes or interprets the provisions of the Constitution or Canons of the General Church or of their respective Dioceses and which in their opinion is of sufficient importance to be of general interest to the Church and to the Dioceses and Missionary Districts; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be authorized and directed to establish, in such one of its Departments as it deems best, an agency to receive such opinions, to summarize the same and to distribute such summaries at reasonable intervals, but at least annually, to the Bishops of the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to such Chancellors thereof as may request the same to the Joint Committee on Supplements to 'White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons.'

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 133.]

The House voted, on the Tenth Day, to concur with House of Deputies Message No. 133, with an amendment, offered by the Bishop of Connecticut, that the Presiding Bishop and not the National Council should receive the opinions requested in the resolution.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 160.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 163.]

Christian Marriage and Population Control

On the Seventh Day, the Bishop of Kentucky, reporting for the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, offered the following resolution:

**CHRISTIAN MARRIAGE AND POPULATION CONTROL**

WHEREAS, Warnings against the explosive results of world population increase from experts in demography, economics, and sociology have raised with new urgency questions of the morality of restricting population growth through birth control measures and planned parenthood; and,

WHEREAS, The Archbishops and Bishops of the Anglican Communion have defined their position on this question in their Encyclical Letter and in Resolution of the 1958 Lambeth Conference; and,

WHEREAS, The General Convention of the Church in the United States of America desires to affirm the position as its own, to the end that the people of our Church may have benefit of definitively stated and duly accepted Christian principles for their guidance in an important area of human affairs of some present moral uncertainty; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that, in the words of the 115th resolution of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, this General Convention holds
"that the responsibility of deciding upon the number and frequency of children has been laid by God upon the consciences of parents everywhere: that this planning, in such ways as are mutually acceptable to husband and wife in Christian conscience, is a right and important factor in Christian family life and should be the result of positive choice before God. Such responsible parenthood, built on obedience to all the duties of marriage, requires a wise stewardship of the resources and abilities of the family as well as a thoughtful consideration of the varying population needs and problems of society and the claims of future generations"; and be it further

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that, in the words of a portion of the Encyclical Letter of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, "although it is clearly a primary obligation of Christian marriage that children be born within the supporting framework of parental love and family concern, with a right to an opportunity for a full and spiritually wholesome life, it is not to be held from this that the procreation of children is the sole purpose of Christian marriage. Implicit within the bond between husband and wife is the relationship of love with its sacramental expression in physical union." Because these two great purposes of Christian marriage illuminate each other and form the focal points of constructive home life, this General Convention holds that family planning, "in such ways as are mutually acceptable to husband and wife in Christian conscience, and secure from the corruptions of sensuality and selfishness, is a right and important factor in Christian family life"; and be it further

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that, in the words of a portion of the Encyclical Letter of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, "it is recognized that there are many lands today where population is increasing so fast that the survival of young and old is threatened and population control has become a necessity." This General Convention holds that it is the duty of the better developed countries such as our own to help such countries to become self-supporting in food supplies and health measures through technical and other aids. In particular, while condemning abortion and infanticide, we believe that methods of control which are medically endorsed and morally acceptable may help the people of these lands so to plan family life that children may be born without a likelihood of starvation, and we approve the rendering by our Government of assistance to this end.

This was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 10, dealing with Christian Marriage and Population Control, contained in House of Bishops Message No. 72. An amendment was offered by the Committee, adding, at the end of the resolution, the following words, "wherever it is officially sought."

The Committee moved concurrence, as amended.

The Rev. Mr. Nyberg of Springfield, presented a further amendment, inserting a new resolve "Lambeth 1958 resolution 113."
On a division, this amendment was defeated.

On a vote, the House voted to concur with House of Bishops Message No. 72, with amendment.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 144.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 145.]

**Christian Pacifists**

On the Third Day, the Bishop of Pennsylvania presented a resolution regarding certain provisions of the National Selective Service Act. Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Bishop of Northern California reported, on the Fourth Day, for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions and offered the following resolution:

*WHEREAS, in 1940 the General Convention had a Joint Commission on Non-Combatant Service to Protect, under the National Selective Service Act, the rights of members of our Church who held the Christian Pacifist Position and to care for their interests; therefore, be it*

*Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be asked to provide in such way as seems best to them a similar agency to protect the rights and interests, under the National Selective Service Act, of the members of our Church who hold the Christian Pacifist position.*

This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 45.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on National and International Problems, presented Report No. 4:

This Committee has considered the House of Bishops Message No. 45 (as printed above), and recommends concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 158.]
Church Historical Magazine, Transfer of

On the First Day, the Secretary of the House of Deputies presented the Report of the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine. This was placed on the Calendar No. 5.

Item No. 5 was taken from the Calendar, on the First Day, being the resolution from the above report authorizing that the publication and editing of the Church Historical Magazine be transferred to the Church Historical Society, which was adopted:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that responsibility for the editing and publishing of the Historical Magazine be transferred from the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine to the Church Historical Society, and that the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine be discharged;

Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, presented a resolution of privilege:

Resolved, That the 60th General Convention expresses its sincere gratitude and appreciation of the fine and laborious efforts of Canon Walter H. Stowe, D.D., in producing over a long term of years, a superior publication of great historical value to the Church.

This was adopted, and included in the previous resolution.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 9.]

The Bishop of Connecticut, on the Second Day, reported on the Church Historical Society.

The House concurred in the House of Deputies Message No. 9, transferring responsibility for publishing the Historical Magazine to the Church Historical Society.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 20.]

Bishop Mitchell moved a vote of thanks to the Bishop of Connecticut for the work he had done on the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine.

Church Historical Society

The Secretary of the House of Deputies, on the First Day, presented the Report of the Church Historical Society. (See Appendix.) This was placed on the Calendar No. 2.

Item No. 2 was taken from the Calendar on the First Day, being resolution No. 1 of the Report of the Church Historical Society, and calling for the continuation of that Society. The following resolution was adopted.
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Church Historical Society be and hereby is continued as the official agency of the General Convention for the collection, preservation, and safe-keeping of records and historical documents connected with the life and development of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, and for furthering as much as possible the investigation of the Church's history and the development of interest on all relevant research; provided, That the Presiding Bishop of the Church, the President of the House of Deputies, the Secretary of the House of Bishops, the Secretary of the House of Deputies, the Treasurer of the General Convention, and the Historiographer of the Church, as they are now or shall hereafter be elected, shall, during, their respective terms of office, be elected Directors of the Church Historical Society.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 8.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 19.]

Church Historical Society—Transfer of Archives

On the First Day, the Secretary presented a resolution authorizing the withdrawal of Archives from the New York Historical Society. (See text below.) This was placed on the Calendar No. 3.

Item No. 3 was taken from the Calendar on the First Day, being a resolution authorizing the withdrawal of Archives from the New York Historical Society and their transfer to the Church Historical Society Archives, which was adopted:

WHEREAS, by authorization of the General Convention of 1928, a portion of the Archives of the General Convention was placed in the "temporary safe-keeping" of the New York Historical Society in 1930 with the agreement that that Society "will deliver all or part of the same upon the written order of the Joint Committee or such other body as may be appointed for the purpose by the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church . . ."; and

WHEREAS, The Church Historical Society, by authorization of the General Convention of 1940, is an "official agency of the General Convention for the collection, preservation, and safekeeping of records . . .", and now has adequate facilities for the preserving and servicing of the Church's Archives; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that that portion of the Archives of the General Convention now temporarily housed in the New York Historical Society be placed in the Archives of the Church Historical Society; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Secretary of the General Convention be directed to express the appreciation of this 60th General Convention to the New York Historical Society for the excellent service rendered to the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States
of America in the careful preservation of these Archives during the past 31 years.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 7.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 18.]

**Church in Human Affairs, Joint Commission on**

The Bishop of Kentucky, on the Seventh Day, offered the following resolution:

*Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs be received, and that the Joint Commission be continued by the appointment by the General Convention of four Bishops, four Presbyters and eight lay persons; and be it further

*Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Chairman of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs and one lay member of the Commission be appointed to serve as co-opted members with the National Department of Christian Social Relations, and that the Director of the Department of Christian Social Relations or a member of his staff serve with this Commission in a consultative capacity.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 74.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 89.]

The Bishop of Kentucky offered the following resolution:

*Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, that for the expenses of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, the sum of four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) be appropriated for the triennium.

This resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

**Church in Human Affairs, Joint Commission on, Publications of,**

The Bishop of Kentucky, on the Seventh Day, reporting for the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, offered the following resolution:

*Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention commends to the members of the Church in the dioceses and parishes the report of this Commission and its publications and resolutions; that these materials might become effective aids in making the Gospel a living instrument in the lives of people searching for truth; that, by prayer
and meditation, the will of Him Who came to bring light in darkness might shine more brilliantly in the lives of His people.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 79.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 91.]

**Church Music**

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, on the Third Day, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on the Prayer Book:

> Your Committee, to which has been referred the first two resolutions on page 2 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Church Music, namely

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that the fourteenth report of the Joint Commission on Church Music be accepted.

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Church Music be continued, to consist of two Bishops, four Presbyters, and six laymen who are church organists.

recommends the adoption of both resolutions with the deletion of the words, "who are church organists" in resolution No. 2.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 32.]

The Bishop of Western New York, on the Fourth Day, reported for the Joint Commission on Church Music.

He offered the following resolution:

*Resolved*, The House of Deputies concurring, that the fourteenth report of the Joint Commission on Church Music be accepted.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Western New York offered the following resolution:

*Resolved*, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Commission on Church Music be continued, to consist of two Bishops, four Presbyters, and six laymen who are church organists.

This resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that we reconsider these two motions presented by the Bishop of Western New York, and
concur in House of Deputies Message No. 32, accomplishing this same end.

This motion was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 44.]

The Bishop of Western New York offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the sum of $2,500.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on Church Music for its work during the next triennium.

Referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Church Pension Fund—Election of Trustees

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the First Day, presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Committee of three Bishops, three Presbyters and three laymen be appointed for the purpose of nominating eight persons to serve as Trustees of the Church Pension Fund for a term of nine years each, and to fill any vacancies which may exist on the Board of Trustees; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that when the Joint Committee to nominate Trustees of the Church Pension Fund shall have been appointed, it be requested to announce and hold hearings before making such nominations.

The President appointed on the part of this House (See page 178).
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 3.]

The House concurred.

The Presiding Bishop appointed on the part of that House:

The Bishop of Western New York.
The Bishop of Pittsburgh.
The Bishop of Harrisburg.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 5.]

Dean Selway, of Arizona, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee to Nominate Trustees for The Church Pension Fund, introduced a resolution, which was adopted, nominating the following persons as Trustees of The Church Pension Fund:
Class of 1964

Class of 1967
Mr. Charles D. Dickey.
Mr. Brinley M. Hall.

Class of 1970
Mr. D. Nelson Adams.
Mr. Daniel P. Davison.
Mr. Joseph R. Eggert, Jr.
Mr. James F. Hodges.
Mr. James B. Knowles.
The Very Rev. J. Milton Richardson, LL.D., of Texas.

The foregoing nominees were elected by the House.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 93.]
The House concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 95.]

Church Pension Fund—Minimum Pension for Widows

Mr. Ernest Greene, of Washington, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee has considered the resolution with respect to the minimum pension for widows, which was presented by Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, and reports as follows:

1. The Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, in their report to General Convention dated August 15, 1961, (See Appendix) recommended that the minimum widow's pension should be increased from $900 a year to $1,200, subject, of course, to adjustments in the new minimum in cases of very late ordination, very low salary and very late marriage to the clergymen.

2. It is stated that the proposed increase will help 968 widows who are now receiving the $900 minimum pension, 97 now receiving between $900 and $1,200, and still another 427 now receiving less than $900 due to late ordination or other causes.
3. The cost of this step can be handled, in large part, because the Trustees believe that the assumed interest rate for actuarial purposes can now be changed from 2.75% to 3.00%.

The following resolution is recommended for adoption:

Be it Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention approves the plan of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, as set forth in the Report by such Trustees to the General Convention dated August 15, 1961, to increase, effective as of January 1, 1962, and within the 15% assessment rate, the minimum pension benefits of widows.

The foregoing resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 43.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 56.]

**Church Pension Fund—Recommendations for Medical Expense Insurance**

Mr. Greene, of Washington, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

The Committee, having considered the resolution offered by The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, of Western Massachusetts, with respect to hospital, medical, and surgical, and major medical expenses and plans to cover all of the clergy under such a plan or plans, reports as follows:

1. A number of the clergy are in Dioceses which now have Blue Cross, Blue Shield plans, and a smaller number have major medical expense protection. The Church Life Insurance Corporation now has a group Major Medical Plan.

2. There are, nevertheless, many clergymen who have only partial protection against the high cost of medical and surgical care and hospitalization. A considerable number have no such protection.

3. A comprehensive Churchwise plan, providing the advantages of large group coverage, would, if feasible, be desirable.

The following resolution is recommended for adoption:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, That the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund be requested to study, and report on, the feasibility of a medical expense plan, including both basic and major hospital, medical, surgical and related expenses, covering all of the clergy, and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That, pending the development of a Churchwide group plan, the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund be requested to emphasize, in its publication **PROTECTION POINTS**, and otherwise, the desirability of using in the meantime the plans now available.

The foregoing resolution was adopted.
Church Pension Fund—Retirement of Lay Workers

Mr. Greene, of Washington, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee, having considered the resolution of the Convention of the Diocese of Delaware, pertaining to retirement pensions for lay Directors of Christian Education, reports as follows:

1. It is desirable that lay Directors of Christian Education, after long service, should have pension protection upon attaining retirement age. The same may be said with respect to some other classifications of lay Church workers.

2. There is now available from The Church Life Insurance Corporation a uniform plan for retirement pensions for lay employees of Dioceses and Parishes through individual annuity contracts. Several Dioceses now have this plan in effect.

3. The subject resolution contemplates a Churchwide group plan with coverage transferable from Diocese to Diocese, or from Parish to Parish.

4. Such a plan would require study, and it is desirable that such a study be made by The Church Pension Fund through The Church Life Insurance Corporation.

The following resolution is recommended for adoption:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, That The Trustees of The Church Pension Fund be, and they are hereby requested to study and report on the feasibility of establishing a Churchwide retirement plan for Deaconesses, Directors of Christian Education, and other lay workers, and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that in the meantime steps be taken by The Church Pension Fund to bring to the attention of employers of such lay workers the plan which is presently available to Dioceses and Parishes.

The foregoing resolution was adopted.
Church Pension Fund—Vacancies among Trustees

Mr. Greene, of Washington, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on the Church Pension Fund, presented Report No. 10, which was adopted.

Your Committee has considered the resolution submitted by Mr. William Ikard, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, as follows:

"Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, That Section 2 of Canon 7 be amended by adding thereto a second sentence, reading as follows: 'Should a vacancy occur at a time when General Convention is not in session, the remaining Trustees may fill the vacancy \textit{ad interim} until the next Convention, at which time that vacancy be filled by Convention as aforesaid for the balance of the unexpired term'."

Canon 7 is silent as to vacancies which may occur when the General Convention is not in session. However, the Constitution of The Church Pension Fund contains a provision as follows:

"Any vacancy in the Board of Trustees occurring when the General Convention is not in session may be filled by the Board of Trustees by appointing a Trustee to serve for the period remaining until the day of adjournment of the next succeeding regular session of the General Convention, and the General Convention may fill such vacancy (or a vacancy occurring during a session of the General Convention) for the entire remainder (if any) of the term so vacant."

The procedure required by the Constitution has always been followed by the Board of Trustees.

It may be desirable to amend Canon 7 so that it will disclose the means of electing Trustees under such circumstances. This will bring the Canon into accord with the Constitution, and, because the Canon is readily available to any interested person, all concerned may be fully informed.

It should be borne in mind that Sec. 8 of Canon 7 is as follows:

"Sec 8. The General Convention reserves the power to alter or amend this Canon, but no such alteration or amendment shall be made until after the same shall have been communicated to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund and such Trustees shall have had ample opportunity to be heard with respect thereto."

Therefore, in the event that it is the desire of the General Convention to initiate the process of amending Canon 7, for the purpose hereinabove set forth, the following resolution will be in order:

\textit{Resolved,} The House of Bishops concurring, That the Secretary of the General Convention, acting in accordance with Section 8 of Canon 7 shall communicate to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund the desire of the General Convention to amend Section 2 of Canon 7 by adding a sentence thereto, as follows:

"Any vacancy which occurs at a time when the General Convention is not in session may be filled by the Board of Trustees by appointment, \textit{ad interim}, of a Trustee who shall serve until the next session of the General Convention thereafter shall have elected a Trustee to serve for the remainder of the unexpired time pertaining to such vacancy."
Civilian Defense

The Bishop of Massachusetts, on the Eighth Day, requested the permission of the House to present a resolution on Civil Defense. On motion, permission was granted, and the Bishop of Massachusetts offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the introduction of thermo-nuclear weapons, with their enormous radiological potential, places all people under the threatening cloud of unparalleled dangers, and

WHEREAS, the Church is called upon to minister to victims of disaster, natural or social, and

WHEREAS, the United States Government has established a nation-wide Civilian Defense Program and has urged all citizens to alert themselves to the new hazards before them and to consider measures which will enable them to survive under disaster conditions, and has asked clergy to prepare themselves for such eventualities, therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Sixtieth General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America recognizes Civil Defense as a moral obligation incumbent on our nation and commends it as such to all Clergy and Laymen; and

That this Convention endorse the National Civilian Defense effort and pledge to the Federal Government its whole-hearted support thereof; and

That the National Council of this Church be directed to assign responsibility for developing Church-wide understanding and support of the Civilian effort to an appropriate agency of the Council; and

That this Convention urge the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts to lend their support to Civilian Defense programs in their own areas, state and local; and

That on every level, this Church prepare plans for the effective carrying on of its work in time of natural or social disaster.

The resolution was adopted.

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on National and International Problems, presented Report No. 6, recommending concurrence.
By a division, the motion was lost:
Ayes, 198; Noes, 206.

The House did not concur with House of Bishops Message No. 98.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 162.]

The Bishop of Spokane, on the Eleventh Day, moved that the House subscribe to the resolution contained in its Message No. 98, with which the House of Deputies had not concurred. The motion was carried.

Committee and Commissions, Joint Committee on

The Bishop of South Florida, on the First Day, summarized the report of the Joint Committee on Commissions, which was submitted by title. He offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be continued, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three laymen, who shall report to the General Convention as to establishing or continuing Joint Committees and Commissions.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 10.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 16.]

Communism, Church's Opposition To

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, on the Ninth Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The Christian faith teaches us to strive and suffer for unity, freedom and peace among men; and that God's will is related to all of life; and

WHEREAS, Marxist Communism is a false, atheistic religion, hostile to man's fullest freedom, destroying the self-determination of peoples, intent on world domination and, therefore, threatening the peace of the world; and

WHEREAS, The pressure of the international Communist menace can lead to hysteria, divisiveness, suspicion, and a tragic disunity of people in the hour of crisis; and

WHEREAS, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America through its leaders and official teachings has always taken a
Resolution, The House of Deputies concurring, that the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America reaffirm its opposition to atheistic Marxist Communism; and be it further

Resolution, The House of Deputies concurring, that aware of those problems in human life on which Communism feeds, this General Convention renews the call of the 1948 Lambeth Conference which includes this statement: "It is the special duty of the Church to oppose the challenge of the Marxian theory of Communism by sound teaching and the example of a better way, and that the Church, at all times and in all places, should be a fearless witness against political, social, and economic injustice."

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 119.]

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, for the Committee on National and International Problems, to which a similar resolution had been referred, moved that the House concur.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 121.]

Communism, Education Regarding

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 12, which was adopted.

Whereas, the social and economic problems with important and essential moral and ethical elements involve principles of theology and justice which deserve wide and well informed local discussion under parish and convocation auspices; and

Whereas, these issues now tend to focus in our life-struggle with Communism; and

Whereas, this phase of adult Christian education has been widely neglected; and

Whereas, the increase in this type of Christian education does need Convention and diocesan leadership with the advice and service of the Departments of Christian Education and Christian Social Relations, and of the theological seminaries of the church; now be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on the Church and Human Affairs undertake as part of its functions
the encouragement of such a continuing research and discussion process as widely as possible in the Church.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 97.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 104.]

**Communism, Hysterical Fear of**

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, on the Ninth Day, offered the following resolution:

*Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that this General Convention reaffirms the declaration of the House of Bishops of 1947, that*

"the people of our church be on their guard lest an hysterical fear of Communism should lead us to fight that danger with weapons destructive of the treasures we seek to guard. The surest way to fight Communism is to work unceasingly at home and abroad for a society in which justice and the dignity of free men are in truth guaranteed to men of every race and condition." And be it further

*Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the people of our church be cautioned to examine carefully charges of disloyalty and subversion brought by extremist groups, and the oversimplified appraisal of our situation which they promote, lest fear and suspicion destroy honest public debate and silence the expression of Christian faith in human affairs.*

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 126.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 134.]

**Connecticut, Consent to Consecration of Suffragan Bishop**

In accordance with Canon 41, Sec. 2, a petition was received, on the First Day, from the Diocese of Connecticut. This was referred to the Committee on Consecration of Bishops.

The Rev. Dr. Magill, of Southwestern Virginia, on the Second Day, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops:

The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops met on September 19, 1961, and having received from the Diocese of Connecticut all documents required by the Canons, and finding them in order, on motion of the Rev. Canon Heber W. Becker, of Harrisburg, seconded by the Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, of Maine, unanimously voted:
1. To recommend that this House adopt the following resolution:


2. That notice of this consent, certified by the President and Secretary of this House, be sent to the House of Bishops, together with the testimonials presented to this House.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 19.]

The Bishop of Alaska, on the Fourth Day, reported for the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, and offered the following resolution:


This motion was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 43.]

Consecration of the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu

The House of Bishops met in St. Paul's Cathedral, Detroit, Michigan, at 8:00 a.m., on the morning of Thursday, September 28, 1961, to consecrate the Reverend Canon Charles Packard Gilson, Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Honolulu.

The Presiding Bishop was the Consecrator and Celebrant. Bishop William P. Roberts, retired Bishop of Shanghai, and the Bishop of Honolulu were the Co-consecrators.

The Vice President of the National Council, and the Bishop of Rhode Island were the Presenting Bishops; the Bishop of Massachusetts, the Preacher; the Bishop of East Carolina, the Litanist; the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, the Epistoler; the Bishop of the Philippines, the Gospeler; the Rev. John J. Morrett and the Rev. Richard S. K. Yoh, of Honolulu, the Attending Presbyters; the Bishop of Michigan, the Master of Ceremonies.

The Bishops herein listed, together with the Most Reverend Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., D.D., Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church, and the Rt. Rev. Francis C. Rowinski, representative of the Prime Bishop of the Polish National Catholic Church, participated in the Laying On of Hands.
CONSTITUTION—AMENDMENTS PROPOSED AT
THE GENERAL CONVENTION OF 1958 AND
FINALLY ADOPTED IN 1961

Constitution—Article I, Sec. 6; Article X; Article XI

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, on the Second Day, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented the following report:

At the General Convention in 1958 three amendments to the Constitution were proposed for submission to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts, all of them directed to resolving certain ambiguities and uncertainties existing in the Constitution, as to the right to vote, in a vote by orders, of Missionary Districts established by the House of Bishops beyond the territory of the United States, and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe. These proposed amendments made no change in the language of the three sections of the Constitution requiring such clarification other than the insertion of appropriate language making it clear that in each such case, where a vote by orders was required, such extra-territorial Missionary Districts and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, or both, as the case might be, were accorded the same voting rights as those given explicitly by the Constitution to Missionary Districts within the territory of the United States and which by inference had been generally assumed to apply to Missionary Districts outside the territory of the United States and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe.

These proposed amendments were adopted by both Houses of the 1958 General Convention for submission to, and in accordance with the Constitution have been made known to, the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts of the Church.

The Committee, therefore, recommends the adoption of the following amendments to the Constitution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Article I, Section 6, of the Constitution be amended by adding at the end thereof a new sentence reading as follows:

"Each Missionary District established by the House of Bishops beyond the territory of the United States and also the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, shall have the same rights in a vote by orders as are specified for Missionary Districts in Section 4 of this Article. And be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Article X of the Constitution be amended so that the same shall read as follows:

"The Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church, together with the Psalter or Psalms of David, the Form and Manner of Making, Ordaining, and Consecrating Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, the Form of Consecration of a Church or Chapel, the Office of Institution of Ministers, and Articles of Religion, as now established or hereafter amended by the authority of this Church, shall be in use in all the Dioceses and Missionary Districts, and in the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, of this Church."
No alteration thereof or addition thereto shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed in one triennial meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent within six months to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or Convocation of the Missionary District or of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at its next succeeding triennial meeting by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies and all the Missionary Districts, and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders, each to have the vote provided for in Article I, Sec. 4.

Provided, however, that the General Convention at any meeting shall have power to amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the use of the Psalms by a majority of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, and all the Missionary Districts and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders as previously laid down in this Article.

And provided, further, that nothing in this Article shall be construed as restricting the authority of the Bishops of this Church to take such order as may be permitted by the Rubrics of the Book of Common Prayer or by the Canons of the General Convention for the use of special forms of worship.” And be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Article XI of the Constitution be amended so that the same shall read as follows:

“No alteration or amendment of this Constitution shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed at one triennial meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation, or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at its next succeeding triennial meeting by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses and of all the Missionary Districts and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, voting by orders, each having the vote provided for in Sec. 4 of Article I.”

The Committee is aware of the fact that, if demand be made, the foregoing resolutions are divisible into three separate resolutions and would hence require a separate vote by orders on each such resolution. However, since all the amendments are designed to accomplish a single purpose, which to the Committee seems to be non-contentious, and since amendments to the Constitution, on second reading, require a vote by orders for their adoption, which is time consuming, the Committee has presented the pro-
posed amendments in a form which, if no demand for separation is made, will permit their adoption or rejection on a single vote by orders with the consequent saving in time that can result from so doing.

There was consensus that the three amendments be considered together. A vote by orders and dioceses, as required by the Constitution, was held, with the following results:

In the clerical order:

Dioceses: Ayes, 77; Noes, 0.
Missionary Districts: Ayes, 5½; Noes, 0.

In the lay order:

Dioceses: Ayes, 77; Noes, 0.
Missionary Districts: Ayes, 5½; Noes, 0.

The totals were: Ayes, 82½; Noes, 0.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 26.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on the Constitution.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, on the Eighth Day, offered a resolution that this House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 26, proposing amendment of Article I, Sec. 6, of the Constitution, and amendment to Article X of the Constitution, and amendment to Article XI of the Constitution.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 99.]

**Constitution—Article I, Sec. 2**
*Article II, Sec. 2*
*Article V, Sec. 6*
*Article XI*

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, on the Second Day, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented two reports, as follows:

At the General Convention in 1958, an amendment to the Constitution was proposed to resolve an ambiguity in the language of Article V, Sec. 6 of the Constitution having to do with the minimum requirements in number of parishes and number of Presbyters for the formation of a new diocese. The proposed amendment was designed solely to clarify the language of this section of the Constitution and not to change its meaning. This proposed amendment having been adopted by action of both Houses for submission, and having been submitted to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts of the Church in accordance with the Constitution,
the Committee now recommends the adoption of the following amendment to the Constitution,

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the first sentence of Article V, Section 6 of the Constitution be amended so that the same shall read as follows:

"No new Diocese shall be formed unless it shall contain at least six Parishes and at least six Presbyters who have been for at least one year canonically resident within the bounds of such new diocese, regularly settled in a Parish or Congregation and qualified to vote for a Bishop."

This was placed on the Calendar No. 10.

At the 1958 General Convention a proposed amendment to Article XI of the Constitution was adopted for submission in accordance with the Constitution to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts. The sole purpose of the proposed amendment was to make it clear that unless otherwise provided in the adoption of an amendment to the Constitution, it would not become effective as an amendment until the January 1st next following adjournment of the General Convention at which it was finally adopted.

Submission of this proposed amendment having been made as required by the Constitution, the Committee now recommends the adoption of the following resolution:

"Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Article XI of the Constitution be amended by adding at the end thereof the following sentence:

"Each duly adopted alteration or amendment to this Constitution, unless otherwise expressly stated therein, shall take effect on the first day of January following the adjournment of the General Convention at which it is finally adopted."

This was placed on the Calendar No. 11.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, on the Fifth Day, submitted Report No. 7 for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution consisting of a resolution for the amendment of Article I, Section 2, and Article II, Section 2 of the Constitution (as printed below), and calling from the Calendar Item No. 10, for the amendment of Article V, Section 6, and Calendar Item No. 11, for the amendment of Article XI, to be voted upon by ballot instead of a roll call, as previously explained to and voted unanimously by the House.

There now being no objection from any member of the House, the four Constitutional amendments were considered together on a single ballot. The ballots were distributed and the procedure was explained by Mr. Crump, of Tennessee.

It was moved by Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, that the Rules of the House be suspended to allow the taking up of other busi-
ness while the ballots were being tabulated, this business to be interrupted when the tabulation was completed to announce the results thereof. This was seconded and carried.

The result of the ballot was as follows:

Clerical: Ayes, 82¾; Noes, 0.
Lay: Ayes, 82; Noes, 0.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, on the Eighth Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that this Convention give its final approval to the following amendments to the Constitution, proposed at the General Convention of 1958:

1. Amend the second sentence of Article 1, Sec. 2 to read as follows:

A majority of all Bishops entitled to vote, exclusive of Bishops who have resigned their jurisdiction or positions, shall be necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

2. Amend Article II, Sec. 2, by deleting the words “within the United States” in line 6 thereof so that said line shall end with the word “jurisdiction”.

3. Amend Article V, Sec. 6, so that the first sentence thereof shall read as follows:

No new Diocese shall be formed unless it shall contain at least six Parishes and at least six Presbyters who have been for at least one year canonically resident within the bounds of such new Diocese, regularly settled in a Parish or Congregation and qualified to vote for a Bishop.

4. Amend Article XI by adding at the end thereof the following paragraph:

Each duly adopted alteration or amendment to this Constitution, unless otherwise expressly stated therein, shall take effect on the first day of January following the adjournment of the General Convention at which it is finally adopted.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 100.]

As this action had already been taken by this House, the House concurred with the House of Bishops Message No. 100.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 106.]

Mr. Garfield, of Massachusetts, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented a resolution to regularize the action of this House on the second reading
of Articles I, Sec. 2; and II, Sec. 2; V, Sec. 6; and XI, and presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The attempted action of this House in its Message No. 106, in concurring with Message No. 100 from the House of Bishops, was invalid; therefore be it

Resolved, That this House concurs by unanimous vote by orders and dioceses with Message No. 100, from the House of Bishops.

The vote was unanimous.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 129.]


Resolved, That the following alterations in the Constitution proposed at this General Convention be sent by the Secretary of the House of Deputies to the Ecclesiastical Authority of Every Diocese and Missionary District and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, and to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and to the Secretary of the Convocation of every Missionary District, and of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe at its next meeting.

Constitution—Article X

The Bishop of Georgia, on the First Day, reporting for the Standing Liturgical Commission, offered the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the first proviso of Article X of the Constitution of the General Convention be and it is hereby amended as follows:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of the Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops and a majority of each order in the House of Deputies,

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or of any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention.

He also presented the following resolution:
Resolved. The House of Deputies concurring, that the second proviso of Article X of the Constitution of the General Convention be and it is hereby amended by the deletion of the word "further".

These two resolutions were placed on the agenda pending the report of the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana, on the Fourth Day, for the Committee on the Prayer Book, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that Article X of the Constitution be and is hereby amended by the deletion of the existing first proviso and substituting therefore a new paragraph, to read as follows:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of the Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops and a majority of each order in the House of Deputies

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention.

And Provided, that nothing in this article shall be construed as restricting the authority of the Bishops of this Church to take such order as may be permitted by the Rubrics of the Book of Common Prayer or by the Canons of the General Convention for the use of special forms of worship.

This resolution was referred to the Committee on the Constitution.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on the Constitution, offered the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the first proviso of Article X of the Constitution be and it is hereby amended to read as follows:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops and a majority of each order in the House of Deputies,

a. Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

b. Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to
any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 101.]

The foregoing Message was referred, on the Ninth Day, to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, which had already been considering the matter. Dean Leffler, of Olympia, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, recommended concurrence, (with an amendment deleting the word "further" from the second proviso).

This was seconded.

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, for the Committee on the Prayer Book, which had also been considering this matter, asked to be discharged from further consideration of it. This was seconded and Dean Lemoine endorsed the resolution of Dean Leffler.

According to a Special Order of Business previously adopted, the Rev. Dr. Massey Shepherd, of the Church Divinity School of the Pacific, addressed the House in elaboration and elucidation of the matters contained in this resolution.

An amendment was offered from the floor and accepted by Dean Leffler for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, which had the effect of deleting the words "and a majority of each Order . . ." as far as the comma, and substituting therefor the wording of the present Article X, beginning with the words "and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay . . ." as far as the period.

This amendment was adopted by the House.

It was moved by the Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, that the amendment of Article X go into effect immediately upon its adoption, upon second reading in 1964.

This amendment was adopted.

The Rev. Mr. Leavell, of Kentucky, moved to amend the resolution by eliminating paragraph (a) dealing with amendment of the Lectionary and the Psalter. This amendment was defeated. Therefore, the House of Bishops Message No. 101, as amended, was before the House. The House moved to concur, with amendments, as follows:

Resolved, that the first proviso of Article X of the Constitution be and it is hereby amended to read as follows:
"But notwithstanding anything herein above contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of the Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, and all the Missionary Districts and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders as previously laid down in this Article,

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or of any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention." And be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the second proviso of Article X of the Constitution of the General Convention be and it is hereby amended by deletion of the word "further."

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 109.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on the Constitution.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, on the Tenth Day, reporting for the Committee on the Constitution, moved that the House approve the amendment to Message No. 101, contained in House of Deputies Message No. 109. The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 146.]

Constitution—Certification of Changes in

The proposed change in Article X, set forth above, as well as the changes finally adopted in Articles I, Sec. 2 and 6; II, Sec. 2; V, Sec. 6; X; and XI, have been certified by the Chairman and Secretary of the Committee on Constitution of the House of Bishops (Bishop Carpenter and Bishop Esquirol), and the Chairman and Secretary of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution of the House of Deputies (Dean Leffler of Olympia and Mr. Bronson of Minnesota), as provided in Canon 66, Sec. 4 (b).

Constitution & Canons, Joint Committee on Supplements to White & Dykman's Annotated

The Secretary of the House of Deputies, on the First Day, presented the Report of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons. (See Appendix.)

The first resolution was placed on the Calendar No. 1.
The second resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Item No. 1 was taken from the Calendar on the First Day, and the first resolution was considered, as follows:

_Resolved_, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons be continued, to consist of one Bishop, one Priest and three lay men of the profession of the Law, and authorized to prepare and supervise the publication of supplementary pocket parts covering action at this Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 10.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 21.]

THE COURTS (House of Bishops)

On the First Day, the Bishop of South Florida presented the following resolution, which was adopted.

_Resolved_, That the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint a committee to present nominations to the Court for the Trial of a Bishop, and for the Court of Review, as provided in Canon 55, Sec. 14-15.

The following appointments were made by the Chair:

- The Bishop of Chicago
- The Bishop of Southwestern Virginia
- The Bishop of Rochester

The Bishop of Chicago, on the Seventh Day, reporting for the Committee to nominate members for The Court for the Trial of a Bishop, nominated the following to serve for nine years:

- The Bishop of Pennsylvania
- The Bishop of Lexington
- The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago

On motion they were elected.

The Bishop of Chicago, on the Ninth Day, presented the following nominations for the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, to serve for nine years:

- The Bishop of Iowa
- The Bishop of Northwest Texas
- The Bishop of Maine

On motion of the House, these Bishops were elected.
Deaconesses—Minimum Salary, Pension

The Bishop of Dallas, on the Second Day, reported for the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses. He offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention recommends to every parish, diocese, institution or other Church agency employing a deaconess, that they pay a salary of at least $3,000.00 a year, together with adequate living quarters and proper provision for travel and other expenses; and be it further recommended that every such Church body employing a deaconess see to it that she is covered by social security.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 14.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 21.]

The Bishop of Dallas, on the Second Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention goes on record as approving the proposed pension plan for deaconesses suggested by the Church Life Insurance Corporation and set forth in the Report of the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 13.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on the Church Pension Fund.

Mr. Greene, of Washington, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund; recommending concurrence with the foregoing Message.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 45.]

(See also Canon 51, Sec. 12.)

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, on the Second Day, offered the following resolution, which was not adopted:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses be accepted and that the Commission be continued.
Death of Dag Hammarskjold

The Rev. Mr. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, on the Second Day, was recognized for a resolution of privilege, as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the resolution concerning the tragic death of Secretary-General Dag Hammarskjold, presented by Dr. Mollegen and adopted in Joint Session this day, September 19, 1961, be transmitted to the office of the Secretary-General at United Nations Headquarters.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 20.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 30.]

The following resolution was communicated to the office of the Secretary-General of the United Nations.

WHEREAS, This Sixtieth General Convention, and the Triennial meeting of the Women of the Church of the Protestant Episcopal Church is pledged, in the words of our Presiding Bishop, to penitent and humble obedience to our Lord, the Prince of Peace; and

WHEREAS, Dag Hammerskjold, Secretary-General of the United Nations, has sought world peace with justice with indefatigable energy, unswerving courage and wise statesmanship; and

WHEREAS, In the selfless pursuit of that peace he has met premature and tragic death; therefore be it

Resolved, That this Joint Session of General Convention and Episcopal Churchwomen, by standing in silent prayer for one minute, express its grief over his death, its thanksgiving to God for his devoted life and his great work, and its commitment to that peace which is the fruit of righteousness for which he died.

Eastern and Old Catholic Churches,
Joint Commission on Cooperation with

Mr. Anderson, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 17:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has received the Report and Resolutions of the Joint Commission, as printed, (See Appendix) as well as the Resolutions submitted by the Joint Commission in substitution for those in the printed Report. Your Committee makes its report on the basis of the revised text of these resolutions.

Having examined the Report and resolutions, and also relevant information placed at its disposal, your Committee moves the following resolutions:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches hereafter be known as the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, and be continued; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches shall consist of three Bishops, three Presbyters and three Laymen.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 104.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 109.]

Ecumenical Officer

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations, on the Second Day, presented its Report No. 2:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that an ecumenical officer be provided to serve as a special assistant to the Presiding Bishop for ecumenical matters; and we request that matters of salary and expenses be referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

There being some question as to the voice vote, a division was called for and the resolution was adopted:

Ayes, 359; Noes, 171.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 17.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 32.]

Ecumenical Patriarch, Greetings to

The Rev. Mr. Chard, of Western New York, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, on the Third Day, presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The Protestant Episcopal Church has always kept alive its sense of belonging to the historic Church founded by Christ and His Apostles; and

WHEREAS, the Ancient Orthodox Churches in communion with the Patriarch of Constantinople, always praying for the unity of Christians, have in recent decades shown increasing readiness to welcome close relations with the Churches of the Anglican Communion; and

WHEREAS, the first official Conference of Orthodox Churches since 1931, meeting on the Island of Rhodes from 25 September to 1 October, 1961,
has on its agenda the question of relations with the Anglican Communion; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this General Convention send a telegram of greeting to the Patriarch of Constantinople, as Convenor of the Conference at Rhodes, giving assurance of our prayers for the work of the Conference and for the welfare of the Orthodox Churches, and that the Protestant Episcopal Church continue its participation with other Churches of the Anglican Communion in conversations with the Orthodox Churches leading to closer relations between them, and toward greater unity among all who acknowledge Jesus Christ as Lord and Savior.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 30.]

The House concurred in this action, and the Chair designated the Bishop of Western New York to write appropriate greetings on behalf of the General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 47.]

Ecumenical Relations, Joint Commission on

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations has considered resolution No. 1 in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations (See Appendix), and moves its adoption as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be continued, composed of seven Bishops, of whom one shall be the Presiding Bishop; seven Presbyters and seven lay persons.

The foregoing resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 44.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 57.]

Episcopal Assistance

The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel of Western Massachusetts, on the Third Day, presented a resolution calling for the setting up of a Joint Commission to study the office and work of Bishops.

This was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, and to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.
The Rev. Dr. Magill, of Southwestern Virginia, on the Sixth Day, for the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, presented the following Report No. 5:

The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, to whom was referred the Resolution introduced by Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel of the Diocese of Western Massachusetts, having considered the same and finding no standing Joint Committee or Commission to whom the subject matter can properly be referred, recommends the adoption of the Resolution in new draft as follows:

WHEREAS, We are living in a revolutionary age of extreme fluidity and rapid change and the Bishops of our Church are called upon to assume increasing activities and responsibilities in addition to the necessary administrative functions of their office, and there is a growing sense of need for assistance to our Diocesan Bishops; and

WHEREAS, There is an increasing number of requests for Suffragan Bishops in Dioceses throughout the Church; and

WHEREAS, A rapidly increasing population is adding to the administrative problems of the several Diocesans; and

WHEREAS, An increase in the number of Dioceses might be practicable; and

WHEREAS, Other types of administrative assistance might be utilized, therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a special Joint Commission be created, composed of three Bishops, three Presbyters and three laymen, to study the office and work of the Bishop, in the light of the nature of modern life, with special reference to the office and work of a Suffragan Bishop as well as to other types of assistance needed, and report to the next General Convention,

And be it further Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $500.00 be appropriated for the expense of this Joint Commission.

He recommended its adoption.

Inasmuch as this resolution was referred jointly to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops and to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, and since the latter Committee has not yet made its report, no action was taken at this time on the above report.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions presented Report No. 2, as printed on pp. 226 and 227. This was placed on the Calendar No. 25.

Item No. 25 being taken from the Calendar on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Mr. Barrett again submitted his report.
The Rev. Mr. Eckel, of Western Massachusetts, moved to substitute for the report of the Committee the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies respectfully expresses its concern about the increasing activities and responsibilities laid upon the shoulders of our Bishops in addition to the necessary administration of their office, and therefore respectfully requests that the House of Bishops seriously consider the importance of taking definite action about this matter, using the assistance of the General Division of Research and Field Study of the National Council.

The substitute was put to a vote and carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 142].

The House concurred "and deeply appreciates the concern of the House of Deputies as contained in Message No. 142—that members of the House of Bishops not overwork themselves."

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 143].

Episcopal Church Center—Gift Day

The Bishop of East Carolina, on the Eighth Day, requested consent of the House to introduce a resolution of new business. On motion, permission was granted.

The Bishop of East Carolina offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Episcopal Church Center is a vital part of the world missionary work of the Church; and

WHEREAS, all members of the Church should have an opportunity to share in the building of this new headquarters; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Presiding Bishop designate a Sunday in 1962 as a day on which gifts for The Episcopal Church Center may be received (from those who have not previously shared), and that the National Council be instructed to prepare offering envelopes and other material for those dioceses which desire to use this plan.

The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg moved that the resolution be amended by deleting the words "from those who have not previously shared." The amendment was adopted.

The amended resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 103.]

The foregoing Message was read to the House of Deputies on the Ninth Day. A division was called for.

The House concurred—Ayes, 249; Noes, 184.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 114].
The Episcopalian

On the Third Day, Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, presented a resolution (See below), dealing with The Episcopalian and the incorporation of the Church Magazine Advisory Board, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

The Rev. Mr. Masuda, of Montana, on the Seventh Day, for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, presented Report No. 4, which was adopted.

The Joint Committee on Programs and Budget has received a copy of the resolution to be offered to General Convention regarding the magazine, The Episcopalian. The Committee has considered the resolution and recommends adoption of the same and requests to be discharged from further consideration thereof.

Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, on the Seventh Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Church Magazine Advisory Board, created by Resolution No. 7 appended to the 1958 Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget and adopted at our 59th General Convention, as presently constituted, and their respective successors, be and they are hereby authorized and directed, as soon as conveniently may be done, to cause to be incorporated in the jurisdiction of their choice, and to complete the organization of, a non-stock, non-profit corporation in due and usual form, by the name of "The Episcopalian, Inc.," for the purpose and with all necessary powers and authorities to take title to, own, operate, manage, publish, promote and distribute the magazine called The Episcopalian, as well as such other corporate purposes, powers and authorities incident thereto as such Advisory Board, upon the advice of competent legal counsel, shall elect and determine; Subject, always, to the following conditions and provisions to be set forth, inter alia, in its Certificate of Incorporation and/or By-Laws, as such counsel shall advise:

(1) The Members thereof shall be limited to those persons who are the Directors thereof, who shall continue as Members during their respective terms of office;

(2) The initial Board of Directors thereof shall be composed of those persons who constitute the Church Magazine Advisory Board at the time of incorporation and organization thereof and such Directors shall hold office until our General Convention held during the year 1964 and until their successors are elected and qualified in their stead;

(3) At our General Convention held during the year 1964, and at each succeeding General Convention held during every third year thereafter, a full Board of Directors thereof, to the number of no less than eleven (11) nor more than twenty-five (25), in odd number, as provided by the By-Laws thereof then in effect, shall be appointed from among our Church people skilled in the field of communications by our Presiding Bishop and confirmed by the majority vote of each House of such Convention, and each such Director thus appointed and confirmed shall hold office until the next succeeding General Convention held during the third year follow-
ing his appointment and confirmation, as aforesaid, and until his successor is appointed, confirmed and qualified in his stead;

(4) The Board of Directors thereof, inter alia, shall have the power and authority to (a) manage and control all properties and affairs thereof, (b) resolve all matters of policy thereof, (c) appoint, prescribe the duties and authorities, discharge at will and fix the compensation of all officers, managers, editors, staff, and employees, as well as all standing and special committees thereof, and (d) make, alter or amend the By-Laws thereof, as well as all such other powers and authorities as are usually reposed in the Board of Directors of like corporations: Provided, always, that in the exercise of any and all such powers and authorities it shall be the duty of the Board of Directors to at all times conform the same and be held responsible to our General Convention for the execution of all resolutions, directives or other appropriate actions taken by our General Convention with respect to such corporation, its properties, policies, business and affairs;

(5) It shall be the duty of the Board of Directors to present and file with the Secretary of our General Convention, at the close of each fiscal year of such corporation, a complete report of the activities thereof, as well as a detailed, audited report of its financial affairs and conditions, for such fiscal year just ended; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, upon completion of the incorporation and organization of The Episcopalian, Inc., as aforesaid, the Church Magazine Advisory Board be and they are hereby authorized and directed, as soon thereafter as may conveniently be done, to assign, grant, convey, set-over and transfer unto such corporation, its successors and assigns, absolutely and in fee simple, the magazine called The Episcopalian, its subscription lists, properties, appropriations, receivables, accounts, records and other assets and effects thereof, which magazine such corporation shall therefore edit, publish and distribute as an independently edited national, monthly magazine of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, by authority of the General Convention thereof; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that our General Convention hereby commends unto the Bishops, clergy and laity of our Church the Parish Every Family Plan for distribution of The Episcopalian into the home of each family of such Church; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the amounts, if any, budgeted by our General Convention for each year of the ensuing Triennium be and the same hereby are allocated and granted specially, without diminution by National Council, unto our Church Magazine Advisory Board and unto The Episcopalian, Inc., a corporation to be formed, as aforesaid, when incorporated and organized, as successor to such Board, for their and its use in defraying the cost and expense of forming such corporation and of editing, publishing and distributing the magazine The Episcopalian, as aforesaid.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 88.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 91.]
Evangelism

The Bishop of Dallas, on the Fourth Day, reporting for the Joint Commission on Evangelism, offered the following resolutions:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism be created, and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the sum of $23,660 per annum, or $70,980 for the triennium, be appropriated from the Program Budget for salary, secretarial assistance, travel, supplies, conference expense, etc.

After a discussion of how this would relate to the organization of the National Council, the Bishop of South Florida offered the following substitute resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the office of an Executive Secretary for Evangelism be created, and the National Council be requested to fit said office into their structure as they deem best.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 42.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Evangelism.

The Rev. Mr. O'Brien, of Central New York, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Evangelism, presented Report No. 2, containing a substitute resolution for the House of Bishops Message No. 42.

The Rev. Mr. Conley, of Oklahoma, moved an amendment restoring the provision for the Executive Secretary for Evangelism as it appeared in the Message of the House of Bishops. After discussion, it was moved that this amendment be laid on the table, and it was so ordered.

A motion for an immediate vote was made and adopted, and the motion to concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 42 with amendments was put and carried. The amended Message reads as follows:

WHEREAS, the Joint Commission on Evangelism has in three years of research and study clearly uncovered a great need in our Church for training of lay persons and Clergy in Evangelism of every kind; and

WHEREAS, the House of Bishops has recognized this need by their resolution in Message 42; and

WHEREAS, much material has already been gathered by the Joint Com-
mission on Evangelism and more is available which is in need of correlation, evaluation, and distribution; and

Whereas, as Bishop Louttit reminded us, what is everybody's business is nobody's business "unless somebody is responsible for making it everybody's business"; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be instructed by this General Convention to make Evangelism an integral part of all of its work in every department; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be instructed to designate or appoint a qualified person whose title and office delineates him as specially responsible.

(1) For meeting the afore-mentioned needs and
(2) For constantly reminding this Church, all of its units and individuals, that Evangelism is central to the mission of the Church and to our response to our Lord; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council report to the next General Convention the nature and results of its efforts; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that this General Convention express its gratitude to the Joint Commission on Evangelism for its work, and especially for the Service of Witness on the evening of September 26; and request the Committee on Arrangements for General Convention to provide a similar service at the next General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 152.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 168.]

Expenses, Joint Committee on

The Bishop of South Florida, on the First Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That we endorse the recommendation made by the House of Deputies in 1958 that the Committee on Expenses be made a Joint Standing Committee of both Houses of the Convention.

Adopted by the House.

The Presiding Bishop appointed to serve from that House:

The Bishop of West Texas
The Bishop of Nevada
The Bishop of Delaware

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 9.]

The House concurred.
The President of the House of Deputies appointed the following:

- The Rev. Frederic J. Raasch, of Kansas.
- The Rev. Canon V. H. Carthy, of Indianapolis.
- Mr. Tracy B. Lord, of Connecticut.
- Mr. Hunter L. Delatour, of Long Island.
- Mr. John Vassie, of Oregon.
- Mr. Marius Bressoud, Jr., of Bethlehem.
- Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, of Southern Virginia.
- Mr. John Kyle, of Iowa.
- Mr. George C. Lynde, of Oklahoma.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 22.]

(See Rules of Order section for Joint Rule adopted regarding this Committee.) (See page 213–214 for other reports of this Committee.)

**Expenses, Joint Committee on—Budget for Next Triennium**

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, on the Ninth Day, presented the General Convention budget for 1961-64, as amended, subject to additional additions or subtractions by subsequent majority vote of the two Houses.

A motion was made that, after discussion of the tentative budget, the same be recommitted, with any pertinent items to be included in the budget to be submitted by 5 p.m. on Wednesday.

This amendment was defeated and the budget, as amended, with its accompanying resolutions, was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 107.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 107, being the budget recommended by the Joint Committee on Expenses. The House concurred with this Message with the following amendment moved by the Bishop of Nevada:

*Resolved*, that the salary and office expenses in the item for the Registrar be $3,500.00 instead of $2,500.00.

The amendment was adopted.

[Communicated by the House of Deputies on Message No. 125.]
The foregoing Message was referred to Joint Committee on Expenses.

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, on the Eleventh Day, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, submitted its Report, presenting the final budget for the General Convention for the triennium 1961-64, as well as the accompanying resolutions.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the following budget for the triennial period ending August 15, 1964, be adopted:

### Budget for the Triennium 1961—1964

**Presiding Bishop:**
- Salary: $67,500
- Church Pension Fund: 11,475
- Discretionary Fund: 30,000
- Rent: 9,000
- Travel: 9,000
- Office Expense: 1,000
- Total: $145,975

**Seabury House Maintenance:**
- 30,000

**Travel Of Bishops and Priests officiating at Consecrations of Missionary Bishops:**
- 1,500

**House of Bishops:**
- Secretary: Salary $3,600
- Church Pension Fund: 540
- Travel: 1,800
- Office Expense: 4,200
- Assistant Secretaries:
  - Salaries—2 @ $300: 600
  - Travel: 1,080
- Total: $11,820

**House of Deputies:**
- President: Travel & Office Expense: $1,500
- Secretary: Salary: 4,800
- Church Pension Fund: 720
- Travel: 400
- Office Expense: 2,900
- Assistant Secretaries:
  - Salaries—3 @ $300.00: 900
  - Travel: 1,200
- Total: 12,420

**Treasurer:**
- Salary: 3,600
- Travel & Office Expense: 3,000
- Total: 6,600

**Total Carried Forward:**
- $265,315
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>Office Expense</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Brought Forward</strong></td>
<td>$265,315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historiographer:</strong></td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>$475,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registrar:</strong></td>
<td>$1,800</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Custodian, Book of Common Prayer:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recorder of Ordinations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Committees and Commissions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Architecture</strong></td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit</strong></td>
<td>850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Committee and Commissions</strong></td>
<td>350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constitution and Canons (White &amp; Dykman's)</strong></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecumenical Relations</strong></td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evangelism</strong></td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Convention—Arrangements</strong></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Convention Structure and Organization</strong></td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healing Ministry</strong></td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Historical Society</strong></td>
<td>48,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Affairs</strong></td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial Areas</strong></td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standing Liturgical Commission</strong></td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Missionary Districts</strong></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Music</strong></td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program and Budget</strong></td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quotas, Study of</strong></td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State of the Church</strong></td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theological Education</strong></td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unity</strong></td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women Church Workers</strong></td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>133,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Convention Expense</strong></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participation in the Expense of the Host Diocese</strong></td>
<td>up to 50%, but not to exceed $25,000</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Printing Journal, Constitution and Canons (Estimated)</strong></td>
<td>$29,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Printing Reports of Various Committees (Estimated)</strong></td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contingent Fund</strong></td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$475,485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget Notes:

*Travel Expense* includes transportation, lodging, meals, and incidentals, including such expenses incurred in attending the General Convention.

*Office Expense* includes stationery, printing, supplies, equipment, telephone, postage, shipping charges, part-time stenographic and clerical help, and incidentals, including such expenses incurred at the General Convention.
Printing includes cost of printing, shipping printed material, storage of type and incidental expenses.

References:
1. The Presiding Bishop's salary includes $1,600.00 per annum designated as additional rental allowance for utilities.

2. General Convention Expense covers expenses of the Convention proper which are not applicable to either House and for which there is no appropriation elsewhere in the Budget; for example, special printing of reports and other materials.

3. Reimbursement to the Host Diocese shall be 50% of the net subsidy of the Diocese after deducting refunds, or $25,000, whichever is the smaller, as determined from the Audit Report, a certified copy of which shall be filed with the Treasurer of General Convention with the requisition from the Host Diocese for such reimbursement. The facilities provided by the Host Diocese include basic furniture. Extra furniture, equipment, supplies and services ordered through the Local General Convention Committee on Arrangements shall be charged against the appropriate expense account of the House of Bishops, House of Deputies, officers or committees requiring such items. (Journal 1952, Page 223.)

4. The Contingent Fund provides for other expenses for which there is no appropriation elsewhere in the Budget. Requisitions for payment to be charged against the Contingent Fund shall be approved by the Chairman of the Joint Committee on Expenses and itemized in the Treasurer's Report.

And be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that all printing, the expense of which is to be borne by this Convention, required by any Committee, during the interval between the meetings of the General Convention, shall be done under the direction of the Secretary of the House of Deputies; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Secretary of the House of Deputies be instructed to have the Journal printed including the Constitution and Canons, and also a separate edition of the Constitution and Canons, the number of both to be at his discretion; it being understood that the usual custom regulating their distribution will be followed.

The Joint Committee on Expenses voted to set the amount of assessment for the coming triennium at $17.58 per annum (based on Diocesan clergy) and $4.39 (based on Missionary clergy) as provided in Canon 1, Sec. 6.

Adopted by the House.

(This action also served as concurrence with the House of Bishops Message No. 125.)

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 176.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated by the House of Deputies by Message No. 186.]
Federal Aid to Sectarian Schools

The Rev. Mr. Warner, of Western Michigan, on the Fourth Day, presented Report No. 2 for the Committee on Christian Education.

The Committee on Christian Education has had referred to it the following Memorial, adopted at the Annual Convention of the Diocese of Rochester on May 9, 1961:

WHEREAS, Proposals for Federal aid to education have been actively placed before Congress and the people of the United States; and

WHEREAS, The critical issue of Federal aid to sectarian and other private schools is a subject of great controversy; and

WHEREAS, The National Council in session April 19, 1961 restates the action of the General Convention of 1949:

"WHEREAS, The issue of providing Federal funds for the support of sectarian schools has been raised in Congress; and

"WHEREAS, While under the principle of freedom of religion in the State, it guarantees to every religious group the right to establish sectarian schools, it also requires that they draw their full support from private sources or from a Church; and

"WHEREAS, The Federal and State government provide public schools without expense to all children regardless of creed; therefore be it

"Resolved, That this convention fully endorses the principle that sectarian schools be supported in full from private sources or from a Church; and

"Resolved, That we stand unalterably against the use of Federal or State funds for the support of private, parochial, or sectarian schools."

therefore be it

Resolved, That the Thirtieth Annual Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the Diocese of Rochester endorse and will fully support this action of the National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church.

The Committee has considered this Memorial, offers the following Resolution, and recommends its adoption by this House:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America reaffirms the action of the General Convention of 1949 which was stated as follows:

"WHEREAS, the issue of providing federal funds for the support of sectarian schools has been raised in Congress; and

"WHEREAS, while under the principle of freedom of religion in the State, it guarantees to every religious group the right to establish sectarian schools, it also requires that they draw their full support from private sources or from a Church; and
"WHEREAS, the federal and state government provide public schools without expense to all children regardless of creed; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Convention fully endorse the principle that sectarian schools be supported in full from private sources or from a Church; and be it further

"Resolved, That we stand unalterably against the use of federal funds for the support of private, parochial or sectarian schools."

And, be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that a copy of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 38.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 53.]

Forward Movement, Continuance of

Bishop Hobson, on the Fourth Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Presiding Bishop be authorized to continue the Forward Movement Publications under his supervision, and to appoint such staff members and committees as may be required to maintain this program.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 41.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 40.]

Forward Movement, Gratitude for

Dean Gressle, of Delaware, on the Fourth Day, presented the following resolution of privilege:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church express its gratitude to the Forward Movement for its ministry of printing to this Church for over twenty-seven years. We commend the staff for their diligence in meeting the current and changing needs for devotional material. We would also commend them for the extension of their work in many languages as well as in Braille publications.

Adopted by the House.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 33.]
The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 147.]

**Forward Movement, Report of**

Item No. 4 was taken from the Calendar on the Fourth Day, being the Report of the Forward Movement Publications, and was received and accepted as printed.

[Communicated by the House of Bishops by Message No. 35.]
The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 151.]

**General Convention, Committee on Site for 1964**

On the First Day, on behalf of the House of Deputies, the Chair appointed the members of a Joint Committee on the Site of the next General Convention. (See p. 178).

On the Fifth Day, the President of the House of Bishops appointed the following to serve on this Committee on behalf of that House:

- The Bishop of Oklahoma
- The Bishop of Missouri
- The Bishop of Chicago

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 51.]

On the Eighth Day, on behalf of the Committee, Canon Johnson, of Michigan, presented the following report:

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that the invitation of the Diocese of Missouri to serve as host for the Sixty-First General Convention be accepted, with the See City, St. Louis, Missouri, being the place of the meetings, and the dates being October 11-23, 1964.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 98.]
The House concurred "with thanks."

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 105.]
General Theological Seminary—Election of Trustees

The Bishop of Western New York, on the Seventh Day, reported for the Committee on the General Theological Seminary, and on his nomination the following Bishops were elected to serve as Trustees of the General Theological Seminary until January 1, 1968:

- The Bishop of Bethlehem.
- The Bishop of Georgia.
- The Bishop Coadjutor of Pennsylvania.
- The Bishop of Texas.
- The Bishop of Western New York.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 83.]

The House made note of this.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 92.]

Mr. Vanable, of Rhode Island, on the Eighth Day, reported for the Committee on the General Theological Seminary, and on his nomination the following Presbyters and laymen were elected to serve as Trustees of the General Theological Seminary until January 1, 1968:

- The Very Rev. John V. Butler.
- The Reverend Herbert S. Brown.
- The Venerable Henry P. Krusen.
- The Reverend W. M. Moore, Jr.
- The Reverend J. W. Montgomery.
- Mr. Kempton Dunn.
- Mr. Leigh K. Lydecker.
- Mr. Byron Miller.
- Mr. Edwin F. Russell.
- Mr. Richard F. Paynter.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 95.]

Good Friday Offering

The Vice President of the National Council, on the First Day, offered the following Resolutions in relation to the Good Friday Offering:

1. **Resolved**, The House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be instructed to appropriate annually not less than $15,000.00 to the Jerusalem and the East Mission from the Good Friday Offering; and be it further

2. **Resolved**, The House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be instructed to appropriate annually 15% of the Good Friday Offering to the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches, to be used in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris.
and its related undertakings, and to serve other measures in the field of cooperation with the Eastern Churches; and be it further

(3) Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that after the foregoing appropriations have been made, any balance left in the Good Friday Offering shall be used for work in the Middle East at the discretion of the National Council; and be it further

(4) Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be instructed to show in its annual report the amount received from the Good Friday Offering and the expenditures made from it.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 4.]

The foregoing Message was placed on the Calendar, No. 9.

Item No. 9 was taken from the Calendar, on the First Day, being Message No. 4 from the House of Bishops, having to do with the distribution of the income of the Good Friday Offering, and was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Mr. Delatour, on the Fifth Day, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, reported on the resolution contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches on the Good Friday Offering, which had been referred to them, that this was not part of the competence of the Joint Committee on Expenses, and asked to be discharged from consideration in the matter.

They were so discharged.

At the request of the Chair, the Secretary presented, on the Sixth Day, the resolution turned back to the House by the Joint Committee on Expenses, concerning the portion of the Good Friday Offering to be appropriated to the Theological Seminary of the Orthodox Church in Paris. This was put to an immediate vote and was carried:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches shall continue to receive fifteen per cent of the annual Good Friday Offering, to be used in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and to serve other measures in the field of cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 63.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 71.]
Mr. Anderson, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 15, recommending concurrence with the House of Bishops Message No. 4, on the distribution of the Good Friday Offering. (See partial action taken above).

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be instructed to appropriate annually not less than $15,000.00 to the Jerusalem and the East Mission from the Good Friday Offering; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that after the foregoing appropriations have been made, any balance left in the Good Friday Offering shall be used for work in the Middle East at the discretion of the National Council, and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the National Council be instructed to show in its annual report the amount received from the Good Friday Offering and the expenditures made from it.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 103.]

Good Friday Offering—Publicity Regarding

Mr. Anderson, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 16:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has received resolutions presented by the Rev. Parker Jones, of Los Angeles, relative to the Good Friday Offering, and moves the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be instructed to make

(1) a report to the next General Convention concerning the needs of the Anglican Church in the Holy Land as well as the needs of the Orthodox Church in the Middle East; and

(2) a recommendation for adequately meeting these needs either through the Good Friday Offering or by other means; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be asked to make certain that promotional materials used in connection with the Good Friday Offering reflect accurately the whole scope of the needs met by this Offering beyond work in the Holy Land.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 102.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 108.]
Healing, Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of

The Bishop of West Virginia, on the Second Day, reporting for a Committee set up at the 1959 Meeting of the House of Bishops to study the Theological Foundation of the Church's Ministry to the Sick and her Healing Ministry presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, there is an increasing awareness among clergy and laymen of the need for the Church to emphasize her ministry to the sick pastorally and liturgically, and groups consisting of bishops, priests, and laity are commending this ministry, and calling upon the Church to exercise her ministry of healing to all men; and

WHEREAS, the Biblical and theological basis for the Church's ministry to the sick and her ministry of healing is not adequately reflected in the Book of Common Prayer nor in other official formularies; and

WHEREAS, numerous sects and faith healers are causing confusion and concern among our church people; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that there be appointed a Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of Healing consisting of three bishops, three presbyters, and three laymen to study and report at the next General Convention the theological foundation of the Church's ministry to the sick and her healing ministry, the membership of said Joint Commission to be composed of men skilled in medicine, psychology, psychiatry, theology, and clergy experienced in the healing ministry.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 23.]

The foregoing Message was placed on the Calendar No. 15. Subsequently, on motion, it was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Tenth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 7:

The Joint Committee has considered the following resolution contained in Message No. 23 of the House of Bishops:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that there be appointed a Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of Healing consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three laymen to study and report at the next General Convention the theological foundation of the Church's ministry to the sick and her healing ministry, the membership of said Joint Commission to be composed of men skilled in medicine, psychology, psychiatry, theology, and clergy experienced in the healing ministry.

The Joint Committee recommends concurrence with the House of Bishops.

The House concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 167.]

The Bishop of West Virginia, on the Second Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that a budget in the amount of $1,800 be granted this Joint Commission for its work during the Triennium.

Referred to the Joint Commission on Expenses.

**Historiographer**


[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 63.]


[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 78.]

**Honolulu, Request for Suffragan Bishop of**

The Bishop of South Florida, on the Seventh Day, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that acting under Canon 41, Sec. 2, the request of the Missionary District of Honolulu for a Suffragan Bishop be granted.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 78].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 84].

**Indians, Ministry to the American**

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Seventh Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented the nine resolutions of the printed Report of the Ministry to American Indians, which had been referred to this Committee on the First Day. (See Appendix.)

1. Resolution No. 1—A Statement of Policy:
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the general policy for the Church’s Ministry to Indians, as stated below, shall be the basis for the Church’s total missionary program with Indians.

The Church is called upon to note the deepening needs of Indian communities and the difficult situations which confront Indians in town and city. It is called upon to give leadership, both locally and nationally, in the search for solutions to these problems. In this process, the Church must act with full recognition of the dignity of Indian persons in their own inheritance, and with a sure sense of their place in contemporary America.

The Church must also face up to the pressing needs of its own Indian missions, made more acute by the burden of poverty in Indian communities. It is important that the members of each mission congregation come to sense anew the support and concern of the whole Church. Local, district, diocesan, and national units must seek together to define the present missionary task and new ways to carry it forward.

At all levels of decision-making regarding its ministry to the American Indian, the Church must demonstrate its belief in the right of all people to self-determination. To this end, Indians should be involved constantly in the planning and conduct of the Church’s work. Such a policy is consistent with Indian insistence upon consultation and concurrence in every decision affecting their lives. It also recognizes the Indian as a person who is culturally unique and yet fully sharing in American society.

The Church’s ministry to all persons is a ministry to the total man. It should be concerned with his needs for adequate education, employment, home, community life, and full citizenship, as well as his spiritual development. This is especially true of the American Indian because his needs have been met so inadequately. The Churches, along with other organizations, are in a unique position to provide initiative toward a consistent development of Indian resources, physical and human.

Both government and Churches have fluctuated through many approaches to Indian problems. Many Indians feel a sense of retreat, of neglect, and of apathy on the part of even those Churches which served them with distinction in early generations. The Protestant Episcopal Church is grateful to God for the many Indians whom it has nurtured for lives of Christian service to their people, their Church, and their nation. It seeks forgiveness for its failure to nurture greater numbers of Indians in such lives, and for its share in the public apathy toward the tribulations of the Indian people. It is resolved to atone for these failures in renewal of its mission and ministry to the Indian people.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 67.]

2. Resolution No. 2, dealing with public policy in respect of Indians:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Church stands for a just and consistent policy in dealing with Indian matters on the part of both governmental and voluntary bodies; calls upon Congress and the Bureau of Indian Affairs to maintain a positive emphasis on a policy of assisting Indians in the development of reservation and community resources,
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physical and human, to the fullest possible extent; and urges state and local
governments to assume their full responsibility to their Indian citizens; and
be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that, in order to present
the position of the Church, persons be appointed as designated representa-
tives to governmental and voluntary groups dealing with Indian affairs, with
one representative of the Church appointed to function in Washington to
present the concerns of the Church to appropriate persons in government
and to channel to the Church vital information on issues of importance
in Indian affairs.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 68.]

3. Resolution No. 3, concerning personnel:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that it is recommended
to the National Council that a permanent Advisory Council on Indian
Work be appointed to serve as a source of information and advice to all
units whenever they are involved in the ministry to American Indians, to
be concerned also with public issues, and to be responsible for constant
evaluation of the relevancy of the Church's ministry to the needs of the
Indian people; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a designated officer
in the Home Department shall continue to carry major responsibility for
Indian Work and to be the principal coordinator of staff activity as well
as field representative to dioceses, districts, interdenominational and national
groups; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that selected clergy and
laity shall be designated as consultants for Indian work, to help in the
orientation of new personnel, to assist with in-service training, and to
visit and advise with local personnel.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 69.]

4. Resolution No. 4, concerning experimentation and pioneer
projects:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Home Department
be requested to supplement the few pioneer projects now existing with
additional ones in strategic situations, choosing and supervising the projects
with the help of the Advisory Council.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 70.]

5. Resolution No. 5, dealing with recruitment:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council
be requested to present to the people of the Church the urgent needs of
the field, demonstrating its demand upon both Indians and non-Indians for full participation; and be it further

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Home Department be enabled to expand training facilities for seminarians through field training, for new workers through an orientation program, and for present workers through seminars, to the end that no new workers will need to be placed in the Indian field without advance orientation and training, and no present worker will be without opportunity for constant improvement of his or her morale, knowledge, and skills.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 71.]

6. Resolution No. 6, dealing with plant improvement:

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that additional funds shall be made available through the Home Department for the repair and adaptation of physical plants and equipment in the field.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 72.]

7. Resolution No. 7, dealing with scholarships:

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Scholarship Committee be created to assist National Council staff in gathering information about opportunities, to advise on the awarding of National Council scholarship funds, and to correlate the Church's scholarship program with that of other Churches and agencies.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 73.]

8. Resolution No. 8, concerning leave for study and training:

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that it be made possible for selected workers to have a period of leave for such study and training, the selection of workers to be made by the Scholarship Committee upon nomination by the bishop of the worker's jurisdiction.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 74.]

9. Resolution No. 9, concerning the study of social welfare problems:

*Resolved*, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Department of Christian Social Relations is requested to assist the jurisdictions and the appropriate units of the National Council in studying such social problems and working toward their solution, utilizing community resources which exist, and giving effective Church leadership in improving these resources.
This was adopted.

The foregoing Messages were referred to the Committee on Domestic Missions.

The Bishop of South Dakota, on the Ninth Day, reporting for the Committee on Domestic Missions, moved concurrence with House of Deputies Messages Nos. 67 through 75, inclusive. The motion was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Messages No. 110 through 118, inclusive.]

**Industrialization**

The Bishop of Kentucky, reporting for the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, on the Seventh Day, offered the following resolution:

*Whereas*, the decisive influence of industrialization upon family life, parish life, community life, and upon the lives of millions of individuals fragmented away from any form of community, can be described as one of the deepest and most far-reaching characteristics of our contemporary world, and

*whereas*, the increasingly rapid pace of change implicit in the scientific and mechanical processes both challenges and threatens every institution including the Church, in its quest for the establishment of life's meanings, since so often today's fact is dealt with in yesterday's patterns, and

*whereas*, the Church's ministry to mankind is to dignify and affirm that which, within the context of a technological culture, is often frustrated or damaged; and drawing upon the constructive insights of the sciences, the Church is bound to seek new and dynamic forms for this ministry,

*Therefore*, be it resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the 60th General Convention instruct the National Council to implement through its responsible agencies programs utilizing theological and scientific resources and directed toward assisting the Church in expanding present forms and pioneering new ministries to industrial society.

The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago offered an amendment that after the words "responsible agencies" in the resolution, the following words be inserted: "and in consultation with the already existing Joint Commission to survey missionary problems in industrial areas." The amendment was adopted.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 80.]
The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 21, dealing with their consideration of House of Bishops Message No. 80.

The Committee moved concurrence, with an amendment.

The amendment was put to a vote and adopted.

The House concurred, with amendment, as follows:

WHEREAS, the decisive influence of industrialization upon family life, parish life, community life, and upon the lives of millions of individuals fragmented away from any form of community, can be described as one of the deepest and most far-reaching characteristics of our contemporary world; and, drawing upon the constructive insights of the sciences, the Church is bound to seek new and dynamic forms for the ministry, and

WHEREAS, in this age when our total culture is becoming increasingly city-orientated, it is becoming more and more difficult to maintain strong parishes in the inner-city, in industrial areas, and in areas of transition, as well as to maintain a social concern and depth of commitment in the suburban parish; and

WHEREAS, the present forces affecting the urban situation (such as urban blight and urban renewal, mobility of population, delinquency, and the problems of assimilating and educating new residents of differing backgrounds), call for strategies beyond the strength and understanding of the single parish or diocese, and for technical skills not yet widely enlisted in the service of the Church; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the 60th General Convention instruct the National Council through its responsible agencies, and in consultation with the already existing Joint Commissions concerned, to survey missionary problems in industrial and urban areas, and to recommend and initiate programs utilizing theological and scientific resources directed towards assisting the Church in expanding present forms and pioneering new ministries to industrial society; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this General Convention calls upon the whole Church to make effective work in our cities one of our main missionary thrusts in the next decade.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 145.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 156.]
Lanka, Church of

On the Fourth Day, in accordance with the procedure agreed upon, the Rev. Mr. Coburn, of Massachusetts, and the Rev. Mr. Vogel, of Milwaukee, presented background material on the resolution from the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations regarding the Church of Lanka. Mr. Ray, of Maine, offered a motion of privilege that these papers of Dean Coburn and Dr. Vogel be printed in the Journal. This was put to a vote and adopted.

Background Paper on Church of Lanka
by
The Very Rev. John B. Coburn

The historical background of the Ceylon Scheme may be presented briefly. The intent of the Scheme is to unite the following Churches:

Two Dioceses of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon ................. 50,000 members
The Methodist Church in Ceylon ........ 25,000 members
The Baptist Church in Ceylon ....... 4,000 members
The Presbyterian Church in Ceylon .... 1,000 members
One (Jaffna) Diocese of the Church of South India ................. 3,500 members

Conversations between these Churches began in 1934. In 1940 a Joint Committee on Church Union was formed, and in 1945 a Negotiating Committee. The Lambeth Conference of 1948 was consulted and in 1949 the first draft was presented to the Archbishop of Canterbury, who referred it to a Theological Committee (the Derby Committee). Consultation was maintained between this Committee and the Anglican members of the Negotiating Committee. In 1958 the Third Draft of the Scheme was given the most thorough examination by the Lambeth Conference. Lambeth suggested that in the Prayer for the Ministry the words “the Church Universal” should precede the words “the Church of Lanka.” The recommendation was subsequently approved by the Negotiating Committee. Lambeth gave approval to the Scheme in its Resolution No. 23:

“The Conference advises the Churches and Provinces of the Anglican Communion that they should be willing to enter into full communion with the resulting Church of Lanka.”
Procedure

The heart of the Scheme is the unification of the ministries of the churches from the beginning. "With respect to the initial ministry of the Church of Lanka, the uniting Churches desire the unification of the ministries of the several uniting churches at the inauguration of union" Sec. 1.8(b).

It proposes to do this by three successive steps:

1. The \textit{consecration} of those elected to be Bishops who have not already received episcopal consecration by three Bishops acceptable to all the uniting Churches.

2. The \textit{commissioning} of those Bishops "to exercise their ministry in the Church of Lanka" by prayer and the laying on of hands by ministers of all the Churches.

3. The \textit{receiving} into the Presbyterate of all the ministers of the uniting Churches by the Bishops with prayer and the laying on of hands.

"The specific formula to be used at the laying on of hands will be:

"'Forasmuch as you were called and ordained minister/priest/presbyter in the Church of God within the . . . . Church, and are now called to a wider ministry in the Church of God as Presbyter within this Church of Lanka; receive from God the power and grace of the Holy Spirit to exercise this office in the Church of Lanka and to nourish by Word and Sacraments all the members of Christ's flock within this Church of Lanka, in the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit. Amen.'"

"'Take authority to preach and teach the Word, to fulfill the ministry of reconciliation and to minister Christ's sacraments in the congregation whereunto you shall be duly appointed. Amen.'" (Sec. 1.8.(b)(ii))

In the Prayer for the Ministry in the service itself, this intent is set forth in the following words:

"Confirm Thy blessings already given, and upon all Thy servants called this day to a fresh dedication to Thy service do Thou pour out Thy Holy Spirit, to endue each according to his need with grace and authority for the exercise of the office of Presbyter of Church of God in this Church of Lanka within the Church
Universal: that therein they may all faithfully proclaim the Gospel of Thy Kingdom, minister the Word of Thy truth, offer unto Thee spiritual gifts and sacrifices, and administer the sacraments which Thou hast ordained.”

The Preface describes what is taking place:

“To avoid all unnecessary scruple it is hereby declared that the use of this rite does not imply a denial of the reality of any commission or ordination previously received by those now seeking to become Presbyters in this United Church; nor is it presumed to bestow again or renew any grace, gifts, character or authority that have already been bestowed upon them by God through whatever means. It intends only through prayer and the laying on of hands to assure to her future ministers whatever of the fullness of Christ’s grace, commission and authority each may need for the performance of his proper office in this Church, and to provide a ministry fully accredited in the eyes of all its members and, so far as may be, of the Church throughout the world.”

The Preface also states that “it is the intention of this Church to continue and reverently to use and esteem the three-fold ministry of Bishop, Presbyter and Deacon which existed in the Undivided Church.”

Two further words about the judgment of Lambeth, 1958, may be added:

1. So far as Doctrine is concerned: “No Anglican need entertain any doubt concerning the orthodoxy of the Faith of the resulting Churches.”

2. With regard to the Unification of the Ministry: “... it is clearly stated that the intention of the rite is that all may receive through all, whatever each has to contribute and whatever each may need of the fulness of Christ’s grace, commission, and authority for the performance of his proper office in the Church Universal. ... From the Anglican point of view, therefore, the rite is intended to convey everything of value in the Anglican ministry, including the tradition of episcopal ordination.”

All the Bishops of the two Provinces of York and Canterbury (with one exception) have voted approval to entering into relations of full communion with the Church of Lanka. The Lower Houses of each have yet to take final action.
The Metropolitan of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma & Ceylon has addressed a request to all the Provinces of the Anglican Communion inquiring whether they would “be willing to enter into relations of full communion with the United Church of Lanka” on the basis of the proposed Ceylon Scheme.

It is to determine how this Church shall reply to this request that the House shall presently enter upon debate.

Background Remarks about the proposed Church of Lanka
by
The Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D.

The day before the last meeting of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations the five members of the Commission’s theological subcommittee met in order to advise the Joint Commission on the theological soundness of the Ceylon and North India schemes of Church union.

The subcommittee’s first reaction to its task was that of experiencing difficulty in being asked to judge the nature of a body which does not yet exist. The subcommittee well appreciated why the Anglicans involved in the Ceylon Scheme wished to secure the antecedent approval of their sister communions, but the fact remains that there were, and are, open ends and unresolved issues in the Scheme. Throughout the deliberations of the day this difficulty was re-emphasized: you can not adequately judge a concrete entity for what it is before it exists.

A second constraint felt by the theological subcommittee involved the manner in which the question of the Ceylon Scheme came before our Church. The question of the future relations of the Episcopal Church in this country to the proposed Church of Lanka was raised in personal correspondence between the Metropolitan of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma, and Ceylon and our Presiding Bishop. In this correspondence the Metropolitan asked the Presiding Bishop only one question: will you enter “into relations of full communion with the Church of Lanka at its inauguration?”

Our Church was not asked whether or not there should be more negotiations or what such negotiations should be about.

In the face of these restrictions and difficulties the subcommittee drew up the resolution listed as #3 of the printed report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations (see Appendix).
After the resolution to which we have just referred was adopted by a majority of the Joint Commission, it was the mind of the Joint Commission, at the prodding of Bishop Bayne, that Christian charity would not allow the Commission to say to the Church of Ceylon only what was contained in the resolution as passed.

The resolution as adopted referred to "certain anomalies and contradictions in the proposed practice of the Church of Lanka." These anomalies and contradictions were recognized in the discussions of the Joint Commission, but they were not specifically listed in the resolution. In the context of Christian love it seemed wrong to point to contradictions in a group of people and then not tell them what the contradictions were.

As a result, the Joint Commission requested the theological subcommittee to attach to its report a list of difficulties and contradictions which were found to be contained in the proposed Church of Lanka. Unfortunately there was a long delay before the list became available and, although the compiling of the list was the explicit order of the Joint Commission, the list of anomalies was not printed with the Commission's report.

The list is now available and reads as follows:

Anomalies Noted in the "Proposed Scheme of Church Union in Ceylon" by Members of the Theological Committee of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.

1) The statement that the difference of ministry and laity is "not a difference in kind but in function" (p. 31), which seems to deny the unique character of Holy Orders, though the previous section speaks of a "representative and organic" priesthood in the ministry.

2) The statement that the service of Commissioning would give those already consecrated Bishops "grace, gifts, character, and authority," which employs phrases more appropriate to an ordination (p. 34).

3) The implication of an identity of present ministries, though they are also said to be different (p. 22)—cf. the statement that relations of intercommunion may continue with any of the parent bodies (p. 41).

4) The recognition of sprinkling, in which "the minister dips his hand in the water and places it on the head of the candidate" as one of the forms of Baptism (p. 27).
5) The possibility, though a limited one, that visiting ministers who have not received any episcopal imposition of hands may be invited to celebrate the Holy Communion (pp. 41-42).

6) The dissolution of liturgical authority into the hands of the presbyter and congregation (pp. 24-25).

References are to pages in S. F. Bayne, *Ceylon, North India, Pakistan, 1960.*

E. R. Hardy, Chairman, July 1961

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Fourth Day, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

The Committee has considered resolution No. 3 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations (See Appendix), which has been referred to us, this resolution having to do with the proposed “Scheme of Church Union in Ceylon”; we would record our appreciation to Bishop de Mel of Ceylon, who graciously gave us of his time; and we unanimously move the adoption of the following resolution, which contains certain editorial changes from the resolutions of the Commission:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that we anticipate thankfully recognizing the Church of Lanka, if organized on the basis of the proposed Constitution, as a province of the Church Universal, holding the Apostolic Faith and possessed of true Bishops, Priests, and Deacons; and be it further

Resolved, That we look forward to the establishment of full communion between the Church of Lanka and the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America on the basis of the concordat similar to the Bonn Agreement between Anglicans and Old Catholics, in anticipation that certain anomalies and contradictions in the proposed practice of the Church of Lanka will be satisfactorily resolved.

The editorial changes from the original form of the resolution are simply for the purpose of making clearer the intent of this resolution—“full communion” is the phrase suggested by Lambeth to refer to concordats similar to the Bonn Agreement; and the committee believes that it is better to anticipate recognizing a Church to be organized, and also that it is more positive to anticipate action in the newly organized Church than to express a hope for it.

Dr. Vogel and Dean Coburn were called upon to discuss further the additional sheet distributed to the Deputies on anomalies on the Church of Lanka. (See “Anomalies” at conclusion of Dr. Vogel’s paper, printed above.)

An amendment to the resolution of the Committee was offered by Mr. Lanier, of Kentucky, as follows:
That "full communion" be offered as of the time of establish-
ment of the Church of Lanka.

This was seconded.

The Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, moved the suspension of
the Rules of Order to give time to dispose of the amendment,
and that a vote be taken immediately on the amendment.

This was seconded, and on being put to a vote was carried.

The amendment of Mr. Lanier was put to a vote and defeated.

At the time previously agreed upon, Mr. Cooper, of New
Jersey, moved that the debate be terminated and the previous
question be voted upon. This was seconded, and it was so ordered,
subject to the rebuttal speeches of Dr. Vogel and Dean Coburn.

In answer to inquiries from the floor, the House was assured
that, before full communion could be established with the Church
of Lanka, this matter will have to be acted upon by a future
General Convention. (See Fifth Day minutes for details).

The Rev. Mr. Stone, of Oregon, on behalf of the clerical and
lay deputations of the Diocese of Oregon, requested a vote by
orders. A vote by orders was taken, with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 65½, Noes, 14½; Divided, 3.

Lay: Ayes, 69½, Noes, 8¼; Divided, 5.

The President declared that the resolution, as printed above,
had carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 37].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 48].

"Layman" and "Lay Person", Definition of

Canon Wattley, of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, for the Com-
mittee on Canons, presented Report No. 27:

The Committee on Canons is mindful that there may exist certain incon-
gruities in the use of terms in the canons referring to lay persons and,
therefore, offers the following:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Committee
on supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons
be requested to recommend to the General Convention of 1964, any changes
in the canons necessary to effect congruity and appropriateness in the use therein of terms designating lay persons.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 157].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 176].

The Rev. Mr. Hargrave, of South Florida, on the Tenth Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the message of the Women of the Church received this day pertaining to the changes, in Article I, Section 4, of the Constitution of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, of the term "laymen" to "lay persons", and of "layman" to "lay person", be referred to the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention, if there be one, with the request that it give due consideration to all factors that may be affected by such changes, and to report on the same to the 61st General Convention.

On a division, the following were the results:

Ayes, 250; Noes 121.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 149].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 165].

Lay Readers' Guide and Syllabus

The Rev. Mr. Warner, of Western Michigan, on the Seventh Day, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Christian Education:

The Committee on Christian Education has considered the revision of Canon 50 proposed by the General Division of Laymen's Work and the Resolutions recommended by that Division in the event that the proposed revision of Canon 50 was adopted.

Since this House has voted to revise Canon 50 the Committee on Christian Education offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Lay Readers' Guide now published by the General Division of Laymen's Work be revised by the General Division in accordance with the proposed new Canon; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that a syllabus be prepared by the General Division of Laymen's Work suggesting the contents of the
required training for Lay Readers together with a bibliography locating resources for the same.

The Report was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 87].

The foregoing Message was tabled. On the Eleventh Day, the Message was taken from the table.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 182].

Liberia—Jurisdiction of Bishop Coadjutor

The Bishop of Liberia, on the Ninth Day, defined the jurisdiction to be given to the Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia as follows:

"I propose assigning to the Bishop Coadjutor jurisdiction over all evangelistic work, including all the parishes and missions with their clergy, together with all the Parochial Schools including their personnel and budgets."

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 124.]

Methodist Church, Conversations with

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be directed to continue conversations with the Methodist Church in the hope that we may ultimately achieve organic union in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 36.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 24, which was adopted.

Your committee has studied the resolution from the House of Bishops, contained in their Message #36, and we would move concurrence by this House.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 117].
On the Seventh Day, pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a celebration of the Holy Communion in St. John's Church, Detroit, at 7:30 a.m. The Presiding Bishop was the celebrant, the Bishop of East Carolina read the Epistle, the Vice President of the National Council read the Gospel, and the Vice Chairman of the House of Bishops assisted in the celebration.

The House of Bishops assembled in Executive Session in the nave of the church, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop took the Chair.

The Veni Creator Spiritus was said.

Silence was observed for a space, and the Service to be used before balloting was concluded.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for the election of a Bishop Coadjutor to the Episcopate in the Missionary District of Liberia.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Bishop Coadjutor for the Missionary District of Liberia.

The Chair appointed the Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska, the Bishop of Vermont, the Bishop of South Carolina, the Suffragan Bishop of Colorado, as Tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots and the Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop Coadjutor of the Missionary District of Liberia.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., subject to confirmation by the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Liberia, the Bishop of Washington, and the Bishop of Massachusetts to notify the Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., of his election.

The following communication was sent to the President of the House of Deputies:
IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in General Convention assembled in the City of Detroit, Michigan, did on the 26th day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in conformity with Canon 40, Sec. 2(a), make choice of the Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., Rector of St. Luke's Church, Washington, D.C., to be Bishop Coadjutor of the Missionary District of Liberia.

Arthur Lichtenberger,
Presiding Bishop

Alexander M. Rodger,
Secretary of the House of Bishops

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 65.]

The Secretary read the list of nominations for vacancy in the Episcopate in the Missionary District of Cuba.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Bishop of the Missionary District of Cuba.

The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of New York (Wetmore), the Bishop of the Dominican Republic, the Bishop of Western Michigan, and the Bishop of Nevada as Tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots and the Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Aguieros, Dean of Holy Trinity Cathedral, Havana, Cuba, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of Cuba.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Aguieros, subject to confirmation by the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed Bishop Blankingship, the Bishop of Puerto Rico, and the Bishop of Haiti to notify the Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Aguieros of his election.

During the recess, the Bishops signed the Testimonials, according to the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), by a constitutional majority.

The following communication was sent to the President of the House of Deputies:
IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in General Convention assembled in the city of Detroit, Michigan, did on the 26th day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in conformity with Canon 40, Sec. 2 (a), make choice of the Very Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Agüeros, Dean of Holy Trinity Cathedral, Havana, Cuba, to be Bishop of the Missionary District of Cuba.

Arthur Lichtenberger,
Presiding Bishop
Alexander M. Rodger,
Secretary of the House of Bishops

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 67.]

The Secretary read the list of nominations for the election of a Suffragan Bishop to the Episcopate in the Missionary District of Honolulu.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for Suffragan Bishop for the Missionary District of Honolulu.

The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (DeWitt), the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, the Suffragan Bishop of Virginia, and the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles as Tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots and the Rev. Charles Packard Gilson, Missionary-in-charge, Taiwan, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Honolulu.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Charles Packard Gilson, subject to confirmation by the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Honolulu, the Bishop of The Philippines, and the Suffragan Bishop of The Philippines to notify the Rev. Charles Packard Gilson of his election.

During the recess, the Bishops signed the Testimonials, according to the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), by a constitutional majority.
The following communication was sent to the President of the House of Deputies:

**IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED**

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in General Convention assembled in the City of Detroit, Michigan, did on the 26th day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in conformity with Canon 40, Sec. 2 (a) make choice of the Rev. Charles Packard Gilson, Missionary-in-charge, Taiwan, to be Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Honolulu.

Arthur Lichtenberger,  
Presiding Bishop

Alexander M. Rodger,  
Secretary of the House of Bishops

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 66.]

The House rose.

The House of Deputies went into Executive Session, to consider the above Messages which, upon receipt, had been immediately referred to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

The House rose.

The Rev. Mr. Magill, of Southwestern Virginia, for the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, presented reports from that Committee, recommending that the House concur with the House of Bishops in Messages 65, 66, and 67.

The House concurred, and certificates to this effect, signed by the President and the Secretary, were forwarded to the Presiding Bishop, as required by Canon 40, Sec. 2(d).

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Messages No. 80, 81, and 82.]

**Missionaries' Stipends, Annual Review of**

Mr. Rodney E. Ross, Jr., of Maine, on the Seventh Day, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Missions:

The Committee met with the Rev. Ernest L. Badenoch to consider his resolution Number 152 in reference to missionary stipends.

After careful consideration, the following substitute Committee resolution is hereby offered:
WHEREAS, the stipends of missionary clergy in Missionary Districts fixed in 1955 have failed to keep pace with rising living costs; and

WHEREAS, the resulting economic pressure is forcing men from the mission fields in numbers great enough to affect seriously the work of the Church in those areas; and

WHEREAS, the present system of compensation fails to take into account either family responsibility or tenure of office; be it therefore

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that

1. The National Council be requested to review the matter of missionary stipends annually, implementing them where possible with such increases as are commensurate with rising living costs.

2. The National Council study the possibility of establishing a stipend schedule for missionary clergy in Missionary Districts which will take into account both family responsibilities and tenure of office.

The Committee moves the adoption of the resolution.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 76].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 92].

Missionary Districts, Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of

The Bishop of Massachusetts, on the Seventh Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the National Council, at its meeting in February, 1961, requested the General Convention to study during the next triennium, through a Joint Committee, the nomenclature and status of our Missionary Districts, including such related matters as representation in the General Convention, relationships to other dioceses and provinces, and the like, with a view to presenting appropriate constitutional and canonical legislation and administrative proposals at the 1964 meeting at the Convention; and

WHEREAS, the Overseas Bishops endorsed the above resolution at their meeting in Detroit on September 11, 1961; and

WHEREAS, your Committee on Overseas Missions and, indeed, this whole House is increasingly aware of the complexities of the world scene which makes matters of missionary policy far more delicate than they have been in the past; now therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that a Joint Committee of this Convention be appointed consisting of three bishops, three presbyters and three laypersons, to make the study of the matters outlined in the Resolution of the National Council mentioned above and to report back to the Convention of 1964; and further we request that this study be carried out in close cooperation with the Strategic Advisory Committee.
The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Tenth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 8:

The Joint Committee has considered Message No. 90 from the House of Bishops, and recommends concurrence with the House of Bishops.

The House concurred.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, on the Seventh Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the sum of $2,000 be appropriated for the triennium for the expenses of the Joint Committee To Study the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts.

This resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Missionary Jurisdictions

The Bishop of Massachusetts, on the Seventh Day, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, questions of the erection of new Missionary Jurisdictions and the nomination of Missionary Bishops are the responsibility of this House; and

WHEREAS, these matters usually involve the need for careful and informed study in the light of the Church's total program and the needs the Church must face; and

WHEREAS, the National Council has appointed a Strategic Advisory Committee to guide the Church in such matters; now therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that this House requests that all matters pertaining to the Erection of new Missionary jurisdiction and the election of Overseas Bishops be studied by the Strategic Advisory Committee in consultation with the Overseas Missions Committee of this House, so that the House Committee on Overseas Missions can report to this House with the fullest information and the wisest judgment possible.

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Missions.
Mr. Ross, of Maine, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Missions, presented Report No. 8:

In reference to Message #89 from the House of Bishops concerning missionary jurisdictions, the Committee recommends its adoption with amendments, so that it will read as follows:

WHEREAS, questions of the erection of new Missionary Jurisdictions and the nomination of Missionary Bishops are the responsibility of the House of Bishops; and

WHEREAS, these matters usually involve the need for careful and informed study in the light of the Church's total program and the needs the Church must face; and

WHEREAS, the National Council has appointed a Strategic Advisory Committee to guide the Church in such matters; now be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that all matters pertaining to the Erection of new Missionary jurisdictions and the election of Overseas Bishops shall be studied by the Strategic Advisory Committee in consultation with the Overseas Missions Committee of the House of Bishops so that the said Committee on Overseas Missions can report to the House of Bishops with the fullest information and the wisest judgment possible.

The House concurred, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 139].

Missionary Work in Industrial Areas, Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 20, by offering the following resolution, which was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that we receive the report of the Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of Missionary Work in Industrial Areas, and commend it to the Church.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 150].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 166].

Mississippi, Consent to the Election of a Bishop Coadjutor

The Rev. Dr. Magill, of Southwestern Virginia, on the First Day, for the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, announced his readiness to present Report No. 2 for the Committee. The President announced the House would go into Executive Session, whereupon the House was cleared of visitors and the press. The House rose and adopted the following resolution:
Resolved, That consent be given to the ordination and consecration of the Rev. John Maury Allin as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Mississippi; and that notice of this consent, certified by the President and Secretary of this House, be sent to the House of Bishops, together with the testimonials presented to this House, in accordance with Canon 39, Sec.1.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 13].

The Bishop of Alaska, reporting on the Third Day, for the Committee on Consecration of Bishops, stated that the Committee had found the credentials of the Rev. John Maury Allin, Bishop Coadjutor-elect of Mississippi in order and recommended concurrence with House of Deputies Message No. 13.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 38]

Mitchell, Bishop R. Bland

The Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland, on the Fifth Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That this House receive the copy of Bishop R. Bland Mitchell's Reminiscences on his years in the work of the General Church for transmission to the Historiographer of the Church, and that our thanks be extended to Mrs. Mitchell for making this record of our history available to the Church.

This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 52.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 66].

Name of the Church

The Bishop of Newark, on the Fifth Day, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, reported out a resolution on the change of the name of the Church from the Diocese of Fond du Lac, as follows:

WHEREAS, the name "Protestant Episcopal" is today inaccurate; therefore be it

Resolved, that the Diocese of Fond du Lac petition the 1961 General Convention to change the name of this Church from the "Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America" to the "Episcopal Church in the United States of America", and that the petition take the following form:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the following amendments be made in the Constitution and that the proposed amendments be
TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT

made known to the several dioceses and missionary districts in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention in accordance with Article XI of the constitution:

A. That a new article to be numbered Article I of the Constitution be added to read as follows: Article I. The name of this Church shall be the Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

B. That the Constitution be amended by substituting "Episcopal Church in the United States of America" for "Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America" wherever the latter now appears;

C. That all Articles of the Constitution be renumbered to conform to the action taken herein;

D. That all references to Articles of Constitution contained in the Constitution or Canons be renumbered to conform to the action taken herein;

E. That the title page of the Book of Common Prayer, and wherever else necessary therein (except in the Preface, a document of 1789), be amended to describe the Church as the Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

And be it further

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Canons be amended by substituting "Episcopal Church in the United States of America" for "Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America" wherever the latter now appears. This resolution is to take effect when the preceding one has been passed by two successive General Conventions; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention urge all dioceses, parishes and other institutions of this Church to make the corresponding changes of name in their titles, wherever necessary, as soon as possible after the final passage of the first resolution.

The Bishop of Northern California presented a minority committee report favoring this resolution, which was followed by a majority report presented by the Bishop of Newark. The motion offered by the minority report was lost.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, on the Third Day, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

The resolution offered by Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, proposing amendments to the Constitution to change the name of the Church to "The Episcopal Church in the United States of America" has been carefully considered by the Committee. The Committee believes it should point out to this House the problems that are implicit in a change of the name of this Church. They consist not only in amendments to the Constitution, but to the Prayer Book and in amendments to the Canons of the Church; in amendments to the Constitution and Canons of practically every Diocese of the Church; in conflicts that will arise, if the name is changed, with provisions of the laws of various states which use the present name of
our Church in statutes that relate to the incorporation of parishes property holding and other corporations; in changes that will be required in the articles of incorporation and by-laws of innumerable corporations of the Church, such as schools, hospitals, homes for the aged and the like; and in correction of titles to real estate of the Church which may now be registered in a name which includes, as a part of it, the present name of the Church.

The Committee is of the opinion that all such problems and many others of similar nature that are involved in a change of name of the Church should be considered and appropriate legislation for the solution of them devised, before action is taken by General Convention on such a proposal.

The Committee, therefore, offers the following resolution and moves its adoption: (See resolution, finally adopted, below).

Should the foregoing resolution be adopted, the Committee then moves that the above mentioned resolution of Mr. Cooper, of New Jersey, be referred to the Joint Special Committee so created and the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution be discharged from further consideration thereof.

This was referred to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions presented Report No. 4 as printed on pages 227 and 228. This was placed on the Calendar No. 26.

Item No. 26 being called from the Calendar on the Ninth Day, the Rev. Mr. Barrett again presented Report No. 4.

Mr. Cooper, of New Jersey, moved to amend the motion of the Joint Commission by putting before the House his original resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the report of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be amended as follows:

Article I, Section I, of the Constitution be amended to read as follows:

"The name of the Church shall be The Episcopal Church in the United States of America;"

Article VIII of the Constitution be amended by omitting from the declaration required of those to be ordained or consecrated, the word 'Protestant';

All Articles and sections of the Constitution, in so far as may be necessary, be renumbered to conform to the action hereby taken;

The title page of the Book of Common Prayer, and wherever else necessary therein to conform to the action hereby taken, be amended to describe the Church as The Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

Dean Leffler, of Olympia, moved to substitute for the Cooper
amendment the resolution of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as originally presented on the Third Day. (See below).

By unanimous consent, and in order to clarify the parliamentary procedure, all three motions were withdrawn and the last motion was reoffered as the main question, after which Mr. Cooper moved the substitution, which became the first order of business.

Debate on the Cooper amendment followed. The Rev. Mr. Newlin, of Lexington, moved an amendment, inserting the words "which may be alternatively known as the Episcopal Church in the U.S.A." and this motion was defeated.

At the conclusion of the time previously agreed upon, Mr. Adams, of California, moved to close the debate and to take a vote immediately on the Cooper amendment. This motion was adopted.

The Clerical Deputation of Southwestern Virginia called for a vote by orders, which was had with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 42½; Noes, 34¾; Divided, 5.
Lay: Ayes, 26½; Noes, 48¾; Divided 7.

The Cooper amendment was defeated.

A motion was made to vote immediately on the resolution presented by the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

The Clerical Deputation of the Diocese of Massachusetts called for a vote by orders, which was had with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 66½; Noes, 14½; Divided, 1.
Lay: Ayes, 60¾; Noes, 17¾; Divided, 4.

The resolution was adopted, as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Special Committee on Proposals to Change the Name of the Church be created to consist of four Bishops, four Presbyters and eight laymen who shall, if possible, be chancellors of dioceses, to which Joint Committee the resolution offered by Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, and all similar resolutions dealing with a change in the name of the Church offered at this 1961 Convention, shall be referred, with authority to such Joint Committee to study during the coming triennium the changes that will be required in the Constitution and Canons of the Church and of the several dioceses, and that will be required in the laws of the various states and in parochial and other church corporations; such Joint Committee to report to the next General Convention on the problems involved, and to submit suitable pro-
posed legislation, which will assist in making such change of name, if adopted, effective in all areas involved in the most expeditious and effective way.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 127].

The House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 183].

National Council of Churches of Christ in the U. S. A.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Seventh Day, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, being a resolution concerning the National Council of Churches of Christ in the U.S.A.

After several suggestions were made for the perfection of the text (which were accepted by the Committee), the Rev. Mr. Nyberg, of Springfield, presented an amendment, withdrawing the Church from the National Council of Churches.

The Rev. Dr. Kean, of Washington, moved to table the amendment, and the motion was carried.

It was moved by the Rev. Mr. Gillett, of Quincy, that Resolve No. 1 be amended.

This amendment was defeated.

Mr. Reynolds Cheney, of Mississippi, presented a resolution requiring as a condition for financial support that no public pronouncement shall be made by the National Council of Churches.

Upon motion of Dr. Stone, of North Carolina, this amendment was tabled.

General Opie, of Southwestern Virginia, presented an amendment which was tabled.

Mr. Wilson, of Louisiana, moved to amend Resolve No. 2, providing for a new sub-committee for study of the Council. This amendment was tabled.

The Rev. Mr. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, presented a resolution to amend resolve No. 2, adding the words "educational literature, reading lists", following the words "statements" and preceding the words "and the like", in the columnar list #1. This resolution was adopted.

A motion was made to re-consider Report No. 22 of the Com-
mittee on Dispatch of Business to allow for the close of debate immediately. This motion was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Steinmetz, of New Jersey, presented a resolution to amend the last resolve by inserting the words “when in fact they did not” after the words “constituent Churches”.

A vote was taken by a division, with the following results:

Ayes, 290; Noes, 281.

Francis T. West, of Southwestern Virginia, presented a resolution, deleting part of the first resolve.

The Rev. Mr. Carthy, of Indianapolis, moved that this amendment be tabled. A division was called for, with the following results:

Ayes, 315; Noes, 269.

The amendment was tabled.

The Rev. Charles Wilcox, of Oklahoma, presented a resolution that the words “not professionally employed by this Church” be added following the words “of lay persons” and continuing with the words “nominated to our National Council”, which was adopted.

The Rev. Harold B. Robinson, of Los Angeles, presented the following amendment:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Convention recognizes the importance of having the NCCCUSA speak to Churches about the Christian implications of contemporary social, economic and political issues, but also declares no pronouncement or statement can without action by this Church . . .

This was adopted.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, offered an amendment to the previous motion, that the word “social” be dropped. This motion was defeated.

A motion to recess was made, but defeated; and the Very Rev. Leonard E. Nelson, of Louisiana, presented a resolution to amend resolve No. 2 by providing for the appointment of a special Joint Committee.

Mr. Musgrove, of Arizona, moved to table the amendment, and on being put to a vote, the motion carried, and the amendment was tabled.
The Rev. Mr. Pinckney, of Upper South Carolina, moved to re-commit the whole matter to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, but this was ruled out of order.

Mr. Crump, of Tennessee, moved an amendment, adding a new resolve requesting the NCCCUSA to adopt a self-denying ordinance on pronouncements pending study.

This was ruled out of order, but Mr. Crump appealed against the ruling of the Chair, and on a vote the Chair was over-ruled. The amendment was put to a vote and defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Gearhart, of Easton, moved to amend the resolution by deleting the words "to the churches" from line 2 of resolve 1. This amendment was defeated.

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, moved the previous question, and the taking of an immediate vote. This motion was carried.

A vote on the resolution, as amended, was put; and the resolution as amended was adopted unanimously, as follows:

WHEREAS, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America took a leading part in the organization of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America and has always actively supported it in the belief that it provides a vital and effective agency whereby the constituent churches, Episcopal, Orthodox, and Protestant, may without compromise of their particular beliefs and practices, work together for their common benefit, minister to human need, and further a united witness in this nation; and

WHEREAS, one responsibility of Christian leadership, generally, is to provide ways whereby Christian concern and conviction may be brought to bear upon the social, economic, and political problems which the world faces; and

WHEREAS, programs and studies made by the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America have been of great value to the departments of our own National Council and have saved our Church both time and money; and

WHEREAS, serious questions have been raised in some parishes about the manner in which certain pronouncements and statements on controversial topics have been issued from the office of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America with the authority thereof of the General Assembly and the General Board not made clear; and

WHEREAS, certain of these pronouncements and statements have seemed to many to have been issued as if they carried the endorsement of the several constituent churches when in fact they did not; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Convention recog-
nizes the importance of having the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America speak to the churches about the Christian implications of contemporary social, economic and political issues, but also declares that no pronouncement or statement can, without action by this Church's authority, be regarded as an official statement of this Church; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be instructed to make a study of the Structure, Program, and Finances of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America with special reference to the following matters:

1. The content, adoption procedure, and publication of pronouncements, statements, educational literature, reading lists, and the like;
2. The method of appointment or election of our representatives;
3. Increasing the proportion of lay participation;
4. Increasing the quorum of the General Board;

and to report the results of such study, with its recommendations, to the next General Convention; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be requested to increase insofar as possible the proportion of lay persons, not professionally employed by this Church, nominated to our National Council for appointment to this Church's delegation, both to the General Board and General Assembly of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, together with the Ecumenical Assistant to the Presiding Bishop (if there be one), achieve more effective participation and leadership in the work of the departments, divisions, and Boards of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America by the official representatives of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and by Episcopalians who serve on any of its departments, divisions or Boards; and to make more generally available to dioceses and parishes information concerning the activities of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 83].

The Secretary, on the Eighth Day, read House of Deputies Message No. 83, on the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America. The Bishop of West Virginia offered an amendment. The Bishop of Tennessee offered an amendment on the amendment. The amendment on the amendment, and the amendment itself, were not carried. The House concurred with House of Deputies Message No. 83.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 93.]
National Council, Nomination and Election of Members of

On the First Day, the President of the House of Deputies appointed members of the Joint Committee to Nominate Members of the National Council on behalf of the House of Deputies (See page 178).

On the Second Day, the Presiding Bishop appointed the following as members of the Joint Committee to Nominate Members of the National Council on behalf of the House of Bishops:

Bishop of Michigan
Bishop of North Dakota
Bishop of Northern California

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 27].

The message was received, and in acknowledging it the House of Bishops was informed regarding the members of the House of Deputies who had been appointed on behalf of this House to the Committee.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 24].

The Bishop of Michigan, on the Eighth Day, reporting for a Committee to Nominate Members for Election to the National Council, nominated the following:

The Bishop of South Florida
The Bishop of Ohio
The Bishop of Wyoming
The Bishop of Los Angeles

At the request of the Chair, on the Ninth Day, the Secretary appointed the Bishop of Haiti, the Bishop of Michigan, the Bishop of Lexington, and the Bishop of Texas, to serve as Tellers for the election of members to the National Council. Time was given for casting ballots.

The Bishop of Michigan, reporting for the Tellers, announced that the Bishop of South Florida and the Bishop of Wyoming had been elected to the National Council.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 123].

Dean Loegler, of Ohio, on the Ninth Day, for the Special Committee on the Nomination of Members for the National Council, presented its Report No. 2:

The Committee has held three meetings and two open hearings. It hereby unanimously nominates to serve on the National Council the following:
Two Presbyters for six year terms:
   The Rev. Edward G. Mullen of Alabama
   The Rev. Joseph S. Young of Oklahoma

One layman for three year term:
   Mr. Ernest W. Greene of Washington

Three laymen for six year terms:
   Mr. Hugh C. Laughlin of Ohio
   Mr. Stephen C. Shadegg of Arizona
   Mr. Byron S. Miller of Bethlehem

We move their election, subject to confirmation by the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 119].

The House confirmed the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 135].

Dean Loegler, of Ohio, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee to Nominate Members for the National Council, presented Report No. 3, which was adopted.

The Triennial Meeting has elected the following women as Nominees to General Convention to serve on the National Council for the ensuing triennium:

   Miss Leila Anderson of New York
   Mrs. John H. Foster of West Texas
   Mrs. John R. Newcomer of Arizona
   Mrs. Donald W. Pierpoint of Connecticut

We move that these women be elected.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 116].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 133].

Nicea and Chalcedon

The deputation of the Diocese of Georgia, on the Third Day, presented a resolution which was placed on the Calendar No. 17.

Item No. 17 was taken from the Calendar, on the Ninth Day, being the resolution presented by the Delegation from the Diocese of Georgia and reading as follows:
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church do affirm its belief in, and obedience to, the Christian faith as set forth by the Council of Nicea and affirmed at the Council of Chalcedon in 451 A.D., and as represented in the Pastoral Letter issued by the House of Bishops meeting in Dallas in the year of our Lord, 1960.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 136].

The House voted to concur with House of Deputies Message No. 136, with an amendment offered by the Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama to strike out the words “Council of Nicea and affirmed at the Council of Chalcedon in 451 A.D.” and substitute for them the words “Apostles and Nicene Creeds”.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 161].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 165].

North India

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations has considered Resolution #4 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations dealing with the United Church of North India and Pakistan, and recommends passage in the form submitted by the Joint Commission, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the following message be sent to the Metropolitan of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon in response to his inquiry whether the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America would be prepared to enter into relations of full communion with the proposed United Church of North India and Pakistan at their inauguration:

Your Grace:

In response to the brotherly inquiry contained in the letter sent by you through your chaplain on January 18, 1960, we are not able to say that the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America would be prepared to enter into relations of full communion with the United Church of North India and Pakistan at its inauguration. Our uncertainty does not arise from fundamental disagreement with the method proposed to achieve the great end of visible unity in the Church of God, but from confusions which we find in the present Plan. We agree that reunion will demand a humble bringing before God of our various ministries for such gifts of authority and commission as they need. Certainly only God can reconcile our differences and unify the diverse ministers now accepted in different communions.

But our solemn offering of our ministries for their reconciliation demands the clearest and most unambiguous words to describe our intentions
and hopes. We do not believe that the services of unification in their present form provide such clarity. Further, it is troubling us to find unresolved other matters of varying degrees of theological significance. Of these we would mention: the questioning of the validity of infant Baptism (Plan, Appendix B., Point 7); the (undefined) permission of Baptism by sprinkling; the permission for ministers not episcopally ordained to celebrate the Holy Communion; the possible admission of women to the presbyterate; the uncertain relation of standards of faith previously used, and still permitted, to the profession of faith of the United Church and the historic creeds; and the lack of a stated eucharistic liturgy combined with adequate theological description of the Lord's Supper (Plan, Appendix A.; II 10).

Certainly the quest for Church Unity in North India and Pakistan is of God. We hope for further progress and wish to give every encouragement towards this end.

The foregoing resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 46.]

The foregoing message was placed on the Calendar by the House of Bishops.

On the Tenth Day, the Message was considered and the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 152.]

Nuclear Reactor, Appreciation to the Members of the Committee on

Dr. William G. Pollard, on the Second Day, reported to the House of Bishops on the progress of building an atomic reactor for Japan, voted by the last General Convention. He showed pictures of the buildings already erected, and spoke of the good effect already to be noticed in the community where the structure had been built.

The Presiding Bishop expressed deep appreciation for the work done by Dr. Pollard and Bishop Peabody, and the gifts of our Church people in having made this reactor a reality.

The Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 9, offering the following resolution, which was adopted.


[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 168.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 180.]
Orthodox Churches, Conversations with

Mr. Anderson, of New York, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 14, which was adopted.

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has received resolutions from the Diocese of New York and from the Diocese of Maine, dealing with relations with the Eastern Orthodox Churches; it has given consideration to the history, progress and present status of such relations, and in particular the Pan Orthodox Conference which convened September 25, 1961, at Rhodes, where relations with the Anglican and Episcopal Churches are under consideration, and moves the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Protestant Episcopal Church continue its participation with other Churches of the Anglican Communion in conversations between them and toward greater unity among all who acknowledge Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 101.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 107.]

Orthodox Churches, Friendly Relationships with

The Bishop of Newark, on the Eighth Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, all Christian people should be deeply concerned for the cause of Christian Unity; and

WHEREAS, the Churches of the Anglican Communion have for centuries maintained relations of close friendship with the Orthodox Churches of the East; and

WHEREAS, the Lambeth Conference of 1958 resolved that "it sincerely hopes that the relations between Orthodox and Anglicans may grow ever closer, and that advantage will be taken of every opportunity to further that aim, both in the interests of Christian Unity and as an important contribution to understanding and confidence between peoples"; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in Detroit, Michigan, in September, 1961, instruct the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches to continue conversations with increasing concern with the proper representatives of the Orthodox Churches, seeking to increase the mutual understanding of Anglican and Orthodox theological positions; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, this General Convention urge the members of the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts to seek friendly relations and understanding with our Orthodox brethren locally.

The Resolution was adopted.
Philippine Independent Church,
Joint Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church and

The Bishop of the Philippines, on the Third Day, reporting for the Special Committee of the House of Bishops on the Proposed Concordat between the Philippine Independent Church and the Protestant Episcopal Church, offered the following resolutions:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that General Convention request the Presiding Bishop and the Obispo Maximo of the Philippine Independent Church, acting together to establish and appoint a Joint Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and the Philippine Independent Church with the Bishop of the Philippines as chairman, which Council shall have a total membership of fifteen, consisting of two bishops, two priests and two laymen from both the Philippine Episcopal Church and the Philippine Independent Church, two ex-officio members from the United States, one being from the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and one from the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and the Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion (Bishop Bayne), as an ex-officio member providing liaison with the entire Anglican Communion. And be it further resolved that the unused funds designated for the use of the special committee by the Dallas resolution of the House of Bishops be transferred to this proposed Joint Council, and that General Convention request National Council to make available to this proposed Joint Council, for purposes of implementing the proposed concordat, $50,000 for each of the years 1962-3-4, with these three year grants coming from the Committee on World Relief and Inter-Church Aid, or from other sources. Said annual grants of $50,000 would be used as follows:

1. For the expense of a Liaison Officer of the Protestant Episcopal Church to the Philippine Independent Church, resident in the Philippines, who would be appointed by the Presiding Bishop as his special representative and who would work intimately with the proposed Joint Council, thus relieving the Bishop of the Philippines of extra duties and providing a full time representative of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America on Philippine Independent Church affairs.

2. To finance for the proposed Joint Council the support of the personnel and the program of the Central Office of the Philippine Independent Church, as the proposed Joint Council directs.

3. To finance for the proposed Joint Council the retirement income for some of the elderly bishops and priests of the Philippine Independent Church, as the proposed Joint Council directs.

4. To finance for the proposed Joint Council Lay Leadership and Stewardship Training and Youth and College Work for both the Philippine Independent Church and the Philippine Episcopal Church.
5. To finance for the proposed Joint Council for “pilot” parishes of the Philippine Independent Church a plan to develop in selected areas the parochial and pastoral structures of the local congregation upon a sound theological and financial basis, which will mean a temporary subsidy for the support of the parish priest.

6. To finance for the proposed Joint Council a study to meet the needs of the two Churches, especially considering ways and means of strengthening the organization and stewardship of the Philippine Independent Church on the parochial, diocesan, and national level, and also considering other ways of strengthening both churches in their life and work together in full communion, such as the establishment of high schools and a college.

The resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 35.]

The Bishop of Minnesota moved that thanks be extended to the Bishop of the Philippines and other members of the committee for the work they have done in this matter.

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

On the Fifth Day, the Rev. Mr. Masuda, of Montana, for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, indicated that the Committee recommended the adoption of the above resolutions.

The Rev. Mr. Mullen, of Alabama, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, recommending concurrence with Message No. 35 of the House of Bishops, providing for a Joint Council for the two Churches in the Philippines, with an appropriation.

A motion was made to amend the resolution by striking the name of the Anglican Executive Officer but not the office and to change the word “further” on line 1, Section 6, to “meet.” Both of these amendments were accepted by the Committee.

The resolution as amended was put to a vote.

The House concurred, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 55.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 75.]
Philippine Independent Church

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, reported for the Joint Committee on Approaches to Unity. He offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Supreme Council of Bishops and the General Assembly of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente have passed resolutions proposing full communion with the Protestant Episcopal Church, and have agreed on the establishment of full communion on the basis of a mutually-accepted concordat similar to the Bonn Agreement existing between the Old Catholic and the Anglican Churches, and

WHEREAS, the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church has received the resolution of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente with deep thanksgiving in Christ, and unanimously recommended that such a concordat be entered into; and

WHEREAS, the Convention of the Philippine Episcopal Church has also recommended to the General Convention that this concordat be entered into; and

WHEREAS, the Declaration of the Faith, the Articles of Religion, the Constitution, Canons, and other official formularies of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente embody and affirm adherence to principles of faith and order, discipline and worship that mark it as a true part of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Protestant Episcopal Church agrees to the establishment of a relation of full communion with the Iglesia Filipina Independiente on the basis of mutual acceptance of the following concordat:

(1) Each Communion recognizes the catholicity and independence of the other and maintains its own.

(2) Each Communion agrees to admit members of the other Communion to participate in the Sacraments.

(3) Full Communion does not require from either Communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other, but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith.

The resolution was adopted unanimously.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 28].

The Bishop of Virginia offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that this agreement shall be communicated to the Obispo Maximo, the Supreme Council of Bishops and the General Assembly of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente with the assurance of our thanksgiving for the full measure of Christian fellowship thus achieved, and our confident hope in Christ that the mission of the two Churches will be widened and strengthened in the future by the full communion now established.
This was adopted unanimously.

The Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church expressed his sincere gratitude for this action of the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 29].

The foregoing Messages were referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Rev. Mr. Mullen, of Alabama, a former missionary to the Philippines, and a member of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented, on the Fifth Day, their Report No. 8, moving concurrence with Message No. 28 of the House of Bishops, and also Report No. 9 of the Committee, which moved concurrence with Message No. 29 of the House of Bishops.

The Rev. Mr. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, moved that the vote be taken by a rising vote. Mr. Bronson, of Minnesota, moved concurrence with an amendment, combining both resolutions into one, with the appropriate conjunctive, "and be it further."

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 57].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 77].

**Philippine Independent Church, Celebration of Holy Eucharist According to the Rite of**

(See p. 218 for action taken by House of Deputies).

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 59].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 68].

**Philippine Independent Church in Honolulu, Greetings to**

The Rev. Dr. Norris, of Vermont, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy:

There was referred to this committee a letter from two presbyters of the Philippine Independent Church in Honolulu, expressing their appreciation for the assistance given to them in their work by the clergy, and especially the Bishop, of Honolulu. The committee having considered this matter proposed the following:

WHEREAS, The 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church has received a letter of "warm aloha and Christian greeting," from
the Rev. Timoteo P. Quintero and the Rev. Jacinto B. Tabili of the Philippine Independent Church citing the consistently helpful assistance received from the Bishop and clergy of Honolulu; and expressing to this body their deep and lasting appreciation for the same: Now therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Secretary of General Convention send to the Rev. Timoteo P. Quintero and the Rev. Jacinto B. Tabili our brotherly greetings and appreciation of their kind consideration in addressing us.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 54].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 62].

Prayer Book Alteration for Use Outside of the United States

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana, on the Fourth Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that in those editions of the Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and Other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church, according to the use of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, together with the Psalter or Psalms of David, published for use in missionary jurisdictions of this Church which comprise sovereign states or nations independent of the United States of America, whether such editions are in English, or in some other language, the following alterations be authorized; to wit

The Title Page

The words “in the United States of America” shall be omitted, so that the Title Page shall read as follows:

| The Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and Other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church according to the use of The Protestant Episcopal Church Together with The Psalter or Psalms of David Psalms and Lessons for Special Occasions Morning Prayer |
The title of the seventh occasional service, comprising the words:  
"Independence Day" shall be omitted,  
and in their place shall be substituted the words,  
"National Holiday"  
(B.C.P., page xliii)  

Psalms and Lessons for Special Occasions  
Evening Prayer  

Occasions  
The title of the seventh occasional service, comprising the words:  
"Independence Day" shall be omitted,  
and in their place shall be substituted the words,  
"National Holiday"  
(B.C.P., page xliii)  

The Calendar  

July  
The words "Independence Day" shall be omitted.  
(B.C.P., page xlviii)  

A Prayer for the President of the United States  
and for all in Civil Authority  

(a) In the title, the words "of the United States" shall be omitted,  
so that the title shall read "A Prayer for the President, and all in Civil Authority"  
(b) In the prayer, the words "of the United States" shall be omitted,  
and in their place shall be substituted the words, "of this nation"  
(B.C.P., page 17)  

A Prayer for the President of the United States  
and all in Civil Authority (continued)  

Or this  

(c) In the prayer, the words "of the United States" shall be omitted,  
and in their place shall be substituted the words, "of this nation"  
(B.C.P., page 18)
A Prayer for the President of the United States and all in Civil Authority

(a) In the title, the words "of the United States" shall be omitted, so that the title shall read "A Prayer for the President, and all in Civil Authority"

(b) In the prayer, the words "of the United States, the Governor of this State" shall be omitted, and in their place shall be substituted the words, "of this nation"

A Prayer for Congress

In this prayer, the words "these United States" shall be omitted, and in their place shall be substituted the words, "this nation"

For Our Country

In this prayer, the words "the multitudes brought hither out of many kindreds and tongues" shall be omitted, and in their place shall be substituted the words, "all who dwell in our land"

For the Navy

In this prayer, the words "unto the United States of America" shall be omitted, and in their place shall be substituted the words, "unto our nation"

A Bidding Prayer

The words "the President of these United States, and for the Governor of this State" shall be omitted, and in their place shall be substituted the words, "the President of this nation"

A Bidding Prayer
(continued)
The words
"these United States"
and in their place shall be substituted the words,
"this nation"
(B.C.P., page 48)

The Litany
The words
"The President of the United States"
and in their place shall be substituted the words,
"The President of this nation"
(B.C.P., page 55)

Independence Day
(July 4)
In the title, the words
"Independence Day"
(July 4)
and in their place shall be substituted the words,
"National Holiday"
(B.C.P., page 263)

The Ordinal
In the title, the words
"in the United States of America,
as established by the Bishops, the Clergy, and Laity
of said Church, in General Convention
September, A.D., 1792."
so that the title on this page shall read:
"The Form and Manner of
Making, Ordaining, and Consecrating
Bishops, Priests, and Deacons
according to the Order of
The Protestant Episcopal Church"
(B.C.P., page 529)

The Consecration of Bishops
No change is recommended in the wording of the Promise of Conformity, either in the rubric, or in the Promise of Conformity itself.
Missionary Bishops of this Church, whether they serve in jurisdictions which comprise sovereign states or nations, or in jurisdictions comprising territories belonging to the United States of America, are, in any case, members of the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and, as such, ought to be required to make the Promise of Conformity.
(B.C.P., page 552)

Articles of Religion
In the title, the words
"as established by the Bishops, the Clergy, and the Laity of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, in Convention, on the twelfth day of September, in the year of our Lord 1801."

shall be omitted,

so that the title of this page shall read

"Articles of Religion"

(B.C.P., page 601)

This resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 2].

The foregoing Message was placed on the Calendar No. 8.

Item No. 8 was taken from the Calendar on the Ninth Day, being Message No. 2 of the House of Bishops, dealing with changes to the Book of Common Prayer for use in Foreign Lands.

Concurrence was moved, and the House concurred.

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on the Prayer Book, presented Report No. 11.

Your Committee has received requests from several Missionary Districts that the matter of the Title Page of the Prayer Book in overseas fields be reconsidered for greater accuracy. We support the request and move reconsideration.

The motion to reconsider was adopted.

Dean Lemoine then presented an amendment to the Title Page, which was adopted.

The House concurred, with amendments, to Message No. 2 of the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 137].

On motion of the Bishop of Newark, the House of Bishops requested a Committee of Conference on Message No. 137 concerning the Missionary Prayer Book, and the Chair appointed the Bishop of Connecticut and the Bishop of Haiti to serve on behalf of that House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 169].

The House of Deputies concurred, and the Chair appointed

The Rev. David Nyberg, of Springfield.
The Hon. Emmett Harman, of Liberia.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 173].
The Committee of Conference on the Prayer Book in Foreign Lands, reporting through the Rev. Mr. Demery of Central America, on the Eleventh Day, presented the following report:

The Committee of Conference requested by the House of Bishops in regard to message No. 137 of the House of Deputies reports that it has met and proposes the following amendment to message No. 2 from the House of Bishops as a substitute for the original amendment:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that in those editions of the Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and Other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church, according to the use of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, together with the Psalter or Psalms of David, published for use in missionary jurisdiction of this Church outside the continental United States of America, whether such editions are in English, or in some other language, the following alterations be authorized; to wit

THE TITLE PAGE

The words “The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America” shall be omitted, so that the Title Page shall read as follows:

The
Book of Common Prayer
and Administration of the Sacraments
and Other Rites and Ceremonies
of the Church
according to the Use of
(The Protestant Episcopal Church)
or
(the Canonical Title of the Church in that District)
Together with
The Psalter or Psalms of David

The Resolution was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 147].
The House concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 179].

Prejudice Inconsistent with Gospel

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, presented Report No. 13:

WHEREAS, prejudice is inconsistent with the Gospel of Jesus Christ; and

WHEREAS, God in His providence is leading this country towards a desegregated society; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Church, expressing penitence for marks of racial discrimination and segregation both in her past and present life and structure, take what steps she can to conform
herself to the reconciling comprehensiveness of the Body of Christ, specifically by recognizing ability in whomever it may be found, for example in considering persons for positions at national, diocesan and parochial levels here and abroad, and by encouraging studies in race relations and in the integrated society; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that dioceses and parishes be encouraged to establish worship and study programs in this area.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 99].

The foregoing Message was placed on the table. Upon motion, it was removed from the table on the Ninth Day.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 129].

Presbyterian Invitation

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, offered the following resolution:

Whereas, The United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America in its General Assembly of May, 1961, adopted the following resolution:

"1. The 173rd General Assembly of the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America meeting in Buffalo, New York, May 17-24, 1961, being convinced that in obedience to the Lord Jesus Christ the unity of His Church should be made more than fully manifest that it may be renewed by the Holy Spirit for its mission to our nation and to the world 'that the world may believe,' invites the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in General Convention in Detroit, Michigan, in this same year, to join us in an invitation to The Methodist Church and the United Church of Christ to explore the establishment of a united church truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical.

"Each Church giving or accepting the invitation is asked to authorize by its own procedures a committee of nine persons to negotiate a plan of union and further to authorize these representatives in cooperation with those of the several negotiating Churches to invite other Churches to appoint representatives, either to join them in the development of the plan or to sit with them as observers and consultants as they do their work together.

"It is understood that each Church will review the progress of the work at each meeting of its plenary body and that when a plan of union is agreed upon by the joint negotiating Committee, each Church will then decide whether to adopt it.

"2. The Stated Clerk of the General Assembly is directed to forward the terms of the resolution to the Right Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church.
"3. The Stated Clerk is further directed to write to Bishop Glenn R. Phillips, Chairman of the Commission on Church Union of the Methodist Church and to Dr. Fred Hoskins and to Dr. James F. Wagner, Co-Presidents of the United Church of Christ, letters indicating the hope of this General Assembly that, if the Protestant Episcopal Church accepts our invitation, their Churches will be in a position to respond favorably to the joint invitation provided for in resolution number I."

And

Whereas, The Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity is mindful that it has been instructed by every General Convention since 1934 to explore possibilities for organic union with Presbyterians, Methodists, and other interested bodies, on the basis of the (Chicago) Lambeth Quadrilateral; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America accept the invitation of The United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America to join with it in inviting The Methodist Church and the United Church of Christ to explore possibilities for an eventual united Church "truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical"; with the understanding that any proposal shall be referred to the General Convention for its consideration and action; and be it further

Resolved, That the General Convention authorizes the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to conduct these conversations (on the basis of the (Chicago) Lambeth Quadrilateral) on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church; to determine the size and nature of any sub-committee which shall from time to time take part; to notify the Stated Clerk of The United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America of our readiness to participate; and regularly to report the results of these conversations to the General Convention for its consideration; and be it further

Resolved, That the General Convention direct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to invite representatives of the Polish National Catholic Church, with whom we are in full communion in this country, as well as from time to time representatives of any Church, with which this Church is in full communion, to participate in the conversations."

This was adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 34].

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, on the Sixth Day, presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as follows:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has received Message No. 34 of the House of Bishops.

Your Committee has considered this message and unanimously recommends that this House concur.

There were several questions for clarification, after which the Rev. Charles D. Kean, D.D., of Washington, on behalf of the
Committee, and the Rev. Mr. Nyberg, of Springfield, for the opposition, began the debate. An amendment to the substance of the resolution was offered by the Rev. Charles Higgins of Arkansas, as follows:

The Second Resolve of the House of Bishops Resolution to be amended to read as follows:

Resolved, that the General Convention instruct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to negotiate for the immediate merger of the Overseas Department of the National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church with the corresponding departments of the United Presbyterian Church, the Methodist Church, and the United Church of Christ and to report the result of these negotiations to the General Convention for its consideration.

This amendment was defeated by a voice vote.

Before the conclusion of the time set for debate, the Rev. Dr. Kean moved that the debate cease following the rebuttal speakers, and that a vote be taken immediately. This motion was adopted.

A motion to amend the resolution as follows:

"Amend the first clause of the first resolution on page 3 to read as follows:

"Resolved, That the General Convention authorize the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to conduct these conversations on the basis of the Lambeth Quadrilateral on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church;""

was made and seconded. A voice vote being inconclusive, a division was called for, and the amendment was adopted:

Ayes, 357; Noes, 189.

This resolution had the effect of inserting the words "on the basis of the Lambeth Quadrilateral" in the first resolution.

A further amendment was offered inserting the words "Chicago" in both places in the resolution and preamble at which the Lambeth Quadrilateral was mentioned. This amendment was adopted by a voice vote. (See brackets in original resolution above)

Mr. Adams, of California, moved that an immediate vote be taken upon the original resolution as amended. The clerical deputation from the Diocese of Vermont requested a vote by orders and dioceses. On the motion, shall the House concur, with amendments, with the House of Bishops Message No. 34, the result of the vote by orders was as follows:

Clerical: Ayes, 66\(\frac{1}{4}\); Noes, 12\(\frac{3}{4}\); Divided, 4.
Lay: Ayes, 73\(\frac{3}{4}\); Noes, 6; Divided, 3.
REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON PROGRAM AND BUDGET
1961

INTRODUCTION

"Take care of him, and whatsoever thou spendest more" are the words of the Good Samaritan. Here is the expression of one deeply concerned. He had stopped by the side of the road to minister to the needs of a stranger. Having done so, he was going to see his responsibilities completely through. He knew that he would have to spend more.

Is the Church not in the same position? The Church has stopped by the side of the road to minister in many fields. Three years ago she adopted an imaginative budget which seized new opportunities that might not come again. To raise the budget required determination and effort, but the people of the Church were able to achieve the task and respond triumphantly.

The Church knows that there will be a lot of "spending more." Unless we are willing to spend more, much of what has been spent will have been
in vain. Programs long left half dead by the side of the road must receive not only two pence worth, but whatsoever thou spendest and more.

Review the proposed program for the next three years. See in this program fields overseas and at home that are moving toward self-support but if left now at two pence support will not only not be encouraged to self-support but will fall into retreat and defeat.

Never forget that this is the report of the Program and Budget Committee. Program comes first, budget next. In voting for this proposed program, visualize it as the Samaritan visualized his responsibility to the man by the side of the road. See the program as needs to be met, then see that only a budget of "thou spendest more" proportion can possibly meet those needs.

Let us tell you how we arrived at the figures now presented to you. The National Council presented us with a suggested budget for basic needs. This document, which was mailed to Bishops and Deputies, included many necessary increases and to it was added a schedule of Priority Opportunities that totalled $1,087,275 in 1963 and $1,962,740 in 1964. The reason behind this is easy to comprehend. We live in a time of danger and opportunity such as the world has never seen. It can only be described by the words extreme fluidity and rapid social change. The needs of the Church at home and abroad vary from place to place and also from year to year. How can anyone construct the budget for three years that God wants for the program that the Church needs?

We realize that a responsible Convention must plan accurately and precisely just as far as it can forecast future needs. At the same time there must be a way to seize opportunities that may never come again—opportunities for direct personal missionary work with human beings. The Committee decided that it must strike a balance between democratic Program and Budget control and the freedom to do some great work that is God's will for a specific year.

The National Council very wisely has created a Committee on Evaluation and Strategy to assist in long-range planning and the Council itself meets frequently enough to make the decisions required to spend the Church's money most wisely. Canon 4, Section 6(b) provides the National Council with the fluidity which it needs:

"... The Council shall have the power to expend all sums of money covered by the budget and estimated budgets approved by the Convention, subject to such restrictions as may be imposed by the General Convention, *it shall have power to undertake such other work provided for in the program approved by the General Convention, or other work under the jurisdiction of the Council, the need for which may have arisen after the action of the General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant.*"

For three days in June we had the benefit of conference with the Presiding Bishop himself and several executives of the National Council, and we discussed both policy and the specific items in the suggested budget. Again in the week before General Convention we conferred with virtually all missionary bishops from overseas and at home and with many other persons. We made two decisions. The first was to present a progressively increasing budget. This was proved effective in the last triennium. The other was to specify the priority opportunities and assign them to departments.
Every item has been carefully scrutinized. Where we could make deductions we have made them, and where services were not needed we have removed them. In other areas where there is great need increases have been made. We see in the organization of the National Council many evidences of increased efficiency, economy and correlation and congratulate the Presiding Bishop and others of the Council on this.

THE CHURCH'S PROGRAM

Here, in broad outline, is the Church's Program as we conceive it:

OVERSEAS

Major Emphasis is given to overseas work. This triennium will see a strengthening of every part of the field. Two strong emphases are evident: Education and Evangelism. This is dramatically seen in Latin America.

The Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Caribbean, located in Puerto Rico, is already in operation. From its beginning teaching is trilingual—in Spanish, French and English. For the first time in history the Church has gone into overseas Theological Education in strength. Soon the Brazilian Theological Seminary will move to Sao Paulo, an important industrial and cultural center where the future clergy will be in the main stream of the national life.

In Haiti, in Central America and in the Dominican Republic the schools will be greatly expanded, training the future leadership of the Church and looking toward the goal of an indigenous ministry of an indigenous Church.

Funds are provided for a Bishop in the Virgin Islands that this jurisdiction may be further developed. New work has been established in Ecuador under the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone and one priest is already in residence.

Puerto Rico is at present an unusually fertile field for evangelisation. The Bishop is being given the means to respond to these opportunities.

An essential part of the program of evangelism, quite as important as the provision of personnel, is the establishment in 1963 of a publication center in San Jose, Costa Rica, for the translation and publication of religious books and tracts in Spanish.

On the other side of the globe, provision is made for the election of a bishop in Taiwan. Here among a population of eleven million our schools and medical missions will be expanded.

While almost a token work in any one place, the policy of education is emphasized in our sharing in the work of other Anglican churches by providing a teacher in universities or Theological Seminaries in Capetown, South Africa, in Singapore, in Hong Kong, in Korea, and in Uganda.

The single largest missionary work of the Episcopal Church is in the Philippines. Here St. Andrew's Seminary, which trains the candidates of the Philippine Independent Church as well as our own, is being strengthened.

Cuttington College in Liberia has become a great institution of higher education. It continues to train all our Liberian clergy as well as the leaders of the nation in many fields. Emphasis is placed on the expanding of the lower schools of the Church also.

One of the neglected and crying needs of our overseas fields has been for buildings: churches, rectories, schools and parish houses. Without tools
our missionaries cannot work. It is impossible to meet the six million dollar backlog at once but the beginning made in 1960 and 1961 will be continued in the coming triennium, especially in the years 1963 and 1964 through sums made available to the Overseas Department for acquisition of land and building construction.

HOME

New and exciting things are happening in the Home field. Our domestic Missionary Districts continue to grow in size and effectiveness. We congratulate San Joaquin on achieving diocesan status, and Spokane on its financial independence which looks forward to the same goal.

Within the new Division of Domestic Mission there will be a strong and significant program designed to tackle the problems and needs of urban-industrial and inner city work. This is based on the realization that the very fabric of our national society, in all parts of the country, is undergoing complex and massive change as the result of industrialization, communication, and urban ways of life. There must be research, strategic planning, and action if the Church is to be effective, indeed to survive. A mounting demand has come from the urban areas of our Church, and this is our response to it. The program will be carried out under the guidance of a special Policy Committee, as provided in our Resolution No. 13.

Resettlement of thousands of American Indians has added a new dimension to our work among them. The program for work among the blind and the deaf is to be strengthened.

There has been a recent sudden increase in the number of our chaplains and our work in the Armed Forces Division, due to the unsettled condition of the world today. The budget has been increased to meet this vital need.

A long overdue increase for the American Church Institute schools for a pension system has been included in the budget, as well as a greatly increased program of College Work. We must take care of the phenomenal increase in the number of college students. The leaders of the next generation are walking through the colleges today in their most formative years. We must claim them for Christ.

THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION

For the first time the program includes a full-time executive in the field of Theological Education. He and his staff will carry on a thorough study of the needs and problems of all institutions for the education of clergy and church workers, give aid in recruitment, and establish needed liaison between the seminaries and the national Church.

CHRISTIAN EDUCATION

Recent triennia have seen a growth of concern for Christian education reflected in many aspects of the Church's life. The development of a curriculum is only one evidence of this concern. The curriculum is being constantly revised and this is a continuing process involving fundamental restudy.

Apparent increases chiefly reflect the National Council's new salary scale for lay employees and the distribution of their pension costs to all departments. These fall particularly heavily on this department. The only new area in the program is the Small Schools Project, which is greatly needed for the Church at home and overseas.
Almost every church report, newspaper editorial, or public address refers to "the changing social scene" or "pressing social issues." It is our conviction that Christianity is relevant to all the complex social problems of our day, and the Episcopal Church must continue to study, experiment and translate into action a positive program in many areas, such as Society and Alcohol, the Aging, the innercity ministry, institutional chaplaincies, youth counselling, and migrant workers. Refugees still need food, clothing, medicine and resettlement. Earthquakes and other disasters must be met with immediate response, and the Church has a primary responsibility to provide tangible help to those in distress.

The Episcopal

Three years ago this Convention authorized the experimental publication and pilot-testing of a new church magazine under the guidance of an Advisory Board of Churchpeople skilled in the field of communications.

The report of the Board has now been printed and distributed to all Bishops and Deputies. The Board has also submitted a request for funds to finance the continued publication and distribution of the magazine on a permanent basis. The Program and Budget Committee congratulates the Advisory Board and the Editor of The Episcopalian on the excellence of the job done and has recommended that the Convention approve the formation of a new corporation, separate from the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, to permit independent operation of this enterprise.

We have placed in the budgets for each year of the ensuing triennium amounts which we believe will enable the magazine to finance itself during this period and with the possibility that at the end thereof it may be self-sustaining.

Because the new corporation will need other financing which it will have to secure itself independently of the National Council, we believe it must be assured that the amounts voted by the Convention for its subsidy will not be subject to downward adjustment. While the required subsidies are large amounts, in no year do they represent as much as two per cent of the total budget.

Capital Needs

The Convention of 1958 adopted a proposal to include in the budget a lump sum appropriation for use by the National Council to meet the most urgent requirements of the Church for the acquisition of land and the construction of buildings. Complete discretion was given to the National Council as to the disposition of these funds. The National Council appropriated $485,000 under this item in 1960 and $750,000 in 1961. About one-half of the amounts appropriated have been designated for work overseas and the other half for the domestic field.

The Program and Budget Committee believes that this Convention should establish some broad statement of policy as regards priorities in the use of these funds, and has included its recommendation in Resolution No. 11.

We would point out that, in addition to this appropriation, the following funds are available, mostly for loans, which as soon as repaid permit new lending and construction:
We realize that we have not met all the requests for sorely needed construction projects but considerable sums can be had from the various sources.

**THE BUDGET**

To carry out the program, your Committee submits to General Convention the following Budget for the Triennium with 1961 figures listed for comparison:

### ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Department</td>
<td>$3,578,074</td>
<td>$3,963,532</td>
<td>$4,294,470</td>
<td>$4,581,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Department</td>
<td>2,185,700</td>
<td>2,428,743</td>
<td>2,647,060</td>
<td>2,791,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Education</td>
<td>532,726</td>
<td>565,421</td>
<td>578,221</td>
<td>577,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Social Relations</td>
<td>233,950</td>
<td>285,486</td>
<td>285,486</td>
<td>285,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion Department</td>
<td>429,911</td>
<td>476,126</td>
<td>500,126</td>
<td>500,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance Department</td>
<td>222,800</td>
<td>233,600</td>
<td>233,600</td>
<td>233,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration Costs</td>
<td>605,450</td>
<td>660,833</td>
<td>749,333</td>
<td>725,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Organizational Units</td>
<td>421,611</td>
<td>528,869</td>
<td>578,169</td>
<td>581,669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER APPROPRIATIONS:

**The Episcopalian**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>86,800</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>192,000</td>
<td>159,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**World Relief and Interchurch Aid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>373,522</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>430,000</td>
<td>440,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revolving Loan Fund and Grants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>900,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**American Church Building Fund**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**American Churches in Europe**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9,900</td>
<td>10,400</td>
<td>10,400</td>
<td>10,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interdenominational Agencies (in addition to $130,799 in Dept. Budgets)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>98,375</td>
<td>127,250</td>
<td>127,250</td>
<td>127,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contingent and Reserve**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81,000</td>
<td>70,500</td>
<td>100,500</td>
<td>110,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$9,609,819</td>
<td>$10,504,760</td>
<td>$11,496,615</td>
<td>$12,104,147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ESTIMATED RECEIPTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quotas of Dioceses and Districts</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$8,498,932</td>
<td>$9,434,760</td>
<td>$10,426,615</td>
<td>$11,034,147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Allocation from United Thank Offering**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>398,084</td>
<td>415,000</td>
<td>415,000</td>
<td>415,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Income from Trust Funds:**

- Funds Managed by the Church
  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
  | 625,000 | 625,000 | 625,000 | 625,000 |

- Funds Managed by others
  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |

- Miscellaneous
  | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 |
  | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |

**Appropriation from 1960 Budget Income**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57,803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Income and Allocations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
<th>1964</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$9,609,819</td>
<td>$10,504,760</td>
<td>$11,496,615</td>
<td>$12,104,147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION NO. 1
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Budget in the amount of $10,504,760 submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget be adopted for 1962, subject to revision by the National Council in view of the response of the Dioceses and Districts to the quotas assigned them under the authority of General Convention.

RESOLUTION NO. 2
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Budget in the amount of $11,496,615 submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget be adopted for 1963, subject to revision by the National Council in view of the response of the Dioceses and Districts to the quotas assigned them under the authority of General Convention.

RESOLUTION NO. 3
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Budget in the amount of $12,104,147 submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget be adopted for 1964, subject to revision by the National Council in view of the response of the Dioceses and Districts to the quotas assigned them under the authority of General Convention.

RESOLUTION NO. 4
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be, and hereby is, instructed, before February 15, in each year of the Triennium, to adjust the Budget in a sum reasonably within the total expectations plus other anticipated income, thus maintaining the pay-as-you-go principle throughout the Triennium.

RESOLUTION NO. 5
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that in all matters of Budget revision the National Council be urged to protect the missionary salaries and increase therein and missionary travel items contained in the Budget for the next Triennium, thereby making them the last to suffer reduction.

RESOLUTION NO. 6
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that an appropriation of $9,000 for the Triennium 1962, 1963 and 1964 be included in the Budget of General Convention for the work of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

RESOLUTION NO. 7
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council is requested to assign to appropriate Departments or Units the responsibility for reviewing and appraising the requests for financial assistance from all agencies and organizations not under the administrative control of the Council.

RESOLUTION NO. 8
RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that every Episcopal organization owned by the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of this Church, organized by action of the General Convention or the National Council, shall be required to file an annual audited report with the Treasurer of the National Council, who will then have these published and distributed annually to Bishops and Clerical and Lay Deputies.
RESOLUTION NO. 9

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention urge all members of the Church to practice tithing as a basic Christian responsibility and privilege; and be it further

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention commend to all vestries the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their parishes to work outside their parishes on National, Diocesan and local programs; and be it further

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention commend to the Conventions and Convocations of all Dioceses and Missionary Districts the goal of giving to the National Church an amount equal to that which is expended upon Diocesan and District programs.

RESOLUTION NO. 10

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Department of Christian Social Relations be requested to promote and give full publicity to the Presiding Bishop's Fund for World Relief, and to keep the Church informed as to the expenditure for World Relief and Interchurch Aid during the Triennium.

RESOLUTION NO. 11

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be requested to use the following guides in making appropriations from the budget item entitled "Revolving Loan Fund and Grants."

1. Priority shall be given to loans and grants to meet the needs of Missionary Districts.

2. Loans and grants to Dioceses shall be made only in cases of inability to obtain funds from other sources on reasonable terms.

3. Loans made from this budget item in the next triennium shall be considered as assets of a fund to be called the Revolving Loan Fund, which is to be used to make additional loans, subject also to the above restrictions.

RESOLUTION NO. 12

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be requested to give consideration to the policy of charging interest on loans made from all funds at its disposal.

RESOLUTION NO. 13

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that during the ensuing Triennium all funds allocated by the Budget, as approved by the 60th General Convention, to the Division of Domestic Missions under the Home Department of National Council for "New Work in the Inner City," as well as all funds allocated thereby to the Division of Church Community Studies under the Department of Christian Social Relations of National Council for "Inner City Studies," shall be expended primarily for the purpose of staffing, evolving, evaluating and promoting the execution of a realistic, effective program of our Church, on the national level, which is primarily designed: (a) to initiate, promote and correlate research indicating the reaction of the rapidly changing work forces and living patterns of our industrial society to the Protestant Episcopal Church working through the Inner City Church; (b) to develop strategy and leadership by which the Inner City Church may more precisely relate its opportunity
for Christian witness to these changing forces and patterns; (c) to train skilled field workers, both clerical and lay, in resolving the problems of the Inner City Church in an industrialized society; (d) to stimulate vocation for work in this field; (e) to urge upon our Dioceses and Missionary Districts the development of diocesan, district and parish programs to meet and resolve this opportunity on those levels; and, further be it

RESOLVED, The House of Bishops concurring, that such program shall be carried out under the policy guidance, and in consultation with, a Policy Committee on the Church and City appointed by the Presiding Bishop from the ranks of our Churchpeople, either clerical or lay, concerned with the resolution of the problem confronting the Inner City Church.

CONCLUSION

The good Samaritan was concerned. He not only translated his concern into action but took responsibility for continuing needs.

Ours is a concerned Church, sensitive to many different places and interests. We call this concern the Church's Mission.

Some of us serve in fields specifically designated as Missionary Districts. As we review and vote on this proposed budget, let us realize that all of us, wherever we may live and work, are missionaries, challenged to tell others of this Program, in order that we and they may respond.

Last week a deputy to the General Convention approached an overseas worker and asked, "Are you one of the missionaries?" The reply was, "Yes, I am, aren't you?"

IN MEMORIAM

M. BLAND MITCHELL

WHEREAS, The Right Reverend R. Bland Mitchell, Bishop of the Diocese of Arkansas, was translated from the Church militant to the Church expectant on March 7, 1961; and

WHEREAS, Bishop Mitchell had served as a member of this Joint Committee at the General Convention of 1943, and was its Chairman at the Convention of 1946; be it

Resolved, That we place on record our gratitude to Almighty God for his leadership in setting forward the Program of the Church, and his many years of faithful and loyal service to the Church as a Priest and Bishop.

May he win with thee, O Lord, and thy servants everywhere, the eternal victory.

EDMUND P. DANDRIDGE

WHEREAS, The Right Reverend Edmund P. Dandridge, Bishop of the Diocese of Tennessee, was translated from the Church militant to the Church expectant on January 28, 1961; and

WHEREAS, Bishop Dandridge has served as a member of this Joint Com-
mittee at the General Convention of 1949, and was its Chairman at the Convention of 1952; be it

Resolved, That we place on record our deep appreciation of the leadership which he gave to the Program of the Church, to the education of the clergy, and to many other areas of the Church's work during his many years as Priest and Bishop.

May he go from strength to strength, O Lord, in the life of perfect service in thy heavenly Kingdom.

IN MEMORIAM

FREDERICK L. BARRY

WHEREAS, The Right Reverend Frederick L. Barry, Bishop of the Diocese of Albany, was translated from the Church militant to the Church expectant on October 5, 1960; and

WHEREAS, Bishop Barry had served as a member of this Joint Committee at the General Conventions of 1949, and 1952, and 1955; be it

Resolved, That we record with gratitude to Almighty God his devoted labors as parish Priest and Bishop, and as a diligent and faithful member of this Committee.

Grant him, O Lord, an entrance into the land of light and joy, in the fellowship of thy saints.

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas, on the Eighth Day, for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget presented the foregoing report, and moved Resolution No. 1, being the budget for 1962.

It was moved by the Rev. Mr. Smyth, of North Carolina, to amend by increasing the item under "Capital Needs" for "Revolving Loan Funds and Grants", by $400,000.00; from $500,000.00 to $900,000.00. This motion was defeated.

After considerable discussion, questions and clarifications, the Rev. Mr. Bedell, of Florida, moved that a vote be taken at once. The motion was carried.

Resolutions No. 1 through 8, as printed above, were put to a vote and adopted seriatim.

Resolution No. 9, dealing with tithing and responsible sharing between parishes and dioceses, and dioceses and the national Church, was moved.

Dr. Alexander, of North Carolina, proposed the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Resolution No. 9 be amended by deleting, in line 3, the words "to the National Church" and
substituting therefor "to work outside the Diocese or Missionary District concerned."

This was put to a vote and defeated.

A motion to recommit Resolution No. 9 to the Joint Committee was defeated.

Mr. Walter E. Cooper, of New Jersey, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Resolution No. 9 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget be amended by the addition of the following words, to be added to the first paragraph after the word "privilege" on the third line "the responsibility of tithing being fulfilled by sacrificial giving, having in mind the extremely urgent requirements of the Church in spreading and maintaining the gospel of our Lord, the needs of our more unfortunate brethren, and that all we possess has been entrusted to us by God as stewards for His purposes";

The Rev. Dr. Pollard, of Tennessee, moved that the House proceed to vote immediately. This motion was carried.

The vote on the Cooper amendment was taken and the amendment was defeated.

The previous question was called for, and the vote on Resolution No. 9 was had, and it was adopted, as printed above.

Resolutions No. 10 through 12 were each put to a vote and adopted, as printed above.

Resolution No. 13 on the Inner City, was presented with amendments which had been accepted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, so that it read as follows:

RESOLUTION No. 13

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that during the ensuing Triennium all funds allocated by the Budget, as approved by the 60th General Convention, to the Division of Domestic Mission under the Home Department of National Council for "New Work in the Inner City," shall be expended primarily for the purpose of staffing, evolving, evaluating and promoting the execution of a realistic, effective program of our Church, on the national level, which is primarily designed: (a) to initiate, promote and correlate research indicating the reaction of the rapidly changing work forces and living patterns of our industrial society to the Protestant Episcopal Church working through the Inner City Church; (b) to develop strategy and leadership by which the Inner City Church may more precisely relate its opportunity for Christian witness to these changing forces and patterns; (c) to train skilled field workers, both clerical and lay, in resolving the problems of the Inner City Church in an industrialized society; (d) to stimulate vocations for work in this field; and (e) to urge upon our Dioceses and Missionary Districts the development of diocesan, district and parish programs to meet and resolve this opportunity on those levels; and, further, be it
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that such program shall be carried out under the policy guidance, and in consultation with, a Policy Committee on the Church and City appointed by the Presiding Bishop from the ranks of our Churchpeople, either clerical or lay, concerned with the resolution of the problem confronting the Inner City Church.

This was put to a vote and adopted as amended.

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas, thereupon moved the adoption of the report as a whole, and it was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 105.]

The foregoing Message was considered by the House of Bishops on the Ninth Day. The House concurred with Resolutions No. 1 through No. 7, as printed above.

Resolution No. 8 was considered, and the House concurred but made the following amendment on motion of the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago:

Delete the words, “who will then have these published and distributed annually to Bishops and Clerical and Lay Deputies”.

The motion was carried.

Resolution No. 9:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention urge all members of the Church to practice tithing as a basic Christian responsibility and privilege; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention commend to all vestries the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their parishes to work outside their parishes on National, Diocesan and local programs; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention commend to the Conventions and Convocations of all Dioceses and Missionary Districts the goal of giving to the National Church an amount equal to that which is expended upon Diocesan and District programs.

The House concurred but made the following amendments on motion of the Bishop of Upper South Carolina:

After the words “all vestries” in the second resolve, insert the words “and mission committees”, and after the words “income of their parishes” insert the words “and missions”, and after the words “outside their parishes” insert the words “and missions”.

Resolution No. 10:

The House concurred in Resolution No. 10, as printed above.
Resolution No. 11:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be requested to use the following guides in making appropriations from the budget item entitled "Revolving Loan Fund and Grants."

1. Priority shall be given to loans and grants to meet the needs of Missionary Districts.

2. Loans and grants to Dioceses shall be made only in cases of inability to obtain funds from other sources on reasonable terms.

3. Loans made from this budget item in the next triennium shall be considered as assets of a fund to be called the Revolving Loan Fund, which is to be used to make additional loans, subject also to the above restrictions.

The Bishop of Idaho presented an amendment to strike out Paragraph 1 and add to Paragraph 2 the words "and Missionary Districts" after the word "Dioceses".

The Bishop of Chicago moved an amendment to this amendment, that Paragraph 1 and 2 be deleted. The amendment to the amendment was lost.

The amendment was carried.

The House voted not to concur with Resolution No. 11.

Resolution No. 12:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that the National Council be requested to give consideration to the policy of charging interest on loans made from all funds at its disposal.

The House did not concur.

Resolution No. 13:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that during the ensuing Triennium all funds allocated by the Budget, as approved by the 60th General Convention, to the Division of Domestic Mission under the Home Department of National Council for "New Work in the Inner City," as well as all funds allocated thereby to the Division of Church Community Studies under the Department of Christian Social Relations of National Council for "Inner City Studies", shall be expended primarily for the purpose of staffing, evolving, evaluating and promoting the execution of a realistic, effective program of our Church, on the national level, which is primarily designed: (a) to initiate, promote and correlate research indicating the reaction of the rapidly changing work forces and living patterns of our industrial society to the Protestant Episcopal Church working through the Inner City Church; (b) to develop strategy and leadership by which the Inner City Church may more precisely relate its opportunity for Christian witness to these changing forces and patterns; (c) to train skilled field workers, both clerical and lay, in resolving the problems of the Inner City Church in an industrialized society; (d) to stimulate vocations for work in this field; and (e) to urge upon our Dioceses and Missionary Districts the development of diocesan, district and parish programs to meet and resolve this opportunity on those levels; and, further, be it
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that such program shall be carried out under the Policy guidance, and in consultation with, a Policy Committee on the Church and City appointed by the Presiding Bishop from the ranks of our Churchpeople, either clerical or lay, concerned with the resolution of the problem confronting the Inner City Church.

The Bishop of Bethlehem moved the word "policy" preceding the word "guidance" in the second resolution be deleted, and the words "Policy Committee" be changed to read "Advisory Committee". The amendment was carried.

The Bishop of Texas moved that, in section (a) of the first resolution, the words "working through the Inner City Church" be deleted, and, in section (b) and (c), the words "Inner City" be deleted. This amendment was not carried.

The House concurred, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 122, as follows:]

"The House of Bishops informs the House of Deputies that it has concurred with Resolutions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10 of Message No. 105.

The House of Bishops has not concurred with Resolutions 11 and 12.

The House of Bishops concurred with Resolution 8 with the following amendment: deleting the words following 'National Council.'

The House of Bishops concurred with Resolution 9 with the following amendments: inserting the words 'and Mission Committees' following the words "Vestries" in the second resolution; by adding the words 'and missions' following the word 'parishes' in two places on line 3.

The House of Bishops concurred with Resolution 13 with the following amendment: by removing the word 'policy' in line 2 of the second resolution, and changing the word 'policy' to 'Advisory' in line 3."

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas, for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, asked for a Committee of Conference, with the House of Bishops, on the amendments in Message No. 122.

This was adopted.

The President appointed on behalf of the House of Deputies the following persons to serve on the Committee of Conference when it should be set up:

The Very Rev. Lester Skerry Olsen, D.D.
The Rev. Maurice F. Arnold
Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin
Mr. Charles B. Crouse
Mr. Theodor Oxholm

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 120.]
The House of Bishops received the request from the House of Deputies to appoint a Committee of Conference regarding House of Bishops Message No. 122. The Chair appointed the following to serve:

The Bishop of Wyoming
The Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of Florida
The Bishop of Oklahoma
The Bishop of Massachusetts

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 136.]

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee of Conference with the House of Bishops, presented Report No. 1 of the Committee, with the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House approves the report of the Joint Committee of Conference, and concurs with the House of Bishops in the resolution contained in their Message No. 122, as amended, and agreed upon in a Joint Committee of Conference. (See report below).

Dean McClenaghan, of Northern California, moved to amend the report of the Committee by deleting the Resolve, (No. 11, paragraph 1), leaving priorities to the National Council in view of need, without prejudice to dioceses.

The amendment was defeated, and the motion to concur as recommended by the Committee, was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 140.]

The House concurred with House of Deputies Message No. 140 containing amendments to House of Bishops Message No. 122, dealing with the Report on Program and Budget, as agreed upon by a Joint Committee of Conference. The amendments are as follows:

Resolution No. 8:

That Resolution No. 8 appended to the Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget of General Convention shall be amended to read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that every Episcopal organization owned by the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of this Church, organized by action of the General Convention or the National Council or receiving funds from the General Convention or the National Council, shall be required to file an audited report with the Treasurer of the National Council.

Resolution No. 9:

That the second "Resolved" of Resolution No. 9 of the same Report shall be amended to read as follows:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that this 60th General Convention commend to all vestries and mission committees the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their parishes or missions to work outside their parishes or missions on National, Diocesan and local programs:

Resolution No. 11:

That paragraph No. 2, figure 2, of Resolution No. 11 appended to the same Report be amended as follows:

"2. Loans and grants to Dioceses and Missionary Districts shall be made only in cases of inability to obtain funds from other sources on reasonable terms:"

and the remainder of Resolution No. 11 shall remain as in the original Report.

Resolution No. 12:

That Resolution No. 12 appended to said Report shall be deleted.

Resolution No. 13:

That Resolution No. 13 as appended to said Report shall be re-named and known as Resolution No. 12 and the second "RESOLVED" thereof shall be amended to read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that such program shall be carried out under the guidance of, and in consultation with, an Advisory Committee on the Church and City appointed by the Presiding Bishop from the ranks of our Churchpeople, either clerical or lay, concerned with the resolution of the problem confronting the Inner City Church."

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 157.]

Program and Budget Committee, Authorization of, for 1964 General Convention

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the Eighth Day, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, presented the following report which was adopted.

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that there be a Program and Budget Committee for the 1964 General Convention, consisting of six bishops, six presbyters, and twelve laymen; and that the said committee be authorized to meet prior to the General Convention, at such time and place as the Convener may designate, to consider the Program and Budget proposed by the National Council for the triennium of 1965-68.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 100.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 106.]
Propers for the Minor Holy Days, A Book of

Dean Lemoine, of Long Island, for the Committee on the Prayer Book, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 8, which was adopted.

Regarding the alteration of the Prayer Book in regard to the proposed supplement of Collects, Epistles and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts, known as A Book of Propers for the Minor Holy Days, and approved by the 1958 General Convention, the Committee on the Prayer Book presents the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this Convention commend the Standing Liturgical Commission for its work on the several and various studies on The Book of Common Prayer; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the proposed supplement of Collects, Epistles and Gospels for The Lesser Feasts and Fasts be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission for further study and a report to be made in due time.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 148.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 164.]

Prospective Locations for Future General Conventions

General Rock, of South Florida, on the Eleventh Day, presented, by unanimous permission, the following Joint Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the officers of the General Convention be requested to appoint a committee to explore and engage in preliminary negotiations with possible host dioceses and convention bureaus of cities having proper and sufficient facilities to accommodate both the General Convention and Triennial meeting of the Women of the Church as prospective locations for the 62nd General Convention; in order that the 61st General Convention may have full information for determining the location of the 62nd General Convention.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 174.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 184.]

Quotas, Joint Committee on the Study of

The Bishop of South Florida, on the Tenth Day, reporting for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, offered the following Resolution, which was adopted.
Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that a Joint Committee on the study of Quotas be appointed, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen to study the whole matter of support of the Missionary Program by Dioceses, to report back to the next General Convention with specific suggested canonical changes designed to make possible a Financial Partnership Plan between Dioceses and the National Council.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 155.]

The House concurred, with the following amendment:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the sum of $3,000.00 be appropriated for the expense of the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas.

An amendment to reduce this to $1,000.00 was defeated.

The original resolution and the amendment were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 161.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 175.]

Registrar, Election of


[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 50.]

Acting on this nomination, on the Fifth Day, the House of Deputies elected the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger as Registrar of the General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 58.]

The Registrar's Report, for the period 29 June 1958 to 20 July 1961, will be found in the Appendix.

Recorder

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, on the Fifth Day, the Church Pension Fund was nominated to serve as Recorder of Ordinations.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 64.]
Acting on this nomination, on the Seventh Day, the House of Deputies elected The Church Pension Fund as Recorder.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 79.]

The report of the Recorder for 1958, 1959 and 1960, will be found in the Appendix.

Rules of Order—House of Deputies

Dean Brant, of Eau Claire, on the Third Day, made the following report:

The Committee on Rules of Order has considered two additions to Rule 32 as presented by Mr. Charles M. Crump, of Tennessee.

The Committee recommends adoption of the following:

Resolved, That Rule 32, paragraph 2, have added, “If there be a minority report of such Joint Committee or Joint Commission, a member of such minority shall be afforded an opportunity to make an oral summary on the floor of the House.”

And, add to the third paragraph of Rule 32 the following: “The appropriate Committee of the House to which such report has been referred shall afford an opportunity for the Chairman or other member of such Joint Commission (and if there be a minority report, a member of that minority) to appear before such Committee prior to its reporting to the floor of the House.”

This resolution was put to an immediate vote and adopted.

The Very Rev. Gordon Brant, on the Third Day, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee of Rules of Order:

With the adoption of the new Rule 12 of the “Joint Rules as to Joint Committees”, creating a “Joint Committee on Expenses”, the Committee moves the following changes and deletions in the Rules of Order to bring them into conformity with Joint Rule No. 12:

Rule 8, Omit XVI, referring to Committee on Expenses, and renumber the remaining sections.

Rule 9, Omit V, 9, referring to Committee on Expenses, and renumber remaining items in Section V.

Change Section VI to read:

VI. Reports on Joint Committees and Joint Commissions in the following order:

1. Joint Committee on Expenses.
2. Other Joint Committees.
3. Joint Commissions.
Rule 14. Change "Committee on Expenses" to read "Joint Committee on Expenses."

Rule 48. Omit, as it is covered by the new Joint Rule.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Cole, of Missouri, on the Eleventh Day, for the Committee on the Rules of Order, presented three reports, which were adopted.

The Committee on Rules of Order moves the following changes in the Rules of Order:

*Change Rule No. 8, XXI (to be XX) On Ecumenical Relations, "to consist of six Presbyters and nine Lay Deputies."

*Change Rule No. 8, XVIII (to be XVII) On Dispatch of Business, "to consist of six members."

Add to Rule No. 8 to be known as Rule XXIII (to XXII) a new Standing Committee on "Urban and Suburban Work, to consist of three Presbyters and three Lay Deputies."

and, add to the close of Rule No. 8 the following:

"The President may also appoint Study Committees related to the work of the National Council and such Special Committees as he deems desirable."

*And under Rule No. 9, to be added as No. 22 (to be No. 21) As "Urban and Suburban Work."

The Committee on Rules of Order moves the following changes and additions to the Rules of Order of this House:

*Change Rule 13, to read "Except by leave of the House, no member shall speak more than twice in the same debate, nor longer than five minutes at one time."

*Remove from Rule 18, paragraph three the matter between the parenthesis reading "including all amendments then pending" so the Rule will read "Upon the arrival of the time when a vote is to be taken pursuant to a previous vote, the main question shall be put, and no debate shall then be in order nor any motion except to adjourn."

*To strike out from Rule No. 21, section (a) item (2) reading "To recommit, without instructions, any pending report" and to renumber the items following therefrom.

*Change Rule No. 39 to read as follows: "Seats upon the platform shall be occupied by officers of the House of Deputies, by the Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, and such other persons as may be invited by the President or authorized by vote of the House."

*Strike out from Rule No. 40 in the second line "or of the General Convention" so the Rule will read "No one shall be admitted to the floor except members and officers of this House; . . . . ."

The Committee on Rules of Order met and considered the amendment
to Rule 8, Section I on the State of the Church offered by the Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer, of Iowa, and moves the following:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies amend the composition of the Committee on the State of the Church by striking out the word "Deputies" after the word "two" following the comma in line two, and inserting the words "Clerical Deputies and two Lay Deputies" so that Rule 8, Section I would then read:

"On the State of the Church (to consist of two Clerical Deputies and one Lay Deputy from each Province, two Clerical Deputies and two Lay Deputies from the Foreign Missionary Districts, and one Deputy from the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe)."

The Committee on Rules of Order moves that the changes made by these reports be in order at the close of the Convention.

This motion was adopted.

Dean Cole, of Missouri, on the Eleventh Day, for the Committee on Rules of Order, presented the following report:

AMENDMENT TO RULE 2,

Resolved, that Rule 2 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended by striking the first sentence thereof and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"The President having taken the chair, the roll of members shall be called whenever so ordered, without debate, by a majority of those present."

EXPLANATION OF AMENDMENT TO RULE 2

The first part of the first sentence of Rule 2 is inconsistent with Canon I, Sec. 1 (a) which provides in part

"At the time and place appointed for the meeting of the General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies, or, in his absence a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the President of the House or if there be none such a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the members from the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee of Arrangements appointed by the preceding General Convention for the next General Convention, shall call to order the members present, and record the names of those whose testimonials, in due form, shall have been presented to him, which record shall be prima facie evidence that the persons whose names are therein recorded are entitled to seats. If there be a quorum present, according to the record, the Secretary shall so declare, and the House shall proceed to organize by the election by ballot of a President from the members of the House, and of a Secretary; and a majority of all the votes cast shall be necessary to an election."

This Canon permits recording of testimonials as prima facie evidence of the presence of the Deputies. By amending the first sentence of Rule 2 there will be no roll call unless a majority present require it, either on the first or any subsequent day.

The President, as Chairman of the Committee, explained the import of the resolution, which was adopted.
Rules of Order—Joint

Dean Brant, of Eau Claire, on the Third Day, presented the following report:

The Committee on Rules of Order has considered the changes in Rules of Order recommended in the Report of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention.

The Committee recommends the adoption of the following:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, That there be a new Joint Rule of Order, as follows:

There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention, to consist of five bishops, five presbyters, and eight laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and Treasurer of the General Convention ex officio, but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the latter approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction or elimination of any item, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

The resolution was put to an immediate vote and was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 27.]

The House conurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 39.]

San Joaquin, Erection of as Diocese

Mr. Kite, of Rhode Island, on the Second Day, for the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, presented Report No. 2, giving consent to the erection of a new Diocese of San Joaquin:

Your committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, to whom has been referred the petition of the Missionary District of San Joaquin to be admitted as a diocese, beg leave to report that they have gone carefully over the petition and certified copy of the Constitution of the Missionary District, have had an interview with the deputies of the Missionary District, the Rev. Victor M. Rivera and Mr. Robert J. Newell, and have found that all the necessary constitutional and canonical requirements found in Article V, Section 1, of the Constitution and Canon 9, Section 4, have been fulfilled.

We hereby present the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention hereby gives consent to the erection of a new diocese to be known as the Diocese of San Joaquin; such new diocese to include all the territory now included in the Missionary District of San Joaquin, and be it further
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Diocese of San Joaquin be accepted into union with the General Convention upon its organization as a Diocese in Primary Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 14.]

The President called for the Deputies from the Missionary District of San Joaquin, and the other clerical and lay observers from that Missionary District to come forward and introduced them to the House.

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on New Dioceses, which moved concurrence on the Second Day. The Bishop of Northwest Texas spoke of the achievements of the Bishop of the new Diocese of San Joaquin.

The Bishop of Arizona expressed the pride and gratitude of the members of the Eighth Province in the life and work of the Bishop of San Joaquin.

The Bishop of California extended the greetings of his diocese to his neighboring diocese of San Joaquin.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 17.]

Secretary of General Convention

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the First Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that in accordance with Canon 1, Sec. 1 (i), the Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter, Secretary of the House of Deputies, be and hereby is elected as Secretary of the General Convention and editor of the Convention Journal.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 4.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 12.]

Society and Alcohol, Joint Commission on

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Seventh Day, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations, which was adopted:
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the recommendation of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol establishing a Division of Alcohol Education within the Department of Christian Social Relations and the employment of an Executive Secretary of the Division be approved; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention recommend to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget to make provision in the budget of the coming triennium for an Executive Secretary of Alcohol Education within the Department of Christian Social Relations; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol be accepted and that the Commission be discharged.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 77.]

The foregoing Message was tabled until the Ninth Day, when the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, reporting for the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol, moved that it be taken from the table. He offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That this House do not concur with the first and second resolutions of Message No 77 of the House of Deputies.

This resolution was adopted.

The House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 138.]

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina offered the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That this House concur with the Third resolution contained in Message No. 77 of the House of Deputies.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 139.]

South Florida, Request for Permission to Elect Suffragan Bishop

Item No. 6 was taken from the Calendar on the First Day, being the following resolution from the Diocese of South Florida for the election of a Suffragan Bishop.

WHEREAS, The Bishop of the Diocese of South Florida has formally and canonically requested the assistance of a Suffragan Bishop to fill the vacancy in office caused by the death of our late Suffragan Bishop, the Rt. Rev. William Francis Moses, D.D.; and

WHEREAS, The Convention of this Diocese has made adequate budgetary provision for the support of such Suffragan Bishop; therefore be it

...
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America gives its consent for the election of a Suffragan Bishop by the Diocese of South Florida.

The request was granted and the resolution adopted, as provided in Canon 41, Sec. 2.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 2.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 16.]

South Florida, Permission to elect a Second Suffragan Bishop

On the First Day, the Secretary of the House of Deputies presented a petition from the diocese of South Florida for permission to elect a second Suffragan Bishop, which was placed on the Calendar, No. 7.

Calendar Item No. 7, being taken from the Calendar on the First Day, was referred to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops.

On the Second Day, the Rev. Dr. Magill, of Southwestern Virginia, for the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, presented the following report:

The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops has unanimously voted: 1. To approve the petition of the diocese of South Florida for the election of a second Suffragan Bishop; and 2. To recommend the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Diocese of South Florida be authorized to proceed to the election of a second Suffragan Bishop.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 18.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 31.]

Spanish Reformed and Lusitanian Churches

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, for the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention invites the General Synods of the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church and of the Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical, to join with it in the following declaration, which shall be effective in each case when adopted by the General Synod of the respective Church:
“With gratitude to Almighty God for the blessings bestowed upon each of the Churches, and in appreciation of the fraternal relations which have long existed between them, the Churches recognize each other as a true part of the Holy Catholic Church and declare that they are in full communion with another on the basis of mutual acceptance of the following Concordat:

(1) Each Communion recognizes the catholicity and independence of the other and maintains its own.

(2) Each Communion agrees to admit members of the other Communion to participate in the Sacraments.

(3) Full Communion does not require from either Communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other, but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith.

“And furthermore, the Churches pledge themselves to work together in brotherly harmony for the extension of the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to give such mutual assistance as they are able.”

This resolution was unanimously adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 33.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Dean Clark, of Harrisburg, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 18:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations has considered Message No. 33 from the House of Bishops. It has examined the pertinent documents, and conferred with persons able to provide information and understanding of these two Churches, their histories, formularies, and liturgies.

Your Committee moves that the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 33.

Mr. Ray, of Maine, moved to amend the House of Bishops Message No. 33, as follows:

1. In the first paragraph delete the phrase beginning “the following declaration. . .” to the full colon, substituting therefore the phrase “further conversations and study.”

2. In the second paragraph to delete the phrase beginning, “the Churches recognize each other” to the end of the paragraph including the numbered sub-paragraphs, substituting therefore, “the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America desires to make generally available English language translations of official formularies, selections from the Ordinal, Liturgy, and other pertinent information from both the Spanish and Lusitanian Churches.”

3. And add, “And be it further resolved that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity conduct these conversations on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and make the pertinent material available in this country and to report to the General Convention
making the entire resolution, as mentioned, to read as follows:

Resolved, The House of .......... concurring, that the General Convention invites the General Synods of the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church and of the Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical, to join with it in further conversations and study;

With gratitude to Almighty God for the blessings bestowed upon each of the Churches, and in appreciation of the fraternal relations which have long existed between them, the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America desires to make generally available English language translations of official formularies, selections from the Ordinal, Liturgy, and other pertinent information from both the Spanish and Lusitanian Churches, and be it further

Resolved, The House of .......... concurring, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity conduct these conversations on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and make the pertinent material available in this country and to report to the General Convention for its consideration, and be it further

Resolved, The House of .......... concurring, that the General Convention direct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to seek the counsel of the Old Catholic Churches in Europe with whom we now have a concordat.

The Rev. Mr. Lawrence, of Lexington, moved a substitute for the original motion, which was ruled out of order. A motion was made to take a vote immediately, which was carried.

The Diocese of West Texas requested a vote by orders and dioceses on the amendment, with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 23½; Noes, 52½; Divided, 7.
Lay: Ayes, 18½; Noes, 57½; Divided 6.

Mr. McFaddin, of Texas moved that an immediate vote be taken upon concurrence with the House of Bishops, and this vote was carried.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 108.]

Spanish Reformed and Lusitanian Churches, Greetings to

The Rev. Mr. Norris, of Vermont, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, presented the following resolution, which was adopted:
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that in response to the cordial greeting of the Right Rev. Santos M. Molina, Bishop of the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church, a letter be sent by the Presiding Bishop conveying our greetings as brothers in Christ, and our joy in the completion of the concordat of our two Churches; and assuring him of our continuing prayers for God's blessing upon the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church and upon him as its spiritual leader.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 110.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 130.]

The Rev. Mr. Norris, of Vermont, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, on the Ninth Day, presented the following report, which was adopted:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Presiding Bishop be requested to convey our greetings, as brothers in Christ, to the Rev. Dr. Luis Peveira, Bishop-elect of the Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical; expressing our joy in the completion of the concordat of our two Churches and assuring him of our continuing prayers for God's blessing upon the Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical and upon him as its spiritual leader.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 111.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 131.]

Standing Liturgical Commission

The Bishop of Georgia, on the First Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the sum of $9,000.00 be and it is hereby appropriated for the regular expenses of the Standing Liturgical Commission for the coming triennium.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 11.]

The foregoing resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 4 for the Joint Committee on Expenses.

The Joint Committee on Expenses has considered this resolution, and has approved the same, and will include this appropriation in its tentative budget.

The report of the Committee was accepted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 56.]
State of the Church, Report of the Committee on

The Rev. Dr. Alling, of Rochester, on the Second Day, presented a portion of the Report of the Committee on the State of the Church. (See page 190). The Rev. Dr. Appleyard, of Connecticut, on the Fourth Day, presented the remainder of the Report. The Report, in its entirety, is printed in the Appendix.

The foregoing report was accepted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 34.]

The report was acknowledged by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 153.]

Structure and Organization of General Convention, Joint Commission on

(Joint Commission "to develop and implement" work of previous Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System and the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention.)

The Bishop of Pennsylvania, on the First Day, reported for the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System. He offered the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that in Canon 4, Sec. 6, sub-section A, line 10, from after the word "Province," through the words "National Council shall" in Line 19, be changed to read as follows:

"a copy of its proposed program and budget for the next triennium, for the purpose of obtaining the advice of the Provinces as to changes therein. The Province, by such means as it shall determine, shall thereupon study the proposed program and budget and shall report its findings to the National Council in time for consideration at the spring meeting of that body. After the National Council has acted upon the suggestion and/or recommendations from the Provinces it shall, at least four months . . ."

The resolution was not adopted.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania, on the First Day, offered the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that a Joint Commission be created for the purpose of developing and implementing the work of the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System and the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention and to report its findings and recommendations to the next General Convention.
Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System be discharged.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 3.]

The foregoing resolutions were referred, on the First Day, to the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention.

Dean Nelson, of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, presented Report No. 2:

Your Special Committee, to which were referred the Report of the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of the General Convention, with its several resolutions; also Message No. 3 of the House of Bishops; also four Memorials and four Resolutions, respectfully reports:

Your Committee held two open hearings at which 34 Deputies fully stated their views, and at which others were present. Opinions were expressed in great variety but with little unanimity. Almost all voiced the view that "something must be done".

Many suggestions for expediting the business of the General Convention were proposed; and if the resolution which the Special Committee will offer should be adopted, they will all be referred for consideration to the Joint Commission proposed in our resolution, in concurrence with Message No. 3 of the House of Bishops, with certain amendments.

Bishop Craine, Chairman of the Joint Committee which submitted the Report on Structure and Organization (See appendix), was present at our hearings by our invitation.

For the information of this House, we propose, at the conclusion of this report, to offer a resolution that this House concur in the action of the House of Bishops as communicated by their Message No. 3, with amendment to provide details concerning representative membership and finance.

Resolutions No. 1 and 2 of the Joint Committee on Structure have already been acted upon by this House. With regard to the Resolution listed under the title "Resolution No. 3" in the Report of the Joint Committee, your Special Committee has considered them and recommends that they not be adopted at this time, but that (for reasons in this Committee's conclusion) they be referred to the Joint Commission, if created.

Although the report of the Joint Committee on Structure makes no resolution in re the election of the president of the House, it does "approve in principle" the suggestion that he be elected at the close of each convention. Therefore, the reporting Committee takes note of this suggestion and refers it to the Joint Commission, if authorized, without comment.

There were forwarded to your Special Committee, Resolutions (#97 & 228) from the Diocese of South Florida, and from the Very Rev. James W. Montgomery, of Chicago, respectively, relating to the Provinces—which
are substantially covered by the proposed resolution which will be read, as will be Resolution #96 from South Florida.

Another Resolution (#114) presented by the Rev. Mr. Leavell, of Kentucky, providing for proportional representation, will similarly be referred to the Joint Commission if one is approved, as will a resolution from Wyoming.

Memorials were received from Kansas, Minnesota and South Florida (2) which likewise will be referred to the Joint Commission if created by this House. Suggested procedures from several sources were handed directly to this Committee and are being submitted in the same manner as referred resolutions and memorials.

In conclusion, we find the magnitude and complexity of this problem is such that no committee of the House could do it justice in the short time available to us. Therefore, your Special Committee on Reconstruction recommends that all memorials, resolutions and other relevant material received—together with the report of the Joint Committee now before us, be referred to the Joint Commission which will be established if this House concurs with Message No. 3 of the House of Bishops, as amended.

This Special Committee offers the following resolution:

"Resolved, That this House concur, with amendments, with the action of the House of Bishops as contained in their Message No. 3, as follows: That a Joint Commission be created for the purpose of developing and implementing the work of the Joint Commission to study the Provincial System, and the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention, and to report its findings and recommendations to the next General Convention; such Joint Commission to be comprised of three bishops, three presbyters and six laymen, and in the appointment of its members all the provinces be represented; and that the present Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System be discharged. And be it further

Resolved, That the sum of $3,600.00, for the next triennium, be provided in the budget for the work of said Joint Commission."

The Rev. Mr. Leavell, of Kentucky, presented a substitute for this motion, amending Canon 1, by adding a new section 1, which would contain provision for proportional representation.

After some discussion it was moved by Mr. Ikard, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, to lay on the table, and the motion prevailed.

The Rev. Mr. Royster, of Northern Indiana, presented a substitute, amending Article I, Section 6 of the Constitution, making an annual General Convention permissive, and Triennial meetings (at least) mandatory.

The Rev. Dr. Pollard, of Tennessee, moved to amend the substitute by proposing three constitutional amendments; amendments of Article I, Section 7; Article X and Article XI.
Mr. Powell Harrison, of Virginia, moved to lay on the table, and in a standing vote by division the motion was defeated:

Ayes, 240; Noes, 259.

Mr. Cheney, of Mississippi, moved to commit all of the matters now before the House to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, and to the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

This motion carried, and the matters were so committed.

(See pages 245 and 246 for further action on this matter.)

The Rev. Mr. Barrett, of New York, on the Tenth Day, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 5:

The Joint Committee has considered certain amendments to the Constitution accompanying this report as well as the resolution submitted by the Special Committee on Reconstruction.

The Joint Committee does not consider itself competent to make a judgment about the proposed amendments to the Constitution, but does recommend the adoption of the resolution contained in Message No. 3 of the House of Bishops, with amendments suggested by the Special Committee on Reconstruction, (as printed above).

The House concurred, with amendments.

The appropriation item in the above resolution was referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses, which included it in the proposed Budget.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 164.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 178.]

Summary of General Convention Actions

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the Third Day, presented the following resolution:

WHEREAS, many actions of this convention intended for the edification, guidance, inspiration, etc. of the people of this Church never in fact reach them due to the difficulties in communicating the multitudinous actions of this convention, therefore be it

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Convention be requested to collate those actions of particular interest to the congregations of the Church and make the same available to the congregations through the clergy in charge.
This was put to an immediate vote and adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 31.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions.

On motion of the Bishop of Newark, on the Seventh Day, for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 85.]

Theological Education, Need for Graduate Scholarship Funds for

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, on the Sixth Day, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Theological Education.

WHEREAS, The Church has always had concern for a learned Ministry; and

WHEREAS, It has a responsibility for encouraging properly qualified men to undertake graduate study; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Division of Christian Ministries of the National Council be asked to study the needs of the Church for trained scholars in all fields of theological study; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Committee be empowered to bring the needs for graduate scholarship funds to the attention of the General Church in order that such funds may be raised and that there may be a deepening of the scholarship within the Church and a broadening of the availability of trained scholars to man our seminaries.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 60.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 69.]

Theological Education, Offering for

The Rev. Dr. Williams, of California, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Theological Education, presented Report No. 6:

The Committee on Theological Education has considered the Amendment to Resolution No. 3 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education by the Rev. Mr. Estill, of Lexington. (See text below.)
The Committee has considered this amendment, does not recommend its adoption to the House, and begs to be discharged of further consideration.

The Rev. Mr. Estill, of Lexington, moved to substitute for the report of the Committee his original resolution, as follows:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention calls upon every parish and mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that day, or on another day chosen for the purpose, or to place an item for Theological Education in its budget, for the support of the seminaries of the Church.

This was adopted.

The Rev. Dr. Williams, for the Committee on Theological Education then presented Report No. 7, incorporating the above amendment.

The Standing Committee on Theological Education has received the proposed amendment to Resolution No. 3 in the report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education. The Committee proposes to amend the original Resolution so that it shall read as follows:

WHEREAS, the mission and the vocation of the Church demands an alert, learned, and informed clergy who are able, under God, to make eternal truth relevant to this present world; and

WHEREAS, the laity of this Church are involved in and are responsible for the men whose depth of understanding and learning will fit them for the prophetic and pastoral leadership in their own parishes and the community at large; and

WHEREAS, a forceful and comprehensive statement of the values of Christian vocation must be made plain to young people and their parents to encourage vocations to the Sacred Ministry; and

WHEREAS, Theological Education Sunday has become a vital element in the financial support of the program of the Church in preparing men for Holy Orders; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention calls upon every parish and mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that day, or another day chosen for the purpose, or to place an item for Theological Education in its budget, for the support of the seminaries of the Church.

The report was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 130.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 140.]
Theological Education, Scholarships for

A motion was made on the Fifth Day that the Rev. Dr. Stowe, of New Jersey, be permitted to introduce a motion requiring concurrence of the House of Bishops. This motion was adopted. Thereupon Dr. Stowe presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on Theological Education study a plan of national scholarships for Theological Education, whereby worthy postulants and candidates for Holy Orders may receive financial assistance in pursuing their studies; and report such plan to the next General Convention.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 49.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 76.]

Treasurer of Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the First Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Committee consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three laymen be appointed to present nominations for the office of Treasurer of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society; and that the Committee be requested to announce and hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nomination.

The resolution was adopted. (See p. 178)

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 5.]

The House concurred, and the Chair appointed the following members to serve on this committee:

The Bishop of Maryland
The Bishop of Liberia
The Bishop of Arizona

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 6.]

The Rev. Frank E. Jarrett, of Dallas, on the Fifth Day, for the Joint Special Committee for Nominating the Treasurer of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, presented Report No. 1, notifying the House that the Joint Committee had organized with the election of the Right Rev. Noble C. Powell as Chairman, and the Rev. Frank E. Jarrett as Secretary.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 51.]

The House noted this information.
The Rev. Mr. Jarrett, of Dallas, presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Mr. Lindley M. Franklin, Jr. be elected to serve as Treasurer of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society.

The House concurred.

Treasurer of General Convention, Nomination and Election of

Dean Bartlett, of California, on the First Day, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a Joint Committee consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three laymen be appointed to present nominations for the office of Treasurer of the General Convention; and that the Committee be requested to announce and hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations.

The resolution was adopted. (See p. 178)

The Rev. Mr. Lackey, of Southern Virginia, on the Tenth Day, for the Special Committee to Nominate the Treasurer of General Convention, placed in nomination the name of Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., and presented the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr. be elected Treasurer of the General Convention for the next triennium.

Mr. Kent was elected by the House.

The House concurred.
Treasurer of General Convention, Report of

Mr. Delatour, of Long Island, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 2 for the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that, on behalf of the Joint Committee on Expenses, the report of the Treasurer of the General Convention, submitted under date of August 15, 1961, be accepted. (See Appendix)

Adopted by the House.

Uniform English Bible Translation

The Bishop of Northern California, on the Fourth Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Diocese of Quincy has memorialized this 60th General Convention to inform us that scholars of the Roman Communion have been quoted in the public press as stating: "A uniform English translation of the Bible, acceptable to both Catholics and Protestants, is a real possibility"; be it

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the General Convention takes cognizance of this statement by Roman Catholic scholars, and would view hopefully any official endeavor to achieve a translation of the Holy Bible acceptable to all of Christendom; and be it further

Resolved, That the Anglican Executive Officer, the Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, D.D., be requested to convey this information to the proper authorities in the Roman Communion.

The resolution was adopted.

Uniform Marriage Laws

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina, on the Fifth Day, offered the following resolution:
WHEREAS, there is a lack of uniformity in the marriage and divorce laws of the several states; and

WHEREAS, this condition results in confusion for those who have marital difficulties; and

WHEREAS, the Church has a deep concern for the welfare and happiness of families; be it therefore

Resolved, that the House of Deputies concurring, the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, memorialize the President of the United States to appoint a commission to draw up a model Marriage and Divorce Bill which the States may follow in revising and adopting marriage and divorce laws in accord with their local needs.

The motion was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 49.]

This was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations. (See page 233 for a preliminary report).

The Rev. Mr. Gosnell, of West Texas, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Christian Social Relations presented Report No. 16:

The Committee recommends non-concurrence with Message No. 49 of the House of Bishops and asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The report of the Committee was adopted and the House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 143.]

United Nations

The Suffragan Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Social and International Affairs, on the Ninth Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the Lambeth Conference affirmed "the need for strengthening the United Nations" and commended "wholeheartedly the work done under the aegis of the United Nations"; and

WHEREAS, the President of the United States has called for "new strength and new roles for a new United Nations"; be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that this convention calls upon our people to support the President of the United States in his efforts to bring this nation into an even closer relationship with the United Nations and its Charter.

The resolution was adopted.
The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

Mr. Buddington, of Massachusetts, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on National and International Problems, presented Report No. 8:

This Committee has met and considered the House of Bishops Message No. 127 and recommends concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 159.]

**United Thank Offering**

Mr. Newman, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, on the Tenth Day, presented a privileged resolution, commending the Women of the Church for their United Thank Offering:

WHEREAS, The Women of the Church have collected $4,339,190.18 through the United Thank Offering, which has now been allocated in full for use in many areas of our Church; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the 60th General Convention does hereby express its grateful appreciation to the Women of the Church for the generous United Thank Offering and the far reaching effect it has on the extension of our Church's mission; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that a committee of one Bishop, one Presbyter and one layman be appointed to convey this message to the Women of the Church at their Triennial Meeting.

This was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 153.]

The House concurred.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Puerto Rico to represent the House on this Committee.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 170.]

Unity, Joint Commission on Approaches to

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, offered the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Commission
on Approaches to Unity be continued, and that it be directed to continue conversations with those Christian bodies with which we are already in contact, and to initiate or further such conversations with representatives of such other Christian bodies as in its judgment may lead to closer fellowship with them.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies on Message No. 37.]

The foregoing resolution was placed on the Calendar No. 24.

Dean Blandy, of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, on the Ninth Day, presented Report No. 23, which was adopted.

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations requests that Message #37 from the House of Bishops, which has been placed upon the Calendar as item #24, be recalled, and moves that this House concur with the House of Bishops.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 112.]

The Bishop of Virginia, on the Third Day, offered the following resolution:

"Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the Treasurer of General Convention be directed to provide the sum of $12,000.00 for the general expenses of the Commission on Approaches to Unity during the coming triennium."

Referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Women Church Workers

The Rev. Mr. Warner, of Western Michigan, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Christian Education, presented Report No. 4.

The Committee on Christian Education has given very careful consideration to the Report of the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers. The Report recommends the adoption of what would be, if adopted, a new Canon—"Of Licensing Women Workers."

The Committee on Christian Education has conducted a public hearing on this subject, has met with members of the Joint Commission, and recognizes that there exists a wide diversity of opinion about the solution the Joint Commission's Report offers to what is an important problem, particularly about certain portions of the proposal. We know that there must be a satisfactory solution, and we believe the Joint Commission has made real progress toward solving it.
It is our unanimous recommendation that the whole matter be re­com­mitted to the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers for further study, under the instruction of the General Convention of 1958, and for representation to the General Conven­tion of 1964.

It is our unanimous recommendation, also, that the emphasis on stand­ards made in the Joint Commission's Report is a proper one.

Therefore, we offer the following resolutions, which are in part modi­fications of or additions to Resolutions Nos. 1 and 3, which appear at the close of the Joint Committee's Report. All of these, we are assured, have the endorsement of the Joint Commission:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers be continued under the instruction of the General Convention of 1958, and that it be directed to cooperate closely with the National Council's Division of Christian Ministries; and that the whole matter of its report be recommitted to the Joint Committee for further study and re-presentation to the General Convention of 1964, and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Con­vention hereby recognizes the standards of the Association of Professional Women Church Workers; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Division of Christian Ministries of the National Council is hereby requested to set forth an advisory salary scale for women workers, advisory conditions of employment for professional women Church workers, embodying the "standards" of the Association of Professional Women Church Workers, and a standard form of agreement for permissive use by and between professional women Church workers and their employers.

These were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 132.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 141.]

The Bishop of South Florida, on the Tenth Day, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that $1,000.00 be added to the allocation for the Joint Commission on Women Church Workers.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 154.]

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 160.]
The Bishop of Kentucky, on the Seventh Day, offered the following resolution:

WHEREAS, there are great inadequacies in the provision of laws of many states governing compensation to workmen injured or disabled on the job; to wit: 14 states limit medical coverage and responsibility in accidents to compensation as low as a maximum of $500.00, and 25 states limit medical coverage in case of occupational diseases; 40 states do not provide for a widow during the tenure of her widowhood if her husband is killed on his job; 25 states limit payments to permanently and totally disabled workers to a maximum period of 330 weeks in some, to 550 weeks in others, with no provision for the injured worker thereafter; 25 states have laws that are not compulsory, and 29 states exempt small employers from the provisions of the law; 45 states have limitations which result in an injured worker receiving on the average only one-third of his weekly pay during his disability; and

WHEREAS, this Commission believes that such inequities constitute a grave moral problem which, when known, must rest heavily on the conscience of all Christian people; and

WHEREAS, we further believe that the people of our Church could effectively influence improvements in these laws in their own states; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that the diocesan departments of Christian Social Relations are urged to inform themselves and the clergy and laity of their dioceses of the provisions of the Workmen's Compensation laws of their state, and to compare these provisions with those of the other states, the minimum standards recommended by the United States Department of Labor, and more particularly with standards consistent with Christian concepts of social justice.

The resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 73.]

The foregoing Message was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

Mr. Buddington of Massachusetts, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on National and International Problems, presented Report No. 5:

This Committee has considered the House of Bishops Message No. 73, a resolution recommended by the Joint Commission on Human Affairs, and recommends concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 154.]
World Council of Churches

Mr. Anderson, of New York, on the Ninth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Report No. 21:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations begs to present the following resolution:

WHEREAS, The III Assembly of the World Council of Churches is to convene in November, 1961, at New Delhi; and

WHEREAS, The Protestant Episcopal Church has appointed a notable delegation to represent it at this Assembly, including the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies; now therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church instruct the Delegation to the III Assembly of the World Council of Churches to convey the greetings of this Convention to the III Assembly, with assurances of prayers for God's blessing on its deliberations.

The House adopted the above resolution.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 118].

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 134].
APPENDIX 1

REPORT OF THE AMERICAN CHURCH BUILDING FUND COMMISSION

The American Church Building Fund Commission is a creation of the General Convention, having been established pursuant to a resolution adopted October 25, 1880. Since that date, more than 80 years of service in the form of loans and gifts to build and maintain church buildings has been rendered in accordance with the following provisions of the Commission's charter:

"To aid, by loans or gifts, in the erection, purchase, improvement or repair of churches, rectories and other parochial buildings located in the United States of America and any foreign countries, and connected with the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America; and in the refunding or payment of indebtedness incurred for any such purpose or purposes."

The record of the Commission's service has been presented to the Church in many previous reports to the General Convention, and is contained in its anniversary brochure which is available at its booth in the exhibit area.

NEEDS VS. RESOURCES

On numerous occasions, resolutions commending the Commission and its work have been adopted by concurrent action of both Houses. Nevertheless, the response to the Commission's appeals for additional capital has not kept pace with the continuing and growing demand for loans. In the past three years alone, the Commission received requests from 259 churches seeking loans. Of these, 152 reported borrowing requirements exceeding $4,990,000. The remaining 107 did not specify amounts. During the same period the Commission was able to make but 34 loans in the amount of $709,225. Obviously, the lack of adequate capital funds is an extremely serious handicap.

As this report is written, the revolving Permanent Loan Fund of the Commission has a book value of $1,239,421., of which all but $174,466. is on loan to churches. This balance plus an additional $172,234., is committed for additional loans. Annual income during the last three years has averaged $44,683., per year of which an average of $26,157. was used for administrative and other expenses and an average of $18,000. has been added to the revolving Permanent Loan Fund. The revolving Permanent Loan Fund is being turned over, in new loans made, at the rate of once every five years at the present time.

The National Council at the 1958 General Convention urged the annual appropriation of large sums for capital needs of the Church at home and abroad. This appeal resulted in the approval by General Convention of appropriations of $500,000. in 1960 and $1,000,000. in 1961 in the National Council's budget for capital needs. We are informed that the National Council intends to request additional capital funds in the next triennium.

The same factors which have motivated the National Council to seek such funds have resulted in the need of the American Church Building Fund Commission to increase its revolving Permanent Loan Fund. The Commission has been forced for lack of funds to restrict its loaning almost en-
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entirely to churches unable to borrow from any other source and even in these cases it has, at times, been committed nearly two years in advance of having the funds available.

Most churches can offer mortgage security, but many cannot secure funds through commercial sources. The American Church Building Fund Commission was established to meet this need and will continue its efforts to do so as it has for 80 years.

The Commission has had a very modest promotional program, but in view of the new situation, is not happy at the prospect of competing with the National Council for the gifts of the people for the same purpose.

The General Convention in 1958, by concurrent action of both houses, adopted the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention, having created the American Church Building Fund Commission and having observed its work commends the Commission to the lively interest and support of the whole Church; and be it further

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the General Convention recommends to the National Council that due recognition of this agency in financing the erection, purchase, improvement and repair of Episcopal Church buildings be given at such time when loan funds may be raised on a national basis.

On the basis of the foregoing action, the American Church Building Fund Commission made request of the National Council as follows:

1. That as generous a grant as possible from funds available to the Council be made to the American Church Building Fund Commission in 1961, to be added to its revolving Permanent Loan Fund.

2. That the National Council include in its budgets of the years 1962, 1963 and 1964 to be presented to the General Convention meeting in 1961, a request for a grant to the Commission of $100,000 in each year of the triennium, such grants to be added to the revolving Permanent Fund of the Commission.

This request was presented to the National Council at its meeting held in February 1961, and the following resolutions resulted:

Resolved, That the National Council records its appreciation of the valuable services of the American Church Building Fund Commission, past, present and continuing; and be it further

Resolved, That the President of the American Church Building Fund Commission be advised that the Council regrets that it is not in a position to accede to the Commission's requests.

The Commission appeals only for the means to fulfill its purposes adequately and render the service requested by so many.

The Commission continues

1. Its pledge to administer impartially and faithfully the resources en-
trusted to it for the benefit of the Church through sound business methods and a never-failing awareness of its Christian stewardship.

2. Its cooperation with the national Church, the dioceses and missionary districts in our common interest and endeavor to extend and upbuild the Church.

3. Its unstinted efforts to render to its client congregations, prompt and efficient service to the fullest extent that its resources will permit.

The Commission respectfully requests earnest consideration by the General Convention of its need for increased working capital and appeals for favorable action to provide allocation to the Commission's revolving Permanent Loan Fund of a share of such funds as may be raised nationally throughout the Church for capital needs.

THE TRUSTEES

THE Rt. REV. JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, President.
THE REV. ROBERT B. APPLEYARD.
THE VERY REV. HOWARD G. CLARK.
THE REV. WILLIAM N. LANIGAN.
THE REV. CANON CHARLES W. MACLEAN.
THE REV. ERIC M. TASMAN.
THE VERY REV. MERRITT F. WILLIAMS.
RICHARD N. CROCKETT.
DERMOD IVES.
RICHARD P. KENT, JR.
TRACY B. LORD.
ALLEN B. MCGOWAN.
SPENCER MILLER, JR.
THEODOR OXHOLM.
HOOKER TALCOTT.
ELIOT L. WARD.
ROBERT WORTHINGTON.
During this triennium your Commission has held the following meetings: January 30, 1959, January 11, 1960, May 23, 1960, December 12, 1960, and March 20, 1961. All meetings were held in New York as a means of keeping traveling expenses at a minimum. Attendance has been good.

Ever since the design of the Church Flag was first approved and copyrighted there has been an arrangement with Annin & Co., flag manufacturers, for the exclusive manufacture of the flag in return for the payment of a 10 per cent royalty on all sales. From time to time other flag manufacturers have requested the privilege of making and selling the flag. As a result, the Commission carefully studied the problem and concluded that for the duration of the copyright the present arrangement with Annin & Co. should be continued. The design of the flag was copyrighted in 1940 and the copyright runs to 1968.

The Commission also considered the design of the flag itself inasmuch as there has been a lack of uniformity in color. Canon West consulted with Sir John Heton-Armstrong, Clarenceaux King-at-Arms, as to the heraldic correctness of the design. It was learned that the flag does not correspond exactly to the heraldic description of the design contained in the leaflet dated October 16, 1940 prepared for the occasion of the adoption by the General Convention of that date. The differences being slight, it was agreed that no change in the design be suggested, but that a precise specification of color for the red and blue of the flag be prepared to assure uniformity in the future.

The Chairman of the Commission has had correspondence and conversations with the Executive Director of the Home Department and with the Executive Assistant to the Presiding Bishop on matters of mutual concern to the Joint Commission and the National Council. These matters concerned correlation of projected activities and the desirability of avoiding duplication of effort.

The first booklet “Architecture and the Church” prepared by the Joint Commission in 1952 became out of print and has now been republished by The Seabury Press. As of the present date there have been sold 2,086 of the second booklet, “Church Buildings and Furnishings.”

The Joint Commission’s principal project for this triennium has been the preparation of a film strip to illustrate with the best examples obtainable good contemporary church design. Mr. Stenhouse, particularly, has devoted a very great amount of time and effort to collect from every Province in the country color slides of new churches to incorporate in these strips. A great number of slides have been reviewed by the Commission which has selected from hundreds what all the members agree to be most worth showing. A description and instructive text is to be prepared to accompany the film strip.

The functions of the Joint Commission were discussed at some length. It was agreed that the principal function is to raise the standards of church
It was further agreed that the publication of a book of photographs would probably involve costs beyond the means of the Joint Commission if such a book were to be good enough to accomplish its purpose. It was therefore decided to prepare the film strip described above.

It was agreed that it should be the policy of the Joint Commission not to offer stock plans for churches, parish houses, rectories, etc., nor to recommend as a Commission the names of individual architects or firms for specific jobs. It was suggested that inquiries should be addressed to the American Institute of Architects chapter in any locality or that a list of local or regional members of the A.I.A. be furnished. As individuals it would be entirely proper for any member of the Joint Commission to recommend an individual architect or firm.

In view of the ever growing volume of church building and the lack of experience, knowledge and understanding of architecture and the problems of building evinced by many of the clergy, it was decided that an important project for the next triennium would be the encouragement and development of lectures and seminars on architecture at the theological seminaries.

At the meeting of December 12, 1960 the resignation of Mr. Charles Nagel of St. Louis from the Joint Commission was accepted with great regret. Mr. Nagel explained that the extra heavy load imposed on him by his duties at the St. Louis Museum made it impossible for him to continue to serve. After due procedure, Professor Theodore Sizer of Bethany, Conn., was appointed as Mr. Nagel's successor.

**Recommendations**

Your Commission offers the following resolutions:

**Resolved,** The House of . . . concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts be accepted, and that the Commission be continued.

**Resolved,** The House of . . . concurring, that the present sum of $3,000.00 be continued in like amount and appropriated to the Joint Commission of Church Architecture and the Allied Arts for the continuance and development of its work during the coming triennium.

**Financial Report**

Balance on Hand as reported to General Convention 1958............$2,678.45

**Receipts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Royalties on Church Flag from Annin &amp; Co.</td>
<td>$878.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties on Seabury Press booklets</td>
<td>275.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention</td>
<td>2,703.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,857.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .................................................$6,536.09
Disbursements

Expenses, Commission Meeting N.Y.C. Jan. 1, 1959 .......... $ 220.31
Expenses, Commission Meeting N.Y.C. Jan. 11, 1960 .......... 166.05
Expenses, Commission Meeting N.Y.C. May 23, 1960 .......... 115.63
Expenses, Commission Meeting N.Y.C. Dec. 12, 1960 .......... 562.01
Supplies, stationery, etc. ..................................... 31.42
Expenses connected with exhibits at the Convention .......... 821.80
Distribution of booklet (Church Buildings & Furnishings) to the House of Bishops ........................................... 163.72
Cost of slides, photographs, etc., collected for production of film strip .................................................. 667.71

2,748.65

Balance on hand, March 20, 1961 ................................. $3,787.44

Jonathan G. Sherman, Chairman.
Allen J. Miller.
Darby W. Betts.
Edward N. West, Vice Chairman.
Frederick Dunn.
Theodore Sizer.
John W. Stenhouse.
Frederick J. Woodbridge, Secretary-Treasurer.
APPENDIX 3

REPORT OF THE CHURCH HISTORICAL SOCIETY

The archives and library of The Church Historical Society are now housed in the modern library building of the Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Southwest in Austin, Texas. The chaos of the move south has subsided; and the orderly arrangement of our holdings, the purchase of needed equipment and supplies, plus the training of a small staff enable us to ensure with confidence the preservation of valuable materials and their accessibility to our patrons.

Physical improvements have been accomplished through the allotted budget for this triennium. The space of approximately 4,000 square feet which the Society occupies on the second floor of the library building has been entirely enclosed. In order to offer greater security for valuable items, a steel mesh cage has been installed. Additional shelving has been purchased, reading areas provided, and an archivist's office constructed. A number of old engravings of "founding fathers"—early bishops—have been renovated and are hanging on the walls. The recently acquired portable microfilm camera has been set up in a special microfilming room, and a reader made available for the public.

All of our manuscripts and documents are being sorted, dusted, and transferred to new standard document boxes constructed to provide maximum safety against deterioration. Only a small dent has been made in the repair of books, but rebinding is in process.

Other archival collections in the area—both local and ecclesiastical—provide professional contacts. Our program is further enriched through the excellent relationship between the Society and the Seminary community. We have exchanged memberships or journals with other historical societies—Canadian Church Historical Society, Congregational Christian Historical Society, Concordia Historical Institute, Maryland Historical Society. Within the last year, the Society has become an institutional member of the Society of American Archivists. Our archives were described in the spring issue of The American Archives. We are participating in the Library of Congress program for a National Union Catalogue of Manuscript Collections. Finally, the archivist attended a four weeks institute on archival management conducted by the Assistant Archivist of the United States.

Any prospectus must begin with the preservation of that which is in our possession. The careful sorting and cataloguing by Mr. Chenery and his staff was the first and most frustrating stage in the search for order among the historical holdings sent here from Philadelphia. He and his assistants merit our gratitude for their labors. We, now working from their foundations, propose to initiate the general practices of archival management. An arrangement of our holdings into record groups is already under way for papers, manuscripts and all materials which lend themselves to this procedure. This will facilitate location of single items as well as designation of larger

1 University of Texas Archives, State of Texas Archives; Texas Land Office; Catholic (Roman) Archives of Texas; Methodist Archives in the Southern Methodist University library, Dallas, Texas. Other collections are in San Antonio, Houston, and Waco, Texas.
collections. As record groups are established, descriptions will be published in "The Historical Magazine"; from these we envisage the compilation of a guide to the archives of The Church Historical Society. Such a guide will be a valuable asset to scholars and interested churchmen.

Thus the term, archives, is a key to our future activities. The early correspondence of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society and the Archives of the General Convention are in process of arrangement according to archival practice. This manuscript material is now being sorted, cleaned, placed in labeled document boxes, and described in finding aids. Smaller collections have been dealt with in this manner. Diocesan and General Convention journals have been listed.

A resolution submitted to the General Convention in 1940 read as follows:

"Resolved, That the archives of the General Convention, and/or of either House not now or hereafter suitably and satisfactorily housed and serviced, be deposited with The Church Historical Society for preservation and safekeeping."

We have here in the archives the early correspondence of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society as well as minutes, reports and printed journals of the General Convention. Papers of bishops, their diaries or journals, sermons and correspondence have come to us. Yet, there is no systematic approach to a program whereby records of the Episcopal Church—its public papers—are deposited here when they are no longer a part of current files. Within the last year the archives have received the minutes from the Standing Liturgical Commission, 1934–1954, and the minutes of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity for the last three triennia. The National Council and The Seabury Press place copies of their publications in the library. The papers of the Right Reverend Eugene Cecil Seaman were received this spring. Bishop Philander Chase items and records of Jubilee College are here on "indefinite loan" from the Diocese of Quincy and St. Paul's Church, Peoria, Ill. The Episcopal Book Club and Forward Movement Publications are received regularly. We have accumulated a rather extensive collection of Holy Cross Publications.

We recommend that a more systematic program be initiated to guarantee the use of the Society's facilities as a repository for important papers with historical value. Commissions and committees of the General Convention, officers of the National Council, and the bishops, instructed to send their non-current papers to this office where they would be serviced, would provide for the Church a rich deposit of her history and activities through the years. The archives are here and supported by the General Convention, there is a trained staff—the missing factor is the machinery establishing and continuing a more closely defined method for receiving the records. Also, it is no longer necessary that that portion of the General Convention archives temporarily deposited in the New York Historical Society, New York City, continue to remain there. Whereas, we appreciate the excellent care given to these early manuscripts by the New York Historical Society, and inasmuch as the General Convention has a repository for its papers, it seems reasonable that the collection not be scattered. We, therefore, recommend, that the General Convention place these valuable documents in the archives and library of the Church Historical Society.
The Society solicits additional historical items at all times. Interested patrons and Churchmen send us bits of Americana from time to time as they come across them—we would encourage this practice on a broader scale throughout the Church.

Akin to the responsibility for “collecting” is the related task of compiling information which would reveal the location of valuable documents now held in diocesan repositories. This task calls for closer communication with diocesan historiographers with the possibility of establishing a massive file in a central office in the Episcopal Church. Such a catalogue would enhance the availability of diocesan holdings to scholars in this country. The future here is promising. Mr. Arthur Ben Chitty, University of the South, Sewanee, Tenn., is currently engaged in an attempt to establish some means of communication among the diocesan historiographers. Certainly all history-minded Churchmen wish him well in this endeavor.

At the present time in the Austin archives, we are in the midst of a project concerning diocesan periodicals. Our collection has been sorted and listed. We are writing all diocesan and missionary bishops requesting that the Society library be placed on the mailing list of their diocesan periodicals. Year by year these will be microfilmed. In addition to our collection, and the projected microfilming of current issues, a proposed catalogue of diocesan periodicals held in the diocesan offices will be compiled.

The Society maintains a small library of books pertaining to the life and history of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. These are catalogued under the direction of a professional librarian. Approximately 2,500 books, ancient as well as recent, are now catalogued according to the system instituted by Mr. Chenery. Our purchase of books has been selective. We receive many diocesan and parish histories as gifts, and we invite all authors contributing to historical research in the Episcopal Church to place copies of their books in our library.

We have processed approximately 9,000 feet of microfilm. These rolls of film include national periodicals among which are “The Episcopal Recorder,” “The Church Journal,” “The Churchman” and “The Living Church.” Important records have also been filmed.

Finally, this office does a limited amount of research upon request, receives a large number of visitors annually, loans books through the mails, and carries on an extensive correspondence. Letters from graduate, college and high school students have been serviced. Clergy and laity alike use our materials. Mr. Chenery’s report for the period from November, 1957 to April, 1959 listed approximately 360 mail inquiries. The file of the present archivist indicates some 600 items from June, 1959 to April, 1961.

**Virginia Nelle Bellamy, Archivist.**

**FINANCIAL REPORT**

Budget #1—

**Receipts**

Appropriation from General Convention............................$42,000.00
CHURCH HISTORICAL SOCIETY

Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archivists and Assistants</td>
<td>$17,678.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Help</td>
<td>4,685.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service (Employees' Withhold Taxes and Social Security)</td>
<td>3,344.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$25,708.20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Society Security—C.H.S. Portion</td>
<td>680.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>287.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Fund</td>
<td>1,276.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Supplies, Printing and Postage</td>
<td>2,256.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel and Moving Expense—Archivist</td>
<td>770.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>2,811.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books, Pamphlets, Periodicals, etc.</td>
<td>1,340.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm Machine and Reader</td>
<td>3,329.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm Expense</td>
<td>1,738.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .................................................................. $42,000.00

Budget #2—

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from General Convention</td>
<td>$ 3,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partitioning Library</td>
<td>$ 629.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment of note and interest</td>
<td>940.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library Stacks and Shelving</td>
<td>771.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm Room and Metal Mesh Enclosure</td>
<td>658.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .................................................................. $ 3,000.00

PROPOSED BUDGET, 1961-64

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Triennium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archivist</td>
<td>$ 5,400.00</td>
<td>$16,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>7,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Help</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>2,880.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Fund</td>
<td>425.50</td>
<td>1,276.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies, Printing and Postage</td>
<td>900.00</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books, Pamphlets, Periodicals, etc.</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>2,160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm, Binding, etc.</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy for Book Publications</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Expense</td>
<td>900.00</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Expense</td>
<td>434.50</td>
<td>1,303.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy for Historical Magazine</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total .................................................................. $16,000.00 $48,000.00

LAWRENCE L. BROWN, Treasurer.
APPENDIX 4

REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES OF THE CHURCH PENSION FUND

General Convention in 1958, on the recommendation of the Trustees of the Fund, authorized continuation of the 15% pension assessment rate on clergy stipends to enable the Trustees to increase all pensions present and future by 20%. This step meant that the 8,500 active and retired clergy, and surviving widows and children, would receive over their lifetimes an additional $40,000,000. It was a major advance.

Happily the financial outlook of the Fund has for some years steadily improved, particularly investments and factors relating to the salary scale. The Trustees now believe that another forward step can be taken, within the 15% assessment rate.

The Trustees believe that the minimum widow's benefit should be increased from $900 to $1,200 a year, a 33\(\frac{1}{3}\)% increase. The 1958 step had increased this benefit from $750 to $900, a 20% increase. There would be reasonable adjustments in the new minimum in cases of late ordination, very low salary and very late marriage to the clergyman.

The step will help 968 widows who are now receiving the $900 minimum pension, another 97 widows now receiving between $900 and $1,200, and still another 427 widows now receiving less than $900 because of limitations relating to late ordination, very low stipends, period of deposition, or incomplete assessment schedule. In addition the new minimum will become a part of the permanent structure.

In cost this step is the equivalent of $8,500,000 in reserve and 0.60% in assessments. It can be handled in large part because, in the belief of the Trustees, the assumed interest rate for actuarial purposes can now be changed from 2\(\frac{1}{4}\)% to 3%.

The 1949 forward step required a minimum permanent assessment rate of 12% plus a temporary additional assessment rate of 3%. The 1958 step required a minimum permanent assessment rate of 14\(\frac{1}{4}\)% plus a temporary additional assessment rate of 3%. The new step, along with the adjustment in assumed interest rate on investments, will leave this unchanged.

OLIVER J. HART
August 15, 1961
President

ADDENDUM—DISCUSSION OF THE PENSION STRUCTURE

The pension system is the unit of the Church through which it routes funds to the clergy whose active years are over and to surviving widows and children.

The contributions from the units of the Church are taken into a common "pool". From the pool the pensions are paid out as the beneficiaries qualify, in amounts governed by formulas and minimums and not by assessment accumulations received on the clergyman's stipends. Actuarial balance is maintained only for the group as a whole. No actuarial balance is maintained on the level of the individual. The parish's support of the system is
in the form of an assessment as its share of the cost of pensions for the whole group, and not an insurance premium on an individual clergyman.

For the man ordained in the normal age range, the retirement or total and permanent disability pension is 60% of the average salary over his ministry, with a minimum pension of $1,800 a year; the widow's pension is somewhere between 30% of the average salary and a minimum of $900 a year, depending upon her years of marriage in the active ministry; the allowances for dependent orphan children under age 21 are $360 to $600 a year depending on age of child; and the lump sum payment to widows is $1,000. For men ordained at later ages the pensions are graded down proportionately, the usual minimum applying, however, to those ordained before age 40.

Thus the several benefits are interrelated, and the "pension picture" of the clergyman is a reasonable one.

The minimum pensions benefit mainly those whose stipends have been on the lower side, and the survivors of those whose active ministry is cut short by death, and by the nature of the system are paid for mainly but not entirely by the parishes that pay the medium and larger stipends. Yet the pensions received by the clergy who have served the medium and larger parishes bear a reasonable relation to their stipends.

This balance in the system between special "weighting" in favor of the lower paid and pensions that can be viewed as reasonable by the medium and larger parishes for their clergy gives the system a strength and effectiveness it would not otherwise have, in the interests of the whole Church.

Of the total cost the retirement benefit absorbs one-half, the disability one-tenth and the widows' and children's four-tenths.

The retirement pension is based on average salary over the clergyman's entire ministry. Industrial pension systems often base pension on final salary, e.g., salary in the final year or final five years or final ten years of work. The Fund's arrangement is both necessary and best for the Church.

It is necessary because only by averaging salary over entire ministry can future costs be anticipated with the accuracy needed for proper funding and for guarantee. Appropriations to adjust to unanticipated costs, as in a period such as the present of steeply rising salaries in the later years of ministry, can readily be made by an industrial company simply by action of the board of directors out of profits, but could not be expected of the 7,500 "employers" making up the Church in the form of a special assessment. The arrangement is best because averaging salary over entire ministry rather than using final salary avoids a heavy favoring of the higher paid clergy in relation to the lower paid. This favoring would result from the fact that the spread between average salary and final salary is disproportionately greater for higher salaries than lower.

The extra cost if final salary were substituted for average would be a huge sum in accrued liability plus a much higher assessment rate.

Salaries run up roughly in parallel with inflated living costs. This results in partial self-adjustment to inflation, up to the point of retiring, of the eventual pension figure.

Pension at a reasonable level at the point of retiring, and some adjustment of it later to inflated living costs that occur during retirement, is desirable.
The present clergy pension of 60% of career average salary (and up to 30% for the widow) is reasonable in the light of costs and what the Church can be expected to contribute. Applying future margins in interest earnings and from other sources, in so far as possible, to upward adjustments of pensions as has been done in the past to offset inflation will make a strong pension picture. Steps in this direction should bear in mind that the longer a pension has been in force the greater the inroad on the beneficiary of inflated living costs. Thus, as an example, the average salary base of pensions granted to those retiring in 1940 was only two-thirds of that for pensions granted in 1960; adjusting the salary base of the 1940 pensions upward by about one-half would eliminate the difference.

The minimum pensions of $1,800 for clergy and $1,200 for widows when this step to $1,200 is taken, are far more than full adjustment to rise in living costs since the 'forties when the minimum figures were $600 and $300 respectively. It is the pensions that compute by the factors of salary and years of ministry to figures higher than the minimum that will need adjusting in the future.

D. NELSON ADAMS
DAVID E. BRONSON
NELSON M. BURROUGHS (Rt. Rev.)
LEIGHTON H. COLEMAN
WILLIAM F. CREIGHTON (Rt. Rev.)
DANIEL P. DAVISON
CHARLES D. Dickey
KEMPTON DUNN
JOSEPH R. EGGERT, JR.
SEABORN J. FLOWNOY
HARRISON GARRETT
BRINLEY M. HALL
OLIVER J. HART (Rt. Rev.)
JAMES F. Hodges
CLARENCE H. HORNER (Rev.)
JAMES B. KNOWLES
J. BROOK MOSELY (Rt. Rev.)
RICHARD K. PAYNTER, JR.
NOBLE C. POWELL (Rt. Rev.)
J. MILTON RICHARDSON (Very Rev.)
SUMNER F. D. WALTERS (Rt. Rev.)
GUSTAVE E. WEIDENMAYER
ROBERT WORTHINGTON
THOMAS H. WRIGHT (Rt. Rev.)

TRUSTEES OF THE CHURCH PENSION FUND
The Joint Committee was created by the General Convention of 1958 to "report to the General Convention as to establishing or continuing Joint Committees or Commissions."

During the sessions of the General Convention of 1958 proposals for the creation of new Joint Committees and Commissions were referred to us for report and recommendation. We found however that the time then available was not sufficient for an adequate study of Joint Committees and Commissions already existing and we proposed to make such a study during the ensuing triennium.

The Committee has met twice and the members have carried on considerable amounts of independent investigation.

At the time of the preparation of this report the members of this Joint Committee were:


The Rev. Duncan M. Hobart of Mississippi, Vice Chairman.


The Rev. James L. Stilwell of Nebraska.

Mr. B. Powell Harrison of Virginia.

Theodore T. Odell, Ph.D., of Rochester.

Everett S. Wallis, Sc.D., of New Jersey.

With profound sorrow we note the death of the Rt. Rev. Thomas Neely Carruthers, D.D., Bishop of South Carolina, who served as Chairman during the early part of the triennium.

We acknowledge with gratitude the service of the Rt. Rev. F. Eric Bloy, D.D., Bishop of Los Angeles, who was a member of the Joint Committee for a part of the triennium, but who found it necessary to resign due to the heavy demands of the work in his Diocese.

In studying the work of the several Joint Committees and Commissions we found it necessary to take into account the nature of the General Con-
vention itself with its large number of bishops and much larger number of clerical and lay deputies meeting for a short period once in three years to make decisions about a great number of matters which are of vital importance to the entire Church. Out of the varied concerns of the members of the Convention come proposals of all sorts calculated to increase the effectiveness of the Church's work and to improve the quality of her witness.

Many of these proposals cannot be given proper consideration during the limited time of the Convention. Some require prolonged study on the part of people possessing particular competence before wise decisions can be made about them.

For this reason there has arisen the practice of the creation of Joint Committees made up of members of both Houses of the Convention, and of Joint Commissions, not limited to members of the Convention, upon whom may be appointed to serve men and women whose experience and judgment is thought to be of special value in the area under consideration. The usefulness of such Joint Committees and Commissions has been amply demonstrated and the practice of creating them certainly should be continued.

However, the tendency of all legislative bodies to create committees raises serious questions. Experience has amply demonstrated the danger and the waste often involved in such a process. Proposals are sometimes made for the establishing of Joint Committees and Commissions to study matters already thoroughly investigated in the past or that would involve work already being carried on by some other group in the Church or calling for decisions that would trespass upon fields where constitutional or canonical responsibility and jurisdiction have already been established. We are also aware of the likelihood of Joint Committees and Commissions being continued long after the work for which they were created has been accomplished or taken over by others or even shown to be impractical. It must have been with all these factors in view that the General Convention of 1958 established this Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions in order to provide for an impartial assessment of the desirability of their creation or continuance.

The existing Joint Committees and Commissions may be divided into a number of groups. There are those obviously necessary for the functioning of the General Convention itself, such as the Joint Committee of Arrangements for the General Convention and the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

There are those of such vital importance to the life of the Church that they have been established by Canon, such as the Standing Liturgical Commission and the Joint Commission on Theological Education.

There are those with a continuing responsibility for aspects of the Church's work such as the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts and the Joint Commission on Church Music.

There are those set up to consider problems of particular urgency in
the Church's contemporary mission, such as the Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of Missionary Work in Industrial Areas.

Then there are those established for a particular purpose which should be discharged when their work is completed or when it has been incorporated into the regular program of the Church carried on by the National Council.

We indicate below our opinions on each of the existing Joint Committees and Commissions. We also state that our viewpoint is tentative and subject to revision following the publication of the reports of these Committees and Commissions and their submission to the General Convention of 1961. We urge that those attending the Convention study the reports well in advance of their consideration by the Convention itself.

**Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts**

Few fields are in such a definite state of creative change and transition as is this one. This is amply demonstrated in contemporary church building and furnishing, in painting and in sculpture. Obviously the thinking and judgment of a competent Commission is needed.

**Joint Committee of Arrangements for the General Convention of 1961**

Obviously a similar Committee will be needed for each General Convention.

**Joint Committee on Supplement to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons**

The Constitution and Canons continue to be amended and Canon Law continues to be made. All who are familiar with the Annotated Constitution and Canons will want this splendid work to be kept up to date. The continuing of the Joint Committee is recommended.

**Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses**

The role of the Order of Deaconesses seems to us to be a part of the larger problem of the place of Professional Women Church Workers.

We therefore recommend that this Commission be discontinued and that any needed consideration of the work of Deaconesses and particularly pensions for them be made a part of the function of the Joint Commission on Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers.

**Joint Commissions on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches, Ecumenical Relations and Approaches to Unity**

The work of these three Commissions is being discussed together in view of the similarity of their Commissions. The Joint Commission on Co-
operation with the Eastern Churches feels that it has a rather special-
ized function to perform in our relationship to these Churches and within
the movements working toward Christian reunion. The Joint Commission
on Ecumenical Relations has been responsible for matters concerning our
relationships with other Churches and Ecumenical Bodies while the Joint
Commission on Approaches to Unity has explored steps and undertaken
conversations looking toward organic union with other Christian Com-
munions.

We recommend that the three Joint Commissions be continued for the
coming triennium although we would endorse steps which we understand
they are already considering, looking toward the combining of their work
and the reduction of their number.

Joint Commission on Evangelism

This Commission was established by the General Convention of 1958 to
fill what was genuinely believed to be an urgent need in the life and work
of the Church. We recommend that this Joint Commission be continued.

Standing Committee on Expenses of the House of Deputies

We endorse the recommendation made by the House of Deputies in
1958 that this Committee be made a Joint Standing Committee of both
Houses of the Convention.

Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of the General Convention

We note that this Committee is presenting a report recommending some
far-reaching changes in the structure of the General Convention. We be-
lieve that the problems covered by this report will require considerable
time for their solution regardless of whatever action is taken by the Gen-
eral Convention of 1961, and we recommend that the Joint Committee be
continued.

Joint Committee on the General Theological Seminary ad interim

Inquiry shows that this Joint Committee performs valuable functions
as a liaison between the General Convention and the General Theological
Seminary, particularly in making nominations for Trustees of the Semi-
nary. We recommend that it be continued.

Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine of the Church

This Joint Commission performs a valuable function in the preserva-
tion of historical material and in the publication of the Historical Maga-
zine of the Church and we recommend that it be continued.
Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs

Formerly known as the Joint Commission on Social Reconstruction, this Commission was continued in 1958. War and peace, housing, race relationships and responsible freedom have been among the matters to which it has given thought and study.

We have considered the relationship of the work of this Joint Commission to the similar sort of work being carried on under the aegis of the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council and have concluded that the separate approaches and contributions of two different groups, made up of different persons, are of a value sufficient for us to recommend that the Joint Commission be continued.

Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of Missionary Work in Industrial Areas

As stated above the responsibility of this Joint Commission has been to study some of the most serious problems facing the Church in our contemporary culture, problems centering in the rapid changes taking place in our cities.

We are aware that the work in this field is also a responsibility of the Division of Urban-Industrial Church Work of the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council. However, the scope of the problem is of such magnitude that we are inclined to think that further study, carried on by a Joint Commission made up of those not directly responsible for the execution of policy, would be profitable. We note further that this Joint Commission has been assisted by the staff of the Division of Urban-Industrial Church Work. Therefore, we recommend that the Joint Commission be continued.

Standing Liturgical Commission

Obviously this Commission should be continued as provided by Canon 22.

Joint Commission on Church Music

What we have already stated about the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and Allied Art applies in large measure to the work of this Joint Commission and we recommend that it be continued.

Joint Committee on Program and Budget of the General Convention of 1961

Similar Joint Committees will obviously be needed for all future General Conventions.

Joint Committee to Study the Provincial System

In view of the fact that previous recommendations for changes in the Provincial System have not been approved by the General Convention and
with a belief that any further recommendations should await possible changes in the structure of General Convention itself we recommend that this Joint Committee not be continued.

Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol

While fully aware of the urgency of this problem and appreciative of the contributions of the Joint Commission in guiding the thinking of the Church on it we believe that future leadership in this field should be a part of the responsibility of the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council. We recommend, therefore, that the Joint Commission not be continued.

Joint Commission on Theological Education

We commend to the study of the General Convention the proposed revision of Canon 30 being submitted by this Joint Commission providing for certain changes in the character of the Commission.

This Joint Commission is of utmost importance to the life of the Church and we believe that maintaining high academic standards for institutions preparing men for the ministry should be taken into account in any consideration of proposed changes in the character of this Joint Commission.

Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention

We recommend that this small Committee be continued.

Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers.

This Joint Commission was created by the General Convention of 1958 and is reporting that it needs more time to continue its study of this important subject. We recommend that it be continued.

We submit no resolutions for the continuing of these Joint Committees and Commissions as it is customary for them to submit such resolutions in connection with their reports to the General Convention.

The Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions has reviewed its own function and recommends that it be continued, but that its meetings be held only at the time of the General Convention itself. In this way it will be possible for the Joint Committee to evaluate the work of those Joint Committees and Commissions who are making reports to the General Convention and are asking to be continued. It will also be possible to study proposals made for the creation of new Joint Committees and Commissions during the actual sessions of the Convention.

RECOMMENDATION

We therefore recommend to the General Convention the adoption of the following resolution:
Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be continued, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters and three laymen, who shall report to the General Convention as to establishing or continuing Joint Committees and Commissions.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

Appropriation from General Convention.................. $1,500.00

Disbursements

Travel expenses of members, meeting of May 5, 1959... $627.18
Travel expenses of members, meeting of February 8, 1961 ...................... 529.10
Postage and telephone ........................................ 10.00

Total .......................................................... 1,166.28
Balance, April 1, 1961........................................ $ 333.72

HENRY I. LOUITTIT, Chairman.
ROGER W. BLANCHARD.
GEORGE H. QUARTERMAN.
DUNCAN M. HOBART, Vice Chairman.
GEORGE W. BARRETT, Secretary.
JAMES L. STILWELL.
B. POWELL HARRISON.
THEODORE T. ODELL.
EVERETT S. WALLIS.
APPENDIX 6

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON SUPPLEMENTS TO WHITE & DYKMAN'S ANNOTATED CONSTITUTION AND CANONS

Your committee is happy to report that a supplement covering amendments adopted at the General Convention of 1958 was published by The Seabury Press in 1959, and recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that the Joint Committee on Supplements to White & Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons be continued; to consist of one Bishop, one Priest and three Laymen of the profession of the law; and authorized to prepare and supervise the publication of supplementary pocket parts covering action taken at its Convention.

Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that the sum of $2,000.00 be appropriated for the expense of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White & Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons when it meets during the next triennial.

FINANCIAL REPORT

The Joint Committee is informed by the Treasurer of the General Convention that the sum of $183.92 remains unexpended out of the appropriation of $1,000.00 made by the General Convention of 1958.

JAMES A. PIKE, Chairman
HOWARD S. KENNEDY
PHILIP ADAMS
JACKSON A. DYKMAN
B. ALLSTON MOORE

Appended Note by the Chairman:

Since Colonel Dykman is a member of the Committee submitting this report, there is not included in it appropriate praise and thanks for his devoted work in preparing the Supplement. I speak for the other members of the Committee, and I am sure for the whole General Convention, in expressing appreciation for his continuing to render this much needed service to the Church.
### DECEASED MEMBERS

#### APPENDIX 7

**COMMITTEE ON MEMORIALS OF DECEASED MEMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clerical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Harold Peter Kaulfuss</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>Carleton Day Lathrop</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William P. Whitsell, D.D.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>Cary Breckinridge Wilmer</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Mark Rifenbark, D.D.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central-New York</td>
<td>Claude Hill Leyfield</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Edward Thomas Taggard</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Benjamin W. Bonell, D.D.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Newton LeRoy Carroll</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Latimer McLane</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>J. Chauncey Linsley, D.D.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Walter Howard Meyers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Charles W. Clash, D.D.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton</td>
<td>Charles L. Atwater, D.D.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J. Randolph Field, Lewis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert William</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>Guy Douglas Christian</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Newton Middleton, D.D.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>James Madison Johnson</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Franklin St. Clair, Herbert</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stuart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stanton</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>Edward Monroe Frear</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>Harry Sheppard Musson</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert A. Jarecki</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Edward Montgomery</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis B. Franklin</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ralph H. Crocker</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junius Daniel Grimes, Sr.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roger L. Kingsland</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hamilton Roddis</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Horace VanMeter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>William M. Beall</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isaac Bunker</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edward L. McDonald</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>Perry G. M. Austin, D.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Edward Farren, Hayward</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>Ralph Henry Hayden, William O. Smith, D.D.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>Leonard Percival, Hagger</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Francis J. Bloodgood, Holmes Whitmore, D.D.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Frederick D. Butler, Guy C. Menefee, D.D.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Edward A. De Miller, George Boggan Myers, D.D.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>Arthur Elan, Woodward</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>Robert Hayes Dunn</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>DuBose Murphy, D.D.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Andrew S. Gill, John R. Stalker, D.D.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>C. Stanley Mook</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>John Doherty Rice</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>William J. Dietrich, Charles E. Eder, D.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Edward L. B. Pielow</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>Charles S. Colden</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Frank Gulden</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>St. Elmo L. Coombs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Henry William</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>J. Hereford Percy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>Garner W. Denmead</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>W. Milan Davis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Reginald Rowan</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Ludlow Sebring</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>Joseph Blount</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Laurence Harper</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Harry H. Diamond</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>James Exre</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>Edward W. Stimpson</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Robert T. McCracken</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Reed A. Morgan</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Demas E. Barnes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DECEASED MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>Wallace Eastburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Howe—3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento</td>
<td>A. Livingston Kelley—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis Dudley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Learned—4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>Harper Sibley—6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hugh D. Brown—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>Lawrence W. Rogers—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
<td>William E. Tylander—4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern</td>
<td>Thomas H. Willcox—4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Walter G. Stephenson—2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robert Whitehead—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charles Milne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seymour—5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>R. Turner Arrington—3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>Lewis Catflett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Williams—4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Thomas E. Robertson—7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>Edwin W. Merrill—6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lawrence A. C. Pitcaithly—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>Rolfe P. Crum, D.D.—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>John Westcott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gummere—1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                           | Sidney E. Bell—2         |
|                           | Harold R. Moulton—1      |
|                           | Howard F. Bigelow—3      |
|                           | George S. Smith—1        |
Clerical

Alaska ............... Samuel A. McPhetres—1
Eastern Oregon ...... Bertram Albert Warren—1
Hankow ............... Walworth Tyng—1
Idaho ................ E. Leslie Rolls—1
Liberia ............... Fretus A. K. H. Russell—1
Nevada ............... E. Tanner Brown, D.D.—1
North Dakota ...... Edward Wells Burleson—1
San Joaquin ........ James M. Malloch, D.D.—1
Southern Brazil .... Jesse Krebs Appel—1
Western Kansas .... Charles Eade Maltas—1

Lay
At the four meetings of the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses, the major concern was centered on complying with the resolution adopted by the General Convention of 1958:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses be requested to consider the needs of deaconesses whose pension needs are not provided for by the National Council or Pension Funds and present to the Next General Convention a definite plan of pensions for such deaconesses.

Five areas of concern have received careful study and consideration at the four meetings held by the Commission during the triennium:

1. Supplemental aid to deaconesses who are now or will be retired during the next triennium.
2. A pension plan for deaconesses now in service.
3. The sub-standard level of salaries generally offered to deaconesses.
4. A broader program of training at The Central House for Deaconesses.
5. Presentation to the Church at large of the work entrusted to deaconesses.

1. Supplemental Aid to Retired Deaconesses

At one of its meetings the Commission had for its information the results of a questionnaire prepared by the National Council's Department of Christian Social Relations, July, 1959, giving factual information about the number of deaconesses; about whatever provision for retirement they now have; and about the cost of pensions obtained from the Church Life Insurance Corporation.

The figures from the Church Life Insurance Corporation indicated that in order to provide paid up annuities for the deaconesses over age 65 to bring them in an income of $1,250 a year, $711,600 would be required. The cost would be $198,000 for a single premium to provide an annual annuity sufficient, when added to the present income of each of the 55 deaconesses between 65 and 95, to bring the income of each to an annual annuity of $1,250.

The Commission is agreed that it would be impractical to raise capital funds in this amount from the Church.

According to the study made by the Department of Christian Social Relations and a subsequent study by a sub-committee of the Commission there are, as of February, 1961, 81 deaconesses.

Of the 51 deaconesses over age 65:

34 have no social security benefits. The social security of the other 17 deaconesses averages $70 monthly.
12 receive National Council retirement allowances.

8 receive, or on retirement will receive, small parochial or diocesan pensions.

25 have no retirement provision set up by their employers and receive, or will receive, inadequate social security benefits, if any.

15 receive allowances from the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses.

6 have private means, a few of whom are drawing on capital.

11 continue on salary for full-time services.

2 below age 65 are disabled.

In view of these facts the Commission suggests that the retired deaconesses be provided for on the basis of need by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses, as at present. This is currently being augmented by a grant from the United Thank Offering. In order to take care of the needs of the retired deaconesses, even on a minimum basis, the income of the Retiring Fund will have to be augmented during the next triennium. The Commission hopes that a grant for this purpose may be made either by the United Thank Offering or from the budget of the National Council.

2. PENSION PLAN FOR DEACONESES IN ACTIVE SERVICE

"Pensions for Deaconesses" have been the subject of frequent discussion at meetings of the General Convention and elsewhere, and have as frequently been tabled. The Church cannot continue to ignore her responsibility for an Office which she canonically recognizes, namely the Office of Deaconess. In the opinion of your Commission it is immoral to ignore this responsibility any longer.

With regard to the 31 deaconesses under 65 (July, 1959) the costs are as follows:

1. $105,000. approximate premium to provide annual annuities of $1,250. each. $45,500. approximate premium to provide annual annuities of $1,250. each if retiring age is raised to 68.

2. If eleven deaconesses in the National Council plan are excluded, the figures become about $63,700. for age 65; $28,000. for age 68.

3. The single premium for an immediate annuity of $1,250. per year for women at age 65 is $18,740.

These figures would have to be revised to bring them up to date, and there are certain factors which might change them somewhat, but they give an idea of what would be involved in setting up a pension plan which would take care of the past service premiums for deaconesses still in active service.

Beside the eleven deaconesses who are covered by the National Council plan, some others have pension arrangements of other kinds.

As in the case of providing annuities for the deaconesses over age 65, your Commission is agreed that it would be impractical to raise capital
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funds in this amount from the Church in order to provide annuities on reaching age 65 or 68.

For the deaconesses in active service the Commission therefore makes the following suggestions:

A. Establish the age of retirement at 68 rather than at age 65.

B. Get the parishes, dioceses or institutions employing deaconesses to pay a minimum salary of $3,000 a year and housing.

C. Get them to set aside an amount equal to approximately ten per cent of the salary and housing, and use it to purchase from the Church Life Insurance Corporation as much of an annuity for each deaconess as the ten per cent will buy, as of the age of the deaconess at the time the plan goes into effect.

D. See to it that all deaconesses are covered by social security.

This program would mean that the older active deaconesses would get a very small annuity, but at least the Church would have a plan under way. When these deaconesses retire, the small annuity could be supplemented on the basis of need by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses. The younger deaconesses would build up a larger annuity, and as time went on the demands on the Retiring Fund would hopefully decrease.

This would put the matter of pensions squarely on the shoulders of the employers of deaconesses where it should be, and would avoid the necessity of raising a capital fund. It would not provide for the deaconesses as liberally as we would like, but at least it would take care of the most pressing needs, and it would mean that as time went on, and if we get more younger women coming into the Order of Deaconesses, the deaconesses would eventually have pensions which, when added to social security, would give them a livable retirement income.

The Commission realizes that there would be no teeth in this plan to make employers pay on the annuities, but our hope would be that a resolution of the General Convention recommending the plan would have considerable moral force, and that it would enable the deaconesses themselves to insist on this kind of an arrangement at the time they accept positions. The following is the plan suggested by the Church Life Insurance Corporation implementing this idea:

THE PROPOSED PENSION PLAN FOR DEACONESSES

Administration

The Plan shall be administered by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses, a Membership Corporation of the State of New York.

The pension shall be underwritten by the Church Life Insurance Corporation, an affiliate of The Church Pension Fund. The policy shall be issued to the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses. The deaconess shall be supplied with a certificate of participation.

Eligibility

Deaconesses who are not over age 63 on the commencement date of the
Plan, and deaconesses subsequently set apart at an age under 63 shall be eligible to participate.

**Retirement Age**

The pension will become available to the retired deaconess after she has reached age 68.

**Financing**

The contributions shall be paid by each unit of the Church that is privileged to have the services of a deaconess.

**Contribution Rate**

The contribution rate shall be determined by the age of the deaconess at entry in the Plan, and will normally be in the range of 9 to 11 per cent of compensation.

**Pension Amount**

The pension at age 68 will in the normal case be approximately 0.8% of the compensation that the deaconess received at age 63 multiplied by the number of years in the Plan. Thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at entry</th>
<th>Years to age 68</th>
<th>Pensions as % of age 63 compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pension will be in addition to federal social security.

**Compensation Base**

The compensation base shall be the cash compensation, plus one-sixth if living quarters are supplied.

**No Evidence of Health Needed**

Enrollment requires no evidence of good health.

**Early Termination of Service**

In the event the deaconess terminates work before age 68 she will begin to receive the pension at age 68, in the reduced amount to reflect the early discontinuance of contributions. In the event the deaconess dies before age 68, any cash value of the contributions will be added to the assets of the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses.

**Modification of Plan**

The right is reserved to the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses to interpret,
modify or terminate the Plan, subject to preservation to the deaconesses of pensions purchased prior to exercise of right.

3. Broader Program of Training of Deaconess Candidates

At the present time there is a widespread move on the part of older men to study for Holy Orders. Similarly the Church may well regard the older women as potential workers in the canonically established Office of Deaconess. The Church today needs dedicated women for teaching in her parochial schools and in administering programs caring for children and for the aged, in and out of institutions.

Women who in their earlier years were trained in social work, teaching, nursing, etc., would in many instances simply need refresher courses in their special fields. To deaconess candidates, The Central House for Deaconesses in Evanston, Ill., offers facilities for such study and guidance at nearby National College of Education, and Northwestern and Loyola Universities. To meet current needs of the Church your Commission calls attention to this source of power and recommends that older women with specialized training be encouraged to consider Church work in the diaconate of women.

4. Publicity and Promotion

The Central House for Deaconesses is the headquarters, the nerve center of the corporate life of the deaconesses of the Church. While the two deaconesses on the staff of The Central House travel extensively by invitation to speak on the vocation to the Office of Deaconess, it is nevertheless a fact that far too few Church members know anything about the diaconate of women. The deaconess vocation is rarely mentioned, and yet this vocation can supply a ministry that the Church should use more widely.

For the purpose of making known to the Church the life and work of deaconesses, your Commission recommends for your consideration:

A. That the ministry of deaconesses be presented by a deaconess annually at each of our seminaries. The Commission has requested the Deans of the seminaries to extend an invitation to a deaconess of the Church to speak to their students explaining the functions that may be entrusted to a deaconess.

B. That deaconess speakers present the deaconess vocation to Church groups at the parochial and diocesan levels.

C. That the names of competent deaconess speakers may be obtained by contacting The Central House for Deaconesses in Evanston, Ill.

This report cannot be concluded without gratefully mentioning the indebtedness of the Commission to the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, the Right Rev. Charles L. Street, who, co-opted by the Commission, contributed immeasurably to its deliberations and work.

Resolutions

The Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of... concurring, that the General Convention goes on record as approving the proposed pension plan for deaconesses sug-
gested by the Church Life Insurance Corporation and set forth in the Rep-
port of the Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the General Convention
recommends to every parish, diocese, institution or other Church agency
employing a deaconess, that they pay a salary of at least $3,000 a year, to-
gether with adequate living quarters and proper provision for travel and
other expenses; and be it further recommended that every such Church body
employing a deaconess see to it that she is covered by social security.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that Canon 51 be ame-
dined by the addition of a new section to be numbered "Section 12" to read as fol-
lows: Each diocese, parish or other agency of the Church employing the
regular services of a deaconess shall make provision for a pension upon her
retirement at the expense of such employer through the "Pension Plan for
Deaconesses" provided by the Church Life Insurance Corporation, and ad-
ministered by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses, a Membership Corpora-
tion of the State of New York, or through some other pension plan pro-
viding equivalent or better benefits and equivalent or better guarantees of a
dependable retirement income approved by proper authority.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the report of the Joint
Commission on the Work of Deaconesses be accepted and that the Com-
mission be continued.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the sum of $1,000.00 be
appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Commission on the Work of Dea-
conesses during the coming triennium.

FINANCIAL REPORT

No appropriation was made for the expenses of this Commission. The
Chairman has expended $25.00 on postage and incidentals.
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(Mr. William A. Shands is a member of this Commission but did not
participate in the formation of this report.)
The present triennium has witnessed marked advance in the development of cooperation between the Anglican Communion and the Eastern Churches. Our Chairman, the Rt. Rev. L. L. Scaife, Bishop of Western New York, made a memorable journey to the Balkans in 1960, holding significant conversations with Patriarch German and leading churchmen in Yugoslavia, with Patriarch Kyril and theologians in Bulgaria, and with the Ecumenical Patriarch, His All Holiness Athenagoras, at the Phanar. These conversations together with sermons which he delivered in each place and the visits to theological seminaries and to the ancient monasteries of Zicha in Yugoslavia, St. John Rila in Bulgaria, and to Mt. Athos, the "Holy Mount," deeply impressed Orthodox dignitaries and faithful with the authentic interest and brotherly affection of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States.

Outstanding prelates of the East have visited the United States, where this Joint Commission has met with them. The Joint Commission held conversations with Metropolitan Boris and with Archpriest Vitalij Borovoy, from Moscow, in which the Presiding Bishop and the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island participated. The Chairman or the Secretary also met with the Patriarch of the Syrian Orthodox (Jacobite) Church and the Supreme Catholicos of the Armenian Church, Etchmiadzin, U.S.S.R., on their visits to the United States. The Secretary made several visits to the Phanar to counsel with the Ecumenical Patriarch in dealing with the affairs of the Orthodox Theological Seminary of St. Sergius in Paris, also visiting Patriarch Benediktos in Jerusalem, Patriarch Christopher in Alexandria and the Maronite Patriarch, Paul Menouchi, in Beirut. The Joint Commission facilitated a survey of St. Sergius, Paris, made on its behalf by Dr. Edward Rochie Hardy, as a means of strengthening its work.

A most significant undertaking was a two day Consultation between Orthodox and Protestants in the United States, at Seabury House, under the chairmanship of the Presiding Bishop, attended by Archbishop Iakovos, Bishop John Shakhovskoy and a number of outstanding Russian, Greek, Syrian, Roumanian, Serbian and Albanian theologians, together with Bishop Scaife, Dr. James W. Kennedy, Dr. E. R. Hardy, Dr. Paul B. Anderson, and other Episcopalians and representative theologians of the Presbyterian, Lutheran, Methodist, Baptist and Congregational Churches. This Consultation was carefully prepared and had as its purpose consideration of the day-to-day problems confronting parish clergy in church relationships. With the growing importance of the Orthodox Church as an authentic expression of American religious life, the timeliness of this Consultation cannot be overemphasized.

DEVELOPMENTS IN THE EASTERN CHURCHES

Another function of the Joint Commission has been to keep abreast of developments in the life of the Eastern Churches, for the purpose of providing counsel to the Presiding Bishop, to our bishops meeting at Lambeth
and to the World Council of Churches, on matters related to rapprochement between the Anglicans and Orthodox. The Secretary keeps in close touch, by visits and correspondence, with the Church of England Council on Inter-Church Relations, whose General Secretary, the Rev. John R. Satterthwaite, came to the United States and conferred at length with this Joint Commission in March of this year. The following review of developments in the Eastern Churches is presented for the benefit of the General Convention.

The Ecumenical Patriarchate. His All Holiness, Athenagoras I, responded speedily and constructively to the announcement of an Ecumenical Council (now referred to as the Second Vatican Council) called by Pope John XXIII. The Orthodox Patriarch informed the Pope that a council could be ecumenical only if it included the Eastern Orthodox, the Anglicans and the bodies which have entered into fellowship in the World Council of Churches. It would appear that this stand was not without influence in leading the Pope to establish a Secretariat for the Unity of Christians, under Cardinal Bea. The Ecumenical Patriarch received Cardinal Spellman, sent Archbishop Iakovos to speak with the Pope, and in 1960 himself visited the Orthodox Patriarchs of Antioch, Jerusalem and Alexandria, and prelates of other Churches in the Middle East, on a mission of mutual understanding.

The situation of the Greek Patriarchate in Alexandria under His Holiness Christopher II, seems to have reached stability after a considerable period of misunderstanding between the Patriarch and the Greek Community in Egypt over the extent to which the Patriarch is dependent upon and expected to be the spokesman for the Greek minority in Egypt.

The Coptic Church has found a solution for the problem of relationship between the Copts in Egypt and their fellow believers in Ethiopia. The latter are now recognized as an autonomous national Church with their own Patriarch, His Holiness Kyrillas VI, whereas the Patriarch in Egypt, His Holiness Mena El Na Ramoussy Elmer Wahad, although exercising authority only over Egypt, nevertheless holds primacy over Copts in both Egypt and Ethiopia, and a certain number of Ethiopian Church representatives join with Egyptian Copts in the electoral body choosing him for this high office.

The election of His Holiness Theodosios VI to be Patriarch of Antioch, was a stormy affair, in which foreign and domestic politics played a part, as in ancient days. The new Patriarch is having to deal with the difficult problem of a successor to the late Archbishop of this Patriarchate in Toledo, Ohio, which has not yet been resolved.

Meanwhile, the Syrian (Jacobite) Patriarch of Antioch and all the East, Mar Ignatius Jacob III, has visited the Americas, where he has presented strongly his views that his office located at Homs is the historic Syrian patriarchate and that the Orthodox Patriarchate of Antioch, actually resident at Damascus, is Greek.

The Orthodox Patriarch in Jerusalem, Benediktos and his Synod have made the concession of electing a Jordanian Arab to the Episcopate.

A similar group of political factors entered into the election of the new
heads of the Armenian Church in Jerusalem and in the Lebanon. Reconciliation and normalcy seem to have reigned in the post-election period.

In the U.S.S.R., Patriarch Alexei of Moscow has had to deal with a strong revival of anti-religious action, evident both in a great increase of social-scientific literature specially aiming at weaning youth from religion and, quite recently, in greater severity in the interpretation and application of laws restricting the activities of clergy, apparently resulting from the government's awareness of the strength and persistence of religiousness in both old and young. The Commission's Secretary receives from the U.S.S.R. scores of such books, pamphlets, articles and a new monthly journal dedicated to the anti-religious program of the Soviet Government and the Communist Party. The triennium has seen the favorable development of relations between the Orthodox Church in Russia and the World Council of Churches. Particularly noteworthy has been the journey in 1960 of Patriarch Alexei to visit the Orthodox in the Middle East. He and his suite of fifteen persons made an unprecedented call on the Ecumenical Patriarch at the Phanar and on the Archbishop of Athens and Synod of the Hellenic Church.

These journeys of Patriarchs, together with other visits made by their representatives have unquestionably lifted the level of interest of both faithful Christians and of governments in the role being played by the Orthodox Church in the momentous developments of our times: the striving for a common mind among the Orthodox on questions not dealt with since the Turks arrived at the Golden Horn in 1453; the possibility of restoring relationships between Constantinople and Rome, which were severed in the year 1054; the movement for unity among all Christians signalized by the growth of the World Council of Churches; and the role of Churches in work for order and harmony in international relations. Of particular importance for the General Convention is the evidence of desire of the Orthodox to find a basis for Christian fellowship with the Anglican Communion, which became apparent during the historic visit of the Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Geoffrey Fisher, to the Eastern Churches in November, 1960.

The Orthodox Churches of the Balkan and East European countries have been by-passed by these developments. Even though each has continued to maintain and, in some measure, to develop its internal life, political circumstances have prevented active relationships with the Anglican or other Western Churches. It would seem that the governments consider that their church "foreign affairs" may find adequate expression in the activities of the Patriarchate in Moscow. It is of significance, however, that Bishop Scaife was able to have full and exceedingly valuable visits in Yugoslavia and Bulgaria. Unfortunately his Romanian visa, in spite of ample time for action, was not granted until after his return to the United States.

ST. SERGIUS THEOLOGICAL INSTITUTE

The role and significance of the Orthodox Theological Institute of St. Sergius in Paris becomes apparent in the midst of these conflicts and developments. In recent years it has enrolled not only students of Russian origin. The proportion of non-Russians in the school year 1960-61 has risen to more than a half. A Cypriot Greek is now an Assistant Professor and Lecturer in Russian. Large number of students have been sent by bishops
in Greece who desire to increase the number of Greeks acquainted with Russian theology and piety and with the works of the great Russian Christian philosophers who did so much to advance Orthodox thinking during the period of political restrictions elsewhere in the Eastern Church. In December, 1959, Metropolitan Vladimir, Head of the Russian Church in Western Europe, died in Paris, to be succeeded by Archbishop Georges (Tarasoff) as Exarch of the Ecumenical Patriarch. The latter takes great personal interest in the affairs of the Institute, seeing in it an outpost of Orthodox theology and church life in the Western world. Two of its renowned professors, Anton Kartasheff, the historian, and Hieromonk Cyprien (Kern) died in 1960. They had shared greatly in giving the world a group of richly endowed younger theologians, some of whom are still in Paris, others in the Middle East and several at St. Vladimir Orthodox Theological Seminary in New York. In addition there are their numerous text books and other writings, published by the Russian YMCA-PRESS in Paris with the aid of the Joint Commission and the World Council of Churches.

**FINANCIAL REPORT**

Following is a financial report on funds received and disbursed by the Joint Commission during the triennium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balance, April 30, 1958</th>
<th>$9,608.77</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Friday Offering</td>
<td>$41,359.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds and Transfer</td>
<td>680.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Gifts</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total available</strong></td>
<td>$42,239.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Sergius Institute and Related Undertakings in Paris</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Orthodox Church Program Projects</td>
<td>4,920.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Periodicals and Books to Orthodox</td>
<td>741.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to Commission Meetings</td>
<td>660.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office, Postage and Miscellaneous</td>
<td>281.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication of Roster of Orthodox Clergy</td>
<td>4,127.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodox Year Books and Reference Materials</td>
<td>97.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$40,829.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance, March 8, 1961</strong></td>
<td>$11,018.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRESENT STATUS OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN ORTHODOX AND ANGLICANS**

The Episcopal Church has always felt a special kinship with the Eastern Orthodox Church. Bishop Seabury formed our Prayer of Consecration on Eastern Church models. In 1830, soon after Greece regained her independence, we sent our first mission abroad, for the purpose of giving assistance to the Greek Orthodox Church. When people from Orthodox countries—
GREECE, Russia, Roumania, Serbia, Bulgaria, Albania and others—began coming to our shores, the Episcopal Church helped them in establishing their own parishes and dioceses. We rejoice today to see these dioceses growing in strength and stability, with their own theological schools for training American-born priests, and taking their part in the National Council of Churches and World Council of Churches. On the whole, the Orthodox and the Episcopal parishes live side by side in harmony and cordiality, even though no formal dogmatic or canonical ties bind them together.

If this happy relation is to grow, as we hope, into full communion, there must be a clear understanding of the present status of relationships between the Episcopal and Orthodox Churches. This may be briefly summarized as follows:

1. The Anglican Communion, of which the Episcopal Church is part, is not in communion with the Orthodox Churches.

2. Official discussions are in progress, in connection with the Lambeth Conference, looking towards that full agreement in doctrine which will make intercommunion possible.

3. Meanwhile the Episcopal Church cooperates in practical ways with the Orthodox Churches, as sister Churches, offering help and encouragement, and facilitating emergency ministry to Orthodox people wherever they may be.

4. Similar principles apply in relationships with the Oriental Churches, namely, the Armenian, Syrian, Jacobite, Coptic and Ethiopian Churches, which are also represented here.

The Orthodox in this country are bound to their mother Churches even more closely than we are to the Church of England, although in principle they may come together to form a national American Orthodox Church in the not too distant future. Basic Orthodox-Anglican relations, however, are presently discussed with the representatives of the ancient Patriarchates and national Churches. Unhappily, for a generation after 1917 the great Russian Church was cut off from contact with the rest of Christendom, making overall Orthodox action impossible. Connections between all the national Orthodox Churches have now been in some measure reopened, and an official Anglo-Russian Theological Conference was held at Moscow in 1956. Meanwhile, developing understanding in the years from 1922 to 1935 led several of the Orthodox Churches, including the Patriarchate of Constantinople, to take the important step of declaring that Anglican Orders possess "the same validity as those of the Roman, Old Catholic, and Armenian Churches." This has given many Anglicans the impression that we are in full communion, but it is of course only a preliminary step which makes further progress in that direction possible.

Meanwhile we can do much through personal contacts to promote the spirit of unity with the Orthodox in America. The Orthodox and Anglican Fellowship, the American Branch of the Fellowship of St. Alban and St. Sergius, and several informal local groups exist for this purpose. When our clergy come across isolated members of the Orthodox Church, they should
put them in touch with the nearest priest or Bishop of their church (see listings in the Directory published by this Joint Commission). The Orthodox priest may wish to come to one of our churches to administer Baptism (with Chrismation), Holy Communion, or Holy Matrimony, or to conduct a service for the Burial of the Dead. Occasionally an isolated Orthodox family is instructed by the Bishop to attend the Episcopal Church for Sunday worship, and their children to attend our Church School. In all cases we must respect and encourage their loyalty to their own Church. The same principles should guide chaplains in dealing with young people of the Orthodox Churches who are studying in colleges or serving in the Armed Forces.

Personal friendship and understanding can be built in many ways. The priest of the Episcopal Church can invite his Orthodox colleague (with his wife) to his home, and introduce him to the local clerical association. Many Orthodox parishes have young people's groups, and our YPF's can invite them to some of their meetings, and accept any return invitations that may be given. When a proper point is reached special services may be arranged by Orthodox or Episcopalians, to be attended by members of both Churches —this should not be formally a "joint service," since interchange of strictly official or priestly functions requires authorization of the respective bishops in the present state of our relations. Our communicants are urged to study the Orthodox Liturgy, learning something of its impressive and mystical yet informal and intimate character, which will indeed be a help in understanding the deeper meaning of our own Liturgy.

There are a number of books which will help us in understanding the Eastern Orthodox Church. Here are a few:

*The Eastern Orthodox Church*, by R. M. French. Hutchinson University Library.


Mention has been made of the fact that the Joint Commission sponsored and organized the Orthodox-Protestant Consultation at Seabury House in October, 1960. This undertaking has opened up an important field of work in the area of Episcopalian-Orthodox relations in the United States, viz., efforts aiming at increasing the knowledge of Episcopalian clergy and laity in the parishes regarding the living reality of Orthodox parishes in hundreds of American cities. That the Orthodox have become an integral part of American life was observed by all who saw on the television screen Archbishop Iakovos giving one of the prayers at the 1961 Inauguration of the President of the Republic. Yet much must be done to translate this observation into acquaintance, and acquaintance into mutual knowledge and respect, and eventually, by the Grace of God, into the terms of recognition of common faith and sacraments.

The Joint Commission publishes every second year a Directory and Roster of all the Bishops and clergy of the Eastern Churches in the United States and Canada. This has been the only publication listing all of them, as each jurisdiction publishes only the names of its own parishes and clergy. Many expressions of appreciation come to Bishop Scaife for his work in compiling this valuable biennial edition. Undoubtedly this Directory has been of value in stimulating the drawing together of the Orthodox themselves. Early in the triennium a Conference of Orthodox Bishops was established and incorporated. It has already resulted in the coordination of effort along many lines, such as religious education, theological schools, work among the several thousand Orthodox students in American Universities, and, in particular, in the regulation of relationships in the matter of transfers of priests and faithful.

**Recommendations**

Having in mind (1) the progress made and steps planned for the next few years in the realm of Orthodox-Anglican rapprochement, (2) the need for a permanent group of persons well versed in this field to advise and cooperate with the other related joint commissions of the General Convention and with the Presiding Bishop on these matters, (3) the continuing need for special assistance to the Orthodox Theological Institute of St. Sergius in Paris with funds and counsel, as it carries forward and develops Russian Orthodox Church leadership while the Mother Church in the USSR is under severe limitations, and (4) the rapidly developing opportunity for cooperation between the Episcopalian and Orthodox Churches in the United States, this Joint Commission recommends to the General Convention the adoption of the following resolutions:

*Resolved*, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches be continued.

*Resolved*, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches shall consist of one Bishop, three Presbyters and two laymen.

*Resolved*, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches continue to receive 15 per cent of
the annual Good Friday Offering, to be used in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its Related Undertakings, and to serve other measures in the field of cooperation with the Eastern Churches.

Lauriston L. Scaife, Chairman.

Austin Pardue.

Edward Rochie Hardy.

Kenneth R. Waldron.

Byron George Clark.

Paul B. Anderson, Secretary-Treasurer.
During the past triennium the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations had the following major areas of responsibility:

I. It has kept in constant contact with the National Council of Churches, attempting to see that the Episcopal Church was adequately represented at all regular meetings of all units, especially the General Board. We had thirty-two official delegates to the General Assembly held in San Francisco, December 4-10, 1960 (See Appendix).

A number of ecumenical problems have caused concern among some members of the Protestant Episcopal Church, and the Joint Commission has attempted to clarify misunderstandings, to furnish accurate information on all controversial matters, and to consider seriously all expressions on the part of Episcopalians. During the past triennium there has been an increased volume of concern on the part of some dioceses and parishes over the statements made by the National Council of Churches, mainly where the General Board of the NCC has spoken on various social and political issues. The JCER has been asked to reexamine carefully our relationship with the NCC, which will be done during the coming triennium.

During the past triennium there has been a careful reexamination of our financial responsibilities in all phases of work of the National Council of Churches.

In addition, a wiser use of the time expected of our National Council staff at various unit meetings of the National Council of Churches has been considered. For a number of years it has been obvious to the Joint Commission that it is unable to carry on the heavy burden of relationships between our Church and the National Council of Churches, as well as the World Council of Churches, without some kind of staff help within the structure of our National Council. This has been much more evident during the past triennium when it has been practically impossible to keep in touch with all that is going on in the field of ecumenical relations, and to supervise our Church's relationships in all the many categories in which we are involved, through a voluntary Secretary of our Joint Commission. After several years of careful consideration, the Joint Commission, in consultation with the Presiding Bishop and his administrative assistant, made recommendations for an Ecumenical Officer to the National Council, through its Committee on Ecumenical Relations, (See Appendix).

II. Another major area of responsibility allocated to the Joint Commission has been the relationship of our Church to the World Council of Churches. The Episcopal Church has had two members of the Central Committee, and has been represented in several other phases of its work, including an Episcopalian as one of the six Presidents. We have nominated to the Presiding Bishop the delegates to the Third Assembly to be held in New Delhi, India, November 18-December 6, 1961 (See Appendix). One of the most extensive preparations for an ecumenical gathering yet made has been the distribution of a preparatory booklet using the theme as a title, "Jesus Christ, the Light of the World," and mailed to every clergyman and several hundred lay leaders in our Church, with the compliments of the Presiding Bishop.
urging the Church at large to read and study the document, as the other WCC member churches are also doing.

III. In addition to the liaison responsibilities of the Joint Commission with the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches, many other matters have claimed its attention during the past triennium.

1. Following the inquiry of the Metropolitan of Calcutta and the General Council of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon as to whether the Protestant Episcopal Church would be prepared to enter into relations of full communion with the united Churches of North India/Pakistan and of Lanka from the inauguration of those proposed united Churches, the Theological Committee of the Joint Commission was asked to consider and prepare recommendations accordingly. The report of the Theological Committee is included in Resolutions 3 and 4. The Reverend William H. Nes, D.D., the Reverend Arthur A. Vogel, Ph. D., and Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., endorse the report as a whole but are not prepared to endorse the Resolution in regard to the proposed Church of Lanka, as they feel that some of the objections noted in regard to the North India Plan apply also to the Ceylon Scheme. In addition, it should be noted that Resolution 4, with respect to the Church of North India/Pakistan, was not adopted unanimously.

2. The task of keeping the Church informed during the past triennium has been a major concern of the Joint Commission. In addition to publishing the Ecumenical Bulletin, regional and diocesan meetings on ecumenical relations have been held and have proven more effective in widespread coverage than the former provincial meetings. The major difference is that instead of a few leaders from several dioceses meeting together, we had saturation coverage in one diocese, with most of the parishes and missions represented, as well as the ecumenical leaders from neighboring dioceses. The Joint Commission has also pushed as a major responsibility for each diocese, the appointment by the Bishop of a Diocesan Chairman for Ecumenical Relations, usually a clergymen, a representative from the Episcopal Churchwomen, and a representative from the diocesan Layman's group, to form a small committee under the Bishop, as an ecumenical contact and channel. This responsibility was urged upon the bishops and was made a part of the presentation on ecumenical relations to the National Council meeting in Los Angeles on December 2, 1960.

In order to inform the Church and to answer many questions which have arisen concerning the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches, a new pamphlet, *The Ecumenical Movement and the Episcopal Church*, was published and has been distributed widely.

3. When the Presiding Bishop's Advisory Committee on Anglican Relations was approved at the last General Convention, the implementing resolution asked that the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be kept informed of its activities. This has been done. Two major areas of work this past triennium have been the planning and executing of Canon Johnson's Anglican journey, a preparation for his definitive report to be published by Harpers as a pre-Anglican Congress volume in the fall of 1962; and the development of the Waters-Seabury Exchange Program which is now under way with two year-long exchanges each year between clergymen of the Church of England and the Protestant Episcopal Church,
IV. At the last General Convention, the Committee on Ecumenical Relations of the National Council was given official status, and during the past triennium has functioned as a separate body, although working in close cooperation with the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations. (See report of the National Council.)

FINANCIAL REPORT

The General Convention, through its triennial budget, provides for travel and the expenses of three annual meetings of the Joint Commission. The total budget for the triennium was $4500 spent as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>College of Preachers</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,488.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,568.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,443.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the administration budget of the World Council of Churches, the Protestant Episcopal Church's quota in 1959 was $35,000. Of this, $30,000 came from the National Council Budget, and the rest raised from other sources. In 1960 and 1961, the quota was $42,875, of which $37,875 came from the National Council Budget each year and the rest from individuals, parishes and dioceses.

For the administration budget of the National Council of Churches, the Protestant Episcopal Church's quota is $30,000 each year, all from the National Council Budget.

For two years of the triennium no appeal was sent out to the parishes and dioceses asking for an inclusion of an item for ecumenical relations in local and diocesan budgets. The third year of the triennium, however, the Joint Commission authorized another appeal letter which went to all parishes and missions of the Church through the clergy.

THE THREE JOINT COMMISSIONS

Because of the discussion at the last General Convention concerning the possibility of combining the work of three Joint Commissions (Ecumenical Relations, Approaches to Unity, and Cooperation with Eastern Churches), the officers of these three Joint Commissions have had two meetings during the triennium trying to discover the specific responsibilities each one is charged with, the areas of possible overlapping, and whether the work of all three could be combined into one Joint Commission. However, it was decided to ask for another triennium of separate existence in order to consider further suggestions as to how the work of all three Joint Commissions might be carried on under one common Joint Commission; and therefore, each one is asking for a continuation for the coming triennium. (See Resolution 1)

RESOLUTIONS

The Joint Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on
Ecumenical Relations be continued, composed of seven Bishops, of whom one shall be the Presiding Bishop, seven Presbyters and seven lay persons.

2. Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that the sum of $1,500.00 per annum for the years 1962, 1963 and 1964 be included in the budget of General Convention for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.

3. WHEREAS the Metropolitan of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon has at the request of the General Council of that Church inquired whether the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America "will be willing to enter into relations of full communion with the United Church of Lanka at its Inauguration" on the basis of the proposed "Scheme of Church Union in Ceylon"

be it Resolved, the House of ... concurring,

(1) That we are prepared thankfully to recognize the Church of Lanka, if organized on the basis of the proposed Constitution, as a province of the Church Universal, holding the Apostolic Faith and possessed of true Bishops, Priests, and Deacons,

(2) That we look forward to the establishment of intercommunion between the Church of Lanka and the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America on the basis of a concordat similar to the Bonn Agreement between Anglicans and Old Catholics*, hoping that certain anomalies and contradictions in the proposed practice of the Church of Lanka may be satisfactorily resolved.

4. Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that the following message be sent to the Metropolitan of the Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon in response to his inquiry whether the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America would be prepared to enter into relations of full communion with the proposed United Church of North India and Pakistan at their inauguration:

Your Grace:

In response to the brotherly inquiry contained in the letter sent by you through your chaplain on January 18, 1960, we are not able to say that the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America would be prepared to enter into relations of full communion with the United Church of North India and Pakistan at its inauguration. Our uncertainty does not arise from fundamental disagreement with the method proposed to achieve the great end of visible unity in the Church of God, but from confusions which we find in the present Plan. We agree that reunion will demand a humble bringing before God of our various ministries for such gifts of authority and commission as they need. Certainly only God can reconcile our differences and unify the diverse ministries now accepted in different communions.

But our solemn offering of our ministries for their reconciliation demands the clearest and most unambiguous words to describe our intentions and hopes. We do not believe that the services of unification in their present

*"Intercommunion does not require from either communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other; but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith."
form provide such clarity. Further, it is troubling us to find unresolved other matters of varying degrees of theological significance. Of these we would mention: the questioning of the validity of infant Baptism (Plan, Appendix B., Point 7); the (undefined) permission of Baptism by sprinkling; the permission for ministers not episcopally ordained to celebrate the Holy Communion; the possible admission of women to the presbyterate; the uncertain relation of standards of faith previously used, and still permitted, to the profession of faith of the United Church and the historic creeds; and the lack of a stated eucharistic liturgy combined with adequate theological description of the Lord's Supper (Plan, Appendix A., II 10).

Certainly the quest for church unity in North India and Pakistan is of God. We hope for further progress and wish to give every encouragement towards this end.

Reported signed by all the Members of the Joint Commission:

RICHARD H. BAKER
STEPHEN F. BAYNE, JR.
ANGUS DUN, Chairman
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK
JOHN S. HIGGINS
ARTHUR C. LICHTENBERGER
J BROOKE MOSLEY, Vice-Chairman
JOHN B. COBURN
GARDINER M. DAY
EDWARD R. HARDY
JAMES W. KENNEDY, Secretary
WILLIAM H. NES
LAWRENCE ROSE
ARTHUR A. VOGEL
PAUL B. ANDERSON
MRS. LAWRENCE DOTY
MORTON C. JAQUITH
CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE
HARRY OSBORNE
ALBERT A. SMOOT
MRS. PAUL F. TURNER
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COMMITTEE ON ECUMENICAL RELATIONS OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL:

The Rt. Rev. Donald H. V. Hallock, Chairman
The Rt. Rev. John E. Hines
The Rev. Gardiner M. Day
The Rev. James W. Kennedy, Secretary
The Rev. Almon R. Pepper
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Miss Leila M. Anderson
Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce
Mrs. T. O. Wedel

PRESIDING BISHOP'S ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON ANGLICAN RELATIONS:

The Rt. Rev. Horace W. B. Donegan
The Rt. Rev. John S. Higgins
The Rt. Rev. Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Chairman
The Rt. Rev. Lauriston L. Scaife, Vice-Chairman
The Rev. C. Rankin Barnes
The Rev. Howard A. Johnson
The Rev. James W. Kennedy, Secretary
Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse
Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce

DELEGATES TO THE 5TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES, San Francisco, December 4-10, 1960:

Bishops: Arthur C. Lichtenberger*  Priests: John V. Butler*
Frederick J. Warnecke  Gardiner M. Day*
Donald H. V. Hallock  John H. Burt
Richard H. Baker*  Raymond T. Ferris
Jonathan G. Sherman  Shirley B. Goodwin*
Clarence R. Haden  John H. Johnson
Roger W. Blanchard  Almon R. Pepper
William Crittenden*  David R. Thornberry
James A. Pike  Richard W. Roland
John B. Bentley  M. Moran Weston

Lay members: Charles P. Taft  John C. Leffler
Albert A. Smoot*  Albert A. Smoot
Philip Adams  Philip Adams
Michael Budzanoski  Michael Budzanoski
Peter Day*  Peter Day*
Mrs. Clifford C. Cowin*  Mrs. Clifford C. Cowin*
Mrs. Lawrence P. Doty  Mrs. Lawrence P. Doty
Miss Frances M. Young  Miss Frances M. Young
Mrs. Harold C. Kelleran  Mrs. Harold C. Kelleran
Mrs. Paul C. Turner.

Members of the General Board are indicated by an asterisk. Although the Episcopal Church is entitled to only five voting members of the General Board, the five members and five alternates have been designated as official representatives with the understanding that they have a total of five votes, and when all ten members are present each one would have only one-half a vote based on the fractional voting rule.

DELEGATES TO THE 3RD ASSEMBLY OF THE WORLD COUNCIL OF CHURCHES, New Delhi, India, November 18-December 6, 1961.

The Rt. Rev. Arthur C. Lichtenberger
The Rt. Rev. J. Brooke Mosley
ECUMENICAL RELATIONS

The Rt. Rev. Lauriston L. Scaife
The Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne
The Rev. James W. Kennedy
The Ven. Canon John M. Burgess
The Very Rev. Richard H. Wilmer
Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse
Dr. Nathan M. Pusey
Mrs. Sherman E. Johnson
Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel

Advisors:
The Rt. Rev. Henry K. Sherrill
The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel
Mr. Charles P. Taft

EXTRA DELEGATES ALLOCATED TO THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH BY THE ARCHBISHOP OF CANTERBURY:
The Rt. Rev. Benito Cabanban Cabanhan
The Rt. Rev. Plinio Lauer Simões

MEMBERS OF THE THEOLOGICAL COMMITTEE

The Very Rev. John V. Butler
The Rt. Rev. Robert F. Gibson
The Rev. Edward R. Hardy, Chairman
The Rev. Robert J. Page
The Rev. Arthur A. Vogel
The Rev. William J. Wolf

MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES CONFERENCE ON THE WORLD COUNCIL OF CHURCHES, being our Delegates to the Third Assembly of the World Council of Churches, Evanston, Illinois, August 15-31, 1954, who served until the delegates to New Delhi were elected.

Bishops: Henry K. Sherrill
Angus Dun
Stephen F. Bayne, Jr.
Howard R. Brinker

Priests: Alden D. Kelley
James W. Kennedy
Theodore O. Wedel

Lay members: Charles P. Taft
Nathan M. Pusey
Clifford P. Morehouse
Michael Budzanoski
Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce
Mrs. Alfred M. Chapman
Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel.
Ecumenical Officer

WHEREAS the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations has tried to fulfill all of its responsibilities as defined by General Convention as adequately as possible with only volunteer help; and

WHEREAS it has found the administrative responsibilities that have fallen to it to be of such dimension and scope as to be beyond the capacity of the Joint Commission to discharge satisfactorily; and

WHEREAS the day by day ecumenical business of our Church in relationship to the National Council of Churches and the World Council of Churches has grown to such proportions that we have not been able to keep in close touch and make the many decisions called for; and

WHEREAS the Church at large is not kept adequately informed and in touch with all phases of the ecumenical movement; and

WHEREAS education at the diocesan and local levels is done most inadequately under the present arrangements; and

WHEREAS there is need on the part of the Presiding Bishop and the staff of our National Council to be apprised of matters of importance relating to ecumenical matters; and

WHEREAS the provinces and dioceses of the Episcopal Church need to deepen and extend their involvement and activity in ecumenical matters; and

WHEREAS there needs to be a means for coordinating the ecumenical activity and work of the Episcopal Church and to plan for its responsible participation in ecumenical affairs; therefore,

Be it resolved that an ecumenical officer be provided to serve as a special assistant to the Presiding Bishop for ecumenical matters, with adequate provision in the budget for his salary, his office, and his travel expenses.
The Ecumenical Movement, in simplest and broadest terms, is the movement among nearly all the separated companies of Christ's people throughout the world as they seek to overcome their separation from one another. It has been gathering strength for more than fifty years. Wherever it is found we see churches and bodies of Christians moving out of isolation into communication with one another, out of competition into cooperation, out of hostility into good will and understanding.

To call this movement "ecumenical" means that in its fullest nature it is concerned with the whole Church in all its partial manifestations in the whole world. The movement seeks for unity, for the manifest oneness of the Church in God's purpose, for the knitting together of all Christ's people into a manifestly shared brotherly relationship in Christ. It cannot seek for anything less in faithfulness to the one Father, the one Lord and the one Spirit. But the separated companies of Christ's people cannot seek for unity as something apart. Unity belongs to the essential nature of the Church, but so do holiness and witness and mission and faith and the truth as it is in Christ and ministry and prayer and baptism and eucharist and brotherly love and service to men.

This movement has many expressions and takes many forms; prayer with one another and for one another, the joining together of Christians of many names for some shared service, official conversations between separated churches with a view to limited intercommunion or full communion or complete union, the establishment of continuing Councils of Churches on a world scale or a national scale or at the local level to further all that they are prepared to deal with together.

Our Episcopal Church and more widely the self-governing Churches and Provinces of our Anglican Communion are deeply involved and responsibly committed in the Ecumenical Movement. Our membership in the World Council of Churches and in the National Council of Churches of Christ in the U. S. A. represent the most far reaching commitments to continuing ecumenical relationships on the part of our Episcopal Church.

The World Council of Churches is presently composed of one hundred and seventy-eight national Church bodies in more than fifty countries. Our Anglican Communion, which numbers around five percent of the Christians of the world, is fully represented and has supplied significant leadership in the Council since its beginning. In the Council we are linked with major Churches of the Reformation and Post-Reformation inheritance, with younger Churches of Asia and Africa and South America, and with a strengthening representation of the Orthodox and Oriental Churches. Archbishop Iakovos, of the Greek Orthodox Church of North America, has recently testified to the meaning which the World Council has come to have for him. "It is a household of Churches, which may some day become the household of God. We belong to each other, and all together we belong to God. . . . Its main and principal function is to enable the member Churches to act as members of the Body, in order
to fulfill more adequately their obligations and carry on the redemptive
work which Christ initiated and through the Holy Spirit makes manifest
in the world.”

Since the Roman Catholic Church probably includes in its total mem-
bership more than one-half of the nearly 800,000,000 Christians in the
world, the fact that it is not a member of the World Council is a grievous
limitation on its ecumenical scope. We have no grounds for expecting a
change in this situation. But we can rejoice that the Roman Catholic
Church is increasingly represented by officially approved “observers” at
major meetings of the World Council and that there are many evidences
of the seriousness and respect with which that great Church views this
organ of the Ecumenical Movement.

Despite this and other serious limitations on its fully representative
character we can surely say that the World Council of Churches is the
organ which provides for the most inclusive and fruitful process of
shared consultation and study and service now going forward among the
separated companies of Christ’s people.

We should rejoice to be part of it and give our best to it.

The National Council of Churches of Christ in the U. S. A., of which
the Episcopal Church is a member by action of our General Convention,
comprises thirty-three Protestant, Anglican, Old Catholic, and Orthodox
Churches with a membership of some thirty-eight million members. There
are estimated to be over 100,000,000 Church-related people in the United
States. Of these, upwards of 40,000,000 are Roman Catholics. Accordingly,
it can be seen that the American Churches belonging to the National
Council of Churches constitute a strong proportion of the non-Roman
Christians of our country.

The Episcopal Church is represented by a just proportion of carefully
chosen bishops, presbyters and lay people in the General Assembly of
the NCC which meets every three years, and likewise we are responsibly
represented on the General Board meeting several times each year. Thus
in specific programs as well as in its broad policies the Council is
supervised and governed by the official representatives of the Communions
that constitute it.

The chief work of the NCC is initiating and coordinating cooperative
study, planning and action among its member churches in almost every
area in which our Church is concerned, both overseas and at home. But
this is often overlooked in the face of occasional pronouncements which,
because of their timeliness, gain wide publicity in the secular press.

Occasionally positions taken or statements made by the General Board
of the NCC or by special conferences meeting under its auspices on issues
of Church or public policy have been disturbing to some people inside or
outside the member Churches. The same can be said of positions taken or
statements made by the General Convention of the Episcopal Church or by
our House of Bishops or by our own National Council. In none of these
cases can such statements be held as binding on all the ministers or people
of the Churches involved.

We should also rejoice to be part of the NCC and to give our best
to it as we do the WCC.
There is no promise that life in the Ecumenical Movement will never be disturbing. Many find life in the Episcopal Church disturbing, in bad ways and in good ways, because we are failing sinners and because so much is asked of us and offered to us. Surely life “in Christ” is disturbing.

We can only go on praying that “all who profess and call themselves Christians may be led into the ways of truth, and hold the faith in unity of spirit, in the bond of peace, and in righteousness of life.” To continue loyal to our great commitments in the Ecumenical Movement is to live and act in the spirit of that often repeated prayer.
APPENDIX 12

REPORT OF THE CHURCH MAGAZINE ADVISORY BOARD

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to acquaint General Convention with the experience of the Church Magazine Advisory Board in the creation and pilot testing of a new national Church magazine, The Episcopalian, and to make recommendations as to the magazine's future.

A directive for this report is found in the Program and Budget Committee's Resolution No. 7, adopted by the 1958 General Convention (Journal, p. 310)

(7) Resolved, . . . that our Presiding Bishop appoint from the ranks of our Church people skilled in the field of communications, a Church Magazine Advisory Board, . . . and . . . that during the ensuing triennium such Board is hereby authorized and empowered: a. to study, design, pilot test and appraise the proposal for an Episcopal Church magazine. . . b. that such Board shall submit its report in writing to our next General Convention, which inter alia shall set forth: a- its appraisal of, as well as its recommendations . . . as to whether or not it should continue such projected magazine.

As a result of this directive a board was appointed and the first meeting held on March 10-11, 1959.

The board: Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, Jr., Magazine Publishers Association, Inc., New York; Mr. Howard Hoover, New Yorker Magazine, Los Angeles; Miss Margaret Cousins, McCall's Magazine, New York; Mr. Samuel W. Meek, J. Walter Thompson Co., New York; Mr. William Mck. Chapman, St. Elizabeth's Episcopal School for Indian Boys and Girls, Wapkala, South Dakota, formerly of TIME, Inc.; Mr. John H. Leach, Gardner Advertising Co., St. Louis; The Very Rev. William S. Lea, St. John's Cathedral, Denver; Mr. John W. Reinhardt, Promotion Director, National Council, New York; Mr. Hugh Curtis, Webb Publishing Co., St. Paul; The Right Reverend Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop (ex officio).

Mr. Kenyon was named chairman.

At this point we will review briefly the thinking which led to the Convention's resolution and the establishment of the Church Magazine Advisory Board.

In 1955, when the Rt. Rev. Everett H. Jones, Bishop of West Texas, became Chairman of the Department of Promotion he met with John W. Reinhardt, who had been the Director of that Department for about one year, to consider some long range goals for the next triennium. One of the major concerns facing the Church, they agreed, as had other thinking churchmen before them, was the need for a national magazine, edited to serve the whole Church and aimed at reaching the widest circulation possible.

During the large part of the years 1956, 1957 and 1958, Mr. Reinhardt traveled the country discussing the magazine project. He talked with
groups of church leaders, bishops, parish priests, editors of the diocesan press, publishers of church weeklies. He had publishing cost and opinion surveys made.

The need was clear: there were nearly 2,000,000 communicants of the Episcopal Church and the largest national Episcopalian Church magazine had a circulation of some 55,000. This was more than twice the size of the next largest.

These were shocking facts... but facts none-the-less.

An analysis of the situation showed that several hundred thousand Episcopalians had never seen a magazine devoted to the life and work of their Church beyond their own diocese.

An opinion study made among adult Episcopalians by the Gallup organization provided some interesting facts:

- 79% said they would subscribe to such a magazine.
- 70% wanted it issued on a monthly schedule.
- 64% said they would like it to be the size of *Time* magazine or *Newsweek*.
- $4 per year was the median average price Episcopalians said they would be willing to pay for a national church magazine.
- 45% of our people had never even heard of any national church magazine.
- Only 38% could recall ever having read a national church magazine.

This poll made clear that a well edited national church magazine would fill a need in many individual church people. Editors and publishers of diocesan and independent papers and magazines felt that a forceful national magazine, by enlarging the number of well-informed Episcopalians, would increase the potential for all.

Thus, the Department of Promotion recommended to the 1958 General Convention that a monthly magazine be established which would:

1) Give the news of the life and work of the whole Church.
2) Discuss and clarify the faith of the Church.
3) Relate the faith of the Church to its mission in the world today.
4) Help make Episcopalians articulate as witnessing Christians.

This, in essence, was the information placed in the hands of the Church Magazine Advisory Board at its first meeting in March, 1959. Three members of the Board, Dean Lea, Mr. Kenyon, and Mr. Leach, had participated in earlier discussions about the magazine.

Mr. William E. Leidt, Executive Secretary of the Division of Publications and editor of *Forth*, gave the Board the background on that operation and pointed out that he had been “editing” *Forth* on 10 per cent of his time. It was agreed that the first step must be made to employ a full-time editor whose task would be to:

1. Report to the Advisory Board
2. Begin service on *Forth*
3. Develop *Forth* into a magazine of general interest to Church families through a period of exploration and experimentation.
The Board was fortunate in finding an editor who fully met its qualifications: Henry L. McCorkle, Williams, 1945, Vestryman at Trinity Church, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, associate and managing editor for twelve years of *Presbyterian Life*, and widely respected as an editor and as a writer on the Church in the United States and abroad.

If we go back for a moment to the wording of Resolution No. 7, we find the Board was authorized "to study, design, pilot test and appraise the proposal for an Episcopal Church magazine."

It has been the experience of some of the editors on the Board that "pilot testing" means "get something out as good as *Life* magazine but don't spend any money." Thus as the Board gave Editor McCorkle some pretty certain directives as to what we expected of him, we also supplied a set of shackles in terms of budget. However, progress on the magazine was swift: a quality product called *The Episcopalian* came into being with a lively format, a remarkable list of contributors, and a circulation which rose from 36,400 to 89,300 in the first thirteen months of its existence.

Letters to the editor—a major index of readers' reaction—gave an overwhelming vote of approval to *The Episcopalian*. Several hundred readers from all over the country took time to write the editors. Virtually all liked the magazine, including those who registered adverse opinion concerning an issue, an article or a feature. Some of their comments follow:

"Reminds us of the Church beyond the borders of our own neighborhood."
"A new peak in religious journalism."
"Something we can be proud of."
"Most readable Episcopal publication."
"Should be read by every Episcopalian."
"A fine forward step in the life of the Church."
"It's going to do much for the Kingdom of God."
"Couldn't ask for a better tool to break down parochialism."

A bishop expressed the feelings of some skeptics when in the fall of 1960 he wrote:

"This little note is simply to congratulate you upon *The Episcopalian*. Quite frankly, I was one of the numerous people of the Church who thought it was futile to try to put out a church magazine under the auspices of the National Council. I felt the material would have to be so inane and colorless that unless someone be offended it would neither be interesting nor readable. In this I was wrong and I rejoice that I was in error. . . ."

Naturally this expression of support, and others like it, was extremely gratifying to the Board and editors, for *The Episcopalian* had taken some bold steps.

Let us see what the editorial concept was and what has been achieved.

**EDITORIAL**

The editorial premise of *The Episcopalian* in its first year has been the establishment of direct communication between the Episcopal Church and its 2,000,000 communicants; the sharing of curiosity and enthusiasm
about the Church; the effort to familiarize the membership with many aspects of the Church's work, administration, methods of operation, educational approach and future plans. Along with this there has been a concerted effort to orient the Church in contemporary life and to depict its influence in society and world affairs.

To these ends the editors have endeavored to secure the best available talent and to employ the most modern and attractive production techniques within budgetary limitations. Among the contributors to The Episcopalian in 1960 have been C. S. Lewis, internationally famous author, who occupies the Chair of Medieval and Renaissance English Literature at Magdalene College, Cambridge; David Susskind, a prominent television producer; Charles H. Malik, Lebanese diplomat and scholar and former President of the General Assembly of the United Nations; Erle Stanley Gardner, America's best-selling mystery novel writer; and Edmund Fuller, distinguished literary critic. Perhaps only a member of the professional lay press can appreciate the triumph these contributions represent in a fledgling publication.

There have been, in addition, numerous expert photographic and text essays including St. Andrew's Church in Lincoln Park, N.J.; Christ Church in Cincinnati, Ohio; A Crusading Curate in New York City; the Niobrara Sioux Powwow in South Dakota; a Seaborne Circuit Rider in the Northwest; Old Trinity on the Eastern Shore of Maryland; and A Visit to Bethlehem. Special reports on The Bishop, The Clergy, and The Seminaries have appeared and in October a special issue was devoted entirely to the Church and its current and future problems. For the first time in any magazine a special Church Year calendar was published and a special "lift-out" section on religious paperbacks was issued. A news summary section called Worldscene has been a regular feature.

From the scope of this program, it can be discerned that the editors have proposed to provide service, news, information and entertainment and have applied, to the boundless and little-explored subject matter of the Episcopal Church, the best methods of prevailing professional journalism, with the highest standards of writing and graphic arts always in mind.

Current issues of The Episcopalian include exclusive photographic and interview coverage of the elevation of the new Archbishop of Canterbury; articles by Albert Schweitzer; Arnold Toynbee; and Mary Ellen Chase, the distinguished novelist; an article on Bishop Lichtenberger by George Cornell of the Associated Press; photographic essays on the Church in Alaska and Europe; the Church and Money, an examination of the Church's financial operations; special sections on women in the Church and the Book of Common Prayer.

The type of editorial material offered by The Episcopalian can be found in no other publication and its value to the Church and the membership cannot be gainsaid. The Church Magazine Advisory Board feels keenly that The Episcopalian warrants the circulation increases which will be noted later, by the sheer value to the reader of its offering. The four essays on Love by C. S. Lewis, published by The Episcopalian, were brought out in a volume by Harcourt, Brace, at a price exceeding the cost of a year's subscription. The editors and the Board foresee a steadily increasing range of usefulness and value in The Episcopalian, dependent only on the continuing confidence in, and support of, the editors.
As of this writing, The Episcopalian has been operating as the Church's officially-sponsored national monthly magazine for fifteen months. Has it been of increasing service to the Church? Is it reaching people? Is it making the Church more meaningful to its readers? These are some questions that come to mind.

READERSHIP

Are people reading The Episcopalian? We had two outside surveys taken of this subject, in August and November, through Readex Reader Interest Reports, St. Paul, Minnesota.

The president of Readex reports as follows: "First, on the matter of returns, Your average return on the two issues was about 35 per cent. This is excellent. It means that over one-third of your subscribers took the time and trouble to mark up the issue. Thi compares very well to our average of 20 per cent.

"As to editorial and advertisement scores—again they look good to us. The article "To Witness in Washington" in the August issue shows a high editorial interest of 88 per cent. The Seabury Press advertisement in the November issue is high with 47 per cent."

CIRCULATION

The Episcopalian inherited from its predecessor publication in March of 1960 a subscription list of 36,400. In April of 1961, the first anniversary of The Episcopalian, the Church's national monthly had a subscription list of 89,300. The regular circulation of the April issue of The Episcopalian was the largest of any publication in the history of the Church. The previous high—82,500—was reached by Forth in November of 1947.

Renewal history—One expects the circulation of a new national magazine to grow during its first year. This has happened to The Episcopalian without any heavy promotional campaigns. But a stern test comes with first year renewals. It is encouraging to note that families are renewing their subscriptions to The Episcopalian at a rate of at least twice (66% against 30%) that of normal expectancy without special promotion. We are grateful to the Bishops, clergy, vestries, parish representatives, and individual subscribers who have made this performance possible.

The experience of congregations which subscribed to an Every Family Plan was particularly significant. Through April of 1961, The Episcopalian had served 99 parishes and missions which had decided to send the magazine to all of their contributing families at the $2 per year rate. Fifty-one with a combined circulation of 5,404, came up for renewal. Of the 51, all but two are continuing the Plan. Financial reasons led two churches to ask parishioners to subscribe individually.

PROMOTION

The Board and editors of The Episcopalian, from the start, have recognized the necessity of steady, persistent efforts to stimulate interest in the magazine and have also encouraged various experiments in the promotion of circulation.

In the Diocese of Chicago, for example, the diocesan magazine, Advance,
and *The Episcopalian* sell in combination for $4.00 a year instead of $5.00. This has brought in more than 600 subscriptions.

The Diocese of Delaware has begun a complete Diocesan Plan for a nine month period, turning into a Parish Plan project in 1962.

In the Diocese of Pennsylvania an “instant” subscription plan was conducted by the Men of the Diocese beginning on May 22. This was a telephone plan tied in with two ads in a new, complete-coverage diocesan paper of some 50,000 circulation. More than 800 subscriptions were received the first day of the experiment.

**ADVERTISING**

In the case of specialized publications such as *The Episcopalian*, advertising must be considered secondary to subscriptions as a source of revenue.

*The Episcopalian* had advertising sales in its first year of $48,700, compared with $26,300 that *Forth* had in its last year, a gain of 85%. Most of this volume comes from companies with church-related products or services.

We cannot effectively solicit general advertising until our circulation is over 250,000. Until such a time, it is wise for us to consider our advertising mainly as a service to those advertisers who already do realize the value of this growing publication and to our readers who depend on our advertising to direct them to markets for products which they need.

**FINANCE**

During the first twelve months of our publishing operations, we printed 1,026,000 magazines at a gross cost of $346,000, and a cost to the Church of $113,000. The total cost per copy was 34 cents. Since our highest payment was 30 cents for a single copy and 25 cents for a $3 subscription copy, we lost money on each copy we produced, which is normal for the early years of any magazine. Already the cost per copy is falling. The total cost figure per copy so far in 1961 is 30 cents.

Is this a good record? Compared to secular magazines it is, indeed. Publishing experts figure that it takes $260,000 in capital outlay to start a monthly magazine with a 10,000 paid circulation; $370,000 for a monthly with 30,000 paid; and $480,000, for a monthly with 50,000 paid. The successful launching of large circulation magazines like *Holiday* and *Sports Illustrated*, to mention two recent entries, runs into the millions, and takes from five to eight years.

**FUTURE**

1. **Objectives**-

Our objective for *The Episcopalian* is to publish and distribute a magazine of information, education, and inspiration concerning the Christian cause in the contemporary world scene to serve all the members, and particularly the laity, of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. This magazine must bring to the whole Church the whole mind and task of the Church, with particular reference to the individual Episcopal family.

A major purpose of the magazine is to be an extension of the Church in the home; to supplement the service of the parish priest, vestry, diocese, and national agencies.
2. Policies-
_The Episcopalian_ is to be officially sponsored by General Convention, published by a Board of Directors of a corporation called The Episcopalian, Inc., and independently edited under the direction of this Board.

3. Management-
_The Episcopalian_ is to be run by a staff of editors, managers, and employees headed by an editor responsible to the Board of Directors.

It will be edited for lay persons by lay persons. Most of the full-time editors will be lay people, and most of the articles and picture stories will be produced by lay persons. Special columns, and answers to practical problems in parish life, will be written for church members. Theologians, missionaries and other church leaders will report directly to our subscribers on new experiences in the thought and mission of the Church.

4. Format-
_The Episcopalian_ is to be a standard-size monthly magazine with a normal run of 64 pages plus separate cover.

5. Popular appeal-
The magazine will serve the whole Church with material covering the whole range of the Church's activities in all age groups and geographical locations. The primary emphasis will be on world wide coverage, in transmitting information about events which would not normally appear in parish bulletins and diocesan publications. The magazine will reach subscribers' homes the second or third week in each month so that it will supplement, rather than compete with, most other monthly publications.

6. Circulation-
The magazine will serve the Episcopal family in the home. The only way to do this economically is through a Parish Every Family Plan arrangement, where the parish or mission subscribes for all contributing families and pays directly for these subscriptions at a special price.

7. Prices-
The magazine to be offered next year at two basic prices—$3.50 for an individual one year subscription (2 years for $6); and $2 for a Parish Every Family Plan subscription.

8. Estimated Costs-
We know of no officially-sponsored church family magazine in the United States that has yet been wholly self-supporting. There is a possibility that _The Episcopalian_ can some day be self-supporting if the Parish Every Family Plan catches on, but not in the next triennium. A minimum underwriting to give _The Episcopalian_ a chance to reach 250,000 Episcopal families regularly will be $231,152 in 1962; $182,965 in 1963; and $144,857 in 1964.

CONCLUSION

The future success of _The Episcopalian_ will depend largely on 1) the editorial excellence of the product and 2) the success of the Parish Every Family Plan.
This plan is the most direct and economical way to get our magazine into the Episcopal home. It is a circulation arrangement whereby the parish or mission itself pays for the group subscription at the special rate of $2 per year per subscription as compared to the single subscription rate of $3.50 per year.

Why this special plan? Why not handle the circulation of The Episcopalian like any other magazine, or as it has been handled so far?

The answers, basically, are these: (1) it costs too much to handle a church magazine like a secular magazine; and (2) the basic philosophies of the secular publisher and the church publisher are different.

In the course of a year, a secular publisher may spend almost all, and in some cases more than, his entire subscription revenue in getting new subscribers so that he can increase his advertising rates.

This is a sensible way to do business if one can count on advertising revenue. But so far, most of the nation's major advertisers have largely ignored the church magazine as a carrier of their commercial messages, with the result that the publisher of a church magazine must rely on his income from subscriptions to pay for the production of the magazine.

The Episcopalian is spending its test period pursuing success primarily from the commercial point of view. Money, energy, time and talents are being spent in finding the people of our Episcopal Church who already care enough about the Church to pay for a subscription to the Church's national monthly magazine. The Episcopalian is now the most widely circulated, read, and discussed magazine in the Church's history with a regular subscription list of close to 90,000. And it can be expected, if the editors keep the product a good one, that this kind of circulation will continue, and, in time, perhaps increase.

But is this enough? Is this what the Church wants? Or does it want The Episcopalian to reach most of the Church's 1,000,000 families, including the more than 500,000 who have never yet seen a national Church magazine, and may never see one unless their church subscribes to it for them?

The Church Magazine Advisory Board members come from all over the United States. One joyous, common opinion which they share is that the Episcopal Church is on the move as never before. This is evident in many parts of the country. The Board believes that the moment has arrived for our great national Church to have a magazine worthy of the Church which it is to serve.

The Board wants to express its confidence, its thanks and its respects to Editor McCorkle for his unflagging zeal and stirring imagination, for his hard work, and long hours and days spent away from his family so that we could bring to General Convention, in spite of lack of staff and sufficient funds, a magazine on which the Board is glad to put its mark of approval. We are proud to have been associated with its creation. The Episcopalian can come into the full fruition of its service only if it has sufficient funds to operate properly and receives the united support of the Church in its Parish Every Family Plan. The Board recommends without qualification that The Episcopalian be continued.
RECOMMENDATION TO GENERAL CONVENTION

The Church Magazine Advisory Board recommends to the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the need to inform the people of the Church about the life and work of the Church is one of our most vital concerns; and

WHEREAS, it has been shown that an officially-sponsored, independently-edited Church magazine can help meet this need successfully, be it therefore

Resolved, the House of... concurring,

a) That The Episcopalian be continued as the independently-edited national monthly magazine of the Episcopal Church authorized by the General Convention;

b) That the Church Magazine Advisory Board be authorized to form a corporation called The Episcopalian, Inc., in order to publish and distribute the magazine;

c) That the General Convention commend to the Bishops, clergy, and laity of the Episcopal Church, the Parish Every Family Plan for the distribution of The Episcopalian into the home of each family of the church;

d) That the General Convention approve and authorize grants to the Board of Directors of The Episcopalian, not to exceed $231,152 in 1962; $182,965 in 1963; and $144,857 in 1964, for the underwriting of the magazine, understanding that these diminishing grants are based on wide acceptance of the Parish Every Family Plan for the delivery of information into the homes of Church families.

Respectfully submitted,
Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, Jr.,
Chairman

Mr. Howard Hoover
Miss Margaret Cousins
Mr. Samuel W. Meek
Mr. William McK. Chapman

Mr. John H. Leach
The Very Rev. William S. Lea
Mr. John W. Reinhardt
Mr. Hugh Curtis

The Rt. Rev. Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop
(ex officio)
There was a clear concern over the matter of evangelism at the 1958 General Convention at Miami Beach. It was first evinced in the address of the then Presiding Bishop at the opening service, it continued throughout the debates and discussions, and finally it became articulate in a Resolution passed by the House of Deputies and the House of Bishops, setting up a Joint Commission on Evangelism “to study the field of evangelism and to make recommendation as to the ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective.”

The full text of the Resolution, passed shortly before the end of the sessions, follows:

WHEREAS, The Presiding Bishop in his address opening this General Convention called this Church to a more active life of personal evangelism; and

WHEREAS, The laymen of this Church are increasingly desirous of instruction and help in ways of witnessing which will present Christ persuasively to those who do not yet know Him; and

WHEREAS, There is no continuing body of this Church charged with the specific responsibility of furthering this needed work; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that there be appointed a Joint Commission on Evangelism consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen to study the field of evangelism and to make recommendation as to ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective.

Subsequently, the Joint Commission on Evangelism was appointed as follows:

The Right Reverend C. Avery Mason, S.T.D., D.D., Bishop of Dallas, Chairman
The Right Reverend Leland Stark, D.D., Bishop of Newark
The Right Reverend Robert R. Brown, D.D., Bishop of Arkansas
The Very Reverend Henry N. Hancock of Minneapolis, Minnesota
The Reverend Albert T. Mollegen, D.D., of Alexandria, Virginia
The Very Reverend John C. van Dyk, Sc.D. of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, Secretary
Mr. Corlett J. Cotton of Lawrence, Kansas
Mr. James T. McKinstry of Wilmington, Delaware
Mr. Andrew B. Stoney of Morganton, N. C., Vice Chairman

The Joint Commission on Evangelism, which has held five meetings, herewith submits its report to the 1961 General Convention as to how it has carried out its instructions, together with certain recommendations to the 1961 General Convention which, we believe, will help make “the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective.”
A DEFINITION OF EVANGELISM

While all Christian people know in general what evangelism is, it may be helpful at the outset of our Report to give a few simple definitions.

In the well-known study on evangelism in the Church of England, entitled *Towards The Conversion of England*, the following definition, with which we should also like to associate ourselves, is given:

To evangelize is so to present Christ Jesus in the power of the Holy Spirit, that men shall come to put their trust in God through Him, to accept Him as their Saviour, and serve Him as their King in the fellowship of His Church.

The purpose of evangelism, as we see it, is three-fold:

1. It is to draw unchurched people into active Christian life in the fellowship of the Church, with commitment to Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour.

2. It is to strengthen the spiritual lives of those already in the body of the Church and to deepen their dedication to Our Lord and His Church.

3. It is to send Christian people forth, in the power of the Holy Spirit, into the world so that the Gospel may be brought to bear on every aspect of individual and corporate life.

It is axiomatic that the task of evangelism involves both clergy and laity.

THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH AND EVANGELISM

The several "Whereas" clauses introducing the General Convention Resolutions make two basic assumptions, namely, that evangelism is a weak point in the program of the Episcopal Church and that laymen in particular are eager to receive training and help that will enable them to take their rightful place in the task of evangelism.

Our studies and activities point to the truth of both of these assumptions.

We are convinced that because "there is no continuing body of this Church charged with the special responsibility of furthering this needed work," the evangelistic effort of our Church is seriously weakened.

If a bishop, parish priest, or laymen needs help with a Church School problem, he may seek it from the Department of Christian Education at Greenwich, and he will receive counsel and material in abundance. If he wants to raise more money for his church, a simple inquiry to the Department of Promotion at '281' will bring a spate of helpful materials and ideas.

But if he wishes to initiate some movement whereby more people can be won for Christ and His Church, to whom at our national headquarters may he appeal for guidance and material? To be sure, he may address a "To Whom It May Concern" letter to '281', and in due course he would no doubt receive some literature and suggestions from the General Division of Laymen's Work, but since evangelism is not the main thrust of this Division and deals with only one aspect of the many-sided subject of evangelism, their
suggestions may be quite inadequate. It is only to be hoped at this point it will occur to him to seek help from the Department of Evangelism of some church body like the Presbyterian or the Methodist, from whence he will receive precise directions and an abundance of materials but, of course, from the viewpoint of that particular Church.

Our Church—and the Unitarian—are the only major non-Roman churches without some kind of national department of evangelism.

It was these considerations, among others, that led us, in a letter dated October 13, 1959, to suggest to the National Council that it consider the establishment of a Department of Evangelism. We have since come to feel that this suggestion was premature, that even now the Episcopal Church is not ready for this major step, and hence, as will presently be seen, our recommendations do not include the establishment of a Department of Evangelism at this time.

In any event, our suggestion was given careful study and consideration, but feeling that the work of evangelism was “now in large part being done by the presently existing Departments, General Divisions, and Units of the Council, and can by them be further amplified,” the National Council at its April, 1960, meeting voted not to establish a Department of Evangelism.

The matter has since then been “further amplified” to the extent of the passage of the following Resolutions at the April, 1961, meeting of the National Council:

Resolved, that the National Council requests all Departments, Divisions, and General Divisions, to review their present programs and future developments in terms of their contributions to the extension of Christ’s Kingdom into every area of life, and be it further,

Resolved, that it shall be the continuing responsibility of the Joint Program Planning Committee, a staff officer committee of which the Presiding Bishop is Chairman, to assure the incorporation of this missionary and evangelistic emphasis into every aspect of the Council’s life and work at home and abroad.

While we note this development with gratification, it still remains true that on the national level, “there is no continuing body of this Church charged with the specific responsibility of furthering this needed work” of evangelism, and we record our unanimous opinion that this state of affairs is one of the reasons why the evangelistic efforts of this Church is less effective than it should be.

Our studies have also turned to the matter of evangelism on the diocesan level. Of 74 dioceses responding to one of our inquiries, as our interim Report showed (The Living Church, September 6, 1959, page 15f), only 33 had a “continuing body” specifically charged with the work of evangelism. However, a number of other dioceses reported that the work of evangelism was handled through various diocesan departments, but with few exceptions it seemed clear from our survey that as regards evangelism, the dioceses, generally speaking, were leaving much undone that ought to be done.
Of the 74 dioceses responding to our inquiry, 61 had expressed a desire to exchange information on the subject of evangelism. Generalizing from these requests, we then proceeded to ask the bishops of the 113 dioceses and missionary districts of the Church to appoint some clergyman as chairman for evangelism in his particular diocese or missionary district, even if this chairman were to function only as a liaison between his bishop and the Joint Commission on Evangelism so that at least he could be the recipient of materials on evangelism. As a result, 101 bishops appointed chairmen, seven wished to defer their appointments until later, and only five failed to respond.

We then assembled virtually all available materials on evangelism from our own and other Christian bodies, and produced a report on the techniques in evangelism in our Church and in others. This report was sent to these diocesan chairmen in a packet of nineteen carefully selected pieces of evangelistic literature, including six from our own Church. The heavy correspondence that ensued indicated these were well received and have been found helpful. One valuable by-product was that we were thus able to bring to the attention of nearly every diocese and missionary district several pieces of literature of considerable merit that hitherto had had circulation only within their own dioceses.

THE LAITY AND EVANGELISM

Our studies and activities, however, have not been confined only to verifying the common belief that evangelism is a weak link in the chain of the program of the Episcopal Church. We have also directed our efforts toward determining whether (as the second "Whereas" clause puts it) the laymen of this Church are increasingly desirous of instruction and help in ways of witnessing which will present Christ persuasively to those who do not know Him.

Our studies do indeed verify that an increasing number of the lay people of our Church are eager to receive training and guidance in order that they may bear witness to their faith.

Several considerations have led us to this conclusion.

For one thing, the members of this Joint Commission have conducted three pilot conferences in evangelism for laymen in widely separated areas of the country, in Wilmington, Delaware, in Topeka, Kansas, and in Morganton, North Carolina; and in every conference, the laymen spoke not only of their desire to witness for Christ and His Church but also for their need for guidance and training. As one articulate layman put it, following the conference he attended, "We have almost unlimited human resources in the millions of laymen and women who, if properly inspired and directed, could reverse the modern pagan and godless trend abroad in the world."

For another thing, reports and 'on-the-spot' observations of five Provincial Synods and of seven diocesan clergy conferences, all on the general theme of Evangelism, bear out the fact that laymen in increasing numbers are eager for training in evangelism.

Furthermore, other church bodies are meeting with success with their laity. It has been reported to us that the Methodist Church has about a thousand men across the country, dealing, most of them full-time, with the
training of laymen and with other aspects of evangelism. Over 4000 clergy in the United Presbyterian Church have received intensive training to be teachers of evangelism and its techniques. Among the Southern Presbyterians, we are told that 30,000 people, most of them laymen, are serving on committees alone, in connection with their "Presbyterian Mission to the Nation." Thousands of Lutheran laity are being trained on "How to win people to your faith."

Since it can be said unequivocally that Episcopal laymen are as interested and concerned as those of other churches, the success of the other Christian bodies in the enlistment and training of their laity certainly indicates similar results could be achieved in our Church, to the winning of many for Christ and His Church and to the renewal of still others already members. "But," to quote the same layman again, "there appears no over-all policy, aim, plan, or direction, nor any person or agency authorized to act or speak for the Church in the broad area of Evangelism."

In the November 6, 1960, issue of The Living Church, a number dedicated to Evangelism, there was a brief article written by the Presiding Bishop. Among other things, Bishop Lichtenberger wrote:

Everywhere in the Church these days people are talking about evangelism . . . . This is all to the good. A proclamation, or promulgation, of the Gospel is a necessity within the Gospel itself. "I take no special pride in the fact that I preach the Gospel," said St. Paul, "I feel compelled to do so; I should be utterly miserable if I failed to preach it." Evangelism takes place through the life of a Christian by the work of the Holy Spirit just as naturally as a good tree bears good fruit, if we do not ourselves prevent it. But of course we do prevent it. Every one of us by his Baptism and Confirmation is called and equipped to be an evangelist. But how many of us really are? Is the Episcopal Church in the true meaning of the word an evangelistic fellowship?

On the basis of our studies, we of the Joint Commission on Evangelism would have to answer that question, "By and large, no!" Indeed, the National Council's own General Division of Research and Field Study, whose surveys of thousands of parishes and missions and of scores of dioceses and missionary districts make it perhaps the most knowledgeable group in the Church, would also have to answer the Presiding Bishop's question with a similar negative; for the General Division of Research and Field Study has been critical of the thrust of evangelism and has recommended an increased emphasis upon it in the case of every diocese and missionary district it has surveyed.

It would be presumptuous of the nine members of the Joint Commission after but five meetings in three years (though each of us did considerable homework in addition!) to say to the 1961 General Convention that our recommendations will turn the negative answer to the Presiding Bishop's question into an affirmative, but we believe that if the comparatively modest recommendations we now make are adopted, the Episcopal Church will at least be taking a step in the direction of an affirmative answer.

Recommendations

As a Joint Commission we have been charged with the task of making
"recommendations as to the ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective."

While we do not feel that our studies at this juncture would justify a recommendation that a Department of Evangelism be set up in the National Council at this time (a step that someday in the future may well have to be taken, however), we cannot overstate our conviction that it is both essential and urgent that there be speedily erected some "continuing body of this Church charged with the specific responsibility of this needed work" of evangelism.

We therefore strongly recommend the creation of the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism.

While we would not wish to limit the freedom of such a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism by writing too specific a "job description" of his office, an outline of his tasks might well include the following:

1. To promote, encourage, and correlate evangelistic efforts through the Departments, General Divisions, and Units of the National Council.

2. To assist dioceses and missionary districts with their programs of evangelism.

3. To serve as a co-ordinator of various evangelistic efforts.

4. To have literature on evangelism available together with outlines and procedures on successful evangelistic efforts.

5. To prepare a list of clergy and laity who are especially adept and trained for various evangelistic efforts and to assist in the training of others.

6. To represent our Church at various interchurch meetings and conferences on Evangelism and to be our contact with other Christian bodies in matters relating to evangelism.

While his office would be small in terms of size and staff, we believe it would be of such importance that the Secretary of the Presiding Bishop on Evangelism should be given the status of a staff officer in the National Council.

We suggest the following budget:

$10,000.00......Salary
2,000.00......Pension and Social Security
4,160.00......Office Help
2,500.00......Travel
5,000.00......Supplies, Conferences, Conference Leaders, and Miscellaneous Expenses

$23,660.00 Per Annum (for the Triennium $70,980.00)

We further recommend the continuance of a Joint Commission on Evangelism but would hope that its work could be complete within the next triennium so that it could be discharged in 1964.
If the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism is established, then the Joint Commission on Evangelism could, we believe, be helpful to him as a kind of advisory board.

If General Convention were to choose not to create the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism, then we would regard the continuance of a Joint Commission on Evangelism of urgent importance.

If in its collective wisdom General Convention were to feel it must choose between the creation of the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism and the continuance of a Joint Commission on Evangelism, then we would earnestly recommend the creation of the new office rather than the continuance of a Joint Commission; but we are hopeful that General Convention will do both, for the harvest truly can be plenteous, but the laborers in the field of evangelism are still few.

Since we were severely handicapped by the meager budget of $2,000 for the triennium now closing and many of our members were obliged to assume a large portion of their own travel expenses, we are suggesting a larger budget for the next triennium.

"And to us," wrote the editorialist in The Living Church, November 6, 1960, "it seems also to be clear that the Holy Spirit is generating powerful pressures in the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for a more vivid and persistent proclamation of the Church's message."

While the recommendations we are making are indeed modest in nature, we verily believe they come within the scope of that statement.

**Resolutions**

1. **Whereas** there is need for an office in the National Council charged with the specific responsibility of furthering the work of evangelism in this Church, and

   **Whereas** there is need for a thorough study of the field of evangelism and of the role of this Church therein, therefore be it

   *Resolved*, the House of —— concurring, that the office of a Secretary to the Presiding Bishop for Evangelism be created, and be it further

   *Resolved*, the House of —— concurring, that the sum of $23,660 per annum, or $70,980 for the triennium, be appropriated from the Program Budget for salary, secretarial assistance, travel, supplies, conference expense, etc.

2. **Whereas** there is need for additional study of the field of evangelism in order that further recommendations may be made as to ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective, therefore be it

   *Resolved*, the House of —— concurring, that a Joint Commission on Evangelism, consisting of three bishops, three presbyters, and three laymen, be continued; and that the sum of $6,000 for the work of this Commission be appropriated for the triennium from the General Convention budget.
MASS MEETING ON EVANGELISM IN DETROIT

In addition to the Resolution creating the Joint Commission on Evangelism, the 1958 General Convention also passed the following Resolution:

Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that this House request the Committee on Arrangements for the General Convention of 1961 to include a Mass Meeting on Evangelism as an official part of that General Convention program.

Recently the Joint Commission on Evangelism was asked to take over the responsibility for this Mass Meeting, and we now report that such a meeting will be held at 8:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 26, 1961, in St. John’s Church in downtown Detroit.

The speaker will be the Right Reverend Henry I. Louttit, D.D., Bishop of South Florida. The Brotherhood of St. Andrew will assist with the details of the service.

While the meeting will of course be open to all, it is the hope of the Joint Commission that bishops will specifically request such members of their delegations as are particularly concerned with evangelism to attend this meeting.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

1958 General Convention appropriation ..................$2,000.00

Disbursements

For travel of members ..................$1,389.82*
General Theological Seminary (two meetings) ...........100.00
Philadelphia Divinity School (one meeting) ...........50.00
Materials and literature on Evangelism for distribution ..................306.61
Postage ....................................132.67
Telephone ..................................11.25
Additional supplies ..................9.65

______________________________ 2,000.00

C. AVERY MASON, CHAIRMAN
ANDREW B. STONEY, VICE-CHAIRMAN
JOHN C. VAN DYK, SECRETARY
LELAND STARK
ROBERT R. BROWN
HENRY N. HANCOCK
ALBERT T. MOLLEGEN
CORLETT J. COTTON
JAMES T. McKINSTRY

*Item represents only payment in part for travel expenses of members of the Joint Commission, the members themselves financing the balance.
Anyone who must report the activities of this organization may be forgiven a secret wish that it had not be given a title quite so explicit. Movement there has been, but the direction of it may not always have been forward; who, in these distracted times, can distinguish progress from process?

Movement—and variety. Consider, for example, the covers of *Forward Day by Day*, which have continued since the 1958 General Convention to range widely in subject, style, and medium. From Renaissance painting and Medieval sculpture to modern print, from ornate bookbinding to an ornament on an office building, from a Kodachrome to a Medieval drawing in color—the variety has been great but the theme has been constant: to express the same spirit in a variety of gifts, to suggest the multitudinous ways of meditation by the unpredictable inventiveness of art. Not every cover has moved forward the spirit of every reader, but this has seemed preferable to moving none. As for the contents, the authors have also presented many faces: clergy and laity, men and women, old and young, each writing as a limited and unique person, speaking a language too personal to be for everyone. Each issue receives praise for what it is, and also blame for what it is not. But meditation can take many forms, and we would let the author make his own rather than submit to a common style.

Many changes have marked the history of our pamphlet publications; titles have moved from the list, and new ones have been added. Statistics give some help: in the year between Lent 1958 and Lent 1959, 34 titles were dropped and 16 added. In the next year, 1959–60, 25 titles were dropped and 27 added. Between 1960 and 1961, 39 titles were dropped and 43 added. Much of this rather rapid turnover was occasioned by the addition to our list of two series: the “Advent Papers” and a group of pamphlets formerly published by the Department of Social Service of the Diocese of Massachusetts. Both collections of pamphlets would have gone out of print if not distributed by us. The net result of these changes has been to reduce the total number of titles in print, from 188 in Lent 1958 to 176 in Lent 1961. Of these 176, 91 were in print in 1958 and 85 have been added since then. In these three years, then, nearly one half our list has been changed, but it should be added that of the new pamphlets many had been in print before we began to distribute them.

We take it as a general principle, however, that pamphlets should come and pamphlets should go. Many will stay, of course. Two on our list that continue to be best sellers are “Prayers New and Old” and “For Those Who Mourn”; both have been in print since 1937. But new occasions teach new duties, and even the perennial and continuing occasions require new expositions. The hard fact of a steadily declining sales curve can clearly reflect the approaching conclusion of a pamphlet’s usefulness.

We report with pleasure the establishment in Brazil of a publishing venture in Portuguese to supplement the publication of *Forward Day by Day* in that language, which as *Avante dia a dia* has been appearing for many years. Now, under the direction of a publishing committee, selected Forward Movement pamphlets will be translated, and it is hoped that the sales will warrant the steady enlarging of the project.
Publications in Spanish now include a sister publication to *Forward Day by Day*. Originating in Cuba, it is called *El Pan Nuestro* ("Our Daily Bread"), and will be generally available from Costa Rica. It is similar in format to *Forward*, but the meditations are written locally. As a part of the new plans for Spanish publications in the Latin America area, it will also be followed by the translation of selected Forward Movement pamphlets. We are happy to give our enthusiastic support to these efforts to bring the Word of God to people in the words of their daily life.

As a pleasant footnote to these notes on translation, we have received a copy, beautifully printed, of *Forward Day by Day* for Advent 1949 in Japanese. It was prepared by the Woman's Auxiliary of the Diocese of Kyoto, who had previously made up a book of prayers which included many from Forward Movement publications.

Our Braille publications continue: *Forward Day by Day* in Grade 1½ Braille, sent free to approximately 1,000 subscribers, and the Holy Communion, with Collects, Epistles and Gospels, also sent free to those whose names are given us by rectors. Plans for the extension of Braille publications and the possible addition of recordings are now being discussed with others in the Church concerned with the preparation of materials for the blind. As the work is coordinated and expanded there is a clear need for those inevitable accompaniments of progress: personnel and budget.

An organization that publishes some four million items each year might easily become overwhelmed with practical details. Always of more importance, however, is the basic intention of the whole procedure. As G. K. Chesterton said, when looking for lodgings, be concerned about your landlady's metaphysics. For Forward Movement Publications, the major task is all too seldom done effectively—to speak the Faith in the common tongue, translating without loss the complexities of the truth about God into the ideas of men. No one is doing it adequately; we are not doing it adequately. We rejoice in the renewed concern throughout the Christian world in opening the understanding of the people to the relevance of their faith to their lives, and dedicate ourselves anew to this ongoing and creative work.

We continue to enjoy as an institution a family life benefited by many skills: Bishop Hobson, Chairman of the Executive Committee, and its members, Mr. Glenn Biggs, Treasurer, Mr. H. D. Balyeat, Business Manager, Mr. Paul Myers, Mr. Stanley Rowe, Jr. The staff continues to include the Rev. Robert Mason, secretary to each of the editors that Forward Movement has had, Mrs. Ruth Kaestner, Office Manager, and a crops of devoted and able workers. To them all the editor offers this report as a tribute to their contribution to the life of the Church.

*Clement W. Welsh, Editor.*
APPENDIX 15

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE ON
THE STRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATION OF THE
GENERAL CONVENTION

The members of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention were:

The Rt. Rev. Howard R. Brinker, D.D., Bishop of Nebraska
The Rt. Rev. Everett H. Jones, D.D., Bishop of West Texas
The Rt. Rev. John P. Craine, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis
The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., Diocese of Washington
The Rev. Robert L. DeWitt, Diocese of Michigan
The Rev. Marshall E. Seifert, Diocese of Alabama
Mr. Jackson A. Dykman, D.C.L., Diocese of Long Island
Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., Diocese of New York
Mr. D. Harvey Phillips, Diocese of Erie

On the election of the Rev. Robert L. DeWitt as Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, he became ineligible to membership in the Committee and was replaced by the Rev. Harvey D. Butterfield of the Diocese of Vermont. A year ago, Bishop Brinker retired and the Rt. Rev. Hamilton H. Kellogg, Bishop of Minnesota was appointed in his place.

The Joint Committee had held two meetings during the triennium: May 20, 1959, in New York City; and October 14, 1960, in Chicago. Between meetings the work has been carried on by sub-committees. At the first meeting, Bishop Brinker was elected unanimously as chairman, and the Rev. Harvey D. Butterfield as secretary. On the resignation of Bishop Brinker in 1960, Bishop Craine was elected chairman.

The work of the Joint Committee was helped greatly by the many recommendations and suggestions submitted to it by individuals and groups. Those which the committee judged to be within the scope of its work have been considered and acted upon. The remainder have been forwarded to other committees or agencies for consideration.

The business conducted by this Joint Committee which would require action of the General Convention of 1961 is included in this report with appropriate resolutions appended to it.

I. Proposal for a Joint Committee on Expenses.

The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, S.T.D., President of the House of Deputies, and the Rev. Canon C. Rankin Barnes, S.T.D., Secretary of General Convention, submitted a recommendation for the establishment of a Joint Committee on Expenses to replace the present House of Deputies’ Committee on Expenses. This would give the House of Bishops representation which it does not have at present, and help it to keep abreast of budget needs and developments throughout sessions of General Convention, giving a greater degree of correlation between the two Houses of Convention in budgetary matters.
The Joint Committee acted favorably on a motion, made by Canon Wedel and seconded by Mr. Morehouse, that a resolution be presented to the next General Convention to establish a Joint Committee on Expenses. Appropriate resolutions are appended to this report.

II. Proposal for the Election of the President of the House of Deputies at the preceding Convention.

Mr. Morehouse presented a proposed amendment to Canon I which would provide for the election of the President of the House of Deputies at the close of one Convention to serve in that capacity until the close of the following Convention.

The obvious advantage of such a procedure would be to enable the President to appoint the necessary committees prior to the convening of each Convention. This would result in considerable saving of time, and provide for greater continuity of membership in committees. At the present time, tentative appointments to committees are made by the retiring President, subject to the ratification of the President who is elected at the opening of each Convention.

On motion by Canon Wedel, seconded by Mr. Dykman, this proposal was approved in principle and is reported here without specific enabling resolutions.

III. The problem of non-concurrence between the two Houses of General Convention was noted.

It was suggested that greater use be made of Joint Committees of Conference in cases of non-concurrence, and that the deliberations of such Joint Committees of Conference be reported in full to both Houses. Provision for such action is made in Resolution #1 on page 120 of the 1958 Journal of General Convention, and in the last paragraph of House of Deputies Rule #26. A motion by Bishop Craine, seconded by Canon Wedel, that the substance of Resolution #1 on page 120 of the 1958 Journal of General Convention become a Joint Rule of Order was adopted by the Joint Committee.

IV. Proposed change in the Structure and Organization of General Convention.

At the first meeting, Bishop Craine presented to the Joint Committee some suggestions for basic changes in the Structure and Organization of General Convention. These suggestions were augmented by memorials from Province V and Province I. They can be summarized in the following manner:

1. The triennial meeting of General Convention no longer permits the legislative body of the Church to deal effectively with the rapidly growing needs and opportunities of the Church because of the necessity of adopting a budget for three years in advance.

2. The large turnover of lay Deputies especially results in a lack of continuity in dealing with the great issues of policy and strategy.

3. Because of the infrequency of meeting, and the number of new Deputies at each Convention, a considerable portion of the present 12-day meeting is now spent in organization.
4. The length of the present session prevents many able laymen from serving as Deputies.

5. The present size of the House of Deputies makes it unwieldy as a legislative body, and makes it necessary to curtail debate on many important matters because of the numbers who wish to speak.

6. The size of General Convention prevents any but the large tourist or metropolitan centers from entertaining it.

7. The House of Bishops is now meeting annually, and the Church would be strengthened even further with the House of Deputies joining them in this practice.

Therefore, it was suggested that:

1. General Convention should meet annually for approximately five days.

2. The size of the House of Deputies should be reduced by approximately one-half, with two clerical and two lay Deputies from each diocese. (Representation from Missionary Districts should remain unchanged.)

3. To provide for better continuity, Deputies to General Convention should be elected for four-year terms.

The Joint Committee accepted the above suggestions in principle and adopted the appended resolutions which it presents to this Convention for action.

**Resolution No. 1**

_Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be a new Joint Rule of Order, as follows:_

There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention to serve until the final adjournment thereof, to consist of five bishops, five presbyters, and eight laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and the Treasurer of the General Convention _ex officio_ but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the later approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction or elimination of any item, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

**Resolution No. 2**

_Resolved, that the following changes be made in the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies, in reference to the former Committee on Expenses:_

**Rule 8.** Omit XVI, referring to Committee on Expenses, and renumber remaining sections.

**Rule 9.** Omit item V, 9, referring to Committee on Expenses, and renumber remaining items in section V.
Change section VI to read:

VI. Reports of Joint Committees and Joint Commissions in the following order:

1. Joint Committee on Expenses.
2. Other Joint Committees.
3. Joint Commissions.

RULE 14. Change "Committee on Expenses" to read "Joint Committee on Expenses."

RULE 48. Omit, as covered by new Joint Rule.

RESOLUTION No. 3

RESOLVED, the House of . . . concurring,
That the following alterations and amendments of the Constitution, proposed at the meeting of the General Convention of 1961 be sent to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation at its next meeting.

RESOLVED, the House of . . . concurring,
That Article I, Sec. 4, of the Constitution be altered and amended to read:

SEC. 4. The Church in each Diocese which has been admitted to union with the General Convention shall be entitled to representation in the House of Deputies by not more than two Presbyters, canonically resident in the Diocese and not more than two Laymen, communicants of this Church, having domicile in the Diocese.

The Church in each Missionary District which shall have been established in accordance with the Constitution and Canons for the government of this Church, and the Convocation of the American Church in Europe, shall also be entitled to representation in the House of Deputies by not more than one Presbyter, canonically resident in the Missionary District or in charge of a cure under the jurisdiction of the Convocation of the American Church in Europe and not more than one Layman, communicant of this Church, having domicile in the Missionary District or in the territory embraced in a cure under the jurisdiction of the Convocation of the American Church in Europe. Each Diocese, Missionary District and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe shall prescribe the manner in which and the terms for which its Deputies shall be chosen. Such Deputies, except as otherwise provided in the Constitution, shall be subject to all of the qualifications and with all the rights of Deputies from Dioceses.

(remainder same as present)

RESOLVED, the House of . . . concurring,
That Article I, Sec. 6, of the Constitution be and it hereby is repealed and Sec. 7 renumbered Sec. 6.

RESOLVED, the House of . . . concurring,
That Article I, Sec. 7, of the Constitution be renumbered Sec. 6 and altered and amended to read as follows:
ORGANIZATION OF THE GENERAL CONVENTION

SEC. 6. The General Convention shall meet annually on the Wednesday after the first Sunday in October, unless a different day be appointed by the preceding Convention, and at the place designated by such Convention; but if there shall appear to the Presiding Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the National Council of the Church or of a successor canonical body having substantially the powers now vested in the National Council, sufficient cause for changing the place or date so appointed, he, with the advice and consent of such body, shall appoint another time and place for such meeting. Special meetings may be provided for by Canon.

The Joint Committee recommends the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of General Convention be continued during the next triennium; and that the sum of $1500 be provided in the budget for its work.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Appropriation from General Convention ....................... $1.500.00

Disbursements

Expenses of Meeting, May 20, 1959 ........................ $ 625.44
Expenses of Meeting, October 14, 1960 ...................... 449.08

Total ..................................................... 1,074.52

Unexpended Balance of Appropriation ......................... $ 425.48

JOHN P. CRAINE, Chairman
EVERETT H. JONES
HAMILTON H. KELLOGG
HARVEY D. BUTTERFIELD, Secretary
MARSHALL E. SEIFERT
THEODORE O. WEDEL
JACKSON A. DYKMAN
CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE
D. HARVEY PHILLIPS
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REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES OF THE
GENERAL THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

In accordance with Article II of the Constitution of the General Theological Seminary, the Board of Trustees respectfully submits to the General Convention copies of the Proceedings of the Board for 1959, 1960, and 1961, and presents also the following report "of the state of the institution."

Our last report told of the effort, initiated in the autumn of 1957, to meet the acute problems of inadequacy in the Seminary's facilities, presented some of our convictions regarding the particular responsibilities resting upon the Seminary in its special relationship to the Church, and asked for the Convention's understanding, prayers and support in our undertaking.

We are now able to report that the building program has been completed. The purchase of an apartment house accommodating forty-five seminary families in addition to the twenty-five provided for on the Close was accomplished first. The demolition of the old Ninth Avenue buildings, and the construction in their place of a modern structure twice their size have just been finished. This houses the Seminary's library, its administrative offices, four families, twenty graduate students, the bookstore and certain recreation facilities. We are satisfied that the major problems of physical equipment of the institution for its work have been solved for the time being.

The cost proved to be somewhat less than the $3,500,000 originally estimated by the Trustees as necessary, and as of the date of adoption of this report it seems probable that funds given or pledged ($2,711,438.98) will come within about $400,000 of accounting for the total cost of the project. It is no light matter for the Seminary to carry such a debt, operating as it always has on a very small margin at best; but we are persuaded that gifts will still be forthcoming as a result of the Seminary's long campaign. And it is certainly true that its program required the new facilities for full effectiveness.

During the three and one half years of fund-raising activities and the two-year construction period with its attendant disruption of the life and functioning of the Seminary, it is worth recording that student enrolment of about 215 has remained practically constant, and that the Faculty has been built up to a numerical strength it has never known before. It now numbers the Dean and nine professors, two associate professors, the Director of music and his assistant, three instructors, the Chaplain, nine tutors and one lecturer. We are assured that this means no mere statistical gain but a broader and sounder program in all three foci of theological education at the Seminary: the regular curriculum for candidates for the S.T.B.; the special, short course for older men accepted as candidates for the ministry; and the graduate department for students working for the S.T.M. or the D.Th.

This has not been accomplished without serious pressures on the budget for current operations, and for the first time since 1950, the balance sheets for 1960 and 1961 show substantial deficits.
Our experience as Trustees and that of the Dean and Faculty through a long, arduous and costly campaign for essential capital funds, the constant struggle to make both ends meet in normal operation of the Seminary, together with the concern we cannot but share for the similar problems that face all other institutions of theological education in our Church, have led us to follow with the utmost interest the earnest discussion that is taking place widely in respect to the maintenance and financing of a program adequate to the needs of the Church in this crucial area.

It is perhaps the special responsibility of the Joint Commission on Theological Education to bring before the Convention any specific proposals looking to the solution of this increasingly pressing problem, and we hope that their report and requests may receive the serious consideration that is their due. At the same time, it cannot be inappropriate for us as Trustees of the General Theological Seminary to record certain convictions at which we, as a Board, have arrived.

Great gains have accrued to the seminaries and to the Church since the establishment of the Joint Commission on Theological Education in 1940 and the inauguration of the Theological Education Sunday Offering as a Church-wide effort. Not only have the schools received substantial sums annually in direct support of their current operations, but the way has been prepared for such efforts as the Builders for Christ Campaign and for special fundraising programs initiated by the individual institutions to expand and improve their equipment, in personnel and physical facilities, for their work. But we are forced to the conclusion that these measures and agencies cannot be expected to prove adequate to the needs of the Church in the years ahead. Providing the education and training for men in sufficient numbers and of proper competence for prosecution of the Church’s mission in the kind of world and social order that is in store for us in the last years of this century will require far greater coordination of effort, far more effective mobilization of resources, than can possibly be achieved by measures in force at present. It would seem clear that the Church as a whole must make theological education a great deal more actively and centrally its concern than it does now.

Up to a point it is entirely healthy that institutions such as our seminaries should, as all of them have for so long, fend for themselves, be forced to justify their existence and so attract their own support. But it is of the essence of proper preparation of men for the ministry that it should be accomplished in communities of limited size and of intimate relationships. And there is real danger that the organization of “development offices”, or undue concentration of the energies of officers and faculty members upon promotional functions, will compromise the essential character of the institutions; it is likely, too, that they will be increasingly at a disadvantage in the keen competition for the charitable education dollar.

There is widespread belief that the Church must come to some scheme of direct subsidies of seminary operations out of national Church funds; but we cannot share that belief. We question seriously both the practicability and the wisdom of a solution along those lines, in consideration of the sums likely to be required and of the administrative difficulties that would be posed. In any case we are convinced that no one simple measure is appropriate to the meeting of a problem that is so varied and complex now and that promises to be more so in the future.
Some, or all, of the following proposals that have been advanced would seem to offer real promise of supplying the necessary resources for a sound program of theological education while avoiding the difficulties inherent in the inclusion of support for the theological schools in the budget of the National Council.

1. Theological Education Sunday offerings from the parishes last year yielded $600,000 in support of the eleven recognized seminaries. This result has been achieved largely by the promotional efforts of the schools among their own alumni or in the geographical areas mainly served by the schools. Promotion on a national scale, so as to reach the large number of parishes whose rectors own allegiance to no seminary, has been minimal and generally ineffective. There is unquestionably a considerable unrealized potential in this annual offering, both in its educational and its financial aspects. National Council officers have been cooperative, but the central agencies of the Church have helped in the sponsorship of the Offering only to the extent that has been asked of them. It would seem that without initiative from those agencies, only a modest and slow growth in results from this means of support may be expected. With such initiative to supplement the efforts of the seminaries, an indefinite and considerable growth could be assured.

2. Our Board has heard with special interest of the proposal that the National Council incorporate regularly in its budget a sum for scholarship aid to theological students, and for grants to the institutions in which the recipients of that aid may be enrolled. We are informed that there has been encouraging progress in recent years in the development of diocesan funds to assist seminarians, but that that progress is very unequal among the various dioceses, as is bound to be the case. The establishment of a fund administered by and for the whole Church would be of immense benefit. Any measures that enable students to meet the full amount of the very modest charges the seminaries impose lift real burdens from the budgets; and proportionate grants to the institution on account of national scholarship students would help to close the wide gap between fees and actual costs. The proposal would seem to have everything to commend it, and even if the beginnings were to be in modest proportions, they would establish the principle that theological education must be the concern of the whole Church.

3. In the course of this Seminary’s recent campaign for capital funds, three dioceses, namely Alabama, Bethlehem and Texas, have shared the results of their own special drives and made generous grants to our building program. Other seminaries have had the same experience, which is doubtless an outgrowth of the renewed emphasis on stewardship and tithing in the practice of Christian people. There is no way in which the inclusion of a share for theological education in parish and diocesan drives for capital funds can be made standard practice in the Church; but there is hope that the example of those who have sponsored the principle may stimulate others to observe it, to the advantage both of local projects and of the Church’s provision for the training of the clergy.

4. The Builders for Christ Campaign was a very significant event in the history of our Church. Largely prosecuted, as it was, for the benefit of the eleven recognized seminaries, including one that had just been established, it convincingly demonstrated the strong appeal theological education has to Church people, and the relative ease with which large sums can be realized for the cause in a well-organized Church-wide drive. It may well have been a
weakness in the effort that the goal was not much larger than it was, and
that it was felt that each of the seminaries must receive a share. Even then
the important principle was established that not all need share equally, allo-
cations of sums ranging from about $100,000 to $400,000 being made to the
institutions on the advice of the Joint Commission on Theological Education.
It seems clearly within the bounds of possibility that circumstances may
compel the Church to undertake similar programs again to strengthen the
capital structure of some, if not all, of the seminaries so as to guarantee
that all have the resources in plant and personnel for the fulfilment of their
proper functions.

At the present time, for a variety of reasons, the General Theological
Seminary, in common with all the theological schools of the Episcopal
Church, and indeed with all institutions preparing men for the work of the
Christian ministry, is experiencing a marked decrease in numbers of appli-
cations for the regular course. Enrolment of ordinands is down slightly from
that of the past few years. In all probability this is in the main a temporary
condition and not necessarily a real indication of a trend. There is every
reason to expect that the vast expansion in store for higher education gener-
ally during the next ten years will mean a proportionate increase in pressure
on the Church's facilities for theological education; and that the population
increase will mean expanding demands for men in Holy Orders.

It seems unlikely in the extreme that local, institutional or individual
initiative can be counted upon to accomplish the necessary strengthening of
this and other seminaries, still less to plan wisely and to face successfully
the enormous problems involved in establishing any new institutions that
may be made necessary by the obedience of our Church to its mission in
succeeding generations.

It is time for the Church as a whole to take stock of the adequacy of
its readiness to meet these demands in a future that is so imminent, and to
take bold and imaginative action soon.

Respectfully submitted,

LAWRENCE ROSE
Dean of the Seminary

HERBERT S. BROWN
Secretary, Board of Trustees
APPENDIX 17

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON THE HISTORICAL MAGAZINE

Having received from the Church Historical Society a formal offer to assume the editing and publishing of the *Historical Magazine*, and having reviewed the matter thoroughly both by correspondence and by personal conference on the part of the Editor, the Rev. Dr. Walter H. Stowe, with representatives of the Church Historical Society, the Joint Commission, with one member dissenting, respectfully recommends to General Convention the adoption of the following resolution:

*Resolved,* The House of . . . concurring, that responsibility for the editing and publishing of the *Historical Magazine* be transferred from the Joint Commission on the *Historical Magazine* to the Church Historical Society, and that the Joint Commission on the *Historical Magazine* be discharged.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

Appropriation from General Convention ........................................ $3,000.00

Disbursements

Publishing expense, 1959 .......................................................... $1,000.00
Publishing expense, 1960 .......................................................... 1,000.00
Publishing expense, 1961 .......................................................... 1,000.00

Total .......................................................... $3,000.00

WALTER H. GRAY, Chairman.
NELSON M. BURROUGHS.
ALBERT R. STUART.
C. RANKIN BARNES, Secretary.
G. MACLAREN BRYDON.
WILLIAM W. MANROSS.
WALTER H. STOWE, Vice Chairman.
JACKSON A. DYKMAN.
FRANK J. KLINGBERG.
LAWRENCE F. LONDON.
RICHARD G. SALOMON.
The Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs held three full meetings since the 1958 General Convention. The statement of function adopted by the fore-runner of this Commission was accepted as a broad outline within which we would seek to function. This statement of purpose is as follows:

"1. The Commission should continually remind the Church of its prophetic role in society.

2. That it shall be the function of the Commission to report to General Convention on its study and work in the area of the Church and Human Affairs, and suggest action through the National Council and its duly constituted departments.

3. That it shall be the function of the Commission to focus the thinking and influence of the Church in General Convention on concerns of practical matters in resolutions—not attempting to cover the whole field of social action but concentrating on two or three issues of priority significance." (Journal, 1958, p. 644).

The prosecution and extension of this purpose was partially achieved by utilizing a number of specific avenues. First, in its general meetings, this Commission attempted to analyze the Church's responsibility in human affairs in a changing society. In recognition of the fact that the Church can not abdicate either its prophetic or moral roles in society, we believe that the Church's relevance to the world must be based on an enunciated theological foundation. This theological foundation for social concern must embrace the fields of sociology, anthropology and economics. It is of little value for the Church to advertise and excoriate the social ills of the world without offering not only a means to more constructive living, but also providing a stability which can lead to security transcending human limitations.

In an effort to narrow the broad field of concern to specific instances of need, four subcommittees were appointed, to deal with the areas of personal problems, community problems, national problems and international problems. It was the responsibility of each committee to examine the significance of problems within their area, to attempt to ascertain the principles involved, to demonstrate the Church's relevance within the problem area and to suggest methods the Church might use in approaching the problem with an eye toward solution.

Another avenue selected by this Commission to expedite its responsibility was a continuance of the observance of the First Sunday in Epiphany as Human Affairs Sunday. Appropriate materials were prepared by editorial committees selected for this purpose. In 1960, the Epiphany observance directed the Church's attention, first to its responsibility for a turbulent world groping in a nuclear age. This pamphlet contained a theological
In 1961, the Epiphany I observance emphasized the Christian's role and responsibility for human freedom. Under the direction of the Rt. Rev. William H. Marmion, a pamphlet was prepared outlining the theology of freedom, and including material to be used at services of worship.

In these pamphlets, an attempt was made to emphasize other problems facing the contemporary church, such as Race Relations, Industrial Relations and the Public Good, the Parish and Community Services, the Christian Conscience in Foreign Affairs, Inflation, and the Threat to the Christian Church posed by the theology of communism.

Aside from the pamphlets issued, this Commission at its meeting in January, 1960, issued for publication a resolution expressing its feelings and concern with the problem of population expansion and control. Realizing that the Commission could only speak for itself, it nevertheless felt compelled to speak, and to draw the Church's attention to this grave concern. This press release received national attention in the public press, and its contents was discussed and accepted by many. A similar resolution condemning the outbursts of anti-Semitism was also released.

The acceptance of these resolutions confirmed this Commission's feeling that the Church, as a whole, must speak to specific situations as they arise, and not wait until non-Christian forces have clouded and confused the issue.

The concern expressed by the personnel of this Commission emphasizes all too strongly the need for concerted Christian action upon a world in which the creatures of God stand on the edge of the abyss of hopeless despair. It became evident also that the magnitude of the human situation should call the Christian Church to definite action in specific areas lest the Gospel message be lost in attempting to be all things at one time.

**Clarification**

This Commission strongly feels the need for direction in the execution of its responsibility to the General Church. Specifically, this Commission's relationship to the National Council, Department of Christian Social Relations, is in need of definition. It is felt that this Commission can appropriately act as the social conscience of the national Church, calling from time to time, the Church's attention to specific areas of need, and the appropriate action which the specific departments of the National Council might carry to conclusion. It is further recognized that all the areas of the National Council are in reality involved—the Department of Christian Education and the Missionary Out-reach.

By consensus, this Commission feels that the matter of preparing and publishing material can better be handled through the National Department of Christian Social Relations, and that the energy previously expended by
this Commission in this area might be directed to an adequate program at
the General Convention which can call the Church's attention to the areas
of need and recommend appropriate action for the Convention.

In this connection, this Commission expresses the hope that in the fu­
ture planning of General Convention sessions, adequate time might be
allotted for the presentation of programs designed to awaken the dele­
gates of Convention to the areas of social concern. All too often, the cumu­
lative information gathered by Commissions during their tenure is buried
beneath the welter of printed reports, and their impact is lost. The con­
cern expressed, and the interest generated by the Commission should be
vividly presented to the members of Convention and to the Women's Tri­
ennial in order that a fuller understanding of the Church's role in human
affairs might be achieved. If this Commission can best fulfill its function
by being "the social conscience of the Church," its efforts must gain wider
appreciation. This appreciation can come more effectively through living
programs than printed words.

RESOLUTIONS

The Joint Commission submits for the consideration of General Con­
vention the following resolutions:

CHRISTIAN MARRIAGE AND POPULATION CONTROL

WHEREAS, Warnings against the explosive results of world population
increase from experts in demography, economics, and sociology have raised
with new urgency questions of the morality of restricting population growth
through birth control measures and planned parenthood; and,

WHEREAS, The Archbishops and Bishops of the Anglican Communion
have defined their position on this question in their Encyclical Letter and in
Resolution of the 1958 Lambeth Conference; and,

WHEREAS, The General Convention of the Church in the United States
of America desires to affirm this position as its own, to the end that the
people of our Church may have benefit of definitively stated and duly ac­
cepted Christian principles for their guidance in an important area of hu­
man affairs of some present moral uncertainty; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that, in the words of the 115th
resolution of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, this General Convention holds
"that the responsibility of deciding upon the number and frequency of chil­
dren has been laid by God upon the consciences of parents everywhere:
that this planning, in such ways as are mutually acceptable to husband and
wife in Christian conscience, is a right and important factor in Christian
family life and should be the result of positive choice before God. Such
responsible parenthood, built on obedience to all the duties of marriage,
requires a wise stewardship of the resources and abilities of the family as
well as a thoughtful consideration of the varying population needs and
problems of society and the claims of future generations"; and be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that, in the words of a por-
tion of the Encyclical Letter of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, "although it is clearly a primary obligation of Christian marriage that children be born within the supporting framework of parental love and family concern, with a right to an opportunity for a full and spiritually wholesome life, it is not to be held from this that the procreation of children is the sole purpose of Christian marriage. Implicit within the bond between husband and wife is the relationship of love with its sacramental expression in physical union." Because these two great purposes of Christian marriage illumine each other and form the focal points of constructive home life, this General Convention holds that family planning, "in such ways as are mutually acceptable to husband and wife in Christian conscience, and secure from the corruptions of sensuality and selfishness, is a right and important factor in Christian family life"; and be it further

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that, in the words of a portion of the Encyclical Letter of the 1958 Lambeth Conference, "it is recognized that there are many lands today where population is increasing so fast that the survival of young and old is threatened and population control has become a necessity." This General Convention holds that it is the duty of the better developed countries such as our own to help such countries to become self-supporting in food supplies and health measures through technical and other aids. In particular, while condemning abortion and infanticide, we believe that methods of control which are medically endorsed and morally acceptable may help the people of these lands so to plan family life that children may be born without a likelihood of starvation, and we approve the rendering by our Government of assistance to this end.

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION LAWS

Whereas, There are great inadequacies in the provision of laws of many states governing compensation to workmen injured or disabled on the job; to wit: 14 states limit medical coverage and responsibility in accidents to compensation as low as a maximum of $500.00, and 25 states limit medical coverage in case of occupational diseases; 40 states do not provide for a widow during the tenure of her widowhood if her husband is killed on his job; 25 states limit payments to permanently and totally disabled workers to a maximum period of 330 weeks in some to 550 weeks in others, with no provision for the injured worker thereafter; 25 states have laws that are not compulsory, and 29 states exempt small employers from the provisions of the law; 45 states have limitations which result in an injured worker receiving on the average only one-third of his weekly pay during his disability; and

Whereas, This Commission believes that such inequities constitute a grave moral problem which, when known, must rest heavily on the conscience of all Christian people; and

Whereas, We further believe that the people of our Church could effectively influence improvements in these laws in their own state; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the diocesan departments of Christian Social Relations are urged to inform themselves and the
clergy and laity of their dioceses of the provisions of the Workmen’s Compensation laws of their state, and to compare these provisions with those of the other states, the minimum standards recommended by the United States Department of Labor, and more particularly with standards consistent with Christian concepts of social justice.

**DIOCESES AND PARishes**

*Resolved*, The House of ... concurring, that the General Convention commends to the members of the Church in the dioceses and parishes the report of this Commission and its publications and resolutions; that these materials might become effective aids in making the Gospel a living instrument in the lives of people searching for truth; that by prayer and meditation the will of Him Who came to bring light in darkness might shine more brilliantly in the lives of His people.

**CONTINUATION OF THIS JOINT COMMISSION**

*Resolved*, The House of ... concurring, that the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs be continued by the appointment by the General Convention of four Bishops, four Presbyters and eight lay persons; and be it further

*Resolved*, The House of ... concurring, that the Chairman of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs and one lay member of the Commission be appointed to serve as co-opted members with the National Department of Christian Social Relations, and that the Director of the Department of Christian Social Relations or a member of his staff serve with this Commission in a consultative capacity.

**APPROPRIATION**

*Resolved*, The House of ... concurring, that for the expenses of the Joint Commission of the Church in Human Affairs, the sum of four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) be appropriated for the triennium.

**FINANCIAL REPORT**

*Receipts*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation of General Convention</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>945.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale of pamphlet</td>
<td>.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,945.44</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Disbursements*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meetings (3) of the Commission</td>
<td>$2,716.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editorial Committee travel and expense of 3 meetings*</td>
<td>461.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing of publications</td>
<td>612.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, postage and other administration</td>
<td>154.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,945.44</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Of this amount, $157.45 has been reserved for the work of the Editorial Committee to be done after this report has been filed.
Conclusion

This Commission feels that there is a need within the structure of General Convention that it be a continuing body, and further we request that the President of the House of Deputies appoint to its membership persons not only qualified in the field, but having the opportunity to attend the meetings.

This Commission notes with gratitude the cooperation received from the National Department of Christian Social Relations, particularly through the persons of the Rev. Dr. Almon Pepper and Mrs. Muriel Webb. We further recognize the assistance of Mr. William E. Leidt in the preparation of our Epiphany material. We find further gratification in the support given us by our Presiding Bishop, the bishops and clergy of this Church. The deliberation, findings and suggestions of this Commission are but lifeless forms except they be received and infused by those concerned with making a living Gospel vital in a confused and turbulent world.

C. Gresham Marmion, Chairman.
John E. Hines.
William H. Marmion.
Frederick J. Warnecke.
Richard S. Watson.
Harold C. Gosnell.
Charles S. Martin.
William G. Pollard.
Percy F. Rex.
Robert P. Varley, Secretary.
John E. Buddington.
Michael Budzanoski.
Hodding Carter.
Lester B. Granger.
Charles P. Taft.
William C. Turpin, Vice Chairman.
Mrs. Lloyd W. Clarke.
APPENDIX 19

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION TO SURVEY MISSIONARY PROBLEMS IN INDUSTRIAL AREAS

In this third report by the Joint Commission to Survey Missionary Areas to the General Convention, we would like to first emphasize the analysis and recommendations that we made in our first two reports. This review is prompted by the conviction that time has vindicated these recommendations and that they contain some essentials of the philosophy and program of the Church.

In the report of 1955, attention was called to the rapid rise of population in industrial areas, the problems of change occasioned by industrial movement, and by America’s buying habit. The report included an outline of a study made by the National Association of Manufacturers in 1955 regarding probable direction and expansion of industrial areas. This was done in order to help the Church to plan for adequate Missionary expansion in those areas. The predictions have been fulfilled in most instances. The second emphasis was the evaluation of some of the changes of the work forces and their problems in relationship to the Church. Mobility, the character of the time-clock community, as well as the patterns of life in them, were all set forth as factors to be carefully considered if the Church were to plan effective programs. Some of the vexing problems of Church buildings, burdensome costs, and provisions for adequate Church buildings in industrial areas were discussed.

Following a similar pattern in the 1958 report of this Commission, recommendations were made for an image and other research studies to be made to indicate the reaction of Industrial America to the Episcopal Church. These were set forth hoping to stimulate a more scientific and realistic missionary program nationally, as well as on the Diocesan and parish levels.

These reports were well received and commented upon with interest, but the Church has not yet felt it advisable to accept seriously the problems, the programs or the recommendations for this vital area of its life.

Analyses and recommendations in the previous reports have been vindicated by time; therefore it is logical to assume that they do contain certain essentials of philosophy and program for the Church. Over these years we have also seen America not only becoming increasingly industrial, but also we have seen the implications of the work scene on the total life of our people becoming more pertinent than ever.

Our first emphasis in this report in 1961 is, therefore, that we are dealing with an area of colossal and massive change, and that the Church must understand if it is going to reach out and minister adequately to its people. These changes not only affect the parish that is in the shadow of the smokestacks, but all of the parishes in the Church, for these are changes that affect the whole of the life of the country.

Some of these changes follow:

1. Steel seems to be losing its priority. The use of plastics, aluminum and other materials, and the change in building designs and structures,
have changed the demand for steel and affected much of the steel industry. This is worthy of note, for it was in such places as Pittsburgh, where much heavy industry is located, that the missionary opportunity was first seen for the Church and steps taken to call the Church's attention to this new challenge. Steel's capacity, which was greatly increased during World War II is now only partially used and the work force committed to the manufacturing of steel is steadily decreasing. The Churches which were flourishing when this committee was first organized now find themselves badly hurt by the changing work patterns caused by the decrease in demand for steel.

2. Change in Unionism. Unionism shows signs of weakening. This is not being critical of organized labor. The Unions themselves are trying to call the attention of the public to the fact that Labor Unions are now in a battle for their very existence. One of the reasons for this weakening is the rise of the number of technicians occasioned by the increase in automation, and its demand for different skills in operation and maintenance. The rise of the need for the so-called "blue collar" people, and the decrease in the number of workers whom the Union has served in the past, are also factors in this whole picture. The role of the Church as far as organized labor is concerned may also have to develop some new and different approaches.

3. Automation. When the work of the Commission began, automation had just begun to come into widespread use. The end results were only dimly visible. Now we see something of the colossal impact on the American sociological scene that it has brought about. We would like to call the attention of the Church to the change in the work force that this has occasioned, for more and more workers are becoming technicians. This affects the Church because the background and training of the technical workers often differ greatly from the industrial worker of a decade ago. In addition to this challenge, there is a difference in the interest level in the daily job of the technician. This differs widely from that of the man who performed a rapid series of monotonous motions during his work period. This new American has time to look at the Church, and the motivation to ask questions as to what difference the existence of the Church is going to make in the history which will be written largely by the American industrial scene.

4. Increasing unemployment. At the outset of this grassroots movement in the Diocese of Pittsburgh and other places, the fact was emphasized that the industrial communities were not depressed areas. The wage scale was on the upgrade and as long as the production was high, the economic levels of these communities was good. Now there is an increasing pattern of unemployment. There are two things that need to be taken into consideration. One is the great number of women in industry. The other is the great number of people who have more than one job. At this time our technological processes are able to take care of the male adult workers on a one job per person basis. Therefore this matter of moonlighting seriously affects the total employment picture. However, the trend of more than one job per person may well continue for the industrial worker in the future. Due to sporadic employment occasioned by technological change and the rise of automation, there will be a strong drive for extra work both to augment income and to fill adequately the available free time that the worker will have. These evolving working conditions may also
bring pressure to bear for the worker to be paid on an annual salary basis rather than by the hour.

5. More about economic factors. The many changing economic patterns place an even greater obligation on the Church to review and to study its capital expenditures. The practical use of facilities, the cost per communicant to operate and the optimum communicant strength for good pastoral relationships with individual priests or with a multiple staff should be weighed in order to spend available funds with the greatest wisdom. In the Episcopal Church about 85% of the churches in the United States are under 500 communicants. In a society which builds multiple-use buildings centrally located for the use of the maximum number of people, it might be well for the Church at least to analyze these patterns to see if they hold principles that the Church may find useful. Naturally, larger parish units would mean a multiple ministry as a rule rather than the exception. This approach, however, would bring together men with special skills to minister in depth to larger congregations. There is no intention in this suggestion for consideration to imply that the shepherding is not important, but there may be a change taking place in the role of the clergy and particularly in ways of meeting the needs of people. If the Church is not alert to these changes which come about in the culture around it, if it ignores the new patterns of life, it may find itself unequipped to carry on its mission effectually in industrial America.

6. The Space Age. In this era, like it or not, we are involved in a space race. The great importance of space, the race to dominate it, together with the fact that its conquest has been turned over in large measure to the military are dominate factors in our lives. The fortune of cities rise and fall with decisions that are made in the Pentagon. New plants are built and abandoned on the basis of the changes in the type of defense equipment. Thus, for instance, on the Detroit scene, although there are many reasons for the change, there are profound and discouraging changes effected by the increased tendency to make a good deal more of war in the air rather than on the ground. In many places the Church finds itself facing problems of depleted population because of the changes, first in the type of the air craft that are needed, and second because defense is going more to missiles than to manned aircraft. We call, then, the attention of our brethren in the Church to the fact that these are the elements that make for a change in America and about these elements the Church needs to be constantly alert and needs to keep a flexible but thoughtful and prayerful strategy.

7. Less local decision making. There is less local decision making. The local scene is now profoundly affected, as we have noted, by military decisions made in Washington; in industry, too, since the American manufacturing process needs colossal amounts of capital, and this is only available through large corporations or the State, decisions tend to be made on a national basis. Some of the older missionary attempts on the part of the Church geared their ministry to decision makers on the local level. These are now almost obsolete. Local management people, as well as their local labor counterparts, have their power of decision in ever decreasing fields. The Church in America has never been too much at home in the whole decision making process. However, we find that it is now less involved in decision making processes on every level than ever before in its history. It is not that the Church wants to dominate society, but if
the Church accepts a role which does not involve redemptive and effective ministry to the decision making levels of human life, then the Church is accepting a role simply as a chaplaincy in a culture. This means that it is very soon imprisoned in the culture and that its prophetic voice is stilled. There are evidences that the latter is already taking place. There is an uneasiness about the Church speaking out in any other terms except those which would normally involve a chaplaincy.

8. A Critical Situation. The tide has already turned as far as institutional Christianity is concerned. We have in the industrial areas in America a situation in which atheism is unpopular and religion enjoys great popularity, but that shows that the Church has either come to a statistical standstill or is actually on the decline. We recommend that our thoughtful policy makers take a realistic look at the statistics as they are being produced by research people and others involved and which show that the tide is turning. The Church as it now is is evidently considered irrelevant by many Americans. This insight is expressed in various ways. One is that a million Americans a year are turning away from the organized Church. We can no longer assume the growth to which we have been accustomed. We must now plan for a period in which the progress, so far as Church membership is concerned, cannot be considered on an escalator basis. The truth of the matter is that statistically, in many areas, it is going down. The Church which assumes that most Americans want to join and belong to a local church is a Church which is going to lose its chance of being in a pivotal place on the American scene.

9. Unresolved situations. The Church must learn to do business permanently in unresolved situations. The cold war is going to be with us for a long time. We can no longer assume that we are quietly going along in a settled culture. It may well be that unless the Christian Church turns its major attention to the building of a new culture that the culture as we know it will collapse. There is a great loss of faith in the American dream. We are confronted with the fact that our prestige is no longer what it was. The world no longer is as much impressed with us as it once was. But this is not as we would have it, for we believe that faith in America and in what it stands for should set the pace for the order of a new age. We believe that gratitude is the motif of any new social order and that we need to be grateful as a Church for what God has revealed to us of his plan in this great context in which we live, and know as America. The world at large seems to be impressed by our power to make more and better things for more people, but the world is not greatly impressed with the sordid part of the "morality America" which seems to be most efficiently exported. Therefore, while the world admires things about us, our moral scene causes much distress. It certainly is an indication to the Church that it had better realize and take strategic action to stop the steady disintegration in national morality which seems to be going hand-in-hand with the modern revival of religion.

We would like to record our gratitude to the General Convention of the Episcopal Church for having supported us. We have constantly underspent our budget which had been given to us. We are also grateful to the Division of Urban Industrial Church Work and to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the Episcopal National Council for the staff work made possible for this Commission. We are also anxious to record our gratitude for the help and cooperation of the Detroit Industrial
Mission and for the Society for the Promotion of the Industrial Mission in Pittsburgh. These two are now the most active units in our Church and are engaged in the exploration of the Church's Mission to Industrial America. The work of these organizations should be much better known through the Church than they are now. Through the years the Church has received some of the insights which have been evolved, particularly in the lines of probing attitudes, in learning to translate the Christian Gospel in the terms that are relevant to the modern industrial scene, and in pastoral relationships and approaches in industrial parishes, from these groups.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. We recommend that priority be given to a theological study of work. Much progress seems to have been made by the Roman Catholics in an attempt to forge a theology of work. Much of this needs to be done from the standpoint of our basic Anglican orientation. We believe we have something to say to the work scene and would encourage the theologans to make a priority of it. It is hardly redemptive to consider work simply as a burdened necessity, or to maintain a living standard, or as a curse. Somehow the work scene must become a place where the Victorious Christ can be realistically expressed.

2. We recommend that study and experimentation be done as to the potential work scene as the place of evangelism. In this respect it is quite evident that England and France are ahead of America. It is quite possible that the evangelism potentials of the work scene will be as great or perhaps greater than any other place if philosophy and programs can be developed. We have learned many things but we have not yet learned specifically what to do in this area. Therefore, it requires research and experimentation.

3. One of the great missionary problems in the Industrial areas is that of leisure time. Leisure time or, more strictly speaking, the time that is not committed to work has reached the proportion in the American scene that has never before been attained in any recorded culture. Leisure is one of the unexpected products of technological process. Leisure is a new frontier for the Church. The change in the shortened work week which is in increasing evidence will mean more and more people will be absent from their places of regular worship over the weekends. The whole structure of the worship of the Church, its educational processes, and its total ministry will be profoundly effected by the increase in leisure time. This should be a matter of priority. Again, this should be done by research. This was pointed out particularly in our 1955 report. The Church continues to spend several hundred times as much on new buildings as it does on research fact finding, philosophy, and program. We have no right to continue to make our basic decisions on the basis of assumptions which are not tested by any survey and research. We are delighted that the services of our own Division of Research and Field Study are being so widely used, yet this is only a beginning. One of the basic characteristics for retooling of the local Church in the space age is that research and fact finding must become a part of normal ongoing program of the local parish church. We point out that this will not happen till leadership is given in that direction on a national level.
4. We recommend that there be staff on the national level committed to the Church and to the industrial scene. We are happy that the Convention of 1952 not only set up this Commission but also the Division of Urban Industrial Church Work. As this report is written the Division has lacked staff for more than a year and is now committed to only part time staff. We recommend that the future of the Church, as far as the work and leisure scene is concerned, cannot be covered effectively by including it with its concerns about urbanization. We believe that there should be some staff on the national Church and appropriate program and budget for the industrial interest, in addition to that of the Urban interests. We would not presume to say where they should be administratively anchored, but we do reemphasize the fact that it should exist. We would also give a word of warning about the dangers inherent in feeling optimistic on account of our great growth in the suburbs. The Episcopal Church must make a more realistic program so far as the Church in industrial America is concerned and give more adequate leadership than it is now giving.

5. Finally we would recommend, in view of the massive changes that are taking place in the American scene that we have recounted in the earlier part of this document, that the work of this Commission be continued.

The Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of Missionary Work in Industrial Areas be continued, and that the sum of $1,500.00 be appropriated to the Commission for its work during the coming triennium.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by General Convention ..................... $1,500.00

Disbursements
Meetings and expenses of Members attending meetings ...... 781.35
Balance .............................................. $ 718.65

Austin Pardue, Chairman
Charles L. Street, Vice Chairman
Richard J. Hardman, Secretary
William C. Baird
Edward L. Cushman
John H. Leach
Willard A. Pleuthner
REPORT OF THE STANDING LITURGICAL COMMISSION

I. Meetings and Membership

The Commission has met four times during the triennium: January 6-7, 1959; August 30-September 1, 1959; August 30-September 1, 1960; August 29-31, 1961.

No changes were made in the membership of the Commission after the Convention of 1958. Frank Stephen Cellier, Ph.D., of Wilmette, Ill., appointed to the Commission in October, 1958 for a full term of six years, was already serving under an interim appointment.

Under Joint Rule 8 (Journal, 1958, p. 631), Bishop Fenner was elected chairman; Dr. Shepherd, vice chairman; and Mr. Ervin, secretary.

In October, 1960 Mr. Ervin resigned from the Commission and was replaced by the appointment of Mr. Dupuy Bateman, Jr., of Houston, Texas, to serve in the interim until the Convention of 1961. The Rev. Bertram L. Smith was named by the chairman to act as secretary pro tem. The Commission desires to record a special note of gratitude to Mr. Ervin for his many years of service as secretary:

*Tribute to Mr. Spencer Ervin*

The effectiveness of the work of the Commission depends largely upon its secretary. His duties are heavy and exacting. In addition to his service at meetings, he must be in continuous touch with the members as matters arise from day to day.

Mr. Spencer Ervin has served admirably and with singular devotion as our secretary from our meeting in January, 1956 to his resignation at the end of October, 1960. He has felt compelled to resign his office to give more time to his personal affairs.

The Commission regrets to lose such a valuable co-worker, whose service with us goes back to 1949. He has been most scholarly and constructive in all our deliberations. The minutes of our meetings are always a true and complete reflection of what has been said and done. His preparation for the Commission of the conspectus of comments on the rite of Prayer Book Studies IV (the first draft of a Eucharistic liturgy)—embryonic as it does the comments, criticisms, and suggestions that have come in by the thousands from all over the Church and from abroad—represents not only scholarly care but also a great amount of time.

The work of the Commission has been greatly facilitated by Mr. Ervin's labors, and as he leaves the Commission we should like here to pay tribute to him and make public record of our gratefulness to him.

II. Matters Referred by the House of Deputies, 1958

1. A proposal for adding to The Table of Psalms and to the Lessons for Special Occasions a selection of Scripture suitable for the observance of

In the new (third) edition of *The Book of Offices* published in 1960, the psalms, lections, litany, and prayers at pp. 103-6, new in this edition, comply with part of this request; the remainder will have consideration when the Prayer Book section on Prayers and Thanksgivings comes under study.

2. A proposal to change “and lead us not into temptation” in the Lord’s Prayer to “let us not fall when tempted”: referred without recommendation. (*Journal*, p. 279).

The member of the Commission expert in the New Testament has informed the Commission that pending further textual studies it would be undesirable to attempt to alter the phrase in question, and the Commission has accepted his recommendation.

3. That there be included in the new edition of *The Book of Offices* “such prayers as would be helpful to the Church in illuminating its understandings and empowering its action in the field of nuclear energy.” (*Journal*, p. 287).

Some members of the Commission believe that until the Church shall have defined its attitude on the use of scientific discoveries, including nuclear energy, it will be difficult for the Commission to provide the desired prayers. However this may be, the Commission voted that the matter required study by its sub-committee on Prayers and Thanksgivings, and that meanwhile it should not be dealt with in the new edition of *The Book of Offices*.

In connection with recurrent requests from the House of Deputies for consideration by the Commission of resolutions introduced by members of the House, and referred to the Commission by the House after action thereon by the House Committee on the Prayer Book, the Commission believes it appropriate to mention the unnecessary burden cast upon it by these references and the consumption of valuable time in the House by their reference to committee, consideration there, and report to and vote by the House. If the matters so referred could be sent directly to the Commission by the Secretary of the House pursuant to a standing rule, they would have the consideration of the Commission when the section of the Prayer Book to which they relate comes under study, just as is the case with communications from non-members of the House. Such a rule might read:

> Unless otherwise specially ordered by vote of this House, resolutions proposing changes in the Book of Common Prayer offered by individual members shall be referred by the President to the Secretary of the House for transmission to the Standing Liturgical Commission without prior reference to committee and report thereon, and the Commission’s acknowledgment to the mover of the resolution shall be in lieu of any report to this House upon the resolution.

### III. PRAYER BOOK STUDIES, AND A NEW EDITION OF THE BOOK OF OFFICES.

The following tabulation shows the titles, dates of issuance, and number of copies sold through January 1, 1961, of publications of the Commission:
### LITURGICAL COMMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titles</th>
<th>Issued</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Baptism and Confirmation</td>
<td>June, 1950</td>
<td>9,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. The Liturgical Lectionary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Ministration to the Sick</td>
<td>Sept., 1951</td>
<td>14,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. The Eucharistic Liturgy</td>
<td>July, 1953</td>
<td>11,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Holy Liturgy (offprint pamphlet of No. IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. The Litany</td>
<td>Oct., 1953</td>
<td>6,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Morning and Evening Prayer</td>
<td>April 1957</td>
<td>5,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. The Penitential Office</td>
<td>Nov., 1957</td>
<td>4,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. The Ordinal</td>
<td>Nov., 1957</td>
<td>4,945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. The Calendar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Solemnization of Matrimony</td>
<td>March, 1958</td>
<td>3,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Thanksgiving for Birth of a Child</td>
<td>Sept., 1958</td>
<td>4,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. Propers for Minor Holy Days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. Order of Burial of the Dead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV. An Office of Institution of Rectors into Parishes</td>
<td>July, 1959</td>
<td>2,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collects, Epistles and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts (Supplement, No. XII)</td>
<td>June, 1960</td>
<td>3,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Book of Offices (3rd ed.)</td>
<td>June, 1960</td>
<td>2,630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. TRIAL USE

Repeating in substance the recommendation made and the resolutions submitted on this subject to the Conventions of 1955 *(Journal, pp. 377-9)* and 1958 *(Journal, pp. 487-9)*, we beg to say:

The Commission believes that the Constitution of the General Convention, as it now stands, does not permit, or can reasonably be argued not to permit, trial use of a revised Prayer Book or of sections or Offices thereof. Therefore, while fully in sympathy with the policy represented by the action of the House of Bishops at its special meeting in Williamsburg, November 12, 1953, providing for a restricted trial use of the first draft of a Eucharistic Liturgy, and believing it desirable that the Bishops should have power to authorize trial use of any proposed section or Office, the Commission submits herewith an Amendment to Constitution Article X, which, if adopted in 1961 and again in 1964, will make trial use, under whatever safeguards Convention and the Bishops thereto authorized by it may adopt, unquestionably lawful. It is, of course, only when the Church, in Convention, has approved (either by the simple majorities suggested in our resolution or by specified larger ones: two-thirds or three-fourths, if Convention prefers) a given section of the Prayer Book, or the whole of it, in the form suggested by the Commission, that testing of the provisionally accepted section or Book by trial use is made. The only exception to the foregoing, in the opinion of the Commission, is that provision for testing items not now in the Prayer Book may properly be made, with appropriate restrictions, at any time apart from amendment of the Constitution, as the Commission intended in the case of its Propers for the Minor Holy Days.
The relevant Constitutional provisions now in effect are in Article X, as already mentioned. This has but one section, to which are appended two provisos. Lines 11 to 26 of the main text prohibit any alteration of or addition to the Prayer Book except by action of two successive Conventions, with interim consideration by the dioceses and missionary districts.

It is arguable that an experimental Book is not an alteration or addition, since it is not offered as such and does not displace the established Book save on occasions when the experimental Book is used. Against this, however, may be adduced the provision in the first ten lines of the main text that the established Book "shall be in use in all the Dioceses and Missionary Districts of this Church." This reasonably means that the established Book shall be in continuous, not merely intermittent use, and this, if so, prevents occasional use of an experimental Book unless authorized by Amendment.

Glancing now at the two provisos, the first one, allowing amendment of "the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the use of the Psalms" by action of only one Convention, obviously has no application. Nor has the second, excepting special forms of worship prescribed by the Bishops. "Special forms of worship," reasonably interpreted, applies only to forms for rites not provided in the established Book. The so-called Jus Liturgicum of a bishop or bishops does not extend to the authorization of substitutes for rites prescribed by the provincial or national Church in its manual of worship.

We are therefore faced by the fact that a good argument can be made for the proposition that the Constitution at present excludes experimental or "trial" use of rites alternative to those prescribed in the Prayer Book. Accordingly we propose an Amendment to Article X to make trial use, under whatever safeguards may be desired, unquestionably lawful.

One method for such an amendment is to add to the two existing provisos a third. It would be better, however, because less clumsy, to recast the first existing proviso to include the amendment, thus:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops and a majority of each order in the House of Deputies:

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or any portion or portions thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention.

The present second proviso may remain as it now stands, except that the word "further" should be deleted.

Perusal, in Journal, 1958, pp. 277-8 and 174-6, of the proceedings upon the recommendations and resolutions submitted by the Commission generates a sense of wonder such as might arise from witnessing the collapse of a building or the cave-in of a street. The impenetrable obscurity of these pro-
ceedings is equalled only by the confusion of many members of the Convention regarding the action they represent. We suggest that further misunderstanding of the proposals of the Commission could be obviated by a new Joint Rule reading somewhat as follows:

Upon the presentation in either House of the report of a Standing or of a special Joint Committee or Commission, and before reference thereof to committee, a signer of the report designated by the Committee or Commission, whether or not a member of the Convention, shall be accorded opportunity to explain the report and any appended resolutions, and a signer of any minority report shall be accorded the same opportunity.

V. FINANCES

All of the appropriation of $4,500.00 made to the Commission for the triennium 1958-60 has been spent, and in addition a sizeable sum fortunately obtained from other sources. Further, institutions with which some members of the Commission are connected have contributed secretarial services, and members of the Commission themselves have added to these. If in the opinion of the Convention the work of the Commission has any value in preparing the way for a future revision of the Prayer Book, it might be well to recognize the fact by an appropriation which will enable the Commission to meet more frequently if it needs to do so, will provide for conferences of its sub-committees, and for secretarial services. In an appended resolution we have requested an appropriation for the triennium 1961-64 of $9,000.00.

VI. MEMORIAL TO BISHOP PARSONS

Appended is a memorial, taken from a Minute adopted by the Commission, showing the services of the late Bishop Parsons to the Standing Liturgical Commission and through it to the Church.

VII. RESOLUTIONS

1. Resolved. The House of . . . concurring, that the first proviso of Article X of the Constitution of the General Convention be and it is hereby amended as follows:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of the Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops and a majority of each order in the House of Deputies,

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or of any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention.

2. Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the second proviso of
Article X of the Constitution of the General Convention be and it is hereby amended by deletion of the word "further."

3. Resolved, The House of... concurring, that the sum of $9,000.00 be and it is hereby appropriated for the regular expenses of the Standing Liturgical Commission for the coming triennium.

In submitting the foregoing Resolution No. 3 for an appropriation the Commission has not been unmindful of the resolution adopted by the House of Deputies of 1958 (Journal, p. 155) "that hereafter all requests for appropriations... be accompanied by a statement of all receipts and expenses for the preceding triennium and an itemized statement of needs for the ensuing triennium." We believe that we have complied with the first part of the resolution in the Financial Report made just below. We do not know how to comply with the second part save by reference to the same Financial Report. The expense of the Commission for board, lodging, and travel depends upon the number of members in attendance, and especially upon the varying rates for accommodations at the places selected for meetings and the varying aggregate costs of travel to these. Nor is it possible to forecast with any accuracy secretarial expense. But we believe that by reading, in the light of our statement under V supra, the Financial Report which now follows, the Convention will have as full a statement of our needs as we can supply.

FINANCIAL REPORT
March 18, 1958 to January 1, 1961

Receipts
From the Treasurer of the General Convention, by payments on various dates for the account of the Commission, being the total amount of the appropriation for the triennium 1958-1961 .................................................. $4,500.00
On hand in the secretarial reserve acc't, Mar. 18, 1958 .......... 273.38
To the secretarial reserve acc't from The Church Hymnal Corporation, Aug. 19, 1959 .......................... 250.00
To the same from the same, Oct. 1, 1959 ....................... 1,000.00
....................................................................... $6,023.38

Disbursements

A. Meetings (travel, board, lodging)
Meeting of January 6-7, 1959: amount paid by the Treasurer of the General Convention ...................... $1,009.77
Meeting of August 4-6, 1959
Amount paid by the Treasurer of Convention ....... $1,260.22
Amount paid from secretarial reserve acc't ........ 300.00 1,560.22
Meeting of August 30-September 1, 1960
Amount paid by the Treasurer of Convention ..... 594.60
Amount paid from secretarial reserve acc't ....... 254.85 849.45
B. Ordinary Secretarial Expense

Dictating, typing, mimeographing and mailing of minutes:
Amount paid by the Treasurer of Convention ...... $ 54.73
Amount paid from secretarial reserve acc’t ...... 75.07
Sub-committee expense, dictation, postage, etc.
Amount paid from secretarial reserve acc’t ...... 113.05
Secretary’s postage, telephone, telegraph, expressage,
Amount paid from secretarial reserve acc’t ...... 18.87 261.72

C. Special Secretarial Expense

Preparation and circulation to members of the Commission of a digest of comments received on Prayer Book Study IV; typing records of dictation, mimeographing, collating, binding, mailing,
Amount paid by the Treasurer of Convention .................. 1,364.14

Balance
(as of January 1, 1961)

Appropriation from the General Convention ............... $ 216.54
Secretarial reserve acc’t ............................... 761.54 $ 978.08

(Note: This report does not include expenses of meeting scheduled for August, 1961, nor secretarial expenses incurred since January 1, 1961.)

GOODRICH R. FENNER, Chairman,
ALBERT R. STUART,
JOHN W. SUTER, Custodian,
MASSEY H. SHEPHERD, JR., Vice Chairman,
FRANCIS B. SAYRE, JR.
CHARLES W. F. SMITH,
BERTRAM L. SMITH, Secretary pro tem.
JOHN W. ASHTON,
FRANK STEPHEN CELLIER,
DUPUY BATEMAN, JR.

APPENDIX

MEMORIAL MINUTE ON BISHOP PARSONS

The Standing Liturgical Commission records with profound sorrow the death on July 18, 1960, at the age of 92, of the Right Reverend Edward Lambe Parsons, D.D., LL.D.

Bishop Parsons was one of the principal initiators and leaders of the revision of the Prayer Book which began in 1913 and concluded in 1928. First in the House of Deputies, and after 1922, in the House of Bishops, he was charged with the difficult task of guiding the discussion and debate on the floor of the General Convention, with respect to the proposals of the
Joint Commission for revision. He was one of four leaders (the others being Bishop Charles L. Slattery, the Reverend Dr. John W. Suter, Sr., and the Reverend Dr. Howard B. St. George) especially named and commended by resolution of General Convention of 1928 for the "singular skill, patience and ... spirit of Christian broad-mindedness" which marked the performance of their role of leadership. (Journal of the General Convention, 1928, p. 254).

In addition, Bishop Parsons was himself the author of several new prayers included in the 1928 Prayer Book—prayers which have found a ready acceptance and extensive use in the liturgical life of the Church, and which express so aptly many of the principles that distinguished his wider ministry in the Church. These are: the Prayer for Social Justice, For the Family of Nations, and the Collect for Independence Day. With the late Reverend Dr. Bayard H. Jones, he was co-author of The American Prayer Book, Its Origins and Principles (New York: Scribners, 1937), a work that continues to serve as an indispensable textbook in liturgics.

Bishop Parsons was a member of the Standing Liturgical Commission from the time of its original formation, following the 1928 revision. In 1930 he succeeded Bishop Slattery as chairman and held this position until after the General Convention of 1946, when he resigned for reasons of age. It was he, more than any other single person, who gave to the Commission the policies and programs that have guided the whole course of its work in the past three decades. Under his chairmanship the Commission issued, with the approval of the General Convention, the first authorized edition of The Book of Offices for special occasions, and prepared the revised lectionary of the Daily Offices that was adopted by General Convention in 1943 after nine years of trial use. Bishop Parsons' foresight also laid the groundwork for the series of Prayer Book Studies, which the Commission has been publishing since 1950; and to their preparation he was always generous in lending the counsel of his knowledge and wisdom.

Bishop Parsons' knowledge of and interest in liturgics stemmed originally from his early association with the great presbyter, the Reverend Dr. William Reed Huntington. He was the true heir of Dr. Huntington in the ingenious way in which he combined scholarly competence and pastoral insight in liturgical revision. Similarly, he possessed extraordinary gifts of clarity and honesty of mind, and unfailing courtesy of manner in presenting the complex and delicate issues that invariably arise in so large an undertaking. Above all, he was extremely careful and fair in protecting and preserving the comprehensive tradition of our Church in doctrine and worship.

His many other notable contributions to the life and thought of the Church both enriched and were enriched by his special concern with its liturgical worship. The Commission expresses its profound gratitude to Almighty God for the many years of association with Bishop Parsons, and for all that it has learned from his wise and learned counsel, his gracious manners, and his unusual saintliness of character. It expresses likewise to his family its sincere sympathy in their grief, as it also shares with them the joyous remembrance of his triumphant life in Christ.

A true copy from the Minutes of the Standing Liturgical Commission.
REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON CHURCH MUSIC

Seven meetings of the Commission have been held during the triennium for the purpose of developing the program outlined by the Commission in its thirteenth report to the General Convention of 1958.

The Diocesan Music Commissions have received letters from time to time from the Secretary giving general advice on the music of the Church, lists of publications, records, music and pamphlets.

The list of some 700 recommended anthems and services has been revised, has been published and will be for sale this autumn. This is proving very helpful especially to small parishes who do not have professional choirmasters. The numbers are graded and listed according to the church year. Your approval of this list is requested.

The pamphlets on "Music for the Church Wedding" and "Music for the Church Funeral" have been revised and will be available this autumn. Plans are also in operation for a revision of "The Choral Service."

Summer Music Schools, usually about the third week in August, have been held in Garden City, N.Y., Seabury-Western Seminary, Evanston, Ill., and the University of Virginia in Charlottesville. The members of the Commission serve as the faculty of these schools on a volunteer basis. The purpose is to authoritatively set forth the standards of good church music, chanting, organ accompaniment, congregational singing, and the relation of music to the worship of the Church. As these schools are not designed to compete with existing conferences, they are moved each year to a different locale, according to invitations received. Some pay for themselves and give a small balance which is used another year in a less populated diocese, which otherwise could not produce enough students to make the work possible. Plans have been made for a session this August in California, near Los Angeles, and for the summer of 1962 in Buffalo, N.Y. The Commission recognizes with much appreciation the splendid work being done by other summer music schools such as Evergreen in Colorado, Sewanee in Tennessee, the Church Divinity School of the Pacific in California, the Seminary of the Southwest in Texas, and Wa-Li-Ro Choir School in Ohio; also the many Diocesan Music Conferences held throughout the country. It is the hope of the Commission that this report will be of assistance to all music schools.

The new Supplement to the Service Music of the Hymnal, containing three simple Communion Services, several chants, and a revised Liturgical Index, is being printed in pamphlet form, to be added to the Hymnals already printed. New printings of the Hymnal will contain this Supplement.

The recommendations in the latter part of this report are for all church musicians and clergymen. The members of the Commission have spent many hours in serious study and all have contributed. A committee has had several separate meetings in gathering together these pages for your information and it is hoped that the next few years will bring forth a larger and more complete report which will cover details not taken up at this time. These pages will be printed as a separate pamphlet and will be available this coming winter.
The Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the fourteenth report of the Joint Commission on Church Music be accepted.

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the Joint Commission on Church Music be continued, to consist of two Bishops, four Presbyters, and six laymen who are church organists.

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the sum of $2,500.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on Church Music for its work during the next triennium.

**FINANCIAL REPORT**

**GENERAL CONVENTION ACCOUNT**

**Receipts**

Appropriation from General Convention $2,500.00

**Disbursements**

Travel and expense for six meetings $2,182.30
Travel and expense for three special committee meetings $317.70
Total $2,500.00

**REPORT ON FUNDS COLLECTED BY THE COMMISSION**

**Receipts**

Balance at beginning of triennium $2,674.31
Royalties—Columbia Records 2,341.34
Summer Conference, 1958 520.53
Summer Conference, 1960 340.10
Total $5,876.28

**Disbursements**

Travel expense, special committee meetings, beyond triennial appropriation $494.70
Conference expense in excess of receipts, 1959 581.28
Conference expense, Los Angeles, 1961 1,000.00
Printing 93.60
Postage, secretarial services, telephone 144.50
Expense of Commission representative making seminary survey, April, 1961 1,500.00
Total $3,814.08
Balance on hand, September, 1961 $2,062.20
Allotted for the near future:

- Expense of Commission booth at General Convention: $750.00
- Secretarial services: $300.00
- Coming publications: $250.00
- Printing, stationary, postage (3 years): $250.00

Total: $1,550.00
Balance anticipated: $512.20

James Pernette De Wolfe, Chairman.
Lauriston L. Scaife.
John W. Norris.
Emmett P. Paige, Vice Chairman and Treasurer.
William B. Schmidgall.
F. Bland Tucker.
Paul Allen Beymer, Secretary.
Ray Francis Brown.
Vernon de Tar.
Edward B. Gammons.
Leo Sowerby.
Alec Wyton.
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INTRODUCTION

Nearly forty years ago there was issued a Report of the Joint Commission on Church Music which was destined to awaken among the clergy and church musicians wide discussion concerning the purpose of music in the services of the Church and of the nature of music to be thus employed.
Similar activity was taking place in England and in other Christian bodies who likewise felt the need for reform. Basically it was all an effort to restore the music of the Church to its rightful position as the handmaid of religion.

The Report of the first Commission, which had been appointed in 1919, was the first and only authoritative pronouncement upon the subject ever issued in the American Church. It has been the guide book of the Commission during the intervening years despite the many changes in membership which have occurred. It was a very real and influential factor in the development of the musical edition of the Hymnal 1940.

Nevertheless it has been evident that the earlier Report needed revision. Many of its suggestions have been carried out; for example, the development of instruction in Church music in our seminaries by which the clergy are prepared to fulfill the responsibilities laid upon them by the Canons of the Church and the Rubrics of the Prayer Book. The article on the “New Hymnal” has little value today because many of the suggestions therein made have been either adopted or superseded in “The Hymnal 1940.” Increasing knowledge has developed deeper understanding of some aspects of music in the service of the Church resulting in modification of earlier views. The Liturgical Movement has had increasing effect in the development of public worship and its associated arts.

It is the considered opinion of the Commission that the basic need of both church musicians and the clergy is to recognize that music has but one function, to enhance the worship of the Church through the beauty of sound; by the reinforcement of the words of the services and the words of the Psalter, the hymns and anthems. It holds that the standards of material and performance must be as high as those standards which are established for secular music and therefore that it must ever be recognized as an offering to God which must be the best of which man is capable. This is the philosophy of church music to which we hold.

Three chronic "ills" have assailed the music of the Church throughout the centuries. These are "sentimentalism, theatricalism, and virtuosity." (Sir Henry Hadow.) The Church must ever be alert to prevent these from developing either through weak and effeminate melodies and/or harmonizations; over-dramatic interpretations, or compositions which can only be produced by virtuosi soloists or choral groups.

The first, "sentimentalism," may move us emotionally but fail to have any effect on the will. The second may be highly entertaining but lacking on Godward direction. The third would rob the congregation of all participation; producing either a "show" or a duet between the priest and the choir.

Only as music serves to direct the worshipper to God either by an enhancement of words or by the establishment of a mood or atmosphere for the service can it fulfill its proper function as the handmaid of religion.

The articles which follow have been written by members of the Commission, revised by an editorial committee, reviewed by the whole Commission, and are set forth as an authoritative statement of the place of music in the worship of the Church.
CONGREGATIONAL PARTICIPATION

During the past twenty years there has been a marked increase in congregational participation in the services of the Church. This has been in a large measure due to the Liturgical Movement which has stressed the importance of this aspect of a service of worship of Almighty God. The stress has been on the whole Christian family in church uniting in the praise and worship of its Creator. Advancement in Christian Education also has brought into being the Family Service—a service designed for Church families to meet and to participate in an offering to God through the Holy Communion.

This corporate activity has been strengthened in many ways through the activity of church musicians and a deepening understanding of church music. The hymnal revision of 1937-40 brought into use a book with music within the range of most singers; it also produced four settings of the ordinary of the Holy Communion for use in churches of various degrees of musical skill. Its recognition of plainsong as universal music and not that which bore the stamp of a "particular" Christian body added a new opportunity for congregational music. Chanting came to be recognized as "speaking on a musical tone or tones" and not a series of rapidly uttered phrases, unintelligible and confused; to be followed by a slow cadence and emphasis upon unimportant syllables and words. The employment of hymns as anthems by choirs not prepared to sing more elaborate settings has supplied a long felt need and helped greatly to eliminate much of the "easy" but cheap trash which formerly was to be found in every choir library.

During the forty years since the first Report our public and private schools have developed fine programs of musical instruction. Through this source many of our congregations today find little difficulty in reading music and are aware of the high standards that should be sought both in composition and performance. The former emphasis on unison singing as the best method for obtaining congregational participation is no longer as valid and the day may not be far distant when our congregations will be singing the parts of hymns and service music provided they are supplied with copies of the latter. There will still be need for choir leadership and there must always be an opportunity for choirs to make their distinctive contributions to the service by means of anthems or larger settings of service music.

The great value of congregational participation lies in the fact that worship becomes what it should be—a corporate act in which the whole family of the church united together offer their hearts and minds and bodies to God to be "a reasonable, holy and living sacrifice."

MUSIC AND WORSHIP

The music performed in the church must always be considered as secondary to liturgy; it does not exist for itself alone, nor must it draw undue attention to itself. It must be judged from the standpoint of its fitness to accompany the liturgy. That in itself indicates a very high standard, for nothing but the best can be offered to God in His house.

It must be recognized that all music used in divine worship must pass the rigid tests of musical excellence; a badly written hymn tune has no
more place in the church than the music of the popular music hall. An anthem whose workmanship is shoddy should not be set beside the works of the great masters of music which are and always will be models.

On the other hand, the music heard in church must differ from the type that is heard in other places. Secular influences, whatever their form, should not be allowed place in the sanctuary. Music which has a secular origin or connection should not be permitted entry, except in the few instances where the origins are so ancient or obscure that they have long since been forgotten. (Actually, some of the best known and best loved chorale melodies can be traced back to a popular source.) One must also remember that the music does not automatically become sacred just because it is set to a sacred text.

What, essentially, makes a piece of music churchly? First of all, there should be evidence of very great care in the setting of the words. The supreme model is Gregorian chant in which the text is set to unmetrical music which flows evenly and smoothly. If a setting does not enhance the text and make its message even more telling the primary purpose of the music has not been served. It is quite obvious that if the lilt or thump of meter in the music is obstrusive one is more apt to associate it with the dance than with the Church.

Secondly, the music will have the dignity, and yet the warmth, that has characterized the greatest music written for the Church throughout the ages. If it be of the proper standard it will avoid the commonplaces and cliches which are the stock in trade of the hack composer, be he connected with the Church or with some secular endeavor. It may be brilliant, but not merely showy; expressive, but not merely sentimental; solemn, but not merely dull. It may even evidence its measure of excitement, but there must be no taint of the sensual in it. In short, it must carry the conviction that it is addressed to God, as praise, adoration or prayer.

Music of all periods, if it conform to these high standards, has its place in the Church; in fact, the literature of church music is the oldest, noblest and most extensive of all. In one style or another, commencing with the ancient plainsong, there has always been an outpouring of music for the Church, much of it great. Until fairly recent times the bulk of it which did not meet the strictest standards of excellence has disappeared into oblivion. It is in regard to the music of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries that we must exercise careful selectivity, for much that has been found unworthy has gained a foothold, and it is difficult for many reasons to root it out.

In regard to our own time, it is important to realize that the composer of today has the right—nay, the obligation—to be heard in the idiom of today. The thing to remember here is that the really good work cannot always be immediately distinguished from the inferior imitations. Time puts this matter to rights; history teaches us that the true is eventually but invariably recognized—and so is the counterfeit. It is important to consider that music which is sanctimonious or merely “respectable” can never be thought of as meeting the standards of the music one could think fitting to present to the Almighty.

There have been periods in the history of music when composers wrote music for the Church which was of precisely the same style and character as the secular music of the time. This music has its place, of course, in the
Concert hall, for, as music, it may be of excellent quality; but to allow or encourage its use in church simply hinders the appreciation of the true standards of music for the Church. Much music of this sort has been frequently heard and has endeared itself to many precisely because of its familiarity, as well as its superficial charm or brilliance. But those who have the responsibility for choosing the music to be used in the church are derelict in their duty if they make the attractiveness of the music to the worshippers the principal criterion; the congregation does not—or should not—come to the church to be entertained. Essentially, the music is not addressed to the congregation, but to God.

It must be pointed out that it is not necessary that difficult music be presented in the service; music within the capacity of the organist, the choir and the congregation (when the congregation takes an active part in the service) should always be selected. The music performed by a choir in a metropolitan church will certainly not be the same as that done in a parish church in a small town or in the country, but both types of church can present music of the same excellence, each in its own sphere, if it is wisely chosen. We will know that the music of the Church can not only bring us closer to God but will help to bring the realization of God into our lives.

Church Music

The primary purpose of Church music is worship, and worship only.

This may be an obvious truism, but it is very necessary to be born in mind, as, being so plain, it is most easy to forget. The simple idea of worship is not so difficult to grasp but what does it mean put into actual practice? How can we worship through music?

Music in worship has a twofold aspect—offering and edification. The offering to God, and the edification of the faithful. The first thought suggests that we must offer the best and highest that is possible to produce with the material at hand: the best kind rendered in the best way; the second, that, though it may be granted, that there is an absolute duty independent of the feelings of people, yet for practical purposes we should use that form of it which is felt to be beautiful by the majority of the best authorities.

We offer to God a thing of beauty upon which all our talents and energies should be expended. The quality should be such that it may carry with itself a further offering, by inspiring the faithful with higher motives and nobler resolves for which purpose no power on earth is more potent than music.

Bearing in mind the secondary object of Church music, edification, our work should be built upon a foundation of its primary object, the Offering to God. Music is active and living, its message can be conveyed to the word only by living agents interpreting it at a given time. The composer of the music directs the performers but the music proper does not exist until they obey those directions. Here is at once the weakness and strength of music.

In most parishes and missions those to whom the development of church music is committed, we find a tremendous lack of simple technical knowledge of tone production; the simple rules of enunciation, and the knowledge of choral technique. The above are primary and necessary for the rendition of even the simplest service. A great common fault resides in the choosing of
material beyond the ability of not only the singers but also the ability of the choirmaster to guide them in its performance.

Church music involves the composer, the choirmaster, and the singers. We must, therefore, first decide what music to use, and then next how it shall be performed. It may be a comparatively easy task to select suitable music; it is far more difficult to assure its adequate rendition. How to acquire the techniques, how to keep them, and how to use them, is the constant care of the true guardian of Church music. The primary objectives should always be in the foreground of the thinking of those responsible for the music of the Church—that it is ever an offering to God and the edification of the faithful.

The Hymnal

The Hymnal is a third tool with the Book of Common Prayer and the Bible for the work of worship. In the service it offers an opportunity for an approach to Almighty God in all of the aspects of worship. In the home and in the church both it offers unlimited aid for private devotions.

It is essential to remember that a hymn is a literary work either of prosody in rhythmic or metrical form capable of being set to music. From this follows the fact that a hymnal is a book of words. This is something that too often escapes the vast majority of our laity and of which many of our choirmasters and organists seem equally ignorant. This is due to the fact that most people are familiar with a hymnal only as a tune book even as they think of a hymn in terms of its tune. The classical example today is "Materna," the familiar tune to "O Mother Dear, Jerusalem," which is becoming known as "America the Beautiful." A musical edition of a Hymnal can only be published after the hymnal (a collection of poetry) has been compiled.

The Report of 1922 made this important statement: "The first requisite of a hymnal must be that its contents, in the main, are suitable for congregational use." Such a requisite demands that the content of a hymnal be theologically sound; of good literary quality; of such character as can be sung by all people without "hypocrisy." Many fine devotional poems which are eminently suited to private devotions cannot be sung truthfully by everyone. An older generation may sing sincerely "O Paradise, O Paradise, who doth not crave for rest"; but to put these words into the mouths of "teen-agers" causes them to sing something which they deny was a real aspiration or hope.

The Hymnal 1940 was in part a response to the demand of the Church for a hymnal that would meet the needs of a changing world. In its development these matters were given consideration. While the vast majority of the hymns may be sung with sincerity by everyone some hymns were included for the sole purpose of providing expression of personal devotion and prayer. Because these are set to tunes does not mean that they need to be sung or used in the usual services; yet on some occasion of a special nature; a mission service, perhaps at the time of a burial or a national disaster, their use might become most appropriate and supply a real need.

It also must be born in mind that before the Hymnal 1940 had reached the Church in 1943 new hymns of varying degrees of value were being written and that since that time we have seen vast changes in world conditions.
These have called for new expressions of faith; and poetry frequently has been the medium for giving voice to such expression. No matter how fine any hymnal may be at the time of its publication there will come a day when the needs of the Church require the elimination of works which met the needs of an earlier day but which must give way to newer works that speak to man in terms with which he is familiar.

It is only after the hymns have been selected; after the collection of verse has been made and submitted to General Convention for its approval that a musical edition is authorized. It is in the selection of tunes that the principles of good church music should be thoroughly understood and appreciated by the editors or whoever may be appointed to do this work. A committee composed of clergy and laity acquainted with and skilled in the music of the Church, chose the tunes in the current hymnal of the Church.

After nearly twenty years this question is sometimes asked: "Why is the time signature omitted from the music of the Hymnal?" The time signature was removed to avoid any metrical rendition of the tune which might be detrimental to the meaning of the hymn itself. The rhythm of the words should determine the rhythm of the tune. Hence it is necessary that every hymn should be carefully studied for an understanding of what it is saying before it is sung in church.

To introduce a new hymn it is well if it can first be sung as an anthem (with possibly an explanation by the rector) in some such manner as this: the tenor and bass singing the first stanza in unison; the soprano and alto the second stanza, and the third in harmony. For the final stanza the congregation could be asked to stand and join in the singing. It may then be used as a processional hymn, a recessional hymn, and as a hymn before the sermon on successive Sundays. The hymn will be well known to the congregation and will be readily sung. Congregational singing may be encouraged by asking the choir to remain silent for a stanza or two of a familiar hymn while the congregation does the singing.

If it has been the custom of a parish to have a processional hymn at the beginning of a service it should start with the choir prayer quietly said by the rector to the choir before their appearance in church. This prayer is not for the people. A firm, leading organ should play over the tune as the choir enters the church. The first stanza will then be sung while the choir is in the midst of the people giving inspiration to all to join in the singing. The recessional hymn may be sung as the choir walks out, lead by a good organ; this strong organ continuing to lead the congregational singing after the choir has left the church through to the end of the hymn. The choir prayer should be said for the choir only and not for the people.

Another question often asked is: "Why did you lower the pitch of most of the tunes?" The answer is that it was done to make possible unison singing of the melody by all voices, high and low. It was recognized that in many instances professional choirmasters and organists could transpose the tunes to a higher key if so desired; but that many of the volunteer organists throughout the Church would find it impossible to transpose a tune, to make it suitable for their congregations.

It may be that subsequent revisions will restore the higher keys as we come into an era in which our congregations have learned to sing in parts as a result of their school training. But again, the melody, which should
ever develop the meaning of the words also, as nearly as possible, should be within the compass of all voices.

The harmonization of hymn tunes is of first importance. A good hymn tune must first of all have a good melody. The tune St. Magnus has been described as the almost perfect example of a good hymn tune. It has movement and arrives at its climax with an octave leap and a descending passage to the tonic of its key. An example of a poor melody is the familiar part-song setting to "Now the Day is Over," wherein the melody consists of the repetition of one note thirteen times out of a total of twenty-four. The monotony of this kind of "tune" becomes evident when it is sung without any accompaniment or parts. The tune has two sentimental passages; the tenor part at the end of line one and the descending passage for the bass against held notes in the other parts, in the second line. The great affection for this tune lies in part-singing and not in its melody.

If the melody must have interest, so must the other parts. The harmonization must have interest achieved by actually providing a degree of melody for all of the voices. This is not to say that the harmony must be contrapuntal, but that the inner parts must move and have interest in themselves, thus avoiding monotony for the alto, tenor and bass as well as the soprano.

In the Hymnal 1940 every effort was made to provide the best tunes available for hymns; and in several instances new tunes were proposed for "old favorites." It is probably too much to expect that the fine new tune for "O Little Town of Bethlehem" will ever have wide acceptance because "St. Louis" is so traditional with us. It is interesting to note that when the Phillips Brooks hymn is used outside the United States it is sung to a different tune.

Finally a note about the tempo of hymns. The rhythm and meaning of the words must be the determining factor. The descriptive terms used at the headings of the hymns in the Hymnal 1940 are suggestive only and were employed in an effort to set forth what the members of the tunes committee felt to be the character of the hymn. To play every hymn "fast" or every hymn "slow" is to err. We urge that the words and the tune both be studied and when the relationship is understood then the rhythmic pattern of the hymn will determine the proper tempo of the tune.

Amen has been printed where they should be sung. Amen should never be used as a "period." Appropriately prayer, and praise, or hymns otherwise addressed to God should so end. Hymns addressed to the congregation, which may be hortatory in style, such as "Rise Up, O Men of God" should not conclude with the Amen.

A careful study of the preface to the book itself and to the service supplement at the end, will assist any person in the proper use of this Book of Worship which properly takes its place beside the Bible and the Book of Common Prayer.

THE SERVICES OF THE CHURCH

HOLY COMMUNION

Liturical development of recent years has increased the importance given to music at the Holy Communion. The stress on congregational participa-
tion in the music as well as the spoken responses and liturgical action has made it essential that all that is sung should be worthy of the being offered to the glory of God. People are learning to sing plainsong services and others written for unison rendition. The ideal of congregational singing in parts of the Eucharist does not, however, diminish the further enrichment a choir may bring as its offering of devotion.

Tradition has much to contribute to the choral standard which a parish sets for itself. With faithfulness to the Prayer Book rite and to the rubrics, we can call upon the rich heritage of the past, witnessing to the fact that music, as with the liturgy it sets forth, is a living, organic thing, not static, but flowering in each generation from the deep-rooted tradition of two thousand years.

The music used in the service of Holy Communion is part of the dialogue between the celebrant, the choir and the people. The parts assigned to the people may be classified under these heads:

(a) The Ordinary, which includes the Kyrie Eleison, the Creed, the Sursum Corda, the Sanctus, the Lord's Prayer, and the Gloria in Excelsis; portions of the service which do not vary.

(b) The Propers which include the Collects, the Epistle, Gospel, and Psalmody, if used as part of the service. Psalmody of this type includes the Introit, Gradual, Offertory and Communion and consists in the main of a short antiphon, a psalm verse or two, the Gloria Patri and the Antiphon repeated.

Hymns, however, frequently find their place as the Introit, the Gradual or Sequence (between the Epistle and Gospel) and the Communion (sung at the time of communion).

In a full choral celebration of the Holy Communion the celebrant sings certain parts of the liturgy. Generally these include the Salutation (The Lord be with you) the Collect or Collects, the Epistle, Gospel, Sursum Corda, Preface and Proper Preface. In addition he introduces the Creed, the Lord's Prayer and the Gloria in Excelsis by intonations provided; and would sing the ending of the Prayer of Consecration (the exphoenix). The Blessing is never sung, except it be by a bishop.

In the Episcopal Church today there are, in practice, three general usages of the Communion service with music:

(1) The simplest form of the service is the Liturgy read throughout by the priest while the congregation and choir sing the Kyrie Eleison, Sanctus, Agnus Dei (or a Hymn) and the Gloria in Excelsis. Hymns may be and are usually sung at the beginning, before the sermon, at the time of the communion and following the Blessing.

(2) A form of service which is very common is one in which the priest sings the Salutation, the Collect and Sursum Corda, including the preface. The choir and congregation sing the traditional parts of the ordinary excepting the Creed and the Lord's Prayer.

(3) The traditional Choral Eucharist with the following parts sung:
By the Priest

Salutation
Collect or Collects
Epistle
Gospel
Intonation to Creed
Sursum Corda
Preface
Proper Preface (if any)

By the Choir and Congregation

Kyrie Eleison
Response to the Salutation
Amen to Collects
Gloria and Laus Tibi
Creed
Sursum Corda responses
Sanctus
Amen at end of Prayer of Consecration

In each of these three types of services hymns also may be used thus:

Opening Hymn: This should be sung after the choir is in the choir stalls so that there can be full participation by the congregation.

After the Epistle
Before the Sermon
After the Sermon
At the time of Communion
At the close of the Service. This should be concluded before the choir leaves the chancel.

In place of the hymns indicated above, psalms, propers for the day or season may be used. Traditional “propers” find a place here as they are verses of psalms and Holy Scripture. Similarly the more recently developed “propers” known under the title “Anthems for the Day” may be employed in these places.

Complete instructions for the singing of the Choral Eucharist are available in “The Choral Service” published under the authority of the Commission.

Under the direction of the rector or priest-in-charge, using the assistance of organist, choir director and singers when available, the Holy Communion service can be sung to the glory of God by any congregation, large or small. It can be sung with traditional completeness or in a simple way with a minimum of music; it can be worthily sung by the worshippers without a choir, or the assistance of a well trained choir can enrich the parts of the Liturgy. Whatever is done, let it be the offering of the people of God as they join together in the glorious representation of Christ’s one, full and perfect Sacrifice on the Cross for the sins of the whole world.

MORNING AND EVENING PRAYER

The basis of the Daily Offices is the singing of Psalms and reading the Old and the New Testament. It is perhaps to be regretted that there is not a more wide spread practice of singing the Psalter in this country. The Canticles, Venite, Jubilate, Deus Misereatur, Cantate Domino and the
Thanksgiving Day Canticle are all Psalms and since these, along with the Gospel Canticles (Benedictus, Magnificat, and Nunc Dimittis) are sung, there would seem to be no problem on practical grounds. If a parish were to make a beginning with one or two well known Psalms, such as 15, 23, 24, 122 and 150 used over and over to promote familiarity, the results might be highly rewarding. The point is raised here because so often in the reading of the Psalter by minister and congregation, confusion results from a lack of agreement as to what to do, for instance at the half verse or at the various marks of punctuation which occur in the course of a verse.

The Offices begin with an opening sentence, followed by General Confession, Absolution and Lord’s Prayer or perhaps immediately by the Preces “O Lord, open Thou our lips” etc., sung by choir and congregation after the choir have arrived in their places and not while walking in. The act of choir and clergy moving to the chancel is not of sufficient importance to warrant its being dignified by singing. The choir cannot provide good leadership to a congregation while it is in motion.

Parishes are referred to the simple musical settings of the Preces and Suffrages (also commonly known as Versicles and Responses) as given at #601 of the Hymnal. The printing arrangement at this Number is confusing unless it is recognized that the Suffrages are printed in two columns; that on the left being the Ferial setting; that on the right the Festival setting. The Ferial settings should be done in unison, the accompaniment if used providing the harmony; and the Festival settings sung in harmony by the choir. The singing of these, rather than speaking them, carries the same advantage as the singing of the Psalms. The practice of singing the Venite and then reading a Psalm, and singing the Gloria Patri after the Psalm is questionable on the grounds that in good liturgical practice a said prayer or petition should be followed by a said Amen and a sung prayer or petition by a sung Amen or response.

Care should be taken when one lesson is omitted, because the Holy Communion is to follow immediately, that the Canticle following the Lesson should be in accordance with the rubrics; namely, Te Deum, Benedictus es or Benedicite, after an Old Testament Lesson; and Benedictus or Jubilate following a New Testament Lesson.

When the office is sung, the collects for the day may be monotoned or intoned with inflections as noted in “The Choral Service.” Although there is no provision in Morning Prayer for an anthem following the third collect, as is provided in Evening Prayer, this is a highly suitable place for an anthem of a quiet, devotional nature. The prayers following the third collect are said without note. While Morning Prayer must end with The Grace, no such mandatory rubric covers Evening Prayer. Anything which may follow the close of either office, including a sermon, is outside the scope of the office as such.

A word should be said about threefold, sevenfold or other multiple sung Amens at the end of the Blessing. Inasmuch as the Amen is not more important than the prayer which it follows, and inasmuch as the effect of singing anything is to heighten its significance, a said Blessing should be followed by a said Amen, and a tendency to turn the final Amen into a choir anthem should be resisted forcefully. If there is to be a closing hymn, it should be sung with the choir in their stalls, after which they leave by the shortest route while the organist continues to play.
A final word about the anthem customarily sung during the taking of the offering. Inasmuch as it is a congregational duty for persons in the church to take their part in the singing or saying of the offices, the anthem is one of the places where a choir might rightfully sing alone. An anthem should be an act of worship and not a concert piece or worse still, an "ear tickler." For a genuine act of worship by a devoted and well trained choir to be punctuated by the receiving of money, is at the least discourteous. It is urged that the practice be tried of having the organist play a suitable piece of organ music during the receiving of alms with an anthem perhaps to follow this or perhaps sung after the third collect as indicated earlier.

THE FAMILY SERVICE

The family service presents us with the opportunity of becoming a singing Church. A family service means the inclusion of the whole family together in worship. The music of the services and the hymns are learned by the children at an earlier age. While the adults are helping their children to find their way through the service, they themselves are learning and participating in the service in a way otherwise not possible. Over the years the family service will develop both the willingness and ability to sing where congregational participation is indicated.

Since the family service is generally in association with the educational program of the parish, all elements of its worship naturally form the basis of the teaching sessions which follow. More and more, the Hymnal is being recognized as a primary resource in Christian education. As it includes service music itself, the canticles of Morning Prayer and the musical components of the Holy Communion will be interpreted in class sessions and become more familiar at all age levels in the parish.

Another opportunity presented by the family service is the development of young people's choirs. In many places such a choir will serve to develop singers for the adult choir. Where there is but one major service at which family groups attend, it is obvious that the organization and role of the choir in the service will be strengthened.

The selection of hymns, service music and anthems for the family service need not be based on a policy of condescension to the lowest age level, any more than choices based on meaningfulness to the aged. Neither criterion would enhance the opportunity presented by families being together in worship. While it may be more difficult to make a wise choice of music which will provide adequate means of worship for all ages, the end result is an enrichment of our musical praise of God.

OCCASIONAL OFFICES

The two Occasional Offices at which music is most generally employed are Holy Matrimony and the Burial of the Dead. In 1952 the Joint Commission issued two pamphlets setting forth both the nature of the services and recommending music suitable for each. These will be available in revised form and the Commission again recommends their use as guides to organists, choirmasters and the clergy in their choice of music for these two occasions.
Holy Matrimony

“A wedding, whether in the church or in the home, is a sacramental rite when administered according to the solemn form of word and ceremony given in the Book of Common Prayer, and it follows that any music used in connection with it be suitable for a religious occasion as distinguished from a social gathering.

“The music, like the ceremony, should, above all, be an act of worship of God. There is much music of a light, sentimental character and of operatic or other secular association which may be used at the wedding reception, but which, when used during the service, contradicts the mood and meaning of the office. In church, especially, the music should be serious and dignified, in order to accord with the strength and depth of feeling and purpose of the persons being married and of the prayers of the Church for them. Yet a wedding is a festival which the music, though serious should mark with grace, tenderness, and joy.”

These two paragraphs, quoted from the Commission pamphlet on “Music for Church Weddings” state clearly the concept of this service and the music which may adorn it. It is, we believe, safe to say that in many areas today the increasing knowledge of the place and purpose of music in worship on the part of the clergy has resulted in a general elimination of much which was unworthy though widely employed. In many parishes today both the Mendelssohn and the Lohengrin music has been dropped and music of a more noble and religious character substituted. For this the Commission commends both the clergy and the musicians.

The Burial Office

Again we quote, this time from the pamphlet “Music for Funerals,” also published in 1952.

“The Book of Common Prayer provides for the Burial Office, at which it is permissible to have organ music only, or hymns and chants, occasionally a choir anthem and very rarely vocal solos. Provision also is made for the Holy Communion at a burial by providing proper Collect, Epistle and Gospel.

“The Prayer Book suggests proper psalms and burial anthems; the Hymnal has specific listing; and the canons and rubrics cover the type of music and texts the Church considers desirable. The selection of these must be governed by the specific type of service; the musical resources available and good taste. Sentimentality and display should be carefully avoided. Any music, whether organ, hymn, chant, anthem or solos, especially the latter, should be in keeping with the liturgy as worship of Almighty God. Hymns of a serious nature may be used, but the gloomy and lugubrious note should be excluded and instead, the ideas of triumph, repose, strength, comfort and thanksgiving should find their proper place.”

The Organ in Church

The type of organ to be considered

The Joint Commission on Church Music, in company with the great majority of competent and thoroughly trained church musicians, believes that
the traditional pipe organ is the most desirable and satisfactory instrument for the leading and support of congregational singing; the accompaniment of the choir, and the rendition of organ literature germane to the services of the Church.

It is recognized that in these days true pipe organs are extremely costly, and in the case of small parish churches it is often difficult to find the space required for the pipes, mechanism and console. Despite this, it is the Commission's considered opinion that the electronic instruments, as built at present, do not fulfill the needs of choral accompaniment nor the registrational demands of true organ music. Tones produced by electronic means at this time do not blend into proper ensembles for the performance of serious organ literature and the attack and sustained effect of such tones do not provide suitable pitch support, nor do they blend well with choral tone and at the dynamic levels required to support large congregations most electronic devices frequently show distortion and other distracting characteristics.

The type of organ recommended by the Commission is the true pipe organ with a balanced tonal structure. The instrument need not be large or exceedingly expensive in the smaller churches. There are modest instruments made by nearly all of our major organ builders, and these vary in their construction. In certain cases the so called "straight organ" where each register has its independent complement of pipes will be the best answer. Such organs may be built with tracker, or electropneumatic action, and may be wholly or partially enclosed. In other instances it may be found that the small organ built on the extension principle may prove acceptable, but this treatment needs care in its use to avoid unbalanced tonal results.

In many cases churches will find themselves with an organ built from 1850 on, with direct mechanical or "tracker" action. When the action gives trouble there will be pressure to yield to the blandishments of salesmen who advise the scrapping of the pipe organ in favor of a modern electronic instrument. Every effort should be made to save a fine instrument and to place the action in its original playing condition. In some cases, where funds and conditions warrant it, the action may be converted to electropneumatic and a new console supplied, along with some appropriate tonal changes.

There may be further cases of organs built from 1915 to 1930 where the tonal disposition may not fit the musical needs of the church, yet a new instrument may not be within parish finances.

Here again, mechanical renovation and tonal changes to correct deficiencies common to that period may provide an organ worthy of its place, at far less cost than an entirely new one.

In any case churches should be cautioned against installing organs of too narrow period design, which may prove inappropriate to the requirements of our services. On the other hand care should be exercised to avoid wasting funds on too many mechanical accessories or expensive romantic tonal effects which contribute little to the playing of organ music suitable as an aid to worship.

The location of the organ

The pipes of an organ should be so placed that the sound may blend well and be projected unimpeded in all directions. A small instrument, well
placed, entirely within the church proper will sound far better than a larger organ, confined in a chamber.

A free standing position in a rear gallery is acoustically excellent, and the gallery placement is also fine chorally.

The organ console and organ pipes should be near the choir.

Since the organist frequently will be the choirmaster the console should be so placed that it will be possible to see and hear the organ, choir and congregation in proper relationship.

It must be observed that today there is marked emphasis on the free standing altar, either in a chancel position, or in the central part of a cruciform building. In some instances, therefore, it may be feasible to place the organ and choir behind the altar; in others a transept position may prove best. In all cases if the organ is located in the church proper, the tone will be more effective and the temperature of all parts of the organ will be equal, thus obviating tuning problems.

The interior of the church should have good reflecting walls and other surfaces in order to project, amplify and beautify the tone of organ and voices. Carpets, cushions and curtains are detrimental to sound; taking out much of the life and inspiration of both vocal and instrumental music. Good choral and organ tone demands a period of resonance for its best effect and this contributes to what may be termed an atmosphere of worship. With due care a building can be completely suitable for the spoken word as well as for organ and choral music.

The Organist

The well-equipped church organist should possess, to a satisfactory degree, each of the following qualifications:

(a) Sound musicianship. Under this designation are included familiarity with the fundamental principles of harmony, musical form and composition; practical experience in chorus conducting; knowledge of the history and evolution of church music, from which proceed naturally the appreciation of values in church music, be it hymn-tunes, services, or anthems; some knowledge of voice production, in the care of boy-choirs extended specialized skill.

(b) Good organ-playing. Not necessarily that of the virtuoso, or even ability as a giver of recitals; but the capacity to accompany well, both choir and congregation; to lead them both with sound judgment in matters of tempo, rhythm, and nuance of expression; and so to fuse the various musical elements into the service itself as to enhance its continuity and ensure its unity.

(c) Character and personality. The relationship of the organist to the members of his choir is an intimate one, carrying with it limitless possibilities of moral influence, with the practical certainty that some influence will be exercised, consciously or not, in one direction or the other. Especially upon choir boys is such an influence potent, and as lasting as is that of the secular school teacher. As the organist, who should be a communicant of the Church, demonstrates in himself qualities of Christian manliness, reverence,
respect for superior authority, punctuality, consciousness of duty and grasp of opportunity, so will he influence his choristers. And to these qualities must be added that sympathy of association which will attract and hold the interest and loyalty of the singers.

The rector is, under Canon Law, the authority over the music in his parish, but it is upon the organist that he must depend for its successful administration. Between them must exist the fullest sympathy and understanding.

One of the recommendations of the Report of 1922 concerned the establishment of instruction in Church music in the seminaries of the church. Every seminary of the church today is making some provision for such instruction but the degree and the thoroughness are not in any sense equal. There is need for drafting a basic curriculum that can serve as the foundation for the development of a music department. The Commission feels that such a curriculum should include both the study and practice of chanting; a knowledge of the various schools of church music and what constitutes music suitable for the worship of the Church; a course in hymnody and certainly a study of the duties laid upon the priest by the canons in his relation to the music of the parish. He should, further, have instruction in the musical portions of the service assigned to the priest. Despite the progress made much more can be achieved.

The Commission is encouraged by the action of the instructors in church music in a number of our seminaries, of meeting together to discuss the nature of their work.

Likewise the organists and choirmasters needed a broader education than that of technical skill. They need to know the nature of worship; the function of music in the services of the Church; the place of the organist in relation to the priest. Such knowledge would make it possible for them to avoid any indulgence in hazardous or ill-advised innovations based neither upon liturgical principles nor sound musical appreciation.

Many of the unfortunate situations which have developed in the past could have been obviated by education—education of clergy and organists.

As a step towards bettering relations between the organist and the rector the Commission recommends that the Code of Ethics adopted by the National Council of the American Guild of Organists be adopted in each parish where professional musicians are employed. This code provides the following rules:

I. No organist or choirmaster shall apply for a position, nor shall any teacher or School of Music, seek to place anyone in a position, unless a present or prospective vacancy definitely is determined.

II. When requested, churches should give organists and choirmasters a yearly contract, which may be terminated upon expiration, at ninety days notice. (Such a contract would have to be given with the full approval of the Rector to conform to canonical requirements.)

III. None but the regular organist of a church shall play at weddings or funerals, except by arrangement with said organist.
A choir of well trained boys and men is ideal for the service. Hundreds of years of history prove this fact. The value of the discipline and moral training to the chorister is great. It makes church men out of boys. It creates family worship, for all members of the family will attend service when the boy is in the choir. There is no question of juvenile delinquency when the younger singer spends several hours a week in rehearsal at the church and a nice long camping period in the summer. Even the small parish can interest a group of boys and men to lead the congregation in their regular worship, first by unison singing and later, as training progresses, by full part choral work. Many of our clergymen have received their first real interest in the Church through the boy choir. If boys are scarce in a parish, a small group of men can be a great inspiration to a congregation. Carefully planned social activities of little cost will make the singers enjoy their work. Complete services can be sung from the Hymnal without the cost of other music.

One of the advantages of the boy choir is the fact that the treble quality of boys voices is unique, being neither the soprano voice of an adult woman nor just an immature male voice. It has a quality well described as ethereal when it is well trained. Unfortunately the tradition of boy singers has never taken hold in this country as it has in England—a fact we may well deplore—because a small choir of half a dozen boys with three or four men can well produce good leadership in any parish.

The appearance of a choir of this type is important and it is suggested that all the men and the boys who are in the chancel wear white shirts and dark ties; also black shoes and socks.

Since the first report of the Joint Commission in 1922 there has been a remarkable development in choral singing throughout the nation. This has been particularly evident in schools and colleges, a great many of which have set a very high standard. This is of importance to the Church because each year makes available large numbers of young people who can be attached to our choirs if we also maintain high musical standards and provide skilled direction. Poor quality or lack of incentive in the choir's program will send these well equipped people to secular choral groups.

A good mixed choir of adults need not depend on solo voices, but a sufficient number of average voices with good choral training is needed for the performance of music in four or more parts. A choir lacking strength or balance for four-part music should restrict itself to unison, two, or three part anthems, and materials found in the Hymnal. Girls and boys in the upper grades of high school, whose voices have matured sufficiently, may be of help in the adult choir if they are admonished to sing without forcing.

A well balanced mixed choir can occasionally sing larger choral works, or programs of church music which can be an important part of the spiritual life of the parish and also a help in maintaining a fine choir.

Junior and youth choirs are the training ground for the future adult choir. Musicianship developed in these years will not only benefit the young
chorister but also help him to become a leader in the choir in later years. Nothing but the best in music is right for these groups.

When their numbers are adequate they should be used independently of the adult choir, perhaps at the Family Service or occasionally at a special Evensong. There is little justification for the use in the service of a choir of children under eight years of age.

**Music in Church School and Collegiate Chapels**

The Commission feels that these institutions present an unusual opportunity for the presentation of the finest traditions of our church music. The congregations in such chapels will be largely regular throughout the school year, and there is often a chance for daily services with the attendant values of regular systematic practice and association. This should make it possible to make wide use of the Hymnal and the establishment of sound choral practices and good chanting with more wide use of the sung psalter. Such services could well serve as models of good church music for the entire area in which they are located.

Because of the presence of accomplished choral directors and well grounded organists, along with a resident choir and congregation these institutions should afford a special ground for the education of our young people in our best liturgical and musical practices and every effort should be made to establish and set forth the finest musical standards in our worship. The active and informed participation of our younger lay people will insure the strengthening and perpetuation of a truly great choral tradition.
Right Reverend Chairman, Right Reverend Fathers in God, Members of the House of Deputies, Ladies and Gentlemen:

Introduction

This—my first appearance before the General Convention—gives me an opportunity to thank those responsible for electing me to the office of Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society in the United States of America. I am keenly aware of the responsibility placed on me three years ago. To be entrusted with the stewardship of the complex financial operation of the Church is a tremendous challenge and an opportunity for service which I have approached with great humility. I now report to you the results of the past three years of my administration and the conduct of its many responsibilities, which I have tried to discharge with true Christian spirit and sound business judgment.

The 1960 Annual Report of the National Council has been sent to most of you, and there are extra copies available at the door. This report gives you the figures in detail. I will therefore not dwell on them at this time, but shall attempt to confine my remarks to the financial highlights of the past triennium.

Assets and Budgets

First, it gives me pleasure to report that the National Church is in a very sound financial condition. Our assets have continually increased during this triennium to a total of almost $37,000,000. This compares with total assets of $33,800,000 at the end of the triennium in 1958 and of $32,800,000 in 1955. This difference has been brought about largely through increased values of investments and gifts to the Society.

The budgets for the years 1958, 1959, and 1960 have not only been balanced, but in addition it has been possible to add a small surplus to our Reserve Fund, which now totals approximately $935,000. When one considers our 250 missionaries and their families overseas, one realizes that a million dollar reserve could be dissipated in the short space of three months, if disaster should strike and our sources of income were no longer available. I strongly recommend that this Reserve Fund be increased during the next triennium in order to protect our responsibilities to our staff and missionary personnel.

Trust Funds

It gives me pleasure and satisfaction also to report that the trust funds of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society have steadily increased. In the three-year period from January 1, 1958 to December 31, 1960, fifteen new trust funds were added, and the total market value of all trust funds increased almost six and one-half million dollars from $23,385,135 in 1958 to $29,834,864 in 1960. Sixty per cent of the income from these trust funds is
used for the budget for the General Church Program, and the balance is made available for additions to principal and for special projects such as scholarships, special needs of missionary bishop, repairs to missionary buildings, and many other worthy projects designated by the donors. As of January 1, 1959 there was assigned a dollar value to each trust fund so that each fund would share proportionately in the total assets of the consolidated investments. The investment of the trust funds principal is under the able direction of the Committee on Trust Funds, consisting of your Presiding Bishop and myself as Treasurer and a distinguished group of men actively engaged in both commercial and investment banking, together with a lawyer and an expert in the real estate field. All income is distributed in accordance with the wishes of the donors or actions of the National Council.

Support of General Church Program

The dioceses and missionary districts are to be congratulated as well as commended for their continued fine support of the General Church Program. Ninety-seven per cent of the General Convention budget of $8,938,992.02 was pledged and paid in 1960, even though this was the largest budget in the history of the Church. I am very grateful for the tremendous effort that the dioceses and missionary districts have made in striving to meet their quotas—even at the expense of their own work. This is concrete evidence that responsibility for the whole mission of our Church has become the vital concern of all our Churchmen and Churchwomen.

Capital Needs

Due to the wisdom and foresight of the last General Convention, a new item known as “Capital Needs” was included in the budgets for 1960 and 1961. This item amounted to $485,000 in 1960 and to $750,000 in 1961. The overwhelming demands made on this fund for the benefit of the various dioceses and missionary districts have demonstrated its great value and have confirmed the wisdom of the General Convention in making such resources available.

In administering this Capital Needs item, the National Council established a policy of allocating fifty per cent of the funds to the domestic fields and a like sum to the overseas fields. Most of the money available for the overseas fields was allocated for grants, whereas in the domestic fields 75% was allocated for loans and 25% for grants. The impact of the Capital Needs fund has been felt throughout the Church, as evidenced by the grateful acknowledgments received from the bishops and clergy.

General Loan Fund

In 1950 the National Council made available from the Reconstruction and Advance Fund a General Loan Fund of $1,500,000, without interest, to assist the dioceses and districts in financing the acquisition of building sites and the construction of new church buildings. These loans, repayable in installments over a ten-year period, met with immediate popularity. Since the inception of the General Loan Fund, 204 loans have been made to 59 domestic dioceses and districts and to five overseas missionary districts. From the original revolving loan fund of $1,500,000 it has been possible to make loans totaling over $4,000,000. Repayments have amounted to more than $2,500,000, and I am happy to report that we have never experienced a serious default in any of the loans made.
Treasurer's Responsibilities

One of the principal responsibilities of the Treasurer is to make certain that all funds under his care are expended in accordance with the official actions of General Convention, and in the interim between Conventions, in accordance with the National Council actions. To carry out this program, the Finance Department—of which I am the Director—has a staff of some thirty-five persons, including accountants, bookkeepers, and other office workers, together with the most modern business machines to record the financial transactions. The detailed operation is supervised by the Controller, who has in effect a continual internal audit to minimize the possibility of errors and omissions. As in any large business corporation, our books are audited annually by an independent firm of Certified Public Accountants.

Several years ago we created the position of traveling auditor. It is his job to visit all overseas districts, make complete audits of the mission treasurers' books, and file complete reports with me of all funds received and disbursed by the districts. Also, a standard system of accounting for all overseas missionary districts has been installed, and newly appointed mission treasurers are trained in our office before leaving for the overseas fields. This has proved most rewarding, and we now receive comprehensive financial reports from all these sources.

As a service department of the National Council, we also constantly aim to serve the whole Church. During the triennium we have installed completely new accounting systems in three dioceses at their invitation and have helped and advised a number of others. Our staff is ready at any time to assist dioceses and districts in modernizing their accounting systems and to help in any way possible with their financial problems. We hope that more and more dioceses and districts will turn to us for aid in this important field. Two Church agencies have also recently requested our help in untangling some very substantial financial and accounting problems, and the Finance Department has been gratified to be of such service.

Diocesan and Parochial Report Forms

The Finance Department, in close cooperation with the Subcommittee on the State of the Church and the General Division of Research and Field Study, has also given a great deal of study during the triennium to the preparation of new and improved Parochial and Diocesan Report forms, which will be available for your use in 1962. Incorporated in these new forms are also the very helpful recommendations and comments received from the dioceses where sampling was done. The preparation of these forms has been a slow and painstaking job, and we are most hopeful that they will meet with your approval and prove satisfactory and convenient to use. I want to take this opportunity to thank especially the Rev. Dr. Robert B. Appleyard and his Subcommittee on the State of the Church for their great help in preparing these revised report forms.

Increased Employee Benefits

The welfare of its employees has always been of concern to our Church. During the past year the Department of Finance of the National Council and its Subcommittee on Pensions have reviewed the pension system for the lay staff at National headquarters. As the result of their recommendations, we have been able, by changing carriers, to increase benefits at no increase
in premiums. A major medical policy was also added to the benefits, together with an increased life insurance policy. These adjustments afford better protection to our employees and place us on a comparable basis with other denominations and secular organizations.

New Headquarters Building

During this past triennium your Treasurer, as a member of the Housing Committee for the new Episcopal Church Center, has experienced a new challenge. As you all know, under the able leadership of Bishop Warnecke the Committee on Housing has completed plans for the new headquarters building which has been greatly needed for the past several years. All financial details have been carefully studied and planned by the Committee with the full knowledge and approval of the National Council. The total cost is expected to be about $5,500,000, of which $1,500,000 is available through accumulated funds and the sale of our present properties. It is hoped that a large part of the needed balance will be obtained through gifts. However, satisfactory arrangements have been made for interim financing as well as for a long-term mortgage, if such is found necessary. The ramifications involved in the planning and construction of the new building to serve as the Episcopal Church Center are tremendous, and this has been truly a most enlightening and challenging experience. As Treasurer, I would like particularly at this time to express to Bishop Warnecke and to the other Committee members my most grateful appreciation for their very able assistance in planning and carrying out the financial end of the new building program. The plans have been brought to fruition through their painstaking and constantly stimulating efforts. Speaking only from the financial viewpoint, I believe that the National Council will be able to operate much more efficiently and effectively when all its departments and divisions are housed under one roof, and we are all looking forward with keen anticipation to the end of 1962 when all our activities will be consolidated in the new Episcopal Church Center.

Stewardship

I would like to devote the conclusion of this report to a subject in which I am greatly interested both as your Treasurer and as an active Churchman. I am convinced that sound stewardship is the backbone of giving to our Church. Our clergy and vestries must become conscious of, and concerned with, what is meant by the General Church Program, and through them this interest and concern must reach each communicant. Quotas should be eliminated on both the national and diocesan level, and the giving to the General Church Program should be in proportion to the amount spent in the individual diocese. The National Council has recommended a 50/50 basis as the goal for all giving, which is in line with the Resolution and Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget to the 1958 General Convention. I congratulate the many dioceses that have already done away with quotas and have been able to instill in each parish and mission a sense of responsibility for sharing their income with the diocese and the General Church Program. To stimulate this philosophy of giving in still more dioceses and to advance an over-all pattern of giving for the Church, I believe that planned programs of stewardship must be undertaken in every parish and mission. Such programs should include the theology of stewardship and missions, education in the mission program of our Church, and indoctrination in proved methods of Christian stewardship. Our Promotion Department is able and ready at any time to assist you in developing such a program. If
this stewardship is to succeed, each member of the clergy and each layman who is to be a witness for Christ and His Church must be prepared for his task as carefully as Christ prepared His disciples to go forth in His Name.

**SALARIES OF OFFICERS OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL**

Pursuant to Canon 4, Sec. 8, the National Council herewith reports the salaries being paid to its officers as of September 1, 1961:

**GENERAL ADMINISTRATION**

- Warren H. Turner, Jr., *Vice President* ........................................ $15,750.00
- The Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., *Secretary* ............... 11,000.00
- Omar C. Fitch, *Personnel Officer* ........................................... 8,250.00

**OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT**

- The Rt. Rev. John B. Bentley, D.D., *Director* .......................... 13,500.00
- The Rev. Claude L. Pickens, Jr., *Associate Secretary* ............... 9,100.00
- The Rev. David B. Reed, *Assistant Secretary* ........................... 8,600.00
- The Rev. Donald E. Bitsberger, *Assistant Secretary* ................. 8,500.00
- Paul A. Tate, *Assistant Secretary* ......................................... 8,625.00

**HOME DEPARTMENT**

- The Rt. Rev. Daniel Corrigan, D.D., *Director* .......................... 12,600.00

**DIVISION OF TOWN AND COUNTRY**

- The Rev. Clifford L. Samuelson, *Executive Secretary* ................. 10,450.00
- The Rev. William Davidson, *Associate Secretary* ....................... 8,800.00
- The Rev. William F. Allison, *Assistant Secretary* ..................... 7,875.00

**DIVISION OF COLLEGE WORK**

- The Rev. Philip T. Zabriske, *Executive Secretary* ....................... 9,550.00
- The Rev. Harry H. Jones, *Assistant Secretary* ........................... 9,100.00
- P. T. Govan, Ph.D., *Executive Chairman, Faculty Work* .............. 9,200.00

**ARMED FORCES DIVISION**

- The Rev. Robert J. Plumb, D.D., *Executive Secretary* ................. 10,000.00
- The Rev. Worthington Campbell, *Associate Secretary* ................. 8,150.00
- The Rev. Frederick A. McDonald, *Representative in Europe* ......... 7,850.00
- The Rev. Robert N. Stretch, *Representative in S. W. Europe* ...... 7,500.00

**DIVISION OF RACIAL MINORITIES**

- The Rev. Tollie L. Caution, Sr., D.D., *Executive Secretary* .......... 9,100.00

**DIVISION OF MINISTRY AND VOCATIONS**

- Miss Olive M. Mulica, *Associate Secretary* ................................ 7,150.00
- Miss Phyllis Towner, *Assistant Secretary* ............................... 3,575.00*

**AMERICAN CHURCH INSTITUTE**

- Marvin C. Josephson, *Director* ............................................. 9,300.00

**DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN EDUCATION**

- The Rev. David R. Hunter, Ed.D., *Director* ................................ 12,300.00
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Carmen St. J. Wolff</td>
<td>Associate Director</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Smith L. Lain</td>
<td>Editor of Findings</td>
<td>9,180.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. George L. Peabody</td>
<td>Coordinator of Field Services</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Phyllis Towner</td>
<td>Coordinator of Training Program for Parish Assistants</td>
<td>3,575.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNIT OF OVERSEAS CHRISTIAN EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Milton R. LeRoy</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>8,600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHILDREN'S DIVISION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Leonard F. Thornton, Jr.</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>8,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Elinor M. Eccles</td>
<td>Editor of Publications</td>
<td>7,214.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YOUTH DIVISION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Richard L. Harbour</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>8,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Richard L. Harbour</td>
<td>Editor of Publications</td>
<td>7,214.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADULT DIVISION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Edward T. Adkins</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>9,925.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Harold Bassage, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Associate Secretary in Charge of Adult Publications</td>
<td>8,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Robert C. Martin, Jr.</td>
<td>Administrator of Advance Adult Education Program</td>
<td>8,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Emma L. Benignus</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>7,769.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Edith M. Daly</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT DIVISION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Lester W. McManis</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>9,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. John H. Peatling</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>8,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. F. Reid Isaac</td>
<td>Junior High School Curriculum Editor</td>
<td>7,875.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Eleanor E. Sandt</td>
<td>Small Church Schools Editor</td>
<td>7,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Jane A. Buchanan</td>
<td>Junior Materials Editor</td>
<td>6,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. John G. Harrell</td>
<td>Nursery, Kindergarten Editor</td>
<td>7,214.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Agnes Hickson</td>
<td>Primary Materials Editor</td>
<td>7,282.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss Irene M. Scudds</td>
<td>Senior High School Editor</td>
<td>6,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEADERSHIP TRAINING DIVISION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Henry L. H. Myers</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>9,550.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Alan Baxter</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>8,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. L. Maxwell Brown</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>8,675.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. William J. Coulter</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>9,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. David G. Jones</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>8,465.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Edwin J. Rooney</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>9,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. George M. Woodgates</td>
<td>Associate Secretary</td>
<td>9,225.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DIVISION OF AUDIO-VISUAL EDUCATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. John G. Harrell</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>8,550.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNIT OF CAMPS AND CONFERENCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Salary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Arthur O. Phinney</td>
<td>Executive Secretary</td>
<td>8,325.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*One-half of Miss Towner's salary is paid from salary funds of the Home Department; one-half from Christian Education—making a total of $7,150.00.
### National Council, Report of the Treasurer

#### Unit of Evaluation
- The Rev. Edric A. Weld, *Executive Secretary*... $8,800.00

#### Unit of Parish and Preparatory Schools
- The Rev. Clarence W. Brickman, *Executive Secretary*... 8,650.00

#### Department of Christian Social Relations
- The Rev. Canon Almon R. Pepper, D.D., *Director*... 12,860.00
- Mrs. Muriel Webb, *Associate Director*... 6,943.00
- Mrs. Cornelia M. Haines, *Associate Secretary for Liaison GDIV*... 8,250.00

#### Division of Health and Welfare
- The Rev. Kenneth E. Nelson, *Executive Secretary*... 10,000.00
- Mrs. Charles S. Monroe, *Associate Secretary*... 7,500.00
- Miss Flora Davidson, *Associate Secretary for Children and Youth*... 9,100.00

#### Division of Christian Citizenship
- The Rev. Arthur E. Walmsley, *Executive Secretary*... 9,550.00
- The Rev. C. Tarplee, *Associate Secretary, Inter-Group Education*... 8,850.00

#### Division of World Relief and Inter-Church Aid
- The Rev. Alexander Jurisson, *Executive Secretary*... 9,100.00

#### General Division of Laymen's Work
- The Rev. Howard Harper, D.D., *Executive Director*... 10,450.00
- The Rev. John H. Gray, *Associate Director*... 8,000.00

#### Finance Department
- Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., *Director and Treasurer*... 14,380.00
- Harry L. Dietz, *Assistant Treasurer, Purchasing*... 10,200.00
- Matthew Costigan, *Controller*... 8,250.00

#### Promotion Department
- John W. Reinhardt, *Director*... 12,000.00

#### Publications Division
- William E. Leidt, *Executive Secretary*... 10,450.00
- Miss Avis E. Harvey, *Associate Secretary*... 8,250.00

#### Speaker’s Division
- John C. Cosby, Jr., *Executive Secretary*... 8,200.00

#### Division of Public Relations
- Douglas A. Bushy, *Public Relations Officer*... 10,000.00

#### Division of Radio and Television
- Mrs. Jeanne A. Anderson, *Associate Secretary*... 8,250.00

#### General Division of Research and Field Study
- The Rev. Joseph G. Moore, *Executive Director*... 11,000.00
- The Rev. John D. McCarty, *Associate Director*... 9,750.00
The Rev. Paul M. Hawkins, Assistant Secretary............ $8,600.00
The Rev. James T. Hooton, Assistant Secretary............. 7,500.00
Reed F. Stewart, Assistant Secretary, Field and Statistical... 8,200.00

**GENERAL DIVISION OF WOMEN'S WORK**

Miss Francis M. Young, Executive Director..................... 11,000.00
Miss Elizabeth E. C. Beath, Associate Secretary (UTO)......... 7,500.00
Miss Anne E. Kremer, Associate Secretary, Supply............... 6,200.00
APPENDIX 23

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
TO STUDY THE PROVINCIAL SYSTEM

The Commission held two meetings during the triennium; the first at the Diocesan Conference Center, Radnor, Pa., in November, 1959; the second at the Tropicana Inn, Dallas, Texas, following the meeting of the House of Bishops in November, 1960.

The Commission reviewed the work done during the previous triennium and accepted as its major responsibility an effort to realign the Provinces, in accordance with the resolution passed by General Convention in 1958.

The Commission, at its first meeting, determined that in its judgment the Provincial System served a useful purpose and should be continued. It studied the present distribution of the dioceses within the Provinces and made a realignment of provincial boundaries based upon geographical relationship as follows:

Province 1: (Unchanged): Maine, Vermont, Western Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island.


Province 3: Newark, Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, Bethlehem, New Jersey, Harrisburg, Erie, Delaware.

Province 4: Kentucky, West Virginia, Southern Virginia, Maryland, Washington, Lexington, Southwestern Virginia, Virginia, Easton.

Province 5: Tennessee, Western North Carolina, Upper South Carolina, Atlanta, Alabama, Louisiana, Florida, North Carolina, East Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Mississippi, Arkansas, South Florida.

Province 6: Michigan, Northern Indiana, Southern Ohio, Western Michigan, Indianapolis, Ohio.

Province 7: Missouri, Iowa, Quincy, West Missouri, Chicago, Springfield.

Province 8: North Dakota, Minnesota, Eau Claire, Milwaukee, South Dakota, Northern Michigan, Fond du Lac.

Province 9: Colorado, Nebraska, Kansas, Western Kansas, Wyoming.

Province 10: Oklahoma, Texas, Northwest Texas, Dallas, West Texas, New Mexico, Mexico.


These proposals were then sent to the Bishops of the Church for their comments and criticisms; and also to the Secretaries of each Province for submission to the provincial council or the synod as seemed advisable.

Thirty-three of the fifty-eight bishops who responded were definitely in favor of the proposal. Eleven were opposed. The remainder expressed doubt; or felt that some new structure should be developed; or recommended that the whole system should be abandoned; some expressed indifference to the project. Thus we might say that twenty-five did not favor any realignment or action at this time. The comments from those who favored it ranged from enthusiastic acceptance to a simple "O.K." A number of helpful comments were received.

Nevertheless several of the dioceses expressed vigorous objection to being placed in a different grouping; and some of the synods have opposed any realignment until such time as the function and purpose of the Provinces be spelled out more clearly.

This perennial question of the purpose and usefulness of our Provincial System again faced the Commission as it met in Dallas for its second session during the triennium. As the result of surveys, conversations and general discussions the function of the Province, as now established, seems to be to provide information, some degree of inspiration and more particularly varying degrees of social fellowship between the delegates from component dioceses. In some Provinces, however, an executive secretary has been appointed to give direction to the work of the various provincial committees and commissions; and who, in some instances, is also responsible for the college work in the area. Where this is done, the program relates the dioceses of that Province with the work of the National Council.

Another project that develops this same relationship is the Parish Training Program which originated under the Town and Country Work Division of the National Council as a recruiting program. Parish Training is really an extension of seminary training into the life of a parish, whereby a man may find out what a parish is and what a parish might become by working in one with a priest of the Church. There are seven regional institutes each of whose program is developed by the directors meeting and developing a common manual. In several Provinces this work receives its support from both the Province and the National Council. One director states it thus: "As you can see . . . I feel that our program has moved in the area that a Province can function the best in. This is a joint effort with the National Church and with the local Bishops all concerned with the training of men for the ministry primarily in their local areas. . . . (I have faith) that this is certainly one area that the Church on a provincial level can operate far better than on a national level."

Certain Provinces have found it helpful to support projects which are not necessarily part of the work of the National Council and which the Province, therefore, can support. The present Eighth Province, for example, is assisting the Church Divinity School of the Pacific. This, as one bishop has written, provides a common tie for the dioceses. A preparatory school for boys receives some of its support from the Province and is viewed as the Provincial School. Where Provinces are so large that annual meetings of the Synod seem unadvisable the commission suggests
that a council could be appointed by the Synod which would meet oftener and develop the program adopted by the Synod. Such a council might meet several times during a triennium. Naturally any expansion of the functions of a Province will result in an increased budget of a substantial size. Therefore it would seem that part of the aim of a Province would be to do that type of work which can better be done by the Province than by the National Council, yet where it can, as in college work, relate its work with that of the National Church.

Having reviewed these various aspects of the function of the Province the Commission turned to the question of realignment. Gen. Eric F. Maude proposed a realignment based upon membership and financial capacity. His proposal, briefly, would provide for eleven Provinces, divided as follows:


Province VI: Communicants 151,471. Receipts $14,190,180.73. Alabama, Atlanta, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Florida.

Province VII: Communicants 167,983. Receipts $13,529,801.82. Chicago, Eau Claire, Fond du Lac, Indianapolis, Iowa, Milwaukee, Minnesota, Northern Indiana, Northern Michigan, Quincy, Springfield, Western Michigan.

Province VIII: Communicants 109,221. Receipts $6,932,928.27. Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, West Missouri, Western Kansas, Wyoming.

Province IX: Communicants 122,583. Receipts $11,810,391.55. Arkansas, Dallas, New Mexico, Northwest Texas, Oklahoma, Texas, West Texas.


(Figures are for 1959 as reported in *The Episcopal Church Annual, 1960*).

After reviewing this proposal the Commission reached the decision that until such time as the existing constitutional and canonical hindrances to the effectual establishment of the Provinces as working bodies of the Church can be corrected, it would be futile to present any form of realignment to the General Convention for its consideration. Article VII of the Constitution establishes the Provincial System but contains the proviso that "No Diocese shall be included in a Province without its own consent." Until this proviso is removed any one diocese can block any plan for re-alignment.

We would, however, recommend that the General Convention give consideration to a suggestion from Province VII that the overseas dioceses and missionary districts be assigned to the several Provinces, preferably one to a Province. Central America, Haiti, Dominican Republic, Panama Canal Zone, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands are now included in Province II. Mexico is part of Province VII, and Province VIII includes Alaska, Hawaii, the Philippines and Taiwan.

Further changes also must be made in Canon 8 which established the present composition of the eight Provinces but which fails to provide any definition of the nature or functions of the Provinces; and is purely permissive in character. Work designated to the Provinces by the National Council may be administered during such time as the Council shall permit but the initiative comes from the Council. Only when General Convention refers some matter to the Province is it compulsory that the Synod report back its findings and recommendations to the General Convention.

The preliminary report of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention indicates that it will propose certain changes in the nature of General Convention which will require both constitutional and canonical amendments. These would, we believe, have a definite bearing upon the program of the Provinces. For example, if it should be determined that General Convention would meet every year, it would seem that there would be little need of provincial meetings. For this, as well as the reasons given above, the Commission felt that any effort at realignment or further effort to strengthen the work of the Provinces, except in one particular, might well be wasted effort. The one exception would be to provide that the National Council, or the departments thereof, should submit to the Synods copies of its proposed Program and Budget for study and suggestion. The advantage of such a plan would be to bring to the National Council the sentiment, opinions, and judgment of the Church leaders throughout the country. It also would charge the synods with a basic responsibility for the over all program of the Church. The Commission would provide for this by the canonical change proposed below.

Until such time as a new program for General Convention may be adopted and implemented we recommend that the Provinces continue to function as they have in the past and assist the Church in the development of its Program and Budget, as well as furthering the activities already receiving Provincial support. We further recommend that this Joint Commission be discharged and that a new Commission be created which will correlate the work of this Commission with the work of the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention and report back to the General Convention of 1964.
We therefore present the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that in Canon 4, Sec. 6, subsection A, line 10, from after the word "Province," through the words "National Council shall" in line 19: be changed to read as follows:

"a copy of its proposed program and budget for the next triennium, for the purpose of obtaining the advice of the Provinces as to changes therein. The Province, by such means as it shall determine, shall thereupon study the proposed program and budget and shall report its findings to the National Council in time for consideration at the spring meeting of that body. After the National Council has acted upon the suggestion and/or recommendations from the Provinces it shall, at least four months ... ."

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that a Joint Commission be created for the purpose of developing and implementing the work of the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System and the Joint Committee on the Structure and Organization of the General Convention and to report its findings and recommendations to the next General Convention.

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System be discharged.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

Appropriation from General Convention............................$2,400.00

Disbursements

Expenses of Meeting, November, 1959............................$1,381.70
Expenses of Meeting, November, 1960........................... 831.46
Secretarial Expense .............................................. 100.00

Total .........................................................$2,313.16

OLIVER J. HART, Chairman.
GIRAULT M. JONES.
SUMNER WALTERS.
THOMAS H. CARSON.
IRWIN C. JOHNSON.
JOHN W. NORRIS, Secretary.
LEWIS E. COOKE.
ERIC F. MAUDE.
JOHN VASSIE, Vice Chairman.
REPORT OF THE RECORDER

The report of the Recorder presented herewith covers the years 1958, 1959, and 1960. It is based upon the reports which the Bishop of each jurisdiction is required by Canon to send to the Recorder each year. These reports were cross-checked with information which the Recorder obtained from other sources such as magazine articles and the clergy themselves.

Below are compared the totals in the current report with those in the report made by the Recorder in 1958:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordained Deacon in U. S. A.</th>
<th>1,241</th>
<th>1,296</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordained Deacon in Foreign Jurisdictions*</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions from other Churches of Anglican Communion</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions from other than Anglican Churches</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restorations</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to other Churches of Anglican Communion</td>
<td>23†</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposions</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspensions</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Missionary Districts of Alaska, Honolulu, Panama Canal Zone, and Puerto Rico were considered “U. S. A.” in this report but were “Foreign” in previous reports.
†Corrected figure; see additional transfers in Additions and Corrections.

The net gain in clergy during the years 1958, 1959, and 1960 was 851; in the preceding three-year period it was 859.

During the past triennium the Recorder, in conjunction with the Pension Fund per se, made a detailed count of the clergy of the Church, comparing the Recorder’s list, the published lists of The Clerical Directory and The Episcopal Church Annual, and the Fund’s pension records. The count included all the missing and inactive clergy under the age of 100 as well as those known to be active or retired. The total number of clergy at the end of 1960 was 9,619.

THE CHURCH PENSION FUND, RECORDER

Sue M. Breen (Mrs. Dermott M.)

May 2, 1961
## NECROLOGY
### January 1, 1958 to December 31, 1960
#### Bishops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atwill, Douglass Henry</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>June 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry, Frederick Lehrle</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>October 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block, Karl Morgan</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>September 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carruthers, Thomas Neely</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>June 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casady, Thomas</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>September 9, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels, Henry Hean</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>March 5, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essex, William Leopold</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>February 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert, Charles Kendall</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>November 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heron, Raymond Adams</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>January 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemerer, Benjamin Tibbits</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>September 23, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panons, Edward Lambe</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>July 18, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penick, Edwin Anderson</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>April 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relfenider, Charles Shriver</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>March 16, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucker, Henry St. George</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>August 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Dyck, Vedder</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>August 2, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmer, Robert Franklin</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>March 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wing, John Durham</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>February 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Arthur</td>
<td>10005</td>
<td>June 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, Raymond Morrison Dow</td>
<td>8628</td>
<td>January 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allison, Thomas Beamish Akins</td>
<td>Rec'd 10005</td>
<td>July 10, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allman, Ernest Rudd</td>
<td>9260</td>
<td>January 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amo, James Chadeayne</td>
<td>19350</td>
<td>July 13, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong, George Thomas</td>
<td>Rec’d 10005</td>
<td>December 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong, Henry Watson</td>
<td>8260a</td>
<td>December 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur, John Beach</td>
<td>13115</td>
<td>January 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atkinson, William Austin</td>
<td>9856a</td>
<td>February 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attridge, Thomas Wilkinson</td>
<td>11167</td>
<td>November 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwater, Charles Ledyard</td>
<td>9675</td>
<td>September 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atwell, Fitz Reginald</td>
<td>15673</td>
<td>March 9, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, Perry Gwynne More</td>
<td>13471</td>
<td>September 4, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhurst, George</td>
<td>Rec’d 18323</td>
<td>February 6, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baer, Allison Harvey</td>
<td>12897</td>
<td>March 29, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baird, Joseph Roland</td>
<td>8294</td>
<td>April 11, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldwin, Charles Warren</td>
<td>10858</td>
<td>October 19, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnwell, Carleton</td>
<td>8910</td>
<td>April 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett, Harry Alvin</td>
<td>9810</td>
<td>March 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlam, Ernest Storer</td>
<td>11155</td>
<td>November 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battershill, William Henry George</td>
<td>Rec’d 13622</td>
<td>December 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beauchamp, John Philip</td>
<td>Rec’d 10339</td>
<td>September 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Bernard Eddings</td>
<td>16695</td>
<td>January 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Loyal William Weidener</td>
<td>11828</td>
<td>October 9, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley, Otley Robinson</td>
<td>15628</td>
<td>January 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berens, Herman Albert</td>
<td>9585</td>
<td>March 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigelow, Nathaniel Davis</td>
<td>9818</td>
<td>January 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigler, Eugene Frederick</td>
<td>9845</td>
<td>January 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop, George Reginald</td>
<td>7513</td>
<td>July 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackman, Harry Wood</td>
<td>9189</td>
<td>June 13, 1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessing, Frank Fenton</td>
<td>12735</td>
<td>October 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodgett, Chauncey Hayden</td>
<td>7741</td>
<td>November 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonell, Benjamin Walter</td>
<td>7741</td>
<td>November 11, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonynge, Frank William</td>
<td>Rec’d 14402</td>
<td>May 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boshard, Everett Bertram</td>
<td>14402</td>
<td>May 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coburn, Herbert Edson</td>
<td>20002</td>
<td>January 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coe, Edmund Gwynn</td>
<td>13761</td>
<td>May 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coletta, Vincent Henry</td>
<td>16203</td>
<td>March 31, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colquhoun, Joseph Ross</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>December 9, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comto, John Freeman</td>
<td>12894</td>
<td>May 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conrad, Wallace Hedrick</td>
<td>20700</td>
<td>September 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook, Elmer Jay</td>
<td>14526</td>
<td>October 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coonrad, Ralph Edward</td>
<td>13779</td>
<td>January 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragwell, Clarence Austin</td>
<td>15674</td>
<td>November 7, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cresson, Caleb</td>
<td>11072</td>
<td>October 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruikshank, Harrison</td>
<td>12042</td>
<td>Presumed dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crum, Rolfe Pomeryo</td>
<td>11025</td>
<td>April 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cummings, Robert Clyde</td>
<td>18648</td>
<td>October 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumber, William Hudson</td>
<td>10452</td>
<td>May 2, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currier, William John</td>
<td>12894a</td>
<td>December 9, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currie, Rolla Patteson</td>
<td>16367</td>
<td>September 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danforth, Leland Hobart</td>
<td>12201</td>
<td>October 3, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniels, Isaac Pinoy</td>
<td>7411</td>
<td>Presumed dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughtrey, Mill Colgate</td>
<td>10054</td>
<td>December 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Cristofaro, Francis</td>
<td>13443</td>
<td>October 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Miller, Edward Albert</td>
<td>11246a</td>
<td>August 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dempsey, George Falconer</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denney, Lucien Curtis</td>
<td>11093</td>
<td>February 12, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denninghoff, Louis</td>
<td>13618</td>
<td>September 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derr, William Beeks</td>
<td>11732</td>
<td>June 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietrich, William John</td>
<td>12316</td>
<td>January 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas, Henry Stephen</td>
<td>13731</td>
<td>August 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du Bray, Joseph</td>
<td>11428</td>
<td>June 2, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn, Robert Carleton</td>
<td>13815</td>
<td>October 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn, Robert Hayes</td>
<td>13084</td>
<td>February 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunne, Harold Wall</td>
<td>12531</td>
<td>November 12, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl, Ernest Charles</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>July 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckel, Frederick Lewis, Jr</td>
<td>14344</td>
<td>December 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edengberg, Henry Ernest</td>
<td>9958</td>
<td>March 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards, Evan Alexander</td>
<td>8785</td>
<td>November 30, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruber, Merrill Otis</td>
<td>12057</td>
<td>January 9, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gummers, John Westcott</td>
<td>11791</td>
<td>August 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall, Robert Edward Britton</td>
<td>15794</td>
<td>August 30, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallett, Frank Thurston</td>
<td>11490</td>
<td>August 11, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamm, William Conrad</td>
<td>14148</td>
<td>February 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardin, Rob Roy</td>
<td>15502</td>
<td>May 7, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hargis, William Martin</td>
<td>14071</td>
<td>May 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harper, Andrew</td>
<td>6399</td>
<td>October 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris, Dallas Lee</td>
<td>10724</td>
<td>June 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrison, Jesse McVeigh</td>
<td>9646</td>
<td>January 27, 1939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartman, Harry Garfield</td>
<td>9327</td>
<td>March 11, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hathaway, Harry St. Clair</td>
<td>8507</td>
<td>December 23, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapts, William Levengood</td>
<td>10839</td>
<td>January 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkes, Jesse Hutchinson</td>
<td>12810</td>
<td>May 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawley, Seth Canfield</td>
<td>10674</td>
<td>March 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayward, Edward Farren</td>
<td>9657</td>
<td>February 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heerwagen, George Edward</td>
<td>18223</td>
<td>September 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson, James</td>
<td>9826</td>
<td>January 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henstridge, Frederick Thomas</td>
<td>8960</td>
<td>July 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyes, John Wesley</td>
<td>12236</td>
<td>December 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibbard, Charles</td>
<td>17531</td>
<td>June 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, Charles Wadsworth Ball</td>
<td>12560</td>
<td>November 19, 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmead, Charles Henry</td>
<td>9717</td>
<td>March 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornby, Frederick Bertram</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>December 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horton, Thomas</td>
<td>10549</td>
<td>March 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housell, Richard Rogers</td>
<td>14298</td>
<td>December 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard, James DeWolf</td>
<td>15829</td>
<td>May 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard, John Boysie</td>
<td>13274</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt, Waldo Russell</td>
<td>15544</td>
<td>July 26, 1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington, Charles Edward</td>
<td>10051</td>
<td>June 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlbut, George Wallace</td>
<td>8960</td>
<td>February 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchins, Merrill Laverne</td>
<td>20383</td>
<td>October 2, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irwin, John William</td>
<td>13008</td>
<td>October 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaring-Clark, William James</td>
<td>9394</td>
<td>February 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockwood, Alfred E.</td>
<td>8415</td>
<td>May 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long, Christopher Stanley</td>
<td>11926</td>
<td>October 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord, James Watson</td>
<td>9474</td>
<td>February 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorentzen, Frederic Lawrence</td>
<td>13833</td>
<td>March 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love, William Graham</td>
<td>17116</td>
<td>November 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lownie, Walter</td>
<td>7706</td>
<td>August 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunn, Levi Wilhurt</td>
<td>12333</td>
<td>April 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyman, Richard</td>
<td>8345</td>
<td>December 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch, Francis Fouke</td>
<td>12856</td>
<td>April 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch, Josiah Hollister</td>
<td>6441</td>
<td>May 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon, Charles William Griffith</td>
<td>8913</td>
<td>January 25, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macarthur, John Robertson</td>
<td>13080</td>
<td>January 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacBryde, Mead Bolton</td>
<td>9487</td>
<td>July 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDougall, George M.</td>
<td>9386</td>
<td>June 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mack, Albert Peel</td>
<td>10757</td>
<td>April 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malloch, James Morrow</td>
<td>14220</td>
<td>February 18, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple, Frank</td>
<td>13044</td>
<td>June 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, John Goodridge</td>
<td>10985</td>
<td>December 31, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin, Lester St. James</td>
<td>13587</td>
<td>December 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martyr, Frederick Augustus</td>
<td>9806</td>
<td>June 5, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthews, Sidney Eure</td>
<td>12421</td>
<td>Aug 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauck, Federico Franco</td>
<td>19542</td>
<td>October 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCullum, Arlington Alice</td>
<td>10490</td>
<td>February 4, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDaniel, Thomas</td>
<td>9738</td>
<td>July 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCausland, Harold</td>
<td>13587</td>
<td>December 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClellan, Clarence Stuart, Jr.</td>
<td>11038</td>
<td>August 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClellan, Charles Stuart</td>
<td>10122</td>
<td>June 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFarlane, John Norris</td>
<td>6252</td>
<td>January 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeney, Guy Clifton</td>
<td>12403</td>
<td>January 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill, Edwin Walter</td>
<td>10688</td>
<td>June 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay, John Russell</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>January 13, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ransom, Francis Albright</td>
<td>10457</td>
<td>July 3, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rawson, Roy Spencer</td>
<td>12071</td>
<td>October 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ray, George Archbold</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>September 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raynor, Charles Tefft</td>
<td>7493</td>
<td>December 7, 1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed, Walter Benjamin</td>
<td>11287</td>
<td>July 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, John Doherty</td>
<td>10298</td>
<td>August 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice, Otis Radcliffe</td>
<td>13196</td>
<td>July 7, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richley, Francis Harramond</td>
<td>8777</td>
<td>April 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riemenschneider, Otto Robert</td>
<td>16241</td>
<td>January 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifenbark, Mark</td>
<td>10685</td>
<td>December 13, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson, Frank Leslie</td>
<td>9031</td>
<td>April 17, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollins, Wallace Eugene</td>
<td>8143</td>
<td>December 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romero, Daniel Roman</td>
<td>8870c</td>
<td>June 26, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell, Edward Charles</td>
<td>10480</td>
<td>June 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell, Gilbert Valentine</td>
<td>8656</td>
<td>July 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutherford, William Walton</td>
<td>6507</td>
<td>Presumed dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutter, Gordon Henry Merriman</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>June 11, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Clair, Franklin Cox</td>
<td>11803</td>
<td>January 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sale, Miller Marmaduke Brent</td>
<td>14373</td>
<td>July 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandford, Frederic Washburn</td>
<td>8487</td>
<td>April 28, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanford, Edgar M.</td>
<td>11888</td>
<td>November 6, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargent, George Paul Torrence</td>
<td>10009</td>
<td>April 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sauerbrei, Claude</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>May 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwenk, Alfons Frederick</td>
<td>20227</td>
<td>April 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Reginald Heber</td>
<td>9120</td>
<td>February 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgewick, Charles Sylvester</td>
<td>11268</td>
<td>August 11, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepard, Leavitt Clough</td>
<td>10722</td>
<td>March 29, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiers, Winfield</td>
<td>11536</td>
<td>April 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimmon, Paul</td>
<td>13428</td>
<td>December 3, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinberger, John Baird</td>
<td>17135</td>
<td>February 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyner, Frederick Dufferin</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>October 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyng, Walworth</td>
<td>10507</td>
<td>May 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Ess, Louis</td>
<td>12644</td>
<td>September 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verduin, Arnold Robert</td>
<td>16663</td>
<td>December 2, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscounte, William Angelo</td>
<td>14188</td>
<td>April 23, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker, Roger Atkinson</td>
<td>9353</td>
<td>August 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter, Glen Beam</td>
<td>11903</td>
<td>December 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walters, Arthur Lionel</td>
<td>10214</td>
<td>October 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walters, Hubert Melmoth St. George</td>
<td>10212</td>
<td>December 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward, Lewis Edwin</td>
<td>11204</td>
<td>December 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward, William Newton</td>
<td>10554</td>
<td>July 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waring, John Arthur</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>June 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterman, Joseph MacNaughton</td>
<td>12406</td>
<td>December 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wats, Wilford David</td>
<td>19843</td>
<td>August 10, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weaver, Joseph Thomas</td>
<td>X-12921-B</td>
<td>April 14, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welkourn, John Armstead</td>
<td>8462</td>
<td>August 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, George Stanley</td>
<td>13211</td>
<td>March 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, William Curtis</td>
<td>8662</td>
<td>March 25, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitmeyer, George Lee</td>
<td>12934a</td>
<td>April 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NECROLOGY—PRIESTS AND DEACONS**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16627</td>
<td>Whiteside, Paul Richard</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16864</td>
<td>Sewall, Thomas Knowlton</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1939</td>
<td>Horstuck, W. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17629</td>
<td>Jones, Philip Arthur</td>
<td>June 1, 1958</td>
<td>Corrigan, D. for Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18656</td>
<td>Miller, Sherman Harley</td>
<td>June 27, 1958</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18727</td>
<td>Young, Bernard Frederick</td>
<td>Feb. 28, 1959</td>
<td>Bayne, E. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18739</td>
<td>Barranger, Thomas Llewellyn, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 8, 1958</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19046</td>
<td>Hall, George William, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 27, 1958</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19471</td>
<td>Crow, Philip Stephen</td>
<td>May 10, 1959</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19491</td>
<td>Moynihan, Michael Clifford</td>
<td>June 22, 1960</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19637</td>
<td>Dennis, Walter DeCoster, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B. for Southern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19688</td>
<td>Walker, Elbridge, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 14, 1959</td>
<td>Tsu, Andrew Y. Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19889-A</td>
<td>Lima, Glaucio Soares de Lima</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1958</td>
<td>Similes, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19903</td>
<td>Nichols, Morgan Cooper</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1960</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19917</td>
<td>Lawrence, Charles Robert</td>
<td>June 29, 1957</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19920</td>
<td>Ellis, Richard Alvin</td>
<td>Feb. 26, 1958</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M. for New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-19871-B</td>
<td>Ramirez Morales, Samuel</td>
<td>June 29, 1958</td>
<td>Saucedo, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-19899-A</td>
<td>Croble, Robert Mason</td>
<td>June 27, 1958</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19957</td>
<td>Hudson, Robert Durlin</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19968</td>
<td>Hayes, Thomas Lee</td>
<td>Mar. 1, 1959</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19979</td>
<td>Case, Warren Michael, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 16, 1959</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19999</td>
<td>McGhee, Harry Coleman, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22, 1958</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>Lindner, Richard Burris, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1958</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20008</td>
<td>Chace, Alston Rigby</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Allberson, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20014</td>
<td>Defts, Ralph William</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20017</td>
<td>Marshall, M. Alister Crutchfield</td>
<td>May 31, 1958</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20021</td>
<td>Bryan, Robert Arthur</td>
<td>June 6, 1958</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20029</td>
<td>Tighman, Henry Astle</td>
<td>June 20, 1958</td>
<td>Esquirol, J. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20030</td>
<td>Smith, Emmet Clark</td>
<td>Jan. 12, 1958</td>
<td>Hobson, H. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20035</td>
<td>Tucker, John Westervelt</td>
<td>May 28, 1958</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20036</td>
<td>Chadwick, Loring William</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1958</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20039</td>
<td>Tyler, Charles Sargent</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1958</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20049</td>
<td>Corsa, Pinkney Morrison</td>
<td>Mar. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20050</td>
<td>Dyer, Edward John</td>
<td>Mar. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20051</td>
<td>Penniman, Charles Frederic, Jr.</td>
<td>May 31, 1958</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20069</td>
<td>Teeter, John Hurah</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1958</td>
<td>Armstrong, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20089</td>
<td>Banks, Ralph Alton, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1958</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20090</td>
<td>Clark, Vance Norman</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20092-A</td>
<td>Morales, Carlton Clarence Owen</td>
<td>June 14, 1958</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20101</td>
<td>Hood, Charles Fuller</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20103</td>
<td>King, James Arthur</td>
<td>May 30, 1958</td>
<td>Gunn, C. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20106</td>
<td>Kemp, Robert Burns</td>
<td>June 10, 1958</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20107</td>
<td>Sterling, William Elwood</td>
<td>June 19, 1958</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20109</td>
<td>Sterling, Edward Arthur, 3rd</td>
<td>June 17, 1958</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20111</td>
<td>Donovan, John Carl</td>
<td>May 24, 1958</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20114</td>
<td>Pulkingham, William Graham</td>
<td>June 13, 1958</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20116</td>
<td>Stewart, Ellsworth Robinson</td>
<td>June 9, 1958</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20124</td>
<td>Salmon, Abraham Dickerson, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20126</td>
<td>Campbell, Bernard Erakine</td>
<td>May 17, 1958</td>
<td>Campbell, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20127</td>
<td>Greeno, George Leonard</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20128</td>
<td>James, Roderick Robert</td>
<td>May 1, 1958</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20129</td>
<td>Mitchell, Thomas Lee</td>
<td>May 27, 1958</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20130</td>
<td>Swallow, George Thomas</td>
<td>May 15, 1958</td>
<td>Lothhouse, J., Retired Bishop of Keewatin for Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20142</td>
<td>Olmstead, James Blaine, Jr.</td>
<td>June 6, 1958</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20144</td>
<td>Giles, Walter Edward</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20148</td>
<td>Finkenger, Richard Addison</td>
<td>Jan. 8, 1958</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20149</td>
<td>Hill, Frederick</td>
<td>Jan. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20152</td>
<td>Loring, Richard Tuttle</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20153</td>
<td>Maletta, Gregory David Magnus</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1958</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20154</td>
<td>Moody, James Russell</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1958</td>
<td>Hobson, H. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20155</td>
<td>Patten, John Larimore</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1958</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20158</td>
<td>Sharples, Louis Valcourt</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20162</td>
<td>Tulls, Edward</td>
<td>Jan. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20163</td>
<td>Whitaker, James Stewart</td>
<td>May 17, 1958</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20164</td>
<td>French, Roderick Stuart</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1958</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20166</td>
<td>Garrett, Wallace Hight</td>
<td>May 17, 1958</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20167</td>
<td>Smith, Herbert Hugh, Jr.</td>
<td>June 8, 1958</td>
<td>Gibb, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20168</td>
<td>Wright, Robert Hardaway, 3rd</td>
<td>Mar. 9, 1955</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20169</td>
<td>Wagner, Robert Todd</td>
<td>Jan. 1, 1953</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20170</td>
<td>Fleharty, Harold Duane</td>
<td>Jan. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20178</td>
<td>Howard, William Abelard Reynolds</td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1958</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20179</td>
<td>Norman, Peter Minet</td>
<td>Mar. 1, 1955</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20180</td>
<td>Hunter, Charles William</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Rhea, F. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20181</td>
<td>Lottsfield, Ernest Theodore, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Rhea, F. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20182</td>
<td>McMurtry, Herbert Charles</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Rhea, F. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20183</td>
<td>Yaryan, John Sturges</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Rhea, F. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20184</td>
<td>Campbell, William Edward</td>
<td>Mar. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20185</td>
<td>Cavanaugh, James Earl</td>
<td>June 18, 1958</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20186</td>
<td>Challant, Allan Robert</td>
<td>Mar. 10, 1958</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20188</td>
<td>Inwood, Jay McCormick</td>
<td>Mar. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20189</td>
<td>Welch, Wayne Wesley</td>
<td>Mar. 12, 1958</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 24

### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS TO THE PRIESTHOOD OF THOSE ORDAINED DEACON BEFORE 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20190</td>
<td>Benson, David Howard</td>
<td>Feb. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20192</td>
<td>Canfield, Michael Ellison</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1958</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20194</td>
<td>Hanson, John Robert</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20195</td>
<td>Hewetson, Richard Walton</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1958</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20196</td>
<td>Johnston, Lewis Tyras</td>
<td>Feb. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20197</td>
<td>Linback, Arlo Leroy</td>
<td>May 9, 1959</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20199</td>
<td>Griesel, Bernard Frederick</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20200</td>
<td>Kuenneth, John Robert</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20201</td>
<td>Nevels, Phillip Arminda</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20202</td>
<td>Smith, John Robert</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20203</td>
<td>Temple, Stephen Eugene</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20204</td>
<td>McCormick, George, Jr</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20205</td>
<td>Comegys, David Pierson, Jr</td>
<td>May 8, 1958</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20206</td>
<td>Freeman, James Anderson</td>
<td>Mar. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20208</td>
<td>Gravely, Herbert Carlyle, Jr</td>
<td>Feb. 5, 1958</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20210</td>
<td>Porcher, Phillip Germain, Jr.</td>
<td>May 19, 1958</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20211</td>
<td>Erwin, William Portwood</td>
<td>Apr. 11, 1958</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20212</td>
<td>Peterson, Walter Baker</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1958</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20213</td>
<td>Smith, Walter Evans</td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1958</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20214</td>
<td>Taylor, James Henry, Jr</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1958</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20215</td>
<td>Ottaway, Richard Napoleon</td>
<td>May 28, 1958</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20217</td>
<td>Norwood, Douglas Milton</td>
<td>June 14, 1958</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20218</td>
<td>May, Charles Scott</td>
<td>Mar. 17, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20219</td>
<td>Pugh, Joel Wilson, 2nd</td>
<td>Mar. 17, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20220</td>
<td>Dwyer, Martin James</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20221</td>
<td>Two Hawk, Webster Aaron</td>
<td>Feb. 3, 1958</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20222</td>
<td>Hill, Robin Sutton, Zealand</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20224</td>
<td>Stevens, Ernest Lee, Jr.</td>
<td>May 27, 1960</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20225</td>
<td>Dickson, Elton Robert, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13, 1958</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20226</td>
<td>Beck, Herbert Edward</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1958</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20227</td>
<td>Schwenk, Allons Frederick</td>
<td>Jan. 10, 1958</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20228</td>
<td>Died April 14, 1960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20229</td>
<td>Carey, Grant Stuart</td>
<td>Jan. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Hagen, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20230</td>
<td>Green, William Lovell</td>
<td>Jan. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20232</td>
<td>Galbraith, Charles Milton</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20233</td>
<td>Simpson, Herbert Lestor</td>
<td>Mar. 23, 1958</td>
<td>Lestor, J. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20234</td>
<td>Shaw, James Patterson</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Block, K. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20235</td>
<td>Sunderland, Edwin Sherwood</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Block, K. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20236</td>
<td>Stowell, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Block, K. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20237</td>
<td>Tai, Robert Tao Hung</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Block, K. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20238</td>
<td>Lowe, John Leon</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20239</td>
<td>Roentjes, Albert Converse, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 12, 1958</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20240</td>
<td>Cox, Paul, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Cox, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20241</td>
<td>Cockrell, Richard</td>
<td>Jan. 16, 1958</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20242</td>
<td>Jones, David Alexander</td>
<td>Feb. 28, 1958</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20243</td>
<td>Klett, William Blodgett</td>
<td>Jan. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20244</td>
<td>Swan, William Orr, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 23, 1958</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20245</td>
<td>Van Dusen, David Buick</td>
<td>Jan. 23, 1958</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20246</td>
<td>Green, Russell Glenn</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1958</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20247</td>
<td>McGowan, Hugh James, 3rd</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1948</td>
<td>Page, H. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20248</td>
<td>Wade, Thomas Magruder, 3rd</td>
<td>May 1, 1958</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20250</td>
<td>Persons, Frank Stanford, 3rd</td>
<td>May 22, 1958</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20251</td>
<td>Gotcher, Vernon Alfred</td>
<td>Mar. 19, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20252</td>
<td>Mangum, Frank Burnett</td>
<td>Mar. 17, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20253</td>
<td>Price, James Baker</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1958</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**X-20259-A** Lumbia, Manuel Capuyan | July 13, 1958 | Ogilby, L. C. |

**X-20259-B** Salas, Bautista Falakiso | March 23, 1958 | Ogilby, L. C. |

**20260** Akeley, Tom Cox | March 21, 1958 | Powell, N. C. |

**20261** Bell, Walter Andrew, Jr. | March 26, 1958 | Doll, H. L. |

**20262** Cox, William Jackson | Mar. 21, 1958 | Powell, N. C. |

**20264** Hoffman, Harry Lee, 3rd | Mar. 24, 1958 | Powell, N. C. |

**20268** Powell, Robert Meaker | March 20, 1958 | Powell, N. C. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20270</td>
<td>Dawson, Charles Wheatley</td>
<td>Feb. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20272</td>
<td>Pittman, Omar William</td>
<td>Feb. 4, 1958</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20273</td>
<td>Jones, Christopher, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1958</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20274</td>
<td>Vair, Douglas Robert</td>
<td>May 3, 1958</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D. for West Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20275</td>
<td>Cries, Carthur Paul</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20276</td>
<td>Doyle, Peter Reese</td>
<td>Sept. 19, 1958</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20277-A</td>
<td>Balanza, Virgillo</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20278</td>
<td>Pastel, Joseph Henry</td>
<td>Feb. 7, 1958</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20279</td>
<td>Rice, Martin Hector, Jr.</td>
<td>July 10, 1958</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20280</td>
<td>King, Henry Parsons, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Block, K. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20281</td>
<td>Morris, Charles Hamilton</td>
<td>Feb. 23, 1958</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20283</td>
<td>Tonsmeyer, Louis Edward</td>
<td>May 27, 1958</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20284</td>
<td>Walter, Frances Xavier, 3rd</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20286</td>
<td>Bright, Gaston DeFoux</td>
<td>Mar. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20287</td>
<td>Carr, James Hardin</td>
<td>May 24, 1958</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20288</td>
<td>Flanigen, John Monteith, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1958</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20289</td>
<td>de Aqui, Joao Vicente Vieira</td>
<td>June 28, 1958</td>
<td>Simões, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20289-B</td>
<td>de Lara Minguez, Dionisio</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20289-C</td>
<td>Garcia Ortega, Miguel</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20289-D</td>
<td>Perera Hurtado, Jorge</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1958</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20290</td>
<td>Harris, Rogers Sanders</td>
<td>Apr. 5, 1958</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20292</td>
<td>Saucedo Nava, Esteban</td>
<td>Feb. 26, 1958</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20293</td>
<td>Blair, Alexander</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20294</td>
<td>Gray, John Hollister</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20295</td>
<td>Beatty, Clyde Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>May 29, 1958</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20296</td>
<td>Hart, John Osgood</td>
<td>Sept. 14, 1958</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20296-a</td>
<td>Batty, Arthur Burgess</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20296-A</td>
<td>Lee, George</td>
<td>Mar. 16, 1958</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20297</td>
<td>Viggers, James Henry</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1958</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20298</td>
<td>Carstarphen, Perry Edward</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1958</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20299</td>
<td>Miller, Donald Stewart</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Rhea, F. A. for Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20300</td>
<td>Tyler, John Sanford</td>
<td>Mar. 29, 1958</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20301</td>
<td>Field, Donald Clinton</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1958</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20302</td>
<td>Salmon, Donald Robert</td>
<td>June 30, 1958</td>
<td>Zielinski, T. F., Polish National Catholic Bishop of Buffalo for Western New York Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20304</td>
<td>Mencer, Charles Herbert</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Roberts, W. P. for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20305</td>
<td>Snyder, Albert Eric</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20306</td>
<td>Grater, Coval Theodore</td>
<td>Apr. 26, 1958</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20307</td>
<td>Hards, Walter George John</td>
<td>June 17, 1958</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20308</td>
<td>Jordahl, Neil Ronald</td>
<td>June 17, 1958</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20309</td>
<td>Reed, Boardman Colwell</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20309-B</td>
<td>Walden, Samuel</td>
<td>May 24, 1958</td>
<td>Gooden, R. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20310</td>
<td>Wittenburg, Edwin Philip</td>
<td>May 31, 1958</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20311</td>
<td>Balk, Roger Allen</td>
<td>June 6, 1958</td>
<td>Tucker, B. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20312</td>
<td>Smith, George Bertram</td>
<td>June 11, 1958</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20313</td>
<td>Baublitz, Earl Raymond</td>
<td>May 23, 1959</td>
<td>Roberts, W. P. for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20314</td>
<td>Kerr, Norman Sparling</td>
<td>June 21, 1958</td>
<td>Roberts, W. P. for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20315</td>
<td>Guthrie, David Vance</td>
<td>July 5, 1958</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20316</td>
<td>McDonald, John Richard</td>
<td>June 24, 1958</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20316-A</td>
<td>Fonseca Filho, Alfredo Rocha</td>
<td>May 31, 1959</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20316-B</td>
<td>Ruiz, Sydney Aleoba</td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1959</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20316-C</td>
<td>Santos, Dirson Gleno Caminha</td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1958</td>
<td>Melcher, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20316-D</td>
<td>Vergara dos</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1959</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20316-E</td>
<td>Ramirez Rios, Ignacio</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1958</td>
<td>Saucedo, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20317</td>
<td>Woodman, William Small</td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1958</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20319</td>
<td>Davis, Thomas Clarke, Jr.</td>
<td>June 17, 1958</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20321</td>
<td>Hohl, Verne Chester</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20323</td>
<td>Rowland, William Purdie</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
<td>Lichtenberger, A. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20324</td>
<td>Schniepp, James Fredrick Satterlee</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
<td>Lichtenberger, A. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20325</td>
<td>Rose, Leonidas McMillan</td>
<td>Aug. 26, 1958</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20326</td>
<td>Hall, William McLaurnine</td>
<td>Sept. 18, 1958</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20326-A</td>
<td>Collins, Amos Bane</td>
<td>June 22, 1958</td>
<td>Harris, B. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20327</td>
<td>Stacy, Clarence Herrick</td>
<td>Dec. 13, 1958</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20328</td>
<td>Powell, William Vincent</td>
<td>Sept. 27, 1958</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20329</td>
<td>Helmer, Ben F.</td>
<td>July 18, 1958</td>
<td>Page, H. R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### DIACONATE 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20331</td>
<td>Wilson, Harold Jackson</td>
<td>Jan. 11</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 23, 1958</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20332</td>
<td>Evans, Norman Dean</td>
<td>Jan. 11</td>
<td>Roberta, W. P.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20334</td>
<td>Mansley, Edward Hafer</td>
<td>Jan. 11</td>
<td>Roberta, W. P.</td>
<td>July 12, 1958</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20335</td>
<td>Tonella, Peter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20336</td>
<td>Frey, Frederick Daniel</td>
<td>Jan. 25</td>
<td>Louttit, H. J.</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1958</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20339</td>
<td>Ells, Roger Reese</td>
<td>Feb. 28</td>
<td>Hobson, H. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20340</td>
<td>Witter, Paul Maxham</td>
<td>Mar. 1</td>
<td>Sterrett, F. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20341</td>
<td>Denny, John Lawrence</td>
<td>Mar. 4</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20342</td>
<td>St. Andrew, Ernest Ralph</td>
<td>Mar. 4</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Mar. 5, 1959</td>
<td>Enrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20345</td>
<td>Smith, Robert Angus</td>
<td>Mar. 22</td>
<td>Enrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td></td>
<td>McNeil, D. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20347</td>
<td>Shaw, Robert Harrison</td>
<td>Mar. 22</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Sept. 27, 1958</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20340</td>
<td>Atlee, Raymond</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
<td>Oct. 18, 1958</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20348</td>
<td>Latham, Donald Conway</td>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 25, 1958</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20364</td>
<td>Strech, Peter</td>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Nov. 29, 1958</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20367</td>
<td>Payne, Lewis Albert</td>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>Essex, W. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1958</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20370</td>
<td>Ross, Edmund Pitt</td>
<td>Apr. 15</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20371</td>
<td>Carter, William Irwin</td>
<td>Apr. 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20372</td>
<td>Dilling, James Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20373</td>
<td>Hedger, John Spencer</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20374</td>
<td>Dutton, Charles Holgate</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20375</td>
<td>Hart, Harry</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20376</td>
<td>Marvin, William Joseph</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20377</td>
<td>Page, Rufus Lee</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20378</td>
<td>Paul, William Heiks</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20379</td>
<td>Pettit, Vincent King</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20380</td>
<td>Sawtelle, Malcolm Storer</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20381</td>
<td>Peterson, Ellis Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 26</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20382</td>
<td>Kimber, Joshua Dwaull</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>Harris, B. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20383</td>
<td>Hutchins, Merrill Laverne</td>
<td>May 7</td>
<td>Hinch, J. E.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20385</td>
<td>Beck, Jacob David</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 29, 1958</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20386</td>
<td>Fullerton, Carl William</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 15, 1958</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20387</td>
<td>Lorenz, Howard Roberts</td>
<td>May 10</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 15, 1958</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20392</td>
<td>Searfoss, Vernon Francis</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20394</td>
<td>Bezerra, Jesus de Souza</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>Graiache, E. M.</td>
<td>Nov. 29, 1958</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20396</td>
<td>Hoeh, George Charles</td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### Diaconate 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20398</td>
<td>Oplembrow, Albert Van Dover, Jr.</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20399</td>
<td>Slipp, Harry Woolston</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
<td>Jan. 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20400</td>
<td>Prouton, Leslie Frank</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20402</td>
<td>Dowing, John Wesley</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20403</td>
<td>Salmon, David</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20404</td>
<td>Ashton, Thomas Robinson</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20405</td>
<td>Casto, David Cameron</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20406</td>
<td>Fenn, Richard Kincaid</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20407</td>
<td>Flesher, Hubert Louis</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20408</td>
<td>Pressy, Stephen Palmer</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20409</td>
<td>Schneider, William John</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20410</td>
<td>Tickner, Raymond Richard</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20411</td>
<td>Vogt, Charles Melvin, Jr.</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20412</td>
<td>Wertz, Richard Wayne</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20413</td>
<td>Rutherford, Roy Calvert, Jr.</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>July 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20414</td>
<td>Collins, Claude Alvin</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20415</td>
<td>Warnecke, Frederick John, Jr.</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>May 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20416</td>
<td>Greene, George Burkholder</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20417</td>
<td>Keel, Robert Donald</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 13, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20419</td>
<td>Dickson, Alex Dockery, Jr.</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20422</td>
<td>Auffrey, Henry Philip</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20423</td>
<td>Bergstrom, Carl Edwin</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20424</td>
<td>Bourgoine, Douglas Gray</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20425</td>
<td>Taylor, Jess Ernest</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Miller, A. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20426</td>
<td>Dickinson, Albert Hugh</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td>June 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20427</td>
<td>Rice, Quay DeBute</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td>June 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20428</td>
<td>Shackleton, John William</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td>May 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20429</td>
<td>Harrison, Benjamin</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Shires, W. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toll</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20435</td>
<td>Ellis, Sidney George</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Gray, D. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20436</td>
<td>Hughes, Thomas Downs</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Hobson, H. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20437</td>
<td>Bennett, Charles</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20438</td>
<td>Celestin, Louis</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dickens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20439</td>
<td>Isaac, Telesforo</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td>June 19, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alexander</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20440</td>
<td>Robin, Barnabé</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clokey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chandler, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Everett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20444</td>
<td>Timmons, Thomas</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jefferson, 3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20446</td>
<td>Jowett, Edgar Paul</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20447</td>
<td>Thompson, Walter</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20448</td>
<td>Cleasby, Clarence</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sawyer, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20449</td>
<td>Pollett, Herbert</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gordon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20451</td>
<td>Snow, John Hall</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20452</td>
<td>Winser, Edward</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stliness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20453</td>
<td>Replogle, Hugh</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arthur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20457</td>
<td>Alexy, Dale</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stewart</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20458</td>
<td>Irvin, Frank</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20459</td>
<td>Lewis, William</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>George</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20460</td>
<td>Taylor, Alan</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Shires, H. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20461</td>
<td>Jackson, Warren</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
<td>May 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>May 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20464</td>
<td>Cockshoot, Ralph Waldo, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20465</td>
<td>Moffat, Alexander Douglas, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 13, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20466</td>
<td>Tull, Alan Condle, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20467</td>
<td>Green, Charles Chesnut</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20471</td>
<td>Johnson, Ralph Foley</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Dagwell, B. D.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20472</td>
<td>Conklin, Garret</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20475</td>
<td>Chapin, Oliver Timothy</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20477</td>
<td>Flory, Sheldon</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20481</td>
<td>Moore, Charles Owen</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20484</td>
<td>Reisman, William Segen</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20485</td>
<td>Talbot, John Stiles</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20486</td>
<td>White, Joe Bernard</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20487</td>
<td>Widdows, John Herbert</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20490</td>
<td>Anderson, John Crawford</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20491</td>
<td>Barnes, Bennett Herbert, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20493</td>
<td>Hamlin, Elbert Bacon</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20494</td>
<td>Iiams, Carl Phillip</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20499</td>
<td>Boyer, Donald Ernest</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20500</td>
<td>Cerveny, Frank Stanley</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20501</td>
<td>Chamberlain, Bruce Stewart</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20505</td>
<td>Allen, William Quay</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20506</td>
<td>Walker, Robert Lynn</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20507</td>
<td>Ball, John Coming, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20508</td>
<td>Beckwith, John Quintus, 3rd</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20509</td>
<td>Dority, Richard Franklin</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20510</td>
<td>Ollie, Michael Patrick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20511</td>
<td>Porcher, Kog Weldon</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20512</td>
<td>Premnall, William Stuart</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20513</td>
<td>Sparks, Jesse Spurgeon</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>May 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20514</td>
<td>Bond, Marvin Monroe</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>June 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20515</td>
<td>Bergesen, David Echols</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>June 7, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20516</td>
<td>Howell, Robert Lee</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>May 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20518</td>
<td>Simms, David McDaniel</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>For North Dakota</td>
<td>July 27, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20519</td>
<td>Smuckler, John Rod, 3rd</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>For North Dakota</td>
<td>June 27, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20521</td>
<td>Walton, Macon Brantley</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>June 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20525</td>
<td>Rogers, Minor Lee</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20527</td>
<td>Lachettte, St. Julian McPherson</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20529</td>
<td>Adams, James Rowe</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20530</td>
<td>Evans, John Marvin</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20531</td>
<td>Evans, Robert Franklin</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Aug. 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20536</td>
<td>Reese, Hobart Lawrence</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20540</td>
<td>Smith, Eugene Glass</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
<td>June 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20542</td>
<td>Murphy, Edward Emmett, 3rd</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20543</td>
<td>Eales, John Duke</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20544</td>
<td>Cogswell, Ralph John</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20545</td>
<td>Dix, James Melvin</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20546</td>
<td>Fitch, Charles Walton</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20547</td>
<td>Hills, John Bigelow</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20548</td>
<td>Maurais, Robert Irwin</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20549</td>
<td>Taylor, Robert Page</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20550</td>
<td>Tombaugh, Richard Franklin</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20551</td>
<td>Clawson, Donald Richard</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20552</td>
<td>Williams, Russell Thayer</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20553</td>
<td>Bender, Frederick Turtle</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20554</td>
<td>Burlingame, Alfred Wise</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20555</td>
<td>Chilund, Stephen James</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20556</td>
<td>King, William Alan</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20557</td>
<td>Reigle, Victor Oliver, Jr.</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20558</td>
<td>Mould, Douglas</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Hatch, R. E.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20559</td>
<td>Bedrosian, Magar</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20561</td>
<td>Titcomb, James Robert</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20562</td>
<td>Bohn, William Fowler</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20563</td>
<td>Burnett, George Howard</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20564</td>
<td>Harms, Richard Benjamin</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20565</td>
<td>Knebel, Frank Carson</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20566</td>
<td>Landis, Clifford Keith</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20567</td>
<td>Lathrop, John Campbell</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20568</td>
<td>Mason, Rob Roy</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20569</td>
<td>Noble, Robert Darrell</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20570</td>
<td>Pummill, Joseph Howard</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20571</td>
<td>Quinby, Congreve Hamilton</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20572</td>
<td>Rodgers, Billy Wilson</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Apr. 18, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20573</td>
<td>Weatherford, David William</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20577</td>
<td>Fish, Kurt Miller</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>McNeil, D. B.</td>
<td>June 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20580</td>
<td>Jeffrey, James Beck</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Noland, J. B.</td>
<td>May 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20581</td>
<td>Lodder, Herbert Kingsley</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
<td>Nov. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20583</td>
<td>Allen, David Eastman</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Cross, E. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20584</td>
<td>Fox, Charles Harry Wilson</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Cross, E. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20585</td>
<td>Knight, David Edward</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Cross, E. M.</td>
<td>Feb. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20586</td>
<td>Bennett, Robert Avon, Jr</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20588</td>
<td>Dorsey, James Clay poole</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20590</td>
<td>Grant, James Coleman, Jr</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20591</td>
<td>Holt, David Martin</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20594</td>
<td>Saari, Ray Frederick, Jr</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>May 2, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20595</td>
<td>Abraham, Nicholas Joseph</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Noland, J. B.</td>
<td>Dec. 23, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20597</td>
<td>Chapin, Arnold Bailey</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20599</td>
<td>Leather, Robert Lee</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20601</td>
<td>Prater, John Stockham</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20602</td>
<td>Greer, James Gossett, Jr</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20604</td>
<td>Huley, Sam Byron</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20606</td>
<td>Riche, Keith La Vonne</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20608</td>
<td>Muth, Donald Charles</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>May 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20609</td>
<td>Harshman, Eugene Lee</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Porter, A. W. N.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20611</td>
<td>Sachers, Calvin Stewart</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20612</td>
<td>Sanders, John Clarke</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20613</td>
<td>Libby, Robert Meredith Gabier</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Gribbin, R. E. for Atlanta</td>
<td>Jan. 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20614</td>
<td>McClatchey, Marvin Reynolds</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Gribbin, R. E. for Atlanta</td>
<td>May 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20615</td>
<td>Reed, James Farr</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
<td>May 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20616</td>
<td>Martin, Earle Plain, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20617</td>
<td>Dodwell, Robert John</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20618</td>
<td>Benitez, Maurice Manuel</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Mar. 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20619</td>
<td>Brayfogle, Millard Hugh</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Mar. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20620</td>
<td>Harvey, Edwin Edward</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20622</td>
<td>Murphy, Marvin Thrasher</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20623</td>
<td>Todd, George William, 3rd</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Mar. 23, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20625</td>
<td>Masters, Ralph Leeper</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
<td>June 26, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20626</td>
<td>Griswold, Don Wilson</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Corrigan, D.</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20627</td>
<td>Van Splinter, Donald Marinus</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Corrigan, D.</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20629</td>
<td>Ross, Curtis Evans</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### DIACONATE 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20640</td>
<td>Lull, Howard William</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20641</td>
<td>Mayer, Joseph Ernest</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20642</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, James Hawley</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20643</td>
<td>MacGill, Robert Ayers</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20644</td>
<td>Merriman, David Earl</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20645</td>
<td>Palmer, Edward Henry</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20646</td>
<td>Said, John Lewis</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20647</td>
<td>Babcock, James Lytle</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20648</td>
<td>Bamforth, Richard Anderson</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20649</td>
<td>Berckman, Edward Milton</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20650</td>
<td>Clark, John Leland</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20651</td>
<td>Ebens, Richard Frank</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20652</td>
<td>French, Edward Alan</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20653</td>
<td>Hiles, James Randall</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20654</td>
<td>Humphrey, Gerald Wyndham</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20655</td>
<td>Jackson, Jared Judd</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20656</td>
<td>McFarlane, Robert Bruce</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20657</td>
<td>Pragnell, Walter Leonard</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20658</td>
<td>Purnell, John Ritchie</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20659</td>
<td>Ralph, Samuel Lester</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20660</td>
<td>Rossner, John Leslie</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20661</td>
<td>Smith, Fulton Bruce</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20662</td>
<td>Wentworth, Freedom, 2nd</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20663</td>
<td>Calcote, Alan Dean</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20664</td>
<td>Antonelli, Dominic</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20640</td>
<td>Lull, Howard William</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20641</td>
<td>Mayer, Joseph Ernest</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20642</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, James Hawley</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20643</td>
<td>MacGill, Robert Ayers</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20644</td>
<td>Merriman, David Earl</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20645</td>
<td>Palmer, Edward Henry</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20646</td>
<td>Said, John Lewis</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Kirchhoffer, R. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20647</td>
<td>Babcock, James Lytle</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20648</td>
<td>Bamforth, Richard Anderson</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20649</td>
<td>Berckman, Edward Milton</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20650</td>
<td>Clark, John Leland</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20651</td>
<td>Ebens, Richard Frank</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20652</td>
<td>French, Edward Alan</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20653</td>
<td>Hiles, James Randall</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20654</td>
<td>Humphrey, Gerald Wyndham</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20655</td>
<td>Jackson, Jared Judd</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20656</td>
<td>McFarlane, Robert Bruce</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20657</td>
<td>Pragnell, Walter Leonard</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20658</td>
<td>Purnell, John Ritchie</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20659</td>
<td>Ralph, Samuel Lester</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20660</td>
<td>Rossner, John Leslie</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20661</td>
<td>Smith, Fulton Bruce</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20662</td>
<td>Wentworth, Freedom, 2nd</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20663</td>
<td>Calcote, Alan Dean</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20664</td>
<td>Antonelli, Dominic</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**APPENDIX 24**
# Record of Ordinations

## Diacorate 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20671</td>
<td>Kearley, David Arthur</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>June 11, 1959</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20672</td>
<td>Baker, Mallie Clark</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>June 26, 1959</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20673</td>
<td>Van Hooser, Jack Boyd</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1959</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20674</td>
<td>Catlin, Herbert Harold</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1959</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20676</td>
<td>Billingsley, James C.</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 22, 1959</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20677</td>
<td>Cooper, Harold L.</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 23, 1959</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20678</td>
<td>Baldridge, W. Edward</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
<td>June 29, 1959</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20679</td>
<td>Howe, Harris Winchester</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 23, 1959</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20680</td>
<td>McGovern, Gerald Hugh</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
<td>June 11, 1959</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20681</td>
<td>Norman, Fred Lincoln</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 27, 1958</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20682</td>
<td>Goodrow, John Harwood</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1958</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20683</td>
<td>Solem, Henry Ray</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20684</td>
<td>Grady, Hartwell Harvey</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20685</td>
<td>Jarrell, William Gammon</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20686</td>
<td>Whitehead, Bruce Earl</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20687</td>
<td>Treadwell, John Alden</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 4, 1959</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20689</td>
<td>Verrilye, Claudius Ira J.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>June 23, 1959</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20690</td>
<td>Johnson, William Alford</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Clough, C. J.</td>
<td>Jan. 17, 1959</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20691</td>
<td>Gillette, Louis Church</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20692</td>
<td>Hooper, John K.</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 28, 1959</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20693</td>
<td>Edwards, Don Ruba</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>June 23, 1959</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- For Panama Canal Zone
- For Michigan

## Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20697</td>
<td>Shannon, Carl Steen</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1959</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20698</td>
<td>Shaver, James Sandaway</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>Jan. 10, 1959</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20699</td>
<td>Maynard, Evert Byron, Jr.</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20700</td>
<td>Millar, Charles Wendell</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>July 18, 1959</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20702</td>
<td>Slavish, George Stewart</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1958</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20703</td>
<td>Want, Charles Eugene</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>May 25, 1960</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20704</td>
<td>Walston, Robert Harris</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 8, 1959</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20705</td>
<td>Sizer, Samuel Augustus</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Dec. 30, 1958</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20706</td>
<td>Huffman, Robert Nelson</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loutitt, H. I.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20707</td>
<td>Austin, John Brander</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Noland, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20708</td>
<td>Burroughs, Joseph Parker</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20709</td>
<td>Conrad, Wallace Hedrick</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20710</td>
<td>Edwards, William Glover</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20711</td>
<td>McAllister, John Netherton</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20712</td>
<td>Patterson, William Brown Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20713</td>
<td>Reinecke, Roderick Laury</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20714</td>
<td>Scholl, William Francis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20708</td>
<td>Burroughs, Joseph Parker</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Noland, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20709</td>
<td>Conrad, Wallace Hedrick</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20710</td>
<td>Edwards, William Glover</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20711</td>
<td>McAllister, John Netherton</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20712</td>
<td>Patterson, William Brown Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20713</td>
<td>Reinecke, Roderick Laury</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20714</td>
<td>Scholl, William Francis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Polish National Catholic Bishop of Buffalo*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20734</td>
<td>Robinson, Dillard, 3rd</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1959</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20735</td>
<td>Ebaugh, John Lynn, 3rd</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>Apr. 23, 1959</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20737</td>
<td>Villaça Filho, Raul</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>Melcher, L. C.</td>
<td>Oct. 4, 1959</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20740</td>
<td>Arnberg, Harold Vincent</td>
<td>July 12</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>July 9, 1959</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20743</td>
<td>Tamking, George Apotien</td>
<td>July 13</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
<td>May 30, 1959</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20744</td>
<td>Ticobay, Narciso Valentin</td>
<td>July 13</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
<td>June 7, 1959</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20745-a</td>
<td>Milburn, Frederick Wilson</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>Ordained Deacon in Canada</td>
<td>July 30, 1958</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20746</td>
<td>Crim, Burritt Shepard</td>
<td>Aug. 3</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
<td>July 16, 1959</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20749</td>
<td>Broome, John Tol</td>
<td>Aug. 20</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 26, 1959</td>
<td>Wurth, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20750</td>
<td>Taylor, Barton Warren</td>
<td>Aug. 26</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>June 24, 1959</td>
<td>North, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20761</td>
<td>Key, Edward Lewis</td>
<td>Sept. 20</td>
<td>Warters, S. F. D.</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20762</td>
<td>Schultess, James Durston</td>
<td>Sept. 20</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20764</td>
<td>Warner, Eugene Lyman</td>
<td>Sept. 22</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 28, 1959</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20766</td>
<td>Lee, Alfred Sargent</td>
<td>Sept. 27</td>
<td>Barr, F. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1959</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20767</td>
<td>Pollock, Joseph Dewey</td>
<td>Sept. 27</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>BISHOP ORDAINING</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>BISHOP ORDAINING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Broughton, William</td>
<td>Sept. 27</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hope, Montague Henry</td>
<td>Sept. 28</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Phillips, Raymond Leland</td>
<td>Sept. 29</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
<td>Apr. 1</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sirch, Thor Rheudy</td>
<td>Oct. 2</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. E.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Roberts, A. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Howard, Lamin Edward</td>
<td>Nov. 2</td>
<td>McNeil, D. B.</td>
<td>May 7</td>
<td>McNally, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>King, Roma Alvah, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 12</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Stewart, Alexander Taylor</td>
<td>Nov. 22</td>
<td>Emrlich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 25</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Leary, Charles Randolph</td>
<td>Nov. 22</td>
<td>Roberts, W. F.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Roberts, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Jackson, Robert Sumner</td>
<td>Nov. 23</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 25</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Castro, Tulio Oliveira</td>
<td>Nov. 30</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Klein, Anselmo João</td>
<td>Nov. 30</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Copp, Charles Alfred</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Oliveira, João Pessoa de</td>
<td>Dec. 3</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 3</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>McKenzie, Russell Harwood</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Whipple, Frank Plummer</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Emrlich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 19</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Kaestner, James Andrew</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Hallcock, D. H.</td>
<td>Aug. 22</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Kephart, Arthur Keith</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Hallcock, D. H.</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Kirchen, Richard Peter</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Hallcock, D. H.</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>du Bois, Charles Gautier</td>
<td>Dec. 6</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
<td>Sept. 19</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bryant, Bronson Howell</td>
<td>Dec. 7</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Tiffany, Fred Robert</td>
<td>Dec. 12</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Harvey, James Miller</td>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hillis, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Filer (von Vocksted), Duane Virgil</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Friederich August</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Bretenon, Thomas Frederick</td>
<td>Dec. 17</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Lindenhauer, Jon Mark</td>
<td>Dec. 17</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Cooper, John Thomas</td>
<td>Dec. 19</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>England, Arthur Leamon</td>
<td>Dec. 20</td>
<td>Lichtenberger, A. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Record of Ordinations

### Diaconate 1958

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20801</td>
<td>Fain, Galen Cletus</td>
<td>Dec. 20</td>
<td>Lichtenberger, A. C.</td>
<td>June 23, 1959</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20803</td>
<td>Miller, Walter Carl</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20805</td>
<td>White, Herbert Vincent, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deposed Nov. 9, 1959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20808</td>
<td>Lapointe, Jean Amos Lafond</td>
<td>Dec. 30</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deposed Nov. 9, 1959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20809</td>
<td>Smith, Paul Curran</td>
<td>Jan. 5</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20810</td>
<td>Mighell, John Halbert</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Boynton, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20811</td>
<td>Edman, David Arthur</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Boynton, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20812</td>
<td>Wolff, John Ludwig</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20813</td>
<td>Kepera, Joseph John</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20814</td>
<td>Van Arsdalen, George Clayton</td>
<td>Feb. 9</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20815</td>
<td>Buehler, Floyd Arthur</td>
<td>Feb. 21</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20815-a</td>
<td>Bowman, Marlin Leonard</td>
<td>Feb. 21</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20816</td>
<td>Dawson, David Miles</td>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20817</td>
<td>Ball, Edwin</td>
<td>Feb. 28</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20818</td>
<td>Wilson, Louis James</td>
<td>Mar. 1</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20819</td>
<td>Reid, Robert Douglas</td>
<td>Mar. 3</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20820</td>
<td>Brunner, Emad Laszlo</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20821</td>
<td>Brandt, Roger Neil</td>
<td>Mar. 16</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20822</td>
<td>Tritt, Isaac Albert</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20823</td>
<td>Bowen, Lemuel Theron</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20824</td>
<td>Lesch, Robert Andrew</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Pemberton, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20825</td>
<td>Shinjo, Peter Takashii</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Pemberton, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20826</td>
<td>Sanders, Patrick Henry, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 26</td>
<td>Pemberton, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20827</td>
<td>Kramer, Raymond Peter</td>
<td>Mar. 28</td>
<td>Pemberton, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20828</td>
<td>Elliott, Harold Leonard</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20829</td>
<td>Hart, William King</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20830</td>
<td>Saunders, Ferdinand Davis</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20831</td>
<td>Schmidt, Wayne Roy</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20832</td>
<td>Tulk, John Fowlow</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20833</td>
<td>Rice, Charles Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 13</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20834</td>
<td>Corlett, Richard Wright</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20835</td>
<td>Fraim, Edward Theodore, 2nd</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20836</td>
<td>Kenn, James Duncan</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20837</td>
<td>Russell, John Alan</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20838</td>
<td>Gregory, Stanley Harold</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20839</td>
<td>Mendenhall, Elborn Eugene</td>
<td>Apr. 25</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Honolulu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20842</td>
<td>Morris, John Anthony Grant</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>Lawrence, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-20843</td>
<td>Churnside, Lucien Edward</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Richards, D. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20844</td>
<td>Mundy, Gerard Patrick</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20847</td>
<td>Smith, Noble Moyer</td>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20848</td>
<td>Wirtz, Wallace Bruce</td>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20851</td>
<td>Bayfield, Ralph Wesley</td>
<td>May 9</td>
<td>Roberts, W. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20852</td>
<td>Beckman, Joseph Ralph</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20854</td>
<td>Mason, Howard Merritt</td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20855</td>
<td>Dalton, Edward Breathitt</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20856</td>
<td>Bossière, Jacques Paul Joseph</td>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Panama Canal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20857</td>
<td>MacDonald, John Gray</td>
<td>May 22</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20858</td>
<td>Fiebke, Edward John</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20860</td>
<td>Bancroft, Francis Sydney, 3rd</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20861</td>
<td>Borufield, Robert Clark</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20862</td>
<td>Choate, Alexander</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20863</td>
<td>Ferguson, Fred-Munro</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20864</td>
<td>Ford Gladwell, Terence</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20865</td>
<td>Hovde, Christian Arneson</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20866</td>
<td>Keller, Charles Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20867</td>
<td>Rice, Marshall Turk</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20868</td>
<td>Smith, Wesley Hugh</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20869</td>
<td>Jackson, Hillyer Barnett, Jr.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20870</td>
<td>McCulloch, George Glenn</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20871</td>
<td>Tripp, Arthur Davis</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20873</td>
<td>English, John Lyle</td>
<td>May 29</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20874</td>
<td>Evans, James Walker</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20875</td>
<td>Blackburn, James Clark</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20876</td>
<td>Zimmerman, David Forbes</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>June 19, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20877</td>
<td>Melrose, Roger Madden</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20879</td>
<td>Sexton, George Ferdinand</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20880</td>
<td>Hayes, Richard Earl</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20881</td>
<td>Firth, Harry Warren</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20882</td>
<td>Trelease, Murray Lincoln</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Mosely, J. B.</td>
<td>Dec. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20883</td>
<td>Wendel, David Deaderick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>Jun 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20884</td>
<td>French, Richard Clement</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20885</td>
<td>Spencer, Douglas Morcom</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>May 14, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20866</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20867</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20868</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td></td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20869</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20870</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20871</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20872</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20873</td>
<td>Horstic, W. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20874</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20875</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20876</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20877</td>
<td>Mitchell, R. B.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20878</td>
<td>for Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20879</td>
<td>for Honolulu</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20880</td>
<td>for Honolulu</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20881</td>
<td>for Alabama</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20882</td>
<td>for Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20883</td>
<td>for Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20884</td>
<td>for Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20885</td>
<td>for Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# APPENDIX 24

- Retired Assistant
- Bishop of Hong Kong
- Bishop of Los Angeles
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20895</td>
<td>Malottke, William Neill</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
<td>June 24, 1960</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20909</td>
<td>Simson, Frederick Burnham, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>May 21, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20910</td>
<td>Weeks, Robert Oliver</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>May 21, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20923</td>
<td>Whitson, John Thayer</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Huntington, J. W.</td>
<td>Feb. 6, 1960</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20925</td>
<td>Martella, Don Emmert, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
<td>Jun. 4, 1960</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20926</td>
<td>Walker, James Inby</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
<td>May 28, 1960</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20927</td>
<td>Ambler, John Jaquelin, 5th</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20928</td>
<td>Bowman, Mortimer Tuttle</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20929</td>
<td>Carr, Clay Bryan, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20930</td>
<td>Parrish, William Potter</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20931</td>
<td>Petty, James Sipilman</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20932</td>
<td>Simons, John Whitson</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>July 9, 1960</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20933</td>
<td>Fowler, Richard Paul</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20934</td>
<td>Ellis, Wilbur Richard</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20935</td>
<td>Huntley, Jack Roy</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20936</td>
<td>Richards, Jeffery Martin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20937</td>
<td>Roller, William Neil</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20938</td>
<td>Shank, Robert Sylvester, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20939</td>
<td>Smercina, Eugene Edward</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20940</td>
<td>Steinhauer, Roger Kent</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20941</td>
<td>Burns, Richard Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20942</td>
<td>Finlaw, William Whitacar, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20943</td>
<td>Schlothauer, Lee Martin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20944</td>
<td>Johnson, Edward Ervin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20945</td>
<td>Albrecht, John Herman</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20946</td>
<td>Baker, Frank Danforth</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20947</td>
<td>Baker, Jack Matheson</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20948</td>
<td>Flagstad, Thomas Stephen</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20949</td>
<td>Freeman, William Horne</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20950</td>
<td>Lüppopp, Donald Robert</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20951</td>
<td>Johnson, Robert Elliott</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20952</td>
<td>Merrill, William Burke</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20953</td>
<td>Myers, Russell Blair, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20954</td>
<td>Young, Donald Vernon</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20955</td>
<td>Fogg, Ralph Everett, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20956</td>
<td>Lowery, James Lincoln, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20957</td>
<td>Miner, John Prescott</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20958</td>
<td>Sutherland, Robert Myron</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20959</td>
<td>Schultz, Thomas Haines</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20960</td>
<td>Townsend, Edward Peter</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20961</td>
<td>Kenny, David Birney</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20962</td>
<td>Phelps, Nicholas Barclay</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20933</td>
<td>Fowler, Richard Paul</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20934</td>
<td>Ellis, Wilbur Richard</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20935</td>
<td>Huntley, Jack Roy</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20936</td>
<td>Richards, Jeffery Martin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20937</td>
<td>Roller, William Neil</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20938</td>
<td>Shank, Robert Sylvester, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20939</td>
<td>Smercina, Eugene Edward</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20940</td>
<td>Steinhauer, Roger Kent</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20941</td>
<td>Burns, Richard Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20942</td>
<td>Finlaw, William Whitacar, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20943</td>
<td>Schlothauer, Lee Martin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20944</td>
<td>Johnson, Edward Ervin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20945</td>
<td>Albrecht, John Herman</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20946</td>
<td>Baker, Frank Danforth</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20947</td>
<td>Baker, Jack Matheson</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20948</td>
<td>Flagstad, Thomas Stephen</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20949</td>
<td>Freeman, William Horne</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20950</td>
<td>Lüppopp, Donald Robert</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20951</td>
<td>Johnson, Robert Elliott</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20952</td>
<td>Merrill, William Burke</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20953</td>
<td>Myers, Russell Blair, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20954</td>
<td>Young, Donald Vernon</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Moesley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20955</td>
<td>Fogg, Ralph Everett, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20956</td>
<td>Lowery, James Lincoln, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20957</td>
<td>Miner, John Prescott</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20958</td>
<td>Sutherland, Robert Myron</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20959</td>
<td>Schultz, Thomas Haines</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20960</td>
<td>Townsend, Edward Peter</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20961</td>
<td>Kenny, David Birney</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20962</td>
<td>Phelps, Nicholas Barclay</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Information

- For Florida: Cadigan, G. L.
- For Delaware: Moesley, J. B. for Delaware
- For Pennsylvania: Higley, W. M.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20971</td>
<td>Crowder, James Robert.</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>June 15, 1960</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20980</td>
<td>Kirk, Richard Rodney.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Quarterman, G. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20982</td>
<td>Barton, Lane Wickham, Jr.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20986</td>
<td>Richmond, Roy George, Jr.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Marmon, C. G.</td>
<td>July 16, 1960</td>
<td>Marmon, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20989</td>
<td>Bruce, John Allen.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20992</td>
<td>Miller, James Lower.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20994</td>
<td>Sexton, Donald Wylie, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20995</td>
<td>Whitcroft, Thomas Henry.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21006</td>
<td>Henry, Elmer Thomas</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21007</td>
<td>Nordquist, Conrad Adolf, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21008</td>
<td>Sheppard, Edward Lee</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21009</td>
<td>Turton, Hugh Parr</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21011</td>
<td>Umbockover, Kenneth Sheldon</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21012</td>
<td>Hunter, Benjamin Hartz</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21013</td>
<td>Langstraat, Donald Henry</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21014</td>
<td>Bennett, Truman Ernest</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21015</td>
<td>Calhoun, James Arthur, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21016</td>
<td>Chambers, Stanford Hardin</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21017</td>
<td>Hobson, Thomas Prunty</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21018</td>
<td>Lee, Alfred Harrison, 3rd</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21019</td>
<td>Lisle, Floyd Wisdom</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21020</td>
<td>Martin, William Evans</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21021</td>
<td>Austin, William Paul</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21022</td>
<td>Burr, Donald Field</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21023</td>
<td>Cave, George Harold, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21024</td>
<td>Cogan, Timothy Bernard</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21025</td>
<td>Coombes, Crawford Francis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21026</td>
<td>Dunbar, Donald Mackell</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21027</td>
<td>Gerdau, Carlson</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21028</td>
<td>Hardy, Stanley Parks</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21029</td>
<td>Kellett, James William</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21030</td>
<td>Prinn, Ronald Prescott</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21031</td>
<td>Sanderson, Herbert Warren</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21032</td>
<td>Swensen, Oscar Warren</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21033</td>
<td>Thompson, James Weldon</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 13, 1960</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 25, 1960</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 20, 1959</td>
<td>Inman, T. G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 2, 1960</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Loutit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 20, 1959</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1959</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 19, 1959</td>
<td>Van Dyck, V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 19, 1960</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21034</td>
<td>Underhill, Thomas Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21035</td>
<td>Barnett, Edward Lee, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21036</td>
<td>Burrill, William George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21037</td>
<td>Crist, Richard Alan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21038</td>
<td>Deppen, Gehret David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21039</td>
<td>Dreibelbis, John Laverne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21040</td>
<td>Easter, William Burton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21041</td>
<td>Gallup, Grant Morris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21042</td>
<td>Larson, John Milton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21043</td>
<td>Schaub, Jack Louis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21044</td>
<td>Wappler, Edwin Gould</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21045</td>
<td>Teague, Addison Dawson, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21046</td>
<td>Miller, Jacob Hubert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21047</td>
<td>Swanson, Ronald Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21048</td>
<td>Marsh, Karl Edwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21049</td>
<td>Ray, Thomas Kreider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21054</td>
<td>Hunt, Ernest Edward, 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21057</td>
<td>Ridgway, George Edward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21062</td>
<td>Barbour, Carroll Corbett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21063</td>
<td>Carrington, James Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21065</td>
<td>Cline, John Elliott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21066</td>
<td>Coke, Paul Tyler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21067</td>
<td>Daum, John William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21068</td>
<td>Ferris, Esmond DeForest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21069</td>
<td>Hauert, Robert Harold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21072</td>
<td>Thebeau, Duane Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21073</td>
<td>Blundell, James Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21074</td>
<td>Buck, Louis Eugene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21075</td>
<td>Staup, Thomas Peter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21076</td>
<td>Conley, Alan Bryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21077</td>
<td>Green, Richard Michael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21080</td>
<td>Rickard, Robert Burney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21081</td>
<td>Bane, David Conner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21083</td>
<td>Adams, Edgar George</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21085</td>
<td>Price, Frank Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21086</td>
<td>Wells, Melvin Frederick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21087</td>
<td>Stolley, Carl Hermann, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21088</td>
<td>Wolfram, William Harvey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21090</td>
<td>De Louch, Albertus Lee, 3rd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21091</td>
<td>Stump, Derald William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21093</td>
<td>Sullivan, Thomas Vincent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21097</td>
<td>Oliver, Robert Gordon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21101</td>
<td>Leach, Ralph Emerson, Jr.</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td>Feb 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21102</td>
<td>Timmins, Romilly</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Clements, J. P.</td>
<td>June 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21104</td>
<td>Evans, Robert Frank</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deposed June 10, 1960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21105</td>
<td>Stapleton, Archie Cummins, Jr.</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>June 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21106</td>
<td>Jenkins, Alan Wilkin</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>Apr 14, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21107</td>
<td>Longest, Charles Lindsay</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Apr 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21108</td>
<td>Smith, Columbus Benjamin</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Apr 6, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21109</td>
<td>Gross, Joseph Owen</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21110</td>
<td>Kilby, John Irvine</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
<td>July 2, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21111</td>
<td>Smith, John Ferris</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Jan 28, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21112</td>
<td>Butler, Guy Harry</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Honaman, E. M.</td>
<td>Jan 9, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21113</td>
<td>Thomas, John Harvey</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>Jan 17, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21114</td>
<td>Canon, Cham.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Feb 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21115</td>
<td>Wolverton, Wallace Irving, Jr.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21116</td>
<td>Alling, Roger, Jr.</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Carpenter, H. J.</td>
<td>Apr 25, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bishop of Oxford for Rochester</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21117</td>
<td>Downie, Paul Scoville</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Dec 29, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21118</td>
<td>McClellan, Robert Farrell, Jr.</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21119</td>
<td>Mills, Joe Dan</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Jan 28, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21120</td>
<td>Moya, Charles Allen</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Jan 28, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21121</td>
<td>St. Johns, Ernest Keyes</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>June 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21122</td>
<td>Tickner, Edward Herbert</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Dec 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21124</td>
<td>Bogardus, James Furnas, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Hayes, F.</td>
<td>May 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21125</td>
<td>Tidwell, William Ellis</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Babb, S. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21126</td>
<td>Hodgson, Robert Everett</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>Jan 7, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21127</td>
<td>Keefe, Terence Edward</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>Feb 4, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21128</td>
<td>Jones, Bobby Gordon</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21129</td>
<td>Franklin, Kenneth Roderick</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
<td>June 8, 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21130</td>
<td>Welke, Roy August, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Apr 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21131</td>
<td>Miller, Joseph Jacob, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Jan 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21132</td>
<td>Sheldon, Joseph Lawrence, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Jan 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21133</td>
<td>Tomlin, Hollier Grant</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Jan 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21134</td>
<td>Caffrey, Warren Coppock</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21135</td>
<td>Lyce, Horace Abbott</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21136</td>
<td>Palmer, Richard Rainer</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21137</td>
<td>Stark, Jon Marr</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21138</td>
<td>Thompson, Edgar Andrew</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21139</td>
<td>Harris, John Edward</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
<td>Jan. 10</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21140</td>
<td>Libby, James Donald</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Apr. 5</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21141</td>
<td>Erkman, James Frederick</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21142</td>
<td>Jacobson, Bruce Harvey</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
<td>Mar. 12</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21143</td>
<td>Bernard, Hal Gordon</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21144</td>
<td>Matthews, Daniel Paul</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21145</td>
<td>Thornburgh, Conway de Cavanaugh</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>July 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21146</td>
<td>Horner, James Haase</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>July 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21147</td>
<td>Hunter, Robert Fulton</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21148</td>
<td>Feick, Donald Harger</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21149</td>
<td>Pool, Charles Lane</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21150</td>
<td>Bradberry, Charles Haslip</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21151</td>
<td>Roberts, Thomas David</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>Vogel, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21152</td>
<td>Burrows, Henry Cratin</td>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>for Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21153</td>
<td>Irwin, Bruce Foster</td>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21154</td>
<td>Winn, Richard Lee</td>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21155</td>
<td>Younger, Richard Gibbes</td>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Jan. 8</td>
<td>Sherman, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21156</td>
<td>Browne, Samuel Jonathan</td>
<td>July 4</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Jan. 16</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21157</td>
<td>Vernilye, Herbert Alan</td>
<td>July 5</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21158</td>
<td>Pinder, Nelson Wardell</td>
<td>July 5</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Jan. 9</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21159</td>
<td>Walche, Woollen Hands</td>
<td>July 5</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
<td>May 7</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21160</td>
<td>Norwood, James Ulyses</td>
<td>July 10</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21161</td>
<td>Demas, James LeRoy</td>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Dicus, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21162</td>
<td>Tarbell, Albert Weatherbee</td>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>Jan. 16</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21163</td>
<td>Bonner, Robert Hughes</td>
<td>July 14</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 12</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21165</td>
<td>Potter, Guy Victor</td>
<td>July 22</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
<td>Apr. 2</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21167</td>
<td>Red, Aristide Samuel</td>
<td>July 28</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
<td>Feb. 29</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21168</td>
<td>Smith, Alfred Hersey</td>
<td>July 30</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21169</td>
<td>Banks, Dallas Clifton</td>
<td>Aug. 1</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21170</td>
<td>Crosby, William Franklin</td>
<td>Aug. 1</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Feb. 27, 1960</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21171</td>
<td>Kypke, Rodman Pierre</td>
<td>Aug. 6</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21174</td>
<td>MacLean, Burton Allan</td>
<td>Aug. 13</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 20, 1960</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21180</td>
<td>Davis, George Miller</td>
<td>Sept.  5</td>
<td>Hart, J. J. M.</td>
<td>Mar. 12, 1960</td>
<td>Hart, J. J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21182</td>
<td>Busch, Richard Alan</td>
<td>Sept. 14</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
<td>July 17, 1960</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21191</td>
<td>Walrath, Harry Rienzi</td>
<td>Sept. 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Sept. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21194</td>
<td>Sturtevant, Joseph Edward</td>
<td>Sept. 27</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 6, 1960</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21197</td>
<td>Little, Ervin Everitt</td>
<td>Oct.  4</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>June 8, 1960</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21201</td>
<td>Shultz, George Leonard</td>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1960</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21202</td>
<td>Batchelor, Harold Leslie</td>
<td>Oct. 30</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
<td>Nov. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21203</td>
<td>Hedley, George Percy</td>
<td>Oct. 30</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1959</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under Canon 36, not into Episcopal Church

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21203</td>
<td>Hunnings, Orin Melven</td>
<td>Nov.  5</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For South Florida*
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21204</td>
<td>Nutt, Darwin Howard</td>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
<td>June 26, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21205</td>
<td>Diggs, Thomas Tucker</td>
<td>Nov. 14</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>June 18, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21206</td>
<td>Borg, Warren Ralph</td>
<td>Nov. 16</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>June 15, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21207</td>
<td>Agnew, David Thompson</td>
<td>Nov. 18</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
<td>May 26, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21208</td>
<td>Burnett, James David</td>
<td>Nov. 18</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21212</td>
<td>Kirkpatrick, James</td>
<td>Nov. 23</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>June 12, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21213</td>
<td>Black, Roy Willis</td>
<td>Nov. 23</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td>May 23, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21214</td>
<td>Anthony, William Abner</td>
<td>Nov. 27</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>May 23, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21215</td>
<td>Hobbs, Edward Craig</td>
<td>Nov. 27</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>May 28, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21216</td>
<td>Westman, Paul Allen</td>
<td>Nov. 28</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>May 28, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21217</td>
<td>Mealy, Norman Carleton</td>
<td>Nov. 28</td>
<td>Shires, H. H.</td>
<td>May 28, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21218</td>
<td>Jordan, Richard Griffin</td>
<td>Nov. 30</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>June 26, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21219</td>
<td>Cleston, Kenneth Irving</td>
<td>Dec. 8</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>June 9, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21220</td>
<td>Bollinger, Thomas Eugene</td>
<td>Dec. 8</td>
<td>Bollinger, Thomas Eugene</td>
<td>June 9, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21221</td>
<td>Whitesell, Hugh Albert</td>
<td>Dec. 11</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>July 6, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21227</td>
<td>Mallett, Reginald, 2nd</td>
<td>Dec. 19</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>June 23, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21228</td>
<td>Magee, Frederick Hugh</td>
<td>Dec. 20</td>
<td>Greer, W. D. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21229</td>
<td>LeBrecht, Martin</td>
<td>Dec. 20</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21230</td>
<td>Bolton, Herbert Carter</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21231</td>
<td>Cuellar-Gnecco, Armando</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21232</td>
<td>Dodson, Thomas Albert</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>June 25, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21234</td>
<td>Gears, Wallace Ellsworth</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>McNairy, F. F.</td>
<td>July 5, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21235</td>
<td>Forde, Norman Peter</td>
<td>Dec. 23</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
<td>July 5, 1960</td>
<td>Bishop of Manchester for Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21236</td>
<td>Mehringer, Johannes</td>
<td>Jan. 9</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>July 25, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21238</td>
<td>Paz Ceresso, Juan Ramon de la</td>
<td>Jan. 25</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21239</td>
<td>Rhett, William Paterson, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 25</td>
<td>Carruthers, T. N.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21240</td>
<td>Wells, Edgar Fisher</td>
<td>Jan. 28</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
<td>Aug. 9, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21241</td>
<td>Blumer, Gary R.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Horstick, A. W.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21242</td>
<td>Kringel, Robert Leon</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
<td>Aug. 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21243</td>
<td>Muse, Grant Harland, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 27</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21244</td>
<td>Smith, William Herman</td>
<td>Mar. 12</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Oct. 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21245</td>
<td>Ehrenberger, Francis Henry</td>
<td>Mar. 13</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>with Eau Claire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21246</td>
<td>Abad, Alejandro Galindo</td>
<td>Mar. 19</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21248</td>
<td>Springstun, Humphreys</td>
<td>Mar. 19</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21249</td>
<td>Hern, Virgil Daniel</td>
<td>Mar. 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21250</td>
<td>Otwell, Jerry Doyle</td>
<td>Mar. 25</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21251</td>
<td>Tobey, Richard Thomas</td>
<td>Mar. 26</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21252</td>
<td>Lawrence, Harold Kenneth</td>
<td>Mar. 26</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21253</td>
<td>Sendall, George Edward</td>
<td>Mar. 26</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21254</td>
<td>Creasy, William Charles</td>
<td>Mar. 27</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Oct. 9, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21255</td>
<td>Wappier, Louis George</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21256</td>
<td>Read, Walter Howard</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
<td>Oct. 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21257</td>
<td>Taylor, Melvin Arlin</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Oct. 18, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21258</td>
<td>Dearman, William Benjamin, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21259</td>
<td>Watton, Percy Walter</td>
<td>Apr. 12</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 13, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21260</td>
<td>Cotter, Maurice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21261</td>
<td>Gillett, Richard Walker</td>
<td>Apr. 14</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21263</td>
<td>Poulos, John William</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21265</td>
<td>Brusco, Leonard George</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21266</td>
<td>Gregory, Carter Jackson</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21267</td>
<td>Porter, George Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21268</td>
<td>Reuss, Robert Julius</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21269</td>
<td>Sapin, Charles Robert</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21270</td>
<td>Wage, Duane Paul</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21236</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21251</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21253</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21257</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21259</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21266</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21267</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21271</td>
<td>Wancura, Paul Forsyth</td>
<td>Apr. 23</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21272</td>
<td>Yen, Herbert Chin-Ming</td>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21273</td>
<td>Dunne, Richard Wall</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21274</td>
<td>Kern, William George</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21275</td>
<td>Morrow, John Thomas</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21276</td>
<td>Shelton, William Walter</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21277</td>
<td>Walker, John Francis</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21278</td>
<td>Willis, George Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 30</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21279</td>
<td>Bieberbach, John Villa</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Dec. 3, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21281</td>
<td>Greenfield, Peter Alan</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21282</td>
<td>Hazlett, William Howard</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21283</td>
<td>Hofmeister, Robert Clayton</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21284</td>
<td>Hutchinson, Robert Henri, Jr.</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21285</td>
<td>Shepherd, William John</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21286</td>
<td>Edwards, Ralph</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21287</td>
<td>Faramelli, Norman Joseph</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 4, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21288</td>
<td>Findley, Hugh William</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21290</td>
<td>Houston, Wallace Boyd, Jr.</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21291</td>
<td>Owen, Harrison Hollingsworth</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21292</td>
<td>Hewlett, John Pierce</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>McNair, P. F.</td>
<td>Nov. 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21293</td>
<td>Brown, James Thompson</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21294</td>
<td>Campbell, William Armour</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21296</td>
<td>Campbell, Everett Ihsen</td>
<td>May 21</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21297</td>
<td>Blackmon, Cory Edward</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>for Honolulu</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21298</td>
<td>Lingle, Paul Wayne</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21299</td>
<td>Norton, Howard George</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21300</td>
<td>Ruddick, Chester Townsend, Jr.</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21302</td>
<td>Randles, David Clark</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 28, 1960</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 5, 1960</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 3, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Washburn, B. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 4, 1960</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 22, 1960</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 12, 1960</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 26, 1960</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 10, 1960</td>
<td>Oldham, G. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21305</td>
<td>Small, William David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21310</td>
<td>Heiligsteit, Patrick Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21316</td>
<td>Ferguson, Lawrence Cooley, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21317</td>
<td>Price, Robert David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21318</td>
<td>Tuller, Stuart Sidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21319</td>
<td>Prokop, Roger Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21321</td>
<td>Jones, Theodore Francis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21322</td>
<td>Cooper, Jackie Finley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21324</td>
<td>Abele, George Frederick, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21325</td>
<td>Smith, John Harmon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21326</td>
<td>Muntean, Aurel Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21327</td>
<td>Bennett, Charles Gibson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21328</td>
<td>Hamilton, Harold Joseph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21329</td>
<td>Brennan, James Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21330</td>
<td>Clark, Roland Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21331</td>
<td>Shriver, Frederick Hardman, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21332</td>
<td>Watkins, David LeMasters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21334</td>
<td>Kirkpatrick, David Willis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21336</td>
<td>Colenback, Don Fredric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECORD OF ORDINATIONS**

**DIACONATE 1960**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21304</td>
<td>Schuster, Lawrence Arthur</td>
<td>May 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21305</td>
<td>Small, William David</td>
<td>May 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21306</td>
<td>Wiltse, Roderic Duncan</td>
<td>May 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21307</td>
<td>Walker, Dennis Romaine</td>
<td>May 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21308</td>
<td>Newberg, Arthur Helmer</td>
<td>May 29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRIESTHOOD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21304</td>
<td>Schuster, Lawrence Arthur</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21305</td>
<td>Small, William David</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21306</td>
<td>Wiltse, Roderic Duncan</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Barry, F. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21307</td>
<td>Walker, Dennis Romaine</td>
<td>May 28</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21308</td>
<td>Newberg, Arthur Helmer</td>
<td>May 29</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21309</td>
<td>Schlegel, Stuart Allen</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21310</td>
<td>Heiligsteit, Patrick Charles</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21311</td>
<td>Humphrey, Harold Edward Burton</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21312</td>
<td>Kelly, Jesse Thomas</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21313</td>
<td>Schaefer, Otto John, Jr.</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21314</td>
<td>Whittall, Ronald Eugene</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21315</td>
<td>Parrott, Edgar George</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21316</td>
<td>Ferguson, Lawrence Cooley, Jr.</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21317</td>
<td>Price, Robert David</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21318</td>
<td>Tuller, Stuart Sidney</td>
<td>June 4</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21319</td>
<td>Prokop, Roger Lee</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21320</td>
<td>Edelman, Samuel Warren, Jr.</td>
<td>June 5</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21321</td>
<td>Jones, Theodore Francis</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21322</td>
<td>Cooper, Jackie Finley</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21323</td>
<td>Adams, Harold Edgar</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21324</td>
<td>Abele, George Frederick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Hubbell, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21325</td>
<td>Smith, John Harmon</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Hubbell, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21326</td>
<td>Muntean, Aurel Henry</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Hubbell, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21327</td>
<td>Bennett, Charles Gibson</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21328</td>
<td>Hamilton, Harold Joseph</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21329</td>
<td>Brennan, James Henry</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21330</td>
<td>Clark, Roland Ellis</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21331</td>
<td>Shriver, Frederick Hardman, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21332</td>
<td>Watkins, David LeMasters</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21333</td>
<td>Tomlinson, Edwin Sherman</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21334</td>
<td>Kirkpatrick, David Willis</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21335</td>
<td>Myrick, Harry Eugene</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21336</td>
<td>Colenback, Don Fredric</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21337</td>
<td>Thompson, Harry Washington</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. E.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21338</td>
<td>Detweiler, William Raymond</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21339</td>
<td>Innis, Kenneth Francis</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21340</td>
<td>Lewis, Jack Ritchey</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21341</td>
<td>Walters, Robert Carroll</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21342</td>
<td>Abbott, Frederic Everett</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21343</td>
<td>Bowman, Richard J.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21344</td>
<td>Brown, Barton</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21345</td>
<td>Dent, Wade Gilbert, 3rd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21346</td>
<td>Grunge, Walter Henry</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21347</td>
<td>Hood, Robert Earl</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21348</td>
<td>Lefebvre, Frederick Pierre</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21349</td>
<td>Macdonald, Henry Gordon, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21350</td>
<td>Mateu, Juan Simo</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21351</td>
<td>Merrill, George Richard</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21352</td>
<td>Phillips, Richard Oliver</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21353</td>
<td>Willing, Robert Nelson</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21354</td>
<td>Wilson, John Haskell</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21355</td>
<td>Goeb, John Louis</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21356</td>
<td>Aulenbach, William Hamilton, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21357</td>
<td>Decker, Alva George</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21358</td>
<td>Herring, Joseph Dahlet, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21359</td>
<td>Phillips, Robert Stirling, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21360</td>
<td>Wiesner, August Donald</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21361</td>
<td>Leggett, John Milton</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21362</td>
<td>Matthews, Donald H.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21363</td>
<td>Elders, Donald Lloyd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21364</td>
<td>MacDonald, Andrew George</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21365</td>
<td>Slocum, Robert Frank</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21366</td>
<td>Harrison, Harold Donald</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21367</td>
<td>Home, George Everett, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21368</td>
<td>Littleton, William Harvey</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21369</td>
<td>Dugan, Raymond Paul</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21370</td>
<td>McMann, Dean Everett</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21371</td>
<td>Pettengill, David Eugene</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21372</td>
<td>Tuttle, Augustus Wright, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21339</td>
<td>Innis, Kenneth Francis</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1960</td>
<td>Page, H. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21340</td>
<td>Lewis, Jack Ritchey</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21341</td>
<td>Walters, Robert Carroll</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21342</td>
<td>Abbott, Frederic Everett</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1960</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21344</td>
<td>Brown, Barton</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21347</td>
<td>Hood, Robert Earl</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21348</td>
<td>Lefebvre, Frederick Pierre</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21350</td>
<td>Mateu, Juan Simo</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21351</td>
<td>Merrill, George Richard</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21354</td>
<td>Wilson, John Haskell</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21355</td>
<td>Goeb, John Louis</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1960</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21356</td>
<td>Aulenbach, William Hamilton, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21357</td>
<td>Decker, Alva George</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21360</td>
<td>Wiesner, August Donald</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21361</td>
<td>Leggett, John Milton</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21362</td>
<td>Matthews, Donald H.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21363</td>
<td>Elders, Donald Lloyd</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21364</td>
<td>MacDonald, Andrew George</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1960</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21365</td>
<td>Slocum, Robert Frank</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1960</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21366</td>
<td>Harrison, Harold Donald</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21367</td>
<td>Home, George Everett, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21368</td>
<td>Littleton, William Harvey</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21369</td>
<td>Dugan, Raymond Paul</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21370</td>
<td>McMann, Dean Everett</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21371</td>
<td>Pettengill, David Eugene</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21372</td>
<td>Tuttle, Augustus Wright, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Connecticut

Perpetual Deacon

For Honolulu
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21373</td>
<td>Mason, Junius Hannibal</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21374</td>
<td>Bohne, Frederick George</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21377</td>
<td>Harrison, John Dean</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21378</td>
<td>Howe, Chester Harris, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21382</td>
<td>Butcher, John Beverley</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21385</td>
<td>Schomaker, Kenneth Elmer</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21387</td>
<td>Worthy, Robert Charles</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21388</td>
<td>Cole, Donald Dean</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Horstick, W. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Quarterman, G. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21389</td>
<td>Maier, Elmer Carl</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Horstick, W. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Quarterman, G. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21392</td>
<td>Caldwell, Gary Edward</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21393</td>
<td>Hodgkins, Nelson Bainbridge</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21395</td>
<td>Bowman, David Charles</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Tucker, R. D.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21396</td>
<td>Hall, Robert Faulkner</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21397</td>
<td>Overton, Donald Ernest</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21400</td>
<td>Gee, Alan Herbert</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21401</td>
<td>Pierson, Lawrence Albert</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Pierson, Lawrence Albert</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21402</td>
<td>Trafton, Clark Wright</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21403</td>
<td>Derby, Roger Sherman</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21404</td>
<td>Van Duyne, Homer John, Sr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21406</td>
<td>Kimsey, Rustin Ray</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1960</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### Diaconate 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21410</td>
<td>Trumbore, Frederick Rhue</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21411</td>
<td>Borsch, Frederick Houk</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21412</td>
<td>Burke, Norman Charles</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21413</td>
<td>James, Robert Arthur</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21414</td>
<td>Lindemann, Truman Edward</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21415</td>
<td>Price, George Nathan</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21416</td>
<td>Scott, Nathan Alexander, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21417</td>
<td>Smith, Ralph Leon</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21418</td>
<td>Stickney, William David</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21419</td>
<td>Daniels, Hal Shipley, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Claihorne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21420</td>
<td>Ellsworth, John Gordon</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21421</td>
<td>Fox, Ferner Earle, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21422</td>
<td>Gast, Stuart Franklin, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21423</td>
<td>Getman, Damon Laurence, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21424</td>
<td>Heeter, Ned J.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21425</td>
<td>Libby, Richardson Armstrong</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21426</td>
<td>Myers, William Francis</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21427</td>
<td>Thoms, George Dittrich</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21428</td>
<td>Marks, William Parker</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21429</td>
<td>Landis, Richard Vincent</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21430</td>
<td>Brown, Donn Russell</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21431</td>
<td>Hall, John</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21432</td>
<td>Ryan, David Andrew</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21433</td>
<td>Stenning, Donald Edgar</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21434</td>
<td>Walch, Donald Sanderson</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21435</td>
<td>Nagle, George Overholser</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21436</td>
<td>Beresford, Herbert Dudley</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21437</td>
<td>Colbert, Douglass Chandler</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21438</td>
<td>Jarchow, Rodney Wells</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21439</td>
<td>Johnston, William Merrill</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21440</td>
<td>Kilde, Clarence Marvin</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21441</td>
<td>Neil, Earl Albert</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21442</td>
<td>Peck, James A.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21443</td>
<td>Scott, Benjamin Ives, Jr.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21444</td>
<td>Swenson, Daniel Lee</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21445</td>
<td>Matthews, Malcolm John</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Miller, A. J.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1960</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1960</td>
<td>Claihorne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1960</td>
<td>Dan, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1960</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1960</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21447</td>
<td>Buttrick, William</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21449</td>
<td>Fox, William</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21451</td>
<td>Hunt, Donald Aldrich</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21454</td>
<td>Moulton, Roger Conant</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, A. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21455</td>
<td>Seyward, William Frederick</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21456</td>
<td>Sobol, Walter</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21457</td>
<td>Duvall, Charles Farmer</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21458</td>
<td>Hartman, John Kirk</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21459</td>
<td>James, Donald Turley</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21460</td>
<td>Schaefer, Philip David</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21461</td>
<td>Fisher, Robert Alfred</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Ogbby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21462</td>
<td>Manguranas, Constancio Buanda</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Whitemore, L. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21463</td>
<td>Potter, Frank</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21464</td>
<td>Ledger, John Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21465</td>
<td>Parsons, Henry Hunt</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21466</td>
<td>Walker, James Oscar, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21468</td>
<td>Shearer, Robert Livingston</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21469</td>
<td>Wescott, Richard Edwin</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Melcher, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21470</td>
<td>Hyman, Melvin Richard</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21471</td>
<td>Jamieson, Leland Shattuck</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21472</td>
<td>Pilcher, William Edward, 3rd</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21476</td>
<td>Cole, Anson Dean</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21477</td>
<td>Fletcher, Robert Hypse</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21478</td>
<td>Grieb, Ray Kline</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21479</td>
<td>Kern, Lawrence Russell</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21480</td>
<td>Wilder, Richard Frederick</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21481</td>
<td>Goodman, Herbert Raymond</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21482</td>
<td>Parrett, Lyle Franklin</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21483</td>
<td>Gatch, Milton McCormick,</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21488</td>
<td>Bell, John Robinson, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21489</td>
<td>Lecarpentier, Edward Cook,</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21490</td>
<td>Williams, Bruce McKennie</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21491</td>
<td>Williams, Stephen Junior Cherrington</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21492</td>
<td>Milam, George Walton, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21493</td>
<td>Porter, Roger Cliff</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21494</td>
<td>Whitehead, Philip Hoyle</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21495</td>
<td>Hill, Robert Clark</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21496</td>
<td>Salmon, Edward Lloyd, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21497</td>
<td>Carpenter, Douglas Morrison</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21498</td>
<td>Bell, Donald Lee</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Clough, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21499</td>
<td>Ouzts, Peter Daniel</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21500</td>
<td>Copeland, James Robert,</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Dicos, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21502</td>
<td>Clift, Wallace Bruce, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Hines, E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21503</td>
<td>Dobson, Thomas Evan</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21504</td>
<td>Miller, John James</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21505</td>
<td>Nurding, Brian Frank</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21506</td>
<td>Westland, Larry Arnold</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21507</td>
<td>Brown, John Thompson, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Marmon, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21508</td>
<td>Weaver, William Courtworthy</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Melcher, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21510</td>
<td>Berlin, Jacob Boris</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21511</td>
<td>Hutchings, Douglas Wayne</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21512</td>
<td>Forsyth, Ronald Wayne</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21513</td>
<td>Hanna, Daniel Basset</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21514</td>
<td>Houston, Lawrence Patrick,</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21515</td>
<td>Kerr, George Newbery</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21516</td>
<td>McKendrick, James Frederick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21517</td>
<td>Newton, Leonard Thomas</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21518</td>
<td>Park, Francis Albert</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21519</td>
<td>Woodruff, James Edward Pasquale</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21520</td>
<td>Easty, Stanley William, Jr.</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21523</td>
<td>Jensen, Andrew</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21524</td>
<td>Williams, Douglas Maclin</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21525</td>
<td>Wright, Allan McLean</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21526</td>
<td>Kuhner, George Jesse</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21528</td>
<td>Daughtery, James Robert</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21529</td>
<td>Phillips, John Walter, 2nd</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21530</td>
<td>Adams, Robert Ober</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21531</td>
<td>Brown, Kenneth Bradford</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21532</td>
<td>Brown, Melvin Ray</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21533</td>
<td>Gans, Arthur Elliott</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21534</td>
<td>Hawn, Robert Harold</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21535</td>
<td>McLeod, James Wallace</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21536</td>
<td>Schively, John Alfric</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21537</td>
<td>Walker, Frederic Allyn</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21538</td>
<td>Sharkey, William Lawrence</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21539</td>
<td>Blumenstein, Ted Louis</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21540</td>
<td>Watt, James Henry</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21541</td>
<td>Carr, Daubney Jefferson, 3rd</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21542</td>
<td>Crewdson, Robert Henry</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21543</td>
<td>Cunningham, James Harry</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21544</td>
<td>Grumbine, Eugene Edmund</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21545</td>
<td>Humphries, John Curtis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21546</td>
<td>Igarashi, Peter Hiroshi</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21547</td>
<td>Mason, Lawrence Walker</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21548</td>
<td>Schulz, David Allen</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21549</td>
<td>Warrington, James Malcolm</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21550</td>
<td>Clarke, Richard Kent</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Hatch, R. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21551</td>
<td>Asdel, Richard Dale</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21552</td>
<td>Fitzgerald, William Thomas</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21553</td>
<td>Packard, George Henry</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21554</td>
<td>Cooper, Robert Marsh</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21555</td>
<td>Wentz, Herbert Stephenson</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21556</td>
<td>Babin, David Edward</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21557</td>
<td>Moore, William Joe</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21558</td>
<td>Shawhan, Benjamin Harrison, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21559</td>
<td>Williamson, Donald McKenzie</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21560</td>
<td>Woodward, Vernon Powell</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21561</td>
<td>Barnes, Robert Dean</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21562</td>
<td>Norris, Robert Houseal</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21563</td>
<td>Randall, Chandler Corydon</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21564</td>
<td>Richardson, Robert Harry</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21565</td>
<td>Weathersby, Joseph Brewster</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21575</td>
<td>Binkley, Benjamin Franklin</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21576</td>
<td>Davis, John Paschall</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21577</td>
<td>Bigelow, Edwin Lewis</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21578</td>
<td>Craig, Robert Elsworth</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21579</td>
<td>Bass, Richard Boynton</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21580</td>
<td>Thomas, John Walter Riddle</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21581</td>
<td>Kirby, Lewis MacWilliams, Jr.</td>
<td>July 5</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21582</td>
<td>Fraser, William Carson</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21583</td>
<td>Allen, John Dale</td>
<td>July 7</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21584</td>
<td>Jennings, Carl Eugene</td>
<td>July 7</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21585</td>
<td>Spangenberg, Ronald Wesley</td>
<td>July 7</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21586</td>
<td>Waller, Clifford Scott</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21587</td>
<td>Morris, Hunter Mason</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21588</td>
<td>Schenkel, Robert Downes, Jr.</td>
<td>July 8</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21589</td>
<td>Mathews, Lex Sterner</td>
<td>July 9</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### DIACONATE 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21590</td>
<td>Kennedy, Bruce Hargreaves</td>
<td>July 10</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21591</td>
<td>Feaster, Norman Brunner</td>
<td>July 10</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21592</td>
<td>Cooper, Austin Rellins</td>
<td>July 16</td>
<td>Louttit, H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21594</td>
<td>Wilson, Charles Ralph</td>
<td>July 18</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21595</td>
<td>Werson, Tom Stacey</td>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21596</td>
<td>Hill, Lester Glenn</td>
<td>July 25</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21597</td>
<td>Eberhardt, Charles Richard</td>
<td>July 27</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21598</td>
<td>Gilliam, Robert Lee</td>
<td>July 27</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21599</td>
<td>Solon, Terry Tim</td>
<td>Aug. 7</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21600</td>
<td>Hall, Hugh Barnett, Jr.</td>
<td>Aug. 13</td>
<td>Creighton, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21601</td>
<td>Cáceres Villavicencio, Adrián Delio</td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1960</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H. Received from Roman Church</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Bishop Ordaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21602</td>
<td>Sower, Donald Wayne</td>
<td>Aug. 16</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21603</td>
<td>Sancho Corrales, Manuel Antonio</td>
<td>Aug. 21</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21604</td>
<td>Vinas-Plasencia, Aquilino Manuel</td>
<td>Aug. 21</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21605</td>
<td>Arcacha, Luis Octavio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21606</td>
<td>Cuffee, Jeffrey Townsend</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21607</td>
<td>Hawes, Frederick Thurwchter</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21608</td>
<td>Parkerson, William, Jr.</td>
<td>Sept. 8</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21609</td>
<td>Venable, William Wade</td>
<td>Sept. 11</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21610</td>
<td>Lampton, Robert Koerbel</td>
<td>Sept. 11</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21611</td>
<td>Holt, Dean Arthur</td>
<td>Sept. 12</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21612</td>
<td>Carlo, Joseph William</td>
<td>Sept. 14</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21613</td>
<td>Schweizer, Jack Eugene</td>
<td>Sept. 14</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21614</td>
<td>Magill, William Hafer</td>
<td>Sept. 14</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21615</td>
<td>Hyatt, James Garretson</td>
<td>Oct. 1</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21616</td>
<td>Bush, Jack Keith</td>
<td>Oct. 6</td>
<td>MacAdie, D. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21617</td>
<td>Young, Ralph Clyde</td>
<td>Oct. 7</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21618</td>
<td>Wooden, Loretho</td>
<td>Oct. 9</td>
<td>MacAdie, D. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21619</td>
<td>Aosan, Eduardo Com-ong</td>
<td>Oct. 13</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21620</td>
<td>Wangdali, Marcus Melchor</td>
<td>Oct. 13</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21621</td>
<td>Liller, Merrill Dawson</td>
<td>Oct. 15</td>
<td>Crowrey, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21622</td>
<td>Plankey, Gary Grennon</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21623</td>
<td>Cavitt, Robert Folsom</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21624</td>
<td>Powell, Woodson, Lea, 4th</td>
<td>Oct. 20</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21625</td>
<td>Barron, Robert Eglinton</td>
<td>Oct. 22</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21626</td>
<td>Cochrane, Frederic John</td>
<td>Oct. 23</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21627</td>
<td>Williams, Tillman Beshore</td>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21628</td>
<td>Becton, Marion Ross, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 3</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21629</td>
<td>Roeger, William Donald, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 5</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21630</td>
<td>Probert, Walter Leslie</td>
<td>Nov. 5</td>
<td>Hallow, D. H. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21631</td>
<td>Meyer, John Paul</td>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21632</td>
<td>Udell, Carlton Leland</td>
<td>Nov. 9</td>
<td>Dconegea, H. W. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21633</td>
<td>Griswold, Edwin A.</td>
<td>Nov. 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21634</td>
<td>Lemmon, Gary Joe.</td>
<td>Nov. 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21635</td>
<td>Hess, Richard Walton.</td>
<td>Nov. 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21636</td>
<td>Cox, LeRoy Eugene.</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21637</td>
<td>Hess, William Lyndon.</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21638</td>
<td>Cole, Arthur Edward.</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21639</td>
<td>Young, Albert LeRoy, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21640</td>
<td>Oliveira, Heitor Coll de</td>
<td>Dec. 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21641</td>
<td>Wolfe, James Edward.</td>
<td>Dec. 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21642</td>
<td>McKewin, Robert Williams</td>
<td>Dec. 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21643</td>
<td>Rodrigues, Clovis Erly.</td>
<td>Dec. 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21644</td>
<td>Teixeira, Walter Dias.</td>
<td>Dec. 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21645</td>
<td>Dunn, Donald Duane.</td>
<td>Dec. 19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21646</td>
<td>Jensen, Winston Frithiof</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21647</td>
<td>Kamp, Ernest Frederick.</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21648</td>
<td>Dirks, John Armstrong, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21649</td>
<td>Bougie, Laurence Laise, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21650</td>
<td>Karshner, Donald Lee.</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21651</td>
<td>Anderson, Robert Hammond</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21652</td>
<td>Buxton, James Morgan.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21653</td>
<td>Carson, Alfred David.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21655</td>
<td>De Coss, Donald Albion.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21656</td>
<td>Dodd, Valentine Richard.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21657</td>
<td>Dulaney, William Dykes.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21658</td>
<td>Emerson, George Heins.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21659</td>
<td>Harms, John Arthur.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21660</td>
<td>Hoggard, Robert Edward.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21661</td>
<td>Nissen, Marius T.</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Bishop Ordaining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21662</td>
<td>Will, Robert Campbell</td>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21663</td>
<td>Carlson, Arnold Burdett</td>
<td>Dec. 28</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21664</td>
<td>Wolfe, Francis</td>
<td>Dec. 28</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21665</td>
<td>Madden, Thomas J.</td>
<td>Dec. 28</td>
<td>Miller, A. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21666</td>
<td>Bragg, William Russell</td>
<td>Dec. 31</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21667</td>
<td>Freemyer, Maurice Henry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## BISHOPS CONSECRATED SINCE THE LAST RECORDER REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordination Serial Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551</td>
<td>Saucedo, Jose Guadalupe</td>
<td>Jan. 14</td>
<td>X-17070-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>552</td>
<td>McNairy, Philip Frederick</td>
<td>Jan. 30</td>
<td>14152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553</td>
<td>Esquirol, John Henry</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>14606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td>Corrigan, Daniel</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>12477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>Pike, James Albert</td>
<td>May 15</td>
<td>16406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>556</td>
<td>Rose, David Shepherd</td>
<td>Sept. 16</td>
<td>14741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>557</td>
<td>Lickfield, Francis William</td>
<td>Sept. 20</td>
<td>14020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>558</td>
<td>MacAdie, Donald</td>
<td>Oct. 22</td>
<td>12474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>559</td>
<td>Blanchard, Roger Wilson</td>
<td>Nov. 11</td>
<td>14529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>Sherrill, Edmund Knox</td>
<td>Jan. 25</td>
<td>17696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>Brown, Allen Webster</td>
<td>Feb. 21</td>
<td>14099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>Cabanban, Benito Cabanban</td>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>X-17020-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563</td>
<td>Cadigan, George Leslie</td>
<td>Apr. 16</td>
<td>14414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>564</td>
<td>Creighton, William Forman</td>
<td>May 1</td>
<td>14118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>Millard, George Richard</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>14828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>566</td>
<td>Wright, William Godsell</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td>13465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>567</td>
<td>Benniston, Charles Ellsworth</td>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>15834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568</td>
<td>Kellogg, Paul Axtell</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>14151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>569</td>
<td>Wetmore, James Stuart</td>
<td>Mar. 25</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>Curtis, Ivol Ira</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td>14372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>571</td>
<td>Chilton, Samuel Blackwell</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>12483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>572</td>
<td>Fraser, Thomas Augustus, Jr.</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>15692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573</td>
<td>De Witt, Robert Lonne</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
<td>15584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>Thayer, Edwin Burton</td>
<td>Nov. 30</td>
<td>14096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DEACONESSES ADMITTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, Frances</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>June 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englebert, Stella Pauline</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>June 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman, Ann</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
<td>April 23, 1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>June 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECEPTIONS
### FROM OTHER CHURCHES OF, OR IN COMMUNION WITH, THE ANGLICAN COMMUNION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowman, Marlin Leonard</td>
<td>December 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and December 18, 1959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton, Cecil Ronald</td>
<td>January 30, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casserley, Julian Victor Langmead</td>
<td>April 18, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole, Eric Saxon Wall</td>
<td>November 3, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Benjamin Joseph</td>
<td>April 25, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Garry Armstrong</td>
<td>November 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranston, John Arnold, Jr. (17719)</td>
<td>September 2, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowther, Clarence Edward</td>
<td>April 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies, Jenkin Alban</td>
<td>October 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George, Lloyd Vernon</td>
<td>March 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goode, Bernard Claud</td>
<td>June 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray, Earl George</td>
<td>June 23, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hettlinger, Richard Frederick</td>
<td>November 25, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, John Moran</td>
<td>September 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgins, William Charles</td>
<td>September 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornby, John Hulme</td>
<td>May 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transferred December 31, 1958</td>
<td>September 23, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotchkins, Gilbert Bruce</td>
<td>July 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Walter Heath</td>
<td>June 12, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox, Thomas Stephen</td>
<td>July 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ko, Stephen Shiu Hung</td>
<td>March 12, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunkle, Howard Rinker</td>
<td>April 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Arthur Ernest</td>
<td>March 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, John Bosworth, Jr.</td>
<td>December 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lien, Graham Yu Lin (10122)</td>
<td>January 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Littlehales, Richard Converse (18724)</td>
<td>September 30, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longfellow, Albert Edward</td>
<td>September 30, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacConnell, James Hamilton (14976)</td>
<td>September 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquire, William Francis Bosence</td>
<td>January 2, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martyn, George Robert</td>
<td>December 31, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minton, David Samuel Haynes</td>
<td>December 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molina Rodríguez, Anthony</td>
<td>August 20, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noting, Gerald Henry</td>
<td>September 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northway, Russell Stanley</td>
<td>June 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Neill, Robert Dyfrig</td>
<td>January 21, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park, Thomas Wesley</td>
<td>August 15, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parson, William Ridley</td>
<td>August 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peacock, Gerald Vernon</td>
<td>April 24, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancharan, Carlisle John</td>
<td>October 12, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts, Cyril Victor</td>
<td>December 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scovell, Gordon Shelley</td>
<td>December 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siemens, Norman Andrew</td>
<td>November 29, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Douglas Turner</td>
<td>June 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Norman Douglas</td>
<td>February 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakman, Charles Francis</td>
<td>March 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Timothy Eugene John</td>
<td>March 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, George North (18871)</td>
<td>January 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temple, Sydney A. (14182a)</td>
<td>September 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tepsic, Theodore Timothy</td>
<td>June 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, John Franklin (19036)</td>
<td>March 31, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webner, William Thomas</td>
<td>June 30, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposed May 3, 1960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Cecil Egbert</td>
<td>December 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, James Charles</td>
<td>April 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TRANSFERS

To other Churches of the Anglican Communion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bowman, Marlin Leonard</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>July 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elcombe, Frederick George</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Sept. 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornby, John Hulme</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, Clarence Ling Tong</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Mar. 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKay, Maurice Putnam</td>
<td>14305</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mize, Robert Herbert, Jr.</td>
<td>13808</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risard, Martin Hector, Jr.</td>
<td>20270</td>
<td>Oct. 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon, Charles Walter John</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>June 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silk, Claude Whitehall</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUSPENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Becker, Richard George*</td>
<td>17341</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>June 5, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carruthers, William James Malcolm†</td>
<td>19737</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>June 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb, Rodney Fitzgerald†</td>
<td>12457</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott, Samuel Hanna Norman</td>
<td>15788</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>Nov. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson, Robert Jennings*</td>
<td>13661</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>to Dec. 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greene, Robert Barringer†</td>
<td>17258</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand, Osborn Ira</td>
<td>18635</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
<td>Nov. 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herron, Davis Cahoon*</td>
<td>18119</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosher, David Rike†</td>
<td>17831</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>to Jan. 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okonski, Francisco</td>
<td>X-19894-A</td>
<td>Simoes, P. L.</td>
<td>Mar. 20, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeples, David Nathanael†</td>
<td>14218</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>to June 22, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholl, William Francis*</td>
<td>20714</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, Norval</td>
<td>16796</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>Sept. 19, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexton, George Ferdinand†</td>
<td>20879</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>to Feb. 28, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinks, Benjamin Tolbert†</td>
<td>19808</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
<td>Sept. 1, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanley, James Caylor</td>
<td>18042</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton, Charles Jacob Havling†</td>
<td>18896</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>to Oct. 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Later deposed.
†Suspension in effect Dec. 31, 1960.

### TERMINATIONS OF PREVIOUSLY REPORTED SUSPENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paraison, Jean Enoch</td>
<td>X-15657B</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RESTORATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bateman, Robert Eugene</td>
<td>16995</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa, Octavio Moreira da</td>
<td>X-14950-A</td>
<td>Kruschke, E. M.</td>
<td>July 10, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn, Henry Robie</td>
<td>11853</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
<td>Dec. 8, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shacklett, Richard Lee, Jr.</td>
<td>18821</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>June 7, 1960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## DEPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albaugh, Edward Kenneth</td>
<td>13954</td>
<td>Mosley, I. B.</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alison, Grover, Jr.</td>
<td>16210</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>May 1, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baker, Paul Hamilton</td>
<td>13133</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
<td>Sept. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck, Thaddeus Edmund, Jr.</td>
<td>19188</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch, Edward Bentinck</td>
<td>15791</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Aug. 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boes, Charles Peter, Jr.</td>
<td>16188</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone, Robert Harold</td>
<td>19216</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>June 3, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronk, Harold Raymond, Jr.</td>
<td>18775</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Sept. 4, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, Richard Allen</td>
<td>18664</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>Oct. 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collins, Benjamin George</td>
<td>18730</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 23, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Bertram Cleveland</td>
<td>15928</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Nov. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curzon, Donald Joseph</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>McNeil, D. B.</td>
<td>Sept. 27, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis, Howard Eugene</td>
<td>16118</td>
<td>Bayne, S. F.</td>
<td>Apr. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Tamble, Forbes Ross</td>
<td>16089</td>
<td>Enrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Apr. 16, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dun, Angus, Jr.</td>
<td>16046</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Feb. 6, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans, Robert Frank</td>
<td>21104</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>June 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgerald, John William</td>
<td>17926</td>
<td>Purdue, A.</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flinchbaugh, Roy Bay</td>
<td>18264</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Apr. 7, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman, James Anderson</td>
<td>20206</td>
<td>Barth, T. N.</td>
<td>Nov. 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibson, Robert Jennings</td>
<td>15661</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilbert, Frank Milton Brooks</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Nov. 18, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>González Losada, Alonso</td>
<td>X-16478-A</td>
<td>Blankingship, A. H.</td>
<td>Nov. 29, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamel, John Jacob, 3rd</td>
<td>17815</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>May 8, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanckel, William Henry, Jr.</td>
<td>16072</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Apr. 19, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen, Kenneth Warren</td>
<td>16217</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herron, Davis Calhoon</td>
<td>18119</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hix, Fulton</td>
<td>17358A</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higgins, Herbert Ralph</td>
<td>13209</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
<td>Sept. 16, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horn, Walter George</td>
<td>12115</td>
<td>Bayne, S. F.</td>
<td>Apr. 24, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbell, Theodore Powers</td>
<td>19505</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
<td>June 28, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes, John Jay</td>
<td>18279</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
<td>July 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith, Robert Danielson</td>
<td>19743</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
<td>Sept. 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lashle, Ralph Charles</td>
<td>18398</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>June 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layman, Richard Pearse</td>
<td>18514</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>May 22, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowry, Charles Wesley</td>
<td>15326</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNitt, William Henry, Jr.</td>
<td>18669</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
<td>July 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNeal, Francis Kane, Jr.</td>
<td>16579</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>July 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehring, James Daniel</td>
<td>19441</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>June 24, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Virgil Michael</td>
<td>19273</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 24, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosher, David Rike</td>
<td>17931</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>July 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers, Charles Andrew</td>
<td>14877</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Aug. 12, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson, John Willard</td>
<td>17661</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
<td>Apr. 16, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeples, David Nathanael</td>
<td>14218</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>May 8, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn, Edmond Albert</td>
<td>19211</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
<td>Sept. 10, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>By Bishop</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen, Keith John</td>
<td>17937</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramirez Rios, Ignacio</td>
<td>X-20316-E</td>
<td>Saucedo, J. G.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renear, Miles Wetherell</td>
<td>16165</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Oct. 13, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchie, Charles Alexander</td>
<td>X-16035-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawyer, Merritt Kimberly</td>
<td>16630</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sayers, William Thomas</td>
<td>19513</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Nov. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenck, Lewis Fry</td>
<td>14988</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>May 5, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheffer, Harold Vermont</td>
<td>20719</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Feb. 11, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Gardner</td>
<td>17051</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Apr. 3, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streeter, Millard Gordon</td>
<td>18577</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 5, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Francis Augustine</td>
<td>16073</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
<td>May 22, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, Robert Kollock</td>
<td>16775</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Feb. 17, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson, Joelzie Howard</td>
<td>14900</td>
<td>Penick, E. A.</td>
<td>Jan. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trout, John Robert</td>
<td>20033</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 5, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varian, Horace Lytton, Jr.</td>
<td>17881</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Dec. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webner, William Thomas</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Horstick, W. W.</td>
<td>May 3, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Herbert Vincent, Jr.</td>
<td>20805</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Nov. 9, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Robert Lloyd</td>
<td>18471</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 15, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winters, William Evans</td>
<td>20040</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
<td>June 9, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyckoff, Ronald Alan</td>
<td>18231</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 30, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varza, Thomas Matteson Whitfield</td>
<td>16039</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
<td>Aug. 21, 1958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yungblut, John Richard</td>
<td>14984</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 17, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zell, Robert Loren</td>
<td>16535</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
<td>June 8, 1959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO
### PREVIOUS RECORDER REPORTS

### ORDINATIONS AND RECEPTIONS NOT PREVIOUSLY REPORTED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name and Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10800(c)</td>
<td>Smith, Percy Almerin</td>
<td>Tucker, H. St. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deacon............</td>
<td>Tucker, H. St. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priest ...............</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 21, 1913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 29, 1914</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred 1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11672(a)</td>
<td>Talmadge, Elliott Ford</td>
<td>DuMoulin, F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deacon.............</td>
<td>DuMoulin, F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Priest................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 26, 1918</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1919</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Died Oct. 12, 1958)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13129(a)</td>
<td>Higgins, Joseph F.</td>
<td>Anderson, C. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Received from Roman Church...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 21, 1928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20296(a)</td>
<td>Batty, Arthur Burgess</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deacon.............</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 22, 1957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TRANSFERS NOT PREVIOUSLY REPORTED

- Roberts, William Arthur ........................................... February 19, 1957
- Taylor, George North ............................................ April 3, 1956
- Thomas, John Franklin ............................................ May 22, 1957

### CORRECTIONS

1931 *Journal* Ordination to the Priesthood of Charles J. Gunnell (13295) was by H. Page for Minnesota and not for Michigan as listed.

1955 *Journal* Number of ordination of Thomas Adolphus Jones under Additions and Corrections should have been 11297(b), not 11314(a).

1958 *Journal* Ordination of John Edward Taylor (19879) by Sherman, J. G., was for the Bishop of Nassau and should not have been included.
- Name of Maletta, G. D. M. (20153) should read Gregory David Magnon Maletta; George is incorrect.
- William Charles Wilson should not have been included on the list of Receptions.
INDEX

N.B.—All serial numbers prior to 20330 are ordinations to the Priesthood; others are to the Diaconate. An X before a number indicates an ordination in a foreign jurisdiction.

Bishops' names are in capitals and their numbers refer to their order of consecration. Other section references are: Dep, Depositions; Dsa, Deaconesses; Rec, Receptions; Rest, Restorations; Sus, Suspensions; Term, Terminations of Suspensions; and Tr, Transfers.

Abad, C. J., 20600
Abagda, A. G., 20360
Abele, G. F., Jr., 21324
Abele, G. F., 20660
Adamek, C. J., 20660
Adamas, E. G., 21083
Adamas, H. E., 21312
Adams, J. R., 20829
Adams, R. O., 21530
Agnew, D. T., 21207
Aguirre, J. V. V., 20289
Airy, T. F., 20498
Akefey, T. C., 20260
Akhbugh, E. K., Dep.
Albright, J. H., 20945
Aldwell, L. R., 20738
Alic, D. S., 20457
Albright, B. H., Jr., 21222
Allison, G. Jr., Dep.
Allen, R. C., 20788
Allen, D. E., 20583
Allen, H. E., Jr., 20665
Allen, J. D., 21583
Allen, W. B. Jr., 20802
Allen, W. Q., 20350
Alling, R. Jr., 21116
Alvord, D. S., 20973
Ambler, J. J., 5th, 20927
Andrews, R. J., 20354
Amst., L., 20574
Andersen, G. S., 20715
Anderson, B. A., 21061
Anderson, E. N., 21192
Anderson, J. C., 20490
Anderson, R. H., 21651
Anderson, V. J., 20344
Anderson, W. M., 3rd, 21467
Anooan, E. C., X-21619
Anthony, W. A., 21214
Antonelli, D., 20664
Arcachon, L. O., X-21605
Arden, W. D., 20970
Arden, H. V., 20740
Arrington, J. W., 3rd, 20987
Asdal, R. D., 21551
Ashton, T. R., 20404
Atlee, R., 20353
Aufrey, H. F., 20422
Aubin, H. V., Jr., 21356
Austin, J. B., 20707
Austin, V. A., Jr., 20849
Austin, W. P., 21021
Babcock, J. L., 20647
Babin, F. N., 21550
Bacan, M., X-20748
Bacan, M., X-20748
Bach, E. C., 21179
Bailey, J. H., 20955
Bailey, T. H., 3rd, 20596
Baker, F. D., 20946
Baker, J. M., 20947
Baker, P. A., 20672
Baker, P. E., Jr., 21176
Baker, P. H., Dep.
Baker, R. H., Jr., 21405
Balenza, E. V., X-20727-A
Baldrige, W. E., 20678
Ball, R. A., 20311
Ball, E., 20817
Ball, J. C., 20507
Bank, R. A., 20648
Bancroft, F. S., 3rd, 20860
Ban, D. C., 21081
Banks, D. C., Jr., 21169
Banks, J. E., Jr., 21094
Banks, R. A., Jr., 20899
Barbour, C. C., 21062
Barnes, B. H., Jr., 20491
Barnes, R. D., 21561
Barnett, E. L., Jr., 21035
Barnhill, F. A., 20330
Barringer, T. H., Jr., 18739
Barron, R. E., 21651
Bartholomew, J. P., 20384
Bartlett, A. L., Jr., 20733
Barton, J. P., 20888
Barton, E. L., Jr., 20982
Baus, R. B., 21579
Batchelder, K. C., Jr., 21001
Batchelor, L. H., 21202
Bateman, R. E., Rest
Bates, G. E., 20541
Batty, A. B., 20296a
Baublitz, E. R., 20313
Bayfield, R. W., 20511
Batty, C. E., Jr., 20295
Beaumont, R. D., 21264
Beck, H. E., 20226
Beck, J. D., 20385
Bee, T. E., Jr., Dep
Becker, R. G., Dep
Beckles, E. B., 20355
Beckman, J. R., 20852
Beckwith, J. O., 3rd, 20508
Benton, M. R., Jr., 21028
Bedrosian, M., 20559
Bell, D. L., 21498
Bell, J. E., Jr., 20741
Bell, J. R., 21488
Bell, W. A., Jr., 20261
Bender, R. T., 20553
Beineke, M. M., 20611
Bennett, C. G., 21327
Bennett, C. L., 20437
Bennett, R. A., 20586
Bennett, T. E., 21014
BENNISON, C. E., 507
Benson, D. H., 21019
Berkman, E. M., 20649
Beresh, H. W., D. W., 21436
Burg, P. L., 21002
Bergesen, D. E., 20515
Bergland, C. H., 20866
Bergstrom, C. E., 20423
Berlin, J. B., 21510
Bernard, H. G., 21143
Bezerra, J. de S., X-20394
Bieberbach, J. V., 21279
Bielow, E. L., 21577
Billingsley, J. C., Jr., 20676
Binkley, B. F., 21575
Birk, E. V., Jr.
Black, W. R., 21213
Blackburn, J. C., 20875
Blackmon, C. E., 21297
Blair, J. H., 21572
Blair, A., 20293
Blakensfield, D. H., 20724
Blanchard, R. W., 559
Blumenstein, T. L., 21359
Blumer, R. G., 21241
Blum, R. A., Jr., 20899
Boe, C. F., Jr., Dep.
Bogardus, J. F., Jr., 21124
Boggin, E. C., Jr.
Bolme, F. G., 21374
Bollin, W. F., 20562
Bollinger, T. E., 21220
Bolmo, C. H., 21230
Bonar, R. C., 20632
Bond, M. M., 20514
Bore, R. Jr., Dep.
Boney, S. A., 20666
Bonne, R. H., 21163
Booth, A. E., 20872
Borg, W. R., 21206
Borinfield, R. C., 20861
Borsch, F. H., 21411
Bosshard, W. A., 20391
Bossière, J. P., 20856
Bougie, L. L., Jr., 21649
Bowen, L. T., 20823
Bowman, D. C., 21395
Bowman, M. L., 20815
Bowman, M. A., 21928
Bowman, R. J., 21343
Boyer, D. E., 20499
Boylan, P. A., 20964
Brace, C. R., 20899
Bradberry, C. H., 21150
Bragg, W. R., 21666
Brandt, R. N., 20821
Brennan, J. H., 21329
Breden, E. R., 20789
Brewer, W. Jr., 21566
Breitfogle, M. H., 20619
Bright, D. G., 20986
Brinkman, P. T., 20431
Brown, H. R., Jr., Dep.
Broome, J. T., 20749
Brong, H. W., 20765
Brown, A. W., 561
Brown, J. T., 20876
Brown, J. R., 20173
Brown, D. W., 20911
Brown, J. T., 21293
Brown, J. R., 20173
Brown, R. E., 20811
Brown, R. M., 21532
Bruce, J. A., 20989
Bruhn, B. A., 21280
Brunner, E. L., 20820
Brussel, L. G., 21265
Bryan, C. A., 21103
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Journal, Year, Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wright, J. C.</td>
<td>Rec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, R. H., 3rd</td>
<td>20168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRIGHT, W. G.</td>
<td>566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyckoff, R. A., Dep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyde, P. W.,</td>
<td>21060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaeger, E. F.</td>
<td>20841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaryan, J. S.</td>
<td>20183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yen, H. C. M.</td>
<td>21272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yerxa, T. M. W., Dep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yonkman, R. J.</td>
<td>20579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, A. L., Jr.</td>
<td>21639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, B. F.</td>
<td>18727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, D. V.</td>
<td>20924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young, R. C.</td>
<td>21617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younge, R. G.</td>
<td>21155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yungblut, J. R., Dep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zell, R. L., Dep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zepher, A. L., Dep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zimmerman, D. F.</td>
<td>20876</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During my incumbency as Registrar of the General Convention in the period between June 29, 1958 and July 20, 1961, twenty-two Bishops have been consecrated. Letters of Consecration have been signed and sealed in duplicate on each occasion by the Bishops consecrating, one copy having been given to the newly consecrated Bishop, and one, duly attested, having been filed in the Archives of the General Convention.

In each case, when the Registrar could not be present at a Consecration, he appointed a Deputy Registrar to act for him. These appointments were as follows:


The Registrar was present at the consecrations of the Suffragan Bishop of Newark, the Bishop Coadjutor of Southern Ohio, the Bishop Coadjutor of Missouri, the Bishop Coadjutor of Washington, the Suffragan Bishop of California, the Bishop of Nevada, the Bishop of the Dominican Republic, the Suffragan Bishop of New York, the Suffragan Bishop of Virginia, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, the Bishop of South Carolina, the Bishop of Vermont and the Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska.

All Journals received from the Secretaries of Dioceses and Missionary Districts have been placed in the hands of the Custodian of the Archives of the General Convention and have been duly filed in the Archives, together with the original Minutes of the General Convention, and the papers, documents and reports relating to such Minutes.

The office expenses during this period have amounted to $1,915.98 which have covered all travel, office expenses, engraving of Certificates, postage, office supplies, stenographic and mimeographic work.

Respectfully submitted

July 20, 1961

ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Registrar of the General Convention
DLVI—THE RIGHT REVEREND DAVID SHEPHERD ROSE
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF SOUTHERN VIRGINIA
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Henry Knox Sherrill, D.D., Presiding Bishop; George Purnell Gunn, D.D., Bishop of Southern Virginia; William Ambrose Brown, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Tuesday, the Sixteenth Day of September in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-eight, in St. Paul’s Church in the City of Petersburg and State of Virginia in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend David Shepherd Rose of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Petersburg and State of Virginia on the day and in the year above written.

HENRY KNOX SHERRILL, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
GEORGE P. GUNN, Bishop of Southern Virginia (SEAL)
WILLIAM A. BROWN, Bishop (SEAL)
EDMUND P. DANORIDGE, Bishop (SEAL)
FRANK A. JUHAN, Bishop (SEAL)
FREDERICK D. GOODWIN, Bishop of Virginia (SEAL)
WILLIAM H. MARMION, Bishop of Southwestern Virginia (SEAL)
ARTHUR R. McKINSTRY, Bishop (SEAL)
THOMAS N. CARRUTHERS, Bishop of South Carolina (SEAL)
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia (SEAL)
EVERETT H. JONES, Bishop of West Texas (SEAL)
BRADY W. HARRIS, Bishop of Liberia (SEAL)
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina (SEAL)


DLVII—THE RIGHT REVEREND FRANCIS WILLIAM LICKFIELD
BISHOP OF QUINCY
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Gerald Francis Burrill, S.T.D., Bishop of Chicago; William Leopold Essex, D.D., Bishop; William
Hampton Brady, D.D., Bishop of Fond du Lac; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereunto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on An Ember Day, being the Twentieth Day of September in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-eight, in The Cathedral Church of St. John in the City of Quincy and State of Illinois in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Francis William Lickfield of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Quincy.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Quincy and State of Illinois on the day and in the year above written.

G. F. Burrill, Bishop of Chicago.  
(Seal)
William L. Essex, Bishop.  
(Seal)
William H. Brady, Bishop of Fond du Lac.  
(Seal)
Chandler Sterling, Bishop of Montana.  
(Seal)
Arthur Lichtenberger, Bishop of Missouri.  
(Seal)
Charles L. Street, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.  
(Seal)
Reginald Mallett, Bishop of Northern Indiana.  
(Seal)
Donald H. V. Hallock, Bishop of Milwaukee.  
(Seal)
Charles A. Clough, Bishop of Springfield.  
(Seal)
Lauriston Livingston Scaife, Bishop of Western New York.  
(Seal)

Attest: Arthur M. Gard, Deputy Registrar.

DLVIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND DONALD MacADIE

SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF NEWARK

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Benjamin Martin Washburn, D.D., Bishop of Newark; Leland William Frederick Stark, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Newark; Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Bishop of Missouri; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereunto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Wednesday, being the Twenty-second Day of October in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-eight, in Trinity Cathedral, Newark, New Jersey in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Donald MacAdie of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained
into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Newark.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Newark and State of New Jersey on the day and in the year above written.

Benjamin M. Washburn, Bishop of Newark.
Leeland W. F. Stark, Bishop Coadjutor of Newark.
Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Bishop of Missouri.
Frederick J. Warnecke, Bishop of Bethlehem.
James P. De Wolfe, Bishop of Long Island.
Austin Pardeue, Bishop of Pittsburgh.
Theodore R. Ludlow, Bishop.
Walter M. Higley, Suffragan Bishop of Central New York.
Horace W. B. Donegan, Bishop of New York.
Lauriston L. Scaife, Bishop of Western New York.

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Registrar,

DLIX—THE RIGHT REVEREND ROGER WILSON BLANCHARD
Bishop Coadjutor of Southern Ohio
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Henry Knox Sherrill, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Henry Wise Hobson, D.D., Bishop of Southern Ohio; Edward Hamilton West, D.D., Bishop of Florida; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Tuesday, being the Eleventh Day of November, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-eight, in Christ Church, Cincinnati, Ohio, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Roger Wilson Blanchard, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Southern Ohio.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Cincinnati and State of Ohio on the day and in the year above written.

Henry Knox Sherrill, Presiding Bishop.
Henry Wise Hobson, Bishop of Southern Ohio.
Hamilton West, Bishop of Florida.
Arthur Lichtenberger, Bishop of Missouri.
Charles Clingman, Bishop.
William Crittenden, Bishop of Erie.
Richard A. Kirchhoffer, Bishop of Indianapolis.
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Lauriston Livingston Scaife, Bishop of Western New York, (Seal)
Nelson M. Burroughs, Bishop of Ohio, (Seal)
Anson Phelps Stokes, Jr., Bishop of Massachusetts, (Seal)
Charles Francis Hall, Bishop of New Hampshire, (Seal)
C. Gresham Marmion, Bishop of Kentucky, (Seal)
Archie H. Crowley, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, (Seal)
Donald H. V. Hallock, Bishop of Milwaukee, (Seal)
William R. Moody, Bishop of Lexington, (Seal)
Beverley D. Tucker, Bishop, (Seal)
Angus Dun, Bishop of Washington, (Seal)
John P. Craine, Bishop Coadjutor of Indianapolis, (Seal)


DLX—THE RIGHT REVEREND EDMUND KNOX SHERRILL
Bishop of Central Brazil

In the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Henry Knox Sherrill, D.D., Bishop; Egmont Machado Krischke, D.D., Bishop of Southern Brazil; Plinio Lauer Simões, S.T.D., Bishop of Southwestern Brazil; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Septuagesima Sunday, being the Twenty-fifth Day of January, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-nine, in Christ Church, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Edmund Knox Sherrill, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Central Brazil.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Rio de Janeiro and Country of Brazil on the day and in the year above written.

Henry Knox Sherrill, Bishop, (Seal)
Egmont Machado Krischke, Bishop of Southern Brazil, (Seal)
Plinio Lauer Simões, Bishop of Southwestern Brazil, (Seal)
Athalicicio Pithan, Bishop, (Seal)
D. Ivor Evans, Bishop of Anglican Diocese in Argentina and Eastern South America with the Falkland Islands, (Seal)

Attest: Henry W. Sherrill, Deputy Registrar.
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Frederick Lehrle Barry, D.D., Bishop of Albany; Malcolm Endicott Peabody, D.D., Bishop of Central New York; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on An Ember Day, being the Twenty-first Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-nine, in St. John's Church, Ogdensburg, New York, in the presence of a Congregation of Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Allen Webster Brown, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Albany.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Ogdensburg and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR CARL LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop.
FREDERICK LEHRLE BARRY, Bishop of Albany.
MALCOLM ENDICOTT PEA BODY, Bishop of Central New York.
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York.
WALTER MAYDOLE HIGLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Central New York.
JOHN HENRY ESQUIROL, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut.
KENNETH CHARLES EVANS, Bishop of Ontario, Anglican Church of Canada.
ERNEST SAMUEL REED, Bishop of Ottawa, Anglican Church of Canada.

Attest: GEORGE E. DE MILLE, Deputy Registrar.
D.D., Bishop of Honolulu; Victor George Shearburn, C.R., Bishop of Rangoon; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Matthias, being the Twenty-fourth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-nine, in The Pro-Cathedral Church of St. Luke, Manila, Philippines, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Benito Cabanban Cabanban of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Manila and Republic of the Philippines on the day and in the year above written.

LYMAN C. OGILBY, Bishop of the Philippines. (SEAL)
HARRY S. KENNEDY, Bishop of Honolulu. (SEAL)
VICTOR G. SHEARBURN, Bishop of Rangoon. (SEAL)
ISABELO DE LOS REYES, JR., Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church. (SEAL)

Attest: CONRAD MYRICK, Deputy Registrar.

DLXIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND GEORGE LESLIE CADIGAN, D.D.
BISHOP COADJUTOR OF MISSOURI

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Dudley Scott Stark, D.D., Bishop of Rochester; Robert Raymond Brown, D.D., Bishop of Arkansas; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Sixteenth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-nine, in Christ Church Cathedral, Saint Louis, Missouri, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend George Leslie Cadigan, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Missouri.
Given under our hands and seals in the City of Saint Louis and State of Missouri on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
DUDLEY SCOTT STARK, Bishop of Rochester. (SEAL)
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas. (SEAL)
CHARLES F. HALL, Bishop of New Hampshire. (SEAL)
ANDREW Y. Y. TSU, Bishop. (SEAL)
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina. (SEAL)
ROGER BLANCHARD, Bishop Coadjutor of Southern Ohio. (SEAL)
EDWARD R. WELLES, Bishop of West Missouri. (SEAL)
WILLIAM H. BRADFORD, Bishop of Fond du Lac. (SEAL)
CHARLES A. CLOUGH, Bishop of Springfield. (SEAL)
ARNOLD M. LEWIS, Bishop of Salina. (SEAL)
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop Coadjutor of Kansas. (SEAL)
F. W. LICKFIELD, Bishop of Quincy. (SEAL)
NELSON M. BRADY, Bishop of Ohio. (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER N. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXIV—THE RIGHT REVEREND WILLIAM FORMAN CREIGHTON, D.D.
BISHOP COADJUTOR OF WASHINGTON
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Angus Dun, D.D., Bishop of Washington; Robert Fisher Gibson, Jr., D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Philip and St. James, being the First Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and fifty-nine, in The Cathedral Church of St. Peter and St. Paul, Washington, D.C., in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend William Forman Creighton, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Washington.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Washington and District of Columbia on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
ANGUS DUN, Bishop of Washington. (SEAL)
ROBERT F. GIBSON, JR., Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia. (SEAL)
CONRAD H. GESNER, Bishop of South Dakota. (SEAL)
JOHN SEVILLE HIGGINS, Bishop of Rhode Island. (SEAL)
PHILIP F. MCNAIRY, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota. (SEAL)
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ALLEN W. BROWN, Suffragan Bishop of Albany. (SEAL)
ALLEN J. MILLER, Bishop of Easton. (SEAL)
THOMAS N. CARRUTHERS, Bishop of South Carolina. (SEAL)
EARL M. HONAMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg. (SEAL)
ARTHUR R. McKINSTRY, Bishop. (SEAL)
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia. (SEAL)
J. BROOK MOSLEY, Bishop of Delaware. (SEAL)
NOBLE C. POWELL, Bishop of Maryland. (SEAL)
F. D. GOODWIN, Bishop of Virginia. (SEAL)
JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island. (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXV—THE RIGHT REVEREND GEORGE RICHARD MILLARD
Suffragan Bishop of California
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; James Albert Pike, D.D., Bishop of California; Edward Lambe Parsons, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of the Purification, being the Second Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in Grace Cathedral, San Francisco, California, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend George Richard Millard of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of California.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of San Francisco and State of California on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
JAMES A. PIKE, Bishop of California. (SEAL)
EDWARD LAMBE PARSONS, Bishop. (SEAL)
RUSSELL S. HUBBARD, Bishop of Spokane. (SEAL)
R. A. KIRCHHOFFER, Bishop. (SEAL)
CLARENCE R. HABEN, JR., Bishop of Sacramento. (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York. (SEAL)
ARTHUR B. KINSOLVING, II., Bishop of Arizona. (SEAL)
HENRY H. SHIRIES, Suffragan Bishop of California. (SEAL)
A. ERVINE SWIFT, Bishop of Puerto Rico. (SEAL)
RICHARD S. WATSON, Bishop of Utah. (SEAL)
ROY COWDRY, Assistant Bishop of Capetown. (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Sumner Francis Walters, S.T.D., Bishop of San Joaquin; William Fisher Lewis, S.T.D., Bishop of Olympia; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Fourth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in Trinity Church, Reno, Nevada, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend William Godsell Wright, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of Nevada.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Reno and State of Nevada on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
WILLIAM FISHER LEWIS, Bishop of Olympia. (SEAL)
SUMNER WALTERS, Bishop of San Joaquin. (SEAL)
C. J. KIN SOLVING, Bishop of New Mexico & Southwest Texas. (SEAL)
CONRAD H. GESNER, Bishop of South Dakota. (SEAL)
GEORGE H. QUARTERMAN, Bishop of Northwest Texas. (SEAL)
RICHARD R. EMERY, Bishop of North Dakota. (SEAL)
ARTHUR B. KIN SOLVING, II., Bishop of Arizona. (SEAL)
RICHARD S. WATSON, Bishop of Utah. (SEAL)
LANE W. BARTON, Bishop of Eastern Oregon. (SEAL)
RUSSELL S. HUBBARD, Bishop of Spokane. (SEAL)
ARNOLD M. LEWIS, Bishop of Salina. (SEAL)
JOSEPH S. MINNIS, Bishop of Colorado. (SEAL)
GEORGE RICHARD MILLARD, Suffragan Bishop of California. (SEAL)
RICHARD A. KIRCHHOFFER, Bishop. (SEAL)
BENJAMIN D. DAGWELL, Bishop. (SEAL)
CLARENCE R. HADEN, Jr., Bishop of Sacramento. (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York. (SEAL)
JAMES A. PIKE, Bishop of California. (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.
DLXVII—THE RIGHT REVEREND CHARLES ELLSWORTH BENNISON
BISHOP OF WESTERN MICHIGAN
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Harold Linwood Bowen, D.D., Bishop; Lewis Bliss Whittemore, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Matthias, being the Twenty-fourth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in St. Mark’s Cathedral, Grand Rapids, Michigan, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Charles Ellsworth Bennison, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Western Michigan.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Grand Rapids and State of Michigan on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop.
LEWIS BLISS WHITTEMORE, Retired Western Michigan.
HAROLD L. BOWEN, Retired Colorado.
ARCHIE H. CROWLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.
EDWIN J. RANDALL, Retired Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop of Kansas.
RICHARD S. EMRICH, Bishop of Michigan.
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK, Bishop of Milwaukee.
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio.
WILLIAM W. HORSTICK, Bishop of Eau Claire.
PHILIP F. McNARY, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota.
CHARLES L. STREET, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.

Attest: WILLIAM L. WARNER, Deputy Registrar.

DLXVIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND PAUL AXTELL KELLOGG
BISHOP OF THE MISSIONARY DISTRICT OF THE DOMINICAN REPUBLIC
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Albert Ervine Swift, S.T.D., Bishop of
Puerto Rico; Charles Alfred Voegeli, S.T.D., Bishop of Haiti; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Ember Wednesday, being the Ninth Day of March, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in The Cathedral of St. John the Baptist, San Juan, Puerto Rico, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Canon Paul Axtell Kellogg, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of the Dominican Republic.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of San Juan and Commonwealth of Puerto Rico on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
C. ALFRED VOEGELI, Bishop of Haiti. (SEAL)
A. ERVINE SWIFT, Bishop of Puerto Rico. (SEAL)
ARTHUR R. MCKINSTRY, Bishop. (SEAL)
SPENCE BURTON, Bishop of Nassau and the Bahamas. (Church of England) (SEAL)
F. NOEL CHAMBERLAIN, Bishop of Trinidad. (Church of England) (SEAL)
ALLEN BROWN, Suffragan Bishop of Albany. (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXIX—THE RIGHT REVEREND JAMES STUART WETMORE
Suffragan Bishop of New York

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Horace William Baden Donegan, D.D., Bishop of New York; Lauriston Livingston Scaife, D.D., Bishop of Western New York; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of the Annunciation, being the Twenty-fifth Day of March, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in The Cathedral of St. John the Divine, New York, New York, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Canon James Stuart Wetmore, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the
sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of New York.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of New York and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
HORACE WILLIAM BADEN DONEGAN, Bishop of New York. (SEAL)
LAUBISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York. (SEAL)
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York. (SEAL)
JAMES P. DEWOLFE, Bishop of Long Island. (SEAL)
JOHN H. ESQUIROL, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut. (SEAL)
DONALD J. CAMPBELL, Bishop. (SEAL)
SHIRLEY H. NICHOLS, Bishop. (SEAL)
DONALD MACADIE, Suffragan Bishop of Newark. (SEAL)
HENRY, FREDERICTON. (The Anglican Church of Canada). (SEAL)
JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island. (SEAL)
J. GILLESPIE ARMSTRONG, Suffragan Bishop of Pennsylvania. (SEAL)
CECIL, CASHEL. (Church of Ireland). (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXX—THE RIGHT REVEREND IVOL IRA CURTIS
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF LOS ANGELES
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Robert Burton Gooden, Bishop; Francis Eric Irving Bloy, D.D., Bishop of Los Angeles; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Friday, Being the Twenty-ninth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in St. Paul's Cathedral, Los Angeles, California, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Ivol Ira Curtis, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Los Angeles and State of California on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
ROBERT B. GOODEN, Bishop. (SEAL)
FRANCIS ERIC BLOY, Bishop of Los Angeles. (SEAL)
RICHARD S. EMRICH, Bishop of Michigan. (SEAL)
RUSSELL S. HUBBARD, Bishop of Spokane. (SEAL)
GEORGE RICHARD MILLARD, Suffragan Bishop of California. (SEAL)
JAMES W. F. CARMAN, Bishop of Oregon. (SEAL)
HAROLD L. BOWEN, Bishop. (SEAL)
CHILTON POWELL, Bishop of Oklahoma. (SEAL)
ARTHUR B. KINSOLVING, II, Bishop of Arizona. (SEAL)
WILLIAM P. REMINGTON, Bishop. (SEAL)
ROBERT E. CAMPBELL, O.H.C., Bishop. (SEAL)
WALTER MITCHELL, Bishop. (SEAL)

Attest: R. PARKER JONES, Deputy Registrar.

DLXXI—THE RIGHT REVEREND SAMUEL BLACKWELL
CHILTON, D.D.

SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF VIRGINIA

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., Bishop of Virginia; Robert Fisher Gibson, Jr., D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, Being the Twelfth Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in Grace and Holy Trinity Church, Richmond, Virginia, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable Samuel Blackwell Chilton D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Virginia.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Richmond and State of Virginia on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop. (SEAL)
F. D. GOODWIN, Bishop of Virginia. (SEAL)
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop Coadjutor of Virginia. (SEAL)
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE, Bishop of Bethlehem. (SEAL)
ALEXANDER HUGO BLANKINGSHIP, Bishop of Cuba. (SEAL)
JOHN THOMAS HEISTAND, Bishop of Harrisburg. (SEAL)
WILLIAM FORMAN CREIGHTON, Bishop Coadjutor of Washington (SEAL)
HARRY LEE DOLL, Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland. (SEAL)
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia. (SEAL)
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Frederick Deane Goodwin, D.D., Bishop of Virginia; Richard Henry Baker, D.D., Bishop of North Carolina; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Friday, Being the Thirteenth Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in St. Paul's Church, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Thomas Augustus Fraser, Jr., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Winston-Salem and State of North Carolina on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop.  
FREDERICK D. GOODWIN, Bishop of Virginia.  
RICHARD H. BAKER, Bishop of North Carolina.  
ROBERT E. GRIFFIN, Bishop.  
JAMES P. DEWOLFE, Bishop of Long Island.  
C. ALFRED COLE, Bishop of Upper South Carolina.  
M. GEORGE HENRY, Bishop of Western North Carolina.  
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE, Bishop of Bethlehem.  
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina.  
LOUIS C. MEICHER, Bishop.  
THOMAS N. CARRUTHERS, Bishop of South Carolina.  
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia.  
JOHN VANDER HORST, Suffragan Bishop of Tennessee.  

Attest: ALEXANDER M. ROGER, Registrar.
DLXXIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND ROBERT LIONNE
De WITT
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF MICHIGAN
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Angus Dun, D.D., Bishop of Washington; Norman Burdett Nash, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Twenty-seventh Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty, in St. Paul's Cathedral, Detroit, Michigan, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Robert Lionne De Witt, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Detroit and State of Michigan on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop.
ANGUS DUN, Bishop of Washington.
NORMAN B. NASH, Bishop.
RICHARD S. EMRICH, Bishop of Michigan.
ARCHIE H. CROWLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.
JAMES A. PIKE, Bishop of California.
REGINALD MALLETT, Bishop of Northern Indiana.
CHARLES E. BENNISON, Bishop of Western Michigan.
HERMAN R. PAGE, Bishop of Northern Michigan.
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio.
ROGER BLANCHARD, Bishop of Southern Ohio.

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXIV—THE RIGHT REVEREND EDWIN BURTON THAYER
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF COLORADO
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Joseph Summerville Minnis, D.D., Bishop of Colorado; Gordon V. Smith, S.T.D., Bishop
of Iowa; Harold Linwood Bowen, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other
Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribe under the
protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Andrew, being the
Thirtieth Day of November, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine
hundred and sixty, in St. John's Cathedral, Denver, Colorado, in the
presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the
due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the
United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof,
Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Edwin
Burton Thayer, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the
Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred
office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of
God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Colorado.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Denver and State of
Colorado on the day and in the year above written.

JOSEPH SUMMERVILLE MINNIS, Bishop of Colorado. (seal)
HAROLD L. BOWEN, Bishop. (seal)
GORDON V. SMITH, Bishop of Iowa. (seal)
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop of Kansas. (seal)
PHILIP F. MCNAIRY, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota. (seal)
JAMES WILSON HUNTER, Bishop of Wyoming. (seal)
RICHARD S. WATSON, Bishop of Utah. (seal)
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana. (seal)

Attest: GERALD EDWARD GRAHAM, Deputy Registrar.

DLXXV—THE RIGHT REVEREND GRAY TEMPLE
BISHOP OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger,
D.D., Presiding Bishop; Clarence Alfred Cole, D.D., Bishop of Upper
South Carolina; Thomas Henry Wright, D.D., Bishop of East Carolina;
assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto
subscribe under the protection of Almighty God, did on Wednesday,
being the Eleventh Day of January, in the year of Our Lord one thousand
nine hundred and sixty-one, in The Church of St. Luke and St. Paul,
Charleston, South Carolina, in the presence of a Congregation of the
Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the
Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in
conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well
beloved in Christ the Reverend Gray Temple, of whose sufficiency in
good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were
fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy,
Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of South Carolina.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Charleston and State of South Carolina on the day and in the year above written.

Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop.
C. Alfred Cole, Bishop of Upper South Carolina.
Thomas H. Wright, Bishop of East Carolina.
Louis Chester Melcher, Bishop.
Robert E. Gribbin, Bishop.
M. George Henry, Bishop of Western North Carolina.
Thomas A. Fraser, Jr., Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina.
Albert R. Stuart, Bishop of Georgia.
R. Bland Mitchell
John Vander Horst

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Registrar.

DLXXVI—THE RIGHT REVEREND HARVEY DEAN BUTTERFIELD
BISHOP OF VERMONT

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Walter Henry Gray, D.D., Bishop of Connecticut; Oliver Leland Loring, D.D., Bishop of Maine; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Wednesday, being the Eighth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in St. Paul's Church, Burlington, Vermont, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Harvey Dean Butterfield, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Vermont.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Burlington and State of Vermont on the day and in the year above written.

Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop.
Walter H. Gray, Bishop of Connecticut.
Oliver L. Loring, Bishop of Maine.
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Howard Rasmus Brinker, D.D., Bishop of Nebraska; Chilton Powell, D.D., Bishop of Oklahoma; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Tuesday, Being the Second Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in The Civic Auditorium, Omaha, Nebraska, in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Russell Theodore Rauscher, of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Omaha and State of Nebraska on the day and in the year above written.

Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop. (Seal)
Howard R. Brinker, Bishop of Nebraska. (Seal)
Chilton Powell, Bishop of Oklahoma. (Seal)
Hamilton H. Kellogg, Bishop of Minnesota. (Seal)
Chandler Sterling, Bishop of Montana. (Seal)
Conrad Herbert Gesner, Bishop of South Dakota. (Seal)
Gordon V. Smith, Bishop of Iowa. (Seal)
Philip F. McNairy, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota. (Seal)
Arnold M. Lewis, Bishop of Western Kansas. (Seal)
Edward R. Welles, Bishop of West Missouri. (Seal)
Edward C. Turner, Bishop of Kansas. (Seal)
Richard R. Emery, Bishop of North Dakota. (Seal)

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Registrar.
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE STATE OF THE CHURCH

GENERAL COMMENTS.

The Committee this year is submitting an additional table of figures besides the customary tabulation of the vital and financial statistics for the years 1958, 1959 and 1960. The latter figures are the compilation of the Annual Parochial Report as prescribed by Canon 5. The former tables are set up to examine the present trends in the life of our church and thus to discover whether or not the extraordinary rate of growth mentioned in the 1958 Report of this committee is being maintained. The new tables reach back to 1955 and forward by means of projection to 1965. Again the figures have their source in the Parochial Reports as they have been received by the Committee on the State of the Church through the regular channels provided by Canon 5. It will be seen that specific categories have been selected to set up these tables. The reason for so doing is to attempt to show the movement and direction of the church's life and to project, by scientific means, what the immediate future holds— if the trends remain constant.

In doing this we have chosen a ten year sweep rather than the customary twenty year period. What has happened in the past five (5) years is easy to recall. What is likely to happen five (5) years from now does carry over the urgency of the present. On the other hand, a ten (10) year projection seems so far away as to allow for complacent attitudes to develop towards the evident needs and towards those areas asking for immediate correction and serious attention. In other words, it is possible (by comparing 1955 with 1960 and projection into 1965)—indeed, for General Convention, it is imperative that the Church be made aware of its trends, both vital and financial, if its vision is to be clear and judgment sound when it takes legislative action in planning its strategy for the future. A further reason for using a five (5) year period of actual experience rather than ten (10), is that short term trends are more likely to prevail and, barring general disaster, the attending factors less likely to vary.

Granted the trends reflect the true movement within the church, then the serious study of the trends may serve in a dual capacity. The projections may be used as goals of immediate challenge and the comparisons a means of evaluation, which is a gentle way of saying judgment. Some may object to the concept of setting up goals for the church on the ground that to strive to meet goals smacks unpleasantly of salvation by works; but surely none is privileged to rebel against judgment, no matter its form. We shall deliberately let the projections speak their strong words for themselves and let him who has ears to hear do his own listening.

It will be noted that we have used the Population Figures of the Country to establish the rate of change within our church. The figures come from the Census Bureau of the U.S. Department of Commerce. For ease in reading the tables appear in thousands and millions; thus, the Whole Number of baptised people on our rolls in 1955 under the heading Membership appears as 3,115. This means 3,115,000 persons. The same technique is used in the tables showing the Financial Status of the Church. Thus under Total Receipts, the figure 184.2 under the item Income and Capital for 1960 means $184,200,000. The figures under Total Church include the continental, extra-continental and foreign fields.
The lack of information under the items of Insurance, Property Value, Endowments, and Indebtedness for the year 1955 is regrettable and has unfortunately made it impossible for the Committee to complete the tables. The people responsible for gathering this important data failed to heed the full directions of the Canon. This error has now been corrected.

GROWTH AND EXPANSION.

The exciting news is that the Church has grown at a rate of 13% during the past 5 years, whereas the national population grew 9%. We are still outstripping the nation's growth. But we must not be complacent since the rate between 1950 and 1960 was 17% increase. We have slowed down our expansion during the past 5 years at the rate of 4%. Is this a trend?

The detail by Provinces and by Dioceses supply the evidence for goals and for evaluation.

While the Total Number of Clergy shows a rate of change well ahead of the rate of change of church growth, 18% against 13%—yet the number of non-parochial clergy has increased by 31%. This would indicate a clerical shortage. This is also borne out by a survey of the situation as regards clerical replacement by the figures shown under Ordinations. Only 3% advance in the ordering of Priests and 10% in the making of Deacons seems to indicate we are in a dangerous, if not an alarming position. Fortunately, the number of Lay Readers has increased by 48% which, in many instances, has made possible the extension of the Ministry into fields where ordained clergy are not available.

The overall picture does not appear quite as glum as these figures at first seem to indicate. There is no reason why the rate of increase of active clergy need be at the same expansion rate as of our total membership except perhaps in large congregations. (We may assume a parish of 500 communicants or more to constitute a large congregation). The situation is a happier one to both clergy and laity when church membership enjoys an increase.

The ratio of active clergy to baptised persons in 1955 was 1 to 520.9; in 1960, 1 to 513.86; the projection figure for 1965 is 1 to 511.53. If this ratio be taken on the basis of communicants, (and this is the norm whereby we designate the size of our congregations), the situation is even more favorable. In 1955 it stood 1 cleric to 321.23 communicants; in 1960 1 to 318.24. The projection in 1965 is 1 to 314.86.

Nevertheless, the drop off of 11% in Postulants in 1960 from 1955 is perhaps the most startling figure in this Report. Should this trend continue we are indeed in for trouble. Attention is called to the current report of the Joint Commission on Theological Education. Careful consideration to Section 2 of that report entitled Clergy Supply and Seminary Enrollment seems to be an urgent demand of this Convention. The report says, "The projected growth of the Church during the next decade with require 300 net additional clergy a year if we are not to miss out. . . . Therefore, the Church needs to strengthen its recruiting program to secure more and abler men to answer the Church's need for its clergy supply."

A close study of this statistic Province by Province and Diocese by Diocese would seem to be in order if we are to pinpoint this weakness and undertake immediate measures to correct it.

The increase of Congregations seems good and the decrease in the number of Unorganized Missions by 2% is a healthy sign.
The falling off of Baptisms of 5% while the Church has grown by 13%, may indicate that a large number of people already baptised have moved over into the Episcopal Church. The same trend is apparent of the movement of people from Roman obedience. The rate here is 21%.

This decrease of 5% in Baptisms on the other hand may mean that we are not holding our own and that younger couples are not coming into the church and that suddenly we may wake up to see we have lost ground. This particular 1958 statistic would seem to indicate this trend needs urgent attention. It reads:

```
Baptisms ..........111,217
1954-1957 gain 1.3% or 1,386
1952-1954 gain 8.4%
```

Church School expansion is happily ahead of the rate of the Church as a whole, namely; 17% more pupils are enrolled. It is encouraging to note that the number of adults engaging themselves in this vital work is 2% better than the pupil percentage growth.

**FINANCIAL GROWTH.**

The figures here need little comment as they speak for themselves with a record that makes glad reading in nearly every category. To read them accurately one must keep in mind the inflationary devaluation of the dollar. It roughly may be taken at 2% per annum. Therefore, the percentages in our tables should be discounted 10%. It will be seen that 50% increase of Total Receipts, under Income and Capital, gives a slight 3% increase over the Total Disbursements. In the world of commerce such a small balance may not be considered a healthy condition. But for the church it is a good sign since we are bound to spend ourselves for others. A comparison of the figures of Total Income and Capital for the year 1955 with the Total Disbursements for that year shows an over expenditure of 6.4 Million dollars; for 1960 it was 10 Million dollars. The projection into 1965 of this would be 11.9 Million and therefore does not appear alarming.

Even so, the huge amounts of money spent presently should make us ask ourselves: what are we doing with all this? Is it being spent for Christ's sake? A partial answer to these questions is to be found in the Annual Reports of National Council which should always be amended to the Report of this Committee. The so-called Gray Report (see Journal Appendix) is another part of the answer. Still another answer certainly is that the five year period of 1955-1960 has been a time for building the plant to take care of the growth and expansion of our membership and a time of great generosity when Additional Capital moved up 30%.

The item of the Quota, where a 60% increase was forthcoming, should be carefully compared with what may be called the Quota Performance in the breakdown in the tables of the Provinces and Dioceses as found in the Annual Reports of National Council. Province II is a case in point, and even a casual study of a much longer period than we herein have used shows a trend that may need adjustment in the distribution of Quotas to this area.

The 57% increase in Assessment indicates that the Episcopate is highly valued with us and the healthy increase of 58% of Pension Premiums shows that other clerical salaries have also enjoyed a healthy raise.

As noted in the report of this committee to the 1958 Convention, we were in gross default in not having the figures on our Indebtedness. We said
then we would guess it would range between \$45,000,000 and \$50,000,000 since it was \$31,000,000 in 1954. Our total Indebtedness as reported in 1960 was \$114,900,000. The servicing of this debt must eat heavily into our operational costs. The New Parochial Report Form will supply us with this vital information and will be available early in 1962.

Our buildings valued at \$1,045,200,000 are adequately protected by insurance with more than an 80% coverage.

The item of Endowments needs careful study. Our Parochial Report source of information puts their total at 263.9 million dollars, whereas the Division of Research and Field Study lists them with a 1960 market value of 265.0 million dollars. The same tally has the 1958 figure at 223.4 million dollars. This remarkably quick increase when transposed into endowment per communicant becomes \$126, and \$112, respectively.

A study of this situation might bear fruit in arriving at a more just and equitable distribution of the current expenses of the National Church and therefore would seem to have a bearing on Quota Assignments, and we so recommend.

Using the Annual Report of National Council as our source, the actual amounts received by National Council for the General Budget of the Church from Quota payments from 1955 through 1960 range from \$6,133,350 to \$7,854,041, showing an average increase of well over \$1 million dollars a year in the past two triennial periods. If this trend be maintained the indications are that the proposed Budgets for 1962-1963-1964 submitted by National Council to General Convention are reasonable and within keeping of our growth in population and generosity.

Our Financial Standing with Other Non-Roman Churches.

Figures taken from the Year Book of American Churches, gathered and published by the National Council of Churches of Christ for 1957 and 1960 supply us with what we need.

Latest (1959) Average Total Per Member Giving, with 35 churches reporting, reads \$69.13. \$2.26 of this amount was allocated to Foreign Missions.

The Episcopal Church Total Per Member Giving stands at \$61.36 according to this table and \$1.44 for Foreign Missions.

Of these 35 Churches there are 9 which have a church population of 1 million or more members.

The Episcopal Church ranks 5th in Per Member Giving in this group and 7th (being tied with the Northern Baptists) in Per Member Giving to Foreign Missions.

The Congregational-Christian Church is in 3rd and 4th place in these designations.

Of these same 35 churches there are 4 with 2 million or more members. Here we rank 2nd in Total P.M.G. and 3rd in Foreign Missions P.M.G.

The Reformed Church of America with 222,523 members has a Total P.M.G. of \$103.23.

The Presbyterian United USA Church has \$82.30 P.M.G. with \$2.98 P.M.G. to Foreign Missions and is rated at the top of the churches with 2
million or more members in both categories—and, while they maintain 1st
position in Foreign Missions—yet in the 9 churches of one million or more
members, the Lutherans (Missouri Synod) outrank them nicely with their
Total P.M.G. of $93.89.

The moral of all this apparently is that we don't support Foreign Mis-
sions because we don't send Foreign Missionaries as we should and that the
Gray Report has been published.

THE NEW PAROCHIAL REPORT FORM AND TREASURER'S MANUAL.

In accordance with Canon 5, as revised by General Convention in 1958,
a Parochial Report Form has been prepared and, having the approval of the
Committee on the State of the Church, is ready for current use.

In general, the Form asks for much more detail than heretofore, but
its completion is unlikely to prove difficult nor to require unreasonable re-
search. None of the new information asked for is incidental. Care has been
taken to request only such data as deemed needful for such program planning
as aimed toward the fulfillment of current needs which appear established
from examination of the various tabulations.

For instance, the Report will gather, year by year, the facts and figures
regarding all Capital Funds in Progress in the Parochial, Diocesan and
National categories. The tabulation of such should enable the Committee on
Program and Budget to take this-important factor into consideration while
preparing the Proposed Budget for the ensuing triennium. In like manner,
the report will make possible a current table showing the performance of
Quotas, as assigned on the local level. This datum has before been unavail-
able except on diocesan and district levels. It can be readily seen how val-
uable such information will be in fixing future quotas or, if need be, in
revising them to come upon a more realistic, equitable and just distribution.
The same reasoning applies to accurate reporting of church indebtedness.

The reporting on personnel will now allow for two new factors which
will, in this respect, bring about a truer and more accurate view of the
Church. The new Form will report the number of lay people on our staffs
and also make provision for a report as to all vacancies, clerical and lay,
needing fulfillment. It should prove of help in clergy recruitment and should
uncover to people responsible for clergy replacement, the areas where
strength and weakness lies.

Similarly the report is now up to date in the field of education for it
recognizes the existence of Parish Day Schools as well as Released Time
Schools besides the traditional Sunday School and Adult projects in Educa-
tion.

Finally, it takes into consideration the physical plant and will ask for
data on seating capacity in school and parish hall, as well as the church
building itself.

The Financial aspect of the Report has been considerably rearranged to
help bring about better uniformity in reporting. To ease the problems of
treasurers in identifying significant detail, a Treasurer's Manual has been
produced and will be ready for delivery at the time the new report forms
are delivered. This Manual has been a long time need of the church. The
task of its publication has been a major responsibility of the Committee and
was possible only with splendid cooperation of many people outside the
committee, and especially the Office of the Treasurer of the National Council and the Director of the General Division of Research and Field Study.

The Committee in its report to the 1958 convention made mention of the fact that it will in the future make use of modern techniques to process the gathered data of facts and figures. The new Parochial Report Form has been built with this specifically in mind and as soon as the reports have been received the data from every parish and mission will be transferred to tabulating cards. These will be filed to make them ready for use in electronic computing machinery. The General Division of Research and Field Study will be charged with this. Our data processing office should thus again prove itself of tremendous value to the church in producing certain factual information about the State of the Church which before, in too many instances, could be found only by the dubious means of tedious questionnaires or by the questionable method of "educated" guess work.

The attention of Convention is called to the utmost need of accurate use of the Parochial Report and the stern necessity of a willing discipline in the performance of the same. People responsible must exercise their authority if the new methods are to prove effective.

**Provincial Analysis.**

In order to identify the fields of strength and areas of weakness, passing reference in this Report has been made to the need of close scrutiny of the State of the Church from the viewpoint of Provinces.

To help make this possible the Report carries tabulations on the same categories as were developed for our analysis of the National Church. It is not our intention to go into this detail but merely to point to its availability. We believe these tables are a telling story when matched against the trends of the Church at large, with which we have concerned ourselves in this Report.

We wish, however, to make one serious suggestion. Let the reader make up a table of his own setting forth on the left hand column the various categories as they appear in these tables. Beside each item let him place the percentage figures of the National Church. Now let him rule off eight columns for the Eight Provinces. Finally let him fill in the sheet from the Provincial figures as presented. For instance, he will find that the increased rate of change of the National Church over the increase of our national population for the past five (5) years is 4%. The blank spaces will fill in as follows: Province I, 2.9%; Province II, 1%; Province III, 8%; Province IV, 21.8%; Province V, 6.5%; Province VI; 9%, Province VII, 23.7%; Province VIII, 16.4%.

Now if he scores each category where a Province has gained in excess of the National Church average and tally the score he will see where the Episcopal Church is today astir. He will also see that where Vital Statistics indicate vigor, the same vitality is reflected in the Province's Financial Statistics. He will find that the palm goes to the Pacific Coast (VIII); that Sewanee (IV) comes second; the Southwest (VII) third; the Northwest (VI) fourth and the Middlewest (V) fifth.

He will find where the danger spots lie as far as Baptisms and Ordinations are concerned. He will find that where Endowments have increased the most, Quotas have increased the least. And that an Indebtedness almost equal
to, and in two instances double, the amount of the Endowments, far from hurting Quota increase, does just the reverse; indeed there the Quota increase is some 50%; some 88%; some 100%; some 111%!

Finally we would add two things. First, the Pacific Province ought to rank where it does. The population rate there is twice as fast as the National rate of advance. Secondly, the projection figures are there to read and study for those who will accept their challenge.

**RESOLUTIONS**

The Committee recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

**Resolution No. 1.**

Whereas, the rate of growth and expansion of the church in the recent past has been outstripping the population rates of the nation; and

Whereas, the larger the church grows and the wider and more varied its program becomes, the more imperative it is for purposes of strategy and planning to secure and maintain an accurate, current view of the state of the Church's vital and financial statistics; and

Whereas, the development of modern electronic data processing techniques and machinery has now made possible the gathering and filing of such data for immediate readiness, and that this process may be used with ease and efficiency; and

Whereas, three (3) minor changes in Canon 5 are needed to take advantage of such modern statistical methods and to implement their immediate use; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that

(1) The phrase "in duplicate" be inserted after the word "sent" on the sixth (6) line of the first sentence of Section 1 of Canon 5;

(2) That the following phrase be added to the second sentence of Section 1 of Canon 5; (4) this report shall also include other relevant information needed to secure an accurate view of the State of the Church.

(3) That the phrase "including the duplicate copies of the reports required in the previous section of this Canon" be inserted after the word "papers" in the eighth (8th) line of the first (1st) sentence of Section 2 of Canon 5.

**Resolution No. 2.**

That the Committee on Canons be requested to define the words "communicants in good standing" and, if need be, initiate such legislation as is necessary to give authority to the definition.

**Resolution No. 3.**

Resolved, the House of Bishops, concurring that the sum of $2,000 be appropriated to the Committee for its work during the coming triennium.
## State of the Church

### National Church

#### Vital Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>7,886</td>
<td>9,343</td>
<td>11,025</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-parochial</strong></td>
<td>1,906</td>
<td>2,493</td>
<td>3,266</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions Organized</strong></td>
<td>7,197</td>
<td>7,721</td>
<td>8,261</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions Unorganized</strong></td>
<td>897</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Membership (In M's)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Number</strong></td>
<td>3,115</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>3,977</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communicants</strong></td>
<td>1,921</td>
<td>2,180</td>
<td>2,463</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baptisms</strong></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult</strong></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirmations</strong></td>
<td>113</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Received</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burials</strong></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number</strong></td>
<td>6,596</td>
<td>7,276</td>
<td>8,004</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pupils (M's)</strong></td>
<td>761</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ordinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deacons</strong></td>
<td>393</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priests</strong></td>
<td>409</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postulants</strong></td>
<td>1,205</td>
<td>1,072</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>-11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidates</strong></td>
<td>748</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lay Readers</strong></td>
<td>10,575</td>
<td>15,613</td>
<td>23,107</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NATIONAL CHURCH**

Financial Statistics (In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>209.3</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>184.1</td>
<td>275.2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>131.6</td>
<td>194.1</td>
<td>287.1</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>882.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td>1,045.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>263.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### State of the Church

#### First Province

**Vital Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>874</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-parochial</strong></td>
<td>282</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>666</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Churcb Total</strong></td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td>1,157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Membership (in M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Number</strong></td>
<td>383</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communicants</strong></td>
<td>231</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baptisms</strong></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirmations</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Received</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burials</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number</strong></td>
<td>663</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pupils (M's)</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deacons</strong></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priests</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postulants</strong></td>
<td>110</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-36%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidates</strong></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lay Readers</strong></td>
<td>659</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1,095</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Financial Statistics (In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parish Support</strong></td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special</strong></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Outside</strong></td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional Capt.</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income and Capt.</strong></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating</strong></td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quota</strong></td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment</strong></td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension Pre.</strong></td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parochial Special</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outside Special</strong></td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Investments</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disb.</strong></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repayment Loan</strong></td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>120%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Property Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endowments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indebtedness</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Second Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Population Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clergy, Total Number</td>
<td>1,459</td>
<td>1,602</td>
<td>1,762</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parishes &amp; Missions</td>
<td>1,106</td>
<td>1,101</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>1,177</td>
<td>1,188</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Second Province

(In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Population Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support Special</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>156.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>231.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Third Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clergy, Total Number</td>
<td>1,248</td>
<td>1,413</td>
<td>1,596</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parishes &amp; Missions</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>1,192</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership (M's)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>-16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>2,688</td>
<td>3,978</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Third Province (In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fourth Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>993</td>
<td>1,271</td>
<td>1,627</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-parochial</strong></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>1,202</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organized</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>108</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership (In M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whole Number</strong></td>
<td>319</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communicants</strong></td>
<td>221</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baptisms</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirmations</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Received</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burials</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number</strong></td>
<td>958</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>1,218</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pupils (M's)</strong></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deacons</strong></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priests</strong></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postulants</strong></td>
<td>172</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>-19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Candidates</strong></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lay Readers</strong></td>
<td>2,397</td>
<td>3,837</td>
<td>6,139</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fourth Province

(In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parish Support</strong></td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30.7%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special</strong></td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Work Outside</strong></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional Capt.</strong></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income and Capt.</strong></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating</strong></td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quota</strong></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment</strong></td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension Pre.</strong></td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parochial Special</strong></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outside Special</strong></td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Investments</strong></td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disb.</strong></td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repayment Loan</strong></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Property Value</strong></td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endowments</strong></td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indebtedness</strong></td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Fifth Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Population Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>980</td>
<td>1,168</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>814</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership (in M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>1,401</td>
<td>1,919</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fifth Province

(In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Population Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Disb.</strong></td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>153.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Property Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endowments</strong></td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indebtedness</strong></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Sixth Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>-27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership (in M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>1,485</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sixth Province (In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>117%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Seventh Province

### Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clergy, Total Number</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parishes &amp; Missions</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parishes &amp; Missions</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Membership (in M's)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Church Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>3,639</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ordinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>2,318</td>
<td>3,639</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Seventh Province

### Total Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>111%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>150%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>108%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eighth Province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>827</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>1,364</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parishes &amp; Missions</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership (in M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>165%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eighth Province
(In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Receipts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disbursements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>116%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Extra States

#### Alaska & Hawaii

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Membership (in M's)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptism</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirmations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marriages</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burials</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church Schools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ordinations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extra States

#### Hawaii & Alaska (In Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1965</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Receipts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>250%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.4</td>
<td>122%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtteness</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### OVERSEAS MISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clergy, Total Number</strong></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-parochial</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parishes &amp; Missions</strong></td>
<td>341</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unorganized</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Membership (In M's)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole Number</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicants</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisms</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriages</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burials</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers &amp; Teachers (M's)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils (M's)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ordinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deacons</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priests</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postulants</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candidates</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Readers</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OVERSEAS MISSION

**Total Receipts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parish Support</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>-50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Outside</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Capt.</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>900%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income and Capt.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>450%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disbursements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1955</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>Projected 1963</th>
<th>Rate of Change</th>
<th>Rate of Church Change</th>
<th>Rate of Total Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating</td>
<td>.3</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>166%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quota</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Pre.</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parochial Special</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Special</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investments</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>600%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disb.</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>269%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repayment Loan</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Property Value</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowments</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Joint Commission on Alcoholism was continued under the name of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol by resolution of the General Convention of 1958. It was directed to continue its study of problems connected with the use of beverage alcohol as they relate to this Church and to the Church's duty to society and to report its activities and recommendations to the next General Convention.


Your Commission interpreted its task to be three-fold: (1) to continue its study of the Church's ministry in problems related to society and alcohol; (2) to cooperate with the National Council's existing work in this field; and (3) to work toward achieving a more effective, Church-wide program of alcohol education. Four meetings have been held.

The first meeting was held at Seabury House, Greenwich, Conn., May 11–12, 1959.

The Commission began its work by reaffirming the findings of the original Joint Committee on Alcoholism and the recommendations of the Joint Commission on Alcoholism to the General Convention of 1958, to wit: that there is an imperative need for a Church-wide program of alcohol education and that an Executive Secretary is essential to carry out such a program.

Inasmuch as the appropriation for this triennium was $1,000.00 less than the amount for the previous triennium, the Commission realized that certain activities would necessarily be curtailed. With this knowledge the members selected for immediate effort some of the most urgent needs in implementing a Church-wide program of alcohol education.

(1). The Commission emphasized the need for an Executive Secretary by adopting the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol feels deeply the need for a full time worker in the field of society and alcohol as stated in the Commission's report to General Convention of 1958, and recommends to the Presiding Bishop and the National Council that provision for such a staff person be made as soon as possible; and be it further

Resolved, That this message is to be conveyed to the Presiding Bishop by
the Chairman and the Treasurer of the Commission at a time agreed upon by them.

(2). The clergy being key persons in any Church-wide program, planning centered around an effort to involve more clergy in this concern and above all to make better use of the resources of the Faith in dealing with alcohol problems. The Commission voted to direct its efforts toward the production of a manual for clergy on the subject of society and alcohol. The initial compilation of material would be the main item of business at the next meeting. The Division of Christian Citizenship generously agreed to assume the costs of publication.

(3). Recognizing that more adequate publications are necessary, by resolution the Commission (a) commended the Curriculum Division of the Department of Christian Education for its endeavor to develop for the youth of the Church a four to six weeks study unit on the subject of social drinking; (b) invited the person charged with this responsibility to attend future meetings of the Commission; and (c) offered full cooperation in this undertaking.

(4). The Executive Secretary of the Division of Town and Country Work in the Home Department met with the Commission to discuss the summer training program for seminarians, a phase of the Church's work which involves alcohol problems. The directors of the field work program recognize that the subject requires the best form of presentation and the staff of Town and Country Work requested the assistance of the Joint Commission through its resources of literature and personnel who by training and experience are competent to serve in a teaching capacity in the summer training centers.

Arrangements were made whereby resource persons would be available for the training sessions if requested by the regional directors.

The second meeting was held at Seabury House, Greenwich, Conn., November 17-19, 1959. The Rev. James T. Golder of San Francisco, and the Rev. Clifford L. Samuelson and Miss Irene Scudds of the National Council staff attended as co-opted resource persons.

Cooperation with Town and Country. Mr. Samuelson reported the results of the first year of cooperation with Town and Country and made arrangements for continuing alcohol presentations at the training centers the following summer. Experience indicated that alcohol education provides an excellent approach to all areas of mental health for training in pastoral counselling.

Youth Study Guide. As a result of the resolution in regard to the Youth Study Guide, Miss Irene Scudds, the editor, met with the Commission. Miss Scudds stated that her work was editorial in nature and that the Curriculum Division would welcome the assistance of the Commission members in writing the content. Arrangements were made with Dr. Hoff to write the content, basing it upon his experience in conducting discussion groups of young people on this subject.

Clergy Manual. Progress on the manual was reported in the following areas:
(1) The Rev. Joseph L. Kellermann's investigation confirmed the fact that no other denomination or the National Council of Churches has produced a manual for clergy. A primary need is for a manual based upon a sound theological position, supplying clergymen with practical guides for their pastoral functions in dealing with problems related to use of beverage alcohol and alcoholism.

(2) Canon Newman reported that after searching through the existing literature, it was apparent that we must undertake some clearer thinking of the relevance and application of our faith to the use of beverage alcohol in order to meet the needs of the present time. He was authorized to continue his effort to obtain a fresh approach to the theological teachings of the Church as they relate to society and alcohol. Dr. Hoff was appointed to serve with him in conferring with theologians and editing the material.

(3) The Commission decided that the content of the manual should include:

| PREFACE |
| THEOLOGICAL SECTION |
| THE ROLE OF THE CLERGYMAN |
| PRACTICAL APPLICATION (Technical Aids) |

The sections were assigned to various members of the Commission for writing the content, and it was agreed that Dr. Hoff would do the final editing.

Contact with seminaries. The Rev. James T. Golder reported his findings in presenting a week-long course in alcohol education, reaching all classes, as Nashotah House. The experience pointed up alcohol education as a responsibility of the professors of pastoral theology and the need for making specialists available as lecturers in the regular program of the seminaries.

To facilitate contacts with other seminaries, the Commission authorized the Chairman to request a letter from Dean Klein of Nashotah House which would support the Commission's recommendation to deans of seminaries that alcohol problems be included in pastoral theology.

Plans for Presentation to House of Bishops. Bishop Lichtenberger met with the Commission at one session of this meeting and agreed to make arrangements for a presentation of the Commission's work to the House of Bishops at Dallas, Texas, in November, 1960.

Dr. Brooks and Dr. Hoff were appointed to make the presentation, and the following plan was developed and subsequently carried out:

1. "This is the Situation:" Current problems related to use of beverage alcohol, by Dr. Hoff.
2. Alcoholism within the general setting of problems of mental health, by Dr. Brooks.
3. Discussion groups—led by Bishops with experience in this field.
4. Reports and general discussion.
5. Summary by Bishop Cole.
The third meeting of the Commission was held at Cranbrook House, Bloomfield Hills, Mich., June 8–10, 1960.

At this meeting the Commission took further steps toward the achievement of three of its major goals, contact with seminaries, the production of the clergy manual, and provision for an Executive Secretary of Alcohol Education within the Department of Christian Social Relations.

The Chairman reported correspondence with the deans of several seminaries regarding the presentation of alcohol problems as a regular part of the curriculum. The Commission prepared to offer a list of qualified resource persons for such presentations. Those graduates of the Yale Summer School of Alcohol Studies who are also Episcopal clergymen were asked to communicate with their respective seminaries regarding this matter.

Canon Newman reported that the Rev. John K. Mount of Nashotah House, the Rev. Charles P. Price of Virginia Theological Seminary, the Rev. Wood B. Carper, Jr., D.D., of New York, and the Rev. Rollin J. Fairbanks, D.D., of Cambridge, Massachusetts, had collaborated with the subcommittee in writing the theological section of the manual. The manuscripts of two sections were studied and revised.

Mindful that the most important objective of the Commission in this triennium was to assure an ongoing program of alcohol education within the framework of the National Council, the members considered the immediate steps to bring this matter to the General Convention. It was agreed that it was imperative to request the Department of Christian Social Relations to include in its budget for the coming triennium the necessary amount for an Executive Secretary of Alcohol Education. The estimated cost of the program would be $20,000.00 per annum for salary, travel, pensions and secretarial service. The Commission voted to request the Department of Christian Social Relations to include in its budget $20,000.00 per year for this purpose and that a copy of this request be sent to the General Convention Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

For the Department's assistance and consideration, the Commission submitted some basic qualifications and functions of an Executive Secretary of Alcohol Education:

REQUIREMENTS IN TRAINING

Ordained clergyman.

Pastoral experience.

Preferably some clinical pastoral training and a demonstrated competence in the field of human relations.

An expressed interest and some training in the specialized field of society and alcohol.

FUNCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

(1). To prepare, assemble and disseminate information and material on the problems of society and alcohol, himself or in collaboration with appropriate departments of the National Council.

(2). To advise and consult with diocesan organizations such as the
Episcopal Churchwomen, alcohol education committees, laymen's groups.

(3). To work closely with the seminaries through the Deans and Professors of Pastoral Theology, and with preparatory and parochial schools.

(4). To act as resource person to clergy in all aspects of pastoral work related to alcohol, doing this through conferences, assisting clergy in getting special training (clinical and other training).

(5). To act as liaison representing the Episcopal Church in medical, sociological, religious and other disciplines and groups.

(6). To carry out his functions with an awareness of the integral relationship of the Church's ministry in alcohol problems to the broader aspect of mental health.

The fourth meeting was held at Seabury House, Greenwich, Conn., January 24-25, 1961.

At this session the Commission completed the outstanding projects, summarized its work and made arrangements for the report to General Convention.

The manuscript for the Youth Study Guide was reported as having been sent to the Seabury Press. The various sections of the Manual for Clergy were ready for final review. Arrangements were made through the Division of Christian Citizenship for paying publication costs.

The Commission learned with regret and deep concern that the Yale Summer School of Alcohol Studies would be discontinued after the summer of 1961. The Yale School has been the chief source of training for clergy and laity. Its closing will be a serious loss to the Church and will make it even more necessary for the Church to develop within itself a training program for clergy and laity.

**SUMMARY**

The Joint Commission reaffirms its belief in the validity of the recommended program and means of implementing it as requested in the Commission's report to General Convention, 1958. In this triennium, lacking the assistance of a staff person to direct an overall program of alcohol education and to coordinate it through appropriate channels of our whole Church life, the Commission has participated in the Town and Country training program for seminarians, made contacts with seminaries and joined with the Departments of Christian Social Relations and Christian Education in the production of study materials. These activities met specific needs and demonstrated some of the functions which an Executive Secretary would perform.

The Joint Commission is convinced that

(1). It has accomplished as much as a Commission is able to accomplish;
(2). The continuance of the Joint Commission would not realistically serve the Church; and

(3). The need for an effective program of alcohol education can be met only by a full time staff person.

Therefore, the Commission strongly urges the General Convention to provide for an Executive Secretary to direct the Church's alcohol education program on a national scale.

The Commission expresses deep appreciation to the Department of Christian Social Relations, the Department of Christian Education and the Home Department for close, fruitful cooperation. We are most grateful to the Rev. Arthur E. Walmsley for his interest and constant support, to the Rev. Clifford L. Samuelson for the opportunity to participate in Town and Country's program for seminarians, and to Miss Irene Scudds for her ready cooperation in the production of the Youth Study Guide.

We acknowledge with gratitude our indebtedness to the theologians of the Church who generously contributed to the writing of the "Clergy Manual on Problems of Alcoholism."

On behalf of the whole Church, we express gratitude to the Yale Summer School of Alcohol Studies for pioneer work in the field of alcohol education and for the training of approximately 135 clergy and lay leaders of the Episcopal Church. We deeply regret the closing of the school.

RESOLUTIONS

Your Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the report of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol be accepted and that the Commission be discharged.

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the recommendation of the Joint Commission on Society and Alcohol establishing a Division of Alcohol Education within the Department of Christian Social Relations and the employment of an Executive Secretary of the Division be approved; and be it further

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the General Convention instruct the Joint Committee on Program and Budget to make provision in the budget of the coming triennium for an Executive Secretary of Alcohol Education within the Department of Christian Social Relations.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from General Convention</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance of receipts for sale of statement, &quot;In Every Parish&quot;</td>
<td>38.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions, Jan. 17, 1961</td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions, Feb. 8, 1961</td>
<td>175.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions, March 29, 1961</td>
<td>77.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts from sales of &quot;In Every Parish&quot;</td>
<td>578.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$4,529.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disbursements

Members' travel, hotel, etc., meeting, May 11-12, 1959, Greenwich, Conn. ........................................... $ 602.71
Postage on Booklets sold .................................................. 45.87
Meeting, Nov. 17-19, 1959, Greenwich, Conn. ........................... 951.21
Meeting, Jan. 21-23, 1960, Alexandria, Va.......................... 313.25
Sub-committee meeting, April 30-May 1, 1960 .......................... 67.22
Meeting, June 8-10, 1960, Detroit, Mich ......................... 1,097.72
Meeting, Jan. 24-26, 1961, Greenwich, Conn....................... 862.66
Mimeographing, Nov. 5, 1959 and July 13, 1960 .................... 10.60
Printing, “In Every Parish” .............................................. 478.74
Postage, mailing of “In Every Parish” .................................. 100.00

Total ................................................................. $4,529.98

C. Alfred Cole, Chairman.
Archie H. Crowley.
Bernard C. Newman, Treasurer.
Joseph L. Kellermann.
Dean K. Brooks.
Ebbe Curtis Hoff.
Mary E. Durham, Secretary.
Doris V. Burnett, Vice Chairman.
The Joint Commission has held two meetings during the triennium. In January 1960 we met at Seabury House, Greenwich, Connecticut, and in January 1961 at Roslyn, the Diocesan Center of the Diocese of Virginia at Richmond, Virginia. In addition, the Executive Committee has met three times: in January and October 1959 at the General Seminary in New York and in October 1960 at Bexley Hall in Gambier, Ohio. Three meetings of the Conference of Deans of Theological Seminaries, sponsored by the Commission, were also held during the triennium; one of these meetings took place in January, 1959, and the other two were held in conjunction with the meetings of the Joint Commission.

The Rt. Rev. Anson P. Stokes, Bishop of Massachusetts, has been the Chairman of the Commission since January 1959 when Bishop Lichtenberger took office as Presiding Bishop and the Very Reverend Laurence Rose and the Venerable Henry P. Krusen have served as Vice-chairman and Secretary respectively.

1. Theological Education Sunday

The following table will show the encouraging growth of Church-wide observance of Theological Education Sunday during the triennium:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seminary</th>
<th>1958</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1960</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>$36,085.25</td>
<td>$37,498.57</td>
<td>$26,546.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexley</td>
<td>38,601.24</td>
<td>35,517.69</td>
<td>42,247.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. T. S.</td>
<td>61,324.90</td>
<td>62,176.79</td>
<td>66,642.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. T. S. of Southwest</td>
<td>18,337.93</td>
<td>25,034.51</td>
<td>22,844.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>94,383.11</td>
<td>87,749.84</td>
<td>86,186.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashotah</td>
<td>28,359.57</td>
<td>25,047.86</td>
<td>28,609.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>57,703.42</td>
<td>56,895.35</td>
<td>56,075.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>23,265.42</td>
<td>25,090.65</td>
<td>32,764.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabury-Western</td>
<td>31,465.00</td>
<td>38,023.00</td>
<td>37,170.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewanee</td>
<td>26,525.90</td>
<td>43,483.00</td>
<td>45,088.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>129,423.29</td>
<td>130,660.00</td>
<td>136,806.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$545,475.03</strong></td>
<td><strong>$568,177.94</strong></td>
<td><strong>$580,981.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quite as encouraging as increased support of seminary operations shown in these figures is the more widespread concern demonstrated by the greater number of parishes participating: In 1958 the number was 5,010; and in 1960, 5,374 (1,000 more than in 1957). It is to be hoped that the statistics afford a valid index of the measure of the Church's recognition that the enterprise of theological education is one that affects quite fundamentally the well-being of the life of every parish and mission.

It is clear after twenty years of experience, that the Theological Education Sunday program will not solve the financial problems of the seminaries; especially if, as experience seems to indicate, the proportionate amount of
THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION

seminary budget yielded by the stated charges to students, proves to be a diminishing quantity. But it is equally clear that the Church's support for theological education provided by this means has become essential, in default of other methods, to the solvency of the established agencies for the preparation of men for Holy Orders.

The extent of which observance of a Sunday devoted to theological education throughout the Church has stimulated prayerful concern for the vocation of the ministry cannot, of course, be measured; but it is the conviction of the Commission that Theological Education Sunday can be an increasingly important factor in turning the thoughts of Church people, and young men particularly, towards the needs of the Church in meeting the extraordinary demands for more and better trained men in the ministry in the years to come.

For this reason as well as to maintain support of the enterprise of theological education, the Commission again proposes action by the Convention calling for continued observance of Theological Education Sunday. (Resolution 3.)

2. CLERGY SUPPLY AND SEMINARY ENROLLMENT

The Commission has made no exhaustive study of the Church's deficiency in the supply of clergy as it did in the early decades of the 'fifties. It has consulted with the Diocesan Bishops, the Church Pension Fund and the General Division of Research and Field Study to arrive at an approximation of present needs and the projected needs over the next decade.

The Church Pension Fund reports the following net additions to the clergy supply in the past five years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Net Additions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1957</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1959</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These figures are generally substantiated by the statistics of the Episcopal Church Annual which give a total of 6970 clergy in the 1955 Annual and a total of 8352 in the 1960 edition, to show a five year increase of about 1340 clergy—an increase of 19%. This also accords with the report of the Bishops that the clergy shortage has been reduced from 800 in 1955 to a figure around 300 at the present time.

Looking ahead during the next ten years, it appears that the loss through death and retirement for the decade will average less than 150 per year, but then will begin to rise because of the larger number of men reaching retirement age in succeeding years. The projected growth of the Church during the next decade will require approximately 300 net additional clergy a year if we are not to miss out. On the basis of these figures, it would appear that present capacity is entirely adequate to meet the needs of training clergy for the Church in the decade of the 'sixties.

However, seminary enrollment is well below capacity and has shown a marked decrease from the mid-'fifties, when for several years it exceeded 1200. Figures for the triennium are as follows:
Enrollment, Including Graduate Students and Other Special Categories 1958-59 1959-60 1960-61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>1958-59</th>
<th>1959-60</th>
<th>1960-61</th>
<th>Capacity for Ordinands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexley</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambridge</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashotah</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabury-Western</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewanee</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,149</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,152</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,211</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,263</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(It might be noted that the 1960-61 figure shows increased figures for special and graduate students of 41 and a decrease of 17 in the number of Juniors enrolled over the previous year.) The decline in regularly enrolled B.D. students is a trend that is found in all non-Roman seminaries for the last several years. If this trend is not quickly checked, the Church will again face an increasing shortage of men to meet the new opportunities for growth in the next decade.

Furthermore, there is widespread opinion that the quality of men applying for admission in professional schools is declining in a serious way. One great medical school issued a report expressing the opinion that this decline is due to the increased competition for the ablest men in science and industry through the use of private and government grants. Therefore, the Church needs to strengthen its recruiting program to secure more and abler men to answer the Church's need for its clergy supply.

3. TRENDS IN THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION

Most of the men training for the ministry in the Protestant Episcopal Church in the year 1960 are being trained in our own seminaries. Most of these men are college graduates, though the average age of seminarians tends to so increase that about 15% are over 32 years of age. Over 50% of these were not brought up in the Episcopal Church.

Church people have been interested in the part-time training schools that have been developed in Long Island, Michigan, Minnesota, the extension school of C.D.S.P. in Los Angeles and other extension departments. With but seven exceptions, the men being trained in these special schools are over 32 years. The programs of study prescribed for the men who attend these schools are definitive and presuppose that the candidate will be involved in them for several years before ordination. It is interesting to note that the dioceses which make the most liberal provisions for the orderly progress toward ordination of those who do not or cannot arrange to attend seminary have large numbers of candidates who do attend seminaries.

Ninety-three men are being trained by other methods: 1. Reading under a special tutor named by the Bishop. 2. Reading under a parish priest, or
3. Taking correspondence courses. We do not have much information about the quality or quantity of this training. We have reason to suppose that some of it is very good and that when the candidate is already thoroughly at home in our culture and well educated before he comes to this reading course for Orders, he may enter in upon this ministry without serious limitation. We also have reason to know that some of the training for the ministry by this method is limited, both as to quality and quantity. And, that when the candidate is not at home with us, which is so often the case, this limitation is crippling.

Most of the men training for the perpetual diaconate are being taught in the special training schools and, here too, it is interesting to note that the dioceses which have developed training schools are more able to respond in a practical way to those who offer themselves for this special ministry. In spite of rumor, there is little evidence that many of those who give themselves to the perpetual diaconate intend to become priests or seek to do so. It is also observable that those who serve most acceptably as perpetual deacons sometimes become problems for the Church when they change their places of residence or the parish in which they serve has changed its administration.

In the correspondence on which this report is based it is often remarked that men who come before the Examining Chaplains reveal a greater knowledge of continental Protestant theologians than they do of Anglican theologians. A study of sermons written and preached in our Churches reveals too frequently a standardized pattern of thought and words which is difficult to relate, either to the experience of the preacher or to the life and experiences of his hearers. Neither our words or familiar institutions are adequate for the communication of the Gospel. A failure of theological education is revealed at those points where a parish has adequate material resources and basically good men, who are, none the less, unable to conceive and to create relevant programs. This inadequacy of parochial life is reflected by the increasing number of candidates for the ministry who are not drawn from parish life and whose whole concept of the ministry, therefore, does not include the parish. It is also interesting to note that many of those who are attracted to the Church and the ministry during their college years find themselves not attracted by the prospect of becoming parish priests and, in many instances, fearful of becoming such. The desire for graduate study among clerics is legitimate and ought to be encouraged because the entire ministry of the Church can scarcely be described in parochial terms and should provide for great diversity; but some men enter upon graduate study to escape parochial life. This is a failure of Church-life, rather than a failure of formal theological education. This inadequacy is revealed at those points where we should place men and women in new work which ought not develop within the matrix of old patterns, however adequate these may have been, only to discover that our common life has not produced such persons.

Finally it takes no statistician to deduce from the reports that have come to us that men studying for the ministry in our seminaries contribute only 3/8 to 1/4 of the cost of their education. Most of the bishops, who one way or another bridge this financial gap do not wish to have a condition of financial dependence which alters their relationship to candidates; almost all of them reporting write that it does affect this relationship adversely.
We appreciate deeply the support that has come from the Theological Education Sunday Offering each year but would point out that this meets only in part the financial demand theological education increasingly makes upon the resources of the Church.

4. PROPOSED NATIONAL COUNCIL SCHOLARSHIPS FOR SEMINARY STUDENTS

The Commission notes with gratitude the proposal of the Rt. Rev. Frederick J. Warnecke of the Diocese of Bethlehem for the establishment by the National Council of a scholarship fund to assist seminarians with their theological education. This proposal recognizes the desirability of providing outright grants rather than loans. This accords with the experience and preference of many Bishops who find that loans often force a clergyman to seek a call to a congregation that can give him sufficient salary to repay the loan; whereas a grant frees the man to accept a call in terms of its full challenge to him. Also the proposal recognizes the fact that tuition fees cover only a fractional part of the costs of seminary budgets by providing grants to the seminaries at which scholarship recipients choose to study. The Commission sincerely hopes that Bishop Warnecke's proposal may be adopted since it implements the study and resolutions of this Commission at its meeting in January 1960.

5. THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION AND PROPOSAL TO REVISE CANON 30

In 1940, when Canon 30 was created, "Theological Education" was equated to the training of men for Holy Orders in the Seminaries of this Church. The Canon was directed solely to that subject.

Section 1 provided that no institution of learning should be recognized as "a Theological Seminary of the Church" unless its course of study conformed to "the standards of theological learning laid down in the Canons of the General Convention". (The requirements for examinations for ordination had been a subject of canonical legislation ever since the Convention of 1789.) The "Deans of the Theological Seminaries, or their representatives," were designated in Section 2 as members of the "Standing Joint Commission of the General Convention on Theological Education", as were also "one Examining Chaplain from each Province". The Deans, then numbering ten, and the eight Examining Chaplains constituted three-quarters of the membership of the Commission. The duties assigned to the Commission were (1) "to study the needs and trends of Theological Education in the Church", (2) "to advise with the Boards of Trustees of the several Seminaries", (3) "to consider such other matters as shall come before them", and (4) "to report and make recommendations to the General Convention on "the work of the several Seminaries". The second and fourth of these duties were expressly limited to the Seminaries. The first related to "Theological Education" a phrase obviously referring to the subject matter of the entire Canon. And even the third, when read in its context, appeared to contemplate "other matters" only within the same general field.

Thus, even through its title, "Of Theological Education", would today be interpreted to include a much wider scope, the Canon and the jurisdiction of the Joint Commission were apparently intended to apply only to Seminary training for ordination.
Since 1940 "Theological Education" has come to have a greatly enlarged meaning. Seminary training of future leaders of the Church is still, of course, and must always be, its most vital component. But even within that area there has been a significant development in the Church's rapidly growing recognition of financial responsibility for the maintenance and deepening of the work of the Seminaries and the necessity for financial aid to qualified students. This is reflected in Theological Education Sunday Offering and in the pending proposal of National Council for a fund for scholarship aid. Establishment of the Unit of Vocations by the National Council testifies to the growing realization of the importance of the recruitment and selection of candidates for the Sacred Ministry. Increasing demands for theological training by those who cannot afford to give up gainful occupation to attend a seminary have resulted in the establishment of several diocesan schools for the preparation of men for ordination. Advanced courses for Seminary graduates who plan to go into seminary or university teaching or scholarly research are increasingly demanded of Seminaries. Post-ordination refreshment is more and more emphasized as important to continued growth in spiritual leadership. The recent establishment of the Caribbean Seminary in Puerto Rico calls attention to the ever-increasing need for the training of native clergy in overseas mission fields. Advancing educational requirements for various types of lay service to the Church have greatly expanded the training of both men and women for positions as Directors of Religious Education or teachers in schools and colleges and for work in religious journalism, public relations and other fields. Theological courses for laymen at the graduate level are increasing in number and variety.

Although these developments have substantially enlarged the meaning of the words that form its title, Canon 30 has never been amended. It still relates to education for Holy Orders and to recognized Seminaries. The stated composition and duties of the Joint Commission still reflect the ideas of 1940 and the Joint Commission labors under serious handicaps in trying to deal with the facts and needs of 1961.

There is urgent need for a re-thinking of the whole subject of theological education and the development of a unified and comprehensive program for its treatment. Such a program can be developed only after thorough-going study by a group under the auspices of The National Council, equipped with a full-time expert staff and an adequate budget. No Joint Commission of General Convention would be competent to make such a study nor to inaugurate and supervise the program developed by it. In the areas of college work and recruitment, permanent bodies with proper financial backing and the service of full time experts have been found necessary. Certainly Theological Education will require no less.

For these reasons The Joint Commission heard with deep interest and satisfaction of the action of the House of Bishops recommending to The National Council that a thorough study of these matters be made throughout the next triennium by a properly staffed and financed body, and at its meeting in Richmond on January 13, 14, 1961, the Commission adopted the following resolution for transmission to the Council:

Resolved, that the Joint Commission on Theological Education endorses wholeheartedly the proposal adopted by the House of Bishops in Dallas, Texas, and would express the earnest hope that the body charged with the
responsibility for the contemplated study include laymen and women of outstanding competence in the areas under review.

In the judgment of the Commission the concerns requiring survey and evaluation include the following:

1. The selection of men and women for full-time service in the Church at home and abroad.
2. Their education and training for a vital and relevant contemporary ministry.
3. Their continuing education and refreshment.
4. The need for serious theological instruction for lay people.
5. The financial problems involved:
   a. Capital needs of the institutions concerned with this educational program.
   b. Operating costs of the same.
   c. The financial needs of the students.

Pending completion of the proposed study the Joint Commission recommends that supervision of education for Holy Orders under Canon 30 be strengthened and clarified by the following amendments:

(1) to change the title of the Canon to reflect its true scope,
(2) to reduce the size of the Joint Commission and the proportion of its members directly engaged in the education and examination of candidates,
(3) to make clear that the jurisdiction of the Joint Commission extends to education for Holy Orders in all institutions, whether recognized Seminaries or other, and whether at home or overseas.
(4) to prescribe adequate standards and machinery for the "recognition" of Seminaries,
(5) to promote continuing cooperation among the Seminaries,
(6) to require reports by the Joint Commission to General Convention on the work of all institutions engaged in training men for Holy Orders, and to require all such institutions to furnish to the Joint Commission the information necessary for such reports.

The full text of the Canon with the proposed amendments is set forth in a resolution recommended by the Joint Commission for adoption by the General Convention. (Resolution 1.)

6. DURATION OF CANDIDACY

Since the General Convention of 1795, the Canons of the Church dealing with Ordination have required that a certain specified time elapse between a man's admission as a candidate for Holy Orders and his ordering as a Deacon. From that date until 1892, except in the case of candidates for Deacon's Orders only, at least one year was the prescribed duration of
candidacy. In 1892 the minimum was shortened to six months, but in 1898 it was restored to one year, except for men of mature years and special experience, who might be admitted to Deacon's Orders after a candidacy of six months.

When the normal period of candidacy was shortened to two years by the requirement of certification from a seminary upon completion of one academic year, a serious ambiguity crept into Canon 34, Sec. 2. It is held by a substantial number of canonists that the words in the final sentence of the section as it now reads, "successful completion of the last two academic years in any incorporated seminary of the Church" means the act of completion, or graduation from his seminary; so that the Canon permits the ordination as Deacon, immediately following graduation from a seminary, of a person who has successfully finished the last two years of his Seminary course, provided he became a Candidate at any time prior to the termination of that course.

In other words, a man might quite properly be admitted a Candidate on a Tuesday, graduate from his Seminary on Wednesday, and be made Deacon on Thursday. And in fact, something close to this reduction of candidacy has occurred.

It is the conviction of the Joint Commission that the Canons should quite unambiguously prescribe a certain duration for a man's candidacy for Holy Orders. Moreover, it seems unrealistic to the Commission to maintain the two-year requirement as a norm; the procedures specified in Canon 27 governing applications for the admission of candidates cannot normally be accomplished with due consideration two years before the usual times set for ordinations in most dioceses.

The Commission therefore proposes a resolution to amend Canon 34, Sec. 2. (Resolution 2.)

7. OVERSEAS THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION

During this triennium the Commission has continued its deep interest in Theological Education in areas of the Church's overseas work. Annual reports on special forms prepared by the Commission are being requested and received from all Seminaries in our Missionary Districts overseas.

A special report on the Theological Education situation in Mexico was submitted to the Overseas Department of the National Council and to the Commission by two members of the Commission, Deans Blandy and Johnson. The deans were invited to make a study visitation to Mexico in the fall of 1959.

In the summer of 1960 a unique and important conference was held in Austin, Texas, through cooperation with the Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Southwest, in which fifty clergymen, wives and children were brought to the United States for advance training and discussion from the Missionary Districts of Central America and Mexico. This conference, made financially possible through a foundation gift, proved of stimulating value to all concerned and it is hoped that similar meetings of this type will be continued in the future. The Commission commends this form of post-ordination training for overseas clergy, as well as continued exchange of professors and lecturing visits.
The Commission notes with pleasure the progress of the plans for the Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Caribbean in Puerto Rico. The success in the selection of a highly competent Dean and faculty is evidenced by the program of study set forth in the preliminary catalogue prepared by this faculty. Enthusiasm for the future of the Seminary is growing and students from several Caribbean countries will be included in the first class as it assembles in 1961. Construction of the first buildings began in 1960 and the second phase of the building program is expected in the near future. It is to be noted that four members of the Commission are currently serving on the Board of Trustees of this newest overseas seminary.

The Seminaries in the United States continue to serve a large number of nationals of overseas areas who are preparing for ordination or who are continuing post-ordination graduate studies. During the academic year 1960-61 there were 33 overseas students from 17 countries in Seminaries of the Episcopal Church. The countries and number thus represented are as follows: Australia 2, Bahamas 1, Brazil 2, Canal Zone 1, Ethiopia 1, Germany 3, India 4, Japan 3, Mexico 1, Nigeria 1, Puerto Rico 5, Scotland 1, South Africa 1, Spain 1, Syria 3, Taiwan 1, Uganda 1, and Virgin Islands 1.

The Commission commends the reported decision to move the Brazilian Seminary from its former location in Porto Alegre to Sao Paulo where it will be in the midst of a metropolitan and university center. The Commission believes that strengthening and increasing support of theological education in Brazil is of major importance.

The Commission welcomes the publication in 1960 of a volume of outstanding significance in the field of theological education in so-called mission lands. This is "A Seminary Survey" by Yorke Allen, Jr., published by Harper and Brothers. The volume contains a comprehensive survey of Theological Schools and Seminaries in Africa, Asia and Latin America which are training men to serve in the ordained ministry of the Protestant, Anglican, Roman Catholic and Eastern Churches. It supplies valuable information, generally unavailable formerly, about the opportunities and needs which this and other Churches in the United States might meet.

8. SYLLABUS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES REVISED

During the triennium, committees of the Joint Commission have completed a minor revision of "Theological Studies and Examinations: A Syllabus", and a thorough revision of "Theological Studies and Examinations: A Bibliography", to take the place of editions published some years ago. The new editions of these guides for postulants and candidates and for boards of examining chaplains both bear the date 1960. The Commission has arranged for distribution of the "Syllabus" to all diocesan bishops and boards of examining chaplains. A special committee has been appointed to consider undertaking a careful and systematic revision of the syllabus in the light of the Canons and of contemporary needs of examining chaplains.

9. WORK OF THE BOARD OF EXAMINING CHAPLAINS OF PROVINCE VIII

The Board of Examining Chaplains of Province VIII records an impressive meeting of minds and harmony of spirit in the adoption of principles and standards in examination methods and requirements as set forth in
its Manual of 1959. Where the suggested Manual has been used the results have been a relaxation of the examinee because of the reasonable adjustment of timing to the examinee's completion of his seminary course and the examinee's clearer comprehension of the purpose of the canonical examinations in relation to those of his seminary. Thus the examinee is enabled to attain a real improvement in the quality of his examinations.

A special committee is at work by means of questionnaires sent to all bishops and board chairmen of the Province to attain a clear picture of the organization and actions of the several boards. Questions pertained to numbers of board members, rotation of membership, the role of the bishop in examinations, how much time is required for examinations, the ratio between written and oral methods, methods of grading, etc. Of the 39 questionnaires mailed 27 were returned. Twelve of the fifteen jurisdictions in the Province agreed with the Provincial Board's stated purposes. Six boards said that they hoped for standard examinations for the whole Church. Five boards were not satisfied with the present wide-spread procedure of giving one examination for diaconate and priesthood. In eight jurisdictions the bishop takes an active part in the examinations, in seven he does not. In eight the bishop accepts the conclusions of the board, in six he makes the final decision.

In addition to this examining of candidates for Holy Orders, the boards of the Province are being used more and more to direct theological education in its various areas and purposes, such as the training of lay readers, the initiating and directing of courses of study for lay people without professional goals, and the continuing study for clergy. The Provincial Board Meeting at CDSP this February was expanded from a one-day to a two-day session in order to have enough time for studying this expanding scene and responsibility of theological education.

10. THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION IN GENERAL CONVENTION

The Commission notes with satisfaction that at the General Convention in 1958 a standing Committee on Theological Education was established in the House of Deputies. In order to provide for adequate consideration of the report of this Commission and of other important matters related to theological education, the Commission recommends that a similar Standing Committee be established in the House of Bishops and has requested that the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies appoint these committees in advance of the meeting of General Convention.

II. RESOLUTIONS

Resolution 1

Whereas, the canonical jurisdiction of the Joint Commission on Theological Education has been interpreted as limited to the field of education for Holy Orders; and

Whereas, even within that field, and at least until the proposed study of the entire scope of Theological Education in all its aspects has been completed and its recommendations have become operative, it is desirable that the composition of the Joint Commission on Theological Education be
modified and its jurisdiction and duties be more clearly defined; now therefore be it

Resolved, the House of ... concurring, that Canon 30 be amended to read as follows:

"CANON 30

Of Education for Holy Orders

Sec. 1 (a). There shall be a Standing Joint Commission of the General Convention on Education for Holy Orders appointed at each triennial session, consisting of three Bishops, the Dean of the General Theological Seminary, and the Deans of three other Theological Seminaries of the Church appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and three Examining Chaplains, three other Presbyters and three Laymen appointed by the President of the House of Deputies. The Presiding Bishop shall designate one of the three Bishops so appointed as Chairman of the Joint Commission.

(b). There shall be an Executive Committee of the Joint Commission to consist of the Chairman and not less than five other members of the Joint Commission including both Presbyters and Laymen, appointed by the Presiding Bishop in consultation with the Chairman and the President of the House of Deputies.

Sec. 2. The duties of the Joint Commission shall be, viz:

(1). To study the needs and trends of education for Holy Orders in the Church, both in the United States and overseas, and to make recommendations to the National Council and the General Convention with respect thereto.

(2). To determine, subject to the approval of the General Convention, whether an institution of learning shall be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church.

(3). To advise and assist the Seminaries and other institutions of the Church for the training of men for Holy Orders in the United States and overseas.

(4). To promote continuing cooperation between and among the Theological Seminaries of this Church.

(5). To compile and present to each triennial session of the General Convention a complete statistical report of the work of the several Theological Seminaries of the Church and, as far as possible, of other institutions for the training of men for Holy Orders.

Sec. 3. To be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church an institution of learning shall comply with the following standards, viz:

(1). Its primary purpose shall be the education of men for Holy Orders.

(2). It shall throughout each normal academic year offer courses of
study, at graduate level, in the subjects prescribed in these Canons for the learning and examination of Candidates for Holy Orders.

(3). Its faculty shall include at least four full-time professors duly qualified, according to generally accepted academic standards, to teach such courses.

(4). Its student body shall number not less than twenty men pursuing a three year course leading to a baccalaureate degree in theology, substantially all of whom shall be college graduates.

(5). Its organization, financial resources, equipment and facilities shall be such as reasonably to assure its stability and permanence and its separate identity as an institution for Theological Education.

Sec. 4. It shall be the duty of each Theological Seminary of this Church and of each other institution for the training of men for Holy Orders to present to the Joint Commission yearly reports of its operations and activities, such reports to be made on forms prepared and provided by the Commission.

Resolution 2

WHEREAS, It is desirable that the duration of Candidacy for Holy Orders should be clearly defined in the Canons of the Church; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that Canon 34, sec. 2, be clarified and amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 2. No one shall be ordered Deacon within eighteen months from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, unless the Bishop, with the advice and consent of a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee, shall shorten the time of his candidateship; and in no case shall the time be shortened to less than six months."

Resolution 3

WHEREAS, The Theological Education Sunday offering has become a vital element in the support of the program of the Church in preparing men for Holy Orders; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the General Convention calls upon every parish and mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that day, or on another day chosen for the purpose, for the support of the seminaries of the Church.

Resolution 4

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the Committee on Expenses be authorized and instructed to provide in the budget for the next triennium an appropriation of $13,000 for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Theological Education.
FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriation from General Convention $10,000.00

Disbursements
Expenses of Meetings of the Commission, the Executive Committee, and Other Committees $8,814.58
Secretarial Assistance 22.00
Postage, Telephone, Printing 314.40

9,150.98

Balance, April 30, 1961 $849.02

This report was approved and adopted at a meeting of the Commission held on January 13-14, 1961, at which a majority of the members of the Commission were present. The names of the members of the Commission and of the Executive Committee are appended.


## Analysis of Cost of Operation of Seminaries

**Fiscal Years Ending 1958, 1959, 1960**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Exley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1957/8:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of Faculty</td>
<td>$57,618.00</td>
<td>$37,034.87</td>
<td>$145,720.61</td>
<td>$160,574.12</td>
<td>$45,410.54</td>
<td>$71,775.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$31,440.00</td>
<td>$11,386.17</td>
<td>$29,611.17</td>
<td>$119,835.00</td>
<td>$17,677.95</td>
<td>$30,708.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operations</td>
<td>$68,355.00</td>
<td>$24,788.21</td>
<td>$30,498.15</td>
<td>$130,917.45</td>
<td>$29,531.29</td>
<td>$32,435.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>$33,213.00</td>
<td>$15,057.13</td>
<td>$43,814.65</td>
<td>$79,609.85</td>
<td>$27,500.19</td>
<td>$20,646.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$6,018.00</td>
<td>$2,977.13</td>
<td>$12,533.51</td>
<td>$43,012.98</td>
<td>$19,203.36</td>
<td>$8,611.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$8,735.00</td>
<td>$4,035.57</td>
<td>$14,124.01</td>
<td>$9,447.55</td>
<td></td>
<td>$950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid &amp; Residences (Net)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$208,579.00</td>
<td>$115,137.57</td>
<td>$319,414.78</td>
<td>$543,156.97</td>
<td>$39,451.33</td>
<td>$165,031.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per Student</strong></td>
<td>$1,971.50</td>
<td>$2,881.41</td>
<td>$3,292.93</td>
<td>$3,394.73</td>
<td>$1,978.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1958/9:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of Faculty</td>
<td>$66,319.00</td>
<td>$54,085.38</td>
<td>$138,763.00</td>
<td>$172,473.01</td>
<td>$26,736.40</td>
<td>$80,155.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$27,450.00</td>
<td>$18,517.11</td>
<td>$133,498.09</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operations</td>
<td>$39,980.00</td>
<td>$22,712.49</td>
<td>$30,254.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>$17,080.00</td>
<td>$14,836.14</td>
<td>$14,729.90</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$11,982.00</td>
<td>$9,315.80</td>
<td>$12,038.00</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$10,519.00</td>
<td>$22,003.28</td>
<td>$14,729.90</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid &amp; Residences (Net)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$192,998.00</td>
<td>$177,178.02</td>
<td>$273,117.00</td>
<td>$336,084.44</td>
<td>$181,180.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per Student</strong></td>
<td>$2,144.42</td>
<td>$3,937.29</td>
<td>$3,268.81</td>
<td>$3,258.62</td>
<td>$1,232.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1959/60:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries of Faculty</td>
<td>$69,509.00</td>
<td>$41,157.14</td>
<td>$184,689.12</td>
<td>$68,581.17</td>
<td>$93,674.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$27,139.00</td>
<td>$22,712.49</td>
<td>$122,285.06</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operations</td>
<td>$51,804.00</td>
<td>$28,314.51</td>
<td>$28,314.51</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>$17,080.00</td>
<td>$14,836.14</td>
<td>$14,729.90</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$10,519.00</td>
<td>$22,003.28</td>
<td>$14,729.90</td>
<td>$16,067.00</td>
<td>$40,918.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$21,925.00</td>
<td>$35,125.51</td>
<td>$5,165.00</td>
<td>$154,061.74</td>
<td>$224,638.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Aid &amp; Residences (Net)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$295,036.00</td>
<td>$156,500.61</td>
<td>$359,900.49</td>
<td>$553,066.01</td>
<td>$154,061.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost per Student</strong></td>
<td>$2,440.90</td>
<td>$3,477.79</td>
<td>$3,268.62</td>
<td>$2,812.03</td>
<td>$1,458.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ratio to Expense

- **1957/8:** 37.4% 33.2% 23.6% 33.3% 33.3%
- **1958/9:** 31.2% 31.9% 21.8% 31.4% 38.4%
- **1959/60:** 30.5% 27.1% 23.9% 35.7% 36.6%

### Tuition Charges

#### 1957/8:
- Salaries, V. A. and Grants: $78,186.00 35.4% 32.8% 25.9% 22.2% 37.4%
- Ratio to Expense: 32.8% 25.9% 22.2% 37.4%

#### 1958/9:
- Salaries, V. A. and Grants: $59,827.00 31.4% 24.4% 31.9% 21.8% 31.4%
- Ratio to Expense: 31.4% 21.8% 31.4%

#### 1959/60:
- Salaries, V. A. and Grants: $62,646.00 30.5% 16.4% 37.1% 23.9% 35.7%
- Ratio to Expense: 16.4% 37.1% 35.7%

### No. of Students

- **1957/8:** 106 41 97 160 42 153
- **1958/9:** 90 45 94 164 48 147
- **1959/60:** 84 45 108 170 55 154

### Tuition Charges

- **1957/8:** $895.00 880.00 900.50 750.00 718.45 897.50
- **1958/9:** 1,045.00 882.00 1,018.00 750.00 825.00 911.00
- **1959/60:** 1,045.00 1,151.00 1,018.00 900.00 925.00 920.45
### Analysis of Cost of Operation of Seminaries

#### Fiscal Years Ending 1958, 1959, 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Scalabrini- Western</th>
<th>Swansea</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>% of Grand-Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1958</td>
<td>$55,060.00</td>
<td>$79,314.45</td>
<td>$51,520.00</td>
<td>$109,203.57</td>
<td>$106,632.09</td>
<td>$921,172.76</td>
<td>37.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$19,038.00</td>
<td>27,305.78</td>
<td>5,089.00</td>
<td>30,986.55</td>
<td>50,718.63</td>
<td>390,117.45</td>
<td>15.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$21,032.00</td>
<td>37,152.13</td>
<td>12,691.00</td>
<td>32,988.44</td>
<td>98,228.45</td>
<td>519,181.64</td>
<td>20.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$22,999.00</td>
<td>22,391.53</td>
<td>12,760.00</td>
<td>42,257.17</td>
<td>310,959.59</td>
<td>192,374.67</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10,054.00</td>
<td>13,053.53</td>
<td>13,069.00</td>
<td>35,771.63</td>
<td>21,812.94</td>
<td>76,681.86</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,705.00</td>
<td>4,107.06</td>
<td>12,600.00</td>
<td>767.50</td>
<td>61,471.70</td>
<td>192,374.67</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,630.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$137,479.00</td>
<td>$197,935.11</td>
<td>$111,075.00</td>
<td>$398,677.69</td>
<td>$2,332.70</td>
<td>$2,332.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,115.06</td>
<td>$3,665.47</td>
<td>$1,501.01</td>
<td>$2,344.69</td>
<td>$2,344.69</td>
<td>$2,344.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$60,194.00</td>
<td>$83,713.63</td>
<td>$50,662.00</td>
<td>$122,639.00</td>
<td>$110,700.00</td>
<td>$990,457.43</td>
<td>38.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$32,389.00</td>
<td>33,093.55</td>
<td>17,156.00</td>
<td>28,245.39</td>
<td>86,257.69</td>
<td>452,874.37</td>
<td>17.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$24,563.00</td>
<td>33,558.35</td>
<td>18,550.00</td>
<td>33,588.45</td>
<td>103,581.61</td>
<td>477,730.34</td>
<td>18.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$20,550.00</td>
<td>22,343.63</td>
<td>12,555.00</td>
<td>40,736.01</td>
<td>322,650.96</td>
<td>120,592.22</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$9,975.00</td>
<td>13,491.88</td>
<td>16,015.00</td>
<td>40,666.47</td>
<td>202,972.32</td>
<td>202,972.32</td>
<td>7.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,042.00</td>
<td>9,207.00</td>
<td>12,600.00</td>
<td>3,236.73</td>
<td>88,209.83</td>
<td>26,165.50</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$153,913.00</td>
<td>$185,448.01</td>
<td>$153,643.00</td>
<td>$228,312.94</td>
<td>$362,829.02</td>
<td>$2,571,170.75</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,700.22</td>
<td>$3,665.47</td>
<td>$2,121.32</td>
<td>$2,818.65</td>
<td>$2,109.47</td>
<td>$2,543.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$60,155.00</td>
<td>$80,701.92</td>
<td>$66,333.00</td>
<td>$118,030.02</td>
<td>$119,560.17</td>
<td>$1,084,023.55</td>
<td>38.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$42,378.00</td>
<td>28,833.28</td>
<td>14,345.00</td>
<td>34,544.44</td>
<td>117,672.15*</td>
<td>301,973.31</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$25,284.00</td>
<td>31,431.22</td>
<td>13,491.00</td>
<td>37,984.40</td>
<td>113,267.64</td>
<td>237,564.00</td>
<td>18.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$21,516.00</td>
<td>10,928.53</td>
<td>12,390.00</td>
<td>30,585.52</td>
<td>312,106.92</td>
<td>109,443.56</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$11,439.00</td>
<td>14,039.07</td>
<td>14,910.00</td>
<td>51,477.99</td>
<td>222,077.58</td>
<td>234,253.05</td>
<td>8.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,190.06</td>
<td>5,590.00</td>
<td>5,094.11</td>
<td>84,728.50</td>
<td>107,479.21</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$161,303.00</td>
<td>$189,654.15</td>
<td>$139,672.00</td>
<td>$246,541.02</td>
<td>$445,653.75</td>
<td>$2,852,159.14</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,601.66</td>
<td>$3,274.82</td>
<td>$1,813.92</td>
<td>$3,522.01</td>
<td>$2,652.53</td>
<td>$2,716.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$52,293.00</td>
<td>$47,457.50</td>
<td>$41,055.00</td>
<td>$28,525.50</td>
<td>$115,350.00</td>
<td>$718,502.04</td>
<td>28.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.10</td>
<td>23.99</td>
<td>37.77</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>34.91</td>
<td>28.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$41,715.00</td>
<td>$40,445.00</td>
<td>$54,751.00</td>
<td>$28,435.00</td>
<td>$112,050.00</td>
<td>$710,050.51</td>
<td>27.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td>25.30</td>
<td>40.97</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>30.89</td>
<td>27.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$45,547.00</td>
<td>$38,129.04</td>
<td>$41,350.00</td>
<td>$34,633.00</td>
<td>$125,240.75</td>
<td>$792,729.08</td>
<td>27.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>20.66</td>
<td>29.61</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>28.10</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>$870.00</td>
<td>$870.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$785.00</td>
<td>$785.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>880.00</td>
<td>970.00</td>
<td>1,220.00</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>755.00</td>
<td>755.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>590.00</td>
<td>1,001.16</td>
<td>1,300.00</td>
<td>650.00</td>
<td>995.00</td>
<td>995.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Less Estimated Depreciation"
### Year 1958

**Income Items:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Bexley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General*</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewanee</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts</td>
<td>$78,188.00</td>
<td>$38,751.80</td>
<td>$82,914.36</td>
<td>$123,637.08</td>
<td>$33,296.87</td>
<td>$77,539.48</td>
<td>$82,303.00</td>
<td>$47,467.50</td>
<td>$57,500.00</td>
<td>$139,911.22</td>
<td>$146,378.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Investments and Rentals</td>
<td>$68,714.00</td>
<td>$15,261.78</td>
<td>$123,541.76</td>
<td>$261,716.67</td>
<td>$35,041.82</td>
<td>$7,670.34</td>
<td>$25,215.00</td>
<td>$60,141.53</td>
<td>$23,474.00</td>
<td>$1,632.06</td>
<td>$145,601.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment—Special Purposes</td>
<td>$14,841.83</td>
<td>$11,786.70</td>
<td>$5,868.82</td>
<td>$47,487.50</td>
<td>$146,378.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological Education</td>
<td>$30,885.00</td>
<td>$38,729.28</td>
<td>$60,320.47</td>
<td>$73,766.48</td>
<td>$29,415.22</td>
<td>$55,004.86</td>
<td>$22,497.00</td>
<td>$31,464.98</td>
<td>$29,004.00</td>
<td>$26,162.04</td>
<td>$121,892.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and Other</td>
<td>$27,083.22</td>
<td>$100,578.50</td>
<td>$87,998.39</td>
<td>$117,960.68</td>
<td>$58,442.50</td>
<td>$52,977.00</td>
<td>$40,553.00</td>
<td>$19,860.00</td>
<td>$4,528.32</td>
<td>$7,530.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$182,983.00</td>
<td>$135,347.91</td>
<td>$357,353.09</td>
<td>$124,148.62</td>
<td>$121,331.29</td>
<td>$205,426.00</td>
<td>$133,082.00</td>
<td>$194,647.91</td>
<td>$133,278.00</td>
<td>$172,233.64</td>
<td>$421,403.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Endowment Fund**

|                      | $1,751,269.00 | $740,000.00 | $3,325,149.71 | $4,391,830.29 | $968,005.96 | $175,121.99 | $699,093.00 | $1,372,569.94 | $627,964.00 | $310,801.00 |

**Endowment Fund for Scholarship Aid**

|                      | $199,259.00 | $169,000.00 | $103,937.50 | $647,775.80 | $98,428.76 | $130,353.53 | $150,000.00 | $499,658.00 | $177,479.87 |

**Capital Gifts Received during Year**

|                      | $75,352.00 | $3,803.00 | $272,851.60 | $40,428.11 | $44,172.14 | $79,897.25 | $86,947.00 | $355,370.19 | $9,301.00 | $180,476.15 |

**Capital Expenditures during Year**

|                      | $137,388.00 | $210,850.32 | $13,828.60 | $27,106.47 | $43,309.52 | $9,227.00 | $5,811.00 | $76,516.12 | $35,555.91 |

*Exclusive of Bldg. Fund Receipts & Expenditures*
### Analysis of Income of Seminaries—Fiscal Years Ending—1958, 1959 and 1960—Continued

#### Year 1959

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Items</th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Besley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General*</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewanee</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts</td>
<td>59,827.00</td>
<td>$46,899.70</td>
<td>$87,113.00</td>
<td>$117,259.30</td>
<td>$42,956.34</td>
<td>$60,649.17</td>
<td>$41,715.00</td>
<td>$49,445.00</td>
<td>$54,751.00</td>
<td>$28,435.00</td>
<td>$112,060.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Investments and Rentals</td>
<td>79,195.00</td>
<td>16,301.79</td>
<td>120,695.00</td>
<td>253,875.24</td>
<td>35,726.37</td>
<td>10,849.15</td>
<td>31,976.00</td>
<td>66,508.50</td>
<td>35,415.00</td>
<td>75,434.82</td>
<td>160,122.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment—Special Purposes</td>
<td>13,882.85</td>
<td>6,336.00</td>
<td>2,802.55</td>
<td>7,427.87</td>
<td>3,014.00</td>
<td>38,826.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological Education</td>
<td>38,040.00</td>
<td>73,512.52</td>
<td>92,611.00</td>
<td>85,216.37</td>
<td>36,370.63</td>
<td>25,491.00</td>
<td>25,067.04</td>
<td>123,708.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and Other</td>
<td>27,558.06</td>
<td>23,983.00</td>
<td>99,679.12</td>
<td>7,956.84</td>
<td>57,741.00</td>
<td>45,857.86</td>
<td>20,330.00</td>
<td>74,000.61</td>
<td>6,823.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$177,062.00</td>
<td>$177,794.92</td>
<td>$330,738.00</td>
<td>$558,892.58</td>
<td>$120,723.21</td>
<td>$205,349.19</td>
<td>$157,937.00</td>
<td>$198,242.19</td>
<td>$149,031.00</td>
<td>$203,537.47</td>
<td>$441,408.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Endowment Fund</td>
<td>$1,754,100.00</td>
<td>$335,000.00</td>
<td>$3,532,212.00</td>
<td>$4,671,532.66</td>
<td>$583,359.44</td>
<td>$338,778.81</td>
<td>$1,190,582.00</td>
<td>$1,644,152.51</td>
<td>$605,274.00</td>
<td>$937,882.59</td>
<td>$2,602,004.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Fund for Scholarship Aid</td>
<td>439,611.00</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>103,937.00</td>
<td>655,469.52</td>
<td>99,075.31</td>
<td>189,353.53</td>
<td>70,000.00</td>
<td>541,725.00</td>
<td>10,184.16</td>
<td>107,406.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Gifts Received during Year</td>
<td>233,317.00</td>
<td>310,821.00</td>
<td>82,872.59</td>
<td>45,006.11</td>
<td>15,737.76</td>
<td>68,280.00</td>
<td>64,063.00</td>
<td>53,606.00</td>
<td>15,348.00</td>
<td>39,669.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Expenditures during Year</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$70,200.00</td>
<td>$13,151.58</td>
<td>$24,748.59</td>
<td>$301,293.21</td>
<td>$5,140.00</td>
<td>$2,326.86</td>
<td>$7,647.00</td>
<td>$15,130.46</td>
<td>$70,858.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exclusive of Bldg. Fund Receipts & Expenditures*
## Analysis of Income of Seminaries—Fiscal Years Ending—1958, 1959 and 1960—Continued

### Year 1960

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Items</th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Bexley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General*</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewance</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts</td>
<td>$62,646.00</td>
<td>$30,476.25</td>
<td>$140,354.71</td>
<td>$132,754.75</td>
<td>$55,309.24</td>
<td>$82,286.24</td>
<td>$48,547.00</td>
<td>$39,129.04</td>
<td>$41,350.00</td>
<td>$34,633.00</td>
<td>$125,240.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Investments and Rentals</td>
<td>$57,197.00</td>
<td>$27,605.23</td>
<td>$131,401.74</td>
<td>$275,164.84</td>
<td>$36,124.06</td>
<td>$10,874.66</td>
<td>$9,325.00</td>
<td>$12,765.00</td>
<td>$5,205.39</td>
<td>$46,455.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment—Special Purposes</td>
<td>$5,014.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological Education</td>
<td>$24,550.00</td>
<td>$36,967.00</td>
<td>$83,747.64</td>
<td>$70,000.00</td>
<td>$26,550.57</td>
<td>$67,583.66</td>
<td>$32,610.00</td>
<td>$39,522.00</td>
<td>$43,123.00</td>
<td>$33,880.59</td>
<td>$126,339.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions and Other</td>
<td>$35,315.00</td>
<td>$30,517.01</td>
<td>$87,227.71</td>
<td>$79,008.63</td>
<td>$14,848.97</td>
<td>$44,860.27</td>
<td>$26,031.00</td>
<td>$39,537.02</td>
<td>$20,380.00</td>
<td>$40,860.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$179,708.00</td>
<td>$139,778.35</td>
<td>$442,731.80</td>
<td>$559,922.22</td>
<td>$135,778.76</td>
<td>$226,465.05</td>
<td>$169,301.00</td>
<td>$144,035.00</td>
<td>$189,183.21</td>
<td>$471,763.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Endowment Fund</strong></td>
<td>$1,884,400.00</td>
<td>$550,000.00</td>
<td>$4,314,224.06</td>
<td>$4,909,155.03</td>
<td>$706,058.70</td>
<td>$194,441.37</td>
<td>$1,104,533.00</td>
<td>$1,190,156.00</td>
<td>$937,962.30</td>
<td>$2,786,058.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endowment Fund for Scholarship Aid</strong></td>
<td>$473,796.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$150,501.60</td>
<td>$751,669.18</td>
<td>$101,225.51</td>
<td>$64,727.00</td>
<td>$74,709.69</td>
<td>$43,123.00</td>
<td>$474,291.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Gifts Received during year</strong></td>
<td>$164,485.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$532,312.15</td>
<td>$168,146.08</td>
<td>$46,866.30</td>
<td>$219,573.91</td>
<td>$41,065.00</td>
<td>$121,961.01</td>
<td>$1,014.00</td>
<td>$58,483.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Expenditures during Year</strong></td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$451,698.73</td>
<td>$17,053.00</td>
<td>$75,290.42</td>
<td>$650,021.07</td>
<td>$250,062.51</td>
<td>$1,014.00</td>
<td>$279.42</td>
<td>$78,388.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exclusive of Bldg. Fund Receipts & Expenditures*
APPENDIX 29

REPORT OF THE TREASURER

The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America:

The Treasurer presents the following statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance for the triennium ended August 15, 1961, preceded by the opinion of our independent Accountants and Auditors, Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co.

PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL & CO.
ACCOUNTANTS AND AUDITORS
SEVENTY PINE STREET
NEW YORK 5, N.Y.

ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT

The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America:

We have examined the statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance of The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for the three years ended August 15, 1961. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance presents fairly the recorded cash transactions of The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for the three years ended August 15, 1961. Also, in our opinion, the accompanying schedule is stated fairly in all material respects when considered in conjunction with the statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance taken as a whole.

PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL & CO.

New York, N.Y.
August 16, 1961
THE GENERAL CONVENTION OF THE PROTESTANT
EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURES AND FUND BALANCE
THREE YEARS ENDED AUGUST 15, 1961

Receipts:

Assessments $ 492,108.75
Sales of Journal, Constitution and
Canons 6,256.38

Investment income:
Interest on U. S. Treasury bills $ 1,023.19
Interest on savings account 1,119.45 2,142.64

Excess of receipts over expenditures 500,507.77
Add prior period reserve for expenditures of this period 8,670.00

Fund balance:
Beginning of period 22,327.19
End of period $ 103,645.97

Balance consisting of cash in banks $ 103,645.97

Note: Working funds borrowed, without interest, from Domestic and
Foreign Missionary Society not included in the foregoing:

Nov. 5, 1958 $ 25,000.00 Repaid Jan. 30, 1959
Sept. 2, 1959 25,000.00 Repaid Jan. 19, 1960
### APPENDIX 29

**EXPENDITURES**

*(Schedule 1)*

**THREE YEARS ENDED AUGUST 15, 1961**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presiding Bishop:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$ 65,937.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$ 10,344.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount charged to reserve</td>
<td>$ 870.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Fund</td>
<td>$ 25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount charged to reserve</td>
<td>$ 5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>$ 8,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$ 8,292.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>$ 9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenographic help</td>
<td>$ 9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For triennium 1955-1958</td>
<td>$ 125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$ 765.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving</td>
<td>$ 3,463.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation</td>
<td>$ 3,191.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 149,839.09</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retiring Allowance:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>$ 2,179.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired Bishops</td>
<td>$ 51,033.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 53,212.79</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seabury House maintenance</td>
<td>$ 27,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount charged to reserve</td>
<td>$ 2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 30,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel of Bishops and Clergy to Consecrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of Missionary Bishops</td>
<td>$ 1,032.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Bishops:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$ 3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount charged to reserve</td>
<td>$ 300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$ 3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$ 540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, steno, printing, postage, etc.</td>
<td>$ 961.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant secretaries</td>
<td>$ 1,445.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 7,147.08</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carried forward</td>
<td><strong>$ 241,231.54</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role</td>
<td>Salary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Deputies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, steno, printing, postage, etc.</td>
<td>900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historiographer:</strong></td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registrar:</strong></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treasurer:</strong></td>
<td>3,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Committees, Commissions and Societies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>2,703.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees and Commissions</td>
<td>1,166.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution and Canons (White and Dykman's)</td>
<td>816.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecumenical Relations</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelism</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention, Structure and Organization</td>
<td>1,074.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Magazine</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Society</td>
<td>45,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Affairs</td>
<td>$ 3,038.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>38.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Areas</td>
<td>331.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For triennium 1955-1958</td>
<td>450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liturgical</td>
<td>4,377.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>380.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program and Budget</td>
<td>7,678.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carried forward</strong></td>
<td>$ 78,216.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 29

(Schedule 1, Cont.)

Committees, Commissions and Societies, continued:

* Brought forward $263,564.26

- Provincial System
  - Less refunds: 53.91
  - Brought forward: $2,313.16

- Society and Alcohol
  - Less refunds: 451.24
  - Brought forward: $3,951.24

- State of the Church
  - For triennium 1955-1958
    - Less refunds: 153.02
    - 506.30

- Theological Education
  - 9,276.37

- Unity
  - Less refunds: 107.21
  - Brought forward: $8,723.04

- Women Church Workers
  - Less gift by Windham House: 1,000.00
  - Brought forward: $2,500.00

* Total: $427,858.99

General Convention Expense: 7,466.52
Host Diocese: 21,561.60

Printing:

- Journal, Constitution and Canons: 25,642.59
- Reports of Committees: 3,715.61
  - Less refunds: 208.71

* Total: $29,149.49

Contingent Fund: 2,089.36

APPENDIX

The Budget for the Triennium amounted to $407,510.00. Total expenditures exceeded this figure by $20,348.99, (5.0% of the total budget). Items charged to the Contingent Fund consisted of the following:

- Auditing: $250.00
- General Convention: 1,617.24
- Daily Newspaper
- House of Deputies, Secretary's Travel: 222.12

* Total: $2,089.36

Disbursements for twenty-four of the fifty-five expense items were less than the appropriations by an aggregate of $19,266.94, (4.7% of the total budget). Disbursements for eight items were in excess of the appropriations by an aggregate of $39,615.93, (9.7% of the total budget), as follows:
After the close of the last General Convention, it appeared that nineteen retired Bishops would be eligible for the increased retiring allowances under Canons 2, 41 and 43, the contingent liability for which would be $78,672. This made it evident that the assessment rate of $19.00 and $4.75, (based on the number of diocesan and missionary Clergy respectively), would not produce sufficient funds to meet both the obligations of the triennium and those of the months immediately following in which little or no income is forthcoming. (Expenses and obligations due in the first five months of the past triennium amounted to $79,267.19%, of the budget.) Accordingly, the Committee on Expenses increased the assessment rate to $22.00 and $5.50, the maximum prescribed by Canon, for the years 1960 and 1961.

It will be noted that disbursements for Bishops' retiring allowances were less than the initial liability by $25,460. This was due to six deaths and ineligibility or adjustments in four cases because of other allowances or regular stipend from ecclesiastical employment.

It is a pleasure to report that all the dioceses and missionary districts have paid their assessments in full for the triennium 1958–1961.

The Treasurer is most grateful for the advice and assistance he has received from the Presiding Bishop, the officers of both Houses, the Committee on Expenses, the Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention, and the Assistant Treasurer.

The assistance of the Joint Advisory Committee to Cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention was invaluable and the Treasurer asks that it be continued, recommending that it be designated "Joint Committee on Audit" whose function would be to direct a periodic audit of the accounts as well as to serve as an advisory committee to the Treasurer.

RICHARD P. KENT, JR.
Treasurer.
APPENDIX 30

REPORT OF THE
JOINT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
TO CO-OPERATE WITH THE
TREASURER OF THE GENERAL CONVENTION

The Joint Advisory Committee to co-operate with the Treasurer of the General Convention was appointed pursuant to a resolution adopted by the House of Bishops and concurred in by the House of Deputies, on the 11th day of the General Convention of 1958.

The original and present members of the Committee are as follows:
Chairman: The Rt. Rev. Benjamin M. Washburn, D.D.
Vice-Chairman: The Rev. Robert B. Appleyard, D.D.
Secretary: Theodor Oxholm, L.L.D.

The Committee organized in January 28, 1959 and placed itself at the disposal of the Treasurer of the General Convention for any advice or assistance he might request. The Committee also held meetings on October 27, 1959, October 24, 1960 and June 15, 1961. The Treasurer and the Assistant Treasurer of the General Convention were present at each meeting.

The Treasurer presented a number of matters for discussion, information and advice. The most significant of those upon which the Committee gave its counsel were:
1. The matter of increasing the assessments on dioceses for the support of the budget of the General Convention to meet mandatory allowances to retired Bishops.
2. The matter of the over-spending of appropriations by Committees and Commissions of the Convention.
3. The selection of the firm of Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co. to audit the account of the Treasurer.

On the 11th day of the General Convention of 1958, the House of Bishops adopted the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops requests the Advisory Committee to the Treasurer of the General Convention to make a study of the work of the Church Historical Society; the preservation and safekeeping of Church records; the cataloging, shelving and staffing of the Society, and report to the next Convention on this matter.

Your Committee considered the matter and was of the unanimous opinion that this request was unrelated to the purpose for which the Committee was established. The Chairman of the Committee communicated this opinion to the Presiding Bishop and to the House of Bishops before its meeting held in November 1960.

We make two comments:

1. Many actions taken by the General Convention have implications for the Treasurer which are not clearly covered by canonical provisions. Some of these require judgments and decisions which he may wish to share with a committee such as this.

2. Canon 1, Sec. 5(a) provides for the election of a Treasurer of the General Convention and then states as follows: "His account shall be rendered triennially to the Convention, and shall be audited by a committee acting under its authority." It does not appear from a casual search of the records that this provision of the Canon has been implemented in recent years. The Joint Advisory Committee this triennium has assumed this function and with the Treasurer’s assent appointed a firm of certified public
accountants to make an audit of the account of the Treasurer. It also consulted with this firm during the course of the audit.

The Committee had no receipts and no expenses.

THEODOR OXHOLM, SECRETARY

APPENDIX 31

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON APPROACHES TO UNITY

The Commission has held five regular meetings during the triennium, and a number of special meetings attended by the executive committee or subcommittees.

The principal business of the Commission during this triennium had to do with special assignments for the Presiding Bishop and the General Convention with regard to concordats with the Spanish Reformed and Lusitanian Churches in Europe and the Philippine Independent Church. At the same time, the Commission continued to explore possibilities for reunion with the churches in America but has little specific to show in this area for the triennium just concluded.

The officers of the Commission have held two meetings during the triennium with the officers of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and the Joint Commission on Relations with the Eastern Churches. We are aware that each commission has its own specific responsibilities, and in the light of the present structure for interchurch work within the Episcopal Church, it seems wise that each commission continue to handle its own responsibilities. At the same time, the existence of three commissions all in the ecumenical area gives rise to possibilities of overlap and neglect. By exchanging minutes and an annual conference, we hope to develop effective cooperation.

I INTRODUCTION

The Commission began to question, during this triennium, the basic presupposition that had been the foundation for its work since the Archbishop of Canterbury's Cambridge sermon in 1946. Since the General Convention of 1949, the Commission on Approaches to Unity has devoted itself to working toward intercommunion (intercelebration) as a necessary way station to ultimate organic unity. This principle was reaffirmed in its report to the General Conventions of 1952, 1955 and 1958. The reaction of other churches, particularly the Methodist Church, to Lambeth Resolution No. 30—that any intercommunion arrangement on the part of an Anglican Church is to be approved only if serious work toward full reunion is contemplated by both negotiating communions—has caused us to raise questions as to whether intercommunion is as fruitful an approach to organic unity as once had been believed.

In its negotiations and discussions with the representatives of other churches, the Commission has been guided by the distinction drawn by the Lambeth Conference of 1958, in Resolution 14, between "full communion" and "intercommunion". The Commission feels that this more precise use of terms will be most helpful in defining the issues in future conversations.

1 "30. The Conference calls attention to the Report of the Committee on Unity; and encourages continuance of the conversations with a view to the making of concrete proposals, as offering a possible first step on the way to reunion in the particular historic situations in which the Churches concerned are placed; but on the understanding that organic union is definitely accepted as the final goal, and that any plans for the interim stage of intercommunion are definitely linked with provisions for the steady growing together of the Churches concerned."
Resolution 14 states in part: "The Conference . . . recommends . . . that where between two Churches not of the same denominational or confessional family, there is unrestricted communio in sacris, including mutual recognition and acceptance of ministries, the appropriate term to use is 'full communion', and that where varying degrees of relation other than 'full communion' are established by agreement between two such Churches the appropriate term is 'intercommunion.'" The section of this report recommending the establishment of concordats with the Philippine Independent Church, the Spanish Reformed Church and the Lusitanian Church of Portugal, therefore, will refer to "full communion".

The Commission has noted with interest that full-communion negotiations seem to be more promising outside the United States than within it. Whether these presuppose a continuing fraternal relationship, as with the churches in the Iberian Peninsula, or look forward to ever closer cooperation in the common task, as in the Republic of the Philippines, the problems which impede progress in the United States do not seem to have the same effect abroad. The Commission is not sure why this is so. It would point out, however, that in overseas areas, that which the Anglican Church stands for is in a minority position and our part is to strengthen this minority so that it may more effectively proclaim the Christian Gospel.

In the light of this, the Commission is interested in exploring the way to closer relations with the Moravian Church in the Missionary Area of the Virgin Islands.

The Commission adopted a resolution recording its sense of loss at the death of the Rt. Rev. Edward Lambe Parsons, Retired Bishop of California, Chairman of the Commission from its founding in 1928 until 1943, as follows:

"WHEREAS, The ministry and the concern for Christian Unity of Edward Lambe Parsons have been among the glories of the Protestant Episcopal Church for more than half a century; and

"WHEREAS, Bishop Parsons has now been called to the larger ministry of God's purpose after 92 years of service in the name of Jesus Christ our Lord:

"THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity of the Protestant Episcopal Church hereby records its gratitude for the vision and leadership of Edward Lambe Parsons, who served as its Chairman from its founding in 1928 until 1943, and who even after his retirement maintained a lively interest in its work; and

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this Commission recognizes its continuing debt for the ecumenical spirit as made manifest in the life of its founding chairman, and pledges itself as far as possible to continue its work in that same spirit; and

"BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That this resolution be inscribed in the permanent minutes of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and that copies thereof be sent to Bishop Parson's family and to his former diocese."

II. CANON 36

The Commission has been concerned for many years with the various questions that are raised about Canon 36. We recognize that most of the
present canon was written in connection with a proposed concordat with
the Congregationalists at the end of World War I and that the situation
envisioned fits only the Congregational Church, and any extension of its
application to other Christian bodies raises technical and other serious
problems. On the other hand, the Commission is aware that Canon 36 is the
only place in the total body of canon law providing an arrangement, no
matter how inadequately phrased, whereby men of other ministries can
receive Episcopal Order without abjuring their previous allegiance.

In spite of its limitations both in law and in practice, Canon 36 has
symbolic significance for the Protestant Episcopal Church’s relationship
to the ecumenical movement of our time. It is the one place in our Church’s
formularies which there is official cognizance of ministries of other traditions.
The Commission is aware that there are those who believe that the only
way to deal with the problems raised by Canon 36 is simply to repeal it.
There are also those who believe Canon 36, as it is, should be retained. We
believe that the wisest course is to eliminate those sections of the Canon
which at one and the same time create problems and are also difficult to
use in practical circumstances, and to amend the balance of the Canon so
that it will really be useful both in the Church’s internal operations and in
expressing our respect for other ministries.

The Commission believes that there should be provisions within the
ordination canons whereby men ordained in other communions may be
ordained in this branch of Christ’s Holy Catholic Church by a process
which does not seem to discredit the ministry formerly exercised. The Com­
misson believes further that the type of approach envisioned in our pro­
posed revision of Canon 36 will lead to better understanding and good will
between the churches in the world.

The Commission therefore proposes the following resolution:

"Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that Canon 36 be, and the
same is hereby repealed in its entirety: and that in its place there be enacted
two new canons, to be numbered Canon 36-A and Canon 36-B, to read
as follows:

**CANON 36-A**

**OF THE ORDINATION OF MINISTERS WHO HAVE NOT RECEIVED
EPISCOPAL ORDINATION**

"Sec. 1 (a) When any minister who has not received episcopal ordination
desires to be made a deacon and to be ordered a priest in this Church, he
shall satisfy the Bishop of the Diocese or of the Missionary District: (1)
that he has resided in that Diocese or Missionary District at least one year;
(2) that he has been duly baptized with water in the name of the Father
and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost; (3) that he holds the historic faith
of the Church as contained in the Apostles’ and Nicene Creeds; (4) that
he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church; (5) that he
now desires the grace and authority of Holy Orders as required for the
exercise of the ministry of this Church; and (6) that there is no sufficient
objection to the proposed ordination on grounds physical, mental, moral or
spiritual.

(b) The Bishop, thus satisfied, shall lay the facts before the Standing
Committee or Council of Advice and shall obtain its consent before pro-
ceeding to ordain such minister. If consent be given, and the candidate has been confirmed, the Bishop may make him a deacon and, subsequently, ordain him a priest.

(c) At the time of such ordination, the Bishop may read this preface to the service: ‘A.B., who has already been ordained a minister of Christ, now desires to be made a deacon (or ordained a priest) in this Church. He has satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. We are about to confer upon him the grace and authority of Holy Orders as this Church has received them and requires them for the exercise of the ministry therein.’

"SEC. 2. The letters of ordination in such cases may contain the words: Recognizing the ministry which he has already received and hereby adding to that commission the grace and authority of Holy Orders as understood and required by this Church for the exercise of the ministry.

"SEC. 3. In all ordinations under this canon, the provisions for examinations contained in Canon 26, Sec. 5 (e), or Canon 32, Secs. 1, 3 and 4, and of Canon 34, Sec. 3, shall apply.

**CANON 36-B**

**Of Conditional Ordination**

"SEC. 1 (a) When any minister who has been made a deacon or ordained a priest by a Bishop whose authority to convey such 'orders is open to question by this Church, shall desire to exercise his ministry in this Church, he shall so signify his desire to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District in which such minister has residence.

(b) The Bishop shall satisfy himself that such minister accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. He shall thereupon lay the facts before the Standing Committee or Council of Advice and shall obtain its consent before proceeding to ordain such minister. If consent be given, the Bishop shall, if necessary, baptize and confirm such minister.

(c) When all canonical requirements precedent to ordination have been fulfilled, the Bishop may ordain such minister conditionally to the diaconate and to the priesthood.

(d) At the time of such ordination, the Bishop shall read this preface to the service: ‘A.B., who has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority is not recognized by this Church, has now satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church and that he now desires conditional ordination. By this service of ordination, we propose to give assurance that A.B. is qualified to minister in this Church.’

"SEC. 2. In all ordinations under this canon, the provision for examinations contained in Canon 26, Sec. 5 (e), or Canon 32, Secs. 1, 3, and 4, and of Canon 34, Sec. 3, shall apply."

**III. RELATIONS WITH THE METHODISTS**

Discussions with the Methodist Church have continued during the triennium. Both churches consider that the door is open, the Methodists, for example, have borne witness to their conviction by unanimous resolution
at their last Quadrennial General Conference to continued conversations. We hope the General Convention will act favorably on a similar resolution appended to this section of our report. At the same time, we are bound to report that no substantial progress has been made in the past three years.

1. One reason for this is that the majority of clergy and laity in the two churches are not yet convinced that union is an urgent issue. We deplore this apathy.

2. For several years negotiations have proceeded on the assumption that union should be achieved by two stages: first, intercommunion (with the ministers and communicants of each church welcome at the altars of the other); then, organic or corporate union. It seemed necessary to achieve a clear understanding of the steps to be taken, and the Lambeth Conference of 1958 was asked to study the documents submitted to it by the commissions of the two churches. That Conference made clear its judgment that intercommunion should be undertaken only as a half-way house, and that organic union should be agreed upon by all as the goal to be achieved. In some Methodist quarters there appears to be disappointment in Resolution 30 of the Lambeth Conference of 1958, and a feeling that intercommunion has now been postponed until the next Lambeth Conference. We believe this to be a misunderstanding and think that intercommunion may be achieved if the two churches desire it. Nevertheless, the plan of proceeding by two stages instead of one has not proved easier than a direct plan of union. The real question is, are the churches determined to face the problems of union?

3. Both churches have recognized from the beginning that although they have much in common and their official formularies are very similar, there are deep and subtle differences in their practical methods and points of view. There is considerable theological variation in both communions, but evidently among Methodists, as contrasted with Episcopalians, there is less requirement of conformity to the official standards of faith and worship. If Episcopalians are relatively united more in doctrine and liturgy, Methodist unity is in the realm of ethics and church administration. In both churches there is a large measure of American pragmatism, and this in itself often makes it difficult to say what is the Methodist or the Anglican position. This being so, much more than discussion is needed. There must be much more acquaintance on local, regional and national levels, searching theological exploration of issues important to both churches, and an attempt to see how much of a common mind can be reached. There must also be closer cooperation and responsibility in certain common tasks, such as Christian education and social relations.

4. Negotiation with the Methodist Church, the United Presbyterian Church, or any non-Episcopal communion, necessarily involves the question of Holy Orders—that is, the mutual recognition or regularization of existing ministries. This is by no means the sole problem, nor can it be considered without reference to other factors, but it always appears.

Two approaches which have been made are:

a) A plan that provides for the recognition of all existing ministries,

---

2 "That the Commission on Church Union be instructed in the course of its work during the 1960-1964 quadrennium to continue conversations with the Protestant Episcopal Church concerning mutual recognition of ministers and intercommunion."
episcopal or non-episcopal alike, but requires future episcopal consecration and ordination. Such is incorporated in the Constitution of the Church of South India.

b) A plan that provides for the unification of all ministries at the inauguration of the union, but requires future episcopal consecration and ordination. Such is incorporated in the present proposals of the Church of Lanka (Ceylon).

There are undoubtedly other possibilities, but in any future that we can now foresee, any plan of union involving the Protestant Episcopal Church or, for that matter, any other part of the Anglican Communion, will be obliged to deal with the central problem of the differing existing ministries. This should be made clear to the clergy and laity in the Episcopal Church and in any communion with which negotiations are carried on.

The Commission recommends the following resolution:

"Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be directed to continue conversations with the Methodist Church in the hope that we may ultimately achieve organic union in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ."

IV. SPANISH REFORMED AND LUSITANIAN CHURCHES

The General Convention of 1958 adopted a resolution "that this Church recognize the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church and the Lusitanian Church" and a second resolution instructing the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity "to investigate the possibility of setting forth definite terms by which full intercommunion between these Churches and the Protestant Episcopal Church may ultimately be achieved."

The movement which resulted in these two Churches is closely parallel to that which gave rise to the Mexican Episcopal Church. Priests and laymen who had left the Roman obedience because of their study of the Bible began to seek a form of Christianity which would be both Catholic and scriptural, and the promulgation of the dogma of Papal infallibility in 1870 hastened this movement. As in Mexico, these people were soon drawn toward Anglicanism.

The Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical, began when a Spanish priest, who had been received into the American Episcopal Church, began to preach in Portuguese in Lisbon in 1867. Four years later the first service of the Spanish Church was held in Seville. In 1880, three congregations of the Lusitanian Church met in Lisbon under the presidency of Bishop Riley of Mexico and adopted a constitution. In the following year Bishop Plunket of Meath (afterward Archbishop of Dublin) visited Madrid, Seville and Lisbon.

The intention of the Spanish and Lusitanian Churches from the beginning was to establish episcopal order, the threefold ministry, worship of the Anglican type, and a discipline similar to that of the American Church. In 1878 the two churches joined in an appeal to the Archbishops and Bishops of the Church of England to consecrate a bishop for them. The Lusitanian Prayer Book was adopted in 1884 and the Spanish book about the same time. These closely followed the Anglican pattern but contained elements drawn
from the Braga and Mozarabic rites. In 1894, Bishop Cabrera was con-
secrated for Spain by Irish bishops. In succeeding years the two churches
were closely related to the Church of Ireland and worked under the
guidance of a Provisional Council composed of bishops of that Church.
All ordinations and confirmations have been administered by bishops.

After the death of Bishop Cabrera in 1916, these churches had no bishop
and were entirely dependent on the Irish Church for oversight. At the
direction of the Provisional Council of Bishops, the Archbishop of Armagh
took order for the consecration of the Rev. Santos M. Molina, who was
consecrated Bishop of the Spanish Church in 1956 and the Rev. A. F.
Fiandor, who was consecrated for the Lusitanian Church in 1958. At his
request, the Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill, then Presiding Bishop of the
Protestant Episcopal Church, gave permission for American bishops to
participate in the consecration services. Bishop Molina was consecrated by
the Bishop of Meath, the Bishop of Minnesota, and the Bishop of Northern
Indiana. Bishop Fiandor was consecrated by the Bishop of Southwestern
Brazil, the Bishop of Meath, and Bishop Nash.

Bishop Fiandor was retired from office because of age, and the Rev. Luis
C. R. Pereira was elected in October, 1960, to succeed him. At that time
Bishop Ilayne made a three-day visit to the Lusitanian Church. To him we
are indebted for some of the information which follows:

The Spanish Church is composed of nine congregations in various parts
of the nation. It has 7 priests, 683 communicants, and a total membership of
about 850. It operates under considerable difficulties, since the Basic Laws
of the state forbid "any external ceremonies or manifestations" except those
of the Roman Catholic religion, and its clergy and communicants suffer
civil disabilities. In spite of this, church life remains strong.

The Lusitanian Church now has ten congregations, with 800 communicants
and about 1,200 members. There are 11 clergy, but only four are able to
devote full time to their duties and the others must support themselves by
secular employment. The Church is making heroic efforts toward self-
support and is well organized. The Church in Portugal enjoys a larger
measure of toleration and freedom than does that in Spain.

Both churches are aided to some degree by the Spanish and Portuguese
Church Aid Society, with headquarters in London, which has operated since
1880.

A letter from the Archbishop of Armagh to the Bishop of Fond du Lac,
dated October 22, 1960, states that the House of Bishops of the Church of
Ireland regards its Church as being in full communion with the Spanish
and Lusitanian Churches.

The Lambeth Conference of 1958, in Resolution No. 51, states that the
Conference is "entirely satisfied with Reports received on the present doctrine
and discipline" of the two Churches and "hopes that the desire of these
Churches for the same relationship with Churches of the Anglican Com-
munion as have the Old Catholic Churches will soon be fulfilled."

Bishops Keeler, Nash, and Bayne, who have been in charge of the Ameri-
can Churches in Europe, have in recent years formed increasingly close ties
between the Spanish and Lusitanian Churches and our own. These years
have seen constant expansion of our responsibilities and work in both the Spanish and Portuguese speaking areas of the Americas. Candidates for the ministry of the Spanish and Lusitanian Churches are now being educated in the seminary in Matanzas, Cuba, with which the Episcopal Church is closely associated. There is therefore an opportunity for a relationship with these small churches which will be fruitful for both sides, and it seems appropriate that the Episcopal Church take the initiative in proposing the arrangement suggested by the Lambeth Conference of 1958.

The Joint Commission, through Bishop Bayne as its personal representative, has had the opportunity of contact with the leaders of the two churches. The Prayer Books have been examined by members of the Commission and studied in greater detail by Professor Massey H. Shepherd, Jr., who reports to the Commission that he finds nothing in the liturgies that is theologically unsound or in any particular a sufficient warrant for withholding recognition of them as thoroughly valid and orthodox liturgies of the Holy Catholic Church. He considers further that the enrichments from the ancient liturgies are commendable. The Ordinals are practically identical with our own; the sentences of ordination are exactly the same.

Translations of the Constitutions and Canons of the respective churches have been circulated to members of the Commission. We note that the historic episcopate and three-fold ministry are integral parts of the Constitutions, and that the parochial, diocesan and national structures are closely parallel to our own, including the provision for the equivalent of Standing Committees. Every ordinand has, from the beginning, been required to sign before ordination a declaration substantially like that provided in the Protestant Episcopal Church. The Articles of Religion and the Athanasian Creed are included in the Prayer Book formularies.

The Joint Commission therefore recommends adoption of the following resolution:

"Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the General Convention invites the General Synods of the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church and of the Lusitanian Church, Catholic, Apostolic, Evangelical, to join with it in the following declaration, which shall be effective in each case when adopted by the General Synod of the respective Church:

"With gratitude to Almighty God for the blessings bestowed upon each of the Churches, and in appreciation of the fraternal relations which have long existed between them, the Churches recognize each other as a true part of the Holy Catholic Church and declare that they are in full communion with one another on the basis of mutual acceptance of the following Concordat:

(1) Each Communion recognizes the catholicity and independence of the other and maintains its own.

(2) Each Communion agrees to admit members of the other Communion to participate in the Sacraments.

(3) Full Communion does not require from either Communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other, but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith."
“And furthermore, the Churches pledge themselves to work together in brotherly harmony for the extension of the Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to give such mutual assistance as they are able.”

V. IGLESIA FILIPINA INDEPENDIENTE

The Bestowal of Episcopal Orders

Fourteen years ago, in August 1947, the Iglesia Filipina Independiente, by unanimous vote of its Supreme Council of Bishops and its General Assembly, petitioned the Protestant Episcopal Church to give valid episcopal consecration to its bishops. The Obispo Maximo, the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., in the name of the governing bodies of the Iglesia Filipina and its two million members requested the bestowal of “the grace of Apostolic succession to allow our Church to remove all objections to the validity of our sacred orders and the validity of our Sacraments, and to be recognized as a young sister Church by the Anglican Communion of Churches.”

The petition was favorably received by our House of Bishops in November 1947. The Bishops approved the proposed consecrations under the provisions of Canon 42 governing “Consecrations of Bishops for Foreign Lands”, and order for the consecrations was taken by the Presiding Bishop, the Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill. On April 7, 1948, at the Pro-Cathedral of St. Luke in Manila three bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church consecrated three bishops of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente. Thus ended the long quest of the Filipinos for valid episcopal orders in their national Church. Today in the Ordinal of that Church, during the “Form of Consecrating a Bishop”, there is a solemn recitation of the events of April 7, 1948, and the day is marked in the Church’s calendar by the provision of a Collect, Epistle and Gospel for the “Bestowal of Apostolic Succession on the Philippine Independent Church.”

Iglesia Filipina Independiente

The story of the early history of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente has been frequently told in news releases, articles in the Church press, and by

---

2 Iglesia Filipina Independiente: A Paper by the Rt. Rev. Norman S. Binsted (The National Council, New York, November, 1957), pages 43-44. See also a supplement to The Diocesan Chronicle of the Philippine Episcopal Church, September, 1947, containing “Correspondence and Other Papers Relating to the Petition of the Philippine Independent Church.”


5 Bishop Binsted of the Philippine Episcopal Church, Bishop Wilner, his suffragan, and Bishop Kennedy of Honolulu consecrated Bishop de los Reyes of Manila, The Obispo Maximo, Bishop Aguilar of Laguna, and Bishop Beyaca of Tarlac and Zambales. As two of the bishops had been ordained deacon and priest after the organization of the Iglesia Filipina, action was taken for their ordination to the diaconate and the priesthood under the provisions of Canon 36 (“Ordination of Deacons and Priests in special cases”) before their consecration as bishops. See the 33rd Annual Report of the Philippine Episcopal Church, 1947, page 24. Once consecrated, the bishops began the extension of orders within their succession throughout the church. See the 34th Annual Report, 1948, page 25: The Diocesan Chronicle of the Philippine Episcopal Church, August 1960, page 6; and the statement of Bishop de los Reyes in The Living Church, April 25, 1948 (vol. cxvi, no. 17), page 9.

other means of education. Here there is space for only a brief outline of the facts.

The organization of a national Catholic Church in the Philippines was part of the struggle for independence which marked the last decades of the nineteenth century and with which the United States became involved through the Spanish-American War. For more than three centuries before the outbreak of the Filipino revolution in 1896, Spanish colonial policy in the Islands had ignored the growing national aspirations of the Filipinos. At best short-sighted and at worst tyrannous, the Spanish civil policy was paralleled in the government of the Roman Catholic Church in the Philippines. Not only were all bishops and members of the hierarchy Spanish, but even the parishes were chiefly under the control of the numerous Spanish friars. Filipino leadership in church life had little or no means of development or expression; Filipino clergy served only in subordinate positions of little responsibility.

The revolt against Spanish rule, which broke out shortly before the Spanish-American War, was accompanied by a vigorous protest movement within the Church, partly for reform and partly for a greater measure of Filipino responsibility and control. Common cause was made between the revolutionary forces of General Emilio Aguinaldo and the nationalist religious movement led by the able and energetic Filipino priest, Gregorio Aglipay. For a long time the Filipino clergy hoped that the Vatican might grant the changes which their Spanish rulers had denied, and even after the first steps had been taken towards the establishment of an independent national Catholic Church, the way was left open for negotiations with Rome. By 1902, however, it was clear that full recognition would not be given the Filipino aspirations and demands. In that year, therefore, under the leadership of a layman active in the movement, Don Isabelo de los Reyes, Sr., the Iglesia Catolica Apostolica Filipina Independiente was formed. Gregorio Aglipay was elected Obispo Maximo and other Filipino priests were chosen as bishops of the seventeen dioceses. Allegiance to Rome was renounced. The Iglesia Filipina Independiente was to be a national Church, Catholic and Apostolic in its doctrine, discipline and worship, emancipated from both Spanish and papal domination.

In its internal life one grave difficulty confronted the Filipino Church at the outset, causing a serious defect in its church order for a number of years. No Spanish bishop joined the Independientes. Filipino priests, therefore, of necessity consecrated presbyterially the first members of their episcopate, Bishop Aglipay being so consecrated in 1903. Attempts to secure valid episcopal consecration from the Episcopal Church and the Swiss Old Catholic Church failed, largely because of suspicions and uncertainties, in part political, and difficulties of establishing a relationship of mutual trust and understanding complicated the negotiations. Episcopal orders within the apostolic succession were not had in the Iglesia Filipina Independiente until the consecrations by our bishops in 1948.

The early wave of nationalist enthusiasm swept dozens of parishes and whole sections of the Islands into allegiance to the newly-organized Church. Possibly as many as three million people proclaimed themselves  

---

Independientes. As a result, tasks of organization, pastoral care, and financial support confronted the leaders in such magnitude that only the remarkable determination of Gregorio Aglipay remained undaunted. Where, for example, could clergy be found to staff the parishes of three million people? Although more than a hundred Filipino priests joined the movement, they could not take the places of hundreds of Spanish friars. One reason why Bishop Aglipay grew impatient with the protracted negotiations for episcopal consecration from Anglican or Catholic sources was the urgency of this demand for the immediate exercise of pastoral care and administrative authority.

To add further to the problems of the Iglesia Filipina, it was soon evident that many of its supporters were moved less by religious convictions than by political ambitions or frankly secularist opposition to the previous ecclesiastical regime. Moreover, a small but influential minority within the leadership of the Church itself, responding to kindness and assistance, came under the doctrinal influences of American Unitarianism and aroused grave suspicions concerning the orthodoxy of the Independientes. Finally, to cap these difficulties came the legal decision of 1906 that the parishes and people who had joined the Iglesia Filipina Independiente could not take their church buildings and parochial properties with them. These were ordered restored to Roman Catholic hands, and the loyal Filipinos of the national Church faced the stupendous task of building all the churches and chapels anew. Their astounding success in the last half-century is no small achievement for a membership described by Bishop de los Reyes as "Four-fifths of the faithful are humble workers of the cities and farmers in the rural barrios with pitifully meager economic resources, while only one-fifth are from the middle classes. Only a handful might be considered wealthy."

In the face of all these adversities the courageous perseverance and sacrificial loyalty of the main body of Independientes has borne remarkable witness to the deep desire for an independent Church in an independent Nation.

The Independent Church Today

The consecration of its bishops by those of the Protestant Episcopal Church removed one barrier to formal recognition of the Iglesia Filipina by Anglican or other non-Roman episcopal Churches. Furthermore, at the time of the consecrations it was evident that another barrier had long since disappeared. No trace of the unorthodox doctrine which had once influenced some of the Filipino leaders appeared in the official Declaration of the Faith and Articles of Religion which, in 1947, were authorized by the governing bodies and published with the Constitution and Canons for the Government of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente. The Declaration plainly affirms the adherence of the Church to the faith of the Apostles' and Nicene Creeds, and in Article 17 of the Articles it is asserted that

"When this Church withdrew from the Roman Catholic Church, it repudiated that authority of the Pope and such doctrines, customs and practices as were inconsistent with the Word of God, sound learning and good conscience. It had no intention of departing from Catholic doctrine, practice and discipline as set forth by the Councils of the undivided Church. Such departures as occurred were due to the exigencies of the times, and are to be corrected by official action as opportunity affords, so that this Church may be brought into the
stream of historic Christianity and be universally acknowledged as a true branch of the Catholic Church."*

The Filipino forms for the administration of the Sacraments of Baptism and Holy Communion are structurally like those in our Prayer Book, though usage in the Iglesia Independiente retains a good many enrichments of ceremony that disappeared from Anglican use after the first Prayer Book of 1549. The Articles of Religion proclaim "the Holy Eucharist, commonly called the Mass" to be "the central act of Christian worship", and Bishop de los Reyes' description of church life among the Independientes informs us that "the Holy Communion, commonly called the Mass, is celebrated in a language understood by the people . . . daily in hundreds of churches throughout the Islands."6

The Ordinal of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente clearly indicates the firm adherence of the Church to the historic three-fold ministry of bishops, priests and deacons, and Article 6 of the Articles of Religion declares the intention of the Church to continue these orders of ministers.10 The services of ordination and consecration are very similar to those in the Ordinal of the Episcopal Church, the actual sentences of ordination in each case being precisely the same. The Filipino Ordinal has retained some ancient ceremonies for which there is no longer provision in our Prayer Book, but these, of course, are not regarded as essential to the valid conferring of Holy Orders in the Church of God.11

An examination of the various formularies of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente reveals the accuracy of the informal description of that Church given by the Obispo Maximo soon after the consecrations in 1948. He said

"The Iglesia Filipina Independiente is Catholic, reformed, and autonomous.

"It is Catholic in that it has preserved the faith and order of the Church as it was set forth in the Ecumenical Councils of the undivided Church. It has always had the three-fold ministry of bishops, priests, and deacons; it has administered the seven Sacraments, holding Baptism and the Holy Communion to be generally necessary to salvation; it accepts the statement of faith as contained in the Apostles' and Nicene Creeds, accepts the Holy Scriptures as the Word of God, and teaches that nothing which cannot be proved by Holy Scriptures is to be held as necessary to salvation. It is to be noted that there was a brief period in the history of the Church when a reformed creed resembling but not identical with the ancient Catholic Creeds was authorized, but never widely used . . .

"It is autonomous and indigenous in that its entire membership as well as its clergy are Filipinos . . . men and women of all classes, many poor, some rich; professional people and people of limited education; city people and many farmers. The Church is still young

* See The Living Church, April 25, 1948 (vol. cxvi, no. 17), pages 9-10.
1 See Constitution of the Philippine Independent Church (Parras Press, Nueva Ecija, 1947), pages 1-2; S.
2 Constitution of the Philippine Independent Church, page 3.
3 See The Filipino Missal, pages 212-240. Provision is made for the continuance of Minor Orders, through it is made clear that their reception is not a requirement for valid ordination to the diaconate, and that admission to Minor Orders is "of the nature of a commission rather than the ministration of the Sacrament of Holy Orders."
but it commands the loyalty of its people to a marked degree ... It stands for a social order which insures justice, peace, and tolerance to all classes, races, and nations ...

"It is reformed in that it abolished the abuse of indulgences, the sale of the so-called *santa bula*, condemned Mariolatry, permitted the marriage of the clergy, holds that the Holy Scriptures alone are the basis of doctrine, and has renounced the claim of the pope to universal jurisdiction, together with the doctrine of papal infallibility." 12

On the administrative side, the Iglesia Filipina Independiente is organized and governed in a fashion resembling most Anglican and Old Catholic Churches. Its constitution provides for a representative synod of bishops, priests and lay delegates, known as the General Assembly, and for a Supreme Council of Bishops, a body whose concern is largely with matters of faith, order and worship. The primate is the Obispo Maximo or Supreme Bishop.

A set of canons governs the ordination and duties of the clergy, the proper administration of the seven Sacraments, the custody and care of church property, and other matters commonly regulated by such ecclesiastical law. 13

*Relations with the Episcopal Church*

During the troubled years of the Second World War and the Japanese occupation of the Islands, relations between the Philippine Episcopalians and the Independientes were marked by increasing friendliness and mutual respect. By 1946 Bishop Binsted and others of our Missionary District were in continued conference with Bishop de los Reyes and other leaders of the Iglesia Filipina. These negotiations, owing much on our side to the labors of Bishop Binsted, who had caught a clear vision of the spiritual potential of the Filipino national Church and saw that the Christian mission in Asia might be immensely strengthened by a closer relation between Anglicans and Independientes, culminated in the consecrations of 1948. While restraint was observed on each side, the hope was then voiced in a joint statement by Bishop Binsted and Bishop de los Reyes that "in the future, by action of the two Churches, a concordat may be concluded between them authorizing intercommunion." 14

The two Churches in the Philippine Islands have grown steadily towards this end since 1948. The chief point of official contact between them has been our theological school in the Philippines, St. Andrew's Seminary, where a large number of men have been trained for the ministry of the Iglesia Independiente side by side with our own Filipino candidates for ordination in the Philippine Episcopal Church. Bishop Ogilby, Bishop Cabanban, Dean Mandell of the Seminary, and others in the Missionary District and on the faculty of the Seminary, have continued the work of Bishop Binsted in seeking the fullest measure of fellowship possible with the clergy and people of the Filipino Church.

---

12 Bishop de los Reyes' description appears in full in *The Living Church* cited above, pages 8-10.
13 Canons for the Government, etc. See *Constitution*, pages 11-25, together with the Supplement of Amendments, 1953.
15 The number of students from the Iglesia Filipina has increased each year from 1948 to the present, and in the academic year 1960-1961 more than half the 88 students were Independientes.
In May, 1960, the Iglesia Filipina Independiente took the initiative to bring to realization the hopes for a concordat authorizing full communion between the two Churches. The following resolutions, passed by both the General Assembly and the Supreme Council of Bishops in May, 1960, were transmitted to the Presiding Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church:

Whereas, during the friendly association of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente with the Philippine Episcopal Church, a Missionary District of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, over the past fifteen years we have had the opportunity to examine and become familiar with the standards of doctrine, discipline, and worship of that Church and are assured that it is a true part of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church, and

Whereas, we believe that a more formal relationship between the two Churches would be beneficial to the life of each Church and to the whole Christian cause in the Philippines,

Therefore be it resolved, that the Supreme Council of Bishops of the Iglesia Independiente proposes that a relationship of full communion be established between the two Churches and approves the following statement, based upon the Bonn Agreement between the Old Catholic Churches and the Churches of the Anglican Communion, as a definition of this relationship, and agrees to the establishment of full communion on this basis:

(1) Each Communion recognizes the catholicity and independence of the other and maintains its own.

(2) Each Communion agrees to admit members of the other Communion to participate in the Sacraments.

(3) Full Communion does not require from either Communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other, but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith.

And be it further resolved, that the Obispo Maximo, the Most Reverend Isbelo de los Reyes, Jr., be required to forward a copy of this resolution to the Presiding Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America through its Missionary Bishop of the Philippines, the Right Reverend Lyman C. Ogilby, together with the following documents as evidence of the standards of doctrine, discipline, and worship of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente: (1) Declaration of Faith and Articles of Religion, (2) Constitution of the Philippine Independent Church and Canons for the Government of the Philippine Independent Church, (3) The Filipino Missal and Filipino Ritual.

Unanimously approved in the City of Manila, on Sunday, May 8, 1960.

(Signed) Isbelo de los Reyes
Obispo Maximo

The Concordat for Full Communion

As approved by the Iglesia Filipina Independiente and embodied in the resolutions above, the concordat for full communion is exactly the agreement on which Old Catholic and Anglican Churches have come into communion with each other, save that the words "Full Communion" are used
in (3) in place of the word "intercommunion" which appeared in the Bonn Agreement. The change is merely a verbal one, for "full communion" is now the term suggested by the Lambeth Conference to be used for the relation formerly called "intercommunion".

By this concordat each body recognizes the other as part of the Catholic Church. Each affirms that in all essentials of faith and order, discipline and worship there is sufficient common agreement as to make possible the fullest communion in Christian fellowship and sacramental life—that is, unrestricted communio in sacris. Each body recognizes the autonomy and independence of the other, and maintains its own.

The effect of approval of this concordat by the present General Convention would be to create a relation with the Iglesia Filipina Independiente precisely like that now existing between the Protestant Episcopal Church and the Polish National Catholic Church of America or any other of the Old Catholic Churches.

Approval of the concordat has been recommended by our House of Bishops in resolutions passed by that House in November, 1960. The statement of the Bishops reads as follows:

WHEREAS, in 1947 the House of Bishops of the Episcopal Church granted the petition of the Philippine Independent Church for the consecration of their bishops and

WHEREAS, the relationship between the two Churches since that time has been increasingly close as evidenced in many ways but especially by the fact that candidates for the Ministry of the Philippine Independent Church are trained at St. Andrew's Theological Seminary in Quezon City and

WHEREAS, the development and growth of the Philippine Independent Church will have an important bearing on the future of our own Church in the Philippines so that in the long prospect this Church may be enabled to do as much for us as we for them and

WHEREAS, the relationship between the two Churches makes possible the establishment on a national scale of a strong catholic but reformed tradition which has long been the purpose of the work of the Episcopal Church in the Philippines, and

WHEREAS, the Philippine Independent Church has now proposed that there should be a Concordat of full communion between the two Churches,

Therefore Be It Resolved, that this House receive this proposal with deep thanksgiving in Christ, and unanimously recommends that such a Concordat be entered into, and be it further

Resolved, that the members of our church in the Philippines be encouraged to pursue with all earnestness and warmth the steps presently taken looking toward closer companionship between the two churches, and be it further

\[\text{Report of the Lambeth Conference 1948, pages 43; 73.}\]

\[\text{The Lambeth Conference of 1958 urged Anglican Churches to use the term "full communion" where what was meant was a relation of unrestricted communio in sacris, such, for example, as exists between Anglican and Old Catholic Churches. It was suggested that the term "intercommunion" could be used to describe varying degrees of relation short of full communion. Report of the Lambeth Conference 1958, Part 1, pages 34-35; Part 2, pages 23-24.}\]
Resolved, that a committee of this House be appointed by the Presiding Bishop, of which the Bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines shall be Chairman, which committee shall make a study of the present needs and opportunities of both Churches and report on a possible program of increased cooperation. Recognizing that financial problems of considerable magnitude would be involved in any major effort, the Committee is asked to analyze this aspect of the matter and to report in detail about amounts needed for specific projects if the program is to be implemented.

The Chairman is to have the power to appoint to this Committee additional members, episcopal, clerical and lay, from both Churches, as the basic study must, of necessity, be done in the Philippines. These persons would function in an advisory capacity, and be it further

Resolved, that this Committee report both orally and in printed form to this House and to the General Convention in 1961, and be it further

Resolved, that this House recommend to the National Council that the sum of $25,000.00 be set aside for the expense of this Committee.

Early in 1961 the Convention of the Philippine Episcopal Church, speaking from the Philippine scene itself, likewise urged that the concordat be entered into by action of General Convention. The statement of the Convocation of our Missionary District repeated the first four paragraphs of the resolutions of the House of Bishops, and then continued:

WHEREAS, the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America meeting in Dallas, Texas, in November 1960 has received this proposal with deep thanksgiving in Christ and unanimously recommended that such a Concordat be entered into; and

WHEREAS, the same House of Bishops has encouraged the members of our Church in the Philippines to pursue with all earnestness and warmth the steps taken by that House looking forward toward closer companionship between the two Churches; therefore be it

Resolved, that this Convocation, while recognizing that problems of considerable magnitude may be involved in working out the principles of the Concordat in the local scene, and while recognizing the existence in both Churches of diversities of cultural interests and traditions; but also recognizing the claims of Christian charity upon us all, growing out of our Lord's will and purpose for His Church; and recognizing the opportunity set before us and the obligation placed upon us now and in the future to strengthen the two Churches in their witness to the gospel of Christ, recommends to the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America to be held in Detroit, Michigan, in 1961 that this Concordat be entered into.

Recommendation to General Convention

As a result of a study of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente and its relations with the Protestant Episcopal Church, before and also consequent upon the Filipino proposal of agreement to the concordat described, a summary of which study has been here presented in outline, the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity recommends to the General Convention the adoption of the following resolutions:
WHEREAS, the Supreme Council of Bishops and the General Assembly of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente have passed resolutions proposing full communion with the Protestant Episcopal Church, and have agreed on the establishment of full communion on the basis of a mutually-accepted concordat similar to the Bonn Agreement existing between the Old Catholic and the Anglican Churches, and

WHEREAS, the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church has received the resolution of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente with deep thanksgiving in Christ, and unanimously recommended that such a concordat be entered into; and,

WHEREAS, the Convention of the Philippine Episcopal Church has also recommended to the General Convention that this concordat be entered into; and

WHEREAS, the Declaration of the Faith, the Articles of Religion, the Constitution, Canons, and other official formularies of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente embody and affirm adherence to principles of faith and order, discipline and worship that mark it as a true part of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that the Protestant Episcopal Church agrees to the establishment of a relation of full communion with the Iglesia Filipina Independiente on the basis of mutual acceptance of the following concordat:

(1) Each Communion recognizes the catholicity and independence of the other and maintains its own.

(2) Each Communion agrees to admit members of the other Communion to participate in the Sacraments.

(3) Full Communion does not require from either Communion the acceptance of all doctrinal opinion, sacramental devotion, or liturgical practice characteristic of the other, but implies that each believes the other to hold all the essentials of the Christian Faith.

and be it further

Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that this agreement shall be communicated to the Obispo Maximo, the Supreme Council of Bishops and the General Assembly of the Iglesia Filipina Independiente with the assurance of our thanksgiving for the full measure of Christian fellowship thus achieved, and our confident hope in Christ that the mission of the two Churches will be widened and strengthened in the future by the full communion now established.

VI. THE PRESBYTERIAN INVITATION

In the final months before the General Convention, the Presiding Bishop referred to this Commission the following resolution forwarded to him by the 173rd General Assembly of the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, which met in Buffalo, New York, in May, 1961:

"I. The 173d General Assembly of The United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America meeting in Buffalo, New York, May 17-24, 1961, being convinced that in obedience to the Lord Jesus Christ
the unity of His Church should be made more fully manifest that it may be renewed by the Holy Spirit for its mission to our nation and to the world 'that the world may believe,' invites the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in General Convention in Detroit, Michigan, in this same year, to join us in an invitation to The Methodist Church and the United Church of Christ to explore the establishment of a united church truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical.

"Each Church giving or accepting the invitation is asked to authorize by its own procedures a committee of nine persons to negotiate a plan of union and further to authorize these representatives in cooperation with those of the several negotiating Churches to invite other Churches to appoint representatives, either to join them in the development of the plan or to sit with them as observers and consultants as they do their work together.

"It is understood that each Church will review the progress of the work at each meeting of its plenary body and that when a plan of union is agreed upon by the joint negotiating Committee, each Church will then decide whether to adopt it.

"2. The Stated Clerk of the General Assembly is directed to forward the terms of the resolution to the Right Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church.

"3. The Stated Clerk is further directed to write to Bishop Glenn R. Phillips, Chairman of the Commission on Church Union of the Methodist Church and to Dr. Fred Hoskins and to Dr. James E. Wagner, Co-Presidents of the United Church of Christ, letters indicating the hope of this General Assembly that, if the Protestant Episcopal Church accepts our invitation, their Churches will be in a position to respond favorably to the joint invitation provided for in resolution number 1."

The other parts of the Presbyterian resolution deal with the relationship of that communion with (a) other Presbyterian Churches in America, (b) the alliance of Reformed Churches throughout the world, and (c) administrative matters.

The Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity is grateful to The United Presbyterian Church in the United States for initiating this invitation, and recommends the adoption of the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, the House of ... concurring:

"1. That the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church accept the invitation of The United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America to join with that body in issuing the invitation described in the foregoing resolution.

"2. That the General Convention authorize the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to conduct these conversations on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church.

"3. That the General Convention further direct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to invite representatives of the Polish National Catholic Church, with whom we are in full communion in this country,
as well as from time to time representatives of any Church with which this Church is in full communion, to participate in the conversations."

VII. ADDITIONAL RESOLUTIONS

Your Commission would close its report with the following resolutions:

"1. Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be continued, and that it be directed to continue conversations with those Christian bodies with which we are already in contact, and to initiate or further such conversations with representatives of such other Christian bodies as in its judgment may lead to closer fellowship with them.

"2. Resolved, the House of . . . concurring, that the Treasurer of General Convention be directed to provide the sum of $12,000.00 for the general expenses of the Commission on Approaches to Unity during the coming triennium."

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by General Convention ...................$10,000.00

Disbursements
Transportation .............................................$7,394.84
Meeting places ........................................... 832.53
Literature .................................................. 96.56
Supplies, postage, telephone, etc. ................. 291.90  8,615.83
Balance .....................................................$1,384.17

Approved by all the members of the Commission:

The Rt. Rev. Robert F. Gibson, Jr. D.D., Chairman
The Rt. Rev. William H. Brady, D.D., Vice-Chairman
The Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, S.T.D.
The Rev. Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D.
Robert D. Jordan
John L. Pierson
The Rev. Charles D. Kean, D.D., Secretary-Treasurer
The Rev. Powel M. Dawley, S.T.D., Vice-Chairman
The Rt. Rev. Gerald Francis Burrill, D.D.
The Rt. Rev. Chilton Powell, D.D.
The Very Rev. Gerald G. Moore, D.D.
Howard T. Foulkes, LL.D.
Byron S. Miller
John G. Rauch
REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON STATUS AND TRAINING OF PROFESSIONAL WOMEN CHURCH WORKERS

The Joint Commission, appointed at the 1958 General Convention, to inquire into the present state of the professional woman worker in the Church and prepare a solution, has met twice in the Convention interim. The previous reports to General Convention, made in 1923 and in 1943, were thoroughly studied by this body and evaluated against the background of the present situation and needs in the life of the Church.

The members of the Joint Commission are convinced that, due to the rapid increase in opportunity, need and numbers, that women workers in the Church be recognized for their value and importance by providing a framework and a method which will insure a healthier mode of selection and training of women for work of professional caliber within the Church.

In order that there may be a pattern of acceptance and training set, so that training schools and seminaries may prepare these applicants adequately, it is the mind of the Joint Commission that the following Canon be enacted in order to remove disorder from this area of the Church's life and correct the present lack of control and clarity.

We believe that we can delay safely no longer. As matters now stand, we are falling behind in our capacity to prepare women workers adequately because of the increasingly high standards of proficiency that are required for the Church to function in today's world. Other standards have risen, and yet in this area in the life of the Church, which is characterized by order, there remains this lack of control and clarity which are so desirable and necessary.

Therefore, we respectfully submit this Canon to provide an orderly process and mode of selection and training, whereby women workers in the Church may have a clear understanding of the requirements and expectancies of the body, and that an orderly procedure may be instituted to guide the Ecclesiastical Authority in the preparation, licensing, and governing of such qualified persons as would be eligible under such a Canon herewith proposed.

Canon 52.

Of Licensed, Qualified Women Workers

Sec. 1. Every woman desiring to be admitted an Applicant for Licensing is, in the first instance, to consult her Pastor, or, if she have none, some Presbyter to whom she is personally known, setting before him the grounds of her desire to enter upon this training, together with such circumstances as bear on her qualifications, or tend to affect her course of preparation.

Sec. 2(a). If, as a result of a thorough inquiry into the physical, mental, moral, and spiritual qualifications of the Applicant, she is counselled by the aforesaid Presbyter to persevere in her intentions, she shall make her
WOMEN CHURCH WORKERS

desire known personally, if possible, or in writing, to the Bishop in whose jurisdiction she is canonically resident.

Before the admission of an Applicant the Bishop shall whenever possible confer in person with her, and shall require her to be thoroughly examined by a physician and a psychiatrist appointed by the Bishop. The special form of medical report used by an accredited Woman's Training School shall be used for this purpose. The Bishop shall require from the Applicant's Rector and Vestry a certificate in the following words, viz:

To the Right Reverend Bishop of

We, whose names are hereunder written, testify to our belief (based on personal knowledge or on evidence satisfactory to us) that A. B. is a fit, suitable, and godly person, and that she is a communicant of this Church in good standing. We do furthermore declare that, in our opinion, she possesses such qualifications as do fit her to be, after training and examination, admitted to a License as a Qualified Woman Worker in the Church.

(Signed)

The woman shall also lay before the Bishop testimonials that she possesses such characteristics as fit her for License as a professionally trained lay worker in the Church, such testimonials to be made by two Presbyters of this Church, and by four lay communicants, three of whom shall be women.

All these documents shall be kept on file by the Bishop and shall be submitted to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice.

(b). The Applicant shall state to the Bishop in writing the following:

(1). Her full name and age.

(2). The length of time she has been resident in the Diocese or Missionary District.

(3). When, and by whom, she was baptized.

(4). When, and by whom, she was confirmed.

(5). Whether she has ever before applied for admission as an Applicant for a License.

(6). On what grounds she is moved to seek this official status and work in the Church.

(c). The Applicant shall file with the Bishop transcripts of her educational preparation, which shall as a minimum consist of a full college course, ending with a bachelor's degree.

(d). Upon acquaintance with the above documents and interview by the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, and their favorable recommendation of her to the Bishop, the Bishop, in a book to be kept for that purpose, shall enter the name of each applicant, with the fact of his approval or disapproval, and the date of such entry. If he approve the application,
he shall inform the Applicant of the fact, and of the date of her admission as an Applicant.

(e). Similar records shall be made and information given of the removal of a name from the list of Applicants. For due cause the Bishop may terminate any applicancy.

(f). During the period of applicancy, the Applicant shall be under the supervision of the Bishop, and shall report to him at the Ember seasons.

(g). In case of ambiguities, the general regulations of Sections 2 and 3 of Canon 26 shall determine what is to be done.

Sec. 3. Before conferring of a License, the Applicant shall satisfy the Bishop and Standing Committee or Council of Advice that she has (1) satisfactorily completed two years of graduate study at an accredited Church Training School or Seminary satisfactory to the Bishop;

(2) Been certified by the institution from which she graduated as to her knowledge of (a) the Holy Scriptures, (b) Doctrine, (c) Church History, (d) Ethics, (e) Prayer Book, (f) Apologetics;

(3) Been certified by an appropriate institution for completion of such study and work as shall make for competence in her chosen field of work;

(4) Completed appropriate practical or clinical work under supervision.

Sec. 4. An Applicant of maturity, or who can give evidence of such proficiency in career or professional life as gives evidence of usefulness to the Church, may satisfy the Bishop and the Standing Committee or Council of Advice that she has completed a five year combination of at least two of the following:

(1). Attendance at college;

(2). Attendance at an accredited Church Training School or Seminary;

(3). Employment in the field of Christian Education, provided that at least 16 semester hours have been earned in two or more of the following fields: (a) Religion, (b) Education, (c) Christian Education shall be deemed to have satisfied the training prerequisites for admission to a License.

Sec. 5. Before admission to a License the Bishop shall require the Applicant to submit to a thorough examination by a physician and a psychiatrist appointed by the Bishop. The special form of medical report used by an accredited Woman's Training School shall be used for this purpose. This report shall be kept on file by the Bishop, and shall be submitted to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice with the application to be recommended for admission to a License.

Sec. 6. When the foregoing specified requirements have been complied with, the Bishop, upon recommendation of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District, may admit the Applicant to a License. This fact shall be transmitted by the Bishop to the Recorder of the General Convention, together with the following data:
1. Her full name.

2. Place and date of birth.

3. Date and place of conferring of License.

4. Diocese or Missionary District of canonical residence.

Any change of her canonical status shall likewise be notified to the Recorder by the Bishop.

Sec. 7. No woman shall be recognized as a Licensed Lay Worker in the Church until she has been admitted to that office and work by a service prescribed either by the General Convention, or, in the absence of such prescription, by the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District.

Sec. 8. A Licensed Lay Worker shall not accept a post in a Diocese or Missionary District without the express authority in writing of the Bishop of that Diocese or Missionary District; nor shall she undertake work in a parish without the like authority from the Rector of the parish.

Sec. 9. When a Licensed Qualified Woman Worker moves from one Diocese to another, a Letter Dimissory shall be given to her, as to a Deaconess under Canon 51, Sec. 11, in which case (with suitable alteration of language) the provisions of Canon 45, Sec. 5 (a, b, and c) shall be applicable. When not connected with a parish, the Licensed Lay Worker shall be under the direct oversight of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which she is canonically attached.

Sec. 10. A Licensed Qualified Woman Worker may at any time resign and return her License to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which she is attached under this Canon; but she may not have her License suspended or revoked by the Bishop save for cause. A Licensed Qualified Woman Worker thus suspended or removed may demand a review by a special Committee, to be composed of two Presbyters and four lay communicants, one man and three women. The members of such committee shall be chosen by the Standing Committee or Council of Advice. The procedure of the Committee shall be according to the rules governing the trial of a Clergyman in the Diocese or Missionary District to which the Licensed Qualified Woman Worker is attached under this Canon.

And following, the Commission recommends to the General Convention the passage of a resolution urging that all women who can qualify under the proposed Canon, and who are presently employed full-time in the work of the Church, present to the Bishops of the Dioceses or Missionary Districts where they are now resident and employed, a statement which specifies their full name and age, length of time resident in the Diocese or Missionary District, when and by whom she was baptized, when and by whom she was confirmed, a statement of educational background and degrees, a list of the positions held in the Church, and the present position held: it shall further be commended to the Bishops that under Section 7 of the proposed Canon these women then be admitted to a License, and this fact be properly recorded, and notice thereof transmitted to the Recorder of the General Convention.
The Joint Commission recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers be continued, and that it be directed to cooperate closely with the National Council's Unit of Church Vocations.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the sum of $3,000.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Church Workers for its expenses during the coming triennium.

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the General Convention hereby recognizes the standards of the Association of Professional Women Church Workers.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from General Convention</td>
<td>$1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution by Windham House</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,500.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disbursements

Expenses of members, housing, travel:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meeting, May 25-27, 1959, Erie, Pa</td>
<td>$1,383.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting, September 27-29, 1960, Greenwich, Conn.</td>
<td>1,116.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,500.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When we exhausted the funds supplied us by the General Convention, we were able to carry on our program of study through gifts from interested friends and especially, Windham House. We would be negligent in this report if we failed to thank so many who have helped us so much.

Charles F. Hall, Chairman.
William Crittenden, Vice Chairman.
Chandler W. Sterling.
John M. Allin.
L. Skerry Olsen.
Walter Williams.
Mrs. Ernest E. Rucker, Secretary.
Mrs. Francis O. Clarkson.
Lindley M. Franklin, Jr.
APPENDIX 33

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON
OVERSEAS MISSIONS
TO THE PRESIDING BISHOP AND THE NATIONAL
COUNCIL PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH
IN THE U. S. A.

PREFACE

The General Convention of 1958 adopted a resolution reading as follows:

"Whereas, The call to the missionary outreach of the Church confronts today a revolutionary and changing world—one in which resurgent non-Christian religions are offering new challenges to the Gospel, in which the emergence of autonomous younger Churches demands a recasting of many of our traditional policies and methods, and in which our Church in particular is entering a new era of enlarged responsibilities as partners with sister Churches of the Anglican Communion; and

Whereas, There is needed throughout the Church a greater vision of the missionary need with much greater support and understanding; therefore be it

"Resolved, That the National Council be instructed to appoint a committee representative of the whole Church to confer with the Overseas Department and others, and to make recommendations to the National Council for leading this Church into greater understanding, support and service in its world-wide mission."

As a result, the National Council appointed a committee which, with two changes in personnel, became the following:


The Committee has had various meetings in Washington, New York and Evanston, and has sought information from many sources, becoming increasingly aware of the complexity of the task as it unfolded. It quickly became necessary to limit the scope of our inquiries and activities in view of the fact that we were instructed to make our final report before the end of 1960 in order that it might be considered by the National Council prior to the preparation of the budget for the 1961 General Convention. We divided into four sub-Committees who frequently met
separately to consider their assignments, as well as functioning as a whole Committee.

The Committee has received expressions of appreciation of the work of the Overseas Department and of our missionaries in the field. We have also received recommendations regarding a multitude of matters, many of which appeared to be outside of what was defined as the Committee's limited function and responsibility. Moreover, conferences with representatives of the Overseas Department have resulted in some alterations in procedures while our Committee has been carrying on its work, it being our feeling that we should not refrain from letting the Department know immediately of recommendations made directly to us. In fact, we have had the privilege of having the Chairman (the Bishop of East Carolina), the Director, and other members of the Department present on certain occasions with the Committee and with some of its sub-committees.

We are grateful for the help given by the Dean of the Church Divinity School of the Pacific, who has kindly assisted in the preparation of the final draft of the report.

We are appreciative of the opportunity of consulting with the Reverend Dr. Joseph G. Moore and receiving the information contained in the surveys which have been made by the General Division of Research and Field Study in various mission fields.

Various recommendations are given herein upon which we feel definite action should be taken.

While this report is addressed to the Presiding Bishop and the National Council, in view of the importance of the subject and the fact that initiative was taken by General Convention and that there appears widespread desire for knowledge of the results of our inquiries and of our recommendations, we suggest that the report be put in the hands of the Bishops and Deputies to General Convention and made available to members of the Church at large.

Walter H. Gray, Bishop of Connecticut
Chairman, Committee of Conference on Overseas Missions of The National Council

I. THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH
AND THE UNFINISHED TASK

"Our Lord said:

"Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost" (Matthew 28:19).

In 1958, the total population of the world was estimated as 2,684,660,000, of which about 848,660,000—less than one-third—were Christians. (Britannica Yearbook.)

THE RESPONSE OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH

In 1958, out of 30,000 American missionaries overseas, only 237—less than one per cent—were sent by the Episcopal Church.
The average giving of American Christians for foreign missions is $2.45 per member; but the average for Episcopalians is only $1.20 per member—less than half. (Yearbook of American Churches, 1960.)

The average cost of maintaining a missionary of the Episcopal Church overseas is $10,000 per year, as compared with $2,500 for a Southern Baptist missionary or $1,500 for a Jesuit. (Harlan Cleveland, in Episcopal Overseas Mission Review, Whitsuntide, 1960.)

Thus it takes 8,333 Episcopalians at home to support one missionary overseas.

THE RESULT

In Africa, after 110 years of missionary effort, the Episcopal Church has one missionary district, with one bishop and 8,087 members. (Episcopal Church Annual, 1960.)

In the same period of time, the areas in Africa evangelized by the Church of England have grown to 38 dioceses, organized into four self-governing provinces, with 56 bishops and an estimated 2,855,400 baptized members. (J. S. Higgins, One Faith and Fellowship.)

In all of the overseas and extra-continental districts for which the American Episcopal Church assumes responsibility, we have a total of only 256,000 baptized members (Episcopal Church Annual, 1960.) This is less than three times the number (100,000) that could be seated in the Pasadena Rose Bowl.

QUERY

Is the Episcopal Church doing its fair share in the task laid upon all Christians by our Lord? If not, why not?

"OUR TIME IS NOW"

"The frozen misery
Of centuries breaks, cracks, begins to move,
The Thunder is the thunder of the floes,
The thaw, the flood, the upstart Spring.
Thank God our time is now when wrong
Comes up to face us everywhere,
Never to leave us till we take
The longest stride of soul men ever took."
(From A Sleep of Prisoners, by Christopher Fry)

II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee of Conference on Overseas Missions recommends:

1. That there be established a Permanent Advisory Council of Evaluation and Strategy on the Mission of the Church. This body should be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and should be advisory to him and through him to General Convention and to the National Council. This Advisory Council should be provided with a full-time executive and with adequate staff and budget independent of any existing department or division of the National Council.

2. That the Episcopal Church make plans, and adopt the necessary constitutional and canonical legislation,
(a) To permit overseas Missionary Districts fully to participate in the life of existing and adjacent Anglican provinces, for example, those of West Africa and the West Indies;

(b) To permit participation in the formation of new provinces, specifically in Brazil and in the remainder of South America;

(c) To strengthen working relations with autonomous provinces, such as the Church in Japan, which already share American personnel and finances;

(d) To encourage the steady development of autonomy, and local authority and responsibility, in existing Missionary Districts; and it is specifically recommended

i. That the term "Diocese" be used instead of "District" to describe overseas jurisdictions;

ii. That specific criteria be determined for the winning of autonomy,

iii. That a larger proportion of planning be done in the field;

(e) To insure that Missionary Dioceses to be created in the future under the auspices of this Church be projects undertaken in cooperation with other branches of the Anglican Communion;

(f) To provide for working relations with existing united Churches and those that will be organized in the future.

3. That thorough studies be made, involving all relevant aspects of political, social and cultural life, of the Spanish-speaking areas in South America, of Africa, and of the Pacific area, for the guidance of future overseas work.

4. That, whenever work is to be begun in a new country by the Episcopal Church,

(a) it should be by a team of workers headed by a bishop,

(b) the personnel, support and planning should be shared with the other Churches of the Anglican Communion, and

(c) it be the policy of this Church to plan and work with other communions toward the ultimate establishment of an autonomous united Church within the area.

5. That the National Council consider whether the Missionary District of Liberia should become a part of the Province of West Africa, and that the Episcopal Church be ready to participate in the work of other provinces in Africa.

6. That relationships with the Philippine Independent Church be strengthened and steps taken toward formation of an autonomous Church or Churches in the Pacific region.

7. That the Episcopal Church consider undertaking work among the Chinese Dispersion in Southeast Asia, and develop a program for resuming work in mainland China when this shall become possible.
8. That the administration of the Overseas Department be strengthened as follows:

(a) By developing further the functions of recruitment and personnel, logistics, and Pan-Anglican relations, now provided in the Department's organization;

(b) By providing regional assistants or secretaries for various overseas fields, specifically one for Latin America;

(c) By assigning an officer of the Department to supervise the education of prospective missionaries;

(d) By assigning an officer of the Department to supervise the in-service training of missionaries already appointed;

(e) By appointing an officer to maintain liaison with and to advise seminaries and schools that prepare nationals of other countries for ordination;

(f) By assigning an officer to maintain liaison between various departments and agencies at home and the several mission fields, so that necessary services may be provided;

(g) By assigning an officer to deal with aspects of overseas work which involve relations with other communions and inter-Church agencies;

(h) By expanding the functions of the officer now in charge of the education of clergy and people at home in the Church's missionary task. It is recommended that the published materials on the several mission fields be radically revised and brought up to date.

9. That it be the policy of the Church to promote, by every possible means, the spirit of international awareness and cooperation in the American educational system.

10. That the Church use every means at its disposal to promote among its members an understanding of international problems and the mission of the Church; for example,

(a) By regular systematic prayer;

(b) By the "personalization" of missions through direct relationships, such as the following:

i. Adoption by dioceses and parishes of missions and missionaries;

ii. Internships and visits to the field;

iii. Liaison between the mission field and theological seminaries and other institutions;

iv. Correspondence between young people of the same age;

v. An annual Missionary Emphasis month;

vi. Expanded publicity in all areas of communication;

vii. Special projects;
viii. Emphasis on Overseas Missions in every Diocese;

ix. Formal consultations between groups of laymen.

11. That the Church develop plans for relating its many communicants who go abroad to the overseas work of the Church and for using their talents, whenever possible, to assist in the propagation of the Gospel; and, specifically,

(a) By formal commissioning as missionaries of Church people going overseas;

(b) By establishing briefing centers, to prepare Church people for life and Christian witness abroad, and to receive reports from them and hold conferences for them on their return;

(c) By providing assistance to local clergy in preparing their people for overseas visits;

(d) By giving help, through the overseas Churches, to wives of men working overseas in adjusting to life abroad;

(e) By producing literature to assist in accomplishing the above purposes.

-2. That the education of all clergy of the Church, with respect to the Church's mission, be strengthened by the following methods:

(a) By improvement of the curricula of theological seminaries to provide more information about the Church's mission in the world and the situation it faces;

(b) By recruitment not only of seminary students but of clergy with several years of parochial experience;

(c) By limited term appointments overseas of men now serving in the domestic field;

(d) By providing opportunities for other clergy to make visits to the mission fields;

(e) By arrangements whereby overseas missionaries on furlough may serve on the staff of large parishes;

(f) By provision for qualified theological students to spend a year overseas as part of their preparation for ordination.

13. That the training of overseas missionaries be strengthened by the following methods:

(a) By inclusion in seminary curricula of courses specifically for overseas training;

(b) By joining with other communions in an overseas training program which makes use of university facilities;

(c) By provision for American appointees to serve internships in Anglican missions in Africa and elsewhere;

(d) By the adoption of a definite program for the training of new
appointees, including bishops, and of missionaries in the field, as outlined in Section XIII, 3 of this report;

(c) By preparing overseas workers to adjust to the personal problems of life abroad.

14. That the proposed Permanent Advisory Council, in cooperation with the Joint Commission on Theological Education, study the problems of overseas theological seminaries with a view to developing a strong worldwide program, and that attention be given to the production of Christian literature in languages other than English.

III. THE TIME IS SHORT

The most urgent task of the Episcopal Church today is the strengthening of its missionary activity. The Church's mission is one. The eventual success of its effort at home, our rightful part in the Christianizing of America, depends in large measure on the health and strength of Christian witness and activity in all parts of the world. It is one world, and what affects any remote corner of it will in time come home to us.

A report on missions must first recognize with thanksgiving the progress made in recent years by the Overseas Department of the National Council, in spite of insufficient financial support relative to what is demanded from it. It is fitting to record the steady growth of the United Thank Offering, and the spontaneous interest shown in the Overseas Mission Society (a voluntary association of clergy and laity of the Protestant Episcopal Church), and to note how widely the excellent Christian Missionary Society Newsletter, edited by Canon M. A. C. Warren of the Church of England, is read and pondered by our own people. There is abundant evidence of the desire to accept and follow God's call to strengthen overseas missions.

And yet—"it is just the fact that the Christian churches are threatened today as they have not been for a thousand years." Communism and Secularism are realities in the world. Other religions—Islam, Buddhism, and Hinduism—are resurgent and aggressively missionary. As vast groups of people in Asia and Africa climb to higher economic and educational levels, there are mass movements toward a new way of spiritual and intellectual life. Sometimes the Christian mission has seized such opportunities, but where Christian witness is absent Communism or another religion is ready and eager to come in.

To quote Bishop Stephen Neill again:

"In relation to the present generation, we have no time at all. They are passing away like leaves on a tree. We do not say, like our ancestors, that all those who have not accepted Christ are going to hell. We do say that it is the birthright of every single human being born into the world today to know that he has been redeemed by Christ, and to have the opportunity freely to accept or to reject that salvation. And half the people in the world still have not heard the name of Jesus Christ."

"We say confidently that God is almighty and will bring his purposes to fulfillment in the end. This is unquestionably true. Yet, at the same

time, we see quite plainly that God's will is not being done on earth as it is in heaven... We know what his will is; yet, if we do not do it, it just will not be done. Doubtless God's plans are far vaster than our best imagination of them; doubtless he has means of working of which we have no knowledge at all. But as far as the proclamation of the gospel to all the world is concerned, he seems to have only one method. He has committed the eternal gospel to men; if they preach it, it will be preached; if they do not preach it, it will not be preached. He is prepared to trust us, and to allow himself to be frustrated almost without limit by our disobedience, our blindness, and our folly."

There is still time to act; but tomorrow cannot redeem the time that is lost today. It we are to obey the mandate laid upon us, our Church must be clear as to the principles which guide its mission, and make whatever revisions may be necessary in its policy, its strategy and organization, and in the education of those who stay at home and those who go abroad.

IV. MISSION—THE CHURCH'S ESSENTIAL NATURE

The mission of the Church is rooted in her very being. It is not an adjunct or subsidiary activity to be set alongside other Christian pursuits, but is integral to the Church's given nature.

The mission of the Church is in its deepest sense God's, not ours. The Church is the chosen instrument used by the Holy Spirit to continue what God initiated in Jesus Christ. At the Incarnation He broke into the finite world of alienated men, that through the ministry of Jesus in proclaiming the Good News and through His Cross and Resurrection they might be reconciled to God and their lives transformed. God's mighty act opened to all men the possibility of new life in Christ, infused with God's forgiving and healing power. God continues this saving work in the world through us, who are His Body.

To history the mission of the Church imparts direction and unity, disclosing to anxious man the meaning of his destiny as the new being in Christ. This is its reconciling work. Yet on the stage of history demonic forces still rage, tempting the faithful and corrupting the world. Here must stand God's judgment, upon Church and world alike.

The calling of the Church is to be the agent of the continuation of the mission of Christ Himself. His mission knows no distinction of geographical area, age, sex, culture, or race. The Church deals with these historical differences in her administrative structure, but does not recognize them as creating differences in the divine dispensation. For the Church there can be no basic difference between her mission at home and her mission abroad. In both spheres, "the whole of life has a missionary dimension," and is therefore one.

1. EVERYONE IS A MISSIONARY

If the Church "exists by mission as fire exists by burning," then one who is baptized and confirmed into her fellowship is thereby a missionary.

---

2 Ibid., pp. 256-7; italics supplied.
4 Ibid., p. 21.
5 Ibid., p. 21.
6 Emil Brunner.
A member of the Church "cannot have fellowship with Christ without being committed to partnership in His mission to the world."  

Responsibility for witness to the transforming power of God, therefore, rests with each member as he shares the life of the Church. He bears that witness at whatever station he occupies: at home, in his recreation, and through his labor.

It follows from this that, as the Bishops said at Lambeth in 1958, the Church's mission can never be left to a professional cadre of clergy or other trained evangelists, but inheres in the life of the whole Christian community. By means of the diversity of gifts within its fellowship, God calls His people to share His work in healing the world, and reconciling man to Himself.

Our Church recognizes this in Canon 3, Article 1, which states: "This organization shall be called The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, and shall be considered as comprehending all persons who are members of the Church." This canon is perhaps the basic utterance of the Episcopal Church in defining a missionary: it commissions all members as missionaries.

2. THE WORD AND THE SACRAMENTS

God has given His Church the Word and the Sacraments as the principal means for fulfilling its mission.

The Holy Bible is indispensable to the mission of the Church, in the first place, because Christians universally recognize in its total record an account of the saving acts of God toward man. In the sense that it records what God has done, not man, it is God's Word, not ours. Being, then, the first and basic witness of the faith in which we have been nurtured, it remains the common standard by which all faith is tested, redefined, refreshed and renewed. The Bible guards against the vagaries of interpretation which will characterize any given time or place, and thus proclaims the essence of God's love for each new people or age in terms of its own life.

Secondly, since God's Word is expressed through the words of men and God's action on the stage of human history, the Bible constitutes the bridge by which the Church's mission may advance to meet the thoughts and lives of men, wherever they are in the world. God has already established a beachhead in the understanding and experience of people so that in their hidden longing for the holy, they are prepared to receive the Good News we are commissioned to proclaim.

As instruments of her mission the Church provides the Sacraments. Through these means of grace Christians are nourished and renewed by Christ himself, and the world beholds the image of how God sanctifies and redeems the common things of the earth.

Here lies the special role of the clergy in the Church's mission, for they are ordained to administer the Sacraments. It is the priest's vocation to be "a faithful Dispenser of the Word of God, and of His holy Sacraments.”

*Newbigin, op. cit., p. 27.*
3. One God, One World

The mission of the Church lies in the world, a world created by God and destined by Him for salvation. Even in rebellion, man is still in the embrace of God's care; he is still the bearer of God's "image." As one theologian has written:

"The manifest Church opens up what is potentially given in the different religions and cultures outside Christianity. In some way and on some level, every human being is longing for a new reality in contrast to the distorted reality in which he is living. People are not outside of God; they are grasped by God, on the level in which they can be grasped,—in their experience of the Divine, in the realm of holiness in which they are living, in which they are educated, in which they have performed acts of faith and adoration and prayer and cult, even if the symbols in which the Holy was expressed seem to us extremely primitive and idolatrous. It was distorted religion, but it was not non-religion. It was the reality of the Divine, preparing in paganism for the coming of the manifest Church, and through the manifest Church the coming of the Kingdom of God."

St. Paul often refers to what we might call the "latent Church," which exists by virtue of God's creation in all times, places, and cultures, including our own. Thus the Apostle reminded the pagan crowd at Lystra that since the world began God "left not Himself without witness" (Acts 14:17).

The mission of the Christian Church, therefore, is to transform that which is latent into the manifest fulfillment of man's common life in Christ. In Biblical language, this is to prepare for the coming of the Kingdom of God.

As in the days of the Old Testament prophets, so in our own time there is a desperate need to acknowledge one God for one World as the basic fact of human existence. God in His Unity has the almighty power to lead His people in the task of reuniting a critically dismembered world. At the same time, it is our urgent duty to proclaim this monotheistic faith, which is the religious dimension of the same truth recognized in the fields of economics and politics—that this is one world, and that it must act as such before it is too late.

Because God is Creator, there is no area of human activity that is not susceptible of salvation. Because God is Redeemer in Jesus Christ, the Church must not be a refuge where holiness may dwell separate and undisturbed. Its task is to bring together the sacred and the secular, not to divide them. The Church must not identify Christianity with any particular cultural expression of it, nor may it stand apart from culture; rather its task is to be God's agent in the transformation of culture.

This will properly result in a reciprocal communication between the Church and the world which is vital to the Church's life. When the Church pursues her mission among men, God uses their resistance and criticism to cleanse the Church of those tendencies within her fellowship which, through self-righteousness, and shallowness, betray her work. Missionary activity, therefore, is an exchange: a listening on both sides,

*Paul Tillich, in an unpublished lecture.*
CONFERENCE ON OVERSEAS MISSIONS

a mutual cleansing. The propensity toward arrogance of which some accuse the Christian mission can be avoided by the honesty of this two-way communication.

4. COMMUNICATION

Too often the Church has tended to oversimplify its commission to "be witnesses unto me . . . unto the uttermost part of the earth."

That which it proclaims, being unique, has no analogy. As Paul said, it is "unto the Jews a stumbling block, and unto the Greeks foolishness." Christian communication cannot escape misunderstanding. Logic and willpower are not sufficient to insure that the Gospel will be received; the grace of God is indispensable. It is God who speaks through us, and no word of ours is ever adequate or worthy.

The Christian mission is set in a world of such cultural disparities as to make its task always dangerous and difficult.

Christians, because of their natural concern for the whole of man's life, have often looked toward social service, particularly medical and educational, missions, as effective channels of Christian communication. We recognize thankfully the increasing support given to these endeavors. This method of witness by example is proper and legitimate, but it should not be divorced from the Word and the Sacraments or be made a substitute for them, nor should it be allowed to promote the institutionalization of the Church in such a way as to hinder conversion by the Holy Spirit.

While Christianity is historically rooted in a particular culture, yet it must be expressed and embodied in every culture, and this responsibility must never be evaded. And since man is both body and spirit, word and deed must be married in the Church's mission in such a way that men whose ways and thoughts may be very different from our own may appropriate to themselves the grace that Christ so royally offers for the fulfillment of the will of God in their lives.

5. THE UNITY OF THE CHURCH

It should be recognized that the mission of the Church is the continuation of the mission of Christ Himself, who sent His disciples into all the world. Therefore mission must rest upon a unity in Christ that is clearly recognized by the world; not uniformity, but the unity in essential faith and life of those who preserve their individual functions and characteristics.

So long as the Church is absorbed with her own life in the world and ignores her mission to the unconverted, the disunity of Christendom is bound to remain. The world will not through us be reconciled within itself or to God until the Body of Christ be first reconciled within itself. Jesus' prayer was "that they may be one, that the world may believe." To that world Christ came as the Lord of Life, and His service therefore requires a unity among His servants.

For the brokenness of His Body we in the Christian churches bear the guilt. Therefore the Church's mission cannot be undertaken apart from repentance and a profound dependence upon God's forgiveness and renewed grace to heal our divisions. But once our mission is begun in that
spirit, we may look to Christ to overrule our divisive anxieties and reconcile us to our brethren in the "new being" which He freely gives.

We do not think that Christian unity implies uniformity. But the thrust of the Church's mission must lie in the direction of the Cross, each Church proceeding along ecumenical lines with mutual respect for one another, until at last men will be able in Christ to be at one in the Father's House.

V. A RAPIDLY CHANGING WORLD

The principles of the Christian missionary outreach, stated above, must be put into practice in a world which is rapidly changing. This necessarily affects the policy of the Church at home and abroad, the strategy for carrying out this policy, the administrative structure on which effective strategy depends, and the education and training of personnel.

1. Although there has never been a time when human society was not changing, the most startling fact of our time is the acceleration of social change. Human beings always have in some measure the drive for stability and security, and this is often dominant in society; but this now seems to be in tension with the desire for change and the acceptance of it as inevitable. This has its effect in nearly every area of human endeavor.

2. In many places where the Church is at work, the political "ins" are on their way out, nor is there any assurance that their successors will retain power for a long period of time.

3. Nationalism, which can be both a binding and a divisive force, is the dominant political idea throughout Asia, Africa, and Latin America. Even though the nations of the North Atlantic community are already looking beyond nationalism to new forms of regional association, Americans working in the underdeveloped areas (in which practically all missionary work is done) cannot escape the obligation to reckon with nationalist aspirations.

4. Leaders in the new nations are themselves preoccupied with the building of the varied institutions that express the desire for "modernization." People, such as missionaries, who presume to participate in their destiny, are under pressure to help build such institutions.

5. In most of the non-western world, where popular opinion is articulate there is a passionate desire for neutrality in the contemporary international struggle. The role of American missionaries as Americans is complicated by this feeling of the people among whom they work that the great powers are unduly preoccupied with the Cold War.

6. The Christian missionary goes overseas with the desire to help the people among whom he works. He knows that he will be criticized for any "interference" in the internal politics of the host country, yet his work has economic and social effects and he is necessarily involved with governmental authorities. He must therefore exercise great tact in giving proper cooperation to the existing leaders of society and yet avoid that kind of involvement which might hamper the future work of the mission.

7. Closely allied to the desire for national self-determination is the demand for racial equality. Many overseas missions work among peoples who are impatient of white, as well as of western, supremacy.
8. Similar dynamic forces are at work in economic life. Technology has made new economic wealth possible and has stimulated the desire for it. This raises insistently the perennial question of how the benefits of rising productivity are to be shared.

9. Another gnawing and difficult question is also being asked in countries of rising economic wealth: "What is economic development for? In what way can it be used to make life richer and more meaningful?"—questions that in America might be rendered as, "After affluence, what?"

10. One product of the increased standard of living and improved medical services is the dramatic explosion of population in many parts of the world. Some overseas missionaries work in countries where population control is an urgent contemporary issue.

11. Along with nationalism and economic development goes the desire for education, particularly in science, technology, and languages. At the same time there are increased possibilities of cultural interchange.

12. Finally, the Christian mission operates among competitors. Whether or not Communism should be classed as a religion is a matter of definition; at any rate, it operates with evangelical zeal and claims to supersede religion. The resurgence of other missionary religions, particularly Islam, and in some places Buddhism and Hinduism, together with the rise of aberrant forms of Christianity, serves to remind Christians in the historic tradition that there is no time to be lost if their witness is to be effective in this generation.

The Christian tradition has something to say about all these issues—something different from, and often more relevant than, what the United States or any nation can bring itself to say. The Church is inevitably involved in these contemporary issues. The missionary must be alert to the political, economic and social situation in which he works; he must give as clear a Christian answer as he can; and his everyday work demands a measure of wisdom and statesmanship. The Church which he serves will be judged not merely by its success in bringing continued Christian ministry to tiny groups of Christians in largely non-Christian areas, but by its understanding of the life around it and its constructive participation, where this is possible, in the building of better political and social institutions.

VI. NEW DEVELOPMENTS IN THE MISSION FIELD

Changes in the world situation since 1939 have directly affected the missionary enterprise of our Church as well as that of other communions.

1. The most important development has been the rise of new national Churches.

The general Anglican pattern for creating younger Churches—of which the American Church is the first example—has been the establishment of autonomous Provinces bound by ties of communion with the See of Canterbury. Before the Second World War the largest missionary efforts of the Episcopal Church, in personnel and in funds, were devoted to China and Japan. Here the process of organizing national Churches had begun, and certain dioceses in these countries were also Missionary Districts of this Church, while other dioceses were English and Canadian missions.
The war accelerated the development of these Churches into complete autonomy.

The Church in China (Chung Hua Sheng Kung Hui) is no longer open to direct contacts from us; but its clergy and people carry on their work.

The Japanese Church (Nippon Sei Kokwai) is autonomous and in close fraternal relation with us. We make direct grants to it and also assist by sending specialized personnel and by educating Japanese in this country.

In other areas where we work, the Churches formed by our missions increasingly desire and expect greater autonomy. This desire has been accelerated by the new spirit of nationalism and the loss of political prestige on the part of the West, but it was not created by them. The nature of the Christian Gospel and the polity of our Church make eventual self-government a natural development. In this changed atmosphere, the missionary must become less conspicuous, a partner and helper rather than a leader, and local leadership should be developed as quickly as possible with wise and quiet guidance. There seems to be emerging a new type of missionary vocation, in which the permanently-appointed missionary can expect to serve for a limited time in one field as a specialist and then to be transferred to another.

2. A second development, closely related to the first, is the drive toward union of the Churches. This report has recognized the need for Christian unity, which is rooted in the nature of the Christian religion. There are immediate practical reasons also. The need for united witness and action is recognized by almost everyone. The proliferation of different traditions of Christianity complicates both the task of communicating the Gospel and that of relating it constructively to the great human issues of our time. 97 North American missionary societies are represented in Japan, 95 in India, 57 in Taiwan, 51 in Brazil, 49 in the Philippines, 46 in Hong Kong, 34 in the Congo, 29 in Colombia, and 28 in Nigeria. In all, the 30,000 North American missionaries abroad represent 300 Christian bodies, though most of them belong to a few groups of major denominations.

In this situation, our fellow-Anglicans and Christians of other Communions in recent years have been deliberately and rapidly creating independent younger Churches, even though major support continues from the home Churches. These bodies are free to confer with one another with a view toward eventual union.

The establishment of the Church of South India is a fact of paramount importance. This is the only union yet consummated in which a former province of the Anglican Communion has joined with Churches formed out of the missions of other Communions. Missionary strategy must therefore take into consideration the possibility of organized Church union in the field, in advance of similar unity at home.

3. Other developments have affected missionary policy. At one time the Christian missions were pioneers in developing educational institutions, social agencies, hospitals, and medical services. In most parts of the world, governments have now increasingly entered these fields. There are still many places where the Church can and should make contributions along these lines; but it is necessary to re-examine the work of the Episcopal Church overseas in order to achieve maximum effectiveness.
4. Since World War II, the United States has been the richest nation in the world, with less than 7% of the world's population, but with many times that percentage of its income and productivity. Americans in the various Churches have given generously to overseas missions, and therefore the largest support for work in all parts of the world has come from this country. In recent years, the extraordinary revival of Western Europe's economy suggests that American pre-eminence in the mission field cannot be assumed to be inevitable. Other parts of the Anglican Communion, and other Christian Communions based in Europe, may in the future share more largely in the support and leadership of overseas missions.

5. The scope of American missionary effort is, of course, vast. The Protestant Episcopal Church, however accounts for less than one per cent of the 30,000 American missionaries abroad. The giving of Episcopalians to foreign missions, in proportion to their communicant strength and their wealth, lags far behind most of the other American Churches.

It is true that the national budget of the Episcopal Church, and with it the appropriation for missions, has continually increased. At the same time, the proportion allotted to overseas missions out of the total Church giving of Episcopalians has steadily decreased in the past twenty years. We are spending most of our contributed wealth at home, and more and more of it stays in the local parishes.

This situation has arisen at a time when Americans are more conscious of the world's problems than ever before in their history, and when vast numbers of our people are abroad, in the armed forces, as government workers, in business, and as tourists. The Church's strategy therefore must include education for all her people in the needs and opportunities of overseas missions, with special attention given to lay people who at some time in their lives will live or visit abroad.

VII. THE NEED FOR NEW STRATEGY

Just as the procedures of military, business and diplomatic activity are being revised in the changed, and still rapidly changing world of this generation, so the Church should make radical changes in its policy.

Most of the Anglican missions throughout the world are organized into independent provinces which, although self-governing, continue to receive the aid of men and money from the stronger and older provinces. Likewise, other Communions in the same countries where the Episcopal Church is serving have established independent national Churches even though major support continues from the home Churches. The system of the Protestant Episcopal Church is in sharp contrast to this. Although in theory it agrees with the general Anglican pattern, our tendency is to maintain Missionary Districts bound authoritatively to the Church at home. Only our work in China and Japan has taken a different direction, and in both cases for reasons beyond our policy and planning.

The result of this is twofold: (1) It is difficult for our overseas workers to enter into harmonious and fruitful relationship with other Anglicans where areas adjoin. United witness and planning are, at best, difficult. (2) The present policy tends to promote ecclesiastical colonialism in direct conflict with the national spirit of foreign missionary areas unless a Missionary District is developed as rapidly as possible toward the autonomy of separate
provincial membership. The slow growth of the Church overseas must in part be traceable to this policy.

An overseas bishop has recently written regarding the danger “of our becoming an isolated and ingrown community, shying away from contact with other denominations and set apart from the life of the greater Anglican Communion.” This word is from an area where, after more than a half-century of work, Episcopalians number approximately 6,000 as compared with 45,000 Methodists and 92,000 Presbyterians. Unless we are able to change our methods and to promote autonomy, the forces of history may pass us by and leave our work an irrelevant survival in the foreign mission field.

It is therefore recommended that definite plans be made, and the necessary authorization be given by constitutional and canonical legislation, for achievement of the following ends:

1. To permit overseas Missionary Districts fully to participate in the life of existing and adjacent Anglican Provinces.

The following areas appear to be of immediate concern:

(a) West Africa: Liberia is surrounded by this Province but is not a working part of it with official participation in its life.

(b) West Indies: This Province is contiguous with several of our Missionary Districts. As the result of insufficient association, both sides suffer loss. With our participation, the whole Caribbean and Central-American areas might perhaps be reorganized into two or more Provinces.

2. To permit participation in the formation of new Provinces.

Of immediate concern:

(a) Brazil: The work in this country should be organized as a separate National Church or Province, especially in view of the national spirit in an area of such size and strength.

(b) Rest of South America: Excepting Brazil, Colombia and British Guiana, this is substantially under the jurisdiction of a single Anglican Bishop and might well develop into a separate Province as Anglican work opens in other countries.

3. To create better working relations with autonomous Provinces which already share American personnel and finances.

Of immediate concern:

Japan: The American clergy are not actual members of Nippon Sei Kokwai and now remain canonically resident in their home dioceses.

Radical changes are involved in the three recommendations above, and there are practical difficulties to be surmounted. This explains in large part why the Church has not already moved in these directions.

For example, how can clergy and laity of the Protestant Episcopal Church retain their rights and privileges after their Missionary District becomes part of a separate Province? The provincial constitutions in the
missionary areas of the world now so organized are largely on the older English model. Thus, for example, they may not provide for participation of lay persons in the administrative and legislative life of the Church in the Province. Submission to such a provincial organization would mean, for the laity, the surrender of much of their active Church responsibility which they have been taught in American Missionary Districts. The important privileges of the clergy, such as salary and pension on the American basis, and, for bishops, membership in the American House of Bishops, would be jeopardized unless special provisions are made. Can there not be a system of dual membership?

This problem, arising as it does from quite different traditions and economic situations in England and America, is one of extreme gravity. Its solution will be most difficult and will require readjustments on both sides. But the future fruitfulness of the missions of this Church is dependent in real measure upon a solution, and such a solution can be found.

As in the present case of our relations to the Church in Japan, there will also need to be a planned strategy for proportionate sharing of finances with non-American jurisdictions as we move toward more participation in the provincial system.

It appears that some strategy body, under the advice and guidance of the Presiding Bishop, should be specifically charged to work with the Anglican Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy in developing plans and sponsoring legislative action necessary to the realization of some of these goals. This report will discuss later the need for such an advisory council on strategy.

4. To encourage the steady development of autonomy, and local authority and responsibility, in-existing Missionary Districts.

Overseas Missionary Districts not only operate under the handicap of being American Churches in foreign lands, but the very nomenclature applied to them and the canons under which they exist contain drawbacks. There is an unhappy stigma attached to the word “District” for an area under jurisdiction of its own bishop, and it carries at least a suggestion of American colonialism. There is even a growing body of opinion and writing which insists that the word “missionary” has been distorted from its true meaning, which applies to all Christians and Christian bodies.

It can rightly be argued that the use of the term “Missionary District” within the United States has been salutary, since it has encouraged many districts to achieve diocesan status. But within the United States the problem of nationalism does not arise, and home districts operate within the North American economic and governmental situation. Therefore their possibilities of achievement should not be compared with those of districts overseas. What proves an incentive at home may have the exactly opposite effect abroad.

Overseas areas need dignity and autonomy and to be encouraged in ways that fit their location and possibilities. Clergy, and especially laity, should be encouraged to accept more responsibility. Should there not be specific criteria by which autonomy is won step by step? The relation of such goals to finance and self-support need careful reassessment. It would be wrong to impose standards which are realistic only in the United States. If the parishes in an overseas diocese achieve self-support, this is a sign of ma-
turity; but it does not remove the need for help from America to expand the work into other parts of the diocese.

As the Church anticipates the creation of new Missionary Dioceses or Districts it would seem wise not to follow old patterns, but to provide from the beginning for development into autonomous Churches.

Increased speed and facility of communication, as compared with a generation ago, suggests that much more planning can be done in the field rather than having it centralized in New York. The balance between over-all and local planning is a practical problem for which no formula can be given; yet a measure of decentralization is possible, particularly if there are more visits of personnel from New York to the field and from the field to New York.

In other than United States or British territory—for example, in South America—new Missionary Dioceses should be joint Anglican projects with support and personnel from various parts of the Anglican Communion. This appears to be legally possible in structure only when a new Missionary Diocese is to be established within a new or existing Province. As a Missionary Diocese outside the boundaries of a Province it would have to be related to a home Province of some nation such as England, the United States, or Canada. The success of this strategy therefore would be dependent upon developments in the formation of provinces.

Attempts to obtain missionary personnel from various Anglican areas can and should be made, however, even now. This is a step in the direction of truly inter-Anglican missions.

Therefore, the plan by which overseas missions are now incorporated into the domestic provincial system should not be extended. It seems unrealistic, for example, to include the American Missionary Districts in the West Indies with the Provinces of New York and New Jersey, or to incorporate Mexico into the Province of the Southwest, or the Philippines into the Province of the Pacific. It is far more realistic to link the overseas Missionary Districts into Provinces with other dioceses which share the same geography, language and culture. Elsewhere in this report there are specific recommendations to this end.

Authority for the establishment of new Missionary Districts and Episcopates is now given, under Canon 40, to the House of Bishops which acts with the aid of its Committee on Overseas Missions. Does full responsibility rest with this authority? Where should long-time policy be made and strategy formed? Should the House of Bishops act simply on the advice and policy supplied by the Overseas Department or the National Council? And, if so, what is the proper source and channel of information and recommendations? These questions need answering before the Church enters any serious missionary expansion.

Hitherto this report has been concerned with overseas missions within the Anglican family. In addition, there are two younger churches which are not members of the Anglican Communion, with which the Episcopal Church has a measure of official relationship, and toward which it has assumed some degree of responsibility.

These are the Philippine Independent Church and the Church of South India. With the progress of the unity movement in mission lands there will doubtless be other such relationships in the future. This development, new
to our generation, merits careful study and planning. What should be the
conditions for relationship to new united Churches and the methods for
giving aid? In the years ahead will there be need for new agencies to handle
such inter-Church relations more satisfactorily?

The Philippine Independent Church has now asked the Episcopal Church
to consider terms on which formal intercommunion may be established, and
the Presiding Bishop has requested the Joint Commission on Approaches to
Unity to begin negotiations. In due time this may lead to the merging of the
Missionary Districts of the Philippines with the Independent Church. South­
est-Asian Anglicans are now working together under a Council of bishops
and clergy and are considering a provincial structure which might include
the Missionary District of the Philippines. But our future relations with the
Philippine Independent Church might also point to a separate national
Church of the Philippines within which Episcopalians would serve. The
implications here are so far-reaching that they should hardly be left to local
leadership alone nor even to unilateral planning by the Protestant Episcopal
Church.

The Church of South India is the first example of a divergence from the
Anglican pattern of establishing autonomous provinces in communion with
the See of Canterbury. Our missionary relations with this Church, there­
fore, are experiments in a new pattern which is likely to develop further
and should be under consideration in long range planning.

Impetus behind much of the present Ecumenical Movement springs from
the mission field. Anglican Churches, including our own, have been deeply
involved in the movement which sees Unity and Mission as one. The
carrying of our home divisions into non-Christian lands is not only seen as
unfortunate but even as fatal, for a divided witness has largely failed in its
purpose. While the reunion movement is growing all over the world, its
Anglican leadership in missionary areas has been confined largely to the
British. In the Episcopal Church there has been until now too-little relation
between official ecumenical activities and overseas missions, and certainly,
with the exception of Bishop Brent in a previous generation, the impetus
has not come from the mission field and its leaders. The fact needs serious
consideration in our future planning.

Anglican leaders in India and Ceylon, and most recently in Africa,
are negotiating for unity from a position of comparative strength with
other Communions in the mission field. The Episcopal Church, on the other
hand, would negotiate from comparative weakness in most of its areas and
therefore has been understandably reluctant. Only in Haiti, Puerto Rico,
and the Virgin Islands does the communicant strength of this Church
compare with that of other major non-Roman Communions. We dare not
however, rest content with this explanation. The unity movement is going
forward in areas where we are at work whether we cooperate or not. Not
only is the Episcopal Church in danger of future isolation but, more
seriously, it will have failed to make its historic and Catholic contribution
to united younger Churches as they arise. The concern for unity, and activity
and leadership in the movement toward it, should become an integral part
of mission work in every field.

VIII. NEXT STEPS IN STRATEGIC PLANNING

Recommendations have been made regarding basic policy and struc­
ture. But when this committee turns its attention to strategy as related to
specific areas in the world, it finds itself limited by the lack of first-hand
knowledge. Conferences with Bishop Bentley and others, and study of the reports made by the General Division of Research and Field Study, under the direction of the Rev. Dr. Joseph G. Moore, have been informative. These studies are valuable and excellent surveys of the existing work of the Church in many of its overseas areas, and they include constructive recommendations, many of which have already been adopted by the National Council. The printed reports do not deal with the over-all problem of strategy in wide areas apart from existing missionary districts, where other branches of the Anglican Communion are at work or where there is little or no Anglican work. The research program as a whole throws light on such broad general matters as the relationship of Christianity to Communism, nationalism, Latin Catholicism, and other world-wide religious and secular movements, but in this present structure of the Church there is no adequate means of considering these broad strategic problems. Such problems are the primary concern of this Committee, and some of them are discussed in other sections of this report. When it comes to the consideration of them in relation to specific areas, however, it is easier to raise questions than to answer them. A permanent strategy committee, adequately provided with staff and financial resources, should undertake the task of probing more deeply to find the answers and to apply them to the world-wide strategy of the Episcopal Church and its sister Churches in the Anglican Communion.

1. A Permanent Advisory Council

Our consideration of overseas missions leads the Committee to conclude that the Church needs continuously to review and evaluate the political, social, economic and religious developments in the world in order that recommendations may be made from time to time regarding the strategy of the Church and its missionary outreach. This is a function which should be performed apart from the normal departmental and administrative structure, committed to men of special capacity who are not burdened with the business of departmental routine.

The Committee therefore recommends that there be established a Permanent Advisory Council of Evaluation and Strategy on the Mission of the Church. This body should be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and should be advisory to him and through him to General Convention and the National Council. It should be provided with a full-time executive and with adequate staff and budget independent of any existing department or division of the National Council.

The first task of such a group would be to undertake a series of studies as a guide to the entire missionary policy of the Church overseas. These should deal with all the factors—political, social, economic, demographic, religious and cultural—which are involved in successfully communicating the Gospel within given areas.

At the 1958 Lambeth Conference, the bishops outlined a number of fields where they judged that missionary expansion should be undertaken, and clearly indicated their hope that the American Episcopal Church would assume new leadership in certain of these. South America, Africa, the Pacific, and the millions of Chinese outside Communist China should properly be among the concerns of the Episcopal Church. Encouraged by the renewed interest in overseas missions and the demand for new efforts, the Church should go forward with the help of careful studies of the above named fields, which are of insistent importance, and new policies should be developed in view of these studies.
Accordingly, the Committee is submitting to the National Council specific recommendations for study, evaluation and planning. In considering these, it is important that the National Council work in close cooperation with the other Churches of the Anglican Communion, particularly through the Office of the Executive Officer, the Right Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., and with the appropriate bishops, national organizations and missionary agencies of the other Churches. It should also be borne in mind that the World Council of Churches and the International Missionary Council, meeting in New Delhi in 1961, will consider consolidation of those two organizations. This would afford greatly improved facilities for coordination and communication.

2. South America

It is gratifying to note that, partly as a result of an interim recommendation of this Committee, the National Council has undertaken a survey of the Spanish-speaking areas of South America. This has been carried on by Bishop Bentley and Bishop Gooden, who have made a visit to these regions and have held consultations. This is an important first step toward a re-evaluation of Anglican missionary work in this important Latin-American field, which has been scarcely touched in the past except through chaplaincies and such specialized work as that of the South American Missionary Society among the Indians of Argentina and Chile.

The report of Bishops Bentley and Gooden (not available to this Committee when the final draft of this report was prepared) will inevitably raise important questions of policy that must be settled before any new work is undertaken.

It is recommended that the Permanent Advisory Council study such questions as these:

(1) What are the dominant characteristics of the cultural patterns (which often differ widely from country to country) in the republics of South America, and in what direction do they appear to be moving?

(2) Since the Roman Catholic Church has long been the dominant religious factor in these countries, does the Episcopal Church have a mission to them? If so, what attitude should be taken toward the existing work of the Roman Catholic Church in these countries? Similarly, what should be the attitude toward the work of the Protestant missions in South America, both those of Communions affiliated with the World Council of Churches and those of other religious groups?

(3) Does the Anglican concept of a Church that is both Catholic and Reformed have a special significance in the Latin-American culture? If so, how can it best be expressed? What lessons learned from Episcopal missions in the Spanish-speaking countries of the Northern Hemisphere will be useful in an approach to the South American nations?

(4) Should the aim be to develop Anglican "ecclesiastical colonies" in South America or to develop indigenous Churches staffed largely by a native clergy, teachers, and lay leaders? If the latter, how is this leadership to be developed?

(5) If and when work is begun in a particular country or area, should the first approach be made to the English-speaking population and afterward to the Spanish-speaking nationals? Should the mission be initiated with one or two priests, or with a bishop and a missionary staff?
(6) Should any such work be undertaken by the American Church alone, or should it, from the outset, include two or more Churches of the Anglican Communion? If the latter, what other Anglican Churches should be involved in the initial planning?

(7) What kind of missionaries should be sent—evangelistic, medical, educational, or a combination?

(8) Would religious orders be of particular value in undertaking this work? If so, should it be committed to an existing order or to a new one, perhaps inter-Anglican in nature, formed especially for this purpose?

(9) How can the new Seminary of the Caribbean be particularly useful in training clergy for this type of pioneer, missionary work?

(10) How and to what extent should the Anglican Church work in cooperation with other Christian Churches and with ecumenical and inter-denominational agencies in the planning and conduct of its overseas missions? What relationship between missions and Church unity is appropriate in view of membership in the World Council of Churches?

Such study as the Committee has been able to undertake inclines it to give a partial answer to some of the above questions. It is recommended that in any new work begun in a new country there should be a team of workers headed by a bishop. It should represent two or more Churches of the Anglican Communion, with contributions of personnel and money being made not only by the Church in the United States but by other branches as well, particularly the Church in Canada. This will involve complicated problems of finance and responsibility, together with the question of the bishop's membership in some appropriate House of Bishops, and that of how the clergy and lay workers are to be related to the churches at home.

3. AFRICA

Perhaps nowhere in the free world in the past decade and even in the past year have such far-reaching changes taken place as in the continent of Africa. Formerly it was almost entirely the colonial preserve of European powers (except in Ethiopia and Liberia). Now most of the countries of Africa have become independent, or have achieved dominion, commonwealth or community status. Nationalism is in the ascendency and in many parts of the continent European settlers and the indigenous populations are in active conflict. The situation is further complicated by Communist activity, by demands for local autonomy, by the doctrine of apartheid, by the rapid transition from a primitive to a modern industrial society, and by the resurgence of Islam and other religions.

In all this vast continent, the American Episcopal Church has one small mission commitment, Liberia. This still appears to be administered much as it has been for the past century, with an American bishop and with little relationship to the rest of the Anglican Communion, especially the Church of the Province of West Africa, within whose geographical bounds it is located.

The record of the Missionary District of Liberia in recent years, under the splendid leadership of Bishop Harris, is praiseworthy. It is no reflection on these achievements to say that the Church should study carefully the relation of the Liberian mission to the autonomous Anglican Churches of Africa.
The Committee therefore recommends that the Church consider seriously and at an early date the possibility of including Liberia in the Province of West Africa, in the light of the advantages and despite difficulties involved thereby.

The recent election of an American as bishop of an African diocese (Damaraland) is a hopeful sign of new relationships.

Without relinquishing its primary responsibility in Liberia, the Episcopal Church should also have a more significant and growing share in the work of the other Churches on the African continent, even in the greatly troubled Union of South Africa, where the Anglican Church stands firmly in its witness for the rights and dignity of the black and colored populations. Therefore financial aid and personnel should be increasingly offered to other provinces in Africa. As noted previously in this report, the Committee is conscious of the practical problems involved.

Some American dioceses have recently assumed a special interest in Anglican dioceses or provinces in Africa. The Committee commends this interest to other American dioceses and to the general Church. Such relationships strengthen the sense of involvement of the Church at home in the world-wide missionary enterprise.

4. THE PACIFIC AREA

Since World War II, the United States has been intimately involved in all the problems of the Pacific area, and the General Convention of 1955 in Honolulu recognized the special responsibility of the American Episcopal Church in this area. This responsibility, as related to that of other Anglican churches in the Pacific area and the Philippine Independent Church, should be made the subject of examination so that the Episcopal Church may revise where necessary its missionary policy in this part of the world.

(a) Hawaii and Alaska. Now that Hawaii and Alaska have achieved statehood and can be expected to enter upon new stages of development, the Committee recommends that both of these jurisdictions should in the near future be transferred to the Home Department and administered as part of the work of the Church in the United States. It is recognized, of course, that certain problems of administrative policy, arising partly from the geographical location of these states, must be solved before this change can be made.

The Missionary District of Honolulu has made significant progress toward diocesan status, and in this it should be given every encouragement. While Alaska will doubtless continue as a missionary district for many years to come, steps should be taken here also to encourage a greater measure of autonomy and self-support. The most northerly dioceses of Canada have considerable experience in work among Eskimos and Indians, and the Episcopal Church in Alaska would benefit greatly from closer liaison with these jurisdictions.

(b) Taiwan and Okinawa. Taiwan has now been organized as a Missionary district. The work in Okinawa and certain other Pacific areas has been designated as part of the jurisdiction of the Presiding Bishop, administered for the time being by the Bishop of Honolulu. This is a step toward giving these areas the status of missionary dioceses, in which it is hoped that more than one branch of the Anglican Communion will be
interested. Real progress is being made in this direction. It should however, be recognized that whatever the ultimate disposition of these areas it will be necessary for many years to come for the Church in the United States to continue and intensify its aid in men, money and other missionary assistance.

(c) Japan. The Japanese Church, now organized as a separate autonomous Church with its own central headquarters, House of Bishops, and diocesan organizations, serves as a pattern which should be followed in other overseas jurisdictions. Nevertheless, in Japan as elsewhere, the need for continuing interest and support from the older and stronger Anglican churches will continue for a long time.

(d) The Philippines. In the Philippine Islands there exists a situation unique in the Anglican Communion—the work of a missionary district of our Church side by side and in close cooperation with a national Church, the Philippine Independent Church. This interesting and fruitful experience should be broadened and deepened. We look forward hopefully toward early intercommunion with this Church, and the eventual establishment in the Philippines of a single province or national Church which will bring together in one Communion and fellowship the values of Anglicanism and of the independent Catholicism of the Philippine Church.

The Church in the Philippines should be even more closely linked with the Anglican dioceses in the Far East. It is desirable that in time both our Missionary District and the Independent Church should be integral elements in a strong autonomous national Church, with its own House of Bishops and regional organization, in full and active communion with Anglican dioceses in other areas.

(e) The Chinese Dispersion. The Episcopal Church and the other Churches of the Anglican Communion have special responsibility for evangelism among some eight million Chinese outside of Communist China. It is recommended that the National Council give continuing consideration to missionary work among the Chinese in Southeast Asia and the Pacific area, with special emphasis upon recruiting and training Chinese and Chinese-speaking Americans as clerical and lay missionaries.

The Chinese of the Dispersion constitute a unique opportunity. Not only do they need, like all human beings, the Gospel and the ministrations of the Church, but there is hope that some day many of them may be able to return home and be a Christian influence there.

Although continental China is closed to missionary and ecclesiastical, as well as to political and social contacts, the Church in China should be constantly remembered in prayer, with thanksgiving for its faithfulness in adversity, and in recognition of the fact that no power on earth can sever our spiritual link with them. The Church should also follow the example of certain other churches by making definite plans for a return to China in strength when this shall become possible, and train leaders and specialists specifically for this purpose.

IX. RECOMMENDED ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES

It is apparent that if the Church adopts the findings and suggestions of the Committee of Conference on Overseas Missions, this must ultimately be reflected in the administrative structure which the Church provides for the purpose of pursuing its mission abroad.
There must first be understanding of the role of the National Council in the life of the whole Church. At the present moment, that role is seen as the determination of strategy and policy under the mandates of General Convention and within the bounds of the Program and Budget adopted by the Convention. The Departments are the instruments of the Council to carry out policy and strategy.

The principal purpose of the proposed Permanent Advisory Council is, however, to provide the Presiding Bishop and through him the General Convention and National Council with the information, studies and recommendations necessary for basic policy decisions and long-term planning. This is essential if General Convention, the National Council, and the various dioceses are to move forward effectively and in unity to meet a rapidly changing situation.

Administrative changes will also be necessary for the fulfillment of these purposes.

A study of the Overseas Department suggests that several functions provided for in its structure should be expanded:

1. Recruitment and Personnel: That is, the function of recruiting overseas missionaries, and (in cooperation with the missionary bishop), continuing a relationship with them after they have gone into the field.

2. Logistics: That is, arranging for the transportation of personnel and materials to and from the field.

3. Pan-Anglican Relations: Regular communication and planning with other Provinces, Bishops, and the Anglican Advisory Council. The happy coincidence which finds Bishop Bayne not only the Secretary of the Anglican Advisory Council, but also an elected member of the National Council as well as a member of the Overseas Department, provides for thorough communication and liaison during the next several years. Continuing liaison of this nature should be carefully provided in Council or departmental structure.

Other functions should be added, in particular the following:

1. Administration: The Committee recommends that the National Council consider the desirability of appointing a secretary or regional assistant specifically for the work in Latin America. Such a person should be thoroughly familiar with the field and its cultures and fluent in the Spanish language. It is recommended that this policy be followed later in other fields, but is well to begin with Latin America because of the size of the present operation and the probability that it will be expanded.

2. Theological Education and Training:

(a) Preparatory: The Committee recommends that an officer of the Overseas Department be assigned to provide, for newly-appointed missionaries and newly-elected missionary bishops, adequate orientation to their administration functions and to the political, economic, cultural and linguistic peculiarities of their jurisdictions.

(b) In-service Training: The Committee recommends that an officer of the Department be assigned to supervise the work of providing new insights and "refresher" courses for missionaries, both Americans and na-
tionals of other countries, by means of conferences or laboratories in the field, or by scholarships to training institutes during furloughs.

(c) Overseas Theological Education: The Committee, in concurrence with the Joint Commission on Theological Education, recommends that an experienced officer be appointed to maintain liaison with and to advise the overseas seminaries and schools that prepare nationals of other countries for ordination.

3. Inter-Departmental Services to the Fields: The Committee recommends that an officer of the Department be assigned the duties (a) to see that the missionaries in the field are introduced to new skills and kept supplied with materials in Christian Education, Christian Social Relations, and other fields, as needed; and (b) to relate the needs of the field to these Departments in such a way that intelligent planning and cooperation can take place.

4. Relations to other parts of the Episcopal Church: The Committee recommends that an officer of the Department be assigned the duties (a) to maintain two-way communication with the Office of the Presiding Bishop and with the Committee on Overseas Missions of the House of Bishops; and (b) to maintain two-way communication with appropriate committees and commissions of the General Convention, for example:

- Joint Commission on Cooperation with the Eastern Churches
- Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations
- Joint Commission on Evangelism
- Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs
- Joint Commission to Survey the Problems of Missionary Work in Industrial Areas
- Standing Liturgical Commission
- Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System
- Joint Commission on the Work of Deaconesses
- Joint Commission on Theological Education
- Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity
- Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers

5. Ecumenical Relations: The Committee recommends that an officer of the Department be assigned to promote understanding of the relation between unity and mission, and to promote participation and leadership in this area in overseas fields.

6. Communication and Education: The Committee recommends expansion of the functions and responsibilities of the officer now assigned to promote the missionary cause throughout the Church and develop the companion diocese program, it being understood that he will make use of all possible facilities, including the skills and materials of the Departments of Christian Education and Promotion. Published materials on the several mission fields should be radically revised and brought up to date.
X. THE EDUCATION OF ALL CHURCH PEOPLE

Changes in policy and administrative structure are essential, but constitute only part of what is required. The Church as a whole must ready itself for the work that lies ahead. This requires education of the clergy and of all members of the Church, and particularly the improvement of training for persons who go overseas.

The missionary personnel of the Church can be divided, for purposes of convenience, into six groups:

(a) Lay people at home
(b) American laymen overseas
(c) Lay members of the missions overseas
(d) Clergy at home
(e) Missionary clergy
(f) "National" clergy, i.e. citizens of other nations who have been ordained and are working in missions overseas.

A good program of education must deal with the responsibilities and aptitudes of all six groups. The Church's missionary enterprise cannot be serious and effective unless the Church as a whole understands that all who are part of the Christian Church are in fact missionaries, whether at home or abroad, whether clergy or laity.

Behind the educational problem lies a wider issue which affects the missionary outreach and must be the Church's concern. This is the question of educating the American public at large to an understanding of the new world in which we live—a world in which already one per cent of the American population works overseas and the United States exercises an extraordinary degree of influence in matters affecting the destiny of every other people on earth. The parochialism of American church life exists side by side with an insularism in American national life which is perhaps unmatched by any other modern nation.

In these circumstances the Church should use its every facility to stimulate the internationalization of American education at every level—through studies of non-western cultures, more foreign language requirements, and more comparative study of political systems, economic development, cultural and religious heritage, and social change. It is wise to encourage the growing practice by which students spend a summer, a semester, or a year overseas, as part of their regular educational program in high school and college.

The thinking of people in the parishes is conditioned by what they learn in private and public colleges and universities. A lively interest in fostering international spirit in the educational system as a whole is therefore not peripheral but central to the interests of the Church.

The Church can begin by realizing that this is a spiritual problem. Public and private prayer should always include intercessions for the world and its unity as well as for the Church's mission and unity. In addition, clergy and people should form the habit of regular prayer for the separate missions and the clergy serving therein. The Calendar of Prayer for Missions might well be used for this purpose, and it is desirable that brief information about people and places, as a means of personalized
reference, be made available for regular use. It is suggested further that the Calendar of Prayer for Missions might be combined with other printed church calendars and such publications as *Forward Day by Day*.

A beginning has already been made in the education of all people of the Church through plans for the "personalization" of missions. This can take various forms. The following are recommended:

1. **Direct relationships between parishes or dioceses and specific missions or missionaries:** Encouragement should be given to the practice of relating parishes or dioceses to mission stations or individual missionaries abroad. Such arrangements need not be limited to American missions overseas, but might be extended also to other missions of the Anglican Communion. Specifically:

   (a) **Adoption of Missions or Missionaries by Parishes:**
   This plan, which has been initiated by the Overseas Department, could be considerably expanded by including national clergy. Because of the limited number of overseas missionary locations, however, some limit should be set on the number of congregations adopting any single mission or missionary.

   (b) **The Adoption of Missionary Districts by Dioceses:**
   This has been approved by the National Council and is outlined in the mimeographed "Suggested Blueprint for Starting Companion Diocese Program."

   (c) **Internships and Lengthy Visits:**
   As part of the "personalization" program, two-way communication between overseas missions and parishes, or dioceses, in the United States could be enlarged by

   i. Internships and summer programs in non-pastoral missionary work of the kind now provided in Alaska, for young people regardless of their intention to become missionaries or clergymen at home; and

   ii. The development of opportunities for adults to spend a year or two, or even a three year term, abroad, with an overseas mission.

2. **Speakers:** More effective use of speakers is an obvious way to increase and improve communication between the Church at home and the work in the mission field. At present the demand for missionary speakers exceeds the supply. The furlough of effective speakers should be extended. Lay people, who have a knowledge of our Church overseas, and foreign students resident here, should be used as speakers. Adequate funds and time should be made available, and all speakers should recognize their responsibility to, and be coordinated by, the Speakers Division of the National Council.

3. **Liaison Between Institutions:** Seminaries, Church colleges, schools, libraries and institutions with similar interests and representatives at home and abroad should be linked together for mutual strengthening and understanding. For example, each of the seminaries might engage in direct and continuous communication with an overseas seminary. Similar relationships between other cultural institutions could doubtless be devised.
4. Correspondence between Young People of the Same Age: A "pen-pal" system for young people has been requested by the Overseas Mission Society. Some simple device of this nature would afford an opportunity for American boys and girls to keep in touch with Christians of their own age group in other lands.

5. Annual Missionary Emphasis Month: This would take advance planning and cooperation on the part of Overseas Jurisdictions and many dioceses at home. Properly planned this could be one month each year when speakers from every overseas jurisdiction would be scheduled in a concentrated itinerary. Use of film, filmstrips, radio and television could aid in this effort; special issues of diocesan magazines, exhibits and other media could be coordinated into a major, month-long impact.

6. Expanded Publicity in all Areas of Communication: A missionary publicity program that is realistic, lively and interesting must be developed under the leadership of National Council. This will include the use of The Episcopalian, all types of printed literature, material released to the diocesan press, films, filmstrips, slides, photos, visual aids, radio, television, drama, exhibits, and every other means by which the urgency of missions can be made known to large numbers of people within and without the church.

7. Special Projects: All dioceses and many parishes are in a position to give extra help to a missionary diocese or a mission. Such help should of course be undertaken only after the proportionate share in the Church's program has been fulfilled.

(a) A diocesan missionary project each year is recommended as a symbol of Christian concern. Capital needs in every mission field are numerous and a great variety of appealing projects is available. Any special diocesan project should be channeled through National Council or be sent with National Council's approval.

(b) Opportunities should be afforded to parishes and individuals to share in Special Projects which have been submitted by Missionary Districts, with the approval of the diocesan bishop. These should be sent through the Overseas Department of the National Council, but may be forwarded directly if proper records are maintained by diocesan missions committees reporting to the Overseas Department of National Council.

(c) The method to be used for raising funds for diocesan or parochial special projects must be determined locally.

8. Emphasis on Overseas Missions in Every Diocese: It is recommended that "Personalization of Missions" be the topic of diocesan clergy and lay conferences. Diocesan mission committees also should formulate programs regarding overseas missions in order to aid and promote missionary activity and educate church people as to the scope and importance of the missionary undertaking. Persons who have returned from overseas should be enlisted to give first-hand information regarding the Church's work. A program of missionary education stemming from National Council would also stimulate and strengthen any similar undertaking on a diocesan level.

9. Consultations: In connection with the recommendation previously made for a Permanent Advisory Council of Evaluation and Strategy, a formal review of mission strategy might well include formal consultations
with Episcopal laymen in American Churches abroad, and perhaps even in some of the Union Churches and Anglican parishes where no Episcopal Church directly serves the overseas Americans. At the same time, the policy review might seek out in each diocese those Americans who have lived and worked abroad, and build around them a conference of Church members as a true body of consultation on policy (i.e., an activity not connected with fund raising). This would serve to dramatize both (a) the fact that not only "missionaries" but also other Christians among the overseas Americans have a responsibility defined in and justified by the Gospel; and (b) the doctrine that the distinction between our domestic and overseas concerns is becoming (happily) more difficult to maintain.

XI. CHURCHMEN WHO GO OVERSEAS

In the first Christian centuries, many churches were founded, not by apostles sent out for this specific purpose, but by laymen who as they travelled and settled in new homes brought their religion with them. The informal activity of concerned persons has in all ages been an important factor in the spread of Christianity.

Now more than one and a half million Americans live abroad, and many others travel outside the United States for business and pleasure. Of these a very large proportion are communicants of the Episcopal Church. The education of these people to shoulder their responsibilities as missionaries is an opportunity that the Church must not neglect.

The primary "training" for overseas Christians is their prior life as Christians at home. The local parish is a *sine qua non* of our missionary thrust. Here all missionary personnel can be illuminated by faith, and instructed in the knowledge of the faith that enlightens them. Here they should learn that their basic function as Christians is to proclaim their Christian faith, always, by what they are, and, where appropriate, by what they say.

On the other hand, the very challenge of working and living overseas create human needs and provides opportunities for insight which can make explicit in Americans the faith which theretofore has remained implicit. God frequently makes Himself known to people through their life and work away from home, as He does through other deep experiences in human life. For this reason, there are some special efforts not now being made, that, in our judgment, should be made, in order that overseas personnel may be made aware of this dimension of their overseas experience.

In the training of lay people for witness overseas, a sound balance should be preserved between the denominational and inter-denominational approaches, determining which projects are better suited to each approach.

The following recommendations are made:

1. As all Christians are *ipsa facto* missionaries, all Christians overseas are, therefore, foreign missionaries. This theological fact might well be recognized by the public commissioning as missionaries of laity going overseas. It might, for example, be appropriate for the Presiding Bishop to write a letter which sets forth, tersely and convincingly, the fact that our people represent the Christian enterprise when they go overseas.
2. "Briefing centers" should be established to prepare Americans going overseas, whether on a professional basis or as tourists, for their Christian life abroad. On return their experiences should be reported to these centers. This would help to accumulate an understanding of the opportunities and difficulties which Americans encounter in representing the Church overseas. The first of such centers, to be established under the aegis of the Episcopal Church, known as Laymen International, has been organized in Washington, D.C. by the Overseas Missionary Society. The United Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. is currently establishing a similar service. Interdenominational efforts of this sort might be peculiarly fruitful. Much more exploration and experimentation could be done on a joint basis, and official encouragement to such enterprises would speed the process.

3. Tourists go abroad from nearly every parish in the country. Some of them naturally seek guidance from their clergymen who are often unable to provide the necessary information and orientation. The National Church has now issued a register of American Episcopal, Anglican and other churches in Europe. It should develop other types of assistance to local clergymen in preparing members of their congregations to serve as "goodwill ambassadors" not only for the United States but also for the whole Christian Church. Delegations of laymen from the diocese of Southern Ohio and Michigan have recently visited missions overseas to see their work at first hand. Such visits can be very fruitful, particularly if the visitors are well prepared beforehand, can devote sufficient time to the project, and the bishop overseas has been notified early enough so that he can provide information and help.

4. A special effort might well be made through the churches overseas to provide help for wives of Americans employed or stationed abroad in adjusting to life in the other country. The parishes should help acquaint women with the problems to be faced and show them how other women have successfully overcome them. Since the agencies that hire men to work overseas have not dealt vigorously with this aspect of human relations, there is a special opportunity to do it through the women's organizations in the Church.

5. In February, 1960, the Atlantic City "Consulation on Christian Laymen Overseas," under the sponsorship of the National Council of Churches, explored many aspects of the life and work of Christians abroad.

It was noted that several types of literature are urgently needed:

(a) Pamphlets and other materials dealing with the motivation for lay witness and for intelligent, respectful attitudes toward other religions.

(b) Articles interpreting the life of Christian laymen overseas, to be published in national magazines.

(c) A basic study book on Christian laymen overseas.

The Church might well sponsor research on this whole question. A stimulating analogy is found in the "graduate scholar program" recently established by the Church Society for College Work. This program gives modest scholarships to selected graduate students in American universities for the purpose of having them explore the question of what it means to have a lay ministry on a university campus, when one is pursuing a gradu-
ate degree in such a field as Chemistry. Through a modest investment in such a program over a few years the Church would no doubt be able to discover the direction in which it should move for the training of laity who go overseas.

6. The Department of Christian Education in June, 1960 sent out questionnaires to obtain information regarding laymen going overseas. In addition, it might be very valuable to compile a roster of lay persons with special ability in speaking and writing foreign languages.

XII. THE EDUCATION OF ALL CLERGY

In recent years the Episcopal Church has gradually become more aware of the importance of theological education. The proper recruitment, selection and education of clergy is basic to the success of every aspect of the Church's work. As the Church prepares to improve the quality and training of its ordained personnel and give it adequate support, the bishops, theological seminaries, and all others who have a share in this process should begin with a clear realization of the clergyman's function. He is not ordained merely to serve a particular parish, diocese or national church. He is involved in the Church's mission as a whole.

The distinction between what are "domestic" concerns and what are "foreign" is increasingly blurred throughout our society, for this is the era in which the characteristics form of international relations is the deep involvement of whole nations in the internal affairs of one another.

Just as in the Federal Government every department and agency now has a "foreign affairs" function as well as a "domestic" one, so in the Church the pervasive interdependence of the nations reflects itself in the gradual disappearance of the line between the Church's life at home and abroad. Yet in the Episcopal Church (and indeed in the Protestant mission effort as a whole) a very sharp distinction is still made between the domestic administration of the National Church and the special responsibilities of the Overseas Department.

It is fair to say that the situation is reflected in theological education. Despite the manifest progress made by the seminaries in giving better training to the clergy, the courses are too-exclusively oriented toward a lifetime ministry inside the United States. Thus the Overseas Department is too-often considered a distinct enterprise rather than an integral part of the Church for whose service the ordained is prepared.

1. It is recommended that the seminary courses be strengthened to provide better knowledge of missionary work throughout the world, with specific attention to the extent of Anglican missionary effort, together with the limitations and difficulties which that effort now encounters. The student should be made aware of the cultural and ideological variety and conflict now present in the world, the rapidity of social change and the relevance of the Gospel and the Christian Church to these issues. The entire curriculum should make clear the oneness of the world and the Church's mission.

2. Recruitment should not be confined to seminary channels. In other fields of endeavor, according to recent studies, young Americans usually decide on service overseas seven or eight years after they have chosen their vocations. Many clergy who are five or ten years out of seminary,
might be interested in overseas service for a period of time or a permanent career if the opportunity were presented to them in an effective, persuasive and informative way. Clergy with successful parish experience at home would be of particular value overseas.

3. A program for “domestic” clergy, similar to university systems of sabbatical leave, enabling a priest to take a single tour of duty in overseas missions rather than a permanent appointment, is greatly to be desired. This would require careful planning and the selection of special areas in order to make use of the clergyman’s talents and experiences without interfering with existing work. As a result many more clergy would have first-hand acquaintance with overseas work. It is suggested that at least one clergyman from each diocese every year should have this opportunity. If each diocese were to provide the funds for this, it would enlist the interest and activity of the diocese and give immediate purpose and responsibility to its efforts on behalf of the overseas area.

4. Other opportunities should be made available to clergymen who demonstrate some interest in international affairs. A summer or a half-year abroad, an exchange visit for a few months or a year, or even attendance at such conclaves as the Outgoing Missionaries’ Conference would help to arouse an informed interest in overseas missions.

5. Overseas missionaries should be rotated home for periods long enough to enable them to make an impact on specific parishes. In parishes where several clergy serve on the staff, the inclusion of an overseas missionary would be helpful both to him and to the parish.

6. It should be possible for at least some seminary students to spend a year either in an overseas seminary or in clinical service on the staff of a mission, in preparation for ordination. Not only would such an experience create greater interest in the missionary effort, but it is an essential part of theological education that the student should have direct knowledge and understanding of the accelerating pace of social change in other parts of the world and the deep involvement of both the United States and Christ’s Church in it.

XIII. THE TRAINING OF MISSIONARIES

Clergy and laymen who give full time service in overseas fields need specific training for their tasks. While this was always true, it is even more evident at the present time. The Episcopal Church has never had a thorough going method of training, coordinated with other parts of its educational system, and should move without delay in this direction.

1. The Kind of Personnel Needed

During the last few years, considerable effort has been devoted in many places to study of the behavior of Americans abroad, and the types of education they should receive before they go. Some recent findings, summarized from the Syracuse study on The Overseas Americans, are a useful background in planning the training of those who go overseas, particularly the missionary clergy.

In simplified form, these findings are two:

---

First, the international relations of the United States increasingly have an impact on the internal affairs of other nations. The work of an American who has a responsible overseas position has a deep effect on foreign societies from the inside.

To be specific, the majority of government officials abroad are operators and institution builders rather than reporters and negotiators. Even the diplomatic service, in choosing ambassadors and senior political officers, now finds that executive ability is increasingly at a premium. The largest and most effective American business firms find that active participation in other nations' programs of economic development and a close eye on changes in political power are necessary, not simply as matters of public relations but for business survival as well. Similarly the missionaries find themselves building and helping to administer institutions inside alien cultures rather than transplanting a Western Christianity to the rest of the world.

And whatever her husband's line of work, the American wife must not only adjust to very different housing, health conditions, and educational facilities for the children, but finds that her social life is more a part of her husband's job than it would normally be at home.

Second, certain qualities of mind and spirit seem to be particularly associated with effective performance in an overseas assignment. These elements of "success" are generally applicable to Americans in responsible positions abroad regardless of the kind of work the American is doing or in what foreign country he is doing it.

Recent research has pointed to five elements of effective overseas performance:

*Technical skill*: the versatility and willingness to improvise that requires both a thorough knowledge of one's own specialty, and the attitude toward it of a general practitioner rather than a narrow specialist.

*Belief in mission*: a dedication to one's vocation regardless of geography that enables a man to survive repeated frustrations and still retain a zest for the work.

*Cultural empathy*: the curiosity to study and the skill to perceive the inner logic and coherence of the other person's way of thinking; and the restraint not to judge it as bad or inferior just because it is different from one's own way.

*A sense for politics*: the sensitivity to realize that the action or inaction of one who holds a responsible position abroad has an effect on the power structure around him; the ability to relax despite being conspicuously the object of curiosity, envy, or opprobrium; and the understanding of one's own civilization that qualifies a man to represent not only himself but the culture and society from which he comes.

*Organization ability*: a tolerance for large-scale organization and an understanding of complicated headquarters-field relationships; and a special talent for building social institutions and teaching others to manage them.
2. Present Training Methods

What these findings mean for the training of missionaries as such is plain. Just as engineers abroad discover that engineering is only a small part of their necessary training, so for missionaries the exposure to the study of comparative cultures, comparative political and administrative systems, intensive language training, and a deep appreciation of religious heritages other than the Christian, are all essential to effective performance in overseas missions. Since most missionaries are the products of theological seminaries, considerable attention has been paid to developing their professional skill as theologians, preachers, and pastors. In the three brief years spent at seminary it is difficult for the prospective missionary to undertake the comparative study of foreign cultures and achieve the linguistic skills which are increasingly recognized by mission boards as necessary parts of the missionary's preparation. It is even less likely that the student's college preparation will include training in the analysis of political power, the building of social institutions, and the understanding of the nature of administrative process. Nevertheless, it is possible for the seminaries to strengthen their curricula and to provide some specific training for future overseas workers, without reducing instruction in the essential theological disciplines.

The Episcopal Church, like nearly all other missionary organizations, has not yet made adequate use of a significant opportunity for training which has recently become available. It is now possible for prospective overseas personnel to be associated with trainees from other lines of activity—business and government people, for example—in university area and language programs and in university courses designed to illustrate the common factors in overseas life and work. Such courses of study are still very scarce; but it would be well for our Church to be in the forefront of those promoting joint efforts with other organizations for this purpose. With fewer than 250 overseas missionaries, the Episcopal Church by itself can hardly mount the broad training program which the studies referred to above show to be desirable.

It should also be noted that several interdenominational seminaries offer advanced work in preparation for overseas service. This work often takes into account the factors previously mentioned, and includes courses in the religious and cultural life contemporary problems of a number of areas. In many instances it is possible for an ordinand or missionary on furlough to combine work in an Episcopal seminary with studies in an interdenominational seminary or university. Such facilities are available, for example, in New York, Cambridge, Mass., Hartford and New Haven, Conn., Washington, D.C., Chicago, and Berkeley, Calif.

The Overseas Department seems now to be doing well what it can do within the serious limitations of prevailing concepts and existing resources. But it is clear that concepts and resources are alike inadequate to the task before us.

Some forty new missionaries are sent abroad each year by the Episcopal Church. This is, of course, not a sufficient number to justify a full-scale training establishment. The present policy is therefore to place the main responsibility for missionary training on the Missionary Bishops, and consider that the first three years in the field are a probationary period in which the main emphasis is on training. The Committee's observation is that the need for field workers is so acute—because of the lack of both
funds and personnel—that in most fields this training period is more a "manner of speaking" than a carefully-planned series of educational experiences.

Prior to his departure, the prospective missionary in practically every case has had professional training for the general work of the ministry and will at least start with a relatively high "belief in missions." But his formal education and any professional experience in a domestic parish has oriented him to United States domestic practices. As things now stand, the primary training available to all outgoing missionaries is the ten-day Outgoing Missionaries Conference held at Seabury House in June of each year. The content is excellently planned and the lectures are well prepared and effective.

The most impressive training program which has come to our attention is the Overseas Summer Training Program, which each year takes 24 out of the Episcopal Church's 1,200 seminary students abroad for an intensive experience with an overseas mission. But even more opportunities ought to be created for seminarians to be exposed, as a regular part of their work at the seminaries, to the problems of theology, cross-cultural communication, politics and institutions which condition the Church's evangelistic and social service efforts outside of the United States.

Some consideration has been given to the use of furlough periods for advanced training. Such training is given only at the recommendation of the Missionary Bishops, however, and at present only $6,000 is available for this purpose out of the worldwide overseas budget.

In the present training picture the largest emphasis is on provision in the field for language instruction. Of the forty outgoing missionaries, usually only about four or five have received relevant language training before they leave; but it is the observation of the Overseas Department that most of them do learn on the field the languages in which they have to work abroad. In the case of perhaps 100 of our 240 overseas missionaries, their primary ministry is in the English language; but it is nevertheless important for a missionary to be able to deal effectively with the surrounding population in its own tongue, especially in the Spanish-speaking countries. The learning of minor languages and local dialects should be encouraged, and a missionary who has completed a course in Ethno-linguistics before going overseas will be better prepared for such studies.

The survey of training just made indicates this summary: The problem of relevant language proficiency is (with some exceptions) tackled with vigor, mostly in the field; professional competence is developed on a U.S.-oriented basis; and there are only a few days at Seabury House in which an attempt can be made to relate the professional skills of the missionaries (whether in theology or in some technical field) to the overseas or cross-cultural situation in which the missionary will work. Beyond this, and the limited program available in a few of the seminaries, there is virtually no training for cultural empathy, for an awareness to political realities, or for the development of organizational ability or institution-building talent. Not only is relatively little done about this in the period before departure, but there is very little systematic training in these categories on the mission field themselves; and very few missionaries spend part of their furlough period in any formal training program. There are doubtless exceptions to these generalizations which would be revealed by more intensive study; but they appear to be few.
One difficulty is that the training and professional development of the individual missionary is not yet regarded as a continuing problem, from the moment he is identified as a prospective overseas worker to the day when he retires from overseas missionary work. The experience of professional development programs in government and business proves that continuing and advanced training will not be given the priority in time and budget necessary for its effectiveness unless the organization adopts a training policy and method and enforces them.

The ability to work and live effectively in a very small group of religiously-committed Americans, who may differ from him in personality, in theological beliefs, and in habits of living, is an important element in the success of a missionary. In numerous places it has been observed that one of the most serious frustrations of our missionary effort arises from the difficulties and tensions in personal relations among colleagues engaged in the same effort. These naturally effect the missionary's relations, not merely with co-workers, but with the people whom he attempts to serve.

This is understandable for three reasons: (1) Missionaries have reasonably strong religious motivations or they would not go overseas. It is difficult to feel that one is responding to God's Will without also feeling that the manner of the response is God's Way. Defensiveness concerning one's work is common to all people, but lack of objectivity is particularly debilitating in missionary work. (2) The shifting world scene has raised so many questions about the work of the missionary that it is exceedingly difficult for him to establish a clear role for himself. This uncertainty can give rise to anxiety which is not conducive to equable personal relationships. (3) The overseas missionary, by being away from home, is deprived of many of the normal sources of security, prestige and status.

One who is seriously preparing for overseas work should face these facts realistically and prepare himself by the kind of training and experience which are conducive to insight. This would seem another fruitful area for inter-denominational efforts.

3. PROPOSED NEW TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR OVERSEAS PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends a complete change in present methods of training and assignment as follows, realizing that because of the radical nature of this recommendation time will be required to effect it:

A. Men elected to serve as Bishops of overseas Missionary Dioceses should be given a period of training of not less than one year.

(1) The trainee should be in residence at a seminary selected for this purpose and registered as a graduate student in a university in the area. The seminary and university should be selected on the basis that they are institutions most likely to offer the best training for the area to which the Bishop-elect is to be assigned.

(2) Seminaries and their adjacent universities where this dual training can be most easily secured are as follows:

   a. Episcopal Theological School (Harvard and Boston Universities).

   b. Berkeley Divinity School (Yale University).
c. Church Divinity School of the Pacific (University of California).

d. General Theological Seminary (Columbia, New York University, and others).

e. Seabury-Western Theological Seminary (Northwestern University, University of Chicago, Roosevelt University).

f. Protestant Episcopal Theological Seminary, Virginia (George Washington, American, Howard, and Georgetown Universities).

g. Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Southwest (University of Texas).

(3) Training should include:

a. Theology and Comparative Religion, including:
   i. Special work in the great world religions which affect a given area.
   ii. Training in tribal cult religions.

b. History of the Missionary Diocese and political area. Consideration of the successes and failures of the Episcopal or Anglican Church in this Diocese.

c. Language and Ethno-linguistics, including study of non-literate languages in the area.

d. Training in all aspects of the culture or cultures of the Diocesan area, including:
   i. Careful study of the political aspect, and economic and social organization.
   ii. Study of the rapid social change factors operating in this area.

(4) During this period of training, the Bishop-elect might make one or more trips to the Diocese, if this is recommended.

(5) After assumption of office, the policy should call for a refresher course at the end of an adequate period in residence. This refresher period would allow the Bishop to visit schools or projects of special interest which might adapt themselves to work in his Diocese.

(6) It is further recommended that every effort be made by the Overseas Department to secure all definite studies made in the area of this Missionary Diocese (a) by the World Council of Churches' Division of Studies; (b) by universities, governmental agencies, United Nations' agencies and private foundations.

B. Clerical and lay personnel recruited for overseas assignments.

(1) Training should include the wives of married appointees.

(2) All should be given a period of training of not less than one year.
(3) The trainee should be in residence at a seminary selected for this purpose and as indicated in similar recommendations for the Bishops-elect.

(4) The training should include:

a. Theology and comparative religion, including tribal cult religions.

b. History of the Diocese.

c. Language study, including Ethno-linguistics and one non-literate language, if such a language is spoken in the Missionary Diocese to which this trainee has been pre-assigned.

d. Courses in culture and society, including all aspects of the culture and rapid social change.

e. Technical skills that will be of value to the given assignment; namely, fundamental education, community development, group activities, etc.

(5) At the conclusion of this year, a careful screening of the candidates should again be made before appointment.

(6) At the end of the first term in the field, the appointee should be given the opportunity for a period of graduate study in the field of special interest to the individual and his Bishop. The training should be directed to secure further skill in a program that will enhance the value of the appointee to his Missionary Diocese.

(7) Provision should be included in the budget for further graduate study on recommendation of the Bishop and the Overseas Department.

C. Recruiting and training of native men and women workers.

(1) Training should include courses in culture and personality, general courses in the total culture and rapid social change in the area.

(2) A program allowing for scholarships for selected students, preparing them for reassignment as trainers, replacing Americans in these positions.

D. Long-term planning and training conferences as part of the total program.

(1) This program should include selected overseas missions American and native clerical and lay church workers, meeting together with clergy and laymen working in the United States, especially men and women who may be serving on the proposed advisory Council.

(2) This program should set up study schedules to test out questions raised by each conference.
4. COOPERATION IN TRAINING PROGRAMS

Since the Episcopal Church does not now have a missionary effort large enough to justify the establishment of a major missionary training program for itself alone, it should cooperate with other communions in sponsoring joint activities to serve common purposes. It may be possible for Americans to serve internships in Anglican missions in Africa and elsewhere. But the greatest opportunity, and one in which the Episcopal Church should in our judgment wholeheartedly cooperate, is the development of a combined training program with some of the other American Communions for the purposes of developing the elements necessary for effective work overseas: technical skill, belief in mission, cultural empathy, a sense for politics, and organization ability.

The Presbyterians have already taken some new directions in missionary training. The Methodists, in a notable report on a future training program, recommend that in any event this program should "develop aspects of ecumenical encounter." A joint effort by several of the Churches in the National Council of Churches would be a major step both in the ecumenical movement and in the effectiveness of the overseas missions of the constituent Communions. It would also help to prepare the prospective missionary to work harmoniously with other people.

A first proposal along these lines is contained in "Plans for a New Cooperative Program of Missionary Orientation," National Council of Churches, December 9, 1959. This proposal does not give adequate attention to the need for cultural, political and institutional studies; it is nevertheless an important step in the right direction.

Language and area studies can be readily provided by existing academic institutions. What is missing is adequate training in the theological presuppositions of the Church's mission, in the general study of culture and linguistics, and in the political and institutional environment in which missions have to be carried on.

XIV. EDUCATION IN OVERSEAS SEMINARIES

The overseas work of the Episcopal Church will never be fully effective until it is able to raise up an indigenous ministry in the countries where it works. This has always been recognized and an attempt has been made to provide theological education abroad. At present seminaries or training schools are maintained in the Philippines (St. Andrew's Seminary, Manila, which also educates clergy for the Philippine Independent Church), in Mexico, in Haiti, in Brazil, and in Liberia. The new Seminary of the Caribbean, in Puerto Rico, appears to have been established on a sound basis. The Episcopal Church has also had some relation to the Central Theological College in Tokyo and the Theological Department of St. Paul's University. Many overseas ordinands have had some period of training in seminaries in the United States. The Episcopal Church in Cuba is a full partner in the work of the Union Seminary at Matanzas.

It has not been possible for the Committee to make a survey of theological education overseas, but it should be noted that the Joint Commission on Theological Education has begun such a study and is concerned with the problems. Missionary seminaries throughout the world are discussed fully by Yorke Allen, Jr. in an important book.*

The proposed Advisory Council on Evaluation and Strategy, if established, should work closely with the Joint Commission on Theological Education in studying the complicated problems of the overseas seminaries. This is vital if strong indigenous churches are to develop.

It is also recommended that attention be given to the problem of providing Christian literature for clergy and lay people in languages other than English. Here inter-denominational cooperation is both appropriate and necessary.

XV. CONCLUSION

This report is presented to the National Council in the conviction that its recommendations are in line with the spirit and methods of Anglicanism. In our religious fellowship the several Churches are independent. It is characteristic of them, however, to work, so far as may be, in cooperation with one another and with Christians of other Communions, and while preserving the Apostolic Faith witnessed to by the Holy Scriptures, to seek new methods and channels of communication.

The task laid upon the Episcopal Church at this moment by its Lord is to take its proper share of the leadership of the world-wide missionary effort. It can be accomplished only by fervent prayer, searching self-examination, and a readiness to use every legitimate means for the proclamation of the Gospel. Changes in policy, legislation, and administrative structure will be required, together with the fearlessness, the imagination, and the will to give whatever is needed in thought, time and money to accomplish God's work. This report is written in the hope that it will help to stimulate further thought and study, leading to prompt and decisive action.

The Episcopal Church undoubtedly can find all the resources it needs in talent, personnel and funds. As things now stand, the world is moving faster than the Episcopal Church. We cannot slow down the world, even if we would; but we can and must speed up the Church.
APPENDIX 34

A RESPONSE BY THE OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL TO CERTAIN RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON OVERSEAS MISSIONS

The Overseas Department has studied the Report of Committee of Conference on Overseas Missions of October 11, 1960. It finds the Report as a whole to be challenging and constructive, and it is grateful to the Committee of Conference for it.

With a great many other people, the members of the Department are moved and encouraged by the response which the Report has evoked. That the clear call to mission which the Report has given us has been met with such interest leads us to hope and to work that this interest will translate itself into a greater readiness on the part of the whole Church to spend itself, and its resources, and its members, gladly and sacrificially in the worldwide Mission of the Church and of our Lord.

The Report serves as a vital stimulus both to the Overseas Department and to the whole Church. Obviously, not all the recommendations can be accepted by the Overseas Department, the missionary bishops, and the Churches overseas, nor can they be put into effect immediately. But the members of the Committee, and of the Church, are entitled to know what response the Department is making to the Report.

This paper is the Overseas Department's response to the first eight recommendations as summarized in the Report, section II. These recommendations appear primarily to have reference to the Overseas Department. Recommendations 9 through 14 would seem to have equal, or greater, applicability to other Departments of the National Council and other agencies of the General Convention of the Church.

1.

That there be established a Permanent Advisory Council of Evaluation and Strategy on the Mission of the Church. This body should be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and should be advisory to him and through him to General Convention and to the National Council. This Advisory Council should be provided with a full-time executive and with adequate staff and budget independent of any existing department or division of the National Council.

At its December, 1960 meeting the National Council adopted these resolutions:

Resolved, That at the suggestion of the Overseas Department and Home Department the Council recommends:

1. That a committee, advisory to the President of the Council, on evaluation and strategy of the mission of the Church be appointed by the President from the members of the National Council and others.
2. That a staff be appointed which would report to the President of the Council and would serve this advisory committee.

3. That the Departments and General Divisions be urged to consider the development of a planning structure within each Department and General Division.

4. That funds for this purpose be included in the 1961 budget.

Subsequently, at its February, 1961 meeting, the President appointed the following persons to serve as members of the Advisory Committee on Evaluation and Strategy of the Mission of the Church:


Additional Members. The Very Rev. Almus Thorp, D.D., David L. Sills, Ph.D.

2. That the Episcopal Church make plans, and adopt the necessary constitutional and canonical legislation

a. To permit overseas missionary districts fully to participate in the life of existing and adjacent Anglican Provinces, for example, those of West Africa and the West Indies.

The ultimate aim of all missionary enterprise is the establishment of autonomous, regional Churches. The Department encourages overseas missionary districts to participate fully in the life of existing Anglican Provinces, at the same time hoping that the initiative in such matters may be taken by the missionary jurisdictions immediately concerned. It would encourage its overseas bishops to enter more fully into the life of adjacent Anglican Provinces, and would give assurance of continuing support and sympathetic consideration to any request for help.

It is of interest to know that the overseas bishops, meeting in Dallas, Texas, November 7, 1960, considered this matter at great length and adopted this statement in regard to it:

We, the Overseas Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, meeting in Dallas, Texas, November 1960:

1. Recognize that since this Church, a member Church of the Anglican Communion maintains overseas missionary endeavors and holds ecclesiastical jurisdiction in several areas throughout the world, it therefore stands together with other Anglican Churches in its concern for a commitment to the worldwide mission of Christ;

2. Note with admiration, gratitude, and commendation the great Christian witness and missionary zeal born by other Anglican Churches in the face of overwhelming obstacles for many years;
3. In the spirit of the Lambeth Conference of 1958, are eager to communicate and co-operate with other Anglican Churches, especially and particularly in the areas of the world where overseas jurisdictions of other Anglican Churches are adjacent and have a common interest, concern, and commitment;

4. Follow with great interest the life and work of existing and proposed provinces of the Anglican Communion;

5. Acknowledge that within its own overseas jurisdictions and younger Churches this Church is conscious of certain factors and influences which currently mark their present life, such as a growing nationalistic spirit, a present inability to assume financial self-support, the varied cultural and linguistic patterns, the differing political aspirations and systems, and existing and proposed relationships with non-Anglican Churches.

Therefore we declare that:

1. We are prepared at any time to enter into and are desirous of exploring and encouraging the formation of area Anglican councils composed of the bishops including assistant, coadjutor, and suffragan bishops, and wherever and whenever possible, clergy and laymen of the respective Anglican jurisdictions, for this conciliar activity has been used in the past and can now be used by God in His world at this time.

2. We, while commending and thankful for many of the accomplishments of the several provinces of the Anglican Communion and while appreciating invitations and overtures extended by some of the provinces—or proposed provinces—to missionary jurisdictions of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, maintain at this time that the present missionary jurisdictions of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America should not relinquish their present status for another responsibility.

The Department believes that the Missionary District of Liberia should ultimately become part of the Province of West Africa. At the Lambeth Conference of 1958, this matter was discussed by a group comprising the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Archbishop of West Africa, the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Liberia, and the Director of the Overseas Department. It is clear that there are difficulties to be faced before Liberia can be, or ought to be, included in the Church of the Province of West Africa. Questions of representation in the official councils of that Church, differences in canon law, and the mingling of various national and ecclesiastical traditions all present practical problems which need full discussion and agreement. The Church of the Province has, so far, been operating through an Episcopal Synod alone, which was the agreed first phase of its development. At present, discussions are beginning, looking toward a full constitution for the Province, and it is to be hoped that Liberia will be appropriately included in those discussions.

Further, the overseas bishops adopted this statement:

The Bishops of Cuba, Haiti (being absent), Panama Canal Zone, Puerto Rico, Central America, Mexico, and the Dominican Republic, meeting in Dallas, Texas, on November 7, 1960, gave a good deal of time to a discussion of the relationship that should exist between the missionary dioceses of this Church in the Caribbean area and the Church in the Province of the West Indies. Geographically these dioceses are near
neighbors. Spiritually there are close ties of fellowship between the dioceses which belong to the Province of the West Indies and those which belong to the Protestant Episcopal Church in the U.S.A. Happily modern transport and communication have broken down many barriers and enable the two groups to have an easier and more immediate communication with each other. The bishops agreed that they would respectfully request the Presiding Bishop to inform the Archbishop of the West Indies that the bishops serving in the American jurisdictions in the Caribbean would welcome the privilege of meeting from time to time with the bishops of the West Indies to strengthen the ties of Christian fellowship and to discuss matters of mutual interest and concern.

The Bishops of Cuba, Haiti (being absent), Panama Canal Zone, Puerto Rico, Central America, Mexico, Dominican Republic, meeting in Dallas, Texas, November 7, 1960, were agreed that it would be helpful to form a Council of the Church in the Caribbean, such Council to be made up of the bishops serving in those jurisdictions which are located in, or bordering on, the Caribbean, together with one presbyter and one layman from each jurisdiction, such Council to meet from time to time to discuss such matters as may be of common interest to the Church in the Caribbean area and to take such action as it may think appropriate to further the work of the Church.

The Bishops were agreed that the Council should meet in Detroit on Saturday, September 16, 1961. The Rt. Rev. David E. Richards, S.T.D., Missionary Bishop of Central America, was elected to serve as chairman of the Detroit meeting and was authorized and requested to appoint a secretary and set up an agenda for the meeting.

The Overseas Department believes that in moving toward provincial structure an intermediary stage of growth, marked by regional church councils, will prove valuable. Such conciliar development will permit dioceses of differing traditions to come to know each other and to move thoughtfully on their own toward closer provincial association. This has proved to be helpful in Southeast Asia. It is accordingly to be hoped that the above mentioned council of the American jurisdictions may be widened to include the dioceses of the Church of the Province of the West Indies.

b.

To permit participation in the formation of new provinces, specifically in Brazil and in the remainder of South America.

The Igreja Episcopal Brasileira has been encouraged by the Director of the Department to give serious thought and study to the proposal that it ask for independence as a new Province within the family of the Anglican Communion. What action the Church in Brazil may take in regard to this matter we cannot tell, but simply point out that it has been encouraged to assume a larger measure of responsibility for the administration and support of the work in Brazil.

Spanish Speaking South America. At the request of the Executive Officer of the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy, the National Council appointed the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone and the Director of the Overseas Department to make a survey of Anglican work in South America. This survey was made in the spring of 1960 and the report submitted to the National Council at its October, 1960 meeting. The report concluded with two recommendations; namely:
1. The Survey Team begs leave to suggest that the Church's task in South America be placed on the agenda of the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy to be held in connection with the meeting of the Anglican Congress to be held in Toronto in 1963. The Executive Officer will know best what preparation ought to be made for such a meeting, but the situation would seem to require the assignment to Churches and their missionary societies of new areas of responsibility in South America, a re-alignment of jurisdictions, the creating of new missionary dioceses, the consecrating of new missionary bishops, the appointment of additional missionary personnel, the establishment of an adequate program of enlistment and training of a national leadership, including a program of theological education, and any other steps the Advisory Council may think necessary and appropriate to insure that the work of the Anglican Communion in South America be measurably strengthened and extended.

2. Meanwhile, the Survey Team would suggest that it might be appropriate for the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Anglican Bishop in Argentina and Eastern South America with the Falkland Islands to discuss with the Presiding Bishop and the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone the advisability of transferring ecclesiastical jurisdiction in Ecuador from the Bishop of Argentina to the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone.

The Council received the report and took this action:

Resolved, That the first recommendation be referred to the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy.

Resolved, That the National Council approves the second recommendation, and respectfully suggests to the Presiding Bishop that he take whatever action he may deem wise in effecting the recommended transfer.

Subsequently, and as a result of negotiations between the Presiding Bishop and the Archbishop, jurisdiction in Ecuador was transferred from the Anglican Diocese of Argentina and Eastern South America with the Falkland Islands to this Church. The Presiding Bishop has asked the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone to serve as his substitute in caring for the work in that area.

The other recommendations have now been submitted to the Executive Officer in order that they may be studied and presented to the Advisory Council at its meeting to be held in Toronto in connection with the meeting of the Anglican Congress to be held in August, 1963. It is assumed that an overall plan for South America will be worked out at the Toronto conference. The Executive Officer has requested the General Division of Research and Field Study to assume responsibility for seeing that studies are made in South America to prepare for this meeting.

c.

To strengthen working relations with autonomous provinces, such as the Church in Japan, which already share American personnel and finances.

The Department has been strengthening the working relations with autonomous Provinces. The appointment of a representative of the Overseas Department to the Church in Japan has been of inestimable help to the Department in its effort to give assistance to Nippon Seikokai. As the studies made by the Executive Officer of the Advisory Council are imple-
mented, it is probable that such representatives will be appointed to serve in other Anglican Provinces. Happily, the Executive Officer serves, also, as a Member of the Overseas Department. Through him, and the visits of the Director, the Department is kept in closest touch with other Anglican dioceses around the world. This Church appoints missionaries and/or gives financial support to:

- The Anglican Church in Korea
- The Diocese of Victoria, Hong Kong
- The Diocese of Singapore and Malaya
- The Church of India, Pakistan, Burma, and Ceylon
- The Archbishopric in Jerusalem
- The Church of the Province of South Africa
- The Church of the Province of Uganda and Ruanda-Urundi
- The Church of the Province of the West Indies
- The Council of the Church of Southeast Asia
- The Nippon Seikokai
- The Bishop of Nandyal
- The Diocese of Gibraltar

The appointment of personnel and/or the granting of financial assistance in these areas is an evidence of this Church's interest in, and concern for, the Christian cause in these areas of the Anglican Communion.

In addition, support is given to:

- The Church of South India
- The Philippine Independent Church
- The Spanish Reformed Church
- The Lusitanian Church (Portugal)
- The Old Catholic Churches in Europe
- The Mar Thoma Church of India
- The Orthodox Churches in Europe and the Middle East

The grants made to sister Churches are made gladly and with a sense of privilege. It is expected that these funds will be disbursed in accordance with plans made by the Church receiving them. In this sense, no accounting is required. On the other hand, the National Council is responsible for seeing that funds committed to its care are used for the purpose for which they were given, and it is always helpful to the Council to receive reports showing how the funds were used. These reports give assurance to the donors that their gifts were used as designated and encourage continuing support.

The Overseas Department looks forward to the time when the Episcopal Church's contribution in men and resources to other parts of the Anglican Communion may be made in far greater scale in responsible partnership on a Church-to-Church basis, after consultation with provincial and/or conciliar agencies, and with the knowledge and approval of the Executive Officer. Such consultations would permit a maximum of co-ordination and strategic planning in broad geographical areas.
To encourage the steady development of autonomy, and local authority and responsibility, in existing Missionary Districts; and it is specifically recommended

That the term diocese be used instead of district to describe overseas jurisdictions;

The General Convention of 1952 adopted this resolution:

Resolved, The House of Deputies concurring, that wherever in a foreign missionary district the use of the word district when translated into a foreign tongue loses its ecclesiastical connotation and leads to confusion and misunderstanding, the bishop of that district, with the approval of the Presiding Bishop and of his Council of Advice may substitute the words missionary diocese for all local use.

The department recommended to the National Council in October, 1959 that diocese be used instead of district in describing overseas jurisdictions.

At its February, 1961 meeting, the National Council adopted this resolution:

Resolved, That the National Council requests the General Convention to study during the next triennium, through a Joint Committee, the nomenclature and status of our missionary districts, including such related matters as representation in the General Convention, relationships to other dioceses and provinces, and the like, with a view to presenting appropriate constitutional and canonical legislation and administrative proposals at the 1964 meeting of the Convention.

That specific criteria be determined for the winning of autonomy

The Compilation of Current Strategy and Policy Statements Related to the Administration of the Program and Budget of the Overseas Department adopted by National Council, in December, 1959, says:

The ultimate aim of all missionary enterprise is the establishment of autonomous regional Churches. The encouragement of financial self-support continues to be a matter of the utmost importance to the Church's whole program, both at home and overseas. It is right that the Church should supply the financial assistance needed to establish work in new lands, but the people who make up the membership of the young Churches overseas should not be denied the right and responsibility of supporting their Churches by a too generous policy on the part of the Church at home. The man who learns to give generous support to his Church finds that he has a new confidence and sense of dignity he did not have before. This is not a financial matter only, but one which has deep spiritual significance. Also, revolutionary events, which seem to have become commonplace in the world in which we live today, might very well cut off completely the support of a Church which is dependent upon foreign support. The difficult and delicate problem is to find the point at which support from the Mother Church should cease and full responsibility be placed on the young Church overseas.
We repeat our belief that the ultimate objective of all missionary work is the establishment of autonomous, indigenous, national and regional Churches. Growth toward this is not necessarily to be measured in terms of financial self-support, and it is dangerous to link two quite different considerations. Every encouragement is, and should be, given to missionary districts to achieve self-support in their established work as rapidly as possible, in order that resources of men and money may be released for new work in those districts, or elsewhere. But conditions vary so widely from place to place that it is difficult, if not impossible, to establish specific financial criteria.

iii

That a larger proportion of planning be done in the field

The missionary bishop is responsible for planning for the strengthening and extension of the Church's work in his field. The appointment of missionary personnel and the appropriation of financial assistance to a field is made upon the recommendation and request of the bishop.

At the same time, the Canons lay upon the Presiding Bishop and the National Council responsibility for the development and prosecution of the missionary work of the Church. The Overseas Department acts as the Council's Committee on Policy and Strategy in regard to the development and prosecution of the Church's missionary enterprise overseas. Of course, this is done in the fullest co-operation and consultation with the missionary bishops and other Provinces of the Anglican Communion, but clearly the ultimate responsibility for strategic planning must rest with the National Council.

e.

To insure that Missionary Dioceses to be created in the future under the auspices of this Church be projects undertaken in co-operation with other branches of the Anglican Communion

The Department agrees that no missionary diocese of this Church should be created without the fullest knowledge of other Anglican provinces and invitation to them to share in it. However, it is possible that circumstances might require that a new diocese be created on the sole responsibility of this Church.

f.

To provide for working relations with existing united Churches and those that will be organized in the future

As far as is permissible within the limitations set by the General Convention, the Overseas Department endeavors to establish working relationships with United Churches. This is illustrated in this Church's relationship with the Church of South India. As other United Churches come into being, the Department is prepared to work with them where such a program would seem to advance the Christian cause in that area.

3.

That thorough studies be made, involving all relevant aspects of political, social and cultural life, of the Spanish-speaking areas in South America, of Africa, and of the Pacific area, for the guidance of future overseas work
Very thorough studies have been made involving relevant aspects of the political, social, and cultural life of South America, of Africa, and of the Pacific area. Some of these studies have been under the sponsorship of the World Council of Churches, others by the International Missionary Council. In this Church, the National Council's General Division of Research and Field Study has made detailed studies of these overseas fields:

- Alaska
- Liberia
- Central America
- Mexico
- Dominican Republic
- Panama Canal Zone
- Haiti
- Puerto Rico
- Virgin Islands

At present, a study of Brazil is under way, and a study of the Philippines is planned for 1962. The Department agrees that these studies are of incalculable help in evaluating the Church's work in these areas and in orienting our missionary bishops and other missionary personnel as they go to the field.

It is clearly impossible for any one Church to undertake full and adequate studies in every area. Within our own communion, such studies should be planned by the Advisory Council, in our judgment. At present, the Executive Officer has asked the Overseas Council of the Church of England to correlate and prepare general studies of Africa for the guidance of the Advisory Council at its meeting in 1963. Similarly, our own Church has undertaken studies of South America, and has appropriated funds for like work in the area included in the Southeast Asia Council.

It is also important, we feel, to plan such studies in relation to similar work being done by the International Missionary Council and World Council of Churches, and professional religious and secular groups as well. And, in all this it is essential that action be taken, as rapidly as possible, on the basis of such knowledge as is now available, while continuing the study process.

4.

That, whenever work is to be begun in a new country by the Episcopal Church,

a. It should be by a team of workers headed by a bishop

b. The Personnel, Support and Planning should be shared with the other Churches of the Anglican Communion

The Department is in agreement with these recommendations.

c. It be the policy of this Church to plan and work with other communions toward the ultimate establishment of an autonomous united Church within the area
The Department has demonstrated its willingness to lend support to autonomous United Churches. It is sympathetic toward the establishment of other such Christian Churches. It believes that the initiative in such matters, however, should be taken by the missionary bishops on the field and under such authority as is given by the General Convention.

5. That the National Council consider whether the Missionary District of Liberia should become a part of the Province of West Africa, and that the Episcopal Church be ready to participate in the work of other provinces in Africa.

See 2a and c above.

6. That relationships with the Philippine Independent Church be strengthened and steps taken toward formation of an autonomous Church or Churches in the Pacific region.

Since 1948, the Department has given direct and substantial support to the strengthening of the relationships between the Philippine Independent Church and this Church's mission in the Philippines. Bishops have been consecrated for the Philippine Independent Church; that Church has been permitted to use our Prayer Book in its Spanish translation; and their candidates for Holy Orders have been trained at St. Andrew's Theological Seminary, Manila. The Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church has been the guest of the General Convention of this Church. Two priests of the Philippine Independent Church now serve in Honolulu among their own people, supported through the budget of the Overseas Department. Grants in aid have been made to the Philippine Independent Church from time to time and every encouragement given toward strengthening the ties between this Church's mission in the Philippines and the Philippine Independent Church.

The Department is prepared to support autonomous Churches in the Pacific area, but it is not prepared, nor is it authorized, to urge upon any Church that union be effected with another Christian body. These are matters that only the General Convention can decide.

7. That the Episcopal Church consider undertaking work among the Chinese Dispersion in Southeast Asia, and develop a program for resuming work in mainland China when this shall become possible.

The Episcopal Church has given considerable support to a program of work among the Chinese of the Dispersion in Southeast Asia. This support is to be found in Manila, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Borneo, Singapore, and elsewhere. It consists of service being rendered by missionary personnel and the assistance given through the appropriation of funds. In the past five years, the Council has made capital grants toward the support of work among the Chinese in Southeast Asia totalling approximately $420,000.00. In addition, the National Council has agreed to appropriate $50,000.00 a year over the next ten years to be used under the guidance of the Executive Officer of the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy in an effort
to strengthen work among the Chinese. We also are contributing toward the support of the Council of the Church of Southeast Asia, toward the support of the East Asia Christian Council, toward the support of the Assistant Bishop of Singapore, and the Assistant Bishop of Borneo. These bishops are Chinese Churchmen whose chief responsibility is for work among the Chinese of the Dispersion.

Responsibility for work on the mainland of China rests with the Chung Hua Sheng Kung Hui. The Department continues to hope that normal relations between the Church in China and other Churches within the family of the Anglican Communion may be resumed at the earliest possible date. The Department considers that the direction, planning and programming of any aid in men and material which it may be able to give to the Chinese Church in the future should be done under the leadership of the Chinese Church, and at its request. It does not consider that the Overseas Department has either the responsibility or the right to establish programs which may run counter to the wishes of a national Church.

8.

*That the administration of the Overseas Department be strengthened*

a.

*By developing further the functions of recruitment and personnel logistics, and Pan-Anglican relations, now provided in the Department’s organization*

The Department agrees that every effort should be made to develop to an even higher degree of excellence its program of recruitment and training of missionary personnel.

At the same time, it agrees that improvement can be made in its program of sending missionaries overseas and their support on the field.

The Department makes an earnest effort to maintain creative Pan-Anglican relations. This is effected through correspondence between the Director and Anglican bishops overseas, between the Director and Anglican missionary societies, and between the Director and the Executive Officer of the Advisory Council on Missionary Strategy.

b.

*By providing regional assistants or secretaries for various overseas fields, specifically one for Latin America*

The Department believes that under present conditions, and our episcopal polity, regional secretaries are not necessary and that their appointment would be an unwise use of missionary funds.

c.

*By assigning an officer to the Department to supervise the education of prospective missionaries*

An officer of the Department is assigned to supervise the training of new missionary personnel. Training conferences have been set up for the further training of personnel going overseas.
By assigning an officer of the Department to supervise the in-service training of missionaries already appointed

The Department has encouraged a program of in-service training. Many of its missionary appointees have been granted extended furloughs and given financial support to enable them to do graduate work in preparation for further service overseas. The supervision of this program has been in the hands of the Director or of the Associate Director.

e.

By appointing an officer to maintain liaison with and to advise seminaries and schools that prepare nationals of other countries for ordination.

Through the office of the Director, the Department maintains liaison with its overseas seminaries, and the Director has arranged for seminary professors to visit overseas seminaries from time to time for conference and council.

f.

By assigning an officer to maintain liaison between various Departments and agencies at home and the several mission fields, so that necessary services may be provided

The Department appreciates the fact that the development of some of the Departments and Divisions of the National Council has made it difficult for our overseas fields to keep in close touch with the various units of the Council that might be of help to our people on the field. To meet this need, the Department of Christian Education has appointed from the overseas field an officer who gives full time to maintaining liaison between Christian Education and the overseas fields. Officers in the Department of Christian Social Relations, the Department of Promotion, the General Division of Laymen's Work, the General Division of Women's Work, and the General Division of Research and Field Study, have visited the overseas fields from time to time and have given great help and encouragement to our people on the field. The Finance Department, through its traveling auditor, and through its day to day contacts with the treasurers on the field, has been of inestimable help to every field and to the Overseas Department's staff. Without doubt, such co-operation should be continued and extended if our people overseas are to use to the fullest the resources now available to them.

g.

By assigning an officer to deal with aspects of overseas work which involve relations with other communions and inter-Church agencies

Officers of the Department sit as members of the area and functional committees of the Division of Foreign Missions of the National Council of Churches, and of other interdenominational agencies, and thus strive to achieve a close working relationship with other communions involved in the Christian missionary enterprise overseas. Among these are:
General Board of the National Council of Churches
Executive Board, and Executive Committee of Division of Foreign Missions
Associated Mission Medical Office
Christian Medical Council for Overseas Work
Ministry to Service Personnel in the Far East
RAVEMCCO
Committee on World Literacy and Christian Literature
Far Eastern Office (China, Taiwan, Japan, Okinawa, Philippines, Southeast Asia Committees)
Southern Asia
Africa
Alaska
Co-operation in Latin America
Near East
Church World Service Board of Managers; Ecumenical Scholarships; Program in Asia
Commission on Missionary Education Board of Managers
Theological Educational Fund of the International Missionary Council
Japan International Christian University
United Board of Christian Higher Education in Asia
North American College of Chinese Studies
Kuling American School
Friendly Relations With Foreign Students
Missionary Research Library
American Bible Society
Bible and Common Prayer Book Society

In the case of all foregoing suggestions of additional officers to be appointed for these functions, the Department calls attention to its extremely limited resources of men and money, to which the Committee of Conference rightly referred in its report, and is of the opinion that many desirable additions to the staff at headquarters must rightly wait until the Church has greatly increased its strength and support in its fields overseas.

h.

By expanding the functions of the officer now in charge of the education of clergy and people at home in the Church's missionary task. It is recommended that the published materials on the several mission fields be radically revised and brought up to date.

Admittedly the Church has not been aroused to a full sense of its missionary obligation. The education of the clergy and the people of the
Church in this regard cannot be the responsibility of the Overseas Department alone, nor even of the National Council. It is a responsibility shared by the several Departments and General Divisions of the Council and by all dioceses and parishes. The Overseas Department recognizes its responsibility, within this task, for an interpretation of the Church's overseas mission and has a full-time officer assigned to this task. He works closely with the Departments of Promotion and Christian Education.

The work of this officer has been greatly strengthened by the formation, in recent months, of the Directors' Committee on Missionary Education, through which the Directors of all Departments and General Divisions give leadership to a united program for education on the Church's missionary task. The first thrust of this committee has been the preparation of a Unified Parish Program for Missionary Education, which will provide a framework within which the widest possible use may be made of all missionary education resources, including those produced by the National Council of Churches' Commission on Missionary Education. It will also evaluate and keep under review the materials and resources for missionary education within the Episcopal Church.

Upon the Department of Promotion has been laid the heavy responsibility for publishing materials on the several overseas fields. An additional officer, experienced in missionary education, has recently been added to the Publications Division of the Department of Promotion, one of whose major responsibilities is to provide for review, updating and the creation of new materials on the Church's mission field. The Secretary for interpretation in the Overseas Department is working closely with this officer.
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REPORT OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL ON MINISTRY TO AMERICAN INDIANS

In response to the request of the General Convention of 1958, the National Council, in February, 1960, designated the Home Department and the Department of Christian Social Relations to undertake a study of the changing needs of the mission and ministry of the Episcopal Church to Indians, together with recommendations. A joint staff committee was appointed for the undertaking. The Committee called upon over 100 persons with knowledge and experience in some phase of work with American Indians to contribute to the study and to help in developing policy and program recommendations. In December, 1960, the recommendations and a summary of the study were presented to the National Council, which adopted them for submission to the General Convention of 1961.

This Report contains the basic findings of the study plus resolutions embodying the recommendations. The proposed resolutions contain no budgetary action, but it should be pointed out that their adoption would give support to the need for increased funds for the Church's missionary work, as indicated in the Findings of the Study.
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INTRODUCTION

The work of the Episcopal Church in Indian country has stood for more than a century as testimony to the Christian missionary outreach within American life and history. Those who have labored in the Indian field through the years, Indian and non-Indian alike, have come to know well the meaning of fellowship. With a strong sense of kinship in Christ, they have stood together through the wars of the west, through physical hardship, through cultural confusion, depression and deprivation. Speaking of those who have been a part of the Church's mission, a Dakota chief once said: "We are men of a different make." Each of the missions and little chapels in Indian communities across the land speaks to the whole Church—that the living Gospel is indeed the good news of love and reconciliation.

Such a witness from the past is ample evidence that the Episcopal Church today has ability to minister to the Indian people. If the Church determines to do so, it can reach out beyond any single cultural tradition, can and does speak in many languages, can and does touch the lives of all sorts and con-
ditions of men and women and children. This is the full "high potential" of the Church today.

In a very real sense, the Indian work of the past has been a pilot for the character of the world-wide mission in the years ahead. Is the Church ready now to move forward with zeal and confidence among men in every walk of life, of every heritage?

Early missionaries to "the tribes" could not know what challenge they put to the future. They went far out in the wilderness on a special task and established in the Church a historic obligation in relation to Indian life. Today there are dedicated Christian Indian people in churches throughout the nation, making a full contribution in the total missionary effort. The historic obligation of the Church to minister to Indian people carries now into the very midst of America. For if one looks for Indian people on the reservations and in country places, they are there—in greater numbers than ever before and in need of strong Church life and leadership. If one looks into migrant camps and into small towns, they are there; if one looks into schools and into universities, or into the armed forces, they are there also; or into suburbs and new developments, or into the inner city. They are there—in poverty and distress, in security and opportunity. Indian work is thus related to every aspect of the life of the Church in America. Insofar as the Church is prepared to reach out to all men, it cannot help but continue to be deeply involved in work among American Indians.

THE BACKGROUND OF INDIAN WORK

There were probably one million American Indians in the area which is now continental United States when the first few colonists came to America. Though American history is usually dated from this moment, it was not in itself historic. To the several hundred tribes across the land, living in small bands, in many cultural settings, it was of little importance. Yet as Spaniards pressed in from the southwest, French from the north, and English from the east, tensions began to mount. Thousands of Indian people lost their lives in disease and in war, first in wars with other tribes as they were pushed together back in the interior, and eventually in the Indian-white wars which climaxed the nineteenth century. By this time the total Indian population of the country was only one-quarter million.

In the long historical process which was the winning of the west, most of the eastern tribes had been forced beyond the Mississippi, particularly into Indian Territory, now Oklahoma. Except for Iroquois in New York State, a few Cherokee in Carolina and Seminoles in Florida, and a handful of people of other tribes, Indians were generally forgotten in the east. By 1900, conquered and living on their reservations, separated from all but a few white neighbors, Indians were generally forgotten in the west as well. Then it was said that Indian life in the United States was bound to disappear.

There were many problems in the early reservation years and there was despair and confusion. Only little by little did the fact of peace begin to make itself felt. Fifty years later, after World War II, people in towns and cities in western states realized that they were seeing many more American Indians than they had seen before. A new movement was taking place in Indian life. At first it was thought that this was just because the few remaining Indian people were now leaving the reservations; then it was dis-
covered that the Indian population of the country had doubled since the turn of the century—to half a million.

It is now evident that reservations have been centers of rapid increase, that the Indian population is a young one, and that this is not simply the movement of a few families. It is part of the spread of Indian communities both on the reservations and off: some rural, some migrant, some in small towns, some in the cities. Far from disappearing, American Indians are appearing within the "larger society." In a sense this is as true of those on the reservations as of those who are resettled, for reservation communities are linked in a variety of new ways—good and bad—to the non-reservation communities around them.

THE HISTORY OF EPISCOPAL MISSIONS

The Indian work of the Episcopal Church began in the early 1800's among the Oneidas of New York, and moved westward to Wisconsin when that tribe was moved west. In 1859, Bishop Henry Benjamin Whipple went to Minnesota. It was said of him that "His one great aim has been to unite us by close connection, Indians and Whites, in the Christian fellowship." His great work among the Chippewa and Dakota people led to work farther west, as Dakota Christians sent missionaries to their own kinsmen. The Missionary District of Niobrara was established by the General Convention of 1868.

Bishop William Hobart Hare, first Bishop of Niobrara, elected in 1872, is remembered throughout the Church for the building of a great missionary work. When he died in 1909, there were in South Dakota and Nebraska, 100 Dakota (Sioux) congregations where there had been 9. There were 10,000 baptized Dakotas where there had been less than 100. There were 26 native clergy where there had been 3. And there were also schools for the children. Yet this beloved bishop was the first to recognize that the strength of the work lay in the people, themselves, in their own local leadership—priest and lay—together with that of the few men and women who came to live and work at one with them. It cannot be said, looking back, that it was easy; all of this took place in the midst of Indian wars and crisis, at a time of rapid social change.

In the same years, there were missions opened on Chippewa and Sioux reservations in North Dakota. Westward in Wyoming, work began among the Arapaho and Shoshone on their reservation in 1883. In Idaho, the Episcopal Church took over an earlier interdenominational work in 1889. The Utes in Utah asked the Episcopal Church to come to them in 1894. Missions were started among the Paiutes of Nevada in 1895. The first work among the Navajos, now the largest of all the tribes, began in Arizona in the 1890's. Now there are two other Navajo missions, one in New Mexico, the other in Utah.

The history of these missions parallels the general history of Indian affairs. The late nineteenth century was a period of major shift and change, and the setting of patterns of work. By 1900, approximately, these patterns were established. Church and people settled down in the long period of rural reservation life. It was for the whole nation a time of maturing and integration.

Now time has caught up with us. There are new moods and new move-
ments. Men and women at work in the Indian field have been aware of the growing inadequacy of the Church's work in this new era. They have sensed that their job was growing, and have been aware that the Indian mission of today has many new dimensions.

THE RESERVATION COMMUNITY AND THE CHURCH

Reservations continue to be the major center of Indian life. They are the neighborhoods in which, despite change, the round of daily concerns continues to be centered. Each of these communities has its own particular tribal background and language, making it different from all the others; but it is also like the others in its history of relationship to the Federal government.

Reservation communities are poor. The majority of them fall within the seriously depressed areas in America today. They have missed out on the social and economic revolution of the time and are half-forgotten by their neighbors. It is true that the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs has long maintained reservation services such as schools, hospitals, roads, communication, and administration in connection with land. But at no time has a major attack been made on the basic problem—the deepening poverty of the reservation areas.

Fifty years ago, when the whole nation was more rural, reservations held some promise. Since then, a series of explosive changes have destroyed the hope of an adequate reservation life supported only by farming and ranching. Drought destroyed the value of some land and led to over-exploitation of the rest. The Depression of the 1930's hit reservations hard. World War II and its aftermath of increased industrialization completed the destruction of the agricultural promise. There is a vast difference between the simplicity of earlier rural reservation life and the desperate poverty of most reservation communities today. Families crowd together in shacks, more than half living on some form of public relief. There is little work and less play. The few tribes whose communities have benefited from better income from natural resources are just beginning to improve their lives through community development, and they are only affluent by comparison. Their only tangible asset is their land base, and the larger portion of their families are still poor and without steady work.

It is important to realize that the poverty of reservation communities is not solely the aftermath of "old Indian culture" and its breakdown. It is also a condition characteristic of other bypassed rural and urban communities across the nation, left by industrial development as isolated pockets of need and dependence.

It is also important to realize that reservation communities are growing in population. They will not disappear as Indians move away to town and city. The numbers of Indians increase steadily both in town and on the reservation.

Yet for all the poverty and sorrow, there is fresh hope throughout the Indian country. The abundance of resources and energy existing in the nation create a new promise for a different and better life in the reservation community. The new concept of a modern Indian culture, which can be unique and yet share in the national advance, has opened up a vision of what
the reservation community can become through economic and social development. No ministry to Indians, indeed no work with Indians can be effective without a deep understanding of modern reservation culture, growing out of the past, but different and constantly changing as it interacts with the whole world around it. Nor can work be effective unless it is shared work in which Indians and non-Indians together seek to encourage the new hope by sound knowledgeable development of the reservation community.

The Church and its ministry to Indians have reflected both the promise of fifty years ago and the discouragement of the past few decades. The question before the Church is whether it will share the new hope of the present.

What are the characteristics of the Church's present program in reservations?

- There is a chronic shortage of personnel and each person is covering large distances.
- Long staff service in one place is rare, creating constant change and frequent vacancies.
- There has been little recent construction or renovation of buildings either in missions or in schools, so that facilities for work are often inadequate and outmoded. (These three are not administrative problems so much as they are evidences of lack of support and interest by the whole Church, with a resultant loneliness and isolation of the workers.)
- There is a beginning renewal of inquiry and experimentation in new ways of ministering to the reservation community as it now is, a new interest in the cultural insights which human relations sciences can contribute to the Church's work.
- There is a new examination of the place of language in the ministry to Indians and a renewed drive to be able to "think Indian" as well as speak their language.
- There are more educated Indian lay leaders available to guide the Church's efforts but, as yet, too little use of these persons in policy and program building bodies.
- There are fewer Indian priests and lay workers today than there were a few decades ago.
- There is a developing sense of responsibility for their own affairs on the part of Indians but not enough use of this responsibility in local congregations and missions.
- There is still a strong Indian image of the missionary as his friend and representative in community and public affairs, permitting a ministry which is social as well as spiritual.
- There is a new sense of community in the reservation neighborhood to which the Church cannot respond effectively as long as its personnel is forced to cover too much territory and therefore unable to participate fully in the life of specific communities.
Lastly there is growing recognition by the whole Church of the need for appraising its ministry and giving it new directions.

OFF-RESERVATION: NEW HOMES AND NEW PLACES

This is a time when Indian problems seek resolution in the whole context of American life. For many Indian families this means participation in the transformation of community life in the familiar reservation setting. For others, it involves movement away from reservations to new settings: making new homes in nearby towns; moving to the city; entering the stream of migrant labor; or going off to college or university.

This movement has been going on quietly for many many years, for Indians have never been confined on reservations in this century. They are—like other citizens of the United States—free to come and go as they choose, free to live and work as they choose, without restraint. They are not required, as some people think, to report to any government agency in this process. In the towns and cities of America, there are a good number of Indian families long established.

TOWN. In the last fifteen years, however, the movement has greatly accelerated. Families have been going especially into the little towns on or near their home reservations (like Winner, South Dakota or Williams, Arizona) and into the big towns (like Gallup, New Mexico or Rapid City, South Dakota) which are regional centers. An Indian person coming, in 1961, to such towns finds more or less permanently settled friends and relatives. He may find himself in a circle much the same as that back home, even on the job. There are close ties with reservation life. This movement is not part of any government-sponsored program. It is consistent with the general trend in rural areas throughout the country.

Indians have moved in such numbers to these towns that in many places they have become a self-conscious group within the larger community, which is, in turn, highly conscious of their presence. Indian settlements are generally on the fringe, forming new and much larger low income neighborhoods than these towns have had before. There is not enough work. Health and welfare problems have become severe. Families are large, adding to the burden of local schools. There are few established social agencies to meet personal, social and recreational needs. In towns of this size, such difficulties cannot be hidden. The leadership of the Church is essential if help is to be brought quickly to these communities, which cannot carry alone the whole weight of major social change.

CITY. Indian people move to the city from reservations and towns throughout the west. In Minneapolis, one meets people from Wyoming, Nebraska, Iowa, North and South Dakota, or Wisconsin. In Chicago or Los Angeles, they may come from any part of the United States. Unsophisticated in some ways, having a hard time making a living, these are nevertheless people who have been around. In a sense, they have far more worldly wisdom than those who want to "help." Some of these families have come through the Relocation Program of the U.S. Indian Service; even more have come on their own.

In most large western cities today there is some kind of nucleus of Indian life. Indian people are not generally living together in one section of a
city, but there are social centers sponsored by Service Committees or com-
community chests, or specific Indian programs related to a neighborhood House. There are Indian social clubs, like the White Buffalo Council of Denver. Churchmen, especially Indian Churchmen, have taken a large part in this development over the past ten years. In only a few places, however, has real effort been made to relate Indian people to the nearby churches. Still less has the Church spoken to the problems of poverty and prejudice which confront all those who live and work in the inner city.

MIGRANT CAMPS. In every state in which Indians live today, there are Indians in the migrant camps, recruited from reservations and from the towns. It is estimated that perhaps 50,000 Indians work in the fields in nineteen western states. The National Council of Churches, in its migrant ministry, reaches about 10 per cent of the Indian people. There is far more to be done through this ministry and in cooperation with the Indian Committee of the National Council of Churches, if there is to be a wider ministry. There is a real responsibility placed on the churches for leadership in social action in relation to the general migrant problem.

CAMPUS. In ten years the number of Indian young people studying beyond high school has jumped from 500 to 5,000. This represents a major effort on the part of Indian students and the colleges. Tribal councils, state and federal governments, churches and other organizations have made this possible through the development of new scholarship opportunities. Much remains to be done to increase these opportunities, at college and high school level. A whole new work of counselling and guidance falls upon missionaries and college workers. The Church must improve its own schools, and maintain its ministry in the large Indian Service boarding schools, through the National Council of Churches.

Wherever Indians have moved, it is clear:

That the Episcopal Church has a continuing concern for those Indian people, long members of the Church family, who have left the reservation and now may hesitate to join in the work and worship of the Church in a new setting.

That the Episcopal Church, together with other Churches, must discover new ways of reaching those who have been left out on the reservation or off.

That the Church has a special ministry of reconciliation to all peoples in social change wherever differences of custom or tradition make for mis-
understanding and hurt.

That the strength and resources of the Church must be brought to the task of finding solutions for major social and economic difficulties which Indian people encounter.

TIME FOR DECISION

In general, it appears that the Church, in its present missionary work among American Indians, maintains a holding operation. It has been slow to realize that a new set of decisions must be made. Churchmen in Indian country keenly feel the needs of the people. They know that this is a time when the Episcopal Church must decide where it will stand. To help will require real commitment. The alternative is a receding ministry, since the
## A Chart of Indian Work

| Dioecese or Missionary District | Reservation Work | | | | Off-Reservation | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                               | General Missions | Institutions | Special Ministries | | | | | | |
|                               | Number of Chapels | Other Stations | Church Schools | Child Care Centers | Clinics | Town | City | Migrant Camps | Rel. Education in Schools |
| 2nd Province                  |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Central New York              | 1               | | | | Town | 1 | City | 1 | Migrant Camps | 1 |
| Western New York              | 1               | | | | | | | | |
| 4th Province                  | 1               | 1 | | | | | | | |
| W. North Carolina             |                 | | | | | | | | |
| So. Florida                   |                 | | | | | | | | |
| 5th Province                  | 1               | 1 | | 1 | | | | | |
| Chicago                       |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Fond du Lac                   |                 | | | | | | | | |
| 6th Province                  | 13              | 7 | 80 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Colorado                      |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Iowa                          |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Minnesota                     |                 | | | | | | | | |
| North Dakota                  |                 | | | | | | | | |
| South Dakota                  |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Wyoming                       |                 | | | | | | | | |
| 7th Province                  | 4               | 5 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| New Mexico and S.W. Texas    |                 | | | | | | | | |
| 8th Province                  | 3               | 2 | 3 | 1 | | | | | |
| Arizona                       |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Idaho                         |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Nevada                        |                 | | | | | | | | |
| Utah                          |                 | | | | | | | | |
| **TOTAL**                     | **122**         | **16** | **5** | **3** | **2** | **19** | **4** | **1** | **3** |

In addition, the Episcopal Church works cooperatively with The National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A., which maintains Religious Education programs in ten large Indian Schools, Indian chaplaincies in two hospitals, and one community center.
Church cannot stand still while the Indians and their communities change. What are the needs about which decisions will have to be made?

**Participation**

The deepest need is involvement—of clergy and laity, Indian and non-Indian, men and women. The present isolation will only be broken by a real encouragement of the people to participate in decisions and actions affecting their own lives. If widespread involvement is achieved, needs can be transformed into opportunities.

**Money**

It will take money to adapt the Church’s ministry to Indians for the present situation. Money alone cannot do everything, but it can provide the salaries, the tools, and the skills to carry out other decisions.

**Joint Planning**

Advance must be planned by all concerned if it is to be effective. The process of planning must reach into every congregation, every district and diocese, every unit of the National Church. The persons in the congregations, with their clergy, are the ones upon whom the outreach of the Church depends. They need to be asked to counsel together, deciding what they can do for themselves and their neighbors, and what help they would seek from others.

**Adaptations in Stations and Parishes**

Meeting today’s needs will require changes of policy. In some reservations, this may mean extending fields of service; in others, it will mean consolidation. In towns near reservations, it will mean changing the nature of existing work or starting new work to minister to the increasing Indian population. In cities it will mean a wholly new approach to Indian people.

**Recruitment**

There is critical need for recruiting more clergy and lay staff for the program, as well as lay men and lay women who can take an active part in congregational life. Clergy and professional women workers are in greater shortage in the Indian field than in the Church at large. Scholarships are an important aid in this recruiting.

**Social Services**

Everywhere in Indian country, the Church has pioneered not only in building schools, but also in providing hospitals, clothing, recreation programs, and other forms of Christian social service. Many of these functions have largely been taken over by government, but there is no question of the continuing need for Church-sponsored services as a witness and as new pioneering. Further, there is the necessity to adapt existing services to the present situation, to encourage improvement of facilities and expansion of service. For those functions now assumed by government, the Church has the responsibility to support good administration and performance. Lastly there is the need for new Church participation in meeting the emerging problems of ministry to the aging, adoption of Indian orphans, rehabilitation of alcoholics, family counselling, and integration in towns and cities.
The Church is committed to a ministry to the total man and is therefore concerned in the full community life of Indian people locally, in states, and nationally. The local congregation needs encouragement to meet its responsibilities in Christian citizenship. State and Federal government need the insights of the Church in the development of policy toward the Indian people. Indian communities which are carrying out self-development programs need to retain the image of both priests and layworkers as their supporters in seeking a better life.

The National Program

There are many needs in the Indian field which can be met in the general context of Church operations, but there are several which can only be met by special attention and help. For the immediate future, therefore, a National Council officer designated for Indian work is an essential part of the advance program. His office is needed to marshal all present resources and help in the development of new ones. Placed in the Home Department which has administrative responsibility for Indian work, he could coordinate the work of all other Departments on the program, as well as serve the districts and dioceses.

Other needs for national program are:

1. Research and experimentation to determine effective ways of ministering in the changing patterns of Indian life;
2. Publications to involve the whole Church in the mission to Indians.
3. Fact-finding on population trends, economic changes, social problems, and cultural trends.
4. Training programs for new workers and present workers so that they are better equipped for a rigorous ministry.
5. Senior workers or consultants to help personnel on the job to be more effective.
6. Cooperative work through the National Council of Churches to perform those parts of the program in which more can be accomplished by working together with other Churches.

An Advisory Council

The last need grows out of all the others and, like the first, requires participation. It is the need for an advisory group to the National program so that the knowledge and experience of persons in the Indian field can be available as guidance for policy and program. The mission of the Church must move forward as a whole. An advisory council would be an invaluable tool in achieving this unity of local, diocesan, and national advance.

Implications for Budget

The bishops, archdeacons, and others who have administrative and field responsibility for Indian work have met to determine the financial implications of the Study and its recommendations. Their discussions make it clear that objectives set forth would require an advance in several budget areas if they are to be achieved in the near future.
In 1961, the National Church allocated $391,870 for its Indian work. Field appropriations for the Indian work in ten districts and dioceses received $347,470. National programs received the following:

- Scholarships: $15,000
- Ministry to Indians in Urban Areas: $9,400
- Advance Development of Program and Personnel: $20,000
- Total: $44,400

The advances recommended would require additional sums annually which would fall about half in increase in allocations to districts and dioceses and half in increase for national services to the field.

**Personnel (see Resolutions III, V, VII and VII)**
- Recruitment, scholarships, training, and retraining: $60,000

**Improvement of Physical Facilities (see Resolution VI)**
- Renovation, supplies, and equipment: $60,000

**Experimentation and Pilot Projects (see Resolutions IV and IX)**
- For new approaches to both spiritual and social ministry, on reservations, in towns and cities: $20,000

**Capital Needs for Construction**
- New buildings for missions and institutions: $80,000
  (This is a figure based on the findings of the 1954 study of the Indian field conducted by the Unit of Research and Field Survey which set down a total capital need of approximately $500,000 over a period of several years).

**TOTAL**: $220,000

**RESOLUTIONS**

*I. A Statement of Policy*

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the general policy for the Church’s Ministry to Indians, as stated below, shall be the basis for the Church’s total missionary program with Indians.

The Church is called upon to note the deepening needs of Indian communities and the difficult situations which confront Indians in town and city. It is called upon to give leadership, both locally and nationally, in the search for solutions to these problems. In this process, the Church must act with full recognition of the dignity of Indian persons in their own inheritance, and with a sure sense of their place in contemporary America.

The Church must also face up to the pressing needs of its own Indian missions, made more acute by the burden of poverty in Indian communities. It is important that the members of each mission congregation come to sense anew the support and concern of the whole Church. Local, district, diocesan, and national units must seek together to define the present missionary task and new ways to carry it forward.

At all levels of decision-making regarding its ministry to the American Indian, the Church must demonstrate its belief in the right of all peoples to
self-determination. To this end, Indians should be involved constantly in the planning and conduct of the Church's work. Such a policy is consistent with Indian insistence upon consultation and concurrence in every decision affecting their lives. It also recognizes the Indian as a person who is culturally unique and yet fully sharing in American society.

The Church's ministry to all persons is a ministry to the total man. It should be concerned with his needs for adequate education, employment, home, community life, and full citizenship, as well as his spiritual development. This is especially true of the American Indian because his needs have been met so inadequately. The Churches, along with other organizations, are in a unique position to provide initiative toward a consistent development of Indian resources, physical and human.

Both government and Churches have fluctuated through many approaches to Indian problems. Many Indians feel a sense of retreat, of neglect, and of apathy on the part of even those Churches which served them with distinction in earlier generations. The Protestant Episcopal Church is grateful to God for the many Indians whom it has nurtured for lives of Christian service to their people, their Church, and their nation. It seeks forgiveness for its failure to nurture greater numbers of Indians in such lives, and for its share in the public apathy toward the tribulations of the Indian people. It is resolved to atone for these failures in renewal of its mission and ministry to the Indian people.

II. Public Policy

WHEREAS, Both government and churches have fluctuated through many conflicting approaches to Indian problems; and

WHEREAS, The responsibility of the whole Church for Indian welfare requires a clear statement of guidance; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that the Church stands for a just and consistent policy in dealing with Indian matters on the part of both governmental and voluntary bodies; calls upon Congress and the Bureau of Indian Affairs to modify their policy of termination of Federal Services to one of positive emphasis on supporting Indians in the development of reservation and community resources, physical and human, to the fullest possible extent; and urges state and local governments to assume their full responsibility to their Indian citizens, and be it further

Resolved, The House of ... concurring, that, in order to present the position of the Church, persons be appointed as designated representatives to governmental and voluntary groups dealing with Indian affairs, with one representative of the Church appointed to function in Washington to present the concerns of the Church to appropriate persons in government and to channel to the Church vital information on issues of importance in Indian affairs.

III. Personnel for the Church's Program

WHEREAS, The personnel and program in Indian work require effective coordination and guidance; therefore be it
Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that it is recommended to the National Council that a permanent Advisory Council on Indian Work be appointed to serve as a source of information and advice to all units whenever they are involved in the ministry to American Indians, to be concerned also with public issues, and to be responsible for constant evaluation of the relevancy of the Church's ministry to the needs of the Indian people; and be it further

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that a designated officer in the Home Department shall continue to carry major responsibility for Indian Work and to be the principal coordinator of staff activity as well as field representative to dioceses, districts, interdenominational and national groups; and be it further

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that selected clergy and laity shall be designated as consultants for Indian work, to help in the orientation of new personnel, to assist with in-service training, and to visit and advise with local personnel.

IV. Experimentation and Pilot Projects

Whereas, Every portion of the ministry to Indians has new aspects: Indians relocated in urban areas is an almost totally new challenge; influx of Indians into towns and cities near reservations presents a larger and quite different need; reservations themselves have changed physically, socially, and economically; and

Whereas, Experimentation and pilot projects are necessary to create effective new ministries; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Home Department be requested to supplement the few pioneer projects now existing with additional ones in strategic situations, choosing and supervising the projects with the help of the Advisory Council.

V. Recruitment and Training

Whereas, The Indian field shares with other special ministries a shortage of trained resourceful workers, both lay and clerical; and

Whereas, The sense of vocation for understanding Indian work and staying in it must be encouraged among both Indians and non-Indians; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the National Council be requested to present to the people of the Church the urgent needs of the field, demonstrating its demand upon both Indians and non-Indians for full participation; and be it further

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Home Department be enabled to expand training facilities for seminarians through field training, for new workers through an orientation program, and for present workers through seminars to the end that no new workers will need to be placed in the Indian field without advance orientation and training, and no present worker will be without opportunity for constant improvement of his or her morale, knowledge, and skills.
VI. Physical Plant Improvement

Whereas, Plants and equipment in many areas where the Church conducts its ministry to Indians suffer from serious deterioration or need for modernization; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that additional funds shall be made available through the Home Department for the repair and adaptation of physical plants and equipment in the field.

VII. Scholarships

Whereas, There has been serious lack of opportunity among Indians for education to equip them for careers of Christian service, either in Church or community; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that a Scholarship Committee be created to assist National Council staff in gathering information about opportunities, to advise on the awarding of National Council scholarship funds, and to correlate the Church's scholarship program with that of other Churches and agencies.

VIII. Leave for Additional Training

Whereas, Clergy and lay workers need opportunity for graduate study and training to improve current skills and knowledge; and

Whereas, The isolated and special nature of Indian ministries makes the maintenance of morale and of effective ministry a particular problem for such workers; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that it be made possible for selected workers to have a period of leave for such study and training, the selection of workers to be made by the Scholarship Committee upon nomination by the bishop of the worker's jurisdiction.

IX. Social Welfare Problems

Whereas, Certain acute problems among Indian require major attention by all agencies working with Indians; and

Whereas, The Church can assist in alleviating these problems through its own programs and through joining with Government and other agencies in a broad approach to Indian social welfare; and

Whereas, These problems include dependency of children, foster home placement, adoption of orphans, personal and vocational guidance, recreation and leisure-time activities, and the prevention and treatment of alcoholism and drug addiction; therefore be it

Resolved, The House of . . . concurring, that the Department of Christian Social Relations is requested to assist the jurisdictions and the appropriate units of the National Council in studying such social problems and working toward their solution, utilizing community resources which exist and giving effective Church leadership in improving these resources.
APPENDIX 36

ADDENDUM

to

"THE REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON
HUMAN AFFAIRS"

We all know that our world is radically changing. We may welcome the changes or not, but whether we welcome them or not, they come. Basic among the causes for the revolutionary change of our day is modern technological industry—the way man makes use of the natural resources God has given him, and the way he works them and distributes them.

A dramatic symbol of modern industry is the assembly line—and Detroit. It was in Detroit that the assembly line was born and it is in Detroit that the assembly line performs the daily miracles that so greatly affect the lives of us all. The Joint Commission on Human Affairs believes that it would be derelict in its responsibilities if it failed to give the Convention meeting in Detroit an opportunity to learn as directly as possible something of modern industry and the Church’s mission to it and to the world it creates.

Therefore, the Joint Commission with the Department of Christian Social Relations as its executive arm and in cooperation with the Diocese of Michigan has arranged a program for the morning of September 21st. The program will begin with a celebration of Holy Communion for all the delegates. They will meet at the Altar of the Lord, offer themselves, their work, and their lives to Him and pray that they may go forth into a part of His World—industry—with minds open to learn, and hearts eager to know and to do, His will. The program will continue with a visit to the assembly line of an automobile factory that the Convention may know the awe of a great industrial plant. The program will close with a Joint Session of both Houses of the Convention at which the meaning of industry to our lives and to our Church will be explored.

This program requires the Convention to set aside a morning for its presentation. The Joint Commission is mindful of the significance of asking the Convention to put aside its regular work for a morning, and it is mindful of its own problems of logistics and of all the work involved in transporting a Convention to industry. But even more it is mindful of its responsibility to help the Church through the Convention to know more fully the “industrial system” which so radically affects our world. Therefore, it has drafted the following resolution and respectfully requests its adoption.

Respectfully submitted,
MICHAEK BUZANOWSKI
CHARLES MARTIN
JOHN E. HINES, Chairman
For the Joint Commission

Resolved, The House of concurring, that the morning of Thursday, September 21st, be reserved for a visitation to industry in Detroit by the members of both Houses, beginning at 8 a.m., and that this be followed by a Joint Session of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies at 10:30 a.m., for discussion of the mission of the Church in modern industrialized society, under the auspices of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs; that the members of the Joint Commission on Human Affairs be invited to sit on the platform, and that the Presiding Bishop be authorized to invite speakers who are not members of either House to address the Joint Session and to participate in the discussion.
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ARTICLE I.

SECTION 1. There shall be a General Convention of this Church, consisting of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies, which Houses shall sit and deliberate separately; and in all deliberations freedom of debate shall be allowed. Either House may originate and propose legislation, and all acts of the Convention shall be adopted and be authenticated by both Houses.

SEC. 2. Every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction, every Bishop Coadjutor, every Suffragan Bishop, and every Bishop who by reason of advanced age or bodily infirmity, or, who under an election to an office created by the General Convention has resigned his jurisdiction, shall have a seat and a vote in the House of Bishops. A majority of all Bishops entitled to vote, exclusive of Bishops who have resigned their jurisdiction or positions, shall be necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business.

SEC. 3. At the General Convention next before the expiration of the term of office of the Presiding Bishop, it shall elect the Presiding Bishop of the Church. The House of Bishops shall choose one of the Bishops of this Church to be the Presiding Bishop of the Church by a vote of a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, except that whenever two-thirds of the House of Bishops are
present a majority vote shall suffice, such choice to be subject to confirmation by the House of Deputies. His term and tenure of office and duties and particulars of his election not inconsistent with the preceding provisions shall be prescribed by the Canons of the General Convention.

But if the Presiding Bishop of the Church shall resign his office as such, or if by reason of infirmity he shall become disabled, or in case of his death, the senior Bishop of this Church in the order of consecration, having jurisdiction within the United States, shall (unless the date of the next General Convention is within three months) immediately call a special meeting of the House of Bishops to be held within two months to elect a Bishop having jurisdiction in the United States to be the Presiding Bishop. The Bishop so elected shall serve until the next General Convention.

SEC. 4. The Church in each Diocese which has been admitted to union with the General Convention shall be entitled to representation in the House of Deputies by not more than four Presbyters, canonically resident in the Diocese, and not more than four Laymen, communicants of this Church, having domicile in the Diocese; but the General Convention by Canon may reduce the representation to not fewer than two Deputies in each order. Each Diocese shall prescribe the manner in which its Deputies shall be chosen.

The Church in each Missionary District which shall have been established in accordance with the Constitution and Canons for the government of this Church, shall also be entitled to representation in the House of Deputies by not more than one Presbyter, canonically resident in the Missionary District, and not more than one Layman, communicant of this Church, having
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domicile in the Missionary District. Each Missionary District shall prescribe the manner in which its Deputies shall be chosen. Deputies from such Missionary Districts, except as otherwise provided in the Constitution, shall be subject to all of the qualifications and with all of the rights of Deputies from Dioceses.

To constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, the Clerical order shall be represented by at least one Deputy in each of a majority of the Dioceses entitled to representation, and the Lay order shall likewise be represented by at least one Deputy in each of a majority of the Dioceses entitled to representation.

On any question the vote of a majority of the Deputies present shall suffice, unless otherwise ordered by this Constitution, or, in cases not specifically provided for by the Constitution, by Canons requiring more than a majority, or unless the Clerical or the Lay representation from any Diocese require that the vote be taken by orders. In all cases of a vote by orders, the two orders shall vote separately, each Diocese having one vote in the Clerical order and one in the Lay order, and each Missionary District having a one-fourth vote in the Clerical order and a one-fourth vote in the Lay order; and the concurrence of the votes of the two orders shall be necessary to constitute a vote of the House. No action of either order shall pass in the affirmative unless it receives the majority of all votes cast, and unless the sum of all the affirmative votes shall exceed the sum of other votes by at least one whole vote.

SEC. 5. In either House any number less than a quorum may adjourn from day to day. Neither House, without the consent of the other, shall adjourn for more than three days, or to any place other than that in which the Convention shall be sitting.
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SEC. 6. One Clerical and one Lay Deputy chosen by each Missionary District of the Church established by the House of Bishops, beyond the territory of the United States of America, and one Clerical and one Lay Deputy chosen by the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, shall have seats in the House of Deputies, subject to all the qualifications and with all the rights of Deputies, except as otherwise provided in this Constitution. Each Missionary District established by the House of Bishops beyond the territory of the United States and also the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, shall have the same rights in a vote by orders as are specified for Missionary Districts in Section 4 of this Article.

SEC. 7. The General Convention shall meet in every third year on the Wednesday after the first Sunday in October, unless a different day be appointed by the preceding Convention, and at the place designated by such Convention; but if there shall appear to the Presiding Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the National Council of the Church or of a successor canonical body having substantially the powers now vested in the National Council, sufficient cause for changing the place or date so appointed, he, with the advice and consent of such body, shall appoint another place or date, or both, for such meeting. Special meetings may be provided for by Canon.

ARTICLE II.

SECTION 1. In every Diocese the Bishop or the Bishop Coadjutor shall be chosen agreeably to rules prescribed by the Convention of that Diocese. Provided, however, that when a Diocese shall be formed out of a Missionary District, the Missionary Bishop in charge of said District shall become the Bishop of said Diocese, if he shall so elect. Missionary Bishops
shall be chosen in accordance with the Canons of the General Convention.

**Sec. 2.** No one shall be ordained and consecrated Bishop until he shall be thirty years of age; nor without the consent of a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses, and the consent of a majority of the Bishops of this Church exercising jurisdiction. But if the election shall have taken place within three months next before the meeting of the General Convention, the consent of the House of Deputies shall be required in place of that of a majority of the Standing Committees. No one shall be ordained and consecrated Bishop by fewer than three Bishops.

**Sec. 3.** A Bishop shall confine the exercise of his office to his own Diocese or Missionary District, unless he shall have been requested to perform episcopal acts in another Diocese or Missionary District by the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof, or unless he shall have been authorized and appointed by the House of Bishops, or by the Presiding Bishop by its direction, to act temporarily in case of need within any territory not yet organized into Dioceses or Missionary Districts of this Church.

**Sec. 4.** It shall be lawful for a Diocese, with consent of the Bishop of that Diocese, to elect one or more Suffragan Bishops, without right of succession, and with seat and vote in the House of Bishops. A Suffragan Bishop shall be consecrated and hold office under such conditions and limitations other than those provided in this Article as may be provided by Canons of the General Convention. He shall be eligible as Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor of a Diocese, or as a Suffragan in another Diocese, or he may be elected by the House of Bishops as a Missionary Bishop.
SEC. 5. It shall be lawful for a Diocese to prescribe by the Constitution and Canons of such Diocese that upon the death of the Bishop a Suffragan Bishop of that Diocese may be placed in charge of such Diocese and become temporarily the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof until such time as a new Bishop shall be chosen and consecrated; or that during the disability or absence of the Bishop a Suffragan Bishop of that Diocese may be placed in charge of such Diocese and become temporarily the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof.

SEC. 6. A Bishop may not resign his jurisdiction without the consent of the House of Bishops.

SEC. 7. It shall be lawful for the House of Bishops to elect a Suffragan Bishop who, under the direction of the Presiding Bishop, shall be in charge of the work of those chaplains in the Armed Forces of the United States who are ordained ministers of this Church. The Suffragan Bishop so elected shall be consecrated and hold office under such conditions and limitations other than those provided in this article as may be provided by Canons of the General Convention. He shall be eligible as Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor or Suffragan Bishop of a Diocese or he may be elected by the House of Bishops as a Missionary Bishop.

SEC. 8. Upon attaining the age of seventy-two years a Bishop shall tender his resignation from his jurisdiction.

ARTICLE III.

Bishops may be consecrated for foreign lands upon due application therefrom, with the approbation of a majority of the Bishops of this Church entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, certified to the Presiding Bishop; under such conditions as may be prescribed by Canons of the General Convention. Bishops so consecrated shall not be eligible to the
office of Diocesan or of Bishop Coadjutor of any Diocese in the United States or be entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, nor shall they perform any act of the episcopal office in any Diocese or Missionary District of this Church, unless requested so to do by the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof. If a Bishop so consecrated shall be subsequently duly elected as a Missionary Bishop of this Church he shall then enjoy all the rights and privileges given in the Canons to Missionary Bishops.

ARTICLE IV.

In every Diocese a Standing Committee shall be appointed by the Convention thereof. When there is a Bishop in charge of the Diocese, the Standing Committee shall be his Council of Advice. If there be no Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor or Suffragan Bishop canonically authorized to act, the Standing Committee shall be the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese for all purposes declared by the General Convention. The rights and duties of the Standing Committee, except as provided in the Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, may be prescribed by the Canons of the respective Dioceses.

ARTICLE V.

Section 1. A new Diocese may be formed, with the consent of the General Convention and under such conditions as the General Convention shall prescribe by general Canon or Canons, (1) by the erection into a Diocese of the whole or of any part of one or more Missionary Districts; (2) by the division of an existing Diocese; (3) by the junction of two or more Dioceses or of parts of two or more Dioceses; or (4) by the junction of the whole or part of a Missionary District with a Diocese, or with any part of one or more Dioceses. The proceedings shall orig-
in the Convocation of the Clergy and Laity of the Missionary District called by the Bishop for that purpose; or, with the approval of the Bishop, in the Convention of the Diocese to be divided; or (when it is proposed to form a new Diocese by the junction of two or more Dioceses or of parts of two or more Dioceses), by mutual agreement of the Conventions of the Dioceses concerned, with the approval of the Bishop of each Diocese. In case the Episcopate of a Diocese be vacant, no proceedings toward its division shall be taken until the vacancy is filled. During a vacancy in a Missionary District, the consent of the Presiding Bishop must be had before proceedings to erect it into a Diocese are taken. When it shall appear to the satisfaction of the General Convention, by a certified copy of the proceedings and other documents and papers laid before it, that all the conditions for the formation of the new Diocese have been complied with and that it has acceded to the Constitution and Canons of this Church, such new Diocese shall thereupon be admitted to union with the General Convention.

SEC. 2. In case one Diocese shall be divided into two or more Dioceses, the Bishop of the Diocese divided may elect the one to which he will be attached, and he shall thereupon become the Bishop thereof; and the Bishop Coadjutor, if there be one, may elect the one to which he shall be attached, and (if it be not the one elected by the Bishop) he shall be the Bishop thereof.

SEC. 3. In case a Diocese shall be formed out of parts of two or more Dioceses, each of the Bishops and Bishops Coadjutor of the several Dioceses out of which the new Diocese has been formed shall be entitled, in order of seniority of consecration, to the
choice between his own Diocese and the new Diocese so formed. In case the new Diocese shall not be so chosen, it shall have the right to choose its own Bishop.

Sec. 4. Whenever a new Diocese is formed and erected out of an existing Diocese, it shall be subject to the Constitution and Canons of the Diocese out of which it was formed, except as local circumstances may prevent, until the same be altered in accordance with such Constitution and Canons by the Convention of the new Diocese. Whenever a Diocese is formed out of two or more existing Dioceses, it shall be subject to the Constitution and Canons of that one of the said existing Dioceses to which the greater number of clergymen shall have belonged prior to the erection of such new Diocese, except as local circumstances may prevent, until the same be altered in accordance with such Constitution and Canons by the Convention of the new Diocese.

Sec. 5. A Diocese formed out of a Missionary District shall be subject to the Constitution and Canons to which such Missionary District was subject, until the same be altered in accordance with such Constitution and Canons by the Convention of the new Diocese.

Sec. 6. No new Diocese shall be formed unless it shall contain at least six Parishes and at least six Presbyters who have been for at least one year canonically resident within the bounds of such new Diocese, regularly settled in a Parish or Congregation and qualified to vote for a Bishop. Nor shall such new Diocese be formed if thereby any existing Diocese shall be so reduced as to contain fewer than twelve Parishes and twelve Presbyters who have been residing therein and settled and qualified as above provided.
CONSTITUTION

SEC. 7. The consent of the General Convention to the erection of a new Diocese shall not be given until it has satisfactory assurance of a suitable provision for the support of the Episcopate.

ARTICLE VI.

SECTION 1. The House of Bishops may establish Missionary Districts in States and Territories or parts thereof not organized into Dioceses. It may also from time to time change, increase, or diminish the territory included in such Missionary Districts in such manner as may be prescribed by Canon.

SEC. 2. The General Convention may accept a cession of the territorial jurisdiction of a part of a Diocese when such cession shall have been proposed by the Bishop and the Convention of such Diocese, and consent thereto shall have been given by three-fourths of the Parishes in the ceded territory, and also by the same ratio of the Parishes within the remaining territory.

Any territorial jurisdiction or any part of the same, which may have been accepted from a Diocese by the General Convention under the foregoing provision, may be retroceded to the said Diocese by such joint action of all the several parties as is herein required for its cession, save that in the case of retrocession of territory the consent of parishes within the territory retroceded shall not be necessary; provided that such action of the General Convention, whether of cession or retrocession, shall be by a vote of two-thirds of all the Bishops present and voting and by a vote of two-thirds of the House of Deputies voting by orders.

SEC. 3. Missionary Districts shall be organized as may be prescribed by Canon of the General Convention.
ARTICLE VII.

Dioceses and Missionary Districts may be united into Provinces in such manner, under such conditions, and with such powers, as shall be provided by Canon of the General Convention; Provided, however, that no Diocese shall be included in a Province without its own consent.

ARTICLE VIII.

No person shall be ordered Priest or Deacon to minister in this Church until he shall have been examined by the Bishop and two Priests and shall have exhibited such testimonials and other requisites as the Canons in that case provided may direct. No persons shall be ordained and consecrated Bishop, or ordered Priest or Deacon to minister in this Church, unless at the time, in the presence of the ordaining Bishop or Bishops, he shall subscribe and make the following declaration:

"I do believe the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments to be the Word of God, and to contain all things necessary to salvation; and I do solemnly engage to conform to the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America."

Provided, however, that any person consecrated a Bishop to minister in any Diocese or Missionary District of an autonomous Church or Province of a Church in Communion with this Church may, instead of the foregoing declaration, make the promises of Conformity required by the Church in which he is to minister.

If any Bishop ordains a Priest or Deacon to minister elsewhere than in this Church, or confers ordina-
Admission of Foreign Clergy. No person ordained by a foreign Bishop, or by a Bishop not in communion with this Church, shall be permitted to officiate as a Minister of this Church until he shall have complied with the Canon or Canons in that case provided and also shall have subscribed the aforesaid declaration.

ARTICLE IX.

The General Convention may, by Canon, establish a Court for the trial of Bishops, which shall be composed of Bishops only.

Presbyters and Deacons canonically resident in a Diocese shall be tried by a Court instituted by the Convention thereof; Presbyters and Deacons canonically resident in a Missionary District shall be tried according to Canons adopted by the Bishop and Convocation thereof, with the approval of the House of Bishops; Provided, that the General Convention in each case may prescribe by Canon for a change of venue.

The General Convention, in like manner, may establish or may provide for the establishment of Courts of Review of the determination of Diocesan or other trial Courts.

The Court for the review of the determination of the trial Court, on the trial of a Bishop, shall be composed of Bishops only.

The General Convention, in like manner may establish an ultimate Court of Appeal, solely for the review of the determination of any Court of Review on questions of Doctrine, Faith or Worship.
None but a Bishop shall pronounce sentence of admonition, or of suspension, deposition, or degradation from the Ministry, on any Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon.

A sentence of suspension shall specify on what terms or conditions and at what time the suspension shall cease.

**ARTICLE X.**

The Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church, together with the Psalter or Psalms of David, the Form and Manner of Making, Ordaining, and Consecrating Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, the Form of Consecration of a Church or Chapel, the Office of Institution of Ministers, and Articles of Religion, as now established or hereafter amended by the authority of this Church, shall be in use in all the Dioceses and Missionary Districts, and in the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, of this Church. No alteration thereof or addition thereto shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed in one triennial meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent within six months to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or Convocation of the Missionary District or of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at its next succeeding triennial meeting by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies and all the Missionary
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How the Tables of Lessons may be amended.

Districts, and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders, each to have the vote provided for in Article I, Sec. 4.

Provided, however, that the General Convention at any meeting shall have power to amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the use of the Psalms by a majority of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, and all the Missionary Districts and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders as previously laid down in this Article.

And Provided, further, that nothing in this Article shall be construed as restricting the authority of the Bishops of this Church to take such order as may be permitted by the Rubrics of the Book of Common Prayer or by the Canons of the General Convention for the use of special forms of worship.

ARTICLE XI.

No alteration or amendment of this Constitution shall be made unless the same shall be first proposed at one triennial meeting of the General Convention and by a resolve thereof be sent to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation, or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe at its next meeting, and be adopted by the General Convention at its next succeeding triennial meeting by a majority of all Bishops, excluding retired Bishops not present, of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses and of all the Missionary
Districts and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, entitled to representation in the House of Deputies, voting by orders, each having the vote provided for in Sec. 4 of Article I.

Each duly adopted alteration or amendment to this Constitution, unless otherwise expressly stated therein, shall take effect on the first day of January following the adjournment of the General Convention at which it is finally adopted.
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Canons
AS AMENDED, ADOPTED, AND CODIFIED
IN GENERAL CONVENTION, 1943
AND SUBSEQUENTLY AMENDED

I.
ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION

CANON 1.

Of the General Convention.

Sec. 1 (a). At the time and place appointed for the meeting of the General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies, or, in his absence a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the President of the House or if there be none such a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the members from the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee of Arrangements appointed by the preceding General Convention for the next General Convention, shall call to order the members present, and record the names of those whose testimonials, in due form, shall have been presented to him, which record shall be prima facie evidence that the persons whose names are therein recorded are entitled to seats. If there be a quorum present, according to the record, the Secretary shall so declare, and the House shall proceed to organize by the election by ballot of a President from the members of the House, and of a Secretary; and a majority of all the votes cast shall be necessary to an election. The President, so elected, shall continue in office until the next meeting of the General Convention. As soon as a President and Secretary have been elected a committee shall be appointed to wait upon the House of Bishops, and
inform them of the organization of the House of Deputies, and of its readiness to proceed to business.

(b). In order to aid the Secretary in preparing the record specified in Clause (a), it shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese to forward to him, as soon as may be practicable, a copy of the latest Journal of the Diocesan Convention, together with a certified copy of the testimonials of members aforesaid. He shall also forward a duplicate copy of such testimonials to the Standing Committee of the Diocese in which the General Convention is next to meet.

(c). The Secretary shall keep full minutes of the proceedings of the House; record them, with all reports, in a book provided for that purpose; preserve the Journals and Records of the House; deliver them to the Registrar, as hereinafter provided, and perform such other duties as may be directed by the House. He may, with the approval of the House, appoint Assistant Secretaries, and the Secretary and Assistant Secretaries shall continue in office until the organization of the next General Convention, and until their successors be chosen.

(d). It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the House of Deputies, whenever any alteration of the Book of Common Prayer or of the Constitution is proposed, or any other subject submitted to the consideration of the several Diocesan Conventions, to give notice thereof to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Church in every Diocese and Missionary District, as well as to the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of every Missionary District, and written evidence that the foregoing requirement has been complied with shall be presented by him to the General Convention at its next session. All such notices shall be sent by registered mail, return receipts being required. He shall notify each Secretary that it is his
duty to make known such proposed alterations of the Book of Common Prayer, and of the Constitution, and such other subjects, to the Convention of his Diocese or Missionary District at its next meeting, and to certify to the Secretary of the House of Deputies that such action has been taken by him.

(e). The Secretary of the House of Deputies and the Treasurer of the General Convention shall be entitled to seats upon the floor of the House, and, with the consent of the President, they may speak on the subjects of their respective offices.

(f). At the meetings of the House of Deputies the Rules and Orders of the previous meeting shall be in force until they are amended or repealed by the House.

(g). In case of the resignation, death or total disability of the President during the recess of the General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall perform such ad interim duties as may appertain to the office of President until the next meeting of the General Convention or until such disability is removed.

(h). If during recess a vacancy shall occur in the office of Secretary of the House of Deputies, the duties thereof shall devolve upon the First Assistant Secretary, or, if there be none such, upon a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the President of the House, or if the office of President be also vacant, by the members from the House of Deputies of the Joint Committee on Arrangements for the next General Convention, appointed by the preceding General Convention.

(i). At every triennial meeting of the General Convention, the Secretary elected by the House of Deputies shall, by concurrent action of the two Houses of the General Convention, also be made the Secretary of the General Convention, who shall have responsibility for the printing of the Journal of the General Convention,
and attend to any other matters which may be referred to him.

Sec. 2 (a). The right of calling special meetings of the General Convention shall be vested in the Bishops. The Presiding Bishop shall issue the summons for such meetings, designating the time and place thereof, with the consent, or on the requisition, of a majority of the Bishops, expressed to him in writing.

(b). The Deputies elected to the preceding General Convention shall be the Deputies at such special meetings of the General Convention, except in those cases in which other Deputies shall have been chosen in the meantime by any of the Diocesan Conventions, and then such other Deputies shall represent in the special meeting of the General Convention the Church of the Diocese in which they have been chosen.

(c). Any vacancy in the representation of any Diocese caused by the death, absence or inability of any Deputy, shall be supplied either temporarily or permanently in such manner as shall be prescribed by the Diocese, or, in the absence of any such provision, by appointment by the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese. During such periods as shall be stated in the certificate issued to him by the appointing power, the Provisional Deputy so appointed shall possess and shall be entitled to exercise the power and authority of the Deputy in place of whom he shall have been designated.

Sec. 3 (a). The House of Deputies, upon the nomination of the House of Bishops, shall elect a Presbyter, to be known as the Registrar of the General Convention, whose duty it shall be to receive all Journals, files, papers, reports and other documents or articles that are, or shall become, the property of either House of the General Convention; to arrange, label, index, and put them in order, and to provide for the safe keeping of the same in some fire-proof, accessible place
of deposit, and to hold the same under such regulations as the General Convention may, from time to time, provide.

(b). It shall also be the duty of the said Registrar to procure a suitable book, and to enter therein the record of the ordinations and consecrations of all the Bishops of this Church, designating accurately the time and place of the same, with the names of the consecrating Bishops, and of others present and assisting; to have the same authenticated in the fullest manner practicable; and to take care for the similar record and authentication of all future ordinations and consecrations of Bishops in this Church. Due notice of the time and place of such ordinations and consecrations shall be given by the Presiding Bishop to the Registrar; and thereupon it shall be his duty to attend such ordinations and consecrations, either in person or by deputy.

(c). He shall prepare, in such form as the House of Bishops shall prescribe, the Letters of Ordination and Consecration in duplicate; and he shall have the same immediately signed and sealed by the ordaining and consecrating Bishops, and by such other Bishops assisting as may be practicable; and he shall deliver to the newly consecrated Bishop one of the said Letters, and shall carefully file the other among the papers in his custody, and make a minute thereof in his book of record.

(d). The Registrar shall also be Historiographer, unless in any case the House of Bishops shall make a separate nomination; and in this event the House of Deputies shall confirm the nomination.

(e). The necessary expenses incurred under this Section shall be paid by the Treasurer of the General Convention.

(f). It shall be the duty of the Secretaries of both Houses, within six months after the adjournment of the General Convention, to deliver to the Registrar the
manuscript minutes of the proceedings of both Houses, together with the Journals, files, papers, reports, and all other documents of either House. The manuscript minutes of both Houses shall remain filed until after the adjournment of the Second Convention following that at which such minutes shall have been taken; Provided, however, that any part of such minutes, for any reason unpublished in the Journal, shall remain filed in the Archives. The Secretary of the House of Deputies shall also deliver to the Registrar, when not otherwise expressly directed, all the Journals, files, papers, reports, and other documents specified in Canon 5. The Secretaries shall require the Registrar to give them receipts for the Journals and other papers delivered to him.

(g). In the case of a vacancy in the office of Registrar, the Presiding Bishop shall appoint a Registrar, who shall hold office until the next General Convention.

Sec. 4 (a). The House of Deputies, upon nomination of the House of Bishops, shall elect a Recorder (who may be a natural person or an incorporated organization of this Church), whose duty it shall be to continue the List of Ordinations and to keep a list of the Clergy in regular standing.

(b). It shall be the duty of the Bishop, or, if there be no Bishop, of the President of the Standing Committee or Council of Advice of every Diocese and Missionary District and the Convocation of American Churches in Europe, to forward to the Recorder on or before the first day of March in each and every year a report certifying the following information as of the thirty-first day of December in the preceding year: (1) the names of the Clergy canonically resident therein with their several charges; (2) the names of the Clergy licensed by the Bishop to officiate, but not yet transferred; (3) the names of all persons connected with the Diocese, District or Convocation who have been
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ordered Deacons or Priests during the preceding twelve months, with the date and place of ordination and the name of the Bishop ordaining; (4) the names of the Clergy of the Diocese, District or Convocation who have died during the preceding twelve months, with the date and place of death; (5) the names of the Clergy who have been received during the preceding twelve months, with the date of their reception and the name of the Diocese, District or Convocation from which received, and, in the case of Clergy not received from a Diocese, District or Convocation of this Church, the date and place of ordination and the name of the Bishop ordaining; (6) the names of the Clergy who have been transferred during the preceding twelve months, with the dates of the Letters Dimissory and of their acceptance, and the name of the Diocese, District, or Convocation to which transferred; (7) the names of the Clergy who have been suspended during the preceding twelve months, with the date and ground of suspension; (8) the names of the Clergy who have been deprived or deposed during the preceding twelve months, with the date, place, and ground of deprivation or deposition; (9) the names of the Clergy who have been restored during the preceding twelve months, with the date; (10) the names of Deaconesses canonically resident therein.

(c). It shall be the duty of the Recorder to furnish, upon proper authority and at the expense of the applicant, such information as may be in the possession of the Recorder based upon the reports required under Clause (b) hereof, but in no case shall the Recorder publish or furnish for publication the grounds of any suspension, deprivation or deposition.

(d). The Recorder shall prepare and present to each session of the General Convention a list of all Clergy ordained, received, suspended, deprived, deposed, or restored, and of all Bishops consecrated, and of all Clergy and Bishops who have died, such list to
cover the period from the last preceding similar report of the Recorder through the thirty-first day of December immediately preceding each session of the General Convention.

(e). The necessary expenses incurred under this Section by the Recorder, shall be paid by the Treasurer of the General Convention.

(f). In case of a vacancy in the office of Recorder, the Presiding Bishop shall appoint a Recorder, who shall hold office until the next General Convention.

Sec. 5 (a). At every triennial meeting of the General Convention a Treasurer shall be elected by concurrent action of the two Houses, and shall remain in office until a successor shall be elected. It shall be his duty to receive and disburse all moneys collected under the authority of the Convention, and of which the collection and disbursement shall not otherwise be prescribed; and, with the advice and approval of the Presiding Bishop and the Treasurer of the National Council, to invest, from time to time, such surplus funds as he may have on hand. His account shall be rendered triennially to the Convention, and shall be audited at the direction of a committee acting under its authority.

(b). In case of a vacancy, by death, resignation, or otherwise, in the office of Treasurer of the General Convention, the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies shall appoint a Treasurer, who shall hold office until a successor is elected. In case of temporary inability of the Treasurer to act, from illness or other cause, the same officials shall appoint an Acting Treasurer who shall perform all duties of the Treasurer until the Treasurer is able to resume them.

Sec. 6. In order that the contingent expenses of the General Convention, and the stipend of the Presiding Bishop, together with the necessary expenses of his
office, and Church Pension Fund assessments, may be
defrayed, it shall be the duty of the several Diocesan
Conventions and of the Convocations of the several
Missionary Districts to forward to the Treasurer of
the General Convention annually, on the first Monday
of January, as to each Diocese not more than twenty­
two dollars for each Bishop having jurisdiction therein,
any Bishop Coadjutor, and each Suffragan Bishop in
active service therein, and each retired Bishop and
each Presbyter and Deacon canonically resident there­
in, and as to each Missionary District an amount equal
to one-quarter of the above described Diocesan levy
for each Bishop having jurisdiction therein, any Bishop
Coadjutor, and each Suffragan Bishop in active service
therein, and each retired Bishop and each Presbyter
and Deacon canonically resident therein. The number
of Bishops, Presbyters, and Deacons canonically resi­
dent in each Diocese and Missionary District, as
reported to the House of Deputies and recorded in the
Journal of the General Convention last preceding, shall
be the basis upon which such assessment shall be made.
The amount of such assessment shall be determined by
the Joint Committee on Expenses. A new Diocese not
recorded in the last Journal shall furnish to the Treas­
er, prior to the first day of November, a report of
the number of Bishops, Presbyters, and Deacons for
which such Diocese is subject to assessment, which
shall be the same as in its report to the House of
Deputies.

Sec. 7. The Treasurer of the General Convention
shall have authority to borrow, in behalf and in the
name of the General Convention, with the approval
of the Presiding Bishop, such a sum, not exceeding
twenty-five thousand dollars per annum, as in his judg­
ment may be necessary to help defray the expenses of
the General Convention; Provided, that the total am­
ount of the indebtedness authorized in this Section shall
at no time exceed fifty thousand dollars.
Sec. 7. The Treasurer shall give a bond conditioned on the faithful performance of his duties. The amount thereof and the terms on which the same shall be given shall be subject to the approval of the Presiding Bishop, the expense of such bond to be paid by the General Convention.

Sec. 8. The Treasurer shall submit to the General Convention at each regular meeting thereof a detailed budget for which he proposes to request appropriations for the ensuing triennium. He shall have power to expend all sums of money covered by this budget, subject to such provisions of the Canons as shall be applicable.

Sec. 9. The Treasurer may appoint, subject to the approval of the Presiding Bishop, an Assistant Treasurer, who shall hold office during the pleasure of the Treasurer and shall perform such duties as shall be assigned to him by the Treasurer. He shall give a bond conditioned on the faithful performance of his duties. The amount thereof and the terms on which the same shall be given shall be subject to the approval of the Presiding Bishop, the expense of such bond to be paid by the General Convention.

Sec. 1. Before a Presiding Bishop is elected a Joint Nominating Committee consisting of eight Bishops (one from each Province) together with four clerical and four lay members of the House of Deputies (one member from each Province) shall present to the House of Bishops the names of three members thereof for its consideration in the choice of a Presiding Bishop.

Sec. 2. The Presiding Bishop, when elected according to the provisions of Article I., Section 3, of the
Constitution, shall hold office until the fifteenth day of November succeeding the General Convention which follows his attainment of the age of sixty-eight years or which occurs in the calendar year in which he attains that age. Except that when a Presiding Bishop has been elected by the House of Bishops to fill a vacancy, as provided for in the second paragraph of Article I., Section 3, of the Constitution, the Presiding Bishop elected by the next General Convention shall take office immediately.

Sec. 3 (a). Upon the expiration of the term of office of the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop who is elected to succeed him shall tender to the House of Bishops his resignation of his previous jurisdiction, to take effect upon the date of his assuming the office of Presiding Bishop, or not later than six months thereafter.

(b). Such resignation shall be acted upon immediately by the House of Bishops.

Sec. 4. The Presiding Bishop shall preside over meetings of the House of Bishops, and shall take order for the consecration of Bishops, when duly elected. He shall also perform all other duties prescribed for him by other Canons of the General Convention.

Sec. 5. The stipend of the Presiding Bishop and his necessary expenses shall be fixed by the General Convention and shall be provided for in the budget to be submitted by the Treasurer, as provided in the Canon entitled, “Of the General Convention.”

Sec. 6. In the event of the disability of the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop who, according to the Rules of the House of Bishops, becomes its Presiding Officer, shall be substituted for the Presiding Bishop for all the purposes of these Canons, except the Canons entitled, “Of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society,” and “Of the National Council.”
Sec. 7. At the expiration of his term of office the Presiding Bishop, and any other Bishop who shall have held the office of Presiding Bishop, shall receive a retiring allowance of six thousand dollars per year, less whatever retiring allowance they may receive from The Church Pension Fund.

CANON 3.

Of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society.

The Constitution of the said Society, which was incorporated by an act of the Legislature of the State of New York, as from time to time amended, is hereby amended and established so as to read as follows:

Constitution of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America as established in 1821, and since amended at various times.

ARTICLE I. This organization shall be called The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, and shall be considered as comprehending all persons who are members of the Church.

ARTICLE II. The National Council, as constituted by Canon, shall be its Board of Directors, and shall adopt By-laws for its government not inconsistent with the Constitution and Canons.

ARTICLE III. The officers of the Society shall be a President, a Vice President, a Secretary, a Treasurer and such Assistant Secretaries and Assistant Treasurers as may be appointed in accordance with the Canons or By-laws. The Presiding Bishop of the Church shall be the President of the Society; the Vice President shall be the person who is the Vice President
of the National Council, and shall have such powers and shall perform such duties as may be assigned to him by the By-laws. The Treasurer of the Society shall be elected by the General Convention, and shall hold office for three years and until his successor shall be elected and qualified. In the event of a vacancy in the office of Treasurer through death, resignation or disability, the Directors of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society shall appoint a Treasurer to fill such vacancy until the General Convention shall elect a Treasurer. The Secretary shall be the person who is the Secretary of the National Council. The other officers of the Society shall be such as are provided for by the By-laws thereof. The tenure of office, compensation, powers and duties of the officers of the Society shall be such as are prescribed by the Canons and by the By-laws of the Society not inconsistent therewith.

ARTICLE IV. This Constitution of the Society may be altered or amended at any time by the General Convention of the Church.

CANON 4.

Of the National Council.

Sec. 1 (a). The Presiding Bishop and the National Council as hereinafter constituted shall have charge of the unification, development and prosecution of the Missionary, Educational, and Social Work of the Church, of which work the Presiding Bishop shall be the executive head.

(b). The officers of the National Council shall be a President, one or more Vice Presidents, a Secretary and a Treasurer, with such duties as the Council, pursuant to this Canon, from time to time, may prescribe.
Sec. 2 (a). The National Council, herein referred to as the Council, shall be composed of sixteen members elected by the General Convention, of whom four shall be Bishops, four shall be Presbyters, and eight shall be Laymen, two Bishops, two Presbyters, and four Laymen to be elected at each triennial meeting of the General Convention; of members elected by the Provincial Synods, each Synod having the right to elect one member at its last regular meeting prior to the triennial meeting of the General Convention; and of four members of the Episcopal Churchwomen to be nominated by it and elected at each triennial meeting of the General Convention. The President, the Vice Presidents and the Treasurer of the Council shall be ex officio members thereof.

Of the members to be elected by the General Convention, the Bishops shall be elected by the House of Bishops subject to confirmation by the House of Deputies, and the Presbyters and Laymen shall be elected by the House of Deputies subject to confirmation by the House of Bishops.

(b). The term of office of the members of the Council elected by the General Convention (other than ex officio members) shall be six years; the term of office of the members of the Council elected by the Provincial Synods shall be three years; and the term of office of the members of the Council nominated by the Episcopal Churchwomen shall be three years. The term of office of all members elected as above provided shall commence immediately upon their election and their written acceptance thereof filed with the Secretary of the National Council. Members shall remain in office until their successors are elected and qualified. After any person shall have served six consecutive years on the National Council, a period of three years shall elapse before such person shall be eligible for re-election to the Council.
Should any vacancy occur in the Council through the death or resignation of a member elected by the General Convention or through the change in status of any such member by consecration or ordination the Council shall fill such vacancy by the election of a suitable person to serve until his successor is elected by the General Convention. The General Convention shall elect a suitable person to serve the portion of any term which will remain unexpired.

Should any vacancy occur in the Council through the failure of any Provincial Synod to elect a member, or through the death, resignation or removal from the Province, of any such member, the President and Executive Council of the Province shall appoint a suitable person, canonically resident in such Province, to serve until the Provincial Synod shall by election fill the vacancy.

Should any vacancy occur in the Council through the death or resignation of a member elected from the Episcopal Churchwomen, the General Division of Women's Work of the National Council shall nominate a suitable person to fill the portion of the term which will remain unexpired.

(c). The Council shall exercise the powers conferred upon it by Canon, and such further powers as may be designated by the General Convention, and between sessions of the General Convention may initiate and develop such new work as it may deem necessary. It may, subject to the provision of this Canon, enact By-laws for its own government and the government of its several departments.

In its capacity as the Board of Directors of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, the Council shall have the power to direct the disposition of the moneys and other property of said Society in accordance with the provisions of this Canon and the orders and budgets adopted or approved by the General Convention.
Sec. 3. The Presiding Bishop shall be *ex officio* the President, and the Treasurer of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society shall be *ex officio* the Treasurer, of the Council. The Council shall elect the Vice Presidents and the Secretary, such elections to be upon the nomination of the President. The additional officers, agents, and employees of the Council shall be such and shall perform such duties as the Presiding Bishop and the Council may from time to time designate.

Sec. 4 (a). The Council shall meet at such place, and at such stated times, at least three times each year, as it shall appoint and at such other times as it may be convened. The Council shall be convened at the request of the President, or on the written request of any nine members thereof.

(b). Nine elected members of the Council shall be necessary to constitute a quorum at any meeting of the Council.

Sec. 5 (a). With the exception of the salary of the President the salaries of all officers of the Council and of all agents and employees of the Council, shall be fixed by the Council and paid by the Treasurer.

(b). The salary of each Bishop of a Missionary District shall be paid by the Treasurer. Such salary shall date from the Bishop’s consecration or from the date of his translation, if he be already consecrated, and shall not be diminished without his consent while such Bishop remains in charge of a District. Every Missionary District shall bear a part of the expense of the salary of its Bishop, the amount to be fixed from time to time by the National Council and charged against the District in such manner as may be most convenient.

Sec. 6 (a). The Council shall submit to the General Convention at each regular session thereof a pro-
gram for the triennium, including a detailed budget of that part of the program for which it proposes to make appropriation for the ensuing year, and estimated budgets for the two succeeding years. In connection with the preparation of such budget the National Council shall, at least fifteen months before the session of the General Convention, transmit to the President of each Province a statement of its existing appropriations for the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within such Province, showing the items for which such appropriations are expended, for the purpose of obtaining the advice of the Province as to changes therein. The Synod, or Council, of each Province shall thereupon, in such manner as the Synod shall determine, consider such budget and report its findings to the National Council for its information. After the preparation of the budget the National Council shall, at least four months before the session of the General Convention, transmit to the Bishop of each Diocese and each Missionary District a statement of the existing and the proposed appropriations for all items in the budget. The National Council shall also submit to the General Convention with the budget a plan for the apportionment to the respective Dioceses and Missionary Districts of the sum needed to execute the program.

(b). There shall be joint sessions of the two Houses for the presentation of such program; and thereafter consideration shall be given and appropriate action taken thereon by the General Convention. The Council shall have the power to expend all sums of money covered by the budget and estimated budgets approved by the Convention, subject to such restrictions as may be imposed by the General Convention. It shall also have power to undertake such other work provided for in the program approved by the General Convention, or other work under the jurisdiction of the Council, the need for which may have arisen after
the action of the General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant.

(c). Upon the adoption by the General Convention of a program and plan of apportionment for the ensuing triennium, the Council shall formally advise each Diocese and Domestic Missionary District with respect to its proportionate part of the estimated expenditure involved in the execution of the program in accordance with the plan of apportionment adopted by the General Convention. Such objectives shall be determined by the Council upon an equitable basis.

(d). Each Diocese and Missionary District shall thereupon notify each Parish and Mission of the amount of the objective allotted to such Diocese or District, and the amount of such objective to be raised by each Parish or Mission. Each Diocese and Missionary District shall present to each Parish and Mission a total objective which shall include both its share of the proposed Diocesan Budget or that of the Missionary District and its share of the objective apportioned to the Diocese or Missionary District by the National Council in accordance with the plan adopted by the General Convention. The division of all funds which the Diocese or Missionary District receives for these purposes shall be strictly in accordance with the proportion which the total proposed budget of the Diocese or Missionary District bears to the total objective presented on behalf of the National Council.

(e). The National Council shall approve a standard form for use in Dioceses and Missionary Districts, for the purpose of showing receipts and the distribution of receipts for all purposes. Each Diocese and Missionary District shall annually report to the National Council all receipts and the distribution of such receipts on the standard form.
Sec. 7 (a). Every Missionary Bishop, or in case of a vacancy, the Bishop in charge of the District, receiving aid from the Council, shall report at the close of each fiscal year to the Council, giving account of his work, of money received from all sources and disbursed for all purposes, and of the state of the Church in his District at the date of such report, all in such form as the Council may prescribe.

(b). Every Bishop of a Diocese receiving aid from the Council shall report at the close of each fiscal year to the Council giving account of the work in his Diocese supported in whole or in part by the Council.

Sec. 8. The Council, as soon as practicable after the close of each fiscal year, shall make and publish a full report of its work to the Church. Such report shall contain an itemized statement of all receipts and disbursements and a statement of all trust funds and other property of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, and of all other trust funds and property in its possession or under its control. The Council shall make a like report including a detailed schedule of the salaries paid to all officers, agents and principal employees, to each General Convention.

Sec. 9. No person shall, under any power or authority delegated by this Canon, be appointed a Missionary, who is not, at the time, a Minister or a member of this Church, or of some Church in communion with this Church, in regular standing; Provided, however, that, at the request of the Bishop of a Diocese or Missionary District, other persons not so qualified may be employed in exceptional cases.

Sec. 10. All Canons or parts of Canons inconsistent with the provisions of this Canon are hereby repealed.
Sec. 1. A report of every Parish and other congregation of this Church shall be prepared annually for the year ending December 31st preceding, upon the blank form prepared by the National Council and approved by the Committee on the State of the Church, and shall be sent in duplicate not later than February 1st to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District, or, where there is no Bishop, to the Secretary of the Diocese or District. The Bishop or the Secretary, as the case may be, shall send the duplicate copy to the National Council. In every Parish the preparation and delivery of this report shall be the joint duty of the Rector and Vestry; and in every other congregation the duty of the Minister in charge thereof. This report shall include the following information: (1) the number of baptisms, confirmations, marriages and burials during the year; the total number of baptized persons and communicants in good standing at the time of the report; and for all purposes the number of members of this Church shall be deemed to be the number of baptized persons; (2) a summary of all the receipts and expenditures, from whatever source derived and for whatever purpose used; (3) a statement of the property held by the Parish, whether real or personal, with an appraisal of its value, together with a statement of the indebtedness of the Parish, if any, and of the amount of insurance carried; and (4) such other relevant information as is needed to secure an accurate view of the state of this Church, as required by the approved form. And every Minister not in charge of any Parish or Congregation shall also report his occasional services, and if there have been none, the causes or reasons which have prevented the same. And these reports, or such parts of them as the Bishop may deem proper, shall be entered in the Journal.
Sec. 2. It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Convention of every Diocese and of the Convocation of every Missionary District to forward to the Secretary of the House of Deputies immediately upon publication, the Journals of the Convention of the Diocese or Convocation of the Missionary District, together with Episcopal charges, statements and such other papers as may show the state of the Church in his Diocese or Missionary District.

It shall also be his duty, immediately after the adjournment of the Convention or Convocation to prepare in duplicate and forward forthwith, one copy to the Secretary of the House of Deputies and one copy to the National Council, upon the blank form prepared by the National Council and approved by the Committee on the State of the Church, a condensed summary of the statistics required by the preceding section of this Canon, together with the information required by the blank form specified in this Section pertaining to the Diocese or Missionary District and to all institutions in any way connected with the Diocese or Missionary District. The Secretary of the House of Deputies shall, as soon as may be, present these papers to the House, and a committee shall be appointed to prepare and present to the House a report on the State of the Church, which report, when agreed to by the said House, shall be sent to the House of Bishops.

CANON 6.

Of Business Methods in Church Affairs.

Sec. 1. In every Diocese, Missionary District, Parish, Mission and Institution, connected with this Church, the following standard business methods shall be observed:

(1). Trust and permanent funds and all securities of whatsoever kind shall be deposited with a Federal or State Bank, or a Diocesan Corporation, or with
some other agency approved in writing by the Finance Committee or the Department of Finance of the Diocese or Missionary District, under either a deed of trust or an agency agreement, providing for at least two signatures on any order of withdrawal of such funds or securities.

But this paragraph shall not apply to funds and securities refused by the depositories named as being too small for acceptance. Such small funds and securities shall be under the care of the persons or corporations properly responsible for them.

(2). Records shall be made and kept of all trust and permanent funds showing at least the following:

(a) Source and date.

(b) Terms governing the use of principal and income.

(c) To whom and how often reports of condition are to be made.

(d) How the funds are invested.

(3). Treasurers and custodians, other than banking institutions, shall be adequately bonded; except treasurers of funds that do not exceed five hundred dollars at any one time during the fiscal year.

(4). Books of account shall be so kept as to provide the basis for satisfactory accounting.

(5). All accounts shall be audited annually by a Certified or Independent Public Accountant, or by such an accounting agency as shall be permitted by the Finance Committee or Department of Finance of the Diocese or Missionary District.

A certificate of audit shall be forwarded to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority not later than July 1 of each year, covering the financial reports of the previous calendar year.

(6). All buildings and their contents shall be kept adequately insured.
(7). The Finance Committee or Department of Finance of the Diocese or Missionary District may require copies of any or all accounts described in this Section to be filed with it and shall report annually to the Convention of the Diocese, or Convocation of the Missionary District upon its administration of this Canon.

(8). The fiscal year shall begin January 1.

Sec. 2. The several Dioceses and Missionary Districts shall give effect to the foregoing standard business methods by the enactment of Canons appropriate thereto, which Canons shall invariably provide for a Finance Committee or a Department of Finance of the Diocese or Missionary District.

Sec. 3. No Vestry, Trustee, or other body, authorized by Civil or Canon law to hold, manage or administer real property for any Parish, Mission, Congregation, or Institution, shall encumber or alienate the same or any part thereof (save for the refinancing of an existing loan) without the written consent of the Bishop and Standing Committee of the Diocese, or the Bishop and Council of Advice of the Missionary District, of which the Parish, Mission, Congregation, or Institution is a part, except under such regulations as may be prescribed by Canon of the Diocese or Missionary District.

CANON 7.

Of The Church Pension Fund.

Sec. 1. The Church Pension Fund, a corporation created by Chapter 97 of the Laws of the State of New York as subsequently amended, is hereby authorized to establish and administer the clergy pension system of this Church substantially in accordance with the principles adopted by the General Convention of 1913 and approved thereafter by the several Dioceses.
and Missionary Districts, with the view of providing for the clergy disabled by age or other infirmity and for the widows and minor children of deceased clergy.

Sec. 2. The General Convention at each triennial meeting shall elect, on the nomination of a Joint Committee thereof, eight persons to serve as Trustees of The Church Pension Fund for a term of nine years and until their successors shall have been elected and have qualified, and shall also fill such vacancies as may exist on the Board of Trustees.

Sec. 3. For the purpose of administering the pension system, The Church Pension Fund shall be entitled to receive and to use all net royalties arising from publications authorized by the General Convention, and to levy upon and to collect from all Parishes, Missions, and other ecclesiastical organizations or bodies subject to the authority of this Church, and any other societies, organizations, or bodies in the Church which under the regulations of The Church Pension Fund shall elect to come into the pension system, assessments based upon the salaries and other compensation paid to clergymen by such Parishes, Missions, and other ecclesiastical organizations or bodies for services rendered currently or in the past, prior to their becoming beneficiaries of the Fund.

Sec. 4. The pension system shall be so administered that no pension shall be allotted before there shall be in the hands of The Church Pension Fund sufficient funds to meet such pension, except as directed by the General Convention in 1958.

Sec. 5. To every clergymen who, at an age which The Church Pension Fund shall ascertain and determine to be the normal age of ordination, shall be ordained in this Church or received into this Church from another Church, and who shall remain in continuous service in the office and work of the Ministry
in this Church, and in respect of whom the conditions of this Canon shall have been fulfilled in the payment of assessments on such reasonable basis as The Church Pension Fund may establish under its Rules of administration, The Church Pension Fund shall make a retiring allowance of at least six hundred dollars a year, and shall also make widows' and minor orphans' allowances related thereto. In the case of a clergyman who at the time of his ordination or reception shall be older than such normal age of ordination or in whose behalf assessments shall not have been continuously and fully paid, The Church Pension Fund shall determine his retiring allowance and the allowance to his widow and minor children, upon fulfillment of the other conditions of this Canon, at a rate consistent with proper actuarial practice. The Trustees of The Church Pension Fund are hereby empowered to establish such Rules and Regulations as will fulfill the intention of this Canon and are consistent with sound actuarial practice. Subject to the provisions of this Canon, the general principle shall be observed that there shall be an actuarial relation between the several assessments and the several benefits, Provided, however, that the Board of Trustees shall have power to establish such maximum of annuities greater than two thousand dollars as shall be in the best interests of the Church, within the limits of sound actuarial practice.

Sec. 6. An Initial Reserve Fund, derived from voluntary gifts, shall be administered by The Church Pension Fund so as to assure to clergy ordained prior to March 1, 1917, and their families such addition to the support to which they may become entitled on the basis of assessments authorized by this Canon as may bring their several allowances up to the scale herein established.
Sec. 7. The action of the Trustees of the General Clergy Relief Fund in accepting the provisions of Chapter 239 of the Laws of 1915 of the State of New York, authorizing a merger with The Church Pension Fund, upon terms agreed upon between said two Funds, is hereby approved. Any corporation, society or other organization which hitherto has administered clergy relief funds, may to such extent as may be compatible with its corporate powers and its existing obligations, and in so far as may be sanctioned in the case of diocesan societies by the respective Dioceses, merge with The Church Pension Fund, or if merger be impracticable, may establish by agreement with The Church Pension Fund the closest practicable system of co-operation with that fund. Nothing herein contained shall be construed to the prejudice of existing corporations or societies whose funds are derived from payments made by members thereof.

Sec. 8. The General Convention reserves the power to alter or amend this Canon, but no such alteration or amendment shall be made until after the same shall have been communicated to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund and such Trustees shall have had ample opportunity to be heard with respect thereto.

CANON 8.

Of Provinces.

Sec. 1. Subject to the proviso in Article VII. of the Constitution, the Dioceses and Missionary Districts of this Church shall be and are hereby united into Provinces as follows:

The First Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island and Connecticut.
The Second Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of New York and New Jersey, and the Missionary Districts of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Haiti, the Dominican Republic, the Panama Canal Zone and Central America.

The Third Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.

The Fourth Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, Tennessee and Kentucky.

The Fifth Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan and Wisconsin.

The Sixth Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Minnesota, Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Montana, Wyoming and Colorado.

The Seventh Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma and New Mexico and the Republic of Mexico.

The Eighth Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Idaho, Utah, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, California, Arizona, Alaska and Hawaii, and the Missionary Districts of the Philippines and Taiwan.

Sec. 2. When a new Diocese or Missionary District shall be created wholly within any Province, such new Diocese or Missionary District shall be included in such Province. In case a new Diocese or Missionary District shall embrace territory in two or more Provinces, the new Diocese or Missionary District shall be included in such Province.
Synodical rights and privileges.
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Sec. 3. For the purpose of the Province the Synodical rights and privileges of the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the Province shall be such as from time to time shall be determined by the Synod of the Province.

Sec. 4. There shall be in each Province a Synod consisting of a House of Bishops and a House of Deputies, which Houses shall sit and deliberate either separately or together.

Sec. 5. Every Bishop of this Church, having jurisdiction within the Province, every Bishop Coadjutor and Suffragan Bishop, and every Bishop whose episcopal work has been within the Province, but who by reason of advanced age or bodily infirmity has resigned, shall have a seat and vote in the House of Bishops of the Province.

Sec. 6. The President of each Province shall be one of the Bishops of the Province, elected by the Synod by the concurrent vote of the three orders and by a plurality in each order. He shall hold office for such term as the Synod may determine.

Sec. 7. Each Diocese within the Province shall be entitled to representation in the Provincial House of Deputies by four Presbyters, canonically resident in
the Diocese, and four Laymen, communicants of this Church, having domicile in the Diocese, but the Provincial Synod, by Ordinance, may increase the representation to not more than six in each order. Each Missionary District within the Province shall be entitled to representation in the Provincial House of Deputies by two Presbyters, canonically resident in the District, and by two Laymen, communicants of this Church, having domicile in the Missionary District, but the Provincial Synod, by Ordinance, may increase the representation to not more than three in each order. Each Diocese and Missionary District shall determine the manner in which its Deputies shall be chosen.

Sec. 8. The Provincial Synod shall have power: (1) to enact Ordinances for its own regulation and government; (2) to elect judges of the Provincial Court of Review; (3) to perform such duties as may be committed to it by the General Convention; (4) to deal with all matters within the Province; Provided, however, that no Provincial Synod shall have power to regulate or control the internal policy or affairs of any constituent Diocese or Missionary District; and Provided, further, that all actions and proceedings of the Synod shall be subject to and in conformity with the provisions of the Constitution and the Canons for the government of this Church; (5) to adopt a budget for the maintenance of any Provincial work undertaken by the Synod, such budget to be raised in such manner as the Synod may determine; (6) to create by Ordinance a Provincial Council with power to administer and carry on such work as may be committed to it by the General Convention, or by the Presiding Bishop and the National Council, or by the Synod of the Province.

Sec. 9. The Synod of a Province may take over from the National Council, with its consent, and dur-
To consider subjects referred by General Convention.

ing its pleasure, the administration of any given work within the Province. If the Province shall provide the funds for such work, the constituent Dioceses and Missionary Districts shall receive proportional credit therefor upon the quotas assigned to them for the support of the Program of the Church, provided that the total amount of such credits shall not exceed the sum appropriated in the budget of the National Council for the maintenance of the work so taken over.

Sec. 10. Whenever the General Convention shall refer any subject to the Provincial Synods, or any of them, for their consideration, it shall be the duty of such Synods to consider the subject or subjects so referred to them at the first meeting of the Synod held after the adjournment of the General Convention, and to report their action and judgment in the matter to the Secretary of the House of Bishops and to the Secretary of the House of Deputies at least six months before the date of the meeting of the next General Convention.

CANON 9.
Of New Dioceses.

Sec. 1. Whenever a new Diocese shall be formed within the limits of any Diocese, or by the junction of two or more Dioceses, or parts of Dioceses, or in a Missionary District, and such action shall have been ratified by the General Convention, the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District within the limits of which a Diocese is formed, or in case of the junction of two or more Dioceses or Missionary Districts, or parts of Dioceses or Missionary Districts, the senior Bishop by consecration, shall thereupon call the Primary Convention of the new Diocese, for the purpose of enabling to organize, and shall fix the time and
place of holding the same, such place being within the territorial limits of the new Diocese.

Sec. 2. In case there should be no Bishop who can call such Primary Convention, pursuant to the foregoing provision, then the duty of calling such Convention for the purpose of organizing and of fixing the time and place of its meeting, shall be vested in the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District within the limits of which the new one is erected, or in the Standing Committee or Council of Advice of the oldest of the Dioceses or Missionary Districts by the junction of which, or of parts of which, the new Diocese may be formed. And such Standing Committee, or Council of Advice, shall make the call immediately after ratification of the General Convention.

Sec. 3. Whenever one Diocese is about to be divided into two Dioceses, the Convention of such Diocese shall declare which portion thereof is to be the new Diocese, and shall make the same known to the General Convention before the ratification of such division.

Sec. 4. Whenever a new Diocese shall have organized in Primary Convention in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution and Canons in such case made and provided, and in the manner prescribed in the previous Sections of this Canon, and shall have chosen a name and acceded to the Constitution of the General Convention in accordance with Article V., Section 1 of the Constitution, and shall have laid before the General Convention certified copies of the Constitution adopted at its Primary Convention, and the proceedings preparatory to the formation of the proposed new Diocese, such new Diocese shall thereupon be admitted into union with the General Convention.
Sec. 5. The Convocation of a Missionary District at the time of its organization as a Diocese, shall be entitled to elect Deputies to the succeeding General Convention, and also to elect a Bishop, if the Missionary Bishop in charge of such District shall elect not to become the Bishop of said Diocese.

Sec. 6 (a). When a Diocese, and another Diocese which has been formed either by division therefrom or by erection into a Diocese of a Missionary District formed by division therefrom, shall desire to be reunited into one Diocese, the proposed reunion must be initiated by a mutual agreement between the Conventions of the two Dioceses, consented to by the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese. If the said agreement is made and the consents given more than three months before the next meeting of the General Convention, the fact of the agreement and consents shall be certified by the Ecclesiastical Authority and the Secretary of the Convention of each Diocese to all the Bishops of the Church having jurisdiction in the United States, and to the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses; and when the consents of a majority of such Bishops and of a majority of the Standing Committees to the proposed reunion shall have been received, the facts shall be similarly certified to the Secretary of the House of Deputies of the General Convention, and thereupon the reunion shall be considered complete. But if the agreement is made and the consents given within three months of the next meeting of the General Convention, the facts shall be certified instead to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, who shall lay them before the two Houses; and the reunion shall be deemed to be complete when it shall have been sanctioned by a majority vote in the House of Bishops, and in the House of Clerical and Lay Deputies voting by orders.
(b). The Bishop of the parent Diocese shall be the Bishop, and the Bishop of the junior Diocese shall be the Bishop Coadjutor, of the reunited Diocese; but if there be a vacancy in the Episcopate of either Diocese, the Bishop of the other Diocese shall be the Bishop, and the Bishop Coadjutor if there be one shall be the Bishop Coadjutor, of the reunited Diocese.

(c). When the reunion of the two Dioceses shall have been completed, the facts shall be certified to the Presiding Bishop and to the Secretary of the House of Deputies. Thereupon the Presiding Bishop shall notify the Secretary of the House of Bishops of any alteration in the status or style of the Bishop or Bishops concerned, and the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall strike the name of the junior Diocese from the roll of Dioceses in union with the General Convention.

CANON 10.

Of Changes in the Territory of Missionary Districts.

Sec. 1. Whenever it is proposed to increase, diminish, retrocede, or otherwise change the territory of a Missionary District within the United States, no action shall be taken by the General Convention until the proposal has been submitted to the Bishop and Convocation and the Bishop and Convocation of each Diocese and Missionary District involved.

Sec. 2. Any action taken by the Convention or Convocation upon such proposal, as prescribed in the foregoing Section, shall be certified without delay to the Secretary of the House of Bishops and the Secretary of the House of Deputies, whereupon the General Convention may proceed to act in accordance with Article VI., Section 2, of the Constitution.
Sec. 1. In every Diocese the Standing Committee shall elect from their own body a President and a Secretary. They may meet in conformity with their own rules from time to time, and shall keep a record of their proceedings; and the President may summon a special meeting whenever he may deem it necessary. They shall be summoned on the requisition of the Bishop, whenever he shall desire their advice; and they may meet of their own accord and agreeably to their own rules when they may be disposed to advise the Bishop.

Sec. 2. In all cases in which a Canon of the General Convention directs a duty to be performed, or a power to be exercised, by a Standing Committee, or by the Clerical members thereof, or by any other body consisting of several members, a majority of said members, the whole having been duly cited to meet, shall be a quorum; and a majority of the quorum so convened shall be competent to act, unless the contrary is expressly required by the Canon.

Sec. 3. When it is certified to the Presiding Bishop by at least three reputable physicians who shall have examined the case, that the Bishop of any Diocese is incapable of authorizing the Bishop Coadjutor, if there be one, or a Suffragan Bishop, if there be one, or the Standing Committee, to act as the Ecclesiastical Authority, then upon the advice of five Bishops of the neighboring Dioceses, to be selected by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop Coadjutor, if there be one, or a Suffragan Bishop, if there be one, and if the Constitution and Canons of the Diocese so provide, or the Standing Committee, shall be declared by the Presiding Bishop to be the Ecclesiastical Authority for all purposes set forth in these Canons, and shall retain
such authority until such time as, acting upon a like certificate, the Presiding Bishop shall declare the said Bishop competent to perform his official duties.

CANON 12.

Of Parishes and Congregations.

Sec. 1. Every Congregation of this Church shall belong to the Church in the Diocese or Missionary District, in which its place of worship is situated; and no Minister having a Parish or Cure in more than one jurisdiction shall have a seat in the Convention of any jurisdiction other than that in which he has canonical residence.

Sec. 2 (a). The ascertainment and defining of the boundaries of existing Parishes or Parochial Cures, as well as the establishment of a new Parish or Congregation, and the formation of a new Parish within the limits of any other Parish, is left to the action of the several Diocesan Conventions.

(b). Until a Canon or other regulation of a Diocesan Convention shall have been adopted, the formation of new Parishes, or the establishment of new Parishes or Congregations within the limits of existing Parishes, shall be vested in the Bishop of the Diocese, acting by and with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee thereof, and, in case of there being no Bishop, in the Ecclesiastical Authority.

Sec. 3. (a). Where Parish boundaries are not defined by law, or settled by Diocesan Authority under Section 2 of this Canon, or are not otherwise settled, they shall be defined by the civil divisions of the State as follows:
Parochial boundaries shall be the limits as fixed by law, of a village, town, township, incorporated borough, city, or of some division of any such civil district, which may be recognized by the Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee, as constituting the boundaries of a Parish.

(b). If there be but one Church or Congregation within the limits of such village, town, township, borough, city, or such division of a civil district, as herein provided, the same shall be deemed the Parochial Cure of the Minister having charge thereof. If there be two or more Churches or Congregations therein, it shall be deemed the Cure of the Ministers thereof.

(c). This Canon shall not affect the legal rights of property of any Parish or Congregation.

**CANON 13.**

Of Parish Vestries.

Sec. 1. In every Parish of this Church the number, mode of election, and term of office of Wardens and Vestrymen, with the qualifications of voters, shall be such as the State or Diocesan law may permit or require, and the Wardens and Vestrymen elected under such law shall hold office until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Sec. 2. Except as provided by the law of the State or of the Diocese, the Vestry shall be agents and legal representatives of the Parish in all matters concerning its corporate property and the relations of the Parish to its Clergy.

Sec. 3. Unless it conflict with the law as aforesaid, the Rector, when present, shall preside in all the meetings of the Vestry.
CANON 14.

Of Congregations in Foreign Lands.

Sec. 1. It shall be lawful, under the conditions hereinafter stated, to organize a Congregation in any foreign land, other than Great Britain and Ireland, and the colonies and dependencies thereof, and not within the jurisdiction of any Missionary Bishop of this Church.

Sec. 2. The Bishop in charge of such Congregations, and the Council of Advice hereinafter provided for, may authorize any Presbyter of this Church to officiate temporarily at any place to be named by them within any such foreign land, upon being satisfied that it is expedient to establish at such place a Congregation of this Church.

Sec. 3. Such Presbyter, after having publicly officiated at such place on four consecutive Sundays, may give notice, in the time of Divine Service, that a meeting of the persons of full age and attending the services, will be held, at a time and place to be named by the Presbyter in charge, to organize the Congregation. The said meeting may proceed to effect an organization subject to the approval of the said Bishop and Council of Advice and in conformity to such regulations as the said Council of Advice may provide.

Sec. 4. Before being taken under the direction of the General Convention of this Church, such Congregation shall be required, in its Constitution, or Plan, or Articles of Organization, to recognize and accede to the Constitution, Canons, Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church, and to agree to submit to and obey such directions as may be, from time to time, received from the Bishop in charge and Council of Advice.
Sec. 5. The desire of such Congregation to be taken under the direction of the General Convention shall be duly certified by the Minister, one Warden, and two Vestrymen or Trustees of said Congregation, duly elected.

Sec. 6. Such certificate, and the Constitution, Plan, or Articles of Organization, shall be submitted to the General Convention, if it be in session, or to the Presiding Bishop at any other time; and in case the same are found satisfactory, the Secretary of the House of Deputies of the General Convention, under written instruction from the Presiding Bishop, shall thereupon place the name of the Congregation on the list of Congregations in foreign lands under the direction of the General Convention; and a certificate of the said official action shall be forwarded to and filed by the Registrar of this Church. Such Congregations are placed under the government and jurisdiction of the Presiding Bishop.

Sec. 7. The Presiding Bishop, may from time to time, by written commission under his own signature and seal, assign to any other Bishop of this Church, having a seat and vote in the House of Bishops, the full charge of one or more of such Congregations, and the Ministers officiating therein, for such period of time as he may deem expedient, not exceeding three years; Provided, that should such term expire in a year during which a General Convention is to be held, prior to said Convention, the commission may be extended until the adjournment of the Convention.

Sec. 8. Nothing in this Canon is to be construed as preventing the election of a Bishop to have charge of such Congregations under the provision of Canon 40.

Sec. 9. To aid the Presiding Bishop or the Bishop in charge of these foreign Churches in administering the affairs of the same, and in settling such questions
as may, by means of their peculiar situation, arise, a Council of Advice, consisting of four Clergymen and four Laymen, shall be constituted as follows, and shall act as a Council of Advice to the Bishop in charge of the foreign Churches. They shall be chosen to serve for two years and until their successors are elected and have accepted election, by a Convocation duly convened, of all the Clergy of the foreign Churches or Chapels, and of two Lay representatives of each Church or Chapel, chosen by its Vestry or Committee. The Council of Advice shall be convened on the requisition of the Bishop whenever he may desire their advice, and they may meet of their own accord and agreeably to their own rules when they may wish to advise the Bishop. When a meeting is not practicable, the Bishop may ascertain their mind by letter.

It shall be lawful for the Presiding Bishop at any time to authorize by writing under his hand and seal the Council of Advice to act as the Ecclesiastical Authority.

Sec. 10. In case a Minister in charge of a Congregation in a foreign land shall be accused of any offense under the Canons of this Church, it shall be the duty of the Bishop in charge of such Congregation to summon the Council of Advice, and cause an inquiry to be instituted as to the truth of such accusation; and should there be reasonable grounds for believing the same to be true, the said Bishop and the Council of Advice shall appoint a Commission, consisting of three Ministers and two Laymen, whose duty it shall be to meet in the place where the accused resides, and to obtain all the evidence in the case from the parties interested; they shall give to the accused all rights under the Canons of this Church which can be exercised in a foreign land. The judgment of the said
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Commission, solemnly made, shall then be sent to the Bishop in charge, and to the Presiding Bishop, and, if approved by them, shall be carried into effect; Provided, that no such Commission shall recommend any other discipline than admonition or removal from his charge of Minister of said Congregation. Should the result of the inquiry of the aforesaid Commission reveal evidence tending, in their judgment, to show that said Minister deserves a severer discipline, all the documents in the case shall be placed in the hands of the Presiding Bishop, who may proceed against the said Minister, as far as possible, according to the Canons of the General Convention.

Sec. 11. If there be a Congregation within the limits of any city in a foreign land, no new Congregation shall be established in that city, except with the consent of the Bishop in charge and the Council of Advice.

Sec. 12. In cases of a difference between the Minister and a Congregation in a foreign land, the Bishop in charge shall duly examine the same, and the said Bishop shall, with the Council of Advice, have full power to settle and adjust such difference upon principles recognized in the Canons of the General Convention.

Sec. 13. No Minister shall be allowed to take charge of a Congregation in a foreign land, organized under this Canon, until he shall have been nominated by the Vestry thereof, or, if there be no Vestry, by the Council of Advice, and approved by the Bishop in charge, and when such appointment shall have been accepted by the Minister so appointed, he shall be transferred to the jurisdiction of the Presiding Bishop.

CANON 15.

Of Clergy and Congregations Seeking Affiliation with this Church.

Sec. 1. Whenever a congregation of Christian people, holding the Christian faith as set forth in the Catholic
creeds and recognizing the Scriptures as containing all things necessary to salvation, but using a rite other than that set forth by this Church shall desire affiliation with this Church, while retaining the use of its own rite, such congregation shall with the consent of the Bishop in whose Diocese it is situate make application through the Bishop to the Presiding Bishop for status.

Sec. 2. Any minister who has not received episcopal ordination and desires to serve such a congregation shall conform to the provisions of Canon 36.

Sec. 3. In case the minister of such congregation shall have been ordained by a Bishop not in Communion with this Church, but the regularity of whose ordination is approved by the Presiding Bishop, he shall be admitted in his Orders under the provision of Canon 38.

Sec. 4. Ministers and delegates of such congregations may have seats but no vote in the Diocesan Convention unless by formal action of such Convention they are so admitted.

Sec. 5. The oversight of congregations so admitted shall rest with the Bishop of the Diocese unless he shall delegate this authority to a Bishop who may be commissioned by the Presiding Bishop to have oversight of such congregations.

CANON 16.

Of Regulations Respecting the Laity.

Sec. 1. All persons who have received the sacrament of Holy Baptism with water in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, and whose baptism has been duly recorded in this Church, are members thereof.

Sec. 2. All such baptized persons who shall for one year next preceding have fulfilled the requirements of
the Canon "Of the Due Celebration of Sundays," unless for good cause prevented, are members of this Church in good standing.

Sec. 3. All such members in good standing who have been confirmed by a Bishop of this Church or a Bishop of a Church in communion with this Church or have been received into this Church by a Bishop of this Church, and who shall, unless for good cause prevented, have received Holy Communion at least thrice during the next preceding year, are communicants in good standing.

Sec. 4 (a). A communicant or baptized member in good standing, removing from one Parish or Congregation to another, shall be entitled to receive and shall procure from the Rector or Minister of the Parish or Congregation of his or her last enrollment or, if there be no Rector or Minister, from one of the Wardens, a certificate addressed to the Rector or Minister of the Parish or Congregation to which removal is desired, stating that he or she is duly registered or enrolled as a communicant or baptized member in the Parish or Congregation from which he or she desires to be transferred, and the Rector or Minister or Warden of the Parish or Congregation to which such communicant or baptized member may remove shall enroll him or her as a communicant or baptized member when such certificate is presented, or, on failure to produce such certificate through no fault of such communicant or baptized member upon other evidence of his or her being such a communicant or baptized member, sufficient in the judgment of said Rector or Minister. Notice of such enrollment in such Parish or Congregation to which such communicant or baptized member shall have removed shall be sent by the Rector or Minister thereof to the Rector of the Parish from which the communicant or baptized member is removed.
inquiry into the circumstances, and taking into con-
consideration the godly discipline both of justice and
of mercy, shall give his judgment thereon in writing.
Provided, however, that no Minister shall in any case
refuse these ordinances to a penitent person in immi-
nent danger of death.

(b). Any persons who have been married by civil
authority, or otherwise than as this Church provides,
may apply to the Bishop or to the Ecclesiastical Court
of their domicile for the recognition of communicant
status or for the right to apply for Holy Baptism or
Confirmation. After due inquiry into all the facts
relevant thereto, judgment shall be given in writing to
the petitioners by the Bishop or by the Ecclesiastical
Court acting through the Bishop.

(c). When marital unity is imperilled by dissension,
it shall be the duty of either or both parties, before
contemplating legal action, to lay the matter before a
Minister of this Church; and it shall be the duty of
such Minister to labor that the parties may be
reconciled.

CANON 17.
Of the Solemnization of Holy Matrimony.
Sec. 1. Every Minister of this Church shall con-
form to the laws of the State governing the creation
of the civil status of marriage, and also to the laws
of this Church governing the solemnization of Holy
Matrimony.

Sec. 2. No Minister of this Church shall solemnize
any marriage unless the following conditions are com-
plied with:

(a). He shall have ascertained the right of the
parties to contract a marriage according to the laws
of the State.

(b). He shall have ascertained the right of the
parties to contract a marriage according to the laws
of this Church, and not in violation of the following
impediments:
proviso.

Judgment shall be given in writing.

Parties to confer with Minister if marital unity imperilled.

Legal and canonical requirements.

Conditions.

inquiry into the circumstances, and taking into consideration the godly discipline both of justice and of mercy, shall give his judgment thereon in writing. Provided, however, that no Minister shall in any case refuse these ordinances to a penitent person in imminent danger of death.

(b). Any persons who have been married by civil authority, or otherwise than as this Church provides, may apply to the Bishop or to the Ecclesiastical Court of their domicile for the recognition of communicant status or for the right to apply for Holy Baptism or Confirmation. After due inquiry into all the facts relevant thereto, judgment shall be given in writing to the petitioners by the Bishop or by the Ecclesiastical Court acting through the Bishop.

(c). When marital unity is imperilled by dissension, it shall be the duty of either or both parties, before contemplating legal action, to lay the matter before a Minister of this Church; and it shall be the duty of such Minister to labor that the parties may be reconciled.

CANON 17.

Of the Solemnization of Holy Matrimony.

Sec. 1. Every Minister of this Church shall conform to the laws of the State governing the creation of the civil status of marriage, and also to the laws of this Church governing the solemnization of Holy Matrimony.

Sec. 2. No Minister of this Church shall solemnize any marriage unless the following conditions are complied with:

(a). He shall have ascertained the right of the parties to contract a marriage according to the laws of the State.

(b). He shall have ascertained the right of the parties to contract a marriage according to the laws of this Church, and not in violation of the following impediments:
(1) Consanguinity (whether of the whole or of the half blood) within the following degrees:

(a) One may not marry one's ascendant or descendant.

(b) One may not marry one's sister.

(c) One may not marry the sister or brother of one's ascendant or the descendant of one's brother or sister.

(2) Mistake as to the identity of either party.

(3) Mental deficiency of either party sufficient to prevent the exercise of intelligent choice.

(4) Insanity of either party.

(5) Failure of either party to have reached the age of puberty.

(6) Impotence, sexual perversion, or the existence of venereal disease in either party undisclosed to the other.

(7) Facts which would make the proposed marriage bigamous.

(8) Concurrent contract inconsistent with the contract constituting canonical marriage.

(9) Attendant conditions: error as to the identity of either party, fraud, coercion or duress, or such defects of personality as to make competent or free consent impossible.

(c) He shall have ascertained that at least one of the parties has received Holy Baptism.

(d) He shall have instructed the parties as to the nature of Holy Matrimony.

(e) The intention of the parties to contract a marriage shall have been signified to the Minister at least three days before the service of solemnization; Pro-
Presence of witnesses required.

Marriages to be recorded in Register.

Declaration of Intention.

Minister may decline to officiate.

**Canon 17**

vided, that, for weighty cause, the Minister may dispense with this requirement, if one of the parties is a member of his congregation, or can furnish satisfactory evidence of his responsibility. In case the three days' notice is waived, the Minister shall report his action in writing to the Ecclesiastical Authority immediately.

(f). There shall be present at least two witnesses to the solemnization of the marriage.

(g). The Minister shall record in the proper register the date and place of the marriage, the names of the parties and their parents, the age of the parties, their residence, and their Church status, and the witnesses and the Minister shall sign the record.

Sec. 3. The Minister shall have required that the parties sign the following declaration:

"We, A. B. and C. D., desiring to receive the blessing of Holy Matrimony in the Church, do solemnly declare that we hold marriage to be a lifelong union of husband and wife as it is set forth in the Form of Solemnization of Holy Matrimony in the Book of Common Prayer. We believe it is for the purpose of mutual fellowship, encouragement, and understanding, for the procreation (if it may be) of children, and their physical and spiritual nurture, for the safeguarding and benefit of society. And we do engage ourselves, so far as in us lies, to make our utmost effort to establish this relationship and to seek God's help thereto."

Sec. 4. It shall be within the discretion of any Minister of this Church to decline to solemnize any marriage.

Sec. 5. No Minister of this Church shall solemnize any marriage except in accordance with these Canons.
Sec. 6. No Minister of this Church shall solemnize the marriage of any person who has been the husband or wife of any other person then living whose marriage has been annulled or dissolved by the civil court, except as hereinafter in these Canons provided; nor shall any member of this Church enter upon a marriage when either of the contracting parties has been the husband or the wife of any other person then living whose marriage has been annulled or dissolved by a civil court, except as hereinafter in these Canons provided.

**CANON 18.**

**Of Regulations Respecting Holy Matrimony.**

Sec. 1. The provisions of this Canon shall apply only to an active member of this Church in good standing.

Sec. 2 (a). Any person, being a member of this Church in good standing, whose marriage has been annulled or dissolved by a civil court of competent jurisdiction may apply to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which such person is canonically resident for a judgment as to his or her marital status in the eyes of the Church. And any person, being a member of this Church in good standing, who desires to marry a non-member of this Church whose previous marriage has been dissolved or annulled by a civil court of competent jurisdiction may apply to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which he or she is canonically resident, for permission to be married by a Minister of this Church, provided in both cases that the judgment of the civil court has become final and that at least one year shall have elapsed from the date that the decree became
final. Such application should be made at least thirty days before a contemplated marriage.

(b). If the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority is satisfied that the parties intend a true Christian marriage he may refer the application to his Council of Advisors, or to the Court if such has been established by diocesan action. The Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority shall take care that his or its judgment is based upon and conforms to the doctrine of this Church, that marriage is a physical, spiritual, and mystical union of a man and woman created by their mutual consent of heart, mind and will thereto, and is a Holy Estate instituted of God and is in intention lifelong; but when any of the facts set forth in Canon 17, Section 2, Clause (b), are shown to exist or to have existed which manifestly establish that no marriage bond as the same is recognized by this Church exists, the same may be declared by proper authority. No such judgment shall be construed as reflecting in any way upon the legitimacy of children or the civil validity of the former relationship.

(c). Every judgment rendered under this Canon shall be in writing and shall be made a matter of permanent record in the Archives of the Diocese or Missionary District.

(d). Any person in whose favor a judgment has been granted under the provisions of this Canon may be married by a Minister of this Church, provided, that if the marriage is proposed to be solemnized in another jurisdiction than the one in which said judgment has been granted, the said judgment shall have previously been submitted to and approved by the Ecclesiastical Authority of that jurisdiction.
II. WORSHIP.

CANON 19.
Of the Due Celebration of Sundays.

All persons within this Church shall celebrate and keep the Lord's Day, commonly called Sunday, by regular participation in the public worship of the Church, by hearing the Word of God read and taught, and by other acts of devotion and works of charity, using all godly and sober conversation.

CANON 20.
Of Translations of the Bible.

The Lessons at Morning and Evening Prayer shall be read from the translation of the Holy Scriptures, commonly known as the King James or Authorized Version (which is the Standard Bible of this Church), together with the Marginal Readings authorized for use by the General Convention of 1901; or from one of the three translations known as Revised Versions, including the English Revision of 1881, the American Revision of 1901, and the Revised Standard Version of 1952.

CANON 21.
Of the Standard Book of Common Prayer.

Sec. 1. The copy of the Book of Common Prayer and Administration of the Sacraments and other Rites and Ceremonies of the Church, according to the Use of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, together with the Psalter or Psalms of David, the Form of Making, Ordaining, and Consecrating Bishops, Priests and Deacons, the Form of Consecration of a Church or Chapel, and an Office of Institution of Ministers, and Articles of Religion, accepted by the General Convention of this Church, in the year of our Lord, 1928, and authenticated by the signatures of the Presiding Officers and Secretaries of the two Houses of the General Convention, is hereby
declared to be the Standard Book of Common Prayer of this Church.

Sec. 2. All copies of the Book of Common Prayer to be hereafter made and published shall conform to this Standard, and shall agree therewith in paging, and, as far as it is possible, in all other matters of typographical arrangement, except that the Rubrics may be printed either in red or black, and that page numbers shall be set against the several headings in the Table of Contents. The requirement of uniformity in paging shall apply to the entire book but shall not extend to editions smaller than those known as 32mo, or to editions noted for music.

Sec. 3. In case any typographical inaccuracy shall be found in the Standard Book of Common Prayer, its correction may be ordered by a joint resolution of any General Convention, and notice of such corrections shall be communicated by the Custodian to the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese of this Church, and to actual publishers of the Book of Common Prayer.

Sec. 4. Folio copies of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, duly authenticated, as in the case of the Standard Book, shall be sent to the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese and Missionary District in trust for the use thereof, and for reference and appeal in questions as to the authorized formularies of this Church.

Sec. 5. No copy, translation or edition of the Book of Common Prayer, or a part or parts thereof, shall be made, printed, published or used as of authority in this Church, unless it contains the authorization of the Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, certifying that he or some person appointed by him, has compared the said copy, translation or edition with the said Standard, or a certified copy thereof, and that it conforms thereto. And no copy, translation or edi-
tion of the Book of Common Prayer, or a part or parts thereof, shall be made, printed, published, or used as of authority in this Church, or certified as aforesaid, which contains or is bound up with any alterations or additions thereto, or with any other matter, except the Holy Scriptures or the authorized Hymnal of this Church.

Sec. 6. The appointment of the Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer shall be made by a nomination of the House of Bishops, confirmed by the House of Deputies. He shall hold office until his successor is appointed, and any vacancy occurring during the recess of the General Convention may be provisionally filled by the appointment of the Presiding Bishop.

Sec. 7. It shall be the duty of the Ecclesiastical Authority of any Diocese or Missionary District in which any unauthorized edition of the Book of Common Prayer, or any part or parts thereof, shall be published or circulated, to give public notice that the said edition is not of authority in this Church.

CANON 22.

Of a Standing Liturgical Commission.

Sec. 1. There shall be a Standing Liturgical Commission. It shall be the duty of this Commission to collect and collate material bearing upon future revisions of the Book of Common Prayer, to prepare and present to the General Convention from time to time recommendations concerning the Lectionary and the use of the Psalter, to prepare Offices for Special Occasions as authorized or directed by the General Convention or the House of Bishops, and upon request to advise concerning Liturgical uses.

Sec. 2. (a). The Commission shall consist of nine members, of whom at least two shall be Bishops, two Presbyters, and two Laymen. The Custodian of the Book of Common Prayer shall be a member ex officio of the Commission.
b). The members shall be appointed by the Chairmen of the two Houses of the General Convention, the Bishops by the Presiding Bishop and the Presbyters and Laymen by the President of the House of Deputies, for a term of six years. Vacancies occurring during the interval between sessions of the General Convention may be filled by the Chairmen of the two Houses, those so appointed to serve until the close of the next session of the General Convention.

(c). The Commission shall elect its own Chairman and Secretary and have power to constitute committees necessary for the carrying on of its work.

Sec. 3. The expenses of the Commission shall be met by appropriations by the General Convention.

**CANON 23.**

**Of the Authorization of Special Forms of Service.**

In any Congregation, worshipping in other than the English language, which shall have placed itself under the oversight of a Bishop of this Church, it shall be lawful to use a form of service in such language; *Provided*, that such form of service shall have previously been approved by the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District, until such time as an authorized edition of the Book of Common Prayer in such language shall be set forth by the authority of the General Convention, and *Provided, further*, that no Bishop shall license any such form of service until he shall first have been satisfied that the same is in accordance with the doctrine and worship of this Church; nor in any case shall such form of service be used for the ordination or consecration of Bishops, Priests, or Deacons.

**CANON 24.**

**Of the Music of the Church.**

It shall be the duty of every Minister to see that music is used in his congregation as an offering for the glory of God and as a help to the people in their worship in accordance with the Book of Common
Prayer and as authorized by the Rubric or by the General Convention of this Church. To this end he shall be the final authority in the administration of matters pertaining to music with such assistance as he may see fit to employ from persons skilled in music. It shall be his duty to suppress all light and unseemly music and all irreverence in the rendition thereof.

**CANON 25.**

*Of the Consecration of Churches.*

Sec. 1. No Church or Chapel shall be consecrated until the Bishop shall have been sufficiently certified that the building and the ground on which it is erected have been fully paid for, and are free from lien or other encumbrance; and also that such building and ground are secured from the danger of alienation, either in whole or in part, from those who profess and practice the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church, except in the cases provided in Secs. 2 and 3 of this Canon.

Sec. 2. It shall not be lawful for any Vestry, Trustees, or other body authorized by laws of any State or Territory to hold property for any Diocese, Missionary District, Parish, or Congregation, to encumber or alienate any consecrated Church or Chapel, or any Church or Chapel which has been used solely for Divine Service, belonging to the Parish or Congregation which they represent, without the previous consent of the Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or of the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, as the case may be.

Sec. 3. No consecrated Church or Chapel shall be removed, taken down, or otherwise disposed of for any worldly or common use, without the previous consent of the Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or of the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, as the case may be.
III.
THE MINISTRY.

CANON 26.
Of Postulants.

Sec. 1 (a). Every person desiring to be admitted a Candidate for Holy Orders is, in the first instance, to consult his immediate Pastor, or, if he have none, some Presbyter to whom he is personally known, setting before him the grounds of his desire for admission to the Ministry, together with such circumstances as may bear on his qualifications, or tend to affect his course of preparation.

(b). If, as the result of a thorough inquiry into the physical, mental, moral and spiritual qualifications of the applicant, he is counselled by the aforesaid Presbyter to persevere in his intentions, he shall make his desire known personally, if possible, or in writing, to the Bishop in whose jurisdiction he has been canonically resident for the three months preceding. But with the written consent of the said Bishop, and on the recommendation of at least one Presbyter of the said jurisdiction who is acquainted with the applicant, the latter may at once apply to some other Bishop. He shall give to the Bishop the name of his Pastor, or, if he have none, of some other Presbyter in good standing, to whom he is personally known, from whom the Bishop may ascertain, either by personal conference, or by direct report in writing, his qualifications, as stated above, for the work of the Ministry.

Before the admission of a Postulant the Bishop shall whenever possible confer in person with the applicant, and shall require the applicant to submit to a thorough examination by a physician appointed by the
Bishop. This examination shall cover the man's mental and nervous as well as his physical condition. The form of medical report prepared by The Church Pension Fund shall be used for this purpose. The Bishop may require from the Postulant's Rector and Vestry a certificate in the following words, viz.:

To the Right Reverend Bishop of

We, whose names are hereunder written, testify to our belief (based on personal knowledge or on evidence satisfactory to us) that A. B. is sober, honest and godly, and that he is a communicant of this Church in good standing. We do furthermore declare that, in our opinion, he possesses such qualifications as fit him to be admitted a Postulant for Holy Orders.

(Signed)

Whenever such a recommendation is required a copy shall be filed with the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District.

A record of the medical report shall be kept on file by the Bishop and shall be submitted to the Standing Committee, or Council of Advice, when application is made by the Postulant to be recommended for admission as a Candidate.

(c). The applicant shall state to the Bishop in writing:

(1). His full name and age.
(2). The length of time he has been resident in the Diocese or Missionary District.
(3). When, and by whom, he was baptized.
(4). When, and by whom, he was confirmed.
(5). When, and where, he was admitted to the Holy Communion.
(6). Whether he has ever before applied for admission as a Postulant or as a Candidate for Holy Orders.
(7). On what grounds he is moved to seek the Sacred Ministry.
Sec. 2 (a). The Bishop, in a book to be kept for that purpose, shall enter the name of each applicant, with the fact of his approval or disapproval of the application, and the date of such entry. If he approve of the application, he shall inform the applicant of the fact, and of the date of his admission as Postulant.

(b). Similar records shall be made and information given of the removal of a name from the list of Postulants. Without further reason, the Bishop may remove the name of a Postulant who fails to be admitted as a Candidate for Holy Orders within four years from the date of his reception as a Postulant.

(c). Every Postulant for Holy Orders shall report himself to the Ecclesiastical Authority personally or by letter, four times a year, in the Ember Weeks, giving account of his manner of life and progress in his studies; and if he fails to make such reports to the satisfaction of the Ecclesiastical Authority, his name may be stricken from the list of Postulants.

Sec. 3 (a). No Bishop shall accept as a Postulant any person who has been refused admission as a Postulant or as a Candidate for Holy Orders in any other Diocese or Missionary District, or who, having been admitted, has afterwards ceased to be a Postulant or a Candidate, until he shall have produced a certificate from the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which he has been refused admission, or in which he has been a Postulant or a Candidate, declaring the cause of refusal or of cessation.

(b). Should the Bishop accept such applicant as a Postulant, he shall send the said certificate, or a copy thereof, to the Standing Committee of the Diocese, to be considered by them if the said Postulant should apply to be recommended for admission as a Candidate.

Sec. 4. A Standing Committee, acting as the Ecclesiastical Authority of a Diocese, shall be competent to
receive and act upon applications under this Canon from persons desiring to be received as Postulants.

Sec. 5 (a). The Postulant, before entering upon his course of theological studies, must lay before the Bishop and the Board of Examining Chaplains satisfactory evidence that he is a graduate of some college or university, together with a full statement of the work done by him in such college or university. If this work include sufficient instruction in the subjects specified in Clause (b) of this Section and is otherwise deemed adequate and satisfactory, no further examination shall be required; but if not, the Postulant must satisfy the Board of Examining Chaplains that he possesses the intellectual ability to enter with advantage upon a course of study preparatory to Holy Orders.

(b). If the Postulant be not a graduate as aforesaid, he shall be required to pass an examination in the following subjects:

(1). English:
   (a) Language (including grammar and composition),
   (b) Literature (English and American);
(2). Latin, or a reading knowledge of an ancient or modern language other than English;
(3). History, ancient and modern;
(4). Mathematics, or one of the Natural Sciences;
(5). Philosophy;
(6). Psychology, or one of the Social Sciences.

(c). If the Postulant have attained the age of thirty-two years, and have shown such proficiency in business or professional life as gives promise of usefulness in the Ministry, the Bishop, on recommendation...
of the Board of Examining Chaplains, may, at his discretion, dispense him from examination in all but the following subjects:

(1). English:
   (a) Language (including grammar and composition),
   (b) Literature (English and American);

(2). History, ancient and modern;

(3). One of the following subjects:
   (a) Mathematics,
   (b) A Natural or Social Science,
   (c) Philosophy,
   (d) Psychology.

(d). If the Postulant be of other race and speech, and is to exercise his Ministry among people of his race in the United States, the Bishop, on recommendation of the Board of Examining Chaplains, may, at his discretion, dispense him from all examinations except those specified in the Clause immediately preceding this Clause. But if the Postulant is to exercise his Ministry among people of his race in a foreign Missionary District, the Bishop may, at his discretion, dispense him from all such examinations; Provided, only, that he shall satisfy the Bishop and the Board of Examining Chaplains that he possesses good mental ability and sufficient education to enable him to pursue a course of study preparatory to the work of the Ministry.

(e). Should a Postulant who has been examined in any of the above subjects afterwards apply for admission as Postulant in any other Diocese or Missionary District, he shall lay before the Bishop of such Diocese or District a certificate from the Bishop who admitted him as Postulant, stating what examinations
he has taken and the result of each. And if he has failed to pass in any subject, he shall not be admitted to examination in that subject until at least six months after such failure.

(f). The Board of Examining Chaplains may, at their discretion, accept, in lieu of examination, satisfactory evidence that the Postulant has fulfilled the requirements in any one or more of the subjects specified in this Canon.

Sec. 6. The Board of Examining Chaplains shall report to the Bishop in writing whether these examinations have been satisfactorily sustained, and the Bishop shall transmit this report to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice.

CANON 27.

Of Candidates for Holy Orders.

Sec. 1. A Postulant, having been duly received, may apply to the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, in which he is a Postulant, for recommendation to the Bishop to be admitted a Candidate for Holy Orders, and shall submit the following papers, viz.:

(1). An application signed by himself.

(2). The Bishop's certificate of his admission as a Postulant.

(3). A certificate from the Theological Seminary where he is studying, or from the clergyman under whose direction he is pursuing his studies, showing his scholastic record and personal qualifications for the Ministry of this Church as revealed by one year's work.
(4). A certificate in the following words:

To the Standing Committee of
Place, Date,

We, whose names are hereunder written, testify to our belief (based on personal knowledge or on evidence satisfactory to us) that A. B. is sober, honest, and godly, and that he is a communicant of this Church in good standing. We do furthermore declare that, in our opinion, he possesses such qualifications as fit him to be admitted a Candidate for Holy Orders.

(Signed)

This certificate must be signed by the Minister of the Parish to which the Postulant belongs and by a majority of the whole Vestry, and must be attested by the Minister, or by the Clerk or Secretary of the Vestry, as follows, viz.:

I hereby certify that the foregoing certificate was signed at a meeting of the Vestry of Parish, duly convened at on the day of , and that the names attached are those of all (or a majority of all) the members of the Vestry. (Signed)

The Minister of or Clerk or Secretary of Vestry.

Sec. 2. But should the Parish be without a Minister, it shall suffice that in his place the certificate from the Vestry be signed by some Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District in good standing to whom the Postulant is personally known, the reason for the substitution being stated in the attesting clause.

Sec. 3 (a). Should there be no organized Parish at the place of residence of the Postulant, or should it be impracticable, through circumstances not affecting his moral or religious character, to obtain the signatures
of the Minister and Vestry, or of the Vestry, it may suffice if the certificate be signed by at least—

(1). One Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District in good standing to whom the Postulant is personally known; and,

(2). Four Laymen, communicants of this Church in good standing, to whom the Postulant is personally known.

(b). In such case, the reasons for departing from the regular form must be given in the attesting clause, which shall be signed by the same, or some other Presbyter of this Church in good standing, and shall be in the following words, viz.:

I hereby certify that the Laymen whose names are attached to the foregoing certificate are communicants of this Church in good standing, and that this form of certificate was used for no reasons affecting the moral or religious character of the candidate, but because (here give the reasons for departing from the regular form).

(Signed)

Presbyter of the Diocese, or Missionary District of

Sec. 4. The Standing Committee, on receipt of the report of the Board of Examining Chaplains required in Canon 26, Sec. 6, and of the certificate or certificates as above prescribed, and after investigation, having no reason to suppose the existence of any sufficient objection on grounds either physical, mental, moral, or spiritual, to the admission of the applicant, may, at a meeting duly convened (a majority of all the members consenting), recommend the Postulant for admission to Candidateship, by a testimonial bearing the signatures of a majority of all the members of the Committee, and addressed to the Bishop, in the following words, viz.:
To the Right Reverend Bishop of

We, being a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee of , and having been duly convened at , do testify, that from personal knowledge or from certificates laid before us, we are well assured that A. B. is sober, honest, and godly; and that he is a communicant of this Church in good standing; and we do furthermore declare that, in our opinion, he possesses qualifications which fit him to be admitted a Candidate for Holy Orders.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our hands, this day of in the year of our Lord (Signed)

This testimonial shall be presented to the Bishop without delay.

Sec. 5. When the aforesaid requirements have been complied with, the Bishop may admit the Postulant as a Candidate for Holy Orders. He shall thereupon record his name, with the date of his admission, in a book to be kept for that purpose, and shall inform the Candidate and the Secretary of the Standing Committee of the fact and date of such admission.

CANON 28.

Of General Provisions Concerning Candidates for Holy Orders.

Sec. 1 (a). The superintendence of all Candidates for Holy Orders, both as to their daily life and as to the direction of their theological studies, pertains to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District to which they belong. The Bishop may at his discretion ask one or more of the Board of Examining Chaplains to assist him in this superintendence.

(b). Every Candidate shall pursue his studies diligently under proper direction; he shall not indulge in
vain or trifling conduct or in amusements unfavorable to godly and studious habits and to that good report which becomes a person preparing for the Holy Ministry.

(c). When the Standing Committee of a Diocese is the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof, the Clerical members of the Committee shall, through the President, discharge the duties assigned in this Section to the Bishop.

Sec. 2 (a). A Candidate must remain in canonical connection with the Diocese or Missionary District in which he has been admitted, until his ordination, except as hereinafter otherwise provided.

(b). For reasons satisfactory to the Ecclesiastical Authority, Letters Dimissory may be granted to a Candidate on his own request to any other Diocese or Missionary District.

(c). Convenience of attending any Theological or other Seminary shall not be a sufficient reason for change of canonical residence.

Sec. 3 (a). Every Candidate for Holy Orders shall report himself to the Ecclesiastical Authority, personally or by letter, four times a year, in the Ember Weeks, giving account of his manner of life and progress in his studies; and if he fail to make such report to the satisfaction of the Ecclesiastical Authority, his name may be stricken from the list of Candidates.

(b). If a Candidate for Holy Orders shall fail to present himself for examination within three years from the date of his admission as a candidate, his name may, after due notice, be stricken from the list of Candidates at the discretion of the Bishop.

(c). If a Candidate for Holy Orders shall have passed his canonical examinations, but is refused, on
other grounds, recommendation for ordination, the Bishop, with the consent of the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, may remove his name from the list of Candidates.

Sec. 4. A Candidate for Holy Orders, in any Diocese or Missionary District of this Church, or of any Church in communion with this Church, whose name shall have been stricken from the list of Candidates, or whose application for ordination shall have been rejected, shall not be ordained without re-admission to candidateship, said candidateship to continue for not less than one whole year; Provided, that in no such case shall the whole term of candidateship be less than two years.

Sec. 5. A Candidate for Holy Orders shall not be a Deputy to the General Convention.

CANON 29.

Of the Normal Standard of Learning and Examination of Candidates for Holy Orders.

Sec. 1 (a). Before ordination to the Diaconate, the Candidate must pass examinations before the Board of Examining Chaplains in the following subjects required for Deacons' and Priests' Orders:

(1). Holy Scripture: The Old and New Testaments in English, their contents and historical background; a reading knowledge of the New Testament in Greek, together with special knowledge of one Synoptic Gospel and the Gospel according to Saint John, and of three Epistles, one of which shall be Romans or First Corinthians;

(2). Church History: From the beginning to the present time; together with:
(a) Special knowledge of a period or topic elected by the Candidate with the approval of the Examining Chaplains;

(b) The history, extent, and methods of Christian Missions;

(c) Ecclesiastical Polity;

(3). Theology: Historical, philosophical, and systematic;

(4). Christian Ethics, and Moral Theology;

(5). Liturgics: The Principles and History of Christian Worship; the Contents of the Book of Common Prayer;

(6). Practical Theology:
(a) The use of the Book of Common Prayer, the Administration of the Sacraments, and the Conduct of Public Worship;

(b) Homiletics: Principles of Sermon Composition and Delivery. In connection with the examination in this subject the Candidate shall present three sermons, composed by himself, on texts of Holy Scripture appointed by the Bishop;

(c) Pastoral Care;

(d) Parish Organization and Administration, including the keeping of records;

(e) Principles and methods of Christian Education in the Parish;

(f) Canon Law, including the Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, and of the Diocese or District to which the Candidate belongs;

(g) The use of the voice in reading and speaking.
(7). He must also offer one of the following elective subjects:

(a) Hebrew,
(b) The History of Religions,
(c) The Philosophy of Religion,
(d) Advanced Sociology,
(e) Advanced Psychology,
(f) Church Music,
(g) Advanced Exegesis of the Greek New Testament,
(h) Advanced Theology.

The Board of Examining Chaplains may, in lieu of examination, accept satisfactory evidence of the fulfilment of the requirements in any of the above mentioned elective subjects.

(b). If a Candidate desires a dispensation from examination in the Greek of the New Testament, he shall make application to the Bishop in writing, stating his reasons for the request. The Bishop may, upon recommendation of the Board of Examining Chaplains, at his discretion, grant the same. A Candidate so dispensed shall be examined in the special exegetical knowledge in English of one Synoptic Gospel and the Gospel according to Saint John, and of three Epistles, one of which shall be Romans or First Corinthians.

(c). If the Candidate has been a Minister or Licentiate in some other body of Christians, he shall also be examined, in writing, on those points of Doctrine, Discipline, Polity, and Worship, in which the communion from which he has come differs from this Church. This portion of the examination shall be conducted, in part at least, by written questions and answers; the replies shall be kept on file for at least three years.
Sec. 2 (a). In special cases, under urgent circumstances, with the approval of the Standing Committee and the Board of Examining Chaplains, a Candidate may be admitted to the Diaconate after passing examinations in the following subjects:

(1). Holy Scripture: The Bible in English, its contents and historical background;

(2). Church History: a general outline;

(3). Doctrine: The Church's teaching as set forth in the Creeds and the Offices of Instruction;


(5). Practical Theology:

(a) The Office and Work of a Deacon,
(b) The Conduct of Public Worship,
(c) Principles of Sermon Composition and Delivery,
(d) Principles and Methods of Christian Education in the Parish,
(e) The Missionary Work of the Church,
(f) Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, and of the Diocese or District to which the Candidate belongs,
(g) The use of the voice in reading and speaking.

(b). Before his advancement to the Priesthood such a Candidate shall be examined in all the subjects prescribed in Section 1 (a) of this Canon.
Sec. 3 (a). Examinations at any theological or other literary institution shall not supersede any canonical examination, nor shall any certificate of graduation or diploma be sufficient ground for dispensing with any part of the canonical examination, except as provided in this Canon.

(b). It shall be the privilege of the Priest who is to present a Candidate for ordination to be present at his examinations; but no other person save the Bishop shall be permitted to be present without the consent of the Board of Examining Chaplains.

(c). The Candidate shall be examined by the Bishop in the presence of two Priests both before his ordination to the Diaconate and before his ordination to the Priesthood. The Bishop may conduct one or both of these examinations by taking some part in the regular examinations held by the Examining Chaplains.

CANON 30
Of Education For Holy Orders

Sec. 1 (a). There shall be a Standing Joint Commission of the General Convention on Education for Holy Orders appointed at each triennial session, consisting of three Bishops, the Dean of the General Theological Seminary, and the Deans of three other Theological Seminaries of the Church appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and three Examining Chaplains, three other Presbyters and three Laymen appointed by the President of the House of Deputies. The Presiding Bishop shall designate one of the three Bishops so appointed as Chairman of the Joint Commission.

(b). There shall be an Executive Committee of the Joint Commission to consist of the Chairman and not
less than five other members of the Joint Commission including both Presbyters and Laymen, appointed by the Presiding Bishop in consultation with the Chairman and the President of the House of Deputies.

Sec. 2. The duties of the Joint Commission shall be, viz:

(a). To study the needs and trends of education for Holy Orders in the Church, within the jurisdiction of this church, and to make recommendations to the National Council and the General Convention with respect thereto.

(b). To determine whether any institution of learning, within the jurisdiction of this Church, shall be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church in accordance with standards approved by the General Convention.

(c). To advise and assist the Seminaries and other institutions of the Church for the training of men for Holy Orders within the jurisdiction of this Church.

(d). To promote continuing cooperation between and among the Theological Seminaries of this Church.

(e). To compile and present to each triennial session of the General Convention a complete statistical report of the work of the several Theological Seminaries of the Church and, as far as possible, of other institutions for the training of men for Holy Orders.

Sec. 3. To be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church an institution of learning shall comply with the following standards, viz:
(a). Its primary purpose shall be the education of men for Holy Orders.

(b). It shall throughout each normal academic year offers courses of study in the subjects prescribed in these Canons for the learning and examination of Candidates for Holy Orders.

(c). Its faculty shall include at least four full-time professors duly qualified, according to generally accepted academic standards, to teach such courses.

(d). Its student body shall number not less than twenty men pursuing a three-year course normally leading to a baccalaureate degree in theology, of whom at least eighty percent shall hold an A.B. degree or its equivalent; except that, for seminaries outside the continental United States, the Joint Commission shall have the authority to establish such other standards as may be appropriate.

(e). Its organization, financial resources, equipment and facilities shall be such as reasonably to assure its stability and permanence and its separate identity as an institution for Theological Education.

Sec. 4. It shall be the duty of each Theological Seminary of this Church and of each other institution for the training of men for Holy Orders to present annually to the Joint Commission statistical reports on forms prepared and provided by the Commission.
CANON 31.

Of a Board of Examining Chaplains.

Sec. 1. In every Diocese or Missionary District there shall be a Board of Examining Chaplains, consisting of at least two learned Presbyters, canonically resident within the said Diocese or Missionary District. Examining Chaplains shall be nominated by the Bishop at the Annual Convention or Council, the nomination being confirmed by the vote of the Convention or Council. Their term of office shall be fixed by Diocesan Canons. Should vacancies occur in the Board when the Convention or Council is not in session, the Bishop shall similarly nominate to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, upon whose confirmation the person or persons so designated shall be added to the Board and shall serve until the next meeting of the Convention or Council.

Sec. 2. The Board of Examining Chaplains may adopt rules for its work, subject to the approval of the Bishop, provided the same are not inconsistent with the Canons of the General Convention. These rules may include the appointment of committees of the Board to act on its behalf.

Sec. 3. It shall be the duty of the Board of Examining Chaplains, under the guidance and oversight of the Bishop, to conduct the examinations of Postulants and Candidates prescribed by these Canons. These examinations shall be, in part at least, in writing. The Examining Chaplains, when so requested by the Bishop, shall give oversight to Postulants, Candidates, and Deacons, and shall advise them in regard to their studies and preparation.

Sec. 4. The Board of Examining Chaplains shall promptly report, in writing, to the Bishop the results of all examinations held by them, whether satisfactory
or unsatisfactory, making separate reports upon each of the appointed subjects, and upon each person examined. The Bishop shall transmit these reports to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, who shall in no case recommend a Postulant for admission as Candidate for Holy Orders, or recommend a Candidate for Ordination to the Diaconate or to the Priesthood, until they have received a report from the Board of Examining Chaplains that he has successfully passed the required examinations.

The report of the Board shall be made in the following form, viz.:

To the Right Reverend Bishop of [or the Clerical Members of the Standing Committee of as the case may be].

Place, Date,

We, having been assigned as Examiners of A. B., hereby testify that we have examined the said A. B. upon the subjects prescribed in Canon [Sensible of our responsibility, we give our judgment as follows: (Here specify the proficiency of A. B. in each of the subjects appointed, as made apparent by the examinations)].

(Signed)

Sec. 5. The Board shall make an annual report concerning its work to the Convention or Council.

Sec. 6. The Bishop, with the consent of the Board of Examining Chaplains, may ask the Examining Chaplains of another Diocese or Missionary District to Conduct the examination of a Postulant or Candidate on their behalf.

Sec. 7. Any Provincial Synod shall have the right to form a Provincial Board of Examining Chaplains. The members of the Board shall serve for a term of three years each, or until their successors are
appointed. Vacancies occurring in the Board may be filled for the unexpired term by the Synod. It shall be the duty of such Provincial Board to prepare a syllabus indicating the range and character of the attainments required in the several subjects prescribed by these Canons and to prepare question papers for all written examinations. And such syllabus and papers may be adopted for their own use, subject to the approval of the Bishop, by the Board of Examining Chaplains of any Diocese or District within the Province. The Provincial Board, when organized, shall report upon its work to the Synod at each session.

CA.NON 32.

Of Examination for Admission to Holy Orders in Special Cases.

Sec. 1. In special cases the requirements of the Normal Standard of Learning may be modified as hereinafter provided. But in every case before a Deacon shall be ordered Priest, he shall be examined, by the Bishop and two Presbyters, in the office and work of a Priest, and as to his ability to serve the Church in that Order of the Ministry.

Sec. 2. A Deacon who prepared for ordination under the provisions of Canon 26, Sec. 5 (d), and who has served two years in the Diaconate with good repute and success, may be admitted to the Priesthood without further examination by the Board of Examining Chaplains; Provided, that if he is to minister within the United States of America, he pass a special examination in the history and government thereof. But he shall not be granted letters dimissory from one Diocese or District to another without the request, in writing, of the Bishop of the Diocese or District to which he wishes to go, unless he shall have passed the full examinations prescribed in Canon 29, Sec. 1.
Sec. 3. In all cases of the ordination under this Canon of men with modified requirements of learning, a record of the modifications shall be kept by the Bishop, and the standing of every Minister thus ordained shall be reported to the Recorder with the other matters required in Canon 1, Sec. 4 (b).

**CANON 33.**

**Of General Provisions Respecting Ordination.**

Sec. 1. In accordance with ancient Canons, ordinations shall be held on the Sundays following the Ember Weeks, except that the Bishop may, if he deem proper, for urgent reasons, appoint special ordinations at other times.

Sec. 2. No appointment for the ordination of any Candidate shall be made until the Bishop has had due notice that all the canonical requirements have been complied with.

Sec. 3. (a). For the purpose of this and other Canons of Ordination, the authority assigned to the Bishop of the Diocese may be exercised by a Bishop Coadjutor, when so empowered under Canon 39, Sec. 2 (a), or by a Suffragan Bishop when requested by the Bishop of a Diocese, or by a Missionary Bishop, or any other Bishop of this Church canonically in charge of a Diocese or Missionary District, or of congregations in foreign parts.

(b). The Council of Advice in a Missionary District shall, for the purposes of this and other Canons of Ordination, have the same powers as the Standing Committee of a Diocese.

(c). In case of a vacancy in the episcopate in a Diocese or Missionary District, the Ecclesiastical Authority may authorize and request the President
of the Province, or another Bishop, to take order for an ordination.

Sec. 4 (a). No certificate or testimonial, the form of which is supplied by Canon, shall be valid, unless it be in the words prescribed; the omission of the date therefrom shall render such certificate or testimonial liable to rejection.

(b). No Postulant or Candidate for Holy Orders shall sign any of the certificates prescribed in the Canons of Ordination.

(c). Whenever the testimonial of the Standing Committee is required, such testimonial must be signed at a meeting duly convened, and, in the absence of express provision to the contrary, by a majority of the whole Committee.

(d). Whenever the certificate of a Vestry is required, such certificate must be signed by a majority of the whole Vestry, at a meeting duly convened, and the fact must be attested by the Secretary of the said Vestry or by the Minister.

Sec. 5. Whenever dispensation from any of the requirements of the Canons of Ordination is permitted, with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee, the application must be first made to the Bishop, and, if he approve it, be by him referred to the Committee.

Sec. 6. If, in the case of any applicant for admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, or for ordination, a majority of the Standing Committee refuse to recommend, or shall fail to act within three months, although the required certificates have been laid before the Committee, it shall be the duty of the Committee,
without delay, to give to the Bishop the reasons, in writing, for such refusal or failure to act.

Sec. 7 (a). No Bishop of this Church shall ordain any person to officiate in any congregation beyond the limits of the United States until the testimonials and certificates required by the Canons of Ordination shall have been supplied, except as provided for as follows:

(b). Any Missionary Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in foreign lands, or any Bishop to whom the charge of congregations in foreign lands shall have been assigned by the Presiding Bishop, may ordain as Deacons or Presbyters, to officiate within the limits of his charge, any persons of the age required by the Canons of this Church, who shall exhibit to him the testimonials required by Canons 34 and 35, signed by not less than two Presbyters of this Church, who may be subject to his charge, and other satisfactory evidence of moral character from natives of the country not in Holy Orders; Provided, nevertheless, that if there be only one Presbyter of this Church subject to his charge, and capable of acting at the time, the signature of a Presbyter in good standing under the jurisdiction of any Bishop in communion with this Church may be admitted to supply the deficiency.

**CANON 34.**

**Of Ordination to the Diaconate.**

Sec. 1. No one shall be ordered Deacon until he shall be twenty-one years of age.

Sec. 2. No one shall be ordered Deacon within eighteen months from his admission as Candidate for Holy Orders, unless, under special circumstances, the
CANON 34

Bishop, with the advice and consent of a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, shall shorten the time of his candidacy; and in no case shall the time be shortened to less than six months.

Sec. 3. Before the ordination of a Deacon the Bishop shall require the applicant to submit to a thorough examination by a physician appointed by the Bishop. This examination shall cover the man's mental and nervous as well as his physical condition. The form of medical report prepared by The Church Pension Fund shall be used for this purpose. This report shall be kept on file by the Bishop and shall be submitted to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice when application is made by the candidate to be ordained Deacon.

Sec. 4. No one shall be ordered Deacon unless he be first recommended to the Bishop by the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or Council of Advice of the Missionary District, to which he belongs.

Sec. 5. In order to be recommended for ordination the Candidate must lay before the Standing Committee:

(1). An application therefor in writing, signed by himself, which shall state the date of his birth.

(2). A certificate from the Bishop by whom he was admitted a Candidate, declaring the date of his admission; but when such certificate cannot be had, other evidence satisfactory to the Committee shall suffice.
(3). A certificate from a Presbyter of this Church, known to the Ecclesiastical Authority, in the following words, viz.:

To the Standing Committee of
Place, Date,

I hereby certify that I am personally acquainted with A. B., and that I believe him to be well qualified to minister in the Office of Deacon, to the glory of God and the edification of His Church.

(Signed)

(4). A certificate from the Minister and Vestry of the Parish of which he is a member, in the following words, viz.:

To the Standing Committee of
Place, Date,

We do certify that, after due inquiry, we are well assured and believe that A. B., for the space of three years last past, hath lived a sober, honest, and godly life, and that he is loyal to the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church, and does not hold anything contrary thereto. And, moreover, we think him a person worthy to be admitted to the Sacred Order of Deacons.

(Signed)

This certificate must be attested by the Minister of the Parish, or by the Clerk or Secretary of the Vestry, as follows, viz.:
I hereby certify that A. B. is a member of Parish in and a communicant of the same; that the foregoing certificate was signed at a meeting of the Vestry duly convened at on the day of and that the names attached are those of all (or a majority of all) the members of the Vestry.

(Signed)

The Minister of
or Clerk or Secretary of Vestry.

(5). A certificate from the theological seminary where he has been studying, or from the clergyman under whose direction he has been pursuing his studies, showing his scholastic record in the subjects required by the canons, and giving a judgment as to his personal qualifications for the Ministry of this Church.

Sec. 6. Should the Parish be without a Minister, it shall suffice that in his place the certificate required in paragraph (4) above be signed by some Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District in good standing, the reason for the substitution being stated in the attesting clause.

Sec. 7 (a). Should there be no organized Parish at the place of residence of the Candidate, or should it be impracticable, through circumstances not affecting his moral or religious character, to obtain the signatures of the Minister and Vestry, or of the Vestry, it
may suffice if the certificate be signed by at least—

One Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District in good standing, and six laymen, communicants of this Church in good standing; or should the Candidate within the space of three years last past have been a Minister or Licentiate in some other body of Christians, by three Presbyters of this Church as to the period during which he has been a Candidate, and by six adult male members in good standing of the denomination from which the Candidate came, as to the period, within the space of three years last past, before he became a Candidate.

(b). In such case, the reasons for departing from the regular form must be given in the attesting clause, which shall be signed by the same, or some other Presbyter of this Church in good standing, and shall be in the following words, viz.:

I hereby certify, that the Laymen whose names are attached to the foregoing certificate are communicants of this Church in good standing, and that this form of certificate was used for no reasons affecting the moral or religious character of the Candidate, but because (here give the reasons for departing from the regular form). (Signed)

Presbyter of the Diocese, or Missionary District of

Sec. 8. The Standing Committee, on the receipt of the certificates prescribed above and the report of the Board of Examining Chaplains prescribed in Canon
31, Sec. 4, and having reason to believe that all other canonical requirements have been complied with, and having no reason to suppose the existence of any sufficient obstacle, physical, mental, moral, or spiritual, may, at a meeting duly convened, a majority of all the members of the Committee consenting, recommend the Candidate for ordination by a testimonial addressed to the Bishop in the following words, viz.:

To the Right Reverend Bishop of

We, being a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee of , and having been duly convened at , do testify that A. B., desiring to be ordered Deacon, hath laid before us satisfactory certificates that for the space of three years last past he hath lived a sober, honest, and godly life, and that he is loyal to the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church and does not hold anything contrary thereto. And we hereby recommend him for ordination to the Diaconate.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our hands this day of in the year of our Lord (Signed)

This testimonial shall be signed by all consenting to its adoption.

Sec. 9. The testimonial having been presented to the Bishop, and there being no sufficient objection on grounds physical, mental, moral, doctrinal, or spiritual, the Bishop may take order for the ordination; and at the time of the ordination he shall require the Candidate to subscribe and make, in his presence, the declaration required in Article VIII. of the Constitution.
Sec. 10. (a). A man of devout character and proved fitness, desirous to serve in the capacity of Deacon without relinquishing his secular occupation and with no intention of seeking advancement to the Priesthood, may be accepted as a Postulant and admitted as a Candidate upon the following conditions:

(1). He shall not be less than thirty-two years of age.

(2). He shall be accepted as a Postulant as provided in Canon 26.

(3). Fulfillment of the requirements of Clause (c) of Section 5 of Canon 26 shall suffice as educational qualification for admission to candidateship.

(b). A Candidate so admitted may be ordained to the Diaconate at any time after six months from his admission as a Candidate, upon the following conditions:

(1). He shall have passed examinations in the subjects set forth in Canon 29, Sec. 2 (a); but the Bishop may at his discretion dispense him from examination in subjects (c), (d) and (e) of Practical Theology.

(2). He shall be recommended for ordination to the Diaconate by the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, as required by Sec. 5 of this Canon, except as to term of Candidateship.

(c). A Deacon ordained under the provisions of this Section shall exercise his Ministry as assistant in any parish or parishes to which, at the request or with the consent of the Rector and Vestry, he may be assigned by the Ecclesiastical Authority. As such assistant he may execute all functions appertaining to the
of a Deacon; he may not in any respect act as Minister in charge of a congregation. He may not be transferred to another jurisdiction except upon the express request in writing of the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof.

(d). The provisions of Canon 7, Of The Church Pension Fund, shall not apply, as to either assessments or benefits, to Deacons ordained under the provisions of this Section.

(e). Any Deacon ordained in accordance with this Section who may afterward desire to be advanced to the Priesthood shall be required to pass all examinations required of other Candidates for the Priesthood and to comply with all other canonical requirements precedent to such ordination. In such case the provisions of Canon 7 shall apply to him from the date of his ordination to the Priesthood.

CANON 35.
Of Ordination to the Priesthood.

Sec. 1. No one shall be ordered Priest until he be twenty-four years of age.

Sec. 2. No one shall be ordered Priest until he has been a Deacon one full year, unless it shall seem good to the Bishop, for reasonable causes, with the advice and consent of a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee, to shorten the time; nor within two years from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, unless the Bishop, for urgent reasons fully stated, with the advice and consent of a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee, shall shorten the time. And in no case shall he be ordered
Priest within less than one year from his admission as a Candidate for Holy Orders, nor until he has been a Deacon for at least six months. But a Deacon who has been ordained under the provisions of Canon 32, Sec. 2, shall not be ordered Priest until he has been a Deacon for at least two years, unless in the meantime he shall have fulfilled the requirements of Canon 29, Sec. 1.

Sec. 3. No Deacon shall be ordered Priest unless he be first recommended to the Bishop by the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or by the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, to which he belongs.

Sec. 4. In order to be recommended for ordination by the Standing Committee, the Deacon must lay before the Committee:

(1). An application therefor in writing signed by himself, which shall state the date of his birth.

(2). A certificate from the Bishop declaring that the term of his candidateship and the time of his service in the Diaconate have been completed; but when such certificate cannot be had, other evidence, satisfactory to the Committee, may suffice.

(3). A certificate from the Minister and Vestry of the Parish where he resides, in the following words, viz.:

To the Standing Committee of

Place, Date,

We do certify that, after due inquiry, we are well assured and believe that the Reverend A. B., Deacon, since the day of in the year
being the date of his ordination to the Diaconate (or for the space of three years last past), hath lived a sober, honest, and godly life, and hath not written, taught, or held anything contrary to the Doctrine, Discipline, or Worship of this Church. And, moreover, we think him a person worthy to be admitted to the Sacred Order of Priests.

(Signed)

This certificate must be attested by the Minister of the Parish, or by the Clerk or Secretary of the Vestry, as follows, viz.:

I hereby certify that the Reverend A. B. is a resident of Parish in ; that the foregoing certificate was signed at a meeting of the Vestry duly convened at on the day of , and the names attached are those of all (or a majority of all) the members of the Vestry.

(Signed)

The Minister of
or Clerk or Secretary of Vestry.

Sec. 5. But should the Parish be without a Minister, it shall suffice that in his place the certificate be signed by some Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District in good standing, the reason for the substitution being stated in the attesting clause.

Sec. 6 (a). Should there be no organized Parish at the place of residence of the Candidate, or should it
be impracticable, through circumstances not affecting his moral or religious character, to obtain the signatures of the Minister and Vestry, or of the Vestry, it may suffice if the certificate be signed by at least—

(1). One Presbyter of the Diocese or Missionary District, in good standing; and,

(2). Six Laymen, communicants of this Church, in good standing.

(b). In such case, the reasons for departing from the regular form must be given in the attesting clause, which shall be signed by the same, or some other Presbyter of this Church in good standing, and shall be in the following words, viz.:

I hereby certify that the Laymen whose names are attached to the foregoing certificate are communicants of this Church in good standing, and that this form of certificate was used for no reasons affecting the moral or religious character of the Candidate, but because (here give the reasons for departing from the regular form).

(Signed)

Presbyter of the Diocese, or Missionary District of

Sec. 7. The Standing Committee, on the receipt of the certificates prescribed above and the report of the Board of Examining Chaplains prescribed in Canon 31, Sec. 4, and having reason to believe that
all other canonical requirements have been complied with, and having no reason to suppose the existence of any sufficient obstacle, physical, mental, moral, or spiritual, may, at a meeting duly convened, a majority of all the members of the Committee consenting, recommend the Deacon for ordination by a testimonial addressed to the Bishop in the following words, viz.:

To the Right Reverend Bishop of

We, being a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee of and having been duly convened at , do testify that the Reverend A. B., Deacon, desiring to be ordered Priest, hath laid before us satisfactory certificates that since the day of in the year being the date of his ordination to the Diaconate (or for the space of three years last past), he hath lived a sober, honest, and godly life, and hath not written, taught, or held anything contrary to the Doctrine, Discipline, or Worship of this Church; and we hereby recommend him for ordination to the Priesthood.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our hands this day of in the year of our Lord (Signed)

This testimonial shall be signed by all consenting to its adoption.

Sec. 8. The testimonial having been presented to the Bishop, and there being no sufficient objection on grounds physical, mental, moral, doctrinal, or spiritual, the Bishop may take order for the ordination; and at the time of the ordination he shall require the
Deacon to subscribe and make, in his presence, the declaration required in Article VIII. of the Constitution.

Sec. 9. No Deacon shall be ordered Priest until he shall have been appointed to serve in some parochial Cure within the jurisdiction of this Church, or as a Missionary under the Ecclesiastical Authority of some Diocese or Missionary District, or as an officer of some Missionary Society recognized by the General Convention, or as a Chaplain of the Army or Navy of the United States, or as a Chaplain in some recognized hospital or other welfare institution, or as a Chaplain or instructor in some college or other seminary of learning, with opportunity for the exercise of his Ministry judged sufficient by the Bishop.

CANON 36

Of Ministers Ordained in Churches Not in Communion with This Church

Sec. 1 (a). When a Minister ordained in a Church not in communion with this Church desires to be a Deacon or Priest in this Church, he shall apply to a Bishop, attaching to his written application the following:

(1) Evidence that he has been duly baptized with water in the name of the Father, and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost;

(2) His letters of ordination and satisfactory evidence that they and his other credentials are valid and authentic;

(3) Satisfactory evidence of his moral and godly character; and that he is free from any vows or other
engagements inconsistent with the exercise of ministry in this Church;

(4) Transcripts of his academic and theological studies;

(5) A certificate from at least two Presbyters of this Church stating that, from personal examination, or from satisfactory evidence laid before them, they believe that his desire to leave the Communion to which he has belonged has not arisen from any circumstance unfavorable to his moral or religious character, or on account of which it may not be expedient to admit him to the exercise of the Ministry of this Church;

(6) A certificate in the form provided in Canon 34, Sec. 5 (3) and (4), from the Minister and Vestry of a parish of this Church; and

(7) A statement of the reasons which have moved him to seek to enter the Ministry of this Church.

(b) With regard to the fulfillment of requirements as to pre-theological education the provisions of Canon 26, Sec. 5 and Sec. 6 shall be applicable. The applicant shall also submit to the examinations required in Canon 34, Sec. 3, the result of such examination to be filed and submitted as therein required.

Sec. 2 (a). If such a Minister furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous Communion, and have exercised his ministry therein with good repute and success for at least five years, he shall be examined by the Board of Examining Chaplains in the following subjects:

(1) Church History: the History of the Church of England, and of this Church;

(2) Doctrine: the Church's teaching as set forth in the Creeds and the Offices of Instruction;
(3) Liturgics: the Principles and History of Christian Worship; the Contents and Use of the Book of Common Prayer;

(4) Practical Theology:
   (a) The Office and Work of a Deacon and of a Priest,
   (b) The Conduct of Public Worship,
   (c) The Constitution and Canons of the General Convention, and of the Diocese or District in which he is canonically resident.
   (d) The use of the voice in reading and speaking.

(5) The points of Doctrine, Discipline, Polity, and Worship in which the Communion from which he has come differs from this Church. This portion of the examination shall be conducted in part at least by written questions and answers, and the replies kept on file for at least three years.

The Board of Examining Chaplains may, with the consent of the Bishop, and with due notice to the applicant, examine the latter in any other subject required by Canon 29, Sec. 1.

(b) But if such Minister cannot furnish evidence of a satisfactory theological training in his previous Communion, or if he have not exercised his Ministry therein with good repute and success for at least five years, he shall conform to the requirements of Canon 29, Sec. 1.
Sec. 3 (a). Prior to being examined as heretofore provided, the applicant shall have received certificates from the Bishop and from the Standing Committee, or Council of Advice, that he is acceptable as a Minister of this Church, subject to the successful completion of said examinations; but he shall not be ordained or received until, after the provision of said certificates, at least six calendar months shall have elapsed, during which period he shall undertake such studies, in a theological seminary or otherwise, as shall be directed by the Bishop with the advice of the Board of Examining Chaplains.

(b) The Bishop, in a book to be kept for that purpose, shall enter the name of each applicant, with the fact of his approval or disapproval of the application, and the date of such entry. If he approve of the application, he shall inform the applicant of the fact, and of the date of his acceptance.

Sec. 4. Before such Minister shall be ordained or received into the Ministry of this Church, the Bishop shall require him to promise in writing to submit himself in all things to the discipline of this Church without recourse to any other ecclesiastical jurisdiction or foreign civil jurisdiction; and shall further require him to subscribe and make in his presence, and in the presence of two or more Presbyters the declaration required in Article VIII of the Constitution.

Sec. 5 (a). Thereafter the Bishop, being satisfied of such Minister's theological attainments and soundness in the faith, may
(1) Receive him into the Diocese or Missionary District as a Deacon of this Church, and, no sooner than four months thereafter, as a Priest, if he has already been ordained by a Bishop in the historic succession; or

(2) Confirm him and make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him as Priest if he has not received such ordination; or

(3) Make him a Deacon and, no sooner than four months thereafter, ordain him a Priest conditionally (having baptized and confirmed him conditionally if necessary) if he has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority to convey such orders has not been recognized by this Church.

(b). In the case of an ordination pursuit to Sec. 5 (a) (2) of this Canon, the Bishop may, at the time of such ordination, read this preface to the service:

A.B., who is already a minister of Christ, now desires to be made a Deacon (or ordained a Priest) in this Church. He has satisfied the Ecclesiastical Authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church. We are about to confer upon him the grace and authority of Holy Orders as this Church has received them and requires them for the exercise of the ministry therein.

The letters of ordination in such cases may contain the words:

Acknowledging the ministry which he has already received and hereby adding to that commission the
grace and authority of Holy Orders as understood and required by this Church for the exercise of the ministry.

(c). In the case of a conditional ordination pursuant to Sec. 5 (a) (3) of this Canon, the Bishop shall, at the time of such ordination, read this preface to the service:

A.B., who has been ordained by a Bishop whose authority has not been recognized by this Church, has now satisfied the ecclesiastical authority of this Diocese (or Missionary District) that he accepts the doctrine, discipline and worship of this Church and that he now desired conditional ordination. By this service of ordination, we propose to establish that A. B. is qualified to minister in this Church.

Sec. 6. No one shall be ordered Deacon or received as such until he be 21 years of age. No one shall be ordered Priest or received as such until he be 24 years of age.

Sec. 7. Any other provisions in other Canons inconsistent with this Canon are inapplicable.

CANON 37.

Of Ministers Ordained in Foreign Countries by Bishops in Communion with this Church.

Sec. 1 (a). A Minister declaring himself to have been ordained beyond the limits of the United States by a foreign Bishop in communion with this Church, or by a Bishop consecrated for a foreign country by Bishops of this Church under Article III. of the
Before taking charge of a Parish.
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Constitution, shall, before he be permitted to officiate in any Parish or Congregation of this Church, exhibit to the Minister, or, if there be no Minister, to the Vestry thereof, a certificate of recent date, signed by the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District, that his letters of Holy Orders and other credentials are valid and authentic, and given by a Bishop in communion with this Church, and whose authority is acknowledged by this Church, and also that he has exhibited to the said Ecclesiastical Authority satisfactory evidence of his moral and godly character, and of his theological acquirements.

(b). And before he shall be permitted to take charge of any Parish or Congregation, or be received into any Diocese or Missionary District of this Church as a Minister thereof, he shall produce to the Ecclesiastical Authority Letters Dimissory or equivalent credentials under the hand and seal of the Bishop with whose Diocese or Missionary District he has been last connected, which letters or credentials shall be delivered within six months from the date thereof. Before such Minister shall be so received, the Bishop shall require him to promise in writing to submit himself in all things to the discipline of this Church, without recourse to any foreign jurisdiction, civil or ecclesiastical; and shall further require him to subscribe and make in his presence, and in the presence of two or more Presbyters, the declaration required in Article VIII. of the Constitution. He shall also be examined by the Bishop and at least one Presbyter as to his knowledge of the history of this Church, its worship and government. The said Ecclesiastical Au-
authority, being satisfied of his theological acquirements, may then receive him into the Diocese or Missionary District as a Minister of this Church; Provided, that such Minister shall not be entitled to hold canonical charge in any Parish or Congregation, until he shall have resided one year in the United States subsequent to the acceptance of his credentials.

(c). A Minister declaring himself to have been ordained beyond the limits of the United States by a foreign Bishop in communion with this Church, or by a Bishop consecrated for a foreign country by Bishops of this Church, under Article III. of the Constitution, shall not be accepted nor shall the Minister named therein be placed on the clergy list of this Church until such a Minister shall have submitted himself to, and satisfactorily passed, a thorough examination by a physician appointed by the Bishop. This examination shall cover the man's mental and nervous, as well as his physical condition. The form of medical report prepared by The Church Pension Fund shall be used for this purpose.

Sec. 2. If such Minister be a Deacon, he shall not be ordered Priest until he shall have resided in the United States at least one year.

CANON 38.
(Repealed by the 1961 General Convention)

CANON 39.
Of the Ordination and Consecration of Bishops.

Sec. 1 (a). Whenever the Church in any Diocese shall desire the ordination and consecration of a
Bishop-elect, if the election shall have taken place within three months before a meeting of the General Convention, the Standing Committee of the said Diocese shall, by their President, or by some person or persons specially appointed, forward to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, evidence of the election of the Bishop-elect by the Convention of the Diocese, together with evidence of his having been duly ordered Deacon and Priest, and also a testimonial, signed by a constitutional majority of such Convention, in the following words, viz.:

We, whose names are hereunder written, fully sensible how important it is that the Sacred Order and Office of a Bishop should not be unworthily conferred, and firmly persuaded that it is our duty to bear testimony on this solemn occasion without partiality or affection, do, in the presence of Almighty God, testify that the Reverend A. B. is not, so far as we are informed, justly liable to evil report, either for error in religion or for viciousness of life; and that we know of no impediment on account of which he ought not to be ordained and consecrated to that Holy Office. We do, moreover, jointly and severally declare that we believe him to be of such sufficiency in good learning, of such soundness in the Faith, and of such virtuous and pure manners and godly conversation, that he is apt and meet to exercise the Office of a Bishop to the honour of God and the edifying of His Church, and to be a wholesome example to the flock of Christ.

(Signed)

The Secretary of such Convention shall certify upon this testimonial that it has been signed by a constitutional majority thereof.

There shall also be forwarded with the testimonial and other documents a certificate from two medical doctors, who shall be chosen by the Presiding Bishop,
that they have thoroughly examined the Bishop-elect and have not discovered in his physical, mental or nervous condition any reason why it would not be wise for him to undertake the work for which he has been chosen.

The Secretary of the House of Deputies shall lay the said testimonials before the House, and if the House shall consent to the consecration of the Bishop elect, notice of said consent, certified by the President and Secretary of said House, shall be sent to the House of Bishops, together with the testimonials aforesaid.

(b). If the House of Bishops consent to the consecration, the Presiding Bishop shall, without delay, notify such consent to the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing and to the Bishop-elect; and upon notice of the acceptance by the Bishop-elect of his election, the Presiding Bishop shall take order for the consecration of the said Bishop-elect either by himself or the President of the Province of which the Diocese electing is a part and two other Bishops of this Church, or by any three Bishops of this Church to whom he may communicate the testimonials.

In all particulars the service at the consecration of a Bishop shall be under the direction of the Bishop presiding at such consecration.

(c). If the election of a Bishop shall have taken place more than three months before the meeting of the General Convention, the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing shall, by their President, or by some person or persons specially appointed, immediately send certificate of the election to the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses, together with copies of the necessary testimonials and other do-
documents, including the medical certificate as required in Sec. 1 (a) of this Canon; and if a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses shall consent to the consecration of the Bishop-elect, the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing shall then forward the evidence of said consent, with the other necessary testimonials, to the Presiding Bishop, who shall immediately communicate the same to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States, and if a majority of such Bishops shall consent to the consecration, the Presiding Bishop shall, without delay, notify such consent to the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing and to the Bishop-elect, and upon notice of his acceptance of the election, the Presiding Bishop shall take order for the consecration of the said Bishop-elect either by himself or the President of the Province of which the Diocese electing is a part and two other Bishops of this Church, or by any three Bishops of this Church to whom he may communicate the testimonials.

The evidence of the consent of the several Standing Committees shall be a testimonial in the following words, signed by a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses:

We, being a majority of all the members of the Standing Committee of [Diocese], and having been duly convened at [Place], fully sensible how important it is that the Sacred Order and Office of a Bishop should not be unworthily conferred, and firmly persuaded that it is our duty to bear testimony on this solemn occasion without partiality or affection, do, in the presence of Almighty God, testify that the Reverend A. B. is not, so far as we are informed, justly liable to evil report, either for error in religion or for viciousness of life; and that we know of no impediment on account of which he ought not to be ordained and consecrated to that Holy Office.
CANON 39

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our hands this day of in the year of our Lord

(Signed)

If the Presiding Bishop shall not have received the consent of a majority of the Bishops within three months from the date of his notice to them, he shall then give notice of such failure to the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing.

(d). In case a majority of all the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses shall not consent to the consecration of a Bishop-elect within the period of six months from the date of the notification of the election by the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing, or in case of majority of all the Bishops entitled to act in the premises shall not consent within the period of three months from the date of notification to them by the Presiding Bishop of the election, the Presiding Bishop shall declare the election null and void, and the Convention of the Diocese may then proceed to a new election.

(e). It shall be the duty of the Bishop-elect to notify the Presiding Bishop of his acceptance or declination of the election at the same time as he notifies the electing Diocese.

Sec. 2 (a). When a Bishop of a Diocese is unable, by reason of age, or other permanent cause of infirmity, or by reason of the extent of Diocesan work, fully to discharge the duties of his office, a Bishop Coadjutor may be elected by and for said Diocese, who shall have the right of succession; Provided, that before the election of a Bishop Coadjutor for the reason of extent of Diocesan work, the consent of the General Convention, or during the recess thereof, the consent of a
majority of the Bishops having jurisdiction in the United States and of the several Standing Committees, must be had and obtained. Before any election of a Bishop Coadjutor, the Bishop of the Diocese shall read, or cause to be read, to the Convention thereof, his written consent to such election, and in such consent he shall state the duties which he thereby assigns to the Bishop Coadjutor, when duly ordained and consecrated, and such consent shall form part of the proceedings of the Convention. The duties assigned by the Bishop to the Bishop Coadjutor in any Diocese may be enlarged by mutual consent whenever the Bishop of the Diocese may desire to assign such additional duties to the Bishop Coadjutor. In case of the inability of the Bishop of the Diocese to issue the aforesaid consent, the Standing Committee of the Diocese may request the Convention to act without such consent, and such request shall be accompanied by certificates of medical men as to the inability of the Bishop of the Diocese to issue his written consent.

(b). In the case of a Bishop Coadjutor, the grounds for his election, as stated in the record of the Convention, shall be communicated, with the other required testimonials, to the General Convention, or to the Standing Committees and the Presiding Bishop.

(c). In case of application for the ordination and consecration of a Bishop Coadjutor, the Standing Committee shall forward to the Presiding Bishop, in addition to the evidence and testimonials required by the preceding section, a certificate of the Presiding Officer and Secretary of the Convention that every requirement of this Section has been complied with.

(d). There shall not be in any Diocese at the same time more than one Bishop Coadjutor.
Sec. 3. It shall be lawful, within six months prior to the effective date of the resignation or retirement of a Bishop from his jurisdiction, for the said Bishop, with the advice and consent of the Standing Committee, to call a special meeting of the Convention of the Diocese to elect a successor; *Provided*, that if the Convention is to meet in regular session meanwhile, it may hold the election during such regular session. The proceedings incident to preparation for the ordination and consecration of such successor shall be as provided in Section 1 of this Canon; but the Presiding Bishop shall not take order for the consecration to be on any date prior to that upon which the resignation is to become effective.

Sec. 4. No one shall be ordained and consecrated Bishop unless he shall at the time subscribe, in the presence of the ordaining and consecrating Bishops, the declaration required in Article VIII. of the Constitution.

**CANON 40.**

*Of Missionary Bishops.*

Sec. 1. The House of Bishops may establish Missionary Districts in States or Territories, or parts thereof, or in territory belonging to the United States, not organized into Dioceses, or in territory beyond the United States, not under the charge of Bishops in communion with this Church. It may also, from time to time, change, increase, or diminish the territory included in such Missionary Districts.

Sec. 2 (a). The House of Bishops may, from time to time, choose a suitable person or persons to be a Bishop or Bishops of this Church in Missionary Districts, such choice to be subject to confirmation by the
House of Deputies during the session of the General Convention, and at other times to confirmation by a majority of the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses. The medical certificate as required in Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), shall also be required of Missionary Bishops-elect.

(b) When a vacancy shall occur in the Episcopate in any Missionary District within a Province, the President of the Province may convene the Synod of the Province prior to the meeting of the House of Bishops at which a Missionary Bishop for such Missionary District is to be elected. The Synod of the Province may thereupon nominate not exceeding three persons to the House of Bishops for that office. It shall be the duty of the President of the Province to transmit such nomination, if any be made, to the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops, who shall three weeks before the meeting of the House of Bishops communicate the same to the Bishops along with other nominations that have been made in accordance with the Rules of Order of the House. Each Province containing a Missionary District shall, by ordinance, provide the manner of convening the Synod and making such nomination. The foregoing provision for nomination by the Synod of the Province shall not apply in the case of the election of Missionary Bishops for Districts in Alaska, the Canal Zone, or in any other region outside the continental boundaries of the United States, notwithstanding such Districts may be included in Provinces.

(c) The evidence of such choice shall be a certificate signed by the Bishop presiding in the House of Bishops and by its Secretary, with a testimonial, or certified copy thereof, signed by a majority of the Bishops of the House, in the form required in Canon 39, Sec. 1 (a), which shall be sent to the Pre-
siding Officer of the House of Deputies, or to the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses, if the General Convention be not in session.

(d). When the Presiding Bishop shall have received a certificate signed by the President and Secretary of the House of Deputies (or certificates signed by the Presidents and Secretaries of a majority of the Standing Committees as the case may be), that the election has been approved, and shall have received notice of the acceptance by the Bishop-elect of his election, he shall take order for the consecration of the said Bishop-elect either by himself and two other Bishops of this Church, or by any three Bishops of this Church to whom he may communicate the certificates and testimonial.

Sec. 3. The House of Bishops shall have power, at their discretion, to transfer a Missionary Bishop from one Missionary District to another, and, in case of the permanent disability of the Bishop in charge, to declare the Missionary District vacant.

Sec. 4. When the Bishop of a Missionary District is unable, by reason of age or other permanent cause of disability, fully to discharge the duties of his office, at his request a Bishop Coadjutor may be elected for that Missionary District with right of succession and subject to all the other provisions of this Canon governing the election of Missionary Bishops. Before such election the Bishop asking for such assistance shall state the duties which he thereby assigns to the Bishop Coadjutor. The duties assigned by the Bishop may be enlarged by mutual consent whenever the Bishop of the Missionary District may desire to assign such additional duties to the Bishop Coadjutor.

Sec. 5. Any Bishop or Bishops elected and consecrated under this Canon shall be entitled to a seat
Eligible as a Diocesan, Coadjutor or Suffragan.

Proviso.

Power upon the organization of a Diocese.

Proviso.

Election of a Missionary Bishop as a Diocesan, Coadjutor or Suffragan.

Concurrence of General Convention.

Election during recess.

and vote in the House of Bishops, and shall be eligible to the office of Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor or Suffragan Bishop, in any organized Diocese within the United States; Provided, that such Bishop shall not be so eligible within five years from the date of his consecration, except to the Office of Bishop of a Diocese formed in whole or in part out of his Missionary District. And whenever a Diocese shall have been organized within the jurisdiction of such Missionary Bishop, if he shall be chosen Bishop of such Diocese, he may accept the office without vacating his Missionary appointment; Provided, that he continue to discharge the duties of Missionary Bishop within the residue of his original jurisdiction, if there be such residue, until the House of Bishops shall elect a Missionary Bishop of such residue.

Sec. 6. (a). When a Diocese, entitled to the choice of a Bishop, shall elect as its Diocesan, or as its Bishop Coadjutor, or Suffragan Bishop, a Missionary Bishop of this Church, if such election shall have taken place within three months before a meeting of the General Convention, evidence thereof shall be laid before each House of the General Convention, and the concurrence of each House, and its express consent, shall be necessary to the validity of said election, and shall complete the same; so that the Bishop thus elected shall be thereafter the Bishop of the Diocese which has elected him.

(b). If the said election have taken place more than three months before a meeting of the General Convention, the above process may be adopted, or the following instead thereof, viz.: The Standing Committee of the Diocese electing shall give duly certified evidence of the election to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States thereof, and to the Standing Committee of every Dio-
cese. On receiving notice of the concurrence of a majority of such Bishops and of the Standing Committees in the election, and their express consent there­to, the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing shall transmit notice thereof to the Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District within the United States; which notice shall state what Bishops and what Standing Committees have consented to the election. On receiving this notice the Presiding Bishop shall certify to the Secretary of the House of Bishops the altered status and style of the Bishop so elected.

The Standing Committee of such Diocese shall transmit to every congregation thereof, to be publicly read therein, a notice of the election thus completed, and also cause public notice thereof to be given in such other way as they may think proper.

Sec. 7. In case of the death or resignation of a Missionary Bishop, or of a vacancy in the Missionary District from other cause, the charge thereof shall devolve upon the Presiding Bishop with the power of appointing some other Bishop of this Church as his substitute in said charge until the vacancy is filled.

Sec. 8. If during the recess of the General Convention, and more than six months previous to its session, there shall be a vacancy in a Missionary District arising from any cause, the House of Bishops shall, on the written request of twelve members of the same, be convened by the Presiding Bishop; and thereupon may proceed to elect a Bishop for such District.

CANON 41.
Of Suffragan Bishops.

Sec. 1. A Suffragan Bishop shall be elected in accordance with the Canons enacted in each Diocese for
the election of a Bishop. But the initiative shall always be taken by the Bishop of the Diocese asking for the assistance of a Suffragan.

Sec. 2. Before the election of a Suffragan Bishop in a Diocese or Missionary District the consent of the General Convention, or during the recess thereof the consent of a majority of the Bishops having jurisdiction in the United States and of the several Standing Committees must be had and obtained.

Sec. 3. There shall not at any time be more than two Suffragan Bishops holding office in and for any Diocese, save by special consent of the General Convention previously obtained.

Sec. 4. The House of Bishops, from time to time in its discretion, may choose a Suffragan Bishop for any Missionary District in the same manner as provided by Canon 40, Sec. 2, and subject to all the provisions thereof.

Sec. 5. A Suffragan Bishop shall act, in all respects, as the assistant of the Bishop of the Diocese, or Missionary District, and under his direction.

Sec. 6 (a). Every Suffragan Bishop, upon attaining the age of seventy-two years, shall forthwith tender his resignation from his position by sending it to the Presiding Bishop, who shall immediately communicate the same to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States and shall declare the said Bishop's resignation accepted, effective at a designated date not later than three months from the date of such resignation.

(b). The Presiding Bishop shall communicate to the resigning Bishop the fact of the acceptance of his resignation and the termination of his position effec-
tive as of the date fixed; and, in the case of a Suffra-
gan of a Diocese, shall certify the same to the Ecclesi­
astical Authority of the Diocese concerned. He shall
also order the Secretary of the House of Bishops to
record the same effective as of the date fixed, to be
incorporated in the Journal of the House.

At each meeting of the General Convention, it shall
be the duty of the Presiding Officer of the House of
Bishops to communicate to the House of Deputies,
when in session, a list of such resignations which have
been accepted since the preceding meeting of the Gen­
eral Convention.

(c). Such retired Suffragan Bishop shall receive
from the Treasurer of the General Convention a re­
tiring allowance of four thousand dollars per annum,
less whatever allowance such Bishop may receive
from The Church Pension Fund and from the Diocese
in which he served as Suffragan Bishop. Such retiring
allowance may be revised whenever such retired Bishop
shall receive a regular stipend from ecclesiastical
employment.

(d). If any Suffragan Bishop should for any reason
fail to submit his resignation upon attaining the age of
seventy-two years, as provided in Clause (a) above,
the Presiding Bishop shall certify that fact to the
House of Bishops. The House of Bishops shall then
declare the said Bishop’s position terminated, effective
at a date not later than three months from the date
of such declaration; and shall order the Presiding
Bishop’s certificate and its own declaration and action
to be recorded in its Journal. It shall then be the duty
of the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops to
pronounce such position terminated, and to communi­
cate the fact to the House of Deputies, if in session,
and to the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese
and Missionary District.
(e). The tenure of office of a Suffragan Bishop shall not be terminated on the death or removal of the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District. A Suffragan Bishop may, at any time, resign his position as Suffragan of a Diocese or Missionary District with the consent of a majority of the Bishops of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States under the procedure set out in Canon 43, Sec. 8, so far as it applies. A Suffragan Bishop whose resignation has been accepted shall exercise Episcopal functions only as he may be authorized by the Ecclesiastical Authority of a Diocese or a Missionary District.

Sec. 7 (a). Whenever a Suffragan Bishop shall be elected Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor of a Diocese or Bishop of a Missionary District, if such election shall have taken place within three months before a meeting of the General Convention, evidence thereof shall be laid before each House of the General Convention and the concurrence of each House and its express consent shall be necessary to the validity of said election, and shall complete the same, so that the Bishop thus elected shall be thereafter the Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese which has elected him; or Missionary Bishop of the District, as the case may be.

(b). If the said election has taken place more than three months before a meeting of the General Convention, the above process may be adopted, or the following instead thereof, viz.:

The Standing Committee of the Diocese electing, or the Secretary of the House of Bishops, as the case may be, shall give duly certified evidence of the election to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States and to the Standing Committee of every Diocese.

On receiving notice of the concurrence of a majority of the Bishops and of the Standing Committees
in the election, and their express consent thereto, the Standing Committee of the Diocese concerned or the Secretary of the House of Bishops, as the case may be, shall transmit notice thereof to the Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District within the United States; which notice shall state what Bishops and what Standing Committees have consented to the election. On receiving this notice the Presiding Bishop shall certify to the Secretary of the House of Bishops the altered status and style of the Bishop so elected.

If the Presiding Bishop shall not have received the consent of a majority of the Bishops within three months from the date of his notice to them, he shall then give notice of such failure to the Standing Committee of the Diocese electing.

Sec. 8. No Suffragan Bishop, while acting as such, shall be Rector or settled Minister in charge of a Parish or congregation.

**CANON 42.**

Of the Consecration of Bishops for Foreign Lands.

Sec. 1. Pursuant to the provisions of Article III. of the Constitution the following conditions are prescribed as necessary to be fulfilled before the Presiding Bishop of this Church shall take order for a Consecration to the Episcopate authorized by that Article.

(1). A person seeking to be ordained and consecrated a Bishop for a foreign land, within the purport of Article III. of the Constitution, must present to the Presiding Bishop of this Church a statement in writing subscribed by him setting forth his name, and the date and place of his birth; his Ecclesiastical and Civil status; whether he is in Priest’s Orders, and, if so, the time and place and Episcopal source of his admission thereto, and to the Diaconate; the
fact of his election or appointment, by a body of Christian people in a foreign land, to be, when duly ordained and consecrated, their Bishop; the corporate name under which such body is or desires and intends to be known as a distinct part of the Catholic Church of Christ; and the land wherein and the civil government under which it claims and purposes to exercise its jurisdiction as such; that the position of this body of Christian people in the land wherein they dwell is such as to justify its distinct organization as a Church therein; that the members of that body will receive the person consecrated for them by the Episcopate of this Church as a true and lawful Chief Pastor, will suitably maintain him as such, and will render to him all due canonical obedience in the exercise of his proper Episcopal functions; that by the lawful authority recognized in the body applying through him for the Episcopate there has been prescribed for use in that body a Book of Offices containing the Creeds commonly called the Apostles' and Nicene Creeds, together with forms for the Administration of the Sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper, an Ordinal, an Office for the Administration of Confirmation by the Laying on of Hands, and an Order for the public reading of the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments, in which Book the Faith and Order of the Church, as this Church hath received the same, are clearly set forth and established as the Faith and Order of the Church in which the Episcopate is as aforesaid desired to be settled and maintained; and that the person presenting himself for consecration is, in his life and teaching, in entire conformity with the principles of such Faith and Order, that he is not justly liable to evil report for error in religion or viciousness of life, and that he has no knowledge of any impediment on account of which he ought not to be consecrated to the Office of a Bishop.
(2). In case a Bishop should already have been consecrated for a foreign land under the provisions of Article III. of the Constitution, and application should be made for the consecration of a second or of a third Bishop for the same country, the judgment in writing of the Bishop or Bishops already exercising jurisdiction in that land concerning the proposed consecration shall be presented to the Presiding Bishop together with the papers required in the foregoing Clause.

(3). The applicant making the statement required in Clause (1) shall with it present to the Presiding Bishop evidence fully substantiating the said statement in every particular thereof; and shall make such further statement, supported by such further evidence, as the Presiding Bishop may in the premises deem to be desirable or essential.

(4). If the Presiding Bishop shall deem the statement so submitted, with the evidence substantiating the same, sufficient to justify the consideration of the application by the Bishops of this Church, he shall lay the whole record embodying such statement and evidence before the House of Bishops on the next occasion on which they may be duly convened as such, with the presence of a majority of all the Bishops of this Church entitled to vote in that House.

Sec. 2. If after consideration of the statement and evidence so presented, and of any other evidence of which they may be cognizant, a majority of the Bishops of this Church entitled to vote in the House of Bishops shall consent to the proposed ordination and consecration under the provisions of Article III. of the Constitution, the Presiding Bishop shall take order therefor in the same manner as order is prescribed to be taken by him in the consecration of Bishops in this Church, the Order of Consecration being con-
Provision for prior ordination to the Diaconate and the Priesthood.

Sec. 3. If a majority of the Bishops of this Church entitled to vote in the House of Bishops shall have given their consent to the proposed ordination and consecration as required in Section 2 of this Canon, but the person seeking such ordination and consecration shall not have received Episcopal ordination to the Diaconate and to the Priesthood, the Presiding Bishop, or some Bishop of this Church appointed by him for that purpose, shall proceed to ordain such person to the Diaconate and to the Priesthood, and may do so on successive days, the Order of Ordination being conformed, as nearly as may be in the judgment of the Bishop ordaining, to that used in this Church.

Sec. 4. Immediately after a consecration as herein provided shall have taken place, the Presiding Bishop shall lodge the original record of the statement and evidence above required, together with such other papers and documents as he may deem essential to the true and complete history of the proceedings, with the Registrar of the General Convention for preservation among the Archives of this Church.

CANON 43.

Of Duties of Bishops.

Sec. 1. It shall be the duty of every Bishop of this Church to reside within the limits of his jurisdiction; nor shall he absent himself therefrom for more than three months without the consent of the Convention or the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or, in the case of a Missionary Bishop, without the consent of the Presiding Bishop.

Sec. 2 (a). Every Bishop shall visit the Congregations within his Diocese or Missionary District at least
once in three years, for the purposes of examining their condition, inspecting the behavior of the Clergy, administering Confirmation, preaching the Word, and at his discretion celebrating the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper. At every visitation it shall be the duty of the Bishop to examine the records required by Canon 45, Section 3.

(b). If a Bishop shall for three years have declined to visit a Parish or Congregation, the Minister and Vestry [or the Corporation], or the Bishop, may apply to the Presiding Bishop to appoint the five Bishops in charge of Dioceses who live nearest to the Diocese in which such Church or Congregation may be situated as a Council of Conciliation, who shall amicably determine all matters of difference between the parties, and each party shall conform to the decision of the Council in the premises; **Provided**, that in case of any subsequent trial of either party for failure to conform to such decision, any constitutional or canonical right of the defendant in the premises may be pleaded and established as a sufficient defense, notwithstanding such former decision; and, **Provided, further**, that in any case the Bishop may at any time apply for such Council of Conciliation.

(c). Every Bishop shall keep a record of all his official acts, which record shall be the property of the Diocese, and shall be transmitted to his successor.

Sec. 3. Every Bishop shall deliver, from time to time at his discretion, a Charge to the Clergy of his Diocese or Missionary District, and may, from time to time, address to the people of his Diocese or Missionary District Pastoral Letters on points of Christian doctrine, worship, or manners, which he may require the Clergy to read to their congregations.

Sec. 4. At every Annual Convention or Convocation the Bishop shall make a statement of the affairs
of the Diocese or Missionary District since the last meeting of the Convention or Convocation; the names of the Churches which he has visited; the number of persons confirmed; the names of those who have been received as Candidates for Holy Orders, and of those who have been ordained, and of those who have been by him suspended or deposed from the Ministry; the changes by death, removal, or otherwise, which have taken place among the Clergy; and all matters tending to throw light upon the affairs of the Diocese or Missionary District; which statement shall be inserted in the Journal.

Sec. 5. It shall be the duty of a Bishop, whenever leaving his Diocese or District for the space of six calendar months, to authorize, by writing, under his hand and seal, the Bishop Coadjutor, or, should there be none, the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or the Council of Advice of the District, to act as the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof during his absence. The Bishop Coadjutor, or, should there be none, the Standing Committee, may become at any time the Ecclesiastical Authority upon the written request of the Bishop, and continue to act as such until the request be revoked by him in writing.

Sec. 6 (a). Any Bishop of this Church may, on the invitation of the Convention or of the Standing Committee of any Diocese where there is no Bishop, or where the Bishop is for the time under a disability to perform Episcopal offices by reason of a judicial sentence, visit and perform Episcopal offices in that Diocese, or in any part thereof; and this invitation may be for a stated period, and may be at any time revoked.

(b). A Diocese without a Bishop, or of which the Bishop is for the time under a disability by reason of a judicial sentence, may, by its Convention, be placed
under the provisional charge and authority of the Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor of another Diocese, who shall by that act be authorized to perform all the duties and offices of the Bishop of the Diocese so vacant or whose Bishop is under disability, until, in the case of a vacant Diocese a Bishop be duly elected and consecrated for the same; or in the case of a Diocese whose Bishop is disabled, until the disqualification be removed; or, until, in either case, the said act of the Convention be revoked.

(c). A Diocese, while under the provisional charge of a Bishop, shall not invite any other Bishop to perform any Episcopal duty or exercise authority.

Sec. 7 (a). Every Bishop and every Bishop Coadjutor and every Missionary Bishop, upon attaining the age of seventy-two years, shall forthwith tender his resignation from his jurisdiction, as required by Section 8 of Article II. of the Constitution, by sending it to the Presiding Bishop, who shall immediately communicate the same to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States and shall declare the said Bishop's resignation accepted, effective at a designated date not later than three months from the date of such resignation.

(b). The Presiding Bishop shall communicate to the resigning Bishop the fact of the acceptance of his resignation and the termination of his jurisdiction effective as of the date fixed; and, in the case of a Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor of a Diocese, shall certify the same to the Standing Committee of the Diocese concerned. He shall also order the Secretary of the House of Bishops to record the same, effective as of the date fixed, to be incorporated in the Journal of the House.

(c). Such retired Bishop, or Bishop Coadjutor, or any Bishop who retires from an office created by the
General Convention, shall receive from the Treasurer of the General Convention a retiring allowance of four thousand dollars per annum, less whatever allowance such Bishop may receive from The Church Pension Fund, from his former Diocese, or from the National Council. Such retiring allowance may be revised whenever such Bishop shall receive a regular stipend from ecclesiastical employment.

(d). If any Bishop should for any reason fail to submit his resignation upon attaining the age of seventy-two years, as provided in Clause (a) above, the Presiding Bishop shall certify that fact to the House of Bishops. The House of Bishops shall then declare the said Bishop's jurisdiction terminated, effective at a date not later than three months from the date of declaration; and shall order the Presiding Bishop's certificate and its own declaration and action to be recorded in its Journal. It shall then be the duty of the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops to pronounce such jurisdiction terminated, effective as of the date fixed, and to communicate the fact to the House of Deputies, if in session, and to the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese and Missionary District.

Sec. 8 (a). If the Bishop of a Diocese, or a Bishop Coadjutor, shall desire to resign his jurisdiction, he shall send in writing to the Presiding Bishop his resignation with the reasons therefor. This communication shall be sent at least thirty days before the date set for a regular or a special meeting of the House of Bishops. The Presiding Bishop shall without delay send a copy of the communication to every Bishop of this Church having ecclesiastical jurisdiction, and also to the Standing Committee of the Diocese of the Bishop desiring to resign, in order that the Standing Committee may on behalf of the Diocese
be heard either in person or by correspondence upon the subject. The House during its session shall investigate the whole case, and by a majority of those present accept or refuse the resignation.

(b). If said resignation shall have been tendered more than three months before a regular or special meeting of the House of Bishops, the Presiding Bishop shall communicate the same, together with any statement from the Standing Committee of the Diocese concerned, to every Bishop of this Church having jurisdiction in the United States; and if a majority of such Bishops shall consent to the resignation, the Presiding Bishop shall, without delay, notify the resigning Bishop and the Standing Committee of the Diocese concerned, of the acceptance of such resignation and the termination of said Bishop’s jurisdiction, effective as of the date fixed. He shall also order the Secretary of the House of Bishops to record the same, effective as of the date fixed, to be incorporated in the Journal of the House.

(c). The House of Bishops may accept the resignation of a Missionary Bishop at any session of the House by a vote of a majority of those present; Provided that, in case the resignation be sent to the Presiding Bishop more than three months before a regular or special meeting of the House of Bishops, the Presiding Bishop shall follow the procedure set out in clause (b) above so far as it applies.

(d). At each meeting of the General Convention, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops to communicate to the House of Deputies, when in session, a list of the resignations which have been accepted since the preceding meeting of the General Convention.
(e). Every Missionary Bishop whose resignation for cause of age or disability has been accepted, shall receive from the National Council a retiring allowance of four thousand dollars per annum, less whatever allowance such Bishop may receive from The Church Pension Fund. Such retiring allowance may be revised whenever such retired Bishop shall receive a regular stipend from any ecclesiastical employment.

Sec. 9 (a). A Bishop whose resignation has been accepted may perform Episcopal acts at the request of any Bishop of this Church, having ecclesiastical jurisdiction, within the limits of his jurisdiction. He may also be given an honorary seat in the Convention of the Diocese, with voice, but without vote, and an honorary seat in the Cathedral of the Diocese, if there be one, or such honorary appointment as may be designated by the Convention of the Diocese with the consent of the Bishop. He shall report all ministerial acts to the Bishop and to the Diocese in which such acts are performed.

(b). A Bishop who ceases to have Episcopal charge shall still be subject in all matters to the Canons and authority of the General Convention.

(c). A Bishop who has resigned his jurisdiction with the consent of the House of Bishops, may, at the discretion of the Bishop of the Diocese (or Missionary District) in which he chooses to reside, be enrolled among the clergy of that Diocese (or Missionary District), and become subject to its Canons and regulations; and if he accept any pastoral charge or ministerial appointment within the Diocese (or Missionary District) he may be accorded a seat and vote in the Diocesan Convention (or Convocation) according to its canonical provisions for the qualification of Presbyters. The same shall apply to a resigned Bishop who continues to reside within the jurisdiction in which he formerly served as Bishop; Provided that, the
Bishop seeking to be counted among the clergy of a Diocese and to sit in its Diocesan Convention is not at the same time exercising his right (under Article I., Section 2) to vote in the House of Bishops.

**CANON 44.**

**Of Duties of Missionary Bishops.**

Sec. 1. Missionary Bishops shall exercise jurisdiction in States and Territories, or parts thereof, or in territory belonging to the United States, not organized into Dioceses, or in any Missionary District of this Church, beyond the limits of the United States, in conformity with the Constitution and Canons of this Church, and under such regulations and instructions, not inconsistent therewith, as the House of Bishops may prescribe.

Sec. 2. Notice shall be sent to all Archbishops and Metropolitans, and all Presiding Bishops of Churches in communion with this Church, of the designation of any Foreign Missionary District, and of the consecration of any Foreign Missionary Bishop. Such Bishop, either already consecrated or to be consecrated, shall exercise his mission within his defined District, and it is hereby declared as the judgment of this Church, that no two Bishops of Churches in communion with each other should exercise jurisdiction in the same place.

Sec. 3. Every such Bishop shall report annually to the Presiding Bishop his proceedings, and the state and condition of the Church, within his Missionary District, such report to be transmitted by the Presiding Bishop to the National Council. Every such report shall state the amount contributed in each year by the said District for Episcopal support.
Sec. 4 (a). On the formation of a Missionary District the Bishop consecrated therefor, or assigned thereto, shall, for the administration of his jurisdiction, adopt the Canons approved by the House of Bishops for Missionary Districts, or he may select the Constitution and Canons of one of the Dioceses of this Church, which shall remain in force, so far as applicable to the circumstances of such Missionary District, except so far as altered by the Bishop and Convocation from time to time with the approbation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church.

(b). Every Missionary Bishop shall appoint annually a Council of Advice, to be composed of not less than two or more than four Presbyters, and an equal number of Laymen, communicants of this Church, resident within his Missionary District, who shall perform the duties of a Standing Committee for such District, except in so far as these Canons otherwise provide, and who shall continue in office until their successors are appointed, and shall, so far as the circumstances of the District permit, be governed by the Constitution and Canons that have been adopted for such District.

CANON 45.

Of Ministers and Their Duties.

Sec. 1 (a). The control of the worship and the spiritual jurisdiction of the Parish, are vested in the Rector, subject to the Rubrics of the Book of Common Prayer, the Canons of the Church, and the godly counsel of the Bishop. All other Ministers of the Parish, by whatever name they may be designated, are to be regarded as under the authority of the Rector.

(b). For the purposes of his office and for the full and free discharge of all functions and duties pertaining thereto, the Rector shall, at all times, be entitled to
the use and control of the Church and Parish buildings
with the appurtenances and furniture thereof.

(c). In a Missionary Cure the control and re­
sponsibility belong to the Priest who has been duly
appointed to the charge thereof, subject to the authority
of the Bishop.

Sec. 2 (a). It shall be the duty of Ministers of this
Church who have charge of Parishes or Cures to be
diligent in instructing the children in the Catechism,
and from time to time to examine them in the same
publicly before the Congregation. They shall also, by
stated catechetical lectures and instruction, inform the
youth and others in the Holy Scriptures and the
Doctrines, Polity, History and Liturgy of the Church.
They shall also instruct all persons in their Parishes
and Cures concerning all the missionary work of the
Church at home and abroad, and give suitable oppor­
tunities for offerings to maintain that work.

(b). It shall be the duty of Ministers before bap­
tizing infants or children to prepare the sponsors by
instructing both the parents and the Godparents con­
cerning the significance of Holy Baptism, the responsi­
bilities of parents and Godparents for the Christian
training of the baptized child, and how these obliga­
tions may properly be discharged.

(c). It shall be the duty of Ministers to prepare
young persons and others for Confirmation; and on
notice being received from the Bishop of his intention
to visit any Church, which notice shall be at least one
month before the intended visitation, the Minister shall
announce the fact to the Congregation on the first
Sunday after the receipt of such notice; and he shall be
ready to present for Confirmation such persons as he
shall judge to be qualified, and shall deliver to the
Bishop a list of the names of those to be confirmed.
At every visitation it shall be the duty of the Minister, and of the Churchwardens, or Vestrymen, or of some other officer, to exhibit to the Bishop the Parish Register and to give information to him of the state of the Congregation, spiritual and temporal, under such heads as shall have been previously signified to them, in writing, by the Bishop.

(e). The Alms and Contributions, not otherwise specifically designated, at the Administration of the Holy Communion on one Sunday in each calendar month, and other offerings for the poor, shall be deposited with the Minister of the Parish or with such Church officer as shall be appointed by him, to be applied by the Minister, or under his superintendence, to such pious and charitable uses as shall by him be thought fit. During a vacancy the Vestry shall appoint a responsible person to serve as Almoner.

(f). Whenever the House of Bishops shall put forth a Pastoral Letter, it shall be the duty of every Minister having a pastoral charge to read it to his Congregation on some occasion of public worship on a Lord's Day, not later than one month after the receipt of the same.

Sec. 3 (a). It shall be the duty of every Minister of this Church to record in the Parish Register all Baptisms, Confirmations, Marriages, Burials, and the names of all Communicants within his Cure.

(b). The registry of every Baptism shall be signed by the officiating Minister.

(c). Every Minister of this Church in charge of a congregation shall have recorded in the Parish Register a list of all persons who have received Holy Baptism; and a list of all persons who have received Confirmation. He shall indicate upon the Parish Register each year the names of those who have died in the past.
year or whose names have been removed by letter of transfer. He shall also indicate (1) those whose domicile is unknown, and (2) those whose domicile is known but are inactive. He shall maintain as far as practicable a list of all families and persons within his Cure, which list shall remain in the Parish for the use of his successor.

Sec. 4 (a). No Minister of this Church shall officiate, either by preaching, reading prayers in public worship, or by performing any other priestly or ministerial function, in the Parish, or within the Cure, of another Minister, without the consent of the Minister of that Parish or Cure; or of one of its Churchwardens if, in his absence or disability, the Minister fail to provide for the stated services of such Parish or Cure.

If there be two or more Congregations or Churches in one Cure, as provided by Canon 12, Sec. 3 (b), the consent of the majority of the Ministers of such Congregations or Churches, or of the Bishop, shall be sufficient; Provided that nothing in this Section shall be construed to prevent any Clergyman of this Church from officiating, with the consent of a Minister, in the Church or place of public worship used by the Congregation of such Minister, or in private for members of his Congregation; or, in his absence, with the consent of the Churchwardens or Trustees of such Congregation; and Provided, moreover, that the license of the Ecclesiastical Authority required in Section 6 be first obtained when necessary.

This rule shall not apply to any Church, Chapel or Oratory, which is part of the premises of an incorporated institution, created by legislative authority, provided that such place of worship is designed and set apart for the convenience and uses of such institution, and not as a place for public or parochial worship.

(b). If any Minister of this Church, from disabil-
Neglecting to perform services of the Church.

To present a testimonial to Ecclesiastical Authority when coming into a Diocese or District.

Date when transfer shall take effect.

ity or any other cause, neglect to perform the regular services in his Congregation, and refuse, without good cause, his consent to any other duly qualified Minister of this Church to officiate within his Cure, the Churchwardens, Vestrymen, or Trustees of the Congregation shall, on proof before the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District of such neglect or refusal, have power, with the written consent of the said Authority, to permit any duly qualified Minister of this Church to officiate.

Sec. 5 (a). A Minister of this Church removing into a Diocese or Missionary District shall, in order to gain canonical residence within the same, present to the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof, a testimonial from the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which he last had canonical residence, which testimonial shall set forth his true standing and character. The said testimonial shall be given by the Ecclesiastical Authority to the applicant, and a duplicate thereof may be sent to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which he proposes to remove. The testimonial may be in the following words:

I hereby certify that the Reverend A. B., who has signified to me his desire to be transferred to the Ecclesiastical Authority of is a Presbyter [or Deacon] of in good standing, and has not, so far as I know or believe, been justly liable to evil report, for error in religion or for viciousness of life, for three years last past. (Signed)

(b). Such testimonial shall be called Letters Dimissory. The canonical residence of the Minister so transferred shall date from the acceptance of his Letters Dimissory, of which prompt notice shall be given
both to the applicant and to the Ecclesiastical Authority from which it came.

(c). Letters Dimissory not presented within six months from the date of their transmission to the applicant shall become wholly void.

(d). If a Minister, removing into another Diocese, has been called to a Cure in a Parish or Congregation therein, he shall present Letters Dimissory in the form above given. It shall be the duty of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese to which he has removed, to accept them within three months, unless the Bishop or Standing Committee shall have heard rumors, which he or they believe to be well founded, against the character of the Minister concerned, which would form a proper ground of canonical inquiry and presentment, in which case the Ecclesiastical Authority shall communicate the same to the Bishop or Standing Committee of the Diocese to whose jurisdiction the said Minister belongs; and in such case, it shall not be the duty of the Ecclesiastical Authority to accept the Letters Dimissory unless and until the Minister shall be exculpated from the said charge.

(e). No Minister, removing from one Diocese or Missionary District to another, shall officiate as Rector or Minister of any Parish or Congregation of the Diocese or District to which he removes, until he shall have obtained from the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof a certificate in the words following:

I hereby certify that the Reverend A. B. has been canonically transferred to my jurisdiction and is a Minister in good standing.

(Signed)

(f). No person who has been refused Ordination or reception as a Candidate in any Diocese or Missionary District, and who has afterwards been ordained in
another Diocese or Missionary District, shall be transferred to the Diocese or Missionary District in which such refusal has taken place without the consent of its Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority.

(g). No person who has been ordained under the provisions of Canon 32 shall be transferred to another Diocese or Missionary District, save as provided in the said Canon.

Sec. 6. No Minister of this Church shall officiate more than two months by preaching, ministering the Sacraments, or holding any public service, within the limits of any Diocese or Missionary District other than that in which he is canonically resident, without a license from the Ecclesiastical Authority.

Sec. 7 (a). Any Minister of this Church desiring to officiate temporarily without the confines of this Church shall, in order so to do, obtain from the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which he has canonical residence, a testimonial which shall set forth his true standing and character, and may be in the following words:

I hereby certify that the Reverend A. B. who has signified to me his desire to be permitted to officiate temporarily in churches not under the jurisdiction of the Protestant Episcopal Church, yet in communion with this Church, is a Presbyter [or Deacon] of in good standing, and as such is entitled to the rights and privileges of his order.

This testimonial is valid for one year from date of issuance and is to be returned to the Ecclesiastical Authority at the end of that period.

(b). The Ecclesiastical Authority giving such testimonial shall keep a record of issuance of such, in which the date of issuance and of return shall be recorded, together with the name of the Minister to whom the testimonial has been issued.
Sec. 8 (a). Any priest of this Church desiring to serve as a chaplain in the Armed Forces of the United States of America or as chaplain for the Veterans' Administration, with the approval of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese in which he is canonically resident, may be given ecclesiastical endorsement for such service by the Armed Forces Division of the National Council of the Church.

(b). Such ministers serving on active duty with the Armed Forces shall retain canonical residence in a Diocese of this Church, and shall be under the ecclesiastical jurisdiction of the Bishop of said Diocese, even though his work as a chaplain shall be under the general supervision of the Armed Forces Division, or such Bishop of the Church as the Presiding Bishop may designate.

(c). Any such minister serving on a military installation or at a Veterans' Administration facility shall not be subject to either Sec. 4 (a), nor Sec. 6, of this Canon. When serving outside of military installations or of Veterans' Administration facilities, such chaplains shall be subject to said sections.

Sec. 9. Upon attaining the age of seventy-two years, every Minister of this Church occupying any remunerative position in this Church shall resign the same and retire from active service, and his resignation shall be accepted. Thereafter, he may accept any position in this Church except the position or positions from which he has resigned pursuant to this Section, provided, that (a) the tenure in such position shall be for a period of not more than one year, which period may be renewed from time to time, and (b) service in such position shall have the express approval of the Bishop and Standing Committee or Council of Advice of the Diocese or Missionary District in which such service is to be performed, acting in consultation...
with the Ecclesiastical Authority of such Minister's canonical residence.

Sec. 10. There shall accompany Letters Dimissory a statement of the record of the payments to The Church Pension Fund of the Minister concerned.

**CANON 46.**

**Of the Dissolution of the Pastoral Relation.**

Sec. 1. Except as provided in Canon 45, Sec. 8, a Rector may not resign his Parish without the consent of the said Parish, or its Vestry, or its Trustees, whichever may be authorized to act in the premises, nor may any Rector canonically or lawfully elected and in charge of any Parish be removed therefrom by said Parish, Vestry, or Trustees, against his will, except as hereinafter provided.

Sec. 2. If for any urgent reason a Rector or Minister as aforesaid, or the body authorized to elect a Rector in the Parish committed to his charge, shall desire a separation and dissolution of the pastoral relation, and the parties be not agreed respecting a separation and dissolution, notice in writing may be given by either party to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District. The Bishop, in case the difference be not settled by his godly judgment, shall ask the advice and consent of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or of the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, and, proceeding with its aid and counsel, shall be the ultimate arbiter and judge. If the Diocese or Missionary District be vacant, the Ecclesiastical Authority shall select a Bishop of an adjacent Diocese or Missionary District to act as the Bishop, and with like force and effect. The judgment shall be either that the pastoral relation between the parties shall cease and determine at a time and upon terms therein specified, or that the said relation shall not be terminated; and such
judgment shall be binding upon both parties. In the event of the failure or refusal of either party to comply with the terms of such judgment, the Bishop may inflict such penalties as may be provided by the Constitution and Canons of the Diocese or Missionary District; and in default of any provisions for such penalties therein, the Bishop may (1) in the case of a Rector or Minister, suspend such Rector or Minister from the exercise of his priestly office until he shall comply with said judgment; (2) in the case of a Vestry or Trustees, recommend to Diocesan Convention or Missionary Convocation that the union of the Parish or Mission with Convention or Convocation shall cease until they have complied with his judgment.

Sec. 3. In case of the regular and canonical dissolution of the connection between a Rector or Minister and his Parish, under this Canon, the Ecclesiastical Authority shall direct the Secretary of the Convention to record the same.

Sec. 4. This Canon shall not apply in any Diocese or Missionary District which has made, or shall hereafter make, provision by Canon upon this subject, nor in contravention of any right of any Rector, Minister, Parish, Congregation, or Vestry under the law of the Civil Authority.

CANON 47.
Of the Filling of Vacant Cures.

Sec. 1. When a Parish or Congregation becomes vacant the Churchwardens or other proper officers shall notify the fact to the Bishop. If the authorities of the Parish shall for thirty days have failed to make provision for the services, it shall be the duty of the Bishop to take such measures as he may deem expedient for the temporary maintenance of Divine services therein.

Sec. 2. No election of a Rector shall be had until the
name of the Clergyman whom it is proposed to elect has been made known to the Bishop, if there be one, and sufficient time, not exceeding thirty days, has been given to him to communicate with the Vestry thereon, nor until such communication, if made within that period, has been considered by the Parish or Vestry at a meeting duly called and held for that purpose.

Sec. 3. Written notice of the election, signed by the Churchwardens, shall be sent to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese. If the Ecclesiastical Authority be satisfied that the person so chosen is a duly qualified Minister, and that he has accepted the office, the notice shall be sent to the Secretary of the Convention, who shall record it. And such record shall be sufficient evidence of the relation between the Minister and the Parish.

Sec. 4. A Minister is settled, for all purposes here or elsewhere mentioned in these Canons, who has been engaged permanently, or for any term not less than one year, by any Parish, according to the rules of the Diocese in which such Parish is located.

Sec. 5. In case of the election of an Assistant Minister the name of the clergyman whom it is proposed to elect, shall be made known to the Bishop and sufficient time, not exceeding thirty days, shall be given him to communicate with the Rector and Vestry thereon.

**CANON 48.**

**Of Deacons.**

Sec. 1. Every Deacon shall be subject to the direction of the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District for which he has been ordained, or, if there be no Bishop, to that of the Clerical members of the Standing Committee, acting by their President, until he is canonically transferred to some other jurisdiction. He shall officiate in such places only as the
Bishop, or the Clerical members of the Standing Committee, as the case may be, may designate. He shall not accept any appointment for work outside the Diocese to which he canonically belongs without the written consent both of his own Bishop and of the Bishop in whose Diocese he desires to minister.

Sec. 2 (a). No Deacon shall be a Rector of a Parish or Congregation, nor be permitted to accept a Chaplaincy in the Armed Forces of the United States.

(b). A Deacon ministering in a Parish or Congregation under the charge of a Priest, shall act under the direction of such Priest in all his ministrations.

(c). A Deacon ministering in a Parish or Congregation not under the charge of a Priest, shall, if not under the immediate direction of the Bishop, be placed under the authority of some neighboring Priest, by whose direction in subordination to the Bishop, he shall in all things be governed.

Sec. 3. No Deacon who shall not have passed the examinations prescribed in Canon 29, Sec. 1, shall be transferred to another jurisdiction without the written request of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the same.

Sec. 4. In case of a Deacon desiring to be transferred from one Diocese to another, the Ecclesiastical Authority of the former Diocese must state in the Letter Dimissory the exact standing of the Deacon as regards Examinations passed or Dispensations received; also the dates of his birth, admission as a Candidate, and ordination.

**CANON 49.**

Of Persons Not Ministers in this Church Officiating in any Congregation Thereof.

No Minister in charge of any Congregation of this Church, or, in case of vacancy or absence, no Churchwardens, Vestrymen, or Trustees of the Congregation, shall permit any person to officiate therein, without
sufficient evidence of his being duly licensed or ordained to minister in this Church; Provided, that nothing herein shall be so construed as to forbid communicants of the Church to act as Lay Readers; or to prevent the Bishop of any Diocese or Missionary District giving permission to a Minister of any Church with which this Church has entered into a declaration of purpose to achieve organic union to preach the Gospel, or to Christian men, who are not Ministers of this Church, to make addresses in the Church, on special occasions.

CANON 50.
Of Lay Readers.

Sec. 1. A competent male person, ready and desirous to serve the Church in the conduct of public worship statedly as a Lay Reader, shall procure a written license from the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District of which he is a canonical resident. Where a Presbyter is in charge, his request and recommendation must have been previously signified to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority. Permission shall not be granted a Lay Reader to conduct the service in a congregation without an ordained Minister which, in the judgment of the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority, is able and has had reasonable opportunity to secure one.

The foregoing shall not be construed as preventing lay persons not so licensed, when authorized by the Bishop, from assisting a Presbyter on special occasions in the conduct of public worship in his own parish or mission. In isolated areas, when no ordained clergyman or male layreader is available, the Bishop may license a competent woman as layreader. A commissioned officer of the Church Army, by virtue of that commission, is considered as having the authority of a layreader.

Sec. 2. An applicant for the office of Lay Reader must be regular in participating in the worship of the
Church and in receiving the Holy Communion. He must be active in the support of his mission or parish. He shall submit to the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority a written application stating his age, his reason for seeking the office, evidence of his baptism and confirmation, and a statement from his immediate pastor or, if he has none, from the vestry of his parish or committee of the mission in which he is canonically resident, declaring his fitness for the office.

A Lay Reader assigned pastoral or administrative responsibility in a congregation without an ordained Minister, other than for a specified event, shall be trained and examined and found competent in the following subjects:

(a) The Holy Scriptures, contents and background.
(b) The Book of Common Prayer and Hymnal.
(c) Church History.
(d) The Church’s Doctrine as set forth in the Creeds and Offices of Instruction.
(e) The Conduct of Public Worship.
(f) Use of the voice.
(g) Parish Administration.
(h) Appropriate Canons.
(i) Pastoral Care.

The Bishop may designate a representative person or board with authority to act in the initial approval of applicants for licensing, and in their training, examination, and certification for licensing by the Bishop.

Sec. 3. The license of a Lay Reader shall be granted for a definite period, not to exceed one year, and may be renewed from time to time, at the discretion of the Bishop. Such renewal shall be determined on the basis of the Lay Reader’s continuing interest and qualification as evidenced in an annual written report made by him to the Bishop. Such report shall include comment

Time limit and renewal of license.
and endorsement of the local ecclesiastical superior of the Lay Reader.

The license of a Lay Reader may be revoked by the Bishop at any time.

Sec. 4. In all matters relating to the conduct of the service, to the Sermons or Homilies to be read, and to proper dress or attire, the Lay Reader shall conform to the directions of the Clergyman in Charge of the Parish, Congregation, or Mission in which he is serving, and, in all cases, to the direction of the Bishop. He shall read only the following offices, or parts thereof, and shall observe the limitations specified:

1. Morning and Evening Prayer, omitting the Absolution, and making no substitution for it;
2. The Litany;
3. The Penitential Office;
4. The Offices of Instruction;
5. In the Order for Holy Communion, The Epistle only;
6. The Burial Offices; substituting for the priestly blessing the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening; substituting for the priestly blessing at the grave the final prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Morning; and substituting for the priestly blessing at the Burial of a Child the concluding prayer at the end of the Shorter Form for Family Prayer at Evening.

He shall not deliver sermons or addresses of his own composition, unless, after instruction and examination, he be specially licensed thereto by the Bishop.

Sec. 5. A Lay Reader licensed in any Diocese or Missionary District may serve in a congregation of another jurisdiction at the invitation of the clergyman
in charge and with the consent of the Bishop thereof.

A licensed Lay Reader may serve in a unit of the Armed Forces with the permission of the Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative.

The Presiding Bishop or his Episcopal representative may grant a Lay Reader's license to a member of the Armed Forces for use therein, in accordance with the provisions of this canon as they are applicable.

**CANON 51.**

**Of Deaconesses.**

Sec. 1. A woman of devout character and proved fitness, unmarried or widowed, may be appointed Deaconess by any Bishop of this Church, subject to the provisions of this Canon. Such appointment shall be vacated by marriage.

Sec. 2. (a). The duty of a Deaconess is to assist in the work of the Parish, Mission, or institution to which she may be appointed, under the direction of the Rector or Priest in charge; or, if there be none such, to perform such functions as may be directly entrusted to her by the Bishop.

(b). The following are the chief functions which may be entrusted to a Deaconess:

1. To care for the sick, the afflicted, and the poor;

2. To give instruction in the Christian faith;

3. Under the Rector or the Priest in charge, to prepare candidates for Baptism and for Confirmation;

4. To assist at the administration of Holy Baptism and in the absence of the Priest or Deacon to baptize infants;
(5). Under the Rector or Priest in charge to organize, superintend and carry out the Church's work among women and children;

(6). With the approval of the Bishop and the incumbent, to read Morning and Evening Prayer (except such portions as are reserved for the Priest) and the Litany in Church or Chapel in the absence of the Minister; and when licensed by the Bishop to give instruction or deliver addresses at such services;

(7). To organize and carry on social work; and in colleges and schools to have a responsible part in the education of women and children, and to promote the welfare of women students.

Sec. 3 (a). A woman desiring reception as candidate for the office of Deaconess shall submit to the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District letters of recommendation from her Rector and from two women communicants of the Church, together with evidence that she is a communicant of the Church in good standing; and that she is a graduate of a High School or of a school with standards equivalent to a High School, or is prepared to take such examinations as shall qualify her for reception.

(b). During the period of candidateship, she shall be under the supervision of the Bishop, and shall report to him quarterly at the Ember seasons. If possible at least one-half of the time of her preparation shall be spent in residence with Deaconesses, or at a Church Training School.

(c). For due cause the Bishop may terminate any candidacy.

Sec. 4 (a). Before admission to the office of Deaconess a candidate shall be required to pass examinations in the following subjects:
(1). Holy Scripture: The Bible in English; introduction to and contents of the various books; special knowledge of at least one Gospel and one Epistle.

(2). Church History: A general outline, including the History of the Church in the United States, and special knowledge of the first five centuries.

(3). Christian Missions: History; present extent and methods; at least one missionary biography.

(4). Doctrine: Contents and teaching of the Book of Common Prayer, including preparation for the Sacraments.

(5). Ministration: The office and work of a Deaconess; Parish Work and Organization.

(6). Religious Education: Psychology; Educational methods; Church School Management.

(7). Social Service: Principles involved in the adjustment of individuals to each other and to the community; the methods of social case work; familiarity with the recognized standards of the work of social organizations including institutions.

(b). This examination shall be conducted by examiners appointed by the Bishop. The results of the examination shall be certified to the Bishop, and to the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District.

(c). The candidate shall also be required to furnish evidence that she has had at least nine months of field work under competent supervision, or satisfactory previous experience in social service, educational, parish or mission work.

Sec. 5. No one shall be admitted a Deaconess until she is twenty-five years of age; nor within two years of her reception as a candidate, unless the Bishop, with the advice and consent of a majority of the mem-

Evidence of experience required.

To be twenty-five years old, and two years a candidate.
Testimonials required.

Sec. 6. No woman shall be admitted a Deaconess until she shall have laid before the Bishop testimonials showing that she is a communicant of this Church in good standing, and that she possesses such characteristics as, in the judgment of the persons testifying, fit her for the duties of that office. The testimonials of fitness shall be signed by four Presbyters of this Church and by eight Lay communicants, six of whom shall be women.

Physical examination required.

Sec. 7. Before admission as a Deaconess the Bishop shall require the candidate to submit to a thorough examination by a physician appointed by the Bishop. This examination shall cover her mental and nervous as well as her physical condition. The form of medical report prepared by The Church Pension Fund shall be used for this purpose. This report shall be kept on file by the Bishop and shall be submitted to the Standing Committee or Council of Advice with the application to be recommended for admission to the office of Deaconess.

Recommendation of Standing Committee necessary.

Sec. 8. When the foregoing specified requirements have been complied with, the Bishop, upon the recommendation of the Standing Committee of the Diocese, or Council of Advice of the Missionary District, may admit the candidate to the office of Deaconess. The fact of the setting apart of a Deaconess shall be transmitted by the Bishop to the Recorder of the General Convention, together with the following data:

1. Her full name.
2. Place and date of birth.
3. Date and place of such setting apart.

Any change in the status of a Deaconess shall be likewise notified to the Recorder by the Bishop.

Bishop to notify Recorder.
Sec. 9. No woman shall be recognized as a Deaconess until she has been admitted to that office by a service prescribed either by the General Convention or, in the absence of such prescription, by the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District.

Sec. 10. A Deaconess shall not accept work in a Diocese or Missionary District without the express authority in writing of the Bishop of that Diocese or Missionary District; nor shall she undertake work in a Parish without the like authority from the Rector of the Parish. No candidate shall be admitted as Deaconess until she shall have been appointed to serve in some position under the jurisdiction of the Church.

Sec. 11. When not connected with a Parish, the Deaconess shall be under the direct oversight of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which she is canonically attached. Each Deaconess shall report annually to the Bishop of her Diocese in the form prescribed by the Bishop. A Deaconess may be transferred from one Diocese or Missionary District to another by Letters Dimissory. A Deaconess may at any time resign her office to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which she is attached under this Canon, but she may not be suspended or removed from office except by the Bishop for cause. A Deaconess thus suspended or removed may demand a trial by a special Court, to be composed of two Presbyters and four Lay communicants, one man and three women, of whom two shall preferably be Deaconesses. The members of the Court shall be chosen by the Standing Committee or Council of Advice. The procedure of the Court shall be according to the rules governing the trial of a Clergyman in the Diocese or Missionary District to which the Deaconess is attached under this Canon.
Sec. 12. Each Diocese, Parish or other agency of the Church employing the regular services of a deaconess shall make provision for a pension upon her retirement, at the expense of such employer, through the "Pension Plan for Deaconesses" provided by the Church Life Insurance Corporation, and administered by the Retiring Fund for Deaconesses, a Membership Corporation of the State of New York; or through some other pension plan, providing equivalent or better guarantees of a dependable retirement income, approved by proper authority.

CANON 52.

Of Religious Communities.

Sec. 1. A religious community of men or of women desiring the official recognition of the Church shall submit for his approval its Rule and Constitution to the Bishop of the Diocese wherein the Mother-house of the community is situated; and no change in the Rule or Constitution shall be made without his approval.

Sec. 2. In such Constitution there shall be a distinct recognition of the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church as of supreme authority.

Sec. 3. No religious community shall establish itself in another Diocese without permission of the Bishop of that Diocese.

Sec. 4. The community may elect a Chaplain, but if he be a Priest who is not canonically resident in the Diocese, he must be licensed by the Bishop. Any Priest ministering in a chapel of a religious community shall be responsible to the Bishop of the Diocese for his ministrations, in the same manner as a parochial Clergyman.

Sec. 5. In the administration of the Sacraments the Book of Common Prayer shall be used without altera-
tion, save as it may be lawfully permitted by lawful authority.

Sec. 6. It shall be provided in the Constitution of a religious community that real estate and endowments belonging to the community shall be held in trust for the community as a body in communion with this Church.

Sec. 7. Members of a religious community who are in Holy Orders shall be subject to all canonical regulations concerning the Clergy.

Sec. 8. Provision shall be made in the Constitution for the appointment of a Visitor, with the approval of the Bishop of the Diocese in which the Mother-house is situated, if the Bishop is himself unwilling to serve in such capacity. It shall be the duty of the Visitor to see that the Constitution and Rule, as approved, are duly observed, and to receive and hear appeals either from the community or from individual members thereof as to transgressions of the Rule. No full member of a community shall be dismissed therefrom without appeal to the Visitor, nor shall any be released from his or her obligations thereto without the Visitor's sanction.

Sec. 9. It shall not be within the power of a succeeding Bishop to withdraw the official recognition that has been given to a Religious Community, provided, that the conditions laid down in this Canon are observed.
IV.
ECCLESIASTICAL DISCIPLINE.

CANON 53.

Of Offenses for which Bishops, Presbyters or Deacons May Be Tried.

Sec. 1. A Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon of this Church shall be liable to presentment and trial for the following offenses, viz.:

(1). Crime or immorality.

(2). Holding and teaching publicly or privately and advisedly, any doctrine contrary to that held by this Church.


(5). Violation of the Constitution or Canons of the Diocese or Missionary District to which he belongs.

(6). Any act which involves a violation of his Ordination vows.

(7). Habitual neglect of the exercise of his Ministerial Office, without cause; or habitual neglect of Public Worship, and of the Holy Communion, according to the order and use of this Church.

(8). Conduct unbecoming a Clergyman;

Provided, however, that in the case of a Presbyter or Deacon charged with this offense, before proceeding to a presentment, the consent of three-fourths of all the members of the Standing Committee or Council of Advice of the Diocese or Missionary District in which the Presbyter or Deacon is canonically resident, shall be required.
Upon a Presbyter or Deacon being found guilty, such Presbyter or Deacon shall be admonished, or shall be suspended or deposed from the Sacred Ministry, as shall be adjudged by the Trial Court, except as provided in Canon 64, Sec. 3.

Sec. 2. In the case of a Bishop, Presbyter or Deacon convicted in a Court of Record of any crime or misdemeanor involving immorality, or against whom a judgment has been entered in a Court of Record in a cause involving immorality, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Bishop, in the case of a Bishop, and in the case of a Presbyter or Deacon, of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or of the Council of Advice of the Missionary District in which he is canonically resident, to institute an inquiry into the matter. If in the judgment of either, there is sufficient reason for further proceedings, it shall be their duty to present him, or to cause that he be presented, for trial.

Sec. 3. No presentment shall be made or conviction had for any offense, unless the offense shall have been committed within five years immediately preceding the time of the presentment, except that in a case of a conviction in a Court of Record exercising criminal jurisdiction as aforesaid, a presentment may be made at any time within one year after such conviction notwithstanding five years may have elapsed since the commission of the offense.

CANON 54.
Of Amenability, Citation and Attendance.

Sec. 1. Bishops, Presbyters and Deacons are amenable for offenses committed by them; a Bishop to a Court of Bishops, and a Presbyter or Deacon to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese, or the Missionary District, in which he is canonically resident at the time the charge is made.
Sec. 2. A notice or citation required by any law of this Church to any Bishop, Presbyter or Deacon to appear, at a certain time and place for the trial of an offense, shall be deemed to be duly served upon him if a copy thereof be given him personally or be left at his last usual place of abode within the United States, sixty days before the day of appearance named therein; and in case such Bishop, Presbyter or Deacon has departed from the United States, if a copy of such citation be also published once a week for six successive weeks in such newspaper printed in the Diocese or Missionary District in which the Bishop, Presbyter or Deacon is cited to appear as the Ecclesiastical Authority shall designate, the last publication to be six months before the said day of appearance. Acceptance of service will render unnecessary any further process of citation.

Sec. 3. A notice or citation, other than those above mentioned, required by any law of this Church, when no other mode of service is provided, may be served personally, or by registered mail, addressed to the person to be served, at his last known place of residence, or by leaving a copy at his last usual place of abode within the United States.

Sec. 4. It is hereby declared to be the duty of all members of this Church to attend and give evidence, when duly cited in any Ecclesiastical trial or investigation under the authority of this Church.

**CANON 55.**

**Of Courts, Their Membership and Procedure.**

(a) Diocesan Courts for the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon.

Sec. 1. In each Diocese and Missionary District there shall be an Ecclesiastical Court for the trial of any Presbyter or Deacon thereof, and it shall be the duty of each Diocese and Missionary District to pro-
vide by Canon for the establishment of such Court and the mode of conducting trials in the same.

(b) Courts of Review of the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon.

Sec. 2. In case of conviction by the Trial Court, the Bishop shall not proceed to sentence the accused before the expiration of thirty days after he shall have been served with notice of the decision of the Court in the manner specified in Canon 54, Sec. 3, nor in case an appeal is taken shall sentence be pronounced pending the hearing and determination thereof.

Sec. 3. In each of the Provinces there shall be a Court of Review of the trial of a Presbyter or Deacon, which shall be composed of a Bishop therein, three Presbyters canonically resident in one or other of the Dioceses or of the Missionary Districts within the Province, and three Lay communicants of the Church having domicile in the Province; two at least of said Lay communicants to be men learned in the law.

Sec. 4. Each Provincial Synod shall triennially at its first meeting after the regular meeting of the General Convention elect the Judges of the Court of Review in the Province. The Synod shall prescribe the manner in which such Judges shall be elected. The persons so elected, except in case of death, resignation, refusal or inability to serve, shall continue to be members of the Court for the term of three years and until their successors shall be elected.

The Bishop elected by the Synod shall be the Presiding Officer of the Court.

Sec. 5. The several Courts of Review are vested with jurisdiction to hear and determine appeals from decisions of Trial Courts in Dioceses and Missionary Districts, on the trial of a Presbyter or Deacon.

Sec. 6. An appeal to the Court of Review of the Province within which a trial was had may be taken
by the accused from a decision of the Trial Court which sustains in whole or in part a charge of any canonical offense. Upon the written request of at least two Bishops of other jurisdictions within the Province, the Bishop or the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District within which a trial was had shall appeal from a decision of the Trial Court acquitting the accused of a charge involving a question of doctrine, faith, or worship; Provided, however, that such appeal shall be on the question of the Church's doctrine, faith or worship only, and that the decision shall not be held to reverse the acquittal of the accused on other charges than these. But such an appeal by the Standing Committee or Council of Advice can be taken only when there is a vacancy in the office of Bishop or in case the Bishop is unable to act. The Bishop of the jurisdiction within which a trial was held, or (in case of his inability to act) the Standing Committee or Council of Advice, shall cause to be served on the accused against whom an adverse decision has been made by the Trial Court, written notice thereof. Within thirty days after the service of such notice the accused may appeal to the Court of Review by serving a written notice of appeal on the Bishop or Standing Committee or Council of Advice of said jurisdiction and a duplicate on the President of the Court. Such notice shall be subscribed by the appellant and shall briefly set forth the decision from which the appeal is taken and the grounds of the appeal. An appeal by the Bishop or Standing Committee or Council of Advice may be taken by the service by the appellant of a written notice of appeal upon the accused, and also upon the President of the Court within thirty days after the decision from which the appeal is taken.

If the trial was had in a Missionary District not specified in Canon 8, Sec. 1, the appeal shall lie to the Court of the Province embracing the Diocese, the
Constitution and Canons of which had been selected for the administration of such Missionary District.

Sec. 7. An appeal shall be heard upon the record of the Trial Court. When an appeal shall have been taken, the Bishop, or in case of his inability to act, the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District wherein the trial was had, within thirty days after receiving notice of the appeal, shall transmit to the President of the Court of Review of the Province, a full and correct transcript of the record, proceedings, and decision of the Trial Court, including all the evidence taken upon the trial, duly certified by the Presiding Officer or Clerk of such Court. Except for the purpose of correcting the record, if defective, no new evidence shall be taken by the Court of Review.

Sec. 8. The President of the Court of Review of the Province having jurisdiction, within ninety days after the record shall have been received by him, shall appoint a time and place within such Province for the hearing of the appeal. At least thirty days prior to the day appointed, written notice of such time and place shall be given by him to the other members of the Court, and also to the accused, and to the Bishop and Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District in which the trial was had. When the appeal is from the decision of a Trial Court in any Missionary District such notice shall be served at least three months prior to the day appointed for the hearing and the appellant shall have four months after the appeal is taken within which to serve and deliver copies of the record.

Sec. 9. It shall be the duty of the appellant to procure a certified copy of the record of the trial, including the charges, evidence, decision or judgment, together with the notice of appeal, to be printed. Within sixty days after the appeal shall have been taken he
shall serve two printed copies of the record and notice of appeal upon the opposite party, and shall deliver seven printed copies to the President of the Court for the use of the Judges. For reasons by him deemed sufficient, the President may dispense with the printing of the record, or of any portion thereof.

The Church Advocate shall be deemed to be the opposite party for the purposes of this and the succeeding Canons.

Sec. 10. At the time and place appointed, the Court shall organize, and proceed to hear the appeal; Provided, however, that at least six Judges, of whom the President of the Court shall be one, shall participate in the hearing. But the members present, if less than that number, may adjourn the Court from time to time, until the attendance of the requisite number shall be secured.

Sec. 11. The Court may reverse or affirm, in whole or in part, the decision of the Trial Court, or, if in its opinion, justice shall so require, it may grant a new trial. If after having been duly notified, the appellant fail to appear, and no sufficient excuse be shown, the Court, in its discretion, may dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution, or may proceed to hear and determine the appeal in his absence.

Sec. 12. The concurrence of two-thirds of the members of a Court present shall be necessary to pronounce a judgment. The judgment or decision of the Court shall be in writing, signed by the members of the Court uniting therein, and shall distinctly specify the grounds of the decision and shall be attached to the record. If the concurrence of two-thirds of the members cannot be obtained as provided, that fact shall be stated in the record, and the decision of the Trial Court shall stand as affirmed. Immediately after the
determination of the appeal the President of the Court shall give notice thereof in writing to the accused and to the Bishop and the Standing Committee of the Diocese or Council of Advice of the Missionary District in which the trial was had. Upon the determination of the appeal, the original record upon which the appeal was heard, together with the record of the Court of Review, certified by the President and the Secretary or Clerk, shall be remitted to the Bishop or the Standing Committee of the jurisdiction in which the trial was had. All records remitted as herein provided shall be deposited and be preserved among the archives of the jurisdiction to which they are sent.

Sec. 13. The Court of Review for the trial of a Presbyter or Deacon shall not pronounce sentence on the affirmation of a conviction. When the appeal is so determined, upon receipt of the record by the Bishop or Standing Committee or Council of Advice of the jurisdiction of the Trial Court, the accused shall be sentenced in accordance with Canon 64, the provisions of which shall be complied with.

(c) Court for the Trial of a Bishop.

Sec. 14 (a). There shall be a Court for the trial of a Bishop constituted as follows: The House of Bishops shall choose three Bishops to serve as judges of said court for a term of three years, three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for a term of six years, and three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for a term of nine years, and thereafter at each General Convention, the House of Bishops shall choose three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for the term of nine years, in place of those whose term of office shall then have expired.

(b). The Court is vested with jurisdiction to try a Bishop who is duly charged with any one or more of the offenses specified in Canon 53.
Quorum.

(c). Not less than six of said judges shall constitute a quorum, but any less number may adjourn the Court from time to time.

(d) The Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop.

Sec. 15. There shall be a Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, which shall be composed of Bishops only and shall be constituted as follows:

The House of Bishops shall choose three Bishops who shall serve as Judges of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop for the term of three years; three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for the term of six years; and three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for the term of nine years, and thereafter at each General Convention the House of Bishops shall choose three Bishops to serve as aforesaid for the term of nine years in place of those whose term of office shall then have expired.

Sec. 16. The said Court of Review is vested with jurisdiction to hear and determine appeals from the determination of the Court for the Trial of a Bishop.

Sec. 17. Not less than six Judges shall constitute a quorum and the concurrence of six Judges shall be necessary to pronounce a judgment, but any less number may adjourn the Court from time to time.

(e) Of Membership in Courts.

Sec. 18 (a). No person shall sit as a member of any Court who is a presenter of charges or is related to the accused or either of them by affinity or consanguinity in a direct ascending or descending line, or as a brother, uncle, nephew or first cousin, nor shall any Bishop, nor any Presbyter, nor any Layman of the Diocese or Missionary District in which the trial was had be competent to sit on an appeal from the decision on such trial, nor shall any Bishop, Presbyter or Lay-
man who for any other reason upon objection made by either party is deemed by the other members of the Court to be disqualified.

(b). The death, permanent disability, resignation or refusal to serve as a member of any Court or Board of Inquiry shall constitute a vacancy in the Court or Board of Inquiry.

Notices of resignations or refusals to serve shall be given as follows:

(1). By any Bishop chosen to serve as a member of the Court for the Trial of a Bishop or of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop; written notice sent to the Presiding Bishop.

(2). By the President of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon; written notice sent to the President of the Provincial Synod.

(3). By a Presbyter or Layman of such Court; written notice sent to the President of said Court.

(4). By a Presbyter or Layman appointed to a Board of Inquiry; written notice sent to the Presiding Bishop.

(c). If any Presbyter appointed to a Board of Inquiry or to any of the Courts shall become a Bishop, or any Layman appointed to a Board of Inquiry or to any of the Courts shall become a Presbyter before the final disposition of the charge, he shall thereby vacate his place as a member of the Board or Court.

Sec. 19. Vacancies occurring in any of the Courts or Boards may be filled as follows:

(1). In the case of disqualification of any Judge of any Court, the remaining Judges of the said Court shall appoint a Judge to take the place of the one so disqualified in that particular case.

(2). In the case of a vacancy in the Court for the Trial of a Bishop or in the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop the remaining Judges thereafter shall have power to fill such vacancy until the next
General Convention when the House of Bishops shall choose a Bishop to fill such vacancy. The Bishop so chosen shall serve during the remainder of the term.

(3). In the case of death, permanent disability, resignation or refusal to serve, or the removal from the Province of the Bishop appointed as a member of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon, the President of the Provincial Synod shall give written notice thereof to the Bishop with jurisdiction senior by consecration in the Province. Thereupon the Bishop so notified shall become a member of the Court until a new appointment shall be made. If in a particular case the Bishop so appointed is unable or unwilling to serve as a member of the Court he shall notify the President of the Provincial Synod of this fact, who shall thereupon appoint the Bishop with jurisdiction next senior by consecration in that Province.

(4). In case a vacancy shall exist in the membership of the Court of Review in any Province, among the clerical or lay members originally chosen, or in case any of them shall be disqualified or unable to sit in a particular case, the President of the Court shall appoint other Presbyters or Laymen residing in the Province to fill such vacancy and to sit as members of said Court.

(5). In the case of a vacancy for any cause in the Board of Inquiry the Presiding Bishop shall appoint another Presbyter or another Layman, as the case may be, to act as a member of the Board, who, upon acceptance of appointment, shall become a member of the Board.

All of the provisions of the Canons relating to persons originally appointed as members of the several Courts or Boards of Inquiry or Commissions, shall apply to those persons appointed in succession to the persons originally appointed, and all proceedings which may have been taken on any cause pending at or prior to such appointment, shall have the same force and
effect as if the appointee had been a member of the Court, Board or Commission, when such cause was commenced, and such appointee may participate in the continuing hearing and determination of the said cause.

If the term for which a member of a Court, Board or Commission was chosen shall have expired during the course of a hearing or trial, said member shall notwithstanding be competent to act in the cause until the termination of the trial or hearing.

(f) Of Procedure.

Sec. 20 (a). The procedure in Diocesan Courts shall be as provided by the Canons of the respective Dioceses or Missionary Districts.

(b). The Court for the Trial of a Bishop and the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop shall from time to time elect from its own membership a Presiding Judge who shall hold office until the expiration of the term for which he was chosen Judge. If in any proceeding before said Courts the Presiding Judge is disqualified or is for any cause unable to act, the Court shall elect a Bishop as Presiding Judge *pro tempore*.

(c). The several Courts shall appoint clerks and if necessary assistant clerks who shall be Presbyters of this Church to serve during the pleasure of the Court.

The several Courts may appoint not less than two nor more than three lay communicants of this Church learned in the law, as assessors. They shall have no vote. It shall be their duty to give the Court an opinion on any question, not theological, upon which the Court or any member thereof, or either party, shall desire an opinion. If a question shall arise as to whether any question is theological, it shall be decided by the Court by a majority of the votes.
The several Courts may adopt rules of procedure not inconsistent with the Constitution and Canons of this Church, with power to alter or rescind the same from time to time.

Sec. 21. In the conduct of investigations preliminary to presentments, as well as in all trials, the laws of the civil jurisdiction in which such investigation or trial is had so far as they relate to evidence shall be adopted and taken as the rules by which said Board of Inquiry, Commission, or Court, shall be governed, and trials shall be conducted according to the principles of the common law as the same is generally administered in the United States except in those Dioceses where Ecclesiastical Courts are provided for by Constitution or Statute, in which case the same shall govern.

No determination or judgment of any Court shall be disturbed for technical errors not going to the merits of the cause.

The several Courts shall keep a record of all their proceedings.

Sec. 22. The various Courts shall permit the accused to be heard in person or by counsel of his own selection, provided every such counsel shall be a communicant of this Church, but in every trial or investigation the several Courts may regulate the number of counsel who may address the Court or examine witnesses.

The President, or any other member of the several Courts, shall upon application of either the Church Advocate or the accused issue subpoenas for witnesses, but before doing so the person who issues the same shall first be satisfied that the testimony sought to be adduced is material and that the witness is one whom the Court would be willing to hear upon the trial, otherwise he may refuse to issue the same.
When the several Courts are not in session, if there is a vacancy in the office of the President, the Bishop who is senior by consecration shall perform the duties of the office of President.

If in the course of a trial it becomes necessary to take the testimony of absent witnesses, it may be taken upon a commission as such commissions are authorized by the common law in the jurisdiction in which the trial takes place, and in case there is ground to suppose that the attendance of a witness at the forthcoming trial cannot be obtained, it shall be lawful for either party to apply to the Court if in session, or, if not, to any member thereof, who shall thereupon appoint a Commissioner to take the deposition of such witness; and such party desiring to take such depositions shall give the opposite party reasonable notice of the time and place of taking depositions, accompanying such notice with the interrogatories to be propounded to the witness, whereupon it shall be lawful for the other party within six days after such notice to propound cross-interrogatories and such interrogatories and cross-interrogatories, if any be propounded, shall be sent to the Commissioner, who shall thereupon proceed to take the testimony of such witness and transmit it under seal to the Court. Such testimony shall be preceded by a written declaration of the witness similar to that of a witness testifying in person before the Court for the Trial of a Bishop.

In any Diocese in which the Civil Government shall have authorized the Ecclesiastical Courts therein to issue subpoenas for witnesses or to administer an oath, the Court shall act in conformity to such law.

Provided, however, that no deposition shall be taken, or read at the trial, unless the Court shall deem such testimony to be material and also have reasonable assurance that the attendance of the witness cannot
be procured, and the several Courts shall have power to limit the scope of the testimony and the number of witnesses to be examined and whose depositions shall be taken.

Sec. 23. Where a presentment of a Bishop is made by any three Bishops of this Church exercising jurisdiction, they may select a Church Advocate as legal adviser. The Presiding Bishop upon the receipt of written charges or written demand under the provisions of Sections 3 or 4 of Canon 56 shall at the same time that the Board of Inquiry is appointed as provided in Section 5 of said Canon 56 appoint a Church Advocate to act as the legal adviser of the Board.

In all trials and upon all appeals the several Courts may appoint a Church Advocate with or without assistants, all of whom shall be of the profession of the law, and communicants of the Church, to appear in behalf of the Church upon such trial or appeal. The Church Advocate shall then be considered the party on one side, and the accused the party on the other.

Sec. 24. The necessary charges and expenses of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon, including the necessary expenses of the Church Advocate and Lay Assessors, shall be a charge upon the Province and shall be paid by the Treasurer of the Synod of such Province upon the order of the President of the Synod. Similar charges in the case of the trial of a Bishop, and of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, shall be paid by the Treasurer of the General Convention upon the order of the President of such Courts.

The necessary expenses of Boards of Inquiry or Commissions appointed under the Canons of this Church to make preliminary investigation and to re-
port upon charges presented, including therein the necessary expenses of Church Advocates appointed to assist such Boards or Commissions, shall be a charge upon the General Convention, or upon the Province, or the Diocese, or the Missionary District, as the case may be. They shall be paid by the respective Treasurers of the General Convention, of the Synod or Province, or of the Diocese or of the Missionary District, upon the order of the President of the several Courts.

CANON 56.

Of Presentments.

(a) Of a Presbyter or Deacon.

Sec. 1. The mode of presentment of a Presbyter or Deacon shall be that provided by the Canons of the Diocese or Missionary District wherein the accused is canonically resident.

(b) Of a Bishop.

Sec. 2. A Bishop may be presented by any three Bishops of this Church exercising jurisdiction, for holding and teaching publicly or privately and advisedly, doctrine contrary to that held by this Church. Such presentment shall be in writing, signed and verified by the Bishops presenting, and shall be delivered to the Presiding Bishop.

Sec. 3. A Bishop may be charged with any one or more of the offenses specified in Canon 53, other than that of holding and teaching doctrine contrary to that held by this Church, by three Bishops or ten or more male communicants of this Church in good standing, of whom at least two shall be Presbyters; one Presbyter and not less than six communicants shall belong to the Diocese or Missionary District of the accused, or, in case the accused have no jurisdiction, to the Diocese or District in which he has domicile. Such
charges shall be in writing, signed by all the accusers, sworn to by two or more of them, and shall be presented to the Presiding Bishop of the Church. The grounds of accusation must be set forth with reasonable certainty of time, place and circumstance.

Sec. 4. Whenever a Bishop shall have reason to believe that there are in circulation rumors, reports, or allegations affecting his personal or official character, he may, acting in conformity with the written advice and consent of any two Bishops of this Church, demand in writing of the Presiding Bishop that investigation of said rumors, reports and allegations be made.

Sec. 5. The Presiding Bishop, upon the receipt of such written charges or such written demand, shall summon not less than three nor more than seven Bishops, and, unless a majority of them shall determine that such charges, if proved, would constitute no canonical offence, they shall select a Board of Inquiry of five Presbyters and five Laymen, none of whom shall belong to the diocese of the accused, of whom eight shall form a quorum.

The Board of Inquiry shall investigate such charges, or the said rumors or reports, as the case may be. In conducting the investigation, the Board shall hear the accusations and such proof as the accusers may produce, and shall determine whether, upon matters of law and of fact, as presented to them, there is sufficient ground to put the accused Bishop on his trial.

The testimony shall be stenographically reported, and shall be preserved in the custody of the Presiding Bishop or in the archives of the House of Bishops. The proceedings of the Board of Inquiry shall be private.

Sec. 6. If in the judgment of the majority of the whole Board of Inquiry, there is sufficient ground to
put the said Bishop upon trial, they shall cause the Church Advocate to prepare a presentment, which shall be signed by such of the Board as shall agree thereto, and which shall be transmitted with the certificate of the determination of the Board to the Presiding Bishop.

If a majority of the whole Board shall determine that there is not sufficient ground to present the accused Bishop for trial, it shall forward the charges and a certificate of the finding thereon to the Presiding Bishop. He shall send the same to the Secretary of the House of Bishops, by him to be deposited in the archives of the House; and a true copy of these papers shall be given to the accused Bishop. No further proceeding shall be had by way of presentment on such charges, except that any communicant of this Church in good standing may make and present to the Presiding Bishop his affidavit alleging the discovery of new evidence as to the facts charged and setting forth what such evidence is; and upon the receipt thereof the Presiding Bishop shall decide whether the affidavit does or does not state grounds which in his opinion are sufficient for reopening the case. If the Presiding Bishop shall be of opinion that the affidavit states grounds sufficient to justify reopening the case, he shall reconvene the Board, which shall determine, first, whether as a matter of fact the evidence set forth in such affidavit is really new evidence and not merely cumulative; and if the Board shall find that the evidence so tendered is new, it shall proceed to receive and to consider such evidence, and any further evidence that it may deem proper to receive; and in the light of all the evidence the Board shall determine whether there are sufficient grounds for presentment. If the Board, by a majority of its members, shall decide that there is any such sufficient ground, it shall certify its decision as in this Canon heretofore provided.
Sec. 7. In case a majority of the whole Board shall fail to find either that there is, or that there is not, sufficient ground to present the accused Bishop for trial, it shall certify the fact of its inability to agree upon any such finding to the Presiding Bishop, who, at the request of the accused Bishop, may select a new Board in the manner provided in Section 5, who shall consider the case de novo.

Sec. 8. In case any presentment shall be made to the Presiding Bishop as hereinbefore provided, he shall at once transmit the same to the President of the Court for the Trial of a Bishop, and shall cause a true copy of the presentment to be served upon the accused Bishop, in the manner provided in Canon 54.

Sec. 9. In case the Presiding Bishop shall be either an accuser or the accused, or shall otherwise be disabled, his duties under this Canon shall be performed by the Bishop who, according to the rules of the House of Bishops, becomes its Presiding Officer in case of the disability of the Presiding Bishop of the Church.

CANON 57.

Of the Trial of a Bishop.

Sec. 1 (a). When the President of the Court for the Trial of a Bishop shall receive a presentment, he shall call the Court to meet at a certain time and place, said time not to be less than two nor more than six calendar months from the day of mailing such notice, and at a place within the Diocese or Missionary District of the accused Bishop, unless the same be of such difficult access, in the judgment of the President of the Court, that reasonable convenience requires the appointment of another place; and in case the accused have no jurisdiction, at a place within the Diocese or Missionary District in which he has his domicile. With said notice, he shall send to each member of the Court a copy of the presentment.
(b). He shall also summon the accused to appear at the same time and place to answer the said presentment, and shall also give notice of the said time and place to the Church Advocate.

Sec. 2 (a). At the time and place appointed, a quorum of the Court being present, the President shall declare the Court open for hearing the case; and when thus open, he shall direct the clerk to call the names of the Church Advocate and the accused; and if both appear, he shall then cause the Clerk to read the presentment.

(b). The accused shall then be called upon by the Court to plead to the presentment and his pleas shall be duly recorded; and on his neglect or refusal to plead, the plea of not guilty shall be entered for him, and the trial shall proceed; Provided, that for sufficient cause the Court may adjourn from time to time; and Provided, also, that the accused shall, at all times during the trial, have liberty to be present, and in due time and order to produce his testimony and to make his defense.

(c). If the accused fail or refuse to appear in person, according to the notice served on him as aforesaid, except for reasonable cause to be allowed by the Court, it shall pronounce him in contumacy, and give him notice that sentence of suspension or deposition will be pronounced against him by the Court at the expiration of three months, unless at that time he shall appear and take his trial upon the presentment. If he do not so tender himself for trial, sentence of suspension, or of deposition from the Ministry may be pronounced upon him by the Court.

Sec. 3. The accused being present and the trial proceeding, it shall be conducted in accordance with Secs. 20, 21 and 22 of Canon 55. The accused shall
in all cases have the right to be a witness on his own behalf, subject to cross-examination in the same manner as any other witness. No testimony shall be received at the trial, except from witnesses who have signed a declaration in the following words, to be read aloud before the witness testifies and to be filed with the records of the Court.

"I, A. B., a witness on the trial of a presentment against the Right Reverend , a Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, now pending, do most solemnly call God to witness that the evidence I am about to give shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me God."

Sec. 4: The Court, having fully heard the allegations and proofs of the parties, and having deliberately considered the same after the parties have withdrawn, every member of the Court sitting in the cause shall declare whether in his opinion the accused is guilty or not guilty, and with respect to each particular charge and specification contained in the presentment; and the accused shall be deemed not guilty upon every charge and specification upon which he shall not be pronounced guilty by a majority of the members of the Court sitting in the cause.

Sec. 5. The decision of the Court as to all the charges and specifications shall be reduced to writing, and signed by those who assent to it, and the Court shall also, if the accused is found guilty of any charge or specification, determine and embody in the written decision the penalty which it shall adjudge should be imposed upon the accused, which penalty may be admonition or suspension or deposition from the ministry, as shall be by the Court adjudged; and the decision so signed shall be recorded as the judgment
of the Court, and shall be judgment nisi until it becomes final as hereinafter stated.

Sec. 6. A Bishop found guilty upon a presentment for crime or immorality shall not, after the rendering of such judgment, and while the same continues unreversed, perform any Episcopal or Ministerial functions, except such as relate to the administration of the temporal affairs of his Diocese or Missionary District.

Sec. 7. If the accused shall be found guilty of any charge or specification, he may file a motion for a new trial and for a modification of penalty. Any such motion or motions shall be filed within 30 days from the date of the filing of the decision, and the motion shall set forth all the reasons therefor, and no other shall be relied on at the hearing of the motion without the consent of the Court. The President of the Court shall set a place and time for hearing the motion and shall reconvene the Court to hear and determine the same.

The Court may in the interest of justice grant a new trial or modify the penalty. If the motion for a new trial is granted the President of the Court shall set a time and place for the new trial, and notify the parties and the members of the Court of such time and place. If the motion for a new trial is overruled, the judgment nisi as to the guilt of the accused shall become final, but the Court in the exercise of its discretion may modify or change the penalty, and shall in writing signed by a majority of the Court direct what penalty is to be incorporated in the final judgment to be recorded by the Clerk. If no motion for a new trial or for modification of sentence shall be filed within the time limited for filing such motions, the Clerk of the Court shall on the next secular day enter, as final, the judgment rendered by the Court.
An appeal from a final judgment of a Court for the Trial of a Bishop to the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, as provided in Canon 58, may be taken within sixty days from the entry of such judgment.

After the entry of final judgment, the President of the Court shall appoint a time and place not less than 60 days thereafter for pronouncing sentence. At the time and place appointed, if the accused shall not have an appeal pending in the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, or the action of the Court of Review has not made it unnecessary for the Trial Court to proceed to pronounce sentence, the President of the Court or a member thereof designated in writing by a majority of the members thereof to do so, shall in the presence of the accused, if he shall see fit to attend, pronounce the sentence which has been adjudged by the Court, and direct the same to be recorded by the Clerk.

Sec. 8 (a). During the trial, exceptions in writing may be taken by either side to the admission or exclusion of evidence, or to any ruling of the Court, and such exceptions shall form part of the record of the case.

(b). Such record shall be kept by the Clerk, and inserted in a book to be attested by the signature of the President and Clerk. The record shall be in the custody of the Clerk and kept in the depositary of the Registrar of the General Convention, and shall be open to the inspection of every member of this Church.

CANON 58.

Of Appeals to the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop.

Sec. 1. A Bishop found guilty of any offence shall have the right to appeal from the judgment of the
Trial Court to the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop; and in the case of a Bishop presented for holding and teaching doctrine contrary to that held by this Church, the Church Advocate shall have a like right of appeal.

Sec. 2. Unless within sixty days from the date of entry of judgment in the Trial Court the appellant shall have given notice of the appeal, in writing, to said Court, to the party against whom the appeal is taken, and to the President of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, assigning in said notice the reasons of appeal, he shall be held to have waived the right of appeal, although in its discretion the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop may entertain and hear an appeal not taken within such prescribed period.

The President of the Court of Review upon receiving the notice of appeal shall appoint a time within 60 days thereafter for hearing the appeal and fix the place of the hearing, and at least 30 days prior to the day appointed written notice of such time and place shall be given by him to the other members of the Court and also to the appellant and appellee.

Sec. 3. Upon notice of appeal being given, the Clerk of the Trial Court shall send to the Clerk of the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop a transcript of the record, including all the evidence, certified by the President and Clerk of the said Court, and the Clerk shall lay the same before the Court at its next session.

Sec. 4. No oral testimony shall be heard by said Court, nor, except by permission of the said Court shall any new evidence be introduced in said hearing.

Sec. 5. The Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop may affirm or reverse any judgment brought before it on appeal, and may enter final judgment in
the case, or may remit the same to the Trial Court for a new trial, or for such further proceedings as the interests of justice may require; Provided, however, that if the accused shall have been found not guilty by the Trial Court upon any of the charges and specifications upon which he has been tried other than that of holding and teaching doctrine contrary to that held by this Church, the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop shall have no power to reverse said findings; and, Provided, further, that sentence shall not be imposed upon a Bishop found guilty of holding and teaching doctrine contrary to that held by this Church unless and until the said finding shall have been approved by a vote of two-thirds of all the Bishops canonically assembled in said House, and entitled to vote.

Sec. 6. If the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop shall enter final judgment in the case, and if by said judgment the accused shall be found guilty of any of the charges or specifications upon which he has been tried, the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop shall determine the sentence, which shall be either admonition, suspension, as defined by the Canons of this Church, or deposition. Before sentence is passed the accused shall have the opportunity of being heard, if he have aught to say in excuse or palliation. The sentence shall be pronounced by the Presiding Bishop, or such other Bishop as the Presiding Bishop shall designate who shall thereupon give the notices thereof required by Canon 64.

Sec. 7. In case of appeal, all proceedings in the Trial Court shall be stayed until such appeal be dismissed by the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop, or the said case be remitted by the said Court to the Trial Court. Should the appellant fail to prosecute his appeal before the said Court at the first session thereof, after the entry of the appeal, at which the
same could be heard the appeal may be dismissed for want of prosecution. In case the said Court dismiss the appeal, the Clerk of the Court shall immediately give notice of such dismissal to the Trial Court.

The appellant may waive his appeal at any time before a hearing thereof has begun before the Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop. After said hearing has begun, he may waive his appeal only with the consent of the Court. In case the appeal is waived or dismissed, the Trial Court shall proceed as if no appeal had been taken.

CANON 59.

Of a Minister in any Diocese or Missionary District Chargeable with Offense in Another.

Sec. 1. If a Minister belonging to any Diocese or Missionary District shall have conducted himself in any other Diocese or Missionary District in such a way as to be liable to presentment under the provisions of Canon 53, the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof shall give notice of the same to the Ecclesiastical Authority where he is canonically resident, exhibiting, with the information given, reasonable ground for presuming its truth. If the Ecclesiastical Authority, after due notice given, shall omit, for the space of three months, to proceed against the offending Minister, or shall request the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which the offense or offenses are alleged to have been committed, to proceed against him, it shall be within the power of the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District, within which the offense or offenses are alleged to have been committed, to institute proceedings according to the mode provided by the said Diocese or Missionary District.
Sec. 2. If a Minister shall come temporarily into any Diocese or Missionary District, under the imputation of having elsewhere been guilty of any of the offences within the provisions of Canon 53, or if any Minister, while sojourning in any Diocese or Missionary District, shall so offend, the Bishop, upon probable cause, may admonish such Minister and inhibit him from officiating in said Diocese or Missionary District. And if, after such inhibition, the said Minister so officiate, the Bishop shall give notice to all the Ministers and Congregations in said Diocese or Missionary District, that the officiating of said Minister is inhibited; and like notice shall be given to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District to which the said Minister belongs, and to the Recorder. And such inhibition shall continue in force until the Bishop of the first-named Diocese or Missionary District be satisfied of the innocence of the said Minister, or until he be acquitted on trial.

Sec. 3. The provisions of the last Section shall apply to Ministers ordained in foreign lands by Bishops in communion with this Church; but in such case notice of the inhibition shall be given to the Bishop from whose jurisdiction the Minister shall appear to have come, and also to all the Bishops exercising jurisdiction in this Church, and to the Recorder.

**CANON 60.**

Of Renunciation of the Ministry.

Sec. 1. If any Minister of this Church not under presentment shall declare, in writing, to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District in which he is canonically resident, his renunciation of the Ministry of this Church, and his desire to be removed therefrom, it shall be the duty of the Ecclesiastical Authority to record the declaration and request so made. The Bishop, being satisfied that the
person so declaring is not amenable for any canonical offense, and that his renunciation of the Ministry is not occasioned by foregoing misconduct or irregularity, but is voluntary and for causes, assigned or known, which do not affect his moral character, shall defer formal action upon the declaration for three months, and meanwhile shall lay the matter before the clerical members of the Standing Committee (or of the Council of Advice), and with their advice and consent he may pronounce that such renunciation is accepted, and that the Minister is released from the obligations of the Ministerial office, and that he is deprived of the right to exercise the gifts and spiritual authority as a Minister of God's Word and Sacraments conferred on him in his Ordination. He shall also declare in pronouncing and recording such action that it was for causes which do not affect the man's moral character, and shall, if desired, give a certificate to this effect to the person so removed from the Ministry. In all other cases of Renunciation of the Ministry, where there may be a question of foregoing misconduct or irregularity, the Bishop shall not pronounce sentence of Deposition save with the consent of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District. The Bishop shall give due notice of every such Removal or Deposition from the Ministry, in the form in which the same is recorded, and in accordance with the provisions of Canon 64, Sec. 3 (b).

Sec. 2. If a Minister making the aforesaid declaration of renunciation of his Ministry be under presentment for any canonical offense, or if he shall have been placed on trial for the same, the Ecclesiastical Authority to whom such declaration is made, shall not consider or act upon such declaration until after the said presentment shall have been dismissed, or the said trial shall have been concluded and sentence, if any,
pronounced. If the Ecclesiastical Authority to whom such declaration is made shall have ground to suppose that the person making the same is liable to presentation for any canonical offense, such person may, in the discretion of the said Ecclesiastical Authority, be placed upon trial for such offense, notwithstanding such declaration of renunciation of the Ministry.

CANON 61.

Of the Abandonment of the Communion of this Church by a Bishop.

Sec. 1. If a Bishop abandon the communion of this Church, either by an open renunciation of the Doctrine, Discipline, or Worship of the Church, or by formal admission into any religious body not in communion with the same, or in any other manner, it shall be the duty of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District of said Bishop to certify the fact to the Presiding Bishop, and with such certificate to send a statement of the acts or declarations which show such abandonment, which certificate and statement shall be recorded by the Presiding Bishop. The Presiding Bishop, with the consent of the three senior Bishops having jurisdiction in the United States, shall then suspend the said Bishop from the exercise of his Office and Ministry until such time as the House of Bishops shall investigate the matter.

Sec. 2. The Presiding Bishop shall forthwith give notice to the said Bishop of such suspension, and that unless he shall, within six months, make declaration that the facts alleged in said certificate are false, and shall demand a trial, he will be liable to deposition from the Ministry. And if such declaration be not made within six months, as aforesaid, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Bishop to convene the House of Bishops to consider the case; and if the said House,
by a majority of the whole number of Bishops entitled to vote, shall give their consent, the Presiding Bishop shall depose the said Bishop from the Ministry, and pronounce and record in the presence of two or more Bishops, that he has been so deposed.

**CANON 62.**

**Of the Abandonment of the Communion of this Church by a Presbyter or Deacon.**

**Sec. 1.** If any Presbyter or Deacon shall, without availing himself of the provisions of Canon 60, abandon the communion of this Church, by an open renunciation of the Doctrine, Discipline, or Worship of this Church, or by a formal admission into any religious body not in communion with the same, or in any other way, it shall be the duty of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District in which the said Presbyter or Deacon is canonically resident to certify the fact to the Bishop, or, if there be no Bishop, to the Bishop of an adjacent Diocese or Missionary District, and with such certificate to send a statement of the acts or declarations which show such abandonment; which certificate and statement shall be recorded, and shall be taken and deemed by the Ecclesiastical Authority as an equivalent to a renunciation of the Ministry by the Minister himself; and the said Bishop shall then suspend the said Minister for six months. Notice shall then be given by the said Bishop to the Minister so suspended that, unless he shall within six months transmit to the Bishop a retraction of such acts, or make declaration that the facts alleged in said certificate are false, he will be deposed from the Ministry.

**Sec. 2.** If such retraction or declaration be not made within six months, as aforesaid, it shall be the duty of the Bishop to depose the said Minister from the
Ministry, and to pronounce and record, in the presence of two or more Presbyters, that he has been so deposed.

CANON 63.

Of a Minister Absenting Himself from his Diocese, or Abandoning the Work of the Ministry.

Sec. 1. If a Minister shall have been absent for more than two years from the Diocese or Missionary District in which he is canonically resident without having given reasons satisfactory to the Bishop thereof; or if he shall engage in any secular calling or business without the consent of such Bishop, and shall refuse to engage in the work of the Ministry at the call of his Bishop, coupled with reasonable provision for his support, it shall be the duty of the Standing Committee of the Diocese or the Council of Advice of the Missionary District, or of any two Presbyters of the same jurisdiction, the case being brought to their attention by the written statement of the Bishop, to present the offending Minister for trial for violation of his Ordination vows.

Sec. 2. Whenever a Minister of this Church shall have been absent from the Diocese or Missionary District for a period of more than two years, and has failed to make the annual report, so that his whereabouts are unknown, the Bishop may send the name of such Minister to the Secretary of the House of Bishops of the Church, who shall keep a list of such Ministers; but upon application of either the Bishop or the Minister himself, or at the discretion of the Presiding Bishop, he shall be placed again upon the Diocesan list.

While the Minister's name remains upon the list of the Secretary of the House of Bishops he shall not be considered as canonically connected with the Diocese.
Sec. 1. Whenever the penalty of suspension shall be inflicted on a Bishop, Presbyter or Deacon, in this Church, the sentence shall specify on what terms and on what conditions and at what time the penalty shall cease.

Sec. 2. Whenever a Minister is deposed from the Sacred Ministry, he is deposed therefrom entirely, and not from a higher to a lower Order in the same.

Sec. 3 (a). If a Presbyter or Deacon is liable to sentence upon conviction by a Trial Court or upon affirmance of such conviction by a Court of Review, sentence shall be imposed by the Bishop of the jurisdiction in which the original trial of the accused was had, or in case such Bishop is disqualified or there be no Bishop of that jurisdiction, by another Bishop by the request of its Standing Committee or Council of Advice, and it shall be lawful for the Bishop of the jurisdiction or for such other Bishop in his discretion to pronounce a lesser sentence than that adjudged by the Court. The Bishop to act shall appoint a time and place for pronouncing such sentence and shall cause notice thereof in writing to be served upon the accused in the manner provided in Canon 54 at least thirty days before the time appointed.

(b). In the case of renunciation of the Ministry by a Minister as provided in Canon 60, and in case of the abandonment of the communion of this Church by a Presbyter or Deacon as provided in Canon 62, sentence of Removal or Deposition shall be pronounced in the presence of two or more Presbyters, and shall be entered in the official records of the Diocese or Missionary District in which the Presbyter or Deacon being removed or deposed is canonically resident. The
Bishop who pronounces sentence of Removal or Deposition as provided in Canon 60 or Canon 62 shall give notice thereof in writing to the Presiding Bishop, the Recorder, the Secretary of the House of Bishops, the Secretary of the House of Deputies, and The Church Pension Fund. In giving such notice the Bishop who pronounces sentence of Removal or Deposition may request, for reason to be stated by him, that the sentence be held in confidence until the regular date of the next ensuing publication of the list of ordained clergy of this Church. Unless the Presiding Bishop shall disapprove in writing, within thirty days, of the granting of the request that the sentence be held in confidence, those notified as above provided of the sentence of Removal or Deposition shall not publish or give notice of the same, but shall record the sentence in confidence in the official records kept by each of those to whom notice is sent as above provided.

(c). If the sentence to be pronounced upon a Presbyter or Deacon be deposition, the Bishop acting in the matter shall pronounce and record the same in the presence of two or more Presbyters.

(d). In case an accused Presbyter or Deacon confesses the truth of the charges made against him, and in writing waives the right to a trial and submits himself to disciplinary action, the Bishop may in his discretion proceed at once to pronounce sentence.

(e). After a Presbyter or Deacon shall have been convicted by a Trial Court of a crime or immorality rendering him liable to canonical sentence, the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District shall have the right to suspend him from all public ministrations. Such suspension shall continue until a final judgment upon the case. When the sentence is of a suspension or deposition, the Bishop who pronounces the same shall without delay give notice thereof in writing to
every Minister and Vestry in the Diocese or Missionary District in which the accused was canonically resident; to all the Bishops of the Church, and where there is no Bishop, to the Standing Committee of the Diocese or to the Council of Advice of the Missionary District as the case may be; to the Recorder, and to the Secretary of the House of Bishops, who shall deposit and preserve such notice among the archives of the House. The notice shall specify under what Canon the said Minister has been suspended or deposed.

Sec. 4. No sentence shall be pronounced until an opportunity shall have been given to the accused either on conviction or on confession to show cause, if any, why sentence should not be pronounced, and to offer any matter in excuse or palliation for the consideration of the Bishop to pronounce sentence.

Sec. 5. When a Bishop is liable to sentence under a judgment of a Trial Court or under a judgment of a Court of Review of the Trial of a Bishop on an appeal to said Court of Review, the sentence to be imposed, the Bishop to pronounce the same, and the procedure to be followed in imposing sentence shall be as provided in the several Canons governing the procedure of said Courts.

Sec. 6. In the case of the suspension or deposition of a Bishop it shall be the duty of the Presiding Bishop to give notice of the same to the Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District of this Church and to the Recorder and the Secretary of the House of Bishops and to all Archbishops and Metropolitans, and all Presiding Bishops of Churches in communion with this Church.

Sec. 7. A Bishop found guilty upon a presentment for a crime or immorality shall not, on the rendering of such judgment, and while the same continues unre-
versed, perform any episcopal or ministerial functions, except such as relate to the administration of the temporal affairs of his Diocese or Missionary District.

**CANON 65.**

Of the Remission or Modification of Judicial Sentences.

Sec. 1. The House of Bishops may remit and terminate any judicial sentence which may have been imposed upon a Bishop, or modify the same so far as to designate a precise period of time, or other specific contingency, on the occurrence of which such sentence shall utterly cease, and be of no further force or effect; *Provided*, that no such remission or modification shall be made except at a meeting of the House of Bishops, during the session of some General Convention, or at a special meeting of the said House, which shall be convened by the Presiding Bishop on the application of any five Bishops, three months' notice, in writing, of the time, place and object of the meeting being given to each Bishop; *Provided*, also, that such remission or modification be assented to by not less than a majority of the whole number entitled at the time to seats in the House of Bishops; and *Provided*, that nothing herein shall be construed to repeal or alter the provisions of Canon 64.

Sec. 2. A Bishop of this Church may, for reasons which he shall deem sufficient, remit and terminate any sentence of deposition or removal pronounced in his jurisdiction upon a Minister; but he shall exercise this power only upon the following conditions:

1. That he shall act with the advice and consent of two-thirds of all the members of the Standing Committee.

2. That he shall submit his proposed action, with his reasons therefor, to the judgment of five of the
Bishops of this Church, whose Dioceses or Missionary Districts are nearest to his own, and shall receive in writing, from at least four of the said Bishops, their approval of the said remission, and their consent thereto.

(3). That before remitting such sentence, he shall require the person to be restored to the Ministry to subscribe to the declaration required in Article VIII. of the Constitution.

Sec. 3. In case such person was deposed for abandoning the communion of this Church, or, having been deposed by reason of his renunciation of the Ministry of this Church, or for other cause, he have also abandoned its communion, the Bishop before granting such remission, shall be satisfied that such person has lived in lay communion with this Church for three years next preceding his application for such remission.

Sec. 4. In case the person applying for such remission shall be domiciled beyond the Diocese or Missionary District in which he was deposed, the Bishop, before granting such remission, shall be furnished with written evidence of the approval of such application by the Bishop of the Diocese or Missionary District in which such person is domiciled.

Sec. 5. Whenever a Bishop shall remit and terminate any sentence of deposition, he shall, without delay, give due notice thereof under his own hand, sending said notice in a sealed envelope to the Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District of this Church and to the Recorder, giving, with the full name of the person restored, the date of the deposition and the Order of the Ministry to which he is restored.
V.

CANONICAL LEGISLATION.

CANON 66.

Of Enactment, Amendment, and Repeal.

Sec. 1. No new Canon shall be enacted, or existing Canon be amended or repealed, except by concurrent resolution of the two Houses of the General Convention. Such resolution may be introduced first in either House, and shall be referred in each House to the Committee on Canons thereof, for consideration, report; and recommendation, before adoption by the House; Provided, that in either House the foregoing requirement of reference may be dispensed with by a three-fourths vote of the members present.

Sec. 2. Whenever a Canon which repealed another Canon, or part thereof, shall itself be repealed, such previous Canon or part thereof shall not thereby be revived or re-enacted, without express words to that effect.

Sec. 3. In all cases of future enactment, the same, if by way of amendment of an existing provision, shall be in substantially the following form: "Canon .... (or Section ...., or Clause .... of Section ...., of Canon ....) is hereby amended to read as follows: (here insert the new reading)." And in the event of insertion of a new Canon, or of a new Section, or Clause, in a Canon, or of the repeal of an existing Canon, or of a Section or Clause, the numbering of the Canons, or of divisions of a Canon, which follow shall be changed accordingly.

Sec. 4 (a). The Committee on Canons of each House of the General Convention shall, at the close of
each regular meeting of the General Convention, ap­point two of its members to certify the changes, if any, made in the Canons, including a correction of the references made in any Canon to another, and to report the same, with the proper arrangement thereof, to the Secretary, who shall publish them in the Journal.

(b). The Committee on Amendments to the Con­stitution of each House of the General Convention shall, at the close of each regular meeting of the General Convention, appoint a similar committee of two of its members to certify in like manner the changes, if any, made in the Constitution, or proposed to be made therein under the provisions of Article XI. of the Constitution, and to report the same to the Secretary, who shall publish them in the Journal.

Sec. 5. All Canons enacted during the General Con­vention of 1943, and thereafter, and all amendments and repeals of Canons then or thereafter made, unless otherwise expressly ordered, shall take effect on the first day of January following the adjournment of the General Convention at which they were enacted or made.
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re Bishop's visitation..................................................121
Election of Assistant Minister.......................................130
Election of Rector.......................................................129
In case of two or more congregations............................123
Exception.................................................................123
Minister settled when engaged for one year.................130
Ministers and their duties..........................................120
Notice to Bishop..........................................................129
Reading of Pastoral Letter............................................122
Rector have control of Church and buildings................120
Register exhibited to Bishop.........................................122
Register of Official Acts..............................................122
State of congregation presented to Bishop...................122
To keep Parish Registers..............................................122
List of families..........................................................123
Parochial Cure, No deacon ordered priest until appointed to serve in some.........................................................88
What constitutes ..........................................................36
Pastoral Letter, Reading of, to congregations..................122
Pastoral Relation, Dissolution of.................................128
Canon not apply to diocese or district where other provision made..................................................129
Dissolution recorded by Secretary of Convention...........129
Mode of settling differences.........................................128
Rector cannot be removed against his will....................128
Rector cannot resign without consent of Vestry............128
Pension for Missionary Bishop after resignation............118
Pension Fund, Church.....................................................23
Administer pension system..........................................23
Assessments...............................................................24
Clergy in continuous service........................................24
Election of Trustees.....................................................24
General Convention may amend Canon on.....................26
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Initial Reserve Fund ................................................. 25
Limit on allotment .................................................... 24
Maximum pension ...................................................... 25
Merger with General Clergy Relief Fund ......................... 26
Minimum pension ...................................................... 25
Retiring allowance .................................................... 25
Royalties .................................................................... 24
To establish rules ....................................................... 25

Persons refused ordination in any Diocese not to be afterwards transferred thereto without consent of the Bishop ............ 125

Postulants, Candidates for Holy Orders .......................... 54
Attending Theological Seminary not reason for change of canonical residence ................................................. 63
Bishop may require certificate from Rector and Vestry .... 53
Bishop to have superintendence of candidates ................ 62
Bishop to record application, with date .......................... 55
Bishop to send certificate of, to Standing Committee ...... 56
Certificate required to application to another Bishop ...... 58
Dispensation for, of other race and speech ..................... 58
Older men ................................................................ 57
Examination for Admission to Holy Orders in Special Cases 73
Examination of candidates coming from other Christian Bodies ................................................................. 66
Examiners Chaplains of another diocese may conduct examination ................................................................. 72
Examination required ................................................... 54
Form of testimonial from Standing Committee ............... 62
General Provisions concerning ....................................... 62
If approved, Bishop to record in book ............................ 62
If no parish, by whom certificate is to be signed ............. 60
Reasons to be stated ..................................................... 61
If parish has no minister, certificate signed by some Presbyter 60
Information to be given ................................................ 55
Limited examination for Deacons' Orders in special cases . 67
Make desire known to Bishop ......................................... 54
May have letters dimissory ............................................ 63
Mode of application to Standing Committee .................... 59
Normal standard of learning and examination .................. 64
Not to serve as Deputy to General Convention ............... 64
Not to sign certificate ................................................... 75
Ordination to the Diaconate .......................................... 76
Age of candidate ......................................................... 76
Declaration of belief and conformity ................................ 81
If no parish, by whom certificate to be signed ................. 79
Reasons to be stated ..................................................... 80
If parish has no minister, certificate may be signed by some Presbyter ......................................................... 79
Papers to be laid before Standing Committee .................. 77
Recommendation from Standing Committee .................... 77
Testimonial of Standing Committee ................................ 81
To be eighteen months a candidate ................................ 77
Physical examination required ...................................... 77
Present himself for examination within three years ........ 63
Presenting Priest may attend examination ...................... 68
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Process if applicant has before been refused. 56
Record of modified requirements to be kept. 74
Rejected candidate to renew candidature before ordination. 64
Removal of name of Candidate. 63
Removal of name of Postulant. 55
Report of Examining Chaplains. 59
Requirements may be modified in special cases. 73
Satisfactory evidence in lieu of examinations. 59
Satisfy Bishop he is college graduate. 57
If not, to satisfy as to ability. 57
Seminary examinations not to supersede canonical examinations. 68
Special Dispensation. 57
Standing Committee to act for Bishop when acting as Ecclesiastical Authority. 56
Study and conduct of. 62
To be examined by Bishop before ordination. 68
To consult Pastor. 54
To remain in canonical connection with his own diocese. 63
To report in each Ember Week. 63
When clerical members of Standing Committee to act. 63
When special examination is required. 73

Prayer Book—See Book of Common Prayer.
Presbyter—See also Minister; Priest; Rector.
Abandonment of Communion of Church by. 171
Deposition. 171
Standing Committee certify Bishop of facts. 171
Courts of Review for trial of. 145
Diocesan Court for trial of. 144
List of offenses for trial of. 142
Consent for presentment. 142
Conviction of immorality in Civil Court. 143
Time within which presentment must be made. 143
Presentment of a. 143
Mode of. 144
Sentence of suspension. 173
Presbyters and Deacons, Trial of. xiv
Presenting a Bishop for trial. 143
Presbyter or Deacon. 143
Mode of. 144
Presentment, time within which, must be made. 143
President of House of Deputies. 1
President of the National Council. 16
Presiding Bishop, Election of. iii
Duties of, shall be prescribed by Canons of General Convention. iv
May, with consent of National Council, appoint another place for meeting of General Convention. vi
Must assent to erection of vacant Missionary District into a Diocese before proceedings are taken. x
Resignation or disability of. iv
Succession in case of resignation, death or disability. iv
Term and tenure of office shall be prescribed by Canons of General Convention. iv
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Bishop, Assessment for expenses of</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canon</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability of</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duties</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duties <strong>re</strong> Abandonment of communion of this Church by a Bishop</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>re</strong> Congregations in foreign lands</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>re</strong> Consecration of Bishops</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>re</strong> Disability of the Bishop of a Diocese</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>re</strong> Presentment, trial, of a Bishop</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>re</strong> Resignation of a Bishop</td>
<td>106, 115, 116, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election of</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill vacancy in office of Custodian of Standard Book of Common Prayer</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case of disability of</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue summons for special meetings of General Convention</td>
<td>3, 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May assign jurisdiction in foreign lands</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary Bishops to report annually to</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must approve changes in Constitution and Canons of Missionary District</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominating Committee</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President of Board of Directors of Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resign previous jurisdiction</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiring allowance</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stipend</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term of office</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be notified of certain depositions</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To choose medical examiners for Bishop-elect</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To fill vacancy in office of Recorder</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrar</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To notify other Bishops of Episcopal resignations</td>
<td>106, 115, 116, 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To take order for consecration of Bishop-elect</td>
<td>97, 101, 111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When vacancy occurs in Missionary District, charge devolves on</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priest—See also Minister; Presbyter; Rector.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Declaration before Ordination</strong></td>
<td>xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requisites for ordination</strong></td>
<td>xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priesthood, Ordination to the</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Standard of learning and examination of candidates</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispensation from Greek</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination for admission to Holy Orders in special cases</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination of candidate from other Christian Bodies</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements may be modified in special cases</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminary examination not to supersede canonical examinations</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects of examination</td>
<td>64, 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective subjects</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be examined by Bishop before ordination</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priesthood, <strong>re</strong> Ordination</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advancement to, by Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Declaration of belief and conformity .................................................. 87
Evidence of appointment to some Cure ............................................... 88
If no parish, by whom certificate to be signed .................................. 85
Reasons for ......................................................................................... 86
If parish has no minister, certificate signed by Presbyter ....................... 85
Papers to be laid before Standing Committee ....................................... 84
Recommendation of Standing Committee ............................................ 84
Testimonial of Standing Committee .................................................... 87
To be deacon one year and candidate for two years ............................... 83
Primary Convention of new Dioceses ................................................... 30
Program, National Council to submit to General Convention for triennium .......................................................... 16
Joint Session for presentation .............................................................. 17
Property, Alienation of real, requires consent of Bishop and Standing Committee .................................................................................. 23, 53
Encumbrance of, requires consent of Bishop and Standing Committee .......... 23, 53
Parishes and congregations not affect legal rights of ................................ 36
Parish Vestries power to encumber, restricted ........................................ 23, 53
Power to encumber, restricted ................................................................ 23, 53
Provinces, Dioceses and Missionary Districts united into Thursday xxiii
Provinces, How constituted ................................................................. 26
Bishops have seat and vote ..................................................................... 28
New diocese and missionary district created .......................................... 27
Powers of Synods ............................................................................... 29
President of .......................................................................................... 28
Representatives of dioceses and missionary districts ................................. 28
Representatives of, on National Council ............................................... 14, 15
Synod of, may nominate Missionary Bishop ......................................... 102
Synodical rights and privileges .............................................................. 28
Synods ................................................................................................. 28
Provincial Courts of Review ................................................................. 29, 145
Provincial Synods ............................................................................... 28
Consider subjects referred by General Convention ................................ 30
Elect Judges of Court of Review ........................................................ 29, 145
May form Board of Examining Chaplains ........................................... 72
Powers ............................................................................................... 29
Report findings on proposed appropriations ........................................... 17
Representatives ................................................................................... 28
Take over administration of work ........................................................... 29
Quorum of House of Bishops ............................................................... iii
Quorum of House of Deputies ............................................................... v
Recorder of General Convention .......................................................... 6
Data and statistics to ............................................................................. 6
Duties ..................................................................................................... 6
Duty to furnish information .................................................................... 7
Exceptions ........................................................................................... 7
Election ............................................................................................... 6
Expenses ............................................................................................. 8
To be notified of certain depositions ....................................................... 174, 175
Vacancy filled by Presiding Bishop ....................................................... 8
Rector—See also Minister.
Deacon not permitted to be, of a parish ............................................... 131
Rector, Election of .............................................................................. 130
Certificate to Ecclesiastical Authority ................................................... 130
<table>
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<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minister settled when engaged for one year</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election of Assistant Minister</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not removed against will</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not resign without consent of vestry</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powers of</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resignation</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resignation required at age seventy-two</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of, to preside at meetings of vestry</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Register of baptisms to be kept</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrar of General Convention</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment in case of vacancy</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journals and papers delivered to</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May be Historiographer</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare Letters of Consecration</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records of Consecrations</td>
<td>4, 112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations respecting the Laity</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Communities</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment of a Visitor</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaplain of</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official recognition</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prayer Book to be used</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property held in trust</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule and constitution approved by Bishop</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remission or modification of judicial sentences</td>
<td>168, 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renunciation of the Ministry</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeal, enactment, and amendment of Canons</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repealed Canon, no re-enactment thereby</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report, Annual, Ministers to make to Bishop</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary Bishops to make, to Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report, Diocesan to National Council</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Form—National Council approve</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repulsion from the Holy Communion</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resignation of a Bishop</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resignation of a Bishop</td>
<td>115, 116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rector not to resign without consent of vestry</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiring allowance</td>
<td>12, 25, 107, 115, 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrocession of territorial jurisdiction</td>
<td>xii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review, Court of</td>
<td>xiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On trial of Bishops to be composed of Bishops</td>
<td>xiv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review, Court of, for the Trial of a Bishop</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of a Presbyter or Deacon</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Standard Version of the Bible authorized</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised Version of the Holy Scriptures authorized to be read in Church.</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubrics and Lessons in Book of Common Prayer, Amendments to Tables</td>
<td>xvi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules of Order House of Deputies</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacraments, when not to be refused</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretaries of Diocesan Convention, duties of</td>
<td>2, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of General Convention</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of General Convention, responsible for printing Journal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of House of Deputies to be elected as</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To receive notices of changes in Canons</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To receive notices of changes in Constitution</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<td>Secretary of House of Bishops</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of inactive clergy in charge of</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be notified of certain depositions</td>
<td>174, 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of House of Deputies</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 5, 20, 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment in case of vacancy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entitled to seat but no vote</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testimonials of deputies and diocesan journals to</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To keep minutes</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminaries—Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders and Member-</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duties</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Committee</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To report to Commission</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sentence of admonition, suspension, deposition or degradation, to</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>be pronounced by Bishop</strong></td>
<td>xv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop pronounce lesser sentence</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition from Ministry</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case trial right is waived</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice in writing</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to be given</td>
<td>173, 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity given accused</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice given</td>
<td>174, 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronounced by Bishop</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronounced in presence of Presbyters</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renunciation of Ministry</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms and time of suspension specified</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time and place</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentences, Remission or modification of judicial</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proviso</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approval of Ecclesiastical Authority if residing out of Diocese</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop give notice of remission</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent of Standing Committee</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case of abandonment of Communion of Church</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit proposed action to five Bishops</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service, Authorization of Special Forms of</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For worshipping in foreign language</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solemnization of Matrimony</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Forms of Worship</strong></td>
<td>xvi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Meetings of General Convention</strong></td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputies thereto</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How called</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Bible</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Book of Common Prayer, See also Prayer Book</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodian of</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Committee, Council of Advice of Missionary District has same</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>power as</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecclesiastical authority in case of absence of Bishop</td>
<td>34, 114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disability of Bishop................................. 34
Majority of quorum to act .......................... 34
Meetings ............................................. 34
Quorum .............................................. 34
Requirements as to signature of, to certificate of ordination ................. 75
To act for Bishop when acting as Ecclesiastical Authority .................... 56
To approve any encumbrance of real property .......................... 23, 53
To approve modification of judicial sentences .......................... 176
To approve revision of judicial sentences .......................... 176
To recommend candidates for ordination to Diaconate ......................... 77
Papers of candidates laid before ................................ 77
To recommend Deacons to be ordained Priest .......................... 84
Papers of candidates laid before ................................ 84
When clerical members of, to act .................................. 63

**Standing Committee, Ecclesiastical Authority of Diocese when no Bishop in charge** ix

In every diocese ....................................... ix

Rights and duties prescribed by Canons of diocese ................................ ix

To be Bishop’s Council of Advice ................................ ix

**Standing Liturgical Commission—See Liturgical Commission.**

**State of the Church, Annual reports to Bishop**.................. 20

Information included .................................. 20

Diocesan Journals to Secretary of House of Deputies ....................... 20

Mode of securing accurate view of ................................ 20

Reports on ............................................. 21

**Statistics, Sent to Recorder**.................................................. 0

**Suffragan Bishop, Election of** ........................................... vii

May be placed in charge on death of Bishop .................. viii

To have seat and vote in House of Bishops ................... iii

**Suffragan Bishop, Act as Assistant to Bishop** ...................... 106

Cannot be rector of a parish ..................................... 109

Concurrence of General Convention ................................ 106

Consent of Bishops and Standing Committees .......................... 106

Consent of General Convention or Bishops and Standing Committees necessary ........ 106

Election during recess ..................................... 106

Election of .......................................... 105

Election of Missionary Bishop as ................................ 104

Eligibility as Bishop or Bishop Coadjutor .......................... 108

Alternative procedure ....................................... 108

Consent to validity of election .................................. 108

Eligibility of Missionary Bishop as ................................ 108

For Missionary District ...................................... 108

Limitation on exercise of Episcopal functions .......................... 108

Not more than two in one diocese .................................. 108

Resignation ............................................. 106, 107

Resignation required at age seventy-two years .......................... 106

House of Deputies to be notified .................................. 107

Presiding Bishop to communicate fact of acceptance ..................... 106

Presiding Bishop to notify other Bishops .......................... 106

Procedure in case of failure so to resign ................................ 107

Record to be made ........................................ 107
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Retiring allowance for such resigned Bishop.......................... 107
To be tendered to Presiding Bishop................................. 106
Tenure of office.............................................. 108
When may act on Ordination....................................... 74
Sunday, Due celebration of ........................................ 49
Lord's Day observed............................................... 49
Suspension, sentence of.......................................... xiv, xv
   Bishop to pronounce............................................. xv
   Specify terms, conditions and time.......................... xv
Suspension, Sentence must include terms, conditions and time... 173
Synods, Rights and privileges..................................... 29
Provincial.................................................................... 29
See also Provincial Synods.

Tables of Lessons and Rubrics in Book of Common Prayer, Amendments to........................................ xvi
Testimonial Letters—See Letters, Testimonial.
Theological Education, (Canon on Education for Holy Orders)...... 68
Joint Commission on, and membership................................ 68
   Duties.................................................................. 69
   Executive Committee............................................. 68
Membership of Joint Commission........................................ 68
Seminaries to report to Commission................................... 70
Seminary Standards.................................................... 70
Time of new Canons taking effect.................................... 179
Time when these Canons take effect................................... 179
Time within which presentment must be made......................... 143
Transfer of a Deacon.................................................. 131
Translations of the Bible................................................ 49
Treasurer of General Convention....................................... 8
   Authorized to borrow............................................. 9
   Bond..................................................................... 10
   Duties................................................................... 8
   Election of.......................................................... 8
   Entitled to seat but no vote..................................... 3
   May appoint an Assistant Treasurer......................... 10
   Bonding of........................................................... 10
   To submit budget.................................................... 10
Treasurers, Bonding of.................................................... 10, 22
Trial, Amenability, citation and attendance............................ 143
Case of Minister convicted of immorality in Civil Court............. 143
Consent required for presentment...................................... 142
Courts for Trial of a Bishop.......................................... 149
Courts of Review of Trial of Presbyter or Deacon..................... 145
Courts, their membership and procedure................................ 144
Diocesan Courts for trial of Presbyter or Deacon..................... 144
Duty to give evidence.................................................. 144
List of offenses.................................................................. 142
Minister absenting himself from diocese or abandoning work of
   Ministry, presented for........................................... 172
Mode of citation.......................................................... 144
Mode of serving other citations........................................ 144
Offenses for which Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon may be tried.... 142
Presentment of a Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon....................... 157
Time within which presentment must be made......................... 143
Trial Court, See also Trial of a Bishop.
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<tr>
<td>Accused to be heard</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeal in questions of doctrine, etc.</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Inquiry</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Advocate</td>
<td>148, 156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerks</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commissioner</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution of Court</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Bishops only</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court of Review of Trial of Presbyter or Deacon</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court for Trial of a Bishop</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross examination and proviso</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocesan Courts for trial of Presbyter or Deacon</td>
<td>144</td>
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<tr>
<td>Disqualification of member of Board</td>
<td>150, 151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of Boards of Inquiry</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of Court of Review</td>
<td>156</td>
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<tr>
<td>Jurisdiction</td>
<td>145, 149, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay Assessors</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Adviser</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership in Courts</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of selecting Judges</td>
<td>145, 149, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of taking deposition</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-concurrence of Court</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of appeal</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Court and Parties</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On what conditions deposition may be read</td>
<td>155</td>
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<tr>
<td>Organization of Court</td>
<td>148, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power to dispose of case</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power to summon witnesses</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presented for erroneous doctrine by any three Bishops</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Judge</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printed copy of record of</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quorum</td>
<td>148, 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record of proceedings</td>
<td>147, 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records to Ecclesiastical Authority of jurisdiction</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationships which disqualify a Judge</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Appeal</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay of proceedings in Trial Court</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time and place for hearing appeal</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcript of record sent to President of Court of Review</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-thirds of court necessary to pronounce judgment</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacancies</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What may come before Court</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
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Trial of a Bishop, Court of, to be composed of Bishops... xiv

Trial of a Bishop, Adjudged guilty, not to officiate... 163

Appeals to Court of Review on... 164

Bishops making presentment select Church Advocate... 156

Call to plead... 161

Contumacy... 161

Court for... 149, 160

Court may modify penalty... 163
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<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Court of review of</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court to dispose of case</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proviso</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision in writing and signed</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration of witnesses before testifying</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismissal of appeal</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceptions part of record</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilt be approved by two-thirds of all Bishops</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heard before sentence</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New trial</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-appearance</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice of appeal</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Court</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion on each charge or specification</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power to adjourn</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading presentment</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Record, how kept and attested</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of accused</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of appeal</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules of procedure</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence</td>
<td>164, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stay of proceedings in Trial Court</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summons of accused</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three months grace to appear</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time and place</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time for hearing</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcript laid before Court</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiver of appeal</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trial of a Deacon, Courts of review of                               | 145  |
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Vacancies, Filling of
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boards of Inquiry</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodian of Standard Book of Common Prayer</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deputies</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examining Chaplains</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Council members</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorder</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rector</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registrar</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary, House of Deputies</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Liturgical Commission</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasurer, General Convention</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial Courts</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Cures, Certificate to Ecclesiastical Authority</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Election of Rector</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filling of</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notice to Bishop</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant Dioceses</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestries, Agents and legal representatives of Parish</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parish</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power to encumber property restricted</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rector to preside</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations left to State or Diocesan Law</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestry of Parish, Requirements as to signature of, to certificate of Ordination</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestrymen</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit of Bishop to each Church every three years</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote, by Orders</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote, When majority of vote shall suffice</td>
<td>▼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wardens, See Churchwardens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woman's Auxiliary, members of, on National Council</td>
<td>14, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>120</td>
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<tr>
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<tr>
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<tr>
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