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THE PASTORAL LETTER

Issued by the House of Bishops, October 23, 1964

In the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost.

Brethren, at the Anglican Congress in Toronto in 1963, the Archbishop of Canterbury said, "A Church that lives to itself will die by itself," a vivid negative summary of the positive report, Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ. His words, loyal to the thought of Scripture, are the text of this Pastoral Letter.

Since the Church is an organism—the Body of Christ, with many members—any part of the Body, severed from the life-giving whole, will perish spiritually, then physically. Since our Lord said, "I am the Vine, and ye are the branches," we know what happens to a branch severed from the life-giving vine. Since the Holy Spirit is outgoing love, and sin is self-centeredness—a false isolation—living curved in on oneself; that which lives curved in on itself, will die by itself. Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ is, thus, a great, old, authoritative, necessarily general, policy principle for the Church, which the general Church and each unique diocese and congregation must apply to its particular circumstances. Against this background, from St. Louis, we speak under three main headings.

I

First, the Church, by its nature, is a worshipping body, living to God and not to itself. We are not self-contained, but a body open to God's Word in Scripture, singing his praises, confessing sins to him, and living by his Grace. This living to God is what makes us "a royal priesthood, a holy nation, a peculiar people." This is the source of our inspiration and renewal. If we have not joy, hope, and power from God; if we are not freed by him from earth's fears, hatreds, divisions, and hopelessness, how can we truly serve? If we are not put to rights by worship, how can God use us to put the world to rights?

We live, then, not to ourselves, but to Christ; praying that the Holy Spirit may give to us wisdom, unity, zeal, and love; praying that we may be what we are, a body living by God and for God, and never, never, a self-contained club, complacent in success, or filled with worldly despair over adversity. In the brave words of Bishop Lichtenberger, we are “joyful now,” serving God with “a quiet mind, a ready will, and a merry heart.” “With a joyful heart,” said he, “we live hopefully in the world.” Without God, we cannot please God; living to ourselves, we perish spiritually by ourselves.
Secondly, when we face God in worship, he faces us back to the world he created and loves. There are two conversions: one as we turn from self to God; the other as he turns us back to the world. The Creator has a will for his world, and poured forth his love for it in Christ, who taught that the second commandment is like the first. And so the Prayer Book prays in the world, for the world; it prays for the whole state of Christ's Church, for education, for justice, for government, for the home, for peace, for all sorts and conditions of men.

It is this turning to the world which saves Church life from being trivial; for, be it remembered, whenever we do not act in our communities as we pray, men come to believe that we are not related to life, that faith has no important consequences, and that the Church is not relevant to the world's joys and pain. Once again, "the Church that lives to itself, will die by itself."

The Church, as it lives for God and his world, must consider the new nature of the world, and read aright the signs of the times. Of the many marks of the new age, perhaps the most all-embracing is the massive fact of rapid change, leading us to say that an old person living today has seen more changes in man's daily life than occurred in all previous history. This means, not only that the Church, like all institutions, must change in order to preserve itself; but that it is called by God to change in order that it may serve.

If men can travel by jet to the ends of the earth, or within dioceses by super-highway, it is clear that, reading aright the signs of the times, God calls us to a new Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ. Let no man say that this curtails our freedom; for freedom, properly understood, is always social and responsible. The speed of communication of the modern world, then, liberates us to be truer members of the Church universal, to "bear one another's burdens," to die to old and false isolations, and to lose ourselves that we may find ourselves. The Church is not, by its nature, a series of parishes or dioceses—like marbles on a tray; it is, rather, a world body, brotherhood, fellowship, through which there moves a common divine life. Let us see, then, as a great opportunity, that the hand of God in modern history is pushing us together, and calling us to become what we are. To fail to adapt to new facts the life, forms, and structures of the Church at all levels (national, diocesan, and parochial) is a form of disobedience, a living to oneself, which receives an observable judgment.

All of us are sometimes numbed by the massiveness of the
world's problems and needs. In addition to racial tensions, the problems of urbanism, and the threat of nuclear war, we know that half the world goes to bed hungry. But God does not call his creatures to an impossible task; nor, since our circumstances vary, does he ask us primarily to "succeed." He asks of us, rather, loyalty in the station in which we are placed. God has placed us all in subdivisions, in platoons, of the one human race, and it is in these, and through these, that we are called to learn, grow, and act. Our own spiritual home, subdivision, platoon—call it what you will—is the great inclusive Anglican Communion. We are not disembodied creatures, vaguely looking at an impossible task; we are members of this branch of Christ's Church, with God calling us at our different levels to quite definite local responsibility, Anglican fellowship, and ever widening ecumenical encounter within the whole company of Christ's people.

III

Because theology should end in action, and great facts should appeal to the will, we ask all the dioceses and congregations of the Church, without exception, to do the following:

1. Since what we do with our money is significant as a symbol of what we are doing with our lives, join, as your circumstances dictate, in greater support in money and manpower, through old and new channels, to be developed by our Overseas Department and The Executive Council, in cooperation with other churches of the Anglican Communion. As we ask our people to be responsible stewards of their possessions, let the leaders of the local congregation, the diocese, and the general Church never for a moment forget they, too, are stewards responsible to God for the world mission of the whole body.

2. Let us study and evaluate the structure and organization of the Church at the level for which we are responsible, and test every activity at every level by the test of mission and service to others. Do our organizations and activities conform to the great purpose of the Church? Are our structures appropriate?

"The Church exists to witness, to obey, and to serve," said the leaders of our world Church; and that means that organizational structure must follow purpose. Every department of the national Church and diocese, every vestry and local organization, must ask itself whether its structure and activities show forth what is really important to God and men. Why, for example, if we have borrowed money for ourselves, do we never think of borrowing for others? And why, when a thousand dollars will build a church in Zululand, and eight thousand dollars double the salaries of twenty-
eight priests in Malawi, do we not wrestle with the problem of priorities as we spend so much on ourselves at every level of the Church's life?

3. Let us learn humbly the way to receive, as well as to give, when we enter into deep relationship with other cultures and other Churches. It is always a peculiar arrogance to believe that we do not need to receive; that, spiritually, we possess all we need. Surely, if others increase our vision, warm our hearts, and teach us the glory of Christ, that is receiving. If our values are straight, we know that fellowship in Christ is an end in itself, and that what we give materially from our relative abundance is a little thing compared to the fellowship, faith, and new meaning that can light our altars as we truly work and pray for the whole state of Christ's Church. "I long to see you," says St. Paul to the Church in Rome; "I want to bring you some spiritual gift to make you strong—rather, that we may be mutually encouraged by each other's faith, both yours and mine."

4. Let us develop every possible channel for communication with our distant brethren in the Anglican Communion, as well, of course, with our brethren in other parishes in our own diocese. We can do this generally through *The Anglican World*, *The Episcopal*, and other magazines, and through the guided and specific prayers of the booklet, *Make His Name Glorious*, published by The Forward Movement. We can write to the committee or department in our own diocese concerned with world mission, and ask for advice on a particular relationship we can build with far-off people.

Already this great movement has begun. Seventeen dioceses and 191 parishes have established companion relationships with missionaries and dioceses in other parts of our Communion. Sixty-five parishes are in a partnership program with parishes of the Philippine Independent Church. Twelve dioceses have established Departments of World Mission or Committees on Mutual Responsibility. Already the gifts of the United Thank Offering have gone to the ends of the earth. This letter requests that, without exception, we begin these new relationships, opening our hearts that new life may flow in.

It is true that a Church, living to itself, will die by itself. But it is also true that, if we live for others, we will be blessed in both obvious and subtle ways by the Servant Lord of the Church.

*Stir up, we beseech thee, O Lord, the wills of thy faithful people; that they, plenteously bringing forth the fruit of good works, may by thee be plenteously rewarded; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.*
I. Deicide and the Jews

The poison of anti-Semitism has causes of a political, national, psychological, social, and economic nature. It has often sought religious justification in the events springing from the crucifixion of Jesus. Anti-Semitism is a direct contradiction of Christian doctrine. Jesus was a Jew, and, since the Christian Church is rooted in Israel, spiritually we are Semites.

The charge of deicide against the Jews is a tragic misunderstanding of the inner significance of the crucifixion. To be sure, Jesus was crucified by some Roman soldiers at the instigation of some Jews. But this cannot be construed as imputing corporate guilt to every Jew in Jesus' day; much less, the Jewish people in subsequent generations. Simple justice alone proclaims the charge of a corporate and inherited curse of the Jewish people to be false.

Furthermore, in the dimension of faith the Christian understands that all men are guilty of the death of Christ, for all have in some manner denied him; and, since the sins that crucified Christ were common human sins, the Christian knows that he himself is guilty. But he rejoices in the words and spirit of his Lord who said, for the Roman soldiers and for all responsible for his crucifixion, "Father, forgive them, for they know not what they do."

While the Christian Church, by its nature, proclaims that Christ is the world's Lord and Savior, and that he works through the Church that all men may be won to him; it proclaims, as his Body, that every man is to be approached in love, with a recognition of a common need of forgiveness, and rejoicing in truth wherever it appears.

II. Poverty

On every side, the hand of God as Creator and Lord of history is evident in the explosion of knowledge and technical proficiency which are marks of our society. We stand in awe at the abundance which God has showered upon his created order.

Frightening New Poverty

Nonetheless, there is still widespread poverty in the world; and in the United States there is a frightening new poverty in the midst of
affluence. Since we possess the knowledge and the resources to eliminate such deprivation, this has become a moral issue to be faced, not only in the lives of Christians, but in the corporate behavior of the Church. We bear responsibility in obedience to the biblical injunction to “love thy neighbor as thyself”. The war against poverty is better seen by Christians as a war for the poor. It is a call to compassion, confrontation, and common action. The special emphasis of the Church should be on that continuing deprivation that endangers the soul as well as starves the body.

Complex Causes

There are critical questions concerning poverty, in terms of complex causes and continuing conditions that have left many without hope for themselves or their children, without power to change their situation. Too many in our society still believe that the poor are somehow unworthy. Certainly, there must always be personal initiative and responsibility; but it also should be understood that the rapid expansion of technology and the consequent premium placed upon skills and education has created a situation in which many of limited ability are condemned to live outside the mainstream of our economic life. The solution to this human problem is not to be found simply in the expansion of relief rolls but in an attack upon causes.

Church’s Special Gift

Our present perceptions concerning God’s poor need to be sharpened. Churches and Christians can do much to promote understanding of the facts; to participate in securing necessary action; to share in organized community projects that, hopefully, would help to change conditions causing poverty. Moral and spiritual guidance is the special gift of the Church. So the energies and the abilities of our brothers presently economically disadvantaged would be joined to our own, that all of God’s children might have opportunity to achieve the dignity of abundant life.

III. On Christian Obedience

Christian teaching holds that civil authority is given by God to provide order in human society, and that just human law is a reflection of immutable divine law which man did not devise. Under all normal circumstances, therefore, Christians obey the civil law, seeing in it the will of God. Yet it must be recognized that laws exist which deny these eternal and immutable laws. In such circumstances, the Church and its members, faithful to Scripture, reserve the right to obey God rather than man.
Thus, the Church recognizes the right of any persons to urge the repeal of unjust laws by all lawful means, including participation in peaceful demonstrations. If and when the means of legal recourse have been exhausted, or are demonstrably inadequate, the Church recognizes the right of all persons, for reasons of informed conscience, to disobey such laws, so long as such persons

a) accept the legal penalty for their action,

b) carry out their protest in a non-violent manner, and

c) exercise severe restraint in using this privilege of conscience, because of the danger of lawlessness attendant thereon.

Before Christians participate in such actions, they should seek the will of God in prayer and the counsel of their fellow Christians.

IV. Proclamation of the Faith

Whereas recent theological discussion has raised certain questions of good order in the Church, we affirm that the issue before us is not any specific doctrine. It is primarily the way in which the Christian Faith (which is greater than any of us and into which we as individuals grow) is presented to the world.

As Bishops, we are obligated by oath to hold and proclaim that Faith. This House does not have the means of evaluating in detail the manner of each Bishop's or Priest's interpretation of the Faith. Nor do we deny—indeed we affirm—the importance of relating the Christian Faith to the growth of human thought and knowledge, and the part that individuals play in this process.

However, this House is concerned that in the public presentation of the Faith, no Bishop or Priest, either in what he says or in the manner in which he says it, denies the Catholic Faith, or implies that the Church does not mean the truth which it expresses in its worship. For us, the criterion of what constitutes the Christian Faith is the corporate consciousness of the Body guided by the Spirit down the ages, in preference to the necessarily limited views of any man or generation living or dead.
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HOUSE OF BISHOPS

SESSION OF 1964

OCTOBER 12, 1964
(Not present at this Session)

THE RIGHT REVEREND

*Bennett, Granville Gaylord, D.D., LL.D., L.H.D., Bishop
*Strider, Robert E. L., D.D., Bishop
*Sterrett, Frank William, D.D., LL.D., Bishop
*Cross, Edward M., S.T.D., Bishop
Juhan, Frank Alexander, D.D., Bishop
*Gilman, Alfred Alonzo, D.D., Bishop
Sturtevant, Harwood, D.D., D.C.L., Bishop
Hobson, Henry Wise, D.D., LL.D., Bishop
Gooden, Robert Burton, D.D., Bishop
*Sherrill, Henry Knox, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D., D.C.L., Th.D.,
Litt. D., Bishop
Goodwin, Frederick Deane, D.D., LL.D., Bishop
Bentley, John Boyd, D.D., S.T.D., L.H.D., Vice-President of the
Executive Council
*Salinas y Velasco, Efrain, D.D., Bishop
*Washburn, Benjamin Martin, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop
*Clingman, Charles, D.D., L.H.D., Bishop
Whittemore, Lewis Bliss, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop
*Ziegler, Wilfred Hamlin, D.D., Bishop
Fenner, Goodrich Robert, S.T.D., Bishop
*Roberts, William Payne, D.D., Bishop
*Brown, William Ambrose, D.D., LL.D., Bishop
Carpenter, Charles C. J., D.D., LL.D., Bishop of Alabama
*McKinstry, Arthur Raymond, D.D., LL.D., Bishop
Blankingship, Alexander Hugo, D.D., Bishop
*Gravatt, John James, D.D., Bishop
*Brinker, Howard Rasmus, D.D., Bishop
*Pithan, Athalicio T., D.D., Bishop
*Craighill, Lloyd Rutherford, D.D., Bishop
*Loring, Oliver Leland, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Maine
Powell, Noble Cilley, D.D., Bishop
*Stoney, James Moss, D.D., Bishop
*Mason, Wiley Ross, D.D., Bishop
Hart, Oliver James, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D., D.C.L., Bishop
Page, Herman Riddle, D.D., Bishop
*Gray, Duncan Montgomery, D.D., Bishop of Mississippi
Jones, Everett Holland, D.D., Bishop of West Texas
Voegeli, C. Alfred, S.T.D., LL.D., Bishop of Haiti
Walters, Sumner F. D., S.T.D., Bishop of San Joaquin
Pardue, Austin, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Pittsburgh
Dun, Angus, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop
Horsttick, William Wallace, D.D., Bishop of Eau Claire
Mallett, Reginald, S.T.D., Bishop
Harris, Bravid Washington, D.D., Bishop
Gesner, Conrad Herbert, S.T.D., Bishop of South Dakota
Gooden, R. Heber, S.T.D., Bishop of The Panama Canal Zone
Louttit, Henry Irving, D.D., Bishop of South Florida
*Mason, C. Avery, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Dallas
Wright, Thomas Henry, D.D., Bishop of East Carolina
Hines, John Elbridge, D.D., Bishop of Texas
Moody, William Robert, D.D., Bishop of Lexington
*Sawyer, Harold Everett, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop
Barton, Lane Wickham, D.D., Bishop of Eastern Oregon
Quarterman, George Henry, S.T.D., Bishop of Northwest Texas
*Bowen, Harold Linwood, D.D., Bishop
Gunn, George Purnell, D.D., Bishop of Southern Virginia
Hall, Charles Francis, D.D., LL.D., Bishop of New Hampshire
*Melcher, Louis Chester, D.D., Bishop
Hunter, J. Wilson, D.D., Bishop of Wyoming
Gordon, William Jones, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Alaska
Hubbard, Russell Sturgis, D.D., Bishop of Spokane
Henry, M. George, D.D., Bishop of Western North Carolina
West, E. Hamilton, D.D., Bishop of Florida
Sherman, Jonathan Goodhue, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Long Island
Campbell, Donald James, D.D., Bishop
Jones, Girault McArthur, D.D., Bishop of Louisiana
Claiborne, Randolph Royall, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Atlanta
Gibson, Robert Fisher, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Virginia
Street, Charles Larrabee, S.T.D., Ph.D., Bishop
Miller, Allen Jerome, D.D., Ph.D., Bishop of Easton
Krischke, Egmont Machado, D.D., Bishop of Southern Brazil
Welles, Edward Randolph, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of West Missouri
Smith, Gordon V., S.T.D., Bishop of Iowa
Hatch, Robert McConnell, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Western Massachusetts
Watson, Richard Simpson, D.D., Bishop of Utah
Swift, A. Ervine, S.T.D., Bishop of Puerto Rico
Richards, David Emrys, S.T.D., Bishop of Central America
Powell, Chilton, D.D., Bishop of Oklahoma
Hallock, Donald Hathaway Valentine, D.D., Bishop of Milwaukee
Crittenden, William, D.D., Bishop of Erie
Noland, Iveson Batchelor, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana
Ogilby, Lyman Cunningham, D.D., Bishop of the Philippines
Higgins, John Seville, D.D., LL.D., Bishop of Rhode Island
Warnecke, Frederick John, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Bethlehem
Stark, Leland, D.D., Bishop of Newark
Murray, George Mosley, D.D., LL.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama
*McNeil, Dudley Barr, D.D., Bishop
Thomas, William S., D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Pittsburgh
Kinsolving, Charles James III, D.D., Bishop of New Mexico and Southwest Texas
Marmion, C. Gresham, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Kentucky
Marmion, William Henry, D.D., Bishop of Southwestern Virginia
Minnis, Joseph Summerville, D.D., Bishop of Colorado
Crowley, Archie Henry, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Michigan
Stuart, Albert Rhett, D.D., Bishop of Georgia
Stokes, Anson Phelps, Jr., D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Massachusetts
Vander Horst, John, D.D., Bishop of Tennessee
Doll, Harry Lee, D.D., Bishop of Maryland
Dicus, R. Earl, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of West Texas
Brown, Robert Raymond, D.D., Bishop of Arkansas
Lewis, Arnold Meredith, D.D., Bishop of Western Kansas
Carman, James W. F., D.D., Bishop of Oregon
Honaman, Earl Miller, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg
Simões, Plinio Lauer, D.D., S.T.D., Bishop of Southwestern Brazil
Turner, Edward Clark, D.D., Bishop of Kansas
Sterling, Chandler Winfield, D.D., Bishop of Montana
Lawrence, Frederic Cunningham, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of
Massachusetts
Foote, Norman Landon, S.T.D., Bishop of Idaho
Craine, John Pares, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis
Haden, Clarence Rupert, Jr., D.D., Bishop of Northern California
Saucedo, José Guadalupe, D.D., Bishop of Mexico
McNairy, Philip Frederick, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota
Esquirol, John Henry, D.D., S.T.D., J.D., Suffragan Bishop of
Connecticut
Corrigan, Daniel, D.D., Director of the Home Department of the
Executive Council
Hum. D., Bishop of California
Rose, David Shepherd, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Southern
Virginia
Blanchard, Roger Wilson, D.D., Bishop of Southern Ohio
Sherrill, Edmund Knox, Bishop of Central Brazil
Brown, Allen Webster, D.D., Bishop of Albany
Cabanban, Benito Cabanban, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of the
Philippines
Cadigan, George Leslie, D.D., Bishop of Missouri
Creighton, William Forman, D.D., Bishop of Washington
Millard, G. Richard, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of California
Bennison, Charles Ellsworth, D.D., Bishop of Western Michigan
Kellogg, Paul Axtell, S.T.D., Bishop of the Dominican Republic
Wetmore, J. Stuart, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of New York
Curtis, Ivol Ira, D.D., Bishop of Olympia
Chilton, Samuel Blackwell, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Virginia
Fraser, Thomas Augustus, Jr., D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina
De Witt, Robert Lionne, D.D., Bishop of Pennsylvania
Thayer, Edwin Burton, Suffragan Bishop of Colorado
Temple, Gray, D.D., Bishop of South Carolina
Butterfield, Harvey Dean, S.T.D., Bishop of Vermont
Rauscher, Russell Theodore, D.D., Bishop of Nebraska
Gilson, Charles Packard, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu
Gonzalez-Agüeros, Romualdo, D.D., Bishop of Cuba
Brown, Dillard Houston, Jr., S.T.D., Bishop of Liberia
Allin, John Maury, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi
Duncan, James Loughlin, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of South Florida
Hargrave, William Loftin, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of South Florida
MacLean, Charles Waldo, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Long Island
Sanders, William Evans, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee
Chambers, Albert Arthur, S.T.D., Bishop of Springfield
Burgess, John Melville, Hum.D., Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts
Loñígid, Edward Gaudan, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines
Persell, Charles Bowen, Jr., S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Albany
Mills, Cedric Earl, D.D., Bishop of the Virgin Islands
Barrett, George West, D.D., Bishop of Rochester
Putnam, Frederick Warren, Jr., D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma
Klein, Walter Conrad, S.T.D., Ph.D., Bishop of Northern Indiana
Pinckney, John Adams, Bishop of Upper South Carolina
Moore, Paul, Jr., S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Washington
Romero, Leonardo Rivera, Suffragan Bishop of Mexico
Saucedo, Melchor, Suffragan Bishop of Mexico
Rath, George Edward, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Newark
Cole, Ned, Jr., D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York
Reed, David Benson, Bishop of Colombia and Bishop-in-charge
of Ecuador
Bailey, Scott Field, Suffragan Bishop of Texas
Myers, Chauncie Kilmer, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Michigan
Rusack, Robert Claflin, Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles
Selway, George Rhys, D.D., Bishop of Northern Michigan

(Total number 197, including 14 Overseas Missionary Bishops, 4 Overseas Suffragans, 3 Bishops in offices created by the General Convention, 1 Bishop acting as Anglican Executive Officer, 48 retired Bishops, and 3 resigned Bishops without vote. Quorum in the House, 98; Constitutional Majority, 82.)
This being the day and place designated for the meeting of the 61st General Convention, the Holy Communion was celebrated in Christ Church Cathedral at the hours of 6:30 a.m. (by the Bishop of South Florida, assisted by the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, the Rev. Canon Allen Zacker, and the Very Rev. William H. Mead), 7:45 a.m. (by the Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops, assisted by the Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York, the Secretary of the House of Deputies, and the Rev. Howard Park), and 9:00 a.m. (by the Bishop of Missouri, assisted by the Bishop of South Dakota, the Very Rev. William H. Mead, and the Secretary of the House of Bishops).

The Bishops had previously participated in the Opening Service of the General Convention held at 8:00 p.m. on Sunday, October 11, in Kiel Auditorium, at which time the Bishop of Ohio officiated at Evening Prayer. The Secretary of the House of Bishops read the First Lesson and the Secretary of the House of Deputies read the Second Lesson. The address of the Presiding Bishop was read by his deputy, the Rt. Rev. Ned Cole, Jr., Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York.

The House met for business in Kiel Auditorium at 10:30 a.m., with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair. The opening devotions were conducted by the Bishop of Northern Michigan.

The Bishop of South Florida, Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, nominated the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger of the Diocese of Newark to be Secretary of the House. The Rev. Mr. Rodger was elected and he thereupon nominated the Rev. Albert O. Judd and the Rev. Leon H. Plante as Assistant Secretaries. These nominations were confirmed by the House.

The roll was called by the Secretary and showed that 155 Bishops, being more than a quorum, were present.
On motion, the reading of the Minutes of the last meeting of the House of Bishops was dispensed with.

The Secretary read the list of Bishops whose status had been changed since the last meeting of the House of Bishops.


The Secretary read the list of Bishops recently consecrated and not yet presented to the House. They were presented as follows:

The Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, the Rt. Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñgíd, presented by the Bishop of the Philippines and the Bishop of Massachusetts.


The Suffragan Bishop of Mexico, the Rt. Rev. Leonardo Romero, presented by the Bishop of Virginia and the Bishop of Arkansas.

The Suffragan Bishop of Mexico, the Rt. Rev. Melchor Saucedo, presented by the Bishop of Arizona and the Bishop Coadjutor of Southern Virginia.


The Bishop of Colombia, the Rt. Rev. David Benson Reed, presented by the Bishop of South Dakota and the Vice-President of the National Council.
The Suffragan Bishop of Texas, the Rt. Rev. Scott Field Bailey, presented by the Bishop of Arkansas and the Bishop of West Texas.


The Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, the Rt. Rev. Robert Claflin Rusack, presented by the Bishop of San Joaquin and the Suffragan Bishop of California.


The Chair welcomed the newly consecrated bishops on behalf of all the members of the House.

The Necrology was read by the Secretary, to-wit:


The House stood while the Presiding Bishop offered prayers for the above-mentioned former members of the House.

The Secretary read memorials, prepared by Committees appointed by the Presiding Bishop, to the families of the deceased members of the House, as follows:

To Bishop Huston, by a Committee composed of Edward M. Cross, Bishop; William F. Lewis, Bishop of Olympia; and Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., Anglican Executive Officer, Chairman.

To Bishop Remington, by a Committee composed of Edward M. Cross, Bishop; Lane W. Barton, Bishop of Eastern Oregon; and Oliver J. Hart, Bishop, Chairman.
To Bishop Emery, by a Committee composed of Daniel Corrigan, Director of the Home Department; Conrad H. Gesner, Bishop of South Dakota; and Philip F. McNairy, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota, Chairman.

To Bishop Nichols, by a Committee composed of Goodrich H. Fenner, Bishop; Leland Stark, Bishop of Newark; and Arnold M. Lewis, Bishop of Western Kansas, Chairman.

To Bishop Armstrong, by a Committee composed of Lauriston L. Scaife, Bishop of Western New York; J. Brooke Mosley, Bishop of Delaware; and Horace W. B. Donegan, Bishop of New York, Chairman.

To Bishop Roberts, by a Committee composed of Hamilton H. Kellogg, Bishop of Minnesota; Gordon V. Smith, Bishop of Iowa; and Conrad H. Gesner, Bishop of South Dakota, Chairman.

To Arthur B. Kinsolving, 2nd, by a Committee composed of Frederick D. Goodwin, Bishop; Austin Pardue, Bishop of Pittsburgh; and Beverley D. Tucker, Bishop, Chairman.

To Bishop Lewis, by a Committee composed of Lane W. Barron Bishop of Eastern Oregon; Russell S. Hubbard, Bishop of Spokane; and Harvey D. Butterfield, Bishop of Vermont, Chairman.

The Bishop of Milwaukee nominated the Bishop of Ohio for Vice-Chairman of the House. The Bishop of Ohio was elected.

The Secretary was instructed to notify the House of Deputies that the House of Bishops was organized and ready for business. (Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 1)

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 1 bringing greetings from that House and informing this House that they are organized and ready for business, with the election of Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., as President, and the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., as Secretary.

The Bishop of Virginia introduced the Bishop of the Spanish Reformed Church, the Rt. Rev. Santos M. Molina, who addressed the House briefly.

The Bishop of Bethlehem presented the Bishop Suffragan of Selby, England, the Rt. Rev. Douglas N. Sargent, who addressed the House briefly.

The Bishop of South Florida moved that seats in the House be granted to these guests. The motion was carried. (See Topical Arrangement—"Lichtenberger, Rt. Rev. Arthur—Resignation.")

The Presiding Bishop directed the Secretary to read the list of appointments to various committees, to-wit:
### Dispatch of Business
- Bishop Louttit, *Chairman*
- Bishop Bloy
- Bishop Smith
- Bishop Chilton, *Secretary*
- Bishop Thayer
- Bishop Gilson
- Bishop Longid
- Bishop Mills

### Domestic Missions
- Bishop Gesner, *Chairman*
- Bishop Wright (Thomas H.)
- Bishop Quarterman, *Secretary*
- Bishop Claiborne
- Bishop Kellogg (Hamilton)
- Bishop Murray
- Bishop Wright (William G.)
- Bishop Hargrave

### Rules of Order
- Bishop Horstick, *Chairman*
- Bishop Campbell (Wilburn C.)
- Bishop Baker
- Bishop Powell (Chilton)
- Bishop Thomas (William)
- Bishop Kinsolving, *Secretary*
- Bishop Swift
- Bishop Mills

### Overseas Missions
- Bishop Stokes, *Chairman*
- Bishop Donegan
- Bishop Gibson
- Bishop Richards
- Bishop Sherrill (Edmund K.)
- Bishop Curtis
- Bishop Allin, *Secretary*
- Bishop Hutchens

### Constitution
- Bishop Carpenter, *Chairman*
- Bishop Jones (Girault)
- Bishop Miller
- Bishop Crittenden
- Bishop Carman
- Bishop Turner
- Bishop McNairy
- Bishop Esquirol, *Secretary*

### Town and Country Work
- Bishop Moody, *Chairman*
- Bishop Lewis
- Bishop Sterling, *Secretary*
- Bishop Saucedo (José)
- Bishop Temple
- Bishop McCrea
- Bishop Reed
- Bishop Bailey

### Canons
- Bishop West, *Chairman*
- Bishop Burroughs, *Vice-Chairman*
- Bishop Hatch
- Bishop Minnis
- Bishop Craine
- Bishop Pike
- Bishop Creighton
- Bishop Kellogg (Paul), *Secretary*

### Book of Common Prayer
- Bishop Gray (Walter), *Chairman*
- Bishop Pardue
- Bishop Noland, *Secretary*
- Bishop Higgins
- Bishop Marmion (William H.)
- Bishop Rauscher
- Bishop Montgomery
- Bishop Putnam

### Memorials and Petitions
- Bishop Stark (Leland), *Chairman*
- Bishop Heistand
- Bishop Banyard
- Bishop Haden
- Bishop Rose, *Secretary*
- Bishop Cadigan
- Bishop Burgess
- Bishop Cole

### Christian Education
- Bishop Watson, *Chairman*
- Bishop Kennedy
- Bishop Marmion (C. Gresham)
- Bishop Lawrence (Frederic), *Secretary*
- Bishop Blanchard
- Bishop Wetmore
- Bishop Purcell
- Bishop Rath
Consecration of Bishops
  Bishop Gunn, Chairman
  Bishop Gray (Duncan H.)
  Bishop Gordon
  Bishop Burrill
  Bishop Lickfield, Secretary
  Bishop Millard
  Bishop Klein
  Bishop Simões

Admission of New Dioceses
  Bishop Henry
  Bishop Walters, Chairman
  Bishop Higley
  Bishop Dicus, Secretary
  Bishop Brown (Allen)
  Bishop Cabanban
  Bishop Gonzales
  Bishop Duncan

General Theological Seminary
  Bishop Scaife, Chairman
  Bishop DeWolfe
  Bishop Boynton
  Bishop Sherman
  Bishop Welles
  Bishop Foote, Secretary
  Bishop MacLean
  Bishop Chambers

Resignation of Bishops
  Bishop Crowley, Chairman
  Bishop Loring
  Bishop Mason (C. Avery)
  Bishop Vander Horst
  Bishop Goddard, Secretary
  Bishop Honaman
  Bishop Pinckney
  Bishop Saucedo (Melchor)

Social and International Affairs
  Bishop Warnecke, Chairman
  Bishop Barton
  Bishop Mosley
  Bishop Harte
  Bishop Brown (Robert R.)
  Bishop Moore
  Bishop Myers, Secretary
  Bishop Selway

Unfinished Business
  Bishop Voegeli
  Bishop Gooden (R. Heber), Chairman
  Bishop Hunter, Secretary
  Bishop Krischke
  Bishop Hubbard
  Bishop Bennison
  Bishop Brown (Dillard H.)
  Bishop Romero

Ecumenical Relations
  Bishop Jones (E. H.), Chairman
  Bishop Hallock
  Bishop Ogilby
  Bishop Brady
  Bishop Fraser, Secretary
  Bishop DeWitt
  Bishop Hall
  Bishop Barrett

Religious Communities
  Bishop Stuart, Chairman
  Bishop Donegan
  Bishop Hallock, Secretary
  Bishop Corrigan
  Bishop Vander Horst

SPECIAL COMMITTEES — HOUSE OF BISHOPS

NOTE: (First-named Member is Convener)

The Pastoral
  Bishop Emrich, Chairman
  Bishop Louttit
  Bishop Hines
  Bishop Bayne
  Bishop Warnecke
  Bishop Doll
  Bishop Butterfield

Advisory Committee to the House of Bishops
  Presidents of Provinces
  Bishop Gray
  Bishop Donegan
  Bishop Crittenden
  Bishop Henry
  Bishop Craine
  Bishop Gesner (Acting)
  Bishop Quarterman
  Bishop Hubbard
Advisory Committee on Deaconesses
Bishop Chambers, *Chairman*
Bishop Street, *Secretary*
Bishop Rose
Bishop Allin
Bishop Hargrave

Committee on the Brotherhood of St. Andrew
Bishop Campbell (Wilburn C.), *Chairman*
Bishop Gray (Walter H.)
Bishop Mason (C. Avery)
Bishop Wright (Thomas H.)
Bishop Emrich
Bishop Burrill
Bishop Honaman

Committee on Counsel to the Clergy
Bishop Fraser, *Chairman*
Bishop Sherman
Bishop Swift
Bishop Richards
Bishop Craine
Bishop Pike
Bishop Moore
Bishop Rusack

Committee on the Healing Ministry
Bishop Campbell (Wilburn C.)
Bishop Stuart
Bishop Honaman

Committee on the Interim Meeting
Bishop Quarterman, *Chairman*
Bishop Walters
Bishop Hatch, *Secretary*
Bishop Sterling

Committee of Nine
Bishop Pardue, *Chairman*
Bishop Gray (Walter H.)
Bishop Horstick
Bishop Hines
Bishop Bayne
Bishop Gibson
Bishop Brady
Bishop Murray
Bishop Blanchard

Committee to Nominate Suffragan Bishop for Armed Forces
Bishop Louttit, *Chairman*
Bishop Hallock
Bishop Kellogg (Hamilton H.)

Committee to Nominate a Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops
Bishop Hallock, *Chairman*
Bishop Hall
Bishop Smith

Committee on the Pentecostal Movement in the Episcopal Church
Bishop Sterling
Bishop Gordon
Bishop Hubbard
Bishop Welles
Bishop Burrill

Committee on Mutual Responsibility
Bishop Kennedy
Bishop Mosley, *Chairman*
Bishop Blanchard, *Secretary*
Bishop Baker
Bishop Scalf

The Chair also appointed the following committees:

*Court for the Trial of a Bishop, Committee to Nominate*
Bishop of Rhode Island
Bishop of Texas
Bishop of Louisiana

*Committee on Appreciation to Diocese of Missouri*
Bishop of Iowa
Bishop of Arkansas
Bishop of Quincy
See also Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

General Convention—Place of Next
Executive Council—Members

On invitation of the Chair, the Rev. W. Murray Kenney, Rector of St. Mark's, St. Louis, Missouri, on behalf of the Joint Committee on Ecumenical Relations of the Diocese of Missouri, invited members of the House to participate in a visit on Wednesday afternoon to seminaries of the Roman Catholic Church, the Lutheran Church, and the Church of Christ, located in the city, and to engage in discussions with members of the respective religious bodies.

The Secretary announced the receipt of letters of regret from Bishops unable to attend this meeting, as follows:

Wiley R. Mason
Charles Clingman
Robert Erskine Campbell
Lawrence W. Appleton
Louis C. Melcher
Beverly D. Tucker
Dudley S. Stark
The Bishop of Mississippi
Henry Knox Sherill
The Bishop of Dallas

The Bishop of South Florida, Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, moved that the printed order of business be followed. This motion was carried. Noon-day prayers were led by the Bishop of South Florida.

The Bishop of South Florida offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops, voting in accordance with Article II., Section 7, of the Constitution of this Church, proceed to implement said Article, which provides for a Suffragan Bishop of the Armed Forces, by nominating and electing at this meeting some fit person to serve under the direction of the Presiding Bishop in said office.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Washington presented the Report of the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, with its attached Resolutions. This was referred to the Committee on Constitution.

The House then recessed to re-convene at two p.m.
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The House re-convened at 2:15 p.m. The Secretary read, by title, various Reports of Joint Committees and Commissions. The Reports were referred to Committees as follows:

Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts—Memorials and Petitions; Resolution 2—Committees and Commissions.

Joint Commission on Co-operation with Eastern and Old Catholic Churches—Ecumenical Relations; Resolutions (a) to (e)—Committees and Commissions; Resolution (f)—Expenses; Resolution (g)—Program and Budget.

Forward Movement Publications—Christian Education.

Trustees of the General Theological Seminary—General Theological Seminary.

Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing—Healing Ministry; Resolution 2—Expenses.

Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders—Memorials and Petitions; Resolutions 3 to 6—Canons; Resolution 7—Expenses.

Standing Liturgical Commission—Book of Common Prayer; Resolution 6—Expenses.


Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts—Domestic Missions and Overseas Missions; Recommendations I, II, and IV—Constitution; Recommendation IV—Canons; Recommendation VII—Committees and Commissions.

Joint Commission on Church Music—Book of Common Prayer; Resolution 1—Committees and Commissions; Resolutions 2 and 3—Program and Budget; Resolution 4—Memorials and Petitions; Resolution 6—Expenses.

Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity—Ecumenical Relations; Resolution 2—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 3—Expenses.

Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Women Church Workers—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 2—Expenses; Resolution 4—Canons.


The Secretary then proceeded to present, by title, those Memorials, Petitions, and Resolutions, which had been received prior to the opening of the General Convention. The matters were referred by the Chair to the appropriate Committees, as follows:

Diocese of Chicago—Scholarship aid for seminaries—Memorials and Petitions.

National Council—American Bible Society—Memorials and Petitions.


Diocese of Pennsylvania—Retirement of the Presiding Bishop—Resignation of Bishops.

Diocese of Quincy—Constitution, Article V., Cession of territory—Constitution (with identical Resolutions from the Dioceses of Chicago and Springfield).

Dioceses of Michigan and Northern Indiana—Bishop for the Armed Forces—Consecration of Bishops.

Diocese of Washington—Study of Episcopal assistance—Committees and Commissions.

National Council—Early resignation of Missionary Bishops for involuntary reasons—Canons.

The Presiding Bishop—Amend Constitution, Article I., re vacancy in office of Presiding Bishop—Constitution.

The Bishop of Bethlehem—Translation of Bishops—Constitution and Canons.

Diocese of Northern Indiana—Uniform English Version of the Bible—Memorials and Petitions.

Diocese of California—Canon 20, New English Bible—Canons and Book of Common Prayer.


Dioceses of Delaware and Western North Carolina—Lay administration of the Chalice—Canons.

Diocese of Massachusetts—Joint Commission to study the Marriage Canons—Committees and Commissions.

National Council—Amend Canon 34, re Perpetual Deacons—Canons.

Province of the Northwest—Amend Canon 8, Sec. 7—Canons.

Province of the Northwest—Amend Article I., Sec. 4, of the Constitution—Constitution.

Diocese of Nebraska—Admission of Missionary Districts to diocesan status—Constitution and Canons.


Diocese of Alabama—Pronouncements of the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

Diocese of Alabama—Criteria for Episcopal delegates to the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

Diocese of Mississippi—Policies and pronouncements of the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.
Diocese of Harrisburg—Denial of the Chalice to inmates of Federal prisons—Social and International Affairs.

Diocese of South Carolina—Pronouncements by leaders of the Church—Social and International Affairs.

Diocese of Iowa—Church-wide policy of non-discrimination—Social and International Affairs.

Diocese of Louisiana—Actions of Church officials in racial situations—Social and International Affairs.

Joint Council of the Philippine Episcopal and Philippine Independent Churches—Re-organization of the Joint Council—Overseas Missions.

Dioceses of Chicago, Montana, New Jersey, and South Florida—Change in the name of the Church—Constitution, Canons, and Memorials and Petitions.


National Council—Changing of name of the National Council—Canons.

Diocese of Bethlehem—General Secretary for Evangelism in the National Council—Memorials and Petitions and Program and Budget.

Dioceses of Albany, Chicago, and Southern Ohio—Division of Pastoral Care in the National Council—Program and Budget.

Diocese of New York—Hospital and institutional chaplaincies—Program and Budget.

Dioceses of Arizona and New Jersey—General Church support for Seminaries—Program and Budget.

The Bishop of Virginia presented to the House the Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church, the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., who addressed the House with words of cordial greeting from the Philippine Independent Church and expressed the determination of the people of his Church to grow toward full self-support. He expressed his appreciation for the support of the Episcopal Church.

The Bishop of Virginia introduced the Rt. Rev. Luis C. R. Pereira of the Lusitanian Church, who addressed the House, explaining the great strength that had been brought to his Church through the Concordat adopted by the General Convention of 1961.

The Chair appointed, on behalf of this House, members of the following joint committees.

Church Pension Fund—Trustees

Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)
The House confirmed the nomination of the Presiding Bishop for the office of Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer.

(See Topical Arrangement.)

Bishop Hobson reported on the work of the Forward Movement. He introduced the Rev. Francis J. Moore, D.D., S.T.D., who has served many years as editor of the Forward Movement Publications. Dr. Moore received the thanks of the House for his outstanding leadership in this capacity. Bishop Hobson also presented the Rev. James W. Kennedy, D.D., the new editor.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Forward Movement Publications.")

Opportunity was given at this juncture to members of the House to introduce Resolutions.

The Bishop of Delaware moved that greetings be sent to the Pope. The motion carried.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Pope Paul VI—Greetings to.")

The Bishop of Southern Ohio, on behalf of the Advisory Committee on Race Relations, and of the Home Department and the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council, offered two Resolutions on race relations that were referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

Bishop Peabody offered a Resolution to amend Article I, Section 2, of the Constitution. This was referred to the Committee on Constitution.

Bishop Peabody offered a Resolution to amend Canon 47, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Springfield offered a Resolution on the continued witness of the Church of the Province of South Africa in the face of the intensification of the doctrine and practice of apartheid. This was referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

The Bishop of Newark, for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, recommended favorable action on the Memorial from the Diocese of Northern Indiana. The House adopted the Resolution.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Bible—Uniform Translation.")
The Bishop of Newark continued his Report, recommending favorable action on the following Memorial:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops, now assembled in General Convention at St. Louis, Missouri, record its gratitude to the Rt. Rev. Benjamin M. Washburn, retired Bishop of Newark, for the many blessings of his life and work, on the occasion of the thirty-second anniversary of his consecration, which occurred on October fourteenth; and be it further

Resolved, That the Secretary be instructed to send a telegram conveying this message.

This motion was adopted.

Bishop Donald J. Campbell, Public Relations Officer of the Episcopal Theological School, announced that the Presiding Bishop will accept the position of Professor of Pastoral Theology at the Episcopal Theological Seminary in January, 1965.

On motion of the Bishop of Southern Florida, the House went into Executive Session at 3:15 P.M.

The House rose.

On motion, the House adjourned at 4 P.M.

SECOND DAY

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1964

The House convened at 2:30 p.m., its members having participated in a Joint Session with the House of Deputies during the morning.

Devotions were led by the Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Los Angeles.

A summary of the Minutes of the First Day was read by the Secretary and approved by the House. The roll-call showed two additional Bishops in attendance, the Bishop of West Texas and the Bishop of Western Michigan.

Messages were received from the House of Deputies as follows:

No. 2—Custodian, Standard Book of Common Prayer
No. 3—Forward Movement Publications
No. 4—Pope Paul VI—Greetings to
No. 5—Lichtenberger, Rt. Rev. Arthur—Resignation
No. 6—National Council of Churches

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)
The Secretary read a telegram from Bishop Brinker expressing his regret at being unable to attend the Convention.

The Secretary read a telegram of greetings and good wishes from Archbishop Iakovos, Chairman of the Standing Conference of the Canonical Orthodox Bishops in the Americas.

The Bishop of South Florida called for the Order of the Day.

The Presiding Bishop presented by title his official acts for the last triennium as follows:

OFFICIAL ACTS OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP
1961


September 26, 1961—Authorized the Bishop of Connecticut to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut.


September 27, 1961—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. John Maury Allin as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Mississippi, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Mississippi, and the Bishop of Louisiana.


October 9, 1961—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Dillard H. Brown, Jr., as the Bishop Coadjutor of the Missionary District of
Liberia, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Washington, and the Bishop Coadjutor of Washington.


November 13, 1961—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Theodore R. Ludlow, LL.D.

November 15, 1961—Paid official visit to Pope John XXIII at the Vatican.


December 4, 1961—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. James L. Duncan as Suffragan Bishop of South Florida, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of South Florida, and the Suffragan Bishop of Tennessee.


January 6, 1962—Received notification of the consecration of the Very Rev. John Ogle Anderson, on the above date, as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Rupert's Land of the Anglican Church of Canada by the Primate of All Canada.

January 15, 1962—Received notice from the Bishop of Central Africa of the consecration of the Rev. Donald Seymour Arden as Bishop of Nyasaland.

January 16, 1962—Authorized the Bishop of Long Island to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island.
January 22, 1962—Sent out notification of the deposition of James Parker Clements, formerly Suffragan Bishop of Texas.


February 13, 1962—Received a Sentence of Confirmation from the Archbishop of East Africa of the election of the Rev. John Richard Worthington Poole-Hughes, to be Lord Bishop of South West Tanganyika.


March 16, 1962—Accepted appointment on the Board of Directors of the Friends of the World Council of Churches, in the class of 1963.

March 19, 1962—Took order for the consecration of the Very Rev. William E. Sanders, D.O., as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Tennessee, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Tennessee, and the Bishop of Georgia.

March 30, 1962—Received notification that the Ven. Gordon Melville McKenzie was consecrated in St. Paul's Cathedral Church, Wellington, on February 2, 1962, as Assistant Bishop in the Diocese of Wellington of the Province of New Zealand.

March 30, 1962—Received notification that the Rt. Rev. John Charles Vockler was enthroned as Bishop in Polynesia on March 21, 1962. Bishop Vockler was formerly Bishop Coadjutor to the Bishop of Adelaide.


June 14, 1962—Issued call for special meeting of the House of Bishops to be held in Columbia, South Carolina, October 27—November 1, 1962.


July 25, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Rev. Harold Grant Pigott as Bishop of The Windward Islands, in the Cathedral Church of Saint George, in the Island of Saint Vincent, in the West Indies.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Rev. Canon Clifford Leofric Purdy Bishop, B.A., as Bishop Suffragan of Malmesbury, on May 1, 1962, in Southwark Cathedral.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Very Rev. John Gerhard Tiarks, M.A., as Bishop of Chelmsford, on February 24, 1962, in Westminster Abbey.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Very Rev. David Brownhill Porter, M.A., as Bishop Suffragan of Aston, on February 2, 1962, in Westminster Abbey.
August 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Ven. Francis Horner West, M.A., as Bishop Suffragan of Taunton, on February 2, 1962, in Westminster Abbey.


August 1, 1962—Received notice of the Confirmation of the Election of the Rt. Rev. Cyril Eastaugh, M.C., M.A., as Bishop of Peterborough, on December 20, 1961, in the Parish Church of St. Mary-le-Bow.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the Confirmation of the Election of the Rt. Rev. Mark Allin Hodson, B.A., as Bishop of Hereford, on December 20, 1961, in the Parish Church of St. Mary-le-Bow.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the Confirmation of the Election of the Rt. Rev. Sherard Falkner Allison, D.D., as Bishop of Winchester, on December 20, 1961, in the Parish Church of St. Mary-le-Bow.

August 1, 1962—Received notice of the Confirmation of the Election of the Rt. Rev. Frederick Donald Coggan, D.D., as Archbishop of York, on July 5, 1961, in the Chapel of Lambeth Palace.


August 9, 1962—Received notice from the Archbishop of East Africa of the consecration of the Rev. John Richard Worthington Poole-Hughes as Second Bishop of the Church and See of Southwest Tanganyika.


September 15, 1962—Received notice that on Sunday, July 29th, 1962, the Rt. Rev. Francis Oliver Green-Wilkinson, Bishop of Northern Rhodesia, was duly elected the third Archbishop of Central Africa.


October 15, 1962—Agreed to serve as Honorary Member of the Board of Trustees of the Episcopal Radio-TV Foundation.
November 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Rev. Canon Musa Kahurananga as Assistant Bishop to the Bishop of Central Tanganyika.

November 1, 1962—Received notice of the consecration of the Ven. Geoffrey Tremayne Sambell as Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Melbourne.


November 8, 1962—Took order for the consecration of the Ven. John Melville Burgess as Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Massachusetts, and the Bishop of Delaware.

November 8, 1962—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Theodore Harper McCrea as Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Dallas, and the Bishop of Chicago.

December 3, 1962—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Benjamin F. P. Ivins, D.C.L.


January 10, 1963—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñíg id as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines, by the Presiding Bishop, the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu, and the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines (Bishop Cabanban).


March 14, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. A. W. Noel Porter, D.D.


April 1, 1963—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Frederick Warren Putnam, Jr., as Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, by the Bishop of Arkansas, the Bishop of Oklahoma, and the Bishop of Kansas.


April 1, 1963—Authorized the Bishop of Arkansas to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma.

April 1, 1963—Authorized the Bishop of New York to act as Consecrator of the Bishop of Oklahoma.

April 4, 1963—Received notice of the consecration of the Rev. Maurice Douglas Soseleje to be Assistant Bishop to the Rt. Rev. Ernest Urban Trevor Huddleston, Bishop of Masasi, in the Province of East Africa.

April 7, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. G. Ashton Oldham, S.T.D.

April 11, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Clarence Alfred Cole, D.D.

May 1, 1963—Accepted Honorary Chairmanship of the Church Army in the U.S.A., Episcopal.

May 24, 1963—Authorized the Bishop of Northern Indiana to act as Consecrator of the Bishop Coadjutor of Northern Indiana.

May 24, 1963—Took order for the consecration of the Very Rev. Walter Conrad Klein, S.T.D., as Bishop Coadjutor of Northern Indiana, by the Bishop of Northern Indiana, the Bishop of Indianapolis, and the Bishop of Chicago.

May 31, 1963—Appointed the Rev. T. G. Keithly, Jr., Assistant, St. Matthew's Cathedral, Dallas, Texas, to be a representative of the Episcopal Church at the Millenary Ceremonies at Mount Athos, Greece.

June 2, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Benjamin Dunlap Dagwell, D.D.


July 12, 1963—Issued call for special meeting of the House of Bishops to be held in Toronto, Canada, on August 12, 1963, for the purpose of considering Race Relations.

July 17, 1963—Authorized the Bishop of Western North Carolina to act as Consecrator of the Bishop of Upper South Carolina.


August 1, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Donald MacAdie, D.D.


Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Pennsylvania to be Bishop of that Diocese, effective July 19, 1963.


October 31, 1963—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. Frank Archibald Rhea, S.T.D.


December 5, 1963—Notified the Archbishops, Metropolitans, and Presiding Bishops, of the Provinces of the Anglican Communion, of the establishment of the Missionary District of Colombia by the House of Bishops, meeting in Little Rock, and the election of the Rev. David B. Reed as Bishop of the Missionary District of Colombia.


January 20, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Leonardo Romero as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Mexico, and the Bishop of Puerto Rico.

January 20, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Very Rev. Melchor Saucedo as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Mexico, and the Bishop of Puerto Rico.

January 31, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Ven. George Edward Rath as Suffragan Bishop of Newark, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of New York, and the Bishop of Newark.


February 24, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. David Benson Reed as Bishop of the Missionary District of Colombia, by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone, and the Bishop of Arizona.


March 1, 1964—Consecrated the Rev. Leonardo Romero as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico.

March 1, 1964—Consecrated the Very Rev. Melchor Saucedo as Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico.

March 6, 1964—Consecrated the Ven. George Edward Rath as Suffragan Bishop of Newark.


April 24, 1964—Received notice of the death of the Rt. Rev. W. Blair Roberts, D.D.
April 24, 1964—Received notice of the Confirmation of the Election of the Ven. Isaac Oyelaja Sanola Okunsanya as Bishop of Ondo, Nigeria, in the Province of West Africa.

April 25, 1964—Consecrated the Rev. David Benson Reed as Bishop of the Missionary District of Colombia.


June 1, 1964—Received notice from Cecil John, Archbishop of West Africa, of the consecration of: the Ven. Moses Nathaniel Christophe Omobiala Scott, Dip. Th., as Bishop of Sierra Leone, on November 12, 1961, in the Cathedral Church of St. George, Freetown, Sierra Leone; the Ven. Agori Iwe as Bishop of Benin, on November 30, 1961, in the Church of St. Andrew, Warri, Nigeria; the Ven. Rogers Nathaniel Bara Hart as Bishop of the Niger Delta, on December 10, 1961, in the Church of St. Michael, Aba, Nigeria; the Ven. Ishmael Samuel Mills LeMaire as Assistant Bishop to the Bishop of Accra, on May 5, 1963, in the Cathedral Church of the Most Holy Trinity, Accra, Ghana; the Rev. Canon Seth Irunsewe Kale, M.A., as Bishop of Lagos, on November 30, 1963, in the Cathedral Church of Christ, Lagos, Nigeria; The Ven. Isaac Oyelaja Sanola Okunsanya, B.A., L. Th., as Bishop of Ondo, on February 24, 1964, in the Cathedral Church of St. Stephen, Ondo, Nigeria.

June 11, 1964—Received notice of the consecration of the Ven. Thomas Brian MacDonald as Bishop Coadjutor of Perth, Western Australia, on February 24, 1964, in the Cathedral Church of St. George.

June 18, 1964—Received notice from Leonard, Lord Archbishop of the Province of East Africa, of the consecration of the Rev. Yohana Madinda as Assistant Bishop to the Bishop of Central Tanganyika, on May 7, 1964.

July 22, 1964—Authorized the Bishop of Texas to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Texas.

July 27, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Canon Scott Field Bailey as Suffragan Bishop of Texas, by the Bishop of Texas, the Bishop of Northwest Texas, and the Suffragan Bishop of Texas.

August 7, 1964—Authorized the Bishop of Indianapolis to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.


August 17, 1964—Confirmed the appointment of the Rev. F. Porfirio de la Cruz to be a member of the Joint Council, PEC-PIC.

September 4, 1964—Took order for the consecration of the Rev. Robert Claffin Rusack as Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, by the Bishop of Los Angeles, the retired Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles (the Rt. Rev. Robert B. Gooden), and the Bishop Coadjutor of Olympia.
September 8, 1964—Authorized the Bishop of Los Angeles to act as Consecrator of the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.


September 10, 1964—Authorized the Bishop of Indianapolis to act as Consecrator of the Bishop of Northern Michigan.


September 17, 1964—Received notice from Leonard, Archbishop of East Africa, of the consecration of the Ven. Peter Mwang'ombe to be Bishop of Mombasa, on June 24, 1964.

The Bishop of Georgia reported for the Standing Liturgical Commission and presented to the House both the Supplemental and the regular Reports as printed in the Green Book for 1964. The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, Bishop Esquirol, was called upon to report for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution. On behalf of the Committee, he moved that approval be given to the action of the General Convention of 1961 to amend Article X. of the Constitution.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Constitution—Article X.")

Further action on the Commission report was deferred, pending action of the House of Deputies on the above resolution.

The Bishop of Western New York reported for the Joint Commission of Church Music. Recommendations of the Commission had been referred earlier to Committees of the House.

The Bishop of Western New York offered a Resolution to send greetings of the House to His All Holiness Athenagoras I, Archbishop of Constantinople and Ecumenical Patriarch.

The motion was carried.

Bishop Blankingship offered the following resolution:

Whereas, There has been for many years an inequality in the basic salaries of North-American Missionaries overseas and national Missionaries employed in the field; and
WHEREAS, This is an injustice to the national Missionaries and has been and is a source of tension between the national and foreign Missionaries; and

WHEREAS, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America should set an example of fair-employment practice, especially in foreign countries; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the House of Bishops, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 12th-23rd, 1964, request the Overseas Department of the National Council to review these differences of basic salaries between foreign and national Missionaries, with the view of equalizing them when it can possibly be done.

On motion, this Resolution was referred to the Committee on Overseas Missions.

Rev. Arthur Vogel, Ph.D., introduced to the House the following Roman Catholics who were present at the General Convention as official observers named by the Holy See:

The Very Rev. Colomba Cary-Elwes, O.S.B., Prior of a Benedictine Abbey, and Mr. Carl Gaertner, Lawyer of St. Louis.

The Bishop of Panama offered the following Resolution on behalf of the Carribean Council:

WHEREAS, There is a growing number of clergymen and laymen, including postulants and candidates for Holy Orders, in Latin America, whose native tongue is Spanish, and who are unable to read the Constitution and Canons in English; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Constitution and Canons for the Government of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America be translated into the Spanish language and published; and be it further

RESOLVED, the House of Deputies concurring, That the cost of such project be defrayed by the Treasurer of the General Convention.

The House approved this resolution in substance and referred it to the Committee on Expenses.

The Bishop of Pittsburgh, reporting for the Committee of Nine, called on the Committee’s Secretary, the Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama, to read the report, as follows:

The House of Bishops assigned to the Committee various proposals and matters concerning the office and work of a Bishop. After considerable discussion, the following report was adopted:

1. The Committee of Nine feels that these matters are quite outside the normal scope of its activity, but accepted this assignment on the basis of an ad hoc use of the Committee.

2. With regard to the Resolution on the translation of Bishops proposed by the Joint Committee on Missionary Districts, the Com-
mittee is of the following opinion: Since there is at the present time canonical provision for the translation of Suffragan Bishops and Missionary Bishops, but no such provision for Bishops Coadjutor and Diocesan Bishops, this should be proposed as a canonical addition, and not as a resolution of interpretation.

3. With regard to the proposal that Suffragan Bishops and retired Bishops shall no longer have votes in the House of Bishops, the Committee points out that a constitutional change is involved. The proposal should be presented in the form of amendment to the Constitution. The Committee also makes bold to suggest that two separate and somewhat different issues are involved, and that the proposed changes should probably be presented separately.

4. With regard to other problems involved in the office and work of Bishops, the Committee offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop be requested to appoint a small special committee of the House of Bishops to make a study of the office and work of Bishops and report to the next meeting of the House.

A motion by the Bishop of South Florida to amend the recommendation was lost. The original Resolution was carried.

The Bishop of Spokane called for information regarding an earlier Resolution from the Eighth Province in 1962 concerning the translation of Bishops. There was no action on this.

(See Topical Arrangement—“International Co-operation Year.”)

The Bishop of the Dominican Republic offered the following Resolution on behalf of the Council of the Caribbean:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 8, Sec. 1, be amended as follows:

1. In the third paragraph, by changing the word “Districts” to “District”, and by the omission of the words, “Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands”, and, “the Dominican Republic, the Panama Canal Zone, and Central America”, so that the third paragraph will read, “The Second Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of New York and New Jersey, and the Missionary District of Haiti”;

2. In the eighth paragraph, by the omission of the words, “and the Republic of Mexico”, so that the eighth paragraph will read, “The Seventh Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico”; and

3. By the addition of a new paragraph at the end, to read as follows: “The Ninth Province shall consist of the Missionary Districts of Central America, Colombia, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Mexico, the Panama Canal Zone, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.”

This was referred to the Committee on Canons.
The Bishop of the Dominican Republic offered a further Resolution, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 40, Sec. 2(b), be amended by deleting the last sentence beginning, "The foregoing provisions," and concluding, "included in Provinces".

This also was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Lexington offered a Resolution requesting that the name of the "Rural Work Committee" be changed to "Town and Country Committee".

This Resolution was carried.

Bishop Gray offered a Resolution conveying good wishes from the House to Bishop Loring, who has been prevented by illness from attending the sessions.

The Suffragan Bishop of South Florida, Bishop Hargraves, offered a Resolution expressing the appreciation of this House for the Presiding Bishop's sermon at the opening service and ordering its publication.

This Resolution was adopted.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session.

The House rose.

The House adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

THIRD DAY

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1964

The House convened at 9:30 a.m. with the Presiding Bishop in the Chair.

Devotions were led by the Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Michigan.

A summary of the Minutes of the Second Day was read by the Secretary and approved.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 13—Oregon—Suffragan Bishop for
No. 14—Bible—Uniform Translation

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)
The Vice-President of the National Council presented to the House the Rev. Canon Max Warren, Sub-dean of Westminster Abbey and former Secretary of the Church Missionary Society, who brought greetings to the House.

The Vice-President of the National Council then presented to the House the Rt. Rev. Chandu Ray, Bishop of Karachi, who brought greetings to the House.

The Anglican Executive Officer presented Col. Malcolm Douglas, Prolocutor of the Lower House of the General Synod of the Anglican Church of Canada, who addressed the House with greetings and an explanation of some steps already taken by the Church of Canada towards participation in the program of Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

The Presiding Bishop presented to the House Mr. William A. Coolidge of the Diocese of Massachusetts, Director of the Episcopal Church Foundation, who addressed the House on the principles and work of the Foundation.

(See Topical Arrangement—“Consultation on Church Union.”)

The Bishop of Virginia, in additional remarks, pointed to the considerable need for bringing the trends and progress towards unity to the awareness of individual parishes throughout the country through exchange of pulpits and other appropriate means.

The Bishop of Virginia, speaking on behalf of the Consultation on Church Union, offered the following two Resolutions:

1. *Resolved,* That the House of Bishops give its general approval to the proposal of the Consultation on Church Union for an exchange of pulpits among the six consulting Churches on January 17, 1965, and, subject to the provisions of Canon 49, urges the co-operation of the clergy of the Episcopal Church wherever their participation is feasible.

2. *Resolved,* That the House of Bishops note with approval the plan of the Consultation on Church Union to distribute descriptive material to the clergy of the Church; and the House requests the National Council, through the Department of Promotion, to make available its mailing services for this purpose; and further requests that there be included for each clergyman a copy of the Consultation’s interpretive study booklet, which will be published January 1, 1965, as a Reflection Book by the Association Press, at a bulk cost of twenty cents (20¢) per copy.

These Resolutions were adopted.

In response to a telegram, read by the Presiding Bishop, pertaining to political statements attributed, by the public press,
the General Convention, the Bishop of Michigan, as Chairman of the Committee on the Pastoral, explained that a statement from his Committee would be forthcoming shortly.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 15—Civil War Centennial Observances
No. 16—Constitution—Article X.

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Bishop of Indianapolis, reporting for a special committee to provide for completing payments on the Episcopal Church Center, indicated that 89 Dioceses have accepted full quotas for payment toward the deficit, in the amount of $2,931,596.28; 4 Dioceses have accepted partial quotas, in the amount of $129,056.66; 9 Dioceses have accepted no quotas, but, to date, have paid $249,405.13.

On motion, the Report was received and the committee discharged with the thanks of the House.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 17—Spokane—Erection as Diocese
No. 18—Constitution—Article I., Sec. 7

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Bishop of South Florida introduced Dr. John McCone, Executive Secretary of the American Bible Society. Dr. McCone addressed the House on the vast needs for expanding the work of the Society.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

American Bible Society
Visser 't Hooft, Wilhelm—Greetings to
Treasurer of the General Convention)

The Bishop of Central America offered a Resolution to amend Canon 26 at several points.

This was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Southern Virginia entered a request for an interpretation of a portion of Canon 47, Sec. 5, by the Committee on Canons. The request was referred to the Committee on Canons.
A letter of greeting was read from Doctor O. Walter Wagner, Executive Director of the Church Federation of Greater St. Louis. This was referred to the Committee on Appreciation.

Noon-day prayers were led by the Vice-Chairman.

On motion, the House adjourned at 12:10 p.m.

FOURTH DAY

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1964

The House convened at 2:30 p.m.

Devotions were led by the Suffragan Bishop of Texas.

A summary of the Minutes of the Third Day was read by the Secretary and approved.

The roll-call showed two additional Bishops in attendance, the Rt. Rev. Oliver J. Hart and the Rt. Rev. William Scarlett.

Messages were received from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 19—Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society
No. 20—Church Pension Fund—Trustees
No. 21—Treasurer of the General Convention
No. 22—Executive Council—Members
No. 23—General Convention—Place of Next

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Secretary read a telegram bringing greetings from “all the Episcopalians in Ecuador”.

The Anglican Executive Officer presented to the House the Rev. Peter Harvey, Editor of Anglican World, who addressed the House on the work and services rendered by that publication.

The Suffragan Bishop of Dallas brought greetings from the Bishop of Dallas, and his regrets at his not being able to attend the Convention because of illness.

On motion, the House sent its greetings and good wishes for Bishop Mason's speedy and full recovery.
The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution on a Memorial from Bishop Peabody, concerning the voting rights of Bishops, recommended that no action be taken.

The recommendation was adopted.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons on a proposed revision of Article IX. of the Constitution, recommended that the matter be referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution. It was so referred.

The Bishop of Florida, on behalf of the Committee on Canons, asked to be discharged from further consideration of a Memorial from the Province of the Northwest dealing with representation in the House of Deputies of General Convention.

The Committee was discharged.

The Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions on a Memorial received from the Missionary District of Puerto Rico, requesting the publication of a Spanish translation of the Apocrypha, pointed out that there seems to be no agreement among Spanish-speaking countries about which of several translations of the Apocrypha should be used in the publication requested. Accordingly, the Committee recommended that the matter be put on the agenda of the Caribbean Council until agreement is reached, when the matter may be re-submitted to the General Convention.

The Committee, therefore, asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was discharged.
(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Name of the Church
Philippine Episcopal and Independent Churches—Joint Council
Spokane—Erection as Diocese)

In connection with last action, the Bishop of Northwest Texas offered a Resolution of gratitude and congratulations to the Bishop and people of the Diocese of Spokane.

The Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Spokane paid tribute to his immediate predecessor, Bishop Cross, and to the people of the Diocese who, in his view, have made the achievement of this goal possible.

On motion, the House sent its greetings to Bishop Cross.

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 24.

(See Topical Arrangement—“Visser ’t Hooft, Wilhelm—Greetings to”)

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions, as follows:

In accordance with the request of the Bishop of Puerto Rico, upon the recommendation of the Overseas Department, and with the approval of the Executive Council, your Committee recommends the following Resolution:

Resolved, That a Bishop Coadjutor be elected for the Missionary District of Puerto Rico.

The motion was adopted.

The House went into Executive Session at 4:00 p.m.

The House rose.

The House adjourned at 4:40 p.m.

FIFTH DAY

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1964

The House convened at 9:30 a.m. The Bishop of Colombia led the Devotions.

Bishop Dun registered his presence in the House with the Secretary.
The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the Fourth Day which was approved.

The Bishop of West Missouri introduced the General Superintendent of the Assemblies of God, Brother Thomas F. Zimmerman, who addressed the House briefly.

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 25, providing for amendments to Canon 41, Section 2. This was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 26, concerning Canon 41, Section 7; this was referred to the Committee on Canons.

(See Topical Arrangement: "Sexual Behavior—Christian Understanding of")

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 28 providing for a simplified wording of the Book of Common Prayer for special use. This was referred to the Committee on Book of Common Prayer.

The Secretary read a letter of resignation from the Vice-President of the Executive Council. This was referred to the Committee on the resignation of Bishops.

The Bishop of the Dominican Republic, reporting for the Committee on Canons, responded to a request by the Bishop of Southern Virginia for an interpretation of Canon 47, Section 5, indicating its feeling that the present Canon is adequate and moved to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

On motion they were so discharged.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Canon 4, Sec. 2 (a)
Canon 34, Sec. 10
Canon 43, Sec. 8
Canon 3 and Canon 4
Canon 20
Canon 8, Sec. 1
Canon 40, Sec. 2 (b)

The Bishop of Western New York presented the Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, Dean of the General Theological Seminary. Dean
Rose addressed the House, presenting the Report for the Trustees of the General Theological Seminary by title.

The Chair introduced Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, Jr., who spoke on behalf of The Episcopalian. He emphasized the progress being made in news coverage, the quality and variety of articles, and the acceptance by the reading public, as indicated by the present paid subscription list totaling 140,000.

The Chair introduced Mr. Henry McCorkle, Editor of The Episcopalian. Mr. McCorkle presented the winners of the Presiding Bishop's Episcopalian awards, for excellence in diocesan publications, to the Presiding Bishop, who made the following awards:

Best in Field (Magazine): Episcopal Advance of the Diocese of Chicago. The award was received by Mrs. Marion Wiegman, Editor; the Bishop of Chicago; and the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.

Best in Field (Newspaper): The Episcopal Review of the Diocese of Los Angeles. The award was received by Mr. Robert Reagan, Editor; the Bishop of Los Angeles; and the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.

For the Most Improvement (Magazine): The New Hampshire Churchman of the Diocese of New Hampshire. The award was received by Mr. Allan Pope, Editor; and the Bishop of New Hampshire.

For the Most Improvement (Newspaper): The Tennessee Advance of the Diocese of Tennessee. The award was received by Mrs. Isabel Baumgartner, Editor; the Bishop of Tennessee; and the Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee.

The Chair introduced Mr. John C. Goodbody, President of The Seabury Press, who addressed the House, outlining the financial and administrative progress during the past year in the operations of The Seabury Press and spoke briefly on some items soon to be published.

The Chair presented the Rev. W. Murray Kenney of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations of the Diocese of Missouri, who reported on the highly successful visit of Bishops, Deputies, and Delegates to seminaries of other Churches on Wednesday afternoon.

He thanked the House for the participation of its members in the project.

On motion the House extended its thanks to the Rev. Mr. Kenney for his services in this matter.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Name of the Church")
The Bishop of Bethlehem reporting for the Committee on Social and International Affairs stated that the Committee had investigated the matter of the denial of the Chalice to inmates of Federal prisons, as presented in a Memorial from the Diocese of Harrisburg. The Committee recommended that no action be taken.

The Committee asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

On motion they were discharged.

(See Topical Arrangement—“Levels of Authority in the Church”)

Noon-day prayers were said by the Bishop of South Florida.

The House recessed at 12:15 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m.

The Bishop of Springfield introduced a Resolution to amend Canon 51, relating to the Order of Deaconesses.

This was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, reporting for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations on a Memorial received from the Diocese of Alabama, relating to the appointment of personnel to serve in the National Council of Churches, indicated that the Committee was of the opinion that a conscientious effort has consistently been made to this end, and asked that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, reporting for his Committee on a Memorial from the Diocese of Alabama relating to public pronouncements by the National Council of Churches, explained that the Committee was of the opinion that provision made by the 60th General Convention, meeting in Detroit, had adequately considered the matters covered and asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina reported that the Committee on Ecumenical Relations was of the same opinion in relation to matters covered by a Memorial from the Diocese of Mississippi and asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.
(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings: Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission National Council of Churches Philippine Independent Church—Greetings to)

The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, reporting on a Memorial from the Diocese of Central New York, commending the National Council of Churches' involvement in the voter-registration project in Mississippi during the Summer of 1964, stated its opinion that the matter requires no action of the House. The Committee moved that it be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

Bishop Mitchell offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, that the House of Bishops hereby re-affirm the Resolution heretofore adopted by this House,

That the Second Sunday in Advent be known as Bible Sunday, and that the House urge that sermons delivered at that time be on the Bible and the work of the American Bible Society, and that the loose offerings on that day be given to the work of the American Bible Society.

The Resolution was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—“General Theological Seminary—Trustees”)

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana, reporting for the Committee on the Book of Common Prayer, on a Memorial from the Diocese of Rhode Island, requesting a committee to formulate a revision of the Book of Common Prayer, pointed out that the Standing Liturgical Commission serves this function and asked that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana reported that the Committee had considered the Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida asking authorization for the use of the Easter Collect and the Easter Preface until the Feast of the Ascension. The Committee recommended that the matter be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission with the hope that they will view it with favor, but that it was outside the competence of the Committee to act, and they asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.
The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana reported that the Committee had considered the Memorial from the Missionary District of Idaho requesting a revision of the Book of Common Prayer, and was of the opinion that this matter should properly be dealt with by the Standing Liturgical Commission and that the Committee should be discharged from further consideration of it.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana, reporting on a Memorial from the Diocese of South Florida requesting rubrical changes in the Book of Common Prayer, explained that the Committee felt this matter must be handled in the normal course of revision of the Book of Common Prayer and that the request should be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission with recommendation of the Committee for favorable consideration. He asked that the Committee on the Book of Common Prayer be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Bishop of Louisiana recommended that no action be taken on a Memorial from the Diocese of Western Massachusetts requesting that certain Days of Remembrance be established. The Committee was concerned for the confusion such action would cause and asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was so discharged.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, asked that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of Memorials from the Diocese of Chicago, the Diocese of South Florida, the Diocese of Montana, and the Diocese of New Jersey, bearing on the change of the name of the Church, inasmuch as this subject has already been taken care of by action of the House.

The Committee was so discharged.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:
  Healing Ministry
  Mutual Responsibility—Accepting the Document
  Mutual Responsibility—Organizing for Response
  Mutual Responsibility—Response to Immediate Need)

The Bishop of South Carolina offered a motion of thanks for the work done by the Bishop of East Carolina and his Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

The Resolution was adopted.
The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, under the provision of Canon 2, Section 1, the Presiding Bishop had appointed the following members of the House on the Joint Committee to present nominations for the Office of Presiding Bishop:

The Bishop of Connecticut  
The Bishop of New York  
The Bishop of Erie—Chairman  
The Bishop of Indianapolis  
The Bishop of Western North Carolina  
The Bishop of South Dakota  
The Bishop of Northwest Texas  
The Bishop of Spokane  

The Chair appointed to the Standing Committee on Religious Communities:

The Bishop of Tennessee

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 3:25 p.m.  
The House rose at 4:00 p.m.  
The House adjourned.

---

SIXTH DAY

Saturday, October 17, 1964

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a Celebration of Holy Communion at Christ Church Cathedral, St. Louis, Missouri at 8:00 a.m. on the Sixth Day, the Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops being the Celebrant, assisted by the Presiding Bishop. The Bishop of Erie read the Epistle, the Bishop of Alabama the Gospel, and the Very Reverend William H. Mead served.

The House assembled in Executive Session in the nave of Christ Church Cathedral for the election of a Presiding Bishop.  
(See Topical Arrangement—"Presiding Bishop—Election")

The House rose from Executive Session and adjourned.
SEVENTH DAY

MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1964

The House convened at 9:30 a.m. Devotions were led by Suffragan Bishop of Newark.

A summary of the Minutes of the Fifth and Sixth Days was read by the Secretary and approved.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 29—Family Planning
No. 30—Ecumenical Day
No. 31—Canon 4, Sec. 2
No. 32—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 8
No. 33—Canon 8, Sec. 10
No. 34—Structure, Joint Commission on
No. 34—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 13
No. 36—Canon 1, Sec. 1
No. 37—Population Explosion—Birth Control
No. 38—Stipendiary Pension System
No. 39—Presiding Bishop—Election
No. 40—Widows' Benefit
No. 41—Clergy Retirement at Age 65
No. 42—Government Aid to Church-related Schools
No. 43—Stringfellow Incident

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)


(See Topical Arrangement—"Historiographer")

The Registrar read his Triennial Report, which appears in the Appendix to this volume.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Registrar")
The Bishop of the Philippines addressed the House on forthcoming changes taking place in the Philippines in the direction of eventual division of the jurisdiction into three Dioceses and also the growing sense of unity, at the level of the laity, between the Philippine Independent Church and the Protestant Episcopal Church.

The Bishop of Northwest Texas, reporting for the Committee on Interim Meetings, invited invitations for the Meeting of the House in 1966.

The Bishop of Montana described the arrangements made for the 1965 meeting, which will be held at Glacier Inn, East Glacier, Montana. The House agreed that the dates of this meeting should be September 7 through September 11, 1965.

Bishop Juhan spoke briefly on the work of the University of the South and pledged his loyalty and that of the University to the new Presiding Bishop, a former student there.

The Bishop of Georgia reporting on behalf of the Standing Liturgical Commission, offered the following Resolution:

Whereas, The volume of work of the Standing Liturgical Commission is increasing; and

Whereas, It has been found advisable to assign work more frequently to sub-committees; and

Whereas, It is anticipated that joint consultations with liturgical committees of other Churches of the Anglican Communion and with those of other Churches are likely to be more frequent in the coming triennium; and

Whereas, The Commission has been able to stay within its present budget only by virtue of extraordinary contributions from its lay members; be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be appropriated, for the expenses of the Standing Liturgical Commission, for the coming triennium, the sum of $12,000.00.

The Resolution was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Trial Use—Minor Feasts and Fasts
Canon 47, Sec. 2 (not adopted)
Canon 34, Sec. 10
Canon 51, Sec. 1
Canon 51, Sec. 5
Canon 41, Sec. 2)
The Bishop of Florida on behalf of the Committee on Canons recommended the adoption of a proposed amendment to Canon 50, Sec. 4 dealing with lay administration of the Chalice.

On motion, the matter was referred to the Committee on the Book of Common Prayer.

The House went into Executive Session at 11:25 a.m.

The House rose.

The House recessed at 12:15 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:30 p.m.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 46—Proportional Representation
No. 47—Subsidy to Host Diocese, General Convention
No. 48—Committees and Commissions—Inter-relationship
No. 49—Provincial Synods and General Convention Deputies
No. 50—Memorials and Petitions from Dioceses
No. 51—General Convention—Duration
No. 52—Vatican Council II—Interest in and Appreciation of

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

On motion, the House concurred with House of Deputies No. 12, for which see Topical Arrangement—"Episcopal Colleges—Foundation for”.

The Anglican Executive Officer expressed to the House his deep sense of gratitude to the many persons who had been helpful to him in launching the work of that office. He offered the following Resolution of good wishes to his successor:

Resolved, That this House extend to the Bishop of Cariboo, the Rt. Rev. Ralph Dean, our warmest greetings at his election as Anglican Executive Officer, and our assurance, in deep sincerity and brotherly love, of our full co-operation with him in anything that lies in our power to supply.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

On motion of the Bishop of the Philippines, the House extended its thanks and appreciation to the Anglican Executive Officer for his outstanding work.
The Bishop of New Hampshire, for the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Women Church Workers, offered Resolutions on the following subjects, which were adopted; to wit:

Women Church Workers—Standing Joint Commission
Women Church Workers—Commission to co-operate with Division of Christian Ministries, etc.

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Bishop of New Hampshire offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the sum of $3,000.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on Women Church Workers for its expenses during the coming triennium.

This was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:
Mutual Responsibility—Response to Immediate Needs
Representation in House of Deputies—Base
Destinies of Missionary Operations—United States and Overseas
Style of Bishop Heading a Missionary Task Force)

The Bishop of Washington, reporting for the Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, offered the following Resolution:

That in respect of Bishops for “overseas” Dioceses, canonical provision for their election be made along the following lines:

(1) The House of Bishops shall nominate three candidates.

(2) From this number, the “overseas” Diocese in Convention, and in accordance with its own Canons, shall choose one to be the Bishop.

(3) In the event of the inability of the “overseas” Diocese to elect, the right of election shall revert to the House of Bishops.

After extended discussion, this was referred to the Committee on Overseas Missions.

The House received from the House of Deputies its Message No. 53—Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission

(See Topical Arrangement.)

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented the following Resolution on the election of a Bishop of Taiwan:
While, This Church recognizes a responsibility to support and strengthen the Christian Mission of the Church in Taiwan; and

Whereas, The House of Bishops defined and accepted the territory of Taiwan to be a Missionary jurisdiction of this Church, in July, 1960; and

Whereas, Heretofore, though a separate jurisdiction, this Missionary District has been included within the wide-spread and far-reaching pastoral oversight of the Bishop of Honolulu and his Suffragan; and

Whereas, As one result of their devoted service and leadership, the Church in Taiwan might now be greatly benefited by full-time leadership and pastoral care of a Chinese bishop, as soon as such a competent leader may be secured; and

Whereas, The Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu has been assigned oversight of other work, thereby preparing the way for such leadership; therefore, be it now

Resolved, That this House proceed to the election of a Bishop of Taiwan.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 4:00 p.m.
The House rose.
The House adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

EIGHTH DAY

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1964

The House convened at 11:00 a.m., having participated in a Joint Session with the House of Deputies at 9:30 a.m.

Devotions were led by the Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Romero).

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the Seventh Day, which, with minor amendments, was approved.

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations introduced two matters which had been referred to that Committee for recommendation, as follows:

Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission

National Council of Churches

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)
The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 45—Registrar
No. 54—Historiographer
No. 55—Deicide and the Jews
No. 56—Name of the Church
No. 59—Treasurer of General Convention—Report
No. 61—American Church Institute

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Bishop of South Florida led noonday prayers.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, referring to a Memorial from the Sixth Province requesting amendment of Article I., Sec. 4, of the Constitution, pointed out that action similar to that requested had already been taken and moved that the Committee be dismissed from consideration of it.

The Resolution was adopted.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut asked that the Committee be dismissed from further consideration of a Memorial from the Diocese of Nebraska on admitting Missionary Districts to diocesan status, because of prior similar action.

The Resolution was adopted.

(See, also, Topical Arrangement under the following headings:
  Constitution—Article I., Sec. 7
  Constitution—Article IX.
  Stipendiary Pension System
  Clergy Retirement at Age 65
  Canon 8, Sec. 10
  Canon 2, Sec. 7)

The House recessed at 12:30 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m. with the Vice-Chairman in the Chair.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:
  Canon 1, Sec. 1 (a) and (b)
  Canon 53 (new)
  Closing Service)
The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, Bishop Esquirol, reporting for the Committee on Constitution, stated that the Committee confirmed yesterday's action of the House regarding Resolutions presented on behalf of the Joint Commission on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts.

The Bishop of Lexington reported for the House of Bishops' Committee on Town and Country Work.

The House took action on the petition of the three Missionary Districts in Brazil, through their National Council, for autonomy. (See Topical Arrangement—"Brazilian Episcopal Church")

The Chair welcomed the Bishop of Central Brazil, the Bishop of Southern Brazil, and the Bishop of Southwestern Brazil, to the platform. Each addressed the House briefly.

Inasmuch as the approval of the action recommended would remove the Brazilian Bishops from the House, the Bishop of South Florida moved that they be given seats in the House for an indefinite period.

The Resolution was adopted.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

Church Fire Insurance Corporation—Policies of
Executive Council—Members
Treasurer of the General Convention—Election of

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Bishop of Kentucky asked permission of the House to express its greetings to Bishop and Mrs. Clingman on the occasion of their 55th Wedding Anniversary.

On motion, the House sent its greetings.

The Bishop of Kentucky asked permission to bring certain matters contained in a letter from Theodore M. Switz, Ph.D., a layman of the Diocese of Chicago, to the attention of the Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

A motion of such reference was adopted.

The House went into Executive Session at 3:10 p.m.

The House rose at 4:55 p.m.

On motion, the House adjourned.
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1964

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a Celebration of the Holy Eucharist in Christ Church Cathedral, St. Louis, at 7:30 a.m. The First Vice-President of the Executive Council was the celebrant, assisted by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Honolulu, the Director of the Home Department, and the Secretary of the House of Bishops.

The House assembled in Executive Session for the election of Missionary Bishops and of a Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Missionary Bishops, Election of")

The House recessed to reconvene in Executive Session at 11:00 a.m.

The House rose.

The House recessed at 12:00 noon to reconvene at 2:30 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:30 p.m., with the Vice-Chairman in the Chair.

The Bishop of South Florida read a cabled message of greeting from Augustin Cardinal Bea, on behalf of Pope Paul VI, as follows:

To the General Convention: The Holy Father received your most fraternal greeting. In his name I am honored to express his deep appreciation and to promise his prayers and mine that the Lord of Mercy will draw us nearer to that perfect unity he wills for his Church.

The Bishop of Southwestern Brazil offered a Resolution of greeting to Bishop Melcher, who is absent from the House because of illness. The Resolution was approved by a rising vote.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the Eighth Day which was approved.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 64—National Council of Churches
No. 65—Mutual Responsibility—Response to Immediate Need
No. 66—General Theological Seminary Trustees
No. 67—Mutual Responsibility—Accepting the Document
No. 68—Mutual Responsibility—Organizing for Response
No. 69—Church Pension Fund—Trustees
No. 70—Good Friday Offering
No. 71—Canon 8, Sec. 1
No. 72—Closing Service
No. 73—Philippine Episcopal and Independent Churches—Joint Council
No. 74—Minority Groups in Church's Urban Program
No. 75—Diocesan Boundaries—Study of
No. 76—Indian Work—Commendation of Joint Staff
No. 77—Indian Work—High Priority
No. 78—Partnership Plan—Joint Commission
No. 79 to No. 86—Program and Budget
No. 88 to No. 91—Missionary Bishops, Election of

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

At this juncture, the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, the Bishop of South Florida, presented, for formal action of the House, two Resolutions which had been adopted in Executive Session, namely,

Open Communion
Racial Inclusiveness

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Bishop of Spokane explained to the House that where Executive Council personnel is requested to perform special functions within a Diocese, the Diocese will be expected to share in the travel expenses.

The Bishop of South Florida quoted from an article in the magazine *Newsweek* misrepresenting an action of General Convention and offered the following Resolution, which was adopted:

*Resolved*, That the House of Bishops state categorically that the report of the Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence Commission adopted by the 61st General Convention contained no reference to joint work with the National Council of Churches in the United States of America.

The Bishop of South Florida requested permission of the House to introduce a Resolution amending Canon 40, Sec. 2 (c), pertaining to the signing of Testimonials after the election of Missionary Bishops.
On motion, permission was granted, and the motion was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Montana, reporting for the Committee on the Pentecostal Movement, made the following report:

Since the adoption and publication of the statement of the House of Bishops in 1962, entitled, "New Movements in the Church", many people, both clerical and lay, have had an experience that comes within the scope of the encouragement and caution given by the Bishops.

It has become increasingly evident that many more of our people have had an experience of the Holy Spirit in which "Speaking in tongues" has been a common manifestation. We find much in this movement to commend, and some evidence that the Church needs guidance in areas of excess.

To this matter we have directed ourselves and intend to explore it further through this Commission appointed by the Presiding Bishop.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Executive Council—Members")

The House went into Executive Session.

The House rose and adopted a Resolution on Christian Witness in South Africa.

(See Topical Arrangement.)

On motion, the House adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

---

TENTH DAY

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1964

The House convened at 9:30 a.m.

Devotions were lead by the Bishop of Northern Indiana.

A summary of the Minutes of the Ninth Day was read by the Secretary and approved.

The Bishop of South Florida announced the appointment of a special Committee on the Faith of the Church, provided for in Executive Session, as follows:

- The Bishop of Michigan
- The Bishop of Virginia
- The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman)
- The Bishop of Northern Indiana
- The Bishop of Rochester
The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 87—Constitution—Article II., Sec. 8
No. 92—Constitution—Article IX.
No. 93—Constitution—Article VIII. (not adopted)
No. 94—Canon 6, Sec. 3
No. 95—Canon 21, Sec. 6
No. 96—Canon 16, Sec. 4
No. 97—Canon 43, Sec. 8
No. 98—Presiding Bishop—Disability Allowance
No. 99—Canon 2, Sec. 7
No. 100—Executive Council—Members
No. 101—Canon 40, Sec. 2(b)
No. 102—Canon 3 and Canon 4
No. 103—Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Bishop of Bethlehem, rising to a point of personal privilege, addressed the House on statements made to the press by members of the House in such way as to be misinterpreted as action of the House.

The Bishop of Texas, having been elected Presiding Bishop, submitted his resignation to the House, in accordance with the provisions of Canon 2, Section 3(a), to become effective December 31, 1964. He announced that arrangements would be made for his installation in the Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, Washington, D. C., on January 27, 1965.

On motion, the resignation was accepted by the House.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Canon 26, Sec. 1(b)
Canon 26, Sec. 2(b)
Canon 29, Sec. 1(a)(7)
Canon 50, Sec. 1 (not adopted)
Clergy Retirement at age 65
Canon 26, Sec. 5(b) (1) and (2)
Canon 53 (new)
Church Pension Fund—Trustees)
The Bishop of Florida, referring to a proposed amendment of Canon 40, Section 2(c), explained that the Committee had not had sufficient time to study the matter and recommended that action be deferred to the next General Convention.

On motion, it was so referred.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 105—Canon 8, Sec. 1
No. 106—Canon 20
No. 108—Canon 43, Sec. 8

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Resolutions presented on behalf of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders were adopted, as follows:

Theological Education Sunday
Study-leaves for the Clergy
Scholarship Fund for Theological Education—Administration

The Bishop of Massachusetts offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Committee on Expenses be authorized and instructed to provide, in the budget for the next triennium, an appropriation of $13,000.00 for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders.

This was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 11:00 a.m.

The Bishop of Michigan presented the following "Statement by the House of Bishops" on behalf of the Special Committee on the Faith of the Church:

Whereas recent theological discussion has raised certain questions of good order in the Church, we affirm that the issue before us is not any specific doctrine. It is primarily the way in which the Christian Faith (which is greater than any of us and into which we as individuals grow) is presented to the world.

As Bishops, we are obligated by oath to hold and proclaim that Faith. This House does not have the means of evaluating in detail the manner of each Bishop's or Priest's interpretation of the Faith. Nor do we deny—indeed we affirm—the importance of relating the Christian Faith to the growth of human thought and knowledge, and the part that individuals play in this process.

However, this House is concerned that in the public presentation of the Faith, no Bishop or Priest, either in what he says or in the manner in which he says it, denies the Catholic Faith, or implies
that the Church does not mean the truth which it expresses in its worship. For us, the criterion of what constitutes the Christian Faith is the corporate consciousness of the Body guided by the Spirit down the ages, in preference to the necessarily limited views of any man or generation living or dead.

Adopted by the House.

The Bishop of Western Kansas, recently elected Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces, presented his resignation as Bishop of the Missionary District of Western Kansas, to become effective on January 31, 1965.

On motion, the resignation was accepted.

On motion, the House recessed until 2:00 p.m.

The House rose.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m., with the Vice-Chairman in the Chair, and authorized the spreading upon the Minutes of the two actions taken in Executive Session.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 109—Canon 47, Sec. 2 (not adopted)
No. 110—Canon 41, Sec. 6(c) and Canon 43, Sec. 7(c)
No. 111—Canon 34, Sec. 10
No. 112—Canon 51, Sec. 1
No. 113—Canon 51, Sec. 5
No. 115—Trial Use—Minor Feasts and Fasts

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Bishop of Olympia addressed the House pertaining to the meeting place for the 1967 General Convention.

The Bishop of South Florida presented a position paper, “On Civil Disobedience”, and moved its adoption.

The Bishop of Bethlehem offered a substitute paper entitled, “On Christian Obedience”. After extended discussion, the Bishop of South Florida moved that both papers be submitted to the Special Committee of the House on the Faith of the Church, to which was added, for this purpose only, the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess) and the Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama.

The Committee reported and offered the following Statement, “On Christian Obedience”: 
Christian teaching holds that civil authority is given by God to provide order in human society, and that just human law is a reflection of immutable divine law which man did not devise. Under all normal circumstances, therefore, Christians obey the civil law, seeing in it the will of God. Yet it must be recognized that laws exist which deny these eternal and immutable laws. In such circumstances, the Church and its members, faithful to Scripture, reserve the right to obey God rather than man.

Thus, the Church recognizes the right of any persons to urge the repeal of unjust laws by all lawful means, including participation in peaceful demonstrations. If and when the means of legal recourse have been exhausted, or are demonstrably inadequate, the Church recognizes the right of all persons, for reasons of informed conscience, to disobey such laws, so long as such persons

a) accept the legal penalty for their action,

b) carry out their protest in a non-violent manner, and

c) exercise severe restraint in using this privilege of conscience, because of the danger of lawlessness attendant thereon.

Before Christians participate in such actions, they should seek the will of God in prayer and the counsel of their fellow Christians.

On motion, the Statement was adopted by the House.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 114—Prayer Book Revision
No. 118—Aging—Episcopal Foundation
No. 119—Church Fire Insurance Corporation—Exemption
No. 120—Lay Employees—Medical Plan for
No. 121—Medical Plan for the Clergy
No. 104—Church Pension Fund—Trustees
No. 124—Episcopalian, Inc., The
No. 117—Aging—Church's Ministry to

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Secretary read a telegram from the Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong, accepting his election as Bishop of Taiwan.

The Bishop of South Florida offered prayers for Bishop-elect Wong.

On the recommendation of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, the House took action on the following matters:

Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8
Constitution—Article VIII. (not adopted)
Racial Inclusiveness

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)
On the recommendation of the Committee on Canons, the House took action on the following matters:

Canon 6, Sec. 3
Canon 34, Sec. 10
Clergy Retirement at Age 65
Canon 43, Sec. 8(e)
Canon 41, Sec. 6(c) and Canon 43, Sec. 7(c)

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Bishop of Connecticut, reporting for the Committees on the Book of Common Prayer of the two Houses, on Memorials received from the Diocese of Western North Carolina and the Diocese of Delaware regarding lay administration of the Chalice, recommended that, in view of the attitude of some members of the two Committees, the Committee of this House be dismissed from further consideration of the matter.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted and the Committee was dismissed.

The Bishop of Nevada, reporting for the Joint Committee on Expenses, presented the tentative Triennial Budget of Expenses for the General Convention, which showed a total in the amount of $624,280.06.

In connection with the foregoing, the Bishop of Connecticut offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the words, "Diocesan Assessment", be inserted following the figure $21.27 on page 6 of the report of the Joint Committee on Expenses of General Convention, and that the words, "Missionary District Assessment", be inserted following the figure $5.32 on the same page.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

(Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 192.)

[Editor's Note: Through inadvertence, the foregoing Resolution was delivered to the Secretary of the House of Deputies after that House had adjourned. No concurrence, therefore, was had thereon.]

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 123—Canon 26, Sec. 1(b)
No. 128—Canon 26, Sec. 2(b)
No. 129—Theological Education Sunday
No. 130—Study-leaves for the clergy

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)
The Bishop of Honolulu spoke of the good work done by the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu in Taiwan. He informed the House that Bishop Gilson would be in residence in Okinawa for the best interests of the work there. He moved that the House extend its thanks and commendation to the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu.

The motion was adopted.

The Bishop of Northwest Texas, speaking as President of the Seventh Province, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops ask the Presiding Bishop to appoint a Committee from the 7th Province to study and survey the Missionary District of Western Kansas, which Committee will make report to the next meeting of the House of Bishops.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop appoint a Theological Committee, composed of members of this House, the purpose of which Committee shall be to engage in continuing dialogue with contemporary theologians; and that this Committee report from time to time to the House of Bishops, in order that this House may be better informed as to the nature of the crisis in the relationship between the language of Theology and that of modern culture.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, reporting for the Overseas Committee, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House request the Overseas Department of the Executive Council, in conjunction with the Bishops in overseas jurisdictions, to consider and study the drawing of a new Canon dealing with the elections of Bishops for overseas jurisdictions, and report to the House of Bishops at the next meeting in 1965; and be it further

Resolved, That the Canon, if that prove practical, be developed along the following lines:

(1) The Council of Advice (or Standing Committee) of the overseas jurisdiction for which there is to be an episcopal election shall make recommendations to the Overseas Committee of this House, and from these or other nominations (i.e., from the Province or otherwise), this House shall nominate no more than three candidates.

(2) From this number, the "Overseas" Diocese, in Convention, and in accordance with its own Canon, shall choose one to be the Bishop.

(3) In the event of the inability of the "Overseas" Diocese to elect, the right (and responsibility) of election shall revert to the House of Bishops; and, finally, be it
Resolved, That should such a Canon be proposed, it be presented to the General Convention meeting in 1967.

To this end, the Overseas Committee resolves to request recommendations from Standing Committees (or Councils of Advice) before making nominations to this House during the period of canonical study.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi continued his report and offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That in the event it becomes necessary to elect bishops in jurisdictions of this Church which are members of the South East Asia Council, it be the policy of the Overseas Mission Committee to solicit recommendations and information through the South East Asia Council before submitting nominations to this House; and be it further

Resolved, That notice of this decision be given to the South East Asia Council, and to all Bishops of this Church who are members of the South East Asia Council.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, reporting for his Committee on a Resolution by Bishop Blankingship dealing with equalization of salaries of Missionaries, pointed out that the matter is already under study by the Overseas Department, and that the Committee has no recommendations to make at this time. He asked to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

On motion, the Committee was discharged.

The Bishop of Western Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Interim Meetings of the House, reported that invitations had been received from the Bishop of West Virginia and the Bishop of Western North Carolina.

On motion, the House voted to meet at the Greenbriar, White Sulphur Springs, West Virginia, in October, 1966.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies as follows:

No. 125—American Bible Society
No. 126—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 14
No. 131—Church-State Relations
No. 132—Human Rights—Universal Declaration
No. 133—South Africa—Christian Witness in
No. 134—Levels of Authority in the Church
The Bishop of Rochester, continuing his report for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, reported on a Memorial from the Diocese of Massachusetts, relative to a study of the Marriage Canons.

The Committee recommended adoption of the Resolution, but the motion was not carried.

The Bishop of Rochester reported on a Memorial from the Diocese of Washington, requesting a study of Episcopal assistance. He expressed the Committee's concern for the problem, but stated that the matter should be studied by an appropriate committee of the House, and so recommended.

He moved that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 5:07 p.m.

The House rose.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

---

ELEVENTH DAY

Friday, October 23, 1964

The House convened at 10:00 a.m. after attending the reading of the Pastoral Letter in Christ Church Cathedral.

Devotions were led by the Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma.

The reading of the Summary of the Minutes was dispensed with.

The Bishop of Missouri, speaking on a point of personal privilege called attention to a misleading editorial in the St. Louis Globe Democrat and pointed out that the action of the House was quite different from that represented in the press.

The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg, reporting for the Committee on Resignation of Bishops, offered the following Resolution:
RESOLUTION ON THE RESIGNATION OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP

The resignation of the Most Reverend Arthur Carl Lichtenberger, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D., L.H.oDo, D.C.L., of the office of Presiding Bishop has been accepted with deep regret by this House. Our sense of loss in his leaving this office is in some measure balanced by our thanksgiving for, and joy in, the years that he has given so faithfully and zealously to carrying out the duties of the position to which we called him. As we are sad at his surrender of the helm, we are happy that he continues to be one of the crew.

Arthur Lichtenberger began his life in the Ministry as professor of New Testament at St. Paul’s Divinity School, Wuchang, China, following which he was, in succession, rector of parishes in College Hill, Cincinnati, Ohio, and Brookline, Mass. Following this, he became lecturer in Pastoral Care at the Episcopal Theological School, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Dean of Trinity Cathedral, Newark, N. J., and professor of Pastoral Theology in the General Theological Seminary. He became Bishop Coadjutor of the Diocese of Missouri and Bishop of Missouri.

Called to the highest office in the Church Militant six years ago, he has given himself, his heart, his mind, his body, to serve the Lord his God and the people in his care. We shall always hold him in highest esteem and, as we can, show our appreciation of the leadership which he has given to us.

Therefore, be it

Resolved, That this House assure Arthur Carl Lichtenberger of the continuing affection of his colleagues and of their dedication to carrying on their work under his successor.

May God bless him richly.

The Resolution was adopted by standing vote.

The Suffragan Bishop of Harrisburg presented the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops accept the resignation of the Rt. Rev. John Boyd Bentley, D.D., S.T.D., Director of the Overseas Department and Vice-President of the National Council, effective October 31, 1964, under Canon 43, Sec. 8(a).

John Boyd Bentley has served the Church in several capacities, as Missionary, Suffragan Bishop, and Bishop of the Missionary District of Alaska. He served in the Diocese of Maryland as Master of Charlotte Hall School, and in the Diocese of Virginia as Assistant at Bruton Parish in Williamsburg. He was ordained Deacon by the late Bishop Rowe and Priest by Bishop B. D. Tucker.

John Boyd Bentley was born in Hampton, Virginia, on February 9, 1896, the son of Charles Headley and Susan Elizabeth (Cake) Bentley. He is a graduate of William and Mary College and The Virginia Theological Seminary (from which he also received his Doctor of Divinity Degree in 1932). From the General Theological Seminary he received the Degree of Doctor of Sacred Theology in 1948.
From 1948 to the present time, he has served the Church as Director of the Overseas Department and Vice-President of the National Council. He has served the Church faithfully, devotedly, and well since his ordination to the Diaconate, and we thank God for his ministry and pray that he may have many more years of service to his Lord and through the Church.

The Secretary read a letter of appreciation from Dom Columba Cary-Elwes, O.S.B., Prior; Reverend Robert F. Coerver, C.M.; and Carl R. Gaertner, who had been Official Observers, designated by the Roman Catholic Church, to this Convention.

On motion, the House voted to express its appreciation to the above-named observers for the time and attention given to the sessions.

The Bishop of West Missouri offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops at its next meeting devote itself to considered discussion of current theological trends in the light of our continuing responsibility as teachers and defenders of the Faith to set forth the Gospel in ways which are meaningful in the age in which we are called upon to proclaim it.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of West Missouri offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops express its hearty appreciation to the Bishop of Michigan for his irenic contributions to our deliberations.

The Resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Massachusetts offered the following Resolution, which was adopted, as follows:

Resolved, That this House send its greetings to our beloved former Presiding Bishop, the Rt. Reverend Henry Knox Sherrill, D.D. We are constantly mindful of his contribution to our House, to many of us personally, and to the life of this Church; and send him all good wishes and assure him of our prayers.

The Bishop of Springfield offered a Memorial providing for the amending of Canon 51.

This was referred to the Standing Liturgical Committee for study.

The Bishop of New York moved that the House extend its greetings and good wishes to the Bishop of New Jersey who has been absent because of illness.

The motion was adopted.
The Bishop of Iowa reporting for the Committee on Appreciation offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That we, the members of the House of Bishops, being assembled in St. Louis for the 61st General Convention of our Church, and being deeply sensible of the immeasurable amount of time and talent required in planning and carrying through the mountain of details which any such convention requires, wish to express our profound appreciation to our hosts in the Diocese of Missouri for the splendid manner in which they have arranged for our welfare.

In particular, we acknowledge our debt of gratitude to the Bishop of Missouri and the Committee Chairmen whose names and offices are appended hereto.

Our every need was anticipated by these wonderful people and we cannot but credit much of the success of our deliberations to the warm hospitality they have shown in providing so encouraging a setting for our work. We ask, therefore, that this inadequate expression of our unqualified appreciation be spread on the Minutes of the House, and that a copy of it be forwarded to the Bishop of Missouri.

The Resolution was adopted.

The House received Messages from the House of Deputies, as follows:

No. 116—Soviet Union—Christian Witness in
No. 135—National Council of Churches
No. 136—International Co-operation Year
No. 137—Consultation on Christian Union
No. 138—Healing Ministry
No. 139—Evangelism—General Secretary
No. 140—General Convention—Place of Next
No. 141—Liturgical Material in Commission Reports
No. 142—Pension Assessments—Rate
No. 143—Inter-Church Urban Training Center
No. 144—Partnership Plan Director
No. 145—Scholarship Fund for Theological Education
No. 146—Open Communion
No. 147—Pension Assessments—Rate
No. 148—Partnership Plan vice Quotas
No. 150—Committees and Commissions—Continued
No. 151—Constitution—Article I., Sec. 3
No. 152—Transportation from Government Installations to Church-related Schools
No. 153—Retirement Allowances for Certain Bishops
No. 154—Presiding Bishop—Method of Nominating and Electing
No. 155—Healing Ministry
No. 156—Missionary Districts and Dioceses
No. 157—Committees and Commissions—Continued
No. 158—Canon 29, Sec. 1(a)(7)
No. 159—Canon 50, Sec. 1 (not adopted)
No. 160—Women Church Workers, Joint Commission to co-operate with Division of Christian Ministries
No. 161—Women Church Workers—Standing Joint Commission
No. 162—Canon 53 (new)
No. 163—Christian Unity—New Delhi Statement
No. 164—Missionary Districts—Nomenclature and Status
No. 165—Missionary Bishops—Flexibility in Budgets
No. 166—Canon 26, Sec. 5(1)
No. 167—Draftsmanship Committee
No. 168—General Convention Budget

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

(Also, see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Aging—Episcopal Society for Ministry
Welsh, Rev. Clement W.—Appreciation of Canon 41, Sec. 7(b)
Treasurer of the General Convention—Report
Program and Budget)

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 179 stating that it had completed its business and stood ready to adjourn.

On motion of the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, the following motion was sent to the House of Deputies:

The Secretary of the House of Bishops notifies the Secretary of the House of Deputies that the House of Bishops has completed its business, and stands ready to adjourn.

The Resolution was adopted.

(Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 186.)

Following the benediction by the Chair, the House adjourned sine die.
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## DEPUTIES FROM DIOCESES

### ALABAMA

**Clerical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Francis B. Wakefield, Jr.</td>
<td>Mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Emmet Gribbin, Jr.</td>
<td>University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alvin S. Bullen, (73)</td>
<td>Anniston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward G. Mullen, D.D., (70)</td>
<td>Florence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul T. Tate, Jr.</td>
<td>Mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Nicholas Hamner Cobb, (20)</td>
<td>Greensboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Evans Dunn, (2)</td>
<td>Birmingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. A. R. Tomlinson, (1)</td>
<td>Florence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ALBANY

**Clerical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. David S. Ball, (15)</td>
<td>Albany, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Darwin Kirby, Jr. (19)</td>
<td>Schenectady, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward T. H. Williams, (71)</td>
<td>Albany, New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Fred Longe, (71)</td>
<td>Albany, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hector Buell, (13)</td>
<td>Johnstown, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart A. Winning, M.D., (2)</td>
<td>Ogdensburg, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Maynard A. Bebee</td>
<td>Castleton On The Hudson, New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ARIZONA

**Clerical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Paul D. Urbano, (72)</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Clinton Fowler, (2)</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Howard W. Blackburn, (73)</td>
<td>Sun City</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William W. Clore, (18)</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul M. Roca, (11)</td>
<td>Phoenix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Edward Morgan, (73)</td>
<td>Tucson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Harold Rutherford</td>
<td>Cave Creek</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ARKANSAS

**Clerical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Rayford McLean, (4)</td>
<td>El Dorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles Scott May, (72)</td>
<td>Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John E. Shoemaker, (1)</td>
<td>Fort Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James H. Penick, Sr., (71)</td>
<td>Little Rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John H. Holloway, (9)</td>
<td>El Dorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ernest B. Wilson, (8)</td>
<td>Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles H. Campbell, (70)</td>
<td>El Dorado</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ATLANTA

**Clerical**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Milton L. Wood, Jr., (22)</td>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward E. Tate, D.D., (71)</td>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Stewart Matthews, (48)</td>
<td>Macon, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hugh Saussy, Jr., (12)</td>
<td>Sandy Springs, Georgia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lay**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hinton F. Longino, (4)</td>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Smith, (73)</td>
<td>Columbus, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Seaton G. Bailey, (11)</td>
<td>Griffin, Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Boyd, Ph.D., (73)</td>
<td>Carrollton, Georgia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1. The Rev. A. Emile Joffrion took the place of the Rev. Mr. Mullen for the 4th day only.
2. The Rev. Reginald D. Rodriguez took the place of the Rev. Mr. Blackburn from the 5th day to the 9th day.
3. Mr. Rutherford took his place on the 2nd day.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. John W. Watters, (70) Bethlehem, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Mr. James R. Bazley, Jr., (44) Pottsville, Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Rev. John N. McCormick, (70) Bethlehem, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Mr. Richard Little, (22) Clarks Green, Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Burke Rivers, (1) Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Mr. Frank T. Green, (21) Jermyn, Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., (21) Berkeley</td>
<td>Mr. Robert Arnold, (74) San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Rev. Lesley Wilder, D.D., (45) San Mateo</td>
<td>Mr. Howard Freeman, (22) San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Rev. John B. Haverland, (73) Redwood City</td>
<td>Mr. George Livermore, (20) San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CENTRAL NEW YORK</strong></td>
<td>The Rev. Donald R. J. Read, (74) Johnson City</td>
<td>Mr. Hugh R. Jones, (6) Utica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Rev. James E. Mahagan, (72) Auburn</td>
<td>Mr. Frank T. Wood, Jr., (3) Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Rev. Stanley P. Gasek, (2) Utica</td>
<td>Mr. John C. Becker, (12) Waterloo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Rev. W. Paul Thompson, (22) Binghamton</td>
<td>Mr. Hamilton S. White, (70) Syracuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COLORADO</strong></td>
<td>Very Rev. Herbert M. Barrall, (41) Denver</td>
<td>Karl Arndt, M.D., (5) Denver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. A. Balfour Patterson, Jr., (71) Boulder</td>
<td>Mr. Martin A. Ohlander, (11) Colorado Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Marion J. Hammond, (4) Denver</td>
<td>Mr. Eugene G. Bowes, (70) Denver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Charles V. Young, (9) Greeley</td>
<td>Mr. Graydon W. Holmquist, (42) Denver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Canon Robert B. Appleyard, D.D., (1) Greenwich</td>
<td>Mr. Roger W. Hartt, (20) Fairfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Harry B. Whiteley, (70) Bridgeport</td>
<td>Mr. Robert Worthington, New Canaan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The Rev. Richard Byfield took the place of Dean Bartlett on the 7th day only.
5. The Rev. Richard Byfield took the place of Dean Johnson on the evening of the 8th day only.
6. The Rev. Richard Byfield took the place of the Rev. Mr. Wilder on the 10th day.
### JOURNAL OF THE GENERAL CONVENTION [1964]

#### DALLAS

**Clerical**
- Rev. William P. Barnds, D.D., (6) Fort Worth, Texas
- Rev. James P. DeWolfe, Jr., (3) Fort Worth, Texas
- Rev. Frank E. Jarrett, (74) Dallas, Texas
- Rev. David A. Jones, (14) Denison, Texas

**Lay**
- Mr. Jos. Irion Worsham, (47) Dallas, Texas
- Mr. Ray F. Holmes, (1) Fort Worth, Texas
- Mr. W. Llewellyn Powell, (18) Dallas, Texas
- Mr. Chancey E. Juday, (72) Garland, Texas

#### DELAWARE

- Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle, D.D., (22) Wilmington
- Rev. Victor Kuanik, (72) Bridgeville
- Rev. James G. Birney, (70) Wilmington
- Rev. G. P. Mellick Beishaw, (72) Dover

#### EAST CAROLINA

- Rev. Hunley A. Elebash, (33) Wilmington, North Carolina
- Rev. E. E. Kirton, (73) Wilmington, North Carolina
- Rev. John W. Drake, Jr., (72) Greenville, North Carolina

#### EASTON

- Rev. David F. Gearhart, (18) Centreville, Maryland
- Rev. George A. Taylor, (70) Chestertown, Maryland
- Rev. Robert P. Varley, Th.D., (11) Salisbury, Maryland
- Rev. William L. Dewees, (73) Ocean City, Maryland

#### EAU CLAIRE

- Rev. Canon Raymond S. Ottensmeyer, (41) Superior, Wisconsin
- Rev. Kenneth E. Trueman, (70) La Crosse, Wisconsin
- Rev. Carl R. Dahlen, (70) River Falls, Wisconsin
- Rev. Elmer C. Maier, (71) Ashland, Wisconsin

- Mr. Eugene Sutter, (71) Owen, Wisconsin*
- Mr. V. P. Gillett, Jr., (31) Eau Claire, Wisconsin

#### ERIE

- Ven. Roy Schaffer, (71) Erie, Pennsylvania
- Rev. Canon Ralph E. Hovencamp, (7) New Castle, Pennsylvania
- Very Rev. Frederic R. Murray, (10) Erie, Pennsylvania

- Mr. DeWitt M. Bull, Jr., (74) Fairview, Pennsylvania
- The Hon. Lyle G. Hall, (16) Ridgway, Pennsylvania
- Mr. D. Harvey Phillips, (47) Bradford, Pennsylvania
- Mr. Edward Yewell, (72) Sharon, Pennsylvania

---

7. Mr. William F. Maxwell took the place of Mr. Worsham on the 9th day.

* Indicates non-attendance
FLORIDA

CLERICAL

Very Rev. Robert R. Parks, (1)
Rev. Neil I. Gray, (72)
Rev. Robert M. G. Libby, (74)
Rev. Earle C. Page, (74)

Mr. Arthur Platt, (30)
Mr. Duncan Burn, (42)
The Hon. Alfred T. Airth, (5)
The Hon. George Milam, (31)

LAW

Jacksonville
Jacksonville
Jacksonville
Jacksonville

Jacksonville
Jacksonville
Jacksonville
Jacksonville

FOND DU LAC

Rev. John O. Bruce, (44)
Rev. Thomas K. Chaffee, Jr., (1)
Rev. James W. Samter, (72)

The Hon. Ferdinand H. Schlichting, (5)
Mr. Arnold W. F. Langner, Jr., (74)
Mr. Henry F. Hagemeister, (71)
Mr. Selden Spencer, (72)

Wisconsin
Wisconsin
Wisconsin
Wisconsin

Green Bay, Wisconsin
Sheboygan, Wisconsin
Green Bay, Wisconsin

GEORGIA

Ven. Alfred Mead, (22)
Rev. Kenneth M. Gearhart, (5)
Rev. Nelson Daunt, (74)
Rev. F. Bland Tucker, D.D., (3)

Mr. John Pierson, (74)
The Hon. Malcolm Maclean, (73)
Mr. Gwinn Nixon, (73)
Mr. Samuel C. Walford, (9)

Savannah
Savannah
Albany
Savannah

HARRISBURG

Ven. Kermit L. Lloyd, (74)
Rev. Harry T. Frownfelter, (74)
Rev. Robert C. Batchelder, (72)
Rev. Canon Heber M. Becker, (5)

Nathan W. Stuart, Esq., (72)
Mr. Robert E. Barfield, (74)
Mr. Francis M. Belford, (70)

Westbury, Pennsylvania
Wellboro, Pennsylvania
Lancaster, Pennsylvania
Lancaster, Pennsylvania

INDIANAPOLIS

Ven. Frederic P. Williams, (33)
Rev. Canon Frank V. H. Carlyle, (31)
Rev. W. Robert Webb, (70)
Rev. Thomas A. Dixon, (10)

Irving W. Burr, Ph.D., (8)
Mr. Byron F. Hollett, (74)
Mr. Charles W. Battle, (3)
R. Shirrell Rogers, M.D., (47)

West Lafayette, Indiana
Indianapolis, Indiana
Evansville, Indiana
New Castle, Indiana

IOWA

Rev. Henry B. Robbins, (16)
Rev. E. William Strauser, (13)
Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer, (1)

Mr. William L. Talbot, (3)
Mr. Ray Lauterbach, (72)
Mr. Clark Caldwell, (73)
Mr. Andrew K. Miller, Jr.

Keokuk
Perry
Iowa City
Urbandale

8. The Rev. Robert F. Sweetser took the place of the Rev. Mr. Samter on the 6th day.
9. Mr. Carl E. Steiger took the place of Mr. Spencer on the 8th day.
10. The Rev. Russell Staines, D.D., took the place of the Rev. Mr. Dixon on the 7th day.
11. Mr. Chester Hultberg took the place of Mr. Hollett on the 51st day.
12. Mr. Ross Sydney took the place of Mr. Lauterbach from the 1st to the 6th day.
13. Mr. Ross Sydney took the place of Mr. Miller on the 7th day.

* Indicates non-attendance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>KANSAS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. G. Harris Collingwood, Jr., (73)</td>
<td>John E. Sweeney, M.D., (14) Topeka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Henry H. Bresl, (73)</td>
<td>Mr. J. Douglas Velth, (74) Independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic J. Raasch, (22)</td>
<td>Mr. Albert A. Goering, (73) Newton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KENTUCKY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward W. Conklin, (70)</td>
<td>Mr. William Brissie, (33) Louisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. F. G. Hopper, (71)</td>
<td>Mr. George H. Greer, (40) Owensboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Howard Surface, Jr., (70)</td>
<td>Mr. A. L. Entwistle, (74) Louisville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEXINGTON</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Clarke Bloomfield, D.D., (6)</td>
<td>Mr. Angus W. McDonald, (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Addison Hose, (41)</td>
<td>Mr. William Nare, (74) Versailles, Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Ward Jackson, (70)</td>
<td>The Hon. Henry Meigs, (70)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frankfort, Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LONG ISLAND</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Canon Harry J. Stretch, (19)</td>
<td>Mr. Hunter L. Delatour, (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lindenhurst, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOS ANGELES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Parker Jones, D.D., (33)</td>
<td>George Gibbs, Ph.D., (30)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Laguna, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LOUISIANA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Sherwood S. Clayton, (9)</td>
<td>Mr. T. FitzHugh Wilson, (16) Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Francis Hipwell, (70)*</td>
<td>Mr. Goodloe R. Stuck, (72) Shreveport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert C. Witcher, (71)</td>
<td>Mr. Davis Lee Jahncke, (74) Metairie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. The Rev. Louis Basso, Jr., took the place of the Rev. Mr. Raash for the 4th day only.
16. The Rev. C. Boone Sadler took the place of the Rev. Mr. Burt on the 10th day.
17. Edward A. White, Ph.D., took the place of Dr. Gibbs on the 3rd day.
18. Mr. Howard Hoover took the place of Mr. Sigmund for the 5th & 9th day.
19. Mr. Robert C. Reagan took the place of Mr. Sigmund on the 10th day.
20. The Rev. David J. Coughlin took the place of the Rev. Mr. Hipwell on the 10th day.
* Indicates non-attendance
## 1964 ROSTER OF HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

### MAINE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, (5) Augusta</td>
<td>Mr. Fred C. Scribner, Jr., (7) Portland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Charles O. Brown, (48) Portland</td>
<td>Mr. Ralph G. Kennison, (10) Augusta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, (5) Augusta</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Fred C. Scribner, Jr., (7) Portland</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Rev. Charles O. Brown, (48) Portland</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Ralph G. Kennison, (10) Augusta</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Canon Halg J. Nargeslan, (31) Camden</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Roderie O'Connor, (73) Lewiston</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Malcolm S. Sawtelle, (72) Northeast Harbor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Col. Carroll H. Clark (71) Ogunquit</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MARYLAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Walter G. J. Harts, Th.D., (71) Baltimore</td>
<td>Mr. Douglas C. Turnbull, (70) Baltimore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James F. Madison, (70) Annapolis</td>
<td>Mr. W. Page Dame, Jr., (47) Ruxton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. David C. Watson, D.D., (6) Baltimore</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Harrison Garrett, (19) Baltimore</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Walter G. J. Harts, Th.D., (71) Baltimore</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Douglas C. Turnbull, (70) Baltimore</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. James F. Madison, (70) Annapolis</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. W. Page Dame, Jr., (47) Ruxton</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MASSACHUSETTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Charles H. Buck, Jr., Ph.D., (32) Boston</td>
<td>Mr. John B. Tilson, (30) Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald W. Noseworthy, (70) Wayland</td>
<td>Mr. John R. Quarles, (1) Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Rev. Charles H. Buck, Jr., Ph.D., (32) Boston</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. John B. Tilson, (30) Boston</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D., (21) Cambridge</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Frank P. Foster, (74) Boston</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Donald W. Noseworthy, (70) Wayland</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. John R. Quarles, (1) Boston</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MICHIGAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsey, (13) Detroit</td>
<td>Mr. Frederic S. Glover, Jr., (5) Detroit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gordon M. Jones, Jr., (72) Ann Arbor</td>
<td>Albert E. Heustis, M.D., (71) Lansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. C. George Widdifield, (73) Pontiac</td>
<td>Claude F. Cowley, (73) Dearborn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsey, (13) Detroit</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Frederic S. Glover, Jr., (5) Detroit</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Gordon M. Jones, Jr., (72) Ann Arbor</strong></td>
<td><strong>Albert E. Heustis, M.D., (71) Lansing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. C. George Widdifield, (73) Pontiac</strong></td>
<td><strong>Claude F. Cowley, (73) Dearborn</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MILWAUKEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Harold O. Martin, Jr., (71) Milwaukee, Wisconsin</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Vernon Bingham, (74) Kenosha, Wisconsin</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MINNESOTA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Denzil A. Carty, (44) St. Paul</td>
<td>Mr. David E. Bronson, (6) Minneapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Henry N. Hancock, D.D., (72) Minneapolis</td>
<td>Mr. E. G. Carpenter, (73) St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John W. Hildebrand, (20) Duluth</td>
<td>Mr. John W. Gregg, (31) Minneapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Ronald Taylor, (72) St. Paul</td>
<td>Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch, (47) St. Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. Denzil A. Carty, (44) St. Paul</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. David E. Bronson, (6) Minneapolis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Rev. Henry N. Hancock, D.D., (72) Minneapolis</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. E. G. Carpenter, (73) St. Paul</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. John W. Hildebrand, (20) Duluth</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. John W. Gregg, (31) Minneapolis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rev. T. Ronald Taylor, (72) St. Paul</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mr. Lloyd A. Hatch, (47) St. Paul</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

22. The Hon. Wilson K. Barnes took the place of Mr. Marbury on the 7th day.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Clerical</strong></th>
<th><strong>Lay</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Christoph Keller, Jr., (2)</td>
<td>Mr. Wade W. Hollowell, (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Duncan M. Hobart, S.T.D., (11)</td>
<td>Mr. Tom McColthlin, (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Charles F. Rehkopf, (1)</td>
<td>Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William H. Laird, D.D., (41)</td>
<td>.................Jackson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. W. Murray Kenney, (45)</td>
<td>Frederick Peterson, M.D., (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Pitt S. Willand, (71)</td>
<td>Mr. John H. Leach, (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George T. Masuda, (30)</td>
<td>Mr. Forrest F. Crane, (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Jackson E. Gilliam, (8)</td>
<td>Mr. Walter A. Swaarengen, (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ralph E. Krohn, (21)</td>
<td>Mr. James C. Garlington, (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert G. Hewitt, (18)</td>
<td>Mr. Donald Mackay, (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James L. Stilwell, Jr., (9)</td>
<td>D. Stuart MacKenzie, M.D., (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Mark D. McCallum, (74)</td>
<td>Mr. Edwin Speare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Donald H. Lyons, (16)</td>
<td>Mr. Keith Thomas, (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. L. Bradford Young, (70)</td>
<td>Mr. Reginald M. Sutton, (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald H. Marsh, (18)</td>
<td>Mr. Andrew D. Mapes, (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Samuel Steinmetz, Jr., (1)</td>
<td>Grand Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Raymond H. Miller, (5)</td>
<td>Mr. James S. Barker, (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. P. Donovan, Jr.</td>
<td>Mr. James B. Godfrey, (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Alex D. Dickson, Jr.</td>
<td>Mr. Eric N. Ebbeson, (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry E. Wier took the place of Judge Wise on the 6th day.</td>
<td>Mr. Richard Webb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. J. Maver Feehan took the place of Archdeacon Charles T. Rehkopf for the evening of the 10th day only.</td>
<td>Mt. Sunapee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Rev. Arthur R. Steidemann took the place of the Rev. Mr. Kenney for the afternoon of the 5th day only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW MEXICO AND SOUTHWEST TEXAS

Clerical

Rev. Henry F. Seaman, (42) ......................... Santa Fe, N.M.
Rev. Charles R. Davies, (18) ..................... Roswell, N.M.
Rev. Kenneth L. Rice, (70) ....................... El Paso, Texas
Rev. Milton A. Rohane, (71) ..................... Farmington, N.M.

Lay

Mr. Shelby Hogan, (47) ............................. Albuquerque, N.M.
Mr. William Ikard II, (20) ....................... Mesquite, N.M.
Mr. Tom B. Newman, Jr., (8) ..................... El Paso, Texas
Mr. William W. Gilbert, (7) ..................... Santa Fe, N.M.

NEW YORK

Mr. Sidney A. Wood, (48) ....................... West Orange, N.J.
Mr. John B. Rhodes, (13) ........................ Short Hills, N.J.
Mr. Henry C. Bourne, (71) .................... Tarboro
Mr. George D. Penick, M.D., (72) ............ Chapel Hill
Mr. George H. Esser, Jr., (70) .................. Chapel Hill
Mr. A. L. Purrington, Jr., (73) ............... Raleigh

NEWARK

Rev. George M. Bean, (74) ....................... Montclair, N.J.
Ven. Welles R. Bliss, (70) ....................... Nutley, N.J.
Rev. John R. Edler, (1) .......................... Irvington, N.J.

NORTH CAROLINA

Rev. Thorn W. Blair, (43) ........................ Charlotte
Rev. Thomas J. C. Smyth, (30) .................... Greensboro
Rev. Carl F. Herman, (4) ........................ Greensboro
Rev. Thomas R. Thrasher, (9) ................... Chapel Hill
Mr. Henry C. Bourne, (71) .................... Tarboro
Mr. George H. Esser, Jr., (70) .................. Chapel Hill
Mr. A. L. Purrington, Jr., (73) ............... Raleigh

NORTHERN CALIFORNIA

Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClenaghan, (18) ... Sacramento
Rev. Canon Charles Howard Perry, (74) ...... Sacramento
Rev. Gordon A. Cross, (10) ..................... Sacramento
Rev. Edward McNair, D.D., (47) ............... Marysville
Mr. James E. Jewell, (33) ....................... Sutter Creek
The Hon. Hugh A. Evans, (15) .................... Sacramento
Mr. Charles W. Tuttle, Jr., (20) ............... Colusa
Mr. Robert F. Gaines, (72) ..................... Sacramento

NORTHERN INDIANA

Rev. George B. Wood, D.D., (5) ................ Fort Wayne
Very Rev. Robert F. Royster, (47) ................ South Bend
Rev. William C. R. Sheridan, (21) ............. Plymouth
Rev. Robert J. Center, (72) ..................... Michigan City
Mr. Paul W. Philips, (72) ....................... Fort Wayne
Kenneth E. Kintner, O.D., (13) ................ Mishawaka
Mr. Jack Fougerousse, (74) ..................... Peru
Mr. Rupert Esser 32 .............................. Logansport

32. Mr. Rupert Esser took his place on the 5th day.
### Clerical

- Rev. Carlson Gerdau, (70).............. Ontonagon
- Rev. Ben F. Helmer, (70).................. Escanaba
- Rev. Canon J. William Ronston, (7)...... Iron Mountain
- Rev. Robert J. Yonkman................. Negaunee

### Lay

- Mr. Clifford A. Lewis, (46)............. Curtis
- Mr. Kenneth Sannes, (1).................. Niagara, Wisconsin
- Mr. George Pruner, (8)33.............. Houghton
- Mr. Alex Nelson, (72).................... Menominee

### NORTHERN MICHIGAN

- Rev. Lawrence Berry, (8).............. Odessa
- Rev. Richard F. Neal, (70)............. Amarillo
- Rev. Edward P. Dentzer, (72)........... Abilene
- Rev. Donald Hungerford, (70)........... Big Spring

### NORTHWEST TEXAS

- Ven. Louis M. Breton, D.D., (4)....... Cleveland
- Very Rev. David Loegler, (74)........... Cleveland
- Rev. Solomon N. Jacoby, (73)........... Cleveland
- Rev. Phil Porter, Jr., (72)............. Cleveland

### OHIO

- Rev. Charles E. Wilcox, (31)............. Tulsa
- Rev. Herbert N. Conley, (71)34........... Oklahoma City
- Very Rev. John van Dyk, D.Sc., (48)30... Oklahoma City
- Ven. H. Edwin Caudill, Ph.D., (70).... Oklahoma City

### OKLAHOMA

- Mr. Al. T. Singletary, (43)40............ Perry
- Mr. Frank J. Hightower, (72)41,42........ Oklahoma City
- Mr. Roy C. Lytle, (7).................... Oklahoma City
- Mr. George C. Lynde, (31).............. Muskogee

### OLYMPIA

- Rev. Lincoln P. Eng, (22)............... Seattle, Washington
- Rev. Matthew P. Bigliardi, (10)......... Bellevue, Washington

### OREGON

- Rev. Lee Owen Stone, (19)............... Portland
- Rev. Louis B. Keiter, D.D., (9)............ Portland
- Ven. Hal Raymond Gross, (6)............. Lake Oswego
- Very Rev. Joseph L. O’Rillion, (1)....... Portland

---

33. Mr. Pruner died on the 3rd day.
34. Mr. Robert T. Mason took the place of Dr. Lund on the 4th and 5th days only.
35. Mr. J. Warren Paisley took the place of Mr. Lauglin on the 10th day.
36. Mr. Robert T. Mason took the place of Mr. Beamer from the 1st to the 3rd day.
37. Mr. Beamer took his seat on the 4th day.
39. The Rev. Dr. Junker took the place of the Very Rev. Dr. van Dyk on the 9th day only.
40. Mr. G. R. Preston took the place of Mr. Singletary on the 3rd and 4th days only.
41. Mr. Bennie C. Garren took the place of Mr. Hightower from the 1st through the 5th days.
42. Mr. Hightower took his seat on the 6th day.
### PENNSYLVANIA

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ernest A. Harding, D.D.</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Horace Sparkman, S.T.D.</td>
<td>Bryn Mawr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles H. Long, Jr.</td>
<td>Glenside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. P. Blair Lee</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hon. Edward L. van Roden</td>
<td>Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Sydney L. W. Lea</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Brewster Rhoads</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PITTSBURGH

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. Dixon Rollit, D.D.</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert B. Muhl</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Max E. Smith</td>
<td>Butler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Benedict Williams, D.D.</td>
<td>Sewickley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major General Malcolm Hay</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Louis R. Schmetz</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Linwood L. Adams</td>
<td>Glenshaw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry Chalfant</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### QUINCY

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Howard W. Brummitt</td>
<td>Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gordon E. Gillett</td>
<td>Peoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Theron R. Hughes, Jr.</td>
<td>Peoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Thorpe W. DeGraff</td>
<td>Galesburg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bernard G. Templin</td>
<td>Peoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Clifford R. Schertz</td>
<td>Tiskilwa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ben H. Potter</td>
<td>Rock Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert Christie</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RHODE ISLAND

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Frederick H. Belden</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gordon J. Stenning, (8)</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alexander D. Stewart</td>
<td>Riverside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. William L. Kite</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Nicholas Brown</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Joseph W. Vanable, Sr.</td>
<td>Providence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Philip M. Shires</td>
<td>Cranston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William A. Reid, M.D.</td>
<td>Gloucester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ROCHESTER

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald A. Silvers, (73)</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon Arthur R. Cowdery</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Chester Baxter, (42)</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Donald R. Harter</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Russell Coward</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Lee Harder</td>
<td>Wellsville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Amory Houghton, Jr.</td>
<td>Corning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SAN JOAQUIN

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Victor M. Rivera, (1)</td>
<td>Visalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George R. Turney, (8)</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Amos R. Sexton</td>
<td>Stockton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Harry B. Lee</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James K. Barnum</td>
<td>Fresno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert Celum</td>
<td>Linden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Meyer</td>
<td>Stockton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frank P. Hanway</td>
<td>Stockton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43. M. Luther Kaufman, M.D., took the place of Mr. Lee on the 10th day.
44. Mr. Robert F. Bole took the place of Mr. Rhoads on the 3rd day.
45. Mr. William Tallman took the place of Mr. Schmetz on the 1st and 2nd day, and from the 7th to 11th days.
46. Mr. Schmetz took his seat on the 3rd day.
SOUTH CAROLINA

Clerical
Rev. Joseph R. Horn III, (18) ... Florence
Rev. John W. Hardy, (70) ... Beaufort
Rev. Stephen L. Skardon, (73) ... Summerville
Rev. Lynwood C. Magee, (31) ... Florence

Lay
Mr. Donald M. White, Jr., (74) ... Mount Pleasant
Mr. Jack J. Wright, (15) ... Florence
Mr. James W. Skardon, (72) ... Walterboro
Mr. B. Allston Moore, (7) ... Charleston

SOUTH FLORIDA

Rev. Canon Samuel C. W. Fleming, (3) ... Miami
Rev. George Paul Reeves, (70) ... Sarasota
Very Rev. Harold L. Zimmerman, (71) ... Pompano Beach
Rev. James R. Brumby III, (71) ... Daytona Beach

Mr. Robert D. Tylander, (72) ... Boca Raton
Mr. James W. Werts, (14) ... Winter Park
Mr. Lewis E. Cooke, (47) ... Sarasota
Mr. Arthur Scranton Gibbons, (72) ... Tampa

SOUTHERN OHIO

Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., (1)(40) ... Cincinnati
Rev. Morris F. Arnold, D.D., (30) ... Cincinnati
Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., (45) ... Gambier
Rev. Hillman R. Wiechert, (73) ... Hamilton

Charles P. Taft, LL.D., (48) ... Cincinnati
Mr. Robert L. Black, Jr., (72) ... Cincinnati
Mr. Edward A. Dougherty, (13) ... Cincinnati
Mr. John R. Sherwood, (74) ... Cincinnati

SOUTHERN VIRGINIA

Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., (8) ... Norfolk
Rev. Webster L. Simons, Jr., (71) ... Richmond
Rev. Boston M. Lackey, Jr., (43) ... Petersburg
Rev. C. Charles Vachè, (71) ... Portsmouth

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, (31) ... Norfolk
Mr. Jack H. Mason, (4) ... Norfolk
The Hon. F. Nelson Light, (18) ... Chatham
Mr. Stuart G. Keedwell, (74) ... Emporia

SOUTHWESTERN VIRGINIA

Rev. Robert A. Magill, D.D., (48) ... Lynchburg
Rev. B. Clifton Keardon, (70) ... Roanoke
Rev. Edgar T. Ferrell, (70) ... Marion
Rev. Wilfred E. Roach, (47) ... Radford

Mr. Ben F. Parrott, (21) ... Roanoke
Mr. John P. Fishwick, (3) ... Roanoke
Mr. John L. Bradley, Jr., (4) ... Abingdon
Mr. Francis T. West, (12) ... Martinsville

SPOKANE

Rev. Ernest J. Mason, (7) ... Spokane, Washington
Rev. David S. Atkin ... Walla Walla, Washington
Rev. Douglas R. Wanaaker ... Coeur D'Alene, Idaho
Rev. Peter Stretch ... Lewiston, Idaho

Mr. Ford S. Barrett, Jr., (31) ... Spokane, Washington
Dr. Paul Ellis ... Wallace, Idaho
Mr. Fred Redmon ... Yakima, Washington
Mr. George Gannon ... Mabton, Washington

47. The Rev. Mr. Mason and Mr. Barrett were Deputies from the Missionary District of Spokane until the 5th day and all were seated as Deputies from the Diocese of Spokane on the 6th day.
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### SPRINGFIELD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James M. Winning, (72) Springfield, Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul C. Baker, (18) Bloomington, Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas P. Shafer, (72) Danville, Illinois</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TENNESSEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles M. Crump, (17) Memphis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward McCrady, Ph.D., (21) Sewanee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Wofford, M.D., (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr., (32) Chattanooga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward McCrady, Ph.D., (21) Sewanee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Wofford, M.D., (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr., (32) Chattanooga</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TEXAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. J. Milton Richardson, LL.D.,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Sheldon H. Crocker, (21) Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., (20) Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin, (30) Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Roger H. Gilley, (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Roger H. Gilley, (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles A. Summers, (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Dupuy Bateman, Jr., (9) Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Howard T. Tellepsen, (73) Houston</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UPPER SOUTH CAROLINA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William W. Lumpkin, (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Croft Jennings, (45) Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. C. Capers Satterlee, D.D., (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles S. Davis, Ph.D., (72) Rock Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. C. Capers Satterlee, D.D., (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. R. Hoke Robinson, (17) Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. William A. Beckham, (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David G. Ellison, Jr., (72) Columbia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Howard M. Hickey, (73) Aiken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David G. Ellison, Jr., (72) Columbia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VERMONT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Albert W. Anderson, (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Hon. Ernest W. Gibson, LL.D., (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederick B. Wolf, (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brattleboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. F. Richard Beale, (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Flint, (31) Stowe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. F. Richard Beale, (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles L. Park, Jr., (10) Northfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic J. Haskin, (70) Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles L. Park, Jr., (10) Northfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic J. Haskin, (70) Newport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Glen Chapin, (72) Burlington</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### VIRGINIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ven. W. Leigh Ribble, D.D., (2) Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John Paul Causey, (1) West Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John A. Hadley, (70) Winchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George R. Humrickhouse, (6) Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., (30) Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Coleman McGehee, Jr., (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Shearer C. Bowman, Jr., (74) Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Coleman McGehee, Jr., (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Shearer C. Bowman, Jr., (74) Richmond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

48. The Rev. John Gordon Swope, Sr. took the place of the Rev. Mr. Sumners on the afternoon of the 10th day.

49. Mr. T. Deal Reese took the place of Mr. McFaddin on the 10th day.
WASHINGTON

**Clerical**

Rev. C. Edward Berger, Th.D., (5) Chevy Chase, Maryland

**Lay**

The Hon. Oliver Gasch, (33) Washington, D.C.
Mr. Ernest W. Greene, (13) Washington, D.C.
Mr. Monroe Bush, (71) Washington, D.C.
Vice-Admiral Felix Johnson, U.S.N., Ret., (73) Leonardtown, Maryland

WEST MISSOURI

Very Rev. Donald R. Woodward, (17) Kansas City
Rev. Arleigh W. Lassiter, (18) Kansas City
Rev. Edward R. Sims, (48) St. Joseph
Rev. Harold B. Whitehead, (45) Springfield

Mr. Philip S. Lyon, (30) Kansas City
Mr. Richard S. Brigham, (71) Kansas City
Mr. Gill Miller, (22) (32) Kansas City
Mr. George A. Clay, (46) Kansas City

WEST TEXAS

Rev. Joseph L. Brown, Jr., (2) Corpus Christi
Rev. Canon Gerald McAllister, (5) San Antonio
Rev. Richard G. Urban, (44) Laredo

Mr. Henry Askew, (74) San Antonio
Mr. Frank M. Gillespie, (21) San Antonio
Mr. Porter Loring, Jr., (12) San Antonio
Mr. W. Hollis Fitch, (42) Eagle Pass

WEST VIRGINIA

Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., (31) Wheeling
Rev. Walter J. Mycoff, (48) Charleston
Rev. William C. Bowie, (6) Clarksburg
Rev. Allen L. Bartlett, (73) Charles Town

Mr. Robert E. Blankensop, (72) Parkersburg
Mr. John S. Alfriend, (20) Charles Town
Mr. Thomas W. Boyd, (45) Huntington
Mr. Horace L. Goodman, Jr., (71) Lewisburg

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS

Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, (47) Pittsfield
Rev. W. Bradford Hastings, (22) Worcester

Mr. Paul L. Hinckley, (72) Holden
Mr. William W. Yerrall, (43) Springfield
Mr. William Lawrence, (73) Longmeadow
Russell L. Davenport, LL.D., (7) Holyoke

WESTERN MICHIGAN

Rev. William C. Warner, (10) Holland
Rev. Francis J. Foley, (43) Traverse City
Rev. William F. O'Leary, (20) Dowagiac

Brig. Gen. Miles A. Cowles, (3) Three Rivers
Mr. George W. Perrett, (48) Battle Creek
Mr. Chester J. Byrns, (73) St. Joseph
Mr. Robert A. Addison, (18) Sturgis

50. The Rev. Elton O. Smith, Jr., took the place of the Rev. Mr. Whitehead on the afternoon of the 3rd day only.
51. Mr. Leo B. Crabbs, Jr., took the place of Mr. Brigham on the 7th day.
52. Mr. George C. Wicks took the place of Mr. Askew on the 5th and 6th days only.
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WESTERN NEW YORK

Clerical


Very Rev. George F. O'Pray, (3) Jamestown

Rev. Canon H. Dimmick Baldy, (71) Buffalo

Rev. Harold B. Robinson Buffalo

Lay

Mr. Melvin W. Elliott, (21) Buffalo

Mr. Fred R. Fielding, (41) Buffalo

Mr. Edward H. Chamberlain, (46) Tonawanda

Dr. Albert E. Connolly, Niagara Falls

WESTERN NORTH CAROLINA

Rev. James Y. Perry, Jr., (44) Black Mountain

Rev. James M. Hindle, (71) Tryon

Rev. G. Mark Jenkins, (16) Fletcher

Rev. Frank E. McKenzie, (72) Wilkesboro

Mr. Andrew B. Stoney, (18) Morganton

Mr. Roland B. Potter, (74) North Wilkesboro

Mr. David F. Felmet, (13) Waynesville

Mr. William F. Orr Brevard

DEPUTIES FROM MISSIONARY DISTRICTS

ALASKA

Clerical

Rev. Walter W. Hannum, (72) Fort Yukon

Lay

Mr. Richard W. Freer, (70) Juneau

CENTRAL AMERICA


Mr. Robert Stanley, (71) Tegucigalpa, Honduras

CENTRAL BRAZIL

Rev. Samuel Kumpel Kainuma, (72) Curitiba, Parana

Col. Assyr Ferreira da Rocha, (74) Tijuco, G.B.

COLOMBIA

The Rev. Jonas E. White, (71) Bogota

Mr. Allan K. Kempton, (71) Barranquilla

CUBA

Rev. Dionisio de Mínguez, (71) Matanzas

Mr. Angus Irvine Coral Gables, Florida

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

Rev. Telefótoro A. Isaac, (72) San Francisco de Macoris

Mr. Pedro Zapata, (73) San Pedro de Macoris

EASTERN OREGON

Rev. Albert Allen, (70) Hood River

Mr. A. C. Lighthall, (74) Baker

53. Mr. J. Lloyd Watkins took the place of Mr. Freer on the 2nd day.
54. The Rev. William C. Frey took the place of the Rev. Mr. Haynsworth on the 10th day.

* Indicates non-attendance
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAITI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Simon Louis</td>
<td>(32) (72) Port-au-Prince</td>
<td>Mr. Roger Jean, (73)* Port-au-Prince</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Claude F. DuTeil, (72) Kailua</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Hugh Shearer, (14) Honolulu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HONOLULU</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Claude F. DuTeil, (72) Kailua</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Hugh Shearer, (14) Honolulu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDAHO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. William B. Spofford, Jr., (73) Boise</td>
<td>Mr. Rudolph Goranson, (4) Pocatello</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Amos B. Collins, (71) Lower Buchanan</td>
<td>Mr. Emmett Harmon, (1) Monrovia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIBERIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Amos B. Collins, (71) Lower Buchanan</td>
<td>Mr. Emmett Harmon, (1) Monrovia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEXICO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Leonardo Céspedes, (71) Tampico</td>
<td>Benigno Gomez, M.D., (74) Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph B. Hogben, (70) Boulder City</td>
<td>Mr. John P. Thatcher, (1) Reno</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH DAKOTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Harry W. Vere, (73) Fargo</td>
<td>Mr. E. Forsyth Engebretson, (73) Bismarck</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANAMA CANAL ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Herbert L. Moore, (73) Almirante, Panama</td>
<td>Mr. Clifford A. Bolt, (71) Panama City, Panama</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHILIPPINES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James Bawayan, (1) Bontoc</td>
<td>Mr. Rudolfo M. Dumo, (45) Upi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PUERTO RICO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. D. Pagán, (1) Rio Piedras</td>
<td>Mr. Ulises Sanchez, (2) Mayaguez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTH DAKOTA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hanford L. King, Jr., Ph.D., (1) Rapid City</td>
<td>Mr. Norman Gross, (3) Yankton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOUTHERN BRAZIL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Agostinho G. Sória, (71) Porto Alegre</td>
<td>Mr. Fernando Angos Sanvicente, (74) Porto Alegre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates non-attendance
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SOUTHWESTERN BRAZIL

Clerical
Rev. Diamantino F. Bueno, (74) ~ Livramento

Lay
Mr. Ney R. Flores ~ Bagé

TAIWAN

Rev. John Y. H. Ling, (71) ~ Taipei
Mr. Herbert H. P. Ma, (1) ~ Taipei

UTAH

Very Rev. Wesley Frensdorff, (2) ~ Salt Lake City
Mr. James W. Beless, Jr., (43) ~ Salt Lake City

VIRGIN ISLANDS

Rev. Edward M. Turner, (48) ~ Frederiksted
Aubrey Anduze, D.D.S., (71) ~ Christiansted

WESTERN KANSAS

Rev. Harold J. Weaver, (70) ~ Liberal
Robert C. Long, M.D., (10) ~ Norton

WYOMING

Rev. Howard Lee Wilson, (70) ~ Casper
Mr. Ralph U. Blackledge, (72) ~ Sheridan

AMERICAN CHURCHES IN EUROPE

Very Rev. Sturgis L. Riddle, D.D., (1) ~ Paris, France
Mr. George Snyder, (71) ~ Munich, Germany

KEY TO COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

STANDING COMMITTEES

1. State of the Church
2. General Theological Seminary
3. Missions
4. Admission of New Dioceses
5. Consecration of Bishops
6. Amendments to the Constitution
7. Canons
8. Elections
9. Prayer Book
10. Christian Education
11. Christian Social Relations
12. Memorials of Deceased Members
13. Church Pension Fund
14. Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes
15. Rules of Order
16. Rural Work
17. Dispatch of Business
18. Evangelism
19. National and International Problems
20. Ecumenical Relations
21. Theological Education
22. Urban and Suburban Work

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEES

30. Program and Budget
31. Expenses
32. Nominations for Presiding Bishop
33. Committees and Commissions

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

40. Nominations for National Council
41. Place of Next General Convention
42. Nominating Church Pension Fund Trustees (Joint)
43. Nominating Treasurer of General Convention (Joint)
44. Nominating Treasurer of D. and F. Missionary Society (Joint)
45. Privilege and Courtesy
46. Sergeant-at-Arms and Assistants
47. Reconstruction of General Convention
48. Mutual Responsibility

STUDY COMMITTEES

70. Home Department
71. Overseas Department
72. Christian Education
73. Christian Social Relations
74. Promotion
This being the day designated by the previous Convention for holding the session of the Sixty-first General Convention, the Deputies-elect assembled in the Opera House, Kiel Auditorium, St. Louis, Missouri.

The House was called to order at 10:30 a.m. by the Secretary, the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., who read a passage of Scripture from the Second Epistle to the Corinthians, Chapter 4, followed by the Apostles Creed and Prayers.

The Secretary announced that, in accordance with the provisions of Rule No. 2 of the Rules of Order of the House, as amended at the General Convention of 1961, there would be no roll-call, unless the same was requested by a majority of those present. There being no demand for a calling of the roll, the Secretary proceeded to announce that a majority of Clerical Deputies and a majority of Lay Deputies from Dioceses entitled to representation in this Convention having registered, there was an undoubted quorum. The Secretary thereupon declared that the 61st session of the House of Deputies was open for business.

The first order of business was the election of a President, and the Chair appointed the following persons as Tellers:

The Rev. Gardiner M. Day, D.D., of Massachusetts
The Rev. Canon Heber M. Becker, of Harrisburg
The Rev. George B. Wood, D.D., of Northern Indiana
The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas
The Very Rev. Sturgis L. Riddle, D.D., of Paris, France
Mr. Hunter L. Delatour, of Long Island
Mr. B. Allston Moore, of South Carolina
Mr. John W. Gregg, of Minnesota
Mr. Philip Adams, of California

Upon adoption of this motion, Dr. Morehouse was declared unanimously elected.


Dr. Morehouse briefly addressed the House, thanking the members for his election.

The President asked for nominations for the office of Secretary of the House. The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, placed in nomination the name of the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D. It was moved and seconded that nominations be closed, and that a unanimous ballot be cast. The motion was carried unanimously, and the President declared the Rev. Canon Guilbert elected.

The Rev. Canon Guilbert announced the appointment of the following Assistant Secretaries: The Rev. John G. Mills, of New York; the Rev. Herbert Barsale, of Central New York; and Mrs. Johanna L. Lally, of Long Island.

The Secretary announced that all canonical requirements in preparation for this General Convention had been made, and the evidence was thereupon deposited with an Assistant Secretary, as follows:

1. The Secretary reported that he had complied with the provisions of Canon 1, Sec. 1 (d), in sending to every Diocese and Missionary District the proposed amendment to the Constitution, and presented registered receipts in support thereof. He likewise submitted signed communications from Secretaries of Conventions and Convocations certifying to him, as the Canon requires, that the proposal to amend the Constitution had been made known to the several Conventions and Convocations.

2. The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under the provisions of Canon 2, Sec. 1, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., President of the House, had appointed the following (one from each Province) to represent this House on the Joint Committee to Present Nominations for the Office of Presiding Bishop:
The Very Rev. Charles H. Buck, Ph.D., of Massachusetts
The Rev. J. Simon Louis, of Haiti
Mr. Harrison Garrett, of Maryland
Mr. Will S. Keese, Jr., of Tennessee
The Ven. Charles D. Braidwood, of Michigan
Mr. David E. Bronson, of Minnesota
Mr. Gill Miller, of West Missouri
The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia

(3) The Secretary presented evidence that he had complied with the provisions of Canon 4, Sec. 6(a), in sending, in each year of the triennium, to the Presidents of the Provinces, lists of appropriations made by the National Council for the several years for the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within each Province; and in sending, at least four months before this session of the General Convention, to the Bishop of each Diocese and each Missionary District, a statement of the existing and the proposed appropriations for all items in the budget of the National Council.

(4) The Secretary announced that, pursuant to Canon 5, Sec. 2, paragraph 1, he had received from the Secretaries of all Diocesan Conventions and Convocations of Missionary Districts copies of the Journals of the Conventions and Convocations, with the following exceptions:

1. For 1961, the Missionary Districts of Central America, Central Brazil, Utah, and the Virgin Islands; and the Diocese of Dallas.

2. For 1962, the Missionary Districts of Cuba, Haiti, Southern Brazil, Utah, and the Virgin Islands.

3. For 1963, the Missionary Districts of Central America, Cuba, Haiti, Southern Brazil, Southwestern Brazil, and Utah; and the Dioceses of Erie, Los Angeles, Maryland, and Northern Michigan.

(5) The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under instruction from the previous Convention, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., President of the House, had appointed the following to represent this House on the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

The Rev. George T. Masuda, of Montana
The Very Rev. L. Skerry Olsen, D.D., of Kansas
The Rev. Morris F. Arnold, D.D., of Southern Ohio
The Very Rev. Merritt F. Williams, S.T.D., of Western Massachusetts
The Rev. Thomas J. C. Smyth, of North Carolina
Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin, of Texas
Mr. Houston Wilson, of Delaware
Mr. John H. Leach, of Missouri
George Gibbs, Ph.D., of Los Angeles
Mr. Arthur W. Platt, of Florida
Mr. B. Franklin Miller, of Olympia
Mr. E. Holcombe Palmer, of Virginia
Mr. John B. Tillson, of Massachusetts
Mr. Albert L. Hancock, Sr., of New Jersey
Mr. Reginald M. Sutton, of Nebraska
Mr. Philip S. Lyon, of West Missouri
Mr. Robert M. Lindstrom, of Ohio

(6) The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under instruction from the previous Convention, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., President of the House, had appointed the following to represent this House on the Joint Committee on Expenses:

The Rev. Canon Haig J. Nargesian, of Maine
The Rev. Frederic F. Bush, Jr., of West Virginia
The Rev. Lynwood C. Magee, of South Carolina
The Rev. Canon Frank V. H. Carthy, of Indianapolis
The Rev. Charles E. Wilcox, of Oklahoma
Mr. John W. Flint, of Vermont
Mr. Amory Houghton, Jr., of Rochester
Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, of Southern Virginia
The Hon. George Milam, of Florida
Mr. Voight P. Gillett, of Eau Claire
Mr. John W. Gregg, of Minnesota
Mr. George C. Lynde, of Oklahoma
Mr. Ford S. Barrett, Jr., of Spokane

(7) The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under instruction from the previous Convention, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., President of the House, had appointed the following to represent this House on the Joint Committee to Nominate Members of the National Council:

The Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., of Southern Ohio
The Rev. Gardiner M. Day, D.D., of Massachusetts
Mr. George H. Greer, of Kentucky
Mr. Ernest W. Greene, of Washington
Albert C. Jacobs, LL.D., of Connecticut

(8) The Secretary announced that, prior to the Convention, acting under instruction from the previous Convention, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., President of the House, had tentatively appointed the membership of the Standing Committees of this House, and the Secretary had notified the members of their assignments.

The Secretary presented the following communication from the Presiding Bishop:
To the House of Deputies:

In accordance with the provisions of Canon 41, Sec. 6 (b) and Canon 43, Sec. 8 (d), I hereby certify that the following is the list of the resignations of Bishops which have been accepted since the meeting of the General Convention in 1961.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Canon</th>
<th>Date Effective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Barksdale Kinsolving II</td>
<td>43, 8(b)</td>
<td>October 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudley Scott Stark</td>
<td>43, 8(b)</td>
<td>December 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Larrabee Street</td>
<td>41, 6(e) &amp; 43, 8(b)</td>
<td>April 25, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliver James Hart</td>
<td>43, 8(b)</td>
<td>July 18, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Cilley Powell</td>
<td>43, 7(a)</td>
<td>October 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald Mallett</td>
<td>43, 8(b)</td>
<td>November 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravid Washington Harris</td>
<td>43, 8(c)</td>
<td>January 6, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman Riddle Page</td>
<td>43, 7(a)</td>
<td>May 3, 1964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER  
Presiding Bishop

The Secretary announced that, in order to facilitate the distribution of Memorials and Petitions to the members of the House of Deputies, Message Centers had been set up on each side of the House, with boxes for each Diocese and Missionary District, and for each Standing, Joint Standing, and Special Committee of the House.

The President announced the appointment of the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, as Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business (Committee No. 17).

The President appointed the additional members of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, as follows:

- The Very Rev. Donald R. Woodward, of West Missouri
- The Rev. Benedict Williams, D.D., of Pittsburgh
- Mr. Charles M. Crump, of Tennessee
- Mr. Daniel M. Thornton III, of Delaware
- Mr. R. Hoke Robinson, of Upper South Carolina

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 1 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a committee of two, one Presbyter and one Layman, be appointed by the President to convey the cordial greetings of this House to the House of Bishops, and to inform that House that the House of Deputies has been duly organized by the election of Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., as President, and the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., as Secretary, and is now ready to proceed to business.

Adopted by the House.
The President appointed the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., of New Jersey, and Anson T. McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, as the Committee to carry Message No. 1 to the House of Bishops.

The President of the House appointed the Committee on Rules of Order (Committee No. 15), as follows:

The Very Rev. David S. Ball, of Albany
The Very Rev. Edgar C. Newlin, D.C.L., of Lexington
The President, ex officio
Mr. Jack J. Wright, of South Carolina
Mr. James T. McKinstry, of Delaware
The Hon. Hugh A. Evans, of Northern California

Mr. James T. McKinstry, of Delaware, presented Report No. 1 of the Committee on Rules of Order, informing the House that the Committee had met and duly organized, as follows:

Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., of New York, Chairman
Mr. James T. McKinstry, of Delaware, Secretary

Mr. James T. McKinstry, of Delaware, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Resolved, That Rule 8 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended as follows:

(a) Substitute Arabic for Roman numerals.

(b) Delete, after No. 13, the words, "Each to consist of thirteen members, and to have at least one Deputy from each Province."

(c) Delete specified sizes of the following committees:
   16, on Rural Work
   17, on Dispatch of Business
   19, on National and International Problems
   20, on Ecumenical Relations
   22, on Urban and Suburban Work

(d) Insert, following Committee No. 22:
   "The size of Standing Committees, unless otherwise noted, shall be at the discretion of the President; Provided that, when the number of members equals or exceeds the number of Provinces, there shall normally be at least one member from each Province."

(e) Continue, as at present:
   "The President may appoint Study Committees" etc.

Adopted by the House.
Mr. McKinstry, of Delaware, then presented the following Resolution:

Resolved, That Rule 9 be amended by substituting, in lieu of items IV and V of the Daily Order of Business, the following:

IV. Report of Committee on Elections

Report of Committee on Dispatch of Business. (The President may also recognize the Committee on Dispatch of Business for further reports as required, at any time.)

V. Reports of other Standing Committees, in numerical order, as set forth in Rule 8.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. McKinstry, of Delaware, introduced the following resolution:

Resolved, That Rule 9 be further amended, by inserting after item X, "Business on the Calendar", the following sentences:

The President may interrupt the Daily Order of Business for Messages from the House of Bishops, noonday prayers, or Special Orders. If the Daily Order is not completed during the day, the President may, on the following day, after items I to IV, inclusive, resume the Order where it was interrupted the previous day.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. McKinstry, of Delaware, then introduced the following:

Resolved, That a new Standing Order, to be numbered IV, be adopted, to read as follows:

IV. There shall be a Sergeant-at-Arms, and such assistants as may be required, appointed by the President from the membership of the House. Their duties shall be, under the direction of the President or presiding officer,

(a) To maintain order and decorum in the House;

(b) To exclude from the floor of the House those not entitled to seats thereon;

(c) To exclude non-members and visitors when the House is in Executive Session.

(d) To maintain contact with the local Committee on Arrangements with regard to fire and police protection and first-aid facilities, and to be prepared to act in any emergencies requiring assistance from these sources.

(e) To escort distinguished visitors, and to perform such other ceremonial duties as may be assigned by the President or presiding officer.

Adopted by the House.
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, proposing a schedule of Joint Sessions, which was adopted by the House and communicated to the House of Bishops. For this action, see "Joint Sessions of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies."

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the President of the House be requested to appoint five Study Committees related to the work, respectively, of the National Council’s Departments of Overseas, Home, Christian Education, Christian Social Relations, and Promotion; and that he assign to the committees primarily those members of this House who are not members of the several Standing Committees; and be it further

Resolved, That these Study Committees meet on Tuesday, Wednesday, Friday, and Saturday of this week from 8:00 a.m. until 9:00 a.m. in rooms to be announced.

Adopted by the House.

The President appointed Study Committees, as follows:

MEMBERS OF STUDY COMMITTEE 70

(HOME DEPARTMENT)

The Rev. Edward G. Mullen, D.D., Alabama, Chairman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John A. Baden</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>Rev. James F. Madison</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Peter N. A. Barker</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>Rev. Harris E. Dowdy, Jr.</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. William A. Beckha</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>Rev. Richard F. Neal</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James G. Birney</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Rev. Donald W. Noseworthy</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Welles R. Blas</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Rev. B. Clifton Reardon</td>
<td>Southwestern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Edwin Caudill, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>Rev. G. Paul Reeves</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward W. Conklin</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>Rev. Kenneth L. Rice</td>
<td>New Mexico and Southwestern Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Carl R. Dahlén</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>Rev. Max E. Smith</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edgar T. Ferrel</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>Rev. George A. Taylor</td>
<td>Easton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Kenneth E. Trueman</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. John W. Watters</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Harold J. Weaver</td>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. W. Robert Webb</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Harry B. Whitley</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. Howard Lee Wilson</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rev. L. Bradford Young</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Carlson Gerdau</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John W. Hardy</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic J. Haskin</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hobart H. Heistand</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ben F. Helmer</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. Francis Hipwell</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Joseph B. Hobgen</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald N. Hungerford</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Linwood L. Adams</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Francis M. Belford</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Eugene G. Bowes</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles H. Campbell</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George H. Esser</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard W. Freer</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Angus McDonald</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. H. Randolph Maddox</td>
<td>Easton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Clifford Schertz</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Douglas C. Turnbull</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hamilton S. White</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT)

Mr. Hugh C. Laughlin, Ohio, Chairman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Hugh C. Laughlin</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aubrey A. Anduze</td>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Clifford A. Bolt</td>
<td>Panama Canal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Charles F. Bound</td>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry C. Bourne</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Richard S. Brigham</td>
<td>West Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry I. Brown</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Monroe Bush</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Herace L. Goodman</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry F. Hagemeister</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Heusis, M.D.</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Allen K. Kempton</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frederick J. Long</td>
<td>Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Alexander MacMillan</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James H. Penick, Sr.</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ben H. Potter</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harold A. Prince</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. G. S. R. Sharp</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. George W. Smyth</td>
<td>European Congregations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert Stanley</td>
<td>Central America</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEMBERS OF STUDY COMMITTEE 72  
(CHRISTIAN EDUCATION)

The Very Rev. Henry N. Hancock, D.D., Minnesota, Chairman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert C. Batchelder</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. G. P. Mellick Belshaw</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert J. Center</td>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George W. DeGraff</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward P. Dentzer</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John W. Drake, Jr.</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Claude F. DuTeil</td>
<td>Honolulu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Canon George A. Fox</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Neil L. Gray</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Walter Wink Hannum</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Telêforo A. Isaac</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Gordon M. Jones, Jr.</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. S. K. Kainuma</td>
<td>Central Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Victor Kusak</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. J. Simon Louis</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frank E. McKenzie</td>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Name                  | Diocese                  |
----------------------|--------------------------|
Mr. Robert L. Black, Jr. | Southern Ohio           |
Mr. Ralph U. Blackledge | Wyoming                 |
Mr. Robert E. Blankensp | West Virginia           |
Mr. Robert Celum       | San Joaquin             |
Mr. Glen Chapin        | Vermont                 |
Charles S. Davis, Ph.D. | Upper South Carolina    |
Mr. David G. Ellison, Jr. |                                |
Mr. Robert F. Gaines  | North Carolina          |
Mr. Arthur S. Gibbons  | South Florida           |
Mr. Frank J. Hightower | Oklahoma               |
Mr. Paul L. Hinckley  | Western Massachusetts    |
Mr. C. E. Juday        | Dallas                  |
Mr. Ray Lauterbach     | Iowa                    |
Mr. Alex Nelson        | Northern Michigan       |
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#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James E. Mahagan</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles Scott May</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Phil Porter, Jr.</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James W. Samler</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Malcolm S. Sawtelle</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Ronald Taylor</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Paul DeWitt Urbano</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic Underwood</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederick B. Wolf</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Peterson, M.D.</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Paul W. Phillips</td>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Louis R. Schmertz</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Thomas P. Shaffer</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James W. Skardon</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Selden Spencer</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan W. Stuart, Esq.</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Goodloe R. Stuck</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert D. Tylander</td>
<td>South Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James M. Winning</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Sherwood W. Wise</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Edward Yewell</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Lay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James E. Mahagan</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Charles Scott May</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Phil Porter, Jr.</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James W. Samler</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Malcolm S. Sawtelle</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. T. Ronald Taylor</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Paul DeWitt Urbano</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederic Underwood</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frederick B. Wolf</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS

Mr. Howard T. Tellepsen, Texas, Chairman

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Allen L. Bartlett</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edward W. Blackburn</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Henry H. Breul</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Allen S. Bullen</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John H. Burt, D.D.</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. G. Harris Collingwood</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. William L. Dewees</td>
<td>Easton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Ernest A. Harding</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. John B. Haverland</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Howard M. Hickey</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Solomon N. Jacobs</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Edwin E. Kirton</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Herbert L. Moore</td>
<td>Panama Canal Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Stephen Skardon</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. William B. Spofford, Jr.</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald A. Silvers</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Harry W. Vere</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. C. George Widdifield</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. R. Wiechert</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. James K. Barnum</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James E. Boyd, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Chester J. Byrns</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Clark R. Caldwell</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. E. G. Carpenter</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry Chalfant</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Claude F. Cowley</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. E. F. Engbreton</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Albert A. Goering</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Wade W. Hollowell</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roger Jean</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice-Adm. John Johnson</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Lawrence</td>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Sydney L. W. Lesa</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Malcolm R. MacLean</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William L. Marbury</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John G. Meyer</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Edward Morgan</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Gwinn H. Nixon</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roderic O'Connor</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Earl Oliver</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William S. Page</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. A. L. Farrington, Jr.</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. W. Brewster Rhoads</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. H. Smith</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Hobart P. Vermyline</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear Adm. Edward K. Walker</td>
<td>Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Herbert V. Walker</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Pedro Zapata</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### PROMOTION

The Rev. Canon C. Howard Perry, Northern California, Chairman

#### Clerical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. F. Richard Beale</td>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. George M. Bean</td>
<td>Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Darvin Bowers</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Diamantinio</td>
<td>Southwestern Brazil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Robert B. Arnold</td>
<td>California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Henry J. Askew, Jr.</td>
<td>West Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. R. E. Barfield, Jr.</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Vernon A. Bingham</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Shearer C. Bowman</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Roger H. Cilley</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. A. Nelson Daunt</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Harry T. Frownfelter</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Theron R. Hughes, Jr.</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Frank E. Jarrell</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. Benjamin V. Lavey</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert M. G. Libby</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ven. Kermit L. Lloyd</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Rev. David Loeger</td>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Mark D. McCallum</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. H. Coleman McGehee</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert B. Muhl</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James H. Pearson</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Donald R. J. Read</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Alexander D. Stewart</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. Robert J. Yonkman</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Thomas Bugbee</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. DeWitt M. Bull, Jr.</td>
<td>Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Sidney Christian, O.D.</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Russell H. Coward</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col. Asyr F. da Rocha</td>
<td>Central Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. A. L. Entwistle</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Esham</td>
<td>Easton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frank P. Foster</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Jack G. Fougerousse</td>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benigno Gomez, M.D.</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frank P. Hanway</td>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Byron P. Hollett</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Davis Lee Jahncke</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Russell B. Joseph</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Stuart G. Keedwell</td>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Arnold W. F. Langner, Jr.</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. A. C. Lighthall</td>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. William Nave</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John G. Pierson</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Roland B. Potter</td>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Fernando Sanvicente</td>
<td>Southern Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. John R. Sherwood</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Phillip M. Shires</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bernard G. Templin</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Risher M. Thornton III</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. Douglas Velth</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Donald M. White, Jr.</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

*Resolved*, That, unless otherwise ordered, the daily sessions of the House be scheduled for the first week, as follows:

- **Monday,** from 10:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m., and from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
- **Tuesday,** from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
- **Wednesday,** from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.
- **Thursday,** from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.
- **Friday,** from 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.
- **Saturday,** from 9:30 a.m. until 1:00 p.m.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

*Resolved,* That a special Order of Business be set, in order that the Rev. Murray Kenney may speak to the House on the subject of plans in connection with Wednesday afternoon—"Ecumenical Day".

Adopted by the House.
Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That every morning session of the House of Deputies shall begin with such devotional exercises as the President shall prescribe; and that at noon each day prayer shall be offered for missions, as prescribed by Rule 1 of this House.

Adopted by the House.

The President appointed the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania to serve as Chaplain of the House of Deputies and to conduct the morning devotions each day.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business.

Resolved, That the public be invited to occupy seats in the visitors' gallery during sessions of this House, except when the House is in Executive Session.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the President appoint at this time a Sergeant-at-Arms, and such assistants as may be required, to serve for the period of this General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

The President appointed the Sergeant-at-Arms and his assistants, as follows:

Sergeant-at-Arms:
Mr. Clifford A. Lewis, of Northern Michigan

Assistants:
Mr. Edward H. Chamberlain, of Western New York
J. C. Cantrill, M.D., of Lexington
Mr. Donald Mackay, of Montana

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That Deputies be requested to submit, by title, at today's session, when the Order of Business shall permit, such Motions and Resolutions as may be ready for referral to appropriate committees and which have not already been referred; and that they bear in mind that Motions and Resolutions which require action by both Houses of this Convention may not be presented after the third calendar day, except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, as required by Rule of Order 36 of this House.
Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the President be requested to appoint the Standing Committees at this time; and be it further

Resolved, That the President appoint Special Committees as follows:

1. Privilege and Courtesy.

and be it further

Resolved, That the Committees shall report to the Secretary, upon the reconvening of the House, the fact of their organization, together with the names of the persons chosen as Chairman and Secretary of each Committee; and that the Secretary be instructed to collate, print, and distribute such reports, in a single list to the Members of the House.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed the Standing and Special Committees for this Convention, as follows:

1. On the State of the Church

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Rev. Robert B. Appleyard, D.D.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 John R. Quarles ....... Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Ven. W. Lester Kline .... Rhode Island</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Richard Beyer ............ Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rev. Samuel Steinmetz, Jr. .... New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 John Paul Causey ............. Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. John R. Edler ........ Newark</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 A. R. Tomlinson ....... Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. Burke Rivers ......... Bethlehem</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Kenneth R. Sannes .... Northern Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. Frederick J. Bush .... Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Ray E. Holmes ............. Dallas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Very Rev. Robert R. Parks .... Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 John P. Thatcher ........ Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>......... Southern Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Thomas K. Chaffee .... Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Rev. Hanford L. King, Jr., Ph.D.</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Ven. Charles F. Rehkopp .... Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rev. John E. Shoemaker .... Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Victor M. Rivers .... San Joaquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. James Bawayan .... Philippines</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev. R. D. Pagán .... Puerto Rico</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Rev. Robert E. Holzhammer .... Iowa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

American Churches in Europe

Very Rev. S. L. Riddle, D.D. .... Paris

Overseas

Rev. Herbert H. P. Ma ......... Taiwan

Rev. Emmett Harmon ......... Liberia
2. On the General Theological Seminary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Joseph H. Vanable</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Byron S. Miller</td>
<td>Bethlemheim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Evans Dunn</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stewart A. Winning, M.D.</td>
<td>Albany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ulises Sanchez</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Richard Ormsbee, Ph.D.</td>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. On Missions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rodney E. Ross, Jr.</td>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Miles A. Cowles</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Norman C. Gross</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Samuel H. Brown</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>William L. Talbot</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Charles W. Battle</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anson T. McCook, LL.D.</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Frank T. Wood, Jr.</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. On the Admission of New Dioceses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jack H. Mason</td>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Andrew K. Miller, Jr.</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hinton F. Longino</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>John L. Bradley, Jr.</td>
<td>Southwestern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rudolph E. Goranson</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. On the Consecration of Bishops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>James S. Barker</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hon. Alfred T. Airth</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Karl Arndt, M.D.</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Forrest F. Crane</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Frederic S. Glover, Jr.</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>W. PaulUhllmann</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hon. F. H. Schlichting</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L. Sydney Christian, O.D.</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 6. On Amendments to the Constitution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. David C. Watson ..........Maryland</td>
<td>1 Hon. Ernest W. Gibson, LL.D. ..........Vermont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. William C. Bowie ..........West Virginia</td>
<td>3 George R. Humphreys ..........Virginia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Hon. Ernest W. Gibson, LL.D.</td>
<td>1 James B. Godfrey ..........New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. T. C. Bloomfield, D.D.</td>
<td>4 Hon. Reynolds S. Cheney ....Mississippi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7. On Canons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. Canon William Robertson</td>
<td>2 Hunter L. DeLateur ..........Long Island</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Ernest J. Mason ..........Spokane</td>
<td>7 Roy C. Lytle ..........Oklahoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Fred C. Scribner, Jr. ..........Maine</td>
<td>4 B. Allston Moore ..........South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 William W. Gilbert ..........Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>1 Russell L. Davisport ..........Oregon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Jack M. Lyons ..........Los Angeles</td>
<td>2 Howard T. Foulkes, LL.D. ..........Milwaukee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Ernest B. Wilson ..........Arkansas</td>
<td>5 George Pruner ..........Northern Michigan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 George Pruner ..........Northern Michigan</td>
<td>4 Irving W. Burr, Ph.D. ..........Indianapolis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. George R. Turney ..........San Joaquin</td>
<td>4 Thurman Williams, Jr ..........East Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Rev. Jackson E. Gilliam ..........Montana</td>
<td>6 &amp; Southwest Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8. On Elections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 Rev. Lawrence M. Berry ..........Northern Michigan</td>
<td>7 Ernest B. Wilson ..........Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. Gordon E. Gillett ..........Quincy</td>
<td>4 Thurman Williams, Jr ..........East Carolina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. Thomas R. Thrasher ..........North Carolina</td>
<td>6 &amp; Southwest Texas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 John H. Holloway ..........Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Hon. Edward L. van Roden ..........Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Samuel C. Waller ..........Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Dupuy Bateman, Jr. ..........Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Hamilton S. Newsom ..........Chicago</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9. On the Prayer Book

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. Sherwood S. Clayton ..........Louisiana</td>
<td>7 John H. Holloway ..........Arkansas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Louis B. Keiter, D.D. ..........Oregon</td>
<td>4 Samuel C. Waller ..........Georgia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. Gordon E. Gillett ..........Quincy</td>
<td>5 Hamilton S. Newsom ..........Chicago</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Samuel C. Waller ..........Georgia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 10. On Christian Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Clerical</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. William C. Warner ..........Western Michigan</td>
<td>5 Peter Day ..........Milwaukee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Very Rev. Frederic R. Murray ..........Erie</td>
<td>1 Ralph G. Kempton ..........Maine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Matthew P. Bigiardi ..........Olympia</td>
<td>6 Keith Thomas ..........Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rev. Thomas A. Dixon ..........Indiana</td>
<td>1 Charles L. Park, Jr. ..........Vermont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
11. On Christian Social Relations

Provo Clerical
7 Rev. Harold C. Goussell, D.D. West Texas
3 Rev. Robert P. Varley, Th.D. Easton
3 Rev. Albert T. Mollegen, D.D. Virginia
4 Rev. Francis B. Wakefield Alabama
4 Rev. Duncan M. Hobart, Jr., S.T.D. Mississippi
5 Very Rev. Samuel J. Martin, D.D. Chicago

Clerical

Lay
8 Dean K. Brooks, M.D. Oregon
1 William A. Reid, M.D. Rhode Island
2 J. Arthur Jones New Jersey
5 Wilber G. Katz, J.S.D. Milwaukee
7 Lee T. Bivins Northwest Texas
4 Seaton G. Bailey Atlanta
8 Paul M. Rock Arizona
6 Martin A. Ohlander Colorado

12. On Memorials of Deceased Members

Provo Clerical
4 Rev. Stephen K. Davenport III Kentucky
5 Rev. Edwin H. Badger, Jr. Chicago
4 Rev. Hugh R. Saussy, Jr. Atlanta

Clerical

Lay
7 Porter Loring West Texas
3 Francis T. West Southwestern Virginia
2 John C. Becker Central New York

13. On The Church Pension Fund

Provo Clerical
5 Rev. Allan L. Ramsay Michigan
1 Rev. Canon R. D. Read, S.T.D. Connecticut
6 Rev. E. William Strauser Iowa
7 Very Rev. J. M. Richardson, LL.D. Texas
8 Very Rev. Harry B. Lee San Joaquin

Clerical

Lay
3 Ernest W. Greene Washington
4 David F. Felmet Western North Carolina
5 Edward A. Dougherty Southern Ohio
2 Hector J. Buell Albany
8 William H. Steigmond Los Angeles
5 Kenneth E. Kintuer, O.D. Northern Indiana
2 John B. Rhodes Newark

14. On Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes

(3 Presbyters and 3 Laymen)

Provo Clerical
7 Rev. David A. Jones Dallas
5 Rev. Charles T. Gaskell Milwaukee
2 Rev. Canon Arthur R. Cowdery

Clerical

Lay
4 J. William Werts South Florida
8 Hugh Shearer Honolulu
7 John E. Sweeney, M.D. Kansas

16. On Rural Work

(3 Presbyters and 3 Laymen)

Provo Clerical
4 Rev. G. Mark Jenkins Western North Carolina
1 Ven. Donald H. Lyons New Hampshire
6 Rev. Henry B. Robbins Iowa

Clerical

Lay
7 Clifford W. Stone Kansas
4 T. Fitzhugh Wilson Louisiana
3 Hon. Lyle G. Hall Erie
### 18. On Evangelism

**Pro.**  
7 Rev. Charles R. Davies — New Mexico & Southwest Texas  
1 Rev. Robert S. Beecher — Connecticut  
2 Rev. Darwin Kirby, Jr. — Albany  
3 Rev. David F. Gearhart — Easton  
6 Rev. Robert G. Hewitt — Nebraska  
8 Very Rev. M. W. McCluskey — Northern California  
7 Rev. Arleigh W. Lassiter — West Missouri  
1 Rev. Donald H. Marsh — New Hampshire  
4 Rev. Joseph R. Horn III — South Carolina

**Lay**  
4 Andrew B. Stone — Western North Carolina  
5 Paul C. Baker — Springfield  
3 Hon. F. Nelson Light — Southern Virginia  
7 W. Llewellyn Powell — Dallas  
8 William W. Clore — Arizona  
5 Robert A. Addison — Western Michigan

### 19. On National and International Problems

**Pro.**  
2 Ven. Canon H. J. Stretch — Long Island  
4 Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D. — Tennessee  
3 Ven. Dean T. Stevenson, S.T.D. — Bethlehem  
8 Rev. Lee Owen Stone — Oregon  
3 Very Rev. F. B. Sayre, Jr., D.D. — Washington

**Lay**  
1 Hon. Thurgood Marshall — New York  
3 Harrison Garrett — Maryland  
4 Paul T. Tate, Jr. — Alabama  
7 George C. Miller — Northwest Texas  
5 William A. Walker — Michigan

### 20. On Ecumenical Relations

**Pro.**  
7 Very Rev. Gray W. Blandy, D.D. — Texas  
6 Rev. John W. Hildebrand — Minnesota  
4 Rev. William W. Lumpkin — Upper South Carolina  
5 Rev. William P. D. O'Leary — Western Michigan  
5 Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D. — Milwaukee

**Lay**  
1 John N. Brown — Rhode Island  
2 Lee Harder — Rochester  
7 William Icard II — New Mexico & Southwest Texas  
3 P. Blair Lee — Pennsylvania  
8 Charles W. Tuttle, Jr. — Northern California  
8 George B. Livermore — California  
4 Roger W. Hartt — Connecticut  
4 N. Hamner Cobbs — Alabama  
3 John S. Allfriend — West Virginia  
2 Paul B. Anderson, Th.D. — New York

### 21. On Theological Education

**Pro.**  
1 Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D. — Massachusetts  
5 Rev. William C. R. Sheridan — Northern Indiana  
8 Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D. — California  
6 Rev. Ralph E. Krohn — Montana  
5 Very Rev. John E. Gulick — Fond du Lac

**Lay**  
4 Edward McCrady, Ph.D. — Tennessee  
7 Sheldon H. Crocker — Texas  
7 Frank M. Gillespie — West Texas  
3 Ben F. Fargott — Southwestern Virginia  
3 Frank T. Green — Bethlehem  
1 William A. Coolidge — Massachusetts  
2 Melvin W. Elliott — Western New York
### 22. On Urban and Suburban Work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provo Clerical</td>
<td>Provo Lay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle, D.D.</td>
<td>7 Gill Miller, West Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Ven. Alfred Mead, Georgia</td>
<td>3 Richard Little, Bethlehem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Rev. W. Bradford Hastings, Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>5 Edwin M. White, Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. Paul M. Washington, Pennsylvania</td>
<td>2 Hon. Herbert W. Tate, Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. John H. M. Yamazaki, D.D., Los Angeles</td>
<td>8 Howard Freeman, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rev. Frederic J. Rausch, Kansas</td>
<td>8 Stephen C. Shadeeg, Arizona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Lincoln P. Eng, Olympia</td>
<td>6 Andrew D. Mapes, Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rev. W. Paul Thompson, Central New York</td>
<td>4 Charles P. Wofford, M.D., Tennessee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Committee on Privilege and Courtesy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provo Clerical</td>
<td>Provo Lay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rev. W. Murray Kenney, Missouri</td>
<td>8 Rudolfo M. Duma, Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Lesley Wilder, D.D., California</td>
<td>2 William K. Allison, Long Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Rev. Willis H. Steinberg, Nebraska</td>
<td>4 W. Croft Jennings, Upper South Carolina</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provo Clerical</td>
<td>Provo Lay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., New Jersey</td>
<td>4 Lewis E. Cooke, South Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel, Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>5 B. Snirrell Rogers, M.D., Indianapolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Rev. Edward McNair, Northern California</td>
<td>6 Lloyd A. Hatch, Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. Wilfred E. Roach, Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td>7 Shelby C. Hogan, New Mexico &amp; Southwest Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Ven. Henry P. Krusen, Western New York</td>
<td>3 D. Harvey Phillips, Erie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. Tracy Lamar, Tennessee</td>
<td>5 Rev. Edward M. Turner, Virgin Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Very Rev. Robert F. Royster, Northern Indiana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prov.</th>
<th>Lay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provo Clerical</td>
<td>Provo Lay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Very Rev. Charles O. Brown, Maine</td>
<td>3 Sidney A. Wood, Newark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rev. Edward B. Sims, West Missouri</td>
<td>2 L. Dale Pederson, Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rev. Edward M. Turner, Virginia</td>
<td>6 J. C. Carlington, Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Rev. Robert L. Curry, Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>5 F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Very Rev. John C. van Dyk, D.Sc., Oklahoma</td>
<td>5 George W. Perrett, Western Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Rev. T. Stewart Matthews, Atlanta</td>
<td>4 Will S. Keele, Jr., Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Rev. John R. Ramsey, Albany</td>
<td>4 Walker Taylor, Jr., East Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Rev. Walter J. Myeoff, West Virginia</td>
<td>8 James E. Jewell, Northern California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Secretary reported that the Standing and Special Committees of the House had reported to him the fact of their organization and the names of their several Chairmen and Secretaries, this being Report No. 1 of each of the Committees. The Secretary submitted, and caused to be distributed, the following list:

**STANDING COMMITTEES**

1. **THE STATE OF THE CHURCH.**  
   Rev. Robert B. Appleyard, Chairman, *Connecticut*  
   John Paul Causey, Secretary, *Virginia*

2. **THE GENERAL THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY.**  
   Rev. Stanley P. Gasek, Chairman, *Central New York*  
   Byron S. Miller, Secretary, *Bethlehem*

3. **MISSIONS.**  
   Rev. Charles H. Crawford, Chairman, *Arizona*  
   Frank T. Wood, Jr., Secretary, *Central New York*

4. **ADMISSION OF NEW DIOCESES.**  
   Rev. Herbert S. Brown, Chairman, *Newark*  
   Rudolph E. Goranson, Secretary, *Idaho*

5. **CONSECRATION OF BISHOPS.**  
   Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, Chairman, *Maine*  
   James S. Barker, Secretary, *New Hampshire*

6. **AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION.**  
   Very Rev. John C. Leffler, Chairman, *Olympia*  
   David E. Bronson, Secretary, *Minnesota*

7. **CANONS.**  
   Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, Chairman, *Louisiana*  
   Philip Adams, Secretary, *California*

8. **ELECTIONS.**  
   Rev. Peyton R. Williams, Chairman, *Southern Virginia*  
   Jack M. Lyons, Secretary, *Los Angeles*

9. **PRAYER BOOK.**  
   Very Rev. H. F. Lemoine, Chairman, *Long Island*  
   Rev. L. B. Keiter, Secretary, *Oregon*

10. **CHRISTIAN EDUCATION.**  
    Rev. William C. Warner, Chairman, *Western Michigan*  
    Rev. John M. Krumm, Secretary, *New York*

11. **CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS.**  
    Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, Chairman, *West Texas*  
    Rev. Robert Varley, Secretary, *Easton*
12. MEMORIALS OF DECEASED MEMBERS.
   Rev. Edwin Hunt Badger, Chairman, Chicago
   John Becker, Secretary, Central New York

13. CHURCH PENSION FUND.
   Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay, Chairman, Michigan
   Ernest W. Greene, Secretary, Washington

14. UNFINISHED BUSINESS AND CERTIFICATION OF THE MINUTES.
   Rev. David A. Jones, Chairman, Dallas
   J. William Werts, Secretary, South Florida

15. RULES OF ORDER.
   Clifford Morehouse, Chairman, New York
   James T. McKinstry, Secretary, Delaware

16. RURAL WORK.
   Clifford W. Stone, Chairman, Kansas
   Rev. Henry Robbins, Secretary, Iowa

17. DISPATCH OF BUSINESS.
   Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, Chairman, California

18. EVANGELISM.
   Rev. Charles R. Davies, Chairman, New Mexico and Southwest Texas
   Rev. David F. Gearhart, Secretary, Easton

19. NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL PROBLEMS.
   Rev. William G. Pollard, Chairman, Tennessee
   William A. Walker, Secretary, Michigan

20. ECUMENICAL RELATIONS.
   Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, Chairman, Texas
   John Nicholas Brown, Secretary, Rhode Island

21. THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION.
   Very Rev. John B. Coburn, Chairman, Massachusetts
   Ben F. Parrott, Secretary, Southwestern Virginia

22. URBAN AND SUBURBAN WORK.
   Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle, Chairman, Delaware
   Rev. Lincoln Eng, Secretary, Olympia

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

PRIVILEGE AND COURTESY.
   Very Rev. Almus M. Thorp, Chairman, Southern Ohio
   William K. Allison, Secretary, Long Island
Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

\[\textit{Resolved, That in order to expedite the work of the House and of the committees, those printed Reports of Joint Committees and Commissions, which are to be presented to the Convention first in this House, and those Memorials and Petitions which have been received prior to this time, shall be presented by title today by the Secretary, and the President shall either refer them forthwith to the appropriate Committees, or place them upon the Calendar, if there be no such appropriate Committee; and be it further} \]

\[\textit{Resolved, That the Secretary be directed to provide all members of the House with a schedule showing (1) to which Committee each Report, Memorial, or Petition has been referred, and (2) which have been placed upon the Calendar.} \]

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary thereupon presented by title the proposal to amend Article X of the Constitution, which had been proposed to the General Convention of 1961, passed, and made known (as the Constitution requires) to the several diocesan Conventions and Convocations of Missionary Districts and of the Convocation of American Churches in Europe. The proposed amendment was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution and was placed upon the Calendar as No. 1.

The Secretary proceeded to present, by title, the printed Reports (all of which will be found in the Appendix of this Journal), which were to be acted upon first in the House of Deputies. The President, in each instance, referred the Report and its proposed Resolutions, if any, to the appropriate Committee, or placed it upon the Calendar, as follows:

HD 1—American Church Building Fund Commission—Calendar 2; Resolution—Expenses.

HD 2—Joint Staff Committee on American Indian Work—Missions.

HD 3—Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman’s Annotated Constitution and Canons—Calendar 3; Resolution 1—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 2—Expenses.
HD 4—Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations—Ecumenical Relations; Resolution 4(a) to (c)—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 4(d)—Expenses; Resolution 4(e)—Program and Budget.

HD 5—Episcopalian, Inc. (The)—Christian Education; Resolution (d)—Program and Budget.

HD 6—Joint Commission on Evangelism—Evangelism; Resolution 1—Program and Budget.

HD 7—National Council’s Commission on Church-State Relations—National and International Problems.

HD 8—Church Historical Society—Calendar 4; Resolution—Expenses.

HD 9—Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs—Christian Social Relations; Resolution 1—Urban and Suburban Work; Resolution 2—National and International Problems; Resolutions 3(a) and 4(b)—Christian Social Relations; Resolution 5(a)—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 5(b)—Expenses.

HD 10—Study Committee of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations on the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations; Recommendation 2—Program and Budget.

HD 11—Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas—Program and Budget; Resolution 1—Program and Budget; Resolutions 2 and 3(a)—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 3(b)—Expenses; Resolution 4—Program and Budget; Resolution 5—Canons.

HD 12—Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces—Reconstruction of General Convention; Resolutions 1, 2, and 3—Canons; Resolutions 4-8—Rules of Order; Resolutions 9 and 17(a)—Committees and Commissions; Resolution 10—Amendments to the Constitution; Resolutions 11 and 13-16—Reconstruction of General Convention; Resolutions 12 and 17(b)—Expenses.

HD 12A—American Church Institute—Calendar 5.

The Secretary then presented, by title, Resolutions, Memorials, and Petitions which had been received prior to the opening of Convention. Without discussion, they were referred by the Chair to the appropriate Committee of the House as will appear in the list which follows:

HD 13—60th General Convention—Amend Canon 7, “Of the Church Pension Fund”, vacancies, Board of Trustees—Church Pension Fund and Canons.


HD 15—Diocese of Springfield—Amend Canon 12, “Of Parishes and Congregations”, prohibiting the linking of congregations of this and other Churches—Canons.
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HD 17—Diocese of Springfield—Amend Canon 45, "Of Ministers and their Duties", prohibiting clergymen of this Church from serving congregations of another Church—Canons.

HD 18—Diocese of Springfield—Amend Canon 55, "Of Courts, etc.", extending authority of Provincial Courts of Review—Canons.

HD 19—Diocese of New Jersey—Church-State relations and Bible-reading in schools—National and International Problems.

HD 20—Diocese of Fond du Lac—Establishing a Joint Commission on Relations with the Roman Catholic Church—Committees and Commissions.

HD 21—Diocese of Long Island—Study of deployment of the clergy—Committees and Commissions.

HD 22—Diocese of Long Island—Study of clergy salaries and pensions—Committees and Commissions and Church Pension Fund.

HD 23—Diocese of New York—For a Joint Commission on Church-State Relations—Committees and Commissions.

HD 24—Diocese of Newark—For a Joint Commission on Clergy Salaries—Committees and Commissions.

HD 25—Province IV—For a Joint Commission on Clergy Placement—Committees and Commissions.

HD 26—Diocese of Long Island—Christian-Jewish dialogue—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 27—Province VIII—Support for the Philippine Independent Church—Ecumenical Relations.

A group of ten Memorials urging optional retirement of the clergy at age 65, as follows:

HD 28—Diocese of Bethlehem—
HD 29—Diocese of Chicago—
HD 30—Diocese of Iowa—
HD 31—Diocese of Long Island—
HD 32—Diocese of Montana—
HD 33—Diocese of New Jersey—
HD 34—Diocese of Newark—
HD 35—Diocese of Northwest Texas—
HD 36—Diocese of Rochester—
HD 37—Diocese of San Joaquin—

—Church Pension Fund.

This last Memorial was supported by Resolutions from five Dioceses, and contained, as well, Resolutions dealing with limited service for retired clergymen, and for protection of widows' death benefits. These Resolutions were likewise referred to the Committee on the Church Pension Fund.

HD 38—Diocese of Vermont—Clergy retirement at age 65 and increase in widows' death benefits—Church Pension Fund.

HD 39—Board of Trustees, Church Divinity School of the Pacific—Retirement of seminary professors at age 65—Church Pension Fund.
HD 40—Diocese of Montana—Widows’ death benefits—Church Pension Fund.

HD 41—Diocese of Rochester—Widows’ death benefits—Church Pension Fund.

HD 42—Diocese of Montana—Limited service for retired clergymen—Church Pension Fund.

HD 43—Diocese of Rochester—Limited service for retired clergymen—Church Pension Fund.

A group of five Memorials, advocating a Church-wide medical plan for clergymen, from the following:

HD 44—Diocese of Rhode Island—

HD 45—Diocese of Western Michigan—

HD 46—Diocese of Erie—

HD 47—Diocese of Pennsylvania—

HD 49—Diocese of Newark—

—Church Pension Fund.

HD 48—Diocese of Michigan—Public Affairs Officer in Washington—
National and International Problems.

HD 50—Diocese of Pennsylvania—Salary scale and schedule of fringe benefits for women Church workers—Church Pension Fund.

A group of three Memorials, advocating a system of equalized pensions for clergymen, based solely on years of service, from the following:

HD 51—Diocese of Iowa—

HD 52—Diocese of Massachusetts—

HD 53—Province VIII—

—Church Pension Fund.

HD 54—Province VIII—Increased pension benefits—Church Pension Fund.

HD 55—Diocese of Dallas—Study of the investment structure of The Church Pension Fund—Church Pension Fund.

HD 56—National Council—Allocation of the Good Friday Offering—
Program and Budget.

HD 57—Diocese of Iowa—For a Division of Pastoral Care—Program and Budget.

HD 58—Province VII—Biennial sessions of the General Convention—
Reconstruction of the General Convention.


HD 60—Diocese of Erie—Women as Deputies—Amendments to the Constitution and Canons.

HD 61—Diocese of Oklahoma—Objections to the Parochial Report Form—
State of the Church.
A group of four Memorials, advocating the seating of women in the House of Deputies, from the following:

HD 62—Diocese of Northern California—
HD 63—Diocese of New York—
HD 64—Diocese of Western Massachusetts—
HD 65—Diocese of Lexington—

—Amendments to the Constitution and Canons.

HD 67—Diocese of New Hampshire—Criteria for increases in the budget—Program and Budget.
HD 68—Diocese of Northern California—Clergy retirement at age 65—Church Pension Fund.
HD 70—Diocese of New Mexico and Southwest Texas—Limited service for retired clergymen—Church Pension Fund.
HD 71—Diocese of Maryland—Clergy retirement at age 65—Church Pension Fund.
HD 72—Diocese of Pennsylvania—System of equalized pensions—Church Pension Fund.
HD 73—Diocese of Michigan—Amend Canon 4, "Of the National Council", to permit self-allocation by Dioceses in lieu of quotas—Canons and Program and Budget.
HD 74—National Council—American Bible Society—Christian Education.
HD 75—Missionary District of Spokane—Petition for union with the Convention as a Diocese—Admission of New Dioceses.
HD 76—Diocese of Albany—Public Affairs Officer—National and International Problems and Program and Budget.
HD 77—National Council—Proposal to change the name of the National Council—Canons.

The Secretary read Message No. 1 from the House of Bishops:

The House of Bishops notifies the House of Deputies that it is now organized and ready for business.
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the President call at this time for any other Memorials, Petitions, and/or Resolutions, which members of this House wish to introduce, and that they be introduced by title only, for reference to the appropriate committee or to be placed on the Calendar.

Adopted by the House.

There followed the presentation, by title, of Resolutions by members of the House, which Resolutions, without discussion, were referred by the Chair to the appropriate Committees, as will appear from the list which follows:


HD 79—Very Rev. John B. Coburn (Massachusetts)—Unity statement, New Delhi—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 80—Episcopal Representatives to the National Council of Churches—Report to the Church—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 81—Very Rev. L. Skerry Olsen (Kansas)—Women as Deputies—Reconstruction of General Convention.


HD 85—Diocese of Oregon—Permission to elect a Suffragan Bishop—Consecration of Bishops.


HD 87—Rev. Howard W. Brummitt (Quincy)—Amendment of Canons—Canons.


The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented a series of Reports of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, as follows:

14. Joint Committee to present nominations for Treasurer of the General Convention,
15. Joint Committee to present nominations for Members of the National (Executive) Council,
16. Election of Secretary of the General Convention,
17. Joint Committee to present nominations for Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society,
18. Joint Committee to nominate Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

(See under appropriate headings in the Topical Arrangement Section of this Journal.)

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 18 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Whereas, The House of Deputies notes with pleasure that the program of the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church provides for visits by its members to the two Houses of General Convention; therefore be it

Resolved, That the House of Deputies cordially invite the Officers and Members of the Triennial Meeting to visit this House at its regular morning session on Wednesday, October 14th, at 9:30 a.m., and that the President of this House be authorized to invite the Presiding Officer of the Triennial Meeting, on that day, following the opening devotions, to address this House, and to occupy a seat on the platform; and be it further

Resolved, That the President appoint one Presbyter and one Layman to convey the greetings of the House of Deputies to the Triennial Meeting and to extend this invitation to its Presiding Officer and members.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed Mr. Peter Day, of Milwaukee, and the Very Rev. William B. Spofford, Jr., of Idaho, to convey the invitation of this House to the Women of the Church, now meeting in Triennial Session, to the Joint Session.

The House received Message Number 2 from the House of Bishops, dealing with the resignation of the Presiding Bishop.

(See Topical Arrangement.)

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 20 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That Rule 40 be suspended, in order to grant the privilege of the floor to the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King, to speak at 2:15 p.m.
The President of the House requested the Sergeant-at-Arms to escort the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King to the platform. After an introduction by the President, the Rev. Dr. King addressed the House.

The Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., of Southern Ohio, presented a Resolution on behalf of the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, as follows:

_Whereas_, We, of this House, are (as the Presiding Bishop has reminded us) mutually encouraged by each other’s faith; and

_Whereas_, We are minded “fearlessly to contend against evil and to make no peace with oppression”; now, therefore, be it

_Resolved_, That this House declare itself deeply grateful for the visit of the Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King today, for his presence among us, and—not least—for his public witness for justice under law for all men everywhere, now and forever.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read the following greeting from the Episcopalians of Ecuador, which was referred to the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy:

“All the Episcopalians of Ecuador send affectionate greetings, praying that the Holy Spirit may guide the election of a Primate and the rest of the Convention deliberations.”

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 21 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

_Resolved_, That the House proceed with business under the regular order, as prescribed under Rule 9.

Adopted by the House.

The Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., of New Jersey, presented the Report of the Historiographer, which was received and adopted for inclusion in the Journal.

The Chairman presented a Certificate of Recognition and Appreciation to the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., of New Jersey, as the oldest clerical Deputy in point of service to the General Convention.

The Chairman presented a Certificate of Recognition to Anson T. McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, for his having served in eleven General Conventions in the House of Deputies.

The Chairman presented similar Certificates to Mr. David E. Bronson, of Minnesota, and Howard T. Foulkes, LL.D., of
Milwaukee, who have each served in ten General Conventions in the House of Deputies.

Certificates of Recognition were then presented to:

- The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia
- The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas
- The Rev. William P. Barnds, D.D., of Dallas
- The Rev. Robert A. Magill, D.D., of Southwestern Virginia
- Mr. John Nicholas Brown, of Rhode Island

for having served in nine General Conventions in the House of Deputies.

To all those who had served five or more General Conventions, the Secretary and the President of the House of Deputies likewise presented Certificates.

The President of the House requested the Secretary to introduce his secretary, Mrs. Johanna L. Lally, who has now served eleven General Conventions as Assistant Secretary of the House of Deputies. Mrs. Lally began her service at the General Convention in Atlantic City in 1934.

Item No. 2 was taken from the Calendar, being HOI, the Report of the American Church Building Fund Commission.

Adopted by the House.

Item No. 3 was taken from the Calendar, being HD2, the Report of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's *Annotated Constitution and Canons*.

This was referred to the Committee on Expenses, since it requires an appropriation.

The Rev. William W. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, moved a Resolution calling for open hearings in connection with the relationship of this Church with the National Council of Churches, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement: "National Council of Churches")

The presentation of Resolutions by Deputies was resumed, as follows:

- HD 95—Rev. William W. Lumpkin (Upper South Carolina)—Open hearings by Committees on matters pertaining to the National Council of Churches—Adopted.
- HD 96—Rev. David C. Watson (Maryland)—Evangelism—Evangelism.
HD 97—Hugh R. Jones (Central New York)—Mississippi voter-registration project—National and International Problems and Christian Social Relations.

HD 98—Hugh R. Jones (Central New York)—Inter-racial marriage—Christian Social Relations.

HD 99—Walter Cooper (New Jersey)—Name of the Church—Amendments to the Constitution.


HD 102—Paul M. Roca (Arizona)—Pension Plan—Church Pension Fund.

HD 103—Rev. R. Emmett Gribbin, Jr. (Alabama)—Centennial observances of the re-union of this Church after the Civil War—Calendar.

HD 104—Rev. R. D. Pagán (Puerto Rico)—Clergy retirement at age 65—Church Pension Fund.


HD 113—Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley (Louisiana)—Amend Canon 55, "Of Amendability, Citation, and Attendance"—Canons.

HD 114—Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley (Louisiana)—Amend Canon 41, "Of Suffragan Bishops"—Canons.

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Canons, HD 13, being a proposal to amend Canon 7, "Of the Church Pension Fund," Section 2, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement: "Canon 7, Sec. 2")

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Canons, which was placed on the Calendar No. 7.

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Canons, which was placed on the Calendar No. 4.

The Very Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy presented the following resolution, which was adopted by the House:

Whereas, We have been advised by Message No. 2 of the House of Bishops of the acceptance by that House, with regret, of the resignation of the Presiding Bishop; now, therefore, be it
Resolved, That this House express its high thanksgiving for the service and leadership of Arthur Lichtenberger, and thank God for every remembrance of him:

for his joy in adversity;
for his concern for justice and brotherhood for all the children of God;
for his faith in the renewing and binding power of the Holy Spirit in his Church;
for his hope for the future of God's world and for the mission of the Church to that world;

and be it further

Resolved, That we rise in witness to his work among us and in expression of our personal affection for him.

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Canons, HD 11, proposing the amendment of Canon 4, Sec. 6(d).

(See Topical Arrangement: “Canon 4, Sec. 6(d)”.)

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Canons, HD 77, proposing the amendment of several Canons so as to change the name of the National Council to the Executive Council.

(See Topical Arrangement: “Canons 1, 2, 3, etc.”)

The Secretary read the following messages from the House of Bishops.

(See Topical Arrangement section under the several headings, listed to wit:

No. 3—General Convention 1967, Joint Committee on Place of
No. 4—National (Executive) Council, Nomination and Election of Members of
No. 5—Trustees of The Church Pension Fund
No. 6—Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society
No. 7—Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer
No. 8—Forward Movement Publications
No. 9—Greetings to Pope Paul VI)

The privilege of the floor was given to F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., of Ohio, to address the House on the Foundation for Episcopal Colleges. At the conclusion of his address, Dr. Lund offered a Resolution, which was adopted.

(See, “Episcopal Colleges, Foundation for” in Topical Arrangement Section.)
The Secretary read the following telegram from the Standing Conference of Orthodox Bishops:


REV. WM. SCHNEIRLA.

The House stood adjourned.

SECOND DAY

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 13, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. Devotions and prayers were led by the Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania.

Mr. J. W. Werts, of South Florida, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes presents Report No. 2. It met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 12 read, and certify them to be correct.

On request of the Committee on Rural Work and the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, the President referred to them, also, the two Memorials from the Diocese of Springfield (HD 15 and HD 17) which had previously been referred to Canons.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Elections, as follows:


The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 22 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House proceed with the Regular Order as provided, under Rule 9V, immediately after hearing from Lt. Col. Malcolm L. Douglas, Prolocutor of the Lower House of the Anglican Church of Canada, as provided by Resolution yesterday.

Adopted by the House.
The President granted permission to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops to meet at once, together with the deputation of the Diocese of Oregon, concerning the request of the latter for a Suffragan Bishop.

Col. Malcolm L. Douglas, Prolocutor of the Lower House of the Anglican Church of Canada, was given the privilege of the floor and addressed the House.

The President of the House requested that the address thus given be referred to the Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence as background material for their work.

The President referred House of Deputies No. 90, being the Resolution of Mr. Charles F. Bound, of New York, concerning Human Affairs Resolution No. 3, “Population Explosion”, to the Committee on Christian Social Relations instead of to the Committee on National and International Problems.

The Secretary read Message No. 10 from the House of Bishops, dealing with a uniform translation of the Bible. On motion, the House concurred.

(See Topical Arrangement, “Bible, Uniform Translation”)

The Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig, of Maine, for the Committee on Consecration of Bishops, moved a Resolution, which was adopted and communicated to the House of Bishops.

(See Topical Arrangement, “Oregon, Diocese of, Suffragan Bishop for”)

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement, “Constitution, Article X”)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 23 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the Regular Order be temporarily suspended in order that the Rev. Dr. Arthur Vogel, Deputy from Milwaukee, may present the personal representatives of His Eminence Cardinal Ritter, Archbishop of St. Louis,

The Very Rev. Paul C. Reinert, S.J., President of St. Louis University,

The Very Rev. Dom Columba Cary-Elwes, O.S.B.,

Mr. Carl Gaertner, Attorney.

Adopted by the House.
The Chair, being informed of the presence in the House of Deputies of two of the official observers from the Roman Catholic Church, Dom Columba and Mr. Gaertner, asked the Rev. Dr. Vogel to escort them to the platform. Dom Columba was given the privilege of the floor and addressed the House briefly on the present state of the ecumenical enterprise.


The Committee on Canons offered the following resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 55(a), “Diocesan Courts for the Trial of a Presbyter or Deacon”, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of a new Sec. 2 to read as follows:

   Sec. 2. A court for the trial of a Presbyter or Deacon having convened, and a presentment having been made, by a majority vote of its members, may adopt an order suspending the accused from all public ministrations in the Diocese or Missionary District, pending final determination of the proceedings before the court. Such order shall be effective upon approval by the Bishop or Ecclesiastical Authority of the Diocese or Missionary District and service upon the accused personally, or by leaving a copy at his last usual place of abode within the United States, or, if he has departed from the United States, by publication as provided in Canon 54, Sec. 2.

2. Resolved, further, the House of Bishops concurring, That the succeeding sections of Canon 55 be renumbered accordingly.

The report was placed on the Calendar No. 9.

(Number 9 was subsequently taken from the Calendar, and, upon being put to a vote, failed of adoption.)

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 16, being a Memorial from the National Council with respect to the amendment of Canon 13, “Of Parish Vestries”, by expanding the definition of the functions of Vestryman, begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends its adoption. The Committee, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 13 be amended by the addition of the following new Section 3:

   Sec. 3. The Rector, Wardens, and Vestrymen shall have charge of the unification, development, and prosecution of the Missionary, Educational, and Social ministry of the parish, of which work the Rector shall be the executive head; and be it further
2. *Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present Section 3 be renumbered Section 4.

Placed on the Calendar No. 10.

(Number 10 was subsequently taken from the Calendar, and, upon being put to a vote, failed of adoption.)

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 17, being a Memorial from the Diocese of Springfield with respect to the amendment of Canon 45, "Of Ministers and their Duties", by the addition of a sentence to Sec. 4 (a) of that Canon and reading as follows:

No Minister of this Church, having a settled Cure in this Church shall agree to provide for the stated services of any Congregation not in full communion with this Church.

begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal and, while finding it to be in proper form, recommends against its adoption by a majority vote of the Committee.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

*Resolved*, That this Committee be discharged from further consideration of this proposal.

HD 17 having been referred to the Committee on Rural Work and the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as well as to the Committee on Canons, the foregoing Report was placed on the Calendar No. 11, pending report of the other Committees.

(Number 11 was subsequently taken from the Calendar, and, by vote, failed of adoption, the Committee being discharged from further consideration of HD 17.)

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 15, being a Memorial from the Diocese of Springfield proposing the amendment of Canon 12, "Of Parishes and Congregations", by the addition of a new clause (c) to Sec. 2 of that Canon, offers the following Resolution:

*Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 12, Sec. 2, be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of a new clause (c) and reading as follows:

(c) No Parish or Congregation of this Church shall be organized jointly with a Congregation of any religious body not in full communion with this Church, nor shall any existing Parish or Congregation enter into any federation, union, or joint agreement, with any such religious body with respect to the conduct of the worship of Almighty God.
HD 15 having been referred to the Committee on Rural Work and the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as well as to the Committee on Canons, the foregoing resolution was placed on the Calendar No. 12, until the other Committees should have reported thereon.

(Number 12 was subsequently taken from the Calendar, and failed of adoption.)

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Canons, on amendment to Canon 41, "Of Suffragan Bishops", which was re-committed to the Committee by the Chair.

The Rev. Canon Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Canons, to amend Canon 55, "Of Courts, Their Membership and Procedure", on which the House agreed to defer action until after the next report of the Committee on Canons.

The Secretary read Message No. 11 from the House of Bishops, concurring with House of Deputies Message No. 6, calling for open hearings on the subject of the relationship of this Church with the National Council of Churches.

(See Topical Arrangement, "National Council of Churches")

The Secretary read Message No. 12 from the House of Bishops, concurring with House of Deputies Message No. 7, establishing a schedule of Joint Sessions.

(See section entitled "Joint Sessions")

The presentation of Resolutions by Deputies was resumed, as follows:


HD 122—B. Allston Moore (South Carolina)—Conditional withdrawal from the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 123—John Paul Causey (Virginia)—Study of the Scriptures—Christian Education.

Mr. Robert Worthington, of Connecticut, presented by title the Report of The Church Pension Fund on a Medical Plan for Clergy, which the 60th General Convention had asked the Fund to study. The report was referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.

Item No. 4 was taken from the Calendar, being the Report of the Church Historical Society. This was adopted by the House except for the Resolution, which remains in the Committee on Expenses.

Item No. 6 was taken from the Calendar, being HD 103, and adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Civil War Centennial Observance")

The Rev. T. Stewart Matthews, of Atlanta, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That written copies of all proposed amendments to Canons be distributed to all Deputies prior to consideration thereof.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed the following additional Assistants to the Sergeant-at-Arms:

Mr. Angus W. McDonald, of Lexington
The Hon. Sherwood W. Wise, of Mississippi
Mr. John R. Sherwood, of Southern Ohio
Mr. Angus Irvine, of Cuba

The House stood adjourned.

THIRD DAY

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 14, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair.

Announcement was made of the death of Mr. George Pruner, Lay Deputy from Northern Michigan. Prayers and devotions were offered by the Chaplain, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania.
Mr. James W. Werts, of South Florida, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 13 read, and certify them to be correct.

The President gave the privilege of the floor to Mrs. Harold Sorg, Presiding Officer of the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church, now meeting in triennial session.

Mrs. Sorg was introduced to the House by the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California. She brought greetings from the Triennial Meeting and assured the Deputies of the continued interest and support of the Women of the Church.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. Edwin Speare, of Montana, in place of Richard Ormsbee, Ph.D.; Mr. Harold Rutherford, of Arizona, in place of Mr. Stephen C. Shadegg; Mr. William Tallman, of Pittsburgh, in place of Mr. Louis R. Schmertz for October 12 and 13; and the Rev. Elton O. Smith, Jr., of West Missouri, in place of the Rev. Canon Harold B. Whitehead, for Wednesday afternoon only.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 24 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

*Resolved,* That the House be in session on Friday, October 16, from 9:30 a.m. until 12:30 p.m., and from 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.

Adopted by the House.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 25 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

*Resolved,* That Rule 40 be suspended, in order to grant the privilege of the floor, at the President's pleasure, to Mr. John McCone of the American Bible Society.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, for the contents of which, see Topical Arrangement section under the several headings listed, as follows:

No. 14—National Council, Change of name of (Canons 1, 2, 3, etc.)

No. 15—Secretary of the General Convention

No. 16—Canon 7, Sec. 2

On motion, the House received this Report (which will be distributed), for printing in the Journal. (See Appendix)

In accordance with the special order of business previously adopted, the privilege of the floor was granted to Mr. John McCone, who addressed the House on the work of the American Bible Society.

A motion to reconsider a previous action of the House was made and seconded, but failed of adoption.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Constitution, Article X."

The Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses presented its Report No. 2, recommending the erection of the missionary District of Spokane to diocesan status, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Spokane, erection of, as a Diocese"

The Committee on Amendments of the Constitution presented its Report No. 3, being a proposal to amend Article I., Sec. 7, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Constitution, Article I., Sec. 7"

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred HD Nos. 60, 62, 63, 64, and 65, on the subject of amending the Constitution so as to make it possible for women to serve as Lay Deputies in General Convention, reports as follows:

Your Committee has considered the Memorials, dealing with the above subject, received from the Dioceses of Erie, Northern California, New York, Western Massachusetts, and Lexington, and submits to this House the following Resolution for a proposed amendment to the Constitution which, if adopted and finally enacted at the General Convention to be held in 1967, would accomplish the purposes expressed in the aforesaid Memorials. The Committee, believing its function to be, except in cases of the greatest urgency, to present appropriate legislation which, if enacted, will accomplish the purposes expressed in matters referred to it, offers the following Resolution without recommendation:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Section 4 of Article I. of the Constitution be, and the same is hereby, amended so as to change the word "layman", wherever the same appears in said Section, to "lay person", and so as to change the word "laymen", wherever the same appears in said Section to "lay persons"; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the foregoing proposed amendment, if finally adopted and approved, shall be effective on the date of such final adoption and approval; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the foregoing alteration in the Constitution, proposed, as aforesaid, at this General Convention of 1964, be sent by the Secretary of the House of Deputies to the
Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District and the American Churches in Europe, and to the Secretary of the Convention of each Diocese, and to the Secretary of the Convocation of each Missionary District and of the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation, or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, at its next meeting.

The Clerical Deputation from Maine requested a vote by orders. After appropriate time for debate on both sides of the question, the vote by orders was taken by the Secretary, with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 53 1/4; Noes, 21; Divided, 9.
Lay: Ayes, 38 3/4; Noes, 33 3/4; Divided, 11.

The Resolution was declared lost in the lay order.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 26 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That Rule 36 be suspended to permit introductions of Resolutions, Petitions, and Memorials, requiring concurrent action, on Thursday, October 15, 1964.

Adopted by the House.

After reading of notices by the Secretary, the House stood adjourned.

FOURTH DAY

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, led the House in Devotions and Prayers.

Mr. James W. Werts, of South Florida, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 14 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. Maynard A. Bebee, of Albany, in place of Vice-Admiral W. A. Kitts; Mr. Robert F. Bole, of Pennsylvania, in place of Mr. C. Brewster Rhoads; Dr. Edward A. White, of Los Angeles, in place of George Gibbs, Ph.D.
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 27 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That we resume the regular order, where it was interrupted on Wednesday, October 14, 1964; namely, with the Report of the Committee on Canons.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, on the following subjects:

No. 17—Consultation on Church Union
No. 18—Oregon, Suffragan Bishop for
No. 19—Civil War Centennial Observance
No. 20—Constitution, Article X.
No. 21—Treasurer of the General Convention
No. 22—International Co-operation Year

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Committee on Canons presented its Report No. 11, being a proposal to amend Canon 41, sections 2 and 7 (HD 114).

(See Topical Arrangement, “Canon 41, Sections 2 and 7”)

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops on the following subjects:

No. 23—American Bible Society
No. 24—Visser ’t Hooft, Wilhelm, greetings to

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 28 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House adjourn for the Joint Session, as called for in Resolution 2, part 2, and reconvene at 2:15 p.m.

The House adjourned to meet in Joint Session with the House of Bishops and the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church.

The House reconvened, pursuant to Resolution.

The Chairman announced the reception of the statement of the Presiding Bishop which had been read to the Joint Session, and asked the Secretary to read it to the House of Deputies. The statement expressed the regret of the Presiding Bishop concerning the action of the House of Deputies taken yesterday when it failed to allow the seating of women Deputies in that House:
"What I have to say now I speak in the utmost charity; but I know I must speak; and I shall try to speak the truth, as I see it, in love.

"When I heard yesterday of the action of the House of Deputies about the women of our Church I was greatly disturbed. It is not my own personal feelings that are involved here, but my deepest conviction.

"Then, today, after the Eucharist this morning, and now our commitment to Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ, the contrast between what was done yesterday and today is very great.

"Does this mean that what we did this morning, in offering ourselves to God, and after the women presented with grateful hearts their United Thank Offering of prayer and gifts and joyful service, and our declaration of mutual responsibility,—that all this is a travesty? No, it was quite the other way. What we did this morning and what we do now is reality—the other is, I believe, the unwillingness to face the fact that the women are members of the Body of Christ, that they are of the laity and members of the Body of Christ."

The Very Rev. Gordon E. Gillett, of Quincy, moved that the House go into Executive Session.

Adopted by the House.

The House went into Executive Session at 2:45 p.m.

On motion of the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, the House rose from Executive Session and resumed the regular Order of Business.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on a point of personal privilege moved a vote of censure of the Stringfellow Statement.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Stringfellow Incident")


(See Topical Arrangement, "Prayer Book in Basic English")

The Committee on Christian Social Relations presented its Report No. 2, dealing with the Resolution proposed by the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs on the subject of the Christian understanding of sexual behavior.

(See Topical Arrangement, "Sexual Behavior, Christian Understanding of")
The Committee on Christian Social Relations presented its Report No. 3, dealing with the Resolution proposed by the joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs on the subject of family planning. (See Topical Arrangement, "Family Planning")

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., presented Report No. 29 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the Rules be suspended in order to receive Resolutions, Petitions, and Memorials.

Adopted by the House.

Deputies' Resolutions were presented by title and referred without discussion as follows:

HD 132—George R. Humrickhouse (Virginia)—Pension benefits—Church Pension Fund.


HD 137—Irving W. Burr (Indianapolis)—Variable pension system—Church Pension Fund.

HD 138—Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClanaghan (Northern California)—Church's ministry to the aging—Christian Social Relations.


HD 141—Rev. John H. Burt (Los Angeles)—Authorize Episcopal delegates to the Consultation on Church Union to participate in drawing a Plan for Church Union—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 142—David E. Bronson (Minnesota)—Quota System—Program and Budget.

HD 143—David E. Bronson (Minnesota)—Election of a Presiding Bishop during interim of General Convention, study of procedures—Committees and Commissions.

HD 144—Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle (Delaware)—Re-alignment of diocesan boundaries—Admission of New Dioceses.
HD 145—Evans Dunn (Alabama)—Withdrawal from the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 146—William H. Adkins II (Easton)—Criticism of candidates for political office—National and International Problems.

HD 147—Rev. Joseph R. Horn III (South Carolina)—On the report of the study committee on the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.


HD 149—Anson T. McCook (Connecticut)—Representation of Missionary Districts in the House of Deputies—Amendments to the Constitution.

HD 150—Seaborn J. Flournoy (Southwestern Virginia)—Amend Canons 41, Sec. 6(c), and 43, Sec. 7(c), retiring allowances for Bishops—Canons and Church Pension Fund.

HD 151—Rev. Howard W. Brummitt (Quincy)—Amend Canon 7, "Of the Church Pension Fund", concerning qualifications of Trustees—Canons and Church Pension Fund.

HD 152—Rev. W. Murray Kenney (Missouri)—Expression of thanks to three Seminaries participating in "Ecumenical Day"—Privilege and Courtesy.


HD 154—N. Hamner Cobbs (Alabama), on behalf of his Diocese—a sheaf of resolutions, petitions, and other documents, protesting membership in the National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 155—Very Rev. Gordon E. Gillett (Quincy)—Amendment of Canon 4, "Of the National Council", Sec. 6, relating to the adoption of a budget for the General Church Program—Canons.


HD 157—Rev. Edwin H. Badger, Jr. (Chicago)—See for the Presiding Bishop—Amendments to the Constitution.

HD 158—J. R. Rash, Jr. (Kentucky)—Women as Deputies—Amendments to the Constitution.


HD 160—Stuart G. Keedwell (Southwestern Virginia)—National Council of Churches—Ecumenical Relations.

HD 161—Stuart G. Keedwell (Southwestern Virginia)—Shortening of duration of General Convention—Reconstruction of General Convention.


HD 163—Robert Worthington (Connecticut)—Exemption of the Church Fire Insurance Corp. from certain State rates schedules—Church Pension Fund.
HD 164—Hon. Oliver Gasch (Washington)—Amend Article I., Sec. 4, of the Constitution—Amendments to the Constitution.

HD 165—James C. Garlington (Montana)—Study of clergy personnel and placement systems—Committees and Commissions.

HD 166—John E. Sweeney (Kansas)—Consolidation of men’s and women’s work in the Church—Evangelism.

Mr. William Ikard II, of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, introduced a Resolution on nominations to Executive Council and The Church Pension Fund Trustees, as follows:

Whereas, There is not uniform distribution of representatives on the Executive Council and The Church Pension Fund Board of Trustees, as to occupation, rural and urban residence, and parish size; and

Whereas, The nominating committees of this House do not submit more than the minimal numbers up for election, thereby giving the House little choice; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the nominating committees for the Executive Council and The Church Pension Fund Board of Trustees take cognizance of these facts and submit their nominations taking the above facts into account, and submit more than the minimal number up for election for these offices.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Tom B. Newman, Jr., of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, introduced a Resolution with regard to the address of Mr. Prime F. Osborn III, which was placed on the Calendar No. 13.

The Secretary presented by title the Report of the Presiding Bishop’s Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence which was referred to the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 30 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a Special Order be set for 10 a.m. on Friday, October 16th, at which time the House will go into Committee of the Whole, so that the Special Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention may conduct a discussion of the Report of the Joint Commission on the Structure of General Convention and Provinces; and be it further

Resolved, That the Committee of the Whole meet for no longer than one hour, at which time the Committee shall rise and the Chairman of the Committee shall report to the House; and be it further

Resolved, That members of both the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces and the Special Committee on the Reconstruction of the General Convention be requested to be present during the meeting of the Committee of the Whole; and be it further

Resolved, That after receiving this Report of the Committee of the Whole the House shall proceed to consider each Resolution of the Joint Commission’s Report as follows:
1. Resolutions 1, 2, and 3 from the Committee on Canons and the Special Committee on Reconstruction, etc.

2. Resolutions 4, 5, 6, and 8 from the Committee on Rules and the Special Committee on Reconstruction, etc.

3. Resolutions 9 and 17 (a) from the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions.

4. Resolution 11 from the Special Committee on Reconstruction, etc.

5. Resolutions 12 and 17 (b) from the Joint Committee on Expenses.

6. Resolutions 13, 14, 15, and 16 from Special Committee on Reconstruction, etc.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 31 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House convene at 9:30 a.m., as previously ordered, and proceed with the Regular Order until time for the Special Order at 10:00 a.m.

Adopted by the House.

After announcements by the Secretary, the House stood adjourned.

FIFTH DAY

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, offered morning Devotions and Prayers.

The Rev. David A. Jones, of Dallas, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 15 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia, presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Reginald D. Rodriguez, of Arizona, in place of the Rev. Howard W. Blackburn; Mr. G. R. Preston, in place of Mr. Al T. Singletary, October 14 and 15 only; the Rev. A. Emile Joffrion, of Alabama, in place of the Rev. Edward G. Mullen, D.D., October 15 only; Mr. Ross H. Sidney, of Iowa, in place of Mr. Ray
Lauterbach; Mr. Rupert Esser, of Northern Indiana, in place of Mr. Alfred F. Spurgeon; Mr. Chester Hultberg, of Indianapolis, in place of Mr. Byron P. Hollett; Mr. Robert T. Mason, of Ohio, in place of F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., for October 15 and 16 only; the Rev. Arthur Steidemann, of Missouri, in place of the Rev. W. Murray Kenney.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 32 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House proceed with the Regular Order at the point of interruption yesterday; namely, with the Report of the Committee on Christian Social Relations; and continue until time for the Special Order at 10:00 a.m., as previously ordered.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 33 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That, following the Special Order of the Day on the Report of the Joint Commission on Structure of the General Convention, the Rev. W. Murray Kenney of Missouri be given ten minutes to report on the Ecumenical Day; and be it further

Resolved, That Mr. William Coolidge of Massachusetts be granted a special order of business to address the House for ten minutes concerning the Episcopal Church Foundation.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 34 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the privilege of the floor for ten minutes be given to Mr. Robert Kenyon, Jr., Chairman of the Board of The Episcopalian, Inc., immediately after convening at 2:15 p.m., to report to the House; and be it further

Resolved, That next, the Rev. Peter Harvey of Anglican World be extended the privilege of the floor for ten minutes.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, dealing with the following matters:

No. 25—Translation of Bishops
No. 26—Canon 4, Sec. 6(d)
No. 27—Re-alignment of diocesan boundaries
No. 28—Joint Council of the Philippine Episcopal Church and the Philippine Independent Church
No. 29—Constitution—Article I., Sec. 3
No. 30—Spokane, erection of Diocese

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)
The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, introduced a Resolution of privilege with respect to seating of the Spokane Deputies:

Whereas, The former Missionary District of Spokane has been admitted as a Diocese of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America by concurrent action of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies of this 61st General Convention; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Provisional Deputies elected by the former Missionary District of Spokane be now introduced by the President and admitted to membership in this House as Deputies of the Diocese of Spokane.

This motion was seconded by the clerical and lay Deputies from the Missionary District of Idaho.

Adopted by the House.

The President of the House called the Deputation, both clerical and lay, of the newly erected Diocese of Spokane, to the platform, and welcomed them to the House.

The House went into a Committee of the Whole at 10:00 a.m. It rose at 11:00 a.m. and reported that it had not finished its business.

Mr. Charles M. Crump, of Tennessee, presented Report No. 35 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House go into a Committee of the Whole for forty-five minutes and observe the rules adopted for the sitting just completed.

Adopted by the House.

The Committee rose at 11:45 a.m., and reported to the House that it was ready to make its final report. The President expressed appreciation to the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., for his presiding over the Committee of the Whole.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 36 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That in view of the hour of the day, and in view of the work the several committees need to do on the Resolutions to be presented by the Special Committee on Reconstruction, the Special Order be amended to provide delay of the House's consideration of the Special Committee's report until the afternoon session, immediately following the appearance of Mr. Kenyon and the Rev. Mr. Harvey.

Adopted by the House.
The privilege of the floor was granted to the Rev. W. Murray Kenney, of Missouri, to present a special report on the Ecumenical Day. At the conclusion of his report, the Rev. Mr. Kenney moved a Resolution of thanks to the persons and institutions involved in the events of the day, which was adopted. (See Topical Arrangement—"Ecumenical Day").

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops on the following subjects:

- No. 31—Sexual Behavior
- No. 32—Canon 40, Sec. 2 (b)
- No. 33—Canon 43, Sec. 8, new sub-section (f)
- No. 34—Canon 3, and Canon 4, Sec. 3
- No. 35—Canon 20
- No. 36—Canon 8, Sec. 1
- No. 37—Canon 4, Sec. 2 (a)

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Under the Special Order previously adopted, Mr. William A. Coolidge of Massachusetts was recognized to address the House on the work of the Episcopal Church Foundation.

The Very Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., of Southern Ohio, for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy, introduced the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House express appreciation of the Episcopal Church Foundation and, specifically, for the address of Mr. William A. Coolidge on behalf of the work of the Foundation with respect to theological education.

Adopted by the House.

The privilege of the floor was granted to Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, who addressed the House on The Episcopalian and its aims for the Church.

The time for the Special Order having arrived, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, was recognized to present Report No. 2 of the Special Committee on the Reconstruction of the General Convention. On behalf of the Committee he moved Resolutions on the following matters, which were adopted; namely,

- Canon 1, Sec. 1
- Rules of Order, Joint Rule 8
- Committees and Commissions—Inter-Relationships
The following Resolution was tabled:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention request the National Council to invite as observers at its meetings representatives from one or two of the Provinces (at the expense of the Provinces), consisting of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Lay Persons, from each Diocese and Missionary District in the Province, to be selected by the Provincial Synod from nominees recommended by the respective Dioceses and Missionary Districts, to the end that each Province shall have had one such opportunity during the triennium.

The following Resolution was likewise tabled; to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the duration of meetings of the General Convention hereafter to be held shall not exceed nine calendar days, including the day of convening.

The following recommendation was not adopted, but, by Resolution, the Secretary was directed to continue the practice on an experimental basis during the 1967 General Convention; to wit:

Resolved, That Standing Order III of the House of Deputies be supplemented by adding thereto the following:

He shall also provide an official message box for the deputations from each of the Dioceses, Missionary Districts, and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, for use for announcements concerning quorum, changes in deputations, committee appointments, organization, hearings, and reports, if feasible; the daily legislative calendar, when prepared, which shall note whether or not the respective committees will report, resolutions to be acted upon, and special orders; and for such other messages and purposes as the President or Secretary of the House of Deputies may deem proper.

Mr. Charles M. Crump of Tennessee, moved to recommend to the Committee on Arrangements of the next General Convention that they schedule a shorter time for the session of General Convention, on an experimental basis.

(See Topical Arrangement—“General Convention, duration.”)
The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops as follows:

No. 38—Mutual Responsibility, Accepting the Document
No. 39—Mutual Responsibility, Organizing for Response
No. 40—Mutual Responsibility, Responding to Immediate Needs
No. 41—Constitution—Preamble

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 37 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

1. Resolved, That in view of Rule 12, a Special Order be adopted to call up out of Regular Order at this time the report of the Joint Committee on Expenses of General Convention; and be it further

2. Resolved, That at 5:00 p.m. the Regular Order be suspended to extend privilege of the floor to Mr. John Goodbody of The Seabury Press.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, of Southern Virginia, presented the report of the Joint Committee on Expenses, which was received and placed on the Calendar (No. 14), for action on Monday, October 19.

The Reports of the Treasurer of the General Convention and of the Joint Committee on Audit were presented by title.

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 38 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That when the Committee on Consecration of Bishops is ready to report the name of the Presiding Bishop, elected by the House of Bishops, subject to confirmation of the House, the House shall go into Executive Session under special order. There shall be not more than five seconding speeches, from five different clerical or lay Deputations, not to exceed two minutes each; and that thereafter there follow debate and action in accordance with Rule 37; and be it further

Resolved, That the House shall continue in Executive Session until released therefrom by the ruling of the President.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 39 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That following our action on the election of the Presiding Bishop, representatives of Dioceses extending invitations for the 1967
General Convention, be recognized, and that one speaker from each Diocese be limited to five minutes, and that no debate be allowed; and be it further

Resolved, That the Special Committee on Place of Next General Convention be instructed to hold hearings.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 40 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the following, unless otherwise ordered, be the order for the second week for the daily sessions of the House:

Monday, October 19—9:30 to 12:30, and 2:15 to 5:15.
Tuesday, October 20—Joint Session to be convened at 9:30 for the purpose of hearing the report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget. Following that, regular session of the House until 12:30, and also from 2:15 to 5:15.
Wednesday, October 21—9:30 to 12:30, and 2:15 to 5:15.
Thursday, October 22—9:30 to 12:30, and 2:15 to 5:15.
Friday, October 23—9:30 until 12:00 noon, with a Joint Session to be held at noon for the purpose of hearing the Pastoral Letter;

and be it further

Resolved, That Deputies are requested to hold open the evenings of Tuesday, October 20th, and Thursday, October 22nd so that business sessions may be scheduled if the calendar of business so requires.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 41 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That there be a Special Order of Business on Monday, October 19, at 10:30 a.m., to consider the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and to present matters pertaining to the National Council of the Churches of Christ in America.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 42 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That there be a Special Order of Business on Monday, October 19, 1964, at 2:15 p.m., to consider the report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution and the Committee on Canons and to present matters pertaining to the change of the name of the Church.

Adopted by the House.
The privilege of the floor was given to Mr. John C. Goodbody of The Seabury Press, who addressed the House.

The House stood adjourned.

SIXTH DAY

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 17, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, led the House in Prayers and Morning Devotions, commemorating, especially, George Pruner of Northern Michigan, whose funeral was being held that morning.

The Rev. David A. Jones of Dallas presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 16 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. George Nicks, of West Texas, in place of Mr. Henry Askew, for October 16 and 17 only, retroactively; Mr. Ross H. Sidney, of Iowa, in place of Mr. Andrew Miller, Jr., for the remainder of the Convention; Mr. Harry E. Weir, of Mississippi, in place of the Hon. Sherwood W. Wise; the Rev. Robert F. Sweetser, of Fond du Lac, in place of the Rev. James W. Samter; the Rev. Louis Basso, Jr., of Kansas, in place of the Rev. Frederic J. Raasch, retroactive for October 15 only; the Rev. G. W. Wickersham II, of New Hampshire, in place of the Rev. L. Bradford Young; and the following Deputies from the newly created Diocese of Spokane: the Rev. David S. Alkins, the Rev. Douglas R. Wanamaker, the Rev. Peter Stretch, Paul Ellis, M.D., Mr. Fred Redmond, and Mr. George Gannon.

Because the matter of the seating of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of the newly created Diocese of Spokane had been called in question under Canon 9, it was moved by the Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., of California that the six Clerical and six Lay Deputies be seated and entitled to vote this sixth day of General Convention.

Adopted by the House.
The Secretary read communications from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

1. The following Resolution concerning the proposal to form Province Nine was adopted today by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

   *Whereas*, The Council of the Caribbean, Bishops, Priests, and Lay People, after discussion in Detroit, 1961, Toluca, 1962, and St. Louis, 1964, is in agreement on the need of forming a Ninth Province to serve as a unit through which the national Churches of the Caribbean area can express their interdependence, and better share with the other Churches in the Anglican Communion their varied experience, and cultural and indigenous gifts; and

   *Whereas*, The Council has so indicated to the Convention now assembled; and the delegates to the Triennial Meeting from the Missionary Districts of Central America, Colombia, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Mexico, Panama, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands have indicated their willingness to accept their peculiar responsibilities in the projected Province; therefore, be it

   *Resolved*, That this 31st Triennial Meeting express its strong endorsement of the Resolution in General Convention on the immediate formation of the Ninth Province; and be it further

   *Resolved*, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the House of Deputies and House of Bishops.

2. The Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church submits the following nominations for membership on the Executive Council for 1964-1967:

   Mrs. Robert H. Durham, Michigan  
   Mrs. John H. Foster, West Texas  
   Mrs. William J. Howard, Washington  
   Mrs. John Fellows Marshall, Washington  
   Mrs. Donald Pierpont, Connecticut  
   Mrs. Harold Sorg, California

This latter communication was handed to the Joint Committee to Present Nominations for Members of the Executive Council.

Report No. 4 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations was received and adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Population Explosion")

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented by title the Report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, which was referred by the Chair to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund.
The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay, of Michigan, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred HD Nos. 37, 38, 40, 41, 70, and 71, on the subject of the payment of Immediate Death Grant to the widow of a clergyman, even though such clergyman is in retirement and eligible for pension benefit at the time of death, reports as follows:

The Memorials are based on a misapprehension, because for more than ten years the Immediate Death Benefit has been paid to widows of retired clergymen, such clergymen being eligible for pension benefits at the time of death. For example, the report of The Church Pension Fund for the year 1962 shows that the $1,000.00 Death Grant was paid to 82 such widows in that year; and in 1963, a year of fewer clergy deaths, the Grant was paid to 46 such widows.

The Rule of The Church Pension Fund, is as follows:

The Immediate Lump-Sum Grant

Sec. 4 (a). There shall be paid an immediate lump-sum grant of $1,000.00 to the surviving widow (or to the guardian of minor children if no widow survives) at the death of any clergyman whose pension assessments have been fully paid and who held a cure or office in the Church within the six-months period prior to death or to receipt of benefit, except that the grant shall be inoperative in any Diocese or Missionary District so long as there is a Parish in union with the Convention or Convocation which has paid less than six-months pension assessments due for the immediately preceding calendar year, thus impairing the actuarial group basis upon which The Church Pension Fund rests.

Sec. 4 (b). The phrase “cure or office in the Church” in the foregoing paragraph is defined as regular, official, and active exercise of the ministry in the name of the Church at a regular and reasonable salary therefor on the basis of which pension assessments have been paid.

In order to be sure that the Committee understood the purport of the several Memorials, the Deputation of each of the Dioceses submitting Memorials on this subject was invited to have one man meet with the Committee. Four such representatives appeared, and, after full discussion, expressed complete agreement with the foregoing statements.

Resolved, That, since the question raised is moot, the Committee be, and it is hereby, discharged from further consideration thereof.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary having received the expected Message of the House of Bishops announcing the election of a Presiding Bishop of the Church, the Message was at once delivered to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, which was excused to consider this matter.
The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan, for the Committee on the Church Pension Fund, continued to present the recommendations of the Committee on the following subjects:

- Policies of the Church Fire Insurance Corporation
- Stipendiary Pension System
- Retirement of the Clergy at Age 65
- Widows Benefit, Increase in

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

There was referred to this Committee the second Resolution appearing in the printed report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs (HD 9). The Committee has considered this Resolution and makes the following findings:

The House of Bishops at its meeting in Columbia, S. C., in 1962, issued a statement, among other statements, on the subject of "War and Peace" and commended it for the thoughtful attention and renewed study, prayer, and action, of the people of the Church. This statement was published in the *Episcopal Church Annual* for the following year, in the *Living Church*, and in *The Episcopalian*. It has thus become a public document with a rather wide distribution.

The intent of the Resolution referred to this Committee is to secure the endorsement of this House for this published statement. It is the judgment of the Committee that no useful purpose would be served by such an endorsement at this stage and it therefore recommends against the adoption of the proposed Resolution, and begs to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The report was adopted by the House, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops informed the Chair that it was ready to report. Pursuant to Resolution previously adopted, the House went into Executive Session.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Presiding Bishop, Election of")

Dean Bartlett of California moved that the House rise from Executive Session to welcome the Presiding-Bishop-elect. The Rt. Rev. John Elbridge Hines, D.D., Presiding-Bishop-elect, was escorted to the platform and addressed the House of Deputies.

Following Bishop Hines’ address, the following Resolution was presented by the Very Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., of Southern Ohio:
Whereas, We have been advised by a Message of the House of Bishops, meeting in the City of St. Louis in the Diocese of Missouri, on October seventeenth, in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred sixty four, of the election of the Right Reverend John Elbridge Hines as Presiding Bishop; and

Whereas, We of the House of Deputies have this day concurred with this Message and confirmed his election; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That this House thank Bishop Hines for his gracious remarks to us, pray that God may be pleased always to endue him with devotion to His glory and the salvation of souls, pledge to him our faithful service and abiding loyalty, and, with him, enter with joy upon the task that is set before us.

The Resolution was adopted by a majority of the House by a standing vote and acclamation. It was followed by the spontaneous singing of the Doxology.

In accordance with action previously taken, invitations were issued at this time for the site of the 1967 General Convention. (See Topical Arrangement—"General Convention, Place of Next")

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 42—Ecumenical Relations, Joint Commission on
No. 43—National Council of Churches
No. 44—Vatican Council II
No. 45—Ecumenical Relations, Joint Commission on (duplicate of No. 42)
No. 46—Philippine Independent Church, Greetings to
No. 47—General Theological Seminary—Trustees
No. 48—Healing Ministry—Statements

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 44 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Inasmuch as the Rev. Peter Harvey, of Anglican World, was unable to appear yesterday, under special order; be it

Resolved, That he be extended the courtesy of the floor today, October 17, 1964, at 12:30 p.m.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett, of California, presented Report No. 45 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:
Resolved, That, under special order, this House receive the Report of the Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members today, October 17, 1964, at 12:40 p.m.

The Committee on National and International Problems presented its report concerning the Stringfellow Incident, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—“Stringfellow Incident”)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California moved for the appointment of a committee to investigate the manner of distribution of the Stringfellow release, as follows:

Resolved, That the President appoint an ad hoc committee to investigate and to report to this House the manner in which the Stringfellow press release was issued, and press conference called, and what facilities, if any, of the General Convention were used by proponents of the statement criticizing a candidate for the office of President of the United States.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed the following special committee:

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee
Charles P. Taft, LL.D., of Southern Ohio
Peter Day, LL.D., of Milwaukee
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California

The Committee on National and International Problems, continuing their Report, recommended favorable action on the recommendation in the Report of the Executive Council’s Commission on Church and State.

(See Topical Arrangement—“Government Aid to Church-Related Schools”)

The Rev. Peter Harvey of London, Editor of Anglican World, was granted the privilege of the floor and addressed the House.

Pursuant to a Special Order, the Rev. Edwin Hunt Badger, Jr., of Chicago, Chairman of the Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members, introduced Mr. John C. Becker, of Central New York, Secretary of the Committee, who read the list of deceased Members of the House of Deputies.

(See Appendix—“Deceased Members—House of Deputies”)

The Rev. Mr. Badger then offered prayers for the faithful departed.
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 46 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House receive notices from the Secretary, and any Messages from the House of Bishops, and then adjourn, to reconvene Monday, October 19, at 9:30 a.m.

Adopted by the House.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee requested that the Committee on National and International Problems be discharged from consideration of HD 120 and HD 162, and that these matters be referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

This was done by action of the House and by the President.

After the reading of notices by the Secretary, the House stood adjourned.

SEVENTH DAY

MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington, of Pennsylvania, led the House in Prayers and Morning Devotions.

The Rev. David A. Jones, of Dallas, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of October 17 read, and certify them to be correct.

The President of the House introduced the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church; the Rt. Rev. Luis C. Pereira, of the Lusitanian Church; and the Rt. Rev. Santos M. Molina, of the Episcopal Reformed Church of Spain. He invited them to remain on the platform and listen to the proceedings of this House.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia, presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: the Rev. Russell B. Staines, D.D., of Indianapolis, in place of the Rev. Thomas A. Dixon; the Hon. Wilson K. Barnes, of Maryland, in place of Mr. William L. Marbury; Mr. Leo Crabbs, Jr., of West Missouri, in place of Mr. Richard S. Brigham.
The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on National and International Problems, which was ruled by the Chair to be premature and was ordered held in abeyance until the Committee on Expenses reports.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on National and International Problems, which was re-committed to the Committee to be edited and condensed.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred HD Nos. 48 and 76, on the subject of a Public Affairs Officer in Washington, reports as follows:

This Committee has considered the Memorials from the Executive Council of the Diocese of Michigan and the Diocese of Albany. After study and full discussion of the matter, the Committee has determined that the proposal inadequately defines the financial commitment, or the relationship of such a person with the Diocese of Washington and the Washington office of the National Council of Churches of Christ.

The Committee, therefore, recommends that the Resolutions contained in such Memorials be not adopted and that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The recommendation was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on National and International Problems, on the subject of the racial situation in South Africa, which was re-committed to the Committee for re-editing.

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, on House of Bishops Message No. 43, which was ordered held in abeyance.

Report No. 3 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations was presented and dealt with.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Vatican Council II")

Report No. 4 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations was presented, being a proposal to concur in House of Bishops Message No. 42, with amendments.
Mr. Charles Crump, of Tennessee presented Report No. 47 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House now take up the Special Order of the Day: the consideration of the report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations with regard to the National Council of the Churches of Christ in America. In considering this matter, no Deputy shall speak more than once, nor for more than two minutes, except that one spokesman on behalf of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and one Deputy in opposition thereto shall be allowed five minutes each for opening debate.

During the first half hour no amendments to the report shall be in order, and for the first hour no motion shall be permissible which would terminate debate while any Deputy is on the platform waiting to speak.

After one hour, a spokesman for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations and one Deputy in opposition shall be entitled to two minutes each for closing; after which, debate shall cease and a vote shall be taken on the report as amended, unless time for debate be extended by 2/3 vote of the Deputies present.

Final action shall be taken on each amendment as offered before debate continues on the main question.

Adopted by the House.

There followed debate on the National Council of Churches, and action thereon.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Elections:

Your Committee on Elections reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. Frank J. Hightower, of Oklahoma, in place of Mr. Bennie C. Garren; the Rev. Curtis Junker, of Oklahoma, in place of the Rev. Herbert Conley for October 19th, and the Very Rev. J. C. Van Dyk on October 21st; the Rev. Richard Byfield, of California, in place of the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D.; Mr. William Tallman, of Pittsburgh, in place of Mr. Louis R. Schmertz; the Rev. Alex. D. Dickson, Jr., of Mississippi, in place of the Rev. Frederick J. Bush on Tuesday p.m., October 20th, through Wednesday, October 21st.

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 50—Stringfellow Incident
No. 51—Family Planning
No. 52—Ecumenical Day
No. 53—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 8
No. 54—(Structure)—Joint Commission on Structure, etc.
No. 55—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 13
No. 56—Population Explosion
No. 57—Widows' Benefit Increase
No. 58—Government Aid to Church-Related Schools
No. 59—Historiographer—Election
No. 60—Registrar—Election
No. 61—Trial Use—Propers of Minor Feasts and Fasts
No. 62—Canon 47, Sec. 2 (not adopted)
No. 63—Levels of Authority in the Church
No. 64—Canon 51, Sec. 1
No. 65—Canon 51, Sec. 5
No. 66—Canon 34, Sec. 10

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Mr. Charles M. Crump, of Tennessee presented Report No. 48 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That, in addition to the Special Order set for Tuesday, October 20, 1964, there be added an evening session from 7:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Crump, of Tennessee presented Report No. 49 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House now take up the Special Order of the Day, the consideration of the report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution pertaining to the change of name of the Church.

In considering this matter, no Deputy shall speak more than once, nor for more than two minutes; except that one or two spokesmen for the Committee's report, and one or two Deputies in opposition, be allowed a total of 12 minutes each for opening debate.

During the first half hour no amendments to the report shall be in order, and for the first hour no motion shall be permissible which would terminate debate while any Deputy is on the platform waiting to speak.

After one hour, a spokesman for the Committee and one Deputy in opposition shall be entitled to two minutes each for closing; after which debate shall cease and a vote shall be taken on the report as
amended, unless time for debate be extended by a 2/3 vote of the Deputies present.

Final action shall be taken on each amendment as offered before debate continues on the main question.

Adopted by the House.

There followed debate and action on the proposal to change the name of this Church by expunging the word "Protestant" therefrom as resolved by the House of Bishops.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Constitution—Preamble")

Report No. 5 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations was presented, involving concurrence with the House of Bishops.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Philippine Independent Church—Greetings to")

Report No. 6 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations was presented and approved.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Deicide and the Jews")

Reports No. 7 and No. 8 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, dealing with Memorials of the Diocese of Springfield (HD Nos. 15 and 17) which have been referred also to other Standing Committees which have not yet reported, were placed on the Calendar.

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as follows:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred HD Nos. 122, 139, 145, 154, and 160, on the subject of the National Council of Churches, requests to be discharged from further consideration of these matters, the subject-matter having been dealt with in previous action of this House.

The Committee was so discharged by action of the House.

Report No. 12 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations contained a recommendation of non-concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 45, because that Message duplicated matter already covered in an earlier Message with which this House has already concurred.

The House did not concur with Message No. 45.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission")
The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 67—Episcopal Colleges—Foundation for
No. 68—Proportional Representation
No. 69—Subsidy to Host Diocese, General Convention
No. 70—Committees and Commissions, Joint—Inter-relationships
No. 71—Provincial Synods and General Convention Deputies
No. 72—Memorials and Petitions from Dioceses
No. 73—General Convention—Duration

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy, of Southern Virginia presented the following Resolution, which was referred to the Executive Council:

Resolved, That all items of a program nature which have grown out of Joint Committee or Joint Commission recommendations, be assumed by the General Church Budget, and that the General Convention Budget be confined to the contingent expenses of the General Convention; namely, only the expenses for the House of Bishops, the House of Deputies, the meetings of Committees and Commissions of the General Convention, the office of Presiding Bishop, and the necessary personnel required at the time of the General Convention.

The House stood adjourned.

EIGHTH DAY

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair.

The Rev. David A. Jones of Dallas presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 19 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Chair requested and received permission of the House to carry its greetings, and the appreciation of the House of Deputies for the United Thank Offering, to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church.
The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Henry H. Rightor, D.D., of Maryland, in place of the Rev. Rex B. Wilkes, D.D.; Mr. Carl E. Steiger, of Fond du Lac, in place of Mr. Selden Spencer; Mr. Howard Hoover, of Los Angeles, in place of Mr. William H. Siegmund for October 20 and 21; the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, in place of the Rev. Richard Byfield.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 50 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a Special Order be set to hear the Report of the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility as soon after the House has reconvened after luncheon as the Committee is ready to report; this to be followed by the consideration of the report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 74—Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Needs
No. 75—Canon 41, Sec. 2
No. 76—Stipendiary Pension System
No. 77—Women Church Workers—Standing Joint Commission
No. 78—Women Church Workers and Division of Christian Ministries
No. 79—Constitution—Amendment of all Articles re Missionary Districts (not adopted)
No. 80—Representation in General Convention—Base
No. 81—Destinies of Mission Operations—In U.S. and Overseas
No. 82—Style of Bishop Heading Missionary Task Force
No. 83—Treasurer of General Convention—Report

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 4 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Your Joint Committee on Expenses to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 3 on the subject of Resolution No. 2 contained in the Report of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons, requesting an appropriation for its expenses, reports as follows:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has included an appropriation of $2,000.00 in the tentative budget of General Convention for the expenses of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons, and therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House.
Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 7 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Your Joint Committee on Expenses to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 9 on the subject of Resolution No. 5 (b) contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, requesting an appropriation of $4,500.00 for its expenses for the triennium, reports as follows:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has included an appropriation of $4,500.00 in the tentative budget of General Convention for the expenses of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, and therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 8 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Your Joint Committee on Expenses to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 11 on the subject of Resolution No. 3 (b) contained in the Report of the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas, requesting an appropriation of $5,000.00 for the proposed new Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, reports as follows:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has included an appropriation of $5,000.00 in the tentative budget of General Convention for the expenses of the proposed new Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, and therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 17 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Your Joint Committee on Expenses to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 183 on the subject of Resolution No. 6 contained in Message No. 39 from the House of Bishops regarding an appropriation of $36,000.00 for expenses of Mutual Responsibility Commission, reports as follows:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has included an appropriation of $36,000.00 in the tentative budget of General Convention for the expenses of the Mutual Responsibility Commission for the fiscal triennium 1964-1967, and therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 18 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

Your Joint Committee on Expenses to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 189 on the subject of Report of the Joint Committee on Audit, reports as follows:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has included an appropriation of $1,000.00 in the tentative budget of General Convention for the work of the Joint Committee on Audit, and therefore asks to be discharged from further consideration of HD189.
Adopted by the House.

Report No. 2 of the Committee on Nominations for membership on the Executive Council was presented, being a slate of names of women nominated by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church. Those nominated were elected on behalf of this House. *(See Topical Arrangement—"Executive Council—Members")*

Report No. 4 of the Joint Committee to nominate a Treasurer of the General Convention was presented and the nominee elected on behalf of this House. *(See Topical Arrangement—"Treasurer—General Convention")*

Mr. Joseph Irion Worsham of Dallas presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention:

Your Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 58 on the subject of biennial meetings of General Convention, reports as follows:

Your Committee has considered this Memorial and the matter to which it pertains, and while it is also of the view that the needs of the Church in the present day require more frequent meetings of General Convention than triennially; nevertheless, it is of the opinion that such a step is tied up with the matter of saving time and expense, and therefore feels that this question should await an evaluation of the results of certain action already taken by this Convention; namely, the decision to shorten the Convention to nine legislative days.

Accordingly, your Committee begs to be discharged from further consideration of this Memorial, and so moves.

Adopted by the House. The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the Memorial.

Mr. Joseph Irion Worsham of Dallas presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention:

Your Special Committee on the Reconstruction of General Convention, to whom was referred House of Deputies Nos. 66 and 121, on the subject of a study of voting methods in Dioceses, reports as follows:

*Whereas,* The method of electing Deputies to the General Convention is a matter of diocesan right; and

*Whereas,* Several Dioceses are now using a method of preferential voting; and

*Whereas,* Any Diocese can study the various and sundry methods of election now in use, and, if interested, should do so, it being deemed essential that a Diocese thoroughly familiarize itself with any system prior to adopting it; now, therefore, be it

*Resolved,* That this Committee be discharged from further consideration of this matter, and that Dioceses interested in the matter be referred to those Dioceses using such election practices, among whom are the Dioceses of Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Western Massachusetts, Western New York, and Indianapolis.
Adopted by the House. The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. Howard T. Tellepsen of Texas presented Report No. 1 of Study Committee No. 71, which was referred to the Committee on Arrangements for the next General Convention:

The Study Committee on the Overseas Department of the Executive Council commends to the attention of all Deputies the booklet "Winds of Change" published by the Overseas Department, which we feel will give us all a broader view of the missionary work of our Church and a deeper understanding of the Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence program which has been accepted by us.

We would also like to thank the staff members of the Overseas Department for their very thorough explanation of the broader aspects of this program. We would also suggest to the Committee on Arrangements that in the next General Convention meetings of these Study Committees be arranged at another hour, so that members could have opportunity to participate in worship services before convening, and that the sessions be made of one hour's duration instead of the present forty-five minutes.

Item No. 10 was taken from the Calendar, being Report No. 8 of the Committee on Canons. The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., presented the report for the Committee, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Memorial from the Executive Council with respect to the amendment of Canon 13, "Of Parish Vestries", by expanding the definition of the functions of Vestrymen, begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 13 be amended by the addition of the following new Section 3:

Sec. 3. The Rector, Wardens, and Vestrymen shall have charge of the unification, development, and prosecution of the Missionary, Educational, and Social ministry of the parish, of which work the Rector shall be the executive head; and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present Section 3 be renumbered Section 4.

On motion, the Resolution was tabled.

Item No. 13 was taken from the Calendar, being the Resolution of Mr. Tom B. Newman, Jr., of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, on the printing by the Executive Council of the address of Mr. Prime F. Osborn III. The Resolution was withdrawn by Mr. Newman.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, took the Chair in the absence of the President, Dr. Morehouse.

The Report of the American Church Institute was received.
The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 84—National Council of Churches
No. 85—Deicide and the Jews
No. 86—Name of the Church
No. 87—Canon 8, Sec. 10
No. 88—American Church Institute
No. 90—National Council of Churches
No. 91—Canon 1, Sec. 1

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 51 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a Special Order be set at this time to call up the Committee on Social Relations for the purpose of considering the resolution on civil obedience and disobedience submitted by W. Edward Morgan of Arizona; and be it further

Resolved, That a Special Order be set at 4:45 p.m. to receive the report of the Special Committees on Nominations for the Executive Council and Nominations for The Church Pension Fund.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary presented a letter of resignation from Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., which the House accepted, with deep gratitude for past service, to be effective at the end of this session.

The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D. of West Texas presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 120 on the subject of Christian Obedience, reports as follows:

Whereas, It must be recognized that laws or social customs exist which are in basic conflict with the concept of human dignity under God; and

Whereas, In such circumstances the Church and its members ought to obey God rather than men; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Church and its members be recognized as being free to urge repeal or invalidation of such laws or social customs, by all lawful means, including participation in peaceful public demonstrations; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That if and when the means of legal recourse have been exhausted or are demonstrably inadequate, Christians may then choose to serve the cause of God by disobeying a law or social custom in basic conflict with the concept of human dignity under God and contrary to God’s law, so long as they are willing to
A—accept the penalty for his action;
B—limit and direct his protest as precisely as possible against a specific grievance or injustice;
C—carry out his protest in a non-violent manner after earnestly seeking the will of God in prayer.

This Resolution, presented by Mr. W. Edward Morgan of Arizona, was considered by the Committee and slightly amended as above presented.

We recommend the adoption of this Resolution.

Amendments were offered by Mr. John Paul Causey of Virginia and by Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota, which were accepted by the Committee as being refinements of the Resolution.

A vote by orders was called for by the Lay Deputation from South Carolina, with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 64½; Noes, 14½; Divided, 6.
Lay: Ayes, 27½; Noes, 42½; Divided, 15.

The vote was declared lost in the lay order.

The Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility presented its Report, which resulted in the House's concurring with the House of Bishops in adopting Resolutions on the following matters:

- Mutual Responsibility—Accepting the Document
- Mutual Responsibility—Organizing for Response
- Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Needs, (this last with amendments)

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Mr. Charles F. Bound of New York offered a Resolution in the matter of Mutual Responsibility, which was seconded by the Hon. Thurgood Marshall of New York, as follows:

As the essential quality of Mutual Responsibility is individual responsibility and individual involvement, and as this Convention has endorsed Mutual Responsibility, it is very appropriate that each of us as individuals should have the opportunity forthwith, as individuals, to make an individual commitment of time and substance. Therefore, I move that we rise and in one minute of silence individually make a pledge of time and effort and substance to Christ.

Adopted by the House and the House stood for a minute of silence.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

- No. 92—Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission
- No. 94—Church Fire Insurance Corp.—Policies
- No. 95—Executive Council—Members of
Report No. 2 of the Committee to bring in Nominations for the Board of Trustees of the General Theological Seminary was presented. The slate of nominees was elected on the part of this House.

(See Topical Arrangement—"General Theological Seminary—Trustees")

Reports Nos. 3 and 4 of the Committee to bring in Nominations for Membership on the Executive Council were presented, being slates of Laymen and Clergymen, respectively. After nominations in both orders from the floor, the nominations were closed.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Executive Council—Members")

Report No. 2 of the Committee to bring in Nominations for the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund was presented and the slate was elected on the part of this House.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Church Pension Fund—Trustees")

The President resumed the Chair.

The Secretary read messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 93—Closing Service
No. 99—Canon 2, Sec. 7
No. 100—Brazilian Episcopal Church

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)

In connection with the last action, the Deputies from the three Brazilian jurisdictions came to the platform by invitation of the President. Speeches were made by Col. Assyr Ferreira da Rocha of Central Brazil and the Rev. Diamantino F. Bueno of Southern Brazil.

On motion, the House congratulated the Brazilian Episcopal Church on the attainment of autonomy.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California announced that, according to the Special Order of Business previously agreed upon, the House should proceed at once with the consideration of the Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Program and Budget")
The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 2 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 48 on the subject of the appointment of a Public Affairs Officer in Washington, D.C., reports as follows:

We have considered the matter in conjunction with the other items in the proposed Program and Budget of the Church. Our Report does not include the engaging and maintaining of such an officer, but does recommend that the Executive Council undertake to retain the services of a qualified attorney, a Churchman with offices in Washington, D.C., at a nominal retainer, who would be willing to represent the Executive Council, particularly in the area of Indian Affairs.

We request to be dismissed from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 3 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 57 on the subject of a new Division of Pastoral Care, reports as follows:

We have considered the matter in conjunction with the other items in the proposed Program and Budget of the Church. The Joint Committee recommends, in principle, this program of Pastoral Services, and further recommends that the Executive Council establish the same, if it can be accomplished within the limitation of the present number of personnel and within the total dollar amount of the budget.

We request to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 4 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 67 on the subject of criteria for missionary budgeting, reports as follows:

We have considered the matter in conjunction with the other items in the proposed Program and Budget of the Church.

We believe our Report achieves the goals of the above Resolution by emphasizing strategic overseas missionary programs and the urban program as areas of prime missionary challenge.

We request to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.
The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 7 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, which was also referred to the Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 142 on the subject of stewardship and quotas, reports as follows:

Your Committee has considered the matter of the elimination of quotas and returns the same without recommendation for the consideration of the House, and begs to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was discharged, as requested.

The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 8 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 183 on the subject of Message 39 from the House of Bishops re MRI implementation item, reports as follows:

We have considered the matter and included it in the Budget in the amount of $100,000.00 per year.

We request to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Frank E. Jarrett of Dallas introduced the following Resolution on priority for additional appropriations for Town and Country programs:

Resolved, That, if receipts during the next triennium exceed the estimated amounts, the Executive Council be requested to give priority to increasing appropriations for Town and Country programs.

Adopted by the House.

Reports Nos. 5 and 6 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget will be found in the Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Good Friday Offering
Canon 43, Sec. 8

The Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions made its Report No. 2 on the Partnership Plan.
(See Topical Arrangement)

The Rev. Robert A. Appleyard, D.D., of Connecticut presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on the State of the Church:

The Committee on the State of the Church, to which was referred a Memorial adopted by the Convention of the Diocese of Oklahoma on January 24, 1962 (House of Deputies No. 61), reports as follows:
The Memorial from the Diocese of Oklahoma asked the National Council to prepare and adopt a revision of the Parochial Report. Since this has, in fact, been accomplished since the Memorial was adopted and the National Council (now the Executive Council) has prepared and adopted a revised form of the Parochial Report, no further action would appear necessary, and this Committee accordingly requests that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted; the Committee was discharged from further consideration of this matter.

On recommendation of the Committee on Missions, Resolutions were adopted on the following topics:
- Indian Work, Commendation of Joint Staff
- Indian Work, High Priority for, in Program of Mutual Responsibility
- Minority Groups in the Church's Urban Program
- Philippine Episcopal and Independent Churches—Joint Council
- Canon 8, Sec. 1

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented report No. 7 of the Committee on Missions:

*Whereas,* It is the Divine Commission of the Church to preach the Gospel to the whole world; and

*Whereas,* The proportion of the total resources that we budget for undertaking this Commission outside the boundaries of the United States of America indicates a diminished response to our Lord's command; and

*Whereas,* We recognize at the parish level as well as at the diocesan level the ideal of dividing our resources on the basis of 50% for work of the Church at home and 50% for work outside the Parish or Diocese; therefore, be it

*Resolved,* That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention express alarm for the tendency in recent Conventions to reduce the proportion of the National Church budget designated for work overseas below the ideal of 50% for others; and be it further

*Resolved,* That this House urge the Executive Council to take steps to reverse this trend towards parochialism that expends more than 50% of our total resources in the fifty States, with consequent proportional reduction of the resources remaining for supporting the work of the Church in places outside our national boundaries.

Adopted by the House.

On recommendation of the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, the House took action on the following matters, for which, see Topical Arrangement; namely,

Constitution—Article V.
Diocesan Boundaries—Study of

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett of California presented Report
No. 52 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted:

Resolved, That when the Committee on Consecration of Bishops is ready to report the names of the Missionary Bishops, and the name of the Bishop for the Armed Forces, elected by the House of Bishops, the House shall go into Executive Session under Special Order. There shall be permitted not more than three seconding speeches from three different Clerical or Lay Deputations, not exceeding three minutes each, for each nomination; and that thereafter shall follow debate and action according to Rule 37; and be it further

Resolved, That the House shall continue in Executive Session until released therefrom by the ruling of the President.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 53 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted:

Resolved, That Report No. 40 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business be amended, consistent with the action taken tonight in concurring with the House of Bishops in setting a time for the Closing Service to hear the Pastoral Letter of the House of Bishops at 9:00 a.m. Friday, October 23, 1964; and thereafter the House of Deputies convene to continue in session until conclusion of business.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 54 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the House adjourn, after hearing notices read by the Secretary, and convene at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, October 21, 1964, to begin business with the Regular Order where it was interrupted upon adjournment.

The House stood adjourned.

NINTH DAY

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, led the House in Prayers and Devotions.

Mr. James W. Werts of South Florida presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 20 read, and certify them to be correct.

The Secretary presented a report of all legislation still pending before this House.
The Chair appointed Tellers for the clergy ballot for the election of members to the Executive Council, as follows:

The Rev. Canon Samuel C. W. Fleming of South Florida, Chairman  
The Rev. Walter W. Hannum of Alaska  
The Rev. Harold D. Martin, Jr., of Milwaukee  
The Rev. Paul D. Urbano of Arizona  
Mr. George Livermore of California  
Mr. Herbert H. P. Ma of Taiwan

The Chair appointed Tellers for the lay ballot for the election of members to the Executive Council, as follows:

The Rev. Robert L. Black, Jr., of Southern Ohio  
Mr. John Flint of Vermont  
Mr. Norman Gross of South Dakota  
Mr. A. R. Tomlinson of Alaska  
The Rev. Canon George A. Fox of Tennessee  
The Rev. Robert Sweetser of Fond du Lac

The Secretary read the following communications from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

1. The following Resolution was adopted on October 19 by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

   Whereas, The Women of the Church recognize the great missionary opportunity today in large urban areas; and

   Whereas, Impetus and renewed opportunity for training clergy and lay persons for ministry in urban areas is now provided by the Chicago Inter-Church Urban Training Center and other Church-related social agencies; and

   Whereas, We commend the Executive Council for the work which has already been initiated in the Church to create an awareness of the need and opportunity for a strong urban program; now, therefore, be it

   Resolved, That the women of the Church go on record as urging that the work in urban areas continue to be given a prominent place in the consideration of the Church’s program for the next triennium; and be it further

   Resolved, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies of this 1964 General Convention.

2. The Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church has instructed me to send to the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies for their information the following Resolution, which was adopted on October 19, 1964:

RESOLUTION ON FULL PARTICIPATION OF LAY PERSONS IN THE LIFE OF THE CHURCH

   Whereas, The Women of the Church are concerned first with obedience to our Lord and his mission; and

   Whereas, The objectives as stated in the document entitled “Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ” express the
reality of this obedience, and Section III, Recommendations 2 and 4, of this document calls for a radical study of our obedience to mission, including a study of the structure of the Church, of its theology of mission, and of its priorities in decision, and asks that the Church seek to test and evaluate every activity in its life by the test of mission and of service to others; and

Whereas, Men and women are working more closely in Christian witness and service in every area of the Church’s life, except in the General Convention; therefore, be it

Resolved, That this Triennial Meeting instruct the General Division of Women’s Work to make every effort to work with the Mutual Responsibility Executive Officer or proper person, to the end that this process of examination and renewal be intensified in all areas of the Church, and that in this effort attention be directed to the eligibility of every lay person for elections to vestries, diocesan governing bodies, and General Convention; and be it further

Resolved, That we call upon ourselves as individuals and diocesan delegations to initiate and implement such study in our own parishes and missions, dioceses and missionary districts.

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Elections:

Your Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: Mr. J. Lloyd Watkins of Alaska, in place of Mr. Richard Freer, from October 13; Mr. William F. Maxwell of Dallas, in place of Mr. Jos. Irion Worsham; the Rev. James B. Clark of Nebraska, in place of the Rev. Mark D. McCallum; the Rev. Richard Byfield of California, in place of the Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., for October 20, evening session.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 55 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That under Special Order, the Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, S.T.D., Dean of the General Theological Seminary, be granted the privilege of the House, at 9:40 a.m., Wednesday, October 21, 1964, for the purpose of presenting the Report of the General Theological Seminary.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 56 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the Special Order for Wednesday, October 21st, at 2:15 p.m., is the order for receiving Nominations for President and Vice-President of the House of Deputies, and that the vote be taken as soon thereafter as the ballots have been prepared.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 57 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House proceed to elect Trustees of The Church Pension Fund and members of the Executive Council, as soon as the ballots have been prepared, and at the President’s pleasure.

The privilege of the floor was given to the Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, S.T.D., who addressed the House on the work of the General Theological Seminary.
On motion, the Report was adopted and approved for printing in the Journal.

The Committee on Canons presented its Report No. 26, dealing with the amendment of the Constitution—Article II., to provide for the translation of Bishops.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Constitution—Article II.")

The Secretary announced that he had accepted delivery of messages from the House of Bishops containing certificates of election of Missionary Bishops.

These were referred at once by the Chair to the Committee on Consecration of Bishops, which met immediately.

The House went into Executive Session.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California offered a motion that the House rise from Executive Session, which was carried.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Missionary Bishops—Election of")

The Chair requested that ballots be distributed for the election of lay and clerical members to the Executive Council.

The Chair requested the Tellers to receive the ballots of the clerical and lay Deputies, as they left the hall.

The Secretary took the Chair, at the request of the President, at 2:30 p.m., and asked the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas to escort the Rt. Rev. Arnold M. Lewis, D.D., Suffragan-Bishop-elect for the Armed Forces, to the platform. Bishop Lewis addressed the House briefly.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 57 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House adopt the following order in the matter of the nominations for and election of President and Vice-President of the House of Deputies:

That there be one nominator and two seconders, to speak for not more than three minutes for each person nominated; and

That the election be by ballot, unless otherwise ordered by the House.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett of California moved that the House suspend the Rules, and elect unanimously Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., as President of the House of Deputies.

Adopted by the House.
Nominations were then called for for the office of Vice-President of the House.


The Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClenaghan, of Northern California, nominated the Rev. Edward McNair, D.D., of Northern California.

Mr. Charles M. Crump of Tennessee moved that when the ballot is taken a majority be necessary in order to elect.

A vote by ballot was taken. The Secretary announced that the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia was elected Vice-President of the House, having received a majority of the votes.

The Secretary announced the results of the balloting for Members of the Executive Council.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Executive Council—Members of")

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented the Budget of Convention, on behalf of the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Adopted by the House.

In connection with certain appropriations in the tentative budget, Mr. Flournoy presented Reports Nos. 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 16, of the Joint Committee on Expenses, which were adopted by the House as authorizing specific appropriations for Committees, Commissions, and Societies.

Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia then offered a motion for the tentative acceptance of the entire Budget.

Adopted by the House.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 157 on the subject of a Diocese for the Presiding Bishop, reports as follows:

It has considered the proposed resolution and is of the opinion that it calls for the formation of a new Diocese, in which event the constitutional procedures set forth in Article V. of the Constitution are available and no constitutional amendment is required.

Therefore, your Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House.
The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 81 and 158 on the subject of the seating of women in this House, reports as follows:

It has considered the proposed Resolutions and is of the opinion that the question presented is of like import with that of HD Nos. 12, 60, 62, 63, 64, 65, heretofore considered and acted upon by this House, as to which a subsequent motion to reconsider failed of adoption. By Rule 30 (b) of this House, a question of like import to a matter already acted upon can not be presented at the same Convention without a 2/3 vote of this House.

Accordingly your Committee requests that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

Adopted by the House.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 15 on the subject of proposed amendments to Canon 12, Sec. 2, reports as follows:

The subject of this Resolution, which has been so referred to us, involves only a proposed change in the Canons.

Accordingly, this Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 140 and 164 on the subject of the effect of a divided vote in a vote by orders, reports as follows:

It has considered the said Resolutions and recommends against the adoption of them.

We call attention to the fact that the subject of these Resolutions was first considered by the General Convention of 1925 and that it has arisen in many General Conventions since that time.

We adopt as our view the Report of this Committee to the House of Deputies in 1928, as follows:

The Committee deems this proposal inexpedient, because a vote by Orders is a vote by representatives of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts, who vote in their representative capacity and not in their individual capacity. In so voting, it is the Dioceses and Districts which
vote, and from the establishment of the General Convention down to the present date it has always been the requirement that there must be an affirmative majority to carry a measure. Not only is this the case because the action is that of Dioceses and Districts and not of individuals, but also because it is desirable on important matters that something more than a mere majority of voices should be necessary for the adoption of the matter in hand.

Your Committee, therefore, asks to be discharged from further consideration of the aforesaid Resolutions.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the subject, and the matter was referred to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces.

Continuing his reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, Dean Leffler submitted Reports Nos. 8, 13, and 14, for which see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Constitution—Article V.
Constitution—Article VIII. (not adopted)
Constitution—Article IX.

The Rev. A. Balfour Patterson, Jr., of Colorado introduced a resolution on the United States Olympic Team, which was adopted:

Resolved: the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America instruct the Secretary of the Executive Council to send to the members of the Olympic teams of the United States the following telegram:

WE KNOW THAT YOU HAVE DONE YOUR BEST. WE ARE HUMBLY THANKFUL FOR YOUR REPRESENTING US AS GOOD SPORTSMEN, WIN OR LOSE, IN A MANNER PLEASING IN THE EYES OF GOD.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee announced that the detailed report of the Special Committee investigating the String fellow press conference had been deposited with the Secretary, together with exhibits, and that the mimeographed report had been distributed to the members of the House.

The Chair acknowledged the announcement, and declared the ad hoc Committee discharged.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Canons, which was adopted and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the following matter:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Memorial from the Diocese of Springfield asking for the amendment of Canon 55, "Of Courts, Their Membership and Procedure", at Sec. 5, begs leave to report that the Committee has been informed that the Diocese of Springfield has withdrawn the said Memorial.

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Memorial from the Diocese of Michigan (HD No. 73), asking for an amendment of Canon 4, and the Resolution of the Rev. Canon Ramsay of the Diocese of Michigan (HD No. 82), asking for an amendment of Canon 51, begs leave to report that both the Memorial and the Resolution have been withdrawn.

The Committee, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons be discharged from consideration of the above matters.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matters.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Memorial from the Synod of the Northwest (Province VI), asking for the amendment of Canon 8, "Of Provinces", in order to provide for equality of representation in the House of Deputies as between Dioceses and Missionary District, begs leave to report that it has considered this Memorial. Since this is primarily a constitutional question, the Committee on Canons asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The matter was then referred to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 18 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution (HD No. 89) of the Hon. Herbert V. Walker of the Diocese of Los Angeles, asking for an amendment of Canon 18, "Of Regulations Respecting Holy Matrimony"; at Sec. 2 (a), by inserting the words, "or from the date of an interlocutory decree of divorce", after the word "final" in the next to the last line of this section, begs leave to report that it has considered the Resolution, finds it to be in order, but believes that, since the matter is not urgent, it is inexpedient to open this Canon to amendment at this time.

The Committee, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the subject.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 20 of the Committee on Canons:
The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the resolution (HD 151) of the Rev. Howard Brummitt of Quincy, asking for the amendment of Canon 7, "Of The Church Pension Fund", at Sec. 2, so as to limit membership on the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to "members of this Church". begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, and, while finding it in order, believes its adoption to be inexpedient.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D. of Louisiana presented Report No. 21 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution (HD 156) of the Very Rev. Edgar C. Newlin, D.C.L., of Lexington, asking for the appointment of a Joint Committee to formulate a "permanent, uniform system of numbering the Canons", begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, but believes its adoption to be inexpedient.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this Committee be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Continuing his reporting for the Committee on Canons, Canon Wattley of Louisiana presented Reports Nos. 15, 16, 17, 19, 22, 23, and 24 for which see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Canon 16, Sec. 4
Canon 21, Sec. 6
Canon 6, Sec. 3
Canon 43, Sec. 8
Canon 3 and Canon 4
Canon 40, Sec. 2
Canon 2, Sec. 7

In connection with the last item, Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, moved an enabling Resolution, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Presiding Bishop—Disability Allowance")

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, for the Committee on Dispatch of Business, announced that the Rules of Order provide a simple plurality on the ballot for Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.
Dean Bartlett of California then presented Report No. 58 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That a Special Order be set for the end of the session of today, October 21, 1964, in order that members of this House may vote for Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, and that the nine nominees receiving the highest number of votes be declared elected, subject to concurrence of the House of Bishops.

Adopted by the House.

Ballots for the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund were distributed to the members of the House on request of the President, the ballots to be handed to the Tellers at the doors as the members left the House.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 59 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House adjourn to reconvene at 9:30 a.m. Thursday, as previously ordered, and, further, that a session of the House be called for Thursday evening, October 22, 1964, from 7:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 105—Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Need
No. 106—General Theological Seminary—Trustees
No. 107—Church Pension Fund—Trustees
No. 108—Good Friday Offering
No. 109—Program and Budget
No. 110—Minority Groups in Church's Urban Program
No. 111—Diocesan Boundaries—Study of
No. 112—Indian Work—Commendation of Joint Staff
No. 113—Indian Work—High Priority in Program of MRI
No. 114 to No. 118—Program and Budget

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The House stood adjourned.

TENTH DAY

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, led the House in Prayers and Devotions.

Mr. James W. Werts of South Florida presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the Minutes of October 21 read, and certify them to be correct.
The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Elections:

The Committee reports that the following are entitled to serve in this Convention: The Rev. Gordon Swope of Texas in place of the Rev. Charles A. Sumners, October 22nd, afternoon only; Mr. T. Deal Reese of Texas in place of Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin; the Rev. Will C. Frey of Central America in place of the Rev. G. Edward Haynesworth; Mr. J. Warner Paisley of Ohio in place of Mr. Hugh C. Laughlin; the Rev. Russell E. Murphy of Missouri in place of the Rev. W. Murray Kenney, October 22, night session only; the Rev. Arthur R. Steidemann of Missouri in place of the Rev. Pitt S. Willand; the Rev. David J. Coughlin of Louisiana in place of the Rev. R. Frank Hipwell; Mr. Robert C. Reagan of Los Angeles in place of Mr. Howard Hoover.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., presented Report No. 60 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the House resume business where it was interrupted by adjournment yesterday; namely, continuing with the report of the Committee on Canons in the Regular Order.

The Secretary announced the results of the balloting for members of the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

(See Topical Arrangement.)

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 120—Racial Inclusiveness of the Church
No. 121—Open Communion
No. 122—Executive Council—Members
No. 123—Executive Council—Members

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Secretary read a communication from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

The following Resolution was adopted by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church on October 20, 1964:

RESOLUTION REGARDING ESTABLISHMENT OF EPISCOPAL SOCIETY FOR MINISTRY TO THE AGING

Whereas, The needs of the rapidly increasing numbers of older persons are a critical concern for the total American community; and

Whereas, The Episcopal Church has a long tradition of ministry to older persons and is appropriately now more deeply involved in this ministry than ever; and

Whereas, Biblical and theological considerations demand a total ministry to older persons, providing a sense of community in sponsoring both residential programs and related services for all elderly persons; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Triennial Meeting express its interest in the establishment of an Episcopal Society for Ministry to the Aging.
The Secretary read a communication from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church:

The following Resolution was adopted by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church on October 20, 1964:

RESOLUTION ON GENERAL CONVENTION ACTION ON MUTUAL RESPONSIBILITY

Whereas, The Primates and Metropolitans of the Anglican Communion assembled in Toronto in August, 1963, confronted the Church with the Document of Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ, calling us both to give and to receive, in our Lord's mission to the world; and

Whereas, The Committee on Mutual Responsibility, appointed by the Presiding Bishop, has presented its report to a Joint Session of General Convention, calling the Church to renewal and re-dedication and to a re-thinking and re-organization of its mission; and

Whereas, The Committee has asked for the co-operation of the Women of the Church; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Triennial Meeting of 1964, as a part of the whole Church, support the concept of the Document and look forward with hope to an affirmative action by General Convention and strategy to make it effectual.

Canon Wattley of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, presented Reports Nos. 22, 25, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, and 34, for which see Topical Arrangement, as follows:

- Canon 43, Sec. 8 (f)
- Canon 20
- Canon 8, Sec. 1
- Canon 41, Sec. 6 (c) and Canon 43, Sec. 7 (c)
- Canon 51, Sec. 1
- Canon 51, Sec. 5
- Canon 34, Sec. 10
- Canon 47, Sec. 2 (not adopted)

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 29 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Resolution (HD 155) of the Very Rev. Gordon E. Gillett of the Diocese of Quincy, asking for amendments to Canon 4, "Of the Executive Council", at Sec. 6 (a) (b) and (c), which amendments would require that the Council submit to the Women of the Church its program for the triennium; the seating of the Women of the Church in the Joint Session of the General Convention; and, finally, the approval of the Women of the Church before any money covered by the budget could be expended; begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, finds it to be in order, but recommends that it be not adopted. The Committee much prefers the present procedure, whereby the Women of the Church are invited into the Joint Session of the Convention.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:
Resolved, That the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D. of Louisiana presented Report No. 30 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 41 from the House of Bishops, which Message indicates that that House has adopted a Resolution asking for a change in the name of the Church by the expunging therefrom the word “Protestant” from its title, begs leave to report that this House of Deputies having already voted to amend the Bishops’ Resolution by recommending a certain Preamble to the Constitution, the matter has already been settled by the House of Deputies.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Committee be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Item No. 9 was taken from the Calendar, being the Resolution of Col. Jackson A. Dykman of Long Island that Canon 55 be amended by the addition of a new Section 2. The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented a revised Report No. 7 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the proposal of Col. Jackson A. Dykman of Long Island to amend Canon 55, “Of Courts, their Membership and Procedure”, by adding a new Section 2 thereto, begs leave to report that it has re-considered the subject matter of this proposal and believes that such matter had better be left to the discretion of the individual Dioceses.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, asks to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Item No. 11 was taken from the Calendar, being Report No. 9 of the Committee on Canons on the Amendment of Canon 45, “Of Ministers and Their Duties”. The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana again presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred the Memorial from the Diocese of Springfield (HD 17) with respect to the amendment of Canon 45, “Of Ministers and their Duties”, by the addition of a sentence to Sec. 4 (a) of that Canon reading as follows:

No Minister of this Church having a settled Cure in this Church shall agree to provide for the stated services of any Congregation not in full communion with this Church.
begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, and, while finding it to be in proper form, recommends against its adoption by a majority vote of the Committee.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this Committee be discharged from further consideration of this proposal.

The Report of the Committee was accepted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred a new draft of the Memorial from the Diocese of Springfield (HD 15) with respect to the amendment of Canon 12, "Of Parishes and Congregations", by the addition of a new clause (c) to Sec. 2 of that canon, begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment. The Committee on Canons is now notified by a Deputy from the Diocese of Southern Ohio that he will introduce an amendment to the proposal of Springfield which would, in the opinion of this Committee, nullify the Springfield proposal.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the Committee on Canons be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

In connection with this matter, Report No. 3 of the Committee on Rural Work was presented by title, and that Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter:

Your Committee on Rural Work, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 15 on the subject of prohibiting the linking of congregations of this and other Churches, reports as follows:

The Church is increasingly aware of the many-sided problems it faces in the areas of rapid population growth in the urban centers and in the surrounding suburbs. This is as it should be. But the Church shows few signs of awareness or even interest in the problems facing areas of rural decline.

A considerable number of our churches are located in small towns and villages where the population and the opportunities for employment are decreasing. Such communities, and the churches in them, are characterized by inadequate resources in people, money, property, and leadership. There is generally neither hope for growth nor promise of sharing in the general affluence of society. The young and the more ambitious move away. The less ambitious and the elderly remain.

It may be that we must "put up or shut up"—put up more money to keep churches open for fewer and fewer people—or shut the doors and turn away from a segment of society stranded by urbanization. The first of these alternatives raises serious questions of missionary priorities and stewardship in the use of limited resources, and the second is too heartless to be seriously considered.
There may be some other way... If "new occasions teach new duties" in the urban centers, so ought they to do in the declining rural communities. If we need imaginative new forms of ministry in the cities, so do we need them in the areas of rural decline.

We believe this is not the time to build the separating walls of denominationalism ever higher. Rather, it is the time to seek practical ways to work together with other Christian bodies. In the rural areas this is not only the opportunity of the ecumenical age, it is also a matter of missionary imperative and pastoral concern.

As this Resolution might effectively preclude exploration in this area of need, the Committee on Rural Work recommends against it; although this is not meant to imply this Committee's approval of any deviations from established practices now occurring in certain Dioceses of the Church. Furthermore, we appeal to the Church at large, and especially our chief pastors and missionaries, the Bishops, for increased study and effort in seeking ways by which satisfactory arrangements may be made with other Christian bodies to minister in such rural areas of our mutual responsibility.

The Committee respectfully begs to be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Rev. James Y. Perry, Jr., of Western North Carolina, introduced, on behalf of the lay Deputations of Western North Carolina and Northern Indiana, the following Resolution, which was lost:

Resolved, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America respectfully and earnestly request that the 62nd General Convention of this Church place on the Calendar not later than the third legislative day of the 62nd Convention the Program and Budget of the National Church, allowing adequate time for questions and debate on budget and program by the Deputies there present.

The Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D., of Long Island presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on the Prayer Book, to which Mr. Walter Cooper, of New Jersey, offered an amendment which was not accepted:

Your Committee on Prayer Book, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 218 on the subject of change in the title page and elsewhere in the Prayer Book, reports as follows:

The Committee on Amendments to the Constitution requests that the question of the changes, if any, that should be made in the title page and elsewhere in the Prayer Book, in the light of the action taken in this House in concurring with amendments to Message No. 41 of the House of Bishops and their subsequent concurrence with our amendments, be referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book for its study and report.

Your Prayer Book Committee has considered this matter at length and offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the matter of change in the title page and other places in the Prayer Book be referred to the Liturgical Commission for study and recommendations to the 1967 General Convention.
On motion of the House, the entire matter was referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission.

Dean Lemoine of Long Island, on behalf of the Committee on the Book of Common Prayer, presented Reports Nos. 3, 4, and 5, for which see Topical Arrangement under the appropriate headings, as follows:

Prayer Book Revision
Canon 20
Trial Use

Reports No. 3 and No. 4 of the Committee on Christian Education were presented and adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following subject-headings: "American Bible Society" and "The Episcopalian, Inc."

The Rev. William C. Warner of Western Michigan presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Christian Education:

Your Committee on Christian Education, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 123 on the subject of Scriptural Study, reports as follows:

Your committee has considered the Resolution presented by John Paul Causey of the Diocese of Virginia, at the request of the Vestry of St. James Church, Leesburg, Virginia, which reads as follows:

Whereas, The ways and paths of modern days seem fraught with the problems of man;—facing the confusion resultant from a multiplicity of remedies being urged by men of quite divergent views, whose disagreement reaches even to the nature and relative importance of the problems themselves;—and at last facing the compulsions of limited time, and the realization of the hazards of delay and the vital need for promptness and firmness in gaining true reforms and denying specious ones; and

Whereas, In its concerns for all men who may be pained with dilemma, the Christian Gospel offers the peace of hope, for Christ spoke, “Come unto me ye who are heavy laden and I will give you rest”, and He admonished, “If ye continue in my Word, then are ye my disciples indeed; And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.”; and

Whereas, The study of the Holy Scripture and the pursuit of the Holy Spirit through prayer are the means made available to the members of his Church in the search for truth and the enjoyment of peace through hope; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That it is imperative that there be a great renewal of systematic and regular Bible study in the parishes of this Communion and in the privacy of the homes of its communicants; and be it further

Resolved, That the spiritual deepening and development which comes from biblical investigation and prayer is fundamental in the Christian quest for truth and peace; and be it further
Resolved, That the Executive Council be requested to emphasize within its established budget and organization a program designed to interest and involve in the ordered study of the Scriptures the maximum number of communicants within the life of each member parish during the coming triennium; and be it further

Resolved, That priority be given this program in the coming triennium, so that it will be considered constantly in all Departments of the Executive Council, and at all levels of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, in the sure knowledge that through increased and continual reflection on his Word the Church and its communicants will grow in truth, tranquility, power, and the enjoyment of salvation.

Your Committee certainly approves the orderly, systematic reading and study of the Bible. However, in view of the fact that some of the purposes of this Resolution have already been covered in the Resolution concerning the American Bible Society which we have endorsed, and that other aims of the Resolution are covered by the provision for Bible readings in the Forward Day by Day publications; Make His Name Glorious; the lectionary of the Book of Common Prayer, which provides daily Bible-reading selections for all our people; and by the programs and materials developed by the Department of Christian Education of the Executive Council;

Your Committee does not see the necessity for the adoption of this Resolution, recommends that it be not adopted, and requests to be discharged from further consideration of it.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

To your Committee on Christian Social Relations, was referred House of Deputies Number 148 on the subject of placing of funds, Church or individual, in fund agencies or banks supporting segregation, as follows:

Whereas, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America has constantly reiterated its stand against racial discrimination and segregation in all phases of Church life; be it therefore

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America strongly advise all Dioceses, Parishes, Missions, Agencies, Institutions, and members of the Church to review their investment portfolios to see whether any funds are invested in obligations of agencies of the government which practice segregation and discrimination and to consider whether such investments are consistent with the Church's stated stand on racial discrimination and segregation; and be it, further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America strongly advise all Dioceses, Parishes, Missions, Agencies, Institutions, and members of the Church to review their placement of monies to see whether any funds are deposited with banks and/or Savings and Loan Associations which have contributed to causes and/or
organizations supporting racial discrimination and segregation and to consider whether such deposits are consistent with the Church's stated stand on racial segregation and discrimination.

Your Committee has considered this Resolution, and, because of the extreme complexity of the suggested action, and because the General Convention has laid this matter on the consciences of the members of this Church, we do not recommend the adoption of this Resolution and ask to be discharged from further consideration thereof.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 119 and 162 on the subject of the study and application of non-violence, reports as follows:

Two identical Resolutions were submitted by Dean Spofford of Idaho and Mr. W. E. Morgan of Arizona:

 Whereas, The Church has shown concern for the non-violent resolution of social conflict in race relations; and

 Whereas, The same concern ought to apply equally to economic strife brought on by poverty, unemployment, and automation, and to international strife; therefore be it

 Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Church take specific steps to implement the non-violent resolution of conflict in these areas; in particular, urging agencies such as the Department of Christian Social Relations on both the diocesan and national levels to study non-violence, advise the Church, and in other ways help it to take an increasingly active part in dealing with present and imminent conflicts.

The Committee, in considering these Resolutions, noted that the Church has already enunciated this principle in numerous places (General Conventions of 1952, 1955, 1958; National Council in 1954; and Pastoral Letters from 1943 to the present), and because the national Department of Christian Social Relations has in the past, and is now, doing what these Resolutions seek, we do not recommend the passage of these Resolutions and beg to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 98 on the subject of inter-racial marriages, reports as follows:

Your committee has considered this Resolution from the Diocese of Central New York, presented by Mr. Hugh R. Jones, and we beg to report this Resolution with amendment, as follows:
 Whereas, Laws in some States, both north and south, forbidding marriages between persons of different races, have caused grief to some loyal members of this Church and have caused the clergy to act sometimes in ways which have compromised their sense of justice; and

Whereas, It is clearly contrary to the spirit of the teachings of our Lord and of St. Paul that racial, social, or national distinctions be raised as barriers to marriage; and

Whereas, The Church neither encourages nor prohibits inter-racial marriages, but only counsels persons in the proper exercise of their individual freedom; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention publicly acknowledge that there are no theological or moral barriers to marriage between persons of different races.

Your Committee asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Continuing his reporting for the Committee on Christian Social Relations, the Rev. Dr. Gosnell of West Texas presented Reports Nos. 8, 9, 10, and 12 for which see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Aging—Episcopal Society for Ministry to the Aging—Church's Ministry to the Racial Inclusiveness of the Church Human Affairs—Report of the Joint Commission

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Reports Nos. 11 and 25 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund (House of Deputies Nos. 150 and 151), which had previously been dealt with by the Committee on Canons, with reference to allowances to Bishops.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matters.

(For Report No. 9 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund, See Topical Arrangement—"Pension Assessment Rate")

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan moved that, Mr. Humrickhouse of Virginia having already offered the substance of a Resolution referred to the Committee on The Church Pension Fund (HD 132) as an amendment to the Committee's Report No. 9, which amendment had been adopted, the Committee on The Church Pension Fund be discharged from further consideration of HD 132. The Committee was so discharged.
The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 124—Presiding Bishop—Disability Allowance (Committee of Conference on)
No. 125—Constitution—Article II., Sec. 8
No. 126—Constitution—Article IX.
No. 127—Canon 21, Sec. 6
No. 128—Presiding Bishop—Disability Allowance
No. 129—Canon 2, Sec. 7
No. 130—Canon 53

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

Reports No. 10, No. 13, and No. 14, of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund were presented, for which see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Medical Plan for the Clergy
Lay Employees—Medical Plan for
Church Fire Insurance Corporation—Exemption of

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 55 on the subject of investment structure of The Church Pension Fund, reports as follows:

The Diocese of Dallas, by Memorial, requested the General Convention to make a study of the investment structure of The Church Pension Fund, the investment policies of the Trustees, and the earnings of the portfolio.

The Trustees have the fiduciary responsibility for a full actuarial-reserve pension system, with pension promises in dollar amounts. The retired clergy, the widows of clergymen, and the orphan children, are dependent upon the keeping of these pension promises. The Fund never has failed them, and the Trustees are determined that it shall never fail them. This creates a different situation, investment-wise, from most endowment funds, or pension funds of commercial organizations, where corporate reserves held for general purposes can be drawn upon if necessary. The Church Pension Fund has no such source upon which to draw.

Its policy has been to hold to a reasonable balance between equities and fixed-income securities, all of the highest quality. The Trustees have been guided by eminent investment counsel, and the portfolio is under constant review. All of this is done with only one purpose; namely, the security and welfare of retired clergymen, and widows and orphans.

The record is impressive: as of June 30, 1964, the estimated return on the book value of investments held was 4.43%. About 72% was in bonds and 24%, on book value (34% on market), was in equities. The stock had 68% appreciation. The return compares favorably with the official statistics of average return for all corporate pension funds. In 1963, the return for The Church Pension Fund was 4.31%, and, for that year the average
of all corporate funds was 3.99%. The Fund's return in 1963 was about the same as that of the average return for 22 ministerial funds in the Church Pension Conference.

Your Committee believes that there is no need for an investigation, and that such an investigation would serve no useful purpose.

Your Committee respectfully asks that it be discharged from further consideration of the Memorial, HD 55.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House, and referred to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund themselves following this Convention.

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 17 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 22 and 24 on the subject of a Joint Commission on Clergy Salaries, reports as follows:

The Diocese of Newark, by Memorial (HD 24), asked that the General Convention again establish a Joint Commission on Clergy Salaries and Related Considerations, and that such Commission study and report on
salaries and related matters, such as placement, fringe benefits, cost of higher education for rectory children, etc. The Diocese of Long Island (HD 22) made a somewhat similar request.

The Church Pension Fund has complete information on clerical stipends, and considerable information on the fringe benefits, such as cost of utilities, etc. The Division of Research and Field Study, of the Executive Council, also has much information along these lines. The general information may well be obtained from those sources.

It is the judgment of the Committee, one member thereof having served throughout the ten years of the former Joint Commission to Study Clergy Pension Plans and Clerical Salaries (from 1949 to 1959), that the effective place for a study of clerical salaries and related matters is in the Diocese. Conditions vary to such an extent from Diocese to Diocese that an overall study is only of general interest. A diocesan study can be made effective in improving the level of clerical salaries and the related matters above cited.

Your Committee does not believe that it would be useful to appoint another Joint Commission, and respectfully asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

In connection with the foregoing, the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions (the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina reporting) presented its Report No. 4, as follows:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 22 and 24 on the subject of Joint Commission on Clergy Salaries, reports as follows:

We have received and considered the Resolutions.

We commend the work already done by the First Province on salary criteria to other Provinces.

We recommend that these Resolutions be referred to the Division of Research and Field Study and that they report to the next General Convention.

We ask to be discharged from further consideration.

Both Reports were adopted, and both Committees were discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 19 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 54 on the subject of actuarial examination of The Church Pension Fund, reports as follows:

The Province of the Pacific, by Memorial, asks that the General Convention direct the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to employ the services of a competent, disinterested, actuarial service to study the entire function of The Church Pension Fund and ascertain if the present level of pensions may not be substantially increased.
The Actuary of the Fund, a thoroughly competent and experienced member of his profession, makes a valuation of the Fund's actuarial liabilities at the end of each year, as shown on page 19 of the Annual Report of the Trustees for the year 1963. He reports to the Insurance Department of the State of New York at the end of each year. In addition, the actuaries of the State Insurance Department come into the office every five years to examine the actuarial procedures, and examine the mortality and experience tables used.

The Church Pension Fund says, in a report on this subject:

"The tables used by the Actuary have been developed out of the actual experience of the Fund. This makes it possible to carry a much smaller cushion in the reserves against adverse experience than if we used the standard mortality tables of insurance companies. This, in turn, means that more is available immediately for pensions than otherwise would be true."

Your Committee does not believe that the considerable cost of an independent actuarial examination is called for, nor would it be justified.

Your Committee respectfully asks that it be discharged from further consideration of HD 54.

On motion, this matter was referred to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, following this Convention.

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 20 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred Memorials dealing with the service of clergymen in the Church after retirement, reports as follows:

The Diocese of San Joaquin (HD 37) (with supporting resolutions from Kansas, Western Michigan, Colorado, Idaho and California) the Dioceses of New Mexico and S. W. Texas (HD 70), Maryland (HD 71), and Rochester (HD 43), all, by Memorial, have asked that the General Convention request the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to revise its Rule with respect to limited service in retirement, so as to permit a greater degree of activity by a retired clergyman in the service of one Church unit.

The present Rule

1. Puts no restriction upon celebration of, or assistance in, the Holy Communion;
2. Puts no restriction on the number of times a clergyman may supply in separate Parishes or Missions;
3. Places no financial limit on compensation for services rendered under Items 1 and 2, supra;
4. It does limit the continuous service for more than three months in one Parish or other Church unit, and rules that such service beyond three months constitutes a return to activity. Since the Trustees are directed by Canon to pay pension benefits to those who, in conformity with the Canons and the Rules, are in retirement, they are required to draw the line between a temporary return to activity, and bona fide retirement. They
have done so to the best of their judgment. A sub-committee of the Trustees, consisting of three Bishops, considers and rules on hardship and borderline cases. They have ruled in favor of extended service, without temporary suspension of pension payments, in many cases. In cases which, in the judgment of the Trustees, constitute a return to activity, the pension payments are suspended until such activity ceases.

It is necessary to understand that The Church Pension Fund is a group plan, and the individual has a promise of what will be done under the Rules, that promise being binding upon the Trustees, but the assessments do not build up a vested right.

Your Committee is convinced that the Trustees are alert to the needs and wishes of the Church, and meet them insofar as possible. Your Committee asks that it be discharged.

Adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 21 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 137 on the subject of variable pensions for clergy, reports as follows:

Mr. Irving W. Burr, of the Diocese of Indianapolis, offered a Resolution, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a Joint Commission be formed to study the feasibility of making a part of the pension fund and pensions variable, at least by election of the individual clergyman, and that said Commission shall report back to the next General Convention with a recommendation for action.

The Church Pension Fund, by Canon, is a group plan, and is required, by Canon, to be governed in amount of pensions by the average stipend and years of service.

Variable pensions are not adapted to a group plan, and, if they were, they could not be substituted for the present system.

A Mutual Fund, open to clergymen, and administered under some new Canon, could be created, if the General Convention so desired. The present system would, in prudence, have to be continued to give a secure financial base for retirement or widowhood. The "variable system" would need to be super-imposed, over and above the present plan. The classic instance of a variable plan is the T. I. A. A., but it only permits a teacher to enter the variable plan after he or she has taken up the full amount permissible under the fixed-payment portion of the plan.

Your Committee believes that, if the General Convention were to request them to do so, the Trustees would make a comprehensive report on this matter to the next General Convention. It does not believe that the appointment of another Joint Commission would be warranted or useful.

Your Committee respectfully asks that it be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

To this was added a motion by Irving W. Burr, Ph.D., of Indianapolis, for the continued study of variable funds.
Adopted by the House, the matter was referred to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 24 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 14 on the subject of rate and base of assessment for The Church Pension Fund, reports as follows:

The Diocese of Bethlehem, by Memorial, has proposed a Resolution, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 7, "Of The Church Pension Fund", be so amended as to require the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to seek approval by General Convention for any changes in the rate of assessment, or in the base for assessments, of The Church Pension Fund.

Each of the matters cited was left by the framers of Canon 7 to the administrative judgment of the Trustees, and the Trustees have exercised these functions responsibly.

Each proposed change in rate of assessment including the first such change in 1946, has been submitted to the General Convention, and has been acted upon by the General Convention. The Trustees are committed to the continuation of this practice.

The base of assessments has been handled as a purely administrative matter, to the great advantage of the clergy, because such matters as the change from 1/6 to 1/5 for housing, to adjust realistically to present-day conditions, and the inclusion of utilities, could be handled without waiting for three years.

In the opinion of your Committee, it is unnecessary to enshrine in a Canon administrative matters which are now being properly handled. In any event the proposed canonical change would have to be referred to the Committee on Canons, and also to the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund for their views, before it could be canonically enacted.

(HD 14 had already been referred to the Committee on Canons, and The Church Pension Fund had been informed prior to the Convention as the Canon requires, that the Memorial would be presented. ED.'S NOTE.)

In view of the circumstances as above stated, your Committee respectfully asks that it be discharged from further consideration of the Memorial.

Adopted by the House, the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee on Rules of Order reports as follows:

The Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, That Rule No. 28 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended by inserting the word "substantive" after the word "any" on the first line thereof.

Adopted by the House.
Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee on Rules of Order, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 12, reports as follows:

The Committee approves and recommends the proposed amendment to Rule 29, in accordance with Report No. 5 of the Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, so that the last paragraph of Rule 29 would read as follows:

The election of the President, Vice-President, or Secretary of the House, or of the Treasurer of the General Convention, shall be by individual secret ballot; though, by unanimous consent and direction of the House, a single ballot may be cast by an officer of the House in its behalf.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee on Rules of Order, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 12, reports as follows:

The Committee recommends that Rule 8 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended by lettering the first paragraph thereof as (a) to read as follows:

(a) Not later than 60 days in advance of the opening date of the Convention, the President shall appoint the following Standing Committees, to-wit:

The Committee further recommends that the following new sub-paragraph, to be known as Rule 8 (b), be adopted:

(b) Such Committees of the House of Deputies, as are so instructed by the President, shall convene at least two days in advance of the opening date of Convention, to consider matters referred to them.

The Committee further recommends that the following new sub-paragraph, to be known as Rule 8 (c), be adopted:

(c) Prior to the opening date of Convention, the Secretary or the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall arrange and publish an initial calendar of public hearings to be held by Committees after the opening of Convention.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 131—South Africa—Christian Witness in
No. 132—Canon 43, Sec. 8 (f)

(See Topical Arrangement under those headings.)
Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee on Rules of Order, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 12, Resolutions 5, 6, and 8, on the subject of Rules of Order, reports as follows:

Final action on the foregoing Resolutions 5, 6, and 8 having been completed, the Committee moves that it be discharged from further consideration.

Adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Rules of Order, being a proposal to adopt a new Joint Rule, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Rules of Order—Joint Rule 14")

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 22 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 50 on the subject of salary scale, insurance, and pension plan for full-time, professional, Church Workers who are women, reports as follows:

The Diocese of Pennsylvania (HD 50), by Memorial, has asked for action by the General Convention with respect to full-time, professionally trained, Church Workers who are women. The Memorial recites that there are approximately 500 such workers in the Church, that "No satisfactory standards for the salaries of such workers have been established, no adequate Church-wide medical insurance is provided, and no adequate pension protection is accorded them".

The Resolution asks three things:

1. A salary scale for such workers. Your Committee feels that salaries are not within the jurisdiction of this Committee.

2. A means to provide medical insurance for them. Under the present Church Major-Medical Plan, any Parish or other Church unit wherein the number of clergy plus the number of full-time, salaried, lay workers equals four or more, may apply for major-medical coverage, under its master contract; Provided, that the Diocese covers under such Plan all of the clergymen active in the Diocese, for such lay employees. The same privilege exists under the proposed Church-wide mandatory plan of basic and major-medical insurance which has been proposed. Also, at present, any Diocese which covers all of its clergymen under the Church Major-Medical Plan, may include lay workers under an auxiliary plan; Provided, that at least 75% of all lay workers elect such coverage.

3. Provision of a pension plan similar to The Church Pension Fund. The nature of the group would appear to offer difficulties in devising and administering a Church-wide plan of pensions. Any Parish may apply for a group plan of annuities, covered by individual annuity policies, for such workers. Any Parish may apply for individual annuity policies for such workers.
Since the way is open under local plans for coverage for professional workers who are women, and since it does not appear feasible to have a group plan, Church-wide, your Committee respectfully asks to be discharged from further consideration of the Memorial.

Adopted by the House, the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee on Rules of Order, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 12, reports as follows:

The Committee approves and recommends the proposed amendment to Rule 15 as set forth in Report No. 5 of the Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, except that the word "shall" as it appears on line 3 of the proposed draft of Rule 15 (a) should be deleted and the word "should" be inserted in lieu thereof.

Your Committee, therefore, recommends that Rule of Order No. 15 of the House of Deputies be amended as follows:

1. by amending said Rule 15 by inserting as the second word of the first sentence thereof the word "other" and by inserting, as the second word of the second sentence thereof, the word "such", so that said Rule will read as follows:

All other Resolutions must be reduced to writing, presented in duplicate, bearing the name and Diocese or Missionary District of the mover thereof, and the same shall appear in the Minutes of the House. No such motion or Resolution can be offered or shall be deemed to be before the House unless the maker has first been recognized by the President and the motion has been seconded.

2. by renumbering said Rule 15, as so amended, as Rule 15 (b).

3. by adopting Rule 15 (a), to read as follows:

All Resolutions and Memorials from Dioceses, Missionary Districts, Provinces, and Commissions should be sent to the Secretary of the Convention at least 90 days in advance of the opening date of Convention; and the President shall refer these matters, by mail, to the proper Standing Committee, or any Special Committee, at least 60 days in advance of the opening date of Convention.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Forrest F. Crane of Missouri offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, That all Committees of the House of Deputies be required to be prepared to report prior to the end of the third legislative day of General Convention their recommendations and proposed Resolutions on all Memorials and Resolutions referred to them by the President of the House of Deputies prior to the opening day of General Convention.

Adopted by the House.
Report No. 2 of the Committee on Evangelism was presented by the Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClanaghan of Northern California. (See Topical Arrangement—“Evangelism”)

Report No. 3 of the Committee on Evangelism was presented by the Rev. David F. Gearhart of Easton. (See Topical Arrangement—“Healing Ministry”)

The Rev. David F. Gearhart of Easton presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Evangelism:

Your Committee on Evangelism, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 96 on the subject of Evangelism, reports as follows:

The Committee submits that this Resolution covers a subject already dealt with in Report No. 2 of this Committee, and therefore asks that it be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. David F. Gearhart of Easton presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Evangelism:

Your Committee on Evangelism, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 166 on the subject of the consolidation of men’s and women’s work in the Church, reports as follows:

The Committee, having studied this proposal, recommends that it be referred to the Executive Council, without recommendation.

Adopted by the House.

With the permission of the House, the Rev. Wm. G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee introduced a motion requiring concurrence, which was adopted. (See Topical Arrangement—“Liturgical Material in Commission Reports”)

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 133—Theological Education Sunday
No. 134—Study-leaves for Clergy
No. 135—Canon 26, Sec. 1 (b)
No. 136—Canon 26, Sec. 2 (b)
No. 137—Prayer Book in Basic English
(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on National and International Problems:
Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 86, 117, and 118 on the subject of fair-housing practices, reports as follows:

There have been referred to this Committee three Resolutions dealing with the subject of fair-housing practices, one by the Rev. Mr. DeGraff of Quincy (HD 86), one by the Rev. Dr. Burt of Los Angeles (HD 117), and one by the Department of Christian Social Relations of the Diocese of Ohio (HD 118). The Committee has just modified the report it intended to make on these Resolutions in the light of the concurrence of this House earlier today with House of Bishops' Message No. 120 on the subject of race, which includes essentially the same provisions as those proposed in them. The Committee begs therefore to be discharged from further consideration of these Resolutions.

The Report was adopted and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee on National and International Problems presented Reports Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15, for which see Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

- International Co-operation Year
- South Africa—Christian Witness in
- Human Rights—Universal Declaration on
- Levels of Authority in the Church
- Church-State Relations

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 100 on the subject of United Nations organization, reports as follows:

The Committee has considered this Resolution, which was introduced by the Rev. Dr. Day of Massachusetts, and is of the opinion that the matter is adequately covered by Message No. 22 of the House of Bishops (HD 128), concurred in by the House of Deputies, and begs, therefore, to be discharged from further consideration of the same.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 16 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 97 on the subject of voter-registration in Mississippi, reports as follows:

The Committee has considered this Resolution introduced by Mr. Jones of the Diocese of Central New York and has heard from Bishop Allin of
Mississippi and from members of the Deputation from that State, who requested that they be permitted to attend the Committee's meeting and be heard. The Committee recommends that the Jones Resolution be not adopted and offers the following as a substitute therefore:

Resolved, That this 61st General Convention, mindful of the steady and difficult witness to which the Bishop and the Church in Mississippi are called, encourage and support the efforts made by them, by the Executive Council of the Episcopal Church, and by others of our Christian brethren, to give effect to the principle of brotherhood which is now embodied in the law of our land; and requests that the Executive Council continue to give every assistance to the Church there and elsewhere as it seeks to end injustice and to effectuate the means of assuring the rights of equal citizenship to all persons, including the right to register and vote.

The Committee, therefore, begs to be discharged from further consideration of HD 97.

The substitute was adopted by the House, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee on Ecumenical Relations presented its Reports Nos. 13, 14, 16, and 17.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Soviet Union—Christian Witness in Consultation on Christian Unity
Christian Unity—New Delhi Statement
National Council of Churches)

Item No. 15 was taken from the Calendar, being Message No. 121 of the House of Bishops on Open Communion, and amended.

(See Topical Arrangement under that heading.)

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred House of Deputies No. 141, on the subject of Church Unity, reports as follows:

Your Committee has considered the Resolution offered by the Rev. John H. Burt of Los Angeles, and others, asking for authorization for the Episcopal delegates to the Consultation on Church Union to participate in developing a Plan for Church Union, recommends against its passage, and requests to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report was adopted by the House, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 61 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:
Resolved, That there be a Special Order at this time to hear, out of order, the Report of the Committee on the Place of the next General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

Dean Bartlett of California presented Report No. 62 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That under Special Order this House adjourn, immediately following announcements by the Secretary, and Messages from the House of Bishops, at 5:00 p.m. this day, in order to provide opportunity for this House to attend Evensong at Christ Church Cathedral at 5:30 p.m.

Adopted by the House.

The Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention presented its Report, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—"General Convention, Place of Next")

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 137—Canon 29, Sec. 1 (a) (7)
No. 138—Canon 50, Sec. 1 (not adopted)
No. 139—Scholarship Fund for Theological Education—Administration
No. 140—Canon 26, Sec. 5
No. 142—Prayer Book Revision
No. 143—Trial Use—Minor Feasts and Fasts
No. 144—Church Fire Insurance Corp.—Exemption for
No. 145—Lay Employees—Medical Plan for
No. 146—Human Affairs—Joint Commission
No. 147—Church Pension Fund—Trustees
No. 148—Constitution, Article VIII. (not adopted)
No. 149—Canon 6, Sec. 3
No. 150—Canon 34, Sec. 10
No. 151—Canon 41, Sec. 6 (c) and Canon 43, Sec. 7 (c)
No. 152—Clergy Retirement at Age 65
No. 153—Racial Inclusiveness

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Rev. Peyton R. Williams, D.D., of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Elections:

Committee Reports were presented and adopted, subject to the concurrence of the House of Bishops, as follows:

- Scholarship Fund for Theological Education—Administration
- Inter-Church Urban Training Center
- Partnership Plan *vice Quotas*

*(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)*

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 9 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 4, on the subject of the Good Friday Offering, namely the allocation of 15% to the Orthodox unit of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, reports that this Resolution contains the same matter as HD 56, which was reported last evening, October 20, and from consideration of which the Joint Committee was discharged.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of HD 4.

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 11 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 6, on the subject of a General Secretary for Evangelism, reports that this matter was included in the Committee's printed report and adopted by the House of Deputies on October 20 by the passage of Resolutions 1, 2, and 3 of the Report.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of HD 6.

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 10 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 5 on the subject of appropriations for *The Episcopalian* Magazine, reports that this matter was presented in the printed Report of the Committee and passed by the House of Deputies in the Joint Committee Resolutions Numbers 1, 2, and 3.

The Report of the Committee was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of HD 5.

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 12 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 10, on the subject of support of the National Council of Churches, reports that this matter was included in the Committee's printed Report, which was adopted by the House of Deputies on October 20, by the passage of Resolutions 1, 2, and 3 of the Report.
The Report was adopted and the Committee discharged from further consideration of HD 10.

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 13 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 11 on the subject of quotas, reports that this matter covers the same subject as HD 142, on which the Joint Committee reported on October 20, at which time the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

E. Holcombe Palmer, Esq., of Virginia presented Report No. 14 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

Your Joint Committee on Program and Budget, to whom was referred HD 73, on the subject of self-allocation instead of quotas, reports that this covers the same subject matter as HD 142, on which the Joint Committee reported on October 20, at which time the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of HD 11 and HD 73.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 5 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred House of Deputies Numbers 21, 25 and 165 on the subject of a review of personnel and placement procedures, reports as follows:

We have received the Resolutions as noted.
We realize their urgency.
We move that they be referred to the Division of Christian Ministries of the Executive Council for study and recommendation, with special reference to the Personnel Information Service of Province I.
We ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted by the House and these matters were referred to the Division of Christian Ministries of the Executive Council.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 6 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 23, on the subject of Church-State Relations, reports as follows:

We have received this Memorial.
We realize its importance, but because of prior action of this House, which requested the Executive Council to continue its Commission on Church-State Relations, we ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.
The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 8 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 20, on the subject of establishing a Joint Commission on Relations with the Roman Church, reports that we have examined the Memorial, are sympathetic with its purpose, and feel it has already been accomplished by prior action; namely, the terms of reference of the re-organized Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, and we ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 9 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 4, on the subject of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, reports as follows:

We have examined the Report, are sympathetic with its intent, and feel it has already been accomplished by prior action. We ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 10 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions reports as follows on the subject of a Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches:

We have examined the referred Resolutions. We are sympathetic with their intent. We believe that their import has already been accomplished by prior action in establishing the new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations. Therefore, we ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 11 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions reports on the subject of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity:

The Committee has examined the referred Resolution. We are sympathetic with its intent. We feel it has already been accomplished by the same action referred to above, and we ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.
The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 13 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 9 on the subject of continuing the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, reports as follows:

We have received and considered the Resolution. We are sympathetic with its intent. We note that this House has already taken the action requested. Therefore, we ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 16 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 142 on the subject of a Joint Commission on Voluntary Stewardship, reports as follows:

We have received and considered the Memorial. We recommend its referral to the Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, which has been set up by prior action of this House. We ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the subject.

Continuing his reporting for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, the Rev. Mr. Elebash of East Carolina presented Reports Nos. 7, 12, 14, and 15, which were adopted, but which, being matters of concurrent action, will be found in Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Presiding Bishop—Method of Nominating and Electing
Committees and Commissions—Continuation of
Women Church Workers—Joint Commission
Women Church Workers and Division of Christian Ministries

The Joint Committee on Expenses presented their Reports No. 11 and No. 21, which were adopted, subject to the concurrence of the House of Bishops, on the following subjects:

Retiring Allowances for Certain Bishops
Healing Ministry—Appropriation

The Rev. Edward McNair, D.D., of Northern California presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention:

Your Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 12, reports as follows:
The House of Bishops having concurred in the amendments of this House to Section 1 of Canon 1, this Committee proposes the following Resolutions:

1. **Resolved,** That the last paragraph of Rule 29 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended to read as follows:

   The election of the President, Vice-President, or Secretary of the House, or of the Treasurer of the General Convention, shall be by individual secret ballot; though, by unanimous consent and direction by the House, a single ballot may be cast by an officer of the House in its behalf.

2. **Resolved,** That Rule 8 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended as follows:

   (a) By amending the first two lines of said Rule to read as follows:

   Not later than 60 days in advance of the opening date of the Convention, the President shall appoint the following Standing Committees, to wit:

   (b) By renumbering said Rule 8, as so amended, as Rule 8 (a).

   (c) By adopting a Rule 8 (b) to read as follows:

   Such Committees of the House of Deputies as are so instructed by the President shall convene at least two days in advance of the opening date of Convention to consider matters referred to them.

   (d) By adopting a Rule 8 (c) to read as follows:

   Prior to the opening date of Convention, the Secretary or the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall arrange and publish an initial calendar of public hearings to be held by Committees after the opening of Convention.

3. **Resolved,** That Rule 15 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended as follows:

   (a) By adopting a Rule 15 (a) of the Rules of Order to read as follows:

   Resolutions and Memorials from Dioceses, Missionary Districts, Provinces, and Commissions, should be sent to the Secretary of the Convention at least 90 days in advance of the opening date of Convention. The President shall refer these matters, by mail, to the proper Standing Committee, or any Special Committee, at least 45 days in advance of the opening date of Convention, notice of which references shall be given to members of Convention in advance of its opening date. All such references shall be subject to such action as Convention may thereafter take thereon.

   (b) By amending Rule 15 by inserting as the second word of the first sentence thereof, the word “other” and by inserting as the second word of the second sentence thereof, the word “such” so that said Rule will read as follows:

   All other Resolutions shall be reduced to writing, presented in duplicate, bearing the name and Diocese or Missionary District of the mover thereof, and the same shall appear in the minutes of the House.
No such motion or Resolution can be offered or shall be deemed to be before the House unless the maker has been recognized by the President and the motion has been seconded.

(c) by renumbering said Rule 15, as amended, as Rule 15 (b).

The Report was received and the Committee discharged from further consideration, as these items were adopted by previous action of the House.

The Rev. Edward McNair, D.D., of Northern California presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention:

Your Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention, to whom was referred HD 206, on the subject of the representation of Missionary Districts in this House, reports as follows:

The House of Bishops in its Message No. 80 informs the House of Deputies that it recommends an increase in the size of the House of Deputies by 156 Members so as to remedy the present inequality of representation of Missionary Districts.

Since this matter is related to Resolutions already adopted for Provincial and Commission study on the size of, and proportional representation in, the House of Deputies, your Committee on the Reconstruction of General Convention recommends that the matter of representation of Missionary Districts be referred to the Provinces and to the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces, and considered along with other matters on representation. We so move and ask that the Committee be discharged from further consideration of this matter.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

With permission of the House, the Very Rev. Charles A. Higgins, D.D., of Arkansas moved a Resolution requiring concurrence of the House of Bishops, which was adopted.

(See Topical Arrangement—"Transportation from Government Installations to Church-related Schools")

The Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Missions, regarding House of Bishops Message No. 82, on the subject of the style of a Bishop opening new work. The Committee agrees with this expression of opinion, but no action is necessary. They ask to be discharged from further consideration.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Missions, stating it had considered the expres-
sion of opinion from the House of Bishops on the Destinies of Missionary Operations in the United States and overseas, contained in its Message No. 81, and asks to be discharged from further consideration of it until further clarification is received.

The Committee was discharged from further consideration.

Matters involving concurrent action were presented and acted upon.

(See Topical Arrangement under the following headings:

Missionary Bishops—Flexibility in Budgets
Church Music—Joint Commission
Constitution—Article I., Sec. 3
Constitution—Amendment of, throughout, re Missionary Districts (not adopted)
Draftsmanship—Committee on Missionary Districts and Dioceses
Canon 29, Sec. 1 (a) (7)
Canon 50, Sec. 1 (not adopted)
Canon 53 (new)
General Convention Budget
Canon 26, Sec. 5)

The Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 26 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred House of Deputies Number 102 on the subject of equalization of pensions, and pensions for survivors other than widows, reports as follows:

The Diocese of Arizona (HD 102), by Memorial, has requested the General Convention to request the Presiding Bishop to appoint a committee to study the pension system, and to report a revised pension plan to the 1967 General Convention, with the following changes:

1. Pension payments to retired clergy to be based solely on the length of active service in the Ministry of this Church and not on length of service and salary received.

(Comment: The House of Deputies has approved an earlier report of this Committee, which pointed out that the present system, by Canon, bases the pension on average stipend and years of active service; that a system of equal pensions, based solely on years of service, has been tried by others, and in every case has failed, so that there is no known equivalent pension system based on equal pensions. The Committee recommendation that the present system be continued was approved by the House.)
2. Payments to widows of clergy to be based on length of service with their husbands in the active ministry of this Church, and not on stipend, 
(Comment: Same as on 1, supra.)

3. Provision to be made from The Church Pension Fund to surviving mothers and sisters of clergymen, if said mothers and sisters have been full-time housekeepers for deceased clergymen; such payments to be based on three-fourths of the amount paid to widows of clergymen.
(Comment: This proposal has been made several times to previous General Conventions. In each such case, it has been pointed out that Canon 7 provides specifically for payments of benefits to clergymen retired because of age or other infirmity, and to the widows and minor orphan children of deceased clergymen. The Trustees must administer the Fund in accordance with the Canon, and your Committee feels that actions by previous Conventions, upholding the present procedure, present a valid expression of Convention intent.

Your Committee respectfully requests that it be discharged from further consideration of the Memorial.

The Report was adopted, and the Committee discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The House stood adjourned.

ELEVENTH DAY

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 23, 1964

The House met, pursuant to adjournment, the President in the Chair. The Chaplain of the House, the Rev. Paul M. Washington of Pennsylvania, led the House in Prayers and Devotions.

Mr. James W. Werts of South Florida presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes:

Your Committee on Unfinished Business and Certification of the Minutes met with the Secretary, heard the minutes of October 22 read, and certify them to be correct.

The President addressed the House on the lack of constructive action on many fronts during this General Convention, and the necessity of the members going back to their Dioceses as ambassadors to explain the actions which had been taken.

The Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClenaghan of Northern California moved the following Resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the remarks of the President as presented at the closing session of this House be printed in the opening pages of the
Journal of this Convention. (NOTE: Dr. Morehouse spoke extemporaneously, without notes. No tape-recording was made of his remarks. Therefore, the address, not being available, has not been printed in this Journal.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented a special Resolution of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the following be the Order of Business on this Eleventh Day:

1. Messages from the House of Bishops
2. Committee on Privilege and Courtesy
3. Any other uncompleted business
4. Adjournment

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 154—Church-State Relations
No. 155—Human Rights—Universal Declaration
No. 156—Rules of Order—Joint Rule 14
No. 157—American Bible Society
No. 158—Canon 16, sec. 4
No. 159—Episcopalian, Inc. The,
No. 160—Soviet Union—Christian Witness in
No. 161—International Co-operation Year

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Rev. Almus M. Thorp, D.D., of Southern Ohio introduced the following Resolutions for the Committee on Privilege and Courtesy:

1. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention express to Mrs. Elliott O'Reilley its deep gratitude for her services as chief volunteer usher during this Convention and for her unfailing courtesy and cheerful and gracious work on our behalf.

Adopted by the House.

2. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, Canon Charles M. Guibert; his competent assistants the Rev. John G. Mills, the Rev. Herbert Barsale, and Mrs. Johanna L. Lally; their several secretaries and mimeographers; for keeping accurate records and aiding and abetting the formal work of the House.

Adopted by the House.
3. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express gratitude to the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, to Mr. Charles M. Crump, preparer of the printed order of the day, and to their assistants, for a tough job well done.

Adopted by the House.


Adopted by the House.

5. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express gratitude to the Very Rev. William Mead, his assistants, the Cathedral Chapter of Christ Church, and the staff, for their many courtesies and for the use of Cathedral facilities throughout the Convention.

Adopted by the House.

6. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to Mr. Ronald Arnatt, Organist-Choirmaster, and his liturgical contributions to the worship of this Convention; and to the Charles W. McManis Organ Co. for its temporary installation without charge of a nine-rank pipe organ in the Opera House at Kiel Auditorium for use during the General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

7. Resolved, That the House of Deputies express its profound gratitude to Perma Power Company of Chicago, Illinois, for its kindness in furnishing Ampli-Vox Public Address systems for the use of the House in its Executive Sessions.

Adopted by the House.

8. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to the Rev. D. Williams McClurken and his assistants for their planning and executing of radio and television shows.

Adopted by the House.

9. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to the Convention Bureau of St. Louis and the Chamber of Commerce for their help in the planning and execution of this Convention.

Adopted by the House.
10. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to the Manager, to the Catering Manager, and to the personnel of the Sheraton-Jefferson Hotel for their good deeds and hospitality.

Adopted by the House.

11. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to Mayor Tucker and to city officials for their hospitality and help, for the use of Kiel Auditorium and the Opera House, and for the fine weather during this Convention.

Adopted by the House.

12. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to Douglas A. Bushy and his pressroom corps for keeping the world alert to our earth-shaking news.

Adopted by the House.

13. Resolved, That the House of Deputies address the following message to the Episcopalians in the Republic of Ecuador:

La Convención General saluda afectuosamente a la Iglesia en El Ecuador y agradece sus oraciones y participación en nuestra vida aunque sin representación en la Cámara de Diputados.

("The General Convention affectionately greets the Church in Ecuador and gives thanks for your prayers and participation in our life even though not represented in the House of Deputies.")

Adopted by the House.

14. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express thanks to the President of the House of Deputies, Dr. Clifford Morehouse, for his forbearance, long-suffering, humor, and sense of fair play.

Adopted by the House.

15. Resolved, That the House of Deputies of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11 through October 23, 1964, express gratitude to the Rt. Rev. George Cadigan, Bishop of Missouri; Mr. Ethan Shepley, Honorary Chairman of the General Convention; the Rev. J. Maver Feehan, Convention Manager; Mr. Hiram Neuwoehner, Jr., Convention Chairman; and their many committee chairmen and workers for their excellent planning, patience, and warm hospitality.

Adopted by the House.
The Rev. Frank E. Jarrett of Dallas introduced a Resolution of gratitude to the Rt. Rev. C. Avery Mason, Bishop of Dallas:

Resolved, That this House express its appreciation to the Rt. Rev. C. Avery Mason, D.D., Bishop of Dallas, for his leadership in the field of evangelism, its sincere regret at his illness, and its prayers for his full recovery.

Adopted by the House.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 162—Evangelism
No. 163—General Convention—Place of Next
No. 164—Pension Assessment Rate
No. 165—Liturgical Material in Commission Reports
No. 166—Inter-Church Urban Training Center
No. 167—Partnership Plan
No. 168—Open Communion
No. 169—Partnership Plan vice Quotas
No. 170—Committees and Commissions

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

A special honor at this point was paid to Mrs. Johanna L. Lally, Secretary of the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., Secretary of the General Convention. Mrs. Lally was invited to come to the platform to receive a certificate from the President and Secretary of the House for her eleven years’ service as Assistant Secretary to the House of Deputies. Mrs. Lally spoke briefly to the House acknowledging this signal honor.

The following letter of appreciation and thanks from the Roman Catholic Observers was read to the House:

Before the 61st General Convention comes to a close, we wish to take this opportunity to express our appreciation of the privilege that has been ours. To Bishop Lichtenberger, who extended the original invitation to Rome to send observers, to Bishop Cadigan, who made the preliminary arrangements for us, to the Reverend Arthur Vogel and Mr. Peter Day, who have, at great sacrifice to their time and personal interests, seen to it that we were fully informed of the nature and background of the events we observed, we extend our grateful "Thank You."

Because of their care and attention and the cordial interest of many others, our observance of your convention has been most pleasant, informative, and meaningful. We trust that, by reason thereof, the course of ecumenical relationships will have been advanced.
The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

No. 171—Constitution—Article I., sec. 3
No. 172—Transportation from Government Installations to Church-related Schools
No. 173—Retirement Allowances for Certain Bishops
No. 174—Presiding Bishop—Method of Nominating and Electing.
No. 175—Healing Ministry

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Ven. Charles D. Braidwood of Michigan presented the following Resolution on behalf of the Committee on Privilege andCourtesy:

Resolved, That the Sergeant-at-Arms, and all others who should have thanks, hereby receive our gratitude for helping the House of Deputies in its deliberations.

Adopted by the House.

Mr. Clifford A. Bolt of the Panama Canal Zone spoke on the work of the Church in that Missionary District, and paid tribute to Father Cooper, veteran missionary priest, who is now 102 years old.

The Chair appointed two members of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution to serve the purposes of this House of Deputies, certifying constitutional amendments: the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, Chairman, and Mr. David E. Bronson, of Minnesota, Secretary.

The Chair appointed the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, Chairman, and the Rev. J. William Robertson, Secretary, as the Committee to certify the Canons.

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, offered the following Resolution on behalf of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the following Message be sent to the House of Bishops:

The House of Deputies informs the House of Bishops that it has completed its business and stands ready to adjourn, when final reports are received from the House of Bishops.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 179.]
The Dean of the Cathedral at Porto Alegre, Brazil, came to the platform and addressed the House on the work of the Brazilian Church.

The Secretary read Messages from the House of Bishops, as follows:

- No. 176—Missionary Districts and Dioceses
- No. 177—Church Music, Joint Commission on
- No. 178—Canon 50, Sec. 1 (not adopted)
- No. 179—Christian Unity—New Delhi Statement
- No. 180—Missionary Bishops—Flexibility in Budgets
- No. 181—Constitution—All articles re Missionary Districts
- No. 182—General Convention Budget
- No. 183—Medical Plan for the Clergy
- No. 184—Aging—Episcopal Society for Ministry to
- No. 185—Welsh, Rev. Dr. Clement W.—Appreciation to
- No. 187—Partnership Plan—Joint Commission
- No. 188—South Africa—Christian Witness in
- No. 189—Constitution—Article V.
- No. 190—Aging—Church's Ministry to
- No. 186—Adjournment
- No. 191—Canon 43, sec. 8(e) (not adopted)
- No. 192—General Convention Budget—minor changes

(See Topical Arrangement under the several headings.)

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, moved that the Sixty-first General Convention stand adjourned sine die.

The House stood adjourned.

Clifford P. Morehouse, President
Charles M. Guilbert, Secretary
JOINT SESSIONS OF THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS
AND THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

Plan for the Joint Sessions, October 13, 15, and 20

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Joint Sessions of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies be held, in the chamber of the House of Deputies, as follows:

1. Tuesday, October 13, at 9:30 a.m., for the presentation of the Report of the National Council; at which session the privileges of the floor, with the right to speak, shall be extended to all Officers, Members, and Department Executives, of the National Council; and to which session the Presiding Bishop be requested to invite the Officers of and official Delegates to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church, with the like privileges of the floor;

2. Thursday, October 15, at 10:30 a.m., for the presentation of the Report of the Presiding Bishop's Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence; and

3. Tuesday, October 20, at 9:30 a.m., to receive the Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 7.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 7 from the House of Deputies, setting forth a schedule for Joint Sessions of the House of Bishops and the House of Deputies.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 12.]

Joint Session, Tuesday, October 13, 9:30 A.M.

A Joint Session of the two Houses of the General Convention and the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church convened in the Opera House, the chamber of the House of Deputies.

The Session was opened by the Rt. Rev. George L. Cadigan, D.D., Bishop of Missouri, who greeted the Bishops, Deputies, and Delegates on behalf of the Diocese, the State of Missouri, and the City of St. Louis.
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Bishop Cadigan then introduced the diocesan Committee on Arrangements for the General Convention, as follows:

Mr. Ethan Shepley—Honorary Chairman
Mr. Hiram Neuwoehner—General Chairman
The Rev. J. Maver Feehan—Business Manager
Mrs. Murray Etherton—Preparation for the Triennial

The presentation of the program of the National Council began at 10:00 A.M.

After a brief, mood-setting, and poetic audio-visual program, a series of speakers reviewed aspects of the Council's program—a sort of Report to the Church. Many of the individual sections were illustrated with projected pictorial material.

The Rev. Birney W. Smith, Jr., of Kansas City, Missouri, dealt with a series of concerns of the Church which the 1961 General Convention committed to the National Council and with the way the Council has been engaged in responding—in the areas of Urban Mission, of increasing witness in the world, of developing concepts of special ministries—all summed up in a ministry to the whole person.

The Rt. Rev. William H. Marmion, D.D., Bishop of Southwestern Virginia, and chairman of the Council's Department of Christian Social Relations, reviewed the Council's program in the field of human welfare, touching upon the racial situation, poverty, relations of Church and State, world relief, and war and peace. "The social revolution which our ancestors have bequeathed us," he said, "will probably remain for years to come. No one can escape it. We earnestly pray that God the Holy Spirit will guide the Church to know her duty and give her strength to do it in these difficult times."

The Rt. Rev. Melchor Saucedo, newly consecrated Suffragan Bishop of Mexico, was introduced by the Director of the Overseas Department, the Rt. Rev. John Boyd Bentley, D.D. Bishop Saucedo called attention to the changing emphases in the Church's missionary activities, the growing importance of ecumenical cooperation and of inter-Anglican planning and operation. In connection with the former, he stressed the deepening relationship with the Philippine Independent Church, and called upon the Supreme Bishop of that Church, the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes, Jr., for a brief address. The bulk of Bishop Saucedo's remarks were addressed to the increasing work of the Church in Latin America.
The Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., Secretary of the National Council, outlined the history and functioning of the Council and introduced the members individually as their likenesses were flashed on the screen.

Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., LL.D., the Council’s Treasurer, who was introduced by Mr. Harrison Garrett of Baltimore, the Chairman of the Department of Finance, gave a brief summary of the financial situation of the Council.

The program closed with a stirring address by the Rt. Rev. Henry I. Louttit, Bishop of South Florida, member of Council, and Chairman of the Department of Christian Education.

The Joint Session stood adjourned at 12:40 P.M.

Joint Session, Thursday, October 15, 10:30 A.M.

A Joint Session of the two Houses of the General Convention and the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church convened in the chamber of the House of Deputies, the Opera House.

The Presiding Bishop called the session to order and immediately yielded to the Rt. Rev. Thomas H. Wright, D.D., Bishop of East Carolina and Chairman of the Presiding Bishop’s Committee on Mutual Responsibility.

Bishop Wright, after brief introductory remarks, called upon Mr. Robert Young of Beverly Hills, California, a member of the Committee, to read the document, Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ.

The reading of the document was followed by three ten-minute addresses—

The Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., S.T.D., Anglican Executive Officer and Director-elect of the Overseas Department of the National Council.


Mr. Prime F. Osborn III, Vice-president and General Counsel, Atlantic Coast Line Railroad Co., member of the Committee, and Provincial Member of the National Council from the Fourth Province.

The Report of the Committee, with appended Resolutions, was read by Bishop Wright, who then led the assemblage in a Service of Renewal and Rededication, concluding with his Benediction.

The Joint Session stood adjourned.
Joint Session, Tuesday, October 20, 9:30 A.M.

A Joint Session of the two Houses of the General Convention and the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church convened in the Opera House, being the chamber of the House of Deputies.

The Session was called to order by the President of the House of Deputies, Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., who asked the Rt. Rev. Nelson M. Burroughs, D.D., Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops, to pronounce the Invocation.

Dr. Morehouse then called upon the Very Rev. Almus Thorp, D.D., Dean of Bexley Hall, Deputy from the Diocese of Southern Ohio, and Chairman of the Special Committee of the House of Deputies on Privilege and Courtesy, to moderate a program honoring a distinguished alumnus of Kenyon College, the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger. A chorus of Kenyon and Bexley students sang a fraternity song written by Bishop Lichtenberger and led the assembly in singing a parody of the Kenyon school song adapted to Bishop Lichtenberger's career.

Dr. Morehouse read the Resolution adopted by the House of Deputies following its action in concurring with the House of Bishops in accepting Bishop Lichtenberger's resignation. He then turned the Chair over to the Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops, Bishop Burroughs.

Bishop Burroughs delivered an eloquent tribute to Bishop Lichtenberger and then presented two gifts to Mrs. Lichtenberger, from the Bishops of the Church; and a purse from the whole Church to the Presiding Bishop.

After the singing of the Doxology, a brief recess was called.

Following the recess, Bishop Burroughs introduced the members of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, who now took their places on the platform.


Mr. John Tillson of Massachusetts explained the detailed Budget for 1965 and the estimated totals for 1966 and 1967 and commented on the askings from Dioceses which amount to approximately a five per cent (5%) increase for each year of the triennium. He also pointed out the built-in priority scale in the program, which is

1. Overseas Mission
2. Urban Mission
3. Theological Education
4. Implementation of Mutual Responsibility
Mr. Houston Wilson of Delaware read the proposed Resolutions appended to the Report.

After concluding remarks by Bishop Burrill, the Joint Session stood adjourned at 10:50 A.M.

**Plan for Joint Session, October 23**

In the House of Bishops, on the Eighth Day, the Bishop of South Florida moved the following Resolution:

*Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That a Joint Session of the 61st General Convention be held on Friday, October 23, at 9:00 a.m., for the reading of the Pastoral Letter.*

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 93.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 72.]

In the House of Bishops on the Tenth Day, it was moved, seconded and carried—

*That the Joint Session for the hearing of the Pastoral Letter be held in Christ Church Cathedral.*

Upon receiving notification of the change of place, and on motion of the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, the House of Deputies agreed.

**Joint Session, October 23, 9:00 A.M.**

The Bishops, Deputies, and Delegates to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church assembled in Christ Church Cathedral in Joint Session.

After a service conducted by the Dean of the Cathedral, the Very Rev. William H. Mead, the Pastoral Letter of the House of Bishops was read by the Bishop of Michigan.

The Joint Session stood adjourned.
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This section contains all of those matters which were dealt with by both Houses. Actions completed in one House only will be found in the Journal of the House in which they were introduced.

Consult the Index for details.

Aging, Church's Ministry to

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations, as follows:

To your Committee was referred HD 138, being a Resolution introduced by the Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClanaghan of Northern California on the subject of the Church's ministry to the aging, as follows:

Whereas, The needs of the rapidly increasing numbers of older persons are a critical concern for the total American community; and

Whereas, The Episcopal Church has a long tradition of ministry to older persons and is appropriately now more deeply involved in this ministry than ever; and

Whereas, Biblical and theological considerations demand a total ministry to older persons, providing a sense of community in sponsoring both residential programs and related services for all elderly persons; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops That this interest and effort be strengthened by developing diocesan programs throughout the country, so that an imaginative and creative total ministry to older persons may be carried out; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops That the Department of Christian Social Relations develop regional and national conferences to stimulate, educate, and motivate, the Church's concern for our older citizens.

Your Committee recommends favorable action.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 117.]

On motion, the House of Bishops concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 190.]
Aging, Episcopal Society for Ministry to

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations, as follows:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 115, being a Resolution introduced by the Rev. Stanley P. Gasek of Central New York on the subject of the Episcopal Society for Ministry to the Aging, recommends the adoption of the following:

Resolved, the House of Bishops That the General Convention acknowledge the inauguration of the Episcopal Society for Ministry to the Aging, the purpose to be to

1. Promote and support the Church's ministry to older persons in regular co-operation and communication with the Ministry to the Aging of the Department of Christian Social Relations of the Executive Council;

2. Receive and disburse funds for the advancement of such ministry;

3. Provide such counsel and guidance as requested by the Ministry to the Aging of the Executive Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church; and

4. Provide professional help to enable parishes and dioceses to make feasibility-studies and conduct such other research as is necessary to ensure that proposed housing projects for the elderly are economically sound.

The Resolution was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 118.]

Upon its being received in the House of Bishops, the Message was referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs.

The Bishop of Bethlehem, on the Eleventh Day, reporting for the Committee on Social and International Affairs, recommended concurrence.

On motion, the House of Bishops concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 184.]

American Bible Society

In the House of Bishops, on the Third Day, the Bishop of Newark, for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, reported as follows:

Your Committee has considered the Memorial submitted by the National Council regarding the 150th Anniversary of the American Bible Society, and recommends favorable action thereon, as follows:
Whereas, The year 1966 marks the 150th Anniversary of the founding of the American Bible Society; and

Whereas, The Advisory Council of the American Bible Society, representing more than fifty-five denominations, has requested that the Churches of the United States designate 1966 as the "Year of the Bible", with special emphasis on the 150th Anniversary Advance of the American Bible Society and its work and mission of distributing the Bible to all nations and all peoples; and

Whereas, The General Convention of the Episcopal Church has re-affirmed on numerous occasions the Church's strong interest in, and support of, the American Bible Society; and

Whereas, The revealed Word of God, as found in Holy Scripture, has been the foundation for all doctrine in the Christian Church and forms an essential part of the heritage which the Episcopal Church is committed to carry to all peoples; and

Whereas, The United Bible Societies, meeting at Rikkyo University in Tokyo in June of 1963, inaugurated a program entitled "God's Word for a New Age", at which time the Archbishop of York, the Most Rev. F. Donald Coggan, stated, "We have determined, by the help of God, on a world drive on a scale we have never dreamed of before—A world drive of Scripture within the next three years."; and

Whereas, The American Bible Society is supplying much of the leadership, and more than half the cost, of this United Bible Society program to increase annual world Scripture distribution by Bible Societies from 51,089,209 in 1962 to 150,000,000 by 1966; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concuring, That the Episcopal Church re-affirm its strong interest in, and support of, the American Bible Society; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Second Sunday in Advent, traditionally observed as Bible Sunday, be designated the occasion for all Episcopal Churches to recognize the work of the Society and contribute to its support; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Episcopal Church observe the 150th Anniversary Advance of the American Bible Society in 1966, with special services and programs, emphasizing the need for wider reading and sharing of the Holy Scriptures.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 23.]

Upon receipt, the Message was referred to the Committee on Christian Education.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. William C. Warner of Western Michigan, presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Christian Education, as follows:

Your Committee has considered the Resolution contained in House of Bishops Message No. 23, and has also considered the original Memorial
from the National (now Executive) Council, and finds that the two are identical, except for the omission by the House of Bishops' Resolution of the last two clauses of the Memorial.

Your committee recommends that this House concur with House of Bishops Message No. 23, with amendments, as follows:

Add at the end thereof the following:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Convention give its support to the world program, "God's Word for a New Age", under the leadership of the Archbishop of York, to increase the world distribution of Scriptures by the United Bible Societies to 150 million annually by 1966; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Executive Council be requested to appoint a committee to work with the American Bible Society toward the achievement of the United States' share in this program; and to seek increased support for the Society in the form of regular annual appropriations in parish budgets.

The House of Deputies concurred with the House of Bishops Message No. 23, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 125.]

On motion, the House of Bishops concurred with the action of the House of Deputies.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 157.]

American Church Institute

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Secretary submitted, by title, the Report of the American Church Institute.

It was moved and seconded—

That the Report of the American Church Institute be received and approved for printing in the Journal.

Carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 61.]

On motion, the House of Bishops concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 88.]

[See Appendix.]

Audit, Joint Committee on

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, the Rev. Canon Robert B. Appleyard, D.D., of Connecticut presented, by title, the
Report of the Joint Committee on Audit, which was referred to the Committee on Rules of Order, and to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

[See under the following headings:
   Treasurer of General Convention
   Rules of Order—Joint Rule 14
   General Convention Budget.]

Bible, Uniform English Translation

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Bishop of Newark, on behalf of the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, recommended favorable action on a Memorial submitted by the Diocese of Northern Indiana, as follows:

Whereas, The General Convention of 1961 passed a Resolution taking cognizance of a statement by biblical scholars of the Roman Catholic Church that "A uniform English translation of the Bible, acceptable to both Catholics and Protestants, is a real possibility"; and

Whereas, The General Convention of 1961 expressed itself as "... viewing hopefully any official endeavor to achieve a translation of the Holy Bible acceptable to all of Christendom", and requested the Anglican Executive Officer to convey this information to the proper authorities in the Roman Communion; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention renew its expression of interest in this important ecumenical project.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 10.]

Placed on the Calendar in the House of Deputies, the Message was taken from the Calendar on the Second Day. On motion, the House voted to concur with the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 14.]

Brazilian Episcopal Church

In the House of Bishops, on the Eighth Day, the Bishop of New York, on behalf of the Committee appointed by the Presiding Bishop, jointly from the membership of the Executive Council and the House of Bishops, to study the request of the Brazilian Missionary Districts for ecclesiastical autonomy, moved the following Resolution:
Whereas, The Joint Committee of the House of Bishops and the Executive Council has studied the memorandum from the Brazilian Episcopal Church in regard to future administrative autonomy; and

Whereas, The Joint Committee is satisfied that, if granted independence, the Brazilian Episcopal Church will be faithful to the tradition out of which it was born and will assume its appropriate responsibilities in the family of Churches that make up the Anglican Communion; and

Whereas, There is no question as to the true dedication, high quality, and firm loyalty of its clerical and lay leadership; and

Whereas, The Joint Committee on the Brazilian Episcopal Church has reviewed the Declaration of Faith and Order, the financial plan, the canonical structure, and the declaration for the progressive evangelization of Brazil and for participation in the world mission of the Church; be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops of the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America grant the request of the Brazilian Episcopal Church for administrative autonomy, effective as soon as it is mutually agreed that adequate provision has been made regarding clergy retirement; and be it further

Resolved, That the Brazilian Episcopal Church be assured of the continuation of the support of the Protestant Episcopal Church, through the sending of missionary personnel and the appropriation of funds.

The Bishop of Southern Brazil spoke to the question.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 100.]

The concurrence of the House of Deputies being neither requested nor necessary, the Message was received for information only.

Canons 1, 2, 3, etc.—"National" to "Executive" Council

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 77, being a Memorial from the National Council petitioning General Convention so to amend the relevant Canons as to effect a change of the name of that body from "The National Council" to "The Executive Council of the Episcopal Church", begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, and believes its enactment to be in the best interest of the whole Church. In order to secure effective passage of this proposal at this session of the General Convention, special consideration must be
given it, and for this the Committee earnestly pleads. There is, unfortunately, a constitutional change involved, since the words, "National Council", appear twice in Article I., Sec. 7. Normally, this would require that the constitutional change be first proposed at this session of the Convention and completed three years hence.

The Committee on Canons would argue that the need for the change is immediate and pressing; that the National Council is a creature, not of the Constitution, but of the Canons of 1922; that the body which established the National Council in the first instance is certainly competent to change its name at will; that the references to the National Council in the Constitution are passing references only; and that the General Convention is and must be the final judge of its own actions.

The Committee notes that the Memorial from the National Council asks that the several canons involved be amended by substituting for the words, "The National Council", the words, "The Executive Council of the Episcopal Church". The Committee believes that, save in the Title of Canon 4, the last four words of the proposed Resolution, namely, "of the Episcopal Church", are both cumbersome and unnecessary, and therefore omits these words, save in the Title of Canon 4.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolutions:

1. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Title of Canon 4 be, and the same is hereby, amended so as to read, "Of the Executive Council of the Episcopal Church".

2. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That wherever, in Canons 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 43, 44, and 45, the words, "National Council", occur, these Canons be, and the same are hereby, amended by substituting the words, "Executive Council", for the words, "National Council".

3. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the foregoing amendments take effect immediately upon their adoption.

4. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That in any other amendments to the Canons, or in the enactment of any new Canons, which involve the use of the words, "National Council", these words shall be made to read, "Executive Council".

5. **Resolved**, That the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution be requested to initiate the agreeable changes in Article I., Sec. 7, at this session of the General Convention.

It was moved and seconded—

That Resolution 1 be amended by striking the last four words thereof; namely, "of the Episcopal Church".  
Carried.

It was moved and seconded—

That Report No. 6 of the Committee on Canons, as amended, be adopted.  
Carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No 8.]
In the House of Bishops, the Message was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Fourth Day, for the Committee on Canons, recommended that the House of Bishops concur in adopting the Resolutions contained in House of Deputies Message No. 8.

The Bishop of Erie moved to amend by substituting for "Executive Council" the name, "General Council". The amendment was not adopted.

The Bishop of South Dakota moved to amend by substituting "Central Council" for the words, "Executive Council". The amendment did not carry.

The Bishop of Massachusetts moved to substitute the words, "Administrative Council". The amendment did not prevail.

The question was put, "Shall this House concur with the House of Deputies in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 8?"

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 14.]

Canon 1, Sec. 1

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Wors­sham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved a series of Resolutions, which were adopted in the form recommended by the Committee on Canons, to which also the matter had been referred, as follows:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 1, Sec. 1 (a) be, and the same is hereby, repealed; and in its place, a new Sec. 1 (a) and (b) be enacted to read as follows:

Sec. 1 (a). At the time and place appointed for the meeting of the General Convention, the President of the House of Deputies, or, in his absence, the Vice-President of the House, or, if there be neither, a Chairman pro tempore appointed by the members of the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee of Arrangements for the General Convention, shall call to order the members present. The Secretary, or, in his absence, a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the presiding officer, shall record the names of those whose testimonials, in due form, shall have been presented to him, which record shall be prima facie evidence that the persons whose names are therein recorded are entitled to seats. If there be a quorum present, the Secretary shall so certify, and the House shall proceed to organize by the election, by ballot, of a Secretary, and a majority of the votes cast shall be necessary to such election.
Upon such election, the presiding officer shall declare the House organized. If there be a vacancy in the office of President or Vice-President, the vacancy or vacancies shall then be filled by election, by ballot, the term of any officer so elected to continue until the adjournment of the General Convention. As soon as such vacancies are filled, the President shall appoint a committee to wait upon the House of Bishops and inform them of the organization of the House of Deputies, and of its readiness to proceed to business.

(b). There shall be a President and a Vice-President of the House of Deputies, who shall perform the duties normally appropriate to their respective offices or specified in these Canons. They shall be elected not later than the seventh day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention in the manner herein set forth. The House of Deputies shall elect from its membership by a majority of separate ballots, a President and a Vice-President, who shall be of different orders. Such officers shall take office at the adjournment of the triennial meeting at which they are elected, and shall continue in office until the adjournment of the following triennial meeting of the General Convention. They shall be and remain ex officio members of the House during their term of office. In case of resignation, death, absence, or inability, of the President, the Vice-President shall perform the duties of the office until a new President is elected.

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Sec. 1, present clauses (b) through (i) be re-lettered clauses (c) through (j).

3. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the above amendments become effective upon enactment, and that the election of a President and a Vice-President of the House of Deputies be held at this session of the General Convention, although such elections may occur after the seventh day thereof.

4. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That present clause (g), now clause (h), be, and the same is hereby, amended so as to read as follows:

(h). In case of the resignation, death, or total disability, of the President and Vice-President during the recess of the General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall perform such ad interim duties as may appertain to the office of President until the next meeting of the General Convention or until such disability is removed.

5. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That present clause (h), now clause (i), be, and the same is hereby, amended so as to read as follows:

(i). If, during recess, a vacancy shall occur in the office of Secretary of the House of Deputies, the duties thereof shall devolve upon the First Assistant Secretary, or, if there be none such, upon a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the President, or, if the office of President be also vacant, then by the Vice-President, and if both offices be vacant, then by the members of the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee on Arrangements for the next General Convention appointed by the preceding General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 36.]
The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 36 on the Seventh Day. It was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Eighth Day, moved that the House of Bishops concur with the House of Deputies.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 91.]

**Canon 2, Sec. 7**

The House of Bishops, on the Eighth Day, on the recommendation of its Committee on Canons, reporting through the Bishop of Florida, adopted the following Resolution:

*Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 2, Section 7, be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:*

Sec. 7. At the expiration of his term of office, or upon resignation of his office for reasons of disability, the Presiding Bishop, and any other Bishop who shall have held the office of Presiding Bishop, shall receive from the Treasurer of the General Convention such retiring allowance as is established by the General Convention, not less than six thousand dollars per year, less whatever retiring allowance they may receive from The Church Pension Fund, such retiring allowance herein provided to be payable as from the expiration of their term of office, or from the effective date of their prior resignation for disability, when such resignation shall have been accepted by the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 99.]


[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 182.]

The House of Deputies, meanwhile, had received a Resolution (HD 135), on the same subject, from the Very Rev. John V. Butler, D.D., of New York, which Resolution had been referred to the Committee on Canons and to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

Both Committees (the Committee on Canons reporting through the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, and the Joint Committee on Expenses reporting through Mr. Seaborn
J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia), on the Ninth Day, recommended the adoption of the following Resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 2, Sec. 7, be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:

Let the present Sec. 7 be designated Sec. 7 (a)

Sec. 7 (b). Upon the acceptance of his resignation prior to the expiration of his term of office for reasons of disability, the Presiding Bishop may be granted, in addition to whatever allowances he may receive from The Church Pension Fund, a disability allowance to be paid by the Treasurer of General Convention in an amount to be fixed by the General Convention.

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this amendment take effect immediately upon its adoption.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 99.]

On motion, the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 129.]

**Canon 3 and Canon 4**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, reported that it had examined the amendments in Canon 3 and Canon 4, relative to the Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society and of the Executive Council, which had been proposed by the Executive Council; found them to be in proper canonical form; and recommended their adoption.

The House of Bishops adopted the following Resolutions, with Preambles, to wit:

Whereas, The Executive Council is the Board of Directors of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society (Canon 3, ARTICLE II), in which capacity it has the power to direct the disposition of the monies and other properties of the Society, subject only to the provisions of Canon 4, and to the orders and budgets adopted or approved by the General Convention (Canon 4, Sec. 2(a); and

Whereas, The Society is merely a holding company, useful because of its status and powers as a corporation chartered under the Laws of the State of New York, whereas neither the General Convention nor the Executive Council is incorporated; and

Whereas, The Presiding Bishop, as he should be, is *ex officio* the President of the Society and of the Executive Council; and
Whereas, The Council elects its Vice-Presidents and its Secretary (Canon 4, Sec. 3), and they occupy ex officio, the corresponding offices in the Society (Canon 3, ARTICLE III); and

Whereas, The Treasurer of the Executive Council is the exception, as compared to the other Officers, and is, rather, the person who has been elected by the General Convention as the Treasurer of the Society (Canon 4, Sec. 3); and

Whereas, The Treasurer, as the Officer of the Executive Council who is responsible to it for carrying out the orders and policies of the Council in the disposition of the monies and other properties of the Society, is not elected by the Council; and

Whereas, The Council, which has the ultimate responsibility for the work of its Treasurer, should, therefore, elect him; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies, concurring, That Canon 3 and Canon 4 be amended as follows:

1. In Canon 3, Article III, delete the words,

The Treasurer of the Society shall be elected by the General Convention, and shall hold office for three years and until his successor shall be elected and qualified. In the event of a vacancy in the office of Treasurer through death, resignation, or disability, the Directors of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society shall appoint a Treasurer to fill such vacancy until the General Convention shall elect a Treasurer.

and insert in lieu thereof the following language:

The Treasurer shall be the person who is the Treasurer of the Executive Council.

After such amendments Article III shall read,

ARTICLE III. The Officers of the Society shall be a President, a Vice-President, a Secretary, a Treasurer, and such Assistant Secretaries and Assistant Treasurers as may be appointed in accordance with the Canons or By-Laws. The Presiding Bishop of the Church shall be the President of the Society; the Vice-President shall be the person who is the Vice-President of the Executive Council, and shall have such powers and shall perform such duties as may be assigned him by the By-Laws. The Treasurer shall be the person who is the Treasurer of the Executive Council. The Secretary shall be the person who is the Secretary of the Executive Council. The other Officers of the Society shall be such as are provided for by the By-Laws thereof. The tenure of office, compensation, powers, and duties of the Officers of the Society shall be such as are prescribed by the Canons and by the By-Laws of the Society not inconsistent therewith.

2. In the first sentence of Sec. 3 of Canon 4, delete the comma after the word “President”, and also delete the words

...and the Treasurer of The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society shall be ex officio the Treasurer

and delete the comma following the word “Treasurer”.

The first sentence of Sec. 3 will then read

The Presiding Bishop shall be *ex officio* the President of the Council.

3. In the second sentence of Sec. 3 of Canon 4, following the words "Vice-Presidents" insert a comma, followed by the words, the Treasurer.

The second sentence of Sec. 3 will then read

The Council shall elect the Vice-Presidents, the Treasurer, and the Secretary, such elections to be upon the nomination of the President.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 34.]

The House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, on the recommendation of its Committee on Canons, reporting through the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 102.]

**Canon 4, Sec. 2(a)**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of the Dominican Republic, for the Committee on Canons, recommended the adoption of amendments, proposed by the Executive Council, increasing the size of the Executive Council.

The House adopted the following Resolution:

*Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 4, Sec. 2(a), be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:*

Sec. 2(a). The Executive Council, herein referred to as the Council, shall be composed of twenty-two members elected by the General Convention, of whom six shall be Bishops, six shall be Presbyters, and ten shall be Laymen, three Bishops, three Presbyters, and five Laymen to be elected at each triennial meeting of the General Convention; of members elected by the Provincial Synods, each Synod having the right to elect one Member at its last regular meeting prior to the triennial meeting of the General Convention; and of six women to be nominated by the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church and elected by the General Convention at each triennial meeting thereof. The President, the Vice-Presidents (if there be one or more), and the Treasurer, of the Council shall be *ex officio* members thereof.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 37.]

The House of Deputies concurred, on the same day.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 31.]
Canon 4, Sec. 6(d)

In the House of Deputies on the First Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Resolution 5 of the Report of the Joint Commission on the Study of the Quota System (HD 11) proposing the amendment of Canon 4, Sec. 6(d), begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal and finds it to be in order.

The Committee recommends, therefore, the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 4 Sec. 6(d) be, and the same is hereby, amended by omitting the last sentence thereof; so that the section as amended would read as follows:

Each Diocese and Missionary District shall thereupon notify each Parish and Mission of the amount of the objective allotted to such Diocese or District, and the amount of such objective to be raised by each Parish or Mission. Each Diocese and Missionary District shall present to each Parish and Mission a total objective which shall include both its share of the proposed Diocesan Budget or that of the Missionary District and its share of the objective apportioned to the Diocese or Missionary District by the Executive Council in accordance with the plan adopted by the General Convention.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 11.]

In the House of Bishops, the Message was referred to the Committee on Canons, which, on the Fourth Day, the Bishop of Florida reporting, recommended concurrence.

The House of Bishops concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 26.]

Canon 6, Sec. 3

In the House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., presented Report No. 17 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 88, being a Resolution introduced by the Hon. Herbert Van Walker of Los Angeles, asking for the amendment of Canon 6, "Of Business Methods in Church Affairs", at Sec. 3, by the elimination of the words "save for the refinancing of an existing loan", contained within the brackets of this section, begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, finds it in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 6, Sec. 3, be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:

Sec. 3. No Vestry, Trustee, or other body, authorized by Civil or Canon law to hold, manage, or administer real property for any Parish, Mission, Congregation, or Institution, shall encumber or alienate the same or any part thereof without the written consent of the Bishop and Standing Committee of the Diocese, or the Bishop and Council of Advice of the Missionary District, of which the Parish, Mission, Congregation, or Institution is a part, except under such regulations as may be prescribed by Canon of the Diocese or Missionary District.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 94.]

The House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, received House of Deputies Message No. 94, providing for the amendment of Canon 6, Section 3.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons on the Tenth Day, recommended that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 94, amending Canon 6, Sec. 3.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 149.]

Canon 7, Sec. 2

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

To the Committee on Canons has been referred HD 13. This was a Resolution originally introduced at the 60th General Convention and ordered to lie over, as the Canons direct, to give opportunity to The Church Pension Fund “to be heard with respect thereto”. The Committee begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment, finds it to be in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 7, Sec. 2, be, and the same is hereby, amended by adding a sentence thereto, as follows:

Any vacancy which occurs at a time when the General Convention is not in session may be filled by the Board of Trustees by appointment, ad interim, of a Trustee who shall serve until the next session of the General Convention thereafter shall have elected
a Trustee to serve for the remainder of the unexpired time pertaining to such vacancy.

The Committee on The Church Pension Fund likewise recommended adoption.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 10.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 10, providing for appointment of interim trustees for The Church Pension Fund.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Bishop of Florida, on the Fourth Day, reporting for the Committee on Canons, recommended that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 10, amending Canon 7, Section 2.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 16.]

Canon 8, Sec. 1

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Committee on Canons (the Bishop of Florida reporting) recommended for adoption a Resolution, submitted on behalf of the Council of the Caribbean, establishing a Ninth Province. On motion of the Bishop of West Virginia, a correction was made, so that the Resolution reads as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 8, Section 1, be amended as follows:

1. In the third paragraph, by changing the word "districts" to "District", and by the omission of the words, "Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands", and, "the Dominican Republic, the Panama Canal Zone, and Central America", so that the third paragraph will read, "The Second Province shall consist of the Dioceses within the States of New York and New Jersey, and the Missionary District of Haiti."

2. In the eighth paragraph, by the omission of the words, "and the Republic of Mexico", so that the eighth paragraph will read, "The Seventh Province shall consist of the Dioceses and Missionary Districts within the States of Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico."

3. By the addition of a new paragraph at the end, to read as follows: "The Ninth Province shall consist of the Missionary Dis-
tricts of Central America, Colombia, Cuba, the Dominican Republic, Mexico, the Panama Canal Zone, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands."

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 36.]

Received by the House of Deputies, the Message was referred jointly to the Committee on Missions and the Committee on Canons.

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Missions, recommending concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 36 on amendment to Canon 8, Sec. 1.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 71.]

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 27 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 36 from the House of Bishops, informing this House that the House of Bishops has adopted an amendment to Canon 8, "Of Provinces", at Sec. 1, so as to erect a new Province to be known as the Ninth Province, begs leave to report that it has considered this amendment, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 36.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 105.]

Canon 8, Sec. 10

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, on behalf of the Special Committee on the Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved that the House consider Resolution No. 14 of the Report of the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces (HD 11). The Resolution had been referred jointly to the Committee on Canons and to the Special Committee on Reconstruction. The former Committee had already offered its recommendation,
contained in its Report No. 4, which had been put on the Calendar until the Special Committee should be ready to report.

Item No. 8 was taken from the Calendar and Mr. Worsham moved the adoption of Report No. 4 of the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, for the Committee on Canons, thereupon re-submitted Report No. 4 of the Committee, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Resolution 14 of HD 11, begs leave to report that it has considered the Resolution, finds it to be in proper canonical form, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee, therefore, recommends the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Sec. 10 of Canon 8 be, and the same is hereby, repealed; and that a new Sec. 10 be enacted, to read as follows:

Sec. 10. Within sixty days after each session of the General Convention, the Presidents of the two Houses thereof shall refer to the Provincial Synods, or any of them, such subjects as the General Convention may direct, or as they may deem advisable, for consideration thereof by the Synods, and it shall be the duty of such Synods to consider the subject or subjects so referred to them at the first meeting of the Synod held after the adjournment of the General Convention, and to report their action and judgment in the matter to the Secretary of the House of Bishops and to the Secretary of the House of Deputies at least six months before the date of the meeting of the next General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 33.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 33, providing for an amendment to Canon 8, Sec. 10.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

On the Eighth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, recommended that the House concur with the amendment to Canon 8, Sec. 10, contained in House of Deputies Message No. 33.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 87.]

Canon 16, Sec. 4

In the House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on Canons:
The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 84, being a Resolution introduced by the Very Rev. John V. Butler, D.D., of New York, proposing the amendment of Canon 16, "Of Regulations Respecting the Laity", by the insertion of a new Section 4, providing for equal rights and status of communicants and baptized members in Parishes and Missions, begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, finds it to be in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, that Canon 16 be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of a new Sec. 4, to read as follows:

Sec. 4. Every communicant or baptized member of this Church shall be entitled to equal rights and status in any Parish or Mission thereof. He shall not be excluded from the worship or Sacraments of the Church, nor from parochial membership, because of race, color, or ethnic origin.

and that the subsequent sections of Canon 16 be re-numbered accordingly.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 96.]

The House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, received House of Deputies Message No. 96, providing a new Section 4 for Canon 16.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 158.]

Canon 20

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, reported on a Memorial received from the Diocese of California, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 20 be amended to read as follows:

The Lessons at Morning and Evening Prayer shall be read from the translation of the Holy Scriptures, commonly known as the King James or Authorized Version (which is the Standard Bible of this Church), together with the Marginal Readings authorized for use by the General Convention of 1901; or from one of the three translations known as Revised Versions, including the English Revision of 1881, the American Revision of 1901, and the Revised Standard Version of 1952; or from the New English Bible, New Testament, of 1961.

The Committee reported finding the amendment in proper canonical form and presented it to the House for action.
The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 35.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 35 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons and to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 25 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 35 from the House of Bishops, which message indicates that that House has adopted an amendment to Canon 20, "Of Translations of the Bible", by the addition of the words, "or from the New English Bible, New Testament of 1961", begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, that the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 35.

The Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D., of Long Island presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on the Prayer Book:

Your Committee on the Prayer Book, to which was referred House of Bishops Message No. 35, on the subject of New English Bible, reports as follows:

The Prayer Book Committee has considered Message No. 35 from the House of Bishops, and seconds the motion for concurrence.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 106.]

**Canon 21, Sec. 6**

In the House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., presented Report No. 16 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 87, a Resolution of the Rev. Howard Brummitt of the Diocese of Quincy, asking for the amendment of Canon 21, "Of the Standard Book of Common Prayer", at Sec. 6, in the second sentence thereof, by striking the word "the" preceding the word "appointment" and by substituting the word "by" for the word "of" preceding the phrase, "the Presiding Bishop", begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, finds it in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 21, Sec. 6, the second sentence thereof, be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:

He shall hold office until his successor is appointed, and any vacancy occurring during the recess of the General Convention may be provisionally filled by appointment by the Presiding Bishop.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 95.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 95, providing for the amendment of Canon 21, Section 6.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 127.]

Canon 26, Sec. 1(b)

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, recommended the adoption of Resolution 3 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the second paragraph of Sec. 1(b) of Canon 26 be amended by striking out, in the ninth line thereof, the word “Postulant’s”, and substituting therefor the word “Applicant’s”.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 135.]

The House of Deputies concurred on the same day.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 123.]

Canon 26, Sec. 2(b)

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, recommended the adoption of Resolution 4 of the Report of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That subsection (b) of Section 2 of Canon 26 be amended to read as follows:

(b). The Bishop may at any time remove a name from the list of Postulants, if he is convinced, after investigation, that there exists a valid reason why the Postulant should not, within a reasonable time, be admitted as a Candidate for Holy Orders. Without
further reason, the Bishop may remove the name of a Postulant who fails to be admitted as a Candidate within four years from the date of his reception as a Postulant. Whenever a name is removed from the list of Postulants, notice of such action and its date shall be given promptly to the former Postulant.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 136.]

The House of Deputies concurred on the same day.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 128.]

Canon 26, Sec. 5

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida, responding to a proposal by the Bishop of Central America for certain changes in the Canons relating to the use of the English language, offered the following Resolution and recommended its adoption:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 26, Section 5(b) be, and the same is hereby, amended as follows:

1. In Subsection (b)(1), for the present wording, substitute the following:

   (1). English or the language (including Grammar and Composition) and
   (2). Literature of the country in which he expects to exercise his ministry;

2. In Subsection (b)(3), in lieu of the word "English" substitute the words, "his own;"

3. In Subsection (c)(1), for the present wording substitute the following:

   (1). English or the language (including Grammar and Composition) and
   (2). Literature of the country in which he expects to exercise his ministry.

4. In Subsection (d), Lines 1 through 3, strike out the words, "If the Postulant be of other race and speech, and is to exercise his ministry among people of his race", and substitute therefor the words, "If the native language of the Postulant be other than English, and he is to exercise his ministry among people of his own language"; and in Lines 8 through 9 strike out the words, "Race in a Foreign Missionary District", and substitute therefor the words, "language in an overseas jurisdiction".
On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 140.]

Upon its being received in the House of Deputies, the Message was placed upon the Calendar as No. 17.

Subsequently, Item No. 17 was taken from the Calendar, and, on motion, the House voted to concur with the House of Bishops. [Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 166.]

**Canon 29, Sec. 1(a)(7)**

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, recommended favorable action on the proposal, contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, that Clause (7) of subsection (a) of Section 1 of Canon 29 be repealed.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 137.]

The Bishop of Massachusetts, who had been Chairman of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders throughout the past triennium, moved the following Resolution, which was adopted, to wit:

Resolved, That the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders be directed to examine Canon 29, with regard both to the prescribed and the elective subjects and to the system of Canonical Examinations.

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 137 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, also on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 37 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 137 from the House of Bishops, which message indicates that that House has acted favorably upon the proposal of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders to amend Canon 29, "Of the Normal Standard of Learning and Examination of Candidates for Holy Orders", by the repeal of clause (7) of subsection (a) of Section 1 of that Canon, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, believes it to be salutary, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:
Resolved, that this House do now concur with the House of Bishops in the amendment of Canon 29, Sec. 1 (a) (7), as aforesaid.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 158.]

Canon 34, Sec. 10(c)

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, submitted, without recommendation, a Memorial from the Executive Council to amend Canon 34, Sec. 10(c).

The Bishop of South Florida moved that it be re-referred to the Committee on Canons for clarification.

A substitute was moved by the Bishop of West Virginia and withdrawn and the motion of the Bishop of South Florida was adopted.

The Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons on the Seventh Day, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 34, Section 10 (c), be, and the same is hereby, amended to read as follows:

(c). A Deacon ordained under the provisions of this Section may execute all the functions pertaining to the office of Deacons, subject to the general provisions of Canon 48. He may be assigned by the Ecclesiastical Authority as Minister in charge of a Congregation which is unable to receive the services of a resident Priest. At the request of, or with the consent of, the Rector and Vestry he may be assigned as an assistant Minister in one or more Parishes. He shall not be dispensed as in Section (1) above. He may not be transferred to another jurisdiction except upon the express request in writing of the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 66.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 66 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 33 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 66 from the House of Bishops, which Message indicates that that House has adopted an amendment to Canon 34, “Of Ordination to the Diaconate”,
at Sec. 10, Phrase (c), begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment; finds it to be in order, save for a minor correction; and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House do now concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 66, with amendments, so that the phrase will read as follows:

(c). A Deacon ordained under the provisions of this Section may execute all the functions pertaining to the office of Deacons, subject to the general provisions of Canon 48. He may be assigned by the Ecclesiastical Authority as Minister in charge of a Congregation which is unable to receive the services of a Priest. At the request of, or with the consent of, the Rector and Vestry he may be assigned as an assistant Minister in one or more Parishes. He shall not be dispensed as in Sec. 10 (b) (1) above. He may not be transferred to another jurisdiction except upon the express request in writing of the Ecclesiastical Authority thereof.

The House concurred with the House of Bishops, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 111.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 111, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 66, with amendments.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Committee on Canons (the Bishop of Florida reporting) recommended that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 111.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 150.]

Canon 40 (Now Canon 39), Sec. 2(b)

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons reported, without recommendation, the following Resolution to amend Canon 40, Section 2(b).

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 40, Section 2(b), be amended by deleting the last sentence, beginning, "The foregoing provision", and concluding, "... included in Provinces."

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 32.]
The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 32 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, presented Report No. 24 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 32 from the House of Bishops, indicating that that House has approved an amendment to Canon 40, "Of Missionary Bishops", at Sec. 2 (b), by the deletion of the last sentence of that section, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House do now concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 32.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 101.]

Canon 41 (Now Canon 40), Sec. 2(b) and (c), and Sec. 7(b)

In the House of Deputies, on the Fourth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 114, to amend Canon 41, "Of Suffragan Bishops", which was jointly submitted by the Secretary of the House of Deputies and the Chairman of the Committee on Canons, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends its adoption.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 41, Sec. 2, be, and the same is hereby, amended by making present Sec. 2 become Sec. 2 (a), and by the addition of two new clauses, (b) and (c), to read as follows:

(b). Whenever the Church in any Diocese shall desire the ordination and consecration of a Suffragan-Bishop-elect, subsequent proceedings in accordance with the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1, shall be taken.

(c). If the consents required by Canon 39, Sec. 1, are not received as therein prescribed, or if the Suffragan-Bishop-elect decline his election, the Convention of the Diocese may then proceed to a new election.

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 41, Sec. 7 (b), be, and the same is hereby, amended by inserting the
3. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 41, Sec. 7 (b), be, and the same is hereby, further amended by the deletion of the fourth paragraph thereof.

A motion was made for an immediate vote.

After appropriate discussion, Resolutions 1 and 2 were adopted by the House.

**[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Messages No. 25 and No. 26.]**

On recommendation of Canon Wattley, the Chair re-committed Resolution 3 to the Committee.

Later on the Fourth Day, Canon Wattley presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Canons, informing the House that the Committee, on reconsideration, did not recommend further consideration of Resolution 3 above, and asked to be discharged therefrom.

The Committee was discharged.

Upon receipt of Messages No. 25 and No. 26 by the House of Bishops, they were referred to the Committee on Canons. On the Seventh Day, the Bishop of Florida recommended, on behalf of the Committee, that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 25, amending Canon 41, Sec. 2, dealing with the election of Suffragan Bishop.

The House concurred.

**[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 75.]**

On the Eleventh Day, the Bishop of South Florida moved concurrence with House of Deputies Message No. 26 on the insertion of the words "to the Presiding Bishop and" after the words "transmit the notice thereof" in the third paragraph of Canon 41, Sec. 7(b):

**[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 193.]**

---

**Canon 41 (Now Canon 40), Sec. 6(c), and Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 7(c)**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 28 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:
The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred HD 150, being a Resolution introduced by Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia on behalf of the Joint Committee on Expenses, asking for the repeal of Canon 41, "Of Suffragan Bishops", Sec. 6 (c); and of Canon 43, "Of the Duties of Bishops", Sec. 7 (c), begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution, finds it to be in order, and recommends that it be adopted.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Canon 41, Sec. 6 (c), and Canon 43, Sec. 7 (c), be, and the same are hereby, repealed; and that the succeeding clauses in both Canons be re-lettered.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 110.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 110 proposing to repeal Canon 41, Sec. 6(c), and Canon 43, Sec. 7(c).

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

On the Tenth Day, likewise, for the Committee on Canons, the Bishop of Florida recommended that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 110.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 151.]

Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 8(e) [Not Adopted]

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Canons, the Bishop of Florida offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, that Canon 43, Section 8 (e), be, and the same is hereby, amended by the addition of the words, "having reached the age of at least 68 years" after the words, "cause of age", and the word "for" before the word "disability", so that the clause would read:

(e). Every Missionary Bishop whose resignation for cause of age, having reached the age of at least 68 years, or for disability, has been accepted, shall receive from the Executive Council a retiring allowance of four thousand dollars per annum, less whatever allowance such Bishop may receive from The Church Pension Fund. Such retiring allowance may be revised whenever such retired Bishop shall receive a regular stipend from any ecclesiastical employment.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 191.]
Inadvertently, this Message was not received by the House of Deputies prior to its final adjournment. Therefore, the House of Deputies took no action thereon.

**Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 8(f)**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, recommended adoption of the following Resolution contained in a Memorial from the Executive Council, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 43, Section 8, be amended by adding a new subsection, to be numbered (f), to read as follows:

(f). Every Missionary Bishop, and every Bishop holding an office created by the General Convention whose salary is paid by the Executive Council, whose resignation for reasons of policy or strategy, or for reasons beyond his control, has been accepted, and who has reached retirement age, or has suffered total disability, shall receive from the Executive Council a retiring allowance of $4,000.00 per annum, less whatever allowance such Bishop may receive from The Church Pension Fund. Such retiring allowance shall be revised whenever such retired Bishop shall receive a regular stipend from any ecclesiastical employment.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 33.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 33 of the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons and to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana submitted Report No. 6 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, recommending concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 33, and informing the House that provision for such retiring allowances had been made in the Budget for the triennium.

The Report was referred to the Committee on Canons, which was also considering House of Bishops Message No. 33.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Ninth Day, presented Report No. 22 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 33 from the House of Bishops, which Message indicates that that House has acted favorably upon the proposal of the Executive Council to amend
Canon 43, "Of the Duties of Bishops", at Sec. 8, by the addition of a new subsection to be lettered (f), begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 33.

After some discussion, during which it became obvious that there was strong support in the House for following the recommendation of the Joint Committee on Expenses that precise dollar amounts not be written into the Canons, the Rev. Thomas J. C. Smyth of North Carolina moved that a Committee of Conference be appointed to meet with a similar Committee of the House of Bishops, in order that this matter might be brought to a satisfactory solution.

This motion was carried, and the Chair appointed

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana
Mr. William W. Gilbert of New Mexico and Southwest Texas

to represent this House on the Committee of Conference.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 97.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 97, requesting a Committee of Conference concerning House of Bishops Message No. 33.

On motion, the House concurred, and the Chair appointed the Bishop of Florida and the Bishop of Spokane to represent the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 124.]

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, for the Committee of Conference, moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 33, with amendments, so that Canon 43, Sec. 8 (f), would read as follows:

(f). Every Missionary Bishop, and every Bishop holding an office created by the General Convention, whose salary is paid by the Executive Council, whose resignation for reasons of policy or strategy, or for reasons beyond his control, has been accepted, and who has reached retirement age, or who has suffered total
disability, shall receive from the Executive Council a retiring al­
lowance to be paid by the Treasurer of the Executive Council in
an amount to be fixed by the Executive Council.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 108.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message
No. 108, which concurs with House of Bishops Message No. 33,
with amendments.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 132.]

**Canon 47 (Now Canon 46), Sec. 2 [Not Adopted]**

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of
Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, offered the
following Resolution:

*Whereas,* The Rt. Rev. W. Appleton Lawrence, retired Bishop
of Western Massachusetts, has for many years conducted a clergy
placement service for many areas of the Church; and

*Whereas,* Out of this experience, many Vestries have suggested
changes in Canon 47 "Of the Filling of Vacant Cures"; and

*Whereas,* Canon 47, Section 2, now reads as follows:

Sec. 2. No election of a Rector shall be had until the name of
the clergyman whom it is proposed to elect has been made known
to the Bishop, if there be one, and sufficient time, not exceeding
thirty days, has been given to him to communicate with the Vestry
thereon, nor until such communication, if made within that period,
has been considered by the Parish or Vestry at a meeting duly
called and held for that purpose.

Therefore, be it

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 47,
Section 2, be, and hereby is, amended to read as follows:

Sec. 2. The Bishop, if there be one, shall consult with the Vestry,
or other properly authorized representatives of the Parish, and
within two weeks if possible, and not more than thirty days, nominate
to the Vestry, or other properly authorized representatives of the
Parish, two or more clergymen who are, in his opinion, best suited
to the opportunities and needs of the Parish. If, after consideration,
the Vestry should decline to elect one of the nominees, the Vestry
may proceed to elect a clergyman of their choice, subject to the
consent of the Bishop, and subject further to the provisions of the
Canons of the Diocese or Missionary District.
Motions to amend the Resolution as submitted did not carry.
The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 62.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 62 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 34 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 62 from the House of Bishops, indicating that that House has adopted an amendment to Canon 47, "Of the Filling of Vacant Cures", at Sec. 2, begs leave to report that it has considered the proposed amendment and finds it to be too loosely drawn to deserve enactment.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do NOT concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 62.

The Resolution was adopted and the House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 109.]

Canon 50 (Now Canon 49), Sec. 1 [Not Adopted]

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, for the Committee on Canons, the Bishop of Florida offered a Resolution to amend Canon 50, Sec. 1, as originally proposed by the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders.

Motions to amend, by the Bishop of Newark and the Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, were carried, so that the Resolution as amended reads as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the last paragraph of Canon 50, Section 1, be amended by the addition of the following sentence: "A duly admitted Postulant or Candidate for Holy Orders, who is enrolled as a student at a Theological Seminary, may serve as a Lay Reader, subject to the consent of the Bishop of the Diocese in which he is to serve."

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 138.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 138 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.
The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the same Day, presented Report No. 38 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 138 from the House of Bishops, which Message indicates that that House has acted favorably upon the proposal of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, to amend Canon 50, “Of Lay Readers”, by the addition of a new sentence at the end of the second paragraph of Sec. 1, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, believes it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House do now concur with the House of Bishops in the proposed amendment of Canon 50, Sec. 1, as contained in House of Bishops Message No. 138.

For the Committee on Canons, Canon Wattley accepted an amendment: the addition of the words, “of this Church”, following the words, “Theological Seminary”. As amended therefore, the sentence to be added to Canon 50, Sec. 1, would read:

A duly admitted Postulant or Candidate for Holy Orders, who is enrolled as a student at a Theological Seminary of this Church, shall have the authority to serve as a Lay Reader, subject to the consent of the Bishop of the Diocese in which he is to serve.

The House voted to concur with House of Bishops Message No. 138, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 159.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 159, in which that House concurs with House of Bishops Message No. 138, with amendments.

On motion, the House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 178.]

Canon 51 (Now Canon 50), Sec. 1

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, on behalf of the Committee on Canons, the Bishop of Florida offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 51, Sec. 1, be, and is hereby, amended as follows:

1. In Line 2, delete the words, “unmarried or widowed”;
2. In the same Line 2, in lieu of the word “appointed”, substitute “ordered”;
3. Delete the last sentence, "Such appointment shall be vacated by marriage";
so that Sec. 1 shall read:

Sec. 1. A woman of devout character and proved fitness may be ordered Deaconess by any Bishop of this Church, subject to the provisions of this Canon.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 64.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 64 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 32 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 64 from the House of Bishops, indicating that that House has adopted an amendment to Canon 51, "Of Deaconesses", at Sec. 1, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 64.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 112.]

Canon 51 (Now Canon 50), Sec. 5

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, for the Committee on Canons, the Bishop of Florida offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Canon 51, Sec. 5, be, and is hereby, amended by the substitution in Line 2 of the word "twenty-four" for "twenty-five", so that the first clause shall read: "No one shall be admitted a Deaconess until she is twenty-four years of age . . . ."

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 65.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message
No. 65 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 31 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 65 from the House of Bishops, indicating that that House has adopted an amendment to Canon 51, "Of Deaconesses", at Sec. 5, begs leave to report that it has considered this proposal, finds it in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House do now concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 65.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 113.]

New Canon 53 (Now Canon 52)

In the House of Bishops, on the Eighth Day, the Bishop of Florida, for the Committee on Canons, recommended adoption of a proposed new Canon 53 entitled, "Of Professional Women Church Workers".

The motion was not carried.

The Bishop of Bethlehem moved to reconsider. The motion to reconsider prevailed and the proposed Canon was recommitted to the Committee on Canons, with the direction to consult members of the Joint Commission on Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers.

On the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida reported that, after consultation with members of the Joint Commission, the Committee on Canons was prepared to recommend a Canon, "Of Professional Women Church Workers", and submitted the text thereof for consideration.

Several motions to change the wording of the proposed Canon failed to carry.

It was moved and seconded—

That wherever, in proposed Canon 53, the adjective "approved" appears, there be substituted the word "certified".

Carried.

The Bishop of Florida thereupon moved the adoption of the following Resolution:
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That a new Canon be adopted, to be known as Canon 53, and that subsequent Canons be renumbered accordingly; the new Canon to read,

**CANON 53.**

**Of Professional Women Church Workers**

Sec. 1. Any woman, being a communicant of this Church, who is a salaried, professional Church worker, who is employed, or seeks to be employed, as a Christian Education, College, or Social Worker, in the service of this Church in any Diocese or Missionary District, and who fulfills, in the opinion of the Bishop, the following qualifications:

(a) Completion of two years of graduate study in a Church Training School or Seminary; or

(b) Completion of a five-year combination of at least two of the following:

1. Attendance at college;
2. Attendance at a Church Training School or Seminary;
3. Employment in the field of Christian Education; Provided, that at least 16 Semester hours have been earned in two or more of the following fields:
   1. Religion,
   2. Christian Education,
   3. Education,

may apply to the Bishop of that jurisdiction to be accepted as a Certified Worker.

Sec. 2. The Bishop shall keep a list of such Certified Workers. When such a Certified Worker moves to another jurisdiction, the Ecclesiastical Authority shall give her a letter to the Bishop of the jurisdiction to which she shall remove, certifying that she has been on his list of Certified Workers.

Sec. 3. Each such Certified Worker shall report, either personally or by letter, to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the jurisdiction in which she is employed, annually, during Advent, as to the progress of her work. She shall also report at other times, if requested to do so by the Ecclesiastical Authority.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 130.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 130 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, also
on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 40 of the Committee on Canons, as follows:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 130 from the House of Bishops, indicating that that House has adopted a new Canon, to be known as Canon 53, "Of Professional Women Church Workers", begs leave to report that it has considered the proposal, finds it to be in order, and recommends concurrence.

The Committee on Canons, therefore, offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 130.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 162.]

Canons—Certification of Changes in

The changes in the Canons, set forth above, were certified by the Secretary of the Committee on Canons of the House of Bishops (Bishop Kellogg of the Dominican Republic) and by the Chairman and Secretary of the Committee on Canons of the House of Deputies (the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana, and the Rev. Canon J. William Robertson of Northern Michigan), as provided in Canon 66, Sec. 4 (a).

Christian Unity—New Delhi Statement

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 16 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred HD 79, a Resolution introduced by the Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D., of Massachusetts, on behalf of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, reports as follows:

Your Committee has considered the statement, recommends that it be adopted, and moves the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring. That, subject to the official teaching of this Church as expressed in its own formularies, this Convention give its approval to the following two paragraphs adopted by the New Delhi Assembly of the World Council of Churches and commend them to the Church for use in ecumenical study and dialogue:

We believe that the unity which is both God's will and his gift to his Church is being made visible as all in each place who are baptized into Jesus Christ and confess Him as Lord and Saviour are brought by the Holy Spirit into one fully committed fellowship, holding the one Apostolic Faith, preaching the one gospel, breaking the one bread, joining in common prayer, and having a corporate life reaching out to witness and service
to all; and who at the same time are united with the whole Christian fellow-
ship in all places and all ages in such wise that ministry and members are
accepted by all and that all can act and speak together as occasion requires
for the tasks to which God calls his people.

It is for such unity that we believe we must pray and work.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 163.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 163 approving certain portions of the published findings of the New Delhi Assembly of the World Council of Churches.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 179.]

Church Fire Insurance Corporation—Exemption

In the House of Deputies, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan, on the Tenth Day, presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee, to which was referred HD 163, a Resolution introduced by Mr. Robert Worthington of Connecticut, dealing with the relation of Church Fire Insurance Corporation to certain State laws governing insurance rates, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, Church Fire Insurance Corporation was organized in 1929 to insure the properties of the Protestant Episcopal Church and its institutions against fire and other perils at the lowest possible cost, on a non-profit basis; and

Whereas, The General Convention, in 1940, approved Church Fire Insurance Corporation as a wholly owned subsidiary of The Church Pension Fund, and approved its purposes as being useful and beneficial to the Protestant Episcopal Church; and

Whereas, Church Fire Insurance Corporation, by Charter, confines its activities to properties owned by, and used to promote the interests of, the Protestant Episcopal Church and its affiliated organizations, and does not operate in the general, competitive, insurance field; and

Whereas, Church Fire Insurance Corporation is subject to statutory restrictions deemed appropriate to the regulation of insurance rates generally, but which are not designed to deal with the problems unique to an insurer providing insurance protection, on a non-profit basis, covering the property of a single Church; and

Whereas, The facilities of Church Fire Insurance Corporation would be of greater usefulness to the Church if the Corporation were relieved of such statutory restrictions, so that it could provide the insurance coverages at rate levels which, in the judgment of the management of the Corporation, are equitable and not excessive; now, therefore, be it
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That it is the sense of this General Convention that the interests of the Protestant Episcopal Church would be promoted by the exemption of Church Fire Insurance Corporation, in those States in which it is domiciled or licensed under Insurance Law, from the provisions of such Law regulating insurance rates.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 119.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 119, relating to the exemption of Church Fire Insurance Corporation from certain State insurance laws.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 144.]

Church Fire Insurance Corporation—Policies

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred HD 69, a Memorial from the Diocese of California on the subject of protection of insurability of Church property, reports as follows:

The Diocese of California petitions, "That the Church Fire Insurance Corporation be asked to provide means, other than cancellation, by which all congregations may share the burden of those churches under attack."

The Memorial was occasioned by the cancellation of the policy covering a church which, in a situation of social tension, had suffered minor losses by each of a number of fires, amounting in the aggregate, over a period of several years, to about $13,000.00, and which suffered at last a fire which destroyed the entire church, with a claim of $86,500.00, which Church Fire paid in full, subsequently cancelling the policy on the church.

An extended hearing was given to the Rev. Lester Kinsolving, an officer of the Diocese of California.

The Church Fire Insurance Corporation, chartered under the laws of the State of New York, and subject to the supervision of the New York State Department of Insurance, is required to conduct its business on sound actuarial lines. Within such limits, it has a record of service to, and genuine consideration for, churches.

For the protection of all, Church Fire must operate on a sound actuarial basis. If relief is merited by any church under attack, it should be sought through channels of the Church other than Church Fire.

Your Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Church Fire Insurance Corporation be requested to continue its practice of liberality, within the limits of sound actuarial practices, in its dealings with insured churches; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Committee on The Church Pension Fund be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

Adopted by the House, for reference to the Executive Council.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 60.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 60.

On motion, the House concurred on the Eighth Day.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 94.]

**Church Pension Fund—Trustees**

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Chair named the following Bishops to serve on the Joint Committee to Nominate Trustees for The Church Pension Fund, to wit:

- The Bishop of Western New York
- The Bishop of Pittsburgh
- The Bishop of Harrisburg

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 5.]

The President of the House of Deputies, on the Second Day, named the following persons, on behalf of this House, to serve on the Joint Committee to Nominate Trustees for The Church Pension Fund, to wit:

- The Rev. Henry F. Seaman of New Mexico and Southwest Texas
- The Ven. Canon T. C. Baxter of Rochester
- The Rev. Albert W. Anderson of Vermont
- Mr. W. Hollis Fitch of West Texas
- Mr. Duncan Burn of Florida
- Mr. Graydon W. Holmquist of Colorado

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 20.]

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. Henry F. Seaman of New Mexico and Southwest Texas presented Report No. 2 of the Joint Committee to Nominate Trustees for The Church Pension Fund, as follows:

Your Joint Committee to Nominate Trustees for The Church Pension Fund held an open hearing on Monday, October 19, for the purpose of receiving nominations from the House, and submits names to fill nine positions. Eight places of the Class of 1973 are to be filled, for nine-year terms of office; and one vacancy is to be filled in the Class of 1967, a three-year term. In accordance with
the recommendation of the House, the Committee is proposing more names than there are positions to be filled. Your Committee places in nomination the following:

Bronson, Mr. David E., Minneapolis, Minn., attorney, 12 years a Trustee.


Coleman, Mr. Leighton H., New York, N.Y., attorney, 21 years a Trustee, Counsel for and Vice-President of the Fund.

Garrett, Mr. Harrison, Baltimore, Md., investment counsellor, 9 years a Trustee.

Krusen, Ven. Henry P., Buffalo, N.Y., Archdeacon of Western New York, 3 years a Trustee.

Murray, Rt. Rev. George A., Birmingham, Ala., Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama, 1 year a Trustee.

Ohlander, Mr. Martin, Colorado Springs, Colo., pension consultant and vice-president of a manufacturing firm.

Rockefeller, Avery, Jr., New York, N.Y., investments, 1 year a Trustee.

Siegmund, Mr. William, Los Angeles, Cal., pension funds consultant.

Walters, Rt. Rev. Sumner F. D., Stockton, Cal., Bishop of San Joaquin, 6 years a Trustee.

Worthington, Mr. Robert, New York, N.Y., Executive Vice-President of the Fund, 18 years a Trustee.

On information from Dean Bartlett, Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, the Chair ruled that nominations from the floor were not appropriate.

Dean Bartlett of California gave his judgment that a plurality vote is sufficient for nomination and election of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

The House nominated the foregoing as Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 69.]

The Bishop of Western New York moved that the House now concur with House of Deputies Message No. 69, nominating Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 107.]

Balloting for Trustees of The Church Pension Fund took place on the Ninth Day in the House of Deputies.
On the Tenth Day, the Secretary read the results of the balloting for Trustees of The Church Pension Fund:

Class of 1973:
- Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota
- Mr. Leighton H. Coleman of New York
- Mr. Harrison Garrett of Maryland
- Mr. Avery Rockefeller, Jr., of New York
- The Rt. Rev. Sumner F. D. Walters of San Joaquin
- Mr. Robert Worthington of Connecticut

Class of 1967:
- Mr. Martin A. Ohlander of Colorado (to fill unexpired term)

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 104.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 104, naming persons elected by that House to the Board of Trustees of The Church Pension Fund.

On motion, the House concurred in electing the persons named.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 147.]

Church-State Relations

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee, to which has been referred HD 19, a Memorial from the Diocese of New Jersey on the subject of Bible-reading in public schools, and HD 23, a Memorial from the Diocese of New York, petitioning for the establishment of a Joint Commission of the General Convention on Church-State Relations, reports as follows:

The Committee has reconsidered the substance of its Report No. 5, which Report was recommitted, after consultation with the other Committee (on Committees and Commissions) to which HD 23 was jointly referred.

After such reconsideration, the Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution, as an alternative to the one previously proposed:

Whereas, Continuing public debate over issues in Church-State relations, especially those involved in Federal aid to sectarian schools, has raised questions not answered satisfactorily, for many Church people, by past General Convention Resolutions; and

Whereas, Recent judicial decisions and legislative proposals relating to such issues of religion in public education as prayer, Bible-reading, and ob-
jective religious teaching, have become a source of confusion and concern to many Church people; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council be requested to continue its Commission on Church-State Relations, and ask that it give continuing attention and study to matters of religious freedom, providing, from time to time, such discussion materials as will help Church people gain better understanding of the issues involved.

The Committee further recommends, in the light of this alternative action, that the Memorial from the Diocese of New Jersey, HD 19, be referred to the Executive Council's Commission on Church-State Relations for study and possible action.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 131.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 131, providing for the continuation of the Commission on Church-State Relations of the Executive Council.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 154.]

Civil War Centennial Observance

In the House of Deputies, on the Second Day, Item No. 6 was taken from the Calendar, being HD 103, a Resolution introduced by the Rev. R. Emmet Gribbin, Jr., of Alabama, reading as follows:

Whereas, The General Convention which met in Philadelphia ninety-nine years ago accomplished, under God's guidance, the reunion of this Church, which had been divided into separately organized Churches during the Civil War; and

Whereas, That Convention gave thanks for "the restoration of peace in the country and unity in the Church"; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this Convention recommend that appropriate centennial observances, in the Fall of 1965, commemorating this significant chapter in this Church's history, be held.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 15.]
Clergy Retirement at Age 65

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 7 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred HD 28, et al., on the subject of optional retirement of clergy at age 65, reports as follows:

Eighteen Dioceses and The Church Divinity School of the Pacific (by Memorial or supporting Resolution) have asked that the Rules of The Church Pension Fund be revised, pursuant to the requirements of Canon 7, so as to permit optional retirement of clergymen at age 65, with pension benefits, instead of at the present minimum age for retirement of clergymen, not disabled, at age 68.

The Memorials referred are the following: HD 28, Bethlehem; HD 68, Northern California; HD 29, Chicago; HD 30, Iowa; HD 31, Long Island; HD 32, Montana; HD 33, New Jersey; HD 34, Newark; HD 35, Northwest Texas; HD 36, Rochester; HD 37, San Joaquin (with supporting Resolutions from California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, and Western Michigan); HD 38, Vermont; and HD 39, the Trustees of the Church Divinity School of the Pacific.

Your Committee feels that such a number of requests, coming from all sections of the Church, must represent a widely held desire that optional retirement, with pension benefits, at age 65 should be granted to those clergymen who desire to avail themselves of such retirement.

The Committee, therefore, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, The Trustees of The Church Pension Fund have stated that the lowering of the age at which "The Retirement Benefit" can be paid to retired clergymen, from age 68 to age 65, is feasible, within the canonical requirements of sound actuarial practice, by actuarial adjustment of the amount of the Retirement Benefit which would be effective at such earlier retirement age (together with the corresponding Widow's and Orphan's Benefits); therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund be, and they are hereby, authorized and requested to make "The Retirement Benefit" available, at or after the reaching of age 65, upon retirement from the active Ministry, with such reduction in benefit amounts as may be in accordance with sound actuarial practice.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 41.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 41, making retirement of clergy at age 65 possible, with reduced pension payments.

Referred to the Committee on Canons.

On the Eighth Day, the Bishop of Florida reported, for the Committee on Canons, that the Committee had found that House of Deputies Message No. 41 appeared to be in proper canonical order.
He presented the Resolution, without recommendation. After extended discussion, the House moved to return the matter to the Committee for its recommendations.

On the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Florida reported that the Committee had thoroughly studied the implications of the provision for clergy retirement at age 65, found no canonical problems, and recommended concurrence with House of Deputies Message No. 41.

On motion of the Bishop of Nevada, action was postponed until related action of the House of Deputies should be known.

Later that day, the Bishop of Florida recommended once more that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 41.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 152.]

Committees and Commissions (Various) Continued

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina, for the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, presented Report No. 12 of the Committee:

The Joint Committee, to which was referred HD 3 and HD 9, requesting, respectively, the continuation of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman's *Annotated Constitution and Canons* and the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, recommends that they be continued.

The recommendation was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 150.]

The House of Bishops concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 170.]

Also on the Tenth Day, the Rev. A. Balfour Patterson, Jr., of Colorado moved that the Joint Commission on Church Music be continued, to consist of two Bishops, four Presbyters, and six Laymen who are Church musicians.

The motion carried.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 157.]

The House of Bishops concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 177.]
Committees and Commissions—Inter-relationships

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution, in lieu of Resolution No. 9 in the Report of the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces (HD 12), to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces be requested to give consideration to ordering a study of means to the attaining of a more effective relationship in the work of Committees and Commissions.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 48.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 48, directing the Joint Commission on Structure, etc., to study means to attain more effective relationship in the work of Committees and Commissions.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 70.]

Constitution—Amendment Proposed
at the General Convention of 1961 and
Finally Adopted in 1964

Constitution—Article X.

In the House of Deputies, on the Second Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

At the General Convention in 1961, an amendment to the Constitution was proposed, to modify the first and second provisos of Article X. of the Constitution, which Article deals with the Book of Common Prayer and with the method by which alterations or additions thereto are to be made.

The proposed amendment to Article X. having been adopted by both Houses for submission, and having been duly submitted, to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts of the Church and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, your Committee, in accordance with the Constitution, now presents for consideration, and for adoption or rejection, the aforesaid proposed amendment to the Constitution, by offering the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the first proviso of Article X. of the Constitution be, and it is hereby, amended to read as follows:

But notwithstanding anything hereinabove contained, the General Convention may at any one meeting, by a majority of the whole number of the Bishops entitled to vote in the House of Bishops, and by a majority of the Clerical and Lay Deputies of all the Dioceses entitled to representa-
tion in the House of Deputies, and all the Missionary Districts and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, voting by orders as previously laid down in this Article,

(a) Amend the Table of Lessons and all Tables and Rubrics relating to the Psalms;

(b) Authorize for trial use throughout this Church, as an alternative at any time or times to the established Book of Common Prayer or to any section or Office thereof, a proposed revision of the whole Book or of any portion thereof, duly undertaken by the General Convention;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the second proviso of Article X. of the Constitution of the General Convention be, and it is hereby, amended by deletion of the word "further".

A vote by orders was taken with the following results:
Clerical: Ayes, 81¼; Noes, 2; Divided, 1.
Lay: Ayes, 79¾; Noes, 4; Divided, 0.

The Report was adopted.

It was declared by the Chair that this action by the House completes final action necessary for the amendment to the Constitution, so far as this House is concerned.

At the opening of business on the following day, the action taken above not yet having been communicated to the House of Bishops, the Rev. Albert T. Mollegen, D.D., of Virginia moved, on behalf of the clerical Deputation of the Diocese of Virginia, which Deputation had previously voted in favor of the amendment to Article X. of the Constitution, that the action adopting the amendment be reconsidered.

The President gave the privilege of the floor to the Rev. Dr. Mollegen to state his reasons for moving such reconsideration.

The motion was put and lost, not receiving two-thirds majority.

The action of the previous Day, therefore, stood.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 16.]

In the House of Bishops, meanwhile, also on the Second Day, identical action was taken on the amendment to Article X. of the Constitution, on the recommendation of the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 13.]
Upon receipt of House of Bishops Message No. 13 in the House of Deputies, an informal request was conveyed to the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business of the House of Bishops, the Bishop of South Florida, that the House of Bishops consider rescinding its action, in view of the awkward position of the House of Deputies, which would be required to take another vote by orders in order to concur in the action.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, on the Third Day, the House of Bishops rescinded its action in amending Article X. of the Constitution.

The House thereupon received House of Deputies Message No. 16, amending the first proviso of Article X. of the Constitution.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 20.]

Constitution—Amendments Proposed At The General Convention of 1964 and To be Finally Acted Upon at the General Convention of 1967

Constitution—Preamble

In the House of Bishops, on the Fourth Day, the Bishop of Newark on behalf of the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, reported with reference to Memorials from the Dioceses of Chicago, Montana, New Jersey, and South Florida, all petitioning for a change in the name of this Church. He asked consent of the House to the preparation of a simple Resolution on the subject, to be presented to the House at the next session thereof, without explanatory material, and to be voted upon without debate.

The House gave its consent.

On the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Newark, reporting for the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, submitted the following Resolution, without recommendation, as instructed by the House on the previous day, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the official name of this Church be changed by expunging the word "Protestant" from its title; and that steps be taken to effect the necessary constitutional and canonical changes in harmony therewith.
The Bishop of South Florida moved this Resolution.
The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 41.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 41 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution and to the Committee on Canons.

On the Seventh Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred Message No. 41 from the House of Bishops, proposing that the word "Protestant" be expunged from the name of the Church, reports as follows:

Your Committee moves that the House of Deputies concur with Message No. 41 from the House of Bishops with the following amendments:

Resolved, That said Message No. 41 be amended to read as follows, viz:

That the following amendment be made in the Constitution; and that such proposed amendment be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with Article XI. of the Constitution, to wit:

That a Preamble be added to the Constitution, the same to be an integral part of the Constitution, which Preamble shall read as follows:

PREAMBLE

The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, otherwise known as The Episcopal Church (which name is hereby recognized as also designating the Church), is a constituent member of the Anglican Communion, a Fellowship within the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church, of those duly constituted dioceses, provinces, and regional Churches in communion with the See of Canterbury, upholding and propagating the historic Faith and Order as set forth in the Book of Common Prayer. This Constitution, adopted in General Convention in Philadelphia in October, 1789, as amended in subsequent General Conventions, sets forth the basic Articles for the government of the Church, and of its overseas missionary jurisdictions.

The Rev. Marion J. Hammond of Colorado moved an amendment, substituting House of Bishops Message No. 41 for the Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

A vote by orders was called for by the lay Deputation of the Diocese of Pittsburgh; which being had, the results were as follows:

Clerical: Ayes, 43 3/4; Noes, 32 3/4; Divided, 9.
Lay: Ayes, 40; Noes, 36; Divided, 9.

The amendment was lost in the lay order.
Mr. Chester J. Byrns of Western Michigan offered an amendment to the Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, as follows:

Amend the opening words of the Preamble, so that the first two phrases shall read:

the Episcopal Church in the United States of America, also known as the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America . . .

It was moved and seconded—

That the motion to amend be tabled.

A division was called for, and the motion was tabled by a vote of Ayes, 390; Noes, 224.

The Chair then put the motion on the original Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution as follows: “Shall the House concur with House of Bishops Message No. 41, as amended by the whole Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution?”

The House concurred, with the amendment, as aforesaid. [Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 56.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 56, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 41 on the name of the Church, with an amendment.

On motion, the House concurred, on the Eighth Day. [Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 86.]

Constitution—Article I., Sec. 3

In the House of Bishops, on the Fourth Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, moved approval of a Memorial from the Presiding Bishop, reading as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article I., Section 3, paragraph 2, be, and hereby is, amended as follows:

1. By deleting the third and fourth lines, and substituting therefor the following words, “the Bishop who, according to the Rules of the House of Bishops, becomes its Presiding Officer”;

2. By deleting from lines eight and nine the words, “to be held within two months”;

3. By deleting from lines nine and ten the words, “Bishop having jurisdiction in the United States”, and substituting therefor the words, “member thereof”; and
4. By deleting the eleventh and twelfth lines, and substituting therefor
the following words, "certificate of election on the part of the House of
Bishops shall be sent by the Presiding Officer to the Standing Committees
of the several Dioceses, and if a majority of the Standing Committees of
all the Dioceses shall concur in the election, the Bishop so elected shall
become the Presiding Bishop of the Church";

so that Article I., Section 3, paragraph 2, shall read as follows:

But if the Presiding Bishop of the Church shall resign his office as such,
or if by reason of infirmity he shall become disabled, or in case of his
death, the Bishop who, according to the Rules of the House of Bishops,
becomes its Presiding Officer, shall (unless the date of the next General
Convention is within three months) immediately call a special meeting of
the House of Bishops to elect a member thereof to be the Presiding Bishop.
The certificate of election on the part of the House of Bishops shall be sent
by the Presiding Officer to the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses,
and if a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses shall
concur in the election, the bishop so elected shall become the Presiding
Bishop of the Church.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 29.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No.
29 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee
on Amendments to the Constitution.

On the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olym­
pia reported as follows:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred
Message No. 29 of the House of Bishops, reports as follows:

Message No. 29 of the House of Bishops proposes certain amendments in
Article I., Section 3, of the Constitution, dealing with the election of a Presiding
Bishop and the method for filling a vacancy in that office occurring between
meetings of General Convention. Although a proposal is before the House to
create a Joint Committee to consider this subject during the coming triennium,
your Committee believes that the amendments proposed in House of Bishops
Message No. 29 should not be deferred. Accordingly, your Committee moves
that this House concur with House of Bishops Message No. 29, with amendments,
so that the same shall read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the following amend­
ments be made in the Constitution; and that said proposed amendments be
made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the
Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with
Article XI. of the Constitution, to wit:

That the second paragraph of Section 3 of Article I. of the Constitution be
amended

1. By deleting from the third, fourth, and fifth lines of said paragraph
the following language: "the Senior Bishop of this Church in the order of
consecration, having jurisdiction in the United States", and by substitut-
ing therefor the following, "the Bishop who, according to the rules of the House of Bishops, becomes its Presiding Officer";

2. And by deleting from the eighth and ninth lines of said paragraph the phrase, "to be held within two months";

3. And by deleting from lines nine and ten the words, "Bishop having jurisdiction in the United States", and substituting therefor the words, "member thereof";

4. And by deleting the eleventh and twelfth lines, and substituting therefor the words, "certificate of election on the part of the House of Bishops shall be sent by the Presiding Officer to the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses, and if a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses shall concur in the election, the Bishop so elected shall become the Presiding Bishop of the Church";

so that Article I., Section 3, paragraph 2, shall read as follows:

But if the Presiding Bishop of the Church shall resign his office as such, or if by reason of infirmity he shall become disabled, or in case of his death, the Bishop who, according to the Rules of the House of Bishops, becomes its Presiding Officer, shall (unless the date of the next General Convention is within three months) immediately call a special meeting of the House of Bishops to elect a member thereof to be the Presiding Bishop. The certificate of election on the part of the House of Bishops shall be sent by the Presiding Officer to the Standing Committees of the several Dioceses, and if a majority of the Standing Committees of all the Dioceses shall concur in the election, the Bishop so elected shall become the Presiding Bishop of the Church.

The House concurred with Message No. 29 of the House of Bishops, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 151.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 151, in which that House concurs with House of Bishops Message No. 29, with an amendment.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 171.]

**Constitution—Article I., Sec. 7**

In the House of Deputies, on the Third Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred HD 77, a Memorial from the National Council on the subject of changing the name, "National Council" (where it appears in Section 7 of Article I. of the Constitution), to "Executive Council", recommends that, since the amendment to Canon 4, adopted by this House at its first session, has been concurred in by
the House of Bishops, the following proposed amendment to the Constitution is now in order:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Section 7 of Article I. of the Constitution be amended by changing the words, "National Council", where such words first appear in said Section, to read, "Executive Council"; and by changing the phrase, "in the National Council", which follows, to read "therein"; so that the latter portion of said Section shall read as follows:

but if there shall appear to the Presiding Bishop, acting with the advice and consent of the Executive Council of the Church or of a successor canonical body having substantially the powers now vested therein, sufficient cause for changing the place or date so appointed, he, with the advice and consent of such body, shall appoint another place or date, or both, for such meeting. Special meetings may be provided for by Canon.

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the foregoing alteration in the Constitution, as proposed at this General Convention, be sent by the Secretary of the House of Deputies to the Ecclesiastical Authority of every Diocese and Missionary District and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, and to the Secretary of the Convention of each Diocese and to the Secretary of the Convocation of each Missionary District and of the American Churches in Europe, to be made known to the Diocesan Convention or the Missionary District Convocation or the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, at its next meeting.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 18.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 18, providing for amendment of Article I., Section 7, of the Constitution, changing the words, "National Council", to "Executive Council". This was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution on the Eighth Day, recommended that this House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 18.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 97.]

Constitution—Article II., Sec. 8

In the House of Bishops, on the Fourth Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol) reported for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution that the Committee had given consideration to the Resolution introduced by the Bishop of Bethlehem, by which it was sought to establish the right of Bishops and Bishops Coadjutor to election by other jurisdictions. Bishop Esquirol
stated that it was the consensus of the Committee that an amendment to the Constitution was required, rather than a simple Resolution. He therefore moved that the House recall the Resolution from the Committee.

On motion, the Resolution was recalled and it was declared by the Chair to be before the House.

A motion to lay on the table failed for lack of a second.

The Bishop of Bethlehem moved the original Resolution, which was seconded, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That a Bishop exercising jurisdiction as the Ordinary, or as the Bishop Coadjutor, of a Diocese of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, be declared eligible under the Constitution and Canons of the Church to be elected Bishop, or Bishop Coadjutor, or Suffragan Bishop, in another Diocese, or to be elected by the House of Bishops as a Missionary Bishop, subject to the provisions of the Constitution and Canons regarding the resignation of jurisdiction by a Bishop.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 25.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 25 of the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution and to the Committee on Canons.

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana presented Report No. 26 of the Committee on Canons:

The Committee on Canons, to which has been referred Message No. 25 from the House of Bishops, begs leave to report that it has considered the Resolution with care. It can find no canonical barrier to the operation of the Resolution; and, therefore, recommends concurrence with the House of Bishops, by a vote of 7 to 6. But the Committee on Canons believes that there may be constitutional questions involved. The Committee, therefore, proposes the following procedure:

1. That the House of Deputies first vote on the question, "Shall this House concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 25?"

2. If the vote be for concurrence, that the Resolution of the House of Bishops be referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, with instructions to draft and introduce appropriate enabling legislation for formal consideration by the Convention at as early a date as possible at this session.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, he having signified his readiness, was recognized to present Report No. 6 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, which he proceeded to do.
An amendment to the Report was suggested by the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., of New Jersey, which amendment was accepted by the Committee.

Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin of Texas moved an amendment, which was not so accepted, but which, being put to a vote, was adopted.

As now perfected, the Report of the Committee read as follows:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies inform the House of Bishops that it has concurred with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 25, on the subject of Translation of Bishops and Bishops Coadjutor, as amended to read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the following amendment be made in the Constitution and that such proposed amendment be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with Article XI. of the Constitution; viz:

That a new Section, to be numbered Section 8, be added to Article II. of the Constitution, to read as follows:

Sec. 8. A Bishop exercising jurisdiction as the Ordinary, or as the Bishop Coadjutor, of a Diocese or Missionary District may be elected as Bishop, Bishop Coadjutor, or Suffragan Bishop, of another Diocese, or may be elected by the House of Bishops as a Missionary Bishop; Provided that he shall have served not less than five years in his present jurisdiction; and Provided always that, before acceptance of such election, he shall tender to the House of Bishops his resignation of his jurisdiction in the Diocese in which he is then serving, subject to the required consents of the Bishops and Standing Committees of the Church, and also, if he be a Bishop Coadjutor, his right of succession therein, and such resignation, and renunciation of the right of succession in the case of a Bishop Coadjutor, shall be consented to by the House of Bishops.

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the present Section 8 of Article II. be renumbered Section 9.

The lay Deputation from the Diocese of Southern Virginia called for a vote by orders, which was taken by the Secretary, with the following results:

Clerical: Ayes, 64¼; Noes, 8¾; Divided, 11.

Lay: Ayes, 62¼; Noes, 16; Divided, 6.

The Report of the Committee, as amended, was adopted by the House.

The Chair then entertained the motion, "Shall this House concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 25, as now amended by the whole Report of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution?"
The motion carried, and the House did so concur.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 87.]
The Committee on Canons was discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 87, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 25, with amendment.

On motion, the House concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 125.]

**Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fourth Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), on behalf of the Committee on Constitution, offered the following Resolution proposed in identical Memorials from the Dioceses of Chicago, Springfield, and Quincy, with the recommendation of the Committee that it be adopted:

*Whereas,* The Bishops and a committee of lay and clerical representatives of the Dioceses of Chicago, Quincy, and Springfield, in the State of Illinois, have for nearly two years studied problems of inter-diocesan strategy and responsibility; and

*Whereas,* In the course of these deliberations, it has been observed that a basic factor in the problems facing the Episcopal Church in Illinois is the failure of the organizational structure to keep pace with the social, economic, and cultural changes resulting from the growth and mobility of population; and

*Whereas,* Diocesan boundaries, established on patterns of economy and communication which no longer obtain, militate against efficient administration and effective evangelization of the territories involved; and

*Whereas,* It appears clear that the situation observed in the State of Illinois may well exist elsewhere; and

*Whereas,* Re-alignment of diocesan boundaries and jurisdictions may provide, in some situations, one solution to these problems; and

*Whereas,* There is at present no provision in the Constitution and Canons of the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America which permits a Diocese, for good and sufficient reasons, to accept a part of the territorial jurisdiction of an adjoining Diocese; therefore, be it

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That Article V. of the Constitution for the Government of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America be, and is hereby, amended by the addition of a Section to read as follows:
Sec. 8. A Diocese may, with the consent of the General Convention, accept a cession of the territorial jurisdiction of a contiguous part of an adjoining Diocese, provided the cession shall have been proposed by the Bishop and Convention of the ceding Diocese and consent thereto shall have been given by the Bishop and Convention of the Diocese to which the cession is proposed.

This Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 27.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented House of Bishops Message No. 27, which was referred to the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses and to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

The Rev. Herbert S. Brown, S.T.D., of Newark, on the Eighth Day, presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Admission of New Dioceses:

Your Committee on Admission of New Dioceses, to whom was referred Message No. 27 from the House of Bishops, reports as follows:

Your Committee has examined the Resolution embodied in Message No. 27 from the House of Bishops, finds it to be in order, and recommends that this House concur.

The Report was accepted, and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter. The matter was recommitted to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, after Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota had offered a detailed amendment.

On the Ninth Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 27, together with Report No. 3 of the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses recommending concurrence therewith, and the detailed amendment offered by Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota, recommends that this House concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolution contained in its Message No. 27, with amendments, so that said Resolution shall read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the following amendment be made in the Constitution; and that the proposed amendment be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with Article XI. of the Constitution, viz:

That Article V. of the Constitution be, and is hereby, amended by the addition, at the end thereof, of a new Section to be numbered Section 8, the same to read as follows:
Sec. 8. By mutual agreement between the Conventions of two adjoining Dioceses, consented to by the Ecclesiastical Authority of each Diocese, a portion of the territory of one of said Dioceses may be ceded to the other Diocese, such cession to be considered complete upon approval thereof by General Convention or by a majority of Bishops having jurisdiction in the United States and of the Standing Committees of the Dioceses, in accordance with the Canons of this Church. Thereupon, the part of the territory so ceded shall become a part of the Diocese accepting the same. The provisions of Section 3 of this Article V. shall not apply in such case and the Bishop and Bishop Coadjutor, if any, of the Diocese ceding such territory shall continue in their jurisdiction over the remainder of such Diocese and the Bishop and Bishop Coadjutor, if any, of the Diocese accepting cession of such territory shall continue in jurisdiction over such Diocese and shall have jurisdiction in that part of the territory of the other Diocese that has been so ceded and accepted.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 103.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 103, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 27, with an amendment.

Referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.

On the Eleventh Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, recommended concurrence with House of Deputies Message No. 103, which amended House of Bishops Message No. 27.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 189.]

**Constitution—Article IX.**

In the House of Bishops, on the Eighth Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Esquirol), reporting for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That pursuant to Article XI. of the Constitution, an amendment to the Constitution be, and is hereby, proposed; and that the same be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts in accordance with Article XI. of the Constitution, in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention; to wit:

That Article IX. of the Constitution be amended so that the sixth paragraph, which reads, “None but a Bishop shall pronounce sentence of admonition, or of suspension, deposition, or degradation from the Ministry, on any Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon”, be, and hereby is, amended to read as follows:

None but a Bishop shall pronounce sentence of suspension, removal, or deposition from the Ministry on any Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon, and none but a Bishop shall admonish any Bishop, Presbyter, or Deacon.
and that the seventh, or last, paragraph of said Article IX. of the Con-
stitution, which reads, "A sentence of suspension shall specify on what
terms or conditions and at what time the suspension shall cease", be, and
hereby is, amended to read as follows:

A sentence of suspension shall specify on what terms or conditions and
at what time the suspension shall cease. A sentence of suspension may be
remitted in such manner as may be provided by Canon."

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 98.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No.
98 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee
on Amendments to the Constitution.

The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia, on the Ninth
Day, presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on Amendments
to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred
Message No. 98 of the House of Bishops, reports as follows:

We recommend and move concurrence with Message No. 98 of the House of
Bishops, with minor technical amendments in the first paragraph thereof, that
would strike the first of the two references to Article XI. of the Constitution, and
that would add to said paragraph the words, "the Convocation of the American
Churches in Europe", as one of the Districts of the Church to whom notice of
the proposed amendment must be sent.

The House concurred, with technical amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 92.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No.
92, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 98, with an
amendment.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 126.]

Constitution—Certification of Changes in

The proposed changes in the Constitution—Preamble; Article
I., Sec. 3; Article I., Sec. 7; Article II., Sec. 8; Article V., Sec. 8; and
Article IX.—as set forth above, as well as the amendment finally
adopted to Article X., have been certified by the Secretary of the
Committee on Amendments to the Constitution of the House of
Bishops (the Suffragan Bishop, Esquirol, of Connecticut) and by the
Chairman and Secretary of the Committee on Amendments to the
Constitution of the House of Deputies (the Very Rev. John C. Leffler,
D.D., of Olympia, and Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota), as
provided in Canon 66, Sec. 4 (b).
Constitution—Amendments Attempted At
The General Convention of 1964
But Not Proposed
Constitution—Various Articles

In the House of Bishops, the Bishop of Washington, for the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, offered the following series of Resolutions, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article I. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In Section 4,
   a. Delete the second paragraph, beginning, "The Church in each Missionary District".
   b. From the fourth paragraph, delete the clause in lines 10 to 12, beginning, "and Missionary District".

(2) In Section 6, delete the first three lines and the fourth line, through, "United States of America, and", and also the last sentence of the section, substituting for the latter the following words, "the Convocation of American Churches in Europe shall have one-fourth vote in the Clerical Order and one-fourth vote in the Lay order in a vote by Orders".

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article II. of the Constitution be amended, as follows:

(1) In Section 1, delete the Proviso, and the sentence following.

(2) In Section 3, delete the words, "or Missionary District", in lines 2 and 4, and, "Missionary Districts", in lines 9 and 10 thereof.

(3) In Section 4, delete the last clause, beginning with the words, "or he may be elected".

(4) In Section 7, delete the last clause.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article III. of the Constitution be amended by deleting, in lines 11 and 12, the words, "or Missionary District", and also the last sentence of the Article.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article V. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In Section 1,
   a. Delete clause (1) and renumber subsequent clauses accordingly.
   b. Substitute in clause (3) the following, "by the erection into a Diocese of an unorganized area evangelized as provided in Article VI."
   c. Change the beginning of the following sentence so as to read, "The action shall originate in a Convocation of the Clergy and Laity of the unorganized area", continuing as before.
   d. Delete the sentence beginning on line 11 of page x and ending on line 14.
(2) Delete Section 5 and renumber the following Section.

(3) Delete Section 7.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article VI. be repealed; and, in lieu thereof, there be substituted the following:

ARTICLE VI.

The House of Bishops may establish a Mission in any area not included within the boundaries of any Diocese of this Church or of any Church in communion with this Church, and elect or appoint a Bishop therefor.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article VII. of the Constitution be amended by striking out, from the first line thereof, the words, "and Missionary Districts".

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article VIII. of the Constitution be amended by striking out, from the third paragraph thereof, the words, "or Missionary District".

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article IX. of the Constitution be amended by the deletion, from the second paragraph thereof, the clause beginning, "Presbyters and Deacons", in line 3, and ending with the words, "House of Bishops", in line 7.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article X. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In line 10, delete, "and Missionary Districts".

(2) In lines 16 and 17, delete the words, "and of the Convocation of every Missionary District".

(3) In lines 19 and 20, delete the words, "or Convocation of the Missionary District".

(4) In line 28, delete, "and all the Missionary Districts".

(5) In the first proviso, on page xvi, in the 8th line thereof, delete, "and all the Missionary Districts".

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Article XI. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In lines 6 and 7, delete, "of every Missionary District and of the Convocation".

(2) In lines 8 and 9, delete, "or the Missionary District Convocation".

(3) In line 16, delete, "and of all the Missionary Districts".

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 79.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented House of Bishops Message No. 79, which was referred to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution.
On the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, S.T.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 17 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred Message No. 79 from the House of Bishops, and a Resolution (HD 149) of Anson T. McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, dealing with the same subject, reports as follows:

Message No. 79 of the House of Bishops proposes the amendment of all the 11 Articles of the Constitution except only Article IV. thereof. It incorporates, in changed form, the amendments to the Constitution proposed in the Report of the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts (Green Book pp. 205 to 211). The proposals contained in the House of Bishops Message No. 79 will materially increase the size of this House, involve questions of the proper vote to be accorded Missionary Districts if their status is changed, and require major editorial work in presenting the proposed changes in proper form. This message has come to the Committee so late in the session that there is insufficient time to give it the careful study and draftsmanship it must have, and too late to afford this House time to consider and debate the important changes in the structure of Missionary Districts and in the composition of this House that are involved. The proposed amendments may also involve issues similar to those questions of proportional representation and the like that have already been referred to the Joint Committee on Structure of General Convention and Provinces.

Accordingly, the Committee reports and recommends to the House that this House do not concur in Message No. 79 from the House of Bishops.

The House accepted the Report of the Committee, and voted not to concur with Message No. 79 from the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 164.]

Constitution—Article VIII.

In the House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution:

Your Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, to whom was referred HD99, a Resolution introduced by Mr. Walter E. Cooper of New Jersey, proposing certain constitutional changes to effect a change in the name of this Church, reports that the action of this House taken on October 19, 1964, has dispensed a portion, but not all, of Mr. Cooper’s Resolution.

Your Committee, therefore, presents the following report with respect to the remaining portions of Mr. Cooper’s Resolution:

1. Your Committee requests that the question of the changes, if any, that should be made in the title page and elsewhere in the Prayer Book, in the light of the action taken by this House in concurring with amendments to Message No. 41 of the House of Bishops, and their subsequent concurrence without amendments, be referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book for its study and report at this Convention.

2. Your Committee also proposes the following Resolution for the amendment of the Constitution:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the following amendment be made in the Constitution; and that such proposed amendment be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in accordance with Article XI. of the Constitution; such amendment to take effect immediately in the event of its final adoption and approval; to wit:

That the Declaration required of Bishops on their Consecration, and of Priests and Deacons on their Ordination, as set forth in Article VIII. of the Constitution, be amended by deleting therefrom the words, "The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America", and by substituting therefor the words, "this Church".

Except as hereinbefore set forth, the Committee asks to be discharged from consideration of the other provisions contained in Mr. Cooper's Resolution.

The first recommendation was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.

The second Resolution was adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 93.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 93, providing for the amendment of Article VIII. of the Constitution.

Referred to the Committee on Constitution.

On the Tenth Day, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, moved that the House do not concur with House of Deputies Message No. 93.

The motion of non-concurrence was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 148.]

Consultation on Church Union

In the House of Bishops, on the Third Day, the Bishop of Virginia, on behalf of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, presented a series of Resolutions, which had been proposed by the Joint Commission in its Report to the Convention, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America continue, through its appropriate representatives, to participate in the ecumenical conversations of the Consultation on Church Union, exploring possibilities for an eventual united Church, "truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical"; with the understanding that any proposal arising from these conversations shall be referred to the General Convention for its consideration and action.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention direct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity (or any Commission to
which the General Convention shall entrust its ecumenical responsibilities) to conduct these conversations, as heretofore, on the basis of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church; to determine the size and nature of any sub-committee which shall, from time to time, take part; and to regularly report the progress of these conversations to the General Convention for its consideration.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the work of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be continued, either as heretofore, or by assignment of its responsibilities to a new single Ecumenical Commission; and that such Commission be directed to continue conversations with those Christian bodies with which this Church is already in contact, and to initiate or pursue further conversations with representatives of such other Christian bodies as in its judgment may lead to closer fellowship with them.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 17.]

The Secretary presented Message No. 17 of the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

On the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as follows:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 17, adopting the Resolutions contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, reports as follows:

Your Committee recommends concurrence with, however, slight verbal changes made necessary by the previous passage of the Resolution creating a single Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, so that the Resolutions would read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America continue, through its appropriate representatives, to participate in the ecumenical conversations of the Consultation on Church Union, exploring possibilities for an eventual united Church, "truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical"; with the understanding that any proposal arising from these conversations shall be referred to the General Convention for its consideration and action.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention direct the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations to conduct these conversations, as heretofore, on the basis of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral on behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church; to determine the size and nature of any sub-committee which shall, from time to time, take part; and to regularly report the progress of these conversations to the General Convention for its consideration.

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the work of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be continued through the Joint Committee on Ecumenical Relations, and that said Commission be directed to continue conversations with those Christian bodies with which this Church
is already in contact, and to initiate or pursue further conversations with representatives of such other Christian bodies as in its judgment may lead to closer fellowship with them.

The House concurred in Message No. 17, with amendments.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 137.]

The House of Bishops accepted the amendments on the Eleventh Day.

Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Presiding Bishop, in accordance with the provisions of Canon 21, Sec. 6, nominated, for Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D. The Bishop of South Florida moved that the nomination be approved.

The motion was carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 7.]

The House of Deputies, on the same Day, received the nomination by the House of Bishops, and, on motion, confirmed the action.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 2.]

Deicide and the Jews

In the House of Deputies, on the Seventh Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred HD 26, reports as follows:

The Committee has considered the Memorial from the Diocese of Long Island on Christian-Jewish Dialogue and offers the following Resolution:

Whereas, within the Church, throughout the centuries, loveless attitudes including the charge of deicide, have frequently resulted in persecution of the Jewish people and a concomitant revulsion on the part of the Jewish people towards the un-Christ-like witness thus made; and

Whereas, obedience to the Lord of the Church requires an honest and clear expression of love for our neighbor; and

Whereas, persecution of the Jews has been recently intensified in certain areas of the world; and

Whereas, lack of communication between Christians and Jews, and the resulting ignorance and suspicion of each other, has been a barrier to Christian obedience of the Law of Love; be it
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, meeting in St. Louis in October, 1964, reject the charge of deicide against the Jews and condemn anti-Semitism; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention condemn unchristian accusations against the Jews; and that this Church seek positive dialogue with appropriate representative bodies of the Jewish Faith; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the substance of this Resolution be referred to the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations for continuing study and suggested implementation.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 55.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 55, condemning unchristian accusations against the Jews.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 85.]

**Destinies of Missionary Operations—In the U.S. and Overseas**

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, on behalf of the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, the Bishop of Washington offered the following expression of opinion:

This Joint Committee believes that there is a basic and inherent difference between Dioceses in the States of the Union and Dioceses "overseas". The destiny of the former is to be, and to continue as, constituent parts of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. The latter will ultimately either attain autonomy or associate themselves with neighboring Anglican Dioceses in regional Churches.

Despite geographical discontinuity, therefore, the Dioceses of Alaska and Honolulu should be related ever more closely and normally to the Dioceses in the other 48 States.

On motion, the House approved in substance the distinctions cited.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 81.]

The House of Deputies received House of Bishops Message No. 81 as an expression of the opinion of that House and took no action thereon.

**Diocesan Boundaries—Study of**

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. Herbert S. Brown, S.T.D., of Newark presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, as follows:
Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 144, a Resolution introduced by the Very Rev. Lloyd E. Gressle, D.D., of Delaware, on the subject of diocesan boundaries, reports that it has studied the Resolution, approves its import, and recommends its adoption, as follows:

*Whereas,* The increase of population in any country has increased the size of its metropolitan areas; and

*Whereas,* The mobility of people has also changed the living patterns of contemporary culture; and

*Whereas,* The Episcopal Church is presently organized on the basis of State units and their sub-divisions; therefore, be it

*Resolved,* the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Division of Research and Field Study of the Executive Council be directed to make studies and proposals to the General Convention of 1967 looking toward a more realistic alignment of Dioceses, with a view to safeguarding the essential pastoral functions of the Episcopate, as well as realistically appraising sound regional interests and centers.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 75.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 75, directing the General Division of Research and Field Study to study and make recommendations on possible re-alignment of dioceses.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 111.]

**Draftmanship, Committee on—Constitutional Amendments**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., for the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution, offered the following Resolution:

*Resolved,* the House of Bishops concurring, That the substance of House of Bishops Message No. 79, which proposed the amendment of various Articles of the Constitution with a view to the excision of all references to Missionary Districts, with which Message this House did not concur, together with a Resolution on the same subject introduced into this House by Anson T. McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, and the Report of the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts (including the Minority Report), be referred for study during the coming triennium to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces, with instruction to report thereon to the next meeting of the General Convention; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Presiding Officer of each House appoint, on behalf of each House, from the respective Committees on Amendments to the Constitution, a Committee on Draftsmanship, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Laymen who hold the office of Chancellor in their Dioceses, to which Committee the Joint Commission shall refer for drafting in proper form (but not for altering or varying the substance) such recommendations as may require changes in the Constitution; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That it be the purpose and intent of these Resolutions that any such recommendations of the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces be transmitted to the said Committee on Draftsmanship at least nine (9) months prior to the next General Convention, so that proposed amendments to the Constitution, in proper legislative form to accomplish the recommendations of the said Joint Commission, may be available to all Bishops and all Deputies to the next General Convention, and for reference to the appropriate Committees, well in advance of such meeting.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 167.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 167, providing for a study of certain Resolutions and the Report of the Joint Commission on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, by the Joint Commission on Structure.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 181.]

Ecumenical Day

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, at the conclusion of his report on the events of Ecumenical Day, in which the Bishops, members of the House of Deputies, and Delegates to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church, participated in a series of ecumenical programs in three seminars in the St. Louis area, the Rev. W. Murray Kenney of Missouri moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church thank the three St. Louis-area seminaries—Kenrick (Roman Catholic), Eden (United Church of Christ), and Concordia (Missouri-Synod Lutheran)—and especially His Eminence Joseph Cardinal Ritter, for their participation, leadership, and hospitality, on the occasion of Ecumenical Day, October 14, 1964.

Unanimously adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 30.]
The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 30 expressing thanks to three seminaries and to His Eminence Cardinal Ritter for their participation on the occasion of Ecumenical Day, October 14, 1964.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 52.]

**Ecumenical Relations—Joint Commission on**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, offered for adoption the following Resolutions (subject to the recommendation of the Joint Committee on Expenses in respect of Resolution 6), as follows:

Whereas, The Protestant Episcopal Church has, since 1886, been engaged in serious efforts to achieve unity with the Orthodox Church, in the midst of great historical, doctrinal, and canonical, complexities; and

Whereas, The Joint Doctrinal Conferences arranged by the Church of England and the Orthodox, with American Episcopal theologians as invited assessors, and the Orthodox-Anglican consultations in the United States during this triennium, have resulted in notable progress in these efforts; and

Whereas, The experience gained by the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches in these efforts needs to be preserved and developed, in order to provide patristic grounding and genuine ecumenicity in unity discussions; and

Whereas, Preservation and development depend upon a corresponding charge being given to a well-defined group of Bishops, Priests, and Lay Persons, with experience and competence in these matters; and

Whereas, The interests and true unity of all Christians require that the work of this group be co-ordinated with those working toward unity with the Roman Catholic Church, and other Churches; therefore, be it

1. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations consisting of ten Bishops, ten Presbyters, and ten Lay Persons; which Joint Commission shall continue the work of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches; and shall be charged with relations with inter-Church organizations; with the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, and the Ancient Eastern Churches; with other parts of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; and with consultations on and approaches to unity; and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the task of the new Joint Commission be to develop a comprehensive and co-ordinated policy and strategy on relations with other Churches, confirming, interpreting, or making fresh, definitions, in harmony with the faith and canons of the Protestant Episcopal Church, thus involving (a) statements on Faith and Order, (b) theological discussions with other Churches, separately or in
ecumenical gatherings, and (c) questions of Church law, tradition, and worship, arising in relationships with other Churches; and, be it further

3. *Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That such responsibilities of the new Joint Commission as relate to the Orthodox be committed to a special section, consisting of members particularly qualified therefor; and be it further

4. *Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That, in addition to its thirty members, the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies be members *ex officio*, and that the Ecumenical Officer, Advisory to the Presiding Bishop, be assigned as Staff Officer; and be it further

5. *Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That the chairmen and secretaries of the several Joint Commissions aforesaid be named a Committee to continue the on-going responsibilities of the three former Joint Commissions until the organization of the new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations is put into effective operation; and be it further

6. *Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That the sum of $35,000.00 for the triennium 1965-1967 be appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and its committees; and be it further

7. *Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That 15% of the Good Friday Offering be appropriated annually to the Joint Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to be expended on the recommendation of the "Orthodox" unit of the Commission, for use in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and to provide other services in the field of co-operation with the Eastern Churches.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 42.]

Later, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, offered another series of Resolutions, identical to the ones already adopted, but containing no Preambles and omitting Resolutions No. 2 and No. 3 above.

These, too, were adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 45.]

The President of the House of Deputies referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations House of Bishops Messages No. 42 and No. 45.

On the Seventh Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, recommending concurrence with Message No. 42 from the House of Bishops, with amendments as follows:

In the first Resolution, the addition of the phrase, "by means of special units or otherwise", after the words, "which Joint Commission", so that the first Resolution would read:
1. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, consisting of ten Bishops, ten Presbyters, and ten Lay Persons; which Joint Commission, by means of special units or otherwise, shall continue the work of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, and shall be charged also with relations with inter-Church organizations; with the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, and the Ancient Eastern Churches; with other parts of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; and with consultations on and approaches toward unity;

In the sixth Resolution, the changing of the appropriation to "$25,000.00"; this change being in accordance with the recommendation of the Joint Committee on Expenses, reported by Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia, who also informed the House that representatives of the Joint Commission had agreed to the reduction. As amended the sixth Resolution would read:

6. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the sum of $25,000.00 for the triennium 1965-1967 be appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and its committees.

The House concurred, with amendments as aforesaid.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 53.]

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas then presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 45, on the subject of a new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, moves non-concurrence, since this matter has already been provided for in a previous action.

The House voted not to concur with Message No. 45 of the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 58.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 53, concurring, with amendments, with House of Bishops Message No. 42.

Referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, reporting for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations on the Eighth Day, presented House of Deputies Message No. 53, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 42, with two amendments. He moved that the House accept both amendments.

The motion carried.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 92.]
Episcopal Colleges, Foundation for

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, F. Edward Lund, Ph.D., of Ohio, he having been granted a special order of business to address the House on the subject of the Foundation for Episcopal Colleges, moved the following Resolution, which was adopted, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church welcome the establishment of the Foundation for Episcopal Colleges, endorse its objectives for the promotion of Christian higher education, and commend its work and program to the attention and support of the Church.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 12.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 12, welcoming the establishment of the Foundation for Episcopal Colleges.

This matter was placed on the Calendar of the House.

On the Seventh Day, on motion of the Bishop of South Florida, there was taken from the Calendar House of Deputies Message No. 12, expressing approval of the establishment of the Foundation for Episcopal Colleges and commending its work.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 67.]

Episcopalian, Inc., (The)

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. William C. Warner of Western Michigan presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Christian Education, as follows:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 5, the Report of The Episcopalian, Inc., reports that it has considered said Report and moves

I. That the Report of The Episcopalian, Inc., be received by the Convention;

Carried

II. That the Resolutions appended to said Report (with the exception of Resolution 4, which was referred to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget) be adopted, with the following amendments:

(a) In Resolution 5, after the words, "that General Convention", insert the words, "record its conviction that The Episcopalian, Inc., has provided an outstanding and increasingly effective magazine for the use of the Church, and has thereby earned the commendation and support of all members of the Church, and"; and
In Resolution 6, after the words, "that all", insert the word "official"; and after the words, "to date", the words, "reported to this Convention"

Carried

The recommendation of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget in respect of Resolution 4 being called for, the Very Rev. Leslie Skerry Olsen, D.D., of Kansas presented Report No. 9 of that Committee, which informed the House that the sums set forth in Resolution 4 had been fully included in the General Church Program, as previously adopted; and that, therefore, the Committee recommended adoption.

The following Resolutions were accordingly adopted by the House:

1. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the election of Joseph E. Boyle, L. Philip Ewald, Arthur Z. Gray, Eliott Odell, Thomas J. Patterson, and Sam Welles, as Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", is hereby approved; and they are hereby retroactively appointed and confirmed as such Members and Directors from the dates of their respective elections; and be it further

2. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", namely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Joseph E. Boyle</th>
<th>Robert E. Kenyon, Jr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>William McK. Chapman</td>
<td>William S. Lea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Cousins</td>
<td>John H. Leach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugh Curtis</td>
<td>Samuel W. Meek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Philip Ewald</td>
<td>Eliott Odell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur Z. Gray</td>
<td>Thomas J. Patterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard Hoover</td>
<td>John W. Reinhardt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sam Welles

are hereby appointed and confirmed, to hold office until the next succeeding General Convention and until their respective successors are appointed, confirmed, and qualified, as provided by the by-laws of the corporation; and be it further

3. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", may, from time to time, elect additional Members and Directors between the date hereof and the succeeding General Convention; provided that each such election (a) is in accordance with the by-laws of the corporation, (b) has been approved by the Presiding Bishop, and (c) is subject to confirmation at the next succeeding General Convention; and be it further

4. **Resolved**, the House of Bishops concurring, That the amounts budgeted by the General Convention for each year of the ensuing triennium for the use of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", in defraying costs and expenses in editing, publishing, and distributing the magazine *The Episcopalian* be, and the same hereby are, fixed at $185,584.00 in the first year, $169,048.00 in the second year, and $158,352.00 in the third year, payable to said corporation as the latter may from time to time request, said amounts so budgeted being hereby allocated and granted specially, without diminution by the Executive Council, unto said corporation for its use as aforesaid; and be it further
5. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That General Convention urge the bishops, the parish clergy, and the laity of this Church to adopt the Parish Every Family Plan for distribution of The Episcopalian into the home of each family of such Church; and that General Convention record its conviction that "The Episcopalian, Inc.", has provided an outstanding and increasingly effective magazine for the use of the Church, and has thereby earned the commendation and support of all members of the Church, and ask each jurisdiction to appoint representatives to help disseminate Parish Every Family Plan information; and be it further

6. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That all official acts of the Church Magazine Advisory Board and the Directors and Members of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", to date, reported to this Convention, in connection with the organization and administration of the original and present corporations known as "The Episcopalian, Inc.", are hereby ratified and confirmed.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 124.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 124, relating to The Episcopalian, Inc.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 159.]

**Evangelism**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Malcolm E. McClenaghan of Northern California presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Evangelism, for which Report the members of the Committee in a body came to the platform.

Dean McClenaghan first yielded to Mr. Andrew B. Stoney of Western North Carolina to offer a brief introduction to the general subject.

The Dean then introduced Mr. Paul Tucker of Springfield to present the Report itself:

The Committee, having studied the Report of the Joint Commission on Evangelism which was referred to it, and having conferred with members of that Commission, as well as with members of the Program and Budget Committee, wishes to preface its recommendations with a definitive statement on Evangelism.

Evangelism is more than building buildings, establishing missions, educating the faithful, or alleviating social problems. As important as these are, especially in our generation, and although each of these involves witness to Christ in his world, Evangelism, as a program and emphasis in this Church, must refer more specifically to winning the uncommitted to a vital relationship with the living Lord Jesus Christ in the full sacramental fellowship of this Church. It cannot be understood apart from life-changing experiences of faith in each individual. It cannot be interpreted otherwise than as the renewal of spiritual life in every congregation.
Evangelism is "mutual responsibility and interdependence". To be sure it is primarily on the personal plane. However, this experience never remains individualistic. It leads to mutual sharing among the members of the Body and then to witness in the world. Evangelism is that particular emphasis in the Church's whole task which provides motivation and method in bringing us to open our own heart's door to the Savior. Then, only, are we prepared to fulfill his purposes in the world. "We love because he first loved us."

The success of our noble adventure of interdependence in the Anglican Communion will be measured by how seriously we take up the task of deepening the faith-commitment of our members in every parish and how avidly we pursue the winning of countless thousands who are as yet unbelieving.

We, therefore, now urge you to consider more seriously than ever before the enactment of legislation, implemented by adequate financing, that will provide personnel and program that will strike at the heart of the matter. We must convert dull habit into acute fever, transform program into passion. We believe these concerns necessitate the following action:

(The proposed action consisted of a four-paragraph Preamble, with four Resolutions appended, with the following import:

1. That the position of General Secretary for Evangelism be made a full-time post.

2. That an appropriation in the amount of $75,000.00 be included in the General Church Program for Evangelism.

3. That the Joint Commission be continued with a budget of $10,000.00 for its expenses.

4. That a Service of Witness be planned as part of the program of the 1967 General Convention.)

It was moved and seconded—

a. That the first Resolution be amended to provide for the Executive Council's participation in the process of implementing the office of General Secretary for Evangelism;

b. That the second Resolution be stricken, in view of the prior action of the Convention in adopting a General Church Program which does not include a specific appropriation for Evangelism;

c. That the appropriation for the expenses of the Committee be set at $6,000.00.

Carried

[Inadvertently, Resolution 4, above, though adopted by the House, was not communicated to the House of Bishops.]

The Resolutions, as finally adopted, are as follows:

Whereas, We are called upon to face with ruthless realism the cost of obedience to the Great Commission of our Lord; and

Whereas, The outstanding witness in this 61st General Convention of Mr. Prime Osborn in presenting the implications of Mutual Responsibility and
Interdependence for each individual member in this Church suggested new depths of commitment to be necessary; and

Whereas, The effect of our existing programs of education and social concern in parish after parish are characteristically hindered by lack of committed lives and dedicated stewardship; and

Whereas, Statistics of average giving and membership growth as presented in the State of the Church report to this General Convention show us to be missing the mark in the expanding of our common life in the Body of Christ; and

Whereas, We recognize our unhappy comparison with our brethren of other Churches which have well-organized programs and experienced leaders in evangelism; now, therefore, be it:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring,

1. That the office of General Secretary for Evangelism be made a full-time position under appointment of the Presiding Bishop, within such present structure and budget, or otherwise, as he and the Executive Council may deem appropriate.

2. That the Joint Commission on Evangelism be commended for its efforts and be continued to include 3 Bishops, 3 Presbyters, and 9 Laymen (each representing a Province of this Church); and that the sum of $6,000.00 for the work of this Commission be appropriated from the General Convention Budget.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 139.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 139, providing for the appointment of a General Secretary for Evangelism and for the continuation of the Commission.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 162.]

Executive Council—Members of

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Chair announced that he had appointed the following Bishops to serve on the Joint Committee to Nominate Members of the National Council:

Bishop of East Carolina
Bishop of Eastern Oregon
Bishop of Nebraska

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 4.]

In the House of Deputies, also on the First Day, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 15 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business, as follows:
Resolved, That the President appoint a Committee of three Presbyters and
three Laymen to bring in nominations for Members of the National Council
on the part of this House; and that, in accordance with Rule 46, the said
Committee be instructed to hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for
such nominations.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed on the part of this House:

The Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., of Southern Ohio
The Rev. Gardiner M. Day, D.D., of Massachusetts
Mr. George H. Greer of Kentucky
Mr. Ernest W. Greene of Washington
Mr. Howard T. Tellepsen of Texas

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 22.]

On the Eighth Day, the Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., of
Southern Ohio presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Nomina­
tions to the Executive Council:

Your Committee on Nominations to the Executive Council has received from
the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church nominations for the Executive
Council, as follows:

Mrs. Robert H. Durham of Michigan
Mrs. John H. Foster of West Texas
Mrs. William J. Howard of Washington
Mrs. John Fellows Marshall of Washington
Mrs. Donald Pierpont of Connecticut
Mrs. Harold Sorg of California

On motion, the women so nominated were elected on behalf of
this House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 62.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 62 from the House
of Deputies, informing this House that the House of Deputies had
elected, on behalf of that House, certain women nominated by the
Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church.

On motion, the House confirmed the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 95.]

On the Eighth Day, the Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., of
Southern Ohio presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Nomina­
tions to the Executive Council, to which nominations from the floor
were added, and the report accepted by an affirmative vote of the House.

Your Committee on Nominations to the Executive Council reports that it places in nomination the names of the following laymen:

Charles F. Bound of New York
John P. Causey of Virginia
Charles M. Crump of Tennessee
Albert C. Jacobs, LL.D., of Connecticut
J. Arthur Jones of New Jersey
Wilber G. Katz, J.D., of Milwaukee
Gill Miller of West Missouri
L. Dale Pederson of Oregon
Herbert Van Walker of Los Angeles
Houston Wilson of Delaware
Frank T. Wood of Central New York

Nominations from the floor
David F. Felmet of Western North Carolina
John R. Bingham of Colombia
Emmett Harmon of Liberia
Walker Taylor, Jr., of East Carolina

Continuing his report, the Ven. David R. Thornberry, D.D., of Southern Ohio, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Nominations for Executive Council:

Your Committee on Nominations for Executive Council reports that it places in nomination the names of the following clergymen:

The Rev. Stephen R. Davenport, Jr., of Kentucky
The Rev. John R. Edler of Newark
The Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., of California
The Rev. Christoph Keller Jr., of Mississippi
The Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana
The Rev. Burke Rivers of Bethlehem
The Rev. Edward E. Tate, D.D., of Atlanta
The Rev. David C. Watson, D.D., of Maryland

Nominations from the floor
The Rev. Kenneth E. Trueman of Eau Claire
The Rev. Canon Heber W. Becker of Harrisburg
The Ven. Lemuel B. Shirley of the Panama Canal Zone
The Rev. Richard F. Neal of Northwest Texas
The Rev. Malcolm Eckel of Western Massachusetts
The Very Rev. William B. Spofford, Jr., of Idaho

After the receipt of nominations from the floor, nominations were closed.

In the House of Bishops, on the Ninth Day, the Bishop of East Carolina, reporting for the Joint Committee to nominate Members of the Executive Council, made the following nominations:

The Bishop of Northwest Texas
The Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of Central America
The Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama
The Bishop of Missouri
The Bishop of Nevada
The Bishop of Pennsylvania

The Bishop of Missouri asked permission to withdraw his name.

The House voted by written ballot, and the following were elected as indicated:

For terms of six years:
The Bishop of Chicago
The Bishop of Central America
The Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama

For a term of three years:
The Bishop of Pennsylvania

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 122.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 122 from the House of Bishops, informing this House that certain Bishops had been elected to the Executive Council.

The House of Deputies confirmed the election.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 100.]

The Secretary announced the results of balloting for the election of members of the Executive Council:

Lay Members
Mr. Charles M. Crump of Tennessee, for a six-year term
Mr. Charles F. Bound of New York, for a six-year term
Albert C. Jacobs, LL.D., of Connecticut, for a six-year term
Mr. John P. Causey of Virginia, for a six-year term
Wilber C. Katz, J.D., of Milwaukee, for a six-year term
Mr. L. Dale Pederson of Oregon, for a three-year term only
Hon. Herbert Van Walker of Los Angeles, for a three-year term only

Clerical Members
The Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D., of California, for a six-year term
The Rev. Stephen R. Davenport, Jr., of Kentucky, for a six-year term
The Rev. Edward E. Tate, D.D., of Atlanta, for a six-year term
The Very Rev. Gordon E. Gillett of Quincy, for a three-year term only

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 100.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 100, concurring with Message No. 122 from this House in electing Bishops to the Executive Council, and requesting the confirmation of this House in electing Presbyters and Laymen.

On motion, the House confirmed the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 123.]
Family Planning

In the House of Deputies, on the Fourth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD9, the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, Resolution 3, entitled, "Population Explosion", recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, Reliable studies show that the population of the world increased from one billion in 1830, to two billion in 1930, and to three billion in 1960, and that this growth threatens the world with increased human misery and retarded economic growth, and may well endanger world peace because of widespread hunger and poverty; and

Whereas, The Church continues to condemn non-therapeutic abortion and infanticide; and

Whereas, In addition to Christian continence and discipline, additional morally acceptable means of family planning are now available for the control of population growth; and

Whereas, It is the responsibility of the Church to give guidance in problems having theological and moral implications which our people face; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention urge members of the Church to work in their communities for adequate resources for family planning, including public health and welfare agencies, and also for the protection of the right of husband and wife to determine, by mutual consent, the size of their family.

Adopted by the House.

A further "Resolved", on the subject of making birth-control information available to other nations, was re-committed to the Committee.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 29.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 29, dealing with the population explosion.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 51.]

Forward Movement Publications

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, Bishop Hobson offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Presiding Bishop be authorized to continue the Forward Movement Publications under his supervision, and to appoint such staff members and committees as may be required to maintain this program.
The Resolution was adopted.  
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 8.]

The House of Deputies concurred.  
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 3.]

**General Convention Budget**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 22 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

The Joint Committee on Expenses, having concluded its hearings and the consideration of all requests received by it for appropriations to be included in the budget of General Convention for the triennium ending August 15, 1967, recommends adoption of a budget of General Convention in the total amount of $622,280.06, a copy of which budget is appended hereto, and asks to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Committee, therefore, moves the following Resolutions:

1. **Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the following budget, presented in column (3) below, for the triennial period ending August 15, 1967, be, and hereby is, adopted:**

**GENERAL CONVENTION BUDGET**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>67,500.00</td>
<td>67,500.00</td>
<td>67,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>11,475.00</td>
<td>11,625.00</td>
<td>12,150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Fund</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>4,137.23</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenographic Help</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>576.49</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Expense, Retiring Pres. Bishop (Est.)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving Expense, Pres.-Bishop-Elect (Est.)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation, New Presiding Bishop (including expense of guests) (Est.)</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retirement Allowances of Bishops:**

<p>| Retired Presiding Bishop (Canon 2, Sec. 7(a)) | -0- | -0- | 770.00 |
| Disability Allowance (Canon 2, Sec. 7(b)) | -0- | -0- | 18,000.00 |
| Retired Bishops' Allowances | 57,000.00 | 39,034.59 | 33,670.56 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Totals carried forward</th>
<th>202,975.00</th>
<th>179,873.31</th>
<th>217,090.56</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Totals brought forward</td>
<td>$202,975.00</td>
<td>$179,873.31</td>
<td>$217,090.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabury House Maintenance</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>31,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel of Bishops and Priests officiating at Consecrations of Missionary Bishops (Estimated)</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>2,989.22</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Bishops:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary: Salary</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>3,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td>562.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Office Expense</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>3,050.56</td>
<td>5,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Secretaries:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—2</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,080.00</td>
<td>477.67</td>
<td>1,080.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee on Pastoral Counseling</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>36,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Bishops Contingent Fund</strong></td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>11,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>House of Deputies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President &amp; Vice-President:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel, Secretarial and Office Expense</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>570.36</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary: Salary</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
<td>4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>184.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>2,900.00</td>
<td>2,811.42</td>
<td>5,110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Secretaries:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries—3</td>
<td>900.00</td>
<td>900.00</td>
<td>900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,013.63</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treasurer:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel, Secretarial and Office Expense</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>2,393.49</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Custodian, Book of Common Prayer:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Office Expense</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>113.61</td>
<td>800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Historiographer:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Registrar:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>270.00</td>
<td>270.00</td>
<td>270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Office Expense</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>3,490.39</td>
<td>4,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recorder of Ordinations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>4,700.00</td>
<td>4,330.39</td>
<td>4,707.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Committees, Commissions &amp; Societies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>750.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals carried forward</strong></td>
<td>$280,135.00</td>
<td>$252,478.05</td>
<td>$351,180.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See General Contingent Fund.
## GENERAL CONVENTION BUDGET (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Totals brought forward</td>
<td>$280,135.00</td>
<td>$252,478.05</td>
<td>$351,180.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees &amp; Commissions</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>28.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitution &amp; Canons</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecumenical Relations</td>
<td>4,500.00†</td>
<td>6,928.22</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelism</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>4,467.63</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses (Est.)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrangements (Est.)</td>
<td>1,000.00†</td>
<td>2,067.51</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Conv. Structure &amp; Organization</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healing Ministry</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>1,619.13</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Historical Society</td>
<td>48,000.00</td>
<td>48,000.00</td>
<td>54,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Affairs</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>2,190.04</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Areas</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Liturgical Commission</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>8,612.14</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual Responsibility</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>36,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary Districts</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominations for Pres. Bishop</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,592.09</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program &amp; Budget</td>
<td>9,000.00†</td>
<td>10,218.05</td>
<td>11,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Plan (Quotas)</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>2,043.90</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rules</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of the Church</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>687.36</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education for Holy Orders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Theol. Ed.)</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
<td>12,958.27</td>
<td>13,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
<td>12,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Church Workers</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>1,508.21</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense (Est.)</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,579.54</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in the Expense of the Host Diocese</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Journal, Constitution and Canons (Estimated)</td>
<td>29,500.00</td>
<td>37,258.06</td>
<td>43,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Reports of Committees (Estimated)</td>
<td>4,100.00</td>
<td>1,379.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Contingent Fund</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>1,738.08</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiographer, Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>67.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing and distributing statement of the House of Bishops</td>
<td>1,144.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of guest speakers, House of Bishops</td>
<td>526.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disbursed</td>
<td>1,738.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Totals

|                | $475,485.00 | $447,609.27 | $622,280.06 |

---

†Additional $2,500.00 approved by Joint Committee on Expenses.

‡$3,500.00 est. approved by Chairman Joint Committee on Expenses.
Notes:

**Travel Expense** includes transportation, lodging, meals, and incidentals, including such expenses incurred in attending the General Convention.

**Office Expense** includes stationery, printing, supplies, equipment, telephone, postage, shipping charges, part-time stenographic and clerical help, and incidentals, including such expenses incurred at the General Convention.

**Printing** includes cost of printing, shipping printed material, storage of type and incidental expenses.

**Expense Reports:** All persons must submit expense accounts to the Treasurer for all advances or reimbursements received for travel, entertainment and gift expenses in conformity with the regulations of the Internal Revenue Service. Expense report forms may be obtained from the Treasurer. If such accounting is not rendered, the Treasurer must report the amounts advanced or reimbursed as prescribed by the tax laws.

**References:**

1. The Presiding Bishop's salary includes $1,600.00 per annum designated as additional rental allowance for utilities.

2. The House of Bishops Contingent Fund provides for expenses of subcommittees, Pastoral Letters, and other incidental expenses for which there is no appropriation elsewhere in the Budget. Requisitions for payments to be charged against the House of Bishops Contingent Fund shall be approved by the Presiding Bishop or Secretary of the House of Bishops and itemized in the Treasurer's Report.

3. General Convention Expense covers expenses of the Convention proper which are not applicable to either House and for which there is no appropriation elsewhere in the budget; for example, special printing of reports and other material.

4. Reimbursement to the Host Diocese shall be 50% of the net cost incurred by the Host Diocese after deducting refunds, or $25,000, whichever is the smaller, as determined from the Audit Report, a certified copy of which shall be filed with the Treasurer of General Convention with the requisition from the Host Diocese for such reimbursement. The facilities provided by the Host Diocese include basic furniture. Extra furniture, equipment, supplies and services ordered through the local General Convention Committee on Arrangements shall be charged against the appropriate expense account of the House of Bishops, House of Deputies, officers or committees requiring such items.

5. The General Contingent Fund provides for other expenses for which there is no appropriation elsewhere in the budget. Requisitions for payments to be charged against the Contingent Fund shall be approved by the Chairman of the Committee on Expenses and itemized in the Treasurer's Report.

and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That all printing, the expense of which is to be borne by this Convention, required
by any Committee, during the interval between the meetings of the
General Convention, shall be done under the direction of the Secre­
tary of the House of Deputies; and be it further

3. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Secretary
of the House of Deputies be instructed to have the Journal printed
including the Constitution and Canons, and also a separate edition
of the Constitution and Canons, the number of both to be at his
discretion; it being understood that the usual custom regulating their
distribution will be followed.

The Joint Committee on Expenses further reports that, acting under the
authority conferred upon it by the provisions of Canon 1, Sec. 6, it has
set the annual assessment rate for the triennium at $21.27 and $5.32 as
provided in Canon 1, Sec. 6, and calls attention to the fact that the
maximum rate under the Canon in its present form is $22.00.

The Report was adopted, and the total budget was approved.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 168.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message
No. 168, providing for the adoption of the budget submitted by
the Joint Committee on Expenses.

On motion, the House concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 182.]

A further Resolution, calling for the insertion of explanatory
designations following the assessment-rate figures immediately
above (i.e., “Diocesan assessment” after “21.27”, and “Missionary
District assessment” after “$5.32”) was adopted on the Eleventh
Day, on motion of the Bishop of Connecticut, and communicated
to the House of Deputies by Message No. 192. The Message
was received by the Secretary of the House of Deputies after
that House had adjourned sine die.

General Convention—Duration Of

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Charles
M. Crump of Tennessee introduced a motion, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Committee
on Arrangements for the 62nd General Convention schedule not
more than nine legislative days.

Adopted by the House.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 51.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message
No. 51, instructing the Committee on Arrangements for the 62nd
General Convention to schedule not more than nine legislative days.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 73.]

General Convention—Place of Next

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Presiding Bishop announced that he had appointed the following to serve, on behalf of the House, on the Joint Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention; namely,

Bishop of Ohio
Bishop of Western New York
Bishop of Missouri
Bishop of Olympia

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 3.]

The President of the House of Deputies announced, on the Third Day that he had appointed the following Deputies to serve on the Joint Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention, to wit:

The Rev. William H. Laird, D.D., of Missouri
The Rev. Canon Raymond S. Otensmeyer of Eau Claire
The Very Rev. Herbert M. Barrall of Colorado
The Rev. Canon Addison Hosea of Lexington
Mr. George W. Farnsworth of Olympia
Mr. Walter A. Swearngen of Missouri
Mr. Robert T. Mason of Ohio
Mr. Fred Fielding of Western New York

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 23.]

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, pursuant to a Resolution previously adopted, the Chair recognized Deputies extending invitations for the site of the next General Convention, as follows: Mr. Robert M. Lindstrom of Ohio for the City of Cleveland; the Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia for the City of Seattle; and the Ven. Henry P. Krusen, S.T.D., of Western New York for the City of Buffalo.

The President referred all these invitations to the Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention, and announced that, in conjunction with the comparable Committee of the House of Bishops, open hearings would be held on the matter.
On the Tenth Day, the Rev. William H. Laird, D.D., of Missouri presented Report No. 1 of the Joint Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention:

Your Joint Committee on the Place of the Next General Convention, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention hereby accept the invitation of the Diocese of Olympia to hold the next meeting of the Convention in Seattle, Washington, from September 17 to September 29, 1967; and be it further

Resolved, That if, for any reason, the dates offered by the Diocese of Olympia are not acceptable to the Committee on Arrangements, and if the Diocese of Olympia should not be able to accept dates set by the Committee on Arrangements, the Convention then accept the invitation from the Diocese of Ohio to meet in Cleveland, Ohio.

(NOTE: The dates offered by the Diocese of Ohio are October 1 to 13, 1967, or October 17 to 29, 1967.)

The Committee moves adoption.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 140.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 140, accepting the invitation of the Diocese of Olympia as the meeting place for the General Convention of 1967.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 163.]

General Theological Seminary—Trustees

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Western New York, reporting for the Committee to Nominate Trustees for the General Theological Seminary, nominated the following persons:

Class of January 1, 1971:
The Bishop of Maine
The Bishop of Newark
The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman)
The Bishop of Los Angeles
The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago

On motion, they were elected by the House.

The Bishop of Western New York further nominated, to fill
the vacancy in the Class of January 1, 1968, caused by the death of Bishop Armstrong.

The Bishop of Idaho.

On motion, the Bishop of Idaho was elected.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 47.]

The House of Deputies concurred on the Eighth Day.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 66.]

In connection with the foregoing action, the Rev. Stanley P. Gasek of Central New York presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on the General Theological Seminary:

Your Committee herewith nominates the following Presbyters and Laymen to be elected Trustees of the General Theological Seminary. Each term is for six years except for one unexpired term of three years.

- The Rev. William S. Sperry of Michigan
- The Rev. Leonard Flisher of Connecticut
- The Rev. Christoph Keller, Jr., of Mississippi
- The Ven. Dean Stevenson of Bethlehem
- The Very Rev. Wesley Frensdorff of Utah—for a three-year term
- Andrew Oliver, Esq., of New York
- Mr. Henry W. Proffitt of New York
- Mr. Leland S. Brown of New York
- Mr. Robert E. L. Strider of Maine
- General Frederick Atkinson of New York

The House elected the foregoing as Trustees of the General Theological Seminary.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 66.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 66 from the House of Deputies, indicating that that House had confirmed the election of the Bishops named in House of Bishops Message No. 47, and informing the House of Bishops of the election by the House of Deputies of six Presbyters and five Laymen.

On motion, the House voted to confirm the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 106.]
Good Friday Offering

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. George T. Masuda of Montana presented Report No. 5 of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget:

While the Good Friday Offering is in the category of Special Funds and, strictly speaking, is outside the direct consideration of this Committee, we do concur with the sense of the contained Resolution adopted by the Executive Council and recommend that it be passed.

We request to be discharged from further consideration of the matter.

The Report of the Committee was adopted by the House and the Committee was discharged from further consideration of the matter. The Resolution as recommended by the Committee, was adopted as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council be instructed to appropriate annually not less than $15,000.00 to the Jerusalem and the East Mission from the Good Friday Offering; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council be instructed to appropriate annually from the proceeds of the Good Friday Offering fifteen per cent (15%) thereof to the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, to be used for the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and for the provision of other services in the field of co-operation with the Eastern Churches; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That, after the foregoing appropriations have been made, any balance left in the Good Friday Offering shall be used for work in the Middle East, at the discretion of Executive Council; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council be instructed to show in its annual report the amount received from the Good Friday Offering and the expenditures made from it.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 70.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 70, providing for allocations from the Good Friday Offering.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 108.]

Government Aid to Church-Related Schools

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee, presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on National and International Problems:
Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred HD 7, the Report of the Commission on Church-State Relations of the Executive Council, transmitted by a Resolution of the Executive Council adopted May 26-28, 1964, together with a proposed Resolution for consideration by the General Convention, reports that, after a study of this Report by each of its members and consultation with two of the members of the Commission which prepared it, the Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution by this Convention:

Whereas, The General Convention of 1961 endorsed the principle that sectarian schools should be supported in full from private sources or from a Church, and declared opposition to the use of Federal funds for the support of private, parochial, or sectarian schools; be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America hereby amend and supplement this action by recognizing the propriety of including such schools in general public-health and public-welfare programs, such as, among others, the provision of standard text-books and of equal bus transportation.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 42.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 42, modifying certain action of the 60th General Convention, and giving approval to the inclusion of parochial and similar schools in public-health and public-welfare programs.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 58.]

Healing Ministry—Joint Commission

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southern Virginia presented Report No. 11 of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

The Joint Committee on Expenses has received and considered Resolution 2 contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing and recommends its adoption as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing be continued for the ensuing triennium, and that the sum of $2,500.00 be included in the budget of the General Convention for its expenses.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 155.]
The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 155, providing for the continuance of the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing, and providing a budget allowance therefor.

On motion the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 175.]

**Healing Ministry—Statements**

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of West Virginia, reporting for the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing, presented the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That Statements 1 through 7, listed below, as presented by the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing, be received and adopted by this General Convention:

1. The Commission feels that since healing was always central and present in the life of the Church in the New Testament and in the early undivided Apostolic Church, the Church today must be called back to this complete conception of her mission and ministry, including special services of healing within a liturgical context.

By the "ministry of healing" the Commission means that activity by which, through the power of the Holy Spirit, the Church endeavors to bring healing to the whole man and thus raise his spirit into harmony with, and right relationship to, God. To accomplish this, the Commission believes that the Church must use all scientific tools and skills, as well as faith, and prayer, and Sacrament. We need the whole truth for the whole man. The Commission affirms that the Church's ministry of healing is an act of obedience to the command and example of our Lord Jesus Christ.

2. The Commission affirms that the active principle involved in all healing is God the Holy Ghost, Lord and Giver of life.

3. The Commission believes that the motivating power and the goal of all healing prayers are to bring the "brokenness" of the sufferer, individual or corporate, into a "oneness" with the will, and purpose, and love, of God. It acknowledges that all our striving is to find God and live in relationship with Him. It affirms that all tangible or physical cures or healings are corollaries added unto the sick seeker, whether desired or deserved.

4. The Commission calls upon the Church to recognize the all-inclusive ministry of reconciliation, and to give training, and to make all possible use of those who have by personal experience been called to this ministry.

5. The Commission urges the Church to exhort all her ordained ministers to promote and to lead public and private services of healing and intercession, remembering especially their role as guardians and dispensers of all the Sacraments.
6. The Commission believes that the Church should call upon her seminaries and those supervising the training of men for the priesthood to teach, instruct, and otherwise prepare such students for the priestly exercise of the ministry of healing.

7. The Commission calls upon the Church to sponsor a special study or research program devoted to the question of how clergy-men can be better prepared and trained to exercise the healing ministry.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 48.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 48 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Evangelism.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. David F. Gearhart of Easton presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Evangelism:

Your Committee on Evangelism, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 48, makes the following recommendation:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in accepting Statements 1 through 7 of the Report of the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing, as contained in House of Bishops Message No. 48.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 138.]

Historiographer—Election

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of South Florida nominated the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D. as Historiographer of the Church.

On motion, Dr. Stowe was elected.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 59.]

The House of Deputies received Message No. 59 from the House of Bishops.

On motion, the House concurred in the Resolution contained therein, and confirmed the election of the Rev. Dr. Stowe as Historiographer of the Church.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 54.]
Human Affairs—Joint Commission

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred HD 9, the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention receive the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, commend the same to the several Dioceses, Parishes, and Missions of the Church for their study and implementation, and approve continuation of the Commission.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 122.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 122 from the House of Deputies, containing a Resolution receiving and commending the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs and continuing the Commission.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 146.]

Human Rights Conventions

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 78, a Resolution introduced by the Rev. John M. Krumm, D.D., of New York, reports that it has considered said Resolution, has heard the Rev. Dr. Krumm thereon, and recommends adoption thereof, as follows:

Whereas, The General Convention in 1949 expressed the belief "that the security of the United States, along with that of other countries, can be achieved only as everyone, everywhere in the world, is progressively assured the basic human rights set forth in the (Universal) Declaration of Human Rights"; expressed its support for, and urged the United States Senate to approve and the President to ratify, the international convention on genocide; and declared that "it looks forward to the development of further international conventions and agreements in the field of human rights and urges that the United States continue its effort"; and

Whereas, The failure of the United States Senate to ratify the United Nations conventions—on genocide (adopted in 1949), on slavery (adopted in 1956), on forced labor (adopted in 1957), and on the political rights of women (adopted in 1952)—has put our nation in a position of acute embarrassment though frequent mention of this fact in the United Nations by countries hostile to or critical of the United States; and
Whereas, None of the above-mentioned conventions contravenes any Federal or State laws of the United States, but together they express sentiments deeply imbedded in our American tradition and in no way threaten the security or welfare of our people; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the United States Senate be urged to accomplish the speedy ratification of these Human Rights Conventions.

The Resolution was adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 132.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 132, urging that the General Convention urge the U.S. Senate to ratify certain Human Rights Conventions.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 155.]

Indian Work—Commendation of Joint Staff

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Missions:

Whereas, The Report of the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work reflects the implementation of the expressed desire of the 60th General Convention of the Church; and

Whereas, The continued development and conduct of the Church's mission and ministry to the American Indian is highly desirable; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work be commended for its Report, and that the program proposed in said Report be carried out during the coming triennium, subject to the availability of funds.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 76.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 76, commending the Report and proposed program of the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 112.]
Indian Work—Advance Program

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Missions:

Whereas, The American-Indian Missions of the Episcopal Church are among its oldest and most distinguished, and rest on a foundation of experience and trust built up during years of devoted work; and

Whereas, The American-Indian Missions of the Episcopal Church have produced Indian leaders, both clerical and lay, of local, regional, and national distinction; and

Whereas, American-Indian Episcopalians have been outstanding in their support of the Church, in proportion to their means; and

Whereas, The Church never has given this work adequate support, and is not doing so now; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Indian Advance Program, which has been deleted from the proposed budget for the next triennium, be made a project of high priority in the proposed program of Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 77.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 77, recommending the Indian Advance Program for high priority in the plans for Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 113.]

International Co-operation Year

In the House of Bishops, on the Second Day, the Bishop of Erie moved the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, People throughout the world will celebrate the 20th anniversary of the United Nations on October 24, 1964; and

Whereas, The General Convention of this Church has regularly and consistently called for support of the United Nations and its work in many existing programs of international co-operation, and for the elimination of hunger, ignorance, and disease; and

Whereas, Stimulated by the suggestion of the late Prime Minister Nehru of India, the 18th General Assembly officially endorsed by acclamation the idea that the 20th year of the United Nations be called the INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION YEAR; and
Whereas, The United States representatives to the General Assembly called for strong support of the INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION YEAR by stating that "the whole purpose of the U.N. is to tackle the gigantic assignment of replacing historical patterns of national and human conflict with international co-operation. Co-operation . . . is a way of making the world safe for diversity"; and

Whereas, The Anglican Congress, meeting in Toronto in 1963, called upon the Church in "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ" to "develop swiftly every possible channel of communication with (their) companions in the Anglican Communion" as a "matter of deep and deliberate involvement in one another's affairs and life"; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention of the Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, call its members to take note of the designation of 1965 as INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION YEAR; to publicize to the widest extent possible the activities of international co-operation in which they have been and are at present engaged and their efforts to strengthen and expand these activities; and to encourage new efforts of co-operation, particularly in ecumenical and international relations.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 22.]

In the House of Deputies, Message No. 22 was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems, which Committee, in its Report No. 6, recommended concurrence. The House, however, re-committed the Message to the Committee to consider the offering of such amendments as should make the Resolution less wordy.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 11 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee, to whom has been referred Message No. 22 from the House of Bishops, reports that it has re-studied the matter and recommends the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with Message No. 22 from the House of Bishops, with amendments, so that the Resolution contained in said Message will read,

Whereas, People throughout the world will celebrate the 20th Anniversary of the United Nations on October 24, 1965; and the 18th General Assembly of the United Nations officially endorsed by acclamation the idea that the 20th year of the United Nations be called International Co-operation Year; and

Whereas, The United States Representatives to the General Assembly have called for strong support of the International Co-operation Year; now, therefore, be it
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention of the Episcopal Church, meeting in St. Louis, call its members to take note of the designation of 1965 as International Co-operation Year.

On motion, the House concurred, with amendments, in adopting the Resolution contained in House of Bishops Message No. 22.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 136.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 136, in which that House concurs with House of Bishops Message No. 22, with amendments.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 161.]

Lay Employees—Medical Plan for

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

There have been referred to your Committee Memorials from several Dioceses—Rhode Island (HD 44), Erie (HD 46), and Newark (HD 49)—all, in substantially the same language, petitioning that, in addition to providing a Church-wide mandatory medical plan for the clergy, the General Convention request The Church Pension Fund, through the Church Life Insurance Corporation, to provide similar, optional, coverage for salaried lay employees of the Church.

Your Committee reports that when any Church unit has a group of employees, numbering four or more full-time salaried persons, consisting of both clergymen and lay persons, such unit is already eligible to apply for the participation of such lay employees, separately from the clergymen, in the benefits of the present Church Major-Medical Plan under a Church-wide contract.

Your Committee has recommended to this General Convention (see "Medical Plan for the Clergy") a combined National Blue-Cross-and-Blue-Shield and Church Major-Medical Plan, on a mandatory basis, for all clergymen in the Church. Your Committee does not believe that it would be feasible, at least at this time, to provide a mandatory coverage for all full-time lay employees, because of the diversity of their employment and tenure, and for other reasons.

When and if the combined plan, with mandatory coverage of the clergy, becomes effective, groups of four or more employees (containing both ordained and unordained persons) may be included in that coverage, the lay employees on a voluntary basis, with the same benefit structure. However, the premium costs for such lay workers, so included, will be necessarily higher than for the clergymen in the same group, because of the "selection out" privilege of the laymen.
Your Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That all Church units which have a group, counting both clergymen and full-time salaried lay employees, numbering four or more persons, be urged, at this time, to apply to the Church Life Insurance Corporation for the inclusion of such lay employees under the present Church Major-Medical Plan, as a step toward their eventual coverage in the proposed Church-wide Plan as proposed by this Committee in its Report No. 10.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 120.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 120, relating to Major-Medical Insurance for Lay Persons.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 145.]

Levels of Authority Within the Church

In the House of Bishops on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Bethlehem, for the Committee on Social and International Affairs, cited an announcement which had been made in the House to the effect that a statement on the subject of public pronouncements in the name of the Church would be included in the Pastoral Letter. He reported that the Committee on Social and International Affairs felt that a fuller statement should be considered by the House and submitted a draft of such a statement.

After certain refinements in wording suggested by the Bishop of Virginia, the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Hargrave), the Bishop of Newark, and the Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, the following Resolution was moved and seconded, to wit:

Whereas, Several Dioceses have petitioned the General Convention to define the context of responsibility within which the Protestant Episcopal Church speaks on various levels of authority; therefore,

be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this 61st General Convention do now adopt and publish the following Statement on

LEVELS OF AUTHORITY WITHIN THE CHURCH

It is the historic right and the undoubted duty of the Christian man and of the Christian Church to declare the Gospel of Jesus Christ and to witness to that Gospel in every phase of human life and activity.
The Church in so speaking rests upon the authority given to it by the Lord Christ. The Christian speaks out of faith and conscience. Both the Church and the Christian are, we pray, obedient to the Holy Spirit.

But in so speaking, individual Christians and bodies of Christians within the Church should speak out of the context of their own levels of authority and responsibility. There is an obligation in our mutual interdependence within the Body of Christ that calls for appropriate restraint lest any statement or action seem to claim authority that it does not possess.

1. The Protestant Episcopal Church accepts as its authority the Holy Scriptures, the Nicene and Apostles' Creeds, and speaks through the Book of Common Prayer and the Constitution and Canons of the Church. The Protestant Episcopal Church speaks also through the Resolutions, Statements, and actions of the General Convention. In these ways the Church speaks at the highest level of responsibility for the Church, to the Church and to the world.

2. Similarly, the House of Bishops, as the Fathers in God of the Church, speaks corporately to the Church the mind of its Chief Pastors. Further, each Bishop may speak as an apostolic Shepherd within his own jurisdiction, yet with a sense of mutual responsibility to his episcopal brethren and with faithfulness to the teaching of the Church.

3. In the interim of General Convention, the Presiding Bishop and the Executive Council are the responsible representatives of the Church, granted authority to implement the statements and actions of General Convention and of the House of Bishops. When, in the course of the fast-moving events of life today, it is not possible to await a meeting of General Convention, it is the duty of the Presiding Bishop and the Executive Council to speak God's word to his Church and to his world.

4. At a lesser level of responsibility and authority, the officers and staff of the Executive Council may, from time to time, speak their own Christian mind, after consultation with the Presiding Bishop, in areas of great concern in which General Convention has not acted. Such statements or actions should not be interpreted as the will of the whole Church, but as that of the individuals and group directly responsible.

The official bodies of the Church alone can commit the Church. But the right of voluntary and unofficial associations of members of the Church, as they are led, by conscience, to act and to apply Christian principles in specific fashion to concrete situations, is recognized.

In encouraging such witness, we urge that groups and individuals will identify their private character and not appear to assume authority which is not possessed. Unofficial groups and individuals also bear responsibility to the Church of which they are a part.

The Holy Spirit of God is not to be bound. Yet the Church must act with a sense of order within itself, that God's word be spoken effectually to God's world and in charity within its own fellowship.

The Resolution was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 63.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 63 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee on National and International Problems, to whom was referred Message No. 63 from the House of Bishops on Levels of Authority within the Church, reports as follows:

The Committee has considered Message No. 63 from the House of Bishops; believes it to be an excellent statement of the matter; and, despite its length, recommends that the House of Deputies concur without amendment.

The House concurred with Message No. 63 from the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 134.]

**Lichtenberger, Rt. Rev. Arthur—Resignation**

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Secretary read a letter from the Presiding Bishop, the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, submitting his resignation as Presiding Bishop, to take effect at the pleasure of his successor. The Bishop of South Florida took the Chair. The Vice-President of the National Council moved that the resignation be accepted with deep regret.

The House approved the motion.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 2.]

The House of Deputies, also with deep regret, received the notice of the resignation of the Presiding Bishop.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 5.]

**Liturical Material in Commission Reports (Non-concurrence)**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, after the House had received the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church's Ministry of Healing, which Report contained examples of Services of Healing, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee moved the following Resolution:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Joint Committees and Joint Commissions, except the Standing Liturgical Commission, be instructed not to publish in their Reports to the General Convention liturgical services which have not been approved by the Standing Liturgical Commission.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 141.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 141, limiting the publication of liturgical services in Convention Reports to those approved by the Standing Liturgical Commission.

The House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 165.]

Medical Plan for the Clergy

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee, to whom was referred the Report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund on a Church-wide Medical Plan for the Clergy (which they had been directed to make by the General Convention of 1961), together with Memorials on the same subject from the Dioceses of Rhode Island (HD 44), Western Michigan (HD 45), Erie (HD 46), Pennsylvania (HD 47), and Newark (HD 49), reports as follows:

The Committee has thoroughly studied the Report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund (see Appendix), and strongly recommends the plan of hospital, surgical, and major-medical benefits which it sets forth. It is a plan for the whole Church, covering all clergymen of the Church wherever they may be, and enables free movement of clergymen from one jurisdiction to another within the Church without disturbance of benefits.

The plan must cover 100% of the clergy in order to be effective. The hospital and surgical benefits are, with some improvements, substantially the same as the benefits in the plans now in effect, through Church Life Insurance Corporation, in 16 Dioceses and Missionary Districts. The major-medical portion of the plan is substantially the same, but with certain improvements, as in the Church Major-Medical Plans which are now in effect, through Church Life Insurance Corporation, in 46 Dioceses and Missionary Districts.

Your Committee recommends the adoption of a Resolution, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this General Convention accept with approval and thanks the Report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund on a Medical Plan for the Clergy, and recommend the plan of medical, surgical, and hospital insurance described therein; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this General Convention request approval of such plan by each Diocese, Missionary District, and the Convocation of American Churches in Europe, at the earliest possible date; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That such plan become mandatory upon every Diocese, every Missionary District, and upon the Convocation of American Churches in Europe, as soon as two-thirds of all Dioceses have approved the plan.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 121.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 121, relating to a Major-Medical-Insurance Plan for Clergy.

Referred to the Committee on Memorials and Petitions, which reported by recommending concurrence, with amendments, as follows:

In lieu of the words, "become mandatory upon every Diocese, every Missionary District, and upon the Convocation of American Churches in Europe", substitute the words, "be put in force", so that the third paragraph will read:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That such plan be put into force as soon as two-thirds of all Dioceses have approved the plan.

The House concurred, with amendments, with House of Deputies Message No. 121.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 183.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 180.]

Memorials and Petitions from Dioceses

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Diocesan and Missionary District memorials and petitions to the General Convention be referred, when feasible, to the Provincial Synod of which the Diocese or Missionary District presenting such memorial or petition is a member for discussion and recommendation, if any, before being presented to the General Convention; and that a statement shall accompany each such Diocesan or Missionary District
memorial or petition stating whether it has been considered by the Provincial Synod, and, if so, the recommendation, if any, made by the Synod.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 50.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 50, urging that Diocesan and Missionary Districts submit memorials and petitions to their Provincial Synods for study, in advance of the meeting of General Convention.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 72.]

Minority Groups in the Urban Program

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Missions and recommended the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, The Report of the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work makes it clear that the Church’s mission to American Indians is being reshaped in terms of the process of urbanization in our culture; and

Whereas, In every metropolitan area in the country there will be found groups of varying ethnic and racial backgrounds; and

Whereas, Interdependence is a chief characteristic of urbanization and these groups must be considered in the totality of the urban mission; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be referred to the Joint Urban-Planning Committee of the Executive Council that portion of the Report of the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work entitled, “Findings on Urban Work”, which reads as follows:

Findings on Urban Work

The Joint Staff Committee offers the following findings, especially in relation to urban work:

1. The cosmopolitan nature of Indian work is nowhere so clearly demonstrated as in the urban centers. The urban Indian communities link Indian people throughout the country, of many tribes, from many States, and from a wide variety of social and economic settings.

2. Urban communities are new centers of Indian interest and of Indian politics. Ministry in these communities requires the leadership of workers especially recruited and trained, and directly involved in the day-to-day life of Indian persons, both in the cities and on the reservations.
3. Plans for the extension of the urban ministry need to be carefully conceived in relation to the findings of all of the urban-regional conferences and the developing principles of the work of the Church in urban society. Requests for social workers in urban situations make clear that special attention must be given to social-welfare planning, along traditional lines or in new terms.

4. The responsibility of the Church is far broader and deeper than has been previously understood and reaches across the whole of the United States, on and off the reservations. The Church has been largely unaware of the presence of an Indian community in many cities. Additional pilot projects in urban areas are called for in widely divergent geographic locations.

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That said Joint Committee be requested to give special attention to, and study of, the ministry of the Church to all minority groups in the unified program of the Urban Mission.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 74.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 74, referring the “Findings on Urban Work” of the Report of the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work to the Executive Council for study.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 110.]

Missionary Bishops—Election of

The House of Bishops, on the Ninth Day, gathered in Christ Church Cathedral, pursuant to the Order of the Day, at 7:30 a.m., for a celebration of the Holy Communion, following which the House went into Executive Session.

The Presiding Bishop took the chair.

The Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Saucedo) read a Lesson from Holy Scriptures.

The Veni, Creator Spiritus was sung.

Silence was observed for a space, and the Service to be used before Balloting was concluded.
The Secretary read the list of nominations for a Bishop of the Missionary District of Taiwan.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Bishop of Taiwan. The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines (Bishop Loñgít), the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess), the Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, and the Bishop of Springfield (Chairman), as tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the former Bishop of Jesselton (North Borneo), the Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of Taiwan.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong, subject to the concurrence of the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Honolulu, the Anglican Executive Officer, and the Vice-President of the Executive Council to notify Bishop Wong of his election.

The following communication was sent to the House of Deputies by Message No. 101, viz.:

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, in General Convention assembled, in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, did on the 21st day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in conformity with Canon 40, Section 2(a), make choice of

The Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong

as Bishop of the Missionary District of Taiwan, subject to the consent of the House of Deputies.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Secretary of the House of Bishops

II.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for a Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota.
The Chair appointed the Bishop of Colombia, the Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York, the Suffragan Bishop of Newark, and the Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Saucedo), Chairman, as tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Rev. George Theodore Masuda, Rector of St. Luke’s, Billings, Montana, was found, on the third ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. George Theodore Masuda as Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota, subject to the concurrence of the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Director of the Home Department, the Bishop of South Dakota, and the Bishop of Montana, to notify the Rev. Mr. Masuda of his election.

The following communication was sent to the House of Deputies by Message No. 102, viz.:

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, in General Convention assembled, in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, did on the 21st day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in conformity with Canon 40, Section 2(a), make choice of

The Rev. George Theodore Masuda

as Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota, subject to the consent of the House of Deputies.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Secretary of the House of Bishops

III.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Bishop Coadjutor for the Missionary District of Puerto Rico.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for a Bishop Coadjutor for Puerto Rico.

The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Romero), the Suffragan Bishop of Washington, the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, and the Bishop of Northern Indiana (Chairman), as tellers.
The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Very Rev. Francisco Reus-Froylán, Dean of the Cathedral of St. John the Baptist, Santurce, Puerto Rico, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Puerto Rico.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Very Rev. Francisco Reus-Froylán, subject to the concurrence of the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Puerto Rico, the Bishop of the Dominican Republic, and the Bishop of Cuba, to notify Dean Reus-Froylán of his election.

The following communication was sent to the House of Deputies by Message No. 103, viz.:

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, in General Convention assembled, in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, did on the 21st day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in conformity with Canon 40, Section 2(a), make choice of

The Very Rev. Francisco Reus-Froylán

as Bishop Coadjutor of the Missionary District of Puerto Rico, subject to the consent of the House of Deputies.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Secretary of the House of Bishops

IV.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for a Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces.

The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines (Bishop Loñigid), the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess), the Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, and the Bishop of Springfield (Chairman), as tellers.

The Bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and Rt. Rev. Arnold Meredith Lewis, D.D., Bishop of Western Kansas, was found, on the second ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces.
The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rt. Rev. Arnold Meredith Lewis, D.D., subject to the concurrence of the House of Deputies.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Minnesota, the Bishop of South Florida, and the Bishop of Milwaukee, to notify Bishop Lewis of his election.

The following communication was sent to the House of Deputies by Message No. 104, viz.:

**IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED**

That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, in General Convention assembled, in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, did on the 21st day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in conformity with Canon 40, Section 2(a), make choice of

The Rt. Rev. Arnold Meredith Lewis, D.D.

as Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces, subject to the consent of the House of Deputies.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
Presiding Bishop

ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Secretary of the House of Bishops

Prayers were offered by the Chair for those elected, following which, each of the Testimonials was signed by 136 Bishops.

Messages No. 101, No. 102, No. 103, and No. 104, were received by the House of Deputies and were at once referred to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, which was excused by the Chair and retired from the House.

When the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops notified the Chair that it was ready to report, the House went into Executive Session.

The Rev. Herbert S. Craig of Maine presented Reports No. 4, No. 5, No. 6, and No. 7, of the Committee, as follows:

No. 4—That the House of Deputies consent to the election of the Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong as Bishop of the Missionary District of Taiwan.

The House gave its consent.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 89.]

No. 5—That the House of Deputies consent to the election of the Rev. George Theodore Masuda as Bishop of the Missionary District of North Dakota.
The House gave its consent.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 88.]

No. 6—That the House of Deputies consent to the election of the Very Rev. Francisco Reus-Froylán as Bishop Coadjutor of the Missionary District of Puerto Rico.

The House gave its consent.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 90.]

No. 7—That the House of Deputies consent to the election of the Rt. Rev. Arnold Meredith Lewis, D.D., as Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces.

The House gave its consent.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 91.]

The House rose, and the President and Secretary signed the appropriate certificates, which were forwarded to the House of Bishops.

Upon the receipt of Messages No. 88, No. 89, No. 90, and No. 91 from the House of Deputies, the House of Bishops rose from Executive Session and adjourned.

Missionary Bishops—Flexibility in Budgets

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Missions, recommending the following Resolution:

Whereas, It is the policy of this Church in its missionary work to look forward to self-governing jurisdictions; and

Whereas, Missionary Bishops are presently hampered by a policy which requires of them that they expend line-budgeted funds with great rigidity; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this General Convention urge upon the Executive Council to give greater authority and control to Missionary Bishops in the expenditure of their budgeted allocations.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 165.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 165, urging the Executive Council to give greater control of budgeted allocations to Missionary Bishops.
On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 180.]

Missionary Districts and Dioceses—Statement of Intent

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Charles Howard Perry of Northern California introduced the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That it be recorded as the sense of this Convention that no constitutional nor canonical distinction should be made between Missionary Districts and Dioceses; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this matter be submitted to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention and Provinces for implementation and for report to the General Convention of 1967.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 156.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 156 expressing the intention of wiping out the distinctions between Missionary Districts and Dioceses in the Constitution and Canons.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 176.]

Mutual Responsibility—Accepting the Document

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Delaware reported for the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence. Inasmuch as this matter had been presented at length in a Joint Session, he presented, without further explanation, the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church, speaking through its episcopate and its duly elected representatives in the lay and clerical orders in General Convention assembled, accept the message of the Primates and Metropolitans of the Anglican Communion entitled, "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ", as a declaration of God's judgment upon our insularity, complacency, and defective obedience to Mission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church undertake without delay that evaluation and reformation of our
corporate life, our priorities, and our response to Mission, which is called for by the leaders of the Anglican Communion, God being our helper; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That we commit ourselves to this undertaking on the basis of the following convictions:

First, that we must seek renewal and new obedience in every area of the Church's life, at home and overseas;

Secondly, that we must seek these gifts in ourselves as individuals, and in our families, our communities, the nation, and the world at large;

Thirdly, that the study and action required must begin with ourselves, our own congregations and dioceses—the new forms of obedience must be ours, first of all, if they are to be found anywhere;

Fourthly, that both study and action are essential; our responsibility is greater than any merely financial response can discharge, and more urgent and costly than mere self-examination can meet; prayer, Bible-study, new understanding, and acceptance of our own personal and local ministry, are cardinal needs, if we are to have any gift to make or grace to receive; and

Finally, that the root responsibility in all of this rests on each congregation; and we ask that every assistance be given—by diocese, province, and National Church—to provide incentives and means to this end;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church be asked to join with the General Convention in affirming the foregoing Resolutions.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 38.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 38 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. Robert A. Magill, D.D., of Southwestern Virginia presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence:

Your Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 38, on the subject of Mutual Responsibility, recommends as follows:

Resolved, That this House concur with House of Bishops Message No. 38.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 67.]
Mutual Responsibility—Organizing for Response

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Delaware, for the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility, moved the following Resolutions:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be established an agency of the General Convention, under the direction of the Presiding Bishop, to be named The Mutual Responsibility Commission, and to be charged with the stimulating, supporting, and co-ordinating responsibility for the implementation, at all levels of the Church, of the program set forth in the document entitled, "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ", and particularly Section III thereof, viz:

1. Join in immediate commitment for increased support in money and manpower, through existing or new channels, in co-operation with other Churches of our Communion....

2. Begin at once a radical study of our obedience to mission: a study of structure, of theology of mission, and of priorities in decision....

3. Seek the way to receive as well as give, asking expectantly what other Churches and cultures may bring to our life, and eager to share our tasks and problems with others....

4. Seek to test and evaluate every activity by the test of mission and service to others, in our following after Christ....

5. Develop swiftly every possible channel for communication with our companions in the Anglican Communion—indeed in the Church of Christ as a whole....

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Mutual Responsibility Commission be composed of six Bishops (not less than half of whom shall be chosen from among the Presidents of the Provinces), six Presbyters, and twelve Lay Persons, the Bishops to be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and the Presbyters and Lay Persons to be appointed by the President of the House of Deputies, the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies to be ex officio members of the Commission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Commission be directed and authorized to call upon the existing agencies of the Church, including the Executive Council, for assistance and expertise in carrying out its task. The Mutual Responsibility Commission may make request of the Executive Council for the assistance of the Council’s staff personnel in discharging its responsibilities. The Commission shall make a report, with recommendations to the Executive Council, at each of the Council’s regular meetings; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this General Convention request the Presiding Bishop to transfer the functions and responsibilities of the Strategic Advisory Committee to the Mutual Responsibility Commission; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention request the Presiding Bishop to assign an Executive Officer to the Mutual Responsibility Commission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the sum of $36,000.00 be appropriated from the budget of the General Convention for the expenses of the Mutual Responsibility Commission for the fiscal triennium 1964—1967; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be included in the General Church Program an appropriation for the development and implementation of Mutual Responsibility, in the amount of $100,000.00 annually in the triennium 1965—1967.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 39.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 39 from the House of Bishops, which was referred as a whole to the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence, while the sixth Resolution was referred, also, to the Joint Committee on Expenses, and the seventh to the Joint Committee on Program and Budget.

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. Robert A. Magill, D.D., of Southwestern Virginia presented Report No. 3 of the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence:

Your Committee, to whom was referred Message No. 39 from the House of Bishops, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, That this House concur with House of Bishops Message No. 39.

Similar recommendations were made by the Joint Committees on Expenses and on Program and Budget, to whom portions of the Message had been also referred.

The House, therefore, concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 68.]

Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Need

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop of Delaware, for the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence, moved the following Resolutions:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church recognize its responsibility for its share of the immediate needs of the whole Anglican Communion, and commit itself to projects of responsible co-operative partnership with other Churches of the
Anglican Communion; such partnership to involve, over and above the budget for the General Church Program, voluntary acceptance of projects totaling:

$1,000,000.00 in 1965
$2,000,000.00 in 1966 and
$3,000,000.00 in 1967;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the maximum emphasis be placed on the responsible initiative of Parishes, Dioceses, and Provinces, in setting their own commitments and in the choice of projects; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Executive Council be responsible for the providing of necessary descriptive and strategic information, on the basis of which choices shall be made; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That money given toward such projects be transmitted through diocesan and national Church offices, which offices are herewith instructed to make no commitments in respect of projects until money or firm pledges therefor are actually in hand; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Mutual Responsibility Commission be instructed to keep the Church regularly informed and to report fully to the 62nd General Convention in 1967.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 40.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 40 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of Rochester moved

That this House rescind its action of the Fifth Day in adopting the first in the series of Resolutions on the subject of Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Need.

The motion carried, and the Bishop of Rochester moved the following Resolution, in lieu of the one now rescinded, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church recognize its responsibility for its share in the immediate needs of the whole Anglican Communion and commit itself to projects of responsible co-operative partnership among the Churches of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; such partnership to
involve, over and above the budget for the General Church Program, voluntary acceptance of projects totaling

\begin{align*}
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1965} \\
$2,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1966 and} \\
$3,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1967.}
\end{align*}

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 74.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies read Message No. 74 from the House of Bishops, which informed the House of Deputies that one of the Resolutions contained in Message No. 40 had been rescinded and another Resolution on the same subject adopted. This Message was likewise referred to the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence.

On the Eighth Day, Charles P. Taft, LL.D., of Southern Ohio presented Report No. 4 of the Special Committee on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence:

Your Committee, to whom was referred Messages No. 40 and No. 74 from the House of Bishops, on Mutual Responsibility—Responding to Immediate Needs, recommends the following action:

\begin{quote}
Resolved, That this House concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolutions contained in its Message No. 40, as amended in its Message No. 74, with an amendment, as follows:

Strike the word "totaling" in the first Resolution, and add the words, "which are undertaken on a basis of mutual participation, and to be measured by the dollar costs, insofar as dollars can measure them, in sums totaling, for the whole Church".
\end{quote}

The Rev. James Y. Perry, Jr., of Western North Carolina moved a substitute as follows:

Strike all of the first Resolution after the words, "Wider Episcopal Fellowship", and substitute the words,

\begin{quote}
Such partnership to include in the General Church Budget

\begin{align*}
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1965} \\
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1966 and} \\
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1967,}
\end{align*}

and to involve, over and above the budget for the General Church Program, voluntary acceptance of projects totaling

\begin{align*}
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1966 and} \\
$1,000,000.00 & \text{ in 1967.}
\end{align*}
\end{quote}
The substitute was put to a vote, and was defeated.

The recommendation of the Special Committee was then put to a vote and carried.

The House concurred with House of Bishops Message No. 40, as amended by Message No. 74, with amendments, so that the first Resolution would read as follows:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church recognize its responsibility for its share of the immediate needs of the whole Anglican Communion, and commit itself to projects of responsible co-operative partnership among the Churches of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; such partnership to involve, over and above the budget for the General Church Program, voluntary acceptance of projects which are undertaken on a basis of mutual participation, and to be measured by the dollar costs, insofar as dollars can measure them, in sums totaling, for the whole Church:

- $1,000,000.00 in 1965
- $2,000,000.00 in 1966 and
- $3,000,000.00 in 1967.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 65.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 65, concurring with House of Bishops Messages No. 40 and No. 74, with an amendment to Resolution 1.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 105.]

Name of the Church
(See Constitution—Preamble)

National Council—Change of Name
(See Canons 1, 2, 3, etc.)

National Council of Churches

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Rev. William W. Lumpkin, of Upper South Carolina, presented the following Resolution (HD 95), which was adopted:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That open hearings be held by the appropriate Committee or Committees of both Houses of the 61st General Convention with regard to the relationship of this Church to the National Council of Churches, in order that all members of this Convention who wish to do so may be enabled to express their views on this subject.
The House of Bishops concurred.

On the Fifth Day, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be accepted and commended to the Church; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Study Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations on the National Council of Churches be accepted and commended to the Church for study; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That membership of this Church in the National Council of Churches be reaffirmed; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the recommendations appended to the Study Report on the National Council of Churches be transmitted to that body and to the Executive Council of this Church.

The Resolution was adopted.

On the Seventh Day, the time set previously for a Special Order of the Day having arrived, Mr. William Ikard II of New Mexico and Southwest Texas presented the Report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 4, the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations; HD 10, the Report of the Study Committee of the said Joint Commission on the National Council of Churches; and House of Bishops Message No. 43; reports as follows:

The Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, in compliance with a directive of the General Convention of 1961, appointed a special committee to study the structure, program, and finances of the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the United States of America. The Study Committee carried out a comprehensive investigation of these matters and reported to the Commission, which has submitted a thorough and objective report to the General Convention.

Certain of the proposals of the Study Committee have, in fact, been already communicated by our Representatives to the National Council of Churches for the purpose of improving the structure of that Council. The position of our Representatives will be strengthened by the passage of the following Resolutions, which are offered by your Committee as an amendment to the Resolution contained in Message No. 43 from the House of Bishops, to wit:
Whereas, We record our conviction that increased participation in the working of the National Council of Churches is a means whereby the Protestant Episcopal Church can strengthen Christian influence in American and world society; and

Whereas, We recognize the great values contributed by divisions and departments of the National Council of Churches to the Departments of our own Executive Council; and

Whereas, Serious questions have been raised concerning the National Council of Churches, including among others, these areas:

1. Operation;
2. Pronouncements, public statements, and releases;
3. Lobbying;
4. Alleged Communist influence;
5. Educational literature; and
6. Our representation; and

Whereas, We believe that the Study Report contains thoughtful, objective, and acceptable answers; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring,

1. That the Study Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations on the National Council of Churches be received and commended to the Church for study;

2. That the following principles shall apply in the selection of the Representatives of this Church to the General Assembly of the National Council of Churches, to wit:

   a. Representatives shall be chosen from the several Provinces of this Church;

   b. Each Province shall have in the delegation of this Church to the General Assembly one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Lay Persons at least one of whom is not professionally employed by the Church; moreover, the Province itself shall have the choice of one of this group;

   c. The Presiding Bishop shall be a member of the General Assembly;

   d. Representatives of this Church on the General Board shall be nominated from among our Representatives in the General Assembly by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations to the Presiding Bishop, keeping in mind the balance of lay and clerical and geographical representation;

   e. No person professionally employed by the National Council of Churches shall be eligible for membership in our delegation to the General Assembly, but the talents and knowledge of such persons may be used in an advisory capacity;

3. That the position of this Church on any public statements or releases of the National Council of Churches be stated as follows:

   a. Such public statements or releases should have as their
primary purpose the setting forth of issues about which Christian people ought to be concerned;

b. They should be so phrased as not to bring into question the Christian commitment of those who do not agree;

c. Statements should not try to give specific solutions to problems that must be decided by statesmen or others in specialized fields of competence;

d. While statements may be directed properly to any area of life, they should avoid the impression that they offer the only specific Christian solution to the problem;

4. That the position of this Church is that the National Council of Churches and associated departments or agencies should refrain from engaging in efforts to influence specific legislation;

5. That the National Council of Churches should follow the safeguards regarding educational literature referred to in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, and if such safeguards are violated, our Representatives should be prompt in their criticism.

6. That the Representatives of this Church to the National Council of Churches be instructed to implement the principles outlined in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and these Resolutions; and

7. That the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations make appropriate recommendations to the Committee on Program and Budget, so that this Church may meet more nearly its fair share of support to the National Council of Churches.

Mr. B. Allston Moore of South Carolina offered a substitute for the foregoing Report, as follows:

Membership in the National Council of Churches of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the U.S.A. is doing irreparable harm to the unity of our Church for three reasons:

(1) The general philosophy prevailing in the National Council of Churches is not in accord with the Faith and Order of this Church.

(2) The National Council of Churches, through its General Board, Departments and Agencies, has engaged repeatedly in political and legislative affairs in a partisan manner, contrary to the practice and custom of the Protestant Episcopal Church.

(3) The literature of the National Council of Churches has been published on occasions, which is subversive of Christian morality, especially concerning the pre-marital relations of unmarried couples.

now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring,

1. That the Protestant Episcopal Church in the U.S.A. immedi-
ately withdraw its membership from the National Council of Churches;

2. That non-participation in the National Council of Churches shall continue until such time as the General Assembly and its General Board will give the following assurances:

   a. The General Board must definitely assume responsibility for the actions and pronouncements of all its various departments and agencies,

   b. That it will close its Washington Office, which has definitely been used as a political lobby,

   c. That the General Board, its departments and agencies, will cease activity on political issues in a partisan manner, and

   d. That whenever it issues pronouncements on controversial social, economic, racial, and other issues, it will do so in the manner recommended by the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations to the 61st General Convention.

The clerical and lay Deputations from South Carolina called for a vote by orders on the substitute, with the following results:

   Clerical: Ayes, 3\(\frac{1}{4}\); Noes, 80\(\frac{3}{4}\); Divided, 1.

   Lay: Ayes, 10\(\frac{1}{4}\); Noes, 75; Divided, 1.

The substitute was lost in both orders.

The Ven. Samuel Steinmetz, Jr., of New Jersey moved an amendment to remove from the first and second "Whereas" clauses, the adjectives, "increased" and "great"; which amendment was lost.

The Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D., of Massachusetts offered an amendment to Resolution 4 of the Report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, as follows:

   Add, "unless authorized by the General Board", to the end thereof, so that Resolution 4 would read:

   The position of this Church is that the National Council of Churches and associated departments or agencies should refrain from engaging in efforts to influence specific legislation unless authorized by the General Board.

The lay Deputation from South Carolina requested a vote by orders. The vote was taken by the Secretary, with the following results:

   Clerical: Ayes, 46\(\frac{1}{4}\); Noes, 35\(\frac{1}{4}\); Divided, 6.

   Lay: Ayes, 34\(\frac{1}{4}\); Noes, 45; Divided 4.

The amendment was lost in the lay order.
The Rev. Thomas J. C. Smyth of North Carolina offered an amendment to Resolution 2(b), as follows:

That Resolution 2(b) be amended to read:

Each Province shall have in the delegation of this Church to the General Assembly one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Lay Persons neither of whom shall be professionally employed by the Church; moreover, the Province itself shall have the choice of one of this group.

Adopted by the House.

The motion was then put,

Shall this House concur with the House of Bishops in adopting the Resolutions contained in its Message No. 43, as amended by the whole Report of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations as now amended?

The motion was passed by a voice vote, no division being called for.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 44.]

In the House of Bishops, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, presented Message No. 44 from the House of Deputies, without recommendation.

After discussion, a motion to amend Resolution 4 was offered and passed, to the effect that said Resolution would read:

4. That we urge our Representatives to the National Council of Churches to seek to restrain the National Council of Churches and its departments and agencies from efforts to influence specific legislation, except where issues are involved on which this Church has taken a stand through the General Convention, the House of Bishops, or the Executive Council.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 84.]

It was moved and seconded—

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That a Committee of Conference be appointed to arrive at a common mind in respect of the Resolutions on the National Council of Churches.

Adopted.

The Chair named, on the part of the House of Bishops,

The Bishop of West Texas
The Bishop of Milwaukee
The Bishop of the Philippines
The Bishop of Fond du Lac
The Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina
The Bishop of Pennsylvania
The Bishop of New Hampshire
The Bishop of Rochester

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 90.]

The House of Deputies concurred with House of Bishops Message No. 90, and the President named, on the part of the House, the sub-committee on the National Council of Churches of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 64.]

On the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas, for the Committee of Conference, moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies do now concur with Message No. 43 from the House of Bishops, as amended by Message No. 44 of this House, and as further amended by Message No. 84 from the House of Bishops.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 135.]

The Resolutions, as finally adopted by both Houses, read as follows:

Whereas, We record our conviction that increased participation in the working of the National Council of Churches is a means whereby the Protestant Episcopal Church can strengthen Christian influence in American and world society; and

Whereas, We recognize the great values contributed by divisions and departments of the National Council of Churches to the departments of our own Executive Council; and

Whereas, Serious questions have been raised concerning the National Council of Churches, including among others, these areas:

1. Operation;
2. Pronouncements, public statements, and releases;
3. Lobbying;
4. Alleged Communist influence;
5. Educational literature; and
6. Our representation; and
Whereas, We believe the Study Report contains thoughtful, objective, and acceptable, answers; now, therefore, be it

Resolved,

1. That the Study Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations on the National Council of Churches be received and commended to the Church for study;

2. That the following principles shall apply in the selection of the Representatives of this Church to the General Assembly of the National Council of Churches, to wit:

   a. Representatives shall be chosen from the several Provinces of this Church;

   b. Each Province shall have in the delegation of our Church to the General Assembly one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Lay Persons neither of whom shall be professionally employed by the Church; moreover, the Province itself shall have the choice of one of this group;

   c. The Presiding Bishop shall be a member of the General Assembly;

   d. Representatives of this Church on the General Board shall be nominated, from among our Representatives in the General Assembly, by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, to the Presiding Bishop, keeping in mind the balance of lay and clerical and geographical representation.

   e. No person professionally employed by the National Council of Churches shall be eligible for membership in our delegation to the General Assembly, but the talents and knowledge of such persons may be used in an advisory capacity.

3. That the position of this Church on any public statements or releases of the National Council of Churches be stated as follows:

   a. Such public statements or releases should have as their primary purpose the setting forth of issues about which Christian people ought to be concerned;

   b. They should be so phrased as not to bring into question the Christian commitment of those who do not agree;

   c. Statements should not try to give specific solutions to problems that must be decided by statesmen or others in specialized fields of competence;

   d. While statements may be directed properly to any area of life, they should avoid the impression that they offer the only specific Christian solution to the problem;

4. That we urge our Representatives to the National Council of Churches to seek to restrain the National Council of Churches and its departments and agencies from efforts to influence specific legislation, except where issues are involved on which this Church has taken a stand through the General Convention, the House of Bishops, or the Executive Council.
5. That the National Council of Churches should follow the safeguards regarding educational literature referred to in the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations' report, and if such safeguards are violated, our Representatives should be prompt in their criticism.

6. That the Representatives of this Church to the National Council of Churches be instructed to implement the principles outlined in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and these Resolutions; and

7. That the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations make appropriate recommendations to the Committee on Program and Budget, so that this Church may meet more nearly its fair share of support to the National Council of Churches.

**Open Communion (Non-concurrence)**

In the House of Bishops, on the Ninth Day, the Bishop of South Florida presented the following Resolution which had been adopted in Executive Session:

*Whereas,* The House of Bishops believes the time has come when Christian Churches should recognize as a fundamental principle that all Christians duly baptized by water in the Name of the Holy Trinity and qualified to receive the Holy Communion in their own churches should be welcomed as guests at the Lord's Table in all Christian Churches; be it therefore,

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention accept this principle, affirming the right of Bishops to apply it in their own jurisdictions; direct the Standing Liturgical Commission to present to the 1967 meeting of General Convention appropriate rubrical and other amendments to the Book of Common Prayer expressive of this principle; and request the Committees on Constitution and Canons of the House of Bishops to present to the same meeting such constitutional and canonical changes as may be necessary fully to establish this principle in this Church; and be it further

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That in so altering our discipline, the General Convention intends to clarify and emphasize this Church's understanding of Confirmation as a response to and fulfillment of Holy Baptism and not a mere prerequisite to the Holy Communion; to reaffirm our wish and prayer that this effectual sign of the gift of the Holy Spirit might be everywhere gladly accepted as a rightful part of the preparation and strengthening of every Christian for ministry; and to state plainly, once again, our solemn intention to press resolutely toward that unity in Christ in which painful separations at the heart of the Church will be impossible.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 121.]
The House of Deputies received Message No. 121 from the House of Bishops and placed it on the Calendar as Item No. 15.

On the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, moved that House of Bishops Message No. 121, relative to Open Communion, Item No. 15 on the Calendar, be taken up out of the regular Order. The motion was passed by a two-thirds majority of the House.

The Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D., of Milwaukee thereupon moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies concur with Message No. 121 from the House of Bishops, with amendment, so that the Resolution contained therein would read as follows:

Whereas, Important questions exist, in view of the language of our Prayer Book, relating to Open Communion and the policy of this Church with respect to whether Baptism or Confirmation, or both, are prerequisites to admission to the Holy Communion; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That all such questions be referred to the new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations for study during the coming triennium and for report, with the recommendation of such Commission, for early consideration at the next meeting of the General Convention.

The Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D., of Long Island made a motion for an immediate vote, which was adopted. A division was had, with the following results: Ayes, 303; Noes, 122.

The amendment was carried by a majority vote.

The House concurred with Message No. 121 of the House of Bishops, with amendment.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 146.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 146, in which that House concurs with House of Bishops Message No. 121, with amendment, the amendment taking the form of a substitute Resolution.

The House did not concur.

On motion, the House voted to reconsider the action on House of Deputies Message No. 146.

On motion, the House voted again not to concur.

It was then moved to refer the subject matter of the House's
original Message No. 121 to the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 168.]

**Oregon—Suffragan Bishop for**

In the House of Deputies, on the Second Day, the Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig of Maine presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops:

The Committee on the Consecration of Bishops met on October 13, 1964, and, on motion of the Rev. Kenneth M. Gearhart of Georgia, seconded by the Rev. George B. Wood, D.D., of Northern Indiana, unanimously voted:

1. To approve the petition of the Diocese of Oregon (HD 85) for the election of a Suffragan Bishop.
2. To recommend the adoption of the following Resolution:

   Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Diocese of Oregon be authorized to proceed to the election of a Suffragan Bishop.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 13.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 13, approving the election of a Suffragan Bishop for the Diocese of Oregon.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 18.]

**Partnership Plan—Director**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. William Ikard II of New Mexico and Southwest Texas offered the following Resolution on behalf of the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas, to wit:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council be asked to assign a person on its staff during the next triennium to make available information on the "Partnership Plan"; to share the experience of those who have adopted the Plan; and to work closely with the Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan.

The Resolution was adopted.
The House of Bishops received Message No. 144 from the House of Deputies, providing for the assignment of an officer of the Executive Council to be responsible for the "Partnership Plan".

On motion, the House concurred.

Partnership Plan—Joint Commission

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 3 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

The Joint Committee, to whom was referred Resolutions 2 and 3 of HD 11, the Report of the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas, recommends the adoption of the said Resolutions, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas be discharged, on the basis that it has done the work assigned to it; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a new Joint Committee be appointed, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen, to be known as the Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, to work with the Executive Council in planning how new methods of giving may be most effectively presented and carried out throughout the Church.

Adopted by the House.

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 78, recommending that, inasmuch as the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas had completed its work, it should be discharged and a new committee appointed for the next General Convention.

This was referred to the members of the House on the Joint Committee on Quotas.

On the Tenth Day, the Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York, reporting for the Joint Committee on Quotas, recommended that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 78, providing for the appointment of a new Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan.
On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 187.]

Pensions—Assessment Rate and Benefits

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund, on the subject of the Report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, entitled "Clergy Pensions", recommending the adoption of the two Resolutions contained therein.

Mr. George R. Humrickhouse of Virginia moved an amendment to replace Resolution 2, and the amendment was adopted.

The Resolutions, as amended, are as follows:

1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the following recommendation of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, as set forth in the Report of the Trustees to the General Convention, 1964, be, and it is hereby, approved and adopted; namely,

   That the present rate of assessment, 15% of clergy stipends, be continued as a "permanent fixture";

and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund consider the application of any funds available for increasing pensions to increasing the minimum pensions of retired clergymen, widows, and orphan children of deceased clergymen, in such proportions as the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund shall deem equitable, so that minimum pensions to retired clergymen shall first be increased to a minimum of $2,400.00 per year before any increases are made to other pensioners; and that, after this minimum is attained, and attention is given to the minimum pensions for the widows and orphans of deceased clergymen, then the said Trustees of The Church Pension Fund shall make such "across the board" increases to other pensioners as it may deem adequate, not to exceed, however, a 10% increase for other pensioners.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 142.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 142 from the House of Deputies on the Eleventh Day.

The Bishop of Newark moved that the House concur with the House of Deputies, with an amendment, so that the Resolutions contained therein would read as follows:
1. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the recommendation of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, as set forth in the Report of the Trustees to the General Convention, 1964, that the present rate of assessment, 15% of clergy stipends, be continued as a "permanent fixture", be, and it is hereby, approved and adopted; and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund, be, and they are hereby, requested to place the emphasis, in expanding benefits, on the minimum pensions, and on offsetting the lower stipends of earlier years of ministry.

On motion, the House concurred, with the foregoing amendment.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 164.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 147.]

Philippine Episcopal and Independent Churches—Joint Council

In the House of Bishops, on the Fourth Day, the Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented the Memorial from the Joint Council of the Philippine Episcopal Church and the Philippine Independent Church, and recommended the adoption of the following Resolutions:

Whereas, The expansion and development of the work of the Joint Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and the Philippine Independent Church has led to a need for a reorganization of the structure and membership of the Joint Council; and

Whereas, A reorganization of the Joint Council will advance the interests of mutual responsibility as between the two Churches and will aid in the development and mission of the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church in the Republic of the Philippines; and

Whereas, The Supreme Council of the Philippine Independent Church has taken action to authorize the reorganization herein proposed; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America authorize a reorganization of the structure and membership of the Joint Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and the Philippine Independent Church, as follows, viz:

1. The Presiding Bishop of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and the Supreme Bishop of the
Philippine Independent Church shall be permanent members of the Joint Council.

2. The said Presiding Bishop and the said Supreme Bishop shall alternate as Chairman of the Joint Council for three-year terms of office.

3. Either the said Presiding Bishop or the said Supreme Bishop shall be authorized to appoint another Bishop to serve in his stead as Chairman during his term of office, or any portion thereof, at his discretion.

4. The said Presiding Bishop and the said Supreme Bishop shall, each on the part of, and from the membership of, his own Church, appoint two Bishops, two Presbyters, and two Laymen, to be members of the Joint Council for four-year terms of office.

5. The said Presiding Bishop, in consultation with, and with the approval of, the said Supreme Bishop, shall appoint an Executive Director of the Joint Council, whose term of office shall be five years.

6. There shall be six Associate Members of the Joint Council, whose term of office shall be three years, and who shall have seat and voice, but no vote, in the meetings of the Council; said Associate Members to be chosen as follows: two each to be appointed by the said Presiding Bishop and the said Supreme Bishop, respectively; one to be appointed by the Council of the Church of South East Asia; and the sixth to be the Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Joint Council be authorized, when conditions warrant and require, to incorporate itself under the laws of the Republic of the Philippines; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the purposes of the said Joint Council be recognized as being the following, viz:

1. To promote mutual understanding between the two Churches,

2. To direct a program which will assist the mutual growth and interdependence of these two Churches in the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church,

3. To assist both the Philippine Independent Church and the Philippine Episcopal Church in matters crucial to their life and work, and

4. To direct and support joint projects of the Philippine Independent Church and the Philippine Episcopal Church;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Joint Council be instructed to concern itself primarily with the following:

1. The program of Christian Education,

2. The program of Stewardship and Evangelism,
3. Leadership-Training programs,
4. The operation of schools and other institutions,
5. College Work,
6. The development of regional conferences.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 28.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies read Message No. 28 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Missions.

On the Eighth Day, the Rev. Charles H. Crawford of Arizona presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on Missions, recommending concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 28, on the reorganization of the structure and membership of the Joint Council of the Philippine Episcopal and Independent Churches.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 73.]

Philippine Independent Church—Greetings to

In the House of Bishops, on the Fifth Day, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina, for the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, moved the following Resolution, being a portion of the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, to wit:

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That this General Convention send greetings to the Supreme Bishop, the episcopate, the rest of the clergy, and the people, of the Philippine Independent Church, assuring them of the joy of this Church in the increasingly close relationships of the two Churches, growing out of the Concordat of Full Communion, as those relationships are expressed especially in the work of the Joint Council, in the establishment of Trinity College, and in the development of joint projects in the missionary and educational fields; and be it further

*Resolved,* the House of Deputies concurring, That prayer be offered for an increasing fellowship between the Independent and Episcopal Churches in the Philippines, both in sacramental life and in practical co-operation, looking toward the time when there may be in the Philippines one united Province of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 46.]
The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 46 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

On the Seventh Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 5 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 46 on the subject of Philippine Independent Church, moves concurrence, and asks to be discharged from further consideration of HD 27 on the same subject.

The House concurred and discharged the Committee from further consideration of HD 27.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 57.]

**Pope Paul VI—Greetings to**

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, on the motion of the Bishop of Delaware, the following Resolution was adopted; namely,

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the following message be sent:

To His Holiness Pope Paul VI, Vatican City, Rome:
Greetings and salutations in the Lord.

From the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, with its House of Bishops under the Presidency of the Most Reverend Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Presiding Bishop, and its House of Deputies, under the Presidency of Dr. Clifford P. Morehouse, now assembled in triennial session in St. Louis, Missouri, October 11-23, 1964...

We assure you of our continued prayers for you, Your Holiness, that God may grant you abundance of health and strength, and the fulness of divine guidance for your great and immensely responsible task; we pray also for the whole Council now assembled in Rome, that God the Holy Spirit may guide all its deliberations and decisions, to the salvation of souls, the further refreshing and invigorating of the Holy Church, and the good of all men everywhere.

ARTHUR C. LICHTENBERGER
CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 9.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 4.]

[For the reply from the Vatican, see page 54.]
Population Explosion—Birth-Control Information

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 4 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred HD 90, on the subject of Population Explosion, reports as follows:

We have examined the Resolution of Mr. Charles F. Bound of New York, and recommend its adoption, as follows,

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention instruct the Executive Council to form a committee, made up of representatives from all departments, to study, with the aid of outside experts, what more the Protestant Episcopal Church can do to bring about effective birth control throughout the world, with recommendations to be made to the 1967 General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 37.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 37, providing for the formation of a Committee by the Executive Council to study ways to promote the dissemination of birth-control information on a world-wide basis.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 56.]

Prayer Book in Basic English

In the House of Deputies, on the Fourth Day, the Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D., of Long Island presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on the Prayer Book:

Your Committee on Prayer Book, to whom was referred HD 116, on the subject of publishing an edition of the Book of Common Prayer, using basic English, reports as follows:

The Prayer Book Committee has considered this Resolution, and also discussed it with the Deputies from Alaska who introduced it. Our Committee is sympathetic to the request made herein, and recommends the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the following Resolution, introduced by the Deputies from Alaska, be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission for study and report; namely,

Whereas, This Church ministers to many people whose educational level is very limited; and

Whereas, The language of the Book of Common Prayer is not in the vernacular of many people who use it; and
Whereas, This Church has prided itself on having its liturgy in language that people can understand; and

Whereas, The Anglican Communion has accepted editions of the Holy Bible in the vernacular; be it

Resolved, That the General Convention consider a translation of the Book of Common Prayer written in basic English that would be used for worship and instructing peoples with limited education and limited English vocabulary in those areas where authorized by the Ordinary.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 28.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 28, referring a Resolution calling for a Prayer Book in basic English to the Standing Liturgical Commission.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 141.]

**Prayer Book Revision**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Harold F. Lemoine, D.D., of Long Island presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on the Prayer Book:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 133, a Resolution introduced by the Rev. A. Malcolm MacMillan of Erie, reports as follows:

It is our opinion that the ends desired by the Rev. Mr. MacMillan can be better served by the Standing Liturgical Commission than by a specially appointed Joint Commission. Therefore, the Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, In view of the very considerable work of the Standing Liturgical Commission in preparing several portions of the Prayer Book to be submitted shortly for trial use; and

Whereas, Through this method the Church as a whole will be able to test proposed changes; and

Whereas, There is a growing desire in various parts of the Church for revision; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the following Resolution be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission for study and action, viz:

Whereas, The Standing Liturgical Commission has, over the period of the last several years, prepared a series of Prayer Book Studies which have included suggestions for revisions of the several Offices and Services of the Book of Common Prayer; and
Whereas, These studies have made available to the Church the results of sound scholarship and reasonable proposals for the revision of the Book of Common Prayer in whole or in part; and

Whereas, The time seems ripe to many in the Church to undertake a revision of the Book of Common Prayer in this Church so that the language may be more easily understood of the people, and the forms of services more suitable to the present age; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a Joint Commission be appointed by the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies to propose to the next General Convention a plan by which a revision of the Book of Common Prayer can be undertaken, beginning at the next General Convention of this Church, with a special view to making the language and the form of the services more relevant to the circumstances of the Church's present ministry and life.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 114.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 114, referring proposals for Prayer Book revision to the Standing Liturgical Commission.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 142.]

Presiding Bishop—Disability Allowance

In the House of Deputies, on the Ninth Day, Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southwestern Virginia presented a Report of the Joint Committee on Expenses:

The Joint Committee on Expenses, to which has been referred the Resolution of Very Rev. John V. Butler of New York (HD 136) providing for a disability allowance to be granted to the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop, upon his retirement, under the provisions of Canon 2, Sec. 7 (b) as now amended, begs leave to report that it has considered this Resolution and recommends its adoption as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the disability allowance to be paid to the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., as provided by Canon 2, Sec. 7 (b), as now amended, shall be in the amount of six thousand dollars per annum, of which amount the sum of three thousand dollars per annum is hereby designated as an allowance for rent, utilities, maintenance, repairs, and other similar expenses directly related to providing a home; the same to be payable as from the effective date of his resignation.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 98.]
In connection with the foregoing, it was moved and seconded—

That this House request a Committee of Conference. Carried.

The Chair appointed, on the part of the House of Deputies

The Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana
Mr. William W. Gilbert of New Mexico and Southwest Texas

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 97.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 97 from the House of Deputies, requesting a Committee of Conference.

The House concurred and the Chair appointed

The Bishop of Florida
The Bishop of Spokane

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 124.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 98, from the House of Deputies, relating to a disability allowance for the Presiding Bishop.

On the recommendation of the Committee of Conference, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 128.]

Presiding Bishop—Election of

On the Sixth Day, pursuant to the Order of the Day, the House of Bishops assembled in Executive Session in the nave of Christ Church Cathedral. The Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop took the Chair.

The Secretary read the report of the Joint Committee to Nominate for the Office of Presiding Bishop, together with nominations that had been presented on the floor of the House of Bishops.

The Veni, Creator Spiritus was said.

Silence was observed for a space and the Service to be used before Balloting was concluded.
The Chair announced that an election was in order for Presiding Bishop of this Church.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Northern Michigan, the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, and the Suffragan Bishop of Texas (Bishop Bailey), Chairman, as tellers.

The Bishops were checked on the voting lists provided by the Secretary. The Bishops deposited their ballots; and the Rt. Rev. John Elbridge Hines, D.D., Bishop of Texas, was found, on the sixth ballot, to have received a canonical majority of votes cast. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Presiding Bishop of this Church, subject to the confirmation of the House of Deputies.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 49.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies received Message No. 49 from the House of Bishops at the hand of the Secretary of that House. The President referred the Message to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, which was thereupon excused to consider the matter.

When the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops had finished their consideration, and had declared themselves ready to report, the House went into Executive Session.

The Secretary read Message No. 49 from the House of Bishops, and the Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig of Maine presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops:

The Committee of this House on the Consecration of Bishops unanimously recommends that this House of Deputies confirm the election of the Rt. Rev. John Elbridge Hines, D.D., Bishop of Texas, as Presiding Bishop of this Church.

Suspension of the Rules of Order requiring a written ballot was moved and carried by a vote of more than two-thirds of the House, and thereupon the confirmation of the election was voted by an overwhelming majority.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 39.]

The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California, presented Report No. 43 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, That the President be requested to wait upon the Rt. Rev. John E. Hines, Presiding-Bishop-elect, to extend to him the courtesy of the House, and to escort him to the platform at his convenience.

Adopted by the House.
The President appointed a committee to convey Message No. 39 to the House of Bishops and to escort the Presiding-Bishop-elect to this House, to wit:

The Rev. Canon Herbert S. Craig of Maine
Mr. James S. Barker of New Hampshire
The Very Rev. J. Milton Richardson of Texas
Mr. J. L. Caldwell McFaddin of Texas
Mr. Emmett Harmon of Liberia
The Rev. William W. Lumpkin of Upper South Carolina


The House rose from Executive Session.

Upon the entrance of the Presiding-Bishop-elect and his escort into the House of Deputies, the House rose from Executive Session.

Presiding Bishop—Method of Nominating and Electing (Non-concurrence)

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 7 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred HD 143, on the subject of the election of the Presiding Bishop and filling a vacancy in that office, reports as follows:

We have received the Resolution of Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota, and the Diocese of Minnesota;

We approve it; and we therefore move the Resolution, as follows:

Whereas, In the progress and development of the Church, the post of Presiding Bishop has become one of consequence far exceeding that which obtained in the past, encompassing not only the responsibilities of presiding officer of the House of Bishops, but those of the chief executive of the whole Church in all its administrative and leadership functions; and

Whereas, The possibility of a vacancy occurring in the office of Presiding Bishop in the intervening years between sessions of General Convention can consequently affect the whole Church; now, therefore be it
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces consider, during the coming three years, and report to the General Convention to be held in 1967, with their recommendations, the manner in which the Presiding Bishop should be nominated and elected and the procedures that should be followed in the election of a Presiding Bishop of the Church in the event that a vacancy in that office should occur less than three months prior to a session of the General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 154.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 154, providing that the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces study the procedures for nominating and electing the Presiding Bishop.

On motion, the House did not concur.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 174.]

Program and Budget

In the House of Deputies, on the Eighth Day, Mr. Houston Wilson of Delaware presented the Report of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, and moved the following Resolutions:

RESOLUTION NO. 1

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Budget for 1965, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $12,777,017, be adopted for such year of 1965.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 79.]

RESOLUTION NO. 2

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Estimated Budget for 1966, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $13,379,351, be adopted for such year of 1966.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 80.]

RESOLUTION NO. 3

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Estimated Budget for 1967, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $13,922,675, be adopted for such year of 1967.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 81.]
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council shall have the power to expend all sums of money included in the Budget for 1965, as well as the Estimated Budgets for 1966 and 1967, respectively, subject to the following restrictions:

(1) As soon as may be done after the beginning of each such year, and after first receiving the advice of its own Department of Finance, the Executive Council shall so adjust the Budget or Estimated Budget for each such year as to reflect the responses of the several Dioceses and Districts to the quotas assigned unto them under the authority of this General Convention, as well as other income available to carry out the Program reflected thereby; all to the end that the Executive Council shall carry out such Program upon a pay-as-you-go basis during each year of such triennium;

(2) Any so-called "lapsed balances" from any given prior year, including 1964, may either be treated and considered, in whole or in part, as other income available to carry out the Program hereby provided for the ensuing years of such triennium, or may be credited to a reserve for future program needs and shall be expended for no other purpose whatsoever;

(3) At any time, and from time to time during any year of such triennium, after first receiving the advice of its own Department of Finance, the Executive Council may make such further adjustments in the Budget or Estimated Budget for any year of such triennium as shall reasonably be required to:

(a) Better co-ordinate the administration and execution of the Program reflected thereby; or

(b) Undertake such other work provided for in the Program approved by this General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant; or

(c) Undertake other work under the jurisdiction of the Council, the need for which may have arisen after the action of this General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant;

(4) In making any such adjustment or further adjustment of the Budget or Estimated Budget for any year of such triennium, the Executive Council shall always give priority to the execution of those Missions set forth in the Program approved by this General Convention as are reflected in such Budget and Estimated Budgets under items for (a) Overseas Mission, (b) Joint Urban Mission, (c) Aid to Theological Education, and (d) Implementation Fund for Mutual Responsibility, all of which Missions, together, should be the last to suffer any reduction of over-all expenditures during such triennium;

(5) In making any such adjustment or further adjustments, as afore-said, the total number of officers and staff of the Executive Council shall at no time during such triennium exceed 337, being the total number of all officer and staff positions established by the Executive Council as of October 1, 1964; and

(6) The Department of Finance of the Executive Council shall be charged with the responsibility of formulating officer and employee per-
sonnel policies and of supervising the allocation of all funds reflected in such Budget and Estimated Budgets under the item of Salary Adjustment Fund.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 82.]

RESOLUTION NO. 5

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Committee on Program and Budget for the next succeeding General Convention, consisting of six (6) Bishops, to be appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and six (6) Presbyters and twelve (12) Laymen, to be appointed by the President of the House of Deputies (or if his office shall become vacant for any reason, then by the Vice-President of that House, but if there be none then by the Presiding Bishop) from among the elected Members of the House of Deputies of the next succeeding General Convention, as soon as their names may be ascertained; and further.

Resolved, That such Joint Committee shall

1. Receive from the Executive Council, as soon as the same may be available, the proposed Program for the triennium 1968-70, including the proposed detailed Budget for the year 1968, as well as the proposed Estimated Budgets for the two succeeding years;

2. Convene and organize at such time and place as its Convener shall appoint;

3. Meet in the Convention City of the next General Convention, as well as at such other places as it shall determine, sufficiently in advance of the next General Convention as to timely complete its work;

4. Conduct hearings upon such proposed Program and Budgets; and

5. Consider and report upon such proposed Program and Budgets, as well as all matters incident thereto, to the next succeeding General Convention.

Resolution No. 5, as thus amended on motion of Mr. David E. Bronson of Minnesota was adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 83.]

RESOLUTION NO. 6

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That an appropriation of $11,500 for the triennium 1965-67 be included in the Budget of this General Convention for the work of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget of the next succeeding General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 84.]

RESOLUTION NO. 7

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That every Episcopal organization owned by The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of
this Church, organized by action of the General Convention or the Executive Council, or receiving funds from the General Convention or the Executive Council, shall be required to file an annual audited report with the Treasurer of the Executive Council.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 85.]

RESOLUTION NO. 8

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention urge all members of the Church to practice tithing; and,

further,

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention commend to all vestries the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their parishes to work outside their parishes on national, diocesan and local programs; and, further,

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention commend to the conventions and convocations of all Dioceses and Missionary Districts the goal of giving to the National Church an amount equal to that which is expended upon diocesan and district programs.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 86.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 79, setting a total budget for the Church for 1965 of $12,777,017.00.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 109.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 80, setting a total budget for the Church for 1966 of $13,379,351.00.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 114.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 81, setting a total budget for the Church for 1967 of $13,922,675.00.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 115.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 82, setting forth instructions for expenditures to be made by the Executive Council.
On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 116.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 83, authorizing the appointment of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget for the next General Convention.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 117.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 85, providing for the filing of audited reports by those agencies receiving funds from the Executive Council or General Convention.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 118.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 86 urging the practice of tithing on the people of the Church and the allocation of one-half of the ordinary income of parishes to work outside the parishes on national, diocesan, and local programs.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 119.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 84, providing an appropriation of $11,500.00 for the work of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget of the next General Convention.

This was referred to the Committee on Expenses.

On the Eleventh Day, having concurred with the House of Deputies in adopting a budget for the contingent expenses of the General Convention, which budget contained an appropriation for the Joint Committee on Program and Budget in the amount requested, the House concurred with House of Deputies Message No. 84.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 193.]

**Proportional Representation**

In the House of Deputies on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution, which was adopted:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention direct the Provinces to consider, at the respective Synods next following this Convention, the matter of proportional representation in the House of Deputies of the General Convention, and to report their findings to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention immediately following such Synods.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 46.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 46, directing Provinces to consider proportional representation in the House of Deputies of General Convention.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 68.]

**Provincial Synods—General Convention Deputies at**

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, offered the following Resolution, which was adopted:

*Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention request and urge the Provinces to invite the Deputies to the General Convention (and Deputies-elect, if there be any) from their respective constituent Dioceses and Missionary Districts to attend their Synods, and to grant them a voice in the proceedings of the House of Deputies thereof; and that the Secretary of the General Convention notify the Presidents of the Provinces of the adoption of this Resolution, and request that appropriate action be taken to amend the Ordinances of the Synods, or otherwise, to carry out the intention of this Resolution.*

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 49.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 49, urging Provinces to invite Deputies to the General Convention to attend their Synods and participate in the proceedings.

On motion, the House, concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 71.]

**Quota System to Continue Through Triennium**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. William Ikard II of New Mexico and Southwest Texas, presented the following Resolution on behalf of the Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present quota system be continued for the next triennium, with the recommendation that the “Partnership Plan” be adopted in the General Convention of 1967, to go into effect in 1968.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 148.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 148, providing for the continuing of the present quota system for the next triennium, with the “Partnership Plan” to be recommended for adoption in 1967.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 169.]

**Racial Inclusiveness of the Church**

In the House of Bishops, on the Ninth Day, the Bishop of South Florida presented the following Resolution which had been adopted in Executive Session:

_Whereas,_ The biblical doctrine of creation and the Christian understanding of man assume the natural unity of mankind under a common Father; and

_Whereas,_ The very existence of the Church affirms the supernatural unity of mankind in the common waters of Baptism; and

_Whereas,_ Christ's teaching and the Church's belief in him affirm that all men are of one blood by their unity in the new humanity brought into being by his coming into the world; be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That we solemnly reaffirm the mandate laid upon the Church to proclaim unfailingly in its worship, its Sacraments and rites, and in what it does in the world, that racial discrimination, segregation, and the exclusion of any person in the human family, because of race, from the rites and activities of the Church, in any form whatsoever, are contrary to the mind of Christ and the Church which is his Body; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the members of this Convention remind the Church and themselves of the clear duty of each Christian, as a member of that Body, to minister in the world as an agent of reconciliation among men and as an instrument of judgment between them; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Church resist with holy zeal any and all efforts, either from within or without, to deflect it from its mission, which is to bring all men into the new society of the One Christ; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That, since areas of racial and social conflict affecting all minorities continue to multiply—because of residential barriers and lack of open occupancy in our cities and towns, in the fight for freedom and protection before the law, in the struggle for equal education in our schools, and in the opening of new opportunities in employment—this Convention and the whole Church give unwavering material and moral support to those Christians who obey the mandate of Christ by personal involvement in these areas of conflict; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this General Convention hereby commend those of the clergy and laity across the land who by their daily life and ministry in troubled areas are the quiet agents of social change and whose courage and loyalty often are forgotten in our day of rapid change.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 120.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 120 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Christian Social Relations.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 10 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations, recommending concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 120, with an amendment in Resolution 4, to replace "Christians" with the words, "members of this Church".

The House concurred, with the amendment.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 127.]

The Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Hutchens) recommended that the House of Bishops concur with House of Deputies Message No. 127, which amended House of Bishops Message No. 120.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 153.]

Re-alignment of Diocesan Boundaries
(See Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8)
Registrar—Election of

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of South Florida nominated the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger as Registrar of the General Convention for the forthcoming triennium.

On motion, the Rev. Mr. Rodger was elected.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 60.]
The House of Deputies confirmed the election of the Rev. Alexander M. Rodger as Registrar.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 45.]

Representation in the General Convention

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of Washington, for the Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, offered the following recommendation:

Realizing that Recommendation I of the Report of my Committee would increase the size of the House of Deputies by 156 members, this Joint Committee would support proposals for changing the base of representation. We would prefer, however, even this massive increase of membership to the continuance of the present inequality of representation.

On motion, the House approved the substance of this observation.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 80.]

The House of Deputies received Message No. 80 from the House of Bishops as an expression of opinion and took no action thereon.

Retiring Allowances for Certain Bishops

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. Seaborn J. Flournoy of Southwestern Virginia presented Report No. 21 of the Joint Committee on Expenses.

The Joint Committee on Expenses, in consideration of the action taken by the House of Deputies on Report No. 28 of the Committee on Canons, repealing Canon 41, Sec. 6(c), and Canon 43, Sec. 7(c), moves that the following Resolution be adopted:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Treasurer of the General Convention continue payments of supplemental retiring allowances, in the amounts they are currently receiving, to the seven retired Bishops whose names follow: the Rt. Rev. Robert B. Gooden; the Rt. Rev. Albert S. Thomas; the Rt. Rev. William A. Brown; the Rt. Rev. John J. Gravatt; the Rt. Rev. Wiley R. Mason; the Rt. Rev. Charles L. Street; and the Rt. Rev. Herman R. Page; the said retiring allowances aggregating $11,223.52 per annum (a triennial total of $33,670.56); to be paid to the said retired Bishops in addition to whatever retiring allowances they are currently receiving, or may receive in the future, from The Church Pension Fund or from their former Dioceses.
Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 153.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 153, providing for continued payment of supplemental pension allowances by the Treasurer of General Convention to certain named retired Bishops.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 173.]

**Rules of Order—Joint Rule 8**

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas on behalf of the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved a Resolution to amend Rule No. 8 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees. The matter having been referred, also, to the Committee on Rules of Order, the recommendation of that Committee was called for. Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware, for the Committee on Rules of Order, recommended favorable action.

The following Resolution was adopted:

> Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That Rule No. 8 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees be amended by adding thereto the following:

> The Presiding Bishop, in respect of Bishops, and the President of the House of Deputies, in respect of Presbyters and Lay Persons, may appoint members and staff of the Executive Council, or other experts, as consultants to any Committee or Commission, to assist in the performance of its functions. Notice of such appointment shall be given to the Secretaries of both Houses.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 32.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 32, amending Rule No. 8 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees, to permit the Presiding Bishop to appoint experts as consultants to any Joint Committee or Joint Commission, as needed.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 53.]

**Rules of Order—Joint Rule 13**

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a new Rule No. 13 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees be adopted, to read as follows:

13. The Secretary of the House of Deputies, being the Secretary of the General Convention, shall, with the co-operation of the Secretary of the House of Bishops, and of such Bishops as may be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops, prepare a summary of the actions of the General Convention of particular interest to the Congregations of the Church, and make the same available to the Congregations through the ministers in charge thereof, and to the Lay Deputies; such summary to be sent to the clergy along with the Pastoral Letter put forth by the House of Bishops, and to be made available to all Deputies on the last day of the Convention, along with such Pastoral Letter, if feasible to do so, or within ten days thereafter.

This proposal having been referred, also, to the Committee on Rules of Order, the recommendation of that Committee was called for. The recommendation being for adoption, the Resolution was thereupon adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 35.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 35, providing for a new Rule 13 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 55.]

Rules of Order—Joint Rule 14

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware presented Report No. 9 of the Committee on Rules of Order:

Your Committee, to whom was referred the Report of the Joint Committee on Audit (HD 189), recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be a new Joint Rule of Order, to be known as Joint Rule No. 14, to read as follows:

14. There shall be a Joint Committee on Audit, appointed at each General Convention, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and one Layman, to serve until their successors are appointed, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of the Treasurer of General Convention and to serve as a joint advisory committee to cooperate with the Treasurer of General Convention. The Joint Committee on Audit shall present its report to the House of Deputies at each triennial meeting of the General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 126.]
The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 126, providing for a new Joint Rule of Order to be numbered 14, establishing a Joint Committee on Audit.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to House of Deputies by Message No. 156.]

Scholarship Fund for Theological Education

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, on behalf of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, the Bishop of Massachusetts offered the following Resolution:

Whereas, the sum of $100,000.00 per annum has been included in the Budget for the next triennium as a scholarship fund for theological students and grants-in-aid to the Seminaries they may attend, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this sum be administered by the Division of Christian Ministries of the Home Department, in consultation with the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 139.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 139 from the House of Bishops, which was placed on the Calendar as Item No. 16.

Later on the Tenth Day, Item No. 16 was taken from the Calendar and the Very Rev. John C. Coburn, D.D., of Massachusetts presented a Report of the Committee on Theological Education thereon, as follows:

Your Committee on Theological Education recommends concurrence with House of Bishops No. 139, dealing with the administration of the appropriation of $100,000.00 per annum designated as a scholarship fund for theological students and grants-in-aid to the Seminaries they attend.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 145.]

Secretary of the General Convention

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 16 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That in accordance with Canon 1, Sec. 1(f), the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., Secretary of the House of Deputies, be, and he hereby is, elected as Secretary of the General Convention and Editor of the Convention Journal, subject to the confirmation of the House of Bishops.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 9.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 9, electing the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., as Secretary of the General Convention and Editor of the Convention Journal.

The House voted to confirm the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 15.]

Sexual Behavior—Christian Understanding

In the House of Deputies, on the Fourth Day, the Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Christian Social Relations:

Your Committee on Christian Social Relations, to whom was referred Resolution 4 of HD 9, the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, on the subject of Family Life, recommends the passage of the following:

Whereas, Professional study and community experience have shown that family life in America today is under unusual cultural stresses; and

Whereas, The resultant family breakdowns, divorces, and marriages after divorce, concern the Church; and

Whereas, Changing patterns in human action have raised inquiries concerning the Church's position on sexual behavior; and

Whereas, The Church is mindful of its trust to give responsible leadership in all areas of human conduct; be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention instruct the appropriate units of the Executive Council to gather data, formulate studies, and make specific recommendations, to the 1967 General Convention, through the Joint Commission on Human Affairs, on the Christian understanding of sexual behavior; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That special attention be given to the existing premarital- and marriage-counseling resources within the Church, and to the development of training programs for
the Church, utilizing professional agencies, so that the Church may more adequately minister in these areas.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 27.]

The House of Bishops received Message No. 27 from the House of Deputies, on the subject of the Church's teaching on sexual behavior.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 31.]

South Africa—Christian Witness in

In the House of Bishops, on the Ninth Day, the Bishop of South Florida moved the adoption of the following Resolution which had been adopted in Executive Session:

Whereas, The Lambeth Conference of 1958 and the Anglican Congress of 1963 condemned racial discrimination of any kind and asserted that members of all races should be allowed a fair and just share in the governments of their country, in the control, development and rewards of that country, including advancement to the highest level of attainment; and the right to associate freely in worship, education, industry, recreation, and all other departments of the common life; and,

Whereas, The Bishops of the Church of the Province of South Africa, "for the gravest reasons of conscience", have called on the members of that Church to ignore the provisions of the "Church clause" of the Native Laws Amendment Act, which allows for the forbidding of inter-racial worship; and,

Whereas, The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in 1958 commended the witness of the Church of the Province of South Africa; and,

Whereas, The document, Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ, calls for every Church to develop swiftly every possible channel of communication with its companions in the Anglican Communion, for a "deep and deliberate involvement in one another's affairs and life"; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this General Convention commend again the continued witness of the Church of the Province of South Africa in the face of the intensification of the doctrine and practice of apartheid; that we ask our Church-people to concern themselves more deeply with the crises fellow Anglicans in South Africa face daily; that this General Convention condemn the South African Government's detention of citizens under the "90-day act", whereby they are held without trial and with no recourse to legal, religious, or family contact; that this General
Convention support the work of the United Nations in its attempts to work out a peaceful and equitable agreement amongst the various groups composing the Republic of South Africa; that we call upon the Government of the United States of America to continue and increase its efforts to persuade the Government of the Republic of South Africa, and as well other governments in Africa and elsewhere, to purge themselves of racist laws and practices, to provide the guarantees of speedy and open trial now denied many free people by repressive legislation, and to work for free and democratic societies; and that we in the United States of America, who are now involved in our own period of racial crisis and adjustment, extend the hand of love and encouragement to our fellow Churchmen, and all Christians, and to all people of good will, in South Africa.

On motion, the House adopted the Resolution.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 131.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 131 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on National and International Problems.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 12 of the Committee on National and International Problems:

Your Committee on National and International Problems to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 131, on the subject of South Africa, and also two resolutions on the subject of the practice of apartheid in South Africa, one from the Rev. Gardiner M. Day, D.D., of Massachusetts (HD 101), and the other from the Episcopal Churchmen for South Africa (HD 105), recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Deputies concur with the House of Bishops in its Message No. 131, with amendment, so that the Resolution in said Message shall read as follows:

Whereas, The Protestant Episcopal Church has consistently commended and supported the Church of the Province of South Africa in its sustained opposition to the doctrine and practice of apartheid; and

Whereas, The Province of South Africa is faced with the continuation and extension of the doctrine of apartheid, such as the penalties to enforce the laws forbidding inter-racial worship; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this General Convention

1. Commend again the continued witness of the Church of the Province of South Africa in the face of the intensification of the doctrine and practice of apartheid;

2. Call upon the Government of the United States of America to continue and increase its efforts to persuade the governments
in southern Africa to purge themselves of their racist laws and practices and to work for free and democratic societies; and

3. Agree that we in this country, who are now involved in our own period of racial crisis and adjustment, should extend the hand of love and encouragement to our fellow Churchmen, and all Christians, and to all the people of good will, in South Africa.

The Committee begs that it be discharged from further consideration of Resolutions designated as HD 101 and HD 105.

The House concurred with Message No. 131 of the House of Bishops, as amended, and discharged the Committee, as requested.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 133.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 133, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 131, with amendment.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 188.]

Soviet Union—Christian Witness in

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 13 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations:

Your committee on Ecumenical Relations, to whom was referred the Resolution offered by Dr. Paul B. Anderson of New York on the subject of religious freedom in the Soviet Union (HD 153), recommends favorable consideration, and therefore offers the following Resolution:

This General Convention calls the attention of our Church to the position of religious life in the Soviet Union, noting—

(1) That the Communist Party and civil authorities during the last three years have renewed their intention to eradicate belief in God and religious expression in the Soviet Union, and have, in 1964, established a special corps of trained workers to instill atheism by person-to-person confrontations, using economic, administrative, and social pressures to this end;

(2) That restrictions on the baptizing of children, on attendance of minors at services of worship, on monastic life, and on theological seminary education, have been increased;

(3) That only three small printings of the Scriptures in the Russian language, and none in any of the scores of other languages in the Soviet Union, have been permitted since the revolution;

(4) That the application of the provision in the Soviet Constitution for freedom of conscience fails to conform to the standards set in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights; and
(5) That the Orthodox, Roman Catholic, Armenian, Lutheran, and Baptist, Churches are faithfully and courageously carrying on their Christian mission, even under these restrictive conditions; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That we urge the Bishops, the clergy, and the laity, of this Church to offer prayers of gratitude to God for the faith and work of Christians in the Soviet Union, and intercession, to the end that those in authority in that country may grant and ensure true freedom of conscience in the matter of religious belief and practice.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 116.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 116.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 160.]

Spokane—Erection of as Diocese

In the House of Deputies, on the Second Day, the Rev. Herbert S. Brown, S.T.D., of Newark presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Admission of New Dioceses:

Your Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, to whom has been referred HD 76, the petition of the Missionary District of Spokane to be admitted as a Diocese, beg leave to report that they have gone carefully over the petition and the certified copy of the Constitution of the proposed Diocese, have had an interview with the Deputies from the Missionary District of Spokane, and have found that all the necessary constitutional requirements found in Article V., Sec. 1, of the Constitution and Canon 9, Sec. 4, have been fulfilled.

We hereby present the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the General Convention hereby give consent to the erection of a new Diocese, to be known as the Diocese of Spokane; such new Diocese to include all the territory now included in the Missionary District of Spokane; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Diocese of Spokane be accepted into union with the General Convention upon its organization as a Diocese in Primary Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 17.]
The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 17, providing for the erection of a new Diocese to be known as the Diocese of Spokane. This was referred to the Committee on New Dioceses.

On the Fourth Day, the Bishop of San Joaquin, reporting for the Committee on the Admission of New Dioceses, on House of Deputies Message No. 17, providing for the erection of a new Diocese, to be known as the Diocese of Spokane and to include all the territory now included in the Missionary District of Spokane, moved that the House concur.

The motion carried and the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 30.]

**Stipendiary Pension System**

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 6 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee on The Church Pension Fund, to whom was referred Memorials from the Dioceses of Massachusetts (HD 52), Long Island (HD 22), Iowa (HD 51), and Pennsylvania (HD 72), and from the Province of the Pacific (HD 53), all asking, substantially, that The General Convention undertake a study of clergy pensions as they are now administered by The Church Pension Fund, with a view to effecting the equalization of pensions on the basis of years of full-time service in the ministry, without reference to the amount of salary earned, reports as follows:

The Church Pension Fund, as governed by Canon 7, Sec. 1, provides

The Church Pension Fund, a corporation created by Chapter 97 of the Laws of the State of New York, as subsequently amended, is hereby authorized to establish and administer the clergy pension system of the Church substantially in accordance with the principles adopted by the General Convention of 1913, and approved thereafter by the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts, with the view of providing for the clergy disabled by age or other infirmity and for the widows and minor children of deceased clergy.

The principles so adopted and approved were those set forth in the Report to the 1913 General Convention by the Joint Commission, of which the late Bishop Lawrence of Massachusetts was the Chairman. It reported that it had considered at length, and had rejected, a system of "flat, equal pensions". It did recommend a system based on average stipends and years of service, subject only to a minimum pension. It said:

The Committee, therefore, after a careful study of the rules existing in the principal pension systems throughout the world, be-
Iieves that the retiring allowance for the clergy should be based upon a combination of average stipend and years of service.

The principle thus set forth was approved by the General Convention of 1913, accepted by the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts, and made effective by the General Convention of 1916. This constitutes a valid and binding contractual obligation with every Vestry which has paid the assessments, and with every clergyman who has participated.

This contractual obligation must not be violated with respect to any clergyman who is at this time participating in the system. To do so would be illegal as well as inequitable. A change to a system of flat, equal pensions, governed by years of service only, would violate this solemn obligation.

The strength of the present pension system lies largely in its acceptance by every Diocese and every Parish in the Church. This flows from the acceptance by the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts of the principles set forth in the Lawrence report. It is highly improbable that anything approaching such unanimous support would be given to a system of flat, equal pensions. Others have tried, and after failure have abandoned, a system of equal pensions. No other Church pension system, and no known pension plan in industry which applies to executives, professional staff, and officers, is based on anything but salary and years of service.

The minimum pension for clergy does much to offset whatever inequities may be deemed to lie in the present formula for determining the amount of clergy pensions. The minimum pension at present is $1,800.00 per year. The average pension presently being paid to all retired clergymen is $1,905.00.

Moreover, your Committee feels that a formula based solely on years of service would simply substitute a new set of inequities for any which may exist in the present system. Pensions based only on years service would work severe hardship on clergymen who were ordained relatively late in life.

Churches of other Communion in the United States have tried systems of flat, equal pensions, and each, after unsatisfactory experience, has changed to a system based on salary and years of service.

Your Committee recommends, therefore, the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the present system of stipendiary pensions, as administered, pursuant to the provisions of Canon 7, by The Church Pension Fund, be continued.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 38.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 38, concerning Canon 7, “Of the Church Pension Fund”.

This was referred to the Committee on Canons.
On the Eighth Day, the Bishop of Florida, reporting for the Committee on Canons, on House of Deputies Message No. 38, continuing the present provisions of Canon 7, moved that the House concur with House of Deputies Message No. 38.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 76.]

**Stringfellow Incident**

In the House of Deputies, on the Fourth Day, the Rev. Canon Donald H. Wattley, S.T.D., of Louisiana rose to a point of personal privilege and asked leave of the House to offer a Resolution requiring concurrence, though the time for offering such Resolutions was past. Receiving the unanimous permission of the House, the Rev. Canon Wattley moved the following Resolution (HD 131):

*Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st Session of the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church do hereby completely disavow the Stringfellow Statement rebuking Senator Goldwater and Congressman Miller for their "transparent exploitation of racism among white citizens". This Convention has taken no such action. William Stringfellow is not a member of this Convention and under no circumstances can he presume to speak for this body; therefore, he must endure the strong censure of this Convention which, by this Resolution, is now adopted; and be it further

*Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That copies of this Resolution be given the press for immediate release.

The Rev. Kenneth W. Cary, D.D., of Los Angeles moved a substitute for Canon Wattley's resolution (HD 131A) as follows:

*Whereas*, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America upholds and respects the rights of any and all of its members to express their personal political convictions and preferences; and

*Whereas*, The private action during the 61st General Convention of certain members of this Church, many of whom are not official Deputies to this General Convention, in releasing to the press a partisan denunciation of a particular candidate for the presidency of the United States, has caused widespread confusion and embarrassment, since it has been wrongly misinterpreted as an official action of this Convention, when, in fact, it was not; therefore, be it

*Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention formally express its regret for the confusion and embarrassment caused by this incident; and be it further

*Resolved*, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention re-affirm its settled policy of strict neutrality toward
all candidates for any and all political offices at any level of government.

Both the Resolution and the substitute were referred by the Chair to the Committee on National and International Problems.

On the Sixth Day, the Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on National and International Relations:

There were referred to this Committee Resolutions (HD Nos. 131, 131A, and 146) dealing with the Stringfellow incident and with the general subject of criticism of candidates for political office. One was submitted by Rev. Canon Wattley of Louisiana, one by Rev. Dr. Cary of Los Angeles, and a similar Resolution by the Deputation from the Diocese of Easton. The Committee has considered these Resolutions and conferred with Dr. Cary and recommends the adoption of the Cary Resolution with a minor change approved by Dr. Cary. The Committee, therefore, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Whereas, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America upholds and respects the rights of any and all of its members to express their personal political convictions and preferences; and

Whereas, The private action during the 61st General Convention of certain members of this Church, many of whom are not official Deputies to this Convention, in releasing to the press a partisan denunciation of a particular candidate for the presidency of the United States, has caused widespread confusion and embarrassment, since it has been wrongly interpreted as an official action of this Convention, when in fact it was not; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention formally express its regret for the confusion and embarrassment caused by this incident; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention re-affirm its accepted policy of strict neutrality toward all candidates for any and all offices at any level of government.

The Committee further begs that it be discharged from further consideration of Resolutions designated as HD 131 and HD 146.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 43.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 43, expressing regret at the embarrassment caused by a private political pronouncement's having been attributed to official action of General Convention and re-affirming the policy of General Convention to maintain strict neutrality in such matters.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 50.]
Structure of General Convention—Joint Commission

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces be continued during the next triennium; such Joint Commission to be composed of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and six Lay Persons, and that, in so far as feasible, its members shall represent each of the Provinces; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the sum of $4,000.00 for the next triennium be provided in the budget for the work of said Joint Commission.

The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel of Western Massachusetts, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, reported that the sum requested in the second part of the Resolution had been included in the tentative budget of the General Convention, and recommended adoption. The Rev. Hunley B. Elebash of East Carolina, reporting for the Committee on Committees and Commissions, likewise recommended adoption.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 34.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 34, providing for the continuation of the Joint Commission on the Structure of General Convention.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 54.]

Study-Leaves for the Clergy

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, for the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, the Bishop of Massachusetts presented the following Resolution:

Whereas, Our rapidly changing society and the demands of our complex modern world require deepened understanding by both clergy and laity, if the Church's witness is to be effective; and

Whereas, The life both of clergymen and the congregations they serve can be greatly enriched by providing periodic opportunities for post-ordination study by clergymen, either in a theological seminary or in any one of a number of other study situations such as, e.g., in education, industry, or the arts; and
Whereas, There is a growing movement in many of the Com­munions of the Christian Church to encourage and sponsor the continuing education of the clergy; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America endorse in principle the plan of providing leaves for the clergy of the Church, in order that they may engage in post-ordination study; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Convention commend to the Dioceses, Missionary Districts, Parishes, Missions, and Institutions, of the Church, the practice of providing study-leaves, and encourage those bodies to discover and develop ways and means for putting this plan into effect; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Executive Council be requested to instruct the Division of Christian Ministries, together with the General Division of Laymen's Work, the General Division of Women's Work, and such other units of the Executive Council as may be appropriate, to assist in discovering and developing ways and means of putting this plan into effect.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 134.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 130.]

Style of Bishop Heading Missionary Task Force

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of Washington, for the Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, offered the following recommendation:

That whenever a body of missionary clergy, under the leadership of a Bishop, shall be sent beyond the boundaries of any existing Anglican Diocese to open up a new work, such Bishop shall be styled, "Bishop in ", and shall be elected by the House of Bishops. The whole, or any part, of the area within which such a mission shall labor, may, as soon as there shall be a body of the faithful and a corps of clergy sufficient in terms of the Constitution, organize itself as a Diocese and petition for union with the General Convention.

On motion, the House approved the recommendation as an expression of opinion.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 82.]

The House of Deputies received the expression of opinion contained in Message No. 82 from the House of Bishops for information only, taking no action thereon.
Subsidy to Host Diocese—General Convention

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Joseph I. Worsham of Dallas, for the Special Committee on Reconstruction of the General Convention, moved the following Resolution, which was seconded:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Treasurer of the General Convention be instructed to pay to the Host Diocese each triennium seventy-five per cent (75%) of the net costs incurred by it in entertaining the General Convention, but not to exceed the sum of $50,000.00 in any such case.

The Rev. Malcolm W. Eckel of Western Massachusetts, for the Joint Committee on Expenses, to which also the foregoing proposal had been referred, moved an amendment, to change the percentage from 75% to 50% and the total figure from $50,000.00 to $25,000.00. The amendment was seconded and prevailed.

The Resolution, as amended, was adopted, as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Treasurer of the General Convention be instructed to pay to the Host Diocese each triennium fifty per cent (50%) of the net costs incurred by it in entertaining the General Convention, but not to exceed the sum of $25,000.00 in any such case.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 47.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 47, directing the Treasurer of General Convention to pay 50% of the costs of entertaining General Convention, up to $25,000.00.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 69.]

Theological Education Sunday

In the House of Bishops, on the Tenth Day, the Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders, offered the following Resolution:

Whereas, The changes and confusions of our times place increasing demands upon the vision, learning, and devotion, of the ordained ministry in the life of the Church; and

Whereas, Vocation to that prophetic, pastoral, and priestly, ministry requires regular and prayerful consideration by the whole membership of the Church; and
Whereas, The education and training of young men who have responded to this special vocation, and been admitted Postulants or Candidates for Holy Orders, is of vital moment to every Congregation throughout the Church; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention call upon every Parish and Mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that or another day, or to place an item for theological education in its budget, for the support of the Church's Seminaries.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 133.]

The House of Deputies concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 129.]

Transportation to Church-Related Schools

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Very Rev. Charles A. Higgins, D.D., of Arkansas rose to a point of personal privilege and asked leave of the House to introduce a Resolution requiring concurrence, though the time for introducing such Resolutions had passed. Being granted permission, he moved the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church approve the provision of transportation, at public expense, of pupils from government installations to schools operated by parishes of this Church, when it is requested by both parent and school.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 152.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 152, approving the transportation, at public expense, of pupils from government installations to Church-operated schools.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 172.]

Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society

In the House of Bishops, on the First Day, the Chair announced his appointments to the Joint Committee to present nominations
TOPICAL ARRANGEMENT

for Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, as follows:

The Bishop of Maryland
The Bishop of Liberia
The Bishop of Arizona

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 6.]

On the same Day, in the House of Deputies, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 17 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a Joint Committee, consisting of three Bishops, four Presbyters, and three Laymen, be appointed to present nominations for the office of Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, and that the Committee be requested to announce and hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed on the part of this House:

The Rev. Richard G. Urban of West Texas
The Rev. John O. Bruce of Fond du Lac
The Rev. Denzil A. Carty of Minnesota
The Rev. James Y. Perry, Jr., of Western North Carolina
Mr. James R. Rash, Jr., of Kentucky
Mr. Donald R. Harter of Rochester
Mr. James R. Bazley, Jr., of Bethlehem

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 19.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 19, giving notice of House of Deputies appointees to the Joint Committee to present nominations for Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society. No action was required on this.

The General Convention, by the amendment of Canon 3 and Canon 4 (q.v.), delegated responsibility for the election of the Treasurer of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society to the Executive Council as the Board of Directors of said Society. The Committee named above, therefore, held no hearings and brought in no nominations for the office.
Treasurer of the General Convention—Election

In the House of Deputies, on the First Day, the Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California presented Report No. 14 of the Committee on Dispatch of Business:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That a Joint Committee, consisting of three Bishops, four Presbyters, and three Laymen, be appointed to present nominations for the office of Treasurer of the General Convention; and that the Committee be requested to announce and hold an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations.

Adopted by the House.

The Chair appointed on the part of this House:

The Rev. Boston M. Lackey, Jr., of Southern Virginia
The Rev. Dougald L. Maclean, D.D., of Long Island
The Rev. Thom W. Blair of North Carolina
The Very Rev. Francis J. Foley of Western Michigan
Mr. Al T. Singletary of Oklahoma
Mr. William W. Yerrall of Western Massachusetts
Mr. James W. Beless, Jr., of Utah

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 21.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 21 naming their appointees to the Joint Committee to present nominations for the office of Treasurer of General Convention. No action was required on this.

On the Third Day, the Chair appointed the following to the Joint Committee to nominate a Treasurer for General Convention:

The Bishop of Albany
The Bishop of Kentucky
The Bishop of Nebraska

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 21.]

The Rev. Boston M. Lackey, Jr., of Southern Virginia, on the Fifth Day, presented Report No. 2 of the Joint Committee to Nominate the Treasurer of the General Convention:

The Joint Committee to Nominate the Treasurer of the General Convention wishes to place in nomination for this office the name of Richard P. Kent, Jr., and to move, the House of Bishops concurring, that the
Secretary be instructed to cast an unanimous ballot for his election as Treasurer of the General Convention.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 63.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 63, electing Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr. as Treasurer of the General Convention.

On motion, the House voted to confirm the election.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 96.]

**Treasurer of the General Convention—Report of**

In the House of Deputies, on the Fifth Day, Mr. Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island, presented his Report as the Treasurer of the General Convention, which Report was received for inclusion in the Journal of the General Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 59.]

The House received House of Deputies Message No. 59, with which was transmitted the Report of the Treasurer of General Convention.

The House received the Report and concurred with the House of Deputies in ordering its printing in the Journal of the Convention.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 83.]

**Trial Use—Propers of Minor Feasts and Fasts**

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, for the Standing Liturgical Commission, the Bishop of Georgia presented and moved the following series of Resolutions, to wit:

1. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Sixty-first General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, in accordance with the provisions of Article X. of the Constitution as amended by this Convention, authorize for trial use throughout this Church, for a period of three years, as from January 1, 1965, that certain document entitled, *The Calendar and the Collects, Epistles, and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts and for Special Occasions*, prepared by the Standing Liturgical Commission of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, published by The Church Pension Fund in 1963, and appended hereto; and be it further
2. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That, in order to secure an accurate knowledge of the experience and mind of the Church, every parish priest, or minister in charge of a mission congregation, or person charged with the ordering of public worship in any institution, religious house, or agency of this Church, where these materials shall be in use, make report or reports thereon, during the triennium, to the Standing Liturgical Commission; and be it further

3. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That each diocesan and missionary bishop be requested to appoint within his jurisdiction a Committee through which the said reports may be the more conveniently channeled to the Standing Liturgical Commission; and be it further

4. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Standing Liturgical Commission be directed to appoint, from its membership, a Committee charged with the responsibility of receiving and collating the reports called for in the preceding Resolution, and of reporting thereon to the Sixty-second General Convention; and be it further

5. Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That said Committee be authorized, for the purpose of its special task, to associate with itself, in the capacity of consultants, other skilled and learned persons.

The Resolutions were adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 61.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 61 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on the Prayer Book.


Your Committee on the Prayer Book, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 61 on the subject of Trial Use of The Calendar and the Collects, Epistles, and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts and for Special Occasions, reports as follows:

The Prayer Book Committee has happily considered Message No. 61 from the House of Bishops and moves concurrence, with the following implementing amendment:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Standing Liturgical Commission be directed to notify diocesan and missionary bishops of the date by which the said reports must be received, in order that the Commission will have time to collate the reports and have their study included in the Book of Reports for the 62nd Convention.
Adopted by the House, and the House concurred in Message No. 61 of the House of Bishops, as amended.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 115.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 115, concurring with House of Bishops Message No. 61, with an amendment.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 143.]

**Urban Work**

In the House of Deputies, on the Tenth Day, the Rev. Lincoln P. Eng of Olympia presented Report No. 2 of the Committee on Urban and Suburban Affairs:

Your Committee, to whom was referred Resolution 1 of the Report of the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs (HD 9), recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

*Whereas,* One of the greatest missionary opportunities today is among the unchurched populations of the American cities; and

*Whereas,* Impetus and renewed opportunity to initiate an effective program is now provided by the Chicago Inter-Church Urban Training Center, which will train clergymen and lay persons for ministries in urban areas; therefore, be it

*Resolved,* the House of Bishops concurring, That the work in urban areas be given a priority in the Church's program, and that pilot projects for urban areas be encouraged, and, where feasible, aided financially by the Home Department of the Executive Council (until such time as it is deemed advisable to share the cost between the Diocese concerned and the Executive Council); and be it further

*Resolved,* the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council provide educational materials and information to be used by the Departments of the Executive Council and the General Divisions of Laymen's Work and Women's Work to acquaint the Dioceses with the need and opportunity for a strong urban program.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 143.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 143, urging that high priority be given to urban work in the Church's program.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 166.]
Vatican Council II

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, on behalf of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, the Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America express its interest in, and appreciation of, the Second Vatican Council; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention call upon the Church to engage in continuing intercession for the Vatican Council; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be conveyed to the authorities of the Roman Catholic Church the desire of this Church for talks, both informal and formal, with representatives of the Roman Catholic Church on matters relating to Christian life and Church unity.

The Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 44.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 44 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Ecumenical Relations.

Later on the same Day, the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas presented Report No. 3 of the Committee on Ecumenical Relations, recommending concurrence in House of Bishops No. 44.

The House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 52.]

Visser 't Hooft, Wilhelm—Greetings to

In the House of Bishops, on the Third Day, the Bishop of South Florida offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, assembled in St. Louis, Missouri, October 12-23, 1964, send its greetings to the Rev. Dr. Wilhelm Visser 't Hooft, General Secretary of the World Council of Churches since its foundation in 1948 and one of its guiding spirits during the days of its formation; express its gratitude for his wise and courageous leadership, which has helped to make concrete the great new fact of our time, the Ecumenical Movement; and assure him of our continued support and affection as he approaches his retirement in 1965.
The Resolution was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 24.]

The House of Deputies concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 24.]

**Welsh, Clement J., and Moore, T. J.—Appreciation of**

In the House of Bishops, on the Eleventh Day, the Bishop of Massachusetts introduced the following Resolution:

*Inasmuch as,* The Rev. Dr. Clement W. Welsh served ably as Editor of Forward Movement Publications for the six years between September 1957 and September 1963; and

*Inasmuch as,* The Rev. Dr. T. J. Moore returned during the past year to serve faithfully and well as Acting Editor; and

*Inasmuch as,* These publications have made a valuable contribution to the life of this Church; be it

**Resolved,** the House of Deputies concurring, That this General Convention, express gratitude to Dr. Welsh and to Dr. Moore for their devoted service, and to the Rt. Rev. Henry W. Hobson for his original inspiration and the continued guidance which he and his Committee have given in preparing these publications; and be it also

**Resolved,** the House of Deputies concurring, That these publications be again commended to the entire Church as devotional and educational material of the highest quality.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.
[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 185.]

The House of Deputies concurred.
[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 181.]

**Widow’s Benefit—Proposed Increase**

In the House of Deputies, on the Sixth Day, the Rev. Canon Allan L. Ramsay of Michigan presented Report No. 8 of the Committee on The Church Pension Fund:

Your Committee, to whom was referred HD 38, a Memorial from the Diocese of Vermont, petitioning that the General Convention request the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund to change the Rules to the end that the Immediate Death Grant to Widows of Clergymen shall be increased from $1,000.00 to $5,000.00, reports as follows:
The Immediate Death Grant is intended to provide funds quickly to assist a clergyman's widow with the expenses of moving out of the Rectory and establishing a new home.

Your Committee is informed that the present value of the $1,000.00 Immediate Death Grant for the present group of clergymen is $3,180,528.00. An increase to $5,000.00 would require new money in the amount of $12,700,000.00 and a small additional assessment annually.

Your Committee does not recommend such a change, but rather offers the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be no change in the Rule governing the Immediate Death Benefit to the widow of a clergyman.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 40.]

The House of Bishops received House of Deputies Message No. 40, proposing that there be no change in the Rule governing the Immediate Death Benefit to the widow of a clergyman.

On motion, the House concurred.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 57.]

**Women Church Workers—Joint Commission**

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of New Hampshire, reporting for the Joint Committee on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers, offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers be made a Standing Commission, and that its name be changed to, "The Joint Commission on Women Church Workers".

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 77.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 77 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Committees and Commissions.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 14 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:
Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 77, reports as follows:

The Committee has considered the Resolution. We recommend its adoption. Therefore, we move concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 77.

Adopted by the House.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 161.]

Women Church Workers, Joint Commission—Relationships

In the House of Bishops, on the Seventh Day, the Bishop of New Hampshire offered the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Joint Commission on Women Church Workers be instructed to continue to co-operate closely with the Division of Christian Ministries of the Home Department of the Executive Council and with the Association of Professional Women Church Workers.

On motion, the Resolution was adopted.

[Communicated to the House of Deputies by Message No. 78.]

The Secretary of the House of Deputies presented Message No. 78 from the House of Bishops, which was referred to the Committee on Committees and Commissions.

On the Tenth Day, the Rev. Hunley A. Elebash of East Carolina presented Report No. 15 of the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions:

Your Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, to whom was referred House of Bishops Message No. 78 reports as follows:

The Committee considered the Resolution. We recommend its adoption. Therefore, we move concurrence with House of Bishops Message No. 78.

The House concurred with Message No. 78 of the House of Bishops.

[Communicated to the House of Bishops by Message No. 160.]
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APPENDIX 1

REPORT OF THE
AMERICAN CHURCH BUILDING FUND COMMISSION

The American Church Building Fund Commission was founded by a resolution adopted by both Houses of the General Convention in 1880, for the purpose of creating a permanent revolving loan fund to assist in the financing of the building program of the Church.

Although it is an officially established organization, the Commission received no appropriations initially from the national Church. Therefore, the acquisition and growth of the fund in the succeeding eight decades were dependent upon voluntary contributions, offerings, and legacies, augmented from time to time by such net earnings as the trustees felt could be allocated to principal. The trustees have always been mindful of the fact that the substantial service which the Commission has been able to render over the years has been made possible by the generous interest on the part of Churchmen and Churchwomen who recognized the need and cared enough to give.

While the fund has increased in size, year by year, the annual volume of assistance sought by churches and dioceses, particularly since World War II, has continued to exceed the total resources of the Commission.

In appealing for more capital, the trustees have rightly felt that they should studiously avoid competition with the national Church and with diocesan campaigns.

The Commission's report to the General Convention in 1961 set forth the difficulties it had faced in its efforts to fulfill the purpose for which it was created, and pointed out that the National Council, since 1958, has urged the General Convention to appropriate large sums for the capital needs of the Church at home and abroad.

Accordingly, the Commission appealed to the General Convention of 1961 for earnest consideration of the need of the Commission for additional capital, and requested the allocation to the Commission's revolving Permanent Loan Fund of a share of such funds as may be raised nationally throughout the Church for capital needs.

The matter was given thorough consideration by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, with the result that there was included in the General Church Program appropriations to the Commission of $60,000.00 in 1962; $70,000.00 in 1963; and $80,000.00 in 1964, subject to revision according to the response of the dioceses and missionary districts to their assigned quotas. (These appropriations amounted to only 6/10 of 1% of the national Church budget for the triennium.) Thus, the Commission, created by the General Conven-
tion itself, received its first official appropriation for the enlargement of its resources.

The effects have become evident in the accelerating ability of the Commission to fulfill its present commitments and to offer prompter, wider service to new applicants. It should also be emphasized that these additional capital appropriations, added to the Commission's revolving Permanent Fund, will be of continuing benefit to the Church at large through building and improvement loans. In addition, available net income will provide for further growth.

The trustees feel that they cannot overemphasize the importance of the appropriations granted by the General Convention,—help which precludes the necessity for the Commission's conducting a separate solicitation of funds, a solicitation that would be, under present circumstances, competitive, and an unsatisfactory duplication of effort.

Because it is an officially constituted and recognized institution of the Church, because of its record of continuing service, and in order to fulfill its purposes adequately, the Commission asks for the renewal of the appropriation provided by General Convention in 1961, and requests adoption of the following resolution:

Resolved, The House of .................. concurring, that the budgets of the National Council for the years 1965, 1966, and 1967, include the sums of $60,000.00, $70,000.00, and $80,000.00, respectively, for the American Church Building Fund Commission, to be added to its revolving permanent loan fund.

A consolidated statement of resources, loan activity, receipts, and expenditures, for the years 1961, 1962, and 1963, is appended to, and made part of, this report.

THE TRUSTEES

THE REV. CANON ROBERT B. APPLEYARD, D.D.
THE VERY REV. HOWARD G. CLARK, D.D.
THE REV. WILLIAM N. LANIGAN.
THE REV. ROBERT SPEARS, JR.
THE REV. CANON ERIC M. TASMAN, D.D.
THE VERY REV. MERRITT F. WILLIAMS, S.T.D.
RICHARD N. CROCKETT.
DERMOD IVES.
RICHARD P. KENT, JR.
TRACY B. LORD.
ALLEN B. McGOWAN.
SPENCER MILLER, JR.
THEODOR OXHOLM.
HOOKER TALCOTT.
ROBERT WORTHINGTON.

The Demand for Loans (Based on initial inquiries from churches seeking construction and improvement loans.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Total Loans Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>$5,893,510.00—approx.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Amounts not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resources

Revolving Permanent Loan Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance, Dec. 31, 1960</td>
<td>$1,238,610.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added by gifts and transfers</td>
<td>$98,060.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Church appropriation</td>
<td>115,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance, Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>$1,451,670.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserve Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance, Dec. 31, 1960</td>
<td>$37,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added by transfers</td>
<td>6,351.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding Loans, Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>1,246,371.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds available for new loans, Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>$248,850.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Loan Activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans Promised, Dec. 31, 1960</td>
<td>$202,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications Approved</td>
<td>$1,275,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: Withdrawals and reductions</td>
<td>309,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans Made</td>
<td>982,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans Promised, Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>$185,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add: New applications on hand Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>50,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pending new loans</td>
<td>$235,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Income, Expenditures and Transfers
(Showing percentages of income)

Income:

Interest and Dividends . . . . $183,615.66
Other Receipts ...... 75.00
Total Income $.183,690.66 100.0%

Expenditures:

Administrative Expense ...... $ 60,012.78 32.7%
Publicity, Advertising and General Convention Exhibit ...... 8,132.40 4.4%
Other Expenditures ......... 6,344.68 3.5%
Total Expenditures . . . . . 74,489.86 40.6%

Excess of Income over Expenditures ...... $109,200.80 59.4%

Transfers:

To Revolving Permanent Loan Fund ........ $ 90,000.00 49.0%
To Reserves (net)... 6,624.45 3.6% 96,624.45 52.6%
Net Credit to General Fund ............... $ 12,576.35 6.8%

General Fund Balance, Dec. 31, 1960 ...... 9,105.85
General Fund Balance, Dec. 31, 1963 ...... $ 21,682.20
APPENDIX 2
REPORT OF THE AMERICAN CHURCH INSTITUTE

The Board of Trustees, American Church Institute, has met regularly during the triennium and has dealt with the business before it, including the election of new officers at the annual meeting, held May 25th, 1964. The list of officers and members of the Board of Trustees is as follows:

Honorary President: The Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D.
President: The Rt. Rev. Daniel Corrigan, D.D.
Vice-President: The Rev. Daisuke Kitagawa, D.D.
Secretary: The Rev. Tollie L. Caution, Sr., D.D.
Treasurer: Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., L.H.D.
Director: Mr. Marvin C. Josephson, ex officio

The Rt. Rev. William H. Marmion, D.D.
The Rt. Rev. Thomas H. Wright, D.D.
The Rt. Rev. C. Kilmer Myers, S.T.D.
The Rev. Richard B. Martin, D.D.
The Rev. Birney W. Smith, Jr.
Thomas Govan, Ph.D.
Miss Elizabeth Beath
Mrs. Sarah Louise Halmi

The past triennium has been marked by re-assessment of the future course of the American Church Institute by the Board of Trustees and by the forces of change at work at the colleges under the aegis of the American Church Institute. The colleges have been caught up in the dramatic events of rapid social change, which have been accompanied by changes to more viable concepts in the field of education. As American society moves into new phases of technological development, the demand for quality education by southern youth has challenged colleges to meet the need by upgrading their academic standards. To respond to this mandate, the American Church Institute has encouraged its institutions to improve their physical facilities, to recruit quality faculty, and to study the feasibility of combining resources with each other or with other similar institutions to create a stronger base of operations. These efforts are still in progress and it is hoped that by the next triennium, a stronger complex of institutions will emerge. To give leadership and impetus to this changing policy, the Board of Trustees of the American Church Institute will call on the Presiding Bishop to appoint a committee of educators, college representatives, and expert consultants, to join with the A.C.I. in a study of diocesan and board relationships and to make recommendations for effective change.
Since 1961, the American Church Institute has acted as a catalyst for change. Early in 1961, a meeting of presidents and representative board members of each college was held at Seabury House to review the current situation in each college and to discuss projected programs. Educational consultants and college authorities present at the meeting advanced the opinion that the so-called Negro college would continue to serve an important function in American society, regardless of the integration movement. The consensus was that the Church-related college was serving an important role on the American scene and that the withdrawal of the Episcopal Church from this field of education would be unwise. The report of the meeting was referred to the National Council, which passed a resolution re-stating its concern for the American Church Institute colleges as an essential part of the missionary effort of the national Church, but called upon the various dioceses administering these colleges to make every effort to promote their welfare. Since that meeting, the boards of trustees of the member colleges have amended their charters and by-laws so as to broaden the base of representation, in order to bring better qualified and competent personnel to their board memberships. With the exception of Okolona College, each of the A.C.I. colleges has undertaken developmental programs and fund-raising activities, which have resulted in new buildings, new equipment, and increased salaries for faculty. To assist them in this task, the American Church Institute has raised and distributed over $150,000.00 above the budget during the past triennium. In addition, the General Convention of 1961 provided the American Church Institute with funds to initiate a retirement plan for the faculty at each institution, which has proved helpful in recruiting and retaining faculty for the colleges.

Respectfully submitted,

DANIEL CORRIGAN, President
APPENDIX 3

AMERICAN INDIAN MISSION AND MINISTRY: 1964

A Report on developments in program related to the nine resolutions on Indian work adopted by the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION

The General Convention in 1961 adopted a report based on a comprehensive study of American Indian work. This study was made in accordance with instructions from the General Convention in 1958. The 1961 report, recommended by the National Council, included nine resolutions calling for a re-appraisal and renewal of the Church’s mission and ministry among American Indians. These resolutions constituted the first charter and set of guidelines adopted by the Church for this ministry. Local, diocesan, and national units were directed "to seek together to define the present missionary task and new ways to carry it forward".

Until these nine resolutions were adopted, the relationship of the General Convention and of the National Council to diocesan and local fields was limited almost entirely to budgetary matters. The action by General Convention gave a mandate to the National Council and local jurisdictions, jointly, to fulfill a ministry directed to meet the needs reported in the study. It required a new set of relationships, in which the staff of the National Council would be related closely and specifically to local operations and policies of work, and in which each local field would be seen as a significant part of a total ministry and mission of the Church among American Indian people.

This report is submitted to the General Convention of 1964 by the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work, as a review of the actions which have been taken pursuant to the 1961 resolutions, and to present new findings which point to opportunities and responsibilities in Indian work, currently and in the years ahead.

THE 1961 RESOLUTIONS ON INDIAN WORK: A SUMMARY

Guide-lines for the Advance Program in Indian work are to be found in the 1961 Resolutions on mission and ministry among American Indians. Because of their continuing relevance, they are herewith re-stated.

I. A Statement of Policy

Resolved, That the Church is called upon to note the deepening needs of Indian communities and the difficult situations which confront Indians in town and city. It is called upon to give leader-
ship, both locally and nationally, in the search for solutions to these problems. In this process, the Church must act with full recognition of the dignity of Indian persons in their own inheritance, and with a sure sense of their place in contemporary America.

The Church must also face up to the pressing needs of its own Indian missions, made more acute by the burden of poverty in Indian communities. . . . Local, district, diocesan, and national units must seek together to define the present missionary task and new ways to carry it forward.

At all levels of decision-making regarding its ministry to the American Indian, the Church must demonstrate its belief in the right of all peoples to self-determination. To this end, Indians should be involved constantly in the planning and conduct of the Church's work . . .

The Church's ministry to all persons is a ministry to the total man . . . concerned with his needs for adequate education, employment, home, community life, and full citizenship, as well as his spiritual development . . .

The Protestant Episcopal Church is grateful to God for the many Indians whom it has nurtured for lives of Christian service . . . It is resolved (to renew) its mission and ministry to the Indian people.

II. Public Policy

Resolved, That the Church stands for a just and consistent policy in dealing with Indian matters on the part of both governmental and voluntary bodies; calls upon Congress and the Bureau of Indian Affairs to (maintain a) policy . . . of positive emphasis on supporting Indians in the development of reservation and community resources, physical and human, to the fullest possible extent; and urges State and local governments to assume their full responsibility to their Indian citizens; and be it further

Resolved, That . . . persons be appointed as designated representatives to governmental and voluntary groups dealing with Indian affairs, with one representative of the Church appointed to function in Washington to present the concerns of the Church to appropriate persons in government and to channel to the Church vital information on issues of importance in Indian affairs.

III. Personnel for the Church's Program

Resolved, That it is recommended to the National Council that (an) . . . Advisory Council on Indian Work be appointed to serve
as a source of information and advice to all units . . . (and) to be concerned also with public issues, and to be responsible for constant evaluation of the relevancy of the Church's ministry to the needs of the Indian people; and be it further

Resolved, That a designated officer in the Home Department . . . be the principal co-ordinator of staff activity as well as field representative to dioceses, districts, interdenominational and national groups; and be it further

Resolved, that selected clergy and laity be designated as consultants for Indian Work, to help in the orientation of new personnel, to assist with in-service training, and to visit and advise with local personnel.

IV. Experimentation and Pilot Projects

Resolved, That the Home Department be requested to supplement the few pioneer projects now existing (in the Indian field) with additional ones in strategic situations, choosing and supervising the projects with the help of the Advisory Council.

V. Recruitment and Training

Resolved, That the National Council be requested to present to the people of the Church the urgent needs of the Indian field, demonstrating its demand upon both Indians and non-Indians for full participation; and be it further

Resolved, That the Home Department be enabled to expand training facilities for seminarians through field training, for new workers through an orientation program, and for present workers through seminars, to the end that no new workers will need to be placed in the Indian field without advance orientation and training, and no present worker will be without opportunity for constant improvement of his or her morale, knowledge, and skills.

VI. Physical Plant Improvement

Resolved, That additional funds shall be made available through the Home Department for the repair and adaptation of physical plants and equipment in the (Indian) field.

VII. Scholarships

Resolved, That a Scholarship Committee be created to assist National Council staff in gathering information about opportunities, to advise on the awarding of National Council scholarship
funds, and to correlate the Church's scholarship program with that of other Churches and agencies.

VIII. Leave for Additional Training

Resolved, That it be made possible for selected workers (in the Indian field) to have a period of leave for study and training, (since the isolated and special nature of Indian ministries makes the maintenance of morale and of effective ministry a particular problem for such workers).

IX. Social Welfare Problems

Resolved, That the Department of Christian Social Relations is requested to assist the jurisdictions and the appropriate units of the National Council in studying (acute) social problems (among Indians) and working toward their solution, utilizing community resources which exist, and giving effective Church leadership in improving these resources.

Staff and Committee Appointments

The Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work of the National Council (consisting of staff personnel from the Home Department and the Department of Christian Social Relations), which prepared the basic report for the 1961 Convention, was mobilized to serve as the task force and co-ordinating body of the National Council responsible for carrying out the philosophy, policies, and programs, set forth in the nine resolutions.

A co-ordinating Officer for Indian Work has been designated, a part-time staff Associate Officer for Indian Affairs was appointed, a full-time specialist in social welfare was employed, and a part-time consultant and field worker in Indian education was retained.

A National Advisory Committee on Indian Work was appointed by the National Council in 1962, as directed in Resolution III. This Committee has been meeting twice annually to review total philosophy, policy, and budget, and to project new program.

With the concern and co-operation of bishops and local field workers, definite progress has been made in renewal of work. This report describes some of the major developments of the past three years.

Reservation Work

Financial appropriations made direct to the ten missionary districts and dioceses which receive annual assistance through the
National Council continue to be the major part of the General Convention budget for Indian Work. These jurisdictions have major reservation missions which have been established for many years. The extent of this reservation work receiving direct appropriations is vast and complex, and a continuing concern, requiring in some situations modification in services provided by the Church.

**Extent and Distribution of Work**

**5th Province**

*Fond du Lac*—Bishop Brady

Oneida Indian community:
1 chapel
1 Church day school

**6th Province**

*Minnesota*—Bishop Kellogg

On Chippewa reservations and in Sioux communities:
12-14 chapels
1 teen-age-care center (proposed)

**North Dakota**—

On Chippewa and Sioux (Dakota) reservations:
8-10 chapels and stations
Ministry in 1 Federal boarding school

**South Dakota**—Bishop Gesner

On Sioux (Dakota) reservations and in many border towns:
More than 100 chapels and congregations
2 school dormitory homes
1 Church boarding High School for girls
Ministry in 2 Federal boarding schools

**Wyoming**—Bishop Hunter

On Shoshone-Arapaho reservation:
3 chapels and congregations
1 teen-age-care center

**7th Province**

*New Mexico and S.W. Texas*—Bishop Kinsolving

On Navajo reservation:
6 chapels and congregations
1 child-care center
8th Province

*Arizona*—Bishop Harte

On Navajo reservation:
- 4-6 chapels and congregations
- 1 child-care center

*Idaho*—Bishop Foote

On Shoshone-Bannock reservation:
- 1 chapel
- 1 community center

*Nevada*—Bishop Wright

On Paiute reservations:
- 2 chapels
- 1 community center

*Utah*—Bishop Watson

On Navajo reservation:
- 4-6 chapels and stations
- 1 Church day-school

On Ute reservation:
- 3 chapels and stations
- 2 community centers

Since the General Convention of 1961, consultations of bishops, priests, lay workers, and National Council personnel, have resulted in increased knowledge and understanding of the nature of the missionary task in reservation communities. Changes—type of services and ministry—have been made or are in process in some situations. Facts, not tradition, are increasingly shaping the services provided.

American Indian communities on the reservations are not “old”. There is a growing proportion of children and young people in the reservation population. Although many of the present generation may have gone on re-location to urban areas, other young parents and grandparents are bringing up children on the reservations, so that the pattern and structure of family life and kin groupings is not greatly altered in many situations. Indian communities continue to be bilingual in all of the generations.

A major shift has taken place in outlook. Indian leaders on the reservations are involved in probing community resources and searching out new opportunities. The politics of Indian tribal organization is contemporary, oriented to present community
strengths and weaknesses, and looking ahead rather than to the past.

This shift in outlook is beginning to be reflected in the Church's missionary program; but there is a long way yet to travel to catch up with the present. The Church's continuing investment in the reservation ministry has not been adequate since the Depression in 1929. There has been serious curtailment in total program for all of these years, on the assumption that the need for reservation work was disappearing from the Church's life. The result is that the Church has not met the capital needs of the Indian field on a regular basis for 25-35 years. Now we face seriously the cumulative needs and deficits of the Indian field, which have grown more acute and more painfully demanding year by year.

Especially in the Dakotas and Minnesota, where work is widespread over many reservations, major renewal of work and facilities are essential if men and women are to serve effectively, or even serve at all. In the Southwest, where three Navajo mission centers overlap three separate jurisdictions (located in two provinces), the high cost of work has led to individual fund-raising efforts on a wide scale. Mission superintendents have had the heavy task of raising a substantial portion of their own budgets. Other missions in the Rocky Mountain States have been forced to make adjustments, through reductions in staff, providing interim or part-time ministries, and, generally, cutting back the work of the Church, at a time when Indian communities have been meeting the full impact of rapid social change. Bishops and staff are confronting problems which are difficult to describe and even more difficult to solve.

The American Indian Capital Conference on Poverty, in May, 1964, in Washington, D.C., brought reservation Indian leadership clearly to the fore and focused particular attention on reservation problems. This meeting was sponsored by the Council on Indian Affairs, a co-operating group of Church and voluntary agencies. Indian persons participating in the Conference fully demonstrated their capacity and readiness to serve in tribal affairs, in State and regional programs, and in the life and work of the Church and the nation. They stated the present needs of reservation communities in ways which the Church cannot ignore. A full report of the Capital Conference may be obtained through the Home Department.

The chronic rate of unemployment on reservations is approximately 45%. (A 7% rate is considered an emergency situation in the nation as a whole.) Job opportunities and educational opportunities are the chief concern of today's Indian leaders and of the
younger people as well. Improved housing, sanitation, and expanded public-health facilities, are urgent reservation community needs. The involvement of local communities in planning and action is understood as a primary need and goal.

Findings on Reservation Work

The Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work offers the following findings in relation to the urgent needs of the jurisdictions having major reservation missions and ministry:

1. Some reservation communities are in rapid transition from the isolation of previous years, and leaders of these communities are desperately trying to find ways of meeting the economic, social, and spiritual needs of the people. This search involves all of the residents of these communities—tribal members; Bureau employees; field workers from voluntary organizations; State workers; Church personnel; local traders; and business-men, ranchers, and farmers.

2. The Church's mission in Indian communities is a new ministry and requires a new measure of support on the part of reservation congregations and of the Church at large.

3. Training of men and women for the Indian field is a specialized task; this holds true for Indian, as well as non-Indian, workers.

4. Salaries of workers in this field are very low and are far from realistic; travel allowances are limited and often unjust to the workers. The jurisdictions cannot meet personnel needs in Indian communities without further assistance from the general Church.

5. Physical facilities are inadequate for effective and efficient work in most communities. The gap which now exists cannot be closed in a single triennium; but it is time to define the job ahead and to seek ways of making necessary capital and credit available to those jurisdictions which are prepared to re-tool for the present day.

6. Reservation work is the key to the Church's effectiveness in work among Indians in towns and cities across the country. National leadership in Indian education and welfare, in public policy in Indian affairs, in ministry to Indians in urban communities—all of this relates directly to the demonstrated concern of the Church in Indian reservation communities.

7. Requests have come from other jurisdictions than those now assisted through the National Council, asking the advice and
assistance of the National Council in the development of Indian work. These requests require field-study and evaluation, in the light of the Church's total Indian program, especially with the Department of Indian Work of the National Council of Churches.

8. Regional planning in administration and programming is essential where two or more jurisdictions are involved in related Indian work. Such an approach would help to meet present needs and develop a unified witness of the Church's ministry.

**Urban Work**

In four major metropolitan centers (Chicago, the "Twin Cities", Denver, and the San Francisco Bay Area), there are established programs of work among American Indians. In many other urban centers (especially in the western half of the country) there is high potential and responsibility for such work.

The ministry of the Church in the city includes an outreach to Church members who have moved there; but, more than that, outreach to the local Indian community. Pilot programs are only beginning to indicate the patterns for a larger urban ministry in the years ahead. Two major conferences since 1961 have involved Indian persons in questions directly relating to the town and city situation. In April, 1963, a 6th-Province Town-and-Country Conference considered the total picture of in-and-out migration in the region. In April, 1964, the Great-Plains Rocky-Mountain Urban-Regional Conference in Omaha gave special attention to Indian Work.

Indian people moving in and out of urban centers today are not alone. Many Indian families now live in the city. They know one another, and the links between these households and their home reservations continue strong. Town and city churches are in a position to develop a strong and effective ministry, working closely with clergymen and lay readers of the reservations, as well as with clergymen and lay readers in the urban communities. "Second generation" attitudes of rejection of Indian heritage are giving way to "third generation" interest in and acceptance of Indian identity on the part of young people, especially in schools and colleges.

The American Indian Chicago Conference, sponsored by the University of Chicago in June, 1962, was an important event for Indian people throughout the country. It created a broad awareness of "Indian-ness", as including many persons of Indian heritage, yet with little or no reservation background or Federal Indian rela-
tionship. Participation in this meeting clarified the wider task of the Church, reaching across the United States and beyond limits previously understood in Indian work. The American Indian Capital Conference on Poverty in Washington in May, 1964, further indicated the extent of the non-reservation, small-town, and urban Indian community.

Findings on Urban Work

The Joint Staff Committee offers the following findings, especially in relation to urban work:

1. The cosmopolitan nature of Indian work is nowhere so clearly demonstrated as in the urban centers. The urban Indian communities link Indian people throughout the country, of many tribes, from many States, and from a wide variety of social and economic settings.

2. Urban communities are new centers of Indian interest and of Indian politics. Ministry in these communities requires the leadership of workers especially recruited and trained, and directly involved in the day-to-day life of Indian persons, both in the cities and on the reservations.

3. Plans for the extension of the urban ministry need to be carefully conceived in relation to the findings of all of the urban-regional conferences and the developing principles of the work of the Church in urban society. Requests for social workers in urban situations make clear that special attention must be given to social-welfare planning, along traditional lines or in new terms.

4. The responsibility of the Church is far broader and deeper than has been previously understood and reaches across the whole of the United States, on and off the reservations. The Church has been largely unaware of the presence of an Indian community in many cities. Additional pilot projects in urban areas are called for in widely divergent geographic locations.

Education

A wide range of educational projects has been undertaken or extended during the past triennium, particularly through the Home Department, in consultation with the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work. Educational programs within the dioceses and missionary districts have received renewed attention and assistance from a variety of sources.

Early in 1961, prior to the General Convention, the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work agreed to join in sponsorship of the
United Scholarship Service for American Indian students in Denver, Colorado. This office is a clearing house for scholarship applicants and a counseling and guidance service. The General Convention in 1961 confirmed this action, and in the past three years United Scholarship Service, Inc., has administered college grants for the National Council of the Episcopal Church, the United Church of Christ, and the Association on American Indian Affairs, Inc. Awards are made by a national selections committee on the basis of need, without sectarian consideration, to more than one hundred students each year.

Special gifts received for work in Indian education have made possible the development of a further scholarship program, including placement of able Indian students in outstanding preparatory schools. This program, begun in the Home Department, was accepted by United Scholarship Service as an integral part of its program, and is now incorporated in the regular services offered through United Scholarship Service, Inc. Thirty students are enrolled in preparatory schools for the Fall term, 1964.

Summer camperships, travel grants, and study grants, have also been awarded through the "special" program of the Home Department, supplementing diocesan programs on a limited basis. Some vocational training assistance has been available.

Boarding-school placements have been made and scholarship assistance granted to children of Indian and non-Indian clergymen and other Church workers in the Indian field.

Four American Indian candidates for the ministry have received assistance in the seminaries; supplemental help has gone to dioceses and missionary districts in developing lay leadership-training programs. Episcopal students have been enrolled at the Cook School in Phoenix, Arizona. In the Summer of 1962, new Indian field workers participated in an orientation session at Estes Park, Colorado, in cooperation with other Church bodies under the sponsorship of the National Council of Churches. In September, 1963, a more intensive orientation course was conducted at Cook School for a ten-day period. Several Episcopal workers attended this session. Ten Indian men and women have qualified for Church Army training, and are serving now in the Indian field in Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, Idaho, and Nevada.

A key project, started in the Missionary District of Eastern Oregon in 1960, was the Wallowa Educational Day-Camp—a regional Summer program in the Wallowa Valley. In the Summer
of 1962, arrangements were made to bring a group of Nez Percé Indian students from the Missionary District of Idaho to participate in the day-camp, giving Indian and non-Indian children a chance to live and work and play together. Historically, the Wallowa Valley was the home of the Nez Percé, and Chief Joseph is especially honored there. This day-camp is continuing as a pilot project in rural educational enrichment, and in inter-cultural relations. The Missionary District of Wyoming is developing a similar program.

Another pilot project, undertaken in this triennium through the advance program in Indian work, was the sponsorship of an Indian Boy-Dancers Tour-Camp. This group has performed in the Rocky-Mountain area. The boys have had a chance themselves to know more of Indian life and lore, to travel during the Summers in the West, to meet the public, and to earn some money for Fall. Direction of this project is now incorporated in the general year-round program of Good Shepherd Mission in the Diocese of Arizona.

In the Diocese of New Mexico, at San Juan Mission, there is a regular study-hall program for nearby Navajo reservation youth, who come in from their homes for evenings of supervised study.

In the Missionary District of Utah, the Church maintains a small day-school at St. Christopher’s Navajo Mission. Choir boys and girls from this Mission have made trips to the Cathedral in Salt Lake City and to Washington, D.C., where they sang in the National Cathedral on American Indian Sunday, during the American Indian Capital Conference on Poverty. On the Ute Reservation in Utah, a special daily class in religious education for a group of High School boys is unique in the country.

In the Missionary District of South Dakota there are three educational institutions which receive direct appropriations, separate from the general grant to the Missionary District for field-missions work. (1) St. Mary’s School for Girls is a regionally accredited boarding High School (85 girls). It has expanded its faculty and plant and now includes girls from other jurisdictions in its student body. (2) Bishop Hare Home is a boarding home for boys who attend the Todd County High School. (3) St. Elizabeth’s Mission is a dormitory for boys and girls attending the local public grade school. It is now being rebuilt after a disastrous fire. A ministry is maintained at the Pierre Federal Indian School and also at the Flandreau Federal Indian School, the latter through the Indian work program of the National Council of Churches.

In the Missionary District of North Dakota, the clergyman resident at Wahpeton has special responsibility for students in the
Federal Indian School there. A special tri-State lay-leadership educational training program has been developed in North Dakota, reaching out to South Dakota and Minnesota.

In the Diocese of Fond du Lac, there is a new sisterhood in residence at Oneida, and the Church is continuing to maintain a community day-school there.

The Diocese of Minnesota has pioneered, through its Church schools, in opening up the whole secondary-education placement program now sponsored by the United Scholarship Service, Inc. Shattuck, St. James', St. Mary's, and Breck Schools, were the first to develop a placement program for Indian students in cooperation with National Council. The Cass Lake Rural Training Program places seminarians in Indian field-work positions each Summer.

Wherever Federal Indian schools are located, there is a special opportunity for local parishes. Some help has been given through the advance program to parishes in Chemawa, Oregon, and in Lawrence, Kansas, to encourage the development of outreach to Indian students. In Santa Fe, New Mexico, the Cathedral parish is working with students and faculty of the Institute of American Indian Arts.

The established ministry in Federal Indian schools, supported by the several denominations through the National Council of Churches' Department of Indian Work, offers real opportunity for Christian service on the part of persons seeking their vocation in the Indian field.

Staff members of the National Council have worked with Harvard and Radcliffe students in the development of Summer service projects on a number of reservations. The Diocese of Eau Claire has become directly involved in Indian work, through student leadership projects in Wisconsin.

Work among Indian students on college campuses is particularly to be kept in mind as the Division of College Work is rethinking its task and developing new patterns of ministry.

**Findings in Education**

The Joint Staff Committee makes the following findings in relation to education:

1. The past three years have been vital and varied in the approach to Indian educational needs and opportunities. Pilot educational projects have created interest well beyond their apparent size and scope. Individual students have benefited. There
has also been considerable "feedback". This has begun to show itself in local community concern for general educational approaches and standards, and in a greater attention to Indian heritage, arts, and history, in the curriculum of schools in Indian regions.

2. Scholarship assistance has been administered on a flexible program to meet a wide variety of educational needs and to open new opportunities. Continuous consultation with the National Advisory Committee on Indian Work can insure wise and equitable use of scholarship funds, development of a national program, and assist with programs in many dioceses and missionary districts. The need for scholarship funds continues to be great, even with the broadened service offered by the Branch of Indian Education of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

3. Response to educational programs is enthusiastic among Indian people and non-Indians. As has been apparent in other eras of missionary history, "education is mission". General support is necessarily coupled with a broad interpretation of the meaning of education, working co-operatively with tribal leaders, other Church bodies, voluntary agencies, State, and Federal systems.

4. Education opens up specific possibilities for recruitment and training of personnel for the Church's work. This is already clear in the enrollment of Indian students in the seminaries and in lay leadership-training programs. Church homes, schools, and fieldmissions, will be able to employ qualified men and women with reservation background on a regular basis in professional, skilled, and/or unskilled positions.

5. Basic educational materials and more trained persons are needed in the field of Christian Education. Further co-operation is called for in developing programs in the Department of Christian Education and in the Home Department's Division of Christian Ministries, in ways directly applicable to the needs of the Indian field.

6. The established educational institutions in the Indian field—in particular in the Missionary District of South Dakota—have been an integral part of the Church's mission and ministry. High standards in these institutions can be developed only if the Church stands ready to support such work.

Social Welfare

Resolution Nine, on Social Welfare Problems, called upon the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council
to concern itself with acute "social problems among Indians". With the full participation of this Department in the Joint Staff Committee, study and action programs have been made possible in implementation of this resolution.

In December, 1961, an extensive field-study was undertaken in the Dakota-Minnesota region, with special attention to child-welfare needs. The results of this study were made available to all workers in the area, and to the Church at large. A conference on social welfare was held at Roanridge in September, 1962, bringing together tribal leaders, Church personnel, and State and Federal social workers, to consider the implications of the report for future programs.

A social-welfare consultant was retained, with particular responsibility for Indian work. This worker has served for the past two years as field consultant to the jurisdictions having Indian work, reporting to them and to the Joint Staff Committee, with recommendations for developing social-welfare programs within the established framework of the Church's missions.

At the October, 1962, conference, two services were listed as meeting ascertained needs of Indian families and communities. These were

1. Small-group boarding homes for children, especially adolescents, who are unable to remain in the family setting, either because of their own inability to make a satisfactory adjustment or because of serious deterioration in the family structure.

2. Community Centers, to provide opportunity for meaningful recreation for both children and adults, and for adult education. Such centers are seen to be an essential concomitant of the involvement of reservation residents in the process of community development and of the fostering of local Indian leadership. They would also provide family counseling, which will offer opportunity for discovering and treating causes of individual maladjustment and family breakdown.

During 1963, the opportunity presented itself for testing the validity of these recommendations in combination. The ministry of the Episcopal Church on the Wind River Reservation in the Missionary District of Wyoming, which is directed to the Shoshone and Arapaho tribes, had included a grade school at Ethete, Wyoming. Later this was replaced by a Children's Emergency Shelter.

A study undertaken in the Fall of 1962 by the Missionary District of Wyoming, with assistance from the Joint Staff Committee on
Indian Work, focused attention on another un-met need: the placement of teenagers, unable to remain in the family setting, and providing them with substitute family life and social services for adjustment and growth. A design was developed, which would combine a group-home with a decentralized neighborhood program, in an attempt to modify basic causes (as well as the symptoms) of family community dysfunction. The plan assumed the possibility of co-operative effort between the Church, the Arapaho and Shoshone Tribes, and the Bureau of Indian Affairs. It was reviewed by the leadership of the Missionary District and the Joint Staff Committee, and was discussed with officials of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, both on the reservation and in Washington, D.C. Most importantly, it was tested for acceptance through meetings with and submission of the plan to the local residents and also the submission of the plan to the Joint Business Committee of the two tribes.

A grant to underwrite part of the cost for the initial three-year period was secured from the Church School Missionary Offering. In view of the proposed standards, the Bureau of Indian Affairs indicated willingness to increase its payments for the care of children beyond the scale provided for the previous shelter program. The tribes also promised some financial support.

During the Summer, two qualified workers, with experience in settlements, were secured and the new program was initiated in October, 1963. Total placement is thirty children. Intake is handled jointly with the social worker of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. A small-cottage plan is used to give the teenagers as much family life as possible. They attend local schools and are encouraged to participate in community activities. Weekly cottage meetings are used to experiment with group counseling. Individual counseling is arranged as needed; some work has begun with adult family members.

Simultaneously, work was begun on a community program along classical neighborhood-settlement lines. Recreation programs quickly involved close to 100 teenagers and pre-adolescents at Ethete, most of them Arapahoes. A parallel program was started in the Community Hall at Fort Washakie, on the Shoshone side of the reservation. The program had quick acceptance.

A plan is now being developed to locate additional small centers on the reservation, through the use of local neighborhood committees.

All this activity has led to a proposal to create a community-development council in which the tribes, the Bureau, the Churches,
and voluntary groups, can work together on many facets of community life.

In the Diocese of Minnesota, plans have gone forward along similar and other lines for the development of a teenage group-care facility, to be located in Bemidji, Minnesota, and to be known as the Archdeacon Gilfillan Center—a name revered because of service to the Indian people.

The program was first outlined in conversations between representatives of Minnesota, North and South Dakota, and National Council staff, during the General Convention in 1961. Since that time, the Diocese of Minnesota has organized Episcopal Community Services, Inc., which serves as the directing corporation. Planning has involved Indian community leaders; State and Federal social-work officials; and bishops, clergymen, and laymen, of the Diocese.

Social-welfare field visits and consultations have also been carried out in each of the three Navajo Missions centers in the Southwest. The Bishops of Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah, have met together with National Council staff to project plans for a co-ordinated or unified approach to the ministry of the Church in Navajo country. The National Council has responded to this, and a study is in process to provide the basis for sound judgment concerning program and administration.

In the Missionary District of Utah, the Church’s ministry has been revitalized on the Uintah-Ouray reservation, which had been without a resident clergyman for several years. A full-time priest has now been in the field for two years, and a Church Army worker has been added to the staff. This mission has been community-oriented since its inception. Planning and co-operation with tribal leaders has been the outstanding characteristic of this work, with far-reaching impact on community life.

**Findings in Social Welfare**

The Joint Staff Committee offers the following findings:

1. The time is long overdue for the Church to enter deeply into the social problems confronting Indian communities. No “outside” agency is in the position that the Church holds and in which it may work within the community and, at the same time, relate effectively the resources beyond the reach of local citizens.

2. Since 1961, the quality of work in the Church’s institutions for child care has been maintained and, in some situations, ad-
vanced. Continuing attention to the basic needs of the missions which maintain such programs will enable local workers to modify services and to improve facilities and programs, which they very much hope to do.

3. Social welfare is a concept which must be understood in its widest sense, embracing the individual, the family, and the community. The person concerned with social welfare addresses himself to problems of community well-being as well as to problems of social disorganization. Personnel for Indian work on the reservations and in the cities is to be found among those professionally trained and committed to this fundamental interpretation of social work.

4. The Church has a key role to play in the development of pilot projects in areas of social need not yet directly considered in program—notably economic development, community mobilization, and problems such as alcoholism. It is called upon, also, to interpret, evaluate, and assist, in the development of tribal, State, and Federal programs.

5. Jurisdictions having Indian work, reservation or urban, are to be encouraged in the development of community recreation and service programs, in which children, young people, and adults, have opportunity for constructive group-study, work, and play. Additional lay staff persons could carry out such programs, since clergymen in the Indian field have an especially heavy burden of parochial and pastoral duties.

**Public Policy**

The Episcopal Church had been generally silent for many years in social issues of concern to Indian persons and communities. Participation of local, diocesan, and national Church leaders in public affairs affecting American Indians was minimal when the Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work was formed in 1960.

In the three years since the General Convention of 1961, the Episcopal Church has moved rapidly into a position of responsible leadership in Indian affairs nationally, and in a number of State and local situations. Beginning with contacts made with Indians and organizations at the White House Conference on Children and Youth in April, 1960, representatives of the National Council have taken part in every major meeting and conference relating to Indian problems. This is a complete change from previous years.

Thus, the National Council has participated in the Governors' Inter-State Conference on Indian Affairs, conventions of the
National Congress of American Indians, annual meetings of the Association on American Indian Affairs and the Indian Rights Association, ARROW, and the American Indian Chicago Conference. Representatives have attended Indian youth- and general-education conferences in Arizona, New Mexico, and Montana, and a State conference for social workers in Wisconsin. Bishop Gordon of Alaska has helped to organize conferences and programs for community development jointly with Roman Catholic officials and Indian, Eskimo, State- and Federal-government leadership.

In particular, the National Council has become an official member of the combined Council on Indian affairs (as of November, 1962). This Council meets in Washington, D.C., three times a year, with three delegates named by each of the independent and voluntary agencies holding membership.

The American Indian Capital Conference on Poverty, in Washington, D.C., in May, 1964 (using the facilities of the Washington Cathedral and other institutions on the Cathedral Close), was an extended meeting of this Council. No other public meeting in the history of Indian affairs has brought together so diverse a group with such common concerns. Congressmen, Federal and State officials, tribal representatives—all were present, together with members of many organizations and Church groups. Almost every active ordained American Indian clergyman of the Episcopal Church was present. Many preached in the churches of the area. All took part in the early service of Holy Communion at the Washington Cathedral, which was read in Dakota, Navajo, Chippewa, and English. Church leaders of Protestant bodies and of the Roman Catholic Church participated in meetings and work groups. It was an historic meeting with far-reaching impact.

Findings in Public Policy

The Joint Staff Committee makes the following findings emerging out of the work of the past triennium:

1. The Episcopal Church is deeply committed to an engagement in the social issues of the day, and to a concept of mission as a ministry to the total man. In Indian work, this includes a special responsibility for the development of Indian leadership.

2. A representative of the Church is needed in Washington, D.C., as called for in Resolution Two above, and as requested by the National Council in its proposed budget for the coming triennium. Such a representative would be concerned not only with public policy, but to be expert in relating the vast resources of governmental agencies to field programs and community development.
3. There is much work to be done at State and local levels, to make the position of the Church known, and to assist Indian communities in making effective use of Federal, State, and tribal resources.

4. The findings of the American Indian Capital Conference on Poverty, of May, 1964, in the fields of education, health, housing, employment, and community mobilization, are called to the attention of Churchmen for study and implementation.

The National Advisory Committee on Indian Work

In the Fall of 1962, the group of persons named to the National Advisory Committee on Indian Work met for the first time at Roanridge, the National Town-Country Church Institute in Missouri. Three other meetings of the committee have been held, the last in Washington, D.C., at the College of Preachers in May, 1964.

The chairman of this Committee is the Rt. Rev. Philip McNairy, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota. Vice-chairman is the Ven. Vine V. Deloria, Archdeacon of South Dakota. Nearly all of the other members are laymen of the Episcopal Church from all parts of the country with expert competence in specific fields and disciplines.

The Joint Staff Committee on Indian Work is grateful to the Advisory Committee for its counsel and guidance, and for the opportunity to work with persons so deeply concerned with the renewal of the Church's mission and ministry among American Indians. These are persons well-informed in the total Indian program and in the strengths and weaknesses of our work over the past triennium. They are prepared to give wise and thoughtful advice and guidance on the work which has yet to be accomplished.

(Total membership list inside front page).

Five Objectives for the Future

I. The United Support of the Church

The Church can no longer look upon American Indian work—or indeed any Church work—as something done by the dedicated few on behalf of the remote rest of us. The Church's mission to Indians demands more of every Churchman than a few dollars, a few prayers, and an occasional "letter to the field".

Ours is an interdependent Church in an interdependent society. We are all working on some frontier of mission. Every one of these frontiers affects American Indians, and thereby those who are engaged in the ministry to Indians. They depend upon the whole
Church for supportive action to enable them to do their jobs creatively.

Each of the major social problems highlighted today as crucial domestic issues in the United States is present in Indian communities: abridgment of civil rights, discrimination, unemployment, low educational levels, extreme poverty. Workers in these communities are called upon for strength, courage, skill, and faith, to cope with such deprivation. Churchmen in all jurisdictions must be wholehearted in their support of these workers if there is to be any continuing chance of progress.

Indian communities provide a new kind of frontier today, and a new series of wars: against ignorance, poverty, fear, and spiritual loss. The Church can free its workers to do their tasks only by its united enthusiasm for what they are doing, and its united efforts in the same wars waged in other areas of the nation. Bishops, priests, and laymen, must be supported in strange and untested situations, as they seek new ways of solving old problems.

II. Personnel for the Church’s Work

There has been improvement in many aspects of the Church’s ministry to American Indians, but there is constantly more work to be done and there are fewer people to do it. What are the needs?

A. Staff

- more trained priests (including worker-priests) who will come to Indian communities and remain there;
- more Christian Education workers, not only on reservations, but also in government schools, in colleges, in border towns, in the cities near public schools;
- more educational specialists, who can conduct out-of-school tutorial study to help Indian children make up their educational deficiencies, and work in Indian communities to raise educational standards.
- more social workers for family counseling, child welfare, group-work programs, and community organization;
- personnel for Church institutions, teachers, houseparents, maintenance workers;
- more local community leaders who can work with professionals for the betterment of Indian communities;
B. Training

- more training for all the people listed above, in today's Indian culture, situation, and potential. (This includes Indian, as well as non-Indian, workers).

- Continuation education, for long-range leadership in Indian work.

III. A Program against Poverty in the Church's Own Missions and Ministry

In all that has been reported here, it is evident that the Church needs to spend more money in Indian work. The outstanding financial need is for adequate salaries and housing for the Church's workers. Many persons who were, and are, dedicated to the ministry among Indians literally cannot afford to stay in that ministry, nor force their families to live in the housing available. Money is required in capital development: for needed buildings and for the repair and improvement of existing buildings. A visit to almost any Indian mission confronts a Churchman with the unpleasant fact that the property has been physically neglected, not from ill-will, but from lack of funds.

A third need for money is for transportation: cars, trucks, planes. Workers often cover several hundred or a thousand miles each week. Efficient transportation would cut down on the time on the road, and build up the time and energy available for people. Further, transportation is a real part of the ministry which is offered to Indian people, who are often isolated from each other, the mission, and community life.

The money we now spend on Indian work must constantly be scrutinized and used efficiently. No matter how well used, however, there just is not enough now to end the look and feel of poverty in the Church's missions and ministry. It appears now that a regional approach to financing may be feasible, in which several dioceses and missionary districts consider together the total pattern of needs, and seek a co-operative set of solutions.

IV. Community Mobilization in a Program against Poverty

However it strengthens its personnel and its resources in Indian fields, the Church cannot fail to recognize its impotence for producing change unilaterally. Nowhere is it more true than in Indian communities, that practicing Churchmen are a small minority, even among those tribes which have traditionally been Episcopalians. Against deprivation and social need, the most vigorous efforts
of Churchmen would be hopeless, were they not part of a larger campaign on the part of many Churches and many governmental and voluntary agencies. This in no way lessens the crucial importance of the Church's leadership. Not only in fulfillment of its mission to save men, but also in its mission to save society, the Church must give itself to a larger unity in community mobilization against social, economic, and spiritual poverty. We are past the time when individual charity and brotherliness will suffice, if there ever was such a time. These are corporate problems which we face, and they must be attacked corporately.

Although not yet very evident in results, there is a new spirit in the Churches and agencies working among Indians. To put it in our current phrase, it is a spirit of mutual interdependence—Indian and non-Indian, government and Church, professional and lay. Many leaders and groups are open to proposals for co-operative ventures involving extensive use of manpower and funds. The Church has been able to make and secure co-operation in a few such proposals. It ought to press forward with many more in the next few years. By marshaling the forces of educational, legal, health, economic, welfare, and religious resources available to Indian communities, the Church can in many places give effective leadership in overcoming poverty.

V. Interpretation of the Church's Mission

The Church has a crucial role to play in the coming years in interpreting its missionary task and in telling the story of American Indians today in contemporary terms. Other Americans still think of American Indians as belonging only to the American past.

The old stereotypes put serious pressure upon Indian people today, as they seek to come to terms with Indian community needs. These communities have strengths deriving from Indian culture and identity which can be utilized only as other Americans are able to accept the solutions which Indian persons themselves recognize as valid and helpful.

It is a special responsibility of the Church to correct old stereotypes, and to help to make American Indians understood as neighbors and Churchmen in the present day. In a limited way, much has been accomplished, since the 1961 General Convention, toward this end. But much remains to be done, and on a far larger scale. It requires programs of research, publication, and promotion, through all the media.

We are called upon to work with other agencies to provide American Indians the opportunity to know and to be known in
the United States and throughout the world as participating citizens in American life. We are called on, as the Church, to lift up Him who is the Way, the Truth, and the Life, so that faith, hope, and strength, may flourish in the life of American Indian people.

**APPENDIX**

**Appropriation Budget for American Indian Work**

National Council’s Proposed Triennial Budget  
(Subject to modification and action by General Convention)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Missionary Districts—Field Ministry</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>$8,400.00</td>
<td>$12,490.00</td>
<td>$12,490.00</td>
<td>$12,490.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>5,627.00</td>
<td>5,731.00</td>
<td>5,731.00</td>
<td>5,731.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>39,461.00</td>
<td>44,800.00</td>
<td>50,600.00</td>
<td>50,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>171,391.00</td>
<td>181,391.00</td>
<td>216,850.00</td>
<td>220,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah—Ute Field</td>
<td>31,675.00</td>
<td>31,675.00</td>
<td>44,155.00</td>
<td>44,155.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>37,881.00</td>
<td>47,732.00</td>
<td>47,732.00</td>
<td>47,732.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$294,435.00</td>
<td>$323,819.00</td>
<td>$377,558.00</td>
<td>$380,408.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Dioceses—Field Ministry</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>$42,093.00</td>
<td>$42,262.00</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>9,258.00</td>
<td>19,258.00</td>
<td>29,258.00</td>
<td>29,258.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico and S. W. Texas.</td>
<td>35,442.00</td>
<td>36,148.00</td>
<td>58,960.00</td>
<td>59,064.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
<td>700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>9,400.00</td>
<td>8,460.00</td>
<td>7,604.00</td>
<td>7,604.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>3,500.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$106,393.00</td>
<td>$116,328.00</td>
<td>$149,522.00</td>
<td>$148,126.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Schools</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac, Wisconsin</td>
<td>$6,910.00</td>
<td>$12,800.00</td>
<td>$13,160.00</td>
<td>$13,160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Mary’s, South Dakota</td>
<td>37,200.00</td>
<td>41,600.00</td>
<td>47,840.00</td>
<td>55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$44,110.00</td>
<td>$54,400.00</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>$68,160.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Mission Homes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Elizabeth’s, S.D.</td>
<td>$22,650.00</td>
<td>$23,700.00</td>
<td>$35,500.00</td>
<td>$39,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop Hare, S.D.</td>
<td>15,700.00</td>
<td>18,200.00</td>
<td>20,930.00</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$38,350.00</td>
<td>$41,900.00</td>
<td>$56,430.00</td>
<td>$64,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Scholarship Program                    | $26,232.00| $28,732.00| $33,043.00| $39,345.00|
|Equipment & Property Repairs           | $12,000.00| $12,000.00| $12,000.00| $12,000.00|
|Indian Advance Program                  | $47,038.00| (out)     | (out)     | (out)     |
|Grand Total                             | $568,558.00| $577,179.00| $689,553.00| $712,139.00|
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MR. RICHARD SCHUSTER, 1700 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
Lawyer. Counsel for several Indian tribes. Specialist in Indian affairs, Washington, D.C.

MRS. VEDA STONE, 1417 Wilson Street, Eau Claire, Wisconsin 54702
State Health and Welfare Officer, Wisconsin.

MRS. PAULINE TYNDALL, Macy, Nebraska
Community development leader, Omaha tribe, Nebraska
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THE REV. REINHART GUTMANN
THE REV. ARTHUR WALMSLEY
MRS. MURIEL S. WEBB,
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APPENDIX 4

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON
CHURCH ARCHITECTURE AND THE ALLIED ARTS

By joint action of the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies, the following were appointed to serve on the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts for the triennium 1962-1964:

The Rt. Rev. Jonathan G. Sherman, S.T.D.,
Suffragan Bishop of Long Island

The Rt. Rev. Russell T. Rauscher, D.D.,
Bishop Coadjutor of Nebraska

The Rev. Canon Darby W. Betts, California

The Rev. Canon Edward N. West, New York

Frederick Dunn, Missouri

Theodore Sizer, Connecticut

John W. Stenhouse, Washington

Frederick J. Woodbridge, New York

During this triennium, your Commission has held the following meetings duly recorded in minutes: February 12, 1962; June 22, 1962; December 3, 1962; October 7, 1963; December 4, 1963; March 9, 1964; and one rump meeting on January 13, 1964, from which all but four members were prevented from coming, either by illness or by a blizzard.

Canon West has continued conversations with Sir John Heton-Armstrong, Clarenceaux King-at-Arms, regarding the heraldry of the Church Flag, and has obtained from Sir John a proper technical description of the flag as it is. It is now possible to establish the description of the arms and the proper colors.

After considerable discussion, it was decided to supplement the two previous booklets prepared by the Commission, and the film-strip, "Church Building for our Times" (shown at the last Convention), with a picture book, illustrating many points made in the earlier booklets. The emphasis of the proposed book would be on interiors, chancel arrangements, furnishings, etc. The best available examples of altars, communion rails, pulpits, lecterns, fonts, seating, vest-
ments, crosses, candlesticks, etc., as well as before-and-after pictures of alterations, would be shown.

It is hoped that the calling of attention to material from all available sources, provided it is suitable to the Episcopal tradition and in accordance with the Book of Common Prayer, will prove helpful and stimulating to clergy, vestries, and the laity generally.

The Commission has reviewed hundreds of photographs and has selected between one and two hundred that it considers sufficiently noteworthy to be included in this book. It is planned to have the book available for sale at the Commission's booth at the Convention in St. Louis, together with the earlier booklets and the film-strip.

As has been indicated in previous reports, the Commission receives numerous requests for advice on how to obtain a lot of building for very little money. The members of the Commission, like those making inquiry, are deeply concerned over this problem, and are loath to consider it insoluble. In the search for solutions, the Commission has examined closely and has investigated the practical application of various schemes, such as the Virginia/Southern-Virginia project for expansible buildings using pre-cast sections, and others. Under favorable local conditions, some of these systems seem to produce desirable and economical results, but they are by no means universally applicable. A panacea is yet to be found.

In the course of the year, Bishop Rauscher and Messrs. Stenhouse and Sizer felt obliged to resign from the Commission. The resignations were accepted with great regret and reluctance.

Bishop Esquirol was appointed to replace Bishop Rauscher; and Messrs. Willis N. Mills, architect, of Stamford, Connecticut, and Alexander S. Cochran of Baltimore, Maryland, have filled the other two vacancies.

Your Commission offers the following resolutions:

1. *Resolved*, the House of concurring, That the report of the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts be accepted and that the Commission be continued.

2. *Resolved*, the House of concurring, That the present appropriation of $3,000.00 be continued in like amount and allocated to the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts for the continuance and development of its work during the coming triennium.
FINANCIAL REPORT
March 20, 1961 — March 20, 1964

Balance on Hand, as reported to General Convention, 1961 .... $3,787.44

Receipts
Royalties on Church Flag from Annin & Co. $1,852.13
Royalties on Seabury Press booklets 111.70
Film-strip receipts 72.25
General Convention 3,000.00
Convention sales 27.60

Total $5,063.68

Disbursements
Expenses of Meetings
In previous triennium (2) $ 247.42
In current triennium (6) 2,648.21

Expenses for production of film-strip 2,005.42
Purchase of a film-strip 15.00
Expenses connected with 60th General Convention 629.32
Church Flag expenses 13.50
Booth for 1964 Convention 200.00
Photographs for forthcoming book 131.00

Total $5,889.87

Balance on hand, March 20, 1964 $2,961.25

JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Chairman
JOHN H. ESQUIROL
DARBY W. BETTS
EDWARD N. WEST, Vice-Chairman
FREDERICK DUNN
WILLIS N. MILLS
ALEXANDER S. COCHRAN
FREDERICK J. WOODBRIDGE, Secretary-Treasurer
By concurrent action of both Houses of General Convention in 1961, the Joint Advisory Committee to Co-operate with the Treasurer of General Convention was continued under the designation, Joint Committee on Audit, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of General Convention and to serve as a joint advisory committee to co-operate with the Treasurer of General Convention.

The Joint Committee was constituted by the appointment of the following members:

- **THE RT. REV. BENJAMIN M. WASHBURN, D.D.**
- **THE REV. ROBERT B. APPELYARD, D.D., of Connecticut**
- **THEODOR OXHOLM, LL.D., of New York**

The Committee organized with Bishop Washburn as Chairman, Canon Appleyard as Vice-Chairman, and Dr. Oxholm as Secretary. No meetings were held during the triennium, all work having been handled by correspondence.

In compliance with Canon 1, Sec. 5(a), the Joint Committee directed that the accounting firm of Peat, Marwick, Mitchell and Co., be engaged to audit the account of the Treasurer for the triennium ending August 15, 1964.

During the triennium, the Treasurer sought the advice of the Joint Committee relative to accounting for reimbursed travel and other business expenses in compliance with the regulations of the Internal Revenue Service. The members of the Joint Committee agreed that such accounting should be made to the Treasurer of General Convention, approving the form of Expense Report which he had prepared for the purpose.

No other matters have come before the Joint Committee and, therefore, no meetings have been held. The Accountants' Report has been received and will be presented with the Report of the Treasurer as heretofore.
Recommendations

1. The Joint Committee offers the following resolution:
   Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Report of the Treasurer of General Convention and the Accountants' Report for the triennium ended August 15, 1964, be accepted.

2. To assure continuity in the appointment of a committee to direct an audit as prescribed by Canon 1, Sec. 5(a), the Joint Committee offers the following resolution:
   Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be a new Joint Rule of Order, as follows:
   There shall be a Joint Committee on Audit, appointed at each General Convention, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and one Layman, to serve until their successors are appointed, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of the Treasurer of General Convention and to serve as a joint advisory committee to co-operate with the Treasurer of General Convention. The Joint Committee on Audit shall present its report to the House of Deputies at each triennial meeting of the General Convention.

3. The Joint Committee offers the following resolution:
   Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the sum of $1,000.00 be appropriated to the Joint Committee on Audit for its work during the ensuing triennium.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by General Convention ...................... $850.00

Disbursements
Professional Services, Peat, Marwick Mitchell & Co. ...... 750.00

Balance .................................................. $100.00

Theodor Oxholm, Secretary.
It will be recalled that in 1950 the minimum clergy pension was increased from $600.00 to $1500.00 a year and the minimum widow's pension from $300.00 to $750.00 a year, with increases in the orphan's allowance from $100.00-$300.00 to $300.00-$500.00 a year. Again in 1958 the whole benefit structure was expanded by 20%. In a third step, in 1962, the minimum widow's pension was further increased to $1,200.00 a year.

These three major expansions were made to apply both to pensions already in force and to those to be granted in the future.

These steps were possible because of (1) an increase in the pension assessment rate beginning in 1950 from 10% to 15% of clergy stipends, (2) gains in interest earnings, and in mortality- and salary-scale factors, and (3) an increase from 2¾% to 3% in 1962 in the future interest rate assumed by the actuary in valuing liabilities.

The Trustees now believe, after a study of all the elements, that a further forward step can be taken at the beginning of 1965; namely, a 10% expansion in the whole benefit structure; provided that the 15% assessment rate which has been in force since 1950 may be counted upon for the future as a permanent fixture. They recommend to General Convention that this step be approved, to be effective January 1, 1965.

A prominent layman has said that there is a very good one-word description of The Church Pension Fund — different. It is different from the usual pension fund in both its resources and its objectives.

In the first place, its resources have definite limitations. It is financially self-sustaining. There is no outside source to make up deficits. It has no corporate “sugar daddy”, as do industrial pension systems, nor an expansible tax support, as in governmental systems. For this reason, the Trustees of the Fund bear a particularly heavy burden of responsibility. The benefits promised today must be provided for in perpetuity. At this time, the Fund has assets of $130,000,000.00, but these assets and future assessments must provide the funds to pay out over $300,000,000.00 to those presently protected by the Fund.

In the second place, the Fund has different objectives than most pension and life plans. In addition to the normal retirement pen-
sions, the Fund also provides benefits for disabled clergymen, for clergymen's widows, and for their orphaned children. At present, for example, 47% of all the Fund's benefits are being received by widows and children. Furthermore, the Fund has substantial minimum benefits. A 15% assessment handles all this, in addition to the worker's pension, which is based on his salary and his years of service. So, although it is true that a commercial single-life retirement annuity alone would cost less, it is also true that it would provide less, for the Fund gives coverage to all clergymen, including those disabled before retirement age, to their widows, and to their children — with a floor under these of $1,800.00 for retirement and disability, $1200.00 for the widows, and $360.00-$600.00 for the children.

All of the above will be increased by 10% if General Convention approves the Trustees' recommendation.

The "pooling" nature of the Fund is also important to note. The contributions from the parishes are taken into a common pool. From the pool, the pensions are paid out as the beneficiaries qualify, in amounts governed by formulas and minimums and not by assessment accumulations received on a particular clergyman's stipend and his or his widow's life expectancy. Actuarial balance is maintained only for the group as a whole. The parish's support of the system is in the form of an assessment, as its share of the cost of pensions for the whole group; it is not like an insurance premium for a policy on the life of an individual.

No commercial plan could provide as broad a protection for clergymen and their families at so small a cost.

J. BROOKE MOSLEY
President
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Addendum I—Lay Workers Pensions

This is a report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund in compliance with the request of General Convention that they study and report on the feasibility of establishing a Church-wide retirement plan for lay workers.

Lay workers are of many talents — missionaries, deaconesses, religious education directors, teachers, secretaries, organists, sextons and maintenance people, staffs of diocesan offices, churches, and institutions. They are men and women. They are full-time and part-time. Their ages at appointment are on the order of 40 on the average. Tenure is uncertain at the start of employment, although many will have long service in the Church leading up
to retirement age. Some will move, like the clergy, from one unit to another a number of times during their careers in the various activities of the Church, or from employment within to employment without the Church. They work for thousands of autonomous employers—parishes and organizations—each with its own conviction or lack of conviction as to responsibility for retirement provision beyond paying Social Security tax.

This is clearly a climate in which a single group pension system like The Church Pension Fund and a uniform assessment rate is impractical. The Fund's single uniform benefit structure and set of rules, weighted in favor of the lower-paid and those with families, with minimum and maximum benefits, and with uniform assessment rate, are possible because of the homogeneity of those covered... all male, of whom the majority start in their twenties and continuously serve thereafter until retirement.

The group of lay workers is, however, disparate. Being disparate, no single group plan akin to The Church Pension Fund could be devised that would be widely accepted by them or their employers. Only an individual annuity arrangement geared to the individual case and the employer's predilection, with premiums paid by the employer and taken up by the successor employer, or paid by the terminating worker in inactive periods by personal choice in order to maintain continuity, has the flexibility needed.

The Social Security benefit alone is insufficient provision for long-term lay workers, particularly those with larger responsibilities in their Church work. Additional retirement income is needed. The married woman worker generally needs provision, even though the husband is covered in his secular work.

Where the desired balance lies between benefit level and cost, what prior service shall be brought in, what adjustment shall be made to reflect wage higher than Social Security's maximum, what the waiting period shall be, what the employer's responsibility is to the worker's dependents when the worker dies, are all decisions the employer must make.

What is the practical balance between a desirable level of retirement income and the funding cost which the employer may be expected to take on?

On the retirement-income side, a figure of about 50% of earnings in the final years of service is a generally reasonable aim. The typical Church worker's Social Security benefit ranges close to 30% of the worker's anticipated final earnings. This leaves to be arranged, as supplementary provision, some 20% of earnings in the final years of service.
On the funding-cost side, a total pension cost as contribution 
by the employer of about 15% of the worker's earnings is reason­ 
able provision (the parish's pension assessment for clergy is 15%). 
Since the statutory Social Security tax on the employer becomes 
4% in 1968 (and 4% on the worker), there remains some 
10-11% margin to the employer to contribute for supplementary 
provision.

With this total 15% cost for Social Security and supplementary 
provision a retirement income at age 68 of about 50% of earnings 
in the final years of service can be reached for workers in the 
lower and intermediate salary range who have given 25 years of 
service to age 68. Higher income than this would result for longer-
span workers, lesser income for shorter-span workers.

For higher salaries, the contribution rate would have to be 
somewhat higher to adjust for the fact that the Social Security 
benefit does not reflect the portion of salary above the first 
$4,800.00, which is the present ceiling in Social Security. If prior 
service is to be credited, an additional cost is involved.

Age 68 is recommended as the normal retirement age of a plan 
rather than 65, for the reason that long-service workers are more 
likely to want to continue to serve the Church beyond 65 and 
Church employers are more likely to want their service beyond 
65. Moreover, workers are inclined to prefer the higher income 
from continuing active service, and the subsequent higher retire­
ment income, to the lower income that comes in earlier retirement. 
Life expectancy at age 68 is 11-12 years for men (age 79-80) 
and 13-14 years for women (81-82).

With age 68 as the normal retirement age, the worker can 
nevertheless still retire earlier at a reduced benefit. An employer 
who decides on a plan to mature at age 65 is faced with a con­
siderably higher contribution rate for the same benefit, or con­
siderably lower benefit for the same contribution rate.

The Pension Plan for Lay Workers offered by Church Life 
Insurance Corporation (subsidiary of the Fund) is constructed 
along the lines described above, with considerable room within 
its formula for variation to adjust to the individual case and meet 
the employer's wishes. Lay workers in all kinds of duties are now 
covered in this Plan, including the deaconesses and employees of 
various Dioceses and institutions.

Enrollment in the Plan is after two years of work, not before 
age 25, thus avoiding early in-and-out turnover. The contribution
schedule is such as to work towards an annuity at age 68 of 0.8% of salary received in the later years of work times the number of years of work (e.g., for the worker with twenty-five years — 20% of salary). The contribution as percent of salary varies with starting age and sex; in the typical range of cases it is in the 9-11% range. The salary progress is reviewed at five-year intervals, the last review being made at age 63, and in most cases the same percent contribution applied to increases in salary. It is one of the important aims of the Plan that the annuity amount shall be geared to the salary in the worker’s later years, and nevertheless shall be attained by funding, with a close to constant percent of salary, from the start. In this way, there is avoided as much as possible the sharp increase in premium rate as salary rises, which is usually true of annuity plans.

There are several reasons why more parishes and Church units have not made provision for their long-service workers as supplement to Social Security: the vague impression of the employer that Social Security is adequate provision; the questionable assumption that the pension benefit of the worker’s spouse suffices; cost-shyness; experience with short-tenure workers; and inertia. Workers themselves are not in a strong position to press their employers to act. It is a responsibility of the rector or vestry or board.

The full cost has to be borne in most cases by the employer. The worker’s Social Security tax is usually his reasonable share of total retirement provision. He also has life and other insurance to care for. It is in the Church employer’s interest, under present-day employment thinking, to assure adequate retirement income for its workers, if it is to find qualified workers in the face of competition from outside the Church.

Attempts to enforce self-savings on the worker are rarely successful or satisfactory, except perhaps when a worker’s salary is well above the Social Security maximum.

General Convention and the Conventions of Dioceses are in a position to urge strongly and effectively that each unit of the Church employing full-time lay workers make adequate retirement provision for them, with particular emphasis on the large group of workers who have trained themselves to serve the Church professionally over their working lifetime.

The Church Pension Fund
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Addendum II—Medical Plan for Clergy

This is a report of the Trustees of The Church Pension Fund in compliance with the request of the 1961 General Convention that they study, and report on, the feasibility of a medical expense plan, including both basic and major hospital, medical, surgical, and related expenses, covering all of the clergy.

A number of Dioceses have adopted resolutions urging a Church-wide plan.

The Fund, in close co-operation with national Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield and many of the local Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield organizations, with several insurance companies, and with many Church officials who are knowledgeable in this field, has made an extensive study of a possible Church-wide plan. The experience of Church Life Insurance Corporation (subsidiary of the Fund) and Liberty Mutual Insurance Companies with the existing Church medical plans which they have jointly administered since 1959 has yielded extremely helpful facts.

General Recommendation

The Fund is convinced that a Church-wide plan is not only desirable and widely wanted but also feasible.

The Fund believes that the best approach at this time is a combination consisting of (1) a single national Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield country-wide basic contract (distinct from the many varieties of local Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield plans) which the national organization has specially drawn up in conferences with the Fund, and (2) the Church Major-Medical Plan.

Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield have a special kind of experience and knowledge and rapport in hospital matters. Blue Cross has the singular advantage of being able to keep hospital costs to a minimum. No other prepayment or insurance organization makes a direct contractual agreement with the hospital. These are negotiated agreements settling upon the reimbursement to the hospital on an average net cost basis for the care it renders its members. They are, however, less well attuned to handle medical and doctors' charges in excess of and outside their normal sphere. These changes are in the major-medical field. It is this distinction which leads both the Fund and the national Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield organization to believe that a combination of basic Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield and Church Major-Medical, in a dovetailed arrangement, is the best approach.
Background

The development in this country of plans for distributing the costs of sickness by the principle of pooling is one of the notable advances of present-day society. Sickness strikes clergymen and their families, as it does others, and the costs are now met, whether paid directly by the clergyman or directly by the Church, or shared by both, ultimately out of Church income. With the whole Church acting as a unit the problem can be handled in a way that will avoid the incomplete coverage, inadequacies, inconsistencies, extra administrative loads, and unnecessary costs in the existing piecemeal approach. This will mean mandatory coverage.

About three-fourths of the active clergy are known to have medical coverage, basic or major or both, either in group plans or as individuals, at varying benefit levels from minimum coverage to competent protection. It is likely that some of the remaining one-fourth have some sort of coverage.

Forty-six of the Dioceses (and Missionary Districts) and an additional 58 churches and institutions carry the Church Major-Medical plan of the Church Life and Liberty Mutual Companies. No commercial company is more experienced in this field than Liberty Mutual, with its 150 claims offices throughout the country. In fact, it originated the concept of major (catastrophic)-medical-expense insurance. Church Major-Medical is designed to step off Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield and similar basic plans.

The companion Church Basic Hospital-Medical plan of the Church Life and Liberty Mutual Companies covers fourteen of these Dioceses. Most of the other Diocese-sponsored basic plans are Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield.

Contract units in the Church plans total 5,000, mainly clergymen, but with some salaried lay workers, covering about 15,000 individuals, including family members. These plans are under a master contract in the name of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society.

Advantage of Inclusive Plan

Clergymen have considerable movement within Dioceses and from Diocese to Diocese; in a truly inclusive Church-wide plan their coverage would transfer automatically without loss of benefits. In a piecemeal arrangement there are bound to be many who, unfortunately for them, lose their coverage, or fail to be covered,
or have an arrangement that has serious shortcomings. These are often men who need the coverage most. An inclusive Church-wide plan would plug these gaps.

Also, in an over-all plan, the saving in costs which goes with groups where there is not a choice to remain outside the plan would be marked. Anti-selection is costly. With enrollment of all, the “good” risks and “poor” risks are averaged out, with a larger spread of premium against a decreasing ratio of claims per participant.

And, very important, a single administrative unit, like The Church Pension Fund with pensions, would greatly simplify record-keeping, reporting, and billing procedures, with particular relief at diocesan offices of the onerous duties attending piecemeal plans. It is contemplated that Church Life will mail notices direct to and collect premiums from the parishes, and make the proper distribution between national Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield and Liberty Mutual, each of whom will handle respective claims locally. Church Life and The Church Pension Fund are a joint operation, from the same office, with common records of clergymen and their cures and movements, parishes, and treasurers. [The activity of the Fund is confined by charter to pensions. Church Life is its insurance arm.]

Therefore, in an inclusive, mandatory Church-wide plan the benefit features can best be geared to the Church and the clergy, administrative procedures can be co-ordinated, duplication of work minimized, and costs kept at a minimum.

Cost Elements

Those familiar with medical-expense insurance are well aware of the great variety among different plans in the classes, schedules, and dollar-and-time-limits of the risks covered; of the close relation of costs to these variations; and of the steady press upward in hospital-medical-surgical charges.

A plan that would cover all sickness and accident expenses is, of course, impractical. The premium cost would be so high, particularly with the magnifying of charges under the philosophy of “let’s load it on the company”, that no one could participate. Therefore, the system of time-and-dollar-limits, co-insurance, and deductibles, has developed to exercise a control, to the end that premium cost can be kept manageable. A necessity is that the insured have a personal interest in keeping down the charges in his own illness.
Pensioners

Many in the Church feel it has a responsibility to provide pensioners with medical insurance, as it does with their pensions. The advanced ages of most pensioners means a more frequent and serious incidence of expenses.

There is the problem of how the cost of covering the pensioners in an inclusive Church-wide combined plan will be met. A few of the pensioners themselves, who have sufficient resources, may have individual policies, but not the many with little income margin. The solution does not lie in a plan in which the pensioners themselves are expected to pay the premium costs.

Although all of the parishes have been served by the pensioners in the past, the pensioners are no longer associated with specific parishes. A possible approach is for the Diocese to choose to cover its pensioned people, with distribution of the cost among all the parishes in the diocesan assessment.

The pensioners, clergymen and surviving widows, for whom the Diocese might feel a responsibility in this direction would be those who were serving the Church, and their service therefore subject to diocesan authority, at the time pension became effective. Each Diocese would specify those to be included. (If pensioners of Federal Social Security are, in time, brought under medicare, revision of the Church-wide plan with respect to them would be required.)

Lay Workers

Lay workers are included in the existing Church plans and in diocesan Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield. These are the full-time salaried workers in many of the diocesan offices, in some organizations, and in some individual parishes that have four or more full-time workers.

The ideal would be for all full-time continuing lay workers at parishes and other units to be in both the basic and major-medical arrangements. If General Convention could, and chose to, speak for all the units and cover all their full-time workers by mandate, the anti-selection that comes with partial inclusion would be eliminated, and premiums could be collected direct from the employing units. But this approach would appear to be out of the question at this time. Where clergy welfare is a general Church, as well as local parish, responsibility, lay-worker welfare seems to be considered a local matter solely. Lacking mandatory coverage, the present procedure, with each unit having four or more workers
choosing to cover them, will have to continue. Unfortunately, the workers at units with less than four, thus lacking a group, cannot participate. They must seek individual policies elsewhere.

**Benefits**

The benefits of the recommended plan for active clergymen and dependents, including dependent children to age twenty-two, are outlined in Exhibit I.

**Premiums**

The premiums of the recommended comprehensive plan for active clergymen and families are given in Exhibit II, and those for pensioners added in Exhibit III.

**Recommendation**

It is clear to The Church Pension Fund that the Church, by covering all the active clergymen working in the Church in the combined national basic Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield and the Church Major-Medical plans, as discussed in this report, would be acting in its interest in behalf of its clergy. It would be a great step forward, and over-all, in the long run, economical.

If the full step is deemed impractical at this time, the major-medical portion should, nevertheless, be adopted. An individual is better able to handle expenses in the basic area out of savings. Expenses in the major-medical area can be disastrous.

The Fund believes, also, that Dioceses should seriously consider bringing their pensioners under the combined plan if adopted by General Convention for the active clergy, and under the Church Major-Medical plan, if separately adopted by General Convention for the actives.

THE CHURCH PENSION FUND
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**Trustees of the Church Pension Fund**

**EXHIBIT I "A"**

National Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield
Church-Wide Hospital-Medical-Surgical Benefits Plan
Schedule of Benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blue-Cross Hospital-Expense Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital Days</td>
<td>70 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mental-Nervous and Pulmonary T.B.)</td>
<td>30 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily Room-and-Board Allowance</td>
<td>Semi-private, in full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private room, $14.00 per day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic Service</td>
<td>Service Benefits*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Miscellaneous Hospital Charges)</td>
<td>*Full coverage of covered services customarily provided by the hospital used when billed for by and payable to the hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity Benefits (9-months waiting period)</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hospital Charges only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency First-Aid (Out-patient) Treatment</td>
<td>Service Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Full payment of covered services within 24 hours of accident.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services in Hospitals not Members of any Blue-Cross Plan</td>
<td>$6.50 per Day Room—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$100.00 Therapeutic Services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### BLUE-SHIELD SURGICAL EXPENSE

**Maternity (Surgical-Medical)**
- Normal Delivery: $90.00
- Caesarean Section: $150.00

**In-hospital Medical Care**
- (1st Day $15.00 — 2nd Day $10.00 —
  Next 8 Days $4.00 — $3.00 per Day
  thereafter to 70 Days.)
- (Mental-Nervous and T.B. limited to 30 Days)

### EXHIBIT I "B"

**Church-Wide Liberty-Mutual**
**Church-Life Major-Medical-Benefits Plan**

**MAXIMUM BENEFIT**

(Up to $15,000.00 for each individual injury or sickness—separate unrelated sicknesses running concurrently will not be considered as one.)

**ACCIDENTAL DEATH AND DISMEMBERMENT BENEFIT**

(In case of accidental death or dismemberment, payment under this benefit is separate from and in addition to $15,000.00 Major-Medical benefit. 24-hour accident coverage — not limited to travel accidents.)

Limitation of daily hospital room charges
- Area I — $11.00
- Area II — 15.00
- Area III — 19.00
- Area IV — $23.00
- Area V — 27.00

**COVERED EXPENSES**

Reasonable charges in excess of the deductible amount incurred by the protected person for medical services because of any one injury or sickness as follows:
- (80% of charges incurred for special nursing services in or out of hospital.)
- 1. 70% of charges incurred for psychiatric services while hospital-confined;
- 2. 70% of charges for psychiatric services for out-patient psychiatric care, with first four visits eliminated (benefits to start with the fifth visit and psycho-analysis not covered.)

Co-insurance feature. 80% of all covered charges except in Para. 1 and Para. 2 below.
3. 80% of charges incurred for all other medical services.

Note: Out-patient psychiatric care—treatment excludes psychoanalysis; treatments are limited to one (1) per week; cost of treatment is limited to $25.00 per treatment; co-insurance factor is 70%; the maximum payment is limited to $500.00 per year; the total payment is limited to $1,500.00.

Note: 80% of charges incurred for special nursing services while hospital-confined; where previously only 60% of charges were covered.

Note: Covered Expenses may be incurred in or out of the hospital. (Separate unrelated sicknesses running concurrently will not be considered as one.)

DISABILITY PERIOD
Payment will be made on account of charges incurred within 3 years after $100.00 of charges have been accumulated (except in the case of Out-patient psychiatric care, as outlined above). If at the end of 3 years the participant is totally disabled, payments will continue on account of charges incurred during disability, subject to the Maximum Benefit.

Up to 3 years without evidence of total disability. If total disability established, limit is $15,000.00.

DEDUCTIBLE AMOUNT
Note: There is a corridor of $100.00 between benefits afforded under Basic plan and assumption of benefits by Major Medical, except as outlined in Out-patient psychiatric care above.

(The aggregate benefits afforded under the Basic plan plus $100.00. If Basic plan in force but no benefits payable, there is a straight $100.00 deductible.)

Reduced to $5,000.00 Lifetime.

RETIREE BENEFIT
All of the above definitions and co-insurance features apply, but the Maximum limit is reduced to a $5,000.00 lifetime benefit upon retirement, or age 72, whichever occurs first; and

(1) The Accidental Death and Dismemberment Benefit,

(2) The Out-patient psychiatric care benefits,

are not available in retiree-extension of benefits.
**EXHIBIT II “A”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic and Church-Major-Medical Recommended Comprehensive Plan

Active Clergymen with Family, Including Dependent Children to Age 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Area I*</th>
<th>Area II*</th>
<th>Area III*</th>
<th>Area IV*</th>
<th>Area V*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>7,390</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>7,390</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church-wide Rate</strong></td>
<td>$28.52</td>
<td>$69.32</td>
<td>$28.52</td>
<td>$69.32</td>
<td>$28.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(Quarterly)**

*Note:* It is impossible to compare this rate with the existing charges paid by the various Dioceses and Districts sponsoring current plans since each Blue-Cross plan charges according to its own local rates, many of which are considerably above the Church-wide average rate arrived at by National Blue-Cross and Blue-Shield.

**EXHIBIT II “B”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic Benefits Only

Active Clergymen with Family, Including Dependent Children to Age 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church-wide Rate</td>
<td>$34.29</td>
<td>$83.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(Quarterly)**

*Note:* It is impossible to compare this rate with the existing charges paid by the various Dioceses and Districts sponsoring current plans since each Blue-Cross plan charges according to its own local rates, many of which are considerably above the Church-wide average rate arrived at by National Blue-Cross and Blue-Shield.

**EXHIBIT II “C”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Major-Medical Plan Only Over Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic

Active Clergymen with Family, Including Dependent Children to Age 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Area I*</th>
<th>Area II*</th>
<th>Area III*</th>
<th>Area IV*</th>
<th>Area V*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>2216</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church-wide Rate</strong></td>
<td>$5.77</td>
<td>$14.55</td>
<td>$6.18</td>
<td>$15.63</td>
<td>$6.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(Quarterly)**

*Note:* This rate cannot be compared directly with the current rate because of increased benefits.

*The areas are the same as those contained in policy GBI-121-910165-TD92.

**Includes Out-Patient Psychiatric Care, increased Nursing Service, and new Accidental-Death Benefit.**
**EXHIBIT III “A”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic and Church-Major-Medical Recommended Comprehensive Plan

Active Clergymen, Retired Clergymen and Widows, Including Dependent Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Area I* Single</th>
<th>Area I* Family</th>
<th>Area II* Single</th>
<th>Area II* Family</th>
<th>Area III* Single</th>
<th>Area III* Family</th>
<th>Area IV* Single</th>
<th>Area IV* Family</th>
<th>Area V* Single</th>
<th>Area V* Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church-wide Rate (Quarterly)</strong></td>
<td>$53.86</td>
<td>$96.50</td>
<td>$54.37</td>
<td>$97.84</td>
<td>$54.74</td>
<td>$98.76</td>
<td>$55.50</td>
<td>$100.71</td>
<td>$56.27</td>
<td>$102.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXHIBIT III “B”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic Benefits Only

Active Clergymen, Retired Clergymen and Widows, Including Dependent Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>7,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church-wide Rate (Quarterly)</strong></td>
<td>$46.07</td>
<td>$76.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXHIBIT III “C”**

Premium Charges for Church-Wide Major-Medical Plan Only Over Blue-Cross/Blue-Shield Basic

Active Clergymen, Retired Clergymen and Widows, Including Dependent Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Area I* Single</th>
<th>Area I* Family</th>
<th>Area II* Single</th>
<th>Area II* Family</th>
<th>Area III* Single</th>
<th>Area III* Family</th>
<th>Area IV* Single</th>
<th>Area IV* Family</th>
<th>Area V* Single</th>
<th>Area V* Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Persons</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>2305</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>2458</td>
<td>1348</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church-wide Rate (Quarterly)</strong></td>
<td>$7.79</td>
<td>$19.79</td>
<td>$8.30</td>
<td>$21.13</td>
<td>$8.67</td>
<td>$22.05</td>
<td>$9.43</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td>$10.20</td>
<td>$25.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The areas are the same as those contained in policy GB1-121-910165-TD92.

**Includes Out-Patient Psychiatric Care, increased Nursing Service, and new Accidental-Death Benefit.
APPENDIX 7

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE
ON SUPPLEMENTS TO WHITE AND DYKMAN’S
ANNOTATED CONSTITUTION AND CANONS

The Joint Committee was constituted by the appointment of the following members:

THE RT. REV. JAMES A. PIKE, J.S.D.
THE VERY REV. HOWARD S. KENNEDY, D.D., of Chicago
PHILIP ADAMS, ESQ., of California
JAMES B. GODFREY of New Hampshire
DAVID E. BRONSON of Minnesota
JACKSON E. DYKMAN, D.C.L., of Long Island, Consultant
B. ALLSTON MOORE of South Carolina, Consultant

The Committee organized with Bishop Pike as Chairman and Dean Kennedy as Secretary. No meetings were held during the triennium, all work being handled by correspondence.

On January 9, 1964, Dean Kennedy died, leaving a vacancy on the Committee in the presbyteral order. In view of the imminence of the General Convention and the completion of the Committee’s work by the publishing of the 1961 Supplement to White and Dykman’s Annotated Constitution and Canons, no replacement was made in the Committee’s membership.

Your Committee is happy to report that a supplement covering amendments adopted by the General Convention of 1961, edited by Jackson A. Dykman, was published by The Seabury Press, and recommends the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman’s Annotated Constitutions and Canons be continued, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and three Laymen of the profession of the law; and that said Committee be authorized to prepare and supervise publication of supplementary pocket parts, covering action taken at this Convention.

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the sum of $2,000.00 be appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Committee on Supplements to White and Dykman’s Annotated Constitution and Canons when it meets during the next triennium.
FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriation from the General Convention ............... $2,000.00

Disbursements
To Seabury Press for 1961 Supplement .................... 1,000.00
Unexpended balance ........................................... $1,000.00

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES A. PIKE
PHILIP ADAMS
JAMES B. GODFREY
DAVID E. BRONSON
JACKSON E. DYKMAN
B. ALLSTON MOORE
REPORT OF THE CUSTODIAN OF THE STANDARD BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER


What follows is a series of observations and comments by John Wallace Suter, Custodian, who was appointed to the office in 1942 shortly after the death of his father, also named John Wallace Suter, who had been Custodian since 1932. These observations and comments are being written during late December and early January, 1962-1963. Their chief aim is to assist the incoming Custodian. A secondary aim is to show how the responsibilities of the Custodian have changed and multiplied during the past thirty years.

Permissions

The American Prayer Book is not copyrighted, and it has always been the policy of this Church that it is glad to have its Prayer Book quoted. This fact is, I think, widely known, and consequently there must be many instances where quotations are used without the knowledge of either the Custodian or any of the publishers. However, a considerable number of letters come to the Custodian asking for permission to quote. Of these, some come directly from the person who wants permission, while others are forwarded to the Custodian from one of the Prayer Book publishers.

My usual reply is as follows:

"The Book of Common Prayer of the Episcopal Church is not copyrighted, and we are glad to have it quoted. However, we do ask that the source be given; and further, that if any change is made in the wording, this fact be noted."

The requests are so various, however, that my replies vary according to circumstances. Sometimes I give additional information, or indicate where it can be found.

Canon 21

This Canon, in my opinion, needs careful re-study throughout. Experience has shown that there is probably no Section of the Canon which expresses precisely what needs to be done.

Sec. 1. It is not strictly true to say that "The copy . . . accepted by the General Convention . . . in 1928, and authenticated by the signatures . . . is hereby declared to be the Standard Book of Common Prayer of this Church." That copy was in manuscript,
rather roughly put together, and was placed in the care of a small editing committee, consisting of Charles Lewis Slattery; John Wallace Suter, Sr.; and Lucien Moore Robinson; being the Chairman and Secretary of the Joint Commission on the Revision and Enrichment of the Book of Common Prayer and the Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, respectively. This Committee, later, in Boston, went over everything with the printer, B. D. Updike of the Merrymount Press of Boston, ironed out inconsistencies, brought order out of bits of near-chaos, and finally produced the large handsome volume printed on vellum which the Custodian has in his keeping.

Sec. 2. The words, “except that the rubrics may be printed in either red or black” should be deleted, and in their place a whole sentence (or two?) inserted, to this effect:—“If two colors are used throughout the Book, red shall be used for the rubrics and headings, black for the body of the text; and all shall be set in Roman type. If the entire Book is printed in black, the rubrics shall be set in Italics to distinguish them from the body of the text.”

Sec. 3. Line 3—I am intrigued by “may” be ordered. Why not “shall”? Does it mean that an error might be allowed to stand? (Here is one of many cases where the Custodian may be put to quite a bit of work—without secretarial help or money!) I am further intrigued by “actual” publishers of the Book. Apparently imaginary publishers are let off. But why mention the possibility that they exist? This is the Lewis Carroll touch which creeps in here and there among writers of Canons.

Sec. 4. It should begin, “A folio copy” . . .

Sec. 5. This is the trickiest one of all. I suggest that it needs to be studied by the best legal and liturgical brains you can command.

It is bristling with little questions to which I do not know the answers. What is a “part”, and what are “parts”, of a copy, a translation, or an edition? I thought the Custodian certified only whole Prayer Books. How about those Marriage Service booklets, bound in white, with spaces for names of guests, etc.? and Baptism Services? and Burial Offices? with additions? I have always understood, though perhaps wrongly, that I was NOT to certify these. (As a matter of fact one publisher does put in a certificate, but not with my permission.)

As for the final three lines of Sec. 5—How about the Books, of which I’ve seen quite a number, which contain the current Prayer Book bound with the 1916 Hymnal? Are they not illegal?
And does “except the Holy Scriptures” mean only the King James Version (cf. Canon 20, the words in parentheses in line 4)? Personally I think those words are not true and should be deleted. If the KJ were our “Standard” we would use only it in deciding a heresy trial!

Possible Duties of a Custodian

We have been moving out of the horse-and-buggy age into a period of larger and more widespread organization. 1919 was a milestone: the creation of the National Council. The move to a larger Headquarters Building is another milestone. Still another indication of change can be seen in the expanding duties of the Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer: responsibility for foreign-language Prayer Books, dealing with seven publishers instead of only one or two. Before 1932 the Custodianship was often described as a sinecure. It could be run by an unpaid Churchman on his own time and pretty much in his own way.

To meet realistically the present-day situation we need, I believe, a new Section of a Canon that will spell out the Custodian’s responsibilities. I do not pretend to be able to write such a Section or Sections. I propose, however, that the following duties be considered by the appropriate committee as possibly appropriate:

The Custodian should make a Report to each General Convention. This Report should be printed in advance. It could be submitted by title, or read to the Convention. The substance of the Report might contain the following items:

1. The number of Prayer Books published by each “actual” publisher, since the last Report (sizes, bindings, type of paper, and any other specifications). What errors in any Prayer Books were discovered since the last Report, and how that matter was handled among the Publisher, the Custodian, and the Purchaser.
2. What publishers are sharing plates.
3. What Prayer Books the Custodian certified since the former Report.
4. An estimate (roughly) of the amount of correspondence handled by the Custodian since . . . etc.
5. A financial accounting.

Do We Need Two Church Publishers?

It would seem that one Church publisher is enough. It might be a ticklish matter to choose between The Church Pension Fund
and The Seabury Press. The former has been in the business longer. Which of the two puts out the greater variety of sizes, bindings, etc.? Somehow, sooner or later, the Church should assign the job to one Church-related producer. But it should not exclude the non-Church publishers by any means!

Prayer Book in French

Before the Canon was amended (in 1949), Prayer Books in French were provided unofficially, with the help of such organizations as the parish of St. Esprit, 111 East 60th Street, New York City, and further help (I understand) from a Mrs. Stillman, who, I believe, had a press of her own.

When General Convention decided to regularize all translations of the Book of Common Prayer, placing them under the control of the Custodian, The Seabury Press was just getting underway. Naturally, the Press seized the opportunity and received permission to publish the French Prayer Book. Thus, the major decisions devolved upon Leon McCauley and me. The job turned out to be more complicated than either of us had anticipated—and exceedingly interesting. The Press hired a linguist from Yale University (nearness to Greenwich was a factor), who spent many hours here in Concord with me. Since I am no French scholar, he and I had to come to an agreement as to policy, for his knowledge of the Episcopal Church was even less than mine of French. If I made one contribution in my twenty years as Custodian it was this: that the translation of the Prayer Book into any language should be not literal but faithful—that is, it should convey to the French-speaking, Portuguese-speaking, or any-other-language-speaking worshipper in the pew, the same meaning (as far as possible) as that which the Prayer Book in English intends to convey to the English-speaking worshipper. This, I feel, is the correct aim. How well it is carried out in any given foreign-language Prayer Book, I am not competent to say; but the bishops involved (and they were always consulted) seemed to be satisfied. It is to be noted that in many cases where a phrase or sentence is to be translated, if the above-mentioned aim is put into effect, a literal translation is ruled out.

But the Yale Professor was not our only aid. The entire Psalter had to be treated separately; inasmuch as Church people in Haiti had been accustomed for generations to the translation of Louis Segond. It would have been absurd to superimpose upon them our modified-Coverdale translation. I therefore asked, and received, permission from the Presiding Bishop to let common sense prevail
over strict constructionism, and the files at “281” contain a letter “holding me blameless” in the matter. Naturally, I had to compose a new Certificate stating that the Psalter did not conform to the Standard Book. In preparing the Psalter, however, we had to have more help, because there were places in the Psalms where the French had to be checked with certain substantive alterations made in 1928 which changed the meaning. This job was done by the head of the Modern Languages Department of St. Paul’s School, Mr. John S. B. Archer, who, with his scholarly wife, went through the Psalter with the most exquisite care.

What Is The Standard Book of Common Prayer?

It is whatever General Convention says it is at the moment the question is asked. (Few people understand this.) Thus, the whole Lectionary in the front of the Book, covering many pages, was adopted in 1943, making the physical Standard Book of 1928 out-of-date to that extent. There are also typographical errors in the Standard Book—in spite of the great pains Mr. Updike took to have it proofread more than once. In the Standard Book they are corrected in the margin. Also, recently, the House of Bishops recommended, and the General Convention approved some leeway in all Missionary-District situations outside the United States of America in such matters as National Holidays, the title-page, etc.

The Camera (Almost) Never Lies

One would suppose that the “facsimile, two-color edition of the Standard Copy of the Book of Common Prayer”, made possible by the generosity of James R. Page and the Episcopal Church Foundation, etc., would be absolutely without even the shadow of an error, since it was made by a special two-color photographic process. Yet a very understandable error did creep in. Dated 1952, of course, this book contains the 1944 Lectionary. And one naturally thinks of the Lectionary as something in the front of the Book. But the 1944 Lectionary required the removal of the entire schedule of Psalms (Proper Psalms for Seasons and Days, and Selections of Psalms) which formerly just filled the page which faces the first page of the Psalter—namely, page 344. This page is therefore entirely blank in all correct copies of the Prayer Book printed after the new, 1943, Lectionary was put into the Book. However, page 344 in Seabury’s two-color facsimile contains the rejected schedule of Psalms. (Seabury’s 1953 two-color Prayer Book corrects this.)

When the error in the James R. Page book was discovered, Mr. McCauley either wrote or telephoned me in considerable
distress. I assured him of my sympathy, told him that it was a "freak" error and very understandable, and suggested that he say nothing further about it. The fact is that the James R. Page volumes (which come in three different handsome bindings) are such a remarkable job that it would seem gratuitous and ungrateful to cast upon them any unnecessary shadow. Besides, the erroneously inserted schedule of Psalms does no harm. It does not displace anything but a blank page.

A year or so later I was surprised to be told by The Church Pension Fund that in one of their Prayer Books the same mistake had occurred. They were equally disturbed. Again, I suggested that they do nothing, and added that it might comfort them a little to learn that they were not the only publisher who had committed the same understandable error.

This whole episode illustrates the fact that the Custodian sometimes has to exercise judgment. His job does not consist only of applying exact rules automatically. There are borderline cases where the rule-book gives little if any help. Whether in the above case I acted wisely or not may be debatable. I did what seemed best to me, and this will always be a part of any Custodian's job.

The Standard Book—Symbolic or Functional?

The Standard Book of Common Prayer, just as an object that can be seen and handled and admired, is impressive. It was on view in Washington Cathedral in a locked transparent case for the years I was Dean, and I suppose hundreds of people had a look at it. Starting with Bishop Sherrill, the Presiding Bishops have taken their oath of office on it. I took it to San Francisco for the 400th Anniversary of the Prayer Book in English. On a very few other important occasions I have taken it with me. Of course, risks are involved; and on the way to and from San Francisco, by air, I confess that I was a bit nervous. Naturally I didn't let them put it in the baggage compartment; I kept my foot on the box all the way! But my reason for taking the risk from time to time was this: the Book belongs to the people of the Church, not to the Custodian; it gathers about itself a kind of symbolism, one might say even a sacramental aura. People who pass by a table where it is being shown usually ask, "May I touch it?" All this may sound sentimental. It is. But that is not necessarily a disparagement. So much for the Book as symbol.

When we come to the question of the Book as performing a function, especially the function of "the court of last resort" in questions of accuracy, etc., many questions arise. Here, some re-thinking is needed.
Take the business of the typographical errors in the Standard—which is a folio printed on vellum and bound with a handsome red-pigskin spine. Marginal corrections were made in handwriting. Just now I checked one of these (Psalm 55:14, where “own” was mistakenly replaced by “old”—and found that the folio facsimile (sent to all the Dioceses) has been corrected. How was this? The answer is that THE Standard Book was made as soon as possible after the General Convention of 1928; whereas the facsimile folios were not sent out until April, 1930. Apparently the errors were discovered and corrected during the interim.

There are several other obstacles to its usefulness as a Standard. No Prayer Book smaller than the folio size can possibly imitate the grandiose wide margins of the Standard, with their classical variations of width—the narrowest in the center of each double-page, a little wider at the top, wider still on the two sides, and widest of all at the bottom. Not even Mr. Page’s remarkable two-color photographic facsimile pretends to do this. It cuts down the margins, not only absolutely, but relatively. The ratio between the rectangle formed by the text and the rectangle formed by the size of the page is altered; and thereby a considerable amount of the beauty of the original is sacrificed.

Another point: Only Mr. Page’s two-color photographic facsimile and Seabury Press’s two-color book of the year later (1953) follow the Standard Book in the use of four line capitals, one at the beginning of each service, pp. 3, 21, 54, 60, 67, etc. (Updike was wrong in using the big capital on page 35, I feel; perhaps also on page 80. But he was not always strictly consistent, and artistically speaking it is better not to be!) The point I am making is that a large and beautiful folio, by its very nature, becomes inappropriate as an exact guide for printers of ordinary-sized Prayer Books, whether chancel-size, or pew-size, or anything smaller.

If it is true that the Folio Standard is not the best kind of book to use as a guide to publishers, and as “the last word in accuracy”, both as to text and as to style, then what is the solution?

One proposal was made by one of the publishers twenty or more years ago, and I pass it along for whatever it may be worth. At least it shows that others have thought about this problem.

The idea was that a chancel-size Prayer Book be designed first. It could be made by the one publisher who is the Church’s official publisher. It would be designed from the start as the best possible Prayer Book for use. After the “errors” had been discovered and
corrected, which might mean as many as two years after the Convention which adopted it, this book would be declared THE STANDARD to which all others must conform—in wording, page-by-page; in style of type; in use of capitals and lower-case; in spacing; and in the use of red if the book is a two-color job. (There ought to be two such Standard Books: one all in black, the other, part black and part red.)

Would this mean perhaps two sets of Standard Plates? Before 1892 there was a Standard Edition; and I begin to see some merit in that.

Would publishers share plates?

If General Convention made any changes, such as adopting a new Lectionary (which can be done in a single meeting)—the making up of a new Book would be relatively inexpensive.

What, then, of a beautiful new Folio, printed on vellum and handsomely bound? Should there be one? Should we approach some new “J. P. Morgan”, possibly in Texas? (Or would the money be more wisely spent if “given to the poor”?)

It is easy to ask all these questions. It may be hard to answer them. Another generation must deal with them. It will be worth whatever effort it costs.

Tenure of the Custodianship

It has been customary for a Custodian to hold office during his lifetime, and at his death for the Presiding Bishop to make an interim appointment of a successor, subject to confirmation by the next General Convention. (Canon 21, Sec. 6.)

During the past thirty years there has been a notable increase in the duties of the Custodian, with the result that the former procedure calls for re-study. A vast number of books, papers, manuscript notes, and other items, need to be sorted and evaluated; and those that are to be kept will need to be catalogued and put in proper places for safe-keeping and also for availability to scholars for historical research.

The best time to start this work is as soon as possible, in view of the fact that the Headquarters will be moving and that the new building will have space for a Prayer Book library and reading-room. At the same time, it will be advisable to reconsider the allocation of items now being housed outside of New York City, or within the City but at the moment not at 281 Park Avenue South.
In view of the situation described above, and for other reasons that will occur to anyone who looks into the matter, I suggest that subject to the approval of the Presiding Bishop and the National Council the following plan be put into effect; and that such changes in the Canons as are needed for the purpose be studied now, with a view to submitting them to the next General Convention. In the meantime, however, I believe the way is clear to start the proposed new procedure without Convention action.

Proposed Plan

Let the Custodian resign his office at the usual retiring age for the Clergy, so that he may confer with the newly appointed Custodian and hand over to him the Standard Book, the files, and all other data pertaining to the Custodian's duties and responsibilities. Some of these duties, not specifically spelled out in the Canon, have become traditional over the years, and conversations and letters between the outgoing and the incoming Custodian would be helpful. Should the Presiding Bishop and the National Council approve of this plan, it could be effectuated by the resignation of the present incumbent.


Pursuant to the plan outlined above, the Rev. John Wallace Suter, Jr., D.D., resigned his office in December, 1962. The Presiding Bishop, in accordance with the Canon, appointed the undersigned, the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., as Provisional Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, as from January 1, 1963.

Upon assuming his duties, the present Custodian made arrangements with the Library of the General Theological Seminary for the delivery to him of the Standard Book of Common Prayer of 1892 and the Standard Book of Common Prayer of 1928. These volumes are now placed in the Prayer Book Library of the Episcopal Church Center.

The Library (designed, furnished, and equipped under the supervision of the present Custodian, with the advice, sought and given, of the Rev. Dr. Suter and the Librarian of the General Theological Seminary) now houses, in addition to the two Standards, manuscript and printed material relating to the Prayer Book Revisions of 1892 and 1928, and several historically valuable copies of the Holy Scriptures which have been presented, from time to time, to the Custodian—all of which had been stored in
vaults of the old Church Missions House. The new Library has a capacity of 2,500 volumes, is provided with its own device for the maintenance of constant humidity, cataloguing facilities, and reading-room furniture.

A perusal of Journals of Convention has revealed that the two first Custodians, the Reverends Samuel J. Hart and Lucian Moore Robinson, had assembled a "Custodian's Collection", numbering approximately 600 volumes, of Prayer Books illustrative of the history of the Book of Common Prayer in America. From the listings it would appear that the collection included examples of all the seven "Standard Editions" prior to the 1892 revision, with the possible exception of that of 1838. A bit of detective work in the correspondence files of the Secretary of the National Council uncovered the fact that in 1940 the then Secretary, the Rev. Franklin J. Clark, with the permission of the Custodian, the Rev. John Wallace Suter, Sr., had arranged with the Church Historical Society, then housed in Philadelphia, to care for these valuable volumes until other arrangements could be made for their proper housing.

The Church Historical Society has been asked to return the books to New York and the Society has agreed to do so. Inasmuch as the books have not been kept together as a single, identifiable, collection, the task of identifying, packing, and dispatching them from Austin to New York promises to be a slow process. The first shipment of approximately 60 volumes has already been received, and another installment has been promised before the end of 1964.

Merely to place these books on shelves is not sufficient to make them accessible and usable to scholars and researchers. They must be listed, marked, and properly catalogued. Moreover, the Custodian is informed that not a few of them will need repairs. An educated estimate of the cost per volume for processing is $2.50. Therefore, a request is being made herewith for a modest appropriation for this purpose, anticipating that the whole collection will not be completely in hand within the triennium.

A valued adjunct to the Custodianship is ex officio membership on the Standing Liturgical Commission. The Custodian has been privileged to attend all three meetings of the Commission which have been held since his appointment.

During the past year, one of the publishers of the Book of Common Prayer, Harper and Row, has abandoned this field and disposed of its unbound sheets to Morehouse-Barlow Co. In 1963 there remain four actual publishers: Oxford, Nelson, The Church
Hymnal Corporation, and The Seabury Press. In addition, the Custodian has been informed that a set of plates for the small pew-size edition are owned by Morehouse-Barlow, Inc., but that publisher has no present intention of printing from them.

There has been no occasion during the triennium for the Custodian to authorize any "copy, translation or edition of the Book of Common Prayer", the reprinting of the Spanish translation by the National Council in 1962 being, in spite of numerous verbal corrections, a reissue of a translation made in 1946, which did not then require, nor does it now carry, a certificate of authorization.

**Recommendation**

*Resolved*, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be appropriated the sum of $800.00 for the expenses of the Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer.

*Respectfully submitted,*

CHARLES M. GUILBERT,
*Provisional Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer*
APPENDIX 9

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
ON CO-OPERATION WITH THE
EASTERN AND OLD CATHOLIC CHURCHES

RANGE OF RESPONSIBILITY AND ACTIVITIES

The General Convention in 1961 defined the area of concern and the special task of the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches in the following Resolutions:

1. “Resolved, That the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in Detroit, Michigan, in September, 1961, instruct the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches to continue conversations, with increasing concern, with the proper representatives of the Orthodox Churches, seeking to increase the mutual understanding of Anglican and Orthodox theological positions . . .”.

2. “Resolved, That the National Council be instructed to appropriate annually 15% of the Good Friday Offering to the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern Churches to be used in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and to serve other measures in the field of co-operation with the Eastern Churches . . .”.

The Joint Commission was constituted by the appointment of the following:

The Rt. Rev. Lauriston L. Scaife, D.D., of Western New York
The Rt. Rev. Austin Pardue, D.D., of Pittsburgh
The Rt. Rev. Oliver L. Loring, D.D., of Maine
The Rev. Edward R. Hardy, Jr., Ph.D., of Connecticut
The Very Rev. Howard S. Kennedy, D.D., of Chicago
The Rev. Robert B. MacDonald of Pennsylvania
Paul B. Anderson, Th.D., of New York
John Nicholas Brown, LL.D., of Rhode Island
Harry W. Oborne of Colorado

The Commission organized by the election of Bishop Scaife as Chairman, Bishop Pardue as Vice-Chairman, and Dr. Anderson as Secretary-Treasurer. On January 9, 1964, the Very Rev. Howard S. Kennedy died, leaving a vacancy in the presbyteral order which was not filled, in view of the brief time remaining in the triennium.

The Commission has had three meetings during the triennium. On each occasion a full review was made of the activities conducted by the Joint Commission, in line with its assigned responsibilities. The triennium has been a significant one, in that it has seen a great
deal of activity in the several Orthodox jurisdictions in the United States, as well as in the Mother Churches. These activities have influenced the nature and form of current co-operation between the Episcopal Church and the Orthodox in the United States. Although relationships have always been satisfactory, the general movement toward Church unity in the world has increased the need for careful consultation; this need has been heightened by the trend toward Americanization in the jurisdictions of the Orthodox Church in the United States.

Early in 1962, the Presiding Bishop, the Rt. Rev. Arthur Lichtenberger, and Greek Archbishop Iakovos met and recommended procedures whereby authorized representatives of the Episcopal Church would meet with similarly authorized representatives of the Orthodox Church in the United States, to identify the things held in common, to analyze the nature of differences, and to seek recommendations for presentation to the respective Church authorities, with a view to mutual recognition of common faith and thereby to make a contribution toward the achievement of the goal of some form of expressed unity between the Anglican and Orthodox Communions.

Formal consultations were, therefore, held in 1962, 1963, and 1964, attended by approximately ten persons on either side.* At the beginning, a clear distinction was made between matters of an historical and theological nature (chiefly the latter) on the one hand, and, on the other, practical problems and opportunities arising in the parish life of the Churches. At the second meeting, it became evident that these problems are inter-woven; and, therefore, instead of meeting in separate sections, all participants joined together, although the distinction between theoretical and practical problems was still kept in mind. In this way, it was possible to bring forward from both sides a great many minor problems and to seek their solution by an interpretation of the historical and theological definitions of the two Churches.

At the 1964 Spring meeting, the papers were designed to serve as an explanation of the Orthodox Church for the parishes of the Episcopal Church; and, correspondingly, an explanation of the Episcopal Church for Orthodox parishes. The central element in both was found to be eucharistic practice, as set forth in the Book of Common Prayer and in the corresponding teaching and tradition of

*Episcopal consultants have been the Presiding Bishop; Bishop Scaife, Dr. Anderson, the Rev. Dr. Hardy, and the Rev. Mr. MacDonald, from the Commission; and the Rev. Dr. Powel M. Dawley of New York, the Ven. J. Ralph Deppen of Chicago, the Rev. Stephen S. Garmey of New York, the Rev. James P. Morton of New York, the Rev. Dr. Arthur A. Vogel of Milwaukee, the Rev. Dr. Kenneth Waldron of Washington, and the Rev. Canon Edward N. West of New York.
It is intended to continue these consultations, with the objective of achieving full communion between the Episcopal Church and the Orthodox, which will be of significance in the further development of the ecumenical life of both Churches.

From the beginning, the consultations were planned and conducted in such a manner as to avoid conflict with the procedures being followed by Lambeth, and, in particular, the Church of England, in its fostering of closer relations with the Orthodox Patriarchates and other autocephalous Orthodox Churches. Furthermore, the Anglican Church of Canada was invited to participate, and a representative, appointed by the Primate, the Most Rev. Howard H. Clark, attended the 1964 meeting.


The Chairman and the Secretary of the Joint Commission were members of the Exchange Delegation of the National Council of Churches which went to the USSR in 1962. In addition to the general discussions with the Orthodox, Lutheran, Baptist, and Armenian Churches of the Soviet Union, the Episcopal representatives had special conferences with Patriarch Alexei and Metropolitan Nikodim, head of the Department of External Affairs of the Russian Orthodox Church. The Patriarch expressed his desire to see formal Orthodox-Anglican unity established "in his lifetime". The Chairman led a special group of the delegation which visited the Patriarch of the Georgian Church at Tbilisi and the Patriarch Catholikos of the Armenian Church at Etchmiadzin. The Secretary was in the group which visited Estonia, and, in particular, the Orthodox Convent of 40 nuns at Pukhtitsa. The return delegation in 1963 was welcomed by Episcopal Churches in several American cities, by the Bishop of California and the Bishop of Western New York, as well as by the Dean of the National Cathedral in Washington.

Throughout the period of these visits, both in the USSR and the U.S., the Chairman and Secretary of the Joint Commission participated almost daily in conversations with Orthodox representatives, thus helping to increase mutual understanding on both sides.

In addition to participating in the exchange with the Churches in the Soviet Union, the Chairman was able, in 1962, to visit the Ecumenical Patriarch at the Phanar and the Patriarchs and theological schools in Sofia, Bulgaria, and Belgrade, Yugoslavia. He also visited the Primate and Bishops of the Old Catholic Church in Holland and Austria. The Secretary, during his travels abroad for the YMCA and the National Council of Churches, also visited the
Ecumenical Patriarch; the Church of Greece; the Patriarch of Antioch in Damascus; the Orthodox and Armenian Patriarchates in Jerusalem, Jordan; the Catholikos of the Syrian Jacobite Church, in Kottayam, South India; the Greek and Coptic Patriarchs in Egypt; and the Ethiopian Patriarchate at Addis Ababa.

During the triennium, bishops who have come as official representatives from the Romanian Orthodox Church, the Bulgarian Orthodox Church, and the Serbian Orthodox Church in Belgrade, were welcomed by the Presiding Bishop and by the Chairman and Secretary of the Joint Commission.

These visits and the participation in delegations have greatly increased the sense of close relationship between the Episcopal Church and the several Orthodox Patriarchates, which are the Mother Churches of the Orthodox in the United States.

DEVELOPMENTS IN ORTHODOX CHURCH LIFE RELEVANT TO THE ANGLICAN COMMUNION

The entry of the Russian Orthodox Church into membership in the World Council of Churches during its meeting at New Delhi, in December, 1961, constituted a major event in the development of relationships between the Eastern and Western Churches. Although Orthodox Churches in the West have participated in the ecumenical movement from the early 1920's, it was generally recognized that canonical principles in Orthodoxy had to be respected; namely, that no one of the autocephalous Churches, or any grouping of them, could speak officially for the Orthodox Church, but only when they are all represented and can speak with a common voice. The impressive number of faithful in the Russian Orthodox Church, as well as its leadership in both theological and practical matters from the 16th century onward, has encouraged a reticence on the part of others to express an Orthodox position until the Moscow Patriarchate should also participate in its formulation. Hence the significance of the latter's entry into the World Council of Churches. It is to be noted that the Romanian, Bulgarian, Serbian, Polish, and Czechoslovak Orthodox Churches soon followed the lead of the Russian Church in seeking and gaining membership in the World Council.

A major step toward the unified expression of the Orthodox Churches, and their co-operation with other Christian Communions, was taken when they gathered together in a Pan-Orthodox Conference on the Island of Rhodes, in August, 1961, which was attended
by the Rev. Mr. Mac Donald as an official observer for the Episcopal Church. This was the first formal meeting of all the Orthodox Churches in communion with the Patriarchate at Constantinople for many centuries. Under the Turkish Sultan, the Patriarch of Constantinople exercised authority over the Orthodox minority in all parts of the Empire without using conciliar procedures.

While the breakup of the Turkish Empire at the close of World War I modified the situation, so far as the Ecumenical Patriarch was concerned, the Communist Revolution of 1917 almost simultaneously resulted in severe restrictions on the Russian Orthodox Church. It was not until 1945 that active relationships were restored between all the autocephalous Churches in communion with the Ecumenical Patriarchate, and only in 1961 were they in a position to respond unanimously to the invitation of the Primate, His All-Holiness Athenagoras, Archbishop of Constantinople and Ecumenical Patriarch, to meet on the Island of Rhodes for the purpose of drawing up the agenda for a later Pro-Synod. The topics on this agenda have been assigned to commissions in each of the autocephalous Churches, with a view to making adequate preparation for the larger meeting when it can be held.

One of the major topics on the agenda is that of the relationship between the Orthodox Church as a whole and the Anglican Communion around the world. The Rhodes meeting also considered the resumption of relationships with the Ancient Eastern Churches, which did not accept the decisions of one or another of the great Ecumenical Councils, as well as the problem of overcoming the great schism between the Eastern Patriarchates and the Church of Rome.

The Rhodes meeting was thus highly significant in drawing together all the Orthodox Churches for active participation in the contemporary dynamic phase of ecumenicity—Christian unity throughout the world. It may be noted that, as early as 1920, the Ecumenical Patriarch issued a broad appeal to Christian unity, and some of the Orthodox Churches took part in the earlier phases of co-operation which led to the establishment of the World Council of Churches. Although coming separately, the Orthodox representatives often spoke with a common voice in Life-and-Work as well as Faith-and-Order meetings, and joined in separate statements defining the Orthodox view on matters under discussion, as they did at Edinburgh, Evanston, and Oberlin. In 1963 at the Faith-and-Order meeting in Montreal, however, after the entry of several more of the Orthodox Churches into the World Council, and after the Rhodes meetings, Orthodox participation was of a more integrated nature and no separate statements were issued.
The Orthodox Churches have not responded unitedly to the initiative of the late Pope John XXIII for closer relationships among all Christians. When the Secretariat for Christian Unity of the Second Vatican Council issued invitations to the Orthodox, as it did to other non-Roman-Catholic Churches, to send observers, another meeting of Orthodoxy was held on the Island of Rhodes. The Church of Greece refused to participate. The meeting agreed to leave it to each autocephalous Church to decide whether or not it should accept Cardinal Bea's invitation, mutually informing each other. As it turned out, the Moscow Patriarchate was the only one to accept and to send observers, and it has been severely criticized by the Hellenic Church and some others for doing so.

The difference in policy with reference to the Roman Catholic Church has led also to a good deal of dissent and criticism in some of the Patriarchates over the meeting of the Ecumenical Patriarch with Pope Paul VI in Jerusalem in January, 1964. Perhaps this attitude was in large measure due to the fact that circumstances did not permit time for consultation among the Orthodox, in order to work out a common policy. There are historical, theological, and practical, problems which stand in the way of good relationships between Orthodoxy and the Roman Catholic Church; chiefly the latter's promotion of Uniatism, which the Vatican characterizes as a hand outstretched to the Orthodox, but which the latter consider a grasping hand.

The general situation has been complicated by internal differences within the Church of Greece, and most recently by the problems arising in connection with the crisis on the Island of Cyprus, with its repercussions affecting the Orthodox minority in Turkey and the Ecumenical Patriarchate in particular.

An indication of the way in which the Russian Orthodox Church (Moscow Patriarchate) has sought to express its full participation in the ecumenical movement is seen in the invitation it extended to the World Council of Churches to hold the meeting of its Executive Committee, in January, 1964, in Odessa on the Black Sea.

DEVELOPMENTS AMONG THE ORTHODOX
IN NORTH AMERICA

The Orthodox Church was first established in North America as a mission of the Metropolitan Archbishop of St. Petersburg, Russia. The first parish was set up in Alaska as early as 1794. From Alaska, following the arrival of numerous immigrants in the United States, leadership was moved to San Francisco. This was the seat of the
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first Orthodox Bishop; but as parishes increased in number in the East, the headquarters of the Church and its bishops was moved to New York. Bishop Tikhon was Bishop of the Aleutian Islands and North America from 1898 to 1907, when he returned to Russia; in 1917 he was elected Patriarch, the first to hold this high office since its abrogation by Peter the Great nearly two hundred years earlier.

A Greek Orthodox parish was established in New Orleans in 1864. Subsequently, other national Orthodox Churches built up parishes and brought over clergy from the homelands, in due time followed by bishops from one or another of the ancient Patriarchates. Up to 1918, the head of the Russian jurisdiction was generally recognized as the senior among the bishops, but the Bolshevik Revolution isolated the Russian Patriarchate and weakened, or in some respects compromised, its authority. Consequently, divisions occurred in the Russian Orthodox community in North America, and leadership of the several communities gradually fell to the dynamically increasing Greek community, which, under the excellent administration of Archbishop Athenagoras (later elevated as Ecumenical Patriarch), had become a well organized and flourishing Church.

Many efforts have been made to harmonize differences inherited from national traditions and to bring about unity. Having nearly a thousand parishes, with about four million faithful adherents, the Orthodox constitute one of the major Christian communities in the United States. During the Second World War, Orthodox chaplains were requested by the U. S. Air Force, and, subsequently, the number of such chaplains for the Armed Forces has considerably increased.

Nearly fifty years ago, the Russian Orthodox Church established a theological training school in Minneapolis. It was subsequently moved to Tenafly, New Jersey. Although discontinued for a few years during the difficult period following the Russian Revolution, theological education was revived with the opening of St. Vladimir's Orthodox Theological Seminary, under the Russian Orthodox Greek Catholic Church, headed at present by Metropolitan Leonty, and at first housed at General Theological Seminary. It is now erecting new buildings on its own property at Crestwood, Tuckahoe, New York. The Greek Orthodox Archdiocese has similarly advanced its theological training from a preparatory school to a regular seminary, now located at Brookline, Mass. These institutions have done much to lift the status and spiritual effectiveness of the Orthodox Churches by providing American college-trained priests and workers for these Churches. Three graduates of St. Vladimir's have been consecrated bishops. The quality of instruction in both the Russian and the Greek theological schools can be measured by the fact that some of their faculty members lecture at Columbia University, Harvard Uni-
versity, etc., and participate actively in various kinds of ecumenical activity on the highest level.

The Orthodox now have an instrument for unity in the Standing Conference of Canonical Orthodox Bishops. This embraces representatives of all the Orthodox Churches which are currently in communion with the Ecumenical Patriarch. Its presiding officer is the Exarch of the Ecumenical Patriarch, who at this time is the Most Rev. Iakovos, Archbishop of the Greek Orthodox Archdiocese of North and South America.

Political conditions in the homelands have been the cause of unfortunate division within the Russian, Serbian, Romanian, and Bulgarian, Orthodox Churches in North America. The great majority of faithful in these Churches adhere to domestic administrations in the United States, established and controlled by elected councils of bishops, priests, and laymen, registered under American laws, and they restrict relationships with the Mother Churches to acts required by the ancient canons to ensure spiritual integrity and doctrinal unity. There is, however, a small minority, in some cases, which continue a measure of direct administration from the Mother Churches. On the other hand, there are also some bodies which completely reject any connection with the Mother Churches, as does the Russian Synod of Bishops and three independent Ukrainian groups. The Standing Conference would like to resolve this problem by reaching agreement among all the Orthodox faithful in America and through due canonical arrangements with the Mother Churches. They see this as coming about through action by each of the latter in granting autocephality to a united American Orthodox Church, which would embrace all or most of the Orthodox Churches in the United States and Canada, and which would have the same status of independence as is enjoyed by other national Churches with autocephalous status. It would, therefore, become a full voting member in any world-wide Synod of Orthodox Churches. Such unity, however, would not preclude continuing use of national languages and customs where parishes desire them for all or part of their services.

It will be some years before this problem can be resolved. Meanwhile, the Protestant Episcopal Church maintains friendly and cooperative relationships with all of the authentic Orthodox communities, as well as with the communities of the other Ancient Eastern Churches, and with the Polish National Catholic Church. A practical expression of this friendship is seen in the publication by this Joint Commission every two years of a directory entitled *Parishes and Clergy of the Orthodox and Other Eastern Churches in North and South America together with the Parishes and Clergy of the Polish National Catholic Church*. No one of the Orthodox jurisdictions
would be able to issue such a general directory, because some of the rather large bodies at present do not mutually recognize each other, and one or another would therefore be omitted.

DEVELOPMENTS AT THE
ORTHODOX THEOLOGICAL INSTITUTE
OF ST. SERGIUS' IN PARIS

The interest of the Anglican Communion in the welfare and theological development of the Russian Orthodox Church during the period of its isolation (after the 1917 Revolution) was the initial cause for the establishment of this Joint Commission. The interest took the form of effective support by the Protestant Episcopal Church and the Church of England of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and of its related undertakings. The Joint Commission itself had been preceded in this effort by a voluntary committee of Episcopalians, under the chairmanship of the Rt. Rev. Henry Knox Sherrill when he was Bishop of Massachusetts. The General Convention of 1937 appointed the Joint Commission on Assistance to the Russian Church to assume the work of the voluntary committee, whose objective had been to strengthen and assist the life of the Russian Orthodox Church.

The Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris was established in 1925 by the great Metropolitan Eulogius, who had three times been elected a member of the Russian Duma, who had been a member of the Great Russian Church Sobor (Council) of 1918, and who, between the wars, had been active in the development of the ecumenical movement. The Institute's faculty has included such renowned theologians as Sergius Bulgakoff, Bishop Cassian, Vasili Zenkovsky, Anton Kartasheff, Georges Florovsky, Nicholai Afanassieff, and Leo Zander. During the nearly forty years of its work, more than two hundred men have been prepared for the priesthood, and a dozen have been consecrated bishops. The faculty at St. Vladimir's Orthodox Theological Seminary at Crestwood, New York, is made up largely of former professors or graduates of the Paris Institute, including Professors Alexander Schmemann, John Meyendorff, Serge Verkhovskoy, and Nicholas Arsenieff.

The Paris Institute is no longer just a Russian institution. Quite early in its work, students were sent by bishops of other Orthodox Churches, and returned to become leading Church figures in the Orthodox communities of Lebanon, Greece, Serbia, Czechoslovakia, Poland, and Estonia. At the present time, emphasis at St. Sergius
is being placed on post-graduate work. Six students are now working for the master's degree and seventeen are in under-graduate courses. By nationality, seven are of Russian origin, thirteen are Greek, two Yugoslavian, and one British. The Institute has thus become a significant center for Orthodox theological study in the West, and is recognized as such by theologians and scholars of the Western Churches—Roman Catholic, Calvinist, Lutheran, and Anglican. For eight successive years, St. Sergius' Institute has organized a “Liturgical Week”, at which papers are read by the most eminent theologians of the continent, coming from Rome, Germany, Switzerland, Holland, Belgium, Sweden, and England. It is generally recognized that these gatherings of theologians and scholars, together with the return visits made by St. Sergius' professors to the other institutions, have greatly contributed to the laying of the foundations for the spiritual and intellectual soundness of the movement for rapprochement between the great Confessions, particularly as seen in the changes at the Vatican.

It is important to note that the ultimate spiritual head of St. Sergius' Institute is the Ecumenical Patriarch. Not only is the Russian Orthodox Archdiocese of Western Europe an Exarchate of the Ecumenical Patriarch (since 1927); but the Patriarch, personally, takes great interest in the Institute, considering it an outstanding symbol of the fact that Orthodoxy is not confined to the East, but has a natural place in the West as well. The Institute is well fulfilling this role.

For one thing, the staff and graduates of St. Sergius' do not limit themselves to the classroom. It has fallen to them to carry forward the outstanding spiritual work and theological writing which was so prominent a feature of the Russian Orthodox Church during the century prior to the Revolution. Owing to restrictions of various kinds, with two or three exceptions, no original theological works have been published in Russia since the Revolution. On the other hand, St. Sergius' theologians have been prolific. Some two hundred of their works have appeared; and not only in Russian, but in French, English, and German. As a single example, Father Vasili Zenkovsky's History of Russian Philosophy, in two volumes, has been published by the Columbia University Press in an English translation, and has also been reproduced in the Soviet Union. Patrologia, by the late Archimandrite Cyprian, organizer of the first six “Liturgical Weeks”, and a new edition of The Orthodox Church by Father Sergius Bulgakoff, are now in printing by the Russian YMCA Press in Paris.

Much of the Orthodox participation in the ecumenical movement up to 1939 came from the Theological Institute in Paris and from
the Russian Student Christian Movement abroad, whose leaders have, from the beginning, been intimately related to the Theological Institute. In fact, the latter provided the leadership for the movement, and the movement provided great numbers of the students for the Institute. Characteristically, at the present time, Jean Morosoff is lecturer at the Theological Institute, General Secretary of the Russian Student Christian Movement, and Managing Director of the YMCA Press, which has published practically all of the theological and philosophical works of Institute professors, as well as of other Russian writers. The Chairman, the Secretary, and members of the Joint Commission, continue to frequently visit and to maintain close working relationships with the Institute.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Following is a statement of the financial operations of the Joint Commission during the triennium:

Balance, March 8, 1961 .......................... $11,018.84

Receipts
Good Friday Offering ......................... $44,301.87
Refunds and transfers ....................... 672.73

Total Available .............................. $55,993.44

Disbursements
St. Sergius' Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and Related Undertakings .................. $31,670.00
Other Orthodox Church Program-Projects .......... 5,227.90
Church Periodicals and Books to Orthodox .......... 1,306.40
Travel for Commission Meetings and for Consultation with Orthodox Churches .............. 4,445.27
Office, Postage, and Miscellaneous ............. 1,114.98
Publication of Directory of Orthodox Clergy and Parishes (2 issues) .................... 4,605.78
Orthodox Yearbooks and Reference Materials .......... 368.78

$48,739.11

Balance, May 15, 1964 .......................... $ 7,254.33

Legacy from the Estate of Mr. William K. Richardson, in the amount of $4,940.60, is on interest-bearing deposit, pending its use in the property-development plan of St. Sergius' Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris.

By resolution of General Convention, the Joint Commission receives 15% of the Good Friday Offering. The major part of this is used to help support the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris.
and its related undertakings, and to provide theological books and magazines from the United States to the theological seminaries and the heads of the Orthodox Churches in the Soviet Union, Romania, Bulgaria, Yugoslavia, Poland, and Czechoslovakia. Expenses connected with Orthodox-Anglican Consultations, and the biennial production of the directory of Orthodox parishes and clergy, are also paid for by the Joint Commission.

The Joint Commission records with gratitude the receipt of a legacy from the estate of the late William K. Richardson, in the amount of $4,940.64, for use in its support of the Russian Theological Academy of St. Sergius'.

THE OLD CATHOLIC CHURCH

The position of the Episcopal Church vis-a-vis the Old Catholics in Europe has remained satisfactory over the past three years. In 1961, during the session of General Convention, the International Conference of Old Catholic Bishops, meeting during the Old Catholic Congress in Haarlem, the Netherlands, expressed some concern over movements toward Christian unity confined to North America, and over the relationship of the Episcopal Church with the Church of South India. This concern, however, was to be interpreted in connection with the conversations in Bonn, in 1930, where Archbishop Kenninck of Utrecht stated clearly that these things are Anglican problems, not affecting the entering upon a status of full communion. The apprehension on the part of the bishops of the Union of Utrecht was allayed by Bishop Gray's Resolution in the General Convention of 1961, that any conversations are to be carried out in the context of the "Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral", and by the statement, given them directly, that the Protestant Episcopal Church intends to remain loyal, both to the letter and the spirit of the Concordat with the Old Catholics.

Since 1961, Archbishop Rinkel of Utrecht has visited the Ecumenical Patriarch of Constantinople. In the conversations of 1930, the Old Catholics had stated that there is no difference in doctrine between them and the Orthodox Church. The visit to the Ecumenical See is a move toward achieving a status of full communion between the Old Catholics and the East, the completion of which would greatly improve the chance of success of the Episcopal consultations with the Orthodox.

There have been sporadic personal contacts between members of the Protestant Episcopal Church and the Old Catholics, as well as with the Spanish and Lusitanian Episcopal Churches, during the last three years; but because of the distance from Europe, these have
not been as frequent as one could wish. The inclusion of these Churches in the *Directory of Churches in Europe and Nearby Countries*, published by the National Council, is a move in the right direction, and serves to make the Episcopal Church aware of these Churches, and to stress to travelers from this Church that the services of sister Churches are available. The pamphlet should be more widely publicized, to the end of creating more contacts, especially of the laity, of the two Churches.

**THE POLISH NATIONAL CATHOLIC CHURCH**

In considering the Polish National Catholic Church, it is always wise to repeat that this is the only Church in the United States in communion with the European Old Catholic Church, and that it is the only Church on this continent with which this Church has full communion. This explanation is necessary, because of the existence of several bodies using the name “Old Catholic”, but not recognized by the Union of Utrecht. With these groups, the Episcopal Church has no relations whatsoever, nor is the validity of their “Orders” acknowledged.

During the last three years, there has been no change in the *status quo* with the Polish National Catholic Church. In some areas, the co-operation with this Church is close, but in others Episcopalians seem unaware that this sister Church exists. To safeguard the terms of full communion, representatives of the Polish National Catholic Church have been invited to join in the consultations held under the auspices of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, so that that Church will be aware of the interpretation of Episcopal formularies there given, and will realize that we intend to maintain our pledged word in the Concordat.

Since minor misunderstandings arise from time to time over membership, Episcopal parishes should be better instructed on the “Regulations as to Intercommunion” between the Episcopal and the Polish National Catholic Churches. Under these rules, transfers from one Church to the other, whether of clergymen or laymen, must be made with the approval of the respective bishops, since we have agreed to respect each other's jurisdictions. A simple Letter of Transfer, such as is used between parishes of this Church, is not enough. Members of the Polish National Catholic Church coming into the Episcopal Church are, of course, not to be confirmed, if they have already received that rite. In the case of marriages between members of the two Communions, the conditions required by both Churches are to be observed, and the officiating priest is to
notify the other party's pastor and await his reply before proceeding. The careful observance of these rules would avoid irritation.

Further, it has been agreed with the Polish National Catholic Church that official representatives should attend each other's synods and conventions, and bring greetings. The Joint Commission suggests that this part of the agreement be more carefully observed in diocesan conventions, in areas where the Polish National Catholic Church is established.

In the Concordat with the Polish National Catholic Church, there exists an arrangement which is almost unique in the Anglican Communion, since the two Churches exist side by side in this country. It could be a working model for the rest of the world on what the status of full communion implies, and more effort on the part of this Church is required to make it such.

**COMBINING THE WORK OF THE THREE JOINT COMMISSIONS**

At the General Convention in 1961, the Joint Commission on Committees and Commissions reported as follows: "We recommend that the three Joint Commissions be continued for the coming triennium, although we would endorse steps which we understand they are already considering, looking toward the combining of their work and the reduction of their number." This matter has been given careful consideration by this Joint Commission, as well as by its officers, meeting with the officers of the other two Joint Commissions, viz, on Ecumenical Relations and on Approaches to Unity.

The members of this Joint Commission have learned from experience during the past twenty-four years that the interests of the Protestant Episcopal Church in its relations with the Orthodox and other Eastern Churches call for the work of a specialized agency, such as this Joint Commission has been. Its task has been that of carrying out the purposes and adhering to the principles set forth at the General Convention of 1886 in Chicago, by building up mutual understanding and by advancing step by step toward goals set by the two great Communions, Anglican and Orthodox. The sensitivities, skills, disciplines, and personal confidences, required for this type of service to the Church need to be preserved in any form of coordination which may be determined by General Convention. The same identification of a special function is desirable in connection with the financial and personal assistance which the Orthodox Church requires for the fulfillment of common endeavors during this period when it has not yet reached the degree of organized unity.
which would enable it to support together things which all of the individual Orthodox Churches wish to see done.

This is one of the aspects of the work of our Church in the cause of Christian unity. Such efforts require careful attention to the dignity of the Orthodox Churches, as having been the bodies in which the great creeds and doctrines of Christian faith were developed by the Apostolic and Early Fathers, and which have preserved the faith, even through periods of temptation and persecution. The meeting of the Ecumenical Patriarch with the Pope of Rome at Jerusalem is a symbol of the high level and the broad context in which Anglican relations with the Orthodox are to be conducted and developed.

The Joint Commission welcomes consideration of measures for co-ordination of all phases of the outreach toward Christian unity which is currently being undertaken by our Church. The appointment of an Ecumenical Officer, Advisory to the Presiding Bishop, will greatly facilitate such co-ordination. The establishing of a single Joint Commission to be responsible, on behalf of General Convention, for all inter-Church relationships in the direction of Christian unity, having within it a distinct section for attention to Orthodox and Eastern Church matters, would also facilitate such co-ordination.

RESOLUTIONS

The Joint Commission on Eastern and Old Catholic Churches therefore proposes the following Resolutions:

Whereas, The Protestant Episcopal Church has, since 1886, been engaged in serious efforts to achieve unity with the Orthodox Church, in the midst of great historical, doctrinal, and canonical, complexities; and

Whereas, The Joint Doctrinal Conferences arranged by the Church of England and the Orthodox, with American Episcopal theologians as invited assessors, and the Orthodox-Anglican consultations in the United States during this triennium, have resulted in notable progress in these efforts; and

Whereas, The experience gained by this Joint Commission in these efforts needs to be preserved and developed, in order to provide patristic grounding and genuine ecumenicity in unity discussions; and

Whereas, Preservation and development depend upon a corresponding charge being given to a well-defined group of bishops, priests, and lay persons, with experience and competence in these matters; and
Whereas, The interests and true unity of all Christians require that the work of this group be co-ordinated with those working toward unity with the Roman Catholic Church, and other Churches; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations consisting of ten Bishops, ten Presbyters, and ten Lay Persons; which Joint Commission shall continue the work of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches; and shall be charged with relations with inter-Church organizations; with the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, and the Ancient Eastern Churches; with other parts of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; and with consultations on and approaches to unity; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the task of the new Joint Commission be to develop a comprehensive and co-ordinated policy and strategy on relations with other Churches, confirming, interpreting, or making fresh, definitions, in harmony with the faith and canons of the Protestant Episcopal Church, thus involving (a) statements on faith and order, (b) theological discussions with other Churches, separately or in ecumenical gatherings, and (c) questions of Church law, tradition, and worship, arising in relationships with other Churches; and, be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That such responsibilities of the new Joint Commission as relate to the Orthodox be committed to a special section, consisting of members particularly qualified therefor; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That, in addition to its thirty members, the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies be members ex officio, and that the Ecumenical Officer, Advisory to the Presiding Bishop, be assigned as Staff Officer; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the chairmen and secretaries of the several Joint Commissions aforesaid be named a Committee to continue the on-going responsibilities of the three former Joint Commissions, until the organization of the new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations is put into effective operation; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the sum of $35,000.00 for the triennium 1965-1967 be appropriated for the
expenses of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and its committees; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That 15% of the Good Friday Offering be appropriated annually to the Joint Committee on Ecumenical Relations, to be expended on the recommendation of the "Orthodox" unit of the Commission, for use in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and to provide other services in the field of co-operation with the Eastern Churches.

Respectfully submitted,

Oliver L. Loring
Austin Pardue
Lauriston L. Scaife
Edward R. Hardy, Jr.
Robert B. MacDonald
Paul B. Anderson
John Nicholas Brown
Harry W. Oborne
APPENDIX 10

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON ECUMENICAL RELATIONS

By appointment of the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies, after the General Convention of 1961, the following persons were named to the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations for the triennium 1962–1964:

The Rt. Rev. J. Brooke Mosley, D.D., of Delaware
The Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., S.T.D.
The Rt. Rev. Donald H. V. Hallock, D.D., of Milwaukee
The Rt. Rev. John S. Higgins, D.D., of Rhode Island
The Rt. Rev. George L. Cadigan, D.D., of Missouri
The Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D., of Massachusetts
The Rev. Gardiner M. Day, D.D., of Massachusetts
The Rev. James W. Kennedy, D.D., of New York
The Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D., of Milwaukee
The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., of Texas
The Rev. William W. Lumpkin of Upper South Carolina
Paul B. Anderson, Th.D., of New York
Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D., of New York
Albert F. Smoot of Virginia
Mrs. Paul F. Turner of Delaware
N. Hamner Cobbs of Alabama
Ralph W. Black of North Dakota
Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., of New York

The Commission organized by the election of Bishop Mosley as Chairman, Dr. Morehouse as Vice-Chairman, and the Rev. Dr. Kennedy as Secretary.

SUMMARY OF RESPONSIBILITIES

During the past triennium, the Joint Commission has functioned in the following major areas of responsibility: (1) it has kept in touch with the National Council of Churches, and has sought to relate its programs to those of the Episcopal Church; (2) it has likewise been in contact with the World Council of Churches, and has sought to relate its program of conferences and work on a world-
wide basis to that of the Episcopal Church, both nationally and internationally; and (3) it has sought to keep the Episcopal Church informed on ecumenical matters, particularly as they affect the several dioceses, and, mainly through *The Ecumenical Bulletin*, has attempted to give guidance to parishes and missions on the implementation of the findings of various national and international ecumenical gatherings.

*The National Council of Churches*

The General Convention of 1961 directed the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations to make a study of the structure, program, and finances, of the National Council of Churches, with special reference to the following matters:

1. The content, adoption procedure, and publication, of pronouncements, statements, educational literature, reading lists, and the like;
2. The method of appointment or election of the representatives of this Church;
3. Increasing the proportion of lay participation; and
4. Increasing the size of the quorum of the General Board.

In order to carry out this assignment, a special study committee was appointed in February, 1962, to do careful research into the matter and to report its findings to the Joint Commission. The committee was composed of the Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, *Chairman*; the Rev. William W. Lumpkin, Mr. Ralph W. Black, *Secretary*; Mr. N. Hamner Cobbs; and Mrs. David Tappen. The committee finished its work and reported to the Joint Commission at its meeting of February 7–8, 1963, after which the committee was discharged. The Joint Commission, through an editorial committee, re-drafted the report for presentation to the Commission at its meeting of January 21–22, 1964, at which time the final draft was approved. The report as adopted is printed in full as Appendix F, beginning on page 493.

During the triennium, also, the Joint Commission was responsible for nominating delegates to all gatherings sponsored by the National Council of Churches. A special *ad hoc* committee (composed of members of the Joint Commission and of the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council) was appointed to handle the nominations for the 32-member delegation to the Fourth National Study Conference on the Churches and Economic Life, held in Pittsburgh, Pa., November 8–11, 1962. An attempt was made to select delegates best equipped to represent the Episcopal
Church in the eight very specialized areas considered by the Conference. (See Appendix A.4 for a list of delegates.)

Nominations for the 36 delegates to the Sixth General Assembly of the National Council of Churches, held in Philadelphia, Pa., December 1-7, 1963, were made in the light of the recommendations of the Commission's Study Committee on the National Council of Churches, and reflect an attempt to secure a balanced delegation representing every Province of the Church. (See Appendix A.3 for list of delegates.) The delegates were prepared for their task by packets of material setting forth what the Episcopal Church has had to say in the four areas of the Assembly's concern: (1) Race, (2) Peace with Justice and Freedom, (3) Technology and Livelihood, and (4) Faith and Order. In addition, there were three special get-togethers for the Episcopal delegates during the period of the sessions. The delegates were briefed particularly on the follow-up of the Assembly in their own Dioceses and Provinces.

The Presiding Bishop assigned to the Joint Commission responsibility for making nominations for the British-American Preachers Exchange, which is a joint activity of the National and British Councils of Churches. At least two clergymen of this Church participated in the program in each Summer of the triennium. The whole program is under scrutiny, with possible changes to be made in the near future.

Under the auspices of the Department of Faith and Order Studies of the National Council of Churches, many regional conferences have been held during the triennium, with a notable increase in theological interest on the part of this Church, both at provincial and diocesan levels.

A radio net-work series was recorded and broadcast immediately prior to the meeting of the Sixth General Assembly, on the A.B.C. radio net-work program "Pilgrimage". The series has been made available to local stations across the country as an aid in interpreting the true nature and program of the National Council of Churches.

The World Council of Churches

The Third Assembly of the World Council of Churches was held in New Delhi, India, November 19-December 5, 1961. The Episcopal Church was represented by eleven delegates and three advisers. (See Appendix A.5 for a list of the delegates, who also represent this Church as members of the United States Conference for the World Council of Churches.) For a full report of the Assembly, see The New Delhi Report, edited by W. A. Visser 't Hooft, which is the
official report of the full proceedings; *New Delhi Speaks About Christian Witness, Service, Unity*, which contains the Message, Appeal, and Section Reports; and the official popular report and study guide for the United States of America, *No Darkness At All*.

In addition, several other world-wide gatherings were held under the sponsorship of the World Council of Churches, including the Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order, in Montreal, Canada, July 12–26, 1963. For a full report of this Conference, see *The Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order* by Patrick C. Rodger. (See Appendix D for a summary and list of Episcopal delegates.)

The Commission on World Mission and Evangelism of the World Council met in Mexico City, December 8–20, 1963, to look at the missionary task from a new angle. The Episcopal Church was represented by the Rev. Dr. Theodore O. Wedel.

A conference on "The Churches in Mission" was held at the Yale Divinity School in New Haven, Conn., in September, 1963. The four-day consultation was part of a world-wide study of "The Missionary Structure of the Congregation". For a full report of the meeting, see *Where in the World* by Colin Williams.

During the triennium, the United States Conference for the World Council of Churches, made up of delegates to the New Delhi Assembly, held annual meetings at Buck Hill Falls, Pa., to further the work of the World Council in the United States and Canada. The Executive Committee of the Conference is composed of those delegate-members of the Churches who are on the Central Committee of the World Council.

The Committee on Interpretation and Support of the United States Conference carries on the main business of the World Council of Churches in North America.

Under the sponsorship of the Conference, the "Charred Cross" and the "Cross of Nails" from bombed-out Coventry Cathedral were brought to America and placed in the Protestant-Orthodox Center at the New York World's Fair as symbols of the unity of the Churches.

*Other Matters*

*General Convention*

The 61st General Convention will witness the inauguration of an Ecumenical Day, followed by an Ecumenical Banquet, together with an ecumenical display in the National Council's exhibit area. St. Louis furnishes a perfect place for such an Ecumenical Day, being the home of three distinguished theological schools, which
will be the hosts of the several ecumenical conversations. All bishops, deputies, and delegates to the Triennial of the Women of the Church, will be involved. The Ecumenical Dinner is planned to highlight two areas of ecumenical progress: the Second Vatican Council (See Appendices B and C) and the Consultation on Church Union. A plan to stage an Ecumenical Mass Meeting was given up because of the multiplicity of other events at the General Convention; instead, the scope and size of the Ecumenical Dinner was enlarged.

Ecumenical Officer

The appointment of Mr. Peter Day as Ecumenical Officer, Advisory to the Presiding Bishop, as from February 1, 1964, was the culmination of nine years of preparation and search for a person who would take full-time responsibility for ecumenical relationships, within the structure of the National Council.

Committee on Ecumenical Relations of the National Council

The National Council's Committee on Ecumenical Relations has functioned as an extension of the Joint Commission, although completely autonomous. During the past three years, it has taken responsibility for the administration of the Ecumenical Travel Budget, and furnishes a continuing channel for the funneling of ecumenical information to the National Council. Because of the relative frequency of its meetings, it is able to implement the long-range planning of the Joint Commission and handle specific assignments referred to it by the Presiding Bishop which need detailed consideration and prompt action. (See Appendix A.1 for list of members.)

Presiding Bishop's Advisory Committee on Anglican Relations

Since its appointment by action of the General Convention, the Advisory Committee on Anglican Relations has kept the Joint Commission informed as to its activities. The major project since 1961 has been the completion of Canon Johnson's world-girdling tour of the Anglican Communion and the preparation and publication of his report, which took the form of a book entitled, Global Odyssey, published in time for distribution at the Anglican Congress in August, 1963. The volume has had exceedingly good reception in most parts of the Anglican Communion.

The responsibility of the Advisory Committee for a follow-up of the Anglican Congress has been discharged chiefly by the recommendation of published material about the Congress for use at all
levels. Detailed follow-up of the Congress has been entrusted by the Presiding Bishop to a 19-member special Committee on Mutual Responsibility, under the chairmanship of the Bishop of East Carolina.

Another major work of the Advisory Committee has been the Wates-Seabury Exchange Program. At present, this program provides for the exchange of two clergymen each year between parishes in Britain and the United States. It is proposed, as soon as feasible, to increase the number of exchanges to three a year. The details of the program are handled through the office of Mr. Warren H. Turner, Jr., a Vice-President of the National Council. In spite of some difficulties and occasional perplexing problems, the exchange program has yielded extraordinarily high returns in mutual understanding between the two Churches.

_The Anglican Cycle of Prayer_, traditionally distributed in the United States by the Advisory Committee, was, in 1962, taken over by the Overseas Department of the National Council and combined with its own _Calendar of Prayer for Missions_. The new publication is called _A Calendar of Prayer_.

Through _The Ecumenical Bulletin_, the Church has been kept informed about the work of the Advisory Committee.

**The Philippine Independent Church**

Dr. Clifford P. Morehouse, Vice-Chairman of this Joint Commission, who is also a member of the Joint Council of the Philippine Episcopal Church and the Philippine Independent Church, has prepared a brief report of the progress of the relationship of this Church with the Independent Church since the completion of the Concordat of Full Communion by the General Convention of 1961. (See _Appendix E_.) He has also prepared the Resolutions dealing with the Philippine Church which the Joint Commission is recommending to this General Convention for enactment. (See Resolution 5.)

**The Three Joint Commissions**

At the General Convention of 1961, the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions, while recommending that the three Joint Commissions presently charged with one phase or another of the ecumenical task (Ecumenical Relations, Approaches to Unity, and Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches) be continued through the triennium, went on to "endorse steps, which we understand they are already considering, looking toward the combining of their work and the reduction of their numbers".
This matter has been given careful consideration by the three Commissions separately, and by the officers of the Commissions meeting together. Two of these latter meetings were held with the Presiding Bishop present, and the recommendation which has been agreed to by all three groups is contained in Resolution 4.

The proposal to merge the three Joint Commissions is now laid before the General Convention for action. Careful attention has been given to all of the major concerns and responsibilities of the several bodies, and also of certain neglected areas which have not been officially assigned to any of them but which are part of the ecumenical picture. The appointment of the Ecumenical Officer now makes it possible to co-ordinate effectively all of the matters which have been customarily handled by each of the three groups, and those which can be assigned under the enlarged concept of the successor Joint Commission. It is hoped that persons experienced in all of the fields covered by the old Joint Commissions would be appointed to the new Commission, and that it will be in a favorable position to deal with the enlarged vision of ecumenical relations and the many imperatives of Church unity.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

Appropriated by the General Convention............ $4,500.00
Gift...................................................... 250.00
Additional Appropriation for the Study of the
National Council of Churches....................... 2,500.00

Total..................................................... $7,250.00

Disbursements

Expenses for 1962 (including the Study).............. $3,424.81
Expenses for 1963.................................. 1,694.70
Expenses for 1964.................................. 2,060.16

Total..................................................... $7,179.67

Balance on hand, May 1, 1964......................... $  70.33

RESOLUTIONS

1. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That the report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be accepted and commended to the Church.
2. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Study Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations on the National Council of Churches be accepted and commended to the Church for study; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That membership of this Church in the National Council of Churches be reaffirmed; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the recommendations appended to the Study Report on the National Council of Churches be transmitted to that body and to the National Council of this Church.

3. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America express its interest in, and appreciation of, the Second Vatican Council; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the General Convention call upon the Church to engage in continuing intercession for the Vatican Council; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That there be conveyed to the authorities of the Roman Catholic Church the desire of this Church for talks, both informal and formal, with representatives of the Roman Catholic Church on matters relating to Christian life and Church unity.

4. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, consisting of ten Bishops, ten Presbyters, and ten Lay Persons; which Joint Commission shall continue the work of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and the Joint Commission on Co-operation with the Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, and shall be charged also with relations with inter-Church organizations; with the Roman Catholic Church, the Orthodox Church, and the Ancient Eastern Churches; with other parts of the Anglican Communion and the Wider Episcopal Fellowship; and with consultations on and approaches toward unity; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That, in addition to its thirty appointed members, the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies be members ex officio, and that the Ecumenical Officer, Advisory to the Presiding Bishop, be assigned to the Joint Commission as Staff Officer; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the chairmen and secretaries of the several Joint Commissions aforesaid be named
a Committee to continue the on-going responsibilities of the three former Joint Commissions, until the organization of the new Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations is put into effective operation; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the sum of $35,000.00 for the triennium 1965–1967 be appropriated for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations and its committees; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That 15% of the Good Friday Offering be appropriated annually to the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, to be expended on the recommendation of the "Orthodox" unit of the Commission, for use in the support of the Orthodox Theological Institute in Paris and its related undertakings, and to provide other services in the field of co-operation with the Eastern Churches.

5. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That this General Convention send greetings to the Supreme Bishop, the episcopate, the rest of the clergy, and the people, of the Philippine Independent Church, assuring them of the joy of this Church in the increasingly close relationships of the two Churches, growing out of the Concordat of Full Communion, as those relationships are expressed especially in the work of the Joint Council, in the establishment of Trinity College, and in the development of joint projects in the missionary and educational fields; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That prayer be offered for an increasing fellowship between the Independent and Episcopal Churches in the Philippines, both in sacramental life and in practical co-operation, looking toward the time when there may be in the Philippines one united Province of the One, Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church.

Report signed by all the Members of the Joint Commission:

RICHARD H. BAKER
STEPHEN F. BAYNE, JR.
GEORGE L. CADIGAN
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK
JOHN S. HIGGINS
ARTHUR C. LICHTENBERGER
J. BROOKE MOSLEY, Chairman
GRAY M. BLANDY
JOHN V. BUTLER
JOHN B. COBURN
1. Committee on Ecumenical Relations of the National Council

   *The Rt. Rev. Donald H. V. Hallock, Chairman, 1962–63
   *The Rt. Rev. John E. Hines
   The Rt. Rev. William H. Marmion
   *The Rev. Raymond T. Ferris
   The Rev. James W. Kennedy, Secretary
   The Rev. Raymond T. Maxwell
   The Rev. Almon R. Pepper
   Peter Day
   Miss Leila M. Anderson
   Mrs. John R. Newcomer
   Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce

   *Rotated off in 1963.

2. Presiding Bishop’s Advisory Committee on Anglican Relations

   The Rt. Rev. Horace W. B. Donegan
   The Rt. Rev. John S. Higgins
   The Rt. Rev. Arthur C. Lichtenberger, Chairman
   The Rev. Howard A. Johnson
   The Rev. James W. Kennedy, Secretary
   The Rev. Raymond T. Maxwell
   Clifford P. Morehouse
   Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce
3. Delegates to the 6th General Assembly of the National Council of Churches, Philadelphia, Pa., December 1–7, 1963

**Bishops:**
* Arthur C. Lichtenberger
* William Crittenden
  Roger W. Blanchard
  John M. Burgess
  Donald H. V. Hallock
  James A. Pike
  Joseph G. Armstrong
  John E. Hines

**Lay Members:**
* Warren H. Turner, Jr.
* Peter Day
  W. E. Chilton
  Michael Budzanoski
  Charles P. Taft
  *W. Barton Beatty
  Albert A. Smoot
  Andrew Mapes
  N. Hamner Cobbs
  Mrs. Richard J. Loring
  Mrs. Paul F. Turner
  Mrs. Howard Blake
  Miss Olive Mae Mulica
  *Mrs. Robert H. Howe
  *Mrs. George Price
  Mrs. Howard Prince
  Mrs. M. E. Nellums
  Mrs. Theodore Worley

*Members of the General Board are indicated with an asterisk. Although the Episcopal Church is entitled to only five voting members of the General Board, the five members and five alternates have been designated as official representatives, with the understanding that they will have a total of five votes, and when all ten members are present each one would have only one-half a vote, based on the fractional voting rule.

4. Delegates to the Fourth National Study Conference of the Churches and Economic Life

**Bishops:**
William Crittenden
Malcolm E. Peabody
James A. Pike

**Lay Members:**
Paul B. Anderson
Mrs. William Crittenden
Julian Dugas
### Presbyters:

- Gardiner M. Day
- Walter D. Dennis, Jr.
- Shirley B. Goodwin
- Robert L. Green
- Herschel O. Halbert
- Clarence E. Hobgood
- Alden D. Kelley
- Charles S. Martin
- Randolph C. Miller
- Almon R. Pepper
- Richard U. Smith
- Arthur E. Walmsley

### Lay Members (Continued):

- Daniel Gibbens
- Mrs. Janet Hill Gordon
- Miss Daphne Hughes
- Wilber G. Katz
- Mrs. Marion C. Kelleran
- Charles P. Taft
- Charles Tuttle
- Mrs. Robert Webb
- Robert Webb
- Miss Carman Wolff

---

5. **Members of the United States Conference for the World Council of Churches**—All the delegates from the Episcopal Church to the Third Assembly of the World Council of Churches in New Delhi, 1961:

- *The Rt. Rev. Arthur C. Lichtenberger*
- *The Rt. Rev. J. Brooke Mosley*
- *The Rt. Rev. Lauriston L. Scaife*
- *The Rev. James W. Kennedy*
- *The Ven. Canon John M. Burgess*
- *The Very Rev. Richard H. Wilmer*
- *Dr. Clifford P. Morehouse*

- *Dr. Nathan M. Pusey*
- *Mrs. Sherman E. Johnson*
- *Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel*

*Member of the Executive Committee (all the Episcopal delegates on the Central Committee of the World Council of Churches).*

6. **Members of the Committee on Interpretation and Support of the World Council of Churches**

- Douglas A. Bushy
- Mrs. Joseph Holton Jones
- The Rev. James W. Kennedy
- Henry McCorkle
- Mrs. Henry Hill Pierce
- Peter Day
- Mrs. Thomas H. Hawkes
- Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel, *Consultant*
7. Members of Working Committees of the World Council of Churches

Information: The Rev. James W. Kennedy
Laity: Mrs. Theodore O. Wedel

Co-operation of Men and Women: The Rev. Gibson Winter

Church and Society: The Rt. Rev. J. Brooke Mosley
Miss Margaret Mead

World Mission and Evangelism: The Rev. Theodore O. Wedel

Commission of the Churches on International Affairs:
Dr. Nathan M. Pusey

Racial and Ethnic Relations: The Rev. Daisuke Kitagawa

Faith and Order: The Very Rev. John B. Coburn
The Rev. Edward R. Hardy
Mr. William Stringfellow
The Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr.,
Consultant

Theological Education Fund: Dr. Nathan M. Pusey

APPENDIX B

Vatican Council II

The First Session, 1962–1963

By the Rev. Dr. Frederick C. Grant, Anglican Observer

The Second Vatican Council, which assembled in October, 1962, was the largest in numbers ever held. In contrast to Vatican I, in 1869–70, when scarcely over 800 bishops were present, this Council numbered almost 2,500 bishops, 100 cardinals, 300 or 400 theological experts, and 40 observers from the “separated” churches. Instead of the epistle-side transept, this assemblage filled the nave of St. Peter’s, occupying seats arranged in tiers on either side. And instead of considerable absenteeism, as in Vatican I, because of heat, or illness, or some other cause, the attendance in 1962 was almost perfect. And in contrast, once more, to Vatican I, there were the “delegate observers” from the Anglican, Protestant, and Eastern Churches.

Contrary to the popular idea in America, the Council was not called for the purpose of considering terms of reunion between East and West and between Roman Catholicism and Protestantism, including Anglicanism. The aim in the direction of reunion was present, of course, but as an ultimate goal, not an immediate one.
The Council held very firmly to Pope John's announcement of its purpose; *viz*, an *aggiornamento* or "bringing up to date" of the Roman Catholic Church, in liturgy, customs, and canon law; in religious devotion and in pastoral concern for the grave problems that beset not only Rome but the whole Christian world—and the non-Christian world—everywhere today.

The first *schema* or set of "draft decrees" concerned the sacred liturgy, where the influence of the Liturgical Movement was obvious. Some of the accretions to the liturgy which have survived from the Middle Ages, especially the later centuries of the Middle Ages, were to be removed, and the permission was to be granted to use the vernacular tongue in the liturgy. This seems like a simple matter to many; but the Roman Church is faced with a huge problem here: for the vernacular means about two-hundred languages, if not many more. Even in one country, India, there are more languages in use than in all of Europe. And in many countries, the languages are so close together that one diocese may include several, and a village where one language is spoken may be only ten miles from one where a totally different language prevails. But the seriousness of the problem was recognized. Bishops from behind the Iron Curtain—or the Bamboo Curtain—explained that this is a matter of life or death to the Church. The Church's enemies have devised services which imitate the sacraments or sacramentals of Catholicism but inculcate atheism and materialism. Apparently, the younger generation in some countries are unaware of the difference, and accept the indoctrination unquestioningly. Further, while most European languages have a sufficient number of Latin roots to enable the worshipper to follow, more or less, the words of the liturgy, there are other languages, *e.g.*, Japanese, which have not a single Latin root and where the liturgy is totally strange to the hearers. On the other hand, the sacred tongue of the past seventeen centuries should be retained, many said: the clear, crisp, straightforward, swiftly moving ancient Latin. But it was pointed out that the original language of the Church was not Latin but Greek, and even, earlier still, Aramaic in Palestine, the language of our Lord and the Apostles. At the end of several weeks of discussion, the *schema* was accepted in principle, subject to modification of details.

The course was not so calm and unruffled with the *schema* on the two sources of divine Revelation; *viz*, Scripture and Tradition. The difficulties of this definition are as old as Vatican I, and even as the Council of Trent—and older. They go back to the old scholastic theology of the Middle Ages. And instead of being clarified by the passage of time, they are more entangled than ever, especially with the rise of modern biblical research, which recognizes the place of
Tradition all along the way, from the period before the composition of many books of the Bible, accompanying them, and (as interpretation) following them, and accompanying their use in the Church. The same problem existed for ancient Judaism, which had a theory of oral interpretation (or even of oral transmission) accompanying the written words of prophets and law-giver. The debate in the Council reached an impasse which was solved only by Pope John’s intervention. The Pope followed every word of the session by closed-circuit TV and radio, without leaving his apartment in the Vatican Palace; and when the situation reached a stalemate he ordered the schema (and also the others) withdrawn for redrafting. He took advantage of the occasion to order the number of schemata reduced, by omitting overlapping and repetition, and clarified—and also, the removal of one-sided statements which favored one theological party as against all others. This revision required the postponement of the Second Session to October, 1963, and the revised drafts are those which are now before the Council.

It is often asked, “What did the First Session achieve?” The answer is obvious. It achieved very little in the way of positive legislation or definition. But it achieved an immense step in the direction of unification and clarification of the Church’s real forces and the evident majority in favor of progress along Pope John’s lines. We must realize that most of the assembled bishops had never met before. They came from the far corners of the earth, in many instances, and they were more aware of the real problems facing, not Catholicism alone, nor Christianity alone, but all religion of any kind in the world today, which is threatened, not by Communism alone, or by political revolution, but by atheistic materialism, and a relapse into age-old barbarism and greed, and total disregard for the moral law and for any hope of a better life for mankind—freedom, mutual respect, reverence, and unselfish devotion to the eternal values. I believe the statement of many is true; viz, that there exists a tension between the Curia and the rest of the Church’s leaders. But I do not credit it to malice and wickedness, but to ignorance and inertia: some of the Curia have never been outside Italy, I am told. Some kind of revision of the administrative structure in Rome itself seems desirable, and will, I believe, come to pass. Perhaps a kind of cabinet surrounding the Pope, higher than the Curia or the Cardinals (a larger group than the Curia); or possibly a senate of bishops, a standing committee or permanent council of, say, two hundred bishops, living in Rome but in constant touch with the regions they represent, in distant continents or close at hand, and therefore able to speak authoritatively of the needs and problems, the aims and desires, of their “constituencies” (if we may dare to use the word in what is still a monarchical system of Church admin-
Finally, what of reunion all 'round? I believe it has been advanced by the Council, and further promoted by Paul VI since Pope John’s lamented death. The method pursued is something quite new in Roman Catholic Church strategy. It used to be de rigueur to send messengers, legates, nuncios, bearing letters of excommunication or threat. The terrible rift between East and West took place when a messenger from Rome laid a letter of excommunication upon the high altar in the Church of Hagia Sophia in Constantinople, in the year 1054. If there is any way not to promote good relations between Churches—or any other organizations—it is to write letters. The best way is to sit down and talk face to face, at leisure, and with charity and common sense, and no angry words. St. Paul had the right idea: he much preferred to talk in person with those who disagreed with him, and not write letters. Pope John was a diplomat, and Paul is his able successor. The present Pontiff’s visit to Palestine, the first of any Pope in history, and his meeting with both Israeli and Arab chiefs of state, and with the Eastern Orthodox leaders, marks a new stage in ecumenical relations, certainly in the Eastern Mediterranean world. It is only a beginning, but a magnificent beginning. And “well begun is half-done”, as the old adage says.

We make a mistake if we try to predict the length of time reunion will require. It may be fifty years, it may be a hundred. The divisions within Christendom are very old, and deep wounds heal slowly. But the new approach, personal and pastoral, not theological and polemical, has a world of promise in it. If we can learn to live together, pray, work, worship God, labor together for the good of mankind—beginning with the people next door and our nearest foreign neighbors—we shall eventually be making progress toward the One Church of Christ on earth. But let us not set our hopes too high for immediate results: exaggerated hopes lead only to despair when they fail. There is no indication anywhere in the published or (as far as I know) the spoken reports of the Council to indicate any alteration of the dogmatic position of Rome. We are “separated brethren”, but we hold views that are taboo in the Roman system. We hold a view of the Bible—we Anglicans especially, with a tradition of free biblical scholarship older than the Reformation—which is incompatible with the virtually fundamentalist view of the Bible held by Rome. The Primacy of the Roman Pontiff, the insistence upon the celibacy of the clergy, the attitude toward mixed marriages and the rules governing them in many provinces of the Roman Church, the whole drift and diathesis of Romanism toward over-definition and over-legalism and the apo-
esis of canon law—all this stands in the way and may take genera-
tions to modify. One eminent Roman scholar told me that he be-
lieved many of his brethren knew the canon law far better than the
Gospels! But Pope Paul's direction to all Catholics to study the
Gospel may be still one more step toward a new day, for Rome and
for all Christendom as far as it is affected by Roman usage and
observance.

APPENDIX C

Vatican Council II

The Second Session, 1963–1964

By the Rev. Dr. William J. Wolf, Anglican Observer

My stay in Rome was from September 27 to October 31, 1963, at
the official Pensione (The Castel Sant' Angelo) taken over by the
Vatican for housing Observers and some staff of the Secretariat for
Promoting Christian Unity.

The attitude of Pope Paul, naturally, was reflected in the Fall
session as his prophetic predecessor's had been in 1962. Pope Paul
gave greater precision, in his opening allocution, to the tasks of the
Council than Pope John ever had. He listed four areas of work:

(a) the understanding of the Church (conscientia ecclesiae)
(b) the renewal of the Church (renovatio)
(c) the recovery of unity (reintegratio unitatis)
(d) the dialogue of the Church with the contemporary world.

The audience of the Observers with Pope Paul carried beyond the
precedent established by Pope John in at least two points:

(a) the meeting, instead of being held in the Consistory Hall, took
place in the Pope's own library;
(b) His Holiness, in order to further dialogue, had asked the
Observers to appoint one of their number to address him, with
the text submitted in advance, so that he could reply in a pre-
pared response.

The second session ended with the promulgation of the Consti-
tution on the Sacred Liturgy and a Decree on Instruments of Social
Communication.

The debate in the Council centered upon the schema on the
Church (de Ecclesia), one on the powers of bishops, and one on the
principles of ecumenism (de Oecumenismo). There was not enough
time at the end to have the chapters dealing with religious liberty and
relations with Judaism accepted, although there is a strong proba-
bility they will be passed in the next session.
After considerable delay in the Council, the Moderators were able to have a series of propositions about the schema on the Church approved, to act (hopefully) as guiding principles for the rewriting and amendment of the document before the next session. It was overwhelmingly agreed that episcopacy constituted the highest grade of the sacrament of Order; that the bishops, with and under the Pope, constitute a college, in descent from the college of the Apostles; that this college exists by divine law (fewer votes than the preceding one); and that the diaconate should be revived as a permanent Order. The suggestion in the schema that such deacons might, under certain circumstances, be excused from the rule of celibacy produced intense debate. The problem, however, was not yet solved by these votes, because Cardinal Ottaviani, the chairman of the Theological Commission, announced that in his view these votes did not necessarily bind his commission. The closest vote of the session came on a procedural question of Mariology. The question was whether a section on the Virgin Mary should be incorporated in the schema de Ecclesia, thereby demonstrating her position as one of the redeemed in the Church, or whether the Virgin should be given a separate schema, thereby making clearer her soteriological function. The first alternative was passed by a bare forty votes. The Council ended with many of the progressives feeling a great sense of frustration at the ability of the minority of the conservatives to retard the forward movement.

A final word of appreciation is in order for the wonderful hospitality given the Observers and for the utter candor in opening many of the secret processes of the Roman Catholic Church to observation. The future, if dealt with creatively, can yield a dialogue between the Roman Catholic Church and the other Churches that may in God's time lead to a fulfillment of our Lord's Prayer that "they all may be one". Meanwhile, it is important to avoid, on the one hand, the cynical comment that nothing has really changed, or, on the other, the romantic illusion that the issues between us are not serious ones. It will be important to see whether, before the third session of the Council, the progressives can make their attitudes effective. If we cannot sustain them by our votes, we may, however, pray for the Council.

APPENDIX D

The Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order

Montreal, July 12–26, 1963

By the Very Rev. John B. Coburn, Episcopal Delegate

The Fourth World Conference on Faith and Order—succeeding Lausanne 1927, Edinburgh 1937, and Lund 1952—was attended
by 270 delegates from 138 member Churches of the World Council of Churches, about 200 additional participants, 5 official Roman Catholic observers and 15 invited Roman Catholic clergy as guests, as well as observers from other Church bodies outside the World Council of Churches.

The representation of the Protestant Episcopal Church included the Rev. Professor Edward R. Hardy, Ph.D., the Rev. Professor Shunji F. Nishi, Ph.D., Mr. Frank William Stringfellow, and the Very Rev. John B. Coburn, D.D. A number of other Episcopalians were invited as participants, including the Right Rev. Angus Dun, D.D., former chairman of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, who led a General Session of the Conference in evening prayers on July 15th. The Rev. Floyd W. Tomkins, D.D., received recognition as one of three present who also attended the first Conference at Lausanne. The Right Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., S.T.D., unfortunately, was prevented from attending, by reason of illness.

It was hoped that at Montreal it might be possible to move beyond studies of comparative ecclesiology and the analysis of differences between the Churches, which had characterized the first stages of the Faith and Order movement, and proceed directly to dialogue between the Churches concerning the nature of issues confronting the Church and its mission in the contemporary world. The background material consisted of papers prepared by study commissions over a ten-year period dealing with the five following subjects:

1. The Church in the Purpose of God.
2. Scripture, Tradition, and Traditions.
3. The Redemptive Work of Christ and the Ministry of his Church.
4. Worship and the Oneness of Christ’s Church.
5. ‘All in Each Place’: the Process of Growing Together.

The Conference itself was divided into five similar sections which, after studying the preparatory papers, made their own reports to the Conference as a whole. These meetings provided the framework around which the business of the Conference was conducted, supplemented by evening platform addresses on special topics. A summary of the reports is presented in Faith and Order Trends, Vol. 3, No. 4.

The Conference broke no new ground in Faith and Order discussions, but it did carry on genuine ecumenical dialogue and made it clear that the way to future ecumenical progress will be increasingly
complex. In particular, the hope has been expressed that now it may be possible for the Faith and Order Commission to consider more directly the forces in contemporary culture which both challenge the Church and represent the Church, so that the mission of the Church might more directly engage the world.

The most positive impression is that honest ecumenical dialogue is a present reality. This was due not only to the Faith and Order conversations of the past forty years but also to the change in Rome in the last three years. There was throughout a clear, open, courteous exchange of points of view by Orthodox and Romans, as well as by Anglicans and Protestants. In particular, the presentation of their positions by the Orthodox—and the temper of the presentation—was a very great help.

Perhaps the most dramatic occasion illustrating the tenor of this dialogue was the ecumenical gathering at the University of Montreal, addressed by Dr. Visser ’t Hooft; Dr. George Johnston, principal of the United Theological College, Montreal; Metropolitan Athenagoras of Canada; and Cardinal Paul-Emile Leger; and with the final prayer for unity led by the Anglican Bishop of Montreal, the Right Rev. Kenneth Maguire.

The reports made by the Conference were—as might be expected, given such a wide variety of subjects, such a divergence of participants, and such a short time—of uneven quality. That on Worship seemed to receive the most general approval with fewest objections; that on Tradition was considered by many to have done the most serious theological wrestling. The section on the Ministry, to which I belonged, was evaluated by one newspaper reporter as being on the level of a junior class in seminary, an evaluation I should consider rather generous.

The evening platform addresses were, on the whole, well received, especially the introductory address by Professor Outler; those on Catholicity by Archpriest Borovy and Professor Claude Welch; and on The Church in the New Testament by Professor Käsemann and Professor Raymond Brown.

A general negative reflection is that too much was attempted by too many people in too short a time. The preparatory material had not been read, marked, and inwardly digested by many of the delegates; it was repudiated immediately by some; and in only a few instances was it incorporated helpfully into the final reports. The issuance of five separate reports on five separate issues meant that there was no particular focus or conclusion. The level of participation—including (perhaps inevitably) a wide range of Churchmen,
from eminent scholars to Church administrators, local clergy and laymen, informed and uninformed—was, to say the least, erratic.

On the whole, it was a Conference which was necessary at this juncture in ecumenical conversation, if the ecumenical movement is to get on with its task. There was full and frank discussion on a wide variety of issues. If these conversations can now be more directly related to the task of the mission of the Church, to the changing world in which we live, the Faith and Order movement will go forward strengthened.

APPENDIX E

The Philippine Independent Church

By Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D.

The General Convention in 1961 entered into a Concordat of Full Communion with the Philippine Independent Church. By the terms of the Concordat, each Church recognizes the Catholicity of the other, and members of each Church are free to participate in the Sacraments of the other, but without accepting all of each other's customs and practices or infringing upon the independence of the other Church. This new relationship was dramatized by a service of intercommunion in Detroit, where the General Convention was meeting, and, subsequently, by a great eucharistic festival of witness in Manila. Since that time, the Church of England and most of the Churches of the Anglican Communion have entered into similar Concordats with the Philippine Independent Church.

The General Convention also made provision for immediate and continuing practical aid to the Philippine Independent Church, by providing for a Joint Council to implement the Concordat and to deal with mutual problems of the two Churches in the Philippines. The Council consists of six members (bishops, priests, and laymen) of each Church in the Philippines, plus two American members (one chosen from the Commission on Approaches to Unity and one from the Commission on Ecumenical Relations), and the Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion. To these have been added the Supreme Bishop of the Philippine Independent Church and the Presiding Bishop of the Episcopal Church, ex officiis. The Council meets bi-monthly in Manila, with its overseas members attending annually. The Very Rev. Wayland S. Mandell, S.T.D., serves as executive officer of the Joint Council, and as Dean of Philippine Independent Church Affairs for the Presiding Bishop.

The Commission on Ecumenical Relations appointed Clifford P. Morehouse as its representative on the Joint Council. Dr. Morehouse
attended the meeting of the Joint Council in February, 1963, and at the same time made a “familiarization tour” of several dioceses of the Philippine Independent Church, in company with the Supreme Bishop, the Most Rev. Isabelo de los Reyes; the Dean of Philippine Independent Church Affairs for the Presiding Bishop, Dean Mandell; and the counsellor of the Philippine Independent Church, Attorney Eduardo Albano. He reported the progress of the Joint Council at the meeting of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations in January, 1964.

The Joint Council has now been in existence nearly three years, and under its leadership many activities have been inaugurated. The education of priests for both Churches continues at St. Andrew’s, one of the finest seminaries in the Far East; today, there are about seventy candidates from the Philippine Independent Church and thirty from the Philippine Episcopal Church in training there. Since a major need of the two Churches in the Philippines is education for their young people, five pilot high schools have been given educational and financial aid. Other activities sponsored by the Joint Council include:

- A joint student-center in Manila, with an Episcopal chaplain and an Independent chaplain, to serve students in downtown Manila. A three-story building, with chapel, recreation facilities, and dormitory for women students, has been opened for this purpose.

- Forty-seven aided parishes (18 of them sponsored by the Diocese of Virginia). These will have a part of their expenses temporarily underwritten, to enable them to make a transition from the old system of fees for services to a basis of support through a program of Christian stewardship.

- Sixteen pilot parishes. These are relatively strong parishes, and are expected to co-operate as fully as possible with the entire program of the Joint Council. They receive help in repair of buildings and rectories and assistance to the parish priests.

- Six pilot dioceses. In these, the Bishop is relieved from parochial duties in order to give full time to diocesan supervision, and is given an allowance for travel and secretarial help.

- Three new missionary dioceses have been established, with young, vigorous, and competent leadership.

Other projects include provision for leadership and materials in Christian education and stewardship, building up of school libraries, retirement of superannuated bishops and priests, and urgent repairs to Church properties. The printing of a people’s edition of the PIC Prayer Book is a major project.
The Rt. Rev. Horacio Santa Maria of the Philippine Independent Church has made a tour of the Episcopal Church to study stewardship methods, and John W. Reinhardt, director of the Episcopal Church's Department of Promotion, visited the Philippines to counsel with the leaders of the Philippine Independent Church on the same subject. As a result, new stewardship methods are being adopted throughout the PIC, and the PIC is also engaged in a project to raise funds for a new Cathedral in Manila, to replace the one destroyed during World War II. It is hoped that this Cathedral may be built in 1965, on land provided by the Episcopal Church.

An institute of higher learning, formerly called Capitol City College, has been purchased in Quezon City, across the street from the Cathedral of St. Mary and St. John (Episcopal), and re-established as Trinity College, under the auspices of the Joint Council.
APPENDIX F

THE NCC STUDY REPORT

NOTE

The General Convention of 1961 directed the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations (hereinafter referred to as the JCER) to make a study of the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. (hereinafter referred to as the NCC). The assignment to the JCER by resolution of the General Convention is as follows:

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations be instructed to make a study of the Structure, Program, and Finances of the National Council of Churches of Christ in the United States of America with special reference to the following matters:

1. The content, adoption procedure, and publication of pronouncements, statements, educational literature, reading lists, and the like;
2. The method of appointment or election of our representatives;
3. Increasing the proportion of lay participation;
4. Increasing the quorum of the General Board;
and to report the results of such study, with its recommendations, to the next General Convention.

In order to carry out this assignment, the JCER first appointed a Study Committee in February, 1962, to do careful research into the matter and to report its findings back to the JCER. Members of this committee were

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, Chairman, Diocese of Texas
The Rev. William W. Lumpkin, Diocese of Upper South Carolina
Mr. Ralph W. Black, Missionary District of North Dakota
Mr. N. Hamner Cobbs, Diocese of Alabama
Mrs. David Tappan, Diocese of Rochester

This Study Committee met four times. In addition, it held a one-day consultation with the directors of the departments of the National Council of the Protestant Episcopal Church to get their estimates of benefits derived from our Church's membership in the NCC; it attended a meeting of the General Board of the NCC and interviewed the directors and staff executives of the NCC's departments and divisions; and members of the committee met with representatives of the House Un-American Activities Committee and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The JCER received and gave full consideration to an interim report from the Study Committee at its meeting on February 7, 1963. The final draft of this report was made and approved at the meeting of the JCER on January 22, 1964. This report follows.
Historical Synopsis of Recent Inter-Church Relations

At the General Convention in 1853, the Rev. Dr. William A. Muhlenberg and others presented a Memorial to the House of Bishops. The Memorial pictured the Protestant Episcopal Church as a most effective instrument for achieving "unity in the Protestant Christendom of our land". Two motives for such unity impelled the signers: one was the great increase in power of the Roman Catholic Church, partly due to the large number of Irish immigrants during the previous decade; the other was the large number of native-born Americans untouched by any Church. Generally, it was to reach the latter that the specific proposals were made, and although nothing came of this Memorial immediately, yet "the cause of unity was recognized as a field for discussion". The Protestant Episcopal Church now saw itself clearly as a minority group in the Christian forces of America, a quite different situation from that of its parent, the Church of England; and it also began to think of its role among the other Christian Communions in this land. When the House of Bishops in 1886 adopted the "Quadrilateral" as the basis on which the Protestant Episcopal Church would discuss reunion with other Communions, specific reference was made to the Memorial of 1853 as one of the precipitating factors.

Early in the decade of the 1860's, suggestions made in this country were taken up by the Church of England in Canada for a conference of Bishops representing all the Churches in communion with the Church of England. The Archbishop of Canterbury showed understandable reluctance in agreeing to call such a conference, since it could enjoy no legislative power and its positive value was not clear. But after due consultation and deliberation, the Archbishop invited such a meeting to assemble at Lambeth Palace in 1867. This was an historic expression of the unity of the Anglican Communion. Successive Lambeth Conferences have proved increasingly important for discussion, among other matters, of the various proposals and avenues open to the reunion of Christ's Church.

The third such meeting, in 1888, slightly revised the proposal of the 1886 House of Bishops and issued what is now known as the "Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral". A committee report at the sixth Lambeth Conference, in 1920, notes the historical development that has occurred: that while in 1867 most of the Churches of the Anglican Communion were held together by common traditions and a common Prayer Book, now there are many national Churches of different tongues. "It might also be said that its centre of gravity is shifting. It already presents an example in a small scale of the prob-
lems which attach to the unity of a Universal Church. As the years go on, its ideals must become less Anglican and more Catholic. It cannot look to any bonds of a union holding it together, other than those which should hold together the Catholic Church itself."

In the second half of the 19th century the Roman Catholic Church made its dogmatic pronouncements on the Immaculate Conception and Papal Infallibility. The organization of the Old Catholic Church that followed attracted the immediate attention of the Anglican Communion and fraternal visits were arranged between the several branches of the Anglican Communion and the Old Catholics. The principle of inter-communion was gradually worked out until a concordat was signed by the Old Catholics and the Church of England in 1934. The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America joined in this in 1940. In 1961, similar concordats were entered into by the Protestant Episcopal Church with the Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church, the Lusitanian Church, and the Philippine Independent Church. Thus a precedent has been set for formal association between Churches which recognize each other's ministries and doctrine.

The dislocation of peoples and families occasioned by the rise of urban, industrial culture in this country at the end of the 19th century became a matter of increasing concern to the Churches. In 1904 the General Convention instructed the Joint Commission on Unity to co-operate with other Christian bodies in matters concerning the observance of the Lord's Day, the sanctity of marriage, religious education, and similar matters. The same Joint Commission was instructed by the General Convention of 1907 to send representatives to the Inter-Church Conference on Federation to be held in 1908, a conference that created the Federal Council of Churches. The Lambeth Conference of 1908 took notice of this new Federation as follows: "Every opportunity should be welcomed for co-operation between members of different Communions in all matters pertaining to the social and moral welfare of the people." However, the Protestant Episcopal Church was not to be hurried into joining the Federal Council of Churches; for seventeen years we were represented in the Federal Council only by the Joint Commission on Unity and the Joint Commission on Social Service of the General Convention. After the administrative re-organization of the Protestant Episcopal Church in 1920, however, our own National Council was designated the official agency to represent us. It was in 1940 that the Protestant Episcopal Church finally joined the Federal Council of Churches, after careful consultation with the representatives of Orthodox Churches and others.
Starting at the General Convention in 1910, a Joint Commission on Faith and Order worked diligently for seventeen years in preparation for the Lausanne Conference on Faith and Order in 1927. Two years previously a conference on Life and Work met at Stockholm. Many persons attended both of these conclaves, as was true when the two conferences met again at Oxford and Edinburgh in the Summer of 1937. At this second series of meetings, the decision was reached to form the World Council of Churches.

The growth in Church co-operation continued apace; in this country, a number of co-operative agencies were organized outside and apart from the Federal Council of Churches, which often meant duplication of time and effort; and so the National Council of the Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. was created in 1950 to bring order and efficiency to the work we did together. Our Church was a member of the NCC from its inception.

As a member of the NCC, we have continued to express our interest in questions of Faith and Order. We know we have a healthful contribution to make in these matters. However, we also continue our co-operation in the areas of Life and Work, an interest that goes back to the beginning of all of our co-operative work with other Churches. Only by setting our relation to the NCC in the context of our total concern for Faith and Order and Life and Work can we reach a proper judgment about the specific tasks assigned to this committee.

The question of authority in the Church is a basic one. The Pope, the Holy Bible, tradition, and reason, have all been appealed to as final sources of authority. This question is again brought to the fore in ecumenical discussions, and it will be with us in the future.

Subsidiary to the question of final authority in the Church Universal, is the question about the authority of any particular ecclesiastical body. For example, the authority of the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church is perfectly clear. The Convention can legislate for its own membership and take a public stand on any specific issues which the concurrent majority of its two Houses approves. Such a stand may not be acceptable to some people in the Church, but there is no doubt about the right of the General Convention so to act as a constitutional council, legitimately representing the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. The same is true in comparable degree of conventions, assemblies, synods, or conferences, of other Communions.

In the last century, however, there developed a new type of conference or council. The Lambeth Conference is one example of it.
Lambeth consciously avoids the claim of any authority to legislate or to speak in the name of the Churches represented, although these are members of the same Communion. It speaks only on its own behalf for the bishops assembled. Respect may be paid to the decisions and reports of Lambeth by our General Convention when it contemplates legislation, but respect comes from the persuasion of reason and the assurance that the contemplated legislation will reflect the agreements of the episcopate of the Anglican Communion when gathered in prayer and consultation.

A new development in the conciliar movement is the National-Council-of-Churches type. Little historical precedent can be found either for the existence or action of such an organization. As a conference of Christians and Church groups in the United States, the NCC has an important work to do. The value of consultation and mutual consideration about the world and our Christian ministry in it is beyond question. Great works of Christian mission, education, and service, are performed with far greater effectiveness and at far less cost in personnel and money than could be accomplished by the same group of Christian Communions working separately. Until recently, therefore, the emphasis of the NCC has been largely upon Life and Work rather than Faith and Order; and this was proper, since discussions on Faith and Order were sponsored chiefly by the World Council of Churches. Since the NCC has now established a Department of Faith and Order, we can expect that more attention will be paid to this area in the future.

Since the NCC is a new development in the history of Church councils, the question of its authority, as well as its functions, must be asked. There are those who will deny that the NCC has any legitimate authority or function and that we should withdraw from it altogether. Some would limit its authority to the working out and the administering of co-operative programs of Church service. Others, also, value this; but desire, in addition, that there be a body of Christian opinions which can speak to, if not for, a large segment of American Christianity about our ministry in the world. The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church adopted this third position in 1961, saying: “This Convention recognizes the importance of having the National Council of Churches of Christ in the U.S.A. speak to the Churches about the Christian implications of contemporary social, economic, and political issues, but also declares that no pronouncement or statement can, without action by this Church’s authority, be regarded as an official statement of this Church.” In any case, these pronouncements and statements cannot be interpreted as directives to this Church.
The Structure of the NCC

The NCC is an association of 31 Churches. Any combination of such wide and diverse communities is likely to be ponderous and unwieldy, and the NCC is both. Many points of view must be considered in the Council's planning and many traditions must be represented as it does its work. In the nature of things, it is not a neat and tidy organization; it is a part—a large part—of the visible Church of Christ as it exists today, bewildering in its diversity and multiplexity. Because the merged units of the NCC now work under one organizational structure, however, changes are made constantly for better co-ordination of its activities. Its officers solicit the views and criticisms of the member Churches as they continue to re-appraise structure, program, policies, and procedures—a re-appraisal which is essential if the NCC is to function efficiently. There is a clear and present danger that "Parkinson's Law" will tend to lead the most sincere Christian statesmen to justify endless expansion of administrative machinery; and yet the visits of our Study Committee to the Board and with the staff seemed to indicate that those in charge were aware of this trend, and were pursuing sensible plans to slow it up.

The administration of the NCC has not always been well conducted in the past, partly because the departments and divisions which carry on their programs have raised most of their own budgets and have been directed by departmental and divisional committees rather tenuously related to the General Board and General Assembly. Although the General Assembly and the General Board had the function of setting over-all policy, they did not control the departmental and divisional budgets and therefore had little actual control over program. A thorough study has been made of this in an effort to create a structure which will clarify lines of responsibility and function. It is hoped that re-organization here will produce more lay participation, upon nomination by the member Churches, and much more responsibility by the General Board and the General Assembly. At the same time, it is reasonable to expect that the number of pronouncements will decrease. The consideration of social, economic, and political affairs will certainly continue, as it should; but the fruit of such consideration is expected to ripen more slowly and will appear less in the guise of authoritative pronouncements than in study- and findings-papers.

Denominational representatives on NCC departments and divisions are leaders of competence in their Churches. The caliber of the professional staff of the NCC is high, and capable persons direct the work of the units. Modern business methods and practices are utilized wherever applicable.
The involvement and interest of the member Churches in the NCC program seem to be in direct proportion to each member's estimate of the usefulness of the program for his own constituency. It also seems to follow that the value of the NCC's departmental activities to the corresponding national departments of each of the member Churches is in direct proportion to the actual time spent by the national staff personnel of each Church in the NCC's work.

The member Churches are responsible for the expenses of their representatives to all of the working committees, divisions, and departments, and to the Board itself. Frequently, this responsibility is not adequately recognized or accepted, with the result that there is not full representation at meetings, and lay representation is often limited to those able to pay their own expenses.

A previous lack of responsible interest in the NCC by local churches and individual members has contributed to the ambivalent attitude toward the NCC that now confronts us. Until action by the NCC affects the local church or the individual directly, there is little concern about the NCC on the local level. In fairness, it should be noted that the NCC has not always been successful in presenting an adequate and fair public image of itself and its work. The policies, purpose, and program, of the NCC tend to be better understood and appreciated by Church leaders on the national level, but we have failed to pass this understanding and appreciation on to the people in our congregations. Unless this can be accomplished, the effectiveness of the NCC will always be less than it could be.

The Program of the NCC

It is not possible in this report to give a complete survey of the programs of the NCC. Our own evaluation of its general program points to certain key areas which are of particular interest for the purposes of this study. Two of the programs are significant because of their relationship to specific responsibilities of the work of the Episcopal Church, and a third because of its strategic importance in the nation's capital and the questions that have been raised about it.

1. Christian Education

The Division of Christian Education of the NCC, through its several departments and commissions, especially in the areas of general Christian education, higher education, and missionary education, complements and extends the work of our own Department of Christian Education and works very closely with it. There is an
annual review of this unit of the NCC, in the area of program and budget, by member Churches’ executives in this field.

Here is a sample listing of the work done by the NCC which our Church would have to provide if we were not co-operating members:

1. In week-day religious education a co-operative program is necessary. The NCC publishes a curriculum for use by local councils.

2. The Department of Religion and Public Education deals with the whole question of Church and State in Federal support of both public and sectarian education. Co-operation helps the Churches speak more effectively to influence public opinion.

3. An increasing number of TV programs for children’s religious education are produced, which no one denomination could afford. Our own department does nothing here, because of the cost.

4. The Curriculum Development Division does the basic groundwork for the “Cooperative Curriculum Project”, such as age-level studies and design of material; and it provides raw material for all denominations to use in developing their own curriculums.

5. Meetings at various age-level concerns—children, youth, adult—give ideas that have succeeded or failed.

6. Executives have sessions twice a year to discuss common problems of administration, as well as of Christian education.

2. Church World Service

The vast work of the Churches in the field of relief, rehabilitation, refugees, immigration, and other services, ministering to the emergency and chronic needs of the distressed, the hungry, and the homeless overseas, is well known. The Protestant Episcopal Church is heavily indebted to Church World Service and the staff members of our Department of Christian Social Relations continually remind us of this important fact.

“One program, Refugee Resettlement, which Episcopalians have embraced so enthusiastically, could not be conducted at all were it not for Church World Service.”*

“Co-operation with Church World Service is not only ecumenical, but economical as well. Programs like immigration and various

*Statement dated August 1961, entitled, “The Episcopal Church and the NCC.”
overseas relief projects are less expensive working jointly with others through Church World Service, and overhead is kept at a minimum. If the Churches had to work separately it would mean separate clothing centers, separate field co-ordination, separate promotional activities, separate pier reception, and separate welfare supervision for refugees. In other words, by working through Church World Service less money is spent on organization and more is available for actual relief.”*

“The size and scope of the Episcopal program would not be remotely approachable without the central machinery of NCC and the World Council of Churches. These ecumenical agencies document overseas needs, process refugees for resettlement, provide relief channels, carry out joint relief projects, and in a hundred other ways make it possible for Episcopal funds and services to be as effective as possible. Although every Church participating in these two efforts makes its own free decisions at every level, these central ecumenical services are indispensable for carrying out decisions.”**

3. The Washington Office

At the outset, it should be made clear that the stated policy of the NCC is that the Washington Office is not to engage in efforts to influence legislation or engage in lobbying. The purposes and functions of this Office were the subject of study, looking towards revision, when this report was being prepared. In general, the purposes and functions can be summarized as follows:

a. Services to constituent denominations and NCC staff. Information concerning congressional and other governmental activities, and the securing of official government interpretation of legislative and administrative acts.

b. Services to Congress and Executive Departments and Agencies. Information concerning the Churches, their organization and programs.

c. Efforts to relate members of Congress and various branches of the government to local Washington churches.

There is a Washington Office Committee of which the Very Rev. Francis B. Sayre, Jr., Dean of the Washington Cathedral, is Chairman. There is a small staff and a very modest budget.

---

*The Rev. Alexander Jurisson, until 1963 Executive Secretary, Division of World Relief and Inter-Church Aid, Protestant Episcopal Church.

Finances of the NCC

In the early years, the NCC was financed by contributions from individuals, corporations, and foundations, as well as member Churches. Now, member-Churches' support is the chief source of financing. Requests are made to the member Churches for support both of the general program and the work of the divisions and departments.

Request for support of divisions and departments has been made by these units to the cognate departments of the several member Churches. For example, the Division of Foreign Missions of the NCC requests support for its program from the Overseas Department of the Episcopal Church. This method does not fit well with our own method of adopting one over-all budget for the work of the several departments of our National Council. We are glad to report that changes anticipated in the NCC's methods will eventually result in one budget item for the Episcopal Church's contribution to the NCC. These changes will also allow the NCC to establish priorities in the use of its income.

Up-to-date procedures are used in the preparation of budgets and for the accounting for funds by the NCC. The accounting of all monies, including the operation of Church World Service and Friendship Press, is handled in one central office. Annual statements are filed with Dun and Bradstreet. Fiscal information is made available to banking and financial institutions, and to any person or group who desires it.

The Episcopal Church has made no substantial increase for nearly a decade in the amount given for support of the general program. Its contribution to the work of departments and divisions is never but a percentage of what is requested of us, and it is often less than 50% in some departments. Most departments of our National Council feel that the benefits derived were far in excess of the investment made. For most areas, the Episcopal Church assumes a fair share in the leadership of the NCC, but this is not true of our financial support.

There follows a complete list of the items in the budget of the Protestant Episcopal Church for each year of the triennium, 1962–64, for the NCC. Each one of these appropriations was carefully scrutinized and evaluated by elected and appointed bodies composed of members of the Episcopal Church.
Matters of Special Reference

There were certain matters of special reference in the Resolution of the General Convention, to which we now turn.

1. Pronouncements

The pronouncements issued by the NCC have been the concern of many in our Church, and were certainly a major factor in causing the General Convention to call for this study.

The NCC has tried frequently to make clear the weight and authority it expects such pronouncements to carry, noting that they often reflect only the thinking of certain competent groups within the NCC and are not necessarily the conclusions of the NCC itself. In fact, on many controversial matters the NCC cannot and does not expect to reach conclusions agreeable to all its representatives from the member Churches, since the NCC contains within itself the same variety of opinions that are current outside of it. This admittedly complicated situation is unavoidable if the member Churches are to be obedient to their calling to do this work together; yet one can see at once what a difficult problem it presents for public relations. It is well, therefore, that our own Church, in General Convention, has made it clear that statements of the NCC do not necessarily represent the views of the Protestant Episcopal Church, which guards its autonomy and reserves the right to speak for itself.
The Commission feels that increased participation in the work of the NCC is a means whereby the Protestant Episcopal Church can strengthen Christian influences in American and world society.

We recognize that some of the criticism of the NCC comes from political extremists who, in their desire to discredit the NCC, do not weigh their charges carefully. Nevertheless, we have tried honestly to investigate their accusations to the effect that the NCC is a Communist conspiracy or that it harbors Communist sympathizers and allies. In their examination therefore, the members of our Study Committee met with personnel of the House Committee on Un-American Activities and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, but were not able to discover any fact or record that would support such charges. We conclude that they are false.

The allegation that the NCC has given intellectual comfort to the Communists by following certain economic and social lines is based on the erroneous assumption that people who attack the same economic and social problems must share the same principles. The NCC has shown in its literature, its pronouncements, its action, in the lives of its leaders, and in many other ways, that its forces are enlisted in the fight for free men in a free world. The NCC does and should resent attacks that call it a "tool of Communism". It should be noted, however, that the actual charge of Communism has been made against the NCC only by the most reckless and uninformed people; even some of its harsher critics within the Protestant Episcopal Church, who have sometimes seemed to echo these allegations, have made it clear, when their intentions were more fully revealed, that they made no such charge of Communism or Communist-infiltration against the NCC.

It is true that the Fifth World Order Study Conference at Cleveland in 1958 recommended, "... Christians should urge reconsideration by our government of its policy in regard to the People's Republic in China. While the rights of the people of Taiwan and of Korea should be safeguarded, steps should be taken toward the inclusion of the People's Republic of China in the United Nations and for its recognition by our government." The NCC has stated that the status of the findings of this conference have the standing only of a study-document and do not represent an official view of the NCC, and this is true enough. Neither the General Board nor the General Assembly has ever taken action on the matter of recognition of Red China. Nevertheless, the NCC should take full responsibility for all conferences held under its auspices. Although the NCC cannot be held responsible for approving the findings of any particular conference, it should be made clear that study groups have complete freedom to consider all issues and to draw their own conclusions.
Approval of the findings of study groups, as a matter of official policy, is determined only by the General Board or by the General Assembly.

Certainly, the NCC has not always made itself clear, by its pronouncements or by its explanatory remarks, as to just where it stands on many issues. A study of these pronouncements leaves us with the feeling that, even within the NCC, there is no unanimity of understanding on their purpose and value, and that one force is pulling against another. There is the group which insists that the NCC be held to basic Christian principles, in promoting a better social order; another group seems to hold to the theory that it is not enough to state basic Christian principles,—the Churches should offer specific political and social remedies for the ills that afflict us. If this confusion arises in those who study these pronouncements, it is multiplied many times by those who have little knowledge of them beyond newspaper headlines; and this is where trouble arises within and outside the Church.

The average conservative Churchman has an image of the NCC as a national organization, which is supported without equivocation by his own Church, and which not only follows and teaches political, social, and economic theories utterly alien to his own, but implies that all who do not agree with these theories are somewhat less than Christian. Unfortunate and inaccurate as this may be, this is his view.

To be sure, the NCC has insisted that Christians have not only the right, but also the duty, to involve themselves in live issues of the day, and that there can be no real spread of Christ's kingdom as long as they are forced to confine themselves to theories, as against action. With this general view, we agree; a Christian has both the right and the duty to apply his religion to his daily life and to carry his Christian convictions to the office, to the factory, to the fields, and to the courthouse. But it is quite another thing for him to be told by a Council of Churches that he should give unqualified support to certain types of legislation which he and a sizable group of earnest Churchmen conscientiously oppose; and this is no more palatable when he is given the impression that he is less than "Christian" in upholding his own convictions.

We do think that NCC should not stop making public statements, for they have a useful function and we can profit by studying them without being obliged to concur in them. It would be helpful, however, if a word other than "pronouncement" were used, since that word carries a note of authority that the statements do not possess. In any event, we would hold that when the NCC speaks to its mem-
Roman Catholicism, by its authoritarian structure, can often speak with one voice on matters of grave import to its membership and to the world; but there is no such united voice that can speak for other Christian Churches; until they achieve complete unity of order, faith, sacrament, and organization, no such unanimous statements can be attempted. Although each of the member Communions of the NCC have the right to legislate or to "pronounce" on any subject which their constitutional assemblies consider and evaluate by vote, the fact remains that the NCC, by its constitution, is not a Universal Council and has no real authority to issue authoritative pronouncements on any subject, theological, political, economic, or sociological. We believe that the NCC should resist the temptation to make authoritative statements, except on rare occasions. Instead, on matters which the NCC believes require a thorough searching of conscience by its members, it can continue its excellent research, studies, and surveys, the results of which, with appropriate recommendations, can be communicated to the member Communions for use or action as they may see fit. Moreover, the results of deliberations, or the contents of formal reports, as approved by the General Board or General Assembly, could certainly and with propriety be communicated as information to the member Communions.

We, therefore, ask our NCC representatives to keep a close watch on the line of demarcation between Christian witness and political action. When the NCC believes it necessary to enter the field of political controversy, we expect our representatives to point out that dedicated Christians may be standing on either side of a particular issue. Nevertheless, our Church and the NCC carry a general responsibility to help exercise a Christian influence on the entire life of our country; therefore, we ought to co-operate with other Christian bodies in defining a Christian response to the many problems of our time. We believe that the NCC is an increasingly effective instrument to this end.

2. Educational Literature

The General Convention Resolution called for a study to be made of the "educational literature, reading lists and the like", as sponsored by the NCC. This point was raised because certain releases of literature or recommendations for reading sponsored by the NCC had been subjected to heavy criticism. Inquiry on this subject was instituted by the Study Committee during the course of its visit to
the NCC Headquarters in June, 1962. The response on the part of NCC staff people consulted indicated that they now considered the matter to be of minor importance, since steps had been taken to remedy the situation. However, there was a ready admission that mistakes had been made along this line in the past. “A Memorandum on the Public Image of the Council” was placed in the hands of the Study Committee as covering this subject. The following passages quoted from this memorandum are self-explanatory:

“On the whole, the most severe attacks against the Council have come about because of

a. Translation of disputed passages in the Old Testament of the Revised Standard Version of the Bible;

b. The findings of the Cleveland Conference on World Order;

c. The stands the Council has taken through its General Board on social issues and problems on which the Churches have sought to relate Christian faith to all issues of personal and corporate life;

d. Alleged Communist sympathies of officers and committeemen of the Council;

e. The prophetic leadership of its chief elected officers; notably, Eugene C. Blake and Edwin T. Dahlberg; and

f. The contents of a bibliography from the Department of Racial and Cultural Relations.

“These six issues have been defended on differing grounds, but most effectively according to a general, common strategy of the defense of freedom: freedom of the scholar, freedom of an autonomous conference, freedom of the General Board, freedom of association, freedom of the prophetic ministry, and freedom of reading and study. We believe this strategy of choosing the battle salient in this most crucial sector of the war for freedom has been and continues to be sound.”

The sixth point, listed as “f”, is our immediate concern; and on this point the NCC memorandum says,

“It is assumed that all educational materials are designed to serve the purposes of the Council and its authorized units, that these educational materials are designed to further the search for truth, and to provide factual and interpretive material stimulating and facilitating this search.”

That “these educational materials are designed to further the search for truth”, and that each program unit of NCC is individually
responsible for the screening out of undesirable materials, seem to be the basic principles recommended. We quote further:

"Sound educational procedures require the consideration of all significant facts and viewpoints. Therefore, the National Council of Churches must be free to present for consideration various facts and viewpoints on issues which concern the Churches. It must be free to include even statements and points of view hostile to the purposes of the Churches as it sets forth the case of those whom it opposes, such as authoritative statements on Communism or Buddhism, in educational materials dealing with Christianity and Communism, Christianity and Buddhism. In considering the usefulness of study materials, the purpose of the author and the total impact of the document should be taken into account."

The Committee presenting this Memorandum (composed of Miss Leila W. Anderson, Luther A. Gotwald, Gerald E. Knoff, G. Paul Musselman, and C. Arild Olsen) summed up its findings on the subject of releases, educational material, recommended reading, and the like, as follows:

"The statement of desirable general procedures; the samples of editorial disclaimer for journals, newsletters, and other periodicals, together with similar items for books, pamphlets, and brochures; the explanatory paragraphs dealing with conferences called under Council auspices; the suggested wording for occasional mailings of departmental material; and the section of the memorandum dealing with Bibliographies, Book Lists, and Reading Lists, are to be circulated among members of the Executive Staff, with a request that their own departmental practices be examined in the light of these principles; . . .".

These safeguards as recommended are adequate, it would seem, if they are actually adopted by the various subsidiary units. But if they are not adopted, and if further material is issued which is regarded by the member Churches as unwisely chosen in any way, the member Churches should be prompt in their criticism and their demand for the withdrawal of the material from the Council program.

3. Proposal for the Selection of our Representatives to the NCC

Some Churchmen think that our membership on the General Board and on other committees of the NCC is not as representative as it ought to be; they point out that the Eastern seaboard dominates our thought, especially on the General Board. They ask that our Episcopal representatives be chosen, not only because they support and believe in the NCC, but also because they are representative of
the whole Church; and they request that there be a larger lay representation. With these points in mind, we respectfully suggest that

a. Our representatives in the General Assembly shall be chosen from the several Provinces. While this representation may vary numerically, we hope that it will conform to a pattern similar to that followed in choosing the 36 delegates of our Church to the Sixth General Assembly in Philadelphia in December, 1963, whereby each Province will have in the General Assembly one bishop, one presbyter, and two lay persons, at least one of whom shall not be professionally employed by the Church.

b. The Presiding Bishop shall be a member of the General Assembly delegation.

c. Our representatives on the General Board shall be nominated by the JCER to the Presiding Bishop, keeping in mind the balance of lay, clerical, and geographical, representations.

d. No person professionally employed by the NCe shall be eligible for membership in the General Assembly, but the talents and knowledge of such persons shall be used in an advisory capacity.

4. Quorum of the General Board

With the passage of the new Constitution in December, 1963, the quorum required for the General Board has been raised from twenty to fifty persons, representing at least ten of the constituent Communions.

The question of quorum was reviewed thoroughly at the 1963 meeting of the General Assembly, and the change was approved unanimously by the delegates of the Episcopal Church. We believe that the present percentage is consistent with the best practice of comparable bodies, and we endorse it.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. We record our conviction that increased participation in the working of the NCC is a means whereby the Protestant Episcopal Church can strengthen Christian influence in American and world society.

2. We recognize the great values contributed by divisions and departments of the NCC to the departments of our own National Council, and we recommend that an effort be made to more nearly meet our fair share of the support of these divisions and departments.
3. We recommend these points to our representatives on the NCC: first, pronouncements, when made, should have as their primary purpose the opening up of issues about which Christian people ought to be concerned; secondly, pronouncements should be so phrased as not to bring into question the Christian commitment of those who do not agree; thirdly, pronouncements should not try to give specific solutions to problems that must be decided by statesmen or others in specialized fields of competence. While pronouncements may be directed properly to any area of life, they should avoid the impression that they offer the only specific Christian solution to the problem.

4. We recommend the adoption of our proposals for the selection of our representatives to the NCC.

Report signed by all the Members of the Joint Commission:

*RICHARD H. BAKER
*STEPHEN F. BAYNE, JR.
GEORGE L. CADIGAN
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK
JOHN S. HIGGINS
*ARTHUR C. LICHTENBERGER
J. BROOKE MOSLEY, Chairman
GRAY M. BLANDY
JOHN V. BUTLER
JOHN B. COBURN
GARDINER M. DAY
JAMES W. KENNEDY, Secretary
WILLIAM W. LUMPKIN
ARTHUR A. VOGEL
PAUL B. ANDERSON
RALPH W. BLACK
N. HAMNER COBBS
CLIFFORD P. MOREHOUSE, Vice-Chairman
ALBERT A. SMOOT
MRS. PAUL F. TURNER
MRS. THEODORE O. WEDEL

*Not present when this report was adopted, but subsequently gave their approval. This report, therefore, was adopted unanimously by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.
APPENDIX G

A REPORT FROM THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EPISCOPAL CHURCH ON THE GENERAL BOARD OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES

As the representatives of the Episcopal Church in one of the significant program activities of our Church—the General Board of the National Council of Churches—we think it appropriate to make some comment on the work the 31 member Communions do together through this common agency. We are aware that five Dioceses, and individual parishes in some other Dioceses, have raised questions about our participation in the National Council of Churches and about the actions of the National Council of Churches itself. Yet we must face the fact that this is the recognized agency through which we can responsibly co-operate with other major Christian Communions of the United States.

First, as to the program of the National Council of Churches. Each of the program departments of the Executive Council of our own Church makes use of the National Council of Churches to accomplish the missionary, educational, and social tasks of our own Church in the most effective possible way. For example, the Overseas Department, with a total budget of approximately 5½ million dollars proposed for 1965, recommends that $19,000.00 of this be spent on doing things in concert with other Churches that would be far more expensive for each Church to do on its own.

However, the National Council of Churches does not exist merely for purposes of administrative economy; the preamble to its new Constitution well expresses its religious goal:

"Under the providence of God, Communions which confess Jesus Christ as divine Lord and Saviour, in order more fully to manifest oneness in him, do now create an inclusive agency of Christian Churches of the United States of America to show forth their unity and mission in specific ways and to bring the Churches into living contact with one another for fellowship, study, and co-operative action."

We, as your representatives on the General Board, have a share in the budget-making process of the National Council of Churches, but not in setting the appropriations made by the Episcopal Church to the Council. These are set, year by year, by the Executive Council of our own Church under guidelines established by General Convention. In 1963, the National Council of Churches' total expenditures were about $19,500,000.00, of which more than
$5,000,000.00 was ocean freight for relief goods, reimbursed by the Federal government. The member Churches contributed $7,000,000.00, of which $359,600.00 came from various Episcopal Church sources—the Executive Council, the Committee on World Relief, the United Thank Offering, dioceses, and parishes. (More than 45% of this, or about $165,000.00, went to Church World Service for our co-operative program of World Relief, Inter-Church aid, and service to refugees.) In other words, our Church provides about 5% of the National Council of Churches' support from member Communions, about 2.4% of its total support, not counting ocean freight, and about 1.8% of its total budget. Our membership is approximately 7% of the total membership of the constituent Communions.

These figures give only a vague impression of the broad scope of the co-operative work undertaken by those who acknowledge Jesus Christ as Lord and Saviour and have found ways of working together in spite of many deep differences in Faith and Order. Every member Church is a minority Church in the National Council of Churches. The Baptists have to accept us, and the majority of the other Churches, as co-workers, even though they do not approve of our mode of baptism. The Methodists have to stand the smoke of pipes, cigars, and cigarettes in General Board meetings. The Orthodox have to stand our unfamiliar hymns and our views on the separation of Church and State. And so with all the others—Lutherans, Presbyterians, Disciples, United Church of Christ, Armenians, Moravians, Polish National Catholics, Quakers, for a total of 31 Communions, each of which is a minority in the total fellowship.

Within the National Council of Churches, there is something approximating the "High Church, Low Church" distinction that is sometimes applied to the Episcopal Church. There are "High Council" and "Low Council" points of view. The former regard the National Council of Churches as in some ways a truer representative of the whole Church of Christ than the separate denominations. The "Low Council" people, on the other hand, among whom your representatives must be counted, feel that their allegiance to Christ is most fully expressed through their Church rather than through a co-operative organization of Churches. As in all other matters, the work of the National Council of Churches is not to resolve such issues as these, but to find out what can be done together in spite of our disagreements.

The problem of "pronouncements" of the National Council of Churches on political, economic, and social matters must be seen within the context of the diversity of the National Council of
Churches. We, your representatives, have usually favored the positions taken in these pronouncements; although, from time to time, we have felt that they tried to cover too much ground, used too many words, or failed to give adequate weight to the Christian integrity and intelligence of opposing positions. On many pronouncements we have had the benefit of actions taken by General Convention to guide our voting—for example, in matters of race relations, the United Nations, Church and Public School. But the difference is great between those who consider pronouncements by the National Council of Churches more authoritative than pronouncements of their own Church, and those who consider them less authoritative.

We have voted against some pronouncements and helped to defeat them—notably in one instance, where some of us felt that the attendance at the meeting was too small to provide a reliable index of General Board opinion.

The Report of our Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations will be very helpful to us in maintaining our Church's position that such statements should be made with restraint both in quantity and in content. The concern of the Council, as a whole, to give heed to our point of view is well exemplified by the National Council of Churches' decision to drop the word, "pronouncement" and substitute "policy statement" for such expressions of opinion.

Public statements by officials of the Council and statements in printed materials, while not technically pronouncements of the National Council of Churches, have occasionally provided targets for hostile criticism. It is clear that any such statements should be made with the discipline and restraint appropriate to representatives of the large and varied constituency of co-operative Christianity.

On another matter on which the National Council of Churches has been subjected to much criticism in the press—the Mississippi Summer Project—we think that the Council is to be highly praised. Although it did not initiate nor control this project, it contributed the Christian ministry of reconciliation to it in a most significant way. By conducting training sessions for the young volunteers, by working for communication between them and local Christian leadership, by providing mature advisers on the scene in Mississippi, it helped to channel the energy and idealism of the workers into constructive lines.

The tragic beginning of this project, with the murder of three young men, is surely sufficient indication of the explosive potentialities of the effort to conduct literacy and voter-education classes
and to work for voter registration undertaken by CORE, SNCC, NAACP, and SCLC. That this ugly pattern was not repeated was partly due to the vigor of law-enforcement agencies, but it was also partly due to the character of the project itself, as influenced by the National Council of Churches.

While some Episcopalians are opposed to any and all national religious involvement with the issue of race relations, our own Church in each of its last four General Conventions, and most recently through its House of Bishops, has rightly declared this to be a religious issue—as have most of the great religious bodies of the world.

Another project which has received much unfavorable attention in some parts of the press has been the proposed Delta Ministry, in which both the World Council of Churches and the National Council of Churches are associated. The purpose of the project is not specifically to deal with the subject of race relations but rather to deal with the whole complex of problems (poverty, illiteracy, communal isolation, etc.) which afflicts some parts of the Mississippi Delta country. The Episcopal Church's relationship to this project includes not only deciding whether to approve its purposes in the abstract, but also respecting the principle of diocesan autonomy. We cannot do, through the National Council of Churches, what we could not do through our own national agencies. Thus, any financial participation by the Episcopal Church in such a project would be contingent upon its successfully meeting any objections made by local Episcopal Church leadership. Like many other National Council of Church projects, it may, and can, and should go forward, even though some member Communions do not take part in it, financially or otherwise.

In the discussion on this subject, we have stressed, and will continue to stress, the importance of consultation and co-operation with local Christian leadership, both of our own Church and of other Churches.

Perhaps enough has been said elsewhere about the charge of pro-Communism in the National Council of Churches. A vestry committee of St. George's Church, Nashville, Tenn., while expressing serious dissatisfaction with National Council of Churches' pronouncements and policies, summed up its findings on this point as follows: "The Committee concludes that the National Council of Churches is not dominated or in any detectable degree influenced by the international Communist movement. As previously pointed out, the National Council of Churches tends strongly to support the liberal point of view, thus leaving itself open to criti-
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cism that its position is constantly favorable to leftist ideas and
programs."

We, your representatives on the General Board of the National
Council of Churches, do not always agree with actions taken by
the General Board—in fact, we do not always agree with each
other. But such disagreements are always within a context of
Christian fellowship and mutual respect. There is plenty of room
for constructive criticism of this multi-million-dollar venture in
inter-church co-operation, and for improvement of its policies and
procedures. This process moves forward continuously. It is not
motivated by fear of the attacks of those hostile to the organiza-
tion and its purposes, but rather by the desire to proclaim and
implement the good news of salvation by Jesus Christ powerfully
and effectively.

Beginning in 1950, as a merger of 12 inter-denominational
agencies—the Federal Council of Churches, the Home Missions
Conference, the Foreign Missions Conference, the International
Council of Religious Education, Church World Service, and others,
the National Council of Churches has grown together through a
period of federal autonomy for its constituent organizations to a
fully unified program, so that at the beginning of 1965, under a
new Constitution and By-laws, the last traces of organizational
separateness within the Council will have disappeared. The prin-
cipal structural changes are as follows:

1. Provision is made for clearer exercise of authority by mem-
ber Communions as a whole, as well as by their missionary, edu-
cational, and social-program agencies, over the total work of the
Council.

2. More clearly defined authority and responsibility are lodged
with the General Assembly and General Board, and the member-
ship of these bodies is modified to make them more completely
representative of member Communions.

3. The program units of the Council are constituted as integral
parts of the total organization, under the direction of the General
Assembly and General Board.

4. The executive boards of Council units are re-developed as
"program boards" with responsibilities derived by delegation from
the General Board and exercised under its general oversight.

5. Increased organizational flexibility to meet changing program
requirements is introduced by moving organizational detail from
the Constitution to the By-laws and giving authority to amend
By-laws to the General Board.
A careful study by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations has resulted in the Report which immediately precedes this. Its recommendations, if adopted by the Convention will, we think, help us to represent our Church effectively in the National Council of Churches.

Budget proposals by our Executive Council, as reviewed by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, will also come before this Convention. These provide the tools with which the National Council of Churches and we, as your representatives on the General Board, must work. We commend them to your sympathetic attention. The more wholehearted our support, the more powerful our Church’s voice in the Council’s policies will be.

We think the National Council of Churches is fully deserving of your sympathy, your constructive criticism, and your support.

Signed:

WILLIAM CRITTENDEN, Vice-President
ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
NED COLE, JR.
WILLIAM L. HARGRAVE
JOHN V. BUTLER
GARDINER M. DAY
BARTON BEATTY
PETER DAY
MRS. ROBERT H. HOWE
MRS. GEORGE PRICE
WARREN H. TURNER, JR.
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REPORT OF THE EPISCOPALIAN, INC.

BACKGROUND

In the mid fifties, many of the Church's leaders agreed, as had other thinking Churchmen before them, that one of the Church's major needs was a national magazine, edited to serve the whole Church, and to reach the widest circulation possible.

After three years of intensive research, the need was documented. Several hundred thousand Episcopalians had never seen a magazine devoted to the life and work of the Church beyond their own dioceses. An opinion survey revealed that 45% of our people had never heard of any national Church magazine, and that only 38% could ever recall having read a national Church magazine.

In 1958, the Fifty-ninth General Convention of the Church authorized a Church Magazine Advisory Board to "study, design, pilot test and appraise the proposal for an Episcopal Church Magazine". The Church Magazine Advisory Board was appointed by the Presiding Bishop early in 1959 to explore such a magazine, and it decided to launch a new national monthly, called The Episcopalian, in April of 1960, as the successor publication to Forth. Following a year and a half of publishing, analyzing reader-reaction, and appraising the economics of the new magazine, the Board asked the Sixtieth General Convention to establish the magazine officially; form a corporation called The Episcopalian, Inc., to publish and distribute the magazine; and authorize financial grants to underwrite it in the 1962-64 triennium.

The 1961 General Convention resolved that The Episcopalian be continued, incorporated, and granted financial underwriting for the triennium; and that the Parish Every Family Plan be commended for distribution of The Episcopalian, (Journal, 1961, pages 360, 361, 427.)

INCORPORATION

Following these directives of the General Convention, the Church Magazine Advisory Board took steps immediately to form a corporation. Because of certain legal technicalities "The Episcopalian, Inc." was first incorporated on January 10, 1962, in the State of New York, as a stock corporation, the sole stockholder being the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society. It was recognized that this form was not within the directives of General Convention, but it was also recognized that the form was temporary.
and would be changed to conform to those directives as soon as possible.

The first meeting of the corporation was held in New York on January 16, 1962. The directors of the new corporation included the nine members of the Church Magazine Advisory Board. In addition, because these nine members believed that they required help in the difficult tasks that lay ahead, two additional Church people skilled in the field of communications were elected directors. This action was taken after consultation with, and with the approval of, the Presiding Bishop, and in accordance with the by-laws of the corporation. It was believed by all concerned that the situation required this action and that, although it might not be within the letter of the directives of General Convention, it was within their spirit. It was contemplated that retroactive confirmation of the action would be sought from the Sixty-first General Convention.

The nine Magazine Advisory Board members elected directors were: Mr. Robert E. Kenyon, Jr., Executive Vice-President, Magazine Publishers Association, Inc., New York; Mr. John H. Leach, Gardner Advertising Co., St. Louis, Mo.; Mr. Samuel W. Meek, J. Walter Thompson Co., New York; Mr. William McK. Chapman, Outward Bound School, Denver, Colorado; Miss Margaret Cousins, Doubleday and Co., New York; Mr. Hugh Curtis, Drake University School of Journalism, Des Moines, Iowa; the Rev. William S. Lea, Christ Church, Winnetka, Illinois; Mr. Howard Hoover, New Yorker Magazine, Los Angeles, California; and Mr. John W. Reinhardt, National Council, New York.

The new directors were Arthur Z. Gray, Esq., of Armonk, New York, counsel for the Advisory Board, member of the law firm of Clark, Carr and Ellis, and former president of Street and Smith publications; and Mr. L. Philip Ewald of Greenwich, Conn., then director of agency relations for The New Yorker magazine, and now a senior vice-president of the Curtis Publishing Co.

The directors of the corporation elected the following officers to serve until the first annual meeting, April 24, 1962, at which time they were re-elected to a full year term:

Robert E. Kenyon, Jr., President
John H. Leach, Vice-President
Arthur Z. Gray, Secretary
Samuel W. Meek, Treasurer

These officers have held office continually until the date of this report. Pursuant to another enabling resolution of the 1961 Gen-
eral Convention, the Board took steps in 1962, with the hearty co-operation of the National Council, to have transferred to it "the magazine called The Episcopalian, its subscription list, properties, appropriation, receivable accounts, records, and other assets and effects thereof". This transfer was completed in accordance with an enabling resolution of the National Council adopted on May 2, 1962.

During the following year, the above-referred-to legal technicalities were overcome, and on July 2, 1963, the original corporation was dissolved and all of its assets were transferred to the present corporation, a non-profit membership corporation of the State of New York, the form prescribed by General Convention. The original members and directors of the present corporation were the same persons who had been directors of the original corporation.

On October 28, 1963, after consultation with, and with the approval of, the Presiding Bishop, and in accordance with the by-laws of the corporation, four new members and directors were elected. The four, all Church people skilled in the field of communications, are Mr. Joseph E. Boyle, Vice-President, J. Walter Thompson Co., New York; Mr. Eliott Odell, Vice-President, Farm Journal, Inc., New York; the Rev. Thomas J. Patterson, Rector of Holy Trinity Episcopal Church, Valley Stream, New York, and former executive Vice-President of Family Circle magazine; and Mr. Sam Welles, of Pelham, New York, editor of Life magazine's current twelve-volume history of the United States. Retroactive approval will be sought from the Sixty-first General Convention.

1962-64—A SUMMARY

The year 1962 was one of organization, consolidation, movement, and slow but steady growth for "The Episcopalian, Inc." Average total paid monthly circulation for the last six months of 1962 was 97,739. This compares to 93,302 for the full year 1962; 85,733 for 1961; and 73,888 for the first nine months of the magazine in 1960, and with the 36,400 circulation of the last issue of Forth.

The year 1963 was the best in the four-year history of The Episcopalian. Editorial material covered the full range of the Church's involvement in contemporary life, and received favorable comment from all sections of the country. Circulation advanced to our first goal of 100,000 in March, 1963. Never in our Church's history had any publication reached so many Church families on a regular basis. Circulation growth continued throughout 1963, reaching 118,270 in December.

The key to this advance was the Parish Every Family Plan,
whereby a parish or mission subscribes for all pledging families at a special rate of $2.00 per family per year.

The editorial value of the magazine was clearly demonstrated in this period. Typical comments have been: "An informed parish is alive; *The Episcopalian* is one sure way to help bring an informed congregation into being," (Texas) "The best tool for combatting parochialism we've seen!" (New York) "It has touched several lives at times of special need and this is worth every cent of the cost." (Louisiana) "It gives us (in the words of one member) some idea of what a really big operation the Anglican Communion is." (California) "It has precipitated a Bible class." (Delaware) "Gives us 'meat for discussion' in what sometimes was a very dry desert." (New Mexico) "A splendid educational tool." (Ohio)

In both 1962 and 1963 gains were made in advertising image and revenue as well as in circulating revenue. Thus, 1963 operations were conducted without a deficit, enabling the corporation to reduce the deficit from the two preceding years. Circulation fulfillment, using automated methods since late 1961, operated smoothly in 1963, allowing the magazine to service more than 1,350,000 individual copies, and more than 200,000 renewal notices, invoices, and other mailings, with little delay and only a handful of complaints.

The Board expects these positive trends to continue throughout 1964. By May of 1964, circulation had increased to more than 130,000, and both advertising and circulation revenue had increased according to expectation.

**EDITORIAL**

On its second anniversary in April, came an unsolicited and most welcome letter from Mr. Clifford P. Morehouse, President of the House of Deputies of General Convention: "On the one hand it seems only yesterday that the magazine was established with its new format . . .; on the other hand it has become so vital and important a part of our Church that it seems as if it has always been there as a bulwark of the faith, life, work and mission of the Episcopal Church and the Christian world. I confess that I was one of those who were skeptical whether an officially sponsored magazine could be anything more than a house organ, or could exhibit the penetrating insight into problems that is essential if the Church is to realize that it stands under the judgment of God . . .

As president of the House of Deputies, I am sure that I speak for the overwhelming majority of the representatives of our dioceses, clerical and lay, when I send you this message: 'Well done'; and when I wish *The Episcopalian* Godspeed for the future. *The*
Episcopalian is the child of General Convention, and the General Convention takes a parent's pride in the growing maturity of its offspring."

Highlights of 1962 included the section on Christian unity in February; the report on the Negro Episcopalian in March; the series on the Church and Communism beginning in April; the deeply personal A Grief Observed in the Spring; the highly humorous excerpts from O Ye Jigs and Juleps in the Summer issues; the memoirs of former Presiding Bishop Henry Knox Sherrill beginning in September; the Christian Year Calendar, and the start of Canon Howard A. Johnson's reports on the Anglican Communion in December. O Ye Jigs and Juleps later became a runaway best seller.

Our two eighty-page special issues on the state of the Church (October and November), with extra printings, each reached more than 110,000 families, and are still being used.

A Grief Observed was selected by Seabury Press for American publication in book form early in 1963. Dr. Peter Lund of Chicago had already written the magazine that for years he had looked for something "to give the families of patients who were dying. Now, thanks to The Episcopalian and A Grief Observed I have found something in writing which is genuinely helpful for the grief-stricken. Few people realize what a problem this is for a doctor."

A reader buying all the books excerpted in The Episcopalian in 1962-1964 would have already paid more than $50.00—which is more than eight times the Parish Plan subscription rate for that period.

Single articles causing the most reader interest—pro and con—in 1962 included those by Margaret Cousins on the American woman (July); William G. Pollard on nuclear warfare (August); Ernest Vanderburgh on funeral customs (August); Chandler Sterling on Church and State (September); Chad Walsh on the Vatican Council (September); and the three November articles on clergy and laity by Louis Cassels, Charles Howard Perry, and Martha Moscrip.

In 1963 the editorial coverage of The Episcopalian included almost 600 pages of articles and regular features on the work of the whole Church, encompassing everything from the Vatican Council to the Black Muslims. Among the major features the magazine presented in 1963 were: 1) reports on Churches of the Anglican Communion by Canon Howard A. Johnson; 2) a five-part series on "Jesus: Man and Master" by contributing editor, Mary Morrison; 3) three articles on the Supreme Court decisions
and religion in the schools; 4) a special 12-page take-out-and-save section on Church-related colleges; 5) an Anglican Congress preview in July and Anglican Congress issue in October; 6) a five-part series on the Episcopal Church and unity, based on the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral; 7) a three-part series on religion and the college student; 8) a November state-of-the-Church issue with the latest statistics and the results of a major survey on the Vestry.

Overseas areas given special attention included Oceania, West Africa, Burma and Ceylon, the Philippines, Japan, and Malaysia.

Major domestic concerns included theological education, the liturgical movement, housing for the aged, chancel drama, the American Indian, Cuban refugees, the urban ministry, evangelism, the race situation, and stewardship.

Except for the annual Christian Year Calendar, which is being used in hundreds of Church School classrooms, the magazine did not itself issue any reprints until late 1963. Knowing that churches and dioceses might want copies of the "Declaration on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ" immediately following the Anglican Congress, the magazine made these available in September. By the end of 1963 some 450 requests for more than 30,000 copies of the Declaration had been received from all areas of the Church. With its regular circulation, plus reprints, The Episcopalian circulated almost 150,000 copies of this vital document by year's end.

In the first half of 1964 the editorial coverage of The Episcopalian included a special section on theological education for clergy and lay persons (January); a pre-publication serialization of the late C. S. Lewis' last book, on Prayer (February); a series of articles on the role of women in the Episcopal Church; three on-the-scene reports on the Church's work in Central America; a 32-page lift-out section of Summer reading (June); and a special 8-page report on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence (July).

Other articles covered such subjects as the episcopate, Church Schools, the Church School Missionary offering, "adult" movies, Cuban refugees, Coventry Cathedral's renewal, medical mission work in Africa, Christian unity, stewardship, funeral practices, Summer camps, work with the Navajos, migrant laborers, the inner-city, and the coming General Convention.

READERSHIP AND USE

Judging from editorial mail, renewal performance, reprint permission requests, personal comments from the Church, and special
surveys of subscribers and Parish Plan rectors, *The Episcopalian* continued to be well read and widely used.

According to a survey conducted in 1962 by a national auditing firm, Audience Analysts, Inc., *The Episcopalian* was being well read by both individual and Parish Plan subscribers. Based on an 1,800 return sample, Audience Analysts reported that more than 90 per cent of individual subscribers and more than 85 per cent of Parish Plan subscribers read more than half of the issues. 83 per cent of the individual subscribers said they read every issue.

In 1963 the individual renewal rate for *The Episcopalian* averaged better than 70 per cent without any special promotional efforts, a gain over the 1962 record of 60 per cent. Parish Plan subscription renewals, even with a doubling of plans to be renewed, averaged around 90 per cent for 1963, equalling 1962's good record. Some 500 Parish Plan rectors were surveyed during 1963 about the value of the magazine in their parishes and missions. More than 180 took the time to answer the survey in detail. Almost without exception, they were enthusiastic about the services the magazine was supplying to their people.

Rectors from every part of the country replied to the survey. From 31 different States, for example, with only minor variations in wording, they emphasized that *The Episcopalian* “made membership more knowledgeable concerning the Church”, “is producing a better informed parish”, “brings comments on things they have learned and never knew before, but should have known”, and “has begun to turn back the tidal wave of ignorance”. Specific uses of *The Episcopalian* were cited 133 different times—in a university teacher’s classes, in collecting blood donations, in preparing for Every Member Canvasses, for adult education, sermon background, stewardship, Sunday School, Guild work, vestry meetings, women’s programs, and discussion groups of all kinds. In answering the question about subscriber reaction, two characteristic observations, one from the South and the other from the Midwest, were the following:

(1) “Many have commented on how favorably it compares with the usual ‘Church magazine’”; and (2) “They are pleased that *The Episcopalian* is not filled with religious dribble! So is the rector!”

In a changing world, the Church has a more complex task than ever. *The Episcopalian’s* third-anniversary editorial sought to describe the magazine’s share of that task: “In this era of communication satellites, international radio and television networks, high-speed presses, reconnaissance flights and electronic telescopes, the
world seems to have more access to more information about more things than ever before. Yet, in the area which concerns all of us directly, the Church of Jesus Christ in the world today, there seems to be more widespread ignorance than ever before.

“This is true in part because the Church is not as important as it used to be in the lives of people. It is true in part because the new gods of science and technology and the old gods of power and politics seem more fitted to this vast communications system. And it is true because the Church itself has failed to utilize properly even the most basic forms of communication available today.

“It is our firm belief that many of the problems now within the Church can and will be overcome, God willing, with the recovery of our once-great channels of communication in drama, music, and the graphic arts. We on The Episcopalian are dedicated to one simple proposition: that information about the Church must be transmitted directly into the homes of all those who make up the Church. And we believe that the place to start is with such basic carriers as a parish bulletin, a diocesan publication, and a national magazine. Although we are only part of this basic system, we will continue to do our best to make this one fraction worthy of the trust placed in us, and worthy of the Master we serve.”

PARISH EVERY FAMILY PLAN

The Board reported to the 1961 General Convention that the future success of The Episcopalian will depend largely upon:

a) the editorial excellence of the product, and
b) the success of the Parish Every Family Plan.

The Plan is much the most direct and economical way to deliver the Church's national magazine into the home—and much the most direct and economical way for the Church as a whole to reach the great majority of its individual members at the same time with the same significant messages. It is a circulation arrangement whereby the parish or mission itself pays for a group subscription for a minimum of all pledging families at the special rate of $2.00 per year per family, as compared to the $3.50 individual subscription rate.

The reasons for the Parish Plan are basically two. First, it costs too much to handle a Church magazine on an individual subscription basis. Secondly, the reasons for publishing in the Church and secular fields are different.

The secular publisher has a profit motive. An important source of money is advertising revenue. He can, therefore, spend a large
part of his subscription revenue in costly efforts to gain new subscribers. This is a proved way to do business, if one can count on advertising revenue.

The Church publisher is in business to spread the Gospel of Jesus Christ. His main source of money is subscription revenue—because most major advertisers largely ignore the Church publication as a carrier of commercial messages. Thus the Church magazine cannot afford costly promotional efforts to gain individual subscribers; and, indeed, has no practical way of doing this, because of the specific nature of its potential audience.

For these painful but pressing reasons, the Board recommended to General Convention in 1961 that the Parish Every Family Plan be the chief way of delivering The Episcopalian into the homes of Church families. The Board is very grateful that the General Convention resolved to “commend unto the Bishops, clergy and laity of our Church the Parish Every Family Plan for distribution of The Episcopalian into the home of each family of such Church.”

In 1962, because of this Resolution, the idea of the Parish Every Family Plan was carried to the Church largely by mailed information and invitation, supplemented by personal visits to dioceses and conventions, clergy conferences, and other major meetings. The number of Parish Plans tripled, from 109 to 354.

The magazine relied primarily on direct mail “invitations” to all parish clergy in the Spring and the Fall. The Episcopalian also took its campaign to the dioceses—their bishops, their clerical and lay leadership. Staff and special representatives addressed 35 diocesan conventions and clergy conferences, and promotion material was distributed to more than 60 such meetings. Bishops were asked to appoint a diocesan representative to promote the Parish Plan through personal contact, and volunteers were serving in this capacity in 27 dioceses at the end of 1962.

To encourage increased use of the magazine by Parish Plan churches, The Episcopalian began a special information service called ALERT to provide a preview of each issue. For vestries and bishop’s committees, it started the Vestry Plan at a group rate of $3.00 per subscription, in order to introduce the magazine to elected Church officers.

In 1963, all the above efforts were continued and reinforced by the work of volunteer diocesan representatives, and the magazine’s promotion department.

As a result of increased awareness of the magazine, better knowledge of the service offered by the Parish Plan, and more
manpower in carrying the Parish Plan idea to churches for their consideration, 365 new Plans were added in 1963, bringing the net total to 694 by year's end, not including diocesan plans in San Joaquin and Louisiana. Further gains through May of 1964 have brought the net total to more than 750.

Gains in Parish Plan use were made in almost every diocese and missionary district, with excellent advances recorded in the following jurisdictions: Vermont, Western Massachusetts, Maine, Albany, Newark, Pennsylvania, Pittsburgh, Southwest Virginia, Virginia, Atlanta, Kentucky, Tennessee, Chicago, Indianapolis, Milwaukee, Ohio, Michigan, Minnesota, North Dakota, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, California, Northern California, Idaho, Olympia, and Oregon.

Several dioceses took special steps to improve communication through *The Episcopalian*. Kentucky initiated a 50-50 financing plan to help churches meet the cost of starting the Parish Plan. In addition, the Bishop is defraying the total cost of Parish Plans for missions. In 1964, the Diocese of Michigan offered a 50-50 plan to all of its mission churches. Chicago approved a combined Parish Plan at a $3.00 rate for *The Episcopalian*, and its diocesan magazine, *Advance*. Central New York and Kentucky paid for subscriptions for all public libraries. "Charter" Parish Plan members, including St. John's Cathedral, Wilmington, Delaware, and St. Paul's Church, Chestnut Hill, Pennsylvania, have ordered service for the fifth successive year.

Thus, *The Episcopalian*, while still far from its goals in circulation and editorial quality, which it sincerely aspires to attain in the years ahead, is already, by far, the most wide-reaching and largely read publication our Church has ever enjoyed. And in no similar period during the long American history of our Church have so many people written so much that has been printed in a Church publication. In it, lay men and women have their own forum, as well as their special source of information. For *The Episcopalian* has not merely crammed a great deal of significant data into its pages. Still more significant is the high percentage of those data it seems to have transferred to its readers' minds, hearts, and souls. And, as noted earlier in this report, readers have *acted* on these data—again and again, all over the country—in a strikingly impressive variety of ways.

But even though Parish Plans and Parish Plan circulation have at least doubled each year since the magazine began in 1960, *only one out of every nine churches* is now making use of this service. We hope that more bishops will appoint official representatives to offer this service within their jurisdictions. We hope that more rectors and
vestries will come to realize that the relatively small cost of the Parish Plan is really an investment in adult Christian education which brings continuing dividends in increased knowledge of, and concern about, the Church. And we hope that more Episcopalians realize that the magazine of General Convention is not just to be read and enjoyed, but to be used as a continuing resource for study, discussion, reflection, and action.

ADVERTISING

Since its introduction, The Episcopalian has enjoyed the faith and confidence of advertisers to an unusual degree, not only for a new publication, but also for a Church publication. Through 1962, 1963, and the first half of 1964, advertisers continue to find The Episcopalian an excellent medium for the listing of products and services. For the fourth straight year, gains were recorded in net revenue ($74,999.00 in 1963 vs. $69,667.00 in 1962); in linage (60,359 lines vs. 57,720 in 1962); and in number of accounts (207 vs. 171 in 1962). We have raised the rate per page to $800.00 as of July 1, 1964, reflecting our increased circulation and the magazine’s increasing value as an advertising medium.

Based on results for the first quarter of 1964, we believe this upward trend will continue throughout 1964. The Board, however, wishes to note again that, in the case of specialized publications like The Episcopalian, advertising must always be quite secondary to subscriptions as a source of revenue.

FINANCE

Like all officially-sponsored Church magazines reaching a national audience at a reasonable price (including those of Presbyterians, Lutherans, and Methodists), The Episcopalian will not "break even" without the benefit of subsidy. Only if circulation growth through the Parish Every Family Plan accelerates, can the amount of annual underwriting by General Convention be reduced further.

In 1962, The Episcopalian published and delivered more than 1,125,000 copies of the magazine at a total gross cost of $452,972.00. Of the total cost, $243,343.00 was received in subscription and advertising revenues; $988.00 from miscellaneous sources; and $200,000.00 from a grant authorized by the General Convention of 1961, leaving a net loss for the year of $8,640.00.

The operating loss of $8,640.00 was the result of certain non-recurring expenses in 1962, such as moving and special circulation-fulfillment services.
In 1963, *The Episcopalian* published and distributed some 1,350,000 copies at a cost of $475,972.00. Against this cost, $303,789.00 was received from subscriptions and advertising and $192,000.00 from the grant of General Convention. This left a favorable operating balance of $19,817.00, which was used to cover the $8,640.00 operating deficit of 1962 and reduce the deficit incurred with the take-over from *Forth*.

Although *The Episcopalian* already has achieved the largest circulation of any publication in the Episcopal Church's history, it will still need substantial financial assistance in order for it to serve more and more Church families. This assistance has begun to diminish, as anticipated, with the growth of Parish Every Family Plan circulation, but can continue to decrease over the years only if the Parish Plan is accepted by many more churches.

**IN CONCLUSION**

The directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc." wish to express their heartfelt thanks to the Presiding Bishop for his unfailing interest in, and support of, the magazine; to the bishops and other clergymen throughout the Church for their invaluable aid in making the magazine known to their people; to the diocesan representatives for carrying the Parish Every Family Plan to rectors and vestries; to men's and women's organizations on every level for their support and use of the publication. And last, but not least, we wish to thank the editor and his colleagues for editing an outstanding monthly magazine covering the work of the whole Church.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The need to inform the people of the Church about the life and work of the whole Church is more important today than at any other time in the Church's history. Since it has been shown that the magazine of General Convention, *The Episcopalian*, can help meet this need successfully, the Board of Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", recommends to the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America the following:

*Resolved*, the House of ______ concurring, That the election of Joseph E. Boyle, L. Philip Ewald, Arthur Z. Gray, Eliott Odell, Thomas J. Patterson, and Sam Welles, as Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", is hereby approved; and they are hereby retroactively appointed and confirmed as such Members and Directors from the dates of their respective elections; and be it further
Resolved, the House of ___________ concurring, That the present Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", namely:

Joseph E. Boyle  Robert E. Kenyon, Jr.
William McK. Chapman  William S. Lea
Margaret Cousins  John H. Leach
Hugh Curtis  Samuel W. Meek
L. Philip Ewald  Elliott Odell
Arthur Z. Gray  Thomas J. Patterson
Howard Hoover  John W. Reinhardt

are hereby appointed and confirmed, to hold office until the next succeeding General Convention and until their respective successors are appointed, confirmed, and qualified, as provided by the by-laws of the corporation; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ___________ concurring, That the Members and Directors of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", may, from time to time, elect additional Members and Directors between the date hereof and succeeding General Conventions; provided that each such election (a) is in accordance with the by-laws of the corporation, (b) has been approved by the Presiding Bishop, and (c) is subject to confirmation at the next succeeding General Convention; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ___________ concurring, That the amounts budgeted by the General Convention for each year of the ensuing triennium for the use of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", in defraying costs and expenses in editing, publishing, and distributing the magazine The Episcopalian be, and the same hereby are, fixed at $185,584.00 in the first year, $169,048.00 in the second year, and $158,352.00 in the third year, payable to said corporation as the latter may from time to time request, said amounts so budgeted being hereby allocated and granted specially, without diminution by National Council, unto said corporation for its use as aforesaid; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ___________ concurring, That General Convention urge the bishops, the parish clergy, and the laity of this Church to adopt the Parish Every Family Plan for distribution of The Episcopalian into the home of each family of such Church; and that General Convention ask each jurisdiction to appoint representatives to help disseminate Parish Every Family Plan information; and be it further
Resolved, the House of concurring, That all acts of the Church Magazine Advisory Board and the Directors and Members of "The Episcopalian, Inc.", to date, in connection with the organization and administration of the original and present corporations known as "The Episcopalian, Inc.", are hereby ratified and confirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT E. KENYON, JR., President

JOSEPH E. BOYLE       WILLIAM S. LEA
WILLIAM MCK. CHAPMAN  JOHN H. LEACH
MARGARET COUSINS      ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER
HUGH CURTIS           SAMUEL W. MEEK
L. PHILIP EWALD       ELLIOTT ODELL
ARTHUR Z. GRAY         THOMAS J. PATTERSON
HOWARD HOOVER         JOHN W. REINHARDT

SAM WELLES
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REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON EVANGELISM

At the 1958 General Convention at Miami Beach, Florida, a clear concern for evangelism was expressed; and a Joint Commission on Evangelism, "to study the field of Evangelism and to make recommendation as to the ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective", was created.

WORK DONE DURING THE TRIENNIAL

At the General Convention in Detroit, Michigan, in 1961, a full report was made on how the Joint Commission had carried out its instructions, together with certain recommendations designed to make the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective. These recommendations, as contained in the report of the Joint Commission on Evangelism to the Convention in 1961, were not adopted by the General Convention. In their place, the following Resolution was adopted, namely,

Whereas, The Joint Commission on Evangelism has, in three years of research and study, clearly uncovered a great need in our Church for training of lay persons and clergy in Evangelism of every kind; and . . .

Whereas, Much material has already been gathered by the Joint Commission on Evangelism and more is available which is in need of correlation, evaluation, and distribution; and

Whereas, as Bishop Louttit reminded us, "what is everybody's business is nobody's business, unless somebody is responsible for making it everybody's business"; therefore be it

Resolved, That the National Council be instructed by this General Convention to make Evangelism an integral part of all of its work in every Department; and be it further

Resolved, That the National Council be instructed to designate or appoint a qualified person whose title and office delineates him as specifically responsible

(1) For meeting the aforementioned needs, and

(2) For constantly reminding this Church, all of its units and individuals, that Evangelism is central to the mission of the Church and to our response to our Lord; and be it further

Resolved, That the National Council report to the next General Convention the nature and results of its efforts; and be it further

Resolved, That this General Convention express its gratitude to the Joint Commission on Evangelism for its work, and especially for the Service of Witness on the evening of September 26; and request the Committee on Arrangements for General Convention to provide a similar service at the next General Convention.
The Joint Commission on Evangelism was continued for another
three years, with an enlarged budget of $6,000.00 for the triennium,
its goal being to make further study of the evangelistic effort of this
Church and to submit its findings to the 1964 Convention in St. Louis.
Subsequently, the Joint Commission on Evangelism was appointed,
as follows:

The Rt. Rev. C. Avery Mason of Dallas, *Chairman*
The Rt. Rev. Robert R. Brown of Arkansas
The Rt. Rev. Leland Stark of Newark
The Very Rev. John C. van Dyk of Oklahoma, *Secretary*
The Ven. Donald H. Lyons of New Hampshire
The Rev. Raymond M. O'Brien of South Florida
Mr. Andrew B. Stoney of Western North Carolina
Mr. James T. McKinstry of Delaware
Mr. Edward G. Weber of North Texas

The Joint Commission, which has held four full meetings and five
executive committee meetings, herewith submits its report to the
1964 General Convention concerning the way it has carried out its
instructions, together with certain recommendations.

The Commission's first effort was directed to the making of a
survey of "Evangelism" in all dioceses of the Church. Meetings were
held simultaneously by provinces, at a central point in each province,
which were attended by diocesan Chairmen of Evangelism, ap­
pointed by their bishops. The questions to be discussed at these
meetings had to do with the present status of evangelism in the
dioceses, together with reports on any previous work done, and
with plans for the future. Special consideration was given to the fol­
lowing aspects of evangelism: (1) mass meetings, teaching missions,
preaching missions, lay visitations, and retreats; (2) existing plans
on the diocesan or parochial level for clergymen, lay men, or lay
women; (3) the present role of evangelism in the work of the Brother­
hood of St. Andrew and of the Daughters of the King; (4) how to
set up a plan for evangelism in a diocese; (5) the drawing up of a
list of names of clergymen and laymen informed
in the work of
evangelism in the Church; (6) reports on the work being done cur­
rently, and the availability of publications and reports which could
be used for either provincial or general distribution.

The results of these provincial meetings were carefully studied.
Reports were received from every province and, in addition, from
52 dioceses. It was very obvious that the idea of evangelism is a
matter of concern at the "grass roots" level, but that leadership and
concrete action is, in most cases, lacking.
In reviewing the results of the surveys, several judgments stood out that reveal the thinking of clergy and laity on the subject, as follows:

1. In most dioceses, the almost unanimous opinions were: “Convert the clergy first”—“Start with a convinced bishop”—“Strengthen the unity of the clergy family.” This would indicate that more emphasis on evangelism should be introduced into the curricula of the seminaries.

2. Most dioceses expressed a need for a plan of action to be adopted by the Church on a national level, a majority preferring a plan similar to that developed in the Diocese of Arkansas, the theme of which was, “First Christian, then Episcopal!”

3. Greater emphasis should be placed on the continued education of all Christians, especially on the adult level. Many thought that the Church was concerned only with the education of children, and that the Christian Faith cannot be grasped in its fullness by children.

4. In answer to the question about concrete plans for programs of evangelism to be carried out within dioceses, the majority were vague. They reported that they had plans only in the formative stage or no plans at all. There were some notable exceptions, such as the work done in Rhode Island, Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, South Florida, San Joaquin, California, Arkansas, and Minnesota.

Among other things, it is the conclusion of the Joint Commission that the evangelistic thrust of the Church is weakened because there is no central point at which valuable plans and ideas can be correlated and made available to dioceses and individual churches.

**GENERAL SECRETARY FOR EVANGELISM**

In the spring of 1962, the Presiding Bishop appointed, on a part-time basis, the Rev. R. C. Martin, Jr., of the Adult Division of the Department of Christian Education, as General Secretary for Evangelism, without budget or staff, and with instruction not to spend more than 25% of his time in fulfilling the responsibilities described below in the *Statement of Job Responsibilities—General Secretary for Evangelism*, dated June 26, 1962, viz:

**GENERAL**

The Resolution of the General Convention indicates that the General Secretary for Evangelism has specific responsibility of three types:

1. to correlate, evaluate, and distribute the material which the Joint Commission has already gathered;
2. to help the Departments and General Divisions of the National Council, separately and together, "to make evangelism an integral part" of all their work.

3. to remind the Church at large "that evangelism is central to the mission of the Church and to our response to our Lord".

SPECIFIC

I. The General Secretary will be responsible for maintaining communication with the Chairman of the Joint Commission on Evangelism, subject to the general direction of the Presiding Bishop.

II. In relation to the Departments and the General Divisions of the National Council:

A. The General Secretary's duty is to challenge existing programs and materials of the various Departments and General Divisions from the point of view expressed in the General Convention Resolution. He must, in addition, be prepared to suggest the evangelistic standard as a necessary criterion in the preparation of new programs and materials.

B. These basic duties in relation to the National Council's internal structure should be carried out through the inter-Department/Division Committee described in the Resolution of the National Council, May 1-3, 1962. The General Secretary's first duty in this area is to consult with the Directors and Executive Directors of the units named in the Resolution, as well as with the Presiding Bishop and his Executive Assistant, in order that as representative and influential a committee as possible be formed and begin to operate. Quarterly meetings of the committee should be scheduled until it appears that less frequent meetings are a practical and efficient option. The committee should have the opportunity to call upon at least the following resource groups outside the National Council Staff:

1. members of the National Council
2. members of the Joint Commission on Evangelism
3. members of the Episcopal Church at large who can offer specialized kinds of advice to the committee.

III. In relation to the Church at large:

The General Secretary should see to it that information emerging from the above two areas of work is shared with the Church at large through:

A. Regular publications of the National Council, such as:
   1. The Episcopalian
   2. Findings
   3. Churchways
   4. Laymen's Newsletter

B. Such independent publications as are approachable, e.g.,
   1. The Living Church
   2. The Witness
   3. The Churchman

C. The Departments of Evangelism in the various dioceses and missionary districts, to the degree that they are accessible to him.

D. Information and material on Evangelism

While none of the members of the Joint Commission felt that such an arrangement represented their real wishes, there was general
agreement that, given the nature of the General Convention's action, there was no realistic alternative at that time.

In subsequent meetings of the Joint Commission on Evangelism, a plan was developed for a mass meeting in the Kiel Auditorium in St. Louis, October 18, 1964, with the Lord Bishop of Coventry, the Rt. Rev. Cuthbert K. N. Bardsley, C.B.E., D.O., as the main speaker.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Joint Commission on Evangelism now submits two major recommendations, viz:

I. Since we have been charged with the task of making "recommendations as to the ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective", we strongly recommend that a full-time General Secretary for Evangelism, within the structure of the National Council, be appointed, whose tasks might be generally described as follows:

A. To promote, encourage, and correlate, evangelistic efforts through the Departments and General Divisions of the National Council.

B. To assist dioceses and missionary districts with their programs of evangelism.

C. To serve as a co-ordinator of various evangelistic efforts.

D. To have literature on evangelism available, together with outlines and procedures of successful evangelistic efforts.

E. To prepare a list of clergymen and laymen who are especially adept and trained for various evangelistic efforts, and to assist in the training of others.

F. To represent this Church at various inter-Church meetings and conferences on evangelism, and to be the liaison with other Christian bodies in matters relating to evangelism.

II. We recommend the continuance of a Joint Commission on Evangelism for the next triennium, to serve the General Secretary for Evangelism in an advisory capacity.

RESOLUTIONS

A. Whereas, There is a need for an office in the National Council charged with the specific responsibility of furthering the work of evangelism in this Church; and
Whereas, There is need for a thorough study of the field of evangelism and of the role of this Church therein; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the office of General Secretary for Evangelism be made a full-time office; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That an adequate amount of funds be provided in the Program Budget for salary, secretarial assistance, travel, supplies, conference expense, etc.

B. Whereas, There is need for additional study of the field of evangelism, and for an advisory body to support the work of the General Secretary for Evangelism, to the end that further recommendations may be made concerning ways and means of making the evangelistic effort of this Church more effective; therefore be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on Evangelism, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen, be continued, and that the sum of $6,000.00 for the work of the Commission be appropriated for the triennium from the General Convention budget.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by the General Convention............... $6,000.00

Disbursements
Expenses incurred for meetings—
Travel, Meals, etc., for Members of
the Joint Commission......................... $3,826.78
Telephone................................. 109.10
Supplies................................... 26.76
Postage................................. 7.56
Secretarial Help....................... 89.14
Travel for Bishop Bardsley of Coventry,
England, to be speaker at Evangelistic
Meeting at General Convention in
St. Louis.............................. 657.10
Honorarium—Bishop Bardsley........... 250.00
Total, to date................................. $4,966.44
Cash on hand, as of May 15, 1964................. $1,033.56
There is an anticipated expenditure, not included in the above balance, for one more meeting of the Joint Commission, and trips by the Secretary for the organization of the Mass Meeting. Also, there will be expenses for attending the meeting of the National Council of Churches for Evangelism.

Respectfully submitted,

C. AVERY MASON
ROBERT R. BROWN
LELAND STARK
JOHN C. VAN DYK
DONALD H. LYONS
RAYMOND M. O'BRIEN
ANDREW B. STONEY
JAMES T. MCKINSTRY
EDWARD G. WEBER
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EXECUTIVE COUNCIL
REPORT OF THE TREASURER

Right Reverend Fathers in God, Members of the House of Deputies, Ladies and Gentlemen:

It is my privilege and duty to report to you on the stewardship of the funds available to the National Council for the last three years. The 1963 Annual Report has been sent to most of you and there are extra copies available at the door. I will not bore you with figures, but will confine my remarks to the financial highlights of the past triennium.

The National Council is in very sound financial condition. Our assets have continually increased, to a total of over forty-five and one-half million, which compares with total assets of thirty-seven million at the end of the last triennium. The increase was due to the new Episcopal Church Center and additional trust funds.

The budgets for the years 1961, 1962, 1963, have not only been balanced; but, in addition, it has been possible to add to our Reserve Fund, which now totals a little over $1,200,000.00. This reserve is still insufficient protection for our Missionaries and staff throughout the world, representing as it does, only 10% of the 1964 operating budget.

The Episcopal Church Center has been completed and satisfactory financing arranged. The land and building cost $6,062,200.00. As of August 31, 1964, there was an outstanding mortgage of $2,400,000.00. Pledges have been received, which, within the next five years, will pay all but $500,000.00 of this mortgage. No interest or amortization on this mortgage will be charged to the General Church Program. The interest charges will be paid out of undesignated legacies or reserve funds, and the amortization from payments on pledges.

During my term as Treasurer, I have visited nearly all of our missionary jurisdictions. It is most important for the Treasurer to know intimately the needs of these Missionary Districts and what could be done if more funds were available. Also, it is my duty to ascertain that every dollar sent to the Missionary Districts is used in accordance with the stated program. I can assure you that all our funds allocated for use in our mission fields are carefully disbursed and accounted for in detail.

We are very grateful to the Dioceses and Missionary Districts for their continued fine support of the General Church Program. Ninety-seven percent of the General Convention budget was
pledged and paid in 1963, even though this was the largest budget in the history of the Church. Each Diocese and District has responded to the appeal for Mission and is beginning to share in the single life and witness of our Church everywhere. It is significant to note that funds not only came from every Diocese and District in the Church, but are used in programs within each of these jurisdictions.

I am sorry to report at this time the retirement of Mr. Harry Dietz, Assistant Treasurer. His loyalty and service to the Church for more than twenty years has been greatly appreciated by all of us and he will be sincerely missed. Always an officer of the Department, he was first purchasing agent, and then Assistant Treasurer for the last six years. To replace him will be difficult.

In conclusion, I want to speak very frankly of my concern with the increase of "The Disease of Parochialism". This has been brought out very clearly by the 1963 statistics. Episcopalians gave to their parishes and missions last year over $207,000,000.00, while the General Church Program received only $10,067,563.00 from these same Church members. This is less than 5 percent of the total giving, or $.05 of every dollar given for Church work. The parishes, on the other hand, give outside their parish to diocesan and General Church Programs 23% of their current expenses. I remind you again of the goal set by the last two General Conventions, that each parish, mission, and Diocese, give 50 percent of its income outside of its borders. A few Dioceses already do this, and it would be only fair to congratulate the following for their steady advance in the whole concept of sharing:

Bethlehem
California
Dallas
Delaware
East Carolina
Iowa
Southern Ohio
Ohio
Virginia
Western Kansas
New York
Western Massachusetts

There are probably other Dioceses which are also sharing large percentages of their income with the General Church, but information about them has not come to our attention.

SALARIES OF OFFICERS OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL

As of September 1, 1964

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP

Mr. Warren H. Turner, Jr., Second Vice-President ..$19,000.00
Mr. Peter Day, Ecumenical Officer .................. 14,200.00
The Rev. J. G. Moore, Executive Officer, Strategy &
 Evaluation ................................. 13,300.00
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
The Rev. Canon C. Guilbert, Secretary .................. $11,400.00

PERSONNEL OFFICE
The Rev. William G. Shepherd, Personnel Officer ... 12,350.00

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
Mr. Vaughan P. Moore, Manager ..................... 10,400.00

HOME DEPARTMENT
The Right Rev. Daniel Corrigan, Director ............ 12,900.00

DIVISION OF DOMESTIC MISSION
The Rev. Daisuke Kitawaga, Executive Secretary .. 10,700.00
The Rev. Tollie Caution, Associate Secretary ....... 10,000.00
The Rev. C. Samuelson, Associate Secretary ......... 10,675.00
The Rev. George Lee, Associate Secretary ............ 9,000.00
The Rev. W. F. Allison, Assistant Secretary ......... 8,600.00
The Rev. Herman Page, Associate Secretary .......... 8,800.00
The Rev. J. P. Morton, Associate Secretary .......... 9,200.00
The Rev. G. H. Woodard, Associate Secretary ......... 10,000.00

AMERICAN CHURCH INSTITUTE
Mr. M. C. Josephson, Director ..................... 10,650.00

DIVISION OF COLLEGE WORK
The Rev. Philip T. Zabriskie, Executive Secretary .. 11,100.00
The Rev. Edwin Bennett, Associate Secretary ......... 9,400.00
The Rev. Alton Stivers, Associate Secretary .......... 8,350.00

DIVISION OF ARMED FORCES
The Rev. Robert J. Plumb, Executive Secretary ... 10,435.00
The Rev. Worthington Campbell, Associate Secretary 8,800.00
The Rev. F. McDonald, Representative in Europe .... 8,600.00
The Rev. Robert Stretch, Representative in S.W. Europe ...................... 7,900.00
DIVISION OF CHRISTIAN MINISTRIES

The Rev. R. Rodenmayer, Executive Secretary $10,200.00
Mrs. R. Rodenmayer, Associate Secretary 7,700.00

OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT

The Right Rev. John B. Bentley, Director 14,500.00
The Rev. Claude Pickens, Associate Secretary 9,350.00
The Rev. D. Bitsberger, Assistant Secretary 8,800.00
Mr. Paul Tate, Assistant Secretary 9,250.00
The Rev. S. Van Culin, Assistant Secretary 8,000.00

CHRISTIAN EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Miss Carman Wolff, Director 11,800.00
The Rev. R. C. Martin, Associate Director 10,700.00

DIVISION OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

The Rev. J. Peatling, Co-ordinator—Child. Work 9,450.00
The Rev. Reid Isaac, Co-ordinator—Youth Work 9,000.00
The Rev. Edwin Rooney, Co-ordinator—Adult Work 9,500.00
The Rev. Edric Weld, Associate Secretary—Evaluation 9,100.00

DIVISION OF GENERAL FIELD SERVICES

The Rev. E. T. Adkins, Administrator 10,325.00
The Rev. T. Gibbs, Associate Secretary—Overseas 8,350.00
The Rev. G. Woodgates, Associate Secretary—Camps and Conferences 9,500.00
The Rev. C. Brickman, Assoc. Sec’y—Par. & Prep. Schools 8,650.00
Miss Edith Daly, Associate Secretary—Adult 8,800.00
The Rev. Richard Johns, Associate Secretary—Adult 9,245.00
The Rev. Richard Harbour, Assoc. Sec’y—Sr. Hi Youth 9,100.00
Mrs. Ruth Cheney, Associate Sec’y—Jr. Hi Youth 8,400.00
Miss Phyllis Towner, Associate Sec’y—Children 8,000.00

DIVISION OF TRAINING SERVICES

The Rev. George Peabody, Administrator 10,195.00
The Rev. George Reynolds, Associate Secretary 9,400.00
The Rev. David Jones, Associate Secretary 9,650.00
Mrs. Leonard Thornton, Associate Secretary 9,000.00
The Rev. David C. Patton, Associate Secretary 9,600.00
DIVISION OF CURRICULUM PUBLICATIONS

Mr. Robert Gilday, Editor-in-Chief .................. $10,000.00
Miss Deborah Vaill, Editor, Pre-School ............... 8,400.00
Miss Agnes Hickson, Editor, Primary ................ 8,500.00
Miss Irene Scudds, Editor, Senior High .............. 8,300.00
Miss Eleanor Sandt, Small-School Curriculum ....... 8,550.00
Miss Elinor Eccles, Editor ................................ 8,500.00

DIVISION OF GENERAL PUBLICATIONS

Miss Mary C. Dick, Editor-in-Chief ................... 9,500.00
Mrs. R. Harbour, Editor, Youth ....................... 8,500.00
The Rev. H. Bassage, Editor, Adult .................. 8,700.00
The Rev. Smith Lain, Editor, FINDINGS ............... 9,180.00
The Rev. L. McManis, Editor, Urban .................. 9,850.00

DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS

The Rev. Almon Pepper, Director ...................... 13,600.00
Mrs. Muriel Webb, Associate Director ................. 10,900.00
Mrs. Peggy Morrison, Assistant Sec'y, Liaison W.W. 8,350.00

DIVISION OF CHRISTIAN CITIZENSHIP

The Rev. A. E. Walmsley, Executive Secretary ....... 10,800.00
The Rev. Herschel Halbert, Associate Secretary ... 9,800.00

DIVISION OF CHRISTIAN CITIZENSHIP—INTER-GROUP-RELATIONS PROGRAM

The Rev. C. C. Tarplee, Associate Secretary ......... 9,500.00
Mr. Carroll Greene, Jr., Associate Secretary ........ 8,000.00

DIVISION OF HEALTH AND WELFARE

Mrs. Gwynned Monroe, Associate Secretary .......... 9,100.00

DIVISION OF HEALTH AND WELFARE—CHILDREN AND YOUTH

Miss Flora Davidson, Associate Secretary .......... 9,500.00

DIVISION OF HEALTH AND WELFARE—MINISTRY TO THE AGING

The Rev. Gregory Maletta, Associate Secretary ...... 9,850.00
## EXECUTIVE COUNCIL TREASURER

**DIVISION OF CHURCH AND COMMUNITY STUDIES**

Mr. Frank E. Wood, *Executive Secretary* $10,000.00

**DIVISION OF WORLD RELIEF AND INTER-CHURCH AID**

Mrs. Vera Tate, *Assistant Sec'y, Immig. & Re-settlement* 8,000.00

**DEPARTMENT OF PROMOTION**

Mr. John Reinhardt, *Director* 12,000.00
Miss Avis Harvey, *Associate Director* 10,950.00
Mr. W. Herbert Scott, *Editor, Publications* 9,500.00
Mr. Douglas A. Bushy, *Public Relations Officer* 10,000.00
Mr. John C. Cosby, *Diocesan Services* 9,200.00
The Rev. D. McClurken, *Exec. Sec'y, Radio & TV* 11,800.00
Mrs. Margaret Andersen, *Associate Sec'y, Radio & TV* 9,250.00

**DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE**

Dr. Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., *Director & Treasurer* 17,500.00
Mr. Matthew Costigan, *Controller* 10,145.00
Mr. Harry Dietz, *Assistant Treasurer* 11,700.00

**GENERAL DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF RESEARCH AND FIELD STUDY**

The Rev. Paul Hawkins, Jr., *Acting Exec. Director* 10,700.00
The Rev. Herbert Barsale, *Executive Sec'y, Statistics* 9,400.00
Mr. Reed Stewart, *Executive Secretary, Field Studies* 9,400.00
The Rev. John Thomas, *Assistant Secretary* 8,500.00

**GENERAL DIVISION OF WOMEN'S WORK**

Miss Frances Young, *Executive Director* 11,800.00
Miss Elizabeth Beath, *Associate Sec'y—UTO* 8,000.00
Mrs. Marion Bingley, *Associate Secretary—Supply* 3,960.00
Miss Olive Mae Mulica, *Associate Secretary* 8,400.00

**GENERAL DIVISION OF LAYMEN'S WORK**

The Rev. Howard Harper, *Executive Director* 10,700.00
The Rev. John H. Gray, *Associate Director* 8,300.00
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REPORT ON FORWARD MOVEMENT PUBLICATIONS

Since I am Acting-Editor only, this Report will be understandably brief. Dr. Clement W. Welsh, who was editor from September, 1957, left on August 31, 1963, to become Director of Theological Studies at the College of Preachers, Washington, D.C., and I was asked to return to the editorial chair until a new editor is installed.

In Dr. Welsh's report to the last General Convention in Detroit in 1961, figures showed that over the three-year period preceding, the number of pamphlets on the Order Blank had remained fairly steady, in spite of the necessary removal of titles that had "had their day". And so it is now, three years later. A number of old titles have been dropped, but their places have been taken by new ones, and the list on the Summer Order Blank stands at 174, with the likelihood of more being added before General Convention. So, "steady" is the word; and it is also the word for Forward Day by Day. The distribution still stands at 400,000 copies per issue, making a total of 2,000,000 per year. The output of pamphlets reaches about the same annual figure; and in view of the fact that our only advertising is through church bulletins (and not, I regret to say, all of these), and that our only agents are the clergy and interested readers, we venture to consider that we are still doing worthwhile service for Christ and the Church.

Since the last General Convention, two other daily-reading booklets have come into existence, one in Canada and one in California. The former has cut down our Canadian sales somewhat, and may continue to do so; but it is too early to estimate the effect of the California one. Both stress the world mission of the Church.

TRANSLATIONS. Forward Day by Day and several of our pamphlets are now translated, in whole or in part, into Japanese, Portuguese, and Spanish; and other translations are under consideration. In each case, we have helped meet the costs of production.

BRAILLE PUBLICATIONS. The production of Forward Day by Day in Braille was started by Canon Gilbert Symons, the first editor, over 25 years ago; and free copies now go to nearly 900 readers and libraries in the United States and in 17 other countries, 50 copies going to India. These Braille editions contain special prayer supplements.

In addition to Forward, Canon Symons prepared an Order of Holy Communion in Braille; and this, also, has had wide distribution over the past years. Now that the Home Department of the National Council has brought out an edition (omitting Collects, Epistles, and Gospels), we have limited our own edition to the Collects, Epistles,
Forward Day by Day

and Gospels, only; and we are constantly receiving requests for it. In response to footnotes in Forward Day by Day about our Braille publications, some of our readers are kind enough to send in contributions towards the cost of production, and we are most grateful to them.

The editor's lot is not always a happy one. It is indeed encouraging that there are so many willing and capable men and women in the Church whom one can call upon to write an issue of Forward or a pamphlet; but the number of critics in the Church is amazing, and some of them do not follow the apostolic advice that we should let our words "be with grace, seasoned with salt". Even so, criticism unrestrained is better than none at all, which often indicates indifference; and we would not be happy if we were pleasing everybody. Our aim is not to please but to inform, enlighten, instruct, inspire, and uplift; and our reward is our knowledge that, in spite of occasional failure, we are doing just that.

Editors come and editors go, but the staff remains the same: the Rev. Robert B. Mason continues as secretary to the editor, and Mrs. Ruth Kaestner is in efficient charge of the Business Office. The Executive Committee (Mr. Glenn A. Biggs, Mr. Stanley M. Rowe, Jr., and Mr. Paul Myers, with Bishop Hobson as Chairman) presides over all.

F. J. Moore, Acting-Editor.
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REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES OF THE GENERAL THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

In accordance with Article II of the Constitution of the General Theological Seminary, the Board of Trustees respectfully submits to the General Convention copies of the Proceedings of the Board for the years 1962, 1963, and 1964. Copies have also been sent to all members of the Joint Committee on the General Theological Seminary of the Convention. The Proceedings contain in detail the financial, educational, and administrative records for the period since the last General Convention. In addition, the Board begs leave to present the following, in report "of the state of the Seminary":

A burning question in theological education, as in the whole life and work of the Church today, has to do with the concept of "relevance". A recent writer about the situation in England finds it "surprising", in view of the audacity of contemporary theological speculation and writing, "that...our schools of theology and the curricula of our ordination training should reflect an astonishing unreadiness to come down to earth and 'connect' with the world we live in". Similar criticisms are being voiced about the seminaries in this country and of our own Church. Sometimes, these take the form of assertions that our theological education is almost precisely calculated to unfit men for effective mission and ministry in the radically new, confusing, and rapidly changing, conditions of our times.

It cannot be part of our intent to present anything like an adequate analysis of the immensely complicated problems that give occasion for such views; still less would we presume to offer a defense of current theological education or of this Seminary's program and curriculum. We simply propose to give brief expression, rather, to certain basic convictions we believe to have a bearing upon the task of equipping men to relate the Gospel of the living God, who was and is in Christ, "reconciling the world unto himself", to the perpetually changing scene of our world and its peoples.

For those called to leadership in promoting the reconciling work of our Lord in his Church, there is no substitute for the hard, concentrated discipline of historical and theological study. Those persons are quite right who point out that apart from an intimate knowledge of the Bible, Christianity is in danger of suffering amnesia and loss of identity; and the same can be said of the
knowledge of Church History, and of the age-long process of appropriation and assimilation of the meaning of the events in which God "hath visited and redeemed his people". There is a vast accumulated deficit in our society, and among Christian people, in that knowledge of historic origins and developments that constitutes the Church's corporate memory and its assurance of its identity as participating in the saving work of Christ. Enfeeblement of the Church's witness in our confusing times is due no more to the failure of its prophets and teachers to "connect" with the modern world, than it is to their tenuous rootedness in the "tradition", which it is their business to transmit, alive, to their own and future generations.

Formal theological education, in the short span of time allotted to it, and dealing with those who are heirs to the general deficit, must perforce concern itself largely with history, the past, tradition. If nostalgia or antiquarianism are main interests in that concern, there is at once a betrayal of the Gospel. But there is no escaping the obligation to exact from those called to the ministry the most rigorous possible study of the Bible, Church History, and the systematic interpretation that the Church has given to the events in which the divine revelation and redemption have been accomplished. There is no other way by which they can come to a vital apprehension of the Church's business with the world, and become possessed of criteria for distinguishing among the welter of partial or distorted interpretations of Christian faith and life; no other way for them to gain assurance that their ministry and their faith "should not stand in the wisdom of men, but in the power of God" —the God who is Lord of history and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ. Proper orientation of theological education toward the needs of the contemporary world requires solid grounding in the classical theological disciplines.

This means that there are strict limits to what can be done by way of additions to the curriculum of a seminary to guarantee our student's acquaintance with the sociological, psychological, and technological, dynamisms of a world in revolution. For the abler and better-equipped students, certainly, opportunities can be opened up to go beyond the confines of the prescribed curriculum, and a basis laid for the future equipment of a nucleus within the clergy with special qualifications for bridging the gap between what we may call religious and secular theology. But much can and must be done, too, if not by way of additions to, certainly by way of orientation of, all theological instruction towards "relevance" and "involvement", and towards the sensitizing of the clergy to the "deep and God-given secularities of our day". That Christianity is
an historical religion means both that its faith is in the God who has entered the historic process in specific times and places, and that all history, even ours, is under his Lordship. That he is not just interested in religion and what happens in churches ought not to surprise any but the biblically and theologically illiterate. But that he does will to draw men to conscious union with himself, through prayer, sacrament, worship, and the devout teaching and learning of the truth of his manifestation in saving power, must never cease to constitute the prime ground of the Church’s mission.

To chart a sound course for theological education through this immensely difficult field, avoiding a sterile subservience to formulae framed in forgotten terms on the one hand, and simple capitulation to contemporary fashions in communication on the other, is an awesome responsibility. It would be an impossible one, if the years in Seminary were not recognized as merely introductory, laying foundations and indicating directions for a lifetime of patient study and growth in understanding, both of the historic Christian faith and of the terms of its fulfilment in the lives of men today.

This, in brief, is our assessment of a crucial burden laid upon the Trustees and Faculty of the General Theological Seminary.

Respectfully submitted,

LAWRENCE ROSE, Dean
HERBERT S. BROWN, Secretary of the Board
APPENDIX 16
GOVERNMENT AID TO CHURCH-RELATED SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

This is a report of the Commission on Church-State Relations, appointed pursuant to the following Resolution adopted by the National Council on May 1, 1962:

Whereas, There is widespread and growing inquiry, both in the major Communions and in public life, on several crucial issues of Church-State Relations, such as Federal aid to Church-owned and Church-related educational institutions at all levels, acceptance of public funds by Church-related agencies, tax exemption for Church programs, and religion in the public schools; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the National Council request the Presiding Bishop to appoint a commission of Churchmen, lay and clerical, to undertake a study of Church-State Relations, independently and co-operatively, for the Protestant Episcopal Church, with staff services provided by the Departments of Christian Social Relations and Christian Education, and with other Departments related as appropriate; and be it further

Resolved, That the first phase of such a study be a consideration of the issue of aid to Church-owned and Church-related educational institutions at all levels, in the light of the Resolution of General Convention and the current debate on the matter in the Churches and the country as a whole.

The Commission has five permanent members. Five additional members are serving while the Commission is dealing with problems of education.

This report deals only with government aid to Church-related schools and colleges. The Commission's views are expressed in section III. It is planned to report next on Church-state problems in public education.

I. THE GOVERNMENT AID PROBLEM AND ITS SETTING

A. General Convention action. In 1961 the following Resolution was adopted by General Convention:

Resolved, That the 60th General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America reaffirms the
action of the General Convention of 1949 which was stated as follows:

"Whereas, The issue of providing Federal funds for the support of sectarian schools has been raised in Congress; and

"Whereas, While under the principle of freedom of religion . . . the State . . . guarantees to every religious group the right to establish sectarian schools, it also requires that they draw their full support from private sources or from a Church; and

"Whereas, The Federal and State governments provide public schools without expense to all children regardless of creed; therefore, be it

"Resolved, That this Convention fully endorse the principle that sectarian schools be supported in full from private sources or from a Church; and be it further

"Resolved, That we stand unalterably against the use of Federal funds for the support of private, parochial or sectarian schools."

The Commission was requested by the National Council to consider the government-aid problem in the light of this Resolution, but also in the light of the current debate in the Churches and the country as a whole.

B. The Church's concern. The Church's mission gives it a dual concern in approaching the problem of government aid to Church-related schools and colleges. The first is a broad concern for education and the second a fundamental concern for human freedom.

The Book of Common Prayer bespeaks the interest of the Church in "sound learning" and in all "universities, colleges, and schools". This interest, of course, is not limited to religious education or to education in the Church's own schools and colleges. Any self-seeking approach to the problem of government aid would be a betrayal of the Church's mission.

The Church's concern for religious freedom leads it to accept ungrudgingly the religious pluralism of our society. Equal freedom should be affirmed, not only for all religious groups, but also for groups and individuals who disclaim religious belief. This freedom includes freedom to conduct and patronize independent schools. Our Church, through the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, defended this educational freedom in 1925 by filing in the United States Supreme Court a brief asserting the unconstitutionality of the Oregon law requiring all children to attend a public school.

The Church is deeply concerned that public education at all levels should not discriminate against religious views of man and society in favor of a secular humanism. Recent Supreme Court
opinions make it clear that in public elementary and High Schools (as well as in State Universities) the study of religious beliefs and practices need not be omitted. There is great variation, however, in the extent to which public-school authorities include such study in their programs. In many communities, released-time and other programs of week-day religious education provide valuable ways of counteracting secularist dangers in public education. Under the leadership of the National Council of Churches, a promising change of emphasis is under way, relating the content of this teaching to the public-school curriculum which it supplements, rather than regarding such programs as merely an extension of, or a substitute for, Sunday School.

The issue of the proper relationship between Church and State cannot be wholly comprehended in legal and constitutional terms. The Church's devotion to the rule of law is rooted in theological principle and historical experience. Thus, it can subscribe to the statement in the general findings of the First National Study Conference on Church and State which said:

While it is not the business of government to underwrite religion or to use its coercive power to sanction or compel acceptance of any religious creed or practice, neither should it sanction or promote secular creeds or ideologies. Government exceeds its proper authority if it shows hostility or even indifference to religion. It may appropriately recognize in its public practice and in its publicly supported educational programs the role of religion in American history and life.

While it is not the business of government to promote or support religion, it is government's role and duty to further religious liberty.

C. Present extent of government programs. Church-related colleges and schools are included in a wide variety of government programs. At the college level, even before the recent statute, Federal loans have been available for student and faculty housing and for facilities such as dining halls and student centers. Church-related colleges have been eligible, also, for grants to establish centers for teaching foreign languages and related cultures. Student loans and fellowships are available under the National Defense Education Act and the National Science Foundation Act. The Higher Education Facilities Act of 1963 made Federal funds available for construction of libraries and buildings for instruction or research in natural sciences, mathematics, engineering, and modern foreign languages. A growing number of States have extensive scholarship programs open to students in Church-related colleges. These States include New York, Illinois, California, Massachusetts, and New Jersey.

At the elementary- and secondary-school level, Federal loans are available to finance improvement of education in science,
mathematics, and languages, through the acquisition of equipment and remodeling of buildings. Twelve percent of the appropriations for this purpose must be allotted to private schools, including those with religious affiliation. In addition, Church High Schools are eligible to receive half of the cost of student-testing programs, and teachers in such schools may obtain tuition for training programs, and counseling, and in the teaching of modern languages. There are, also, the familiar health services, and school-lunch and milk-distribution programs, which include parochial schools. In some States, bus transportation is also provided and independent schools benefit from programs of surplus property disposal.

D. Constitutional questions. Proposals for public aid raise a variety of constitutional questions, on many of which qualified lawyers are in disagreement. Different issues arise under the Federal Constitution and under the varying provisions of State Constitutions. Many of these State provisions forbid in specific terms the use of public funds for religious schools. Some of the principal State constitutional provisions are quoted in the Abstract compiled by Mr. Charles H. Tuttle for the Commission.

Under the Federal Constitution, the problem is one of interpreting these words of the First Amendment:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.

This restriction on Congress has been held to apply also to State Legislatures by force of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The Supreme Court case which is at the center of the legal controversy is *Everson v. Board of Education*. In this 1947 decision, the Court upheld the New Jersey provision for bus-fare reimbursement for students in parochial schools. The Court was divided five to four. The following quotations from the majority opinion include the principal passages relied on by those who assert, and by those who deny, the validity of other government aids:

It is much too late to argue that legislation intended to facilitate the opportunity of children to get a secular education serves no public purpose. (Here the Court cited its previous decision upholding Louisiana's provision of free secular text books for parochial school students.)

The "establishment of religion" clause of the First Amendment means at least this: Neither a State nor the Federal Government can set up a Church. Neither can pass laws which aid one religion, aid all religions, or prefer one religion over another.
Neither can force nor influence a person to go to or to remain away from church against his will or force him to profess a belief or disbelief in any religion. No person can be punished for entertaining or professing religious beliefs or disbeliefs, for church attendance or non-attendance. No tax in any amount, large or small, can be levied to support any religious activities or institutions, whatever they may be called, or whatever form they may adopt, to teach or practice religion. Neither a State nor the Federal Government can, openly or secretly, participate in the affairs of any religious organizations or groups and *vice versa*. In the words of Jefferson, the clause against establishment of religion by law was intended to erect "a wall of separation between Church and State".

... New Jersey cannot, consistently with the "establishment of religion" clause of the First Amendment, contribute tax-raised funds to the support of an institution which teaches the tenets and faith of any Church. On the other hand, other language of the amendment commands that New Jersey cannot hamper its citizens in the free exercise of their own religion. Consequently, it cannot exclude individual Catholics, Lutherans, Mohammedans, Baptists, Jews, Methodists, Non-believers, Presbyterians, or the members of any other faith, *because of their faith, or lack of it*, from receiving the benefits of public welfare legislation. While we do not mean to intimate that a State could not provide transportation only to children attending public schools, we must be careful, in protecting the citizens of New Jersey against State-established Churches, to be sure that we do not inadvertently prohibit New Jersey from extending its general State law benefits to all its citizens without regard to their religious belief.

... That Amendment requires the State to be a neutral in its relations with groups of religious believers and non-believers, it does not require the State to be their adversary. State power is no more to be used so as to handicap religions, than it is to favor them.

Opponents of government aid often rely on this case, stressing both the reference to the "wall of separation between Church and State" and the language in the paragraph first quoted above which disapproves the use of tax funds to support religious teaching. Supporters of government aid, on the other hand, cite this case for its holding that the bus law did not violate the Constitution, and for the language suggesting "neutrality" as the guiding principle—neutrality which permits government aids where necessary to avoid discrimination against religious schools.
Last June in *Abington School District v. Schempo*, 374 U.S. 203, commonly known as "the Prayer and Bible-Reading case", the Supreme Court expressly undertook to interpret and define the term "neutral" as used by it in the *Everson* case and to formulate a general "test" for its application. The Court said (pp. 222-3):

"The test may be stated as follows: what are the purpose and the primary effect of the action? If either is the advancement or inhibition of religion then the enactment exceeds the scope of legislative power as circumscribed by the Constitution. That is to say, that to withstand the strictures of the Establishment Clause there must be a secular legislative purpose and a primary effect that neither advances nor inhibits religion. *Everson v. Board of Education.*"

The Court then went on to say of this test:

"In the relationship between man and religion, the State is firmly committed to a position of neutrality. Though the application of that rule requires interpretation of a delicate sort, the rule itself is clearly and concisely stated in the words of the First Amendment."

II. OPINIONS CONSULTED

In recent years, an increasing mass of material on government aid has been published; and members of the Commission have studied the conflicting arguments in what seems the most important of these books and pamphlets. Last year, the Commission distributed a study packet consisting of its own working paper and the following other materials:


Other statements of Church bodies considered by the Commission include the following:

*Public Funds for Public Schools*, a Policy Statement by the National Council of Churches of Christ (1961).


*Toward an American Lutheran Position on Church-State Relations*, draft for submission to 1964 Convention of the American Lutheran Church.

*Relations between Church and Government*, draft report to the Methodist General Conference (1964).

*Separation and Interaction of Church and State*, general findings of First National Study Conference on Church and State of the National Council of Churches of Christ (1964).
Members of the Commission were among the Episcopal Church's delegates to the Study Conference on Church and State, held in February, 1964. At this conference, the government-aid issue was discussed in the section of which the Rev. Herschel Halbert of the National Council staff was chairman.

The Commission invited reports from parishes where its working paper and study materials were used. The reports were limited and their nature makes it impossible to present a significant tabulation. Of the opinions reported, however, those adverse to government aid were in the majority.

III. THE COMMISSION'S VIEWS

In the Commission's judgment, the Resolution of the 1961 General Convention, expressing disapproval of government support of Church-related schools, should be amended and supplemented by a recognition of the propriety of the inclusion of such schools in various secular public-welfare programs. These programs include the provision of standard text-books for students in all schools, the provision of equal bus transportation, programs for training of teachers in secular subjects, health- and lunch-programs, grants for the conduct of research, and provision of scholarships and loans to college students.

The public-welfare purpose of such supplementary educational programs justifies the inclusion of all schools which are recognized by the State as fulfilling its educational requirements and thus performing a public service. The primary effect of including Church-related schools in such programs is not the advancement of religion through State action. The inclusion of Church-related schools in such programs is consistent with the American tradition of Church-State separation and inter-action.

The Commission suggests that the General Convention be asked to consider the subject of this report and we offer the following as a possible General Convention Resolution:

Whereas, The General Convention of 1961 endorsed the principle that sectarian schools be supported in full from private sources or from a Church, and declared opposition to the use of Federal funds for the support of private, parochial, or sectarian schools; be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America hereby amend and supplement this
action by recognizing the propriety of including such schools in general public-welfare programs, such as the provision of standard text-books and of equal bus transportation.

The Commission submits this report with recognition of the distressing complexity of the issues involved and the necessity of continuing effort to reach understanding.

Respectfully submitted,

Wilber G. Katz, Chairman
Haliburton Fales II
Charles Tuttle
Mary R. Futcher (Mrs. Palmer)
John A. Hallowell
Kenneth W. Cary
Charles S. Martin
Cyrus Higley
'Arthur R. Higginbottom
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REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION ON THE MINISTRY OF HEALING
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INTRODUCTION

Jesus came preaching, teaching, and healing. Wherever He went, He brought life and health; deliverance from disease and demon possession; victory over evil, terror, and death. Jesus as the Messiah was the bringer of “health and salvation”. Jesus as the Saviour ministered both in the religious sense of salvation and in the sense of healing a disease. One cannot separate Jesus’ healing ministry from His redemptive mission. Each is inextricably woven into one whole. The Christian picture of Jesus as the Good Physician, the Saviour of the whole man, is drawn over and over again in the Gospels.

The Apostolic Church preserved this sense of the connection between salvation and healing. The “gifts of healing” are mentioned as among the gifts of the Spirit (I Corinthians 12:28). St. James stresses the relationship between forgiveness and healing and instructs the Church to anoint the sick with oil “in the name of the Lord” (St. James 5:13–16). St. Paul attributes illness at Corinth to a sinful attitude towards the Eucharist (I Corinthians 11:30).
Throughout the *Book of Acts* it is implied that the power to heal is an apostolic gift. The success of Christianity in the ancient world was in no small part due to its preaching of the Healer-Saviour. It should come as no surprise, then, that today's renascence of the healing ministry is but a revival of this fundamental and dynamic divine commission.

In an over-all sense, of course, every ministry and service of the Church is a healing ministry or service. Obviously, the specific ministry of healing through religion, as distinct from healing through medicine or psychology, is not the only road to faith nor the sole purveyor of love. Yet, on countless occasions, it has proved to be a uniquely effective means to a deeper love of God, a closer knowledge of His Son, and a clearer comprehension of the Faith. It is a lamp which floods with unprecedented clarity all the ministries of the Church. Most particularly, it has illumined for many the Sacrament of Holy Communion, which is actually the greatest of all healing services.

Over and over again, wherever the Church is faithful in her specific ministry of healing, there is unmistakable evidence of the power, the flaming faith, and the total commitment, which were characteristic of the early Church. An effective healing ministry almost inevitably strengthens the spiritual life of the Church and community where it is practiced. To the extent that the Church neglects this ministry of healing is the Gospel truncated and the Church weakened.

The Church in our generation, therefore, cannot ignore her mission and ministry of healing. Nor can she repudiate her responsibility under the pretense that modern science has properly assumed certain areas of this ministry. In fact, this repudiation has resulted in bizarre movements, heretical cults, and grave damage to suffering people who sincerely long for health and healing. Whenever the Church is not true to her whole mission and smugly resists responding to the Truth, error will breed and grow.

This Commission has had two extended meetings, together with much correspondence and interchange of ideas and written thoughts. We have tried to keep informed of the studies of similar commissions of the Anglican Communion and of other Christian bodies. There is a vast and growing literature dealing with this ministry and we have endeavored to keep abreast of it. Then, too, we have attempted to point out that every member of Christ's Church is in essence caught up in this ministry. We are indebted to Dr. Dean Brooks, eminent psychiatrist and valued member of our Commission, for his specific contribution.
In the third section we have addressed ourselves to the clergy. Dr. S. A. Winning contributed valued suggestions as a layman and surgeon.

The fourth section addresses itself to the Church in general; and we pray that it will stir up the Church to take definite action and to commit herself to an imaginative and creative ministry of healing.

Because of widespread misunderstanding of the nature of the ministry of healing, the fifth section is included in an effort to keep us together in the matter of semantics and principles.

Finally, the Commission has made a brief report on what is being done by some religious groups in the field of healing. A wider study should be made and certainly our work should be co-ordinated with that of member Churches in the Anglican Communion.

The Commission is well aware of the full nature of the task assigned to it by the General Convention. We have hardly made a start; and even this start suffers from too narrow a perspective and too brief time for preparation.

The horizons of the problem must be broadened. Such questions as what is the nature of disease, and of health, and of the healing process, should be critically examined. The practitioners of other disciplines than that of theology (e.g., sociologists, physicians, psychiatrists, psychologists, etc.) might well be consulted. We note that the American Medical Association has already appointed a commission, consisting of medical men and clergy, to consider this problem. We have only begun what should be a serious, exciting, and exacting task.

The Chairman wishes to thank the members of the Commission for their enthusiastic co-operation. He is also most grateful to those others who gave of their advice and wisdom, especially the Rev. Donald H. Gross and the Rev. Kenneth Woolcombe. It was, in fact, a healing Commission.

I

BIBLICAL AND THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATION OF THE CHURCH'S MINISTRY OF HEALING

Christianity is a healing religion. Christ is a healing Saviour. He heals all brokenness: broken relationships as well as broken spirits, broken hearts as well as broken bodies. In the deepest sense, He came to heal the world.
In the Creed we declare that Jesus Christ "for us men and for our salvation, came down from heaven . . . and was made man". In the words of St. John, "God so loved the world that He gave His only Son, that whoever believes in Him should not perish but have eternal life" (St. John 3:16 RSV).

Theological textbooks more commonly speak of the doctrine of the Atonement than of the doctrine of Salvation, with the result that the concept of salvation has become something of a Cinderella in modern theological discourse. (Exceptions which almost prove the rule are Paul Tillich's *Systematic Theology* and Oliver Quick's *Doctrines of the Creed.* And yet "salvation" appears more often and is a more significant word in the Bible than "atonement"; furthermore, in the Hebrew and in the Greek the former has a greater depth of meaning than it does in English, and is not restricted to the context of sin. (Actually, there is only one reference to salvation from sin in the whole of the New Testament, St. Matthew 1:21.)

In the English language, salvation means rescue; the Concise Oxford Dictionary defines it as follows:

"Saving of the soul; deliverance from sin and its consequences . . . preservation from loss, calamity, etc."

Consequently, this is the sense which is primary in many of our prayers and liturgical forms; e.g., the first collect in the 1662 Baptismal Office of the Church of England:

"Almighty and everlasting God, who of thy great mercy didst save Noah and his family in the ark from perishing by water; and also didst safely lead the children of Israel thy people through the Red Sea, figuring thereby thy holy Baptism; and by the Baptism of thy well-beloved Son Jesus Christ in the river Jordan, didst sanctify Water to the mystical washing away of sin; We beseech thee, for thine infinite mercies, that thou wilt mercifully look upon this child; wash him and sanctify him with the Holy Ghost; that he being delivered from thy wrath, may be received into the ark of Christ's Church; and being stedfast in faith, joyful through hope, and rooted in charity, may so pass the waves of this troublesome world, that finally he may come to the land of everlasting life, there to reign with thee world without end; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

It would be difficult now to defend the theology of this prayer. In the first place, it implies that the chief significance of the ark was that it was the vehicle of Noah's rescue; whereas, in fact, the ark was but the necessary preliminary to Yahweh's establishment of a new covenant with a new family. In the second place, it implies that the chief event of the Exodus was Israel's deliverance from the Red Sea waters; whereas, in fact, the crossing of the Red Sea was but the overture to the Mosaic covenant. In both cases, deliverance from the waters was only one element in the salvation of Noah and
his family, and of Moses and his people. Moreover, the use of the ark as an image of the Church implies that salvation from the world means salvation out of the world; but this is not what St. John teaches us in his Gospel (St. John 17:14-19, N.E.B.):

"I have delivered thy word to them, and the world hates them because they are strangers in the world, as I am. I pray thee, not to take them out of the world, but to keep them from the evil one. They are strangers in the world, as I am. Consecrate them by the truth; thy word is truth. As thou hast sent me into the world, I have sent them into the world, and for their sake I now consecrate myself, that they too may be consecrated by the truth."

Finally, the prayer ignores the fact that the Church itself is in need of salvation; if the image of the ark must be retained, we should not fail to observe that the mice have been at the charts and the rats at the timbers.

We have recently come to a better understanding of the Hebrew verb yasha, and so have begun to realize the inadequacy both of the theology and of the prayers of the English Reformation. Dr. G. A. F. Knight has written recently: "Its Qal form must have borne originally the conception of being wide or spacious. The Hiphil then means to bring into a wide space, to give width and breadth to, and so to liberate from what is narrow and oppressive. That is how it comes to mean 'to save'." (A Christian Theology of the Old Testament. London, 1959, p. 247). Hence, the emphasis in Hebrew is not so much upon what we are saved from, as upon what we are saved for. Of course, in order to have spaciousness, restrictions have to be removed; we have to be unfettered and rescued from limitations before we can enjoy the freedom for which we are saved. But it is that freedom for which we are saved which is the primary connotation of the Hebrew word, and this accounts for the frequent association in the O.T. of the concept of salvation with that of victory. The Bishop of Sheffield, England (Dr. F. J. Taylor), writes: "To save another is to communicate to him one's own prevailing strength (Job 26:2), to give him the power to maintain the necessary strength. Only God is so strong that his own arm obtains salvation (victory, security, freedom) for himself (Psalms 98:1, Job 40:14); and everybody else, including the King (Psalms 20:5,6,9), must rely on a stronger than himself (i.e., God) for salvation." (A Theological Word Book of the Bible. Ed., A. Richardson, s.v., Save.)

In the N.T. the concept of salvation is further enriched by the use of the Greek word sozo, introduced into Hellenistic Judaism by the LXX translators as the nearest equivalent of yasha. The basic meaning of this word is "to keep alive" or "to keep healthy", and, in the passive voice "to be preserved" or "healed". (Liddell..."
and Scott, *Greek-English Lexicon*, Ninth edition.) There are about 150 instances of it or its cognate forms in the N.T., one-fifth of which refer to the consummation of salvation at the Last Day (e.g., *Romans* 13:11; *I Thessalonians* 5:8; *I St. Peter* 1:5), which is proleptically anticipated in the redeeming work of Christ (e.g., *Romans* 8:24; *II Corinthians* 6:2; *Ephesians* 2:5–8; *Philippians* 2:12). Consequently, there is a sense in which salvation is both now and not yet: it is achieved by the work of Christ, but not yet fully consummated. Thus the mission of Jesus to save (exemplified in his name *Yehoshua*, “Yahweh saves”, and in the story of Zacchaeus, *St. Luke* 19:1–10) is a mission in which Christians are called to participate (*I Corinthians* 1:21; 7:16; 9:22) until the end of time.

Nearly a third of the N.T. references to salvation denote deliverance from various kinds of evil, such as sickness, demon-possession, death, fear, etc. But, as in the O.T., the deliverance from these ills is not so important as is the freedom for which we are delivered. Thus the Christian is called out of darkness into God’s marvellous light (*I St. Peter* 2:9), from alienation to reconciliation (*Ephesians* 2:12–13), out of bondage into freedom (*Galatians* 5:1), from fear to love (*I St. John* 4:18). In every case the salvation is a healing, a making whole; but, as Professor Tillich observes, “No men are totally healed, not even those who have encountered the healing power as it appears in Jesus as the Christ. Here the concept of salvation drives us to the eschatological symbolism and its interpretation.” (*Systematic Theology*, Vol. II, Ch. XXI, “The Meaning of Salvation”. London, 1957, p. 193.) Nobody may claim to have been completely healed until, at the last, he has reached the measure of the stature of the fullness of Christ. On the other hand, nobody can now dispense with the healing grace of Christ; because he must first be renewed and recreated himself before he can be the bearer of the ministry of reconciliation to others (*II Corinthians* 5:14–19).

What bearing does this study have upon the Church’s ministry of healing? The following considerations seem to emerge most clearly, and deserve to be studied:

1. Jesus’ own ministry of healing was part of a much more comprehensive mission to save. The Church’s ministry of healing, which derives from His, is therefore to be seen in the larger context of salvation. (Note that the specific instructions for the healing of the sick in *St. James* 5:14–15 are immediately followed by the more general exhortation, “Confess therefore your sins one to another, and pray one for another, that ye may be healed”, which seems to apply to all Christians, and not merely to those who are sick.)
2. Salvation from sickness has not the same importance as the concomitant freedom for self-offering; i.e., restoration to health of body or mind is the prelude to fuller participation in the saving work of Christ.

3. The Church’s ministry of healing not only derives from the ministry of Christ; but also, like His ministry, points forward to the end of the age. All healing in this world is a pledge of the complete wholeness of the world to come.

Since this is so, it must be made abundantly clear that the goal of the healing ministry is the whole man: the bringing of the whole man into harmony with the quickening, life-giving Spirit, that he might thereby realize his full potential and enjoy his complete relationship with God the Father. When the spirit of man is quickened in Christ, the healing of the body and the mind frequently follows as a welcome corollary. But physical healing of body and mind is not the ultimate goal.

The Church’s ministry of healing, then, is vital in our Lord’s plan for the salvation of the human race. No phase of its operation may be neglected with impunity.

All of this is, of course, fundamental to our Anglican tradition of Biblical and sacramental theology. The Sacraments of Holy Baptism and Holy Communion are administered in an environment which is physical as well as spiritual. The sacramental acts of Confirmation, Marriage, Absolution, and Holy Unction, witness to the sanctification and salvation of the whole man. Each of these “outward and visible signs of an inward and spiritual grace” testifies against the downgrading of the body and the false conceptions of matter and spirit which were typical of the Gnostic heresy and its modern counterparts. (See Supplement A on the Biblical meaning and use of the words Flesh, Body, Soul, Spirit, and Mind.)

We feel strongly that the Book of Common Prayer should provide special services for ministering to the sick, both public and private, rather than an Order for the Visitation of the Sick, because the latter title seems to deny (by implication certainly) the healing grace available in other services or the universal sickness of all worshippers.

Because of the confused thinking as to the nature of the healing ministry, it is regrettable that the sacramental acts of Absolution, Unction, and the Laying-on-of-Hands, are so inconspicuous in the Book of Common Prayer and are administered so infrequently and by so few priests.
The theology of the Church's ministry of healing must steadfastly assert the truth that all healing is of God. It is God the Holy Spirit Who gives effectiveness, and power, and salvation, to all ministry. Possibly one of the reasons the spiritual power in the Church is not as vigorous as it could and should be, is the lack of devotion and response to the Holy Spirit. Healing is the work of God the Holy Spirit, Who uses the Sacraments, the Laying-on-of-Hands, the priest, the healer, the physician and surgeon, nurses, psychiatrists and psychologists—indeed, the Church herself, the blessed company of all faithful people—as agents through whom He achieves His will to heal. Each of these agents has a liturgy to perform. "There are varieties of gifts, but the same Spirit." (I Corinthians 12:6 RSV).

This being so, the Church's ministry of healing must bring to bear upon the recipients of all healing ministries the whole sweep of God's grace. The Church should stand ready always to receive truth from whatever source it emanates and to apply truth to the relief of whatever tensions and obstacles threaten the welfare of any part of the whole man.

The Church must seek so to sanctify all available instruments, all scientific tools and skills, that they may be brought into service in fulfilling God's will to heal. Along with them, the Church must make use of every means of sacramental grace, and renew daily her faith in and prayer to the Almighty Lord, Who is a most strong tower to all those who put their trust in Him, in order that every resource for the healing of the whole man may be extended to all God's children, and through them to the whole world.

II

THE MINISTERS OF HEALING

Everyone who has experienced the healing power of the risen Christ and whose heart is set on fire by the Holy Ghost is already in His ministry, whether he recognizes it or not. There is a sense in which one does not "enter" the ministry, but rather finds himself already in it.

To be caught up in the mending process of love and forgiveness, to be a part of it, brings with it a deep sense of commitment. There is also an accompanying conviction that something has to be done about it, that others must be led to share in this experience, too. This commitment is entirely new and involves the carrying on of the mending process that has been experienced; not because one is
convinced that this is something one ought to do, but rather because one is carried forward and can do naught else. Because a man knows what it is to be mended, obligation is laid upon him to be about his Father's life-mending business. And at this stage it is more of constraint than of choice. This is the beginning of ministry.

When the discovery of ministry comes upon us, it is also revealed how we are to go about this ministry. One of the first things we see is that talent is not given to be buried but to be used; that the cultivation and exercise of talent, gift, and skill, within the framework of the mending ministry of God, is our personal ministry, to which we are commissioned and from which we cannot retreat. The new creature in Christ, therefore, is faced not only with a new way of life but also with a new concept of what he must do with this new life.

At this point one might ask about the Apostolic Ministry. Is not the ministry of bishops, priests, and deacons, the historic and complete ministry of the Church? If so, what is the relationship between the Apostolic Ministry and the ministry of all believers who feel impelled to witness to the healing power of God the Holy Ghost?

The Apostolic Ministry is a special ministry with a special assignment and the apostolic minister is called to a particular ministry of Word and Sacrament. His task is to study the Holy Scripture, to teach and to preach it as one who has been specifically trained, tried, and examined. The apostolic minister is set apart or ordained, therefore, to act as guardian of Holy Scripture. His task, also, is to be the minister of the Sacraments of the Church. And this is so that order may be given to the Church's life. We may say that the Apostolic Ministry is given to feed the people and to proclaim the Faith.

The Apostolic Ministry is basic to the life of the Church, but by its nature it is limited. Only a relatively few people are called into this ministry.

There is, however, a larger ministry: the ministry of all believers. St. Paul uses a special term to describe the ministry of the people, those who are "called". "I... beg you to lead a life worthy of the calling to which you have been called." (Ephesians 4:1 RSV). "We know that in everything God works for good with those who love him, who are called according to his purpose." (Romans 8:28).

St. Paul goes on to say that it is this vocation or "calling" that makes it impossible for any power to separate the people from that love which has been found in Christ (Romans 8:35).

This vocation or ministry, then, to which people are called is that of showing forth a life, a life in which Christ dwells. It is a life
which continually reveals those powers found in Christ which are already at work transforming people and redeeming the world: the powers of love, of forgiveness, and of reconciliation.

The prayer of a righteous man is always acceptable. If the Church refuses to acknowledge such a ministry or insists on smugly proclaiming that the Apostolic Ministry is sufficient, unlimited, and exclusively all-powerful for all purposes, then those called by God the Holy Ghost will be forced to exercise their ministry outside the Church. And who among us is foolish enough to deny that God the Holy Ghost has, in fact, blessed their efforts?

We note here the charismatic ministry of lay people: such persons as the late James Hickson of England, and Albert E. Cliffe of Canada, and many others, who, by no stretch of the imagination, can be called charlatans.

We have ample evidence of the effectiveness of concerned lay persons to minister in other areas, such as that of alcoholism. God does raise up good and faithful ministers. If the healing ministry is not taught and practised within the Church, people will go where it is being taught and practiced (and often over-emphasized, to the exclusion of the rest of the Gospel). Again, we see this in some of the sects which are mushrooming at a phenomenal rate.

The Church should make full use of such lay ministry and give to such ministers training, guidance, direction, and support.

We especially thank God for the skilled ministry of the medical profession and its associated disciplines.

It must, therefore, be recognized by the Church that both priest and people must be caught up in this life-giving, life-mending experience, thus participating in the all-inclusive ministry of reconciliation. These, then, are the ministers of healing.

Does Every Christian Have a Ministry of Healing?

DR. DEAN BROOKS

I wholeheartedly believe that every Christian has a healing ministry. God may have given special talents to some—the surgeon, the social worker, the priest—but each of us, regardless of his calling, has a role in the healing community. The mother who comforts the sick or hurt child, the neighbor who takes over the household chores when illness strikes the housewife, the person who prays for that afflicted (or troubled) one he may see in a crowded subway train, is as much a part of the healing process as the physician or priest.
Far too often, we think of healing as taking place in the dramatic or critical situation. There may be persons who are in far greater need than the one who suffers a severe physical disorder. What about help for the frustrated, the lonely, the depressed, or the distraught? We always seem ready and willing to help the victim of a heart attack or one who has had recent surgery, but we tend to shy away from those clouded in mental darkness. Witness our response to people who come home from a general hospital compared to those being released from our mental hospitals and jails. When a man comes home following gall-bladder surgery he goes through a convalescent period in which he is nursed along for days or weeks. The "attitude of convalescence," however, is not extended to the mental patient when he leaves his area of confinement.

Nor do we always extend the healing hand to our friends or immediate family members who are caught up in the frustrations, maladaptions, and sadnesses, of daily living.

As an example: to relieve the alcoholic of his symptomatic drinking alone should not be the endpoint in therapy, but only the beginning on the road to sobriety and health. Without alcohol, he must still face the problems encountered in everyday living, as do we all. If he meets these problems with challenge, and in a creative and constructive way, he can be said to be healthy.

A personal experience comes to mind: a few years ago I was subjected to an electrocardiogram after a bout with a slight chest pain. My internist friend said, "This is something we can't pass by; the tracing indicates you've had a posterior myocardial infarction." This word passed rapidly to my colleagues, my friends, and family, and the response was wonderful. What a surprise to walk into my office and find my desk completely devoid of its usual high stack of mail, to find all my appointments cancelled! I was told by my colleagues, "Don't worry about a thing; we'll take care of everything for you." And they did. I had become a cardiac cripple within a matter of hours.

Fortunately (or unfortunately), I was later checked by a cardiologist, who, after exhaustive tests, concluded there was nothing wrong with my heart. My trouble was psychosomatic. I was overjoyed; I'd been given a reprieve. But when this "good news" became known to my fellow staff members, the bushel of mail re-appeared on my desk, the appointment book was filled, and many came to discuss their various problems or to air a complaint. Since my sickness was "all in his mind" no one seemed eager to help. Why is it that if I'm anxious, depressed, tense, stubborn, or downright mean, no one gives me the time of day?
How important are attitudes in the healing process? Drawing on personal experience in my work at a large mental hospital, I know how attitudes have kept people in restraints (either physical or chemical) for years. We "knew" the mental patient was to be feared, that he was likely to be a danger to himself or others. Because of this, there were heavy bars on his window, he was fed off a tin plate with a large soup spoon as his only eating utensil, there was no mirror on his wall—these could be fashioned into weapons of torture. His clothing and surroundings were drab. He was looked upon as something subhuman and he responded as though he were, proving us right in what we expected of him. But we've been dead wrong.

And our attitude toward the elderly—is this evidence of a healing community? For years, the attitude of medicine and the public as well has been that there was little to be done for our senior citizens who became ill. We could give excellent custodial care and help keep them comfortable during their few remaining years. We are now enlightened and are no longer surprised to see the oldsters respond to treatment. We now realize that they can get well; and because we believe this, they do.

Our problems with and feelings toward the elderly are not reflected in the area of illness alone. We worship youth, the pretty package, and this year's model. We're too soon ready to brush our oldster off at retirement age with the customary gold watch. How many of our senior citizens are given positions of real responsibility in our churches, to serve on vestries, as key men, etc.? There is great need, it seems to me, for our Church to examine critically our whole attitude toward the elderly and to speak out if she has something to say.

What relevance does the above have in healing? Everything, if we believe that God's healing process is to bring us into closer relationship to Him. God cared enough to send His only Son to us, knowing full well we come to know His love through the heart of another man. It has every relevance, if we seriously believe that Christ came into the world that we might have life and have it more abundantly. If we respond to God's love as Christians should, we will be living, vital witnesses to Him. This is our Mission, and healing is a part of it.

[EDITOR'S NOTE: Dr. Brooks was unable to attend the last meeting. In a covering letter, he raised the following points for the Commission and the Church to consider:

"I feel that we must make a careful examination into our concepts of the nature of disease, of health, and of healing. If disease were a
simple thing, it could be left to medicine and the clergy to work out an approach to the healing problem. But if we consider disease to be as complex as man himself and go to work seriously, we must answer these questions:

1. What is the nature of disease?
2. What is the nature of health and healing process?
3. What is the Church’s role?

“I think what I may be saying is that I feel we may be going into this important work with too narrow a perspective. I don’t yet appreciate the full nature of our task, but have the feeling we should broaden our horizons. It would seem to me that we should consult with various disciplines (for example, anthropologists, sociologists, medicine, psychologists, etc.) to help us investigate the very nature of healing and its controls. I think, too, we should be in constant conversation with members of the Liturgical Commission.”]

III

THE ROLE OF THE CLERGY

If, as a member of the priesthood of all believers, every Christian is of necessity involved in the Church’s ministry of healing, it is obviously true that every ordained clergyman is also involved. This fact is especially relevant since, in addition to the virtue inherent in each baptized and confirmed Churchman, every priest and bishop is both the recipient and the dispenser of those unique gifts mentioned in the preceding section which are bestowed upon him at his ordination and consecration.

There is, thus, no room for the permissive latitude of an “either/or” arrangement in the matter of a ministry of healing. As part of a priest’s over-all pastorate, the therapy of a healing ministry is not an optional one. At his ordination, the ordinand is given a mandate to be a faithful dispenser of the Sacraments, as well as a diligent preacher of the Word.

As we have pointed out previously, every service of worship, every Prayer Book Office, every sacramental action, is a healing service. To emphasize one or two Sacraments to the exclusion of others is to rob the Church of the fullness of her ministry.

And Holy Unction is a Sacrament. Even the Laying-on-of-Hands without the use of blessed oil is at least a rite with obviously sacramental overtones implicit within its framework. When occasion
dictates, the ingathered Body of Christ stands in need of this Sacrament, just as it does the Sacrament of Holy Communion. The life of the worshipping community is not fulfilled until, at one time or another, it has been offered the privilege of receiving all of the Church’s sacramental gifts.

This aspect of a priest’s total ministry is, therefore, not to be relegated solely to the province of alleged “healers” or “charismatics”. When the last word has been spoken on this subject, the fact remains that no man is a healer in and of himself. As the Giver of all good gifts, God Himself is alone the ultimate healer, irrespective of the techniques by which that healing may be mediated. Even the charisma-possessed individual owes his virtue to the Lord whether he recognizes and chooses to admit it or not.

Without meaning to disparage the acts of individual charismatics and those who have experienced more spectacular results in the ministry of healing (“There are diversities of gifts”), it must truly be a fact that the vast majority of us do not make full use of the grace which has been given us.

In this connection, we are correctly taught that the efficacy of a Sacrament in no wise depends upon the worthiness of the celebrant. Every priest is thus privileged to be as available for the use of Holy Unction as he is for any other sacramental act. It then follows that no clergyman, however gifted, need ever travel outside the boundaries of his own parish to administer Holy Unction, for example, if any other parish has a resident priest or bishop within it.

Nor should any priest ever fret over the question of “proof” of the Church’s ministry of healing. We are of necessity concerned with the whole man. It goes without saying that a man can be notably imperfect physically and yet grow markedly in wholeness, a holy wholeness, in the deepest sense of that word; just as he may be a physically perfect specimen of manhood, and yet be mortally sick in the eyes of God.

Dynamic health on all three levels, body, mind, and spirit, is a consummation worthy of being prayed for; but fleshly healing is simply a happy by-product of the search for wholeness on a vastly deeper and more abiding level.

When physical cures do result (and they occur more often than the public records might indicate), we are reminded that nothing is foreign to God and that the avenues of His approach are infinite in number. So, whether the credit for the cure would seem to go to the Church, or to the medical practitioner, or to some third source, or to a possible combination of them all, the ultimate thanks must
be offered to God in Christ. The answer of the blind man when the suspicious crowd attempted to pinpoint the source of his healing is a wise one: "One thing I know, that though I was blind, now I see." (St. John 24:25 RSV). When sight returns, be it inward, or outward, or both, no earthly credit lines are required.

If the Church is the Church, one thing is crystal clear, and its very clarity should goad the Body of Christ into concerted action: irrespective of the degree of the ultimate healing achieved, the entire Body of Christ on earth is lifted up and richly integrated in mutual concern when the ministry of healing binds all hearts together in great corporate acts of intercessory prayer. Quite apart from the lasting good which is thereby visited upon the object of petition, the intercessor himself undergoes growth in spiritual enrichment beyond that which he might otherwise achieve.

_Fear of Failure—Or of Success_

Because charlatans, along with saints and well-intentioned sinners, are so often drawn to the ministry of healing, especially in its melodramatic forms, numbers of Anglican clergy shy away from this part of the ministry. They squirm uneasily, and understandably, in the presence of what may well be blatant hypocrisy, vulgar hyperbole, or circus sideshows, particularly when medical attestation of alleged healings is seldom available. Episcopalians have inherited a distrust of that which is unorthodox, if not heretical, and which does not conform to the traditional norm.

Furthermore, having been reared in a secular society which quite properly respects the integrity of the laboratory, as well as the power of scientific proof, priests (and lay people) tend to invalidate that which is so completely non-material in technique that it may lie entirely outside the competency of scientific confirmation and analysis. There is understandable fear that it may border on the realm of black magic, medieval superstition, or sheer mumbo-jumbo. When one does not comprehend something as part of the rationale of life, one tends to back safely away from it, protecting himself behind the theological shield which carries the motto: "It is heresy to try to manipulate God to do our will." So, as far as the ministry of healing is concerned, many priests are content to wash their hands of the arcana involved, preferring to leave this aspect of pastoral care to "healers" (always in quotes), or to charismatics and psychics, if not to crack-pots. All unwittingly, such priests negate the very ministry to which they were ordained.

Because this whole matter is so mysterious, ever breaking out of the comfortable confines of the measurably dependable, priests all
too often find themselves in the grip of the fear that ignoble failure
may attend their fumbling venture into a field on which the glaring
floodlights of public interest have been thrown. Having earned the
approval of a respectable community, they are loath to appear
cranks or fools. Many priests frankly feel that life manages to cut
them down to size often enough without inviting the additional
risk of needless embarrassment. Such a feeling would not exist if
priests were adequately and carefully trained.

The problem of training the clergy for the healing ministry is not
only of great importance, but of exceeding difficulty. The Commis­sion
feels that it is one of the crucial problems so far as the Church
as a whole is concerned and must be resolved satisfactorily before
the healing ministry can be firmly and adequately established. Per­haps
the time has come for the Church to sponsor a special study­
or research-program devoted to the question of how we may best
prepare our clergy to exercise the healing ministry.

Certainly, it is not clear that seminarians have the experience or
the maturity to go very far in this direction. The possibilities of
new post-graduate programs should be investigated.

It is also not clear how our clinical training programs relate to
the healing ministry. They should; but how do they? To what degree
do the concepts of secular psychiatry (especially as they work
through pastoral clinical training and through pastoral literature)
aid the healing ministry, and to what extent do they actually under­mine it? What is the difference between secular counseling and
psychiatry on the one hand, operating without theological concepts,
without prayer, and without Sacraments, and healing through reli­gion on the other hand? If the psychiatrists speak to the Church,
does the Church have anything to say to the psychiatrists?

This is a vast and critical area and the consequences touch every
aspect of theological education, not just the "healing ministry".  
(Cf. Supplement B)

Fear of success is a less likely but a more subtle reason for shying
away from this ministry. With only a minority of Anglican priests
as yet actively engaged publicly in this particular expression of
pastoral care, the sin of pride becomes an increasingly real one.
The demonic thing called "the cult of personality" is hereby encour­aged. Since a positive emphasis on the healing ministry of the
Church is just beginning in our generation to attract widespread
interest, undue publicity is difficult for the "converted" priest to
escape, especially in the face of occasional successes which are both
remarkable and medically incomprehensible. Unhealthy popularity
is thus hard to discourage. Instead of being merely one of unnum­bered priests doing their full pastoral job, these clergymen become
known, in hushed tones, as “healers”. Such a man is treated as
though extraordinary power resided in him, in place of his simply
being a channel through whom God acts, as the fount and source
of all healing.

Peter and John experienced this irritating phenomenon in the
Temple when the lame beggar sitting at the Gate called Beautiful
was healed (Acts 3). But as soon as the Church, in subsequent years,
preached this ministry on a virtually universal, taken-for-granted
basis, the populace ceased being wide-eyed and slack-jawed. There
is no evidence that a special committee to seek out heresy was
appointed by a first- or second-century General Convention to
study the Epistle of St. James and report back its conclusions con­
cerning the statement:

"Is any among you sick? Let him call for the elders of the church, and let
them pray over him, anointing him with oil in the name of the Lord; and the
prayer of faith will save the sick man, and the Lord will raise him up; and if
he has committed sins, he will be forgiven." (St. James 5:14-16 RSV)

But now, in this generation, with the adulation of uncritical men
pouring in on all sides, the practitioner of this ministry runs the
damning danger of waxing prideful on the one hand, or being
condemned as heretical on the other. This danger, however, is a
relatively academic one; for any priest worth his ordination vows
will account himself as being nothing more, and nothing less, than
a steward of Christ’s mysteries.

Meanwhile, the charismatic will go on healing, of course, irre­
spective of what the Church thinks of this subject. Nevertheless, by
the very nature of the gifts bestowed by God upon His ordained
priests and bishops, the Church herself stands in desperate need
of a more widespread recognition of her total involvement in this
central and basic area of pastoral concern.

---

The Relationship and Ministry
between
the Medical and Clerical Professions

S. A. Winning, M.D.

In giving consideration to the nature of the whole Christian
ministry, one can only regret, in view of the major recognition given
to healing by Jesus in His ministry, that there has not been an
apostolic succession in the ministry of medicine, as well as, or in­
stead of, the Hippocratic succession in the profession. Jesus’ great
concern for the sick and the suffering lends validity to the idea that a ministry to the whole man embraces a concern for the body and mind as well as the spirit. To be concerned with one to the exclusion of another is to be inadequate in our efforts.

During recent decades, our pursuit of the knowledge about man progressed so far and so fast in divergent areas that the relationship of body, mind, and spirit, was not fully appreciated; until more recent times, when a comprehension of the whole man has made evident the inter-dependence and inter-relationship of all of these factors. The problem we are discussing is one of very broad scope; and we are expressing our ideas about people who have sickness of the spirit, of the mind, and of the body, of any part, or of all together.

No one can practice medicine long nor adequately without recognizing a large number of physical and physiological changes that are secondary to various mental changes and environmental factors. For many years, I have been engaged in struggling with the ulcer problem and am thoroughly convinced of its relationship to worry and anxiety; and while a good ulcer may be a badge of worldly success, it is also a sign of distress. An ulcer is an area of actual physical destruction of tissues whose basic essential ingredient, along with others, is stress and tension.

Another well-known, frequently recognized, physical condition resulting from mental stress is that of hypertension, with all of its accompanying physical destructive processes. It is true, of course, that there are physical conditions of tissue deterioration which cause hypertension, but far the most of high-blood-pressure victims are people who work, and worry, and live, under stress and tension. This process can result in deterioration of the body physiology to any degree. There are a number of other physical responses to feelings of pain, anxiety, pleasure, happiness, anger, fear, peace of mind, or worry. There is an outpouring of adrenalin, sugar, and insulin, under various conditions of stress, and strain, and anxiety, which play a large part in one's reaction toward recovery from an illness. All lay people are familiar with, or have witnessed, such things as episodes of fainting resulting from fright, or fear, or some particularly repulsive situation; or nausea and vomiting resulting from some environmental factor. These are definite physical reactions to mental stimuli.

I mention all of these things to emphasize the close relationship of bodily function and well-being with mental and environmental factors. These are some of the things we know about and there may be countless others as yet unknown.
The full ministry of healing needs, then, to recognize, and seek for the causes of, all of these aberrations from the normal. Giving tranquilizing drugs to dull people's response to the stimuli of living is not enough. Today, a physician is so beset by people who want tranquilizing drugs that his only alternative to giving them is to refuse and begin taking them himself. If the time comes when we need to develop actual experimental data on these things, I would like to present some of the work done by Dr. Hans Selyee on the physical response to stress. His great work contributed much to the discovery of ACTH and Cortisone which have been of so much value in medicine.

The part that an intelligent faith and intelligent prayer have to do with illness, the endurance of it, the recovery from it, or the failure to recover from it, I assume to be a part of our consideration, as well, also, as the prevention of it. The human body is an organism of most delicately balanced processes of physics and chemistry, all of which operate within the bounds of natural laws basic to our very existence. How then can anything so intangible as faith and prayer have any bearing on the physical processes of the body, its response to human violation of natural law, and its influence on the reparative processes of the human frame? Should we relegate faith and prayer to so-called functional disorders and let the masters of surgery and medicine take charge of the body? Not at all. Many an able physician has stood by helplessly and watched a patient slip out of his hands, one who had not the desire nor will to live, and we have all viewed with gratitude and admiration those whose will to live has contributed so much to their recovery. Do we expect the Creator of Natural Law to violate the laws of His own creation at our bidding, or, as occasionally happens, on our advice? The answer is self-evident; but who knows what unlimited powers are brought into play when spiritual forces of prayer and faith are released within an individual's mind and body? I believe that this is living, and working, and praying, within the framework of an intelligent faith. It is here that the Church's ministry to the sick can be most effective; but if it is going to be effective, it must be wholly effective, and use all of the equipment that has evolved for us to use. Herein lies the deficiency.

The increase in knowledge in the field of medicine in the past fifty years has been tremendous and at a greater rate than in the previous five hundred years; and I consider this evolution of scientific revelation of natural law as much a divine revelation for the benefit of mankind as any other of the great gifts of life. It is incumbent on the Church not to fail to use scientific facilities, as well as all others, in discharging her ministry. The field of human behavior
and the human body embraces knowledge beyond the capacity of any individual to meet every need, and those who specialize in spiritual care or in mental care need to co-ordinate their work with those who specialize in physical care. To fail to do so is to neglect to use all of the facilities God has placed in our hands, to the same extent that too many physicians do who feel that their responsibilities end with pills, and pains, and patches. It is no reflection on the strength of a patient’s faith that he has to resort to the medical profession for help in times of illness. It is more likely a reflection on his habits of living.

It is a real disservice and danger for inadequately trained people to endeavor to extend their help into fields of knowledge beyond their experience and training, and it is here that the Church can get into difficulty and become ridiculous.

After caring for all kinds of people of all different religious persuasions and social and economic levels for the past thirty years, I am sure that one of their greatest needs in time of serious illness is to have the firm knowledge of the all-embracing love of God as a Father omniscient, omnipotent, all-loving, and all-forgiving, the love that dispels the fear of living, the fear of suffering, and the fear of dying. It is here that the clergyman and physician can meet to supplement each other’s work.

Referring again to the hypothesis that the normal laws of life assume a state of normal health for everyone, it is also a fact that deviations from normal health are as recognizable and to-be-anticipated as one acknowledges deviations from the other laws of life to be. In other words, illness, pain, and suffering, are a part of the pattern of living; and while we may not know why they exist, we know that they do exist, and that they exist in the very presence of God and in parallel existence with His love. There needs to be a wider acknowledgement of the fact that dying is a part of the pattern of living, death a part of the pattern of life, and that no matter what we do or think there will be no exception to the fact for any of us. It is the timing that confuses us and brings forth the query, “Why does it happen now?”

It is true that many people are laid low by violations of the laws of health; others by the attrition of living; and there are still others who appear to be the innocent victims of whatever they have. Aside from the various areas of co-operation between a physician and a clergyman in the care of family problems, housing, employment, etc., the one great need the patient has from both is the firm knowledge of God’s love to release from fear and anxiety all of the strength that can help to bring into play the physical forces to overcome
sickness, whether physical or mental, and to restore the whole man to health.

One of the surest ways of conveying this conviction to a patient is to demonstrate it by one's own care and concern for him. He quickly detects every mood and feeling; and if we are true servants to our separate callings he begins soon to feel the meaning of being a person, one who is cared for, and one about whom we are concerned. If this is interpreted as being God's care and concern, the patient soon wonders how anything could go wrong. Families often fail to surround a sick person with the confidence and strength of all of the love and faith at their command.

Once the motive and objective are clearly understood between physician and clergyman, the technique becomes natural and secondary; but, to be effective, each must supplement the work of the other.

These are very obviously the views of a physician, but of a physician who has always considered the practice of his profession as an integral part of the Christian ministry.

IV

WHAT OUGHT THE CHURCH TO BE DOING?

Fully aware of the preceding sections, and at the risk of becoming redundant, it seems mandatory for the Commission to give some general and practical suggestions concerning opportunities which are, and will continue to be, before the Church. Often a community knows almost nothing about the healing ministry of the Church and the clergy are conscious of this lack of knowledge, but are fearful to rush into an area where the Church has not given approval or direction.

We, therefore, make these suggestions as to what the Church ought to be doing, realizing, of course, that they are to be applied as opportunity and need are perceived.

1. The Church of Jesus Christ has no choice but to accept, adopt, and transmit, in all good faith, and based upon sound theological principles, the healing ministry entrusted to her by her Lord and Founder.

2. The Church ought to be communicating more zealously and more firmly the truth that she has a healing mission and that the ministry of healing is central to Christianity. This communication, carried on through preaching and teaching, will bring people to
Christ. Widespread observation indicates that the overwhelming impact of the healing ministry lies not in itself, but in its witness to God's sovereignty. Furthermore, it not only brings countless unbelievers to God but also jars the indifferent Christian out of his apathy. What was previously a qualified belief in the teachings of a Jewish rabbi, or a quiet acceptance of membership as a status symbol, is transformed into a dynamic, unreserved, and power-filled, faith, centered in, and irrevocably bound to, the Person of the Incarnate God. There follows a spiritual renewal which is dramatically manifested, not only in bodies made whole, but in the redeemed lives being lived in His Name. This ministry, also, has proved itself to be one of the Church's strongest and most effective arms of evangelism.

3. There is a noticeable and tragic neglect in the Church's preaching of God the Holy Ghost and His power to make the members of Christ's Body holy and whole. When there is a true concern for the healing ministry of the Church, there may well be better preaching and stronger witnessing to the presence and power of the Holy Ghost. When we preach the Holy Ghost and place ourselves in His hand, we shall, in fact, be committed to a ministry of healing.

4. The communication of the truth of the Church's ministry of healing is to be made also in practice. Preaching about healing without practicing it might be compared to preaching about Holy Baptism without ever baptizing any people. The practice of healing, including public and private special services of healing, after due preparation through instruction, is essential if the Church's full ministry is to be made known.

Experience has demonstrated that through this ministry innumerable people have come for the first time to know that God lives; to recognize Christ as their personal Saviour; to experience in their lives the transforming power of the Holy Spirit. The extraordinary sense of the Presence of God at healing services, which results in the certain knowledge that Christ lives today as surely as He did on earth nearly 2,000 years ago, is something which cannot be explained, but neither can it be denied. The reality of this experience, although it defies analysis, must be considered in any honest appraisal of the subject. Like all of the Christian Faith, it is a truth whose validity does not depend upon our comprehension of it. And like all services, let it be admitted, if participation is perfunctory, the participant experiences little if anything except boredom and a sense of self-righteousness.
5. The Church ought to be ministering to the faithful who are not medically ill.

(a) Fundamental to a ministry of healing is the life of the clergyman manifesting himself as a pastor who cares for his people. It seems almost trite to remind ourselves that the pastoral office implies a care for people which is continuing, not given solely in times of special need. It is in times of physical health that the pastoral relationship is so built that it will be an edifice of strength in time of special need. The priest who is known to his people as a pastor who cares will be sought by his people when the days of stress are upon them. People who have been truly caught up in corporate services of healing and within special public services of healing will likewise be held up when darkness, and sorrow, and physical sickness, threaten to break them down.

(b) The healing ministry has proved itself an unparalleled means of conveying to penitents and seekers, the physically well as well as those who are medically sick, the reality of the love of God. A purely intellectual concession that one must love God because He first loved us (I John 4:19) has failed to evoke in countless Christians an emotional acceptance of His love, and thus precludes an emotional response to it. Although one may acknowledge with his intellect that he is at all times surrounded by God's love, unless he knows this with his heart (which involves both intellect and emotions), he is deprived of its benefits because he cannot receive it. The first time a person becomes truly aware of the fact of Christ's love for him, the first time that he personally experiences it in his life, healing begins; a reconciliation is taking place; health and wholeness are his, whether or not physically is of lesser importance, for his life has been transfigured by His touch. This is the at-ones­ment which is often and for many achieved through the healing ministry, in which there is a living confrontation with the Risen Christ. Such an experience makes one so certain that He lives, and so sure of the actuality of His love, that he is impelled to respond with his whole being.

There is a fundamental theological reason for the effectiveness of the healing ministry in imparting an awareness of the actuality of His love: God does not will sickness. As the Author of good, not evil, He does not cause disease; nor does He willingly afflict His children (Lamentations 3:33; St. Matthew 18:14). God wills health and wholeness.

With the concept of the healing Christ, through the action and love of the Holy Spirit, God becomes the God of healing love revealed by Jesus and not the cosmic sadist invented by zealots
centuries ago (and maintained even today) to explain disease and all other catastrophes to which the human race is subjected. We can testify to the hell which we create by our separation, through sin, from God; but we recognize in the healing Christ that God is indeed our all-merciful Father (St. Matthew 7:11), whose intention for us, however temporarily deflected by sin and evil in the world, is and will be that holiness which is wholeness.

Through the healing Christ we come to know, with our hearts as well as with our intellects, that the God revealed by our Lord is the Source of all love; and in the union of our love with His our lives are transformed.

(c) There is always the opportunity for bringing those in the medical profession more and more into the family life of the Church. All of our people who practice the healing arts can well receive special recognition at appropriate times. For example, at special services or a corporate Communion on St. Luke's Day, the Church can remember particularly all who are concerned with healing activities. Whatever will bring them more closely into the family life of the Church will not only be of benefit to them, but will also remind the whole family that they are carrying out the Lord's command to heal the sick.

The clergy, in co-operation with other trained persons available in the community, ought to endeavor to provide counseling opportunities for people at all times, and especially in times of physical health, so that when a need arises sources of help are known.

It is a happy circumstance that there is a constantly growing co-operation between the Church and the medical professions. More and more there is a working together, and more and more there is referral, one to another in both directions, so that a patient may benefit from therapy to the whole being.

(d) The Church should provide adequate special private and public offices of healing, so that people can become familiar with them and be trained and ready to use them regularly. We share the unhappiness of many with the present Office for the Visitation of the Sick. We realize that this Office implies that it is for the physically ill; but its very implication tempts people to forget that all the services in the Prayer Book are for the sick, since "there is no health in us". Furthermore, it is strongly inferred, both in the Order for the Visitation of the Sick and in the first set of Propers for the Communion of the Sick, that the person's sickness has been visited on him by God as a punishment for his own sins. This is in the spirit of Job's "comforters" rather than representing our healing Saviour.
In many places, the Church's ministry of healing is used privately. Each clergyman improvises his own services and may inadvertently fall into many false or misleading statements (theologically at least) concerning the Will of God and God's healing love. Public services of healing also reflect the particular zeal or concern of the minister and not the traditional or generally accepted position of the Church. This tends to develop "priests' cults" and can often mislead seeking souls.

It is the feeling of this Commission that the Church can no longer fail to spell out the public act of healing and to offer helps for private services. This public witness would seem essential for the guidance and teaching of the Church's own people, and also as a public witness to the Church's fidelity to her healing mission.

(e) Parish prayer groups can exercise a wholesome ministry in the whole area of healing. The offering of intercessory prayers at all times and for special intentions can be a rich experience for all those who participate.

It is not necessary to have special organizations, although such organizations as the Guild of St. Barnabas, the Guild of St. Raphael, the Anglican Fellowship of Prayer, or the Order of St. Luke, can have tremendous value (See Section VI). If no such organization is desired, the fellowship of those concerned may be quite sufficient for the needs of a particular parish or group. However, people should know that such organizations are available for them.

6. The ministry to those who are medically ill.

(a) The Church provides (inadequately, we think) in the Book of Common Prayer for the ministry to those who are medically ill. This ministry should be more vigorously exercised. Once again, a parish prayer group under the direction and guidance of the priest can find an immediate outlet and prove its dynamic function in upholding those who are ill.

For example, the priest can be accompanied by some of the lay persons when he goes to administer the Holy Communion to the sick. Confirmation classes can participate in this ministry and thus learn by participating.

(b) It also follows that the Church ought to be doing something very practical in the area of ministering to those who are outside the Church. We must go into all the world with our witness. This does not mean that the Church is to make a "show" or stage a "circus". It does mean that the Church should seriously examine her ministry to mental institutions and explore her relationship to
psychiatrists and to the psychologically disturbed. It does mean to
dare greatly and to proclaim her ministry of healing to the world
by means of special public services. If our Lord preached, and
taught, and healed, in the market place, should the Church exercise
her voice only within the sanctified seclusion of her sound-proof
walls?

Once more we repeat that it is of vital importance that it be
understood that the healing power of God may come through, but
it does not come from, any one individual. This ministry should be
kept within the Church in order to obviate the danger of personality
cults and the heresy of forgetting that the true Source of all heal-
ing is God.

V

CLARIFICATION OF SOME MISUNDERSTANDINGS

1. The fear that failure to receive physical healing will cause the
supplicant to lose his faith and turn entirely from God.

Among those who are even remotely associated with the healing
ministry, evidence to the contrary is overwhelming. No one who
properly understands the Church's ministry of healing (i.e., that
its primary goal is a closer relationship with God) ever turns from
Him because he is not physically healed, because no one who turns
to God in true faith remains unhealed spiritually. Further, no one
who has experienced a healing of the spirit would exchange what
he has received for a purely physical cure.

2. The fear that if he does not receive physical healing, the patient
will feel his personal lack of faith is responsible, and hence suffer
psychologically.

This fear also proves groundless if the supplicant is properly
instructed. While the value of personal faith cannot and should not
be underestimated, the fact is that many who seem to have no
personal faith whatsoever are healed. Conversely, a person may
have a high degree of faith, but there may be other obstacles to the
healing of some specific disease. For instance, there may be faults
in others who surround the sick (as in St. Mark 6:2-6, where we
read that Jesus could do no mighty works in Nazareth because of
the unbelief of the townspeople; or in St. Mark 9:14-29, where the
father of the boy with the dumb spirit is weak in his faith and the
disciples are ineffective as ministers of healing). Actually, there may
be many barriers other than an individual's own faith (many of
and competent teaching on the subject will not include loading an individual with guilt if a physical illness persists.

3. The idea that nothing is required of the supplicant except faith, and that the power of God is bestowed automatically in response to faith alone.

It is God who heals. Faith of itself never healed anyone, and the ministers of healing should strive ceaselessly to make this clear. As the aim of the healing ministry is to bring the individual into a closer relationship with God, many factors are involved in addition to faith; such as love, repentance, self-relinquishment, and whole-hearted commitment to our Lord, and we must be prepared for disappointment.

4. The fear that public healing services will attract large crowds of curiosity seekers, and that such a ministry induces over-emotionalism.

Again, the facts speak for themselves. There is no indication that any Episcopal Church in the United States which holds healing services has been beset by large crowds. It is our belief that this ministry demands too much of people ever to make this a problem. And over-emotionalism in any Episcopal Church is a phenomenon which has seldom been witnessed.

5. The fear that the healing ministry may result in the placement of too much emphasis on the physical.

The healing ministry ministers to the whole man but is primarily spiritual in character. While some may begin to attend healing services simply in order to receive physical healing, they are quickly disabused of the idea that this is the primary function of this ministry. If all they seek is physical healing, they abandon the ministry if they are not miraculously healed at the first service. Those who remain grow in grace and knowledge through the ministry, placing first things first. Those who participate in the healing ministry in any capacity whatsoever have grown in spiritual stature, for the power of the Holy Spirit is no less to instruct than to heal. (Answer in Section I)

6. The fear that people will substitute the healing ministry for medical care.

There are fanatics, and no doubt heretics, in every area of the Faith; and the healing ministry is no exception. This ministry continually emphasizes the co-operation with, not substitution for, medicine. Believing that all healing comes from God; that His healing power is mediated through various channels which most certainly include medicine and psychology; that all knowledge is of
God, and that He works through men; a Christian might legiti-
mately construe it as a sin to reject that which He has given us in
medical knowledge. (Cf. Section I)

7. The idea that a special healing “gift” is required to practice a
healing ministry.

By virtue of his ordination, when he is commissioned to be a
“faithful Dispenser of the Word of God and His holy Sacraments”,
every priest has available to him the tools for the sacramental
ministry of healing; and in obedience to our Lord’s Command to
“preach the Kingdom and heal the sick”, he should, we believe,
use them to make the healing ministry an integral part of the
Church’s total ministry. However, it is only realistic to acknowledge
that, as some are better preachers than others, so some are more
effective in exercising the ministry of healing. Nevertheless, as
preaching the Word is an indispensable part of the ministry, so it
would seem is healing. And in any event the efficacy of God’s grace
is not affected by the priest. (Cf. Section III)

8. The idea that there is some “magic” involved in the sacramental
healing rites.

There is no magic in any Sacrament. Proper understanding and
preparation avert this erroneous idea. God cannot be manipulated.

9. The idea that one is “unworthy” to receive healing.

No one is worthy; and our worthiness has nothing to do with it.
We are not rewarded according to our merit, “for he maketh his
sun to rise on the evil and on the good, and sendeth rain on the
just and on the unjust.” (St. Matthew 5:45). Healing is a gift, not
a reward.

10. That Holy Unction is a Sacrament only for the dying.

This is a far less common notion in the Episcopal Church than it
used to be; little by little people are learning that Unction in the
early Church was the specific Sacrament for healing and that it is
so used in the healing ministry today. To restrict the Sacrament of
Holy Unction to the dying is to deny sacramental grace to the sick
in soul and body.

11. The fear on the part of a clergyman that if physical healings
do not occur under his ministry of healing it will be a sign of personal
failure and may damage his total ministry.

A clergyman does not bear the responsibility for healing. He must
understand that it is not he but the Holy Spirit Who does the work.
As he should never take any credit for the healings received, neither must he bear the blame for the disappointments. (Cf. Section III)

12. The fear on the part of an already over-worked priest that healing services and a healing ministry will add to his work.

Many clergy who devote much energy and time in this area claim that this need not be so. “Busy-work” is replaced by genuine relationships that lead to substantial spiritual growth. Counseling takes on new dimensions and becomes more helpful. It is always possible, and quite likely, that unreasonable parishioners will call upon clergy at all hours to lay on hands for minor ailments. This matter of keeping the ministry within sensible bounds is a problem for the individual priest to resolve. It is a matter of organizing and disciplining one’s use of time.

13. Some still believe that God sends sickness as a punishment.

Our Lord spent His earthly ministry revealing the nature of God: as a “Father who pitieth His children” (Psalms 103:13); a “Father whose good pleasure it is to give us the Kingdom” (St. Luke 12:32); a God “whose property is always to have mercy” (Book of Common Prayer, Page 82). This is not a picture of a vengeful God, and our Lord made clear that disease was not sent as a direct punishment for personal sin (St. John 9:3). It can, however, obviously, be the result of our sin; e.g., if we eat too many green apples, we are likely to get a stomach-ache. It can be the result of corporate sin: if our children are afflicted with bone cancer as the result of atomic fall-out, this illness is not “sent” to them by God, but is the result of the world’s corporate sin.

The Commission strongly recommends the deletion of the Collect and Epistle on page 321 in the Prayer Book, as betraying the ministry and mind of our Lord. (See Section IV)

14. The distinction between God’s willing disease, and permitting it.

Some contend that disease is the will of God, because through suffering we grow in spiritual stature. However, from the example of Christ’s earthly ministry, we must assume that in healing the sick He was not working against His Father’s will; nor did He heal the multitudes at the expense of their souls. The will of God cannot be contrary to His Word; and in the Gospels we have the Word, both as to His Nature, and, through the ministry of His Son, as to His desire for us, which is wholeness; i.e., salvation or health.

Obviously, God permits disease in the same way that He permits sin. This does not mean that He wills either. Throughout His earthly
ministry, Christ makes clear that disease is an enemy to be overcome. St. Paul makes clear that whatever the nature of his "thorn in the flesh", it came not from God but from Satan. That God can and does convert disease and suffering to His own holy purpose, no one can deny; we have seen what happens in redemptive suffering; but the idea that He needs evil in order to accomplish His purpose would make God's nature detestable and His righteousness debatable.

15. Profitless pain and redemptive suffering.

While it is true that suffering is part of the Christian vocation, it is also true that we must differentiate between redemptive suffering and sterile pain. If we do not, we risk glorifying pain instead of Christ.

Our Lord never said that we would not have to suffer. He healed the sick, but He warned us of persecution for His sake. He fed the hungry, but He said that we who would come after Him must deny ourselves. He relieved the suffering, but He told us to take up our cross and follow Him. However, in everything He said and did, He made abundantly clear that He considers the battle against sin an adequate testing ground, and the struggle towards holiness a sufficient crucible, for the ennobling of the spirit.

There are those who argue that because Jesus suffered on the Cross, we, too, must suffer; and in a sense this is true. Any sacrifice we make, whether it entails spiritual, mental, or physical pain, if suffered for Christ's sake, has redemptive value. But pain, simply because it is pain, does not, ipso facto, sanctify the sufferer.

Jesus never suffered for suffering's sake. He suffered for our sake to save us. Christian suffering is consequent upon trying to be a Christian, not upon disease. We bear pain in Christian calmness because we are safe in God's hand; but we do not embrace pain, we embrace God.

Redemptive suffering (offering our pain to God to be used for His glory) is a spiritually strengthening thing. However, for centuries we have tended to regard all pain as redemptive; when, in truth, much is not. We have confused saintliness with suffering until the two have become synonymous in our minds. We have failed to discern that it was not the suffering of the saints but their attitude towards suffering which revealed their saintliness. Their pain was not sent by God or willed by God, but with His help they were able to convert it to His purpose and use it for His glory.

On the authority of Jesus, a maimed, disease-ridden body is not a punishment, and neither is it a prerequisite to the Kingdom of Heaven. (Cf. Supplement A)
16. What happens to our confidence in the healing ministry when a prayed-for person dies?

One of the great paradoxes of the healing ministry is the fact that, although it emphasizes healing, it can be both a fine preparation for, and a great comfort in time of, death. As the bereaved carry on with courage, fortified by the unshakable conviction that God lives, so does the faith which so often produces life-saving miracles prepare them for dying.

The final great paradox, reconciled in the healing ministry, is to pray for Christ's healing here and now; and yet to know that "If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable" (I Corinthians 15:19); to strive to be made whole through Christ, and yet to know that complete wholeness on this earth must always elude our grasp; to pray for the preservation of physical life, and yet to know that death is not only the last enemy but also the gateway to everlasting life. He "shall be magnified in my body, whether it be by life or by death." (Philippians 1:20).

17. The belief that one can better endure suffering, either for himself or for one he loves, if he believes the affliction is the will of God.

The average person, who has more spiritual stamina than he is usually given credit for, does not, apparently, find comfort in this thought. The long-standing teaching that every horror and disaster is, for lack of a better explanation, the will of God, may well account for the fact that so few really love Him, or believe His love for them.

18. What is the relation of "faith healing" and "spiritual healing" to the Church's healing ministry?

This Commission has adopted as its own the statement on this question made by the Archbishops' Commission: that Commission felt that the term "faith healing" was misleading, since it might imply "that a prerequisite of healing is a belief in the healer's power; or belief that the sufferer will, in fact, recover; or belief that God wills recovery from the particular ailment". The phrase "spiritual healing" was considered to be "too imprecise and ambiguous", in that it could imply that other methods of healing are not necessarily spiritual. The phrase "divine healing" was objected to also, because all healing is divine. This Commission, therefore, wishes to use the phrase, "The Church's Ministry of Healing", which includes "healing" as part of the total work of the Church. The report of the Archbishops' Commission is available.

19. Christian Science and the Church's healing ministry.

Many are confused as to what, if any, is the difference between the Church's ministry of healing and Christian Science. The differ-
ence is profound. Christian Science is in irreconcilable conflict with the Gospel as the Church has received it.

Christian Science is the modern counterpart of the Gnostic heresy (cf. Section I and Supplement A), which would fragment the wholeness of man and make a distinction between body and spirit, stating that matter is not only vile in and of itself, but has no actual reality.

Christian Science, furthermore, proceeds from the basis that because God is all-good, nothing except God has any reality. Therefore, sin, evil, disease, and death, are mere illusion, and do not exist. Flesh also is not a reality.

The Church affirms the Incarnation and, therefore, the reality of the flesh. She affirms the reality of suffering, for Christ suffered on the Cross for "us men and for our salvation". She affirms the reality of sin and of our Lord's act of redemption for our sins. She affirms the reality of Christ's death and resurrection and, therefore, His triumph over death. All this Christian Science denies.

Jesus healed the sick who came to Him; He recognized their disease as evil and rebuked it; He made them well, not of illusory disabilities, but of real afflictions. In her healing ministry, the Church strives to emulate both His approach and His methods.

Christian Science is not Christian in the common sense of the word; yet it is Christian in principle because of its recognition of the power of God, in its concern with things eternal rather than temporal, and above all in its emphasis on love. In its unequivocal teaching of God's love and will for humanity, Christian Science has made an inestimable contribution to society. The movement is heretical; but in fairness we must note that it attacks a great heresy: the heresy that sickness is God's will for us, sent as direct punishment for our sins.

Although founded on a spurious philosophy essentially alien to the Gospel, Christian Science has yet curiously managed to revive in some respects the New Testament teaching about God's will for us to be healed and to be whole. If the Church had been faithful and zealous in her true ministry of healing, Christian Science would never have come into being. This can be said of many other cults of healing. Where the Church fails in bringing God's grace to people or grows lax in her full ministry, the hunger of human souls drives people to feed in other pastures and other shepherds rise up to minister.
VI

WHAT THE CHURCH IS DOING

HEALING IN THE UNITED STATES

The great majority of all healing services being held under Christian auspices in America today are being held in Episcopal Churches. Over 500 churches in all 50 States now have healing services during the week and thousands of prayer groups are organized around these services. This revival of the healing ministry of our Lord is bringing about a great spiritual renewal within the Church. Where this ministry is faithfully practiced in a parish and instruction given to the faithful, and where prayer cells are formed for intercession for the sick, there seems always to follow a deep spiritual renewal in that parish.

A number of dioceses have commissions on healing (composed of clergy, physicians, psychiatrists, and other laymen) to study and encourage this ministry.

Clergy and Doctors of Medicine

The American Medical Association has also appointed a commission consisting of medical men and clergy for consideration of this whole problem. Clergy and members of the healing professions have been co-operating in the Academy of Religion and Mental Health. The growing interest and activity of American religious bodies in the field of mental health has recently been reviewed in The Churches and Mental Health by George V. McCann.

The Order of St. Luke the Physician

Currently blazing the trail in America is the Order of St. Luke the Physician, with over 4,500 members under spiritual disciplines. This non-monastic order for bishops, other clergymen, doctors, psychiatrists, nurses, and other lay people is for those who wish to devote themselves to the study and teachings of the true relation between the spiritual life and bodily and mental health.

HEALING IN GREAT BRITAIN

In England, in 1953, the Archbishops of Canterbury and York appointed a Commission to study various aspects of “Divine Healing” and to “find a phrase which most adequately describes what it conceives this ministry to be”. (Cf. Section V)
It is impossible to give an accurate figure on the number of individual churches emphasizing the ministry of healing in England, "but it would surely be safe to say that all the churches, as a whole, take account of it, although there are differences of opinion as to what is meant by it", according to Audrey Turner, Secretary to the Church's Council of Healing.

In England, the Church's ministry of healing has been spearheaded by four healing societies, plus the Iona Community in Scotland. These Societies have the sponsorship and approval of some of the bishops.

_The Guild of St. Raphael_

The Guild of St. Raphael was formed in 1915 with the object of providing a fellowship of all within the Anglican Communion who desired the restoration of the ministry to the sick, by means of Sacrament and prayer, to the place which it held in the Apostolic Church. Much has been accomplished.

The Guild seeks to work loyally along the lines laid down by the Lambeth Conference of 1930 in its report on Spiritual Healing (pp. 182–3).

_The Guild of Health_

The Guild of Health, with headquarters in Harley Street, endeavors to bring together Christian people, including doctors, psychologists, and ministers of religion, to work in fellowship for fuller health, both for the individual and the community.

_Divine Healing Mission_

The Divine Healing Mission was founded in 1905 by James Moore Hickson, a layman with the charismatic gift of healing, who toured the Anglican world under the auspices of the Church and conducted healing services. An integral part of the life of this Society is the Crowhurst Home of Healing, founded in 1928.

_The Healing Life Mission_

This healing society was founded by the Rev. John Maillard in 1912. For a long period of time Father Maillard centered his healing work in Milton Abbey where physicians, nurses, psychiatrists, and clergy, worked under the same roof.

_London Healing Mission_

Located at 20 Dawson Place and founded by the Rev. John Maillard, it is now under the leadership of the Rev. William Wood.
Burrswood in Groombridge
Established by the late Dorothy Kerin. It is a State-Registered Nursing Home, on the staff of which are graduate nurses, a physician, and a chaplain. The British Medical Association has recognized Burrswood.

British Medical Association
There seems to be a greater acceptance of the ministry of healing by the medical profession in Great Britain than in America. The Council of the British Medical Association has issued a statement indicating its position. It stated, in reference to the Church's Council of Healing, "that this body is doing valuable work and that there exists a field for legitimate and valuable co-operation between the Church's Council of Healing and the Association in particular"
..."it would seem desirable that there should be fuller co-operation. Medicine and the Church working together should encourage a dynamic philosophy of health which would enable every citizen to find a way of life based on moral principles and on a sound knowledge of the factors which promote health and well being. Health is more than a physical problem, and the patient's attitude both to illness and to other problems is an important factor in his recovery and adjustment to life. Negative forces, such as fear, resentment, jealousy, indulgences, and carelessness play no small part in the level of both personal and national health. For these reasons we welcome opportunities for discussion and cooperation in the future between qualified medical practitioners and all who have a concern for the religious needs of the patients."

HEALING IN IRELAND
The Rev. Canon N. F. Waring of Dublin has been practicing a very effective ministry of healing for the past thirty years under the sponsorship of the Archbishop of Dublin. He represents both the Divine Healing Mission and the International Order of St. Luke the Physician.

Respectfully submitted,
The Rt. Rev. Wilburn C. Campbell, D.D., Chairman
The Rt. Rev. Earl M. Honaman, D.D.
The Rt. Rev. Allen J. Miller, Ph.D.
The Rev. John E. Large, D.D.
The Rev. Alfred W. Price, D.D.
The Ven. Canon Harry J. Stretch
Dean K. Brooks, M.D.
Mrs. Alvin W. Neal, Secretary
Stuart A. Winning, M.D.
NOTE: The Commission offers without comment or endorsement these supplements, including the services of healing, as examples of services which have been used in numerous churches.

SUPPLEMENT A

THE BIBLICAL MEANING AND USE OF THE WORDS FLESH, BODY, SOUL, SPIRIT AND MIND

DR. KENNETH WOOLLCOMBE

The terms body, mind, soul, and spirit, as they are ordinarily used in our everyday conversation, signify disjunct entities; e.g., "John Brown's body lies a-mouldering in the grave, but his soul goes marching on." Furthermore, as Professor Gilbert Ryle has observed in his book, *The Concept of Mind* (London, 1949), philosophical discourse about the nature of man has been hampered for centuries by the unexamined assumption that man's mind is like a "ghost in the machine" of his body. Similarly, in theology, the doctrine of the resurrection of the body has been vitiates by the unquestioned assumption that it is man's soul which is immortal. The reason for this disjunction of body, mind, soul, and spirit, is, of course, that the conceptual structure of our discourse about the nature of man, in Western civilization, is fundamentally Platonic; moreover, the dualism inherent in Plato's anthropology has proved to be stubbornly resistant to the efforts of philosophers, both in medieval and modern times, to shift it. At the present time, when not only the philosophers (e.g., J. R. Lucas), but also the psychologists (e.g., Paul Tournier) are pressing for a clearer understanding of man's unity, it is essential that theologians should expurgate from their writings any residual traces of Platonic dualism. The indications are that the best Protestant and Catholic theology is in line with recent trends in philosophy and psychology, and in some respects ahead of it. The late H. Wheeler Robinson, a Baptist Old Testament scholar, wrote, as long ago as 1911, "The Hebrew idea of personality is that of an animated body, not (like the Greek) that of an incarnated soul"; Dr. E. L. Mascall, an Anglican student of St. Thomas, writes that Aquinas "christianized the Aristotelian doctrine that the soul and the body of a man are related to each other as form to matter, by uniting it to a baptized Platonism, in which the soul, while incomplete by itself, nevertheless possesses

---

substantiality and confers it upon the concrete, composite human being." It is, therefore, greatly to be hoped that the Church's official publications should reflect the established findings of this philosophical, psychological enquiry.

The fully developed Old Testament doctrine of Man may be conveniently summarized under the following five headings, of which the third and the fifth are our immediate concern here:

1. Man is made in the image and likeness of God (Genesis 1:26-27). (The precise meaning of the *Imago Dei* is currently debated, and is far too big a subject for inclusion in this brief study. The present writer's conviction is that when we say that man is made in the image of God, we mean that he owes his personal being to ultimate personal being.

2. Man is the crown of creation (Genesis 1:28; Psalms 8:4-8). He was created to enjoy God for ever and to have dominion over the rest of creation.

3. Man is formed from the dust of the ground to be a living soul (Genesis 2:7). He is one body, composed of an "earthy" element, flesh, and a spiritual element, the breath of God. There is not a trace of dualism in this assertion that man became a living soul: it was quite impossible for a Hebrew to think of soul without body, or *vice versa*, even in Sheol. As G. A. Knight points out, "Man is not an amalgam of two separable entities, dust and the breath of life. He is one entity."

4. Man is mortal (Psalms 49:12; 144:4).

5. Man as an individual cannot be conceived of apart from the family into which he was born, or mankind, to which he belongs (N.B., Adam means Man).

The two important points which emerge from this summary for our consideration here are:

i. That a man is himself a unity, and

ii. That Man, or mankind, is a unity.

As regards the first point, when the Old Testament speaks of a man's heart, soul, spirit, or flesh, it is referring to that man in four different aspects: his intellectual self (heart), his emotional self...
(soul), himself as created for fellowship with God (spirit), and himself as created for fellowship with other men (flesh)\(^1\).

As regards the second point, it is important to observe that, whereas in Western civilization we think of the fleshly characteristics (i.e., size, shape, color, etc.) as those which differentiate men from each other, the Hebrews thought of them as the unitive factors—it was the flesh that united a man with his fellow-men; hence "all flesh" means "all humanity" (Genesis 6:12; Psalms 145:21; Isaiah 40:5).

An important corollary to these basic ingredients of the Hebraic doctrine is the contrast, which again is found only in the later material of the Old Testament, between "flesh" and "spirit". Flesh stands for weakness, spirit for strength. (cf. Isaiah 31:3, "The Egyptians are men and not God; and their horses flesh and not spirit", which is the classic example. The implication is that man's strength depends upon his realizing his vocation to enjoy God for ever. Mankind without the spirit of God is inherently weak and unstable.)

In recent years Professor W. D. Davies\(^2\) and others have sought to demonstrate that St. Paul's doctrine of man emerges naturally and logically from his study of the Old Testament and Rabbinic Judaism. This is not to say that Hellenistic influence is not to be discerned at all in the Pauline epistles, but rather that it is not the controlling influence. In its main outlines, their thesis has survived critical scrutiny and has come to be generally accepted, though some of the details are open to question. In fact, St. Paul reproduces all the basic affirmations of the Old Testament, noted above, and re-affirms them of Man in Christ, the Perfect Man. For example, the Old Testament affirmation that man is made in the image of God becomes, in the Pauline epistles, the affirmation that Christ is the perfect image of God (Colossians 3:9-10; II Corinthians 3:18; 4:4). The affirmation that man is the crown of all creation becomes the affirmation that Christ is the crown of all creation, and man is summed up in Christ (Ephesians 1:22; Colossians 1:15-17).

In this study we are concerned with the way in which St. Paul re-affirms the two principal themes of the Old Testament doctrine: that a man is himself a unity, and that Man (or mankind) is a unity. The crucial text for the evaluation of the first theme is I Thessalonians 5:23:

"May the God of peace himself sanctify you wholly (holoteleis), and may your spirit and soul and body be kept sound (holokleron)"

---

and blameless at the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ” (RSV). The emphasis of this passage is upon the words “wholly” and “sound” (literally “whole, perfect, or complete”); i.e., this is no proof text for a trichotomous view of man in St. Paul’s theology. Rather, St. Paul is considering man “spirit-wise”, “soul-wise”, and “body-wise”; man in so far as he is capable of worship, life, and fellowship.

St. Paul does, however, introduce certain antithetical distinctions, which are not found in the Old Testament, into his concept of man. These are:

1. Outward man, inward man (II Corinthians 4:16).
2. Natural (psychikos) man, spiritual (pneumatikos) man (I Corinthians 2:14).

The natural man and the outward man are correlative, as are the spiritual man and the inward man. The outward man belongs to the sphere of sarx (flesh), whereas the spiritual man belongs to the sphere of pneuma (spirit). As in the Old Testament theology, “flesh” is not to be understood as part of the body, nor yet of the stuff of which it is made, but as a body or a person considered in its external, physical existence; it signifies man in contrast with God, man in his weakness and mortality (Romans 8:1-11). “Spirit”, on the other hand, stands for man in his God-given strength and capacity for worship (Romans 1:9). Consequently, the two antitheses, inward/outward and spiritual/natural, are intended to illustrate different aspects of man as a unity; they do not represent differentiated entities.

St. Paul uses two words to describe the unity of mankind, man in his solidarity with all men: sarx (flesh) and soma (body). And, as Dr. Robinson well says, “While sarx stands for man, in the solidarity of creation, in his distance from God, soma stands for man, in the solidarity of creation, as made for God”. St. Paul so frequently associates flesh with sin that it is often mistakenly supposed that he thought that the flesh was inherently sinful. Actually, as W. D. Davies points out, the flesh is not, in St. Paul’s view, inherently sinful; it is simply “the basis from which sin attacks men”. In itself it is morally neutral or indifferent, and there is a strain in St. Paul’s thought which implies that it can and does act as the vehicle of God’s purpose (II Corinthians 4:7-11; Colossians...

---

1 The N.E.B. here, as elsewhere, paraphrases rather than translates, and is quite unreliable for the purpose of accurate exegesis.
1:22, 24). Here, St. Paul's teaching is in complete accord with that of the Fourth Gospel, wherein "flesh" is used "to describe the realm of the (merely) human, in contrast to the realm of the divine. . . . From this point of view humanity can be described as 'all flesh' (St. John 17:2; cf. Isaiah 40:6), in its transitoriness, weakness and purposelessness". But the Word became flesh and thereby demonstrated "what is the purpose and what are the possibilities of this realm or order of 'flesh', which should be but has not been subject to its Maker".

The translation of the Old Testament into Greek, and the use of the Septuagint as an authoritative source of doctrine by the Church from the earliest days of our era, inevitably led to a syncretism of Biblical and Hellenistic ideas about the nature of man in doctrinal speculation. When a Greek read the words soma, sarx, psyche, nous, pneuma, etc., in the Bible, he naturally understood them to mean what they had always meant to him in non-Biblical literature. The result was that Biblical theology was thoroughly Platonized, and the original significance of St. Paul's words was utterly lost. St. Thomas' amalgamation of the current Platonic anthropology with the teaching of Aristotle went some way towards restoring the Biblical emphasis upon man's unity, but not nearly far enough. It is perfectly plain that nothing in St. Thomas' writings can match the profundity of St. Paul's insight into the doctrine of man's corporate personality, which he inherited from Judaism. And the restoration of that insight is now an urgent necessity, if the Queen of the Sciences is to make her proper contribution to man's fuller understanding of himself in the twentieth century.

The Church's ministry of healing is to man, himself a unity and in unity with all mankind. The use of the words Flesh, Body, Soul, Spirit, and Mind, in the theology of this ministry requires particular care, if the Biblical emphasis upon man's individual and corporate unity is to be preserved.

"Flesh" should be used to signify man in his individual and corporate weakness, his distance from God, his helplessness without God. But the flesh is also the instrument of our redemption: the Word became flesh, and the resurrection of the flesh in the risen body of Christ is an article of our Faith (carnis resurrectionem, resurrection of the flesh, is incorrectly translated "the resurrection of the Body" in the Prayer Book rendering of the Apostles' Creed).

2 Ibid., p. 84.
“Body” should be used to signify man in his individual and corporate strength (more especially the latter), man in communion with all men in the Body of Christ.

“Soul” should be used to signify man’s individuality, or personality, man as a living being.

“Spirit” should also be used to refer to man’s individuality, but it has, even in the Old Testament, a slightly different connotation. As J. Pedersen wrote, “The spirit is more particularly the motive power of the soul. It does not mean the center of the soul, but the strength emanating from it and, in its turn, reacting upon it. Man in his totality is a nephesh (soul), but he has a ruach (spirit) and a heart”. The spirit of man is his response to God’s Holy, Creator Spirit.

“Mind” is the least common of all five terms in the Bible, and is used to translate a number of different Hebrew and Greek words. Furthermore, whereas we ordinarily use the word mind to denote the intellect, both the Old and the New Testaments prefer the word heart to indicate reason and will. In view of these facts, it would not seem to be possible to attain complete clarity and unanimity in the theological use of the word. Theologians will, however, be quite true to the Biblical tradition if, like many modern philosophers, they avoid speaking of the mind as if it were an independent, invisible entity “inside” us.

**Exegesis of I Corinthians 15:45**

In considering the Biblical uses of these words, *I Corinthians* 15:45 needs to be understood. It is one of the more difficult passages in a very difficult chapter.

Two preliminary points:

1. St. Paul is talking about the eschatos (last) Adam; i.e., “the Adam of the end-time” as Alan Richardson puts it rather nicely. So we are not to think of Christ as he was, but as he will be in the end: Risen, Ascended, Glorified.

2. The New Testament does make a distinction between Christ and the Spirit during the days of Christ’s earthly life, but after the Resurrection the distinction becomes blurred, and the New Testament writers do not make a clear distinction between the Risen Christ and the Holy Spirit; *e.g., Romans* 8:11; *I St. Peter* 3:18; *II Corinthians* 3:17.

---

Consequently, it seems to me that St. Paul is talking, in *I Corinthians* 15:45, about our resurrection, which is effected by the Holy Spirit, through our participation in the glorified body of the risen Lord. Quoting J. A. T. Robinson, "A new part of the *soma* of creation, which is made 'for the Lord', begins its release (sc., in Baptism) from 'the bondage of corruption' (*Romans* 8:21), to which its identification with the *sarx* has doomed it. Its ultimate destiny, by incorporation into the Body of Christ, is its transformation from being a natural body to become a *soma pneumatikon* (*I Corinthians* 15:44); from being a body that is merely a 'living soul', 'earthy', a-d 'mortal', to one quickened by the life-giving Spirit of the last, or heavenly, Adam (*Romans* 8:11; *I Corinthians* 15:45-9)." (*The Body*, p. 80.)

This passage becomes more clear when read in the light of *Philippians* 3:20-21.

**SUPPLEMENT B**

**ON THE HEALING MINISTRY**

**AND THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION**

By the Rev. Don H. Gross

The healing ministry requires that pastoral theology and pastoral psychology must reach far beyond a problem-centered approach which examines isolated areas (such as alcoholism or marriage counselling). Problem areas cannot be ignored, and the wisdom of secular arts and sciences is needed, but the goal of "wholeness" is of primary concern in the Church's healing ministry. Thus, the spiritual contribution of Christian faith and life must be the primary goal in pastoral care, and secular attempts at human problem-solving must not be allowed to overrun the Church and crowd out her own spiritual ministrations. An unrelenting effort should be made to transfigure human art and wisdom and to incorporate them into that healing ministry which belongs distinctively to the Church. In this way, the "healing ministry" will not seem to be some peripheral and esoteric subject occasionally included in the seminary courses of a minority of students. Instead, pastoral care must be seen in perspective as one aspect of the Church's healing ministry.

Perhaps the most far-reaching implication of the renewal of the healing ministry is its serious estimate of the power of the Holy Spirit to renew and integrate human beings. This means that any tendency to a one-sided emphasis on the externals of religion must
be matched by a serious concern for growth in the inward life of the spirit; any tendency to one-sided attention to theology in a historic setting must be balanced by a "psychology" of the living response to Christ's healing acts today; any tendency to a one-sided affirmation of the great dogmas of the historic Church must be held in a creative tension with today's vital experience of God and with contemporary attempts to understand religious experience in terms of psychology and psychiatry; any tendency to a one-sided cherishing of our inherited spiritual treasures must be balanced by our willingness to enter into the great adventure of the human spirit in our time, and by our desire to find in the healing ministry an unparalleled opportunity for dialogue with the world, a world which yearns for wholeness but is not aware of its Creator or its Healer. This dialogue points toward the eventual incorporation of psychiatric insights into the preparation of our clergy, and toward the sharing of theological wisdom with our psychiatrists, physicians, and psychologists. Above all, the real-life phenomena of spiritual growth and healing must be appreciated and understood. A "classic" theological education limited to a historical and philosophical approach is not sufficient, since the healing ministry requires that our clergy not only know about God, but that they know God—and that they know the human heart as well. As such knowledge is born of experience, our clergy can follow with increasing confidence our Lord's commission to heal.

SUPPLEMENT C

1. A SERVICE OF HEALING

"The Minister shall begin the Service by reading the following sentences of Holy Scripture.

Hear what our Lord Jesus Christ saith.

Heal the sick and say unto them, The Kingdom of God is come nigh unto you. All things whatsoever ye pray and ask for, believe that ye receive them, and ye shall have them. St. Luke x. 9; St. Mark xi. 24.

Hear also what St. James saith.

Is any sick among you? Let him call for the elders of the Church, and let them pray over him, anointing him with oil in the name of the Lord. And the prayer of faith shall save the sick, and the Lord shall raise him up; and if he have committed sins, they shall be forgiven him. St. James v. 14, 15.
Hear also what St. Paul saith.

Be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind. God hath not given us the spirit of fear; but of power, and of love, and of a sound mind. Rom. xii. 2; II Tim. i. 7.

¶Then shall follow a Portion of the Psalms, at the discretion of the Minister. The following Psalms, 91, 103, 20, 23, are especially appropriate.

¶Then shall be read a Lesson from Holy Scripture, chosen from the selections following.

ST. MATTHEW iv. 23–25; or, ix. 37—x. 1; or, xv. 29–31.
ST. MARK i. 21–35; or, vi. 53–56; or, ix. 14–29.
ST. LUKE ix. 1–6; or, x. 1–9; or, v. 12–16; or, vii. 1–10.
ST. JOHN ix. 1-end; or, iv. 46–54; or, xiv. 10–24.
ACTS iii 1–16; or, xxviii. 7–10.
ST. JAMES v. 13–16.

BUT NOTE: A reading from a book on Christian Healing may be substituted for, or read in addition to, the Scripture.

Intercessions

¶The Lesson ended, the Minister shall lead the People in Intercessory Prayer, all devoutly kneeling; the Minister first pronouncing,

Seeing that we have a great High Priest who has passed into the heavens, Jesus Christ, the Son of God, let us come boldly unto the throne of grace, that we may have mercy, and find grace to help in time of need.

Minister. O God, make speed to help us.
Answer. O Lord, make haste to help us.
Minister. The Lord be with you.
Answer. And with thy Spirit.
Minister. Let us pray.

Minister. As our Lord has taught us, we have confidence to say,

OUR FATHER, who art in heaven, Hallowed be Thy name. Thy Kingdom come. Thy will be done, On earth as it is in heaven. Give us this day our daily bread. And forgive us our trespasses, As we forgive those who trespass against us. And lead us not into temptation, But deliver us from evil. For Thine is the Kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for ever and ever. Amen.
Minister. Remembering that all of God's children are near and dear to Him, wherever they may be, let us first pray for those who desire our prayers, many of whom cannot be with us this day.

Let us pray for those who are ill in body, distressed in mind, or troubled in spirit.

BLESSED Jesus, we bring into Thy loving care and protection, on the stretchers of our prayers, all those who are sick in mind or body or soul. Take from them all fears and help them ever to put their trust in Thee, that they may feel beneath them Thy everlasting arms. Cleanse them of all resentments, jealousy, self-pity, pride, or anything else that might block Thy healing power. Fill them with the sense of Thy loving Presence, that they may experience the Kingdom of Love in their hearts. Touch them with Thy divine, transforming power, that they may be healed and live to glorify Thee, to be used by Thee to build Thy Kingdom on earth as it is in heaven. We thank Thee. Amen.

Minister. Let us now pray for ourselves, first putting ourselves, body, mind, and spirit, in the healing Presence of Christ.

¶Then shall silence be kept for a space, after which the Minister shall say,

Minister. Lord, hear our prayer.
Answer. And let our cry come unto Thee.

Affirmation

Minister. O Lord, save Thy servants.
Answer. Who put their trust in Thee.
Minister. Send unto them help from above.
Answer. And evermore mightily defend them.

Minister. As I abide in Christ I am supplied with all the spiritual resources required for my needs.
Answer. As I abide in Christ I am free from fear and have quietness and confidence within.

Minister. As I abide in Christ I am at one with God and know the peace of God which passes understanding.
Answer. I can do all things through Christ who strengthens me.
Minister. I believe on the Son of God; therefore I am in Him.
Answer. Give peace for all time, O Lord, and fill the hearts of all men everywhere with the Spirit of our Lord Jesus Christ.
Minister. Who shall separate us from the love of Christ? Shall tribulations or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword?

Minister and People. Nay, in all of these things we are more than conquerors through Him that loved us. For I am persuaded, that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.

Confession of Sins

Minister. Let us humbly confess our sins unto Almighty God.

In our confession let us think not only of our sins of commission, but the sins of omission. Let us think, too, of the sins of the disposition, as well as of the gross sins: bitterness, worry, hurt-feelings, resentment, jealousy, spiritual pride, living in the past, self-love, self-pity.

Let us identify ourselves with the corporate sin of our day, the problems that plague human kind: war and peace; poverty and plenty; ignorance and enlightenment; discrimination and acceptance; delinquency and maturity.

Then shall be said, by Minister and People together, the following General Confession.

O ALMIGHTY Father, Lord of Heaven and earth, We confess that we have sinned against Thee in thought, word, and deed. Have mercy upon us, O God, after Thy great goodness; According to the multitude of Thy great mercies, Do away our offenses And cleanse us from our sins; For Jesus Christ's sake. Amen.

The Absolution

To be said by the Priest alone, standing; the People still kneeling.

THE ALMIGHTY and merciful Lord grant you Absolution and Remission of all your sins, true repentance, amendment of life, and the grace and consolation of the Holy Spirit. Amen.

Invocation

After which, all standing, there shall be said or sung the Veni, Creator Spiritus; the Minister beginning, and the people answering by verses, as followeth, the Minister first pronouncing,
Let us invoke the Holy Spirit.

\textit{Veni, Creator Spiritus}

Come Holy Ghost, our souls inspire,  
\textit{And lighten with celestial fire.}
Thou the anointing Spirit art,  
\textit{Who dost Thy sevenfold gifts impart.}

Thy blessed unction from above,  
\textit{Is comfort, life, and fire of love.}
Enable with perpetual light  
\textit{The dulness of our blinded sight.}

Anoint and cheer our soiled face  
\textit{With the abundance of Thy grace.}
Keep far our foes, give peace at home;  
\textit{Where Thou art Guide, no ill can come.}

Teach us to know the Father, Son,  
\textit{And Thee, of both, to be but One,}
That, through the ages all along,  
\textit{This may be our endless song.}

\textit{Minister and People}. Praise to Thy eternal merit,  
Father, Son and Holy Spirit. Amen.

\textit{Then followeth a Sermon.}

\textit{The Laying-on-of-Hands}

\textit{The Sermon ended, those who are ready to receive the prayers will come forward to the Communion Rail. The Minister, turning to the people, shall first say,}

\textsc{The Almighty Lord, who is a most strong tower to all those who put their trust in Him, to whom all things in heaven, in earth, and under the earth, do bow and obey; be now and evermore your defense; and make you know and feel that there is none other Name under heaven given to man, in whom, and through whom, you may receive health and salvation, but only the Name of our Lord Jesus Christ.} \textit{Amen.}

\textit{At the Laying-on-of-Hands, the Minister shall say one of the sentences following over each person, at his discretion.}
DEFEND, strengthen, and heal, O Lord, this Thy child with Thy heavenly grace, that all pain and sickness may be banished and the blessing of health be his according to Thy gracious will. Amen.

OUR HOLY Lord fill your life with His healing grace and abide in you. Cast out every shadow, and fill you with His light; cast out every fear, and fill you with His love; cast out every weakness, and fill you with His strength. The Lord possess you, body, mind, and spirit, and heal you in the name of Christ. Amen.

OUR LORD, Jesus Christ, who gave authority to His disciples that they should lay hands upon the sick that they might recover, have mercy upon you and strengthen you in spirit, soul, and body, and give you faith in His power to heal. And, by His authority committed unto me, I lay my hands upon you that you may recover your full health and strength; in the name of Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

GOD THE FATHER, bless you; God the Son, heal you; God the Holy Spirit, sanctify you. And may your whole spirit, and soul, and body, be preserved blameless unto the Presence of our Lord Jesus Christ. Amen.

MAY the unseen hands of the Great Physician rest upon you now in divine blessing and healing; may the cleansing stream of His pure life fill your whole being, body, mind, and spirit, to strengthen and heal you. Amen.

O GOD, the Source of life, of health, and of healing; grant Thy blessing upon this Thy servant, that he may be so filled with Thy Love, that he may know in his body, his mind, his soul, and his relationships, Thy cleansing, healing, transforming power. Amen.

WE LIFT this Thy servant, who is in need, into Thy Presence, O Lord. We know not what is best for him, but Thou knowest. Lay Thy healing hand, O Lord, upon him and let Thy great power flow in and through him; giving him all that is needful for health of body, health of mind, and health of soul. Amen.

O GOD, the only Source of life, of health, and of healing; may this Thy servant be conscious now of Thy healing, saving power, manifesting itself in his life. May he, with the eyes of faith, behold the hands of Thy Son Jesus Christ, now resting upon him, and believe that His touch has still the ancient power to heal. May he be conscious that the divine life of God is now supporting him and comforting him and shall be with him always. Complete, dear Lord, the work begun in Jesus' name. Amen.
HEALING

Thanksgiving

After the people have returned from the Communion Rail, the Minister shall say,
Lift up your hearts.
Answer. We lift them up unto the Lord.
Minister. Let us give thanks unto our Lord God.
Answer. It is meet and right so to do.

It is very meet, right, and our bounden duty, that we should at all times, and in all places, give thanks unto Thee O Lord, Holy Father, the Giver of health and salvation; whose only-begotten Son came into the world that we might have life, and have it abundantly; who in His love for men ministered to their bodily infirmities, and gave both power and commandment to His disciples likewise to heal the sick; we yield Thee hearty thanks and most high praise that Thou hast this day continued Thy healing work among us. Make us ever mindful of Thy mercies, that we may continue Thy faithful servants unto our life's end; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

The People kneeling, the Priest shall let them depart with this Blessing.

GOD THE FATHER, God the Son, God the Holy Spirit, bless, preserve, and keep you; the Lord mercifully with His favor look upon you, and fill you with all spiritual benediction and grace, that ye may so live in this life, that in the world to come ye may have life everlasting. Amen.

2. HEALING SERVICES BASED UPON THE PRAYER BOOK

By the Rev. Don H. Gross, Ph.D.

I. In Connection with Holy Communion:

A. The normal Prayer Book service beginning on page 67, with

1. A sermon or meditation on a healing theme (consistent with the last rubric on page 71).

2. Then special intercessions for the sick and for those who are responsible for the care of the sick.

3. Then the prayer at the center of page 314, followed by an invitation to come forward to the altar-rail for the Laying-on-of-Hands.
4. Anointing, or the Laying-on-of-Hands, using one or both of the prayers on page 320 with each individual. This prayer might be slightly modified to suit the occasion. If a large number of people are involved, several prayers may be alternated instead of the continuous repetition of one of those on page 320.

Although the second rubric of page 71 places special prayers before the sermon, the intercessions for the sick and the Anointing or Laying-on-of-Hands seem more appropriate here, following the sermon, since it is desirable that the people be instructed as well as possible before participating in healing rites. (Indeed, some people may need even more preparation than that possible within a single service. Cf. Chapter VI of *The Church's Ministry of Healing*.)

5. Then the remainder of the Communion service, pages 72 ff.

B. The normal Communion service, with

1. Intercessions for the sick according to the second rubric of page 71.

2. Sermon or meditation on a healing theme, in accordance with the third rubric of page 71.

3. Anointing or the Laying-on-of-Hands immediately following the reception of Communion (page 83), for those who indicate their desire for it by remaining kneeling at the altar-rail.

C. Either of the above orders of service, but using the Communion of the Sick (pages 321–322) rather than the normal Communion service. However,

1. The Collect, Epistle, and Gospel, on page 322 are definitely preferable to those on page 321, and

2. A sermon or meditation should not be omitted. It can follow the Gospel.

II. In connection with Morning or Evening Prayer:

A. The General Confession and Declaration of Absolution are of a healing nature and should not be omitted.

---

B. The Psalm and Lesson(s) may be chosen to have a healing theme.²

C. The choice of Canticles may be governed by a desire to emphasize healing; for instance, either the Deus misereatur on page 28, or (even more) the Benedic, anima mea on page 29, is particularly appropriate when using Evening Prayer.

D. The General Thanksgiving can include particular expressions of thanks for individuals who have received God's healing grace, in accordance with the parenthetical section.

E. An appropriate sermon or meditation should be included.

F. Intercessions, Anointing, or Laying-on-of-Hands for healing, and final prayers and Blessing can then conclude the service.

3. PRAYERS AND SENTENCES FOR USE AT THE LAYING-ON-OF-HANDS

May the mercy of God, and the love of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the power of His Holy Spirit, which are here now, enter your soul, your mind, and your body, for healing. Amen.

O God, the Source of life, of health, and of healing; grant Thy blessing upon this Thy servant, who now turns to Thee in true faith; that he may experience Thy healing in body and soul, and be restored to normal health, to give Thy name the praise. Amen.

We thank Thee, O Lord, that the body, mind, and soul, of this Thy servant are now being made strong and whole by the renewing, vitalizing, energizing life of the Holy Spirit that dwells within him. Amen.

Our Holy Lord fill your life with His healing grace and abide in you. Cast out every shadow, and fill you with His light; cast out every fear, and fill you with His love; cast out every weakness, and fill you with His strength. The Lord possess you, body, mind, and spirit, and heal you in the name of Christ. Amen.

Our Lord, Jesus Christ, who gave authority to His disciples that they should lay hands upon the sick that they might recover, have mercy upon you and strengthen you in spirit, soul, and body, and give you faith in His power to heal. And, by His authority committed unto me, I lay my hands upon you that you may recover your full health and strength; in the name of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen.

² Cf. the next-to-the-last sentence under, "Concerning the Service of the Church", page viii: "Upon special occasions the Minister may select such Psalms and Lessons as he may think suitable."
May the forgiveness, mercy, and love, of the Holy Spirit flood your soul, and every cell and tissue of your body, at this moment, releasing the healing power of the Kingdom of Heaven that is within you. Amen.

God the Father, bless you; God the Son, heal you; God the Holy Spirit, sanctify you. And may your whole spirit, and soul, and body, be preserved blameless unto the Presence of our Lord Jesus Christ. Amen.

God is your strength. God's healing touch is upon you to release you from all distress and drive away all pain of soul and body. In the Name of Christ, be thou healed and restored to soundness of health. Amen.

May the unseen hands of the Great Physician rest upon you now in divine blessing and healing; may the cleansing stream of His pure life fill your whole being, body, mind, and spirit, to strengthen and heal you. Amen.

The Light of Christ now glows in and through every cell of your body. You are now filled with the peace, vitality, power, and strength, of the Christ that dwells within you. The life forces now are flowing freely, peacefully, and harmoniously, into every part of your body, mind, and soul, and you are now being made whole, and we thank Thee, O Lord. Amen.

O God, the Source of life, of health, and of healing; grant Thy blessing upon this Thy servant that he may be so filled with Thy love, that he may know in his body, his mind, his soul, and his relationships, Thy cleansing, healing, transforming power. Amen.

We lift this Thy servant, who is in need, into Thy Presence, O Lord. We know not what is best for him, but Thou knowest. Lay Thy healing hand, O Lord, upon him and let Thy power flow in and through him; giving him all that is needful for health of body, health of mind, and health of soul. Amen.

O God, the only Source of life, of health, and of healing; may this Thy servant be conscious now of Thy healing, saving power, manifesting itself in his life. May he with the eyes of faith behold the hands of Thy Son Jesus Christ now resting upon him and believe that His touch has still the ancient power to heal. May he be conscious that the divine life of God is now supporting and comforting him and shall be with him always. Complete, dear Lord, the work begun in Jesus' Name. Amen.
CONCLUSION

The Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing concludes its report with the following statements:

1. The Commission feels that since healing was always central and present in the life of the Church in the New Testament and in the early undivided Apostolic Church, the Church today must be called back to this complete conception of her mission and ministry, including special services of healing within a liturgical context.

By the "ministry of healing" the Commission means that activity by which, through the power of the Holy Spirit, the Church endeavors to bring healing to the whole man and thus raise his spirit into harmony with, and right relationship to, God. To accomplish this, the Commission believes that the Church must use all scientific tools and skills, as well as faith, and prayer, and Sacrament. We need the whole truth for the whole man. The Commission affirms that the Church's ministry of healing is an act of obedience to the command and example of our Lord Jesus Christ.

2. The Commission affirms that the active principle involved in all healing is God the Holy Ghost, Lord and Giver of life.

3. The Commission believes that the motivating power and the goal of all healing prayers are to bring the "brokenness" of the sufferer, individual or corporate, into a "oneness" with the will, and purpose, and love, of God. It acknowledges that all our striving is to find God and live in relationship with Him. It affirms that all tangible or physical cures or healings are corollaries added unto the sick seeker, whether desired or deserved.

4. The Commission calls upon the Church to recognize the all-inclusive ministry of reconciliation, and to give training, and to make all possible use of those who have by personal experience been called to this ministry.

5. The Commission urges the Church to exhort all her ordained ministers to promote and to lead public and private services of healing and intercession, remembering especially their role as guardians and dispensers of all the Sacraments.

6. The Commission believes that the Church should call upon her seminaries and those supervising the training of men for the priesthood to teach, instruct, and otherwise prepare such students for the priestly exercise of the ministry of healing.

7. The Commission calls upon the Church to sponsor a special study or research program devoted to the question of how clergy-
men can be better prepared and trained to exercise the healing ministry.

8. Finally, your Commission recommends the adoption of the following Resolutions:

Resolved, The House of —— concurring, that the statements presented by the Joint Commission on the Ministry of Healing be received and adopted by this General Convention.

Resolved, The House of —— concurring, that the Joint Commission on Healing be continued for the next triennium and that a budget of $2,500.00 be allocated to it.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by General Convention ............... $1,800.00

Disbursements
Meetings, and expenses of Members attending Meetings.. $1,495.35
Typing, duplicating, and supplies ......................... 123.78

Total ................................................. $1,619.13

Balance ................................................ $ 180.87
APPENDIX 18

REPORT OF THE CHURCH HISTORICAL SOCIETY

I.

PERSONNEL AND ACTIVITIES

The retirement of the Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D., after twenty-five years as President of the Society, 1936–61, concluded a notable era in the development and service of The Church Historical Society. During his incumbency, the membership of the Society increased from 79 to 1135. He was chiefly instrumental in securing the designation of the Society as the official repository of the archives of the Church, by action of General Convention in 1940. He supervised and directed the removal of the library and collections of the Society from Philadelphia to Austin, Texas, in 1956, where it now has adequate and commodious facilities and space. He was also responsible in large measure for the transfer to the charge of the Society, from a separate commission of the General Convention, of the publication of the Historical Magazine of the Protestant Episcopal Church, of which he served as Editor from 1950 until his retirement in 1961. The Society is indebted to his devotion and industry for oversight of over forty of its publications of books, brochures, and tracts. It is good to have Canon Stowe's continued counsel as a member of the Executive Board, by virtue of his office as Historiographer of the Church. At the annual meeting of the Society, May 15, 1962, he was voted Honorary President of the Society.


The Society has been greatly saddened by the death on September 26, 1963, of the Rev. George MacLaren Brydon, D.D., the senior member of the Executive Board (he having served since 1927) and a member of the editorial board of the Historical Magazine since its founding in 1932. Dr. Brydon was also the author of the official prayer of the Society. Best known for his monumental two-
volume history of the Church in colonial Virginia, he served for almost a half-century as Historiographer of the Diocese of Virginia. A memoir of Dr. Brydon appears in the December, 1963, issue of the Historical Magazine.

During the triennium, the Society has published the following volumes:


Both volumes have been favorably received and reviewed, and have repaid the investment in their publication.

The Society has also been happy to serve as a distributing agent for *The Story of the Diocese of Connecticut, A New Branch of the Vine,* by Dr. Nelson Rollin Burr, a member of the Executive Board. The work was financed and published by the Church Missions Publishing Co., Hartford, Connecticut, and issued in 1962.

Arrangements have been made by the publications committee for two important source-books, which should be ready in the near future: an anthology of basic source-documents for the study of the history of the Episcopal Church, to be edited by the Rev. Dr. Samuel M. Garrett of The Church Divinity School of the Pacific; and a collection of pertinent papers of the Rt. Rev. Stephen F. Bayne, Jr., covering his five-year tenure as Executive Officer of the Anglican Communion.

A new venture of the Society that has proved of great value and interest has been the organization, within the structure of the Society, of the diocesan historiographers. This development has been largely the fruit of the zeal and efforts of Mr. Arthur Ben Chitty of the University of the South, Sewanee, who serves as co-ordinator of the group and editor of a newsletter sent periodically to the historiographers. The initial meeting of the group was held at the University of the South, August 18–19, 1961; a second gathering was organized in association with the annual meeting of the Society in Austin, May 15–16, 1963; and a third is projected in connection with the General Convention at St. Louis in October, 1964. The programs being developed by the historiographers under Mr. Chitty’s leadership hold much promise for the development and proper care of diocesan archives.

A major concern of the past triennium has been promotion of increased membership in the Society. For a number of years, the
membership has remained a little over 1,000. The Executive Board believes, however, that in a body the size of the Episcopal Church, a Society such as this should have at least 5,000 members. Such an increase would insure a greater stability and more adequate resources for the publications of the Society, and make possible larger services to scholars and students in the use of its collections. A revised and illustrated brochure about the Society was published in the Autumn of 1962, and is available on request. It outlines in detail the work and the needs of the Society. Statistics of membership over the past triennium are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Subscribers</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 31, 1960</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 31, 1961</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 31, 1962</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>1249*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 31, 1963</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>1280*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Beginning in 1962, the Society has abandoned the plan of enrolling "Life Members"; and with the taking over of the *Historical Magazine*, a new category of membership that includes a subscription to the Magazine has been offered in place of the older annual dues. See section II. *Note: 45 of the Life Members are also Subscribers.*

II.

THE HISTORICAL MAGAZINE

In accordance with the action of the General Convention of 1961, effective January 1, 1962, the publication of the *Historical Magazine of the Protestant Episcopal Church* was transferred from the Joint Commission on the Historical Magazine to the Church Historical Society. In this same year (1961), Canon Stowe retired as Editor, and the Executive Board of the Society designated the Rev. Lawrence L. Brown, D.D., to serve as Editor, and the Rev. William A. Clebsch, Th.D., as Book Editor. At the same time, an editorial board of thirty-nine members, to act in an advisory capacity, was appointed by the President of the Society, in consultation with the new Editor. The Board includes bishops, other clergymen, and laymen, and represents all eight provinces of the Church.

With the transfer of the Magazine, the Society began offering a subscription membership at $6.00 per year, affording those subscribers who had also belonged to the Society a saving of $1.00 per year. The response to this offer was heartening. By January, 1963, there were 851 paid subscribers, and this increased to 905 by December 31, 1963.
The income from subscriptions, plus the $1,000.00 per year subsidy, have enabled the Editor to increase slightly the size of the Magazine, and to meet the expenses of publication. The increased size has made possible a new feature in the Magazine, "Documentary History of the American Church", consisting of documents that are not available generally. This has been of great service to those who are engaged in the study and writing of American history.

The fine offering of manuscripts, screened by the editorial board, has enabled the Editor to make selections of essays of high quality, and hence to continue and maintain the esteem which the publication has held in scholarly circles throughout its 32 years of service.

III.

ARCHIVES

At least three-fourths of the holdings in the Society's custody are archival. These fall into four main divisions, according to their origin, as follows:


C. Archives, Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society.


Not all of the Society's holdings are archival in origin; the library also contains private papers of bishops, other clergymen, and laymen, manuscript collections, periodicals, pamphlets, and books.

The pressing task at this time is the arranging of the papers of the General Convention, to make them accessible to researchers. These papers, especially those of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, are a valuable source for historians. The file of missionary correspondence dates from 1820 down to the present. It contains letters and reports of missionaries, with descriptions of their activities and insights into their times.

The archives of the General Convention are in various stages of arrangement and accessibility. The earliest collection, formerly in
the New York Historical Society, is in excellent order. Sections of
the Domestic and Foreign Missionary archives are easily accessible;
the manuscripts and printed minutes of the Society and the Alaska
holdings are arranged. Other materials are available, but more time
may be required in locating them. Anyone wishing to use these should
write ahead in order to insure no loss of time when he arrives in
Austin. The National Council papers are in general order, but will
require months of work before they are carefully arranged. Like­
wise, the memorials, petitions, etc., of the General Convention will
require sorting.

During the last two years, the Archivist has had a competent
part-time assistant for arranging the papers of the General Conven­
tion. A Ph.D. candidate in history at the University of Texas, he has
worked diligently; but additional staff is needed for this task. The
backlog of papers of the General Convention forms a massive bulk
of materials, made more complicated by the fact that the order of
their origin has been lost in many cases. Thus, the task of arranging
them as they originated in the life and structure of the Church must
necessarily move slowly.

The archives and library have a research assistant on duty from
2:00 to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except on holidays; and
the facilities are available at other times by appointment. Researchers
are requested to write ahead for Research Permits before coming to
Austin. In many instances, it is necessary to spend hours locating
papers. The archives have recently employed a person to make
microfilm reproductions of materials needed by researchers, and
will therefore be able to offer wider services in this area.

Statistics for the period from May, 1961, through December, 1963,
are encouraging. From May, 1961, to May, 1962, about 300 different
items were used; from May, 1962, to May, 1963, about 700; and from
May, 1963, to December, 1963, about 600. (An item refers both to
single units and a folder or box of papers.) A survey of researchers
and their projects for the period is as follows:

Researchers:
Two chairmen of university history departments (Denver; Tulane).
One member of history department (Harvard).
Three seminary professors (Episcopal Theological Seminary of the
Southwest).
Four candidates for Ph.D. degrees (Chicago; Texas; Harvard; McGill).
Nine diocesan historiographers.
Three candidates for M.A. degrees (Texas).
One missionary from the Philippines.
Two laywomen, one clergyman, writing parish histories.
One laywoman writing about a missionary district.
Projects:

Two diocesan histories (Texas; Colorado).

The publication of Journals of Protestant Episcopal Church in the Confederate States of America.

A study project for a Joint Commission of the General Convention.

Three doctoral dissertations (using Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society correspondence on Alaska and Indian affairs, and the Bishop Hobart papers).

One book (using Church periodicals for the 19th century).

One book (using Bishop Hobart correspondence).

Three research papers by University of Texas graduate students (using Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society papers on Alaska, diocesan journals, Church periodicals).

One master's thesis (using Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society correspondence on Alaska).

Three parish histories.

Projects on two missionary areas (Haiti, Philippines).

One research paper by a seminarian on the Diocese of Oregon.

One research paper by a University of Texas undergraduate on Negro work in the Diocese of Texas.

These cover only large projects; smaller projects have been in progress and a large number of single items have been used by clergymen and lay people.

The Archivist finds many reasons for encouragement in reviewing the work for the current triennium. Two competent assistants—Mrs. Tom Mabry, secretary, and Mr. Leland Bellot, research assistant—have worked faithfully. The task of arranging papers has moved along steadily toward a well-arranged archival collection for the Church. The process is slow and requires long hours. The crucial matter at this time is the necessity for additional staff members. The following list of the acquisitions for this triennium illustrates the continuous flow of accessions which must be incorporated into the archives.

I. Acquisitions—Archives of the General Convention:

A. Archives of the General Convention. Collection originally held by the New York Historical Society and now in the archives of the Church Historical Society, Austin.

Fifty-seven folio volumes of manuscripts: The Rt. Rev. John Henry Hobart—40 volumes, 1757-1822; the Rev. Samuel Peters—8 volumes, 1773-1822; the Rt. Rev. John Stark Ravenscroft—2 volumes, 1818-1830, plus his Journal, 1823-1828; the Rev. William Smith—3 volumes, 1707-1836. A small number of printed items is found in these volumes. Seventeen volumes of Hawks' transcripts, 1650-1812. Two hundred ninety-nine unbound items include various transcripts and original manuscripts collected by the Rev. Dr. Francis L. Hawks as Conservator for the General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, and also in the course of his own research. The collection includes materials
of interest for secular as well as Church history during the Colonial and
early National periods (ca. 1679-1855): Correspondence of the Rev. Dr.
Samuel Johnson, the Rev. Charles Inglis, the Rev. William Smith, the
Rt. Rev. William White, and Mr. John Stanly; and miscellaneous items
dealing with the early history of Maryland, Massachusetts, New York,
North Carolina, and Pennsylvania.

B. The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, The Protestant Epis­
copal Church in the United States of America.

Nine wooden crates sent to Austin from the Church Missions House,
281 Park Avenue South, New York, containing original minutes of Board
of Missions (Foreign Committee, Domestic Committee, Executive Com­
mittee of Board of Directors, minutes of Indian Commission, et al., 1822–
1918); minutes of the Executive Committee of American Church Missionary
Society, 1903-1930.

C. The National Council, The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United
States of America.

Six tons of archival material sent from the Church Missions House,
281 Park Avenue South, New York, at the time of the move to the Episcopal
Church Center, 815 Second Avenue, New York, containing the master file
of diocesan periodicals from the office of the Secretary of the General
Convention; papers and items from departments of the National Council;
papers of Presiding Bishops; microfilm of the minutes of the National
Council with indices, 1919-1959; miscellaneous items.

D. Joint Commissions of the General Convention, The Protestant
Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

Four file drawers from the Washington Cathedral, Washington, D. C.,
containing papers of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity and
the Joint Commission on Theological Education.

The late Rev. Dr. Charles D. Kean sent minutes of the Joint Commission
on Approaches to Unity, 1949-1961.

II. Private Papers:

A. Diaries of the Rev. A. R. Hoare, missionary to Alaska, and related
items, including a letter from Bishop Rowe. The diaries cover the years
1912-1917.

B. Papers of the Rt. Rev. James Rideout Winchester, Coadjutor of
Arkansas in 1911 and Diocesan, 1912-1931: correspondence, sermons, clipp­
ings, and scrapbooks.

C. Microfilm of family papers of the Rt. Rev. Stephen Elliott, Bishop of
Georgia, 1841-1866; the Rt. Rev. Robert Woodward Barnwell Elliott,
Bishop of West Texas, 1874-1887.

D. Additional papers of the Rev. Edgar L. Pennington: personal journals,
scrapbooks, correspondence, books of appointments and parish activities,
sermons and sermon texts, plus other miscellaneous items.

E. Two small collections of correspondence purchased from a dealer,
containing letters signed by outstanding Churchmen: the Rt. Rev. Charles C.
Grafton, the Rev. J. Lloyd Breck, the Rev. William Reed Huntington, the

F. Private papers of the Rt. Rev. Peter Trimble Rowe, including corre­
spondence and clippings.
G. Papers of the Rt. Rev. F. R. Graves, Shanghai, China: manuscripts, correspondence, clippings, letterbook, printed items, scrapbook, and diaries.

III. Other Acquisitions:


A lot of abolitionists' newspapers, containing clippings concerning the Episcopal Church and the Negro, 1840–1865; and a copy of Samuel Seabury, American Slavery Distinguished from the Slavery of English Theorists, as Justified by the Law of Nature, 1861.

Publications of the Seabury Press, Forward Movement, Holy Cross Press, the Episcopal Book Club, the National Council.

File of the Fellowship Series from Morehouse-Barlow Company.

Periodicals from the General Theological Seminary Library.


Diocesan and Missionary District journals and periodicals; national Church periodicals; seminary catalogues and other mailing pieces.

Literature from the Episcopal Society for Cultural and Racial Unity; the Overseas Mission Society; a number of Religious Orders in the Church.


Single items sent in by Churchmen, too numerous to be listed here.

IV.

FINANCIAL REPORT

January 1, 1961–December 31, 1963

Balance on hand, January 1, 1961 .......................... $ 3,837.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receipts</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Conv. Budget #1.</td>
<td>$13,083.24</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$16,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Conv. Budget #2.</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Council.....</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Conv. Historical Magazine........</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Dues...........</td>
<td>1,721.00</td>
<td>442.00</td>
<td>482.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions.........</td>
<td>1,206.00</td>
<td>3,134.55</td>
<td>4,696.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications Sales....</td>
<td>1,145.24</td>
<td>2,299.85</td>
<td>2,975.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous, CHS....</td>
<td>284.37</td>
<td>382.51</td>
<td>1,036.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous, Historical Magazine.....</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>597.50</td>
<td>615.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy, Pub. #52.....</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS.............</td>
<td>$18,639.85</td>
<td>$23,056.41</td>
<td>$27,506.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$73,039.78
## Disbursements

### Archives-Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
<th>Amount 3</th>
<th>Amount 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Office</td>
<td>$6,358.55</td>
<td>$6,539.10</td>
<td>$7,167.25</td>
<td>$20,064.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Help</td>
<td>1,246.82</td>
<td>1,576.48</td>
<td>1,678.13</td>
<td>4,501.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withholding Taxes</td>
<td>1,504.06</td>
<td>1,680.75</td>
<td>2,172.26</td>
<td>5,357.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>267.76</td>
<td>288.55</td>
<td>336.27</td>
<td>892.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Fund</td>
<td>425.50</td>
<td>425.50</td>
<td>425.50</td>
<td>1,276.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>83.56</td>
<td>101.38</td>
<td>102.24</td>
<td>287.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>630.11</td>
<td>655.14</td>
<td>781.73</td>
<td>2,066.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>1,087.51</td>
<td>664.34</td>
<td>1,029.34</td>
<td>2,781.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,240.55</td>
<td>524.15</td>
<td>740.53</td>
<td>2,505.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm-Verifax</td>
<td>168.56</td>
<td>387.56</td>
<td>444.11</td>
<td>1,000.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>1,366.56</td>
<td>868.20</td>
<td>1,005.89</td>
<td>3,240.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>325.63</td>
<td>899.63</td>
<td>678.21</td>
<td>1,903.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Historical Magazine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
<th>Amount 3</th>
<th>Amount 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>119.04</td>
<td>258.01</td>
<td>1,464.92</td>
<td>1,841.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td>115.43</td>
<td>138.00</td>
<td>79.15</td>
<td>332.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues and Addressograph Expenses</td>
<td>236.12</td>
<td>72.08</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>339.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>356.56</td>
<td>356.56</td>
<td>472.92</td>
<td>1,186.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>796.72</td>
<td>2,204.11</td>
<td>2,757.60</td>
<td>5,758.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**                                | $17,196.83 | $23,125.31 | $26,608.84 | $66,930.98

### Church Hist. Society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount 1</th>
<th>Amount 2</th>
<th>Amount 3</th>
<th>Amount 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>119.04</td>
<td>258.01</td>
<td>1,464.92</td>
<td>1,841.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td>115.43</td>
<td>138.00</td>
<td>79.15</td>
<td>332.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dues and Addressograph Expenses</td>
<td>236.12</td>
<td>72.08</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>339.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>356.56</td>
<td>356.56</td>
<td>472.92</td>
<td>1,186.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
<td>796.72</td>
<td>2,204.11</td>
<td>2,757.60</td>
<td>5,758.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTALS**                                | $17,196.83 | $23,125.31 | $26,608.84 | $66,930.98

## Balance on hand, December 31, 1963

The balance of $6,108.80 is to be accounted for as follows, as of December 31, 1963:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accounts Payable</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Convention</td>
<td>$1,082.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Magazine</td>
<td>1,890.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Society</td>
<td>3,135.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$6,108.80</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,267.36</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the preparation of the proposed budget for the triennium 1965–1967, the officers of the Society wish to express their deep gratitude to Mr. Harry Dietz, Assistant Treasurer of the National Council, for his assistance. A comparison of this proposed budget with that of the previous triennium will show that the increase in the sum requested of the General Convention is due almost entirely to the need for additional staff in the archives. As outlined in Section III, the archives have been so greatly expanded during the triennium, largely through the papers deposited there as a result of the move of the Church headquarters in New York City, that it is impossible for the present staff to make them available for use without more help.
Proposed Budget, 1965-1967

Archives-Library

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Archivist</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$6,300.00</td>
<td>$6,600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistants</td>
<td>4,200.00</td>
<td>4,200.00</td>
<td>4,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
<td>2,100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asst.-Treas.-Mgr.</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>490.00</td>
<td>557.00</td>
<td>557.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>426.00</td>
<td>426.00</td>
<td>426.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td>720.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisitions</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfilm</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$21,114.00 $21,481.00 $21,781.00 $64,376.00

Historical Magazine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexing</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$5,170.00 $5,177.00 $5,177.00 $15,524.00

Church Historical Society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Meeting</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historiographers</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Fund</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$2,300.00 $2,300.00 $2,300.00 $6,900.00

TOTALS                $28,584.00 $28,958.00 $29,258.00 $86,800.00

Estimated Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions</td>
<td>$4,400.00</td>
<td>$4,700.00</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Dues</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication Sales</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$7,400.00 $7,600.00 $7,800.00 $22,800.00

BALANCE (Budget less income) $21,184.00 $21,358.00 $21,458.00 $64,000.00

V.

RESOLUTION

Resolved, The House of concurring, that the sum of sixty-four thousand dollars ($64,000.00) be appropriated for the years 1965-1967 as follows: for the year 1965, twenty-one thousand, one hundred and eighty-four dollars ($21,184.00); for the year
1966, twenty-one thousand, three hundred and fifty-eight dollars ($21,358.00); and for the year 1967, twenty-one thousand, four hundred and fifty-eight dollars ($21,458.00); to be expended under the direction of the Officers and Executive Board of The Church Historical Society for the collection, preservation, and safekeeping of the archives and other records and documents relating to the history of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America; for the publication of the Historical Magazine of the Protestant Episcopal Church; and for the furtherance of investigation of the Church's history and development of interest in all relevant research.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICERS:

The Very Rev. Gray M. Blandy, D.D., Vice-President
The Rev. Charles A. Sumners, B.D., Secretary
The Rev. Lawrence L. Brown, D.D., Treasurer and Editor of the Historical Magazine
Virginia Nelle Bellamy, Ph.D., Archivist

EXECUTIVE BOARD (in order of length of service):

Frank J. Klingberg, Ph.D., LL.D.
Richard G. Salomon, Ph.D.
Nelson R. Burr, Ph.D.
The Rev. Robert S. Bosher, Ph.D.
The Rev. Edward R. Hardy, Ph.D.
The Rev. William A. Clebsch, Th.D.
The Rev. Alexander M. Rodger
The Rev. William W. Manross, Ph.D.
Richard P. Kent, Jr.
The Rev. Canon Walter H. Stowe, S.T.D.
Clifford P. Morehouse, LL.D.
The Rev. Canon Samuel N. Baxter, Jr.
REPORT OF THE HISTORIOGRAPHER TO THE GENERAL CONVENTION OF 1964

After twenty-five years (1936-1961) as President of the Church Historical Society, I resigned in May, 1961; and after twenty-eight years (1934-1962) of association with the *Historical Magazine* of the Church as associate editor, managing editor, and editor-in-chief, I resigned, effective January 1, 1962. Both resignations were precipitated by a major surgical operation in April, 1961, by which, *Laus Deo!*, I have been restored to good health.

The story of General Convention's growing appreciation of the importance of the work being done both by the Magazine and the Society is too long to tell here; but, suffice to say, because of the Convention's financial support, both the Magazine and the Society have gone from strength to strength.

My duties as Historiographer during the year past have been pretty well concentrated in the cause of the recovery of the Archbishop Laud Papers, and the raising of money for the purchase of them. It seems almost incredible that, after being lost for almost three hundred years, they were discovered and put up for sale.
The title, "The Archbishop Laud Papers", is somewhat of a misnomer, since the papers include documents going back to Archbishop Cranmer. The Lambeth Palace Library, founded in 1610, is the oldest public library in the English-speaking world. The story of how these papers were lost to scholars for three hundred years is best described in the Lambeth Palace Library Annual Report, 1963-64:

The Archbishops of Canterbury habitually kept, and continued to keep, important papers relating to contemporary ecclesiastical affairs in their study at Lambeth. Such papers were not at that time transferred to the custody of the library, and by the year 1634 there was such a large accumulation of them that a list was made of them. This list is now in the Bodleian Library.

On the execution of Archbishop Laud in 1645, the library itself was temporarily removed to Cambridge for safety, and the papers in the Archbishops' study were shortly afterwards removed by John Selden to his own house on the orders of Parliament. Selden died in 1654 at a time when the Church of England had been disestablished, and he bequeathed the papers to his executors, but without any clear instructions for their disposal. They were quickly lost sight of, and were thought to have been lost or destroyed.

This explains why the principal library of the Church of England lacked any considerable quantity of papers concerning its own history during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries—two of the most critical in its entire existence.

For 300 years the papers which had been removed by Selden were lost from sight. Historians wrote about the Reformation blissfully ignorant of their existence; even the indefatigable John Strype did not know of them. Then a most remarkable thing happened. About the year 1939, Mr. R. H. C. Fairhurst, an electrical engineer, discovered them and purchased them from a descendant of one of Selden's executors... After World War II, the collection was sold to a bookseller who in 1949 offered part of it to St. Paul's Cathedral at a very high price. The Cathedral was unable to complete the purchase, and the papers came into the possession of a well-known merchant banker who had guaranteed the purchase, and it was he who put the papers up for sale at Sotheby's at two sales in June and October, 1963.
The Hon. E. G. W. Bill, Librarian, and the Trustees of Lambeth Palace Library, expected to be able to purchase the Laud Papers for £10,000 ($28,000). About one-half of this sum had been underwritten from American sources, notably Trinity Church, New York, and the Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, Dean of the General Theological Seminary.

On September 18, 1963, Mr. Bill appealed to Presiding Bishop Lichtenberger for financial help in their purchase. The Primate asked me to do what I could.

On October 15, 1963, when the second sale took place, Mr. Bill found that he had to pay a total of £16,535 ($46,300).

I immediately appealed to my own parish, to my own Bishop and Diocese, to every Bishop of our American Church, and to every Bishop of the Anglican Church of Canada. The gifts from Christ Church, New Brunswick, New Jersey, have been over $1,000.00; from the Diocese of New Jersey, including Bishop Banyard's generous contribution, over $3,000.00; and from the American and Canadian Bishops, sums to "make glad the hearts" of the Librarian and Trustees of the Lambeth Palace Library. The last-named groups were asked to send their contributions direct to the Library, so I do not know the total given by the Bishops.

Contents of the Laud Papers

The bulk of the papers concern the ecclesiastical history of the 16th and 17th centuries. Although the papers have not yet been fully catalogued, some conception of their value may be seen from the account of a few of the outstanding items in the Library's "Annual Report, 1963-64":

The earliest documents for this period are a copy of a long letter from Cardinal Pole to Cranmer on the Eucharist, and a series of addresses by "Popish Doctors" at the commencement of the reign of Queen Mary. An important volume deals with the religious questions which were uppermost at the beginning of the reign of Queen Elizabeth. It includes a paper on "why it is not convenient that the Communion shulde be mynstred at an Altere", and an account of arguments against the use of prayers in an unknown tongue.

There are, as would be expected, many papers concerning Puritanism. There is a large volume of letters from various Continental Protestant leaders, amongst them Henry Bullinger, Johannes Sturm, Thomas Erastus, Peter Martyr, Theodore Beza, Immanuel Tremellius, and an exchange of letters
between Richard Cox, Bishop of Ely, and Rodolphus Gualtherus. The acrimonious dispute over "prophesyings", i.e. Puritan discussion groups, is well documented. There is a collection of letters addressed to Archbishop Grindal from the Bishops describing the state of prophesyings in the dioceses in 1576, and the draft of a touching letter written by Grindal to Burghley after his suspension in the following year, complaining of his confinement: "I never in all my life, numbered dayes so precisely as I doo now"; and there is also what appears to be the framework of a treatise which Grindal hoped to write, defending his position.

Then there are a number of papers about the vexed question of the oath "ex-officio", and a treatise entitled "Touching the Proceedings against the Ministers", addressed to Whitgift, and apparently occasioned by the proceedings against Thomas Cartwright and other Puritan ministers in 1590 for refusing to take the oath. An interesting letter in another volume shows that in the earlier part of his career, Whitgift had been very much a Puritan himself.

There are, too, many papers about the treatment of recusants and disputes with Roman Catholics. Amongst them is an unpublished holograph treatise by John Bale, Bishop of Ossory (Ireland), entitled "A retourne of James Cancellers Rayling Boke upon hys owne heade, called the Path of Obedience". This little tract was written in 1561, eight years after the publication of Canceller's book, and is later than any other known work by Bale. It is composed in his characteristically racy and outspoken style, and was entered by John Day in the Stationers Register. The MS shows signs that Day started to print, but for some reason unknown he discontinued it.

The collection contains a series of over fifty letters from the Privy Council to Whitgift, written between the years 1589 and 1593, dealing with such subjects as the arrest of recusants, the restraint of female recusants who may corrupt their children and families, and the examination of the seminary priest John Bell. There is also a royal commission, with its fine Great Seal intact, ordering a search for seminary priests in Bedfordshire in 1591.

An important group of papers concerns the "archpriest" controversy, and includes letters from George Blackwell himself, Robert Parsons, the Jesuit, and John Cecil. There is a good correspondence with the last of these from Philippe de Béthune, French Ambassador to the Holy See, on the subject
of the political position of English (Roman) Catholics. There is also a petition to Blackwell from Roman Catholic priests imprisoned at Framlingham, and many other letters from English Roman Catholics.

A complete volume of letters and papers is concerned with the mustering of forces by the clergy for the defence of the realm during and after the Armada campaign. In it are two superb letters from Queen Elizabeth, bearing her magnificent signature, and other letters from most of the leading statesmen of the day, amongst them Burghley, Sir Robert Cecil, Sir Francis Walsingham, the Earl of Leicester, Sir Christopher Hatton, Lord Buckhurst, and the Earl of Nottingham. A further group of papers concerns the musters raised in 1608.

Other items of note are the revised MS. of Sir Edwin Sandys' "Relation to the State of Religion"; a number of letters from Burghley, some of them holograph; a course of lectures by Hugh Broughton, the distinguished divine; various papers of Whitgift about Parliamentary affairs concerning the Church, and the drafts of several letters by him; a survey of the see of Ossory (Ireland) in 1622; and a fine letter from James Ussher, Archbishop of Armagh, in 1638, discussing his great book, Britannicarum ecclesiarum antiquitates.

The Laud Papers will throw new light on why our branch of Christ's Holy, Catholic, and Apostolic Church has not been dominated by Roman Catholicism since the 16th century, and why it has not been dominated by Calvinism since the 17th century. American Episcopalians must always be concerned with those two vital historic processes.

The Fulham Palace Papers

Another very important collection now in the Lambeth Palace Library is the Fulham Papers, formerly in the Fulham Palace, the home of the Bishop of London. Since the latter was the Diocesan of all Anglican churches overseas during our colonial period, the importance of these papers to American Churchmen, and especially to American historical students, is patent. The clergy and some laymen wrote to the Bishop of London often.

In 1960, the General Theological Seminary raised $22,000.00, and persuaded the Rev. William Wilson Manross, Ph.D., Professor and Librarian of the Philadelphia Divinity School, to spend two years in London cataloguing the Fulham Papers. "The Clarendon Press in Oxford has agreed to publish this excellent catalogue."
Sources Concerning Christian Unity

Still a third possession of Lambeth Palace Library which is of great importance to American Episcopalians, is its collection of books and pamphlets on Christian Unity, “including many modern periodicals behind the Iron Curtain”. The Librarian states that this collection is “unrivalled”.

“Friends of the Lambeth Palace Library”

The Trustees of Lambeth Palace Library are concerned to make it “a great historical library of the whole Anglican Communion, and as a centre of learning in which scholars and Anglicans everywhere may take pride”. Just as, when Anglicans all over the world think of missionary colleges, they think of St. Augustine’s College, Canterbury, so when they think of Anglican libraries, the Trustees want them to think of Lambeth Palace Library as of very great importance.

In furtherance of this objective, it is the hope of the Trustees of the Library to organize, in as many of the eighteen autonomous Churches of the Anglican Communion throughout the world as possible, Councils of Friends of the Lambeth Palace Library; for example, “The American Council of Friends of the Lambeth Palace Library”, “The Canadian Council of Friends . . .”, etc. Each “Friend” will have a choice of dues to pay to the “Council” to which he belongs, on some such scale as the following:

- Annual Member .................. $ 3.00
- Sustaining Member ............... 10.00
- Life Member ..................... 100.00

Some notes on the Library by the present Librarian are attached to this report as an appendix.

Respectfully submitted,
WALTER H. STOWE

Appendix

SOME NOTES ON THE LAMBETH PALACE LIBRARY

By the Hon. E. G. W. Bill, Librarian

LAMBETH PALACE has been the London home of the Archbishops of Canterbury since the year 1197. The library was founded in 1610, and is the oldest public library in the United Kingdom. It is today open to scholars from all parts of the world
without distinction of race or creed, and amongst its most assiduous visitors are many scholars from the United States.

In its long history, it has accumulated a large and famous collection of printed books, manuscripts and records, covering not only the history of the Church of England and the Anglican Communion, but also many other fields of historical and literary study.

Its printed books date from the invention of printing, and one of its finest treasures is a Gutenberg Bible printed and illuminated on vellum. Then it contains such diverse rarities as Archbishop Cranmer's copy of King Henry VIII's book against Luther, presented by Mr. J. Pierpoint Morgan, and first editions of Bacon's Essays and Harvey's book on the circulation of the blood, De Motu Cordis. In recent times, it has acquired an unrivalled collection of books and pamphlets on Christian Unity, including many modern periodicals from behind the Iron Curtain.

Its manuscripts and records are of great richness and variety. The ecclesiastical history of the last 1000 years is on its shelves in such treasures as its great series of mediaeval Registers, the Great Lambeth Bible, the recently acquired Laud Papers concerning the history of the Church of England in the vitally formative years after the Reformation, and the vast collection of the papers of Archbishops of Canterbury and of the Lambeth Conferences.

Then there are the collections for the Church overseas, and here pride of place must be given to the Fulham Papers, a large body of material of great importance concerning America up to the Revolution, recently catalogued and bound by friends of the library in America.

In recent times, the library has greatly expanded its collections of manuscripts relating to Christian Unity, and now houses the papers of many of the leading figures of the movement. But Lambeth is rich not only in papers about ecclesiastical history, but in those about secular affairs also. For example, it contains three great collections for Elizabethan history, amongst them the papers of Anthony Bacon, brother of Francis.

Through the centuries, Lambeth Palace Library has been dependent on the generosity of its friends and particularly so for the acquisition of its treasures. It has long been a library of national importance, but in recent years it has become more than that. By the emphasis which it has placed on the history of the Anglican Communion and on Church Unity, it has now developed as a great historical library of the whole Anglican Communion,
and as a centre of learning in which scholars and Anglicans everywhere may take pride. This development has added to its financial burden and increased its dependence on its friends. It receives no funds from the State and has few endowments. If it is to continue to meet the needs of the present and to fulfill its obligations to posterity, it urgently needs the help of its friends now.
APPENDIX 20

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
ON EDUCATION FOR HOLY ORDERS

MEMBERS OF THE JOINT COMMISSION

*The Rt. Rev. Anson P. Stokes, D.D., of Massachusetts, Chairman
The Rt. Rev. Daniel Corrigan, D.D.
The Rt. Rev. Thomas A. Fraser, Jr., D.D., Coadjutor of North Carolina

*The Very Rev. Lawrence Rose, S.T.D. (General Theological Seminary), ex officio
The Very Rev. Richard H. Wilmer, Jr., D.Phil. (Berkeley)
The Very Rev. Charles U. Harris, Jr., D.D. (Seabury-Western)
The Very Rev. Walter C. Klein, Ph.D. (Nashotah)
The Very Rev. Sherman E. Johnson, Ph.D. (Church Divinity School of the Pacific)

*The Ven. Henry P. Krusen, S.T.D., of Western New York, Secretary
The Rev. Harris J. Mowry, Jr., of Springfield
The Rev. Bernard W. Hummel, D.D., of Minnesota

*The Rev. Robert McGregor, of Newark (Subsequently, of Rhode Island)

*The Rev. Morris F. Arnold, D.D., of Southern Ohio, Vice-Chairman
The Very Rev. John C. Leffler, D.D., of Olympia

*Richard G. Stone, Ph.D., of North Carolina
Wilson K. Barnes, of Maryland
Nathan M. Pusey, Ph.D., of Massachusetts

The Joint Commission has held four meetings during the triennium. In March, 1962, it met at Seabury-Western Theological Seminary in Evanston, Illinois; in February, 1963, at the Berkeley Divinity School, New Haven, Connecticut; and in November, 1963, and April, 1964, at Seabury House, Greenwich, Connecticut. In

*Executive Committee.
1. Elected Bishop of Northern Indiana, leaving a vacancy on the Commission.
2. Appointed to fill vacancy.
addition, the Executive Committee has met twice—in October, 1962, and June, 1963—both meetings being held at the General Theological Seminary in New York.

Under the provisions of the new Canon 30, as adopted at the last General Convention, the Presiding Bishop designated the Rt. Rev. Anson P. Stokes, Bishop of Massachusetts, as Chairman of the Commission. The Rev. Morris F. Arnold was elected Vice-Chairman and the Ven. Henry P. Krusen, Secretary. All officers have served throughout the triennium.

THE JOINT COMMISSION
AND THE DIVISION OF CHRISTIAN MINISTRIES

This Joint Commission has welcomed the establishment of the Division of Christian Ministries in the Home Department of the National Council, and regards it as a decisive step forward in furthering the Church's mission.

Since many persons have inevitably asked what relation should exist between the Joint Commission and the new Division, the Joint Commission, at one of its meetings, considered carefully its own terms of reference and function. Like all Joint Commissions, it is directed to carry on studies and to make recommendations to General Convention. Canon 30 also commits to it certain quasi-judicial and administrative functions; for example, "to determine whether any institution of learning, within the jurisdiction of this Church, shall be recognized as a Theological Seminary of this Church", and to receive reports from all other institutions educating men for Holy Orders.

The Division of Christian Ministries was established to perform certain essential functions on behalf of the whole Church in strengthening the enterprise of theological education. The Division is responsible to General Convention through the Home Department and the National Council. The Joint Commission is directly responsible to General Convention.

The Joint Commission and the Division are structurally independent of one another. During this triennium, however, there has been close liaison. Bishop Corrigan, Director of the Home Department, is a member of the Commission and the Rev. Robert N. Rodenmayer, Executive Secretary of the Division, has attended meetings at our request. We are grateful to them both for their direct help in the Commission's work and for the achievements of the Division itself. In the past two years, the Division has gathered
and disseminated essential information, produced valuable printed material, and has brought many leaders of the Church together to discuss matters of basic policy. To some degree, in fact, the Division of Christian Ministries provides the staff help and executive action necessary to make the Commission's work effective. The Commission wishes to use its facilities, so far as the Home Department can make it possible.

CONTINUED SPONSORSHIP OF DEANS' CONFERENCE

Throughout the past triennium, the Joint Commission has continued to sponsor the annual conference of deans of the seminaries of the Episcopal Church. Of special interest was the presence, following the most recent meeting, of two lay trustees from most of the seminaries, who met with the deans for an evening and the following day at the Conference Center of the Diocese of Pennsylvania.

RECOGNITION OF THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES

As required by the Canon by which this Commission was established, the Joint Commission has determined that the following Seminaries are recognized as Theological Seminaries of this Church, they complying with the standards set forth in Canon 30, Sec. 3, to wit:

- General Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States, New York, New York
- Berkeley Divinity School, New Haven, Connecticut
- Bexley Hall, the Divinity School of Kenyon College, Gambier, Ohio
- Church Divinity School of the Pacific, Berkeley, California
- Divinity School of the Protestant Episcopal Church in Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Southwest, Austin, Texas
- Nashotah House, Nashotah, Wisconsin
- Protestant Episcopal Theological Seminary in Virginia, Alexandria, Va.
- School of Theology of the University of the South, Sewanee, Tenn.
- Seabury-Western Theological Seminary, Evanston, Ill.

In addition, the Commission has requested annual reports from the following other institutions for the training of men for Holy Orders; namely,

- The Episcopal Theological Seminary in Kentucky
- The School of Theology of the Diocese of Long Island
- The School of Theology of the Diocese of Michigan
- Bloy House Theological Training School in the Diocese of Los Angeles
- The Lay Vicar's Training Program of the Diocese of Minnesota
Complete reports have not been received from all of these institutions; and, in consequence, no tabulation of the statistical information has been attempted. However, it is hoped that some means may be established for the compilation of information concerning these institutions during the next triennium.

ENROLLMENT AND DEVELOPMENTS
IN THE SEMINARIES

Seminary enrollments have tended to decline since the academic year 1956-57. Total enrollment that year was 1271. This year (1963-64) it is 1167, or a decrease of 9.1%.

The decrease is significant in relation to the substantial increase in the number of students in graduate and graduate-professional schools of other disciplines during the same period. On the other hand, the decline parallels the decline in the number of clerical vacancies. Reports from virtually every section of the Church in the United States indicate that there is an adequate number of clergy available to fill the positions for which there are salaries and the usual emoluments. For the first time since the beginning of World War II, the number of ordinands and other additions equals or slightly exceeds the vacancies created by death, disability, or retirement.

This condition has resulted in the exercise of higher standards of selectivity for postulancy by many diocesan authorities; a reluctance to accept for postulancy married men with large families; and, in some metropolitan dioceses, unusual difficulty or actual inability to find positions for newly ordained deacons.

Seminary costs continue to rise, and with them charges to students. Encouraged by a resolution of the Joint Commission to raise tuition and other fees "to reflect more nearly the present cost", three seminaries have raised tuition to $700.00, and most, if not all, expect to reach this level by 1966. Tuition, room, board, and other stated fees, range from $995.00 to $1590.00 for a single man. These figures compare with a range of $850.00 to $1300.00 in 1960-61.

In order to secure accurate and comparable costs of operation, the Commission authorized the seminaries to employ an auditing firm to prepare a uniform system of accounting. The project has been completed and is expected to be put into operation in September, 1964.
The Joint Commission rejoiced to receive the news that The Philadelphia Divinity School has been fully accredited by the American Association of Theological Schools.

An extraordinarily generous grant made by the Rockefeller Brother's Sealantic Fund and administered by the American Theological Library Association has greatly strengthened the seminaries' libraries during the triennium. The grants match expenditures for new books, dollar for dollar, to a limit of $3,000.00 per year above the average annual budgeted expenditures for new books. The grants are for a three-year period. In effect, those seminaries which are taking full advantage of the program are able to increase their book budgets by $6,000.00 per year for three years.

Graduate study received a significant impetus by the program, established in 1964 by the Episcopal Church Foundation, of providing generous fellowships to qualified students for advanced study. Five students were selected; most are candidates for the doctorate.

Two "first-time" meetings of importance were held during the triennium. One was a joint meeting of the seminary deans and representatives of their boards of trustees. It was held in 1964 in Radnor, Pa., and afforded the trustees an opportunity to discuss matters of common concern. It was made possible with the financial assistance of the Division of Christian Ministries.

The other meeting was the first world-wide meeting of seminary deans and theological-school principals of the Anglican Communion. Forty-eight institutions were represented at the conference held at Huron College, Ontario, in the week preceding the Anglican Congress.

The experimental programs adopted by Episcopal Theological School, Cambridge, and Seabury-Western, permitting the admission of women, has proved successful during the triennium. The programs have become a permanent feature of each seminary's activity, and they are annually graduating women competent to serve the Church in specialized lay ministries. It should be observed, perhaps, that since 1955 the Church Divinity School of the Pacific has admitted women, and a number have received the B.D. degree from that Seminary.

OVERSEAS SEMINARIES

The Episcopal Theological Seminary of the Caribbean welcomed its new dean, the Very Rev. Richard L. Rising, who, with his family, took up residence in the Fall of 1963. The seminary is engaged in a building program of additional residences for married students.
Presently, there are twenty-two students enrolled. The school is developing an educational program related to the needs of the region it serves and the educational system which is general in much of the Spanish-speaking world.

St. Andrew's Seminary in Mexico City dedicated its attractive and commodious new buildings on Sunday, November 24, 1963. These are located a short distance from the University of Mexico and are shared jointly by the Seminary and the Escuela Normal de Educación Cristiana, commonly known as the "Little St. Margaret's". The new year opened in March with twelve seminarians in residence, an increase over previous years.

The Theological Seminary in Brazil has been moved in recent months from Porto Alegre to São Paulo. Splendid new buildings have been erected in the latter city. There are eighteen students in residence at present. This school has furnished the Church in Brazil with most of its clergymen, including three bishops.

St. Andrew's Theological Seminary, Manila, is the largest of the overseas seminaries of this Church. There are approximately ninety men enrolled at present, one-half of them coming from our own Church in the Philippines and the other half from the Philippine Independent Church. The seminary is housed in new and well-equipped buildings. It has a good faculty and library, and is the key to the Church's whole program in the Philippines.

The Divinity School which is the graduate school of Cuttington College, Suakoko, Liberia, has supplied our own Church in Liberia, as well as the Methodist Church, with a program of theological education. We have not been too successful in our enlistment of qualified students, but we are told that there is a good group which will be ready for the Divinity School within a year.

These overseas seminaries are essential to the development of the Church in areas outside the continental United States. Already, they are beginning to prove their great worth in providing a national clergy and strengthening the life of the Church where they exist.

THEOLOGICAL EDUCATION SUNDAY OBSERVANCE

The General Convention of 1940 first called upon parishes and missions throughout the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday, to direct the minds of Church people toward the work of the ordained ministry and the needs of the theological seminaries. Every succeeding Convention has repeated the call; and the Presiding Bishop has annually designated the Sunday nearest St. Paul's Day for general observance.
The results, in offerings for the support of the enterprise of preparation of men for Holy Orders, have shown a remarkable pattern of growth:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Parishes contributing</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1950</td>
<td>2,793</td>
<td>$228,978.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1955</td>
<td>4,613</td>
<td>423,974.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960</td>
<td>5,374</td>
<td>580,981.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table shows year-end reports from the eleven recognized seminaries for the past three years:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>$41,418.17</td>
<td>$85,563.29</td>
<td>$106,872.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexley</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>46,220.00</td>
<td>55,470.58</td>
<td>64,721.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.T.S.</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>71,139.95</td>
<td>71,767.00</td>
<td>74,452.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.T.S., S.W.</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>21,731.29</td>
<td>64,326.38</td>
<td>42,456.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>100,316.50</td>
<td>120,866.06</td>
<td>131,220.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nashotah</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>32,054.84</td>
<td>33,428.58</td>
<td>32,382.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>52,971.78</td>
<td>58,914.00</td>
<td>60,321.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>30,666.00</td>
<td>49,029.87</td>
<td>42,644.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seabury-W.</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>37,431.00</td>
<td>40,957.00</td>
<td>45,391.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewanee</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>46,213.64</td>
<td>49,240.86</td>
<td>57,788.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>151,245.65</td>
<td>173,668.00</td>
<td>172,965.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 4,953 $631,408.82 5,356 $803,231.62 5,351 $831,216.21

The above tabulation, based on year-end reports, will not tally with fiscal year totals in the statistical summary appended to this report; but they present an accurate picture of substantial growth in the financial support of the seminaries. The figures showing the numbers of parishes and missions co-operating are misleading, because many parishes contribute to two or more institutions, and will have been counted more than once. Totals of parishes may well, for this reason, be subject to reduction by as much as 25%. This means that the response to the behest of the General Convention is still far from universal.

In addition to offerings sent direct to the seminaries, this Commission has been the recipient of undesignated contributions for theological education, and has distributed them as follows:

1961—$2,731.76. Divided equally between St. Andrew's Seminary, Manila, and the Caribbean Seminary;
1962—$2,179.67. Divided equally between the seminaries in Brazil and Mexico; and
1963—$4,728.00. Divided equally among the seminaries in Brazil, the Caribbean, Manila, and Mexico.
It is not known to what extent "other institutions for the training of men for Holy Orders" have benefited from Theological Education Sunday observance, but it is certain that they, and the overseas seminaries, have been recipients of offerings from interested parishes and individuals.

In recent years, the National Council has given material aid in the promotion of Church-wide attention to theological education; but it has remained true that the promotional efforts of the individual seminaries have accounted for most of the interest, and for the great gains that have been made. There has long been concern among seminary authorities about the wastefulness of the promotional efforts and at the suggestion of competition that the multiple appeals present to the Church.

At a meeting of the deans and representative trustees on January 30, 31, 1964, held under the joint auspices of this Commission and of the Division of Christian Ministries of the National Council, attention was focused on these and other problems, and the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

Resolved, That this Conference recommend to the eleven accredited seminaries that the Theological Education Sunday appeal shall be conducted in 1965 by the eleven seminaries jointly, the proceeds to be distributed to them in the proportion of their total gifts from the Theological Education Sunday Offering in 1963."

It was further recognized by formal action that, unless the boards of trustees of all seminaries found it possible to approve this joint effort, the plan would, perforce, be abandoned. At its meeting on April 13-14, this Commission gave cordial endorsement to the project. As this report is prepared, action by the several boards of trustees has not been completed, and it is not known whether the plan for a unified appeal can be implemented for 1965.

In any event, this Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders is so convinced of the vital importance that the Theological Education Sunday observance has assumed for the healthy state of the seminaries that it proposes once again, for action by the Convention, a Resolution calling upon the Church for support of this enterprise. (Resolution 1)

PROPOSED NATIONAL COUNCIL SCHOLARSHIPS FOR THEOLOGICAL STUDENTS

In its report to the General Convention of 1961, this Commission expressed its earnest hope that the Convention might make provision for scholarships for theological students, to be administered by the National Council. We have been gratified
that the proposal has been kept alive since that Convention, because we are convinced that the Church's enterprise of theological education requires national recognition of this sort, and that the efforts of parishes, dioceses, and seminaries, to sustain the financial burden of seminary training will receive a stimulus and support from such recognition out of all proportion to the modest appropriation contemplated. As we understand it, the proposal is to award a limited number of scholarship grants to selected students, and to make an appropriation to the seminaries they attend, in consideration of the fact that seminary charges constitute but a fractional part of the cost per student to the seminary.

At its meeting on November 4, 1963, the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders adopted the following resolution:

Resolved, That, having heard with satisfaction that the National Council has directed the Home Department to include in its budget for the next triennium the sum of $100,000.00 annually as a scholarship fund for theological students and grants-in-aid to the seminaries they may attend, the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders express the hope that the administration of such a Fund, if voted by the General Convention, may be in the hands of a Selection Committee appointed by the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies, in collaboration with the Division of Christian Ministries; and that, further, the scholarship grants be related to the needs of the applicants, and the grants to the seminaries be in fixed amounts to be determined by the committee."

SYLLABUS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THEOLOGICAL STUDIES

The Joint Commission, at the beginning of this triennium, established standing committees on the Syllabus and the Bibliography of Theological Studies, for the purpose of keeping under continuing review the contents of these two publications. A revision of "Theological Studies and Examinations: A Syllabus" was effected this year and the new edition is now available. It is expected that a revision of "Theological Studies and Examinations: A Bibliography" will be completed within the next year.

CONTINUING EDUCATION OF THE CLERGY

An ancient tradition in the life of the Episcopal Church is its insistence on a learned clergy. In this country, the earliest colleges were established to provide against "an illiterate ministry". Most major denominations in the United States have come to expect that the average ministerial candidate shall have a college degree and a seminary education.
With the rising demand for higher education in every walk of our society, the standards for the education of the clergy are no longer particularly significant. A far higher percentage of our adult population now holds both college and graduate degrees than did twenty years ago. Important developments are taking place in various areas of national leadership for the continuing education of its members: business, banking, medicine, law, and the military, have all established serious programs of this nature. It has been written: "For fear of obsolescence, no profession or occupation dare lag behind in the current and rapid rise in educational expectation."

The clergy, ministering in an ever more complex culture, are expected to know and understand more and more about the various aspects of society. On every side, they are expected to furnish leadership in teaching and preaching; in social and civic matters; and in personal counseling. All of these demands require that a man's education must carry on after ordination. Yet the Churches are only making a beginning in providing for the continuing education of their clergy. Seminaries are making available to their graduates and nearby clergy seminars and brief courses of directed study. The College of Preachers and other institutes try, in short-term periods, to help men keep abreast of new developments in theological and non-theological thought. Summer institutes and clinical programs exist. Formal education is available in the form of degree study, whereby a clergyman who wishes to earn a degree can do so by working on a part-time basis in a parish and part-time on a graduate program at a seminary or other institution. Most of the men who select this form of study hope some day to teach, or wish to do specialized research. For all clergymen, correspondence courses at universities are available, and some seminaries offer such courses for credit.

But much more needs to be done to insure the continuing education of the clergy than these small efforts, valuable though they are. The whole Church needs to take seriously the planning and financing of regular clergy study-leaves, so that its ordained leaders may keep up their understanding and learning, in order to minister effectively in the modern world. This will require regularly scheduled periods of time away from the parish; and they should be long enough, so that a man can do solid and significant work. This means that budgets must be devised to support study-leaves in a systematic fashion.

To this end, we propose a Resolution for adoption by the General Convention. (Resolution 2)
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CANONS

1. Canon 26, Section 2(b)

Section 2(b) of Canon 26, as it reads at present, seems to assume that a Bishop has the authority to remove the name of a Postulant from his list at any time. It does not, however, expressly so provide, unless four years have elapsed since the date of admission as Postulant. The Commission believes that a Bishop should have the power at any time to drop from his list of Postulants a man whom he discovers to be unsuited for Holy Orders. We, therefore, propose an amendment that will eliminate any doubt as to a Bishop's power of removal. (Resolution 4)

2. Canon 29, Section 1(a)(7)

It is the opinion of the Commission that no real purpose is served by requiring Candidates for Holy Orders to submit to an examination in an elective subject as a requirement for ordination. It appears that the original purpose of the electives was to give recognition to special studies in preparation for particular forms of work in the Church. Experience has shown, however, that, because of the demands upon a student's time in fulfilling the regular requirements for graduation from the seminary, he seldom has the additional time available to devote to special studies, in preparation for an examination in an elective subject. As a result of this situation, a Candidate is, more frequently than not, obliged to offer as an elective the only general subject listed in the Canon, i.e., The History of Religions, as the only one in which he could possibly fulfill the requirements. In many instances, indeed perhaps in most, the original purpose of the electives is not being fulfilled. We, therefore, offer a Resolution for the consideration of the Convention that would eliminate this requirement. (Resolution 5)

3. Canon 50, Section 1

We submit for the consideration of the Convention a Resolution to amend Canon 50 so as to provide that Candidates for Holy Orders who are enrolled as students at a theological seminary of this Church shall be permitted to serve as Lay Readers, without the necessity of obtaining a license from the bishop of the diocese in which they are canonically resident. The purpose of this proposal is to eliminate unnecessary correspondence and other paper transactions in connection with students in seminaries who are engaged in field work in a diocese in the area in which the seminary is located. In addition, the proposed amendment will regularize a situation
which already exists; because, in many instances, bishops do not grant Lay Reader licenses to their Candidates who are attending seminaries at a distance from their own dioceses. (Resolution 6)

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution 1

Whereas, The changes and confusions of our times place increasing demands upon the vision, learning, and devotion, of the ordained ministry in the life of the Church; and

Whereas, Vocation to that prophetic, pastoral, and priestly, ministry requires regular and prayerful consideration by the whole membership of the Church; and

Whereas, The education and training of young men who have responded to this special vocation, and been admitted Postulants or Candidates for Holy Orders, is of vital moment to every congregation throughout the Church; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the General Convention call upon every Parish and Mission of the Church to observe Theological Education Sunday in a manner befitting the need, and to take an offering on that or another day, or to place an item for theological education in its budget, for the support of the Church’s seminaries.

Resolution 2

Whereas, Our rapidly changing society and the demands of our complex modern world require deepened understanding by both clergy and laity, if the Church’s witness is to be effective; and

Whereas, The life, both of clergymen and the congregations they serve, can be greatly enriched by providing periodic opportunities for post-ordination study by clergymen, either in a theological seminary or in any one of a number of other study situations such, e.g., as in education, industry, or the arts; and

Whereas, There is a growing movement in many of the Communions of the Christian Church to encourage and sponsor the continuing education of the clergy; therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America endorse in principle the plan of providing leaves for the clergy of the Church, in order that they may engage in post-ordination study; and be it further

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That this Conven-
tion commend to the Dioceses, Missionary Districts, Parishes, Missions, and Institutions, of the Church, the practice of providing study-leaves, and encourage those bodies to discover and develop ways and means for putting this plan into effect; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the National Council be requested to instruct the Division of Christian Ministries, together with the General Division of Laymen’s Work, the General Division of Women’s Work, and such other units of the National Council as may be appropriate, to assist in discovering and developing ways and means of putting this plan into effect.

Resolution 3

Canon 26, Section 1(b)

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the second paragraph of Sec. 1(b) of Canon 26 be amended by striking out, in the ninth line thereof, the word “Postulant’s”, and substituting therefor the word “Applicant’s”.

Resolution 4

Canon 26, Section 2(b)

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That subsection (b) of Section 2 of Canon 26 be amended to read as follows:

(b) The Bishop may at any time remove a name from the list of Postulants, if he is convinced, after investigation, that there exists a valid reason why the Postulant should not, within a reasonable time, be admitted as a Candidate for Holy Orders. Without further reason, the Bishop may remove the name of a Postulant who fails to be admitted as a Candidate within four years from the date of his reception as a Postulant. Whenever a name is removed from the list of Postulants, notice of such action and its date shall be given promptly to the former Postulant.

Resolution 5

Canon 29, Section 1(a)(7)

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Clause (7) of subsection (a) of Section 1 of Canon 29 be repealed.

Resolution 6

Canon 50, Section 1

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the last paragraph of Canon 50, Sec. 1, be amended by the addition of the following sentence:
A duly admitted Candidate for Holy Orders, who is enrolled as a student at a Theological Seminary of this Church, shall have the authority to serve as a Lay Reader.

*Resolution 7*

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Committee on Expenses be authorized and instructed to provide, in the budget for the next triennium, an appropriation of $13,000.00 for the expenses of the Joint Commission on Education for Holy Orders.

**FINANCIAL REPORT**

*Receipts*

| Appropriation from the General Convention | $13,000.00 |

*Disbursements*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of meetings of the Commission and the Executive Committee</td>
<td>$4,948.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of meetings of Conference of Seminary Deans</td>
<td>3,595.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees' meetings and expenses</td>
<td>205.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicity materials for Theological Education Sunday 1963</td>
<td>1,900.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage, printing, telephone and stenographer</td>
<td>530.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Disbursements .......... $11,180.82

Balance, April 30, 1964 .......... $ 1,819.18

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel Corrigan
Thomas A. Fraser, Jr.
Anson P. Stokes
Charles U. Harris, Jr.
Sherman E. Johnson
Lawrence Rose
Richard H. Wilmer, Jr.
Morris F. Arnold

Bernard W. Hummel
Henry P. Krusen
John C. Leffler
Robert McGregor
Harris J. Mowry, Jr.
Wilson K. Barnes
Nathan M. Pusey
Richard G. Stone
# APPENDIX 20

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF SEMINARIES FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING 1961

**Including Operating Income, Operating Expenses and Capital Valuation As Well As Number of Students and of Faculty**

### ITEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMS</th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Bexley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Naasotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATING INCOME(1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Tuition</td>
<td>$29,836</td>
<td>$30,575</td>
<td>$52,962</td>
<td>$25,674</td>
<td>$31,449</td>
<td>$45,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Other</td>
<td>34,040</td>
<td>40,930</td>
<td>110,576</td>
<td>105,444</td>
<td>43,048</td>
<td>32,498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invested Funds &amp; Rentals</td>
<td>68,499</td>
<td>38,932</td>
<td>148,302</td>
<td>295,280</td>
<td>44,260</td>
<td>15,652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts For Operations: Theological Education Sunday</td>
<td>41,418</td>
<td>55,470</td>
<td>71,140</td>
<td>81,576</td>
<td>32,532</td>
<td>61,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual &amp; Foundations</td>
<td>74,555</td>
<td>11,740</td>
<td>80,527</td>
<td>80,282</td>
<td>5,392</td>
<td>64,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$248,718</td>
<td>$177,647</td>
<td>$463,907</td>
<td>$588,256</td>
<td>$157,681</td>
<td>$221,229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATING EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction*</td>
<td>$66,013</td>
<td>$73,821</td>
<td>$157,748</td>
<td>$189,402</td>
<td>$60,083</td>
<td>102,056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration*</td>
<td>44,792</td>
<td>49,282</td>
<td>59,815</td>
<td>119,082</td>
<td>24,429</td>
<td>46,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operation &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>60,467</td>
<td>20,993</td>
<td>143,163</td>
<td>159,871</td>
<td>46,535</td>
<td>42,185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>28,261</td>
<td>25,749</td>
<td>51,739</td>
<td>25,100</td>
<td>35,146</td>
<td>23,232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Administration</td>
<td>11,734</td>
<td>8,142</td>
<td>8,694</td>
<td>39,186</td>
<td>12,215</td>
<td>15,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Acquisitions</td>
<td>4,126</td>
<td>5,730</td>
<td>4,392</td>
<td>1,006</td>
<td>2,922</td>
<td>7,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating Costs</td>
<td>4,944</td>
<td>7,406</td>
<td>20,392</td>
<td>5,037</td>
<td>5,051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$220,327</td>
<td>$192,123</td>
<td>$445,943</td>
<td>$599,684</td>
<td>$183,800</td>
<td>$241,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPERATING BALANCE OR (DEFICIT)</strong></td>
<td>$28,391</td>
<td>$(14,476)</td>
<td>$17,964</td>
<td>$(11,428)</td>
<td>$(26,119)</td>
<td>$(20,679)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL ENDOWMENT CAPITAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value—Beginning</td>
<td>$2,039,688</td>
<td>$798,000</td>
<td>$4,552,077</td>
<td>$5,178,175</td>
<td>$1,573,391</td>
<td>$2,904,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Additions or (Deductions)</td>
<td>204,288</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>$(204,480)</td>
<td>$(101,155)</td>
<td>$(12,851)</td>
<td>432,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funds—Scholarship Aid</strong></td>
<td>$323,756</td>
<td>$191,171</td>
<td>$146,049</td>
<td>$860,326</td>
<td>$107,212</td>
<td>$178,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAPITAL INDEBTEDNESS(2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants-in-Aid to Students(9)</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>$28,181</td>
<td>$17,507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANNUAL TUITION RATE:</strong></td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUMBER OF STUDENTS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>83</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special—Certificate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (All)</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NUMBER OF FACULTY:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(1) Excludes Scholarship Grants  
(2) Includes Faculty Salaries, Pensions, Housing, Utilities, Allowances  
(3) Includes Salaries, Office Expenses, Supplies, Insurance, Financial Management, Development  
(4) Not Included In Report Form  
(5) Data for this was not Requested Specifically in the 1960-61 Report Form
**FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF SEMINARIES FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING 1961**

**INCLUDING OPERATING INCOME, OPERATING EXPENSES AND CAPITAL VALUATION AS WELL AS NUMBER OF STUDENTS AND OF FACULTY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewanee</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>% Distrib.</td>
<td>% Distrib.</td>
<td>% Distrib.</td>
<td>% Distrib.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 181,559</td>
<td>$ 212,242</td>
<td>$ 161,308</td>
<td>$ 203,986</td>
<td>$ 518,922</td>
<td>$ 3,135,455</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 178,465</td>
<td>$ 168,807</td>
<td>$ 146,532</td>
<td>$ 250,150</td>
<td>$ 517,745</td>
<td>$ 3,175,514</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 3,094</td>
<td>$ 13,435</td>
<td>$ 14,776</td>
<td>$ (46,194)</td>
<td>$ 1,177</td>
<td>$ (40,059)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 874,366</td>
<td>$ 1,597,018</td>
<td>$ 1,804,170</td>
<td>$ 1,018,167</td>
<td>$ 3,419,105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(178,783)</td>
<td>$ 33,581</td>
<td>$ 63,027</td>
<td>$ 64,727</td>
<td>$ 385,060</td>
<td>$ 855,067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 64,727</td>
<td>$ 385,060</td>
<td>$ 855,067</td>
<td>$ 12,893</td>
<td>$ 572,655</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 4,800</td>
<td>$ 43,318</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 400</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 400</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Financial Analysis of Seminaries for Fiscal Year Ending 1962
Including Operating Income, Operating Expenses and Capital Valuation
As Well As Number of Students and of Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMS</th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Beasley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacifie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING INCOME (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Tuition</td>
<td>$34,855</td>
<td>$32,036</td>
<td>$54,594</td>
<td>$73,582</td>
<td>$42,530</td>
<td>$59,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Other</td>
<td>30,587</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>104,450</td>
<td>92,812</td>
<td>50,223</td>
<td>26,431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invested Funds</td>
<td>74,506</td>
<td>37,466</td>
<td>175,111</td>
<td>304,038</td>
<td>64,504</td>
<td>11,761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts For Operations:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theological Education</td>
<td>35,500</td>
<td>64,721</td>
<td>66,718</td>
<td>116,216</td>
<td>32,207</td>
<td>55,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts From Foundations</td>
<td>50,113</td>
<td>38,231</td>
<td>92,523</td>
<td>111,174</td>
<td>40,280</td>
<td>89,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Operations (Net)</td>
<td>21,657</td>
<td>5,311</td>
<td>34,785</td>
<td>21,411</td>
<td>3,213</td>
<td>11,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>1,353</td>
<td>6,999</td>
<td>54,506</td>
<td>37,466</td>
<td>175,111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$231,931</td>
<td>$210,407</td>
<td>$501,396</td>
<td>$704,621</td>
<td>$251,391</td>
<td>$245,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction (2)</td>
<td>$81,269</td>
<td>$84,330</td>
<td>$181,945</td>
<td>$219,790</td>
<td>$75,795</td>
<td>$110,645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration (2)</td>
<td>52,065</td>
<td>46,471</td>
<td>70,295</td>
<td>124,115</td>
<td>21,852</td>
<td>53,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operation &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>58,046</td>
<td>19,893</td>
<td>135,210</td>
<td>195,882</td>
<td>52,074</td>
<td>36,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>31,106</td>
<td>27,348</td>
<td>56,504</td>
<td>88,215</td>
<td>41,478</td>
<td>22,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Administration</td>
<td>13,340</td>
<td>9,325</td>
<td>12,982</td>
<td>55,254</td>
<td>13,152</td>
<td>15,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Acquisitions</td>
<td>8,221</td>
<td>5,027</td>
<td>8,290</td>
<td>21,141</td>
<td>3,213</td>
<td>11,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$245,781</td>
<td>$219,897</td>
<td>$498,881</td>
<td>$727,339</td>
<td>$207,564</td>
<td>$258,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATING BALANCE OR (DEFICIT)</td>
<td>$-(13,850)</td>
<td>$-(9,090)</td>
<td>$-(22,718)</td>
<td>$43,827</td>
<td>$-(12,510)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL ENDOWMENT CAPITAL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value—Beginning</td>
<td>$1,350,000</td>
<td>$1,242,540</td>
<td>$4,552,077</td>
<td>$7,100,035</td>
<td>$1,161,203</td>
<td>$520,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions or (Deductions)</td>
<td>101,115</td>
<td>51,881</td>
<td>124,115</td>
<td>360,671</td>
<td>32,268</td>
<td>88,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value—Ending</td>
<td>$1,350,000</td>
<td>$1,306,726</td>
<td>$6,062,958</td>
<td>$1,180,682</td>
<td>$1,193,471</td>
<td>$500,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDITIONS TO CAPITAL (Non-Endow.)</td>
<td>$61,994</td>
<td>$10,425</td>
<td>$44,725</td>
<td>$110,575</td>
<td>$25,518</td>
<td>$540,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL INDEBTEDNESS</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$155,000</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANTS-IN-AID TO STUDENTS</td>
<td>$32,056</td>
<td>$27,503</td>
<td>$18,671</td>
<td>$34,087</td>
<td>$3,390</td>
<td>$1,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANNUAL TUITION RATE</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF STUDENTS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total—Full Time</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special—Certificate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (All)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF FACULTY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total—Full Time</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Excludes Scholarship Grants
(2) Includes Faculty Salaries, Pensions, Housing, Utilities, Allowances
(3) Includes Salaries, Office Expenses, Supplies, Insurance, Financial Management & Development Costs
### Financial Analysis of Seminaries for Fiscal Year Ending 1962
Including Operating Income, Operating Expenses and Capital Valuation
As Well As Number of Students and of Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewanee</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 60,082</td>
<td>$ 3,972</td>
<td>$ 38,515</td>
<td>$ 31,472</td>
<td>$ 97,196</td>
<td>$ 558,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 261</td>
<td>$ 24,976</td>
<td>$ 28,428</td>
<td>$ 2,463</td>
<td>$ 64,015</td>
<td>$ 465,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 35,519</td>
<td>$ 73,614</td>
<td>$ 24,536</td>
<td>$ 87,186</td>
<td>$ 252,703</td>
<td>$ 1,142,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 49,132</td>
<td>$ 40,957</td>
<td>$ 52,567</td>
<td>$ 73,804</td>
<td>$ 176,662</td>
<td>$ 788,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 90,468</td>
<td>$ 45,413</td>
<td>$ 6,569</td>
<td>$ 37,819</td>
<td>$ 600,019</td>
<td>$ 10,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,718</td>
<td>$ 1,084</td>
<td>$ 7,718</td>
<td>$ 24,505</td>
<td>$ 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 172</td>
<td>$ 1718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 236,204</td>
<td>$ 236,117</td>
<td>$ 149,799</td>
<td>$ 240,462</td>
<td>$ 587,121</td>
<td>$ 3,589,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 79,638</td>
<td>$ 97,102</td>
<td>$ 71,291</td>
<td>$ 121,705</td>
<td>$ 166,560</td>
<td>$ 1,299,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 51,547</td>
<td>$ 35,418</td>
<td>$ 25,689</td>
<td>$ 80,853</td>
<td>$ 111,244</td>
<td>$ 672,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 49,554</td>
<td>$ 35,319</td>
<td>$ 18,388</td>
<td>$ 37,608</td>
<td>$ 114,032</td>
<td>$ 763,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 23,132</td>
<td>$ 24,878</td>
<td>$ 10,757</td>
<td>$ 44,433</td>
<td>$ 370,261</td>
<td>$ 10,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 49,006</td>
<td>$ 7,947</td>
<td>$ 17,661</td>
<td>$ 21,434</td>
<td>$ 185,909</td>
<td>$ 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 3,578</td>
<td>$ 6,766</td>
<td>$ 11,670</td>
<td>$ 15,760</td>
<td>$ 106,121</td>
<td>$ 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 9,642</td>
<td>$ 6,706</td>
<td>$ 11,670</td>
<td>$ 15,760</td>
<td>$ 106,121</td>
<td>$ 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 296,204</td>
<td>$ 209,701</td>
<td>$ 161,549</td>
<td>$ 272,250</td>
<td>$ 578,121</td>
<td>$ 3,602,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
<td>$ 23,416</td>
<td>$ (11,750)</td>
<td>$ (31,788)</td>
<td>$ 9,000</td>
<td>$ (12,648)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 939,466</td>
<td>$ 2,332,312</td>
<td>$ 992,967</td>
<td>$ 4,279,455</td>
<td>$ 4,978,264</td>
<td>$ 91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 20,242</td>
<td>$ (36,593)</td>
<td>$ 8,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 959,708</td>
<td>$ 2,025,080</td>
<td>$ 2,977,133</td>
<td>$ 1,001,887$ 4,978,264</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 5,355</td>
<td>$ 133,653</td>
<td>$ 40,217</td>
<td>$ 91,330</td>
<td>$ 91,330</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 7,919</td>
<td>$ 290,931</td>
<td>$ 128,161</td>
<td>$ 407,302</td>
<td>$ 407,302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 7,600</td>
<td>$ 15,655</td>
<td>$ 28,514</td>
<td>$ 4,138</td>
<td>$ 25,279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 480</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td>$ 500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 20

**Financial Analysis of Seminaries for Fiscal Year Ending 1963**

**Including Operating Income, Operating Expenses and Capital Valuation As Well As Number of Students and of Faculty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEMS</th>
<th>Berkeley</th>
<th>Bexley</th>
<th>Cambridge</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Nashotah</th>
<th>Pacific</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Income (1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Tuition</td>
<td>$35,422</td>
<td>$29,321</td>
<td>$48,511</td>
<td>$77,200</td>
<td>$42,683</td>
<td>$68,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Receipts—Other</td>
<td>39,333</td>
<td>53,373</td>
<td>103,775</td>
<td>96,491</td>
<td>52,919</td>
<td>23,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invested Funds</td>
<td>84,338</td>
<td>44,282</td>
<td>182,041</td>
<td>323,590</td>
<td>45,700</td>
<td>14,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts For Operations: Theological Education Sunday</td>
<td>37,485</td>
<td>73,971</td>
<td>74,874</td>
<td>118,949</td>
<td>29,180</td>
<td>61,979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individuals &amp; Foundations</td>
<td>62,028</td>
<td>106,837</td>
<td>108,328</td>
<td>143,389</td>
<td>8,283</td>
<td>70,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Operations (Net)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$238,807</td>
<td>$310,241</td>
<td>$518,129</td>
<td>$771,781</td>
<td>$204,352</td>
<td>$242,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction (2)</td>
<td>$85,910</td>
<td>$86,512</td>
<td>$180,773</td>
<td>$241,255</td>
<td>$81,851</td>
<td>$125,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration (2)</td>
<td>47,450</td>
<td>40,581</td>
<td>77,283</td>
<td>110,248</td>
<td>25,646</td>
<td>52,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Operation &amp; Maint.</td>
<td>64,520</td>
<td>10,681</td>
<td>131,099</td>
<td>100,183</td>
<td>48,337</td>
<td>39,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refectory</td>
<td>31,186</td>
<td>30,970</td>
<td>54,817</td>
<td>51,308</td>
<td>42,218</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Administration</td>
<td>15,520</td>
<td>10,760</td>
<td>12,690</td>
<td>50,316</td>
<td>15,442</td>
<td>15,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library—Acquisitions</td>
<td>11,521</td>
<td>6,504</td>
<td>7,993</td>
<td>20,721</td>
<td>4,793</td>
<td>14,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Operating Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$256,027</td>
<td>$234,292</td>
<td>$516,835</td>
<td>$734,415</td>
<td>$218,287</td>
<td>$268,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating Balance or (Deficit)</strong></td>
<td>$2,780</td>
<td>$75,949</td>
<td>$1,294</td>
<td>$37,366</td>
<td>$(13,035)</td>
<td>$(25,838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Endowment Capital</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value—Beginning</td>
<td>$1,350,000</td>
<td>$1,175,452</td>
<td>$4,603,958</td>
<td>$8,029,268</td>
<td>$1,214,471</td>
<td>$590,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions or (Deductions)</td>
<td>106,066</td>
<td>246,643</td>
<td>1,043,755</td>
<td>100,066</td>
<td>15,669</td>
<td>35,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value—Ending</td>
<td>1,456,000</td>
<td>1,421,177</td>
<td>5,647,712</td>
<td>8,135,334</td>
<td>1,230,440</td>
<td>615,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additions to Capital (Non-Endow.)</strong></td>
<td>$1,350,000</td>
<td>$42,247</td>
<td>$37,290</td>
<td>$63,012</td>
<td>$66,404</td>
<td>$528,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital Indebtedness</strong></td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$24,100</td>
<td>$30,124</td>
<td>$52,010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grants-in-Aid to Students</strong></td>
<td>$29,030</td>
<td>$28,492</td>
<td>$24,351</td>
<td>$23,972</td>
<td>$7,993</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Tuition Rate</strong></td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$450</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Students:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total—Full Time</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special—Certificate</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (All)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Faculty:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Professor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total—Full Time</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Excludes Scholarship Grants
(2) Includes Faculty Salaries, Pensions, Housing, Utilities, Allowances
(3) Includes Salaries, Office Expenses, Supplies, Insurance, Financial Management & Development Costs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Philadelphia</th>
<th>Seabury-Western</th>
<th>Sewanee</th>
<th>Southwest</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$32,140</td>
<td>$47,680</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$34,476</td>
<td>$94,411</td>
<td>$541,851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,735</td>
<td>35,542</td>
<td>27,785</td>
<td>4,241</td>
<td>45,798</td>
<td>508,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35,012</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>58,172</td>
<td>80,146</td>
<td>290,046</td>
<td>1,216,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41,767</td>
<td>43,037</td>
<td>49,555</td>
<td>61,505</td>
<td>179,272</td>
<td>771,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84,935</td>
<td>84,526</td>
<td>10,356</td>
<td>43,612</td>
<td>41,354</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>461</td>
<td>6,325</td>
<td>3,458</td>
<td>2,233</td>
<td>7,033</td>
<td>31,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$224,650</td>
<td>$301,327</td>
<td>$152,266</td>
<td>$227,413</td>
<td>$616,560</td>
<td>$3,828,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$88,895</td>
<td>$112,859</td>
<td>$73,543</td>
<td>$120,386</td>
<td>$177,167</td>
<td>$1,372,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71,272</td>
<td>43,189</td>
<td>24,733</td>
<td>77,144</td>
<td>128,336</td>
<td>698,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48,240</td>
<td>31,104</td>
<td>15,452</td>
<td>37,188</td>
<td>110,997</td>
<td>743,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23,258</td>
<td>31,486</td>
<td>12,205</td>
<td>43,526</td>
<td>123,070</td>
<td>924,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16,068</td>
<td>14,339</td>
<td>21,503</td>
<td>14,601</td>
<td>21,370</td>
<td>214,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,309</td>
<td>7,033</td>
<td>11,100</td>
<td>14,594</td>
<td>14,415</td>
<td>117,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,788</td>
<td>20,306</td>
<td>0,102</td>
<td>8,859</td>
<td>117,884</td>
<td>203,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$257,705</td>
<td>$261,216</td>
<td>$168,638</td>
<td>$272,882</td>
<td>$616,560</td>
<td>$3,825,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$(-33,056)</td>
<td>$(-40,111)</td>
<td>$(-16,572)</td>
<td>$(-45,259)</td>
<td>$(-23,030)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$959,708</td>
<td>$2,207,133</td>
<td>$1,001,868</td>
<td>$4,978,034</td>
<td>$4,978,034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>338,128</td>
<td>292,409</td>
<td>108,381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,297,836</td>
<td>2,290,051</td>
<td>2,386,542</td>
<td>1,110,248</td>
<td>5,854,214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$44,206</td>
<td>$382,000</td>
<td>$44,274</td>
<td></td>
<td>$71,493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$63,907</td>
<td>$270,718</td>
<td>$120,091</td>
<td>$460,270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$10,850</td>
<td>$18,461</td>
<td>$31,650</td>
<td>$8,288</td>
<td>$20,404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 ,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>68</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEMINARY</th>
<th>Ratio of Tuition Income To Total Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Ratio of Instruction Costs To Total Operating Expenses</th>
<th>Ratio of Library Acquisition Costs To Total Operating Expense</th>
<th>Ratio of Total Operating Expenses To Full-Time Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Berkeley</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.55</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>34.60</td>
<td>33.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>42.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.09</td>
<td>31.94</td>
<td>35.03</td>
<td>29.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Total</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.88</td>
<td>34.60</td>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>27.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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THE REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
ON THE CHURCH IN HUMAN AFFAIRS

On September 21, 1961, the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs, which had been appointed following the General Convention of 1958, sponsored a program for a Joint Session of the General Convention, meeting in Detroit, Michigan. The Joint Session included tours of industrial plants; the showing of a motion-picture, "This is God's World"; and addresses by Dr. Guy E. Swanson, professor and head of the Department of Sociology at the University of Michigan, and the Rev. John H. Burt, D.D. The Joint Commission was gratified by the enthusiastic reception and response to this Joint Session, and would like to express its gratitude to the Presiding Bishop, the Rev. Dr. Almon Pepper and the Department of Christian Social Relations, and the Rev. Hugh White and members of the Detroit Industrial Mission, for their great assistance in the arrangements for and execution of this project, as well as to the members of both Houses of the General Convention and to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church for the privilege of presenting this timely program.

A report of the expenses incurred in connection with the project is submitted herewith:

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributions given through the Joint Commission</td>
<td>$3,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the Department of Christian Social Relations</td>
<td>10,541.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,166.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$2,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>$22.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$1,520.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Expenses</td>
<td>$1,270.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td>$477.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film production</td>
<td>$10,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$16,166.82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A guide for the continued use of the above-named film was prepared by Mrs. Lloyd W. Clarke, a member of the Joint Commission. The film is still in use and is available through the National Council.)
By a joint Resolution of the General Convention of 1961, the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs was continued, and the following persons were appointed to serve during the triennium, viz.:

The Rt. Rev. C. Gresham Marmion, Jr., D.D., of Kentucky
The Rt. Rev. John E. Hines, D.D., of Texas
The Rt. Rev. Frederick J. Warnecke, D.D., of Bethlehem
The Rev. Harold C. Gosnell, D.D., of West Texas
The Rev. Canon Charles S. Martin, D.D., of Washington
The Rev. William G. Pollard, Ph.D., of Tennessee
The Rev. Robert P. Varley, Th.D., of Easton
John E. Buddington of Massachusetts
Michael Budzanoski of Pittsburgh
Charles P. Taft, LL.D., of Southern Ohio
Trevor Hall of Michigan
Mrs. Lloyd W. Clarke of Central New York
W. Gardner Winters, Jr., of Texas
W. Paul Uhlmann of Olympia
Mrs. Gurney Williams of New York

In fulfilling its interim responsibilities, the Joint Commission held two meetings of the full membership, and conducted other business through sub-committees and by correspondence. At the first meeting after the General Convention, the Commission was organized by the election of the Bishop of Kentucky as Chairman, Mr. Buddington as Vice-Chairman, and the Rev. Dr. Varley as Secretary.

"Human Affairs Sunday" was sponsored by the Joint Commission on the First Sunday after Epiphany, 1962, by the publication of a pamphlet entitled, "The Family in a Rapidly Changing Culture", written by the Rev. Robert P. Varley, Th.D., Secretary of this Commission. Although requests for "Human Affairs Sunday" publications still continue, a survey of the bishops of the Church indicated that the observance of "Human Affairs Sunday" is not widespread enough to merit a continuance of the effort.

In keeping with the Resolution of the 1961 General Convention on education regarding Communism (page 341 of the 1961 General Convention Journal), the Joint Commission gave consideration to and its approval of "Project on Christian Citizenship", to be sponsored by the National Council's Department of Christian Social Relations. The Division of Christian Citizenship, in the Department
of Christian Social Relations, has sponsored several regional conferences on matters related to this subject.

The Joint Commission entered into joint sponsorship with the Department of Christian Social Relations in the publication of *The Church in a Society of Abundance*, edited by the Rev. Arthur E. Walmsley and published by Seabury Press.

The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Joint Commission have served as associate members of the Department of Christian Social Relations, acting as liaison between the two bodies. They have attended practically every meeting of that Department.

The Joint Commission endorsed the proposed National Conference on Religion and Race, which was held in Chicago in January, 1963, and recommended to the Presiding Bishop participation by members of the Episcopal Church. The purpose of the conference was "to bring the joint moral force that churches and synagogues could bear on the problem of racial segregation, dealing with the distinctive role that religion and religious institutions have to play in removing racial segregation and securing acceptance for all Americans".

Two members of this Commission attended the Conference as representatives of the Episcopal Church, and found it significant, in that it marked the first time that leaders of all three branches of Judaism, members of the Roman Catholic Church, and other Christian Communions, have ever participated in so wide a conference in this country. Bishops of our Church were asked, by letter from the Chairman of the Commission, to read "An Appeal to the Conscience of the American People", issued by this Conference, and to join in giving leadership to efforts that may be made by local inter-faith bodies to implement the appeal, which in substance was close to the stand taken by the General Conventions of 1958 and 1961.

**RESOLUTIONS**

1. **Inter-Church Urban Training Center**

   *Whereas*, This Commission believes that one of the greatest missionary opportunities today is among the unchurched populations of the large American cities; and

   *Whereas*, Impetus and renewed opportunity to initiate an effective program is now provided by the Chicago Inter-Church Urban Training Center, which, under the direction of the Reverend C. Kilmer Myers, will train clergymen and lay persons for ministries in urban areas; therefore, be it
Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the work in urban areas be given a priority in the Church's program, and that pilot projects for urban areas be encouraged, and, where feasible, aided financially by the Home Department of the National Council (until such time as it is deemed advisable to share the cost between the diocese concerned and National Council); and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the National Council provide educational materials and information to be used by the Departments of the National Council and the General Divisions of Laymen's Work and Women's Work to acquaint the dioceses with the need and opportunity for a strong urban program.

2. War and Peace

Whereas, The House of Bishops at the 1961 General Convention referred to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council a Resolution calling for the preparation of a definitive statement on War and Peace; and

Whereas, On the basis of an extensive study by a widely representative consultation of Church people, conducted, in response to this action, by the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council, the House of Bishops at its 1962 meeting in Columbia, South Carolina, adopted a statement setting forth the position and teaching of the Church on War and Peace; and

Whereas, It is desired to adopt this statement as expressing the position of the entire Church; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the 1962 statement of the House of Bishops on War and Peace, as appended, be endorsed, and that this statement be commended to the thoughtful consideration and action of all the people of the Church.

3. Population Explosion

Whereas, Reliable studies show that the population of the world increased from one billion in 1830, to two billion in 1930, and to three billion in 1960, and that this growth threatens the world with increased human misery and retarded economic growth, and may well endanger world peace because of widespread hunger and poverty; and

Whereas, The Church continues to condemn non-therapeutic abortion and infanticide; and

Whereas, In addition to Christian continence and discipline, additional morally acceptable means of family planning are now available for the control of population growth; and
Whereas, It is the responsibility of the Church to give guidance in problems having theological and moral implications which our people face; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the General Convention urge members of the Church to work in their communities for adequate resources for family planning and also for the protection of the right of husband and wife to determine, by mutual consent, the size of their family; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That this Convention encourage the government of this nation to offer, wherever possible, information in family planning to other nations.

4. Family Life

Whereas, Professional study and community experience have shown that family life in America today is under unusual cultural stresses; and

Whereas, The resultant family breakdowns, divorces, and marriages after divorce, concern the Church; and

Whereas, Changing patterns in human action have raised inquiries concerning the Church's position on sexual behavior; and

Whereas, The Church is mindful of its trust to give responsible leadership in all areas of human conduct; be it

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the General Convention instruct the appropriate units of the National Council to gather data, formulate studies, and make specific recommendations, to the 1967 General Convention, through the Joint Commission on Human Affairs, on the Christian understanding of sexual behavior; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That special attention be given to the existing premarital- and marriage-counseling resources within the Church, and to the development of training programs for the Church, utilizing professional agencies, so that the Church may more adequately minister in these areas.

5. Continuation of the Joint Commission

Resolved, The House of ______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on the Church in Human Affairs be continued, to consist of four Bishops, four Presbyters, and eight Lay Persons; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That for the expenses of the Joint Commission of the Church in Human Affairs, the sum of four thousand five hundred dollars ($4,500.00) be appropriated for the triennium.
The Joint Commission named the Rt. Rev. William H. Marmion, D.D., and the Rt. Rev. John E. Hines, D.D., as spokesman and alternate respectively, for this Commission, in the House of Bishops; and the Rev. Robert P. Varley, Th.D., and Mr. W. Paul Uhlmann as spokesman and alternate in the House of Deputies, for this Commission, as required by Joint Rule #11.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from the General Convention.</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credited from unused balance.</td>
<td>86.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received from sale of books produced by former Joint Commissions</td>
<td>1,141.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Receipts: $5,228.77

Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meetings of the Commission (2)</td>
<td>$2,710.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel of two members to meetings of the National Council's Department of Christian Social Relations</td>
<td>449.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, postage, telephone calls, other administration, and one meeting of the Editorial Committee*</td>
<td>345.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Disbursements: $3,504.59

Unexpended balance: $1,724.18

*Of this amount, $300.03 has been reserved for the work and meeting of the Editorial Committee and for travel expenses to one meeting of the Department of Christian Social Relations.

Following is an accounting of the $157.45 reserved for the work of the Editorial Committee of the Commission which was yet to be done after the 1961 report was presented:

Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typing of the report</td>
<td>$20.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial assistance</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter to Bishops of the Church</td>
<td>12.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long distance telephone calls</td>
<td>10.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Disbursements: $70.56

Returned to Treasurer of the General Convention: 86.89

Total: $157.45
This Commission notes with gratitude the co-operation and support received from the National Council's Department of Christian Social Relations, and particularly from the Rev. Dr. Almon Pepper, Mrs. Muriel Webb, and the Rev. Arthur Walmsley. We are grateful also to the bishops, other clergymen, and laymen of the Church who have taken time to express their feelings and opinions on the multitude of social pressures and questions which the Church faces in times of changing culture. The efforts of this Commission will find their effectiveness as the Church, with its conscience stimulated, and its spirit enlightened, goes forward to fulfill the gospel mission in a turbulent world.

Respectfully submitted,

C. GRESHAM MARMION
JOHN E. HINES
WILLIAM H. MARMION
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE
HAROLD C. GOSNELL
CHARLES S. MARTIN
WILLIAM G. POLLARD
ROBERT P. VARLEY
JOHN E. BUDDINGTON
MICHAEL BUDZANOSKI
CHARLES P. TAFT
MARThA H. CLARKE
W. PAUL UHLMANN
LOIS WILLIAMS
W. GARDNER WINTERS
TREVOR W. HALL
WAR AND PEACE

(A statement issued by the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, meeting in Columbia, S. C.—Fall, 1962)

Because of the nature of the Christian faith, Christians have an imperative obligation to pray and work for peace among men and nations. Questions of war and peace are not remote and peripheral concerns for the committed Christian; they grow out of basic understandings of man and his destiny which are inherent in the Christian revelation.

The Church through its official bodies must seek to define the obligations of the Christian as peace-maker for every age, and to fit them to the situation of man at every juncture of history. In earlier periods of Christian history, the “just war” doctrine represented such an effort to define the conditions of Christian support for and participation in war.

Since the early decades of this century there has been much less clarity about what constituted a “Christian” view of war and peace. Some in the Church have taken a pacifist position, and many of the Resolutions of General Convention and other bodies have reflected this position; and with the emergence of “total war” concepts and technologies, there has been greater confusion about the Christian’s approach to the waging of war. The increase of nuclear weapons, missile systems, and new ideological, military, and economic challenges have made the situation at once more difficult and more deeply critical for the Christian conscience.

In the midst of all this, we believe it is possible to affirm an approach of Christian realism which is grounded in the basic truths of the Christian Gospel. Such an approach must be specific in its interpretation of the theological basis of Christian concern for all issues of war and peace, and must speak concretely to the frustrations of individual citizens faced by bewildering questions of nuclear testing, military service, the threats of aggression, and the seemingly insoluble tensions of international affairs.

This Report consists of a brief summary of the theological basis of our concern and specific suggestions for Christian action.

I. The Theological Basis

Basic elements of the Christian faith lay a demand upon all Christians to come to grips with issues of war and peace.

Among them are the following:
A. There is one God who is sovereign over all men. For a Christian, there is no loyalty which transcends his loyalty to the will of God. No earthly state is omnipotent. Before God, all men and all nations stand under judgment. God alone commands our ultimate obedience on all issues, including those of war and peace.

B. As there is but one God, so in Him there is one family of men. Christians are, by virtue of their membership in the Church, already a part of a world-wide community which transcends the purposes and policies of any national government. We are citizens of our own nation and fulfill its civic obligations; yet we are part of a universal brotherhood which God wills for his people, and under a demand to make this evident in all that we do. Our Lord died for Russians, East Indians, and Chinese, as well as for Americans.

C. In the Gospel, the worth of each individual person is central. Respect for persons does not arise from humanistic logic, but stems from our faith that God has endowed all people with great worth, and that in His sight they must be treated as His creatures, not as things. The concern of the Christian in foreign policy, as in political affairs generally, must embody a sense of the individual dignity and rights of men, rather than partisan causes in support of secular goals of a particular nation.

D. We live in a sinful and fallen world, yet a world blessed by the grace of God and divine Providence at work in human history. There is place neither for unbridled optimism nor unlimited pessimism about man's situation, nor for national complacency. We are all fallen creatures, standing equally with our enemies in need of God's forgiveness. We cannot escape the sin of the world, the agony of our international tensions, nor the guilt for our human sinfulness which lies at the root of the threat of disaster.

E. We partake of a fellowship of redemption created by our Lord. The Church is called to be an extension in time and history of the saving ministry of His life, called to bear witness to an eternal kingdom beyond time and to His death until His coming again. Even though we live in a world in which it is often impossible to do what is absolutely right, yet nothing can separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord. Death is not the ultimate threat. No catastrophe in this world, not even the destruction of our world by a nuclear war, can threaten our redemption in Jesus Christ.

F. The knowledge of God's love compels a vertical return of this love and a horizontal out-reach to our fellow men. We cannot say that we love God and hate our neighbor. The gentle, compassionate, understanding, forgiving love of a Christian for all men lies at the
very heart of the Gospel. Therefore, we must not fail to respond to that part of God's image which is in every man.

G. The Church is, through hope, freed to witness in daily life to the power of Christ for healing. Because of our faith, the Church and Christians can take upon ourselves the special burdens of reconciliation in this world. Claiming the divine mercy and the power of the Holy Spirit, we have hope. It is the calling of the Church to make available to our own selves and to all men the accumulated Christian experience of the past, always realizing the danger of doing violence to the complexity of this human situation by a too-easy application of abstractions to the needs of the present. In Christ, we discern an eternal pattern to history, glimpsing an ultimate meaning beyond time and space, living with courageous faith in the world as we meet it, and accepting the hard choices without self-deception. Our witness is to an eternal Lord; but it must be exercised amid the particularities of life. It must therefore be specific and concrete, expressed within the choices open to us at our particular moment in human history.

II. Specific Christian Action
(individual and corporate)

There are issues concerning war and peace which divide Christians in our own country and elsewhere: the question of nuclear testing; the extent to which national policy must rely upon military deterrence; the concept of a "just war" over against other interpretations of Christian ethics, including the pacifist position. To some extent, our attitudes reflect the nature of our present responsibilities, our access to information, and the like. But we are unanimous in believing that there are specific courses of action on which the whole Church can give witness.

A. The Church corporate, and individual Christians, must meet all the issues of war and peace, including the menace of nuclear weapons. At all levels of its life, the Church must charge its people with the insistent duty of working with all their strength for the prevention and elimination of war.

Several suggestions for concrete action are listed below. The Church cannot fail to minister to those people who are working with the weapons of war under existing world conditions, as well as those people who are working to meet the economic and social conditions that will exist when peace is finally achieved and total disarmament comes. The Church's ministry cannot dissociate itself from any of its people and in fact should have a pastoral longing to share their frustrations. We can recognize the work of those of our people in
military and military-related activities. To the men at the missile bases, scientific centers, and diplomatic posts, as well as to the people as a whole, united in their determination to remain free, we must not hesitate to offer a full ministry, realizing the political and military complexity of our national situation, and the fact that the situation for all of us, military and civilian alike, is not totally of our own making. With equal—in some cases even greater—poignancy, we recognize the validity of the calling of the conscientious objector and the pacifist and the duty of the Church fully to minister to him, and its obligation to see that we live in a society in which the dictates of his conscience are respected.

B. The Church calls upon all people, especially the leaders of nations, to exercise the strongest discipline of conscience to prevent total war. Under modern conditions, such war cannot serve any moral or even useful purpose. Every possible moral force must be summoned to prevent its occurrence. It is becoming increasingly evident that all-out modern war cannot protect the world's peoples, that an atomic holocaust cannot serve the purpose that war may once have served as an instrument of political or police action to secure justice and peace, that total war under modern conditions is self-defeating, and that it will utterly fail to secure peace with the enemy or even peace within the borders of the countries waging it. When world disarmament is feasible, the weapons of war, including all nuclear weapons, must be abolished. Christians can and should exert every influence to insure that any war which breaks out anywhere in the world is limited. In any armed conflict, we must set clearly defined objectives and cease to wage war when they are achieved.

C. Realizing the social sin inherent in the world, the Church recognizes that the United States must remain strong militarily as long as the threat of military attack from without remains. The Church recognizes that a strong military posture does serve as a deterrent to an aggressor nation intent upon military conflict. To this end, the Church further recognizes that the government must keep itself abreast of all developments in warfare. However, the Church declares that the concept of massive retaliation marked by obliteration bombing of large areas and masses of people should be repudiated.

D. Christians are called to be peace-makers. Such responsibility exists not solely in relation to the larger issues of our society. Indeed, the Christian should be distinguished by the irenic quality of life which he brings to family, work, and community life. The ministry of reconciliation is not a special calling, but an understanding of the Christian life as one which seeks to remove the barriers which sep-
arate the children of God from each other both at home and among nations. The following lines of action commend themselves:

1. Personal action to bind up the wounds of past and present international conflict:
   a. Invitations to citizens of other lands and races to visit our Christian homes.
   b. Arrangements to see and visit individuals of other lands in their homes.
   c. Aid to citizens of other countries in rehabilitating themselves and their lands; support of refugee resettlement.
   d. Aid in setting up opportunities for interchange of all sorts—cultural, professional, and political—with all peoples.
   e. Support of Church programs for lay persons, notably young persons and those just retired, to serve in Church programs overseas, similar to the Peace Corps (such as that recently developed by the National Council of the Episcopal Church).
   f. Recognition of the world-wide community of Christians which already exist on both sides of economic, political, cultural, and racial barriers, as exemplified in the World Council of Churches; support for all forms of Christian interchange across existing barriers.

2. Personal action to encourage governments in the peaceful settlement of international conflicts:
   a. Encouragement of Christians, particularly young people, to undertake careers in government and public life as a form of Christian vocation.
   b. Encouragement of Christians for thorough study of the problems of disarmament, including the details of various alternative programs, balancing wisely the requirements of national security and the Christian obligation to seek to settle conflicts by means other than war.
   c. Encouragement of the fullest and most open discussion of all issues affecting the national welfare, identifying all such discussions as part of the exercise of responsible citizenship, and not subject to condemnation, unfriendliness, suspicion, or humiliation from other Churchmen or other citizens who do not share the same views.
   d. Support of technical assistance programs, both governmental and people-to-people, economic collaboration programs
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with all nations, the lowering of trade barriers, and the setting up of common economic and legal institutions across national boundaries.

e. Exercise of responsibilities of citizenship through communication and protest with respect to evils in government and community practices, pursuing only non-violent means.

3. Personal action to develop a united sense of the world as a community:

a. Support of a program of counselling for every youth facing military service. In this respect, we believe it important that the clergy be given resources, and, if possible, training leading to effective counselling, so that young men may be better able to reconcile the demands of conscience and the duty of national service.

b. Encouragement of the development of agencies, such as the specialized agencies of the United Nations, which call for the co-operation of citizens of various nations to meet the economic, cultural, social, scientific, and political needs of the peoples of the world peacefully.

c. Support of research and personal and corporate devotion of time and money to the problems of communication, the failures of understanding, and the tensions existing between peoples of different races, creeds, ethnic groups, and nations.

d. Recognition that national sovereignty in foreign affairs will not always be compatible with our duty to the community of nations; support for the broad purposes of the United Nations; work for the development of a rule of law among the nations of the world, understanding the problems inherent in the establishment of such institutions.

e. Parishes must be encouraged to provide opportunities for thoughtful discussion of contemporary social issues. The above-described courses of action are not easy to undertake. We are aware that few opportunities now exist throughout the parishes of the Church for serious and disciplined adult study of such issues. We therefore specifically suggest that:

(1) Diocesan programs be set up, perhaps jointly by Christian Education and Christian Social Relations Departments, with the support and encouragement of the bishop, to stimulate adult study of social issues such as those of war and peace.
(2) Christian Education study units be developed for teen-age and adult levels on the subject of the Church's role in seeking peace.

(3) Parish study groups of perhaps twelve persons be selected to undertake serious study of the issues of war and peace, with encouragement that these groups make their positions known to Congressmen and others in government.

(4) Each Churchman affirm that the image of democracy for America be exemplified by opposition to any form of segregation because of race, color, or national origin, and each parish or mission of our Church practice this in its worship and daily endeavors.

f. The Christian's peculiar calling, to pray for the peace and unity of God's creation, should be encouraged by systematic liturgical observances, by reminders from the bishop, and by appropriate materials. It is not merely a pious after-thought to suggest that all Christians, whatever their position in life, can undertake to pray regularly for the reconciliation of God's people. The persistent focusing of the total life of the Church in our day—its worship, prayers, study, and parish program—on the issues of the day, and particularly those of war and peace, is essential to the very life of the Church; just as it is our firm conviction that it is crucial to the life of the world itself that the Church do so.
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REPORT OF THE STANDING LITURGICAL COMMISSION

I. MEETINGS


At the instance of the Rt. Rev. Stephen Bayne, Anglican Executive Officer, the meeting of February 16-17, 1963, included a joint consultation with members of the Prayer Book Revision Committee of the Anglican Church of Canada. The primary subject of discussion was the draft of an African Liturgy prepared by the Most Rev. Leslie Brown, Archbishop of Uganda, which Bishop Bayne had referred to the American and Canadian Commissions for critical comment.

This consultation was a natural outcome of the recommendation of the Lambeth Conference of 1958 that liturgical groups throughout the Anglican Communion should have an exchange of views whenever possible. The meeting with the Canadians was, of course, limited, in the sense that only two of the national jurisdictions of the Anglican Church participated.

The Commission's meeting of August 23-25, 1963, in Toronto, Canada, on the other hand, coincided with a Joint Liturgical Consultation (again at Bishop Bayne's instance) with liturgical groups representing, in addition to the United States, the following branches of the Anglican Communion: England, Ireland, Wales, Scotland, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, West Africa, Central Africa, East Africa, Uganda, Japan, South East Asia, and Canada.

It is hoped that a further implementation of the Lambeth recommendation will be the consultation, similar to that of Toronto, which has been tentatively scheduled to be held in Jerusalem in 1965.

The Commission has found it to be in the interest of furthering its studies to undertake consultations between the Standing Liturgical Commission and liturgical groups from non-Anglican Churches. A planning meeting for such a consultation was held on May 28, 1964.

II. MEMBERSHIP

The following changes took place in the membership of the Commission, following the General Convention of 1961:
The Rt. Rev. Dr. Fenner resigned and the Rt. Rev. Dr. Powell of Oklahoma was appointed for a term of six years.

Upon the expiration of the Rev. Bertram L. Smith's term, the Rev. Louis B. Keiter, D.D., of Portland, Oregon, was appointed for a term of six years.

Upon the expiration of the Very Rev. Francis B. Sayre's term, the Rev. H. Boone Porter, Jr., D. Phil., of the General Theological Seminary, was appointed for a term of six years.

Dupuy Bateman, Jr., Esq., already serving under an interim appointment, was appointed for a full term of six years.

When the Rev. John W. Suter, Jr., was succeeded by the Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., as Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, the latter became a member, **ex officio**, of the Commission.

Under Joint Rule 8 (**Journal**, 1958, p. 631), Bishop Stuart was elected Chairman; the Rev. Dr. Shepherd, Vice-Chairman; and Dr. Cellier, Secretary-Treasurer.

**Tribute to The Right Reverend Goodrich R. Fenner**

**A Resolution**

With the meeting on August 28-31, 1961, the Right Reverend Goodrich R. Fenner completes twelve years of vigorous and effective service on the Standing Liturgical Commission. Appointed to the Commission in 1949, he was, after a three-year apprenticeship, elected Chairman of the Commission, and has served in that office ever since. He presided over the often-intense discussions of Study IV, *The Eucharistic Liturgy*, with discernment, good sense, a willingness to entertain and discuss new ideas, and, above all, a philosophical calm, which have continued in the consideration of other possibilities for revision of the *Book of Common Prayer* and all the thorny points of history, theology, and psychology, involved.

He has brought to the Commission, first of all, a deep knowledge of the Prayer Book and its usages from his long and continued use of it as priest and bishop. But he has also brought a discriminating tolerance of the ideas of others (which has not prevented him on occasion from damning an unworthy suggestion as nonsense!) and a capacity for working with all the members of the Commission and inspiring them to give full intellectual service to the knotty problems that attend the work of the Commission.

A clear testimonial to his leadership and ability to have studies brought to a conclusion is to be found in the number of *Studies*
published in the last twelve years. All but the first two of the eight (plus a supplemental volume), have been published under his chairmanship.

So, on this occasion, the members of the Commission herewith resolve that the inspiration of his leadership and his scholarly, yet genial and sympathetic, interest in all the problems of revision be memorialized, with the clear recognition that they will serve as a guide and an example to his successors in the future.

Tribute to the Rev. John W. Suter, Jr., D.D.

In the Autumn of 1962, the Rev. Dr. Suter resigned his position as Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer, and there-with his membership on the Commission, which, by a change in the Canons in 1949, he had held ex officio. But Dr. Suter's service to the Commission actually began in 1934. In fact, for many years he had been closely associated with his distinguished father in matters relating to Prayer Book revision and enrichment. His father had served as Secretary of the Prayer Book Revision Commission, whose work culminated in the 1928 Book. To that Book the younger Suter contributed seven Collects in the “Occasional Prayers” and “Family Prayer” sections. He succeeded his father as Secretary of the Liturgical Commission in 1941—serving in this capacity for five years—and, upon his father's death in 1942, he succeeded him as Custodian.

Dr. Suter was chiefly responsible for the compilation of the first edition of the Book of Offices for Special Occasions, authorized by General Convention in 1940. He also contributed invaluable assistance in the preparation of the Collects proposed in Prayer Book Studies XII (1958). In a more unofficial capacity, Dr. Suter has greatly enriched the devotion of the Church by his numerous editions of anthologies of prayer, which include many of his own compositions.

Dr. Suter's ability with the refinements of language and the nature of the Collect form of public prayer, backed by his wide knowledge, were a constant resource which kept the Commission from lapses in taste and materially enriched all its productions. For these significant services, no less than for his personal friendship and example, the Commission is profoundly grateful.

III. MATTERS REFERRED

Four matters were referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission at the General Convention of 1961.

One Resolution, originating in and adopted by the House of
Deputies, received the concurrence of the House of Bishops, as follows:

Resolved, That this Convention commend the Standing Liturgical Commission for its work on the several and various studies on the Book of Common Prayer; and be it further

Resolved, That the proposed supplement of Collects, Epistles and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts be referred to the Standing Liturgical Commission for further study and a report to be made in due time.

The Commission's report, pursuant to the directive above, is to be found in Prayer Book Studies XVI, a copy of which has been put into the hands of each member of both Houses of this Convention, and is, by this reference, made a part of this Report.

Three matters were referred directly to the Commission by the House of Deputies, to wit:


Resolved, That Canon 20 be amended to delete therefrom the word “and” from the next to the last line thereof, to substitute a comma for the period at the end of the said Canon, and to add the words “and the New English Bible New Testament of 1961” after said comma.

The Commission has considered the matter and recommends that a final decision be postponed until New English Bible version of the Old Testament is published and in use.

2. Amendment of certain Collects in Prayer Book Studies XII.

Resolved, That the Collects for Gregory the Great (March 12) and St. Augustine of Canterbury (May 26) be so amended as to make clear the existence of the Celtic Church at the time of the Roman mission of St. Augustine to semi-Christian Britain.

The Commission submits, in Prayer Book Studies XVI, a revision of the former Collect which makes clear that the labors of the great Gregory resulted not in the evangelization of “the people of England” (which has a geographical reference), but of “the English people” (a strictly accurate ethnic term). With regard to the second Collect, no change is recommended, because, as it stands, it is factually accurate, in that St. Augustine was sent to the people of England to “bring those who were wandering in darkness (namely, the pagan Angles, Saxons, and Jutes) to the true light”.

3. Lay administration of the Chalice.

 Whereas, Our Church is presently handicapped by a shortage of clergy; and

 Whereas, In other branches of our Communion qualified laymen in parishes are licensed by Bishops to assist ordained clergy in the Communion service by administering the Chalice; therefore, be it
Resolved, The House of Bishops concurring, that the Canons may be so changed by the General Convention as to permit laymen, properly licensed by a Bishop, to assist clergy in Holy Communion service by administering the Chalice to all communicants.

The Commission's response to this referral takes the form of a Supplemental Report prepared, at the request of the Commission, by one of its members, the Rev. Dr. H. Boone Porter, Jr., Professor of Liturgics at the General Theological Seminary, but carrying the full assent of the entire Commission. (See pages 673 to 697 of this volume.)

IV. TRIAL USE

In Prayer Book Studies XV the Commission advocates that the Episcopal Church adopt the system of “trial use”, which has long been the custom in other jurisdictions of the Anglican Communion. The Commission recommends that the following Resolutions be adopted by the General Convention of 1964:

1. Resolved, the House of____concurring, That this Sixty-first General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, in accordance with the provisions of Article X. of the Constitution as amended by this Convention, authorize for trial use throughout this Church, for a period of three years, as from January 1, 1965, that certain document entitled, The Calendar and the Collects, Epistles, and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts and for Special Occasions, prepared by the Standing Liturgical Commission of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America, published by The Church Pension Fund in 1963, and appended hereto; and be it further

2. Resolved, the House of____concurring, That, in order to secure an accurate knowledge of the experience and mind of the Church, every parish priest, or minister in charge of a mission congregation, or person charged with the ordering of public worship in any institution, religious house, or agency of this Church, where these materials shall be in use, make report or reports thereon, during the triennium, to the Standing Liturgical Commission; and be it further

3. Resolved, the House of____concurring, That each diocesan and missionary bishop be requested to appoint within his jurisdiction a Committee through which the said reports may be the more conveniently channeled to the Standing Liturgical Commission; and be it further

4. Resolved, the House of____concurring, That the Standing Liturgical Commission be directed to appoint, from its mem-
bership, a Committee charged with the responsibility of receiving and collating the reports called for in the preceding Resolution, and of reporting thereon to the Sixty-second General Convention; and be it further

5. Resolved, the House of concurring, That said Committee be authorized, for the purpose of its special task, to associate with itself, in the capacity of consultants, other skilled and learned persons.

V. PUBLICATIONS OF THE COMMISSION

The following tabulation shows the titles, dates of issuance, and number of copies sold through June 9, 1964, of publications of the Commission; including not only Prayer Book Studies, but other publications as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titles</th>
<th>Issued</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Baptism and Confirmation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. The Liturgical Lectionary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Ministration to the Sick</td>
<td>Sept., 1951</td>
<td>19,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. The Eucharistic Liturgy</td>
<td>July, 1953</td>
<td>14,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Holy Liturgy (offprint pamphlet of No. IV)</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. The Litany</td>
<td>Oct., 1953</td>
<td>7,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Morning and Evening Prayer</td>
<td>April, 1957</td>
<td>6,827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII. The Penitential Office</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII. The Ordinal</td>
<td>Nov., 1957</td>
<td>5,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX. The Calendar</td>
<td>Nov., 1957</td>
<td>6,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X. Solemnization of Matrimony</td>
<td>March, 1958</td>
<td>5,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XI. Thanksgiving for Birth of a Child</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XII. Propers for Minor Holy Days</td>
<td>Sept., 1958</td>
<td>6,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIII. Order of Burial of the Dead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV. An Office of Institution of Rectors into Parishes</td>
<td>July, 1959</td>
<td>4,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collects, Epistles and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts (Supplement No. XII)</td>
<td>June, 1960</td>
<td>5,190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titles</th>
<th>Issued</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Book of Offices (3rd ed.)</td>
<td>June, 1960</td>
<td>5,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV. Problem and Method of Prayer Book Revision</td>
<td>June, 1961</td>
<td>2,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI. The Calendar and the Collects, Epistles, and Gospels for the Lesser Feasts and Fasts and for Special Occasions</td>
<td>Dec., 1963</td>
<td>1,666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI. FINANCIAL REPORT

Appropriation

The General Convention, 1961 ............... $9,000.00

Cash on hand, August 16, 1961 .................. $  622.33

Receipts

From the Treasurer of the General Convention, by payments on various dates, for the account of the Commission, both directly and in the form of advances to the Secretary-Treasurer of the Commission .. $8,612.14

Contributions by members of the Commission (Messrs. Ashton, Bateman, and Cellier) .................................. 1,010.00

Total Receipts ........................................ $10,244.47

Disbursements

Meetings of sub-committees of the Commission .............................. $  705.75

Meetings of the Commission ........................................ 7,671.48 $  8,377.23

Secretarial Expenses

Shipping charges, Commission Files, to present Secretary ................ $  30.16

Dictating, typing, duplicating, mailing of Minutes and Papers .............. 937.10

Miscellaneous expenses of Secretary ................. 93.06

Total Disbursements ...................................... $  9,437.55

Balance on hand, June 15, 1964 ......................... $  806.92
VII. APPROPRIATION

Whereas, The volume of work of the Standing Liturgical Commission is increasing; and

Whereas, It has been found advisable to assign work more frequently to sub-committees; and

Whereas, It is anticipated that joint consultations with liturgical committees of other Churches of the Anglican Communion and with those of other Churches are likely to be more frequent in the coming triennium; and

Whereas, The Commission has been able to stay within its present budget only by virtue of extraordinary contributions from its laymembers; be it

Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That there be appropriated, for the expenses of the Standing Liturgical Commission, for the coming triennium, the sum of $12,000.00.

ALBERT RHEITT STUART, Chairman
CHILTON POWELL
CHARLES M. GUILBERT
MASSEY H. SHEPHERD, JR.
LOUIS B. KEITER
CHARLES W. F. SMITH
H. BOONE PORTER, JR.
JOHN W. ASHTON
DUPUY BATEMAN, JR.
FRANK STEPHEN CELLIER, Secretary
Supplemental Report

THE MINISTERS

of the

DISTRIBUTION OF

HOLY COMMUNION

by

The Rev. H. Boone Porter, Jr., D.Phil.

Prepared for and approved by

THE STANDING LITURGICAL COMMISSION
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

THE MINISTERS OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF HOLY COMMUNION
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The administration of the Sacrament of Holy Communion is raising questions of increasing urgency. In this Church, as in many other parts of Christendom today, increasing numbers of people desire to receive the Sacrament frequently. The Church must not only recognize the theological significance of this important development, but also demonstrate its own ability to respond constructively to the spiritual and pastoral opportunities which this sacramental revival offers. If, as is the case for so many thousands of our people today, Holy Communion is the only public service they habitually attend, then certain practical consequences follow. It is imperative that the service regularly be conducted in such a manner as to permit adequate opportunity for preaching, instruction, hymnody, intercessory prayer, and other normal adjuncts of public worship. If the Church invites people to the altar in Christ's name to receive His Body and Blood, then the Church must demonstrate its ability actually to administer this holy Food and Drink to the multitudes who heed its invitations. If the Church desires men to receive the Sacraments, then it must show that it is able to confer these Mysteries gladly, promptly, and in a reasonable manner.

The outstanding practical problem to be faced lies in the distribution of the Sacrament to the congregation. In many parishes today, an impasse has been reached. The number of persons who present themselves at the altar is out of all proportion to the ability of the (normally) one available clergyman to distribute the Elements in an expeditious manner. Throughout the week, furthermore, if he is to communicate the sick and shut-in, this too may make heavy demands on his schedule. It is a duty which deserves priority; but there are also many other priorities for a busy rector. In many small missions the problem is scarcely less acute, for one priest is often responsible for several mission congregations, and they are sometimes widely separated.

In particular, practical questions are most frequently asked in regard to the distribution of the chalice, and the possibility of extending the number of persons authorized to administer it. Neither history nor doctrine will permit, however, that one conse-
The Eucharist is a unity: both Elements unite us to the one Christ. Any satisfactory solution of the difficulties now facing us must face the entire problem as a whole.

Accordingly, the present study considers the authority to distribute the eucharistic Elements as it has been exercised from earliest times up to the present, both in regular public worship, and in domestic and clinical ministration. We believe that the experience both of the past and of the present offer concrete lessons which may enable the Church to solve this problem within the foreseeable future in a suitable and satisfactory manner.

I. THE NEW TESTAMENT ACCOUNTS

The history of Holy Communion begins, of course, with the New Testament, yet these sacred scriptures tell us notoriously little about the actual mechanics of sacramental action. In the familiar Marcan and Matthean accounts of the Last Supper, our Lord “gave” the broken bread and the cup to the disciples; he “gave” the broken bread also in the Lukan account of the Last Supper and of the Emmaus Supper. Need this imply that he actually handed these Elements to each one of the disciples?

In the earlier Pauline account, our Lord identifies the Elements as His Body and Blood, but no specific act of giving is described. The same is true with the second cup in Luke. In regard to the first cup, Luke reports the command, “divide it among yourselves.”

Early Christian ideas about the corporate meal of the Church are doubtless also reflected in the six accounts of miraculous feedings. In the five synoptic accounts, it is stated that Jesus gave the bread to the disciples and they to the people. This may have been intended to emphasize the vast size of the crowd. In view of these large numbers, it is possible that we are to envisage the Twelve giving the food to groups who divide it further among themselves. In the presumably earliest account, the crowd is carefully divided into eating-parties—symposia in Greek, smakin in Syriac.

In the wedding feast at Cana, it is the servants—diakonoi in Greek—who bring in the wine.

These accounts do not favor the supposition that the officiant who blesses the bread and wine must also personally distribute them to all who are to consume them. Neither do they favor the supposition that any individual might come forward to take the Elements as he pleases.
II. THE ADMINISTRATION OF COMMUNION IN ANTIQUITY, AND IN THE GREEK AND LATIN RITES

Saint Justin the Martyr and the Primitive Diaconate

Our earliest description of how the Christian Eucharist was actually performed is that given by Justin Martyr. He wrote in Rome in the middle of the second century, but having come from the Near East, he was presumably aware of liturgical customs in other places as well. In his account, the “president” takes the elements and gives thanks over them, and then the deacons distribute them to those present and carry away portions to those who were absent—presumably the sick, prisoners, and slaves who had not been excused from work that morning. It is worth reflecting that these would be more or less the same persons to whom the deacons, in their capacity of almoners, would also bring other food and clothing.

Is Justin merely reporting an innovation of the middle of the second century, or was this already a long-standing usage? There is a strong presumption in favor of the latter conclusion. The most recent scholarly study of the diaconate is that prepared for the Faith and Order Commission of the World Council of Churches by Lukas Vischer, *The Problem of the Diaconate*, July, 1963 (mimeographed). Vischer shows that the three-fold ministry is already reflected in the latest books of the New Testament. The Christian presbyterate probably originated in Palestine as a direct carry-over from Judaism. The episcopate and diaconate are closely linked with, and possibly represent an arrangement that originated in, Hellenistic congregations. In the writings of Ignatius of Antioch, particularly the *Epistle to the Trallians*, ii, Vischer finds indications that the deacons had liturgical functions, beyond being “ministers of food and drink” to the poor. He also suggests that there was a close relation between liturgical and administrative activity. There are, thus, grounds for suspecting that in Asia Minor, at the beginning of the second century, deacons were distributing the Elements at the Eucharist, just as Justin describes them doing at Rome half a century later. Perhaps, therefore, this was the original function of the diaconate, as the name itself—server, waiter—suggests. An interesting observation is made by the late Father Gabriel Hebert in his last book, *Apostle and Bishop*, London, 1963. Along with many other scholars, he denies that the Seven in *Acts* were deacons. The two most important of them, Stephen and Philip, carry out quite a different type of ministry. Why, then, does Luke attempt to explain the office of the Seven in terms of the commonplace functions of “serving tables”? Hebert suggests that Luke simply uses the institution of the Seven as
a convenient opportunity to explain the rationale of the diaconal ministry as it had come to exist in the churches in his time. On this hypothesis, the Seven themselves were not actually deacons, but what is said about their institution does apply to deacons. (The reference to Hellenistic widows would fit well with the supposition that the diaconate was a Hellenistic development.) Our present concern is simply this: the passage gives grounds for believing that, already in Luke’s day, deacons were well established as “servers of tables”—a time when the Eucharist and other Christian meals were still closely related.

But what “tables” did they serve? Outside of Jerusalem, did the primitive churches possess an organized system of local charity? Were there so many widows at Philippi that a special group of ordained officials was necessary to cope with them? Some will feel it more likely that the diaconate became recognized as a permanent formal office in the Catholic Church precisely because it performed a conspicuous and necessary function in every local church every week—the “serving” of the sacred Food and Drink over which the president of the brethren had given thanks. One cannot fail to be reminded of the miraculous feedings in which the Lord himself gives thanks, and then entrusts the distribution to his assistants.

The “Church Orders” and the Later Diaconate

When we turn to the period following Justin, the role of the deacon as the normal minister of both Elements begins to be somewhat reduced. The most interesting and influential of the “Church Orders” is the Apostolic Tradition, attributed to Hippolytus. Apparently based on Roman usage at the beginning of the third century, this document clearly influenced many other localities for centuries. Hippolytus reflects certain anti-diaconal feelings and, unlike Justin, he makes the presbyters the conspicuous associates of the bishop in the Eucharist, as also in Christian Initiation and Ordination. At the same time, we see traces of the situation described by Justin. Thus, the deacons bring the elements to the table at the Offertory—an act presumably analogous to taking them from the table at the Communion. The ordinary procedure for communicating the congregation is uncertain, but at the baptismal Eucharist the bishop is to distribute the Bread, and presbyters administer the three cups. If there are not enough presbyters, however, he concedes that this may be done by deacons. (In fact, the foregoing baptismal ceremonies cannot be performed as described unless the bishop is assisted by a minimum of two presbyters and three deacons.)

The fourth century offers a considerable amount of documentation. The Council of Nicæa prohibits deacons from administering the
Lord's Body to presbyters; presumably they commonly did communicate the laity. In Book VIII of the *Apostolic Constitutions*, the bishop distributes the Bread, the deacon the cup (the distribution of Holy Communion is later mentioned as a normal duty of deacons). In the Ethiopic, Arabic, and Saidic versions of the *Statutes of the Apostles*, this same arrangement occurs at the normal Sunday Eucharist; for the baptismal Eucharist, however, Hippolytus is followed in giving preference to the presbyter as minister of the chalice. In the *Testament of Our Lord*, the deacons still administer both Elements to the congregation. With regard to the presbyters, the deacons are directed to hold the vessels before them so that the former can take the Elements for themselves. This small but convenient distinction allows the deacons to continue in their role as distributors of the Sacrament, while the presbyters, as con-celebrants with the bishop, can feel that each communicates himself. This document makes a similar distinction for the communion of the sick. Normally, this is, of course, done by deacons; but when a presbyter is ill, it is his privilege to have the Sacrament brought to him by one of his colleagues in the presbyterate. Similarly, deaconesses are directed to carry the Sacrament to sick women. With minor variations, the customs prescribed in these classic “Church Orders” were evidently followed in most Eastern Christian communities as long as the practice of frequent lay communion lasted, as a number of other documents indicate.

In the secluded households of the Near East, it was probably the original custom for widows or other older women to bring Holy Communion to sick women and children. With the emergence of the deaconesses as a distinct order, this duty was naturally and appropriately assigned to them. As feminine monasticism developed, in some localities the superioresses of communities were accorded the rank of deaconess. Among the East Syrians, in the absence of male ministers, abbesses could burn incense, read the Holy Gospel from the pulpit, and give Holy Communion from the Reserved Sacrament to their sisters and to children who worshipped with them. The administration of Holy Communion by women may seem strange to the modern reader, but within the specified circumstances this was not an unreasonable or inappropriate development. The assignment of this function to deaconesses is in accord with the traditional association of the diaconate with the distribution of Holy Communion.

The best documented of the ancient Latin Churches is, of course, that of Rome. Our earliest account of the classical Roman rite is the famous *Ordo Romanus Primus*. This reflects the rite of the sixth or seventh century, but substantially the same usages were in effect a
century or two earlier, and continued to be followed for three or four centuries afterwards, at least in the papal Mass. Interestingly enough, the basic scheme for the distribution of the Sacrament is the same as that which we found in the Syrian "Church Orders" of the fourth century. The pontiff communicates at his throne, the sacred elements being brought to him by two deacons. Then he administers the Bread, and the archdeacon the chalice, to the other bishops, presbyters, and lay magnates. Then other bishops administer the Bread, and other deacons other chalices, to the vast stational congregation. Presbyters also help with both Elements.

The association of the deacon with the chalice was so strong that the deacon in the Latin Solemn Mass is still responsible for assisting in those manual acts which involve the chalice. Meanwhile, there continued to be situations, from time to time, when deacons had to assist bishops or presbyters with the consecrated Bread also. With the discontinuance of lay communion from the chalice, deacons of the Latin Church, thus, still retained the possibility of distributing the Host when special circumstances made this desirable, whether to the sick or to those present at Mass. In modern Roman canon law, priests are the regular and ordinary ministers of Holy Communion; deacons are regular but extraordinary ministers of it. Accordingly, deacons may not administer when there are ample priests present to meet the needs of the communicants. If, however, the assistance of a deacon is needed (e.g., if the one available priest is hearing confessions at the time when people expect to receive Communion), no special permission or formalities are needed for the priest in charge to ask the deacon to act in this capacity.

In modern Eastern Orthodoxy, deacons (like priests) exercise the privilege of approaching the altar and receiving each sacred Species separately. In most Eastern rites today, the two Elements are then mixed in the chalice for the communion of the laity. This is done by the priest; if a deacon is present, he assists with the purificator. Since the number of communicants is usually small, the question of expediting the service has not yet become urgent in modern times.

Thus, we see that deacons were probably the normal distributors of Holy Communion in the early period of Christian history. For long after, they remained as the usual ministers of the chalice, and of the Reserved Sacrament for the sick. During the later patristic and medieval periods, however, first bishops and then presbyters increasingly encroached on this basic diaconal function. In modern times, this remains in the West as a theoretical function of deacons, but there are so few deacons that the average layman is unlikely to receive Communion from anyone except a priest.
The Ministry of the Minor Orders

It is sometimes asserted that in antiquity clerics in the so-called minor orders were allowed to give Holy Communion to the faithful. This was, in fact, rarely the case. Their role is part of the total picture, however, and should be understood.

The oldest of the minor orders appears to have been the lectorate, or office of reader. In Justin's time, readers apparently read all the Old and New Testament lessons in the Pro-Anaphora. Probably during the fourth century, the deacon took over the reading of the Gospel. In Western Christendom, the subdeacon later took over the Epistle (although under some circumstances a reader, or other minor cleric, may still chant the Epistle in the Latin rite). The office of reader has, none the less, continued in one form or another. Its purpose is self-explanatory: the clear, audible, and reverent reading of Holy Scripture. Tradition does not give the reader any role in the manual actions of sacramental administration.

The other minor orders had a different history. The first to appear is the subdeacon. He is referred to by Hippolytus as an officially recognized, but unordained, helper to the deacon. With the growth of the Church, and the consequent increase in the deacon's duties, this was not a surprising development.

Eastern Orthodoxy has allowed the development of the minor orders to go no farther. Wide use is made of altar servers and choristers, but they are frequently simple laymen. If it is desired to give them a permanent and more definite rank, they are admitted as readers; the more active Orthodox laymen usually have been so admitted. Readers intone the Epistle at the Divine Liturgy and perform parts of the choir office beforehand. In the absence of a deacon, a reader may, and usually does, say some of his parts. In most Eastern jurisdictions, the subdiaconate has only a vestigial existence today, except in some monasteries, where particular duties are provided for men in this rank.

In Western Christendom, on the other hand, already in the pre-Nicene period an elaborate series of lesser orders emerged, and increasing numbers of men and boys were admitted to them. The exact titles and duties varied in different localities. Thus, in some places, we read of psalmists and librarians; these were evidently offshoots from the lectorate.

The Roman system is the best documented, and ultimately prevailed; although, by the time it was adopted throughout the West, the distinctive meaning and purpose of each minor order had been lost. First, the deacons acquired, in addition to their subdeacons, a
lower grade of assistants called acolytes (Greek for "followers"). Then, doorkeepers or ostiaries were given the old diaconal duty of guarding the entrance to the church. The old charismatic order of healers seems to have been institutionalized in the rank of exorcist, but it soon became vestigial, and its duties in the classical Roman rite are scarcely distinguishable from those of the acolytes. Thus, all of the Roman minor orders, except that of reader, came to be, in effect, delegations from the diaconate.

The late Mgr. Andrieu argued that this development was precipitated by the fact that, in ancient Rome, and presumably in many other great sees, the diaconate was limited to a college of seven men, on the (false) pattern of *Acts* vi.19 As their duties increased, the number of deacons remained static, and hence these lesser ministries were developed to carry out necessary functions. Prof. Davies has come to the same conclusion in his recently published study.20 There were, of course, other factors. The traditional and prestigious association of deacons with the bishop and his cathedral impeded the development of the diaconate in outlying parishes over which mere presbyters presided. Since the diaconate and presbyterate were only conferred on men of mature years, there was, also, a need for positions which younger men could fill. And many men could work effectively in a humbler role who perhaps had no vocation for the so-called higher orders. In the clericalized Western mentality, it was desired to give all of these some definite ministerial rank.21 By the later Middle Ages, we find vast staffs of choristers, sacristans, and miscellaneous workmen in minor orders, employed by the cathedrals. So, too, were many of the servants of bishops and other dignitaries; and the entire student bodies of the universities wore the black gown of clerics. Since all clergy were subject to canon law and exempt from civil jurisdiction, the interminable and unseemly controversies over this "benefit of clergy" were precipitated, and finally became one of the contributing causes of the Reformation. The doctrine of Holy Orders became gravely confused. The scholastic doctors, thus, speak of seven orders of ministry: four minor (doorkeeper, reader, exorcist, and acolyte) and three major (subdeacon, deacon, and priest). Thus, the subdeacon, because of his conspicuous liturgical role, became a major order, although without any biblical foundation. The bishop, on the other hand, having become more of an administrative official, was deemed to be "consecrated", rather than "ordained". He held the highest level of priesthood, rather than a distinct sacramental order.22 This is still a widespread view in the Roman Church;23 it is also held in an adapted form by many Lutherans and Methodists. Here, history gives us a warning: the invention of special orders of lesser ministers can be a very dangerous thing. If the ancient diaconate had not been so long governed by static
and unrealistic rules, but had been adapted to the actual needs facing it, then this unfortunate proliferation of subdiaconal ranks could have been avoided.

The so-called “safeguarding” of the historical ministry by limiting ordination to an absurdly small number of individuals leads in the long run, not to safety, but to disaster.

Many of the liturgical and administrative duties of the ancient deacons were delegated to these lesser ministers. The vast increase in number of presbyters, however, seems to have made it unnecessary to delegate further the administration of Holy Communion, except in emergencies. The acolytes did regularly assist the ordained clergy in the Mass by carrying the large vessels and bags in which the consecrated Elements were contained. By the eleventh century, we find, at last, subdeacons actually administering Holy Communion in the Lateran at Rome; but this custom did not become permanent.

Extra-liturgical Administration by Laymen

We come now to one of the most interesting but obscure aspects of our topic. No known Catholic liturgy has ever been compiled with the supposition that the laity would communicate each other during the course of the service. The communication of laymen by laymen has often taken place, however, outside the context of the celebration of the liturgy.

In ancient times, it is said to have been customary for the faithful to receive large portions of the Sacrament in church on Sundays, most of which they then took home and reserved for private daily communion during the ensuing week. Actually, the documentary evidence for such a practice is very scarce, and, of the few passages which allude to it, most are extremely vague and uninformative. Perhaps the best known occurs in Tertullian’s ad uxorem. The most lucid reference known to the present writer is St. Basil’s letter To Caesaria. Here, the great Cappadocian approves the practice of daily communion and explicitly states that domestic reservation is widespread in Egypt. He emphasizes, however, that the Elements to be reserved are, in the first instance, delivered to the lay communicant by an ordained minister.

Thus, it cannot be denied that in some areas some people practiced domestic reservation. Nor is it likely to be contested that in Christian families where this was done the parents would have had charge of the Reserved Sacrament and would have distributed it to their children and retainers. There are indications that in some cases only the consecrated Bread was reserved, and that the accompanying
chalice was “consecrated” for communion each day by dropping some crumbs of the Host into a cup of wine.²⁹

In times of persecution, when ordinary procedures could not be followed, lesser clerics and unordained persons sometimes carried the Sacrament to those in prisons.³⁰ When the Sacrament was smuggled in to prisoners, the latter perhaps administered it to themselves as opportunity permitted. We may presume that the manner of receiving the Reserved Sacrament in private homes provided a model for the prisoners to follow in communicating themselves.

The administration of Baptism in extremis by laymen has always been permitted in Latin theology. The hearing of confessions in extremis also has a long history. Lay delivery of the viaticum has been less frequent; but in various, more recent, periods it has been permitted in grave emergencies. Lay delivery of the viaticum was, for instance, permitted among Mexican Roman Catholics during the troubled conditions of 1927.³¹

III. MODERN EXPERIENCE

In the period of the Reformation and Counter-Reformation the Eucharist was, in particular, a major object of debate. In the specific aspect we are now considering, however, the changes were minimal.

The Roman Church reaffirmed its position on the priest as the proper minister of the Sacrament, and on the sufficiency of communion in one Species. In Lutheranism, the pastor has remained as the minister of the paten, and an assistant pastor (sometimes called “deacon”) or visiting clergyman usually handles the chalice. If no other clergyman is available, the celebrant, of course, distributes both Elements. In some areas of Lutheranism, a custom forbids the pastor to administer the Elements to himself, and celebrations are normally held only on occasions when a second clergyman is available to assist.¹ In some other parts of Protestantism, also, this administration by two ordained clergymen is normal.² In many Reformed Churches, officials from the congregation assist the pastor in distributing the Elements. These officials, however, usually hold the office of elder or deacon. In the light of Reformed polity, they are intended to be in some sense the equivalent of our priests and deacons.³ A more radical practice is followed in some denominations. In accord with the presumed procedure of the Last Supper, the vessels containing the Elements are passed from person to person, each communicant taking a portion for himself.⁴ In many Protestant churches one sees a combination of methods. The pastor gives the vessels to elders or deacons, who carry them to each pew; and then, within the pew, they are passed from communicant to communi-
cant, as though the communicants were seated about a table. In this passing of the Elements from hand to hand, however, the individual communicant does not seem to be regarded as "the minister of the Sacrament" to his neighbor.

**Traditional Anglican Usage**

During the sixteenth century, Communion from the chalice was restored to the laity in the Church of England. No change was made, however, in regard to the minister of the Sacrament. The officiating priest normally administered both Elements to his congregation. If he was fortunate enough to be assisted by another priest or a deacon, the latter administered the chalice. In the somewhat exceptional circumstance of one priest being assisted by several deacons, one or more of the latter may have been permitted to assist with patens, while others administered chalices. These Anglican customs probably obtained everywhere until the early part of the present century. They continue to be normative in the vast majority of cathedrals, parish churches, and chapels, throughout the Anglican Communion. From the sixteenth through the nineteenth centuries, the large number of schoolmasters and teachers in Holy Orders provided, in many areas, a considerable supply of assisting clergy. There were also instances of men who remained throughout life in the diaconate. Among the notable examples of the latter were the poet, Sir Henry Wotton, and the saintly Nicholas Ferrar of Little Gidding.

The role of the minor orders survived in the English parish clerk. During the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, he often acted as server and epistoler, but he had no actual sacramental functions, except in a few interesting instances when clerks were ordained to the diaconate. Later on, almost all the clerk's traditional liturgical duties tended to fall into abeyance. Nineteenth century clergy, possessed of a high sense of their unique importance, found it annoying to have local men of simple background assisting them. By the end of the century, many of the clerks had become primarily custodians of the church buildings and cemeteries.

During the acute clergy shortage of the Elizabethan era, wide use was made of readers—another survival of the medieval minor orders. Later on, "lay readers" (with this title) appear in the American colonies. Here the S.P.G. also made wide use of salaried lay catechists. These, again, had no role in sacramental rites.

Thus, we see that the normal Anglican practice has been consistent, widespread, and firmly based on ancient Catholic tradition. After the first World War, however, a new view of the matter was voiced in some areas. In many parishes, large numbers of lay people were ex-
pecting to receive Holy Communion frequently. At the same time, dissatisfaction was being felt with unduly long services. Meanwhile, particularly in Great Britain, the supply of clergy had fallen off drastically, and the large proportion of elderly clergy indicates that the shortage will continue to become greater. A similar problem was faced in many missionary areas where the supply of clergy had never been great, and the prevailing educational and economic standards for the ministry have impeded the ordination of a suitable number of local men.

**New Developments in England and Elsewhere**

The new approach was promptly and conspicuously expressed in the Province of South Africa. While rejecting a proposal to revive the diaconate as a life-long function, the Synod of Bishops decided in 1922 that men admitted to the office of subdeacon might be permitted to administer the chalice.\(^{11}\)

In 1925, we see an effort made in England, in the Lower Houses of the two Convocations, to revive the office of subdeacon. Such ministers were to be set apart by the bishop, but not to wear clerical dress or abandon their secular livelihood. Their principal liturgical duties were to read the Epistle at the Eucharist and to administer the chalice. The bishops failed to concur, but the question was raised and discussed again over a period of many years.\(^{12}\)

Meanwhile, the Lambeth Conference moved more rapidly. In 1930 the following statement was made, after conceding the possibility of ordaining men who would continue in secular employments:\(^{13}\)

Further, in order to meet the present pressing need, the Conference would not question the action of any Bishop who, with the sanction of the national, regional, or provincial Church concerned, should authorize such licensed *Readers* as he shall approve to administer the chalice at the request of the parish priest.

Resolution V, 65.

As we shall see, bishops in various regions have, in fact, acted on this permission during the past thirty years. During the next decade, the English Church succeeded in formulating its regulations for readers. These were adopted in 1939 and 1940 by the Convocations of Canterbury and York, respectively. Besides the usual duties of readers (leading the Daily Offices, the Litany, etc.), the following was also decided:\(^{14}\)

Additional duties which, in exceptional circumstances and by special authorization from the bishop, may be assigned to a Reader are:

- To read the Epistle at the Holy Communion.
- To administer the Cup at the Holy Communion.

Regulations Respecting Readers, 10, 2.
Somewhat similar legislation was enacted in certain other provinces of the Anglican Communion in this era, as we shall see.

What had been "present pressing need" in 1930 and "exceptional circumstances" in 1939 and 1940, had become the norm in many areas a generation later. The Lambeth Conference of 1958 re-opened the topic:

The Conference emphasizes the necessity of due care in the exercise of the facility recommended in the latter part of Resolution 65 of the Lambeth Conference of 1930, for the authorizing by a Bishop of certain Readers to assist in the Administration of the Holy Communion. It recommends that this should be done only to meet pressing need, and that this authority should be given explicitly in writing from time to time.

Resolution 91

In close proximity to this Resolution were two others. Resolution 88 called on every province to consider whether the office of Deacon should "be restored to its primitive place". Number 89 declared that no theological principle "forbids a suitable man from being ordained priest while continuing in his lay occupation". The Conference undertook "to encourage provinces to make provisions on these lines in cases where conditions make it desirable". The present report embodies an effort to make some suggestions toward answering the questions raised by the Lambeth Conference in these Resolutions.

Practices Throughout the Anglican Communion Today

In order to assess the various practices currently obtaining in the Anglican Communion, data have been obtained from every autonomous Church and major province, through representatives of the Liturgical Commissions or other respected sources. In several cases, the Primates, personally, have graciously supplied the desired information. The American Commission records its gratitude to all who assisted in this survey.

A surprisingly wide variety of usage has been found to exist from region to region. In some cases, a wide variety also exists within a single national Church. We will begin by considering regions in which lay administration of the chalice has been most fully and widely accepted, and proceed to those where it is restricted or altogether forbidden. Accordingly, the first to consider is the Province of South Africa. There, the office of subdeacon was revived, as we have seen, in 1922. According to Canon XVIII, the South African Church has three offices conferred by the bishop in a public ceremony: those of catechist, subdeacon, and reader. All perform in substance the liturgical duties which we associate with the lay reader. Each of these offices is conferred once only on any individual,
but it may thereafter be exercised only as long as the official is licensed by the bishop. In accordance with widespread custom in missionary areas, catechists are normally teachers or evangelists employed by the Church, whereas readers hold an honorary office. The principal liturgical distinction is in the case of the subdeacon:

If he be specially licensed thereto by the Bishop, the Subdeacon may, at the invitation of the Priest, administer the Chalice in the Holy Communion.

Canon XVIII, 3.

Today, it is the widespread custom in South Africa for parishes to have such subdeacons, and they regularly have this license and invitation to administer the chalice. Large congregations often have two or more. They are selected by the priest and parish council and trained in a manner not unlike our perpetual deacons, and clergy and laity generally regard them as performing their duties in a satisfactory manner. Usually, they exercise this office on a virtually permanent basis. This system, thus, works very well. Serious questions of principle remain, however.

As we have seen earlier, the administration of the chalice was not an historic function of the subdiaconate, nor of any other minor order, and the South African canon only lists it as a special privilege. The most conspicuous and familiar of the traditional duties of the subdeacon is the reading of the Epistle, but it is nowadays widely recognized that any reader should be able to do this. The South African revival of the subdiaconate was undoubtedly motivated by a sincere desire to restore ancient Catholic usage, but, in the light of historical knowledge now available, it may be doubted whether the existing arrangement does fulfill such an intention. The subdiaconate is certainly an ancient and honorable office, but its proper role is to assist the diaconate, not to impede its development.

If these men serve in so satisfactory a manner, why are they not advanced to the diaconate proper? This would allow the Church to make much fuller use of these respected and well-trained men. In 1922, the Episcopal Synod did not consider the time “ripe for the establishment of a Permanent Diaconate”.

Today, however, some feel that the time is more than ripe, and this matter is being reconsidered by the South African Church.

Some dioceses of Central Africa were formerly part of South Africa; and these have retained their subdeacons. Elsewhere in Central Africa, a similar rank of licensed readers exists, but the title subdeacon is not used. In East Africa, some catechists are licensed to administer the chalice, but the present policy is to retrain the experienced catechists so that they can receive Holy Orders. In Uganda, licensing to administer the chalice has been opposed and voted against in Synod.
In New Zealand, in the wide area covered by the Diocese of Singapore and Malaya, and in certain dioceses elsewhere, men licensed to administer the chalice form, as it were, the highest rank of lay readers.

The vast majority of parishes in England know the administration of the chalice only by the clergy. There is probably not a diocese in that nation, however, which does not have at least a few parishes in which one or more laymen are so licensed. The usual custom in England is for the license to be issued in terms of specific need. Thus, in one parish a man may be licensed while the curate is ill, in another he may be licensed for certain great feasts, or on other occasions when a large number of communicants is expected. Here, as elsewhere, a temporary shortage of clergy has tended to become the persistent and normal situation, but some find the present system very satisfactory as a permanent arrangement. The persons so licensed are usually readers. Sometimes they are wardens, or Church Army captains.

In Scotland and much of Australia, there is a similar practice of issuing such licenses on an *ad hoc* basis. There appears to be a reluctance, however, to accept this as a permanent system. In some dioceses in Australia, a permanent diaconate is beginning to be developed as an alternative method of meeting the need. Canada has no specific canonical provisions for licensing laymen to administer the chalice, but licenses have, in fact, been issued in some localities. The Church of India, Pakistan, Burma and Ceylon reports a few cases of such licensing. A few localities likewise have perpetual deacons. Recent resolutions of the bishops of CIPBC favor the extension of the priesthood to a larger number of men, supporting themselves in secular occupations. The canons of CIPBC make specific provisions for such priests.

In the British West Indies, no provision is made for licensing laymen, but such a possibility is now being considered. Ireland, Japan, the United States, and the American missionary jurisdictions have never permitted lay administration. The same is true of many individual dioceses elsewhere. In Hong Kong, as is well known, the problem has been met by ordaining a considerable number of men who remain in secular occupations. Some remain in the diaconate, others are encouraged to go on to the priesthood. In the opinion of many observers, the arrangement in Hong Kong works very well, and a similar pattern is perhaps emerging in Taiwan.

So far as is known, the subdeacons, readers, and other licensed persons, in various provinces are only permitted to administer the chalice when assisting a bishop or priest. They may not assist in administering the Host, nor may they carry the Sacrament to the sick.
The Distribution of Holy Communion to the Sick

This is another aspect of our topic which is of considerable importance. In the period of the Anglican Reformation, some directions were made for carrying the consecrated Elements from the altar to the bedside of the sick. A few isolated references to such a practice also occur in the following centuries. On the whole, however, during the seventeenth, eighteenth, and early nineteenth centuries, the usual practice was that described in the Prayer Books of the period—a somewhat abbreviated celebration of the Liturgy in the bedroom of the sick person; but communions for the sick were infrequent in this era. Among Scottish Episcopalians, it may be mentioned, the carrying of the Sacrament to the sick continued, and is plainly directed both in the Nonjuror books and in the modern Scottish Prayer Books.

During the second half of the nineteenth century, the old practice of carrying the consecrated Sacrament to the sick was widely revived. Unfortunately, this soon became an object of partisan and political strife, with very unedifying results. Fortunately, such controversies are now largely dead in the United States. Here, during recent decades, circumstances have changed the entire situation. Persons with serious illnesses are, usually, no longer treated at home, but in hospitals, and the latter have grown in many cases to institutions of vast size. The Church has, accordingly, expanded its sacramental ministry in hospitals to a previously unforeseen extent, and this ministry has proved exceptionally fruitful and effective. When a chaplain is to administer Holy Communion to persons in thirty-five different rooms, it cannot reasonably be proposed that he perform a separate celebration for each one. Similar problems arise in various other types of institutions.

Only a minute fraction of hospitals, nursing homes, and mental institutions, in this country have full-time chaplains to minister to Episcopal patients. This is a valuable but time-consuming duty, and local clergy are often unable to visit as frequently as is desirable. The same may be said of sacramental ministrations to shut-in parishioners residing in their own homes. In many cases, such persons live long distances from the church and from each other. The problem of distributing Holy Communion in the second half of the twentieth century cannot be solved without considering this wider distribution to those who are confined to their homes, to hospitals, and to other institutions.

As is evident, this section of the present study is not concerned with the question of the desirability, or undesirability, of the permanent reservation of the Sacrament, either in church or elsewhere. We
are here only concerned with the recognized practice of carrying the Sacrament from the altar to the persons to be communicated. Under such circumstances, this is properly considered as an extension and continuation of the original service, which is not completed until "all have communicated".  

**SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FUTURE**

During the preceding sections, we have considered the ministers of Holy Communion during the entire course of Christian history, and in all the major sections of Christendom. We have, inevitably, given most attention to those sections of Christendom, and to those periods of time, in which a traditional type of liturgy has been in use and in which the faithful are accustomed to communicating frequently, for these circumstances are evidently most analogous to our own.

As we have seen, the original usage of the apostolic age is obscure. As soon as a formulated liturgical practice emerges, however, the distribution of the consecrated Gifts is normally the duty of persons in Holy Orders. Such continues to be the case in all the great Catholic liturgical traditions in the past and in the present, in the East and in the West. Such is likewise the case in many of the principal Protestant traditions. Normal Anglican usage thus lies within the unquestioned mainstream of Christian practice.

If we confine our attention, as we ultimately must, to those Christian communities which follow consistent liturgical usages, which have a sacramental view of the Eucharist, and hold some doctrine of Holy Orders, the chief exceptions to the above rule may be quickly listed. In New Testament times, the Elements may, in some cases, have been passed from communicant to communicant. Such a practice is followed in certain Reformed bodies in which the communicants sit together around a table. In many Reformed churches such a practice is also adapted to the custom of receiving Communion in pews, the Elements being passed from hand to hand within each pew.

In the ancient Church, it was customary in some localities for communicants to reserve the Sacrament in their private homes and communicate themselves and their families daily.

Deaconesses have sometimes been allowed to take the Sacrament to sick women, and to give Communion from the Reserved Sacrament in women's monastic communities.
In times of war, active persecution, or other calamity, persons in minor orders, or lay people, have been allowed to take Communion to the dying and to prisoners awaiting execution or torture.

Finally, in the present century, we have, in certain parts of the Anglican Communion, the licensing of subdeacons, specially qualified readers, or others, to administer the chalice in church. Such a practice, as we have seen, was begun with the clear intention of being a special measure, exercised only in limited circumstances, to meet what was hoped would be a temporary shortage of ordained ministers.

Those who have put forward this proposal most strongly, furthermore, seem to have been under the impression that the administration of the Sacrament was a normal duty of persons in minor orders in antiquity. *Present experience and present knowledge do not support any of these presumptions.* Available evidence indicates that the administration of the chalice by readers was unheard of in antiquity. Such administration by subdeacons was a short-lived medieval experiment.

The shortage of ordained clergy in the Anglican Communion during the period between the two Wars has proved far from temporary. The proportion of parishes able to support assistant clergy has rapidly decreased, and the number of small congregations sharing the ministry of one priest has greatly increased. Temporary licenses to administer the chalice, or licenses limited to such occasions as Christmas and Easter, ultimately fail to meet the practical needs. It requires considerable practice and experience to administer the chalice expeditiously. A man who has no opportunity for such experience is of limited usefulness on rare occasions when large crowds come to the altar. With no previous practice, one would suppose that a layman would hesitate to undertake such a ministry on these great feasts. In many parishes, furthermore, licenses for special occasions would be even farther from solving the problem, for many priests need assistance Sunday after Sunday throughout the year. A priest may also need help in administering the Bread, and in taking the Sacrament to the sick, neither of which can be done by those men licensed for the chalice. We must, therefore, conclude that the practice of licensing lay officials to administer the chalice has not fulfilled the intentions or expectations with which it was begun. It is a practice at variance with the normal methods of distributing Holy Communion current among most Christians, Catholic and Protestant. The respected, experienced, and informed, Churchman who can be licensed to administer the chalice already possesses the basic qualifications for admission to the diaconate. To license him for the regular execution of what is, in fact, a deacon's ministry, while with-
holding ordination, is to raise serious questions as to the *raison d'être* of ordination.

**Some Exceptional Cases**

Before making positive proposals to meet the general needs of the Episcopal Church today, it may be well to consider briefly the questions raised by the other exceptions to the normal manner of administration noted above.

First, there is the passing of the vessels from the hands of one communicant to another. As performed within the pews of many Protestant churches, this method has little attraction for Anglicans. On the other hand, when a few persons are literally gathered around one table, such a ceremonial practice can be very impressive. This arrangement is not likely to be considered in the normal conduct of public worship in our churches, but on the rarer occasions when the Holy Eucharist is celebrated at the dinner table of a private house, such a method of distribution seems not inappropriate. In such cases, the officiating priest should be considered in principle the responsible minister of the Sacrament to all the communicants.

The reservation of the Sacrament in private houses is not under consideration at the present time. In a period of atomic war or public calamity, it is conceivable that this practice could again become necessary. Much the same must be said for this as for the administration by laymen of the *viaticum* to the sick, the fatally injured, or to condemned prisoners. The canons of the Church must be framed with reference to the normal circumstances of the life of our people. In time of calamity or in genuine emergencies, those concerned can only do their best within the circumstances.

The administration of the Sacrament to sick women by deaconesses does not seem to pose any problem. Deaconesses are accredited officials of the Catholic Church, and the historic precedents for their performing such functions, within carefully specified circumstances, are unquestioned. Today, as in ancient times, there are many parts of the world in which a male clergyman cannot gain access to the bedroom of a dying woman. Likewise, there are women's monastic communities in isolated regions in which it might be helpful to have one or more sisters set apart as deaconesses, who, when no priest was available, could administer the Reserved Sacrament in emergencies. At present, there appears to be no demand in the American Church for any such arrangements. Should such a need arise, there would presumably be no reason for not following the ancient precedents. Or if, for instance, it was desirable to have a deaconess authorized to carry the Sacrament to communicants in a women's
welfare institution, the diocesan bishop might give such authorization without violating what we conceive to be the implications of the existing canons of our Church.¹

Positive Proposals of the Commission

Granting the exceptions discussed above, it is clearly the Catholic rule that when Holy Communion is administered in church in the normal course of eucharistic worship, it should be distributed by bishops, presbyters, or deacons. Men in any of these three orders may distribute either the Bread, or the Wine, or both. They may so distribute to worshippers in church, or to worshippers who, because of sickness, imprisonment, or other cause, must have the consecrated Elements carried to them elsewhere. There is, however, no doubt that such distribution has historically been a peculiar responsibility of the diaconate. The classic pattern has been that the presiding bishop or priest should distribute the Bread to communicants in church, and deacons the Wine, though in some ancient rites, the deacons distributed both Elements. The public rite having ended, it has been a traditional responsibility of deacons to carry the divine Gifts to communicants who are unavoidably absent.*

This classic pattern would seem ideally suited to the needs of the present time, not only in the Episcopal Church, but also in other Christian bodies. In many Protestant Churches, the officials who assist with Holy Communion are designated deacons. In the Roman Catholic Church, the current proposal for reintroducing Communion in both Kinds is accompanied, as is well known, by a widespread concern for the revival of the diaconate. The present development of ecumenical relationships has made it inevitable that new attention should be given to the diaconate. In the future, united Churches which adopt the three-fold ministry, as in South India, the diaconate will presumably be restored to a more conspicuous role.

*In the charge given at the ordination of deacons in our Prayer Book, their traditional role in distributing Holy Communion is recognized and emphasized. In view of the substantial historical evidence at hand, it cannot be questioned that it is an integral part of the deacon’s ministry to distribute Holy Communion, in either or both Elements, to the sick as well as to persons present in church. Uncertainty concerning the latter usage in the Episcopal Church has stemmed largely from the fact that deacons cannot bestow absolution beforehand. It should be recognized that this is really a legal rather than a theological question. On the one hand, it is certainly true that the seriously ill, and persons confined to institutions, should be periodically visited by the priest who has cure of souls, and they should have regular opportunity of making their confessions and receiving the benefit of priestly absolution. On the other hand, it cannot be maintained that such confession and absolution is always, and in each case, essential immediately before every communion. Neither Scripture, nor history, nor theology, nor pastoral considerations, permit the assertion of so sweeping a rule. Provided that reasonably regular access to a priest is possible, tradition assures us that there is no impropriety in receiving more frequent Communion from the hand of a deacon, after suitable preparatory prayers and devotions. A similar question may arise because of the deacon’s inability to give the priestly blessing at the conclusion of the rite. In this regard, we can only recall that the bestowal of the Sacrament of our Saviour’s Flesh and Blood is the most solemn blessing which the Church can convey. Any further verbal blessing serves, in an ordinary celebration, as a seemly manner of dismissing the congregation. From a theological point of view, it can hardly add to the value and meaning of the Sacrament.
In the Episcopal Church, we possess the historic diaconate, and our canons permit the ordination of suitable men who may exercise this ministry while continuing to earn their living in ordinary professions and occupations. As is also quite evident, we possess large numbers of respected and mature men* who fully meet the qualifications laid down by Holy Scripture for this order.2 Compulsory early retirement in many fields of work today means that we have an increasing number of men, with wide experience in human affairs, ready to devote a large part of their time to the Church. For the Church to continue to disregard these human resources can only be described as an attitude of irresponsibility in the stewardship of souls.

Whatever may or may not have been the Church’s experience with the diaconate during recent decades, it is evident that we now have a new situation. In widespread areas, the desire for additional ministers, both in public worship and in the communion of the sick, is urgent. If the Church is to fulfill its vocation of ministering to the souls committed to it, and if it is to stretch out its arms to the unchurched millions who surround us on every side, then let the Church utilize the diaconate, in addition to the other orders, which a providential history has bequeathed to us. It should be evident, furthermore, that the present circumstances to which we have alluded are rapidly becoming more critical. The ratio between clergy and total population in almost all parts of the world is becoming more and more disparate. The demand for frequent communion is increasing everywhere. Quite apart from our own Anglican position, habitually more frequent communion on the part of our Roman Catholic, Eastern Orthodox, and Protestant, friends and neighbors cannot fail to encourage existing tendencies among our own people. Closer ecumenical relations will only strengthen such influences. Meanwhile, the availability of mature and educated men who have time and freedom to exercise such a ministry increases constantly. Episcopalians are called to share in the new vitality which is now appearing in other parts of Christendom, and of which the restoration of the diaconate is one expression. Accordingly, we propose that in every parish or group of congregations which need assistance in the administration of the Holy Communion, suitable men be selected and called by the Church† to undertake the ministry of the diaconate.

*The availability of suitable men is generally conceded to be obvious. The proposal to license unordained laymen to administer the chalice likewise rests on the assumption that one or more responsible and respected men are, in fact, available in virtually every congregation.

†It is desirable to draw attention to the Church’s responsibility in the calling of men to the sacred ministry. The existing dissatisfaction with perpetual deacons in several dioceses may be directly attributed to the negligence of the Church in this regard. It is in the best interests of a restored diaconate to have this sacred order replenished, not by men who have put themselves forward; but, rather, by those who have been selected as suitable by their fellow-Churchmen and summoned by the local pastor and the bishop to undertake this serious office.
This would not only be theologically and liturgically more appropriate than a policy of licensing laymen for this function, but would be a means of opening to many a larger and more constructive sphere of service within the total ministry of the Church. By the time they have completed their studies and been ordained, the ministry of these men will be even more urgently needed than it is today.

FOOTNOTES

For the convenience of readers, wherever possible, English translations of ancient and foreign books are cited.


I. THE NEW TESTAMENT ACCOUNTS

3. Such action is only specified in the case of Judas; St. John xiii, 26.
   The reading of St. John vi, 11, has been questioned by critics.

II. THE ADMINISTRATION OF COMMUNION IN ANTIQUITY, AND IN THE GREEK AND LATIN RITES

1. First Apology, cap. lxv, lxvii, ANF I, pp. 185–6.
3. Philippians i, 1, is presumably the earliest reference to deacons in the New Testament.
5. Ibid., p. 49. Notice that at the Agape also, p. 51, each individual is directed to receive the blessed Bread directly from the hand of the presiding clergyman. Hippolytus’s original text may have contained a chapter on the ordinary weekly Eucharist, but its reconstruction is uncertain, B. Botte, La Tradition Apostolique de Saint Hippolyte (Munster, 1963) pp. 60–1.
11. Ibid., p. 135.
12. Thus, the Quinsext Council in Trullo (A.D. 692) assumes that the normal ministers of Holy Communion are bishops, presbyters, and deacons (canon 23) and that the two Elements are administered separately (canon 101) N&PNF XIV, pp. 376, 407–8. In the so-called Canons of Hippolytus, the deacon can evidently administer either Element as directed by the celebrant. The deacon carries the Sacrament to a sick presbyter: then the latter takes it for himself, canons 30, 31, German translation in W. Riedel's Kirchenrechtsquellen des Patriarchats Alexandrien (Leipzig, 1900) p. 220. In the so-called Canons of Athanasius, the deacon appears as chalice-bearer, canon 37, The Canons of Athanasius of Alexandria, W. Riedel and W. E. Crum, ed. (London, 1904) p. 33, but evidently the presbyter normally carries the Elements to the sick, ibid., p. 32.
14. Ordo Romanus Primus, trans. by E. G. Cuthbert F. Atchley (London, 1905) pp. 143–5. In Latin texts of this period, the verb confirmare is regularly used to describe the administration of the chalice.
21. Notice the similar tendency today in those American Protestant parishes which have a minister of music, a minister of education, a minister of youth, etc.
26. As in Tertullian, de oratione, cap. xix, ANF III, p. 687; and Jerome, Epist. 48, To Pamnachius, cap. xv, N&PNF VI, p. 75.
30. See Jungmann's footnotes, as in n. 13 and 25 above.
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III. MODERN EXPERIENCE

7. Izaak Walton, *Lives of Churchmen* (Many editions). The classic account of Ferrar is included in the chapter on George Herbert.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FUTURE

1. Such authorization would appear to be in accord with the spirit of Canon 51, Sec. 2 (a) and (b) 1, 5.
## APPENDIX 23
### MEMORIALS OF DECEASED MEMBERS

#### DECEASED MEMBERS—HOUSE OF DEPUTIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albany</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ankping</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmund J. Lee, D.D.</td>
<td>Sept. 5, 1877</td>
<td>May 24, 1962</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arizona</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>J. Rockwood Jenkins, D.D.</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 1869</td>
<td>Apr. 17, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arkansas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemuel Berry Bryan</td>
<td>Feb. 27, 1882</td>
<td>Jan. 23, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George K. Cracraft</td>
<td>Feb. 3, 1892</td>
<td>Mar. 24, 1960</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Henry Rector</td>
<td>Aug. 12, 1884</td>
<td>June 11, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atlanta</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Firth Lockwood</td>
<td>Oct. 6, 1894</td>
<td>June 30, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bethlehem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>Franklin Jones Clark, D.D.</td>
<td>Sept. 23, 1873</td>
<td>June 19, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicholai H. Hiller</td>
<td>July 15, 1868</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1963</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>California</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td>Wilfred R. H. Hodgkin, D.D.</td>
<td>Nov. 6, 1879</td>
<td>Sept. 20, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central New York</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Chase Young</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1892</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chicago</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>No. Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorado</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George William Barnes</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1894</td>
<td>Jan. 29, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Dunlop Dagwell, D.D.</td>
<td>July 21, 1890</td>
<td>June 2, 1963</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dallas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesse Franklin Holt, Jr.</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 1903</td>
<td>July 27, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delaware</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles A. Rantz</td>
<td>July 12, 1883</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1961</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Reese White</td>
<td>Aug. 8, 1901</td>
<td>Feb. 22, 1963</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Carolina</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eau Claire</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Erie</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert M. Garland</td>
<td>Dec. 8, 1893</td>
<td>May 14, 1964</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George E. Hamilton</td>
<td>Mar. 18, 1882</td>
<td>May 15, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollo McCray</td>
<td>Apr. 16, 1880</td>
<td>May 30, 1964</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Florida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alan Reid Chalmers</td>
<td>May 16, 1886</td>
<td>Oct. 2, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond D. Knight</td>
<td>Aug. 11, 1883</td>
<td>Nov. 20, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayard Benoist Shields</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1879</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fond du Lac</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Sidney Foster</td>
<td>Feb. 7, 1872</td>
<td>Apr. 16, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick A. Foster, LL.D.</td>
<td>June 20, 1871</td>
<td>Jan. 15, 1963</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>André J. Perry</td>
<td>Aug. 20, 1909</td>
<td>May 6, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Born</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>Conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Sullivan Bond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaufort Barnwell Cubbidge</td>
<td>Jan. 15, 1895</td>
<td>Apr. 24, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Everett McCoy</td>
<td>Oct. 31, 1880</td>
<td>Feb. 10, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel A. Burns</td>
<td>Mar. 29, 1896</td>
<td>Aug. 11, 1963</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederic George Williams</td>
<td>July 12, 1892</td>
<td>Dec. 13, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Parkman Wilcox</td>
<td>Oct. 17, 1912</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1964</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Sheppard Musson</td>
<td>July 31, 1915</td>
<td>Aug. 18, 1960</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner F. Rennenberg</td>
<td>Jan. 19, 1889</td>
<td>Apr. 13, 1962</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgar Jenkins Wells</td>
<td>Aug. 21, 1880</td>
<td>Mar. 23, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Cartwright Clark</td>
<td>Jan. 2, 1900</td>
<td>Feb. 14, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maurice Andrew Jackson</td>
<td>Oct. 20, 1887</td>
<td>May 22, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Wesley Pearson, D.D.</td>
<td>July 26, 1884</td>
<td>July 4, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph E. Crayton</td>
<td>Nov. 25, 1900</td>
<td>Oct. 13, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MEMORIALS OF DECEASED MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>No. Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long Island</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Los Angeles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William D. Campbell</td>
<td>Apr. 28, 1900</td>
<td>Nov. 24, 1961</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Stuart Wing</td>
<td>June 1, 1893</td>
<td>Sept. 13, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Louisiana</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maine</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest A. Pressey</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1864</td>
<td>Dec. 28, 1961</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marquette</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Foster</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1890</td>
<td>Oct. 2, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Massachusetts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mexico</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Salinas V.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1873</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Michigan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waldo Russell Hunt</td>
<td>July 21, 1894</td>
<td>July 26, 1960</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Leavenworth Ramsay</td>
<td>July 6, 1874</td>
<td>Oct. 19, 1961</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert McClelland Brady</td>
<td>May 3, 1868</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1962</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Milwaukee</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minnesota</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addison Edwards</td>
<td>June 8, 1876</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1964</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knickerbocker, D.D.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Born</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>No. Conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Owen Blache, M.D.</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1900</td>
<td>Feb. 4, 1964</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robin R. Reid</td>
<td>July 10, 1882</td>
<td>Oct. 8, 1962</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clifton Towle</td>
<td>Mar. 12, 1875</td>
<td>Jan. 13, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Ray, D.D.</td>
<td>June 11, 1886</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert W. B. Elliott</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>Aug. 30, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel Thorne</td>
<td>June 29, 1874</td>
<td>Oct. 3, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John G. Forell</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1922</td>
<td>Dec. 27, 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald MacAdie, D.D.</td>
<td>Dec. 5, 1899</td>
<td>Aug. 1, 1963</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul E. Mudgett</td>
<td>Nov. 13, 1887</td>
<td>Aug. 16, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritz W. Alexander</td>
<td>Apr. 27, 1893</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1961</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Pruner</td>
<td>Oct. 13, 1964</td>
<td>Oct.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MEMORIALS OF DECEASED MEMBERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Born</th>
<th>Died</th>
<th>No. Conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oklahoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rush W. Greenslade...June 24, 1885</td>
<td>Aug. 6, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theron Dory Harris...Sept. 10, 1888</td>
<td>June 24, 1960</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles W. Tomlinson, Ph.D...Apr. 23, 1892</td>
<td>Apr. 3, 1960</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Olympia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest Brock Smith...Mar. 17, 1875</td>
<td>Oct. 9, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oregon</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reginald A'Court Simmonds...July 14, 1884</td>
<td>Mar. 29, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pennsylvania</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Wharton Pepper...Mar. 16, 1867</td>
<td>May 24, 1961</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philippines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert Masferré...Aug. 15, 1911</td>
<td>Oct. 30, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pittsburgh</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles R. Dixon...May 20, 1878</td>
<td>Aug. 8, 1962</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William S. Tallman, Jr...Nov. 19, 1880</td>
<td>June 8, 1961</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. C. Moresby White...Apr. 26, 1885</td>
<td>Nov. 11, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quincy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willard H. Fouts...Jan. 9, 1895</td>
<td>Aug. 2, 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Keith Hunter...Oct. 18, 1900</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1961</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhode Island</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence H. Horner, D.D...Nov. 4, 1893</td>
<td>Nov. 24, 1961</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles R. Haslam...July 14, 1880</td>
<td>July 10, 1962</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Born</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>No. Conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frederick Crosby Lee</td>
<td>Feb. 5, 1878</td>
<td>Nov. 2, 1963</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. A. Eddy, LL.D.</td>
<td>Mar. 9, 1896</td>
<td>May 3, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William E. Patrick</td>
<td>Oct. 30, 1890</td>
<td>Mar. 18, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmer Smith Poynor</td>
<td>April 1, 1877</td>
<td>July 19, 1962</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John E. Culmer, LL.D.</td>
<td>May 22, 1891</td>
<td>June 18, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidney C. McCammon</td>
<td>June 4, 1905</td>
<td>Mar. 11, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Francis Garrett</td>
<td>Oct. 2, 1900</td>
<td>Jan. 11, 1963</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Lewis Gibbs, D.D.</td>
<td>Dec. 15, 1885</td>
<td>July 20, 1964</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devall Langhorne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gwathmey, D.D.</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1886</td>
<td>July 11, 1962</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Wyndham Ridgway</td>
<td>May 8, 1904</td>
<td>June 26, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prentice A. Pugh, D.D.</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1881</td>
<td>July 19, 1963</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raimundo de Ovies, D.D.</td>
<td>Jan. 8, 1877</td>
<td>Aug. 30, 1962</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Meylan Bird</td>
<td>July 21, 1877</td>
<td>Sept. 25, 1963</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Lansdale</td>
<td>June 24, 1882</td>
<td>May 2, 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Croft Williams, Sc.D.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1876</td>
<td>Sept. 11, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Born</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>No. Conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vermont</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker Crossby Webb</td>
<td>Oct. 4, 1903</td>
<td>July 26, 1961</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virginia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George MacLaren Brydon, D.D.</td>
<td>June 27, 1875</td>
<td>Sept. 26, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivey Foreman Lewis, Ph.D., Sc.D.</td>
<td>Mar. 16, 1964</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Washington</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Missouri</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Texas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrew Dilworth</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>Aug. 28, 1964</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles F. Turbiville</td>
<td>July 27, 1914</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Virginia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Roger Tyler, LL.D.</td>
<td>Apr. 19, 1878</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1963</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western New York</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western North Carolina</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Bailey Campbell</td>
<td>May 11, 1903</td>
<td>June 13, 1963</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian Calhoun</td>
<td>Dec. 25, 1881</td>
<td>Aug. 9, 1964</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wyoming</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David W. Thornberry, D.D.</td>
<td>May 1, 1868</td>
<td>May 22, 1964</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>American Churches in Europe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE
ON THE NOMENCLATURE AND STATUS
OF MISSIONARY DISTRICTS

The Joint Committee was requested by the General Convention of 1961, not only to study the nomenclature and status of the missionary districts, but to give attention to such related matters as representation in the General Convention, relationships with other dioceses and provinces, "and the like", and to report appropriate constitutional and canonical legislation and administrative proposals to the General Convention of 1964.

Pursuant to the establishment of the Committee by the General Convention, the following were named as members:

The Rt. Rev. Sumner F. D. Walters, S.T.D., of San Joaquin
The Rev. Canon Charles M. Guilbert, S.T.D., of California
The Rev. John A. Pinckney of Upper South Carolina
Mr. F. Bruce Gerhard of Newark
Mr. Sheldon H. Crocker of Texas
Mr. Theodore van Gelder of Mexico
The Rev. Canon Thomas J. McElligott of Minnesota

Subsequently, the Rev. John A. Pinckney was elevated to the episcopate, leaving a vacancy which was filled by the appointment of the Rev. Wayne B. Williamson of Nevada. Mr. Gerhard resigned, and the President of the House of Deputies named Anson T. McCook, LL.D., of Connecticut, in his place.

The Joint Committee met twice during the triennium: March 4 and 5, 1963, at the College of Preachers in Washington, and November 14 and 15, 1963, in Little Rock, Arkansas. A sub-committee met, April 20 and 21, 1964, at the College of Preachers. Members of the Committee have been in frequent correspondence and have sought the advice of other Joint Committees and Joint Commissions of the General Convention, as well as drawing upon the counsel and advice of the Departments and General Divisions of the National Council.

As the result of valuable suggestions received from the various sources indicated above, and from individuals, the Committee has
felt encouraged to propose rather far-reaching changes in the structure of the Church. The Committee has taken note, especially, of the action of the General Convention of 1952, granting permission to missionary districts of this Church in nations other than the United States of America to call themselves missionary dioceses; and of the action of the General Convention of 1961, giving permission to missionary jurisdictions outside the continental United States to use, on the Title page of the Book of Common Prayer, the legal and canonical titles of the Church in those jurisdictions. Consideration has also been given to the fact that, on two occasions, the Overseas Department of the National Council has recommended that missionary districts be called dioceses. Finally, on November 30, 1962, the National Council itself, meeting in San Antonio, Texas, suggested to this Committee, by Resolution, that representation in the General Convention be given to missionary districts equal to that of the present dioceses, and that the Committee, in its report, recommend a more appropriate nomenclature.

The recommendations of the Joint Committee are predicated upon certain basic premises: (1) that every element of the life of the Church must be seen as engaged in mission; and (2) that, since our present nomenclature was adopted, the changes in our understanding of mission have been very great. It is clear to the Committee that to restrict the descriptive title "missionary" to a limited number of jurisdictions is misleading and inaccurate. It is surely the expectation of the Church that each of its jurisdictions shall engage, with all of the resources at its command, and in companionship with every other jurisdiction, in the one mission which is the reason for our being. It was also clear to the Committee that the present distinction in nomenclature, although it has understandable historical and traditional origins, is today based upon essentially inaccurate economic assumptions, as well as upon a failure to realize the revolutionary changes that have occurred in the nature of the mission.

As our missionary frontier is increasingly seen to cut across all boundaries and to run through all of life (most dramatically, in urban situations, in an increased appreciation of the reality and ubiquity of our industrial culture, and in the tremendous growth of academic communities), so has the use of our missionary funds been altered. Today, over sixty dioceses are receiving funds from the national Church for a variety of types of missionary enterprise. Some dioceses are receiving grants in more substantial amounts than those which are allocated to some missionary districts. The distinction that used to have some meaning, even if only in terms of self-support over against substantial assistance from the national Church, is no longer realistic. It is also true and demonstrable that a number
of missionary districts are larger in area, in communicant strength, and in baptized membership, than are some dioceses.

The Joint Committee also took into account, with some concern, the fact that, at a time when the Anglican Communion is moving in the direction of interdependence and a common missionary strategy and tactics in the use of funds and the deployment of personnel, the use of titles in this Church tends to confuse. No other national or regional Church of the Anglican Communion uses the term “district” as descriptive of an ecclesiastical jurisdiction.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Your Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts makes the following recommendations:

I. That all ecclesiastical jurisdictions in this Church which are presently known as missionary districts be known as dioceses, and that they be governed by the statutes pertaining thereto, and that the bishops thereof be recognized as diocesan bishops. To effect these ends requires both constitutional and canonical changes. Inasmuch as amendments to the Constitution require affirmative action in two successive triennial Conventions, and because the requisite changes in the Canons are both more numerous and more extensive, the Committee recommends only the appropriate changes in the Constitution at this time, and proposes the following Resolutions:

A. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article I. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In Section 4,
   a. Delete the second paragraph, beginning, “The Church in each Missionary District”.
   b. from the fourth paragraph, delete the clause in lines 10 to 12, beginning, “and Missionary District”.

(2) In Section 6, delete the first three lines and the fourth line, through “United States of America, and”, and also the last sentence of the section, substituting for the latter the following words, “the Convocation of American Churches in Europe shall have one fourth vote in the Clerical Order and one fourth vote in the Lay order in a vote by Orders”.

B. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article II. of the Constitution be amended, as follows:
(1) In Section 1, delete the Proviso, and the sentence following.

(2) In Section 3, delete the words “or Missionary District” in lines 2 and 4, and “Missionary Districts” in lines 9 and 10 thereof.

(3) In Section 4, delete the last clause, beginning with the words, “or he may be elected”.

(4) In Section 7, delete the last clause.

C. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That Article III. of the Constitution be amended by deleting, in lines 11 and 12, the words, “or Missionary District”, and also the last sentence of the Article.

D. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That Article V. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In Section 1,
   a. Delete clause (1) and re-number subsequent clauses accordingly.
   b. Substitute in clause (3) the following: “by the erection into a Diocese of an unorganized area evangelized as provided in Article VI.”.
   c. Change the beginning of the following sentence so as to read, “The action shall originate in a Convocation of the Clergy and Laity of the unorganized area”, continuing as before.
   d. Delete the sentence beginning on line 11 of page x and ending on line 14.

(2) Delete Section 5 and re-number the following Section.

(3) Delete Section 7.

E. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That Article VI. be repealed and, in lieu thereof, there be substituted the following:

   ARTICLE VI.

   The House of Bishops may establish a Mission in any area not included within the boundaries of any Diocese of this Church or of any Church in communion with this Church, and elect or appoint a Bishop therefor.

F. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That Article VII. of the Constitution be amended by striking out,
from the first line thereof, the words, “and Missionary Districts”.

G. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article VIII. of the Constitution be amended by striking out, from the third paragraph thereof, the words, “or Missionary District”.

H. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article IX. of the Constitution be amended by the deletion, from the second paragraph thereof, the clause beginning, “Presbyters and Deacons”, in line 3, and ending with the words, “House of Bishops”, in line 7.

I. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article X. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In line 10, delete, “and Missionary Districts”.

(2) In lines 16 and 17, delete the words, “and of the Convocation of every Missionary District”.

(3) In lines 19 and 20, delete the words, “or Convocation of the Missionary District”.

(4) In line 28, delete, “and all the Missionary Districts”.

(5) In the first proviso, on page xvi, in the 8th line thereof, delete, “and all the Missionary Districts”.

J. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Article XI. of the Constitution be amended as follows:

(1) In lines 6 and 7, delete, “of every Missionary District and of the Convocation”.

(2) In lines 8 and 9, delete, “or the Missionary District Convocation”.

(3) In line 16, delete, “and of all the Missionary Districts”.

II. Realizing that Recommendation I, above, would increase the size of the House of Deputies by 156 members, this Joint Committee would support proposals for changing the base of representation. We would prefer, however, even this massive increase of membership to the continuance of the present inequality of representation.

III. That the Dioceses of Alaska and Honolulu be considered, administratively and canonically, as are the dioceses in the other 48 States.
This Joint Committee believes that there is a basic and inherent difference between dioceses in the States of the Union and dioceses "overseas". The destiny of the former is to be and to continue as constituent parts of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. The latter will ultimately either attain autonomy or associate themselves with neighboring Anglican dioceses in regional Churches.

Despite geographical discontinuity, therefore, the Dioceses of Alaska and Honolulu should be related ever more closely and normally with the dioceses in the other 48 States.

IV. That any bishop be considered eligible for election as diocesan, coadjutor, or suffragan, in any other diocese; and that, if canonical changes are necessary to effect this, such changes be made forthwith.

The present Canons specifically permit the election of a missionary bishop or a suffragan bishop to be the bishop or bishop coadjutor of another diocese. The effect of the adoption of Resolution I, above, would be to leave only suffragan bishops specifically eligible for what is called "translation".

Some canonists are of the opinion that, since the Canons do not specifically forbid the election of diocesan bishops and bishops coadjutor, no canonical changes would be necessary to permit such elections. This Committee, therefore, recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That this 61st General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America declare its judgment that a Bishop exercising jurisdiction as the Ordinary, or as the Bishop Coadjutor, of a diocese of this Church, is eligible under the Constitution and Canons of this Church to be elected as Bishop, Bishop Coadjutor, or Suffragan Bishop, in another diocese, or to be elected by the House of Bishops as a Missionary Bishop, subject to the provisions of the Constitution and Canons regarding the resignation of his jurisdiction by a Bishop.

V. That whenever a body of missionary clergy, under the leadership of a bishop, shall be sent beyond the boundaries of any existing Anglican diocese to open up a new work, such bishop shall be styled, "Bishop in ______ ", and shall be elected by the House of Bishops. The whole, or any part, of the area within which such a mission shall labor, may, as soon as there shall be a body of the faithful and a corps of clergy sufficient in terms of the Constitution, organize
itself as a diocese and petition for union with the General Convention.

VI. That, in respect of bishops for "overseas" dioceses, canonical provision for their election be made along the following lines:

(1) The House of Bishops shall nominate three candidates.
(2) From this number, the "overseas" diocese, in Convention, and in accordance with its own Canons, shall choose one to be the bishop.
(3) In the event of the inability of the "overseas" diocese to elect, the right of election shall revert to the House of Bishops.

VII. That this Joint Committee, having completed the task assigned to it by the 60th General Convention, be discharged. The Joint Committee recommends the adoption of the following Resolution:

Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Committee on the Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, having completed the task assigned to it, be discharged.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appropriated by the General Convention</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gift</td>
<td>30.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,030.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of meetings</td>
<td>$2,030.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully submitted,

Charles F. Boynton
William F. Creighton
Sumner F. D. Walters
Charles M. Guilbert
Thomas J. McElligott
Wayne B. Williamson
Sheldon H. Crocker
Theodore Van Gelder
I respectfully dissent from the foregoing Report, in that:

(a) Its Recommendation No. I would wipe out all missionary districts, domestic and foreign. That is not a change in nomenclature, but a revolutionary change in substance. The term "Missionary", with its great wealth of meaning, should be preserved. I recommend that missionary districts be designated as "missionary dioceses" and retain their present powers and duties—of course, subject to future change. The 1952 General Convention, referred to in the Report's preamble, permitted Overseas missionary districts to change their designations to "missionary dioceses", which is the term used in this dissent.

(b) As stated in Recommendation No. II, Recommendation No. I would give the present 28 missionary districts an increase of 156 Deputies, thus making their total representation 224 in the House of Deputies. Instead, I recommend adding one clerical and one lay Deputy to the present representation of each, thus creating a total representation of 112. This would be equitable and yet avoid overcrowding the House.

ANSON T. McCook
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REPORT OF THE
JOINT COMMISSION ON CHURCH MUSIC

The Joint Commission on Church Music feels that the attention given by the Church to its music is diffuse. Moreover, the Joint Commission itself has been limited by scanty funds and a spreading thin of the individual efforts of its members.

Throughout the Church, there are many clergy and laymen who are well informed about the subject of liturgical music and dedicated to its improvement. Yet, because of the wide range of standards in performance and taste, and the evident failure to enlist the aid of available talent, little is being effectively done. If the situation is to be improved, a considerable increase in expenditure is inevitable.

Though the total budget proposed in this Report may seem disproportionate to the expenditure of past triennia, it is felt that the venture is realistic, in view of the critical need for a more adequate musical offering to be made in the service of God in his Church.

The projected budget for the coming triennium includes the following:

1. Adequate funds for six meetings during the triennium of a Commission whose membership is drawn from the whole country.

2. Adequate funds for committees of the Commission to function effectively in response to special needs and work projects.

3. A pilot program of research in, and subsequent publication of data relevant to, the field of Church music, both traditional and contemporary.

4. A simple means of granting earned credentials to musicians of the Church (The Accreditation Program).

5. A teaching series in the form of recordings.

6. An Officer (aided by a secretary) on the staff of the National Council, to organize more effectively the efforts of Commission members; to communicate to the Church what is being done, and what may be done; and to relate the efforts of the Commission to analogous bodies in Churches of the Anglican Communion and other Communions.

The Commission has gathered and studied information about the expenditures made for music by denominations of similar size to
this Church. The figures show all of them to be supporting music in their Churches in much larger measure than does the Episcopal Church.

Traditionally, the music and musical standards of the Episcopal Church have always been given a good deal of attention by other Communions. There is, however, an undoubted gap between what the Church says concerning music, and what it does.

The question arises, moreover, whether what is actually going on in our churches is generally known. With the co-operation of many Church musicians throughout the country, the Recording Committee has been presented with tape recordings, some of which show bright spots of creativity and worthy performance. At present, there is no satisfactory way to disseminate these findings, which the Commission believes would be of real help to the whole Church.

The problem of uncommunicated and disjointed effort is costly to the service of good Church music. The problem is widespread, but shows up largely in provincialism and isolated programs. The cost of disjointed efforts is multiplied by the number of media in which Church music is employed, such as (in addition to public worship) radio, television, teaching, contemporary composition, background music for visual aids, etc. Paradoxically, the increase of this problem is the result, not of the requirement of too many people to do the work, but of the spreading thin of the activities of too few.

It is recognized that many of the potentially most fruitful resources come from other denominations, and particularly those which represent other cultures. A steady and recorded flow of this kind of information is not now available, and this at a time when the young Churches of Africa and Asia are coming into their own, the ecumenical movement is growing apace, and this Church might well be singing "new songs".

To all who have been active members of the Joint Commission on Church Music, it seems imperative that the Church, at its national level, should have at least one person working day by day to help the Commission more adequately fulfill its function: to serve the cause of worthy music in our worship.

*The On-going Work of the Commission*

The Commission has met twice a year during the past triennium, actively involving all but two of the appointed membership. Summer Schools, each of a week's duration, have been conducted in California (1962), South Florida (1963), and Delaware (1964). The organizer of the schools is our secretary, Mr. Beymer.
Nation-wide meetings of the musicians in our Theological Seminaries have been initiated upon the efforts of the late Dr. Brown, Mr. Gammons, and the Rev. Mr. Mealy.

Projects Completed During the Triennium

A Supplement to the Hymnal 1940, prepared by the Commission, is now in print, having been published by the Church Pension Fund.

Commission publications on music for weddings and the Burial Office and service music have been revised, and are published by the H. W. Gray Company of New York.

A statement on the use of pipe organs has been prepared for the Commission by Mr. Gammons.

Members of the Commission have responded to requests to lead many local conferences, and have served as consultants on local programs and projects.

Projects in Process of Completion

1. The Commission has begun work on a recording project, which promises to be a major teaching force in liturgical music. The Rev. Norman Mealy and Mr. Jack Noble White are the Committee for this project.

We are convinced that there is no better way to help Church musicians than by illustrations in sound, and we propose, through this project, to help music in our churches become what it can be, an exciting means of common worship.

Knowing the widespread use of our two earlier recordings (Music of the Liturgy in English and Praise to the Lord), we have asked musicians around the country what would be most urgently needed. The hundreds of replies strongly support an extensive series of recordings dealing with fundamental problems: hymn playing, canticle singing, choir training, etc.

Preliminary plans, with encouragement from the Department of Promotion of the National Council, were then drawn up by our Commission. We invited a large number of musicians to send recordings of their own work. At several meetings, Commission members listened to the many discs and tapes sent to us. By now, we have heard a fair sampling of liturgical music in the Episcopal Church. Much of it has been imaginatively done. It has been helpful for the Commission to hear a small choir in Kentucky singing an unusual anthem written for them by their own organist, a talented
composer. It has been helpful, too, for us to hear music from various cities throughout the Church, from Boston to Seattle, from Chicago to Dallas.

Through this listening process, we are able to compile a list of musicians to work with us in preparing this series. If sufficient funds can be obtained to underwrite the initial costs of the project (which should soon pay for itself), the Commission plans to start production next year.

2. Under the leadership of Dr. Sowerby and Mr. Wyton, plans are being made for an Accreditation Program for Musicians in the Episcopal Church. Examinations will be offered which will neither duplicate nor parallel those given by the American Guild of Organists, although proficiency in choral directing and organ playing will be taken into consideration. The examinations will probably encompass the areas of liturgical worship according to the Book of Common Prayer, a knowledge of the history of worship in the Episcopal Church, and Hymnal usage. During the next triennium, this project should be well under way.

3. Based on the initiative and labors of the late Dr. Brown, a basic manual on Standards in Church Music will be prepared and published.

4. The Commission continues in its efforts to encourage all who employ Church musicians to see that some form of pension plan be maintained for their retirement years.

Finally, the Commission wishes to acknowledge in deep gratitude the advice, encouragement, and help, of so many throughout the Church.

RESOLUTIONS

1. **Resolved**, the House of ______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on Church Music be continued, to consist of two Bishops, four Presbyters, and six Laymen who are Church musicians.

2. **Resolved**, the House of ______ concurring, That the National Council be requested to establish the position of a full-time Officer in the field of Church Music, to be located in an appropriate Department of the National Council, with his office to be related functionally to the Joint Commission on Church Music.

3. **Whereas**, the Joint Commission on Church Music recognizes the need for a full-time worker in the field of Church Music on the national level of the Church's activity; and,

**Whereas**, the Commission is advised that this is a matter of the Church's Program; be it
Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on Program and Budget be requested to take this appointment into consideration, should approval of the office be given by the General Convention; and that the sum of $54,100.00 be allocated for the next triennium to the support of this office within one of the Departments of the National Council, according to the following schedule:

_A Suggested Budget for an Executive in Church Music_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salary, etc. per annum</th>
<th>Triennium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary ..................</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension Assessments ...</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Allowance ......</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel ..................</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$15,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Communications**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three all-parish mailings</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocesan mailings ......</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Research and Editing**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Four units of study @ $1,000.00 each</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$54,100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the General Convention go on record as approving, for organists and choir masters, the Pension Plan for Lay Workers offered by the Church Life Insurance Corporation (affiliate of the Church Pension Fund), and that each Parish or other Church agency employing an organist or choir master be, and is hereby, urged to make such pension provision for them, in addition to Federal Social Security.

5. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the report of the Joint Commission on Church Music be accepted.

6. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the sum of $23,000.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on Church Music for its work during the next triennium.
Proposed Budget, 1965—1967

Expenses of Meetings
- Six Commission Meetings: $6,000.00
- Five Series of Committee Meetings: 2,500.00

Total Expenses: $8,500.00

Special Projects
- Recording Series: $10,000.00
- Accreditation Project: 4,500.00

Total Special Projects: $14,500.00

Total预算: $23,000.00

FINANCIAL REPORT

Cash on hand, November, 1961: $1,824.79

Receipts
- Appropriated by the General Convention: $2,500.00
- Special Gifts: 2,000.00
- Summer Schools: 1,905.39
- Royalties: 1,311.01

Total Receipts: $7,716.40

Disbursements

From General Convention Appropriation:
- Expenses of Meetings: $2,334.48
- Typing, Postage, and Supplies: 165.52

From Joint Commission Funds:
- Expenses of Meetings: 2,832.37
- Committee Expenses: 687.72
- Summer Schools: 1,361.39
- Contract for Convention Booth: 200.00
- Miscellaneous: 69.78

Total Disbursements: $7,651.26

Balance on hand, as of April 1, 1964: $1,689.93
Respectfully submitted,

JAMES P. DE WOLFE, Chairman
LAURISTON L. SCAIFE
THEODORE P. FERRIS
NORMAN C. MEALY
A. BALFOUR PATTERTON
WILLIAM B. SCHMIDGALL, Vice-Chairman
PAUL ALLEN BEYMER, Secretary
RAY FRANCIS BROWN (deceased)
EDWARD B. GAMMONS
LEO SOWERBY
JACK NOBLE WHITE
ALEC WYTON

In Memoriam

RAY FRANCIS BROWN, Mus.D.

Whereas, Dr. Ray Francis Brown, Director of Music at the General Theological Seminary, died March 23, 1964; and

Whereas, Dr. Brown served as a member of this Joint Commission, as a distinguished teacher, and as a fruitful servant in Christ's Body; be it

Resolved, That we place on record our loving tribute and deep respect for his manifold contributions to the musical life of the Church and for his unflagging commitment to the service of the worship of Almighty God.

"My soul doth magnify the Lord, and my spirit hath rejoiced in God my Saviour."
Report

THE PRESIDING BISHOP'S COMMITTEE

on

MUTUAL RESPONSIBILITY
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REPORT OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP'S COMMITTEE ON
MUTUAL RESPONSIBILITY TO THE
GENERAL CONVENTION

St. Louis, Missouri—October 15, 1964

The Committee on Mutual Responsibility was appointed by the Presiding Bishop to consider the summons to "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ" issued to every Church of the Anglican Communion by the Primates and Metropolitans a year ago. We have done so; and we now report our findings and recommendations.

Renewal and Re-organization

It is not easy, when one first hears the summons, to realize its full scope. It is a call to a sweeping renewal and re-organization of the life and work of the Church. Fully understood, it says that our Anglican Church structures, our Provinces, our Dioceses, our Parishes, and our personal lives are inefficiently and aimlessly pre-occupied with things that matter very little to either God or man. Because we are Christians, because we are members of the Body of Christ, we have a mission—as individuals, as Congregations, as Dioceses, as Churches. But our habits of thought and action, our everyday structures and operating procedures, are utterly unsuited to the purposes of God and the needs of mankind today.

"The Church exists to witness, to obey, and to serve. All our planning must be tested by this." These are the words of the Primates and Metropolitans, addressed to all the people of the Anglican Communion, including us of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the U.S.A. They go on to say:

"We are aware that such a program as we propose, if it is seen in its true size and accepted, will mean the death of much that is familiar about our Churches now. It will mean radical change in our priorities—even leading us to share with others at least as much as we spend on ourselves. It means the death of old isolations and inherited attitudes. It means a willingness to forego many desirable things, in every Church."

Our Means vs. Our Performance

Mutual responsibility is, in part, a call for money from the members of this Church, for a very simple reason: because we have
money—more money, perhaps, than is good for us, while others are in desperate need; and because God requires us to be stewards of the resources he has given us.

Many devoted lay people today are giving less to the Parish than they could easily give, because they do not see in the structure and leadership of the Parish any great sense of driving purpose to which they might respond. And around them are many less devoted lay people who do not see in the inner-circle parishioners the vision and commitment that might capture the imagination of the uncommitted and enlist them in a great cause. Too often our Church life is a charmed circle of irrelevance, bathed in a dim religious light.

The laity, accordingly, drive a bargain with their Parish church, in terms of comforts provided and services rendered; the Parish drives a bargain with the Diocese and the Diocese drives a bargain with the National Council.

Under such conditions, the normal round of our Church life is theologically unsound and spiritually deadening. These are harsh words; they are not in the document presented to us, but they are your committee’s conclusion as we measure our performance against the mission and the means God has given to us.

A Spiritual Need

Accordingly, our need to grow up into mutual responsibility and interdependence is a theological and spiritual need. We need the help of our brothers in the Body of Christ even more than they need our help. Our Lord’s words, “It is more blessed to give than to receive”, are a profound statement of the nature of man and the dynamics of salvation.

Theologically, we cannot tell the world the truth about what the Church is until we begin treating the Church as what it is: the living Body of Christ, in which we are mutually interdependent members; spiritually we cannot grow in the love of God until we begin responsibly to do what he calls us to do and to love those whom he loves.

It is not difficult to find examples of the Church’s need for renewal in every aspect of its life:

*For example,* 50% of the newly confirmed disappear without trace within five years of their confirmation.

*For example,* we are in 14th place in *per capita* giving among religious bodies in the United States.
For example, our program of adult religious instruction barely exists at the Parish level, and in most Parishes, it is assumed that the priest is the only one who knows enough to teach.

For example, the laymen of the Church are, by and large, either religiously unemployed or assigned to trivial tasks.

In raising our sights to the world-wide Communion of which we are a part, in accepting and acting upon our mutual responsibility and interdependence in the Body of Christ, we should not be thinking primarily in terms of a financial campaign, nor even in terms of a great advance in overseas missions; rather, we should be thinking of a renewal of the whole life of the Church in joyful obedience to its risen Lord.

Many other things will fall into line when we get our theological and spiritual priorities straightened out.

The Response Has Begun

The response of the Church to the call of the Primates and Metropolitan has already begun. Through the Overseas Department of the National Council, 191 Parishes and 17 Dioceses have begun to establish companion relationships with missionaries and Dioceses in other parts of our Communion. In addition, about 65 Parishes are in a partnership program with Parishes of the Philippine Independent Church. The Anglican Executive Officer has made available directories of missionary needs which have been identified and evaluated by the local Anglican Churches themselves. These Churches have made their needs known in a new and profoundly serious invitation to partnership. With the assistance of regional officers around the world, it will be possible for any Parish, any Diocese, any Province to establish relationships with Parishes and Dioceses and Provinces in other parts of the Anglican Communion which will be spiritually enriching to both sides.

The women of the Church, especially through the United Thank Offering, have long anticipated the concept of Mutual Responsibility. During the triennium, through the office of the Anglican Executive Officer, UTO grants have been made for work in Korea, Singapore, and Borneo. In addition, direct UTO grants have been made to Singapore, Japan, India, the Philippines, Greece, and New Guinea, as well as for research assistance to the Anglican Executive Officer.
Twelve Dioceses, acting on their own initiative, have established Departments of World Mission or Committees on Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence. One Province—the 8th—has established a similar department with a full-time executive. Many Dioceses have been studying the subject and seeking ways to implement it. Several have urged their General Convention deputations to press for a strong response by the whole Church. Some have arranged with Dioceses overseas to exchange visits of clergy, laity, and young people. Some have included in their advance campaigns a tithe for the capital needs of Anglican Churches around the world.

These are only a few of the “new forms of obedience to mission” which must be developed in the Church to meet the challenges of our times. Reports of similar actions have come from England, from Canada, and from other countries. The immense Canadian response far outstrips anything that any other Anglican Church has done.

“Make His Name Glorious”

An excellent devotional commentary on the Prayer Book Bible-readings, combined with factual information on the Dioceses of the Anglican Communion, has been started by the Department of World Mission of the Diocese of California, in the form of a booklet entitled, Make His Name Glorious, edited by the Rev. Dr. Massey H. Shepherd. At the request of your Committee, the Forward Movement has agreed to take over this publication and its distribution to the whole Church. Professor Shepherd will continue to serve as editor. Like the epistles of St. Paul, Make His Name Glorious is designed on the principle that theology must issue in action and that action must be based on theology, and that both must be grounded in the Scriptures.

These responses are organized and visible; many unorganized and invisible responses have been made to God’s call for renewal of the Church, among the laity, the parish priests, and the bishops. In preparing this report, we have made free use of the findings of a conference of Canadian and American laymen held in Toronto, earlier this year, and of “grass-roots” comments on Church life that have come to our attention.

Our Ecumenical Commitment

Beyond the fellowship of full communion which we possess in the Anglican Communion, we acknowledge a wider ecumenical
commitment to all who have been baptized into Christ or call upon His name. In growing into the full meaning of our interdependence and mutual responsibility as Anglicans, we shall not neglect these other commitments as expressed in the World and National Councils of Churches, in world relief and inter-Church aid, in warm Church-to-Church relationships. But our concern for our ecumenical commitment need not hold us back from a deeper expression of our common life as Anglicans.

As we learn that the strengthening of one part of the Anglican Communion strengthens every part, we shall be more ready to learn that the strengthening of any part of the Christian fellowship strengthens all.

Resolutions

There is a series of steps which we believe the General Convention should take: (I) to accept the call to mutual responsibility and interdependence, (II) to establish a Commission charged with stimulating, supporting, and co-ordinating the Church-wide process of renewal, (III) to respond to the immediate needs of our fellow-members of the Body of Christ. Therefore, we propose the following series of Resolutions for the Convention's consideration and action:

I. Accepting the Document

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church, speaking through its episcopate and its duly elected representatives in the lay and clerical orders in General Convention assembled, accept the message of the Primates and Metropolitans of the Anglican Communion entitled, "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ", as a declaration of God's judgment upon our insularity, complacency, and defective obedience to Mission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church undertake without delay that evaluation and reformation of our corporate life, our priorities, and our response to Mission, which is called for by the leaders of the Anglican Communion, God being our helper; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That we commit ourselves to this undertaking on the basis of the following convictions:

First, that we must seek renewal and new obedience in every area of the Church's life, at home and overseas;

Secondly, that we must seek these gifts in ourselves as individuals, and in our families, our communities, the nation, and the world at large;

Thirdly, that the study and action required must begin with ourselves, our own Congregations and Dioceses—the new forms of obedience must be ours, first of all, if they are to be found anywhere;
Fourthly, that both study and action are essential; our responsibility is greater than any merely financial response can discharge, and more urgent and costly than mere self-examination can meet; prayer, Bible-study, new understanding, and acceptance of our own personal and local ministry, are cardinal needs, if we are to have any gift to make or grace to receive; and

Finally, that the root responsibility in all of this rests on each Congregation; and we ask that every assistance be given—by Diocese, Province, and National Church—to provide incentives and means to this end;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church be asked to join with the General Convention in affirming the foregoing Resolutions.

II. Establishing the Commission

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be established an agency of the General Convention, under the direction of the Presiding Bishop, to be named The Mutual Responsibility Commission, and to be charged with the stimulating, supporting, and co-ordinating responsibility for the implementation, at all levels of the Church, of the program set forth in the document entitled, "Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ," and particularly Section III thereof, viz.:

1. Join in immediate commitment for increased support in money and manpower, through existing or new channels, in co-operation with other Churches of our Communion.

2. Begin at once a radical study of our obedience to Mission: a study of structure, of theology of Mission, and of priorities in decision.

3. Seek the way to receive as well as give, asking expectantly what other Churches and cultures may bring to our life, and eager to share our tasks and problems with others.

4. Seek to test and evaluate every activity by the test of Mission and service to others, in our following after Christ.

5. Develop swiftly every possible channel for communication with our companions in the Anglican Communion—indeed in the Church of Christ as a whole.

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Mutual Responsibility Commission be composed of six Bishops (not less than half of whom shall be chosen from among the Presidents of the Provinces), six Presbyters, and twelve Lay Persons; the Bishops to be appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and the Presbyters and Lay Persons to be appointed by the President of the House of Deputies; the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies to be ex officio members of the Commission; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the said Commission be directed and authorized to call upon the existing agencies of the Church, including the National Council, for assistance and expertise in carrying out its task. The Mutual Responsibility Commission may make request of the National Council for the assistance of the Council's staff personnel in discharging its responsibilities. The Commission shall make a report, with recommendations to the National Council, at each of the Council's regular meetings; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention request the Presiding Bishop to transfer the functions and responsibilities of the Strategic Advisory Committee to the Mutual Responsibility Commission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the General Convention request the Presiding Bishop to assign an Executive Officer to the Mutual Responsibility Commission; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the sum of $36,000.00 be appropriated from the budget of the General Convention for the expenses of the Mutual Responsibility Commission for the fiscal triennium 1964-1967; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That there be included in the General Church Program an appropriation for the development and implementation of Mutual Responsibility, in the amount of $100,000.00 annually in the triennium 1965-1967.

III. Response to Immediate Needs

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That this Church recognize its responsibility for its share of the immediate needs of the whole Anglican Communion, and commit itself to projects of responsible co-operative partnership with other Churches of the Anglican Communion; such partnership to involve, over and above the budget for the General Church Program, voluntary acceptance of projects totaling:

$1,000,000.00 in 1965
$2,000,000.00 in 1966 and
$3,000,000.00 in 1967;

and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the maximum emphasis be placed on the responsible initiative of Parishes, Dioceses and Provinces, in setting their own commitments and in the choice of projects; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the National Council be responsible for the providing of necessary descriptive and strategic information, on the basis of which choices shall be made; and be it further

Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That money given toward such projects be transmitted through diocesan and national Church offices, which offices are herewith instructed to make no commitments in respect of projects until money or firm pledges therefor are actually in hand; and be it further
Resolved, the House of Deputies concurring, That the Mutual Responsibility Commission be instructed to keep the Church regularly informed and to report fully to the 62nd General Convention in 1967.

Conclusion

Most of the Resolutions need no explanation. The merging of the Strategic Advisory Committee into the Mutual Responsibility Commission is a logical consequence of the Church's accepting the challenge to organize its whole life around its mission—a mission to our cities and suburbs, our towns and rural areas, as well as to faraway places and peoples. "The Church exists by mission as fire exists by burning."

The financial objectives proposed to meet the immediate needs of the Anglican Communion represent roughly our share of the $15,000,000.00 of urgent needs mentioned in the Mutual Responsibility document. It is important to note that the responsibility and the initiative are put squarely upon the Parishes, the Dioceses, and the Provinces themselves, rather than on an enlarged central budget. The goal is the establishment of truly Church-to-Church, person-to-person relationships across the world. We feel that the best way to achieve this kind of responsible relationship is by encouraging, to the maximum possible degree, the adoption of specific projects by Provinces, Dioceses, and Parishes.

But all this is only a beginning. Since the Anglican Congress, the known needs of the Anglican Communion have already gone far beyond the $15,000,000.00 figure, and our capacity to respond has yet to be put to a real test.

And it is only a beginning in another, far deeper sense. What we do with our money is significant primarily as a symbol of what we are doing with our lives. We do not propose that we Episcopalians set a certain price tag on our obedience to Mission, and buy ourselves the reputation before God and man of being missionary-minded. Rather, we join in the call for a renewal and deepening of our own faith and hope and charity, looking upon our giving simply as a God-given way of expressing our relationship to him and to his people here at home as well as across the world.

Renewal includes better training for the laity and better utilization of them in Christ's service; it includes a re-organization of the Parish around things that are important to God and man, rather than irrelevant activities; it includes a stronger life of prayer and Sacraments, a greater understanding of what it means to be
a Christian which will give us a new awareness of the great fact of our baptismal brotherhood with non-Anglican Christians. It involves everything comprehended under the Summary of the Law:

"Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy strength, and with all thy mind; and thy neighbor as thyself."

Committee on Mutual Responsibility
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REPORT OF THE
JOINT COMMITTEE ON PROGRAM AND BUDGET
1964

Introduction

Your Program and Budget Committee met for three days in June in New York and has had daily meetings here in St. Louis for the past two weeks. As their Chairman, I wish to give my thanks to this devoted group of Bishops, Priests, and Laymen, who have spent innumerable hours in research, conference, and study. This Report is a result of their efforts. They would wish me to express our gratitude to the Executive Council, its officers and staff, and innumerable people who have patiently shared our hopes and aspirations.

In every instance, members of this Church have prayed and worked to present a Program of constructive action and a Budget to support it.

This report of the Program and Budget Committee of this General Convention is an attempt to answer the great question, “How will we be about our Father’s business in the years immediately before us?”

We live in a world of tremendous change. Change challenges the accepted customs of the past. The Christian Church must witness boldly to the eternal truths of our Lord and Master. Christ will not be relegated to the role of observer in this busy world. He calls us to evangelize and teach the relevance of our Holy Faith in the present and future as in the past.

At intervals in the Church’s history, she has been led to new insights and power. Many believe that we stand at such a moment today. At last year’s session of the Anglican Congress, held in Toronto, Canada, the report known as Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence became, by spontaneous acceptance, the policy of world-wide Mission. All parts of the Anglican Communion are to be increasingly related to each other. The Program and Budget Committee recognizes that the real advance program of the Church for the next triennium will be Mutual Responsibility. The Presiding Bishop appointed a special committee to propose definite plans for implementing this great movement. Their Report has already been presented. The Program and Budget Committee enthusiastically endorses this Report and urges all members of the
Convention to develop this new concept at every level of the Church's life.

Because it is necessary to free Mutual Responsibility from the restraints of a budget, allowing it to call for a response over and above our normal outreach, your Committee has made no allocations for Mutual Responsibility in the Budget, except the funds required during the next three years for its development and implementation. It is proposed that, after the experience of these three years, the next General Convention may be led to appraise this special effort and bring it into the organized life of the Church.

Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence is a spiritual movement within the Church, rather than a program requiring a budget. At this time, no one is sure where the Holy Spirit may lead us. This means that every Diocese, every Congregation, and every communicant will have to make its own prayerful response to the call to the Mission of the Church in world-wide terms.

In the meantime, and concurrently, it is necessary for the Church to adopt and underwrite a Program through the usual channels.

The Church's Program

The Budget presented to this Joint Session of General Convention is based on a careful evaluation of our present Program and the consideration of new emphases indicated by ground swells within the Church itself. These are three in number: Overseas Mission, Urban Mission, and Theological Education.

Overseas Mission

The work in all the overseas fields continues to grow and extend. In accordance with the policy and plan approved some years ago, emphasis has been placed on the strengthening and extension of the work in the Caribbean area and in Latin America. This is illustrated by the recent election of Bishops for the Virgin Islands and Colombia, and two Suffragan Bishops for Mexico. The assistance to other Anglican Churches and Dioceses continues to increase, setting a pattern for this Church's participation in Mutual Responsibility.

At the same time, the Department's involvement in ecumenical projects and programs grows from year to year, giving evidence of this Church's commitment to co-operation with other Christian Churches in the missionary enterprise, as illustrated by the increased support being given to the Philippine Independent Church.
Nearer home, we are delighted that the Missionary District of Spokane has developed resources that enable it to achieve diocesan status.

Urban Mission

It has been a matter of deep concern to all that the Church's Program has not kept pace with the rapid urbanization of life. We approach our urbanized, technological society with a Church structure and tradition rooted in our agricultural past. Funds allocated in this Budget for urban work are for a program directed toward every human being of our age, whether he lives in the inner city, suburb, or rural area; for this nation today has become urban in its culture. Thus the Diocese, not the Parish, is the organism through which the Church must work, since the complexities of the problem cannot be solved on a parish level.

Several pilot Dioceses have been chosen for their geographical and sociological variety, in which local Churchmen of varying parochial backgrounds, under the guidance and resources of the Executive Council staff, will experiment in new ministries for a new age. Joint financing of this experimentation by these Dioceses and the General Church is contemplated. New structures, new methods of research and communications, even new methods of finance, will be tried. There will be training programs, conferences for clergy and laity, and special research in urban-industrial problems.

The results of these intensified efforts in the pilot Dioceses will, after testing, be made available to an increasing number of Dioceses, both in the continental United States and overseas. In this way, the complex and seemingly overwhelming problems facing the Church because of urbanization can be dealt with in an intelligent and systematic fashion. This work is represented in the Budget by $1,129,766.00, for the triennium.

Theological Education

The Episcopal Church is unique in being the only major Church in the United States that has not directly supported and officially financed the education of her clergy. We have eleven seminaries, all unofficial except the General Seminary in New York City. None has ever received a cent of money for its work through the Budget of the General Church. It is the recommendation of the Joint Commission on Theological Education that this be corrected and that provision be made for financial support in scholarships for theological students and the seminaries. The Program and
Budget Committee recommends the inclusion of $100,000.00 a year for this work and recognizes that this is only a token toward the support of theological education. Some may say that this establishes a precedent. We hope this may be so and that increasing support may be found in subsequent Budgets for this essential program.

**Other Areas of Work**

An attempt has been made to "hold the line" on other requests from the Departments and Divisions of the Executive Council. These requests are not unimportant, but we feel that our energy and drive should go into Mutual Responsibility and the three program efforts outlined above.

After careful consideration, the Program and Budget Committee is recommending that an asking for capital funds be eliminated from this Budget. We are sure there will be great need for funds to construct new churches, schools, and hospitals, for many years to come. Yet, we believe these capital funds must be obtained from other sources, if we are to underwrite the pressing need of our overseas and urban programs. We are not without resources for capital expenditures, since $5,000,000.00 in revolving funds are held by the Executive Council, Episcopal Church Foundation, and the American Church Building Fund. It is good to remember, also, that some of the resources of the Episcopal Churchwomen's United Thank Offering and the Church School Missionary Offering are available as loans and grants for such work.

**The Church's Budget**

The over-all totals of the Budget recommended for the next three years are as follows: 1965—$12,777,017.00; 1966—$13,379,351.00; 1967—$13,922,675.00. These totals represent an annual increase of about 5% and carry out the idea of a step progression of increase within the potential growth of the Church. We feel that this percentage of increase will also permit the growth of mission programs within each Diocese.

We believe that the Church's Mission is everywhere, not just the program administered by our Executive Council. To activate this concept of the equal importance of Mission in the Parish, Diocese, and throughout the world, we recommend the partnership concept of stewardship, giving to others as much as we spend on ourselves. This bond of stewardship, if taught and practiced, will change the life of the Church. These goals require constant teaching and effort from all of us.
Special Funds

This report, for the first time, is presenting a Receipts Statement for 1965 that includes not only the usual amounts to be obtained from the Dioceses and Missionary Districts and funds derived from invested funds of the Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, but also a projection of income available from Episcopal Churchwomen, Church School Missionary Offering, and other special funds. The Church's program is one and we may be thankful that organizations within all parts of the Church are interested in the raising of monies to make it possible. Presenting these resources helps us to see the whole of our Program. We have also shown the projected expenditures of these funds at home and abroad.

Executive Council Decisions

For the first time, too, we are asking the Executive Council to make major decisions of re-deployment of personnel without increasing their total number. We believe that this will increase the efficiency of the Church's work.

Conclusion

From those who have been attending General Convention for a number of years, we may anticipate several reactions to this Report. Some may say that this Budget does not represent a real challenge, that we should be daring and present a higher goal to the Church. To this we would reply that the real challenge is the Mission of the Gospel proclaimed by our Lord two thousand years ago, not the programs or budgets proposed by Committees or Conventions. Certainly, we agree that the potential of the Church could easily support a Budget double or triple the size of this asking. The painful fact is that we as a Church are too self-satisfied, too content to live in little parochial units, too unconcerned by Mission at home or abroad. Too few people attempt to tithe—Parishes that share equally their income from their membership can be counted on one hand in each Diocese. Our Church membership needs to hear again our Lord's command to "Go ye unto all the world. . . ." We do not lack the money or manpower to make a much larger impact on the world of our day—we do lack a deep sense of stewardship that will make this possible. How easy it is for us, under the inspiration of this Convention, to vote a great budget! How difficult it is to raise the funds when we get home!
On the other hand, some will say that this Budget, plus the askings of Mutual Responsibility, are more than the Church can undertake—that each succeeding year the Program and Budget become more demanding, making it more and more difficult to do our work in the Parish and Diocese. Your Committee believes that the Budget is both realistic and challenging. It has tried to come to grips with our opportunities in a realistic way—it believes the askings are well within the potential of every Diocese. We believe that the greater challenge of Mutual Responsibility and good stewardship will serve to awaken the Church to ever greater usefulness for the future.

For our weaknesses as a Church in the past, may God forgive us. For courage and inspiration to face the future, may God fill us with His Holy Spirit.

G. Francis Burrill, Chairman
Harry Lee Doll
Hamilton West
Gordon V. Smith
Anson P. Stokes, Jr.
Russell S. Hubbard
George T. Masuda
L. Skerry Olsen
Morris F. Arnold
John Heuss
Merritt F. Williams
Thomas J. C. Smyth

J. L. Caldwell McFaddin
Houston Wilson
John H. Leach
George Gibbs
Arthur W. Platt
B. Franklin Miller
Robert M. Lindstrom
E. Holcombe Palmer
John B. Tillson
Albert L. Hancock, Sr.
Reginald M. Sutton
Philip S. Lyon
## BUDGET SUMMARY 1965-1967

### ESTIMATED RECEIPTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1964 General Convention</th>
<th>1964 Operating Budget</th>
<th>1965 Budget</th>
<th>1966 Estimated Budget</th>
<th>1967 Estimated Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quotas of Dioceses(1)</td>
<td>$11,034,147</td>
<td>$10,631,968</td>
<td>$11,566,017</td>
<td>$12,288,351</td>
<td>$12,956,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation from United Thank Offering</td>
<td>415,000</td>
<td>404,332</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income from Trust Funds</td>
<td>640,000</td>
<td>743,000</td>
<td>770,000</td>
<td>770,000</td>
<td>770,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesignated Legacies (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Income</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
<td>21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation from Reserve for Contingencies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63,195</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Receipts</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,104,147</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,862,495</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,777,017</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,379,351</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,922,675</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1964 Overseas Department</th>
<th>1964 Home Department</th>
<th>1965 Joint Urban Mission</th>
<th>1965 Aid to Theological Education</th>
<th>1965 Christian Education Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,581,145</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,643,269</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,436,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,667,647</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,899,230</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas Department</td>
<td>$ 4,581,145</td>
<td>$ 4,643,269</td>
<td>$ 5,436,747</td>
<td>$ 5,667,647</td>
<td>$ 5,899,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Department</td>
<td>3,004,474</td>
<td>3,014,907</td>
<td>3,091,752</td>
<td>3,291,695</td>
<td>3,373,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Urban Mission</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>299,142</td>
<td>340,624</td>
<td>490,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid to Theological Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Education Department</td>
<td>577,285</td>
<td>591,447</td>
<td>571,587</td>
<td>588,792</td>
<td>598,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## BUDGET SUMMARY 1965-1967 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christian Social Relations Department</td>
<td>285,486</td>
<td>305,440</td>
<td>326,518</td>
<td>327,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion Department</td>
<td>500,126</td>
<td>507,236</td>
<td>587,700</td>
<td>669,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance Department</td>
<td>233,600</td>
<td>247,415</td>
<td>253,841</td>
<td>255,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Organizational Units</td>
<td>369,048</td>
<td>376,756</td>
<td>386,933</td>
<td>362,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>242,425</td>
<td>259,308</td>
<td>320,860</td>
<td>332,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation Fund</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration Costs</td>
<td>731,558</td>
<td>727,717</td>
<td>816,353</td>
<td>873,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Episcopalian</td>
<td>159,000</td>
<td>159,000</td>
<td>185,584</td>
<td>169,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Relief and Interchurch Aid</td>
<td>440,000</td>
<td>370,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revolving Loan Fund and Grants</td>
<td>980,000</td>
<td>660,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Estimated Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,104,147</strong></td>
<td><strong>$11,862,495</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,777,017</strong></td>
<td><strong>$13,379,351</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Amounts in 1964 are “Mathematical Quotas Asked” and “Quotas Pledged” respectively.

(2) The estimated receipts from “Undesignated Legacies” have been included above to be expended under “Administration” for the interest on the Episcopal Church Center debt until funds are received sufficient to pay off the mortgage.
Resolution No. 1

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Budget for 1965, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $12,777,017.00, be adopted for such year of 1965.

Resolution No. 2

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Estimated Budget for 1966, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $13,379,351.00, be adopted for such year of 1966.

Resolution No. 3

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the detailed Estimated Budget for 1967, as submitted by the Joint Committee on Program and Budget, in the total amount of $13,922,675.00, be adopted for such year of 1967.

Resolution No. 4

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That the Executive Council shall have the power to expend all sums of money included in the Budget for 1965, as well as the Estimated Budgets for 1966 and 1967, respectively, subject to the following restrictions:

1) As soon as may be done after the beginning of each such year, and after first receiving the advice of its own Department of Finance, the Executive Council shall so adjust the Budget or Estimated Budget for each such year as to reflect the responses of the several Dioceses and Districts to the quotas assigned to them under the authority of this General Convention, as well as other income available to carry out the Program reflected thereby; all to the end that the Executive Council shall carry out such Program upon a pay-as-you-go basis during each year of such triennium;

2) Any so-called "lapsed balances" from any given prior year, including 1964, may either be treated and considered, in whole or in part, as other income available to carry out the Program hereby provided for the ensuing years of such triennium, or may be credited to a reserve for future program needs, and shall be expended for no other purpose whatsoever;

3) At any time, and from time to time during any year of such triennium, after first receiving the advice of its own
Department of Finance, the Executive Council may make such further adjustments in the Budget or Estimated Budget for any year of such triennium as shall reasonably be required to

(a) Better co-ordinate the administration and execution of the Program reflected thereby; or

(b) Undertake such other work provided for in the Program approved by this General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant; or

(c) Undertake other work under the jurisdiction of the Council, the need for which may have arisen after the action of this General Convention, as in the judgment of the Council its income will warrant;

(4) In making any such adjustment or further adjustment of the Budget or Estimated Budget for any year of such triennium, the Executive Council shall always give priority to the execution of those Missions set forth in the Program approved by this General Convention as are reflected in such Budget and Estimated Budgets under items for (a) Overseas Mission, (b) Joint Urban Mission, (c) Aid to Theological Education, and (d) Implementation Fund for Mutual Responsibility, all of which Missions, together, should be the last to suffer any reduction of over-all expenditures during such triennium;

(5) In making any such adjustment or further adjustments, as aforesaid, the total number of officers and staff of the Executive Council shall at no time during such triennium exceed 337, being the total number of all officer and staff positions established by the Executive Council as of October 1, 1964; and

(6) The Department of Finance of the Executive Council shall be charged with the responsibility of formulating officer and employee personnel policies and of supervising the allocation of all funds reflected in such Budget and Estimated Budgets under the item of Salary Adjustment Fund.

Resolution No. 5

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That there be appointed a Joint Committee on Program and Budget for the next succeeding General Convention, consisting of six (6) Bishops, to be appointed by the Presiding Bishop, and six (6) Presbyters and twelve (12) Laymen, to be appointed by the President of the House of Deputies (if if his office shall become vacant for any
reason, then by the Vice-President of that House, but if there be none, then by the Presiding Bishop) from among the elected Members of the House of Deputies of the next succeeding General Convention, as soon as their names may be ascertained; and, further,

Resolved, that such Joint Committee shall

(1) Receive from the Executive Council, as soon as the same may be available, the proposed Program for the triennium 1968-70, including the proposed detailed Budget for the year 1968, as well as the proposed Estimated Budgets for the two succeeding years;

(2) Convene and organize at such time and place as its Convener shall appoint;

(3) Meet in the Convention City of the next General Convention, as well as at such other places as it shall determine, sufficiently in advance of the next General Convention as to timely complete its work;

(4) Conduct hearings upon such proposed Program and Budgets; and

(5) Consider and report upon such proposed Program and Budgets, as well as all matters incident thereto, to the next succeeding General Convention.

Resolution No. 6

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That an appropriation of $11,500.00 for the triennium 1965-67 be included in the Budget of this General Convention for the work of the Joint Committee on Program and Budget of the next succeeding General Convention.

Resolution No. 7

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That every Episcopal organization owned by The Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society of this Church, organized by action of the General Convention or the Executive Council, or receiving funds from the General Convention or the Executive Council, be required to file an annual audited report with the Treasurer of the Executive Council.

Resolution No. 8

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention urge all members of the Church to practice tithing; and, further,
Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention commend to all Vestries the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their Parishes to work outside their Parishes on national, diocesan, and local programs; and, further,

Resolved, the House of Bishops concurring, That this 61st General Convention commend to the Conventions and Convocations of all Dioceses and Missionary Districts the goal of giving to the National Church an amount equal to that which is expended upon diocesan and district programs.

### SPECIAL FUNDS 1964-1965
(In Addition to the Regular Budget)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Receipts:</th>
<th>1964</th>
<th>1965</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Legacies</td>
<td>$77,616</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesignated Legacies (1)</td>
<td>120,332</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church School Missionary Offerings</td>
<td>403,779</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good Friday Offering</td>
<td>94,771</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Bishop's Fund for World Relief</td>
<td>350,895</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Thank Offering</td>
<td>977,000</td>
<td>1,116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated Contributions</td>
<td>1,032,368</td>
<td>1,040,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Funds — Special Purpose</td>
<td>366,074</td>
<td>370,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,422,835</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3,466,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Expenditures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missionary Work—Designated Contributions and Legacies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total, Missionary Work</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesignated Legacies (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Grants:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Church School Missionary Offering (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home (United Thank Offering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overseas (UTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total, Capital Grants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants (UTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerusalem and East Mission (Good Friday Offering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Relief—Presiding Bishop's Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revolving Loan Funds (UTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Use of UTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Work (UTO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Technological Culture (CSMO) (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work of the Church—Designated Trust Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Total, Other Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES:

(1) In 1965 the estimated receipts of "Undesignated legacies" ($120,000) have been included in Budgeted Receipts to be expended for the interest on the Episcopal Church Center mortgage until funds are received sufficient to pay off the mortgage.

(2) In 1964 the Church School Missionary Offering was for "Peoples' Special Needs at Home" and for "Latin America Abroad". In 1965 it is for Colombia, South America, and for "Urban Technological Culture".

As of January 1, 1964, the Executive Council has balances of $1,225,444 in "Reserve" and $512,864 in "Reserve for Contingencies". Income therefrom is credited back to such Reserves.

SUPPLEMENT NO. 1

1965 QUOTAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIRST PROVINCE</th>
<th>1965 QUOTAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>$437,148.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>62,639.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>495,144.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>59,664.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>138,190.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>37,655.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West. Massachusetts</td>
<td>126,778.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,357,218.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECOND PROVINCE</th>
<th>1965 QUOTAS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>153,041.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>175,820.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>353,348.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>274,068.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>765,659.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>325,542.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>100,079.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td>127,853.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,275,410.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 27

#### THIRD PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>$87,280.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>$103,734.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton</td>
<td>$31,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>$48,477.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>$78,085.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>$254,444.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$513,071.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>$148,149.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
<td>$122,350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Virginia</td>
<td>$64,230.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>$251,182.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>$232,227.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>$71,212.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Third Province:** $2,005,741.00

#### SIXTH PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>$132,345.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>$73,516.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>$150,360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>$32,489.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>$56,415.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>$15,810.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>$26,270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>$34,309.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Sixth Province:** $521,514.00

#### FOURTH PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>$132,531.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>$119,978.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>$50,536.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$110,375.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>$52,138.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>$63,313.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>$41,815.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>$142,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>$69,379.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>$147,278.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>$68,249.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>$305,870.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>$159,144.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper So. Carolina</td>
<td>$75,470.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, No. Carolina</td>
<td>$41,396.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Fourth Province:** $1,027,630.00

#### SEVENTH PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>$50,741.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>$175,557.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>$75,977.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>$90,207.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico &amp; SW Texas</td>
<td>$63,623.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
<td>$46,303.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>$91,706.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>$16,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>$245,244.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>$71,225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>$100,247.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Seventh Province:** $1,027,630.00

#### FIFTH PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>$321,118.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>$15,442.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>$33,501.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>$82,549.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>$351,966.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>$90,302.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>$49,327.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>$16,110.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>$284,812.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>$20,316.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>$196,429.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>$35,940.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>$86,366.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Fifth Province:** $1,584,178.00

#### EIGHTH PROVINCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>$63,833.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>$269,611.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>$20,064.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>$19,913.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>$410,351.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>$17,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North, California</td>
<td>$63,374.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympia</td>
<td>$141,834.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$92,924.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>$48,045.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>$53,685.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>$14,184.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Eighth Province:** $1,214,854.00

**GRAND TOTAL:** $11,566,017.00
The National Council of Churches developed as the natural result of Christian Communions and Denominations working together for more than 130 years. It was organized in 1950 as a merger of twelve inter-denominational agencies by officially authorized denominational representatives, including those of the Episcopal Church. The Episcopal Church's Presiding Bishop was its first President. Incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, it is an agent of the more than thirty Communions which established it. These Communions control its policies, and make up its General Board and its Committees.

The Council's constitution provides for a triennial General Assembly of official delegates nominated or approved by member Denominations. The General Board, consisting of delegated representatives of the Churches, meets quarterly as the executive body. It is responsible to and reports to each of the Communions which established it as their agency for co-operation and is subject to their review. It is controlled by the member Churches and exists for the purpose of making possible services to the nation and the world which would be impossible for member Churches working alone.

The National Council of Churches is and always has been unalterably opposed to Communism in word and deed. The General Board, meeting in Seattle, June 4, 1959, re-affirmed the "consistent position of the National Council of Churches expressed in many official actions opposing the evils, the violence, and the violation of human rights by Communist and other tyrannies."

The National Council of Churches is forbidden by its constitution to determine theological matters, which are the sole concern of its member Churches.

The National Council of Churches is forbidden by its constitution to exercise any authority or administrative control over the Churches or to prescribe any form of Church government.

The National Council of Churches is not and cannot be a Church or a super-Church.

As the Report on the National Council of Churches by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations indicates, the Protestant Episcopal Church, in General Convention, has made it clear that
statements of the National Council of Churches, political, economic, social, and otherwise, do not necessarily represent the views of The Protestant Episcopal Church, which guards its autonomy and reserves the right to speak for itself.

In 1965, under a new Constitution and By-Laws of the National Council of Churches, already adopted,

1. Member Communions will have clearer control over the total work of the National Council of Churches.

2. There will be more lay representation on the National Council of Churches' governing boards.

3. These boards (General Assembly and The General Board) will have stricter administrative control over their divisions and departments.

Principles of Evaluation

The Committee on Program and Budget has adopted six principles of evaluation of the work of the National Council of Churches, as follows:

1. The value of a central Christian clearing house for ideas and joint opportunity for planning programs.

2. The value of services received by the Episcopal Church staff, resulting in a great saving of personnel of the Episcopal Church.

3. The importance of backing up the Episcopal Church's Ecumenical Officer, Mr. Peter Day.

4. The need of demonstrating concretely that we mean what we say when we talk about our co-operation with other Church bodies.

5. The recognition that these financial allocations are the sign of our moral responsibility for our membership.

6. The recognition of the fact that, though these allocations total only one per cent of the Episcopal Church's annual budget, they are the formal support of a tremendous amount of the Episcopal Church's ecumenical effort in all its departments.
BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS

Following is a complete list of the items in the Annual Budget of this Church for 1965-67, together with the allocated dollar figures for 1965, for the National Council of Churches:

OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT

Division of Foreign Missions Administration .... $ 9,449.00

This appropriation is for the New York office of this missionary arm of the National Council of Churches, comprising 70 missions boards and related agencies, representing 32 Denominations.

Alaska Committee ............................. 110.00

A clearing house for mission-board executives who are responsible for Alaskan work.

Africa Committee ............................. 990.00

A clearing house where this Church and other mission-board executives correlate work for Africa.

Christian Medical Council ................. 400.00

This is the functional committee of the Division of Foreign Missions, which has been of great help to the personnel of this Church in obtaining hospital appointments and scholarships for graduate study in America.

Committee on Co-operation in Latin America .... 2,000.00

Since one-half of our overseas work is in this area, it is imperative to be represented on this board, representing 27 Denominations, to correlate missionary work in Latin American countries.

CCLA—Evangelical Confederation in Brazil .... 650.00

A national Christian council of representatives of the major mission boards in Brazil.
OVERSEAS DEPARTMENT (continued)

Far Eastern Office—China Consultation  $500.00
A clearing house for common problems of denominational mission boards for the 15-20 million Chinese outside of mainland China.

Southern Asia and Near East  130.00
Area-committee clearing houses for India, Pakistan, Ceylon, as well as Middle East.

Committee on World Literacy and Christian Literature  2,000.00
Co-ordinates the work of 40 mission boards and prepares and distributes Christian literature and trains leaders in its use.

Rural Missions Co-operating Committee  200.00
Same as Committee on World Literacy and Christian Literature above, except that it is for rural Church leaders in North America.

Missionary Research Committee  500.00
Comprising 100,000 catalogued items housed at Union Theological Seminary, this is the major Christian international library in the world and is of inestimable help to every mission-board research project.

Far Eastern Office  2,000.00
Area-committee office serving as clearing house for Episcopal and 41 other mission boards in correlating work in Hong Kong, Taiwan, Japan, Okinawa, Korea, the Philippines, and Southeast Asia.

HOME DEPARTMENT—Division of Home Missions

Division of Home Missions Administration  3,000.00
This is the Episcopal Church's share in maintaining this department. Through co-operation, much is accomplished in Cuban refugee relief, traveling
HOME DEPARTMENT (continued)

schools for migrants, college work, Indian work, research, and training, etc., which would be impossible financially for this Church to do alone.

Department of Migrant Work ............... $ 3,020.00
Maintains a program of co-operative Christian ministry to migratory farm laborers through State and local Councils of Churches and, on behalf of member Churches, works with private and government agencies to deal with social and moral problems in this field.

Department of Town and Country ............ 1,670.00
A central clearing house for denominational experts in this field, and the representative of non-Roman Christendom in meeting and dealing with secular agencies in the field of problems of rural and small-community life and work.

Research and Survey ....................... 2,975.00
The Episcopal Church, having used this agency's effective research projects on Orientals on the West Coast, Spanish Americans in the Southwest, Puerto Ricans in metropolitan areas, urban industrial problems, etc., has benefited extensively—far beyond our meager contribution—and has saved a great deal of money through this method of pooled research.

Committee on Indian Work .................. 3,165.00
Through a co-operative Christian program at government Indian Schools, liaison with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and its agencies, research on American Indian life, training and promoting the national fellowship of Indian workers, great economies are effected.

Church Building and Architecture ........... 500.00
All inquiries directed to the Home Department on building churches, missions, educational buildings, mobile chapels, etc., are sent to this agency, which is well qualified to answer them. It is the only agency
HOME DEPARTMENT (continued)

available to us to give us this very-much-needed information. Their help has been invaluable. Without this agency we would have to contract for these services.

*Urban Church* ........................................ $1,250.00

This grant supports an office through which the Churches can consult together about the contemporary Church in urban culture. This agency helps coordinate studies and research, and communicates this information; publishes a magazine regarding urban development and Church response. This work is shared with the Department of Christian Social Relations.

*Ministry to Leisure People* ......................... 500.00

Originally this was called "Ministry to National Parks". It is headed by an Episcopal bishop. It is now a program for resort areas and methodology of ministry to people in their leisure time, increasingly important in an age of cybernation and longevity.

HOME DEPARTMENT—Division of Christian Ministries

*Department of Ministry* .............................. 1,400.00

This department does research work on the ministry in all of the Christian Denominations. Many hours of work and toil have been avoided by the Episcopal Church’s Division of Christian Ministries by using the research work already done by this Department of Ministry. The studies have been an invaluable aid.

*Inter-seminary Movement* ............................. 1,000.00

This inter-denominational seminary agency sponsors the National Inter-seminary Conference every three years and regional conferences each year to wrestle with questions of Faith and Order on seminary faculty and student levels.
HOME DEPARTMENT—Division of College Work

*Commission on Higher Education and Department of Campus Christian Life* ..............................................$ 3,400.00

The Commission on Higher Education is the central clearing house for all aspects of the relationship of member Churches in the field of higher education, a source of valuable information, and a means of contact with secular national educational agencies, research groups, and foundations. Its Department of Campus Christian Life is concerned with actual campus ministries (as distinct from, for example, such problems as financing and operating Church-related colleges) and provides for joint planning by denominational college-work executives, the development of local joint projects, and technical research in this area.

*Faculty Christian Fellowship* .......................... 1,000.00

For the continuing development of an ecumenical fellowship of concerned Christian faculty members in colleges and universities and for research in the field of the relationship of Christianity to the various educational disciplines and the role of university professors in the Mission of the Church.

*National Student Christian Federation* ............. 7,000.00

A federation under National Council of Churches auspices of denominational divisions of college work and other student Christian movements, it provides for mutual encounter, argument, discovery, learning, and fellowship; for special projects (e.g., African Students Emergency Aid Program); and for increasingly effective ecumenical education.

DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN EDUCATION

*Commission on General Education* .................... 12,000.00

Rigidly following the principle of doing only those things which the Denominations cannot do alone, or can do better together than separately, this commis-
DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN EDUCATION
(continued)

sion is an invaluable clearing house of information and agency for inter-denominational planning in areas of children's work, youth work, adult work, curriculum development, leadership training, camps and conferences, religion in public-school education, weekday religious education on released time, etc. Through pooling of insights and knowledge, costly mistakes are avoided.

Joint Commission on Missionary Education ........$ 3,000.00

A clearing house and joint-planning center, like the above, but in the field of missionary education. Owns and operates the Friendship Press, publishing one million dollars worth of Christian-Education literature a year. Planning is shared and literature used not only in fields of the Episcopal Church's Department of Christian Education all over America, but also by Overseas, several areas of Home Department missions, and the General Division of Women's Work.

United Christian Youth Movement ............... 1,500.00

An area of the work of the Commission on General Education paralleling the work listed two paragraphs above, but in the area of youth, where in the National Council of Churches a certain autonomy of structure has been maintained, as in certain of our own diocesan structures. Hence, the separate appropriation for similar benefits received. Cynthia Wedel is heading this Division under the new structure of the National Council of Churches.

DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS

Department of International Affairs ............. 500.00

A service and research project of direct benefit to our Church in providing up-to-date information about the United Nations and assistance in organizing our United Nations seminars. Provides conferences and leadership at the Church Center for the
DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS

(continued)


*Department of Social Welfare* ............... $ 2,500.00

About 250 Episcopalians from diocesan departments and social agencies participate in the program devoted to the social-welfare interests of Episcopalians, benefiting from the co-operative services and field program which makes it possible at far less expense than would be possible otherwise. Provides regular opportunities for conference with Protestant, Orthodox, and Roman Catholic Churches.

*Department of the Urban Church* ............... 500.00

Organizes conferences and regional training institutes of great benefit in studying ways and means for ministering more effectively in this crucial area of our modern culture. Provides leaders and speakers for our Episcopal diocesan and other regional conferences. The opportunity to study and work together on such projects suitable to the Episcopal Church’s expressed goals makes possible a far greater achievement than if our Communion were to work alone in isolation. This work is shared with the Home Department.

*Bureau of Research and Survey* ............... 1,000.00

This serves not only the Episcopal Church’s Department of Christian Social Relations, but also several other Departments in such areas as the role of the Church in helping delinquent youth, the effective city church, Church statistics, and various projects in Christian Education.

*Pastoral Services* .............................. 750.00

This provides valuable information and assistance in special fields: chaplaincy in hospitals, penal institutions, homes for the aged, and other agencies providing custodial care. Supervises placement of chaplains
DEPARTMENT OF CHRISTIAN SOCIAL RELATIONS
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in Federal prisons and makes recommendations in various State institutions. Studies the important relationship of religion and health.

DEPARTMENT OF PROMOTION

*Department of Stewardship and Benevolence* . . . . $2,500.00

A central agency doing an appreciable and valuable amount of statistical work ("Statistics of Giving", "Stewardship Facts", "Stewardship in Contemporary Theology") on Church support, taking leadership in sponsoring consultations on stewardship, assembling basic material prepared by stewardship divisions of all Communions and providing the Episcopal Church with useful exchange information, and making such films as *The Gift* which could not be financed alone.

*Broadcasting and Film Commission* ................. 7,500.00

An inter-denominational radio and television operation in which it is imperative that the Episcopal Church co-operate, because the radio networks give time more readily, and many TV channels give *only*, to the co-operative body. Produces several basic programs such as "Look Up and Live", a program for youth; and "Frontiers of Faith". Also provides services such as placement of individuals and events of the Episcopal Church in broadcasts and telecasts. This Commission acts as a source of idea-interchange in ways that help to prevent duplication of effort and stimulate creative programming.

*Radio and Visual Education Mass Communication Committee* ................................. 500.00

A religious Voice of America, the overseas broadcast and audio-visual arm of the National Council of Churches, RAVEMCCO works with broadcasting facilities in many parts of the world in reaching many countries where Christian broadcasting would be otherwise impossible.
GENERAL DIVISION OF WOMEN'S WORK

United Church Women .........................$ 1,800.00

Women of all Communions share in such world-wide activities as the World Day of Prayer, World Community Day, etc. Leadership provided in joint programs of leadership training, Christian Social Relations, Evangelism, service, etc.

ADMINISTRATION

General Administration ......................... 45,000.00

This directly supports: (1) General Administration, (2) General Assembly, General Board & Committees, (3) Budget Office, (4) Office of Organization & Management, (5) Office for Councils of Churches, (6) Research & Survey, (7) A small amount for central service costs. The figure is based upon a formula, with other member Churches moving faster than the Episcopal Church toward full amount requested.

 Faith and Order ................................. 6,000.00

The Office of Faith and Order Studies is developing increased importance with the new opportunities for dialogue with Roman Catholics and conservative Evangelicals. Headed by a priest of the Episcopal Church, it has been made a Department of the National Council of Churches' Division of Christian Unity. This area of the program of the National Council of Churches is one in which the Episcopal Church has always had a special interest.

 Washington Office ............................. 1,800.00

For the cost of maintaining an office for the National Council of Churches in the nation's capital. Headed by a priest of this Church, it is for the purpose of giving prompt and authoritative notice to participating Communions regarding pending legislation, governmental directives, and other developments affecting the Churches and their agencies. This is done through a regular newsletter. The office also acts as an agency to furnish the government with vital information regarding the Churches and their programs.
ADMINISTRATION (continued)

Long-Range Planning ......................... $2,200.00

Since the last General Convention, the National Council of Churches has embarked on a new program called Long-Range Planning. Its purpose is to stand back from day-to-day program and evaluate the work of the Council in terms of the changing economic and social patterns of our nation; to identify new areas of need; and to plan for the withdrawal of programs no longer needed. This work is not only of significance to the interior administration of the Council, but also helpful to the member Churches themselves as they consider what they ought to be working toward 10, 20, and 30 years hence.

TOTAL APPROPRIATION TO THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CHURCHES .................... $137,859.00

The total amount of $137,859.00 appropriated for all programs and services received from the National Council of Churches represents a modest contribution in proportion to the value received in actual help. Beyond this is the inestimable value of good will involved in sharing with our Christian brethren in study and works which go far to demonstrate Christian love.
APPENDIX 28

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE
ON THE STUDY OF QUOTAS

The Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas was appointed under a Resolution of the General Convention of 1961, reading as follows:

"Resolved, That a Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas be appointed, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen, to study the whole matter of support of the Missionary Program by Dioceses, to report back to the next General Convention with specific suggested canonical changes designed to make possible a Financial Partnership Plan between Dioceses and the National Council."

The following persons were appointed as members of this Committee: the Bishop of Albany, the Bishop of Ohio, and the Bishop of West Texas; the Very Rev. Ned Cole, Jr., of Missouri (who has since been elected Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York), the Very Rev. Lloyd Gressle of Delaware, and the Rev. Dr. Roger Alling of Rochester; William G. Ikard II of New Mexico, Donald M. George of California, and Richard P. Kent, Jr., of Long Island. Lindley M. Franklin, Jr., Treasurer of the National Council, has acted as consultant, attended all meetings, and given invaluable assistance.

The Committee organized with the election of the Bishop of West Texas as chairman, Mr. Kent as vice-chairman and treasurer, and Dean Cole as secretary.

Two meetings of the Committee were held. The first was in New York City on November 2, 1962, and the second in Little Rock, Arkansas, November 11-12, 1963.

It was decided to make our report to General Convention under the following headings:

I. Questions studied by the Committee.
II. Basic recommendations.
III. Resolutions.

I. QUESTIONS STUDIED

A. What are the considerations that led to the creation of this Committee?

1. There is a growing realization that our stewardship of God's material gifts has been inadequate and unworthy. According to the latest available figures from constituent members of the National
Council of Churches ("Statistics on Church Finances", compiled by the Department of Stewardship and Benevolence, published November 1, 1963, for the calendar year 1962), the Protestant Episcopal Church stands ninth in giving per member for all purposes, with an annual average of $69.80. In giving to benevolences (i.e., for others), the Episcopal Church is sixth, with a figure per member of $14.36.

Perhaps the most startling revelation of our inadequate stewardship was contained in a statement in The Living Church of June 16, 1963, which reads:

"Suppose all Episcopalians were suddenly deprived of all their income and all their assets, and every Episcopal family and individual placed on old age assistance or 'on relief'. Then imagine all these Episcopalians giving a tithe of their income to their Church. If they did it, the income of the Episcopal Church would increase by over 50%.”

2. There is misunderstanding of the present quota system as followed by the National Council, with consequent discontent and suspicion. It is our feeling that some of this reaction is inevitable in any system of mathematical quotas, however carefully devised.

3. There is a rising interest in the Church in what is called “the voluntary system of giving”, in which quotas are abolished and giving is based on proportionate sharing of money received.

4. We have taken seriously the “partnership principle” as embodied in the General Convention resolution of 1961, in which vestries are urged toward “the goal of giving one-half of the ordinary income of their parishes to work outside their parishes on national, diocesan and local programs”.

B. How does the present quota system operate? What are its elements of strength and weakness?

A word of historical background concerning the present quota system is in order here. The present system, based on the current expense of each parish, was established in 1922; and has continued, in approximately the same form, to date, with the exception of the years 1934 to 1943, when quotas were abandoned. This proved to be a period of reduced giving to the national Church, and in 1944 the quota system was resumed. In 1952 the system was revised by changing the weights, but was still based on current expense. Various committees of General Convention have studied the quotas and the mathematical system behind them and have found that the system is fair and equitable.

The strength of the quota system is that it rests on a fair division of responsibility, based on what parishes and missions spend on themselves. It has always been presented as a minimum obligation
for the world mission of the Church, and never as a maximum. Whatever may be its deficiencies, it has brought a steady increase in giving to the work of the whole Church. For example, the National Council budget increased, from 1955 to 1962, a total of 77.6 per cent.

The weakness of the quota system is that, in spite of the repeated assertion that the quota is a minimum, in practice it becomes a maximum in almost every case. It is looked on as a tax, and does not develop the sense of partnership between the local congregation or diocese and the world-wide Church. While it is based on current expense, it does not stimulate the idea of proportionate sharing.

Members of our Committee felt that we needed a look, in some depth, at how the quota system has actually worked out, in terms of what individual congregations do with quotas assigned to them. To assist us, we called on the Division of Research and Field Study of the National Council. This group worked faithfully on what proved to be a long and arduous task.

The study was made for the one year, 1961, in terms of quota payments by parishes in dioceses and missionary districts throughout the Church. By "quota" here is meant what is described in Canon 4, Section 6(d) of the General Canons; i.e., the parish's share of the combined diocesan and National Church budgets. The task was extremely difficult, because there is a lack of uniformity in diocesan bookkeeping, and a variety of meanings is employed for the same terms. In some instances, the quota and the diocesan assessment have been consolidated.

Two sources were used to obtain the data: diocesan journals and annual parochial reports. The study included over 4,000 parishes. (Missions were not included, because of various forms of subsidy and inadequate reports.) The findings were then processed by electronic computer, and ratios were established between parochial expenses and quota payments.

The summarized results of the study, by Provinces, will be found in Table A.

At least three significant facts have emerged from this study:

1. Giving to the mission of the Church was only 23.38% of the current parochial expenditures.

2. There is a widespread incidence of quota delinquencies. In 1961, such delinquencies amounted to more than $1,500,000.00. One-third of this total will be found in one Province. Table B gives the details, with percentage of loss or overpayment for each diocese and missionary district. The first numbers given
are the quotas, the second are the actual payments. In some instances, as the table shows, there was insufficient data for our purposes. (The quotas may be either those assigned or self-assigned.)

(3) When the deficiency in each Province is broken down into the number of congregations falling short, it is clear that the number of parishes and missions not paying their quotas in full is considerable. (See Table C.)

Another valuable bit of information came out of the study by the Division of Research and Field Study; i.e., the percentage of total parish income going outside the parish, and the percentage of total diocesan income going outside the diocese. (Note that this is not in relation to parish or diocesan current expense.) (See Table D.)

The summary in Table D shows that the average proportion of total parish income going outside the parish is 13.2%, and the average proportion of total diocesan income going outside the diocese is 28.7%.

C. How does the quota system of our Church compare with that of other major Denominations?

We have looked at a number of other systems, especially those of the Lutheran, the American Baptist, and the United Presbyterian Churches. In many cases, we find the methods to be so different that it is difficult to draw comparisons. We believe that the present quota system of the Episcopal Church is as fair and effective as any system of mathematical allocation that can be devised.

D. How widespread is the trend toward abolition of quotas and what is called "voluntary giving"?

A sub-committee, headed by the Bishop of Ohio, sent out questionnaires to the bishops of the Church on this subject and received replies from 81 out of 87 dioceses and missionary districts with the following results:

(1) Twenty dioceses now have voluntary systems of giving—
5 of these have been on this system from 10 to 30 years,
5 of these have been on this system from 5 to 9 years,
10 of these have been on this system from 1 to 4 years.

(2) Noting this trend away from the quota system toward voluntary giving, two questions were asked:

A. If General Convention were, in 1964, to drop the quota system and go on voluntary giving, with the budget pre-
pared from the total of the diocesan giving, what effect would this have on the amount of money you are presently giving to the National Church?

B. Recognizing that a tremendous educational program in stewardship is still required and that the trend toward voluntary giving has only begun, if the General Convention in 1967 (instead of 1964) were to drop the quota system, what effect would this have on the amount of money you are presently giving to the National Church?

Answers were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Drop Quota in 1964)</th>
<th>(Drop Quota in 1967)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We would probably give less..................</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We would probably give about the same...</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We would probably give a little more......</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We would probably give half or more of what we spend on ourselves.............</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. What serious defects in our present method of supporting the Mission of the Church have been discovered by the Committee?

1. There is an inevitable suspicion that quotas, as they are calculated on the national level, are not absolutely fair, because the complicated process and the intricate figures by which they are arrived at are not fully comprehended by those who pay the quotas. (Along with this, there is the sense that no mathematical formula can ever be equitable for all situations.)

2. Formulation of any quota is seriously handicapped by the differences and deficiencies in reporting current expense.

3. There is a growing conviction that any assignment of quota which has the implication of being a tax tends to destroy the spirit of stewardship, which is based on a free and proportionate sharing out of commitment and gratitude.

4. The present system does not take into account the total annual income of a given congregation. For example, no consideration is given to the income from endowment funds, which in many cases is very considerable.

5. We have been startled by our discovery of what a small percentage of the actual income now received in the local church goes for work outside the parish. According to Table D, this average is 13.2%.

6. We have studied Canon 4, Section 6(d), and believe that it gives dioceses and missionary districts the privilege of spreading the
quotas to parishes and missions as they see fit, whether the quotas are assigned or self-assigned. However, we feel that the last sentence in this section of Canon 4 might limit the giving by a diocese or missionary district to the General Church Program. Therefore we propose that this sentence be deleted.

II. BASIC RECOMMENDATIONS

What, then, are our recommendations, based on the preceding findings? We would summarize them as follows:

1. We recognize that Christian giving is always our grateful and loving response to what God has done and is doing for us. It is the by-product of our commitment to Christ and our loyalty to his Church. We believe that we can improve our method of giving, but we remind ourselves—and the whole Church—that no method will succeed unless it is based on a deep and genuine offering of ourselves, with a sense of total stewardship, to God, who is our Creator, Judge, Redeemer, and King.

2. We believe that the principle of responsible partnership in the use and sharing of God’s gifts is an idea whose time has come. We note with approval the strong emphasis on this principle in the recent Anglican Congress, and in the document known as “Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ”. We believe that the principle is one which should be applied as the basis for giving by the individual, by the parish or mission, by the diocese or missionary district, and even by the whole Episcopal Church in its relation to the Anglican Communion.

3. We believe that the present quota system should be continued during the coming triennium (1965–1967), but we earnestly recommend that the partnership principle be adopted by the General Convention of 1967, to become effective in the year 1968. By “the partnership principle” we mean, that giving by parishes and missions, and by dioceses and missionary districts, should be on the basis of sharing total income (including endowment income, but not funds used for capital expenditure), and that serious effort should be made at each level to reach the 50-50 goal; i.e., giving as much for others as is spent on ourselves. (We recommend that the diocesan assessment, for the expenses of running a diocese, be continued as a tax on parishes and missions, and that voting rights in a Convocation or Convention be denied to parishes and missions not paying their assigned amount.)
4. We believe that we have accumulated valuable information that could be of help to any diocese or missionary district interested in the partnership plan. Moreover, we have discovered that many interesting new experiments are taking place in the matter of presenting Christian stewardship and in educating our people to give. We believe that the addition of one Officer to the National Council staff is urgently needed to make this information more readily available; to recruit qualified persons from those areas where the partnership principle is in effect and who could share their experience with others; and to prepare the Church at large for a wise decision on this whole matter at the General Convention of 1967. We therefore offer a Resolution that such a functionary be named.

5. Finally, we ask that our Committee be discharged, on the basis that we have done the work assigned to us. We recommend that a new Joint Committee be appointed, to be known as the “Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan”, to work closely with the National Council and to plan how the new method of giving may be most effectively presented and carried out throughout the Church.

III.
RESOLUTIONS

We submit the following Resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the present quota system be continued for the next triennium, with the recommendation that the “Partnership Plan” be adopted in the General Convention of 1967, to go into effect in 1968.

2. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the present Joint Committee on the Study of Quotas be discharged, on the basis that it has done the work assigned to it.

3. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That a new Joint Committee be appointed, consisting of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen, to be known as the Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, to work with the National Council in planning how the new method of giving may be most effectively presented and carried out throughout the Church; and

Resolved, That the sum of $5,000.00 be appropriated for the expenses of the said Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan.

4. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the National Council be asked to provide a person on its staff during the next triennium to make available information on the “Partner-
ship Plan”; to share the experience of those who have adopted the plan; and to work closely with the Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan.

5. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That Canon 4, Section 6(d) be amended by omitting the last sentence, so that it would read as follows:

Each Diocese and Missionary District shall thereupon notify each Parish and Mission of the amount of the objective allotted to such Diocese or District, and the amount of such objective to be raised by each Parish or Mission. Each Diocese and Missionary District shall present to each Parish and Mission a total objective which shall include both its share of the proposed Diocesan Budget or that of the Missionary District and its share of the objective apportioned to the Diocese or Missionary District by the National Council in accordance with the plan adopted by the General Convention.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by the General Convention ............... $3,000.00

Disbursements
Meetings and expenses of members attending meetings and conferences .................. $1,959.27
Typing, duplicating, postage, and supplies .................. 84.63
Total Disbursements ................................ $2,043.90
Balance ............................................ $ 956.10

Respectfully submitted,

EVERETT H. JONES, Chairman
ALLEN W. BROWN
NELSON M. BURROUGHS
ROGER ALING
LLOYD E. GRESSLE
NED COLE, JR.
RICHARD P. KENT, JR.
WILLIAM G. IKARD II
DONALD M. GEORGE
LINDLEY M. FRANKLIN, JR., Consultant
### TABLE A

**PAROCHIAL QUOTA STUDY—1961**

(Quota = Combined National and Diocesan Share)

Source of Information:

General Division of Research and Field Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</th>
<th>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</th>
<th>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Connecticut</td>
<td>638,208</td>
<td>2,957,855</td>
<td>21.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Maine</td>
<td>92,151</td>
<td>438,215</td>
<td>21.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Massachusetts</td>
<td>654,781</td>
<td>3,442,225</td>
<td>19.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 New Hampshire</td>
<td>58,459</td>
<td>375,089</td>
<td>15.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rhode Island</td>
<td>192,269</td>
<td>998,991</td>
<td>19.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Vermont</td>
<td>38,398</td>
<td>305,657</td>
<td>12.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>147,383</td>
<td>770,760</td>
<td>19.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,821,649</td>
<td>9,288,792</td>
<td>19.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Albany</td>
<td>328,842</td>
<td>1,948,571</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York</td>
<td>304,094</td>
<td>1,202,078</td>
<td>25.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island</td>
<td>414,559</td>
<td>2,431,398</td>
<td>17.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark</td>
<td>317,777</td>
<td>1,580,808</td>
<td>20.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey</td>
<td>413,884</td>
<td>2,262,686</td>
<td>18.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York</td>
<td>958,546</td>
<td>5,541,915</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester</td>
<td>142,092</td>
<td>709,944</td>
<td>20.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York</td>
<td>170,536</td>
<td>830,482</td>
<td>20.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,050,330</td>
<td>16,507,882</td>
<td>18.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bethlehem</td>
<td>122,280</td>
<td>633,152</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Delaware</td>
<td>232,266</td>
<td>740,788</td>
<td>31.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Easton</td>
<td>34,048</td>
<td>265,330</td>
<td>12.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Erie</td>
<td>68,539</td>
<td>288,188</td>
<td>23.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Harrisburg</td>
<td>93,990</td>
<td>499,388</td>
<td>18.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Maryland</td>
<td>489,390</td>
<td>1,711,685</td>
<td>28.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Pennsylvania</td>
<td>856,198</td>
<td>3,780,586</td>
<td>22.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Pittsburgh</td>
<td>167,236</td>
<td>1,036,047</td>
<td>16.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Southern Virginia</td>
<td>235,490</td>
<td>814,149</td>
<td>28.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td>117,499</td>
<td>447,006</td>
<td>26.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</th>
<th>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</th>
<th>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>563,595</td>
<td>1,823,617</td>
<td>30.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>303,050</td>
<td>1,639,647</td>
<td>18.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>91,432</td>
<td>443,051</td>
<td>20.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3,375,013</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,122,634</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.90</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Province IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</th>
<th>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</th>
<th>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>269,372</td>
<td>898,460</td>
<td>29.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>242,812</td>
<td>817,374</td>
<td>29.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>120,150</td>
<td>411,835</td>
<td>29.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>250,702</td>
<td>691,270</td>
<td>36.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>100,490</td>
<td>369,969</td>
<td>27.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>131,935</td>
<td>453,950</td>
<td>29.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>94,756</td>
<td>296,451</td>
<td>31.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>223,737</td>
<td>995,917</td>
<td>22.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>110,193</td>
<td>532,603</td>
<td>20.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>272,871</td>
<td>1,037,903</td>
<td>26.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>104,768</td>
<td>453,294</td>
<td>23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>443,721</td>
<td>1,985,201</td>
<td>22.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>400,172</td>
<td>1,151,095</td>
<td>34.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>148,041</td>
<td>459,372</td>
<td>32.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>79,529</td>
<td>287,227</td>
<td>27.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,993,249</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,841,921</strong></td>
<td><strong>27.61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Province V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</th>
<th>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</th>
<th>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>534,681</td>
<td>2,195,763</td>
<td>24.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>19,845</td>
<td>107,323</td>
<td>18.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>67,617</td>
<td>218,977</td>
<td>30.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>115,517</td>
<td>508,295</td>
<td>22.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>465,213</td>
<td>2,443,741</td>
<td>19.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>154,000</td>
<td>637,232</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>53,835</td>
<td>364,995</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>28,729</td>
<td>107,925</td>
<td>26.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>477,026</td>
<td>1,856,396</td>
<td>25.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>29,292</td>
<td>141,340</td>
<td>20.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>417,622</td>
<td>1,385,837</td>
<td>30.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>55,479</td>
<td>252,253</td>
<td>21.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>97,485</td>
<td>563,513</td>
<td>17.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,516,341</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,783,590</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Province VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</th>
<th>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</th>
<th>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>144,207</td>
<td>824,670</td>
<td>17.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Parish Quotas—1961 Payments</td>
<td>Parish Disbursements for Current Expense</td>
<td>Percentage—Quota Payments to Current Expense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Iowa</td>
<td>105,351</td>
<td>457,878</td>
<td>23.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Minnesota</td>
<td>299,437</td>
<td>1,046,750</td>
<td>28.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Montana</td>
<td>44,873</td>
<td>225,911</td>
<td>19.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nebraska</td>
<td>75,530</td>
<td>362,682</td>
<td>20.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 North Dakota</td>
<td>19,407</td>
<td>114,947</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 South Dakota</td>
<td>65,649</td>
<td>192,454</td>
<td>34.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Wyoming</td>
<td>42,719</td>
<td>200,477</td>
<td>21.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>797,173</td>
<td>3,425,769</td>
<td>23.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE VII</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Arkansas</td>
<td>99,774</td>
<td>340,097</td>
<td>29.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Dallas</td>
<td>255,505</td>
<td>1,051,303</td>
<td>24.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Kansas</td>
<td>153,339</td>
<td>548,637</td>
<td>27.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Missouri</td>
<td>148,715</td>
<td>604,007</td>
<td>24.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Mexico and South-west Texas</td>
<td>83,800</td>
<td>533,499</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Northwest Texas</td>
<td>115,207</td>
<td>319,335</td>
<td>36.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Oklahoma</td>
<td>168,954</td>
<td>598,933</td>
<td>28.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Texas</td>
<td>517,749</td>
<td>1,743,645</td>
<td>29.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Western Kansas</td>
<td>21,628</td>
<td>90,885</td>
<td>23.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 West Missouri</td>
<td>145,193</td>
<td>498,687</td>
<td>29.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 West Texas</td>
<td>220,797</td>
<td>695,571</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,930,661</td>
<td>7,024,599</td>
<td>27.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE VIII</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Arizona</td>
<td>55,632</td>
<td>426,338</td>
<td>13.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 California</td>
<td>356,509</td>
<td>1,431,631</td>
<td>24.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>37,380</td>
<td>136,844</td>
<td>27.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Idaho</td>
<td>32,133</td>
<td>114,488</td>
<td>28.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Los Angeles</td>
<td>947,542</td>
<td>2,512,658</td>
<td>37.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nevada</td>
<td>21,344</td>
<td>86,195</td>
<td>24.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Northern California</td>
<td>(insufficient data available)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Olympia</td>
<td>182,883</td>
<td>810,639</td>
<td>22.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Oregon</td>
<td>123,095</td>
<td>570,192</td>
<td>21.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 San Joaquin</td>
<td>67,907</td>
<td>286,983</td>
<td>23.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Spokane</td>
<td>90,911</td>
<td>311,836</td>
<td>29.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Utah</td>
<td>25,375</td>
<td>91,678</td>
<td>27.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,940,711</td>
<td>6,779,482</td>
<td>28.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total United States 18,425,127 78,774,669 23.39


### TABLE B

**UNPAID AND OVERPAID QUOTAS—1961**

(As assigned or self-assigned)

(Quota = Combined National and Diocesan Share)

Source of Information:

General Division of Research and Field Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROVINCE I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Connecticut</td>
<td>679,559</td>
<td>- 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>638,208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41,351</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Maine</td>
<td>104,604</td>
<td>-11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92,151</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12,453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Massachusetts</td>
<td>694,995</td>
<td>- 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>654,781</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40,214</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 New Hampshire</td>
<td>68,040</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58,459</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9,581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Rhode Island</td>
<td>214,800</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192,269</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22,531</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Vermont</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>152,651</td>
<td>- 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147,383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UNPAID</strong></td>
<td>131,398</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| <strong>PROVINCE II</strong>    |                  |                                 |
| 1 Albany           | 372,297          | -11.7                           |
|                    | 328,842          |                                 |
|                    | 43,455           |                                 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Quota Payments</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York.....</td>
<td>392,286</td>
<td>304,094</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88,192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island...........</td>
<td>566,456</td>
<td>414,559</td>
<td>-26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>151,897</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark................</td>
<td>345,375</td>
<td>317,777</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27,598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey............</td>
<td>452,840</td>
<td>413,884</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38,956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York..............</td>
<td>1,182,282</td>
<td>958,546</td>
<td>-18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>223,736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester.............</td>
<td>158,882</td>
<td>142,092</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16,790</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York.....</td>
<td>173,541</td>
<td>170,536</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3,005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Unpaid............</td>
<td>593,629</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROVINCE III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Quota Payments</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bethlehem....</td>
<td>123,764</td>
<td>122,280</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Delaware.....</td>
<td>232,237</td>
<td>232,266</td>
<td>+.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 overpaid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments Difference</td>
<td>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Easton</td>
<td>35,343</td>
<td>34,048</td>
<td>- 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Erie</td>
<td>72,152</td>
<td>68,539</td>
<td>- 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Harrisburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(no data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Maryland</td>
<td>502,668</td>
<td>489,390</td>
<td>- 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Pennsylvania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(no data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Pittsburgh</td>
<td>177,982</td>
<td>167,236</td>
<td>- 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Southern Virginia</td>
<td>253,127</td>
<td>235,490</td>
<td>- 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td>133,947</td>
<td>117,499</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Virginia</td>
<td>560,691</td>
<td>563,595</td>
<td>+ .5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Washington</td>
<td>311,928</td>
<td>303,050</td>
<td>- 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 West Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(no data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total UNPAID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total overpaid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>283,975</td>
<td>269,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>259,292</td>
<td>242,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>122,411</td>
<td>120,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>260,331</td>
<td>250,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>112,973</td>
<td>100,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>138,154</td>
<td>131,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>103,734</td>
<td>94,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>229,568</td>
<td>223,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>113,316</td>
<td>110,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>117,919</td>
<td>104,768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13,151</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>460,196</td>
<td>443,721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16,475</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>419,673</td>
<td>400,172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19,501</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>155,356</td>
<td>148,041</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,315</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>80,826</td>
<td>79,529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UNPAID</strong></td>
<td>137,346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROVINCE V**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>728,177</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>534,681</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>193,496</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>21,804</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19,845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>62,383</td>
<td>+7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67,617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,234 overpaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>124,469</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115,517</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,952</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Michigan</td>
<td>513,985</td>
<td>465,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Milwaukee</td>
<td>168,600</td>
<td>154,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Northern Indiana</td>
<td>60,549</td>
<td>53,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Northern Michigan</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Ohio</td>
<td>491,525</td>
<td>477,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Quincy</td>
<td>33,820</td>
<td>29,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Southern Ohio</td>
<td>415,677</td>
<td>417,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Springfield</td>
<td>61,077</td>
<td>55,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Western Michigan</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total unpaid</strong></td>
<td><strong>299,118</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total overpaid</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,179</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Province VI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Quota Payments</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Colorado</td>
<td>183,931</td>
<td>144,207</td>
<td>−21.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Province VI           |                   |                |                                 |
| 1 Colorado            | 183,931           | 144,207        | -21.6                           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Quota Payments</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Iowa</td>
<td>116,811</td>
<td>105,351</td>
<td>11,460</td>
<td>− 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Minnesota</td>
<td>305,280</td>
<td>299,437</td>
<td>5,843</td>
<td>− 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Montana</td>
<td>45,998</td>
<td>44,873</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>− 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nebraska</td>
<td>81,894</td>
<td>75,530</td>
<td>6,364</td>
<td>− 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 North Dakota</td>
<td>24,991</td>
<td>19,407</td>
<td>5,584</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 South Dakota</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Wyoming</td>
<td>42,648</td>
<td>42,719</td>
<td>71 overpaid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total unpaid** | 70,100
**Total overpaid** | 71

**PROVINCE VII**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Quota Acceptance</th>
<th>Quota Payments</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Arkansas</td>
<td>99,595</td>
<td>99,774</td>
<td>179 overpaid</td>
<td>+ .2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Dallas</td>
<td>288,485</td>
<td>255,505</td>
<td>32,980</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Kansas</td>
<td>156,488</td>
<td>153,339</td>
<td>3,149</td>
<td>− 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments</td>
<td>Per Cent of Loss or Overpayment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Missouri</td>
<td>181,946</td>
<td>148,715</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Mexico and Southwest Texas</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Northwest Texas</td>
<td>116,868</td>
<td>115,207</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Oklahoma</td>
<td>187,096</td>
<td>168,954</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Texas</td>
<td>594,544</td>
<td>517,749</td>
<td>-12.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Western Kansas</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 West Missouri</td>
<td>166,422</td>
<td>145,193</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 West Texas</td>
<td>235,452</td>
<td>220,797</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UNPAID</strong></td>
<td><strong>201,842</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total overpaid</strong></td>
<td><strong>179</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROVINCE VIII**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Arizona</td>
<td>60,628</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55,632</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 California</td>
<td>358,239</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>356,509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Quota Acceptance</td>
<td>Quota Payments</td>
<td>Diocese Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>40,290</td>
<td>37,380</td>
<td>2,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Idaho</td>
<td>34,617</td>
<td>32,133</td>
<td>2,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Los Angeles</td>
<td>1,033,870</td>
<td>947,542</td>
<td>86,328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nevada</td>
<td>23,463</td>
<td>21,344</td>
<td>2,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Northern California</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Olympia</td>
<td>194,884</td>
<td>182,883</td>
<td>12,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Oregon</td>
<td>(no data)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 San Joaquin</td>
<td>68,943</td>
<td>67,907</td>
<td>1,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Spokane</td>
<td>94,224</td>
<td>90,911</td>
<td>3,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Utah</td>
<td>25,431</td>
<td>25,375</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UNPAID</strong></td>
<td><strong>116,973</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Summary of Unpaid Quotas</th>
<th>Per Cent Parishes Not Paying Quotas in Full</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>131,398</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>593,629</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>73,379</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>137,346</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province V</td>
<td>299,118</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VI</td>
<td>70,100</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VII</td>
<td>201,842</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VIII</td>
<td>116,973</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL UNPAID</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,623,785</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province III</th>
<th>Summary of Overpaid Quotas</th>
<th>Net Unpaid Quotas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Province V</td>
<td>2,933</td>
<td>70,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VI</td>
<td>7,179</td>
<td>291,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VII</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL OVERPAID</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,362</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE D

Source of Information:
General Division of Research and Field Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>1962 Per Cent of Total Parish Income Going Outside Parish</th>
<th>1962 Per Cent of Diocesan Income Going Outside Diocese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVERAGE</strong></td>
<td><strong>13.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>28.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 29

REPORT OF THE RECORDER

The report of the Recorder presented herewith covers the years 1961, 1962, and 1963. It is based upon the reports which the Bishop of each jurisdiction is required by Canon to send to the Recorder each year.

Below are compared the totals in the current report with those in the report made by the Recorder in 1961:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ordained Deacon in U. S. A.*</td>
<td>1,296</td>
<td>1,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordained Deacon in Foreign Jurisdictions</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions from other Churches of the Anglican Communion</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions from other than Anglican Churches**</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions and Ordinations under Canon 36, paragraph 5 (a), as adopted by General Convention 1961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptions under subparagraph (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinations under subparagraph (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinations, conditional, subparagraph (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restorations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Additions</td>
<td>1,398</td>
<td>1,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to other Churches of Anglican Communion</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depositions</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Removed</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Gain</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspensions</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes (in both reports) Missionary Districts of Alaska, Honolulu, Canal Zone, and Puerto Rico; excludes (in 1964 report) ordinations under Canon 36, 5(a) (2) and (3).

**Excludes (in 1964 report) receptions under Canon 36, 5(a) (1).
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# NECROLOGY

**January 1, 1961 to December 31, 1963**

**Bishops**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barth, Theodore Nott</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>August 22, 1961</td>
<td>Moses, William Francis</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>July 31, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cole, Clarence Alfred</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>April 11, 1963</td>
<td>Oldham, George Ashton</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>April 7, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacAdie, Donald</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>August 1, 1963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ackerman, Charles Kenneth</td>
<td>13770</td>
<td>October 10, 1963</td>
<td>Boyd, Beverley Munford</td>
<td>12487</td>
<td>December 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews, Robert Wells</td>
<td>7809</td>
<td>April 29, 1962</td>
<td>Brenton, Laurence Carlisle</td>
<td>12450</td>
<td>October 24, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashby, Charles Aylett</td>
<td>10438</td>
<td>August 14, 1962</td>
<td>Brock, Raymond Elliott</td>
<td>12450</td>
<td>February 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailey, Percival Clarence</td>
<td>11630</td>
<td>October 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bruce, Harry</td>
<td>7969</td>
<td>October 19, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakewell, Henry Thomas</td>
<td>13644</td>
<td>July 14, 1963</td>
<td>Brush, George Robert</td>
<td>13633</td>
<td>October 20, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barranger, Thomas Leas, Jr.</td>
<td>7669</td>
<td>September 22, 1961</td>
<td>Burford, Frederick W.</td>
<td>13121a</td>
<td>December 17, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barry, John Neilson</td>
<td>10967</td>
<td>November 30, 1962</td>
<td>Burhans, Samuel Flagler</td>
<td>11542</td>
<td>December 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batten, Charles Edward</td>
<td>10276</td>
<td>December 19, 1962</td>
<td>Byers, Alfred Sanford</td>
<td>14885</td>
<td>September 10, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayard, George Livingston</td>
<td>13035</td>
<td>June 26, 1962</td>
<td>Callahan, Cornelius Lyman</td>
<td>13094</td>
<td>May 7, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckwith, Walter Learned</td>
<td>11409</td>
<td>November 15, 1962</td>
<td>Callahan, Cornelius Lyman</td>
<td>13094</td>
<td>June 13, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Harold Rushton</td>
<td>12402</td>
<td>January 12, 1963</td>
<td>Carlston, Hubert</td>
<td>12402</td>
<td>September 19, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell, Robert Walton</td>
<td>14850</td>
<td>March 27, 1961</td>
<td>Cash, William Alfred</td>
<td>8031</td>
<td>October 2, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard, Floyd Erwin</td>
<td>19412</td>
<td>March 26, 1961</td>
<td>Chapman, John Henry</td>
<td>9138</td>
<td>November 28, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biagini, Silvio Guido</td>
<td>11780</td>
<td>December 22, 1962</td>
<td>Charlton, Charles Magnus</td>
<td>13467</td>
<td>November 29, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biggs, Charles Lewis</td>
<td>7852</td>
<td>September 5, 1961</td>
<td>Chase, Herbert Preston</td>
<td>13284</td>
<td>May 10, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill, Oliver Osmond</td>
<td>9098</td>
<td>September 26, 1963</td>
<td>Christian, George Palmer</td>
<td>10255</td>
<td>September 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird, Stephen Moylan, Jr.</td>
<td>12687</td>
<td>June 7, 1962</td>
<td>Claymont, Prosper George</td>
<td>22147</td>
<td>April 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishop, Shelton Hale</td>
<td>10387</td>
<td>August 24, 1962</td>
<td>Clark, David Captwright</td>
<td>13763</td>
<td>October 14, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodgood, Francis Joseph</td>
<td>12611</td>
<td>January 14, 1961</td>
<td>Cole, Frank Winslow</td>
<td>18634</td>
<td>December 6, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne, Edward Russell</td>
<td>10961</td>
<td>November 5, 1962</td>
<td>Coleman, Frederick Alfred</td>
<td>9508</td>
<td>December 17, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowman, Stephen Clarence Vern</td>
<td>15686</td>
<td>September 20, 1961</td>
<td>Coleman, John M.</td>
<td>13838</td>
<td>December 29, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coombs, David</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>February 12, 1962</td>
<td>Fox, Charles George</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>January 14, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Albert Seth</td>
<td>9049</td>
<td>July 6, 1961</td>
<td>Franklin, George DeHaven</td>
<td>14290</td>
<td>February 26, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortés, Ramón</td>
<td>12931a</td>
<td>August 18, 1962</td>
<td>Fritsche, Jerome Louis</td>
<td>13170</td>
<td>May 17, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cousins, Frederick</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>December 10, 1961</td>
<td>Gabler, Edward</td>
<td>10753</td>
<td>June 17, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox, Donald Gene</td>
<td>22124</td>
<td>October 29, 1961</td>
<td>Gale, Albert</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>October 23, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creasap, Joseph Ord</td>
<td>13410</td>
<td>June 18, 1963</td>
<td>Gardner, Herald Gilbert</td>
<td>12909</td>
<td>April 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creviston, Ell Humphrey</td>
<td>12724</td>
<td>November 2, 1963</td>
<td>Garrett, Van Francis</td>
<td>14212</td>
<td>November 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunha, Joao Baptista Barcellos da</td>
<td>X-9709-d</td>
<td></td>
<td>Green, Loyal Young, 3rd</td>
<td>13634</td>
<td>May 9, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Granger, George Lemuel</td>
<td>16733</td>
<td>September 23, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greene, Charles Edgar</td>
<td>12544</td>
<td>October 28, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grath, Howard A. L.</td>
<td>11734</td>
<td>August 26, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gratham, John Sylvanus</td>
<td>10588</td>
<td>August 16, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hamilton, John Matthias</td>
<td>9636</td>
<td>March 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Harris, Samuel H. Beveridge</td>
<td>8965</td>
<td>December 18, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hart, Henry Francis</td>
<td>11346</td>
<td>January 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Haushalter, Walter Milton</td>
<td>10546</td>
<td>October 10, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hay, William MacDougall</td>
<td>16740</td>
<td>August 28, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hayden, Ralph Henry</td>
<td>12739</td>
<td>May 4, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heidicker, Andrew Martin</td>
<td>12439</td>
<td>July 16, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heidicker, Andrew Martin</td>
<td>108304</td>
<td>January 2, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heidicker, Andrew Martin</td>
<td>16222</td>
<td>February 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heidicker, Andrew Martin</td>
<td>7247</td>
<td>May 13, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helm, Mackinley</td>
<td>12639</td>
<td>April 7, 1963</td>
<td>King, Stephen Harrison...</td>
<td>11097</td>
<td>June 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henderson, Eugene Leon</td>
<td>7718</td>
<td>October 29, 1963</td>
<td>Kinney, Arthur Hurburt...</td>
<td>10373</td>
<td>April 25, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry, Adolphus Ebenezer</td>
<td>12246</td>
<td>December 8, 1961</td>
<td>Kirkpatrick, David Willis</td>
<td>21334</td>
<td>January 6, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyeas, Arthur Rege Perry</td>
<td>12248</td>
<td>January 7, 1962</td>
<td>Kling, Chauncey Vothias...</td>
<td>9614</td>
<td>March 14, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinshelwood, Geoffrey Clyde</td>
<td>13582</td>
<td>August 9, 1963</td>
<td>Knically, James Dean......</td>
<td>18158</td>
<td>January 24, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin, Wilfred Reginald Haughton</td>
<td>9276</td>
<td>September 20, 1963</td>
<td>Kniickle, Charles Edwin...</td>
<td>11933a</td>
<td>April 3, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopper, Ernest Jasper</td>
<td>10788</td>
<td>February 7, 1962</td>
<td>Kreitler, Robert Philip...</td>
<td>8446</td>
<td>July 24, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horne, Rodney Anthony</td>
<td>16764</td>
<td>April 4, 1963</td>
<td>Kuhns, John Elliott.......</td>
<td>13178</td>
<td>September 2, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horner, Clarence Herman</td>
<td>11346</td>
<td>November 24, 1961</td>
<td>Lindner, Newell Dwight...</td>
<td>14254</td>
<td>August 8, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howard, Floarda</td>
<td>9396</td>
<td>July 13, 1961</td>
<td>Lane, Robert Bridgman....</td>
<td>18341</td>
<td>November 17, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howell, Charles Conrad Garfield</td>
<td>11490</td>
<td>March 15, 1961</td>
<td>Leach, David LeRoy.......</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>February 5, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howell, Lewis Rice</td>
<td>12625</td>
<td>April 26, 1961</td>
<td>Lefo, Jose Brazilens...</td>
<td>10088</td>
<td>November 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubard, Lyttleton Edmunds</td>
<td>9850</td>
<td>June 7, 1963</td>
<td>Leatherbury, Douglas Bagw...</td>
<td>11739</td>
<td>December 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huber, Lewis Harner</td>
<td>11150a</td>
<td>April 11, 1961</td>
<td>Lee, Edmund Jennings.....</td>
<td>86869</td>
<td>May 24, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huburt, Richard Pay</td>
<td>18207</td>
<td>December 16, 1961</td>
<td>Lee, Frederick Crosby...</td>
<td>9161</td>
<td>November 3, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humphrey, Harold Edward Burton</td>
<td>21311</td>
<td>August 23, 1963</td>
<td>Lee, Lenoir Valentine...</td>
<td>11353</td>
<td>December 30, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurst, Mason Montraville</td>
<td>13553</td>
<td>June 10, 1961</td>
<td>Le Père, Howard Adams...</td>
<td>11220</td>
<td>March 23, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huske, Bartholomew Fuller</td>
<td>10067</td>
<td>October 28, 1961</td>
<td>Lewis, Robert William...</td>
<td>11606</td>
<td>March 17, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins, James Rockwood</td>
<td>7736</td>
<td>April 17, 1963</td>
<td>Light, James Stanley....</td>
<td>12658</td>
<td>September 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennings, Webster Wardell</td>
<td>8432</td>
<td>April 12, 1961</td>
<td>Lindner, Newell Dwight...</td>
<td>14254</td>
<td>August 8, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Eliph Fritol</td>
<td>17204</td>
<td>June 27, 1961</td>
<td>Livesey, Gilbert Heaton...</td>
<td>14400</td>
<td>September 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Frank Mackie</td>
<td>11111</td>
<td>March 19, 1962</td>
<td>Lowther, Samuel Henry.....</td>
<td>11278h</td>
<td>September 12, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, John Hill</td>
<td>11297a</td>
<td>October 18, 1961</td>
<td>MacWhorther, Gardner Alpheus</td>
<td>10063</td>
<td>September 14, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Lon Portivent</td>
<td>13611</td>
<td>April 29, 1961</td>
<td>Mallery, Cortlandt...</td>
<td>8973</td>
<td>April 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson, Moorhouse Lindley</td>
<td>13790</td>
<td>June 11, 1961</td>
<td>Maloney, Alfred Alexander</td>
<td>10142</td>
<td>May 4, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Justice Smith</td>
<td>12719</td>
<td>July 22, 1962</td>
<td>Martin, Albert Edward...</td>
<td>15684</td>
<td>November 22, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keen, Charles Duell</td>
<td>14624</td>
<td>October 16, 1963</td>
<td>Martin, Hugh McDonald...</td>
<td>7779e</td>
<td>April 17, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent, Reginald Heber</td>
<td>16679</td>
<td>November 27, 1963</td>
<td>Masferrer, Albert...</td>
<td>14863</td>
<td>October 30, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketchum, Arthur</td>
<td>8264</td>
<td>March 18, 1963</td>
<td>Matthews, David Bertie...</td>
<td>7242</td>
<td>July 25, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimber, Robert Bootman</td>
<td>7460</td>
<td>August 19, 1961</td>
<td>May, Edred...</td>
<td>11807</td>
<td>February 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NECROLOGY—PRIESTS AND DEACONS**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ordination Serial Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ordination Serial Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McGregor, John Reardon</td>
<td>12039</td>
<td>March 16, 1963</td>
<td>Palmer, George Herbert</td>
<td>16537</td>
<td>July 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNabb, John Robert Vance</td>
<td>13472</td>
<td>February 26, 1961</td>
<td>Parke, Nelson Fremont</td>
<td>14048</td>
<td>December 27, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mead, Ralph Evang.</td>
<td>21224</td>
<td>May 23, 1961</td>
<td>Parker, Robert James</td>
<td>14459</td>
<td>November 9, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medearis, Joseph Lyons</td>
<td>10130</td>
<td>August 10, 1962</td>
<td>Parker, Thomas</td>
<td>11203</td>
<td>November 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meredith, Elliott Benger</td>
<td>8841</td>
<td>April 25, 1961</td>
<td>Patterson, William Christy</td>
<td>12533</td>
<td>January 20, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middleton, Newton</td>
<td>11231</td>
<td>January 24, 1961</td>
<td>Payne, William</td>
<td>13064</td>
<td>July 26, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwood, John Brooks</td>
<td>15679</td>
<td>March 20, 1961</td>
<td>Pearson, John Wesley</td>
<td>10794a</td>
<td>July 4, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Dickinson Sergeant</td>
<td>9935</td>
<td>November 13, 1963</td>
<td>Penniman, Charles Frederick</td>
<td>12845</td>
<td>August 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller, Donald Muller</td>
<td>18632</td>
<td>September 25, 1962</td>
<td>Peterson, John Clement Rousseau</td>
<td>14128</td>
<td>July 18, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan, Henry</td>
<td>9704</td>
<td>October 20, 1963</td>
<td>Pieter, Richard Milliken</td>
<td>16784</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan, Richard, Jr.</td>
<td>13128</td>
<td>June 8, 1961</td>
<td>Pollock, William Dunne</td>
<td>13857</td>
<td>July 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse, Frederick Eugene</td>
<td>12044</td>
<td>April 4, 1961</td>
<td>Porteous, Duncan Grant</td>
<td>14093</td>
<td>May 28, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morton, Hugh Franklin Ogden</td>
<td>14205</td>
<td>December 19, 1963</td>
<td>Poynor, Wilmer Smith</td>
<td>9906</td>
<td>July 19, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moss, Frank Hazlett, Jr.</td>
<td>17291</td>
<td>February 9, 1962</td>
<td>Pressey, Ernest Albert</td>
<td>7336</td>
<td>December 28, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mounsey, Ernest Blezard</td>
<td>20844</td>
<td>September 23, 1961</td>
<td>Pugh, Prentice Andrew</td>
<td>9542</td>
<td>July 19, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mundy, Gerard Patrick</td>
<td>11399</td>
<td>January 10, 1962</td>
<td>Pulifer, Herbert Bickford</td>
<td>8670</td>
<td>October 12, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers, George Boggs</td>
<td>13650</td>
<td>April 22, 1961</td>
<td>Rantz, Charles Albert</td>
<td>10622</td>
<td>September 15, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newkirk, Charles Dayton</td>
<td>13473</td>
<td>February 19, 1963</td>
<td>Ray, Jackson Harville Randolph</td>
<td>10538</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton, Oliver Edmund</td>
<td>11250</td>
<td>July 23, 1961</td>
<td>Reis, Oliveira Miniz dos</td>
<td>X-17624-A</td>
<td>September 21, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicol, Forrest Lee</td>
<td>10831</td>
<td>January 7, 1962</td>
<td>Renckenberg, Werner Frederick</td>
<td>11088</td>
<td>April 13, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noel, Edwin Rupert</td>
<td>10538</td>
<td>May 27, 1962</td>
<td>Richardson, John Alexander</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>January 6, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null, Thurlow Washburn</td>
<td>14734</td>
<td>June 5, 1963</td>
<td>Ridgeway, William Wesley</td>
<td>11478</td>
<td>April 27, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Ordination Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts, Joseph Oliver</td>
<td>14161</td>
<td>December 23, 1961</td>
<td>Staples, Austin Joyce</td>
<td>18243</td>
<td>December 16, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson, Ian Euelid</td>
<td>11610</td>
<td>October 30, 1962</td>
<td>Staples, Frank Hay</td>
<td>8605a</td>
<td>April 30, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseboro, Francis Brown</td>
<td>10511</td>
<td>April 1, 1963</td>
<td>Steenbridge, Arthur Percival</td>
<td>12467</td>
<td>September 21, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rountree, Jack Robert</td>
<td>13799</td>
<td>September 13, 1963</td>
<td>Stirling, Harry Arthur</td>
<td>12721</td>
<td>February 27, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruflle, Frederick Charles</td>
<td>10405</td>
<td>November 13, 1962</td>
<td>Studebaker, Hobart Earl</td>
<td>9343</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Vil, Jean Ellissaint</td>
<td>11485</td>
<td>July 16, 1963</td>
<td>Swan, Bruce Wright</td>
<td>14077</td>
<td>October 19, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinas, Samuel</td>
<td>7665</td>
<td>January 7, 1962</td>
<td>Taylor, Malcolm</td>
<td>8438</td>
<td>July 12, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanborn, Henry Roble</td>
<td>11853</td>
<td>June 15, 1963</td>
<td>Teten, Tage</td>
<td>11350a</td>
<td>June 3, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergel, Charles Henry Clement</td>
<td>9099b</td>
<td>October 6, 1963</td>
<td>Thomas, Ernest Sydor</td>
<td>9840</td>
<td>March 17, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon, Thomas John</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>November 10, 1961</td>
<td>Thomas, Hampton Hiram, Jr</td>
<td>19481</td>
<td>March 19, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shannon, William Llandless</td>
<td>15953</td>
<td>April 7, 1963</td>
<td>Thomas, Lloyd Brant</td>
<td>9654</td>
<td>January 22, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapland, Ernest Albert</td>
<td>12099</td>
<td>May 20, 1961</td>
<td>Thurlow, Edward Killmull</td>
<td>10722</td>
<td>March 10, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherrod, Edgar Allan</td>
<td>8016</td>
<td>June 6, 1961</td>
<td>Travers, Marshall Edward</td>
<td>13506</td>
<td>March 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoemaker, Samuel Moor</td>
<td>11956</td>
<td>October 31, 1963</td>
<td>Tremble, Richard Mitchell</td>
<td>11534</td>
<td>April 8, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmonds, Reginald A Court</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>March 28, 1962</td>
<td>Truebridge, Carl Morrison</td>
<td>14253a</td>
<td>April 28, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson, Marcus Julius</td>
<td>8478</td>
<td>June 14, 1962</td>
<td>Tyler, Samuel Roger</td>
<td>9335</td>
<td>February 9, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Ernest St. John Brock</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>October 9, 1962</td>
<td>Villafranche, Aristides</td>
<td>X-12427</td>
<td>November 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Francis Henry</td>
<td>10729</td>
<td>March 22, 1966</td>
<td>Vogt, Edward Harold</td>
<td>11697</td>
<td>May 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Herbert Williams</td>
<td>10773</td>
<td>April 6, 1963</td>
<td>Volbeda, Frederick</td>
<td>10451</td>
<td>October 10, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Herman James</td>
<td>12958</td>
<td>July 10, 1961</td>
<td>Walker, Elbridge, Jr</td>
<td>19868</td>
<td>January 8, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Hugh Wallace</td>
<td>10432</td>
<td>December 24, 1962</td>
<td>Walsh, William Thomas</td>
<td>12306</td>
<td>October 6, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Joseph W.</td>
<td>12712</td>
<td>July 5, 1962</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>June 19, 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# NECROLOGY—PRIESTS AND DEACONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ordination Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Ordination Number</th>
<th>Date of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Webb, Parker Crosby</td>
<td>13149</td>
<td>July 26, 1961</td>
<td>Williams, George Croft</td>
<td>8687</td>
<td>September 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster, Joseph Burt</td>
<td>12654</td>
<td>January 6, 1963</td>
<td>Williams, Gowan Hoyt</td>
<td>16645</td>
<td>November 27, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetherill, Francis Macomb</td>
<td>10195</td>
<td>June 22, 1962</td>
<td>Williams, Maxwell Josephus</td>
<td>12160</td>
<td>January 10, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetting, Louis Eugene, Jr</td>
<td>11565</td>
<td>March 31, 1963</td>
<td>Williams, Harold Caldwell</td>
<td>14313</td>
<td>December 8, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whedon, Henry Curtis</td>
<td>9990</td>
<td>April 2, 1962</td>
<td>Woeasner, John Walter</td>
<td>11101</td>
<td>August 16, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittemore, Francis Lee</td>
<td>7740</td>
<td>October 19, 1961</td>
<td>Woods, William Colcord</td>
<td>11990</td>
<td>October 9, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittle, William</td>
<td>11200</td>
<td>August 23, 1963</td>
<td>Young, Mark Irving</td>
<td>20073</td>
<td>January 13, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Alfred Lock</td>
<td>13896</td>
<td>April 4, 1961</td>
<td>Yule, David</td>
<td>11140</td>
<td>December 9, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, Frank Walter</td>
<td>13412</td>
<td>March 3, 1962</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 29

### Record of Ordinations to the Priesthood of Those Ordained Deacon Before 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-18269-A</td>
<td>Vargas, Andre Pinheiro</td>
<td>July 15, 1962</td>
<td>Kreschke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18680</td>
<td>Younger, James Russell</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1963</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18741</td>
<td>Bohanan, Theodore Milburn</td>
<td>July 6, 1962</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18891</td>
<td>Gray, John Goslin</td>
<td>Feb. 11, 1962</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18966</td>
<td>Clark, Richard William</td>
<td>June 26, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19059</td>
<td>Beadle, Robert Harry</td>
<td>June 15, 1963</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19080</td>
<td>Bill, Oliver Osmund</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 1962</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Died May 2, 1963)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19470</td>
<td>Comfort, Clifford Alexander</td>
<td>July 7, 1962</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19480</td>
<td>Koning, Hendrik Bernardus</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19487</td>
<td>Wilson, Harold LeRoy</td>
<td>June 20, 1962</td>
<td>Waiters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19536</td>
<td>Blair, Harry Mitchell</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1961</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19642</td>
<td>Warner, Jack Edmund</td>
<td>Sept. 2, 1961</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19863</td>
<td>Peddie, Joseph Scott</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19923</td>
<td>Stewart, Charles William, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24, 1963</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20191</td>
<td>Billerbeck, Leo James</td>
<td>Apr. 22, 1961</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20322</td>
<td>With, Arthur Fergus</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20345</td>
<td>Kas, Eric Alois</td>
<td>June 18, 1961</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20403</td>
<td>Salmon, David</td>
<td>Oct. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20640</td>
<td>Lull, Howard William</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20687</td>
<td>Tirrell, John Alden</td>
<td>June 13, 1961</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20774</td>
<td>Glaag, Joseph Rodney</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20841</td>
<td>Vaeager, Eugene Francis</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20945</td>
<td>Albrecht, John Herman</td>
<td>May 28, 1961</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L. for Western Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20970</td>
<td>Ardies, Norval David</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20993</td>
<td>Nevius, Richard Cassel</td>
<td>May 10, 1963</td>
<td>Bayne, S. F. for Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21024</td>
<td>Cogan, Timothy Bernard</td>
<td>May 30, 1963</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21118</td>
<td>McClellan, Robert Farrell, Jr.</td>
<td>July 7, 1961</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21151</td>
<td>Roberts, Thomas David</td>
<td>Nov. 11, 1963</td>
<td>Sanders, W. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21224</td>
<td>Mead, Ralph Ewing</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21226</td>
<td>Diezgar, Robert Hudson</td>
<td>Feb. 3, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21238</td>
<td>Paz Cerezo, Juan Ramon de la</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 1962</td>
<td>González-Aglera, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21239</td>
<td>Rhett, William Paterson, Jr.</td>
<td>May 14, 1961</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21346</td>
<td>Abad, Alejandro Calindo</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilvy, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21347</td>
<td>Guaya, Thomas Bingua</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilvy, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21251</td>
<td>Tobey, Richard Thomas</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21327</td>
<td>Yen, Herbert Chin-Ming</td>
<td>Sept. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Atiy, D. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21290</td>
<td>Wallace Boydstown, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21291</td>
<td>Owen, Harrison Hollingsworth</td>
<td>July 6, 1961</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21311</td>
<td>Humphrey, Harold Edward Burton</td>
<td>July 12, 1962</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Died Aug. 23, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21312</td>
<td>Kelly, Jesse Thomas</td>
<td>July 23, 1961</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Spokane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21318</td>
<td>Tuller, Stuart Sidney</td>
<td>June 28, 1961</td>
<td>Hatch, R. Mc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21319</td>
<td>Prokop, Roger Lee</td>
<td>June 5, 1961</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21321</td>
<td>Jones, Theodore Francis</td>
<td>Mar. 7, 1961</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21324</td>
<td>Abele, George Frederick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11, 1961</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21326</td>
<td>Manteau, Aurel Henry</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1961</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21335</td>
<td>Myrick, Harry Eugene</td>
<td>Apr. 11, 1961</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21336</td>
<td>Cohnback, Don Fredric</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Esquirol, J. H. for Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21337</td>
<td>Thompson, Harry Washington</td>
<td>May 16, 1961</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21354</td>
<td>Wilson, John Haskell</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21360</td>
<td>Wiesner, August Donald</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1961</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21372</td>
<td>Tuttle, Augustus Wright, Jr.</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21374</td>
<td>Bohme, Frederick George</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21377</td>
<td>Harrison, John Dean</td>
<td>Mar. 2, 1961</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21378</td>
<td>Howe, Chester Harris, 2nd</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21380</td>
<td>Sims, Gregory Knox</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21381</td>
<td>Johnson, John Romig, Jr.</td>
<td>July 6, 1961</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21387</td>
<td>Worthey, Robert Charles</td>
<td>Mar. 5, 1961</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21393</td>
<td>Hodgkins, Nelson Bainbridge</td>
<td>Jan. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21400</td>
<td>Pierson, Lawrence Albert</td>
<td>June 17, 1961</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21403</td>
<td>Derby, Roger Sherman</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Harte, J. J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21407</td>
<td>Ellsworth, John Gardner</td>
<td>June 11, 1961</td>
<td>for Central New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21419</td>
<td>Fox, Feren Earie, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>for Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21435</td>
<td>Nagle, George Overholser</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21437</td>
<td>Colbert, Douglass Chandler</td>
<td>May 22, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21438</td>
<td>Jarchow, Rodney Wells</td>
<td>May 22, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21439</td>
<td>Johnston, William Merril</td>
<td>May 22, 1961</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21442</td>
<td>Peck, James A.</td>
<td>May 22, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21443</td>
<td>Scott, Benjamin Ives, Jr.</td>
<td>May 22, 1961</td>
<td>Brown, R. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21444</td>
<td>Swenson, Daniel Lee</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21446</td>
<td>McKelvey, George Richard</td>
<td>May 13, 1961</td>
<td>Gooden, R. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21448</td>
<td>Duncan, Robert Watson</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21449</td>
<td>Fox, William</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21450</td>
<td>Gleason, Edward Stone</td>
<td>Apr. 23, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21454</td>
<td>Moulton, Roger Conant</td>
<td>Jan. 8, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21457</td>
<td>Duvall, Charles Farmer</td>
<td>Mar. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21460</td>
<td>Schaefer, Philip David</td>
<td>Jan. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21461</td>
<td>Fisher, Robert Alfred</td>
<td>May 24, 1961</td>
<td>Brown, R. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21462</td>
<td>Maguramas, Constancio Buanda</td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21463</td>
<td>Potter, Frank</td>
<td>Jan. 15, 1961</td>
<td>Gooden, R. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21464</td>
<td>Ledger, John Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1961</td>
<td>for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21465</td>
<td>Anderson, William Marcellus, 3rd</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21469</td>
<td>Byman, Melvia Richardson</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Kinsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21470</td>
<td>Jameson, Leland Shattuck</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1961</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21471</td>
<td>Gudger, Gordon Boone, Jr.</td>
<td>May 25, 1961</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21481</td>
<td>Goodman, Lyle Franklin</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21482</td>
<td>Gatch, Milton McCormick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 17, 1961</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21489</td>
<td>Lecarpentier, Edward Cook, Jr.</td>
<td>June 9, 1961</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21491</td>
<td>Williams, Stephen Junior</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21493</td>
<td>Porter, Roger Cliff</td>
<td>Mar. 12, 1961</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21494</td>
<td>Whitehead, Philip Hoyle</td>
<td>June 14, 1961</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21496</td>
<td>Salmon, Edward Lloyd, Jr.</td>
<td>May 24, 1961</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Record of Ordinations to the Priesthood of Those Ordained Deacon Before 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21497</td>
<td>Carpenter, Douglas Morrison</td>
<td>Apr. 15, 1961</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21498</td>
<td>Bell, Donald Lee</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21501</td>
<td>Clift, Wallace Bruce, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1961</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21503</td>
<td>Dobson, Thomas Evan</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21504</td>
<td>Miller, John James</td>
<td>Mar. 13, 1961</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21505</td>
<td>Nordin, Brian Frank</td>
<td>Mar. 22, 1961</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21507</td>
<td>Brown, John Thompson, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 29, 1961</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21508</td>
<td>Weaver, William Courtsworthy</td>
<td>Apr. 8, 1961</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21509</td>
<td>Berlin, Jacob Boris</td>
<td>Mar. 29, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21510</td>
<td>Copeland, James Robert, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 29, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21511</td>
<td>Hutchings, Douglas Wayne</td>
<td>June 2, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21512</td>
<td>Forsyth, Ronald Wayne</td>
<td>Jan. 14, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21513</td>
<td>Hanna, Daniel Basset</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21514</td>
<td>Hinchliffe, Lawrence Patrick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 23, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21515</td>
<td>Kerr, George Newbery</td>
<td>Mar. 17, 1962</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21516</td>
<td>McKendrick, James Frederick, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21517</td>
<td>Newton, Leonard Thomas</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21518</td>
<td>Park, Francis Albert</td>
<td>Jan. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21519</td>
<td>Woodruff, James Edward Pasquale</td>
<td>Jan. 17, 1961</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21521</td>
<td>Cobden, Edward Alexander Morrison, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 9, 1962</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21522</td>
<td>Williams, Douglas Macdonil</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21523</td>
<td>Wright, Allan McLean</td>
<td>Feb. 1, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21524</td>
<td>Kuhntz, George Jesse</td>
<td>Feb. 3, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21525</td>
<td>Herlong, Bertran Nelson</td>
<td>Mar. 13, 1961</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21526</td>
<td>Phillips, John Walter, 2nd</td>
<td>June 17, 1961</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21529</td>
<td>Brown, Melvin Ray</td>
<td>June 22, 1961</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21531</td>
<td>Hawn, Robert Harold</td>
<td>June 18, 1961</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21532</td>
<td>McLeod, James Wallace</td>
<td>May 27, 1961</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21533</td>
<td>Schiveley, John Altik</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21535</td>
<td>Sharkey, William Lawrence</td>
<td>Feb. 7, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21540</td>
<td>Watt, James Henry</td>
<td>May 31, 1961</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21541</td>
<td>Carr, Dabney Jefferson, 3rd</td>
<td>May 27, 1961</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21542</td>
<td>Crews, Robert Henry</td>
<td>July 1, 1961</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21543</td>
<td>Cunningham, James Henry</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21544</td>
<td>Grumine, Eugene Edmund</td>
<td>July 8, 1961</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21545</td>
<td>Humphrey, John Curtis, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 14, 1961</td>
<td>Johns, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21546</td>
<td>Mason, Lawrence Walker</td>
<td>June 25, 1961</td>
<td>Goodwin, F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21547</td>
<td>Schulz, David Allen</td>
<td>Mar. 4, 1961</td>
<td>Cudigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21548</td>
<td>Warrington, James Malcolm</td>
<td>July 15, 1961</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21549</td>
<td>Asdel, Richard Dale</td>
<td>June 6, 1961</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21551</td>
<td>Packard, George Henry</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21552</td>
<td>Babkin, David Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21553</td>
<td>Moore, William Joe</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21554</td>
<td>Shawhan, Benjamin Harrison, Jr.</td>
<td>Jan. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21555</td>
<td>Williamson, Donald McKenzie</td>
<td>Feb. 2, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21557</td>
<td>Barnes, Robert Dean</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1961</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21558</td>
<td>Norman, Robert Houseal</td>
<td>May 30, 1961</td>
<td>Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21559</td>
<td>Randall, Chandler Corydon</td>
<td>June 27, 1961</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21560</td>
<td>Weatherby, Joseph Brewster</td>
<td>Apr. 29, 1961</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21562</td>
<td>Fukui, Henry Nobuyoshi</td>
<td>Feb. 12, 1961</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21563</td>
<td>Lynn, James Willard</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21564</td>
<td>Ming, Archibald Fraser</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21565</td>
<td>Blain, Judd Huntley</td>
<td>May 24, 1961</td>
<td>Emery, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21573</td>
<td>Perrin, Ronald Van Orden</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1961</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21574</td>
<td>Mathers, Kieth Junior</td>
<td>June 21, 1962</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21575</td>
<td>Binkley, Benjamin Franklin</td>
<td>Feb. 8, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21576</td>
<td>Davis, John Paschall</td>
<td>Jan. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21578</td>
<td>Craig, Robert Ellsworth</td>
<td>Jan. 26, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21579</td>
<td>Bass, Richard Boynton</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1961</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21580</td>
<td>Thomas, John Walter Riddle</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21581</td>
<td>Kirby, Lewis MacWilliams</td>
<td>June 2, 1961</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21582</td>
<td>Fraser, William Carson</td>
<td>May 11, 1961</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21583</td>
<td>Allen, John Dale</td>
<td>Feb. 17, 1961</td>
<td>Deus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21584</td>
<td>Jennings, Carl Eugene</td>
<td>Jan. 19, 1961</td>
<td>Deus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21585</td>
<td>Spangenberg, Ronald Wesley</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Deus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21586</td>
<td>Waller, Clifford Scott</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21587</td>
<td>Morris, Hunter Mason</td>
<td>Mar. 4, 1962</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21588</td>
<td>Schenkel, Robert Downes, Jr.</td>
<td>May 31, 1961</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21589</td>
<td>Mathews, Lex Sterner</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21590</td>
<td>Kennedy, Bruce Hargreaves</td>
<td>Jan. 22, 1961</td>
<td>Saucedo, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21591</td>
<td>Feaster, Norman Brunner</td>
<td>Jan. 14, 1961</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21592</td>
<td>Cooper, Austin Rellins</td>
<td>May 13, 1961</td>
<td>Moses, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21594</td>
<td>Wilson, Charles Ralph</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1961</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21595</td>
<td>Wilson, Tom Stacey</td>
<td>July 26, 1961</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21596</td>
<td>Hill, Lester Glenn</td>
<td>Feb. 13, 1961</td>
<td>Deus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21597</td>
<td>Eberhardt, Charles Richard</td>
<td>Mar. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21598</td>
<td>Gilliam, Robert Lee</td>
<td>Feb. 2, 1961</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21599</td>
<td>Solon, Terry Tim</td>
<td>Mar. 2, 1961</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21600</td>
<td>Hall, Hugh Barnett, Jr</td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Creighton, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21601</td>
<td>Sower, Donald Wayne</td>
<td>Mar. 4, 1961</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21602</td>
<td>Sancho Corrales, Manuel Antonio</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 1962</td>
<td>González-Agüeros, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21606</td>
<td>Cuffee, Jeffrey Townsend</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21608</td>
<td>Parkerson, William, Jr.</td>
<td>July 8, 1961</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21611</td>
<td>Holt, Dean Arthur</td>
<td>Nov. 4, 1961</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21612</td>
<td>Carlo, Joseph William</td>
<td>Mar. 15, 1961</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21613</td>
<td>Schweizer, Jack Eugene</td>
<td>Mar. 22, 1961</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21615</td>
<td>Hyatt, James Garretson</td>
<td>Oct. 7, 1961</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21616</td>
<td>Bush, Jack Keith</td>
<td>July 2, 1961</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21618</td>
<td>Wooden, Lorentho</td>
<td>June 17, 1961</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21619</td>
<td>Anosan, Eduardo Com-ong</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21619</td>
<td>Gunagaill, Marcus Melchor</td>
<td>June 17, 1961</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21622</td>
<td>Plenkey, Gary Grennon</td>
<td>Apr. 9, 1961</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21623</td>
<td>Caviit, Robert Folsom</td>
<td>Apr. 9, 1961</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21624</td>
<td>Powell, Woodson Lea, 4th</td>
<td>Apr. 29, 1961</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21625</td>
<td>Barron, Robert Eglington</td>
<td>May 27, 1961</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21627</td>
<td>Williams, Tillman Beshore</td>
<td>July 15, 1961</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21628</td>
<td>Becton, Marion Ross, Jr.</td>
<td>May 9, 1961</td>
<td>Rose, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21631</td>
<td>Meyer, John Paul</td>
<td>May 25, 1961</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21632</td>
<td>Udell, Carlton Leland</td>
<td>May 25, 1961</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21633</td>
<td>Griswold, Edwin Arthur</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21634</td>
<td>Lemmon, Gary Joe</td>
<td>May 31, 1961</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21635</td>
<td>Hess, Richard Walton</td>
<td>June 3, 1961</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21636</td>
<td>Cox, LeRoy Eugene</td>
<td>June 29, 1961</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21639</td>
<td>Young, Albert LeRoy, Jr.</td>
<td>July 12, 1961</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21640</td>
<td>Oliveira, Héctor Coll de</td>
<td>Nov. 30, 1961</td>
<td>Krissche, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21641</td>
<td>Wolfe, James Edward</td>
<td>June 23, 1961</td>
<td>Peabody, M. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21642</td>
<td>McKewin, Robert Williams</td>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>McNairy, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21643</td>
<td>Rodrigues, Clovis Edly</td>
<td>July 7, 1961</td>
<td>Simões, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21644</td>
<td>Teixeira, Walter Dias</td>
<td>June 25, 1961</td>
<td>Simões, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21645</td>
<td>Dunn, Donald Duse</td>
<td>June 28, 1961</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21646</td>
<td>Jensen, Winston Frithiof</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21647</td>
<td>Kamp, Ernest Frederick</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Record of Ordinations to the Priesthood of Those Ordained Deacon Before 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21650</td>
<td>Karshner, Donald Lee</td>
<td>June 24, 1961</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21653</td>
<td>Carson, Alfred David</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21659</td>
<td>Harms, John Arthur</td>
<td>Aug. 12, 1961</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21660</td>
<td>Hoggard, Robert Edward</td>
<td>June 14, 1962</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21663</td>
<td>Carlson, Arnold Burdett</td>
<td>July 3, 1961</td>
<td>Haden, C. R. for Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21666</td>
<td>Bragg, William Russell</td>
<td>July 12, 1961</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Record of Ordinations

## Diacorate 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21669</td>
<td>Hammond, Joseph Throckmorton, Jr</td>
<td>Jan 6</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21670</td>
<td>Wilbert, William Carroll</td>
<td>Jan 7</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>July 12, 1961</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21671</td>
<td>Day, Harold Collins</td>
<td>Jan 14</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
<td>July 12, 1961</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21672</td>
<td>Simmons, Donald Patrick</td>
<td>Jan 22</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21673</td>
<td>Haldeman, Ronald Ettinger</td>
<td>Jan 22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21674</td>
<td>Coleridge, Clarence Nicholas</td>
<td>Jan 27</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
<td>Jan. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21675</td>
<td>Lewis, George Shuyton</td>
<td>Jan 29</td>
<td>Tsu, A. Y. Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21676</td>
<td>Maguire, John Anderson</td>
<td>Jan 30</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21677</td>
<td>Chambers, Foster Luther</td>
<td>Feb 4</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
<td>June 23, 1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21678</td>
<td>Carter, David Richard</td>
<td>Feb 4</td>
<td>Stark, D. S.</td>
<td>Sept 13, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21680</td>
<td>Whelan, Donald Emerson</td>
<td>Feb 25</td>
<td>Tsu, A. Y. Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21681</td>
<td>Schupeltz, Herman Richard</td>
<td>Feb 25</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
<td>Nov. 20, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21682</td>
<td>Cross, Frank Lewis</td>
<td>Feb 26</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21683</td>
<td>Willoughby, Robert Geddes</td>
<td>Feb 29</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Oct. 21, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**For Iowa**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21685</td>
<td>Mercer, Hugh Wesley</td>
<td>Mar 7</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 14, 1962</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21686</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Mar 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21687</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
<td>Mar 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21688</td>
<td>Curtis, L. L.</td>
<td>Apr 6</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 9, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21689</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21690</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21691</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21692</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21693</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21694</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21695</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21696</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Apr 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21697</td>
<td>Weaver, Eric James</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21698</td>
<td>Weltzek, Gustave John, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21699</td>
<td>Wilson, Kenneth Wayne</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21700</td>
<td>Zadig, Alfred Thomas Kurt.</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21701</td>
<td>Eanes, Edward Wilbur</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21702</td>
<td>Clayton, Paul Raymond</td>
<td>Apr. 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21703</td>
<td>Rucker, Emby Cobb</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21704</td>
<td>Cook, Edward Richard</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21705</td>
<td>Forrest, William Fred</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21706</td>
<td>Hall, Carroll Bradford</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21707</td>
<td>Neilson, John Robert</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21708</td>
<td>Pettif, David Charles</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21709</td>
<td>Sykes, Edward Shero</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21710</td>
<td>Witherington, John Hall</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21711</td>
<td>Higgins, John VanCamp</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21712</td>
<td>Gardner, James Edward</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21713</td>
<td>Loeffler, Edward Charles</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21714</td>
<td>Mole, James Frederick</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21715</td>
<td>Carnes, Gerald Lambton</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21716</td>
<td>Murray, James McCutcheon</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21717</td>
<td>Stemple, James Russell</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21718</td>
<td>Di Paolo, Joseph</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21719</td>
<td>Moore, James Talmadge</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21720</td>
<td>Fermenter, Calvin Ernest</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21721</td>
<td>Woodard, George Henry, Jr.</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21722</td>
<td>Russell, Carl Aa, Jr.</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21723</td>
<td>Van Fleet, Gerald Hawker</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21724</td>
<td>Martin, Charles O'Fallon</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21725</td>
<td>Rhein, John Hancock Willing</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21726</td>
<td>Murra, Harold Wayne</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21727</td>
<td>Johnston, John Jerold</td>
<td>May 27</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21728</td>
<td>Clark, Kenneth Raymond, Jr.</td>
<td>May 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21729</td>
<td>Currie, Bobby Joe</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21730</td>
<td>Ryang, Martin Eubie</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21731</td>
<td>Kiley, Henry Wilson</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21732</td>
<td>Minuth, Fred Grenz</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 19, 1962</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct. 28, 1961</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 19, 1962</td>
<td>Marmon, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 19, 1961</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 22, 1962</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 24, 1962</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25, 1962</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 26, 1962</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 6, 1961</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 19, 1962</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 25, 1962</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 17, 1961</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 22, 1962</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 24, 1962</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 14, 1962</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2, 1962</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

For Honolulu:

- Minuth, Fred Grenz
- Marmon, C. G.
- Banyard, A. L.
- Hart, O. J.
- Voegeli, C. A.

For the Philippines:

- Minuth, Fred Grenz
- Goddard, F. P.
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21734</td>
<td>Frandsen, Charles Frederick</td>
<td>May 31</td>
<td>Brinker, H. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1961</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21735</td>
<td>Hall, Richard Charles</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
<td>Feb. 14, 1962</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21736</td>
<td>Grisom, Billy Cullen</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21737</td>
<td>Breeden, James Pleasant</td>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21738</td>
<td>Blavier, Donald Charles, Jr</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
<td>May 23, 1962</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21739</td>
<td>Warren, Terrell Hanna</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21740</td>
<td>Nickerson, David Bertram</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>Mosely, J. B.</td>
<td>May 19, 1962</td>
<td>Mosely, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21741</td>
<td>Gauer, George</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21748</td>
<td>Wolter, Jack Mary</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21749</td>
<td>Banninga, Hugh Eugene</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Feb. 4, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21750</td>
<td>Brownlee, Hugh Richard</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21751</td>
<td>Cunningham, William Preston, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21752</td>
<td>Frey, Wallace Arthur</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 15, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21753</td>
<td>Hill, Bruce Rushworth</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21754</td>
<td>Josiah, Dennis Nathaniel</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1961</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21755</td>
<td>Orkno, Dom Thomas</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21757</td>
<td>Rapp, Phillip James</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21758</td>
<td>Reinheimer, John Jay</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Stork, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21759</td>
<td>Richter, Edmund Charles</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1961</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21760</td>
<td>Salisbury, DeRoss, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21761</td>
<td>Sipes, David Sheldon</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 10, 1961</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** For Minnesota

For Pennsylvania

For Honolulu

For Ohio

For Panama Canal Zone
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21762</td>
<td>Ball, Dorman Addison</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21763</td>
<td>Kemper, William Frederick, 3rd.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21764</td>
<td>Ramsey, Stanton Rhodes</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21765</td>
<td>Cavendish, John Claude Griffith</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21766</td>
<td>Armstrong, Geoffrey MacGregor</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21767</td>
<td>Barclay, Harley Wade</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21768</td>
<td>Boyton, Charles Frederic</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21769</td>
<td>Buxton, John Robert Dawson</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21770</td>
<td>Chisholm, Alan Laird</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21771</td>
<td>Coleman, Henry Douglas</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21772</td>
<td>Day, Dennis Lee</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21773</td>
<td>Fox, Frederick Carl, 3rd</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21774</td>
<td>Larsen, Lawrence Bernard, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21775</td>
<td>Novey, Joel Edward Anthony</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21776</td>
<td>O'Steen, Joe Arnold</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21777</td>
<td>Rogers, Richard Harvey</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21778</td>
<td>Sartes, Dale Graham</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21779</td>
<td>Van Brederode, John Hendrik</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21780</td>
<td>Wayne, David Boyd</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21781</td>
<td>Estes, James Gray</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21782</td>
<td>Blair, Charles Woodbury, Jr.</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21783</td>
<td>Joseph, Ronald Evans</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21784</td>
<td>Keane, Thomas</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Exceptions

- X-21766: Received from Roman Church
- X-21786: Received from Roman Church
- X-21792: Received from Roman Church
- X-21796: Received from Roman Church

---

**APPENDIX 29**
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21797</td>
<td>Anderson, Jesse Fosset</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Tsu, A. Y. Y., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21798</td>
<td>Brook, William Varina, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21799</td>
<td>Cook, Robert Daniell</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21800</td>
<td>Der, Edmund Bowen</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred June 12, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21801</td>
<td>Heli, David Edwin</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21802</td>
<td>Swing, William Edwin</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21803</td>
<td>Wilson, Harold James</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21804</td>
<td>Reeves, Charles Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21805</td>
<td>Siefferman, Norman Clyde</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-21806</td>
<td>Schneider, Werner</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Krieger, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21807</td>
<td>Barksdale, Charles Dudley, 2nd.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21808</td>
<td>Hubbell, William Kelvey</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21809</td>
<td>McGuire, Thomas Rogers</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21810</td>
<td>Moore, William S., Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21811</td>
<td>Smith, Richard Byron</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21812</td>
<td>Terrill, Robert Allen</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21813</td>
<td>Hansel, Robert Raymond</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21814</td>
<td>Keithly, Gilbert Joel</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21815</td>
<td>Tracy, Paul John</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21816</td>
<td>Chinn, William Byron</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21817</td>
<td>Davis, Malcolm Fletcher</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21818</td>
<td>Henry, Richard Arlen</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21819</td>
<td>Jones, Gerald Lawrence, Jr.</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21820</td>
<td>Kirkwood, Reynolds Bruce</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21821</td>
<td>Sturm, Raymond Loring</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21822</td>
<td>Johnson, Ralph Elwood</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21824</td>
<td>Underwood, Robert Franklin</td>
<td>June 13</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21825</td>
<td>Anderson, Robert Marshall</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21826</td>
<td>Bartlett, William Clement</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21827</td>
<td>Congdon, William Hooper Caffey</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21828</td>
<td>Hanna, Archibald, Jr.</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21829</td>
<td>Harris, Randall Sellers</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21830</td>
<td>Johnson, Edward William</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21806</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1963</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21807</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1961</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21808</td>
<td></td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1963</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21809</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1961</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21810</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan. 13, 1962</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21811</td>
<td></td>
<td>July 7, 1962</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21812</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21813</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21814</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 24, 1961</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21815</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 14, 1961</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21816</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21817</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21818</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21819</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21820</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 16, 1961</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21821</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 18, 1961</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21822</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan. 13, 1962</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21823</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1962</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21824</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb. 24, 1962</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21826</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 24, 1962</td>
<td>Hutchens, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21827</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mar. 17, 1962</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21828</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1961</td>
<td>Hutchens, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21834</td>
<td>Satton, John James, Jr.</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Power, William Thomas, 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21835</td>
<td>Farnham, Frank Lyman</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21839</td>
<td>Watson, Jack Lee</td>
<td>June 14</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21841</td>
<td>Skelly, Herbert Cope</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21843</td>
<td>Cheney, Reynolds Smith, 2nd</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Gray, D. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21845</td>
<td>Anderson, Richard John</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21846</td>
<td>Kress, John Walter</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21847</td>
<td>Cross, Dale Tilghman, Jr.</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21848</td>
<td>Tait, Charles William Stuart</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21849</td>
<td>Turner, Philip Williams, 3rd</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Dun, A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21853</td>
<td>Hale, Delmas Edwin</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21855</td>
<td>Jenkins, David Price</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21858</td>
<td>Gatlin, Larry Benton, C.</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21860</td>
<td>Keyes, Charles Don</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21865</td>
<td>Lord, Robert Oscar</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Kinolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21866</td>
<td>Perkins, Albert Dashiel, 3rd</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21867</td>
<td>Pritchett, Paul Waddell</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21868</td>
<td>Winslett, Hoyt, Jr.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21869</td>
<td>LaCourse, Frederick Palmer</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21870</td>
<td>Tepe, Donald James</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21871</td>
<td>Wallstreet, Theodore David</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21872</td>
<td>Cheney, Arthur Milton, 3rd</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21873</td>
<td>Emery, Richard Arlen</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21874</td>
<td>Miller, Paul Alfred</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21875</td>
<td>Rohmanoff, David Duane</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21876</td>
<td>Whitehill, John Louis</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21877</td>
<td>Bigger, Paul Wesley</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21878</td>
<td>Cartwright, Thomas Lisson</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21879</td>
<td>Davis, Fred Richard</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21880</td>
<td>Foster, Jared Franklin</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21881</td>
<td>Frenshire, James Monroe</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21882</td>
<td>Garrard, James Walton</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21883</td>
<td>Gill, Phillip Evans</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21884</td>
<td>McCrery, Robert Eugene</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21885</td>
<td>Sargent, Arthur Loyd</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21886</td>
<td>Stephens, Steinman Edward</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21887</td>
<td>Well, Louis</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21888</td>
<td>Williams, Laurens Ray</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21889</td>
<td>Patton, Eugene Smith</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21890</td>
<td>Richards, George Richard, Jr.</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21891</td>
<td>MacKenzie, Joshua Tayloe</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21892</td>
<td>Noé, William Stanton</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21893</td>
<td>Daniels, William Greer</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21894</td>
<td>Johnson, David Elliot</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21895</td>
<td>Bedsole, Charles Adair</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21896</td>
<td>Fort, David Acriil</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21897</td>
<td>Evans, Theodore Hubbard, Jr.</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21898</td>
<td>Frazier, Allie Washington, Jr.</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21899</td>
<td>Nesbit, Thomas Hamilton</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21900</td>
<td>Perry, Charles Austin</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21901</td>
<td>Ware, Marshall Taylor</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21902</td>
<td>Woodruff, Frederick Phillips, 2nd</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21903</td>
<td>Clark, Daniel Edward</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Scalf, L. L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21867</td>
<td>Pritchett, Paul Waddell</td>
<td>May 7, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21868</td>
<td>Winslett, Hoyt, Jr.</td>
<td>May 1, 1962</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21869</td>
<td>LaCourse, Frederick Palmer</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1961</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21870</td>
<td>Tepe, Donald James</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1961</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21871</td>
<td>Wallstreet, Theodore David</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21873</td>
<td>Emery, Richard Arlen</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21874</td>
<td>Miller, Paul Alfred</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21875</td>
<td>Rohmanoff, David Duane</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21876</td>
<td>Whitehill, John Louis</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21877</td>
<td>Bigger, Paul Wesley</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21878</td>
<td>Cartwright, Thomas Lisson</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21879</td>
<td>Davis, Fred Richard</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21880</td>
<td>Foster, Jared Franklin</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21881</td>
<td>Frenshire, James Monroe</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21882</td>
<td>Garrard, James Walton</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21883</td>
<td>Gill, Phillip Evans</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21884</td>
<td>McCrery, Robert Eugene</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21885</td>
<td>Sargent, Arthur Loyd</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21886</td>
<td>Stephens, Steinman Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21887</td>
<td>Well, Louis</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21888</td>
<td>Williams, Laurens Ray</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21889</td>
<td>Patton, Eugene Smith</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21890</td>
<td>Richards, George Richard, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21891</td>
<td>MacKenzie, Joshua Tayloe</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21892</td>
<td>Noé, William Stanton</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21893</td>
<td>Daniels, William Greer</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21894</td>
<td>Johnson, David Elliot</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21895</td>
<td>Bedsole, Charles Adair</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21896</td>
<td>Fort, David Acriil</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21897</td>
<td>Evans, Theodore Hubbard, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21898</td>
<td>Frazier, Allie Washington, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21899</td>
<td>Nesbit, Thomas Hamilton</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21900</td>
<td>Perry, Charles Austin</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21901</td>
<td>Ware, Marshall Taylor</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21902</td>
<td>Woodruff, Frederick Phillips, 2nd</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21903</td>
<td>Clark, Daniel Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 12, 1962</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21904</td>
<td>Clark, Robert Edgar</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 14, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21907</td>
<td>Swartout, Richard</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
<td>Mar. 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21911</td>
<td>Bercaw, David Meade</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21914</td>
<td>Horn, Peter Moya</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carpenter, C. C. J.</td>
<td>May 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21915</td>
<td>Rouffy, Edward Albert</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>July 14, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21916</td>
<td>Taylor, James Kenneth</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>March 16, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21917</td>
<td>Williams, Jeremiah Tilghman</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>March 2, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21918</td>
<td>Horvath, Clifford Richard</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21920</td>
<td>Fagan, Franklin Friley, 3rd</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21921</td>
<td>Fisher, Earle Hugo</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21923</td>
<td>Spittler, Downs Calvin, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
<td>Dec. 30, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21925</td>
<td>Scott, David Allan</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ADDITIONAL RECORDS

- Bell, Karl Edwin
- Cutter, Frederick Sanford
- Darby, William Allen
- Kirkham, Harry Adams, Jr.
- Paddock, Frederick Norris
- Bel, Ernest Fred
- Bangao, José Nead, 2nd
- Hawkins, Richard Thurber
- Hearn, George Edmund
- Mills, Lewis Hartman
- Rupp, Lawrence Dean

---

**APPENDIX 29**

For Western Massachusetts
For Western New York
For Western Ohio
For Southern Ohio
For Uganda
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21937</td>
<td>See. Steven Henry</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21938</td>
<td>Sotolongo, Pastor Genaro</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21939</td>
<td>Anderson, James Desmond</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21940</td>
<td>Brady, Thomas Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21941</td>
<td>Fosse, Robert Earle</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21942</td>
<td>Hitchcock, Edwin Elba</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21943</td>
<td>Kraft, Richard Austin</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21944</td>
<td>Luchs, Lewis Richard</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21945</td>
<td>McLean, William Donald, 3rd.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21946</td>
<td>Pettett, Bruce Frank</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21947</td>
<td>Richardson, Warren Edward</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21948</td>
<td>Smith, Harry Elsworth</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21949</td>
<td>Soukup, Erwin Myron</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21950</td>
<td>Stacey, George Clement</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21951</td>
<td>Wappler, Harry Vail</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21952</td>
<td>Willborn, Herbert Allen</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21953</td>
<td>Jenkins, John Lewis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21954</td>
<td>Hanson, Thomas Lotton</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21955</td>
<td>Patience, Alexander Theodore</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21956</td>
<td>Dean, Gordon Joy</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21957</td>
<td>Glendinning, David Cross</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21958</td>
<td>Cobb, John Pierpont</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21959</td>
<td>Cowans, William Maraden</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21960</td>
<td>Curry, Gene Edward</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21961</td>
<td>Dickey, Charles Previtt</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21963</td>
<td>Ganoung, Donald Edwin</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21964</td>
<td>Kelley, Preston Telford, 2nd.</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21965</td>
<td>Kidd, Robert McMahan</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21966</td>
<td>Neely, Christopher Fones</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21967</td>
<td>Rankin, Edward Harris</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Campbell, D. J. for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V. for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F. for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Loring, O. L. for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 12, 1962</td>
<td>Wright, W. G. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 5, 1962</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb. 2, 1962</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W. for Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16, 1962</td>
<td>Pike, J. A. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 25, 1962</td>
<td>Quarterman, G. H. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 25, 1962</td>
<td>Pike, J. A. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1961</td>
<td>Millard, G. R. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 5, 1962</td>
<td>Pike, J. A. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 27, 1962</td>
<td>Millard, G. R. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F. for California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21968</td>
<td>Keese, Peter Gaines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21976</td>
<td>Slemp, Francis Clinton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21978</td>
<td>Gilchrist, John Ernest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21981</td>
<td>Green, Bruce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21982</td>
<td>Green, Dufl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21987</td>
<td>Simson, Everett Davis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21993</td>
<td>Haynes, Frank James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21994</td>
<td>Knight, Stephen Herrick, 2nd.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21997</td>
<td>Rockwell, Hays Hamilton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21999</td>
<td>Vaughan, Sylvester Mahlon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22000</td>
<td>Wolf, Donn Loren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22001</td>
<td>Bailey, Bruce Edgar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22002</td>
<td>Bennett, Franklin Pierce</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22004</td>
<td>Eidson, Robert George</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22005</td>
<td>Gracie, David McInnes</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22006</td>
<td>Chambly, John Drummond</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22007</td>
<td>Hope, Winston</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22008</td>
<td>Moore, William Minges</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22009</td>
<td>Taylor, Lewis Jerome, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22010</td>
<td>Burnett, William Melbourne</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22011</td>
<td>Christensen, Paul Edward</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22012</td>
<td>Ridge, Charles Delos</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22013</td>
<td>Bartholomew, Charles Edward, Jrd.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22014</td>
<td>Johnson, Frederick Joseph</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22015</td>
<td>Mills, James Andrews</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22016</td>
<td>Shiesler, Donald George</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22017</td>
<td>White, Donald Kinsinger</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22018</td>
<td>Wilkins, Leon Ray</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22019</td>
<td>Farrar, Charles Bidwell</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22020</td>
<td>Lossing, Larry Duren</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22021</td>
<td>Radbaugh, James Giles</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22022</td>
<td>Albrecht, Fleetwood James</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22023</td>
<td>Graves, Clayton Winn</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22024</td>
<td>Tippett, Dennis Allen</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22025</td>
<td>Caldwell, Charles Francis</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22026</td>
<td>Merrill, William Robert</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22027</td>
<td>Barnwell, Stephen Bull</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22028</td>
<td>Wright, Charles Benjamin</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Brown, W. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22029</td>
<td>Carlo, José Daniel</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22030</td>
<td>Mallory, Charles Shannon</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred November 6, 1961</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22031</td>
<td>Royer, Donald L.</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22032</td>
<td>Vargas, Ernesto (Isauro Hernandez)</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Curtis, I. I.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRIESTHOOD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22033</td>
<td>Adams, John Davry, Jr.</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22034</td>
<td>Babcock, Richard Maxwell</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22035</td>
<td>Baur, Thomas William</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22036</td>
<td>Jensen, Thomas Craddock</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22037</td>
<td>Johnston, David Knight</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22038</td>
<td>Knauff, Charles Wilson</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Perpetual Deacon**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22039</td>
<td>Peters, August William, Jr.</td>
<td>July 6</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>June 18, 1962</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22043</td>
<td>Born, Arlie Louis</td>
<td>July 7</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22044</td>
<td>Larive, Armand Edward</td>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Mar. 28, 1962</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22045</td>
<td>Linwood, Minor Eugene</td>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td>Mar. 29, 1962</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22056</td>
<td>Cavanaugh, Jesse Murray</td>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
<td>May 13, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22057</td>
<td>Crocker, Swasey</td>
<td>July 21</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
<td>May 13, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22063</td>
<td>Dickson, Markham Allen</td>
<td>Aug. 14</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>Feb. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22064</td>
<td>Tod, David Bryce</td>
<td>Aug. 26</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>June 14, 1963</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22067</td>
<td>Fry, Edward Lawrence</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Mar. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22068</td>
<td>Blake, Marvin Herbert</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
<td>Mar. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22071</td>
<td>Cummings, George William</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22073</td>
<td>Elliot, John Clarence</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22074</td>
<td>Fenton, Frederick Arthur</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22075</td>
<td>Fuller, John Paul</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Diaconate 1961</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
<td>Priesthood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22076</td>
<td>Grant, Richard Horton</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22078</td>
<td>Knowles, Harold Frank, 2nd</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22079</td>
<td>Metzler, John Adam, 3rd</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1962</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22082</td>
<td>Kirk, Terrell Thomas</td>
<td>Sept. 9</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Jan. 9, 1962</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22088</td>
<td>Kosco, Theodore Ray</td>
<td>Oct. 5</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>June 18, 1962</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22089</td>
<td>Gasquet, Mark Cordes</td>
<td>Oct. 5</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
<td>Sept. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22090</td>
<td>Bean, James Corey, 2nd</td>
<td>Oct. 8</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
<td>June 21, 1962</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22092</td>
<td>Black, William Grant</td>
<td>Oct. 12</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S.</td>
<td>June 29, 1962</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22104</td>
<td>Richards, Gerald Wayne</td>
<td>Nov. 26</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 11, 1962</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1961

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22107</td>
<td>Webb, Ross Allan</td>
<td>Nov. 26</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22108</td>
<td>Jacobs, George Lemhart</td>
<td>Nov. 30</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22109</td>
<td>Fielda, John Belcher</td>
<td>Dec. 2</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22110</td>
<td>Kempf, Ernst</td>
<td>Dec. 26</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22111</td>
<td>Bevins, Elwood Marshall</td>
<td>Dec. 7</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22112</td>
<td>Pratt, Clayton Stewart</td>
<td>Dec. 9</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22113</td>
<td>Dault, Eureco Octavio</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22114</td>
<td>Felds, Egon</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22115</td>
<td>Krischke, Paulo Jose Duval da Silva</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22116</td>
<td>da Silva, Paulo Marcos Duval</td>
<td>Dec. 10</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22117</td>
<td>Dickinson, Joseph Barry</td>
<td>Dec. 12</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22118</td>
<td>Watters, Philip Sidney Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22119</td>
<td>Bond, Leonard Wayne</td>
<td>Dec. 14</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22120</td>
<td>Badecker, Herman George Harold</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22121</td>
<td>Klopf, Richard</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22122</td>
<td>Mates, Anthony Joseph</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22123</td>
<td>Wright, Robert Alan</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22124</td>
<td>Cox, Donald Gene</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22125</td>
<td>Hess, Joseph Wesley, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 16</td>
<td>Ta, A. Y. Y.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 11, 1962</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 5, 1961</td>
<td>Page, H. R. Received from Roman Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15, 1962</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 5, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 23, 1962</td>
<td>Boynton, C. F. for Southern Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 10, 1962</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 23, 1962</td>
<td>Boynton, C. F. for Southern Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 21, 1962</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16, 1962</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 28, 1962</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 17, 1962</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 16, 1962</td>
<td>Lickfield, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 23, 1963</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 17</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Louttit, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 27</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 28, 1962</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-22135</td>
<td>Epardo, Pablo Walter</td>
<td>Jan. 21</td>
<td>McNaury, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22137</td>
<td>Stoup, Charles William</td>
<td>Jan. 23</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22138</td>
<td>Fraker, Charles Frederic</td>
<td>Jan. 25</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22139</td>
<td>Vetter, Richard Curtis</td>
<td>Feb. 3</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22140</td>
<td>Noble, José Artigas Moreira</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td>Simões, P. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22141</td>
<td>Simizu, Takasi</td>
<td>Feb. 18</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22142</td>
<td>Martinez, George</td>
<td>Feb. 24</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-22143</td>
<td>Carrido, Rogelio Montañana</td>
<td>Feb. 25</td>
<td>González-Agüeros, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22144</td>
<td>Addington, Irwin Dean</td>
<td>Mar. 2</td>
<td>Creighton, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22145</td>
<td>Harris, Carl Burton</td>
<td>Mar. 3</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22146</td>
<td>Gardner, Donald Joseph</td>
<td>Mar. 10</td>
<td>McNaury, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22147</td>
<td>Clairmont, Prosper George</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Curtis, I. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22148</td>
<td>Thomas, Joseph Benjamin</td>
<td>Mar. 15</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22149</td>
<td>Hallock, Peter John</td>
<td>Mar. 17</td>
<td>Hallock, D. V. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22150</td>
<td>Himmerich, Maurice Fred</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22151</td>
<td>Pore, Theodore Elliswood</td>
<td>Mar. 21</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22152</td>
<td>Lawrence, Kenneth Haidley</td>
<td>Mar. 24</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22153</td>
<td>Rankin, Sheldon Stevens</td>
<td>May 24</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22154</td>
<td>Gannon, William Sawyer</td>
<td>Apr. 1</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22155</td>
<td>Levy, Hayward LeRoy, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 18</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22156</td>
<td>Bacon, William Andrew, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22157</td>
<td>Bigga, John Winston</td>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22158</td>
<td>Smith, Perry Michael</td>
<td>Apr. 24</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22159</td>
<td>Pool, Mart Gayland</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Quarterman, G. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22160</td>
<td>Kerr, Thomas Albert, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22161</td>
<td>Tomko, Stanley Michael</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22162</td>
<td>Turner, Raymond F.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22163</td>
<td>Walling, Charles Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22164</td>
<td>Air, Hubert Nowell, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeVoe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22165</td>
<td>Carmiencke, Bayard Collier, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeVoe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22166</td>
<td>Cromey, Edwin Harry</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeVoe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Died November 10, 1962

**Perpetual Deacon**

- Moody, W. R.
- Brady, W. H.
- Brady, W. H.
- Brown, A. W.
- Simões, P. L.
- Sherrill, E. K.
- Saucedo, J. G.
- González-Agüeros, R.
- Creighton, W. F.
- Donegan, H. W. B.
- Curtis, I. R.
- Bloy, F. E. I.
- Hallock, D. V. H.
- Carman, J. W. F.
- Walters, S. F. D.
- Millard, G. R.
- for New Hampshire
- Hails, C. F.
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22169</td>
<td>Madden, John Erwin</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1962</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22174</td>
<td>Spitzer, Francis Henry</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22176</td>
<td>Webber, Michael Basquin</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22177</td>
<td>Wolfkid, James Ellis, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22178</td>
<td>Carroon, Robert Girard</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22180</td>
<td>Mathews, Robert Louis</td>
<td>Apr. 28</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22183</td>
<td>Kendall, Paul Brady</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22185</td>
<td>Peterson, Peter Megill</td>
<td>May 12</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
<td>Apr. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Retired Assistant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22192</td>
<td>Jillson, Frederick Fellows</td>
<td>May 20</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22193</td>
<td>Botogigan, Benjamin</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td>June 19, 1963</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22194</td>
<td>Conanut, James B.</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td>June 24, 1963</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22195</td>
<td>Longid, Robert Lee</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td>June 5, 1963</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22196</td>
<td>Panisigan, George O.</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td>June 26, 1963</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22197</td>
<td>Sagayo, Paul</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
<td>Cabanban, B. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22198</td>
<td>Colby, Richard Everett</td>
<td>June 2</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22199</td>
<td>Baker, William Allen, Jr</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22200</td>
<td>Clapp, Donald Brand</td>
<td>June 3</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22201</td>
<td>Miller, Charles Mason, 3rd</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22202</td>
<td>Oesterlin, Peter William</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22203</td>
<td>Meyer, Fred Lee</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22204</td>
<td>Ripley, William Millard</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22205</td>
<td>Piper, Robert Neal</td>
<td>June 7</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22206</td>
<td>Mills, Duane Richard Stephen</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22207</td>
<td>Van, Manuel</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22208</td>
<td>Leo, James Richard</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22209</td>
<td>Shaw, Warren Ervin</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22210</td>
<td>Snyder, Robert Stanley</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22211</td>
<td>Taylor, Raymond George, Jr</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22212</td>
<td>Hathaway, Alden Molnet</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22213</td>
<td>Jones, Peter Hoy</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22214</td>
<td>Mercer, Roy Calvin, Jr</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22215</td>
<td>Nida, Walter Eugene</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22216</td>
<td>Oliver, Eugene Emery</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22217</td>
<td>Owen, David Allen</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22218</td>
<td>Rich, George Ellsworth, Jr</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22219</td>
<td>Sinclair, Nelson Duncan</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22220</td>
<td>Theunser, Douglas Edwin</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22221</td>
<td>Webster, Thomas F.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22222</td>
<td>Bond, Jeremy William</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22223</td>
<td>Brewster, John Gurdon</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22224</td>
<td>Dugger, Clinton George</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22225</td>
<td>Edelman, Walter Lucian</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22226</td>
<td>Huntley, Joseph Durward</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22227</td>
<td>Knight, Frank Lauchlan</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22228</td>
<td>Preuss, Frederick Ernest, 2nd</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 14, 1962</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 6, 1962</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 29, 1963</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 8, 1962</td>
<td>Richards, D. E., Received from Roman Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 2, 1963</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 9, 1962</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 11, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 18, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Brown, A. W., for New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22230</td>
<td>Westerberg, George Arthur</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22231</td>
<td>Wolf, Christian Robert</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Donegan, H. W. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22232</td>
<td>Clemans, Thomas Evan, Jr.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22233</td>
<td>Evans, John Frederick</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22234</td>
<td>Hargreaves, Robert Alan</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22235</td>
<td>Martin, William Richardson</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22236</td>
<td>Pulliam, James Phillip, Jr.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22237</td>
<td>Simons, Harrison Thayer</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22238</td>
<td>Smith, James Drimard</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22239</td>
<td>Wayland, David Frazee</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22240</td>
<td>Casey, Harry L.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22241</td>
<td>Gillespie, Robert Schaeffer, Jr.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22242</td>
<td>Weller, William Leon</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22243</td>
<td>Weise, John Wm. Thorburn</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Kingsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22244</td>
<td>Barr, Stewart Finley, 3rd</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Kingsolving, A. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22245</td>
<td>Leonard, Thomas Edgar</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22246</td>
<td>Liu, John Y. F.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22247</td>
<td>Yoshida, Thomas Kunio</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22248</td>
<td>Akers, John Shelley, 3rd</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22249</td>
<td>Hewlett, Glenn Howard</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22250</td>
<td>Holland, Clayton Theodore</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22251</td>
<td>Kelsay, Terence Eugene</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22252</td>
<td>Burns, William Francis</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22253</td>
<td>Burnworth, Alvin Patterson</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22254</td>
<td>Gordon, Jay Holland</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22255</td>
<td>Kress, Raymond Paul</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22256</td>
<td>Partridge, Edmund B.</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22257</td>
<td>Taylor, Alton Holmes</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22258</td>
<td>Waring, Thomas Blandford</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22259</td>
<td>James, Lawrence Joseph</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22260</td>
<td>Abbott, Charles Peter</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22261</td>
<td>Hoard, Gayle Frank</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22262</td>
<td>Pulliam, James Edward</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 12, 1963</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 26, 1963</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 15, 1962</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22266</td>
<td>Mason, David Raymond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22276</td>
<td>Young, Ernest Samuel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22279</td>
<td>Preble, Charles William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22284</td>
<td>Brown, Raymond Dutson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22289</td>
<td>Ostrander, Leroy Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22291</td>
<td>Chastain, Gordon Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22292</td>
<td>Glover, James Gary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22293</td>
<td>Luckett, David Stafford, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22294</td>
<td>Vallensis, John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22303</td>
<td>Dand, Nicholas William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22304</td>
<td>Muller, William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22305</td>
<td>O'Halloran, John Dennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22306</td>
<td>Robertson, Gerald Carter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22307</td>
<td>Webster, James David</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22309</td>
<td>Knight, Hollishead Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22310</td>
<td>Williams, Henry Neil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22311</td>
<td>Abernethy, Thomas James, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22312</td>
<td>Blackburn, Elliot Hillman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22313</td>
<td>Upton, John Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22315</td>
<td>Fortune, Dwight Chapman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22317</td>
<td>Hegg, David Paul, 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22318</td>
<td>Nevels, Harry Vann, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22319</td>
<td>Stoudenmire, Joseph Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22322</td>
<td>Riegel, John Wilfred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22323</td>
<td>Sword, Carl Richard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22325</td>
<td>Kaser, Maurice Calkins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22327</td>
<td>Plattenburg, George Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22328</td>
<td>Moore, Rudolf Alan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22329</td>
<td>Garnett, Gary Allan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22330</td>
<td>McPhillips, Julian Lenwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22331</td>
<td>Rathbun, Arthur John, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22332</td>
<td>Tottey, Alfred George, Jr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22335</td>
<td>Babb, Robert James</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22336</td>
<td>Christopher, Charles Harry</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22337</td>
<td>Darrow, Robert Michael</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22338</td>
<td>Johnson, James Baxter</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22339</td>
<td>Langdon, Clarence Merle</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22340</td>
<td>McKenzie, Jerry B.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22341</td>
<td>Minnis, Joseph Abell</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22342</td>
<td>Hemmers, Louis Emanuel</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22343</td>
<td>Rollins, Alfred Woodman</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22344</td>
<td>Foley, James Dean</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22345</td>
<td>McCool, Lawrence Richard</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22346</td>
<td>Thornton, John Stuart</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22347</td>
<td>Zimmerna, Philip Hays</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Hunter, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22348</td>
<td>Allardyce, David Bruce</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22349</td>
<td>Boulton, David Watson</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22350</td>
<td>Cadigan, Charles Richard</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22351</td>
<td>Hart, Donald Purple</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22352</td>
<td>Leighton, Jack Lee</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22353</td>
<td>Cornue, Frank Gordon</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22354</td>
<td>Babigian, Ross Harry</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22355</td>
<td>Luce, Warren Woodward</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22356</td>
<td>Hunkins, Orin James</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22357</td>
<td>Brisbane, Paul Owen</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22358</td>
<td>Knies, Alfred Thomas, Jr</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22359</td>
<td>Crawley, Jerry</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22360</td>
<td>Powers, John Carter</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22361</td>
<td>Woelfel, James Warren</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22362</td>
<td>Bane, Jack Donald</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22363</td>
<td>Cook, Charles Sydnor, Jr</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22364</td>
<td>Lawrence, Raymond Johnson, Jr</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22365</td>
<td>Martin, Alfred Clark</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22366</td>
<td>Rivers, John Charles</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22367</td>
<td>Dunning, William Melbourne</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22368</td>
<td>Pearson, Alden Wardwell</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22369</td>
<td>Schieffelin, John Jay, Jr</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Bishop of Dunkeld and Dunblane, Scotland for Oklahomas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22371</td>
<td>Vest, Frank Harris, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
<td>June 5, 1963</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22372</td>
<td>Phalen, John Richard</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Scalf, L. L.</td>
<td>Mar. 31, 1963</td>
<td>Scalf, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22379</td>
<td>Werner, George Louis</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1962</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22401</td>
<td>Miller, Donald Peter</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22404</td>
<td>Young, Bruce Alan</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>Lawrence, F. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22405</td>
<td>Breisch, Jay Warren</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 28, 1962</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22407</td>
<td>Dallon, Raymond Quincy</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22408</td>
<td>Doyle, Paul McCune</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22412</td>
<td>Nako, James Walter</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1962</td>
<td>Burrill, G. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22413</td>
<td>Pontious, Wayne Loraine</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22414</td>
<td>Ramos, Francisco</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1963</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22415</td>
<td>Ramos, José Antonio</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 23, 1962</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22416</td>
<td>Rivera, Jorge Juan</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 24, 1962</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22417</td>
<td>Vilar, José Eleuterio</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Street, C. L.</td>
<td>May 26, 1963</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22422</td>
<td>Baar, David Josef</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 2, 1963</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22423</td>
<td>Colton, Albert Jay</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>July 1, 1963</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22424</td>
<td>Gompertz, Charles Bates</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 16, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22425</td>
<td>McDermott, John Roy</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>June 8, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22426</td>
<td>Neily, Robert Edward</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>June 18, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22427</td>
<td>Sheldon, George Morgan</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>May 11, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22428</td>
<td>Smith, Samuel Earl</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 9, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22429</td>
<td>Stevens, Clarion Hazen</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22431</td>
<td>Copenhagen, Robert Thomas</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Sanders, W. E.</td>
<td>May 24, 1963</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22432</td>
<td>Ferguson, Franklin Cole</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Sanders, W. E.</td>
<td>May 24, 1963</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22434</td>
<td>Frahm, Gary Jerome</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Jan. 16, 1963</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22435</td>
<td>Jordan, Edward Bruce</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 8, 1963</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22436</td>
<td>Brunett, Harry Edgar</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 9, 1963</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22437</td>
<td>Spitzer, Clyde Allen, Jr.</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
<td>Apr. 10, 1963</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22439</td>
<td>Pickering, Roger Alan</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
<td>Jan. 6</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22440</td>
<td>Hampson, James Eugene, Jr.</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Noland, L. B.</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22441</td>
<td>Farris, Forrest Leverne</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22442</td>
<td>Speer, William Roth</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Apr. 9</td>
<td>Doll, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22444</td>
<td>Dicks, Paul Richard</td>
<td>June 26</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Dec. 23</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22445</td>
<td>Eldred, William Walter</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Feb. 1</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22446</td>
<td>Plank, Christy Walker</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22447</td>
<td>Minturn, Sterling Majors</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Noland, L. B.</td>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22449</td>
<td>Wilbanks, James Luther, Sr.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
<td>Feb. 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22450</td>
<td>Hoyt, Henry Dawes</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 4</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22451</td>
<td>Mayfield, Judson Townes, Jr.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 1</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22452</td>
<td>Ruyle, Everett Eugene</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 3</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22453</td>
<td>Vanture, Homer Selman</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
<td>Apr. 2</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22454</td>
<td>Malone, Michael Taylor</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 16</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22456</td>
<td>Kinney, Robert Sturda</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Jones, E. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 30</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22457</td>
<td>Orozco, Benjamin Manuel</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 4</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22458</td>
<td>Cutler, Howard Taylor</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22459</td>
<td>Craig, Hugh Burnette, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22460</td>
<td>Frazer, Samuel Kindley, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22461</td>
<td>McCaskill, Daniel Webster</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22462</td>
<td>Lowrey, Edward Sager</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Crittenden, W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22463</td>
<td>Cooper, Thomas Edward</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 14</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22464</td>
<td>Peterson, John Raymond, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>July 25</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22465</td>
<td>Reeves, Robert Ralph</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 9</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22466</td>
<td>Schneider, Edward Nichols</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 3</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22467</td>
<td>Tuma, George Wood</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 8</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22468</td>
<td>Winter, Robert Allan</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 1</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22469</td>
<td>Blewett, John Elwyn Burton</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 26</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22470</td>
<td>Hammon, William Chapman</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
<td>May 5</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22471</td>
<td>Hempstead, James Breeze</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 29</td>
<td>Louttit, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22472</td>
<td>Dasher, Arthur Lee, 4th.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22473</td>
<td>Craig, Claude Phillip</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22474</td>
<td>Moore, Samuel Monro</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Fraser, T. A.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22476</td>
<td>Perrine, Paul Austin, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1962</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22477</td>
<td>Dawson, Tucker Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22478</td>
<td>Harris, Terence Manville</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>May 11, 1963</td>
<td>Noland, I. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22479</td>
<td>Carpenter, John Paul</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>May 19, 1963</td>
<td>Corrigan, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22481</td>
<td>Hanson, Jay Duffus</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>McNalry, P. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22484</td>
<td>Davies, Charles Evan</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>Nov. 1, 1963</td>
<td>Bayne, S. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22485</td>
<td>Drawdy, Joseph Guydon</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1962</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22486</td>
<td>Rizer, Andrew Robert</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1962</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22488</td>
<td>Nutt, Joseph Lee</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
<td>Jan. 29, 1963</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22489</td>
<td>Verdi, Barry Ellis</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>Jan. 1, 1963</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22490</td>
<td>Thomson, Richard Dwight</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 19, 1962</td>
<td>Watson, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22492</td>
<td>Calhoun, Peter Wellborn</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>June 29, 1963</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22493</td>
<td>Chichester, Helon Lewis, Jr.</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>July 25, 1963</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22494</td>
<td>Grant, Blount Hamilton, Jr.</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>June 2, 1963</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22496</td>
<td>Scogin, Alfred Foy, Jr.</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Claiiborne, R. R.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22497</td>
<td>Schofield, Calvin Onderdonk</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1962</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22498</td>
<td>Davidson, Jon Paul</td>
<td>June 30</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
<td>June 5, 1963</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22500</td>
<td>Dornan, Clark Harold</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 2, 1963</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22501</td>
<td>Blackmore, Edwin Lester</td>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22503</td>
<td>Thompson, Paul Mason</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Gray, D. M.</td>
<td>May 21, 1963</td>
<td>Gray, D. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22504</td>
<td>Chappell, Donald Louis</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 2, 1963</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22505</td>
<td>Pitts, Luther Tillman, Jr.</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
<td>June 25, 1963</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22506</td>
<td>Gatza, Gary Allen</td>
<td>July 2</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
<td>Feb. 8, 1963</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22508</td>
<td>Snyder, Donald Frederick</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 3, 1963</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22509</td>
<td>Klein, John Harvey</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Jan. 3, 1963</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22510</td>
<td>Madison, Peter George</td>
<td>July 3</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Record of Ordinations

### Diacorate 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22511</td>
<td>Pickels, William Raoul</td>
<td>July 5</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td>Jan. 5, 1963</td>
<td>Duncan, J. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22512</td>
<td>Sparks, George Hartmann, Jr.</td>
<td>July 7</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
<td>June 22, 1963</td>
<td>Cribbin, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22514</td>
<td>Giddings, James Potter</td>
<td>July 10</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1963</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22515</td>
<td>Duval, Robert Welsh</td>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Cole, C. A.</td>
<td>June 24, 1963</td>
<td>Cribbin, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22520</td>
<td>Duncan, William McKinley, 3rd</td>
<td>July 20</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
<td>Feb. 15, 1963</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22521</td>
<td>Westling, Delbert Sunner</td>
<td>July 22</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
<td>Jan. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22523</td>
<td>Kaake, Robert Lee</td>
<td>July 25</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22526</td>
<td>Compton, Maurice Stanley, Jr.</td>
<td>Aug. 15</td>
<td>Barton, L. W.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22528</td>
<td>Roen, John Randolph</td>
<td>Aug. 21</td>
<td>Gooden, R. B.</td>
<td>Apr. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22531</td>
<td>Arthur, John Huntington</td>
<td>Sept. 1</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>Aug. 23, 1963</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22532</td>
<td>Bolman, Robert Pleasanton</td>
<td>Sept. 1</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1963</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22535</td>
<td>Crouse, Arthur Dean</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22536</td>
<td>Garver, Oliver Bailey, J.</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22537</td>
<td>Longenecker, Donald Ray</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22538</td>
<td>Parks, Ralph Edwin</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Priesthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22540</td>
<td>Swearingen, James Donald</td>
<td>Sept. 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 14, 1963</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22542</td>
<td>Dreisbach, Albert Rysel</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22543</td>
<td>Miller, Laurence Henry</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td>Apr. 27, 1963</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22544</td>
<td>Welles, Donald Roderick, Jr.</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**RECORD OF ORDINATIONS**

**DIACONATE 1962**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22545</td>
<td>Chen, Samuel Ta-Tung</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 19 Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22546</td>
<td>Chu, Hao-Jan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 19 Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22547</td>
<td>Heia, Ephraim H. K</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sept. 19 Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22548</td>
<td>Ling, John Ywe-Han</td>
<td>Sept. 19 Kennedy, H. S. for Taiwan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22549</td>
<td>Peck, Kenneth M.</td>
<td>Sept. 23</td>
<td>Dicus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22550</td>
<td>Heath, Glendon Edward</td>
<td>Sept. 23</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22551</td>
<td>Lucas, Wesley Byrd</td>
<td>Sept. 24</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22552</td>
<td>Walker, William James</td>
<td>Sept. 26</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22553</td>
<td>Witter, Hamilton Clarke</td>
<td>Oct. 4</td>
<td>Henry M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22554</td>
<td>Packer, Brian John</td>
<td>Oct. 5</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22555</td>
<td>Swanson, Carl David</td>
<td>Oct. 5</td>
<td>Welles, E. R. for Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22556</td>
<td>Evans, Haydn Barry</td>
<td>Oct. 6</td>
<td>Blankenship, H. A. for Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22557</td>
<td>Stanton, John Frank</td>
<td>Oct. 6</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22558</td>
<td>Weiser, Samuel Ivan</td>
<td>Oct. 12</td>
<td>Montgomery, J. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22559</td>
<td>Deacon, Charles Alexander, Jr</td>
<td>Oct. 13</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22560</td>
<td>Chung, John Hae Chun</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22561</td>
<td>Collins, Albert John</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22562</td>
<td>Gill, Myles James</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22563</td>
<td>Livengood, Hugh</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22564</td>
<td>Thomas, Warren Garfield, Jr</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22565</td>
<td>Phillips, Raymond Leland, Jr</td>
<td>Nov. 17</td>
<td>Cole, C. A. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22566</td>
<td>Brown, William Hill, 3rd</td>
<td>Nov. 24</td>
<td>Chilton, S. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22567</td>
<td>VanValkenburgh, William Burton</td>
<td>Nov. 28</td>
<td>MacAdie, D. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22569</td>
<td>Teixeira, Sebastião</td>
<td>Dec. 9</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22570</td>
<td>Blasco, Raul Antonio</td>
<td>Dec. 9</td>
<td>Richards, D. E. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22571</td>
<td>Ennis, Reginald William, Jr</td>
<td>Dec. 13</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22572</td>
<td>Odell, Clarence Wilson, Jr</td>
<td>Dec. 15</td>
<td>Harte, J. M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRIESTHOOD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Gilson, C. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Gilson, C. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 25, 1963</td>
<td>Jones, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 10, 1963</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 16, 1963</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 3, 1963</td>
<td>DeWitt, R. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar. 7, 1963</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 6, 1963</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan. 11, 1964</td>
<td>Creighton, W. F. for Virginia for Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 6, 1963</td>
<td>MacAdie, D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Carrington, P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 27, 1963</td>
<td>Whittemore, L. B. for Rochester for Rochester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 7, 1963</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 7, 1963</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov. 19, 1963</td>
<td>Pinckney, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 10, 1963</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr. 28, 1963</td>
<td>Richards, D. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 15, 1963</td>
<td>Harte, J. M.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1962

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22573</td>
<td>Griswold, Frank Tracy, 3rd</td>
<td>Dec. 15</td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22574</td>
<td>Jeneskey, LeRoy Harry, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 19</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C., for Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Campbell, W. C., Perpetual Deacon for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22575</td>
<td>Harrison, Merritt Raymond</td>
<td>Dec. 20</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sterling, C. W., Perpetual Deacon for Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. P., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vander Horst, J., Perpetual Deacon for Lexington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22578</td>
<td>Larkin, Paul</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Creighton, W. F., Creighton, W. F., Perpetual Deacon for San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Creighton, W. F., Perpetual Deacon for San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22580</td>
<td>Hansen, Dwight Timothy</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Millard, G. R., Millard, G. R., Perpetual Deacon for San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Millard, G. R., Perpetual Deacon for San Joaquin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22581</td>
<td>Baker, Horace Raymond, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22582</td>
<td>Manwaring, Stanley Edward</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22583</td>
<td>Whitby, Lewis Sherrard</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Teu, A. Y. V., Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22584</td>
<td>Marshall, Cecil</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vander Horst, J., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22585</td>
<td>Ott, Clifford Raymond</td>
<td>Dec. 28</td>
<td>McNairy, P. F., McNairy, P. F., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McNairy, P. F., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22586</td>
<td>Browne, George Daniel</td>
<td>Dec. 30</td>
<td>Brown, D. H., Brown, D. H., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Brown, D. H., Retired Assistant Bishop of Hong Kong for Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22590</td>
<td>Price, Alonso</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22591</td>
<td>Hartwig, Adalberto</td>
<td>Feb. 3</td>
<td>Simons, P. L.</td>
<td>July 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22592</td>
<td>Moore, Robert Allen</td>
<td>Feb. 10</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>June 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22593</td>
<td>Tate, Esteban Davis</td>
<td>Feb. 17</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>June 19, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22596</td>
<td>Street, Claude Parke, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 4</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
<td>July 10, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22597</td>
<td>Kent, David Williamson</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22598</td>
<td>Gilbertson, Calvin Lee</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22599</td>
<td>With, David Fergus</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>Sept. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22600</td>
<td>Wilson, S. Dunham</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22601</td>
<td>Parker, Allan Curtis, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td>July 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22603</td>
<td>Culley, Alfred Edgar</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>Oct. 17, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22605</td>
<td>Grant, Michael Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Nov. 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22606</td>
<td>Phelps, Cecil Richard</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Nov. 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22609</td>
<td>Fish, Laurence Dean, Sr.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred November 23, 1963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22593</td>
<td>Price, Alonso</td>
<td>Feb. 2</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22594</td>
<td>Fisher, William Sydney, Jr.</td>
<td>Feb. 17</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22595</td>
<td>Ripley, Robert Stanley</td>
<td>Feb. 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22596</td>
<td>Street, Claude Parke, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 4</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22597</td>
<td>Kent, David Williamson</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22598</td>
<td>Gilbertson, Calvin Lee</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22599</td>
<td>With, David Fergus</td>
<td>Mar. 9</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22600</td>
<td>Wilson, S. Dunham</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22601</td>
<td>Parker, Allan Curtis, Jr.</td>
<td>Mar. 14</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22602</td>
<td>Morrow, Charles Allison</td>
<td>Mar. 30</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22603</td>
<td>Culley, Alfred Edgar</td>
<td>Apr. 8</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22604</td>
<td>McGlynn, John Douglas</td>
<td>Apr. 16</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22605</td>
<td>Grant, Michael Edward</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22606</td>
<td>Phelps, Cecil Richard</td>
<td>Apr. 20</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22607</td>
<td>Belleville, Robert Chambers, 3rd.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22608</td>
<td>Chenoweth, Russell Martin, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22609</td>
<td>Fish, Laurence Dean, Sr.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22610</td>
<td>Griffith, Robert Stephen</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferred November 23, 1963</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22613</td>
<td>Moore, James Lawrence</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22614</td>
<td>Pratley, Frederick Harold, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22615</td>
<td>Kostelnik, Robert Anthony</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22616</td>
<td>Smother, William Sherman</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22617</td>
<td>Woolley, Stanley Marsh, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 27</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22618</td>
<td>Taylor, Willard Seymour, Jr.</td>
<td>Apr. 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22619</td>
<td>Eichenberger, Alan J.</td>
<td>May 13</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22620</td>
<td>Swartzentuber, Amos Orley</td>
<td>May 14</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22621</td>
<td>Earnest, David Bush</td>
<td>May 16</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22622</td>
<td>Aiona, Darrow Lewis</td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22623</td>
<td>Krulak, Victor Harold, Jr.</td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22624</td>
<td>Pitz, Guy Hwa</td>
<td>May 17</td>
<td>Kennedy, H. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22625</td>
<td>Gavili, Ernesto Pesase</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22626</td>
<td>Ged-anj, Bernard Batnag</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22627</td>
<td>Zabala, Artemio Masweng</td>
<td>May 18</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suspended November 21, 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-22628</td>
<td>Fenninger, Eugene Krieler, Jr.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22629</td>
<td>Hancock, Frederick Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22630</td>
<td>Wantland, William Charles</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22631</td>
<td>Coward, Milton Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Claiborne, B. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22632</td>
<td>Mansur, Richard Winthrop</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22633</td>
<td>Ahlenius, Robert Garver</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Chambers, A. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22634</td>
<td>Aman, Herbert Lincoln</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22635</td>
<td>Clay, Thomas Davies</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22636</td>
<td>Evans, Geoffrey</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22637</td>
<td>Ferris, Thomas Hies</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22638</td>
<td>Gerth, Walter Andrew</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22639</td>
<td>Graham, Wells Newell</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22640</td>
<td>Handley, Richard Joly</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22641</td>
<td>McCord, David</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22642</td>
<td>Rose, William Harrison</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22643</td>
<td>Webb, David Milton</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22644</td>
<td>McClaren, George Wilson, Jr.</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22645</td>
<td>Odelkirk, Dennis Russell</td>
<td>June  3</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22646</td>
<td>Clark, George Reginald</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22647</td>
<td>Moser, Paul Henry</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22648</td>
<td>Redmon, William Jesse</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22649</td>
<td>Statthera, Birk Smith, Jr.</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUSPENDED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-22628</td>
<td>Fenninger, Eugene Krieler, Jr.</td>
<td>May 23</td>
<td>Gooden, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22629</td>
<td>Hancock, Frederick Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22630</td>
<td>Wantland, William Charles</td>
<td>May 25</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22631</td>
<td>Coward, Milton Edward, Jr.</td>
<td>May 26</td>
<td>Claiborne, B. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22632</td>
<td>Mansur, Richard Winthrop</td>
<td>May 30</td>
<td>Lewis, A. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22633</td>
<td>Ahlenius, Robert Garver</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Chambers, A. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22634</td>
<td>Aman, Herbert Lincoln</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22635</td>
<td>Clay, Thomas Davies</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22636</td>
<td>Evans, Geoffrey</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22637</td>
<td>Ferris, Thomas Hies</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22638</td>
<td>Gerth, Walter Andrew</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22639</td>
<td>Graham, Wells Newell</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22640</td>
<td>Handley, Richard Joly</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22641</td>
<td>McCord, David</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22642</td>
<td>Rose, William Harrison</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22643</td>
<td>Webb, David Milton</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22644</td>
<td>McClaren, George Wilson, Jr.</td>
<td>June  1</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22645</td>
<td>Odelkirk, Dennis Russell</td>
<td>June  3</td>
<td>Brady, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22646</td>
<td>Clark, George Reginald</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22647</td>
<td>Moser, Paul Henry</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22648</td>
<td>Redmon, William Jesse</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22649</td>
<td>Statthera, Birk Smith, Jr.</td>
<td>June  5</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22651</td>
<td>Heimann, Thomas Reed</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22652</td>
<td>Terry, Frank Jeffrey</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22653</td>
<td>McHugh, Daniel</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Millard, R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22654</td>
<td>Dimanche, Gabriel Philippe</td>
<td>June 6</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22665</td>
<td>McDonald, Durean R.</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Armstrong, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22671</td>
<td>Silbaugh, Morgan Collins</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22672</td>
<td>Burk, Gerald Ervin</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22673</td>
<td>Colwell, Charles Richard</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22676</td>
<td>Rogers, John Sanborn</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22677</td>
<td>Hall, John Liston</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22678</td>
<td>Willy, Ronald Lee</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22679</td>
<td>Beck, Thomas Francis</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22680</td>
<td>Jewett, Robert Allen</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22681</td>
<td>Messi, Alfred Moris, J.</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Ordinant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22682</td>
<td>Stinson, Richard Lyon</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22683</td>
<td>Winslow, Nathaniel Robbins, Jr.</td>
<td>June 8</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22684</td>
<td>Donham, Stanley Milliken</td>
<td>June 9</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22685</td>
<td>Brown, Reed Haller</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22686</td>
<td>Dear, Arthur Tyrrel, 3rd</td>
<td>June 10</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22687</td>
<td>Evett, Douglas Paul</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22689</td>
<td>Mitchell, Robert James</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22690</td>
<td>Tea, Clark Arthur, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22691</td>
<td>Gough, Leighton Bicknell</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22693</td>
<td>Smith, Leland Jerome, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22694</td>
<td>Van Dine, Howard Arthur, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22696</td>
<td>Mcclure, Maurice Alden</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22711</td>
<td>Hall, Robert Tom</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22712</td>
<td>Persing, William Nicholas</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22715</td>
<td>Richard, George Spurr</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22716</td>
<td>Zaff, John Hayes</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22717</td>
<td>Fralick, Curtis Gene</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Hall, C. F.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

#### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22718</td>
<td>Louttit, Henry Irving, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22719</td>
<td>Clemons, Donald David</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22720</td>
<td>Crow, James Franklin</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22721</td>
<td>Gibson, Owen S., 3rd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22722</td>
<td>Higgins, Maurice Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22723</td>
<td>Hoy, Paul Floyd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22724</td>
<td>Lindsey, Lucien Dale, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22725</td>
<td>Waldo, Charles Edward</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22726</td>
<td>Chalfant, Edward Cole</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22727</td>
<td>Green, James McIver</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22719</td>
<td>Clemons, Donald David</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22720</td>
<td>Crow, James Franklin</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22721</td>
<td>Gibson, Owen S., 3rd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22722</td>
<td>Higgins, Maurice Joseph, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22723</td>
<td>Hoy, Paul Floyd</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22724</td>
<td>Lindsey, Lucien Dale, Jr.</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22725</td>
<td>Waldo, Charles Edward</td>
<td>June 11</td>
<td>Putnam, F. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22726</td>
<td>Chalfant, Edward Cole</td>
<td>June 12</td>
<td>Hargrave, W. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22727</td>
<td>Green, James McIver</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue the table with the remaining entries...
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22750</td>
<td>Cobb, Edward Gaylord</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22751</td>
<td>Krieger, Frederick Gordon</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22752</td>
<td>Kronmueller, Charles Elmer</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 17, 1963</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22753</td>
<td>Rainford, William Charles, 2nd</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22757</td>
<td>Dunlop, Robert Charles</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Sherman, J. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22760</td>
<td>Kennedy, David Crichton</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>DeWolfe, J. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Louttit, H. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22761</td>
<td>Aiken, Charles Duval, Jr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22762</td>
<td>Armstrong, Robert Hancock</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22763</td>
<td>Barnes, Thomas Copes</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22764</td>
<td>Branscomb, William Maurice, Jr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22765</td>
<td>Glaenzer, Charles Ferdinand</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

for California

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22767</td>
<td>Kirby, Harry Scott</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 20, 1963</td>
<td>Scalf, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22768</td>
<td>Peterson, William Martin</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22769</td>
<td>VanDevelder, Frank Radcliff</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Gibson, R. F.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Harte, J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22770</td>
<td>Kelly, Robert Lyle</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22771</td>
<td>Allis, Andrew Parker Bateman</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22772</td>
<td>Kittredge, Jeffrey Linus</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Higley, W. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Dallas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22784</td>
<td>Bowles, Frederick Augustus</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22785</td>
<td>Evans, Paul Fredric</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22786</td>
<td>Hendryx, James Demery</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22787</td>
<td>Masterman, Frederick James</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22788</td>
<td>Atwood, Theodore Oertel, Jr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22789</td>
<td>Carroll, Douglas James A.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Thomas, W. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22790</td>
<td>Coble, John Reifsnyder, Jr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22791</td>
<td>Croneberger, John Palmer</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22792</td>
<td>Davis, William Edwin</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22793</td>
<td>Hacker, John Thornley, Jr.</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Warnecke, F. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22794</td>
<td>Kerzhau, Thomas Martin</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22795</td>
<td>Adam, Jack Capers</td>
<td>June 15</td>
<td>Kinsolving, C. J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22796</td>
<td>Smith, Clinton Douglass Bannister</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22797</td>
<td>Lipscomb, Charles Lloyd, 3rd</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22798</td>
<td>Hitler, Frank Morris</td>
<td>June 16</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22799</td>
<td>Kingman, William Seely</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Barrett, G. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22800</td>
<td>Megginson, Marshall Elliot, Jr.</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22801</td>
<td>Hough, George Willard</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Heistand, J. T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22802</td>
<td>Byrd, Ralph Milledge, Jr.</td>
<td>June 17</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22803</td>
<td>Harrison, Joseph Carey</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22804</td>
<td>Raper, J. S.</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22805</td>
<td>Tachau, Charles Brandeis</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22806</td>
<td>Swink, Jesse Edwin</td>
<td>June 18</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22807</td>
<td>Smolen, Daniel Stanley</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Foote, N. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22808</td>
<td>Madden, Allan Robert</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Goddard, F. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22809</td>
<td>Sellers, Robert Clayton, Jr.</td>
<td>June 19</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22810</td>
<td>Graves, Leonard Roberts, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22811</td>
<td>McGuire, Victor Virgil, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22812</td>
<td>Shuffler, Ralph Henderson, 2nd.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Smith, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22813</td>
<td>Richardson, William Ramsey</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Noland, T. B.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22814</td>
<td>Gayle, William Gedge, Jr.</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22815</td>
<td>Johnston, Edward</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Anderson, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22816</td>
<td>Kerr, Richard Samuel</td>
<td>June 20</td>
<td>Sterling, C. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22817</td>
<td>Hatcher, John Harris, Jr.</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22818</td>
<td>Dahn, Jay Clarence</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22819</td>
<td>Porter, Ellis Nathaniel</td>
<td>June 21</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>825</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RECORD OF RECORDS**
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22822</td>
<td>Hiza, Douglas William</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Gunn, G. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22824</td>
<td>Afleck, Robert Archie</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22825</td>
<td>Buckwalter, Paul William</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22826</td>
<td>Hook, Edward Lindsten</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22827</td>
<td>Lindsey, Kenneth Lewin</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22828</td>
<td>Barrett, John Henry, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22829</td>
<td>Murphy, Charles Hurt, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22830</td>
<td>Horner, John Stuart</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Murray, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22831</td>
<td>Adkins, Robert Frederick, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Page, H. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22832</td>
<td>Collins, Walter Alexander</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22833</td>
<td>Ensor, Peter Crane</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22834</td>
<td>Freeman, Warren Gray</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22835</td>
<td>Gracey, Colin Beal</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22836</td>
<td>Hershebell, Jackson Paul</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22837</td>
<td>Hills, Thurburn Warner</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22838</td>
<td>Knox, Lincoln Mason</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22839</td>
<td>Clendenin, George Harmon</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22840</td>
<td>Munro, Daniel Mathison, Jr.</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22841</td>
<td>Medina, Felix</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22842</td>
<td>Millien, Wilner Franciol</td>
<td>June 22</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22843</td>
<td>Cogswell, Colby Adams</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22845</td>
<td>Pogue, Frederick Hixon</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22847</td>
<td>Clendenin, Charles Conrad</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22848</td>
<td>Bayne, Henry Godwin, Jr.</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22849</td>
<td>Bloom, Barry Moffett</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22850</td>
<td>Cleaveland, Fred Maurice</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22851</td>
<td>Pogue, Frederick Hixon</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22853</td>
<td>Peirce, Thomas Elliot</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22854</td>
<td>Roush, Kenneth Edward</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1963</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Delaware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Swift, A. E.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Haiti

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. F.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Western Massachusetts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Haiti

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Massachusetts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1963</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perpetual Deacon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1963</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perpetual Deacon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 23, 1963</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22855</td>
<td>Schofield, David Mercer</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22856</td>
<td>Smith, Don Leland</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22857</td>
<td>Tilden, George Bruce</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22858</td>
<td>Weiner, Ronald Dwayne</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Pike, J. A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22859</td>
<td>Benjamin, Roy Allen</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22860</td>
<td>Hancock, Allan Sibley</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22861</td>
<td>Woodward, Thomas Bullene</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22862</td>
<td>Chance, Jean Henry</td>
<td>June 23</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22863</td>
<td>Biggers, Jackson Cunningham</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Allin, J. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22864</td>
<td>Dubay, Joseph Arthur</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22865</td>
<td>Gibbon, Victor Emery</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22866</td>
<td>Likowski, James Boyd</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22867</td>
<td>Williams, Robert Edmond</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22868</td>
<td>Wallace, Gary Ray</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22869</td>
<td>Lewis, Albert Davidson, 3rd</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22870</td>
<td>Berlaux, Michael Paul</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22871</td>
<td>Correll, Walter Herbert Griffith</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22872</td>
<td>Cummings, Robert Joseph</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22873</td>
<td>White, James Tracy</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Marmion, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22874</td>
<td>Riddle, Charles Morton, 3rd</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Rose, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22875</td>
<td>Snow, William Josiah, 2nd</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>Temple, G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22876</td>
<td>Johnson, Robert Clyde, Jr.</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22877</td>
<td>Johnson, Robert Hodges</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22878</td>
<td>Kelley, Ralph Edgar</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22879</td>
<td>Totman, Ralph Edgar</td>
<td>June 24</td>
<td>West, E. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22880</td>
<td>Griffith, Charles Jefferson, 3rd</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22881</td>
<td>Andrus, Archie Leslie</td>
<td>June 25</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22882</td>
<td>Adams, Jack Dea, Jr.</td>
<td>July 25</td>
<td>Rose, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22883</td>
<td>Lancaster, Clarence Murray, Jr.</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Brown, R. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22884</td>
<td>Black, George Donald</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Rose, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22885</td>
<td>Hogg, Douglas Reynolds</td>
<td>June 27</td>
<td>Rose, D. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22886</td>
<td>Thomson, Ronald Reed</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Dieus, R. E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22887</td>
<td>Kirby, Rodman Pattree</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22888</td>
<td>Meadows, Thomas Andrew</td>
<td>June 28</td>
<td>Vander Horst, J.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22889</td>
<td>Dennis, William Rutherford, Jr.</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22890</td>
<td>Frazier, Donald Wallace</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22891</td>
<td>Hampshire, George Jay</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22892</td>
<td>Holt, Sidney Seymour</td>
<td>June 29</td>
<td>Baker, R. H.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 12, 1963</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Turner, E. C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22893</td>
<td>Stone, John Curtis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22894</td>
<td>Thomas, Peter Glyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22895</td>
<td>Trively, Timothy Churchill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22896</td>
<td>Weggan, Harry Arthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22897</td>
<td>Nolan, Richard Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22898</td>
<td>Bonting, Siperd Lieuwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22899</td>
<td>Carpenter, George Garrett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22900</td>
<td>Duckworth, Edwin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22901</td>
<td>Gill, Charles Henry, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22902</td>
<td>Herzog, Robert Duncan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22903</td>
<td>Krickbaum, Donald William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22904</td>
<td>Baum, Harold Carleton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22905</td>
<td>Brook, Robert Charles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22906</td>
<td>Crandell, Herbert Charles, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22907</td>
<td>Goodrich, Daniel Hillman, 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22908</td>
<td>Sorensen, Robert Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22909</td>
<td>Tomsett, Frank William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22910</td>
<td>Trippensee, James Arthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22911</td>
<td>Wollard, Robert Foster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22912</td>
<td>Gowling, Michael LeVern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22913</td>
<td>Goos, David Edward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22914</td>
<td>Lewis, Richard Howard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22915</td>
<td>McMahon, Charles Windle, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22916</td>
<td>Menzel, Donald Wilder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22917</td>
<td>Canfield, Joseph Daryl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22918</td>
<td>Brumbaugh, George William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22919</td>
<td>Ellingson, Walter Cole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22920</td>
<td>Gilbertson, Gary Raymond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22921</td>
<td>Kohout, Frank Mildare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22922</td>
<td>Parrish, David LeRoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22923</td>
<td>Sanderson, Joseph Wesley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22924</td>
<td>Simmons, Kenneth William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22925</td>
<td>Zosel, James Raymond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22926</td>
<td>Blow, John Wright.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22927</td>
<td>Harewell, John Hardaway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22928</td>
<td>Bullock, Arian Richard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22929</td>
<td>Carroll, Daniel Wade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22930</td>
<td>Williams, Frederick Boyd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22931</td>
<td>Kolb, Quentin France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22932</td>
<td>Brown, Milton Fleming, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22933</td>
<td>Purham, Alfred Philip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22934</td>
<td>Hulick, Clement Updike</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22935</td>
<td>Jordan, Richard Alan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22936</td>
<td>Kennedy, David Kittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22937</td>
<td>Kennedy, Paul Sherbourne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22938</td>
<td>McArthur, Earl Nicholas, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22939</td>
<td>Hugood, Monroe Johnson, 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22940</td>
<td>Mears, Paul Kramer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22941</td>
<td>Peck, Jordan Brown, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22942</td>
<td>Barber, Philip Ernest, 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22943</td>
<td>Taber, Kenneth William, 2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22944</td>
<td>Carter, Charles Alexander, 3rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22945</td>
<td>Remington, David Stansbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22946</td>
<td>ZumBrunnen, Richard Irvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22947</td>
<td>Gross, Donald William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22948</td>
<td>Engelcke, John Paul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22949</td>
<td>Glenn, Charles Leslie, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22950</td>
<td>Nickle, Dyson Venn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22951</td>
<td>Looney, Richard James</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22952</td>
<td>Kley, Robert William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22953</td>
<td>Lane, William Benjamin, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22954</td>
<td>Fowler, Thomas Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22955</td>
<td>Grant, John Alexander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22956</td>
<td>Booth, Jonathan Loveall, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22957</td>
<td>Hohenfeldt, Robert John</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22959</td>
<td>Albanese, Nicholas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22960</td>
<td>Kimble, John Raymond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22961</td>
<td>Garcia, Teodosio R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22962</td>
<td>Mason, Gerald William</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22963</td>
<td>Baker, Thomas Wilbur, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22964</td>
<td>Kroegstad, William Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22965</td>
<td>Mills, Frederick Raymond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Ordinant Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22966</td>
<td>Avery, Richard Norman</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22967</td>
<td>Bartholome, Watson Thomas, Jr.</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22968</td>
<td>Cox, Walter Stowman</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22969</td>
<td>Davis, Albin P., Jr.</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22970</td>
<td>Grosso, James Bassett</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22971</td>
<td>Kline, Harold Emmett</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22972</td>
<td>Minter, Russell Deane</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22973</td>
<td>Moore, Robert Lee</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22974</td>
<td>Searcy, Charles Wesley</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22975</td>
<td>Stewart, Atwell</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22976</td>
<td>Waldron, Granville Arthur</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22977</td>
<td>Weidemann, Charles George</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22978</td>
<td>Williams, Douglas Elliott</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22979</td>
<td>Ducet, Luis</td>
<td>Sept. 7</td>
<td>Richards, D. E.</td>
<td>Received from Roman Church Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22980</td>
<td>Ballentine, Edgar S., 3rd</td>
<td>Sept. 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22981</td>
<td>Danforth, John Claggett</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22982</td>
<td>Davis, Radford Roger</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22983</td>
<td>Jewell, Earle Barton</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22984</td>
<td>Stockton, Charles Wendell</td>
<td>Sept. 15</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22985</td>
<td>Eastwood, John Milton</td>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22986</td>
<td>Meixner, Douglas Elmer Joseph</td>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22987</td>
<td>Seelig, Julio Pedro</td>
<td>Sept. 18</td>
<td>Krischke, E. M.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22988</td>
<td>Darden, John Webster</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>McCrea, T. H.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22989</td>
<td>Kershaw, George Clayton, 2nd</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>McCrea, T. H.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22990</td>
<td>Perrin, Gregory FitzClarence</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>McCrea, T. H.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22991</td>
<td>Smart, Elton Dennis</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>McCrea, T. H.</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22992</td>
<td>Thompson, Fred Arnold</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>McCrea, T. H.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22993</td>
<td>Neustrom, Willy Edward</td>
<td>Sept. 21</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22994</td>
<td>DeSaix, Pierre Francis</td>
<td>Sept. 27</td>
<td>Rauscher, R. T.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22995</td>
<td>Martin, William James</td>
<td>Sept. 28</td>
<td>Mosley, J. B.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-22996</td>
<td>Altman, Frederick</td>
<td>Sept. 29</td>
<td>Richards, D. E.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22997</td>
<td>Whitner, Benjamin Franklin</td>
<td>Oct. 1</td>
<td>Louttit, H. I.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

## DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22998</td>
<td>Smith, Prim Bayard, Jr.</td>
<td>Oct. 18</td>
<td>Millard, G. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22999</td>
<td>Hansen, Frede Bjarneson</td>
<td>Oct. 20</td>
<td>Butterfield, H. D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23000</td>
<td>Browne, Robert Theron</td>
<td>Oct. 26</td>
<td>Stark, L. W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23001</td>
<td>Henry, Thomas Joseph</td>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td>Higgins, J. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23002</td>
<td>Gregg, Robert Clark</td>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td>Kellogg, H. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23003</td>
<td>Baker, Robert Orr</td>
<td>Oct. 28</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23004</td>
<td>Hudson, John H.</td>
<td>Nov. 2</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23005</td>
<td>Achuff, Delbert Leroy, Jr.</td>
<td>Nov. 10</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23006</td>
<td>Sugeno, Frank Eiji</td>
<td>Nov. 10</td>
<td>Wright, T. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23007</td>
<td>Potter, Marlon Stanley</td>
<td>Nov. 10</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23008</td>
<td>Clark, Richard Tilton</td>
<td>Nov. 13</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23009</td>
<td>Steeg, John Edwin, Jr.</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Creighton, W. F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23010</td>
<td>Powell, Jack Buckner, Sr.</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Moody, W. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23011</td>
<td>Wilson, Henry Haddad</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23012</td>
<td>Naegle, John Aloysius, 3rd</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23013</td>
<td>Doud, Edward</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>For New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23014</td>
<td>Halter, Karl</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23015</td>
<td>Virtue, Richard Linn Cowan</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Craine, J. P.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23016</td>
<td>Gibson, Ronald Antony</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23017</td>
<td>Greenall, Carroll Dean</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Welles, E. R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23018</td>
<td>Pendleton, Dudley Diggles</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23019</td>
<td>Thomas, John Downey, Sr.</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23020</td>
<td>Vanaman, J. Wesley</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Genser, C. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23021</td>
<td>Selwyn, George Leo</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Gray, W. H.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23022</td>
<td>Cooper, George Leslie</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Horstick, W. W.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23023</td>
<td>Moody, Arthur Maurice Greene</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23024</td>
<td>Powell, Robert Chester Spencer</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Maguire, R. K.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23025</td>
<td>Thompson, Kenneth David</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Bishop of Montreal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23025</td>
<td>Thompson, Kenneth David</td>
<td>Dec. 1</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RECORD OF ORDINATIONS

### DIACONATE 1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23026</td>
<td>Cunningham, Bartholomew Joseph</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S., Received from Roman Church</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23027</td>
<td>LeBaron, Earle Robert</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23028</td>
<td>Meagher, Frederic W.</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Pike, J. A</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23029</td>
<td>Plaisted, Frank Gould</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Pike, J. A</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23030</td>
<td>Pohl, Glyn William</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Pike, J. A</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23031</td>
<td>Wilson, Robert Strong</td>
<td>Dec. 21</td>
<td>Pike, J. A</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23032</td>
<td>Holland, John Stewart</td>
<td>Dec. 22</td>
<td>Wright, W. G.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23033</td>
<td>Scovanner, Daniel Elery</td>
<td>Dec. 27</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIESTHOOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ordinant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnis, J. S., Received from Roman Church</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Canon 36</td>
<td>Perpetual Deacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575</td>
<td>Temple, Gray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>576</td>
<td>Butterfield, Harvey Dean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>577</td>
<td>Rauscher, Russell Theodore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>578</td>
<td>Gilson, Charles Packer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>579</td>
<td>González-Agüero, Romualdo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>Brown, Dillard Houston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581</td>
<td>Allin, John Maury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>582</td>
<td>Hutchens, Joseph Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583</td>
<td>Duncan, James Loughlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584</td>
<td>Hargrave, William Loftin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>585</td>
<td>MacLean, Charles Waldo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>586</td>
<td>Sanders, William Evan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>Montgomery, James Winchester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>588</td>
<td>Chambers, Albert Arthur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>589</td>
<td>McCrea, Theodore Harper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>590</td>
<td>Burgess, John Melville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>591</td>
<td>LeGend, Edward Caudan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>Persell, Charles Bowen, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>Mills, Cedric Earl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>594</td>
<td>Barrett, George West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>Putnam, Frederick Warren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>596</td>
<td>Klein, Walter Conrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>597</td>
<td>Pinckney, John Adams</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEACONESES ADMITTED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hunting, Doris Louise</td>
<td>Burgess, J. M.</td>
<td>Jan. 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGee, Wenonah Louise</td>
<td>Crowley, A. H.</td>
<td>July 2, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zielinski, Frances Gertrude</td>
<td>Emrich, R. S. M.</td>
<td>Oct. 13, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RECEPTIONS

**FROM OTHER CHURCHES OF, OR IN COMMUNION WITH, THE ANGLICAN COMMUNION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andersen, Francis Ian</td>
<td>January 20, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bockus, Ian Lawrence</td>
<td>June 30, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch, Lorraine</td>
<td>November 2, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bussell, Francis K.</td>
<td>September 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig, Robert Norman Sydney</td>
<td>April 2, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docksey, Kingsley George</td>
<td>November 29, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D'Onofrio, Angelo Richard</td>
<td>May 6, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmondson, Herbert Da Costa</td>
<td>September 24, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gale, Charles Russel</td>
<td>January 25, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gale, Charles Fenton</td>
<td>September 3, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffith, Gordon David</td>
<td>October 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill, William Henderson</td>
<td>September 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollas, George Basil</td>
<td>May 6, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooton, James Thomas</td>
<td>December 3, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howells, John Morgan</td>
<td>May 29, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving, Stanley Herbert</td>
<td>March 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins, Leslie Bertram</td>
<td>May 4, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Griff Llewellyn</td>
<td>May 9, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay, Harold Arthur</td>
<td>September 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelley, Brian Scott</td>
<td>May 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemp, Eric Nelson</td>
<td>October 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford, Jack Warren</td>
<td>October 31, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low, Raymond A.</td>
<td>June 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDonald, Gilbert John</td>
<td>February 17, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuo, Andre Tsuneko</td>
<td>September 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIveen, William Wright</td>
<td>May 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphy, Alexander Kenneth</td>
<td>October 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norris, Gerald L.</td>
<td>September 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norton, William Fullerton</td>
<td>October 29, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell, David Albert</td>
<td>December 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risard, Martin Hector, Jr.</td>
<td>April 27, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scantlebury, Cecil Alvin</td>
<td>September 25, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoon, Robert</td>
<td>September 30, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd, Robert Theodore</td>
<td>November 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, John Edward</td>
<td>December 5, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Rupert Franklyn</td>
<td>January 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, John Burton</td>
<td>September 30, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viton, Anthony Charles</td>
<td>January 13, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waddy, Lawrence Heber</td>
<td>September 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb, Harris Charles Joel</td>
<td>April 22, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilkinson, Arthur Frederick</td>
<td>November 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, William Charles</td>
<td>December 16, 1957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TRANSFERS

**To Other Churches of the Anglican Communio**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ball, John Leslie</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Jan. 31, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowyer, Edwin Cyril</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>July 5, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, Colin A.</td>
<td>21688</td>
<td>Apr. 3, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleator, Kenneth Irving</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Oct. 15, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davies, Ernest</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Der, Edmund</td>
<td>21800</td>
<td>June 12, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forster, Harold Theodore Gibson</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goode, Bernard Claud</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray, Earl George</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>July 6, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffiths, Robert Stephen</td>
<td>22610</td>
<td>Nov. 23, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen, Harry W.</td>
<td>17983</td>
<td>Mar. 26, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howden, Herbert Reginald</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>May 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving, Stanley Herbert</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Oct. 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kemp, Eric Nelson</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>July 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitson-Walters, Edward V.</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Nov. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunkle, Howard Rinker</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Oct. 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallory, Charles Shannon</td>
<td>22030</td>
<td>Nov. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills, John McQueen</td>
<td>17958</td>
<td>Mar. 13, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okeke, Lazarus Chike</td>
<td>X-19435-A</td>
<td>June 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pratt, Christopher Wilmot Flint</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Oct. 18, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robson, John Wingate</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Aug. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sendall, George E.</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakman, Charles Francis</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Nov. 13, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan, Timothy Eugene John</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>May 4, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veal, Eric William</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright, James Charles</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Dec. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUSPENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrenger, Albert Newman</td>
<td>17162</td>
<td>Jan. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chekpa, Paul Joseph</td>
<td>13907</td>
<td>June 25, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copeland, Randolph Scott</td>
<td>19002</td>
<td>Oct. 11, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dingie, John Hausmann</td>
<td>19509</td>
<td>Nov. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds, Kenneth Arden Bentley</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Nov. 7, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hubbard, Robert Neal</td>
<td>20845</td>
<td>Oct. 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, Donald Paul</td>
<td>19963</td>
<td>Oct. 11, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingayc, Thomas Binguan</td>
<td>X-21247-A</td>
<td>May 1, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loane, William Paul Coppringer</td>
<td>13516</td>
<td>Feb. 28, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macombe, Jacques Anthony David</td>
<td>X-15657-A</td>
<td>Sept. 15, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordquist, Conrad Adolf, Jr.</td>
<td>21007</td>
<td>Sept. 1, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range, Carl Ernest</td>
<td>13762</td>
<td>Sept. 11, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddick, James Allen</td>
<td>19212</td>
<td>Sept. 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholl, Churence George</td>
<td>19527</td>
<td>Sept. 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shisler, Donald George</td>
<td>22016</td>
<td>Dec. 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinclair, John Newton</td>
<td>16777</td>
<td>Oct. 10, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Harvey DeWitt</td>
<td>16007</td>
<td>May 7, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Willard Grafton, Jr.</td>
<td>18555</td>
<td>Jan. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wylie, Palmer Wood</td>
<td>21060</td>
<td>Mar. 30, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabala, Artemio Masweng</td>
<td>22627</td>
<td>Nov. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TERMINATIONS OF PREVIOUSLY REPORTED SUSPENSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greene, Robert Barringer</td>
<td>17258</td>
<td>Gordon, W. J.</td>
<td>Sept. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeanty, Philippe Pierre</td>
<td>X-16789-B</td>
<td>Voegeli, C. A.</td>
<td>Apr. 1, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingayo, Thomas Binguan</td>
<td>X-21247</td>
<td>Ogilby, L. C.</td>
<td>May 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Willard Grafton, Jr.</td>
<td>18555</td>
<td>Dolt, H. L.</td>
<td>Dec. 31, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESTORATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartrop, Frederic Fox</td>
<td>13839</td>
<td>Stokes, A. P.</td>
<td>Sept. 11, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso (ICO), Paul Kyoshi</td>
<td>X-13921-A</td>
<td>Sherrill, H. W. B.</td>
<td>May 27, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, Percy David</td>
<td>16324</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
<td>May 28, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy, John Joseph</td>
<td>17143</td>
<td>Brown, A. W.</td>
<td>Jan. 25, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DEPOSITIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>By Bishop</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acker, Richard Colburn</td>
<td>17626</td>
<td>Loring, O. L.</td>
<td>Mar. 12, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baublitz, Earl Raymond</td>
<td>20313</td>
<td>Hart, O. J.</td>
<td>Apr. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burrows, Victor Sylvester</td>
<td>17072</td>
<td>Campbell, W. C.</td>
<td>Nov. 19, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burton, Robert</td>
<td>18885</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
<td>July 20, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canfield, Michael Ellison</td>
<td>20192</td>
<td>Gesner, C. H.</td>
<td>Nov. 29, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cespedes, Samuel</td>
<td>X-12941-D</td>
<td>Saucedo, J. G.</td>
<td>May 21, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapin, Arnold Bailey</td>
<td>20597</td>
<td>Powell, N. C.</td>
<td>May 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen, John Peter</td>
<td>16940</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Oct. 24, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clements, James Parker</td>
<td>14979</td>
<td>Lichtenberger, A. C.</td>
<td>Jan. 22, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalfollo, Paulo</td>
<td>X-17331-A</td>
<td>Sherrill, E. K.</td>
<td>Aug. 27, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher, Robert Hypee</td>
<td>21477</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>July 11, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franz, Clarence William</td>
<td>18704</td>
<td>Walters, S. F. D.</td>
<td>May 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frost, Albert Harvey</td>
<td>13819</td>
<td>Henry, M. G.</td>
<td>May 27, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greer, Yates Calvert</td>
<td>17670</td>
<td>Loutit, H. I.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall, Laurence Edward</td>
<td>19795</td>
<td>Jones, G. M.</td>
<td>Mar. 8, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hane, Howard Spencer George, Jr.</td>
<td>17957</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Feb. 16, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris, Shelbert Cohn, Jr.</td>
<td>16981</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
<td>Oct. 10, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgon, Robert Everett</td>
<td>21126</td>
<td>Bennison, C. E.</td>
<td>Dec. 12, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King, Donald Paul</td>
<td>19965</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Apr. 19, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kline, John Ray</td>
<td>22088</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>Sept. 29, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuehler, Romaine</td>
<td>17559</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>Oct. 18, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerret, James Crandall</td>
<td>20044</td>
<td>Cadigan, G. L.</td>
<td>Jan. 8, 1936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>By Bishop</td>
<td>Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichliter, James M.</td>
<td>14574</td>
<td>Burroughs, N. M.</td>
<td>Dec. 29, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linley, Herbert Lalin</td>
<td>16233</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Nov. 7, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low, Allan Wesley</td>
<td>18961</td>
<td>Powell N. C.</td>
<td>May 26, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madden, Thomas James</td>
<td>21665</td>
<td>Miller A. J.</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mather, Judson Irving, Jr.</td>
<td>20177</td>
<td>Page H. R.</td>
<td>Dec. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peeples, Robert Epting-Howard</td>
<td>17087</td>
<td>Stuart, A. R.</td>
<td>June 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepper, Philip Eugene</td>
<td>18609</td>
<td>Scaife, L. L.</td>
<td>Sept. 14, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ports, Stanley Booth</td>
<td>19782</td>
<td>Carman, J. W. F.</td>
<td>June 21, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reddenbaugh, Robert Morgan</td>
<td>13405</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Oct. 24, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richardson, Ralph Wesley, Jr.</td>
<td>19840</td>
<td>Claiborne, R. R.</td>
<td>Apr. 1, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson, Vernon Wolfe</td>
<td>18360</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
<td>Sept. 7, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudolph, Arthur William</td>
<td>19869</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>Mar. 27, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rush, Charles Raymond, Jr.</td>
<td>21486</td>
<td>Haden, C. R.</td>
<td>June 7, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryland, Raymond Olin</td>
<td>17439</td>
<td>Chilton, C.</td>
<td>June 4, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholl, Clarence George</td>
<td>19527</td>
<td>Mason, C. A.</td>
<td>Mar. 10, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schrack, Robert Elliott</td>
<td>19293</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Oct. 11, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schreiner, Charles Frederick</td>
<td>17482</td>
<td>Burrell, G. F.</td>
<td>Oct. 13, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexton, George Ferdinand</td>
<td>20879</td>
<td>Hallock, D. H. V.</td>
<td>May 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson-Atmore, John</td>
<td>18808</td>
<td>Smith, G. V.</td>
<td>June 28, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slater, Edward Wickham</td>
<td>19638</td>
<td>Lewis, W. F.</td>
<td>Nov. 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, Robert William</td>
<td>19444</td>
<td>Marmion, C. G.</td>
<td>June 6, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somowski, Frederick Skinner</td>
<td>19726</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
<td>Jan. 7, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stefflin, Georges Henri</td>
<td>16469</td>
<td>Bloy, F. E. I.</td>
<td>June 19, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevenson, John Elsworth</td>
<td>18896</td>
<td>Mallett, R.</td>
<td>Oct. 11, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton, Charles Jacob Havling</td>
<td>20233</td>
<td>Pardue, A.</td>
<td>Oct. 21, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tait, Arthur Lonsdale</td>
<td>20455</td>
<td>Hubbard, R. S.</td>
<td>Aug. 3, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot, John Stiles</td>
<td>14182 a</td>
<td>Hatch, R. McC.</td>
<td>Feb. 10, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talbot, John Stiles</td>
<td>16826</td>
<td>Banyard, A. L.</td>
<td>Sept. 8, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tavener, John Wateroo</td>
<td>21086</td>
<td>Blanchard, R. W.</td>
<td>Nov. 7, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells, Melvin Frederick</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Hines, J. E.</td>
<td>Jan. 29, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, Edwin Ellison</td>
<td>Rec'd</td>
<td>Powell, C.</td>
<td>Aug. 31, 1962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, Jerome Lane</td>
<td>18937</td>
<td>Minnis, J. S.</td>
<td>July 24, 1963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, Charles Jewell</td>
<td>18695</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb. 18, 1963</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INDEX

N. B.—All serial numbers prior to 21668 are ordinations to the Priesthood; others are to the Diaconate. An X before a number indicates an ordination in a foreign jurisdiction.
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Abad, A. G., X-21246
Abbott, C. F., 22660
Abbott, R., 22773
Abele, G. F., Jr., 21324
Abernetty, T. J., Jr., 22311
Achuff, H. L., Jr., 23005
Acker, R. C., Dep
Adams, J. C., 22795
Adams, J., 22033
Adams, J. D., Jr., 22882
Adams, M. W. W., 21690
Adams, R. O., 21530
Addington, J. D., 22144
Adkins, R. F., Jr., 22831
Affleck, R. A., 22823
Ahlemann, R. O., 22633
Aiken, C. DuV., Jr., 22761
Aiona, D. L., 22622
Air, H. N., Jr., 22164
Akers, J., 3rd, 22248
Albanese, N., 22955
Albrecht, F. J., 22922
Albrecht, J. H., 20945
Allardvce, D. B., 22348
Allen, P. M., Dep
Allen, J. D., 21863
ALLIN, J. M., 581
Alls, A. B., 22771
Alt, F. F., X-22996
Aman, H. L., 22634
Andersen, F. L., Rec
Anderson, J. D., 22707
Anderson, J. D., 21939
Anderson, J. F., 21797
Anderson, J. O., 22816
Anderson, R. J., 21846
Anderson, R. M., 21825
Anderson, W. M., 3rd, 21467
Andrews, R. F., 22774
Andrus, A. L., 22851
Anson, E. C., X-21619
Anthony, T. M., Rec
Ardis, N. D., 20970
Armstrong, G. Mc.C., 21666
Armstrong, R. H., 21762
Arthur, J. H., 22531
Adele, R. D., 21531
Atwater, W. E., Jr., 21910
Atwood, T. O., Jr., 22788
Avery, R. N., 22966
Baar, D. J., 22422
Babb, R. J., 22335
Babcock, R. J., 2034
Babigian, R. H., 22354
Babin, D. E., 21556
Bach, E. C., Dep
Bacon, W. A., Jr., 22156
Badecker, H. G. H., 22120
Bailey, B. E., 28001
Bain, R. W. M., 22374
Baird, J. S., 22754
Baird, W. R., Jr., 21979
Baker, H. R., Jr., 22581
Baker, P. E., Jr., Tr
Baker, R. H., Jr., 21405
Baker, R. O., 22003
Baker, T. W., Jr., 22963
Baker, W. A., Jr., 21999
Ball, D. A., 21762
Ball, J. L., Tr
Ballett, E. S., 3rd, 22980
Balleg, J. F., Jr., 22823
Bane, J. D., 22362
Bangao, J. N., 2nd, X-21932
Banninga, H. E., 21749
Barber, P. E., 3rd, 22942
Barchay, H. W., 21767
Barkev, C. D., 2nd, 21807
Barnes, R. D., 21561
Barnes, T. C., 22763
Barnwell, S. B., 22027
Barr, S. F., 3rd, 22744
Barren, A. N., Susp
BARRETT, G. W., 594
Barrett, J. H., Jr., 22827
Barrett, W. S., 21742
Barron, R. E., 21625
Bartholomew, W. T., Jr., 22967
Bartholomew, C. E., 3rd, 22013
Bartlett, H., 22491
Bartlett, W. C., 21826
Bartrop, F. F., Rest
Bass, R. B., 21579
Baukblitz, E. R., Dep
Bauer, T. W., 22035
Baum, D. B., 22739
Baum, H. C., 22904
Baxter, E. L., Dep
Bayang, M. E., X-21730
Bayne, H. G., Jr., 22848
Beadle, R. H., 19059
Bean, J. C., 2nd, 22090
Bear历史新Eng, X-22418
Beaumount, R. D., 21264
Beck, T. F., 22679
Becton, M. R., Jr., 21628
Bel, E. F., 21936
Bell, D. L., 21498
Bell, K. E., 21926
Belleville, R. C., 3rd, 22607
Benjamin, R. J., 21984
Benjamin, R. A., 22859
Bennett, F. P., Jr., 22002
Bercaw, D. M., 21911
Berlin, J. B., 21510
Bertaiaux, M. F., 22870
Bevins, E. M., 21211
Bigelow, E. L., 21577
Bigelow, T. W., 22846
Bigger, P. W., 21877
Biggers, J. C., 22863
Biggs, J. W., 21257
Bigger, J. S., 22756
Bill, O. O., 19080
Billerbeck, L. J., 20191
Bills, J. V., 22728
Binkley, B. F., 21575
Bircher, V. M., 22748
Bird, S. B., Jr., 21679
Bishop, J. R., 22533
Black, G. D., 22884
Black, J. F., Jr., 22656
Black, W. G., 22092
Blackburn, E. H., 22312
Blackmore, E. L., 22501
Blain, J. H., 21572
Blair, C. W., Jr., 21782
Blair, H. M., 19536
Blake, M. H., 22068
Blasco, R. A., X-22570
Blavie, D. C., Jr., 21738
Bledsoe, C., 21805
Blewett, J. B., 22469
Block, S. L., 22060
Blood, W. W., 22264
Bloom, M. B., 22849
Blow, J. W., 22926
Blumenstein, T. L., 21539
Bockus, J. L., Rec
Bohanan, T. M., 18741
Bohne, F. G., 21374
Bollman, R. P., 22532
Bond, J. W., 22223
Bond, L. W., 22119
Bond, T. D., 22042
Borting, S. L., 22098
Booth, J. Jr., 21956
Borh, A. L., 22043
Bosch, L., Rec
Botehrigan, B. X-22193
Bougie, L. L., Jr., 21649
Boulton, D. W., 22349
Bower, J. A., 22775
Bower, R. E., Jr., 22845
Bowie, J. H., 21985
Bowles, F. A., 22784
Bowman, R. W., 22069
Bowyer, E. C., Tr
Boynton, C. F., 21768
Bradly, E. F., Jr., 21940
Bragg, W. R., 21666
Branscomb, W. M., Jr., 22764
Breeden, J. P., Jr., 21940
Breisch, J. W., 22405
Bretcher, R. G., 22708
Brettman, W. S., 22729
Breutzer, J. G., 22224
Breutzer, W., Jr., 21566
Brower, G. C., 22698
Brown, B. H., Jr., 22755
Brown, C. H., 22081
BROWN, D. H., 580
Brown, J. MacD., 22587
Brown, J. T., Jr., 21507
Brown, R. B., 21531
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Wilson, W. G., Jr., Susp
Wilson, W. G., Jr., Term
Wing, A. K., 3rd, 21794
Winslett, H., Jr., 21868
Winslow, W. R., Jr., 22683
Winsor, B. T., 22386
Winter, W. A., 22468
Winterrowd, W. P., 22782
With, A. F., 20332
With, D. F., 22599
Witterington, H. J., 21710
Witter, H. C., 22583
Woelkel, J. J., 22361
Woggon, A. A., 22896
Wolf, C. R., 22231
Wolf, D. L., 22000
Wolf, J. E., 21641
Wolff, J. E., Jr., 22177
Wollard, R. F., 22911
Wolter, J. M., 21748
Wood, C. J., Dep
Wood, F. C., Jr., 21386
Wood, F. V., Ret
Woodard, G. H., Jr., 21721
Wooden, L., 21618
Woodruff, F. P., 2nd, 21902
Woodruff, J. E. P., 21519
Woodward, T. B., 22861
Woodward, V. P., 21560
Woodley, S. M., Jr., 22617
Worthey, R. C., 21387
Wright, A. McEl., 21525
Wright, C. B., 22028
Wright, J. C., Jr.
Wright, J. R., 22697
Wright, R. A., 22123
Wyde, P. W., Susp
Wyde, P. W., Term

Vaeger, E. F., 20841
Yen, H. C., 21272
Yezman, E. B., 3rd, 22131
Yoshida, T. K., 22247
Young, A. LeR., Jr., 21639
Young, B. A., 22404
Young, E. S., 22276
Younger, J. R., 18680

Zabala, A. M., X-22627
Zabala, A. M., Susp
Zabriskie, S. C., 22706
Zadig, A. T. K., 21700
Zeferahn, W. C., 21909
Zielinski, F. G., Dss
Zimmers, P. H., 22347
Zosel, J. R., 22925
zumBrunnen, R. I., 22946
During my incumbency as Registrar of the General Convention, in the period between July 20, 1961, and October 2, 1964, thirty Bishops have been consecrated. Letters of Consecration have been signed and sealed in duplicate on each occasion by the Bishops consecrating, one copy having been given to the newly consecrated Bishop, and one, duly attested, having been filed in the Archives of the General Convention.

In each case, when the Registrar could not be present at a Consecration, he appointed a Deputy Registrar to act for him. These appointments were as follows:

The Rev. Edward H. Harrison, at the consecration of the Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi; the Rev. Forrest H. O. Bowman at the consecration of the Bishop of Springfield; the Rev. Conrad Myrick at the consecration of the Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines (Bishop Loñgid); the Rev. T. Chester Baxter at the consecration of the Bishop of Rochester; and the Rev. Robert L. Bonhall at the consecration of the Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.

The Registrar was present at the consecration of the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu, the Bishop of Cuba, the Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia, the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Hutchens), the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Duncan), the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Hargrave), the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop MacLean), the Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee, the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, the Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess), the Suffragan Bishop of Albany, the Bishop of the Virgin Islands, the Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, the Bishop of Northern Indiana, the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, the Suffragan Bishop of Washington, the Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Romero), the Suffragan Bishop of Mexico (Bishop Melchor Saucedo), the Suffragan Bishop of Newark, the Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York, the Bishop of Colombia, the Suffragan Bishop of Texas (Bishop Bailey), the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop Myers), and the Bishop of Northern Michigan.

All Journals received from the Secretaries of Dioceses and Missionary Districts have been placed in the hands of the Custodian.
of the Archives of the General Convention and have been duly filed in the Archives, together with the original Minutes of the General Convention, and the papers, documents, and reports relating to such Minutes.

The office expenses during this period have amounted to $3,490.39, which has covered all travel, office expenses, engraving of Certificates, postage, office supplies, and stenographic and mimeographic work.

Respectfully submitted,
ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Registrar of the General Convention

October 2, 1964

DLXXVIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
CHARLES PACKARD GILSON
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF HONOLULU

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Harry Sherbourne Kennedy, D.D., Bishop of Honolulu; William Payne Roberts, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Twenty-eighth Day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in St. Paul's Cathedral, Detroit, Michigan in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Canon Charles Packard Gilson of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Detroit and State of Michigan on the day and in the year above written.
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Hugo Alexander Blankingship, D.D., Bishop; John Boyd Bentley, D.D., Vice-President of the National Council; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Nineteenth Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in The Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, Washington, D.C. in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Romualdo Gonzalez-Agüeros of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Cuba.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Washington and District of Columbia on the day and in the year above written.
To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Angus Dun, D.D., Bishop of Washington; William Forman Creighton, D.D., Bishop Coadjutor of Washington; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the Nineteenth Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in The Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, Washington, D. C. in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ, the Reverend Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia.
Given under our hands and seals in the City of Washington and District of Columbia on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
ANGUS DUN, Bishop of Washington (SEAL)
WILLIAM F. CREIGHTON, Bishop Coadjutor of Washington (SEAL)
ALEXANDER HUGO BLANKINGSHIP, Bishop (SEAL)
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council (SEAL)
NOBLE C. POWELL, Bishop of Maryland (SEAL)
P AUL A. KELLOGG, Bishop of the Dominican Republic (SEAL)
HARRY L. DOLL, Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland (SEAL)
ALLEN BROWN, Bishop of Albany (SEAL)
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop of Virginia (SEAL)
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia (SEAL)
F. W. LICKFIELD, Bishop of Quincy (SEAL)
J. BROOKE MOSLEY, Bishop of Delaware (SEAL)
BRADIT WASHINGTON HARRIS, Bishop of Liberia (SEAL)
ISABELO DE LOS REYES, Supreme Bishop, Philippine Ind. Ch. (SEAL)
BENJAMIN C. LEANO, Bishop, Philippine Ind. Church

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXI—THE RIGHT REVEREND JOHN MAURY ALLIN
BISHOP COADJUTOR OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Duncan Montgomery Gray, D.D., Bishop of Mississippi; Girault McArthur Jones, D.D., Bishop of Louisiana; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Simon and St. Jude, being the Twenty-eighth Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in St. James Church, Jackson, Mississippi in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend John Maury Allin of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office...
of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of
God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Jackson and
State of Mississippi on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
GIRAULT JONES, Bishop of Louisiana
DUNCAN M. GRAY, Bishop of Mississippi
HAMILTON WEST, Bishop of Florida
IVESON B. NOLAND, Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas
HENRY I. LOUTTIT, Bishop of South Florida
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia
J. JOSEPH MEAKIN HARTE, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
R. EARL DICUS, Suffragan Bishop of West Texas
GEORGE M. MURRAY, Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama

Attest: EDWARD H. HARRISON, Deputy Registrar.

DLXXXII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
JOSEPH WARREN HUTCHENS
Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Walter Henry
Gray, D.D., Bishop of Connecticut; Oliver Leland Loring, D.D.,
Bishop of Maine; John Henry Esquirol, D.D., Suffragan Bishop
of Connecticut; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops,
whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of
Almighty God, did on Tuesday, being the Fourteenth Day of
November, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred
and sixty-one, in Christ Church Cathedral, Hartford, Connecticut
in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and
according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Epis­
copal Church in the United States of America and in conformity
with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved
in Christ the Reverend Joseph Warren Hutchens of whose suf­
ciency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of
manners we were fully ascertained into the Sacred office of a
Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Hartford and State of Connecticut on the day and in the year above written.

WALTER HENRY GRAY, Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
OLIVER L. LORING, Bishop of Maine (SEAL)
JOHN HENRY ESQUIROL, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
JOHN SEVILLE HIGGINS, Bishop of Rhode Island (SEAL)
CHARLES F. HALL, Bishop of New Hampshire (SEAL)
W. APPLETON LAWRENCE, Bishop (SEAL)
FRANK W. STERRETT, Bishop (SEAL)
ROBERT M. HATCH, Bishop of Western Massachusetts (SEAL)
FREDERIC C. LAWRENCE, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (SEAL)
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana (SEAL)
DONALD MACADIE, Suffragan Bishop of Newark (SEAL)
J. STUART WETMORE, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
HARVEY D. BUTTERFIELD, Bishop of Vermont (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND JAMES LOUGHLIN DUNCAN
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF SOUTH FLORIDA
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Henry Irving Louttit, D.D., Bishop of South Florida; John Vander Horst, D.D., Bishop of Tennessee; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on an Ember Day, being the Twentieth Day of December, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in St. Peter's Church, St. Petersburg, Florida in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend James Loughlin Duncan of whose sufficiency in good
learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of South Florida.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of St. Petersburg and State of Florida on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
HENRY I. LOUTTIT, Bishop of South Florida (SEAL)
JOHN VANDER HORST, Bishop of Tennessee (SEAL)
HARWOOD STURTEVANT, Bishop (SEAL)
C. C. J. CARPENTER, Bishop of Alabama (SEAL)
C. AVERY MASON, Bishop of Dallas (SEAL)
M. GEORGE HENRY, Bishop of Western North Carolina (SEAL)
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia (SEAL)
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina (SEAL)
WILLIAM H. MARMION, Bishop of Southwestern Virginia (SEAL)
HARRY LEE. DOLL, Bishop Coadjunctor of Maryland (SEAL)
IVESON B. NOLAND, Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana (SEAL)
GRAY TEMPLE, Bishop of South Carolina (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXIV—THE RIGHT REVEREND
WILLIAM LOFTIN HARGRAVE
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF SOUTH FLORIDA

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Henry Irving Louttit, D.D., Bishop of South Florida; Walter Henry Gray, D.D., Bishop of Connecticut; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Thomas, being the Twenty-first Day of December, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-one, in The Cathedral Church of St. Luke, Orlando, Florida in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved
REGISTRAR

in Christ the Reverend William Loftin Hargrave of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of South Florida.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Orlando and State of Florida on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
HENRY I. LOUTTIT, Bishop of South Florida (SEAL)
WALTER H. GRAY, Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
HARWOOD STURTEVANT, Bishop (SEAL)
HAMILTON WEST, Bishop of Florida (SEAL)
C. C. J. CARPENTER, Bishop of Alabama (SEAL)
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia (SEAL)
M. GEORGE HENRY, Bishop of Western North Carolina (SEAL)
WILLIAM H. MARMION, Bishop of Southwestern Virginia (SEAL)
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina (SEAL)
HARRY LEE DOLL, Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland (SEAL)
JOHN VANDER HORST, Bishop of Tennessee (SEAL)
GRAY TEMPLE, Bishop of South Carolina (SEAL)
JAMES L. DUNCAN, Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXV—THE RIGHT REVEREND
CHARLES WALDO MACLEAN, S.T.D.
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF LONG ISLAND
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, James Pernette De Wolfe, D.D., Bishop of Long Island; Horace William Baden Donegan, D.D., Bishop of New York; Jonathan Goodhue Sherman, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Long Island; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Wednesday, being the Fourteenth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two, in The Cathedral of the Incarnation, Garden City, Long Island, New York in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the
due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable Canon Charles Waldo MacLean, S.T.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Long Island.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Garden City, Long Island and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

JAMES P. DE WOLFE, Bishop of Long Island (SEAL)
HORACE W. B. DONEGAN, Bishop of New York (SEAL)
JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (SEAL)
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
G. F. BURRILL, Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)
WALTER M. HIGLEY, Bishop of Central New York (SEAL)
LELAND STARK, Bishop of Newark (SEAL)
J. STUART WETMORE, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
CHANDLER W. STERLING, Bishop of Montana (SEAL)
C. ALFRED VOEGELI, Bishop of Haiti (SEAL)
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council (SEAL)
JOHN HENRY ESQUIROL, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
THOMAS A. FRASER, Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina (SEAL)
J. WARREN HUTCHENS, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
SHIRLEY H. NICHOLS, Bishop

MOST REV. LEON GROCOWSKI, Prime Bishop,
Polish National Catholic Church

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXVI—THE RIGHT REVEREND
WILLIAM EVAN SANDERS, D.D.
BISHOP COADJUTOR OF TENNESSEE

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; John Vander Horst, D.D., Bishop of Tennessee; Albert Rhett Stuart, D.D., Bishop of Georgia; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty
God, did on Wednesday, being the Fourth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two, in St. Mary's Cathedral, Memphis, Tennessee in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend William Evan Sanders, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Memphis and State of Tennessee on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
JOHN VANDER HORST, Bishop of Tennessee
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia
GIRAUT JONES, Bishop of Louisiana
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas
C. GRESHAM MARMION, Bishop of Kentucky
JOHN M. ALLIN, Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana
JAMES L. DUNCAN, Suffragan Bishop of South Florida
J. JOSEPH M. HARTE, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
HENRY I. LouTTIT, Bishop of South Florida

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXVII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
JAMES WINCHESTER MONTGOMERY
Suffragan Bishop of Chicago

In the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Gerald Francis Burrill, D.D., Bishop of Chicago; Charles Larrabee Street, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Chicago; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Michael and All Angels being the Twenty-ninth Day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two, in The Cathedral
of St. James, Chicago, Illinois in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend James Winchester Montgomery of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Chicago and State of Illinois on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
G. F. BURRILL, Bishop of Chicago
CHARLES L. STREET, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
SUMNER WALTERS, Bishop of San Joaquin
REGINALD MALLETT, Bishop of Northern Indiana
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK, Bishop of Milwaukee
WILLIAM BRADY, Bishop of Fond du Lac
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana
F. W. LICKFIELD, Bishop of Quincy
CHARLES E. BENNISON, Bishop of Western Michigan
FRANCIS C. ROWINSKI, Bishop of Western Diocese, Polish National Catholic Church

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DLXXXVIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND ALBERT ARTHUR CHAMBERS, S.T.D.
BISHOP OF SPRINGFIELD
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Horace William Baden Donegan, D.D., Bishop of New York; Reginald Mallett, D.D., Bishop of Northern Indiana; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Monday, being the First Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two,
in St. Paul's Cathedral, Springfield, Illinois in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Albert Arthur Chambers, S.T.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Springfield.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Springfield and State of Illinois on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
HORACE W. B. DONEGAN, Bishop of New York
REGINALD MALLETT, Bishop of Northern Indiana
WILLIAM BRADY, Bishop of Fond du Lac
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK, Bishop of Milwaukee
CHARLES L. STREET, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
A. ERVINE SWIFT, Bishop of Puerto Rico
G. F. BURRILL, Bishop of Chicago
F. W. LICKFIELD, Bishop of Quincy
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana
EDWARD R. WELLES, Bishop of Western Missouri
GEORGE L. CADIGAN, Bishop of Missouri
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio
CHARLES E. BENNISON, Bishop of Western Michigan
JAMES W. MONTGOMERY, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
FRANCIS C. ROWINSKI, Bishop Western Diocese, Polish National Catholic Church

Attest: FORREST H. O. BOWMAN, Deputy Registrar.

DLXXXIX—THE RIGHT REVEREND THEODORE HARPER McCREA Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Charles Avery Mason, D.D.,
Bishop of Dallas; Gerald Francis Burrill, D.D., Bishop of Chicago; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Tuesday, being the Fourth Day of December, in the year of Our Lord, one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two, in St. Matthew's Cathedral, Dallas, Texas in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Theodore Harper McCrea of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Dallas.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Dallas and State of Texas on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
C. AVERY MASON, Bishop of Dallas (SEAL)
G. F. BURRILL, Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)
C. GRESHAM MARMION, Bishop of Kentucky (SEAL)
JOHN E. HINES, Bishop of Texas (SEAL)
CHILTON POWELL, Bishop of Oklahoma (SEAL)
JOSEPH HARTE, Bishop of Arizona (SEAL)
FRANCIS ERIC BLOY, Bishop of Los Angeles (SEAL)
H. S. MARIA, Secretary General, Philippine Ind. Church (SEAL)
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop of Kansas (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (SEAL)
GOODRICH R. FENNER, Bishop (SEAL)
JOHN VANDER HORST, Bishop of Tennessee (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DXC—THE RIGHT REVEREND
JOHN MELVILLE BURGESS
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Anson Phelps Stokes, Jr., D.D.,
Bishop of Massachusetts; John Brooke Mosley, D.D., Bishop of Delaware; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Saturday, being the Eighth Day of December, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-two, in Trinity Church, Boston, Massachusetts in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable John Melville Burgess of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Boston and State of Massachusetts on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
ANSON PHELPS STOKES, JR., Bishop of Massachusetts (SEAL)
J. BROOKE MOSLEY, Bishop of Delaware (SEAL)
ANGUS DUN, Bishop (SEAL)
HENRY W. HOBSON, Bishop (SEAL)
HARVEY D. BUTTERFIELD, Bishop of Vermont (SEAL)
ROBERT M. HATCH, Bishop of Western Massachusetts (SEAL)
FREDERIC C. LAWRENCE, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (SEAL)
MALCOLM E. PEABODY, Bishop (SEAL)
ARCHIE H. CROWLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (SEAL)
DONALD J. CAMPBELL, Bishop (SEAL)
SPENCE BURTON, S.S.J.E., Bishop (SEAL)
W. APPLETON LAWRENCE, Bishop (SEAL)
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
JOHN SEVILLE HIGGINS, Bishop of Rhode Island (SEAL)
JOHN HENRY ESQUIROL, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)
JOSEPH WARREN HUTCHENS, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (SEAL)

J. STUART WETMORE, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
CHARLES F. HALL, Bishop of New Hampshire (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.
DXCII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
EDWARD GAUDAN LONGID
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF THE PHILIPPINES

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Lyman Cunningham Ogilby, D.D., Bishop of the Philippines; Benito Cabanban, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines; Charles Packard Gilson, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Purification of St. Mary the Virgin, being the Second Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in The Cathedral of St. Mary and St. John, Quezon City, The Philippines in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Edward Gaudan Loñigid of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines.

Given under our hands and seals in Quezon City, in the Republic of the Philippines on the day and in the year above written.

LYMAN C. OGILBY, Bishop of the Philippines (seal)
BENITO C. CABANBAN, Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines (seal)
CHARLES P. GILSON, Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu (seal)
ANSON PHELPS STOKES, JR., Bishop of Massachusetts (seal)
ISABELO DE LOS REYES, JR., Obispo Maximo, Philippine Independent Church (seal)

Attest: CONRAD MYRICK, Deputy Registrar.

DXCII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
CHARLES BOWEN PERSELL, JR.
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF ALBANY

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE
HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Allen Webster Brown, D.D.,
Bishop of Albany; Lauriston Livingston Scaife, D.D., Bishop of Western New York; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Saturday, being the Ninth Day of February, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in The Cathedral of All Saints, Albany, New York in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable Charles Bowen Persell, Jr., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Albany.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Albany and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
ALLEN BROWN, Bishop of Albany (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (SEAL)
HARVEY D. BUTTERFIELD, Bishop of Vermont (SEAL)
ROBERT M. HATCH, Bishop of Western Massachusetts (SEAL)
WALTER M. HIGLEY, Bishop of Central New York (SEAL)
C. ALFRED VOEGELI, Bishop of Haiti (SEAL)
J. STUART WETMORE, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
JOHN M. BURGESS, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (SEAL)
THADDEUS F. ZIELINSKI, Bishop of Buffalo, Polish National Catholic Church (SEAL)
H. S. MARIA, Secretary General, Philippine Ind. Church (SEAL)
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (SEAL)
IAN W. SHEVILL, Bishop of South Queensland, Australia (SEAL)
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DXCIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
CEDRIC EARL MILLS, D.D.
BISHOP OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Noble Cilley Powell, D.D., Bishop of Maryland; John Boyd Bentley, D.D.,
Vice-President of the National Council; Albert Ervine Swift, S.T.D., Bishop of Puerto Rico; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Friday, being the Nineteenth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in St. James' Church, Baltimore, Maryland in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Cedric Earl Mills, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of the Virgin Islands.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Baltimore and State of Maryland on the day and in the year above written.

Noble C. Powell, Bishop of Maryland (Seal)
John B. Bentley, Vice-President of the National Council (Seal)
A. Ervine Swift, Bishop of Puerto Rico (Seal)
Horace W. B. Donegan, Bishop of New York (Seal)
William F. Creighton, Bishop of Washington (Seal)
B. W. Harris, Bishop of Liberia (Seal)
Dillard H. Brown, Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia (Seal)
William H. Brady, Bishop of Fond du Lac (Seal)
John M. Burgess, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Seal)
Harry Lee Doll, Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland (Seal)
J. Brooke Mosley, Bishop of Delaware (Seal)
Donald Antigua, Bishop of Antigua

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Registrar.

DXCIV—The Right Reverend George West Barrett, D.D.
Bishop of Rochester

In the name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost. Amen.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Horace William Baden Donegan, D.D., Bishop of New York; Dudley Scott Stark, D.D., Bishop; Daniel Corrigan, D.D., Director of Home
Department of the National Council; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Saturday, being the Eleventh Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in Christ Church, Rochester, New York in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend George West Barrett, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Rochester.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Rochester and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

HORACE WILLIAM BADEN DONEGAN, Bishop of New York (seal)
DUDLEY SCOTT STARK, Bishop (seal)
DANIEL CORRIGAN, Director of Home Department of National Council (seal)
ANSON PHELPS STOKES, JR., Bishop of Massachusetts (seal)
DONALD J. CAMPBELL, Bishop (seal)
WALTER M. HIGLEY, Bishop of Central New York (seal)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (seal)
GEORGE L. CADIGAN, Bishop of Missouri (seal)
CHARLES BOWEN PERSSELL, JR., Suffragan Bishop of Albany (seal)

Attest: T. CHESTER BAXTER, Deputy Registrar.

DXCV—THE RIGHT REVEREND FREDERICK WARREN PUTNAM, JR.

SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Robert Raymond Brown, D.D., Bishop of Arkansas; Edward Clark Turner, D.D., Bishop of Kansas; Chilton Powell, D.D., Bishop of Oklahoma; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did
on Monday, being the Twentieth Day of May, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in The Civic Auditorium, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Frederick Warren Putnam, Jr., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Oklahoma City and State of Oklahoma on the day and in the year above written.

Robert R. Brown, Bishop of Arkansas
Chilton Powell, Bishop of Oklahoma
Edward C. Turner, Bishop of Kansas
G. F. Burrill, Bishop of Chicago
Arnold M. Lewis, Bishop of Western Kansas
John E. Hines, Bishop of Texas
C. Avery Mason, Bishop of Dallas
Theodore H. McCreary, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
Gordon V. Smith, Bishop of Iowa
Russell T. Rauscher, Bishop of Nebraska

Attest: Alexander M. Rodger, Registrar.


To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Reginald Mallett, D.D., Bishop of Northern Indiana; John Pares Craine, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis; Gerald Francis Burrill, D.D., Bishop of Chicago; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Peter, being the Twenty-ninth Day of June, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in St. James Cathedral, South Bend, Indiana in the
presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof. Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Walter Conrad Klein, S.T.D., Ph.D., Th.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Northern Indiana.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of South Bend and State of Indiana on the day and in the year above written.

REGINALD MALLETT, Bishop of Northern Indiana (SEAL)
G. F. BURRILL, Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)
JOHN P. CRAINE, Bishop of Indianapolis (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (SEAL)
DONALD H. V. HALLOCK, Bishop of Milwaukee (SEAL)
F. W. LICKFIELD, Bishop of Quincy (SEAL)
CHARLES E. BENNISON, Bishop of Western Michigan (SEAL)
JAMES W. MONTGOMERY, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DXCVII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
JOHN ADAMS PINCKNEY
BISHOP OF UPPER SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Matthew George Henry, D.D., Bishop of Western North Carolina; John James Gravatt, D.D., Bishop; Albert Sidney Thomas, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Wednesday, an Ember Day, being the Eighteenth Day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-three, in Trinity Church, Columbia, South Carolina in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant
Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable John Adams Pinckney of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Upper South Carolina.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Columbia and State of South Carolina on the day and in the year above written.

M. GEORGE HENRY, Bishop of Western North Carolina (SEAL)
ALBERT S. THOMAS, Bishop (SEAL)
JOHN J. GRAVATT, Bishop (SEAL)
ALBERT R. STUART, Bishop of Georgia (SEAL)
LOUIS C. MELCHER, Bishop (SEAL)
THOMAS A. FRAZER, JR., Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina (SEAL)
R. H. BAKER, Bishop of North Carolina (SEAL)
ROBERT E. GRIBBIN, Bishop (SEAL)
HARRY LEE DOLL, Bishop Coadjutor of Maryland (SEAL)
GIRAULT JONES, Bishop of Louisiana (SEAL)
GRAY TEMPLE, Bishop of South Carolina (SEAL)
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia (SEAL)
JOHN VANDER HORST, Bishop of Tennessee (SEAL)
JAMES L. DUNCAN, Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (SEAL)
RANDOLPH R. CLAIBORNE, JR., Bishop of Atlanta (SEAL)
THOMAS H. WRIGHT, Bishop of East Carolina (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DXC维III—THE RIGHT REVEREND
PAUL MOORE, JR., S.T.D.
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF WASHINGTON

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; John Pares Craine, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis; William Forman Creighton, D.D., Bishop of Washington; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of the Conversion of St. Paul, being the 25th Day of January, in the year of Our Lord one
thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in The Cathedral of St. Peter and St. Paul, Washington, D. C. in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Paul Moore, Jr., S.T.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Washington.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Washington and District of Columbia on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
JOHN P. CRAINE, Bishop of Indianapolis
WILLIAM F. CREIGHTON, Bishop of Washington
ANGUS DUN, Bishop
RICHARD A. KIRCHHOFFER, Bishop
HUGO BLANKINGSHP, Bishop
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop of Virginia
J. GILLESPIE ARMSTRONG, Bishop of Pennsylvania
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE, Bishop of Bethlehem
J. BROOKE MOSLEY, Bishop of Delaware
HARRY LEE DOLL, Bishop of Maryland
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia
SAMUEL B. CHILTON, Suffragan Bishop of Virginia
THOMAS A. FRASER, Bishop Coadjutor of North Carolina
JAMES W. MONTGOMERY, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
JOHN M. BURGESS, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts
CHARLES B. PERSELL, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Albany

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DXCIX—THE RIGHT REVEREND
LEONARDO ROMERO
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF MEXICO

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; José Guadalupe Saucedo, D.D.,
Bishop of Mexico; Albert Ervine Swift, S.T.D., Bishop of Puerto Rico; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Third Sunday in Lent, being the First Day of March, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in The Cathedral of San José de Gracia, Mexico City, D.F. in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Leonardo Romero of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Mexico.

Given under our hands and seals in Mexico City in the Federal District on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
JOSE G. SAUCEDO, Bishop of Mexico
A. ERVINE SWIFT, Bishop of Puerto Rico
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop of Virginia
EFRAIN SALINAS Y VELASCO, Bishop
JOHN JOSEPH MEAKIN HARTE, Bishop of Arizona
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council
R. HEBER GOODEN, Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DC—THE RIGHT REVEREND MELCHOR SAUCEDO
Suffragan Bishop of Mexico

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; José Guadalupe Saucedo, D.D., Bishop of Mexico; Albert Ervine Swift, S.T.D., Bishop of Puerto Rico; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Third Sunday in Lent, being the First Day of March, in
the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in The Cathedral of San José de Gracia, Mexico City, D.F. in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Melchor Saucedo of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Mexico.

Given under our hands and seals in Mexico City in the Federal District on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
JOSE G. SAUCEDO, Bishop of Mexico (SEAL)
A. ERVINE SWIFT, Bishop of Puerto Rico (SEAL)
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas (SEAL)
ROBERT F. GIBSON, Bishop of Virginia (SEAL)
EFRAIN SALINAS Y VELASCO, Bishop (SEAL)
JOHN JOSEPH MEAKIN HARTE, Bishop of Arizona (SEAL)
DAVID S. ROSE, Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia (SEAL)
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council (SEAL)
R. HEBER GOODEN, Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DCI — THE RIGHT REVEREND GEORGE EDWARD RATH
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF NEWARK
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Leland Stark, D.D., Bishop of Newark; Horace William Baden Donegan, S.T.D., Bishop of New York; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Friday, being the Sixth Day of March, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in Trinity Cathedral, Newark, New Jersey in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America
and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Venerable George Edward Rath of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Newark.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Newark and State of New Jersey on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop (SEAL)
HORACE W. B. DONEGAN, Bishop of New York (SEAL)
LELAND STARK, Bishop of Newark (SEAL)
JONATHAN G. SHERMAN, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (SEAL)
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE, Bishop of Bethlehem (SEAL)
WALTER M. HIGLEY, Bishop of Central New York (SEAL)
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York (SEAL)
CHARLES B. PERSSELL, JR., Suffragan Bishop of Albany (SEAL)
PAUL MOORE, JR., Suffragan Bishop of Washington (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DCII—THE RIGHT REVEREND NED COLE, JR., D.D.
BISHOP COADJUTOR OF CENTRAL NEW YORK
IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Walter Maydole Higley, D.D., Bishop of Central New York; Malcolm Endicott Peabody, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Saturday, being the Fourth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Syracuse, New York in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend Ned Cole, Jr., D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One,
Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop Coadjutor of Central New York.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Syracuse and State of New York on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
MALCOLM E. PEABODY, Bishop
WALTER M. HIGLEY, Bishop of Central New York
LELAND STARK, Bishop of Newark
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio
CHARLES F. BOYNTON, Suffragan Bishop of New York
WILLIAM CRITTENDEN, Bishop of Erie
FREDERICK J. WARNECKE, Bishop of Bethlehem
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council
GEORGE W. BARRETT, Bishop of Rochester
A. W. BROWN, Bishop of Albany
GEORGE L. CADIGAN, Bishop of Missouri
CHARLES B. PERSELL, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Albany
ROGER BLANCHARD, Bishop of Southern Ohio
KENNETH ONTARIO (Anglican Church of Canada)
ERNEST OTTAWA (Anglican Church of Canada)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DCIII—THE RIGHT REVEREND DAVID BENSON REED
BISHOP OF COLOMBIA (and in Charge of Ecuador)

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Arthur Lichtenberger, D.D., Presiding Bishop; Joseph Meakin Harte, D.D., Bishop of Arizona; Reginald Heber Gooden, S.T.D., Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Mark the Evangelist, being the Twenty-fifth Day of April, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in Trinity Church, Tulsa, Oklahoma in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend David Benson Reed of whose
sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Colombia.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Tulsa and State of Oklahoma on the day and in the year above written.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
R. HEBER GOODEN, Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone
JOSEPH M. HARTE, Bishop of Arizona
JOHN E. HINES, Bishop of Texas
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop of Kansas
JOHN B. BENTLEY, Vice-President of the National Council
ARNOLD M. LEWIS, Bishop of Western Kansas
CHILTON POWELL, Bishop of Oklahoma
THEODORE H. MCCREA, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas
FRED W. PUTNAM, Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma
CONRAD H. GESNER, Bishop of South Dakota
MELCHOR SAUCEDO, Suffragan Bishop of Mexico
DAVID E. RICHARDS, Bishop of Central America

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DCIV—THE RIGHT REVEREND SCOTT FIELD BAILEY
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF TEXAS

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, John Elbridge Hines, D.D., Bishop of Texas; George Henry Quarterman, S.T.D., Bishop of Northwest Texas; Frederick Percy Goddard, D.D., Suffragan Bishop of Texas; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Matthew, being the Twenty-first Day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in Christ Church Cathedral, Houston, Texas in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Canon Scott Field Bailey of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and
purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Texas.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Houston and State of Texas on the day and in the year above written.

JOHN E. HINES, Bishop of Texas
GEORGE H. QUARTERMAN, Bishop of Northwest Texas
FREDERICK PERCY GODDARD, Suffragan Bishop of Texas
EVERETT H. JONES, Bishop of West Texas
ROBERT R. BROWN, Bishop of Arkansas
GIRALDUS JONES, Bishop of Louisiana
R. EARL DICUS, Suffragan Bishop of West Texas
IVESON B. NOLAND, Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana
FRED W. PUTNAM, Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma
EDWARD C. TURNER, Bishop of Kansas
THEODORE H. McCREA, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.
ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Michigan.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Detroit and State of Michigan on the day and in the year above written.

JOHN P. CRAINE, Bishop of Indianapolis (SEAL)
RICHARD S. EMRICH, Bishop of Michigan (SEAL)
ARCHIE H. CROWLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (SEAL)
HORACE WILLIAM BADEN DONEGAN, Bishop of New York (SEAL)
LAURISTON LIVINGSTON SCAIFE, Bishop of Western New York (SEAL)
DANIEL CORRIGAN, Director of the Home Department (SEAL)
PAUL MOORE, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Washington (SEAL)
JAMES W. MONTGOMERY, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio (SEAL)
ALBERT A. CHAMBERS, Bishop of Springfield (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.

DCVI—THE RIGHT REVEREND ROBERT CLAFLIN RUSACK
SUFFRAGAN BISHOP OF LOS ANGELES

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, Francis Eric Bloy, D.D., Bishop of Los Angeles; Robert Burton Gooden, D.D., Bishop; Ivol Ira Curtis, D.D., Bishop of Olympia; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on The Feast of St. Michael and All Angels, being the Twenty-ninth Day of September, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four, in St. Paul's Cathedral, Los Angeles, California in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Reverend Robert Claflin Rusack of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One,
Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Los Angeles and State of California on the day and in the year above written.

FRANCIS ERIC BLOY, Bishop of Los Angeles
ROBERT B. GOODEN, Bishop
IVOL IRA CURTIS, Bishop of Olympia
SUMNER WALTERS, Bishop of San Joaquin
RICHARD MILLARD, Suffragan Bishop of California
RICHARD S. WATSON, Bishop of Utah
CLARENCE R. HADEN, Jr., Bishop of Northern California
GEORGE W. BARRETT, Bishop of Rochester
JAMES A. PIKE, Bishop of California
RUSSELL S. HUBBARD, Bishop of Spokane
CHANDLER STERLING, Bishop of Montana
ROBERT ERKINE CAMPBELL, O.H.C., Bishop
JOSEPH M. HARTE, Bishop of Arizona

Attest: ROBERT L. BONHALL, Deputy Registrar.

DCVII—THE RIGHT REVEREND
GEORGE RHYS SELWAY, D.D.
BISHOP OF NORTHERN MICHIGAN

IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF THE HOLY GHOST. AMEN.

To all the Faithful in Christ Jesus throughout the World; Greetings:

Be it known unto you by these presents, that we, John Pares Craine, D.D., Bishop of Indianapolis; Joseph Meakin Harte, D.D., Bishop of Arizona; Herman Riddle Page, D.D., Bishop; assisted by the other Right Reverend Bishops, whose names are hereto subscribed under the protection of Almighty God, did on Thursday, being the First Day of October, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and sixty-four in St. Paul's Episcopal Church, Marquette, Michigan in the presence of a Congregation of the Clergy and Laity and according to the due and prescribed order of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America and in conformity with the Canons thereof, Ordain and Consecrate our well beloved in Christ the Very Reverend George Rhys Selway, D.D., of whose sufficiency in good learning, soundness in the Faith and purity of manners we were fully ascertained
into the sacred office of a Bishop in the One, Holy, Catholic and Apostolic Church of God, he having been duly chosen Bishop of Northern Michigan.

Given under our hands and seals in the City of Marquette and State of Michigan on the day and in the year above written.

JOHN P. CRAINE, Bishop of Indianapolis (SEAL)
JOSEPH M. HARTE, Bishop of Arizona (SEAL)
HERMAN R. PAGE, Bishop (SEAL)
RICHARD S. EMRICH, Bishop of Michigan (SEAL)
WILLIAM BRADY, Bishop of Fond du Lac (SEAL)
JAMES W. MONTGOMERY, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago (SEAL)
ARCHIE H. CROWLEY, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (SEAL)
PHILIP F. MCNAIRY, Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota (SEAL)
NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Bishop of Ohio (SEAL)
WILLIAM L. ALGOMA, Archbishop of Algoma and Metropolitan of the Ecclesiastical Province of Ontario (SEAL)

Attest: ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Registrar.
APPENDIX 31

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE STATE OF THE CHURCH

Mr. President, Members of the House of Deputies, on behalf of the Committee on the State of the Church, I submit to you, to be included in the Journal of this Convention, the statistics of the Church for the period 1961, 1962, and 1963.

The Committee's task is not only to compile the statistics of the Church for publication, but to make some interpretation of these for the whole Church, so that developmental trends can be noted and referred to the various Departments and Divisions of the Executive Council, as well as guide the General Convention in its program for the next triennium. The Committee's responsibility is to give as accurate a picture as possible of the Church's condition—what is, or is not, taking place. We are thankful for all who have co-operated. From our diocesan and parochial reports these are the facts:

Growth

The following statistics will be of interest:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Baptized Membership</th>
<th>Communicant Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1961-1963 % Change</td>
<td>1961-1963 Actual Loss or Gain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-10,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>-23,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3,649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>18,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>-6,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>10,398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>15,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS:</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7,823</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These statistics show that our Church, at the best, shows a slight growth in the South, Southwestern, and Far-Western parts of our nation. This we should expect, because the population is increasing in those areas and each of the Provinces is enjoying greater economic stability as industry moves in.

Despite the fact that the population has grown also in the Northeastern and Midwestern areas, our Church has lost ground in those areas.
For the first time since the depression (1930), our total rate of growth (0.2%) is less than that of the general population (1.4%) in 1963. Since the first General Convention (1789), with a few exceptions, our growth rate has exceeded that of the general population. At present our Church is no longer growing as it should.

The over-all sweep shows a static Church, resting fairly comfortably and experiencing relatively little growth in a nation which has an expanding economy and a growing population. If this slowdown of our Church's growth-rate continues, we shall show an actual membership loss during the next three years of reporting.

To be very specific, the number of baptisms is substantially less than in the previous three years; approximately 8,500. In the same years, there was a decrease of about 900 confirmations. A decrease of 284 Church Schools is noted in the eight Provinces from 1961 to 1963 inclusive. Although, during the same period, the number of Church School pupils increased by 3,548, the officers and teachers of Church Schools decreased in number by 1,637.

The most startling item in terms of Christian Education is the increase of parish day schools over our entire Church: 100 schools, 7,000 pupils, 750 officers and teachers. All of the eight Provinces have a part in this trend, and certainly no one can attribute the establishment of these schools to racial reasons. It is interesting to note that in many of the congregations which have such a program, unchurched or inactive parents are being brought into the Church as a result of their children's participation. This is one kind of evangelism in a Church which is doing little in terms of evangelism and lay visitation.

Clergy

The number of clergy increased slightly, but the significant factor is that 25% of our clergy are now engaged in non-parochial ministries.

Also, there has been a 9% decrease in theological students (The Green Book 1964). A decrease in the number of lay-readers may be a result of better licensing and training.

Financial

The following is the relationship, by Provinces, of total receipts to the number of Baptized Members and Communicants for the year 1963:
The financial statistics are not encouraging. We still have an increasing income: (1961) $197,880,576; (1962) $203,324,891; (1963) $209,314,556; but the rate of increase is not as great as it has been in other trienniums. The rate of financial growth has exceeded the rate of membership growth for many years—thanks, in part, to an increase in spendable income—but it is slowing down. Our capital expenditures have declined in each of the past three years, but the released funds have not been added to missionary giving. The great increase has come in local current expenditures. The ratio of extra-parochial expenditures to total parish receipts has increased from 12.1% three years ago to only 13.3% last year; but that is a far cry from the proposal that each parish spend 50% of its total receipts on work outside the parish.

Conclusion

What do these facts say to the Church?

Is the Church failing in its efforts to speak to the needs of our growing population? Is the image of the Church such that it is not attracting persons to it, or is it that the leaders of the Church are taking stands on essential Christian issues which are difficult for some of our members to understand and accept? Does parochial commitment, rather than commitment to our Lord Jesus Christ, hinder our mobile population in the maintenance of its Church affiliation?

Is the large proportion of our clergy in the non-parochial ministry a result of these factors, or does it reflect a new sense of responsible planning in a changing society? Does the training of our clergy adequately meet the necessity for the pastoral ministry in all areas of life? Are our clergy prepared to communicate effectively to our present-day society commitment to our Lord Jesus Christ?

Must we not re-examine our use of what God gives us? Why is it that despite our commitment to spread the Gospel to all the world we are now spending more on ourselves than ever before?
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### I. DIOCESAN VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### PROVINCE IV (Sewanee)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Number of Parishes and Organised Missions</td>
<td>Ordinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ordi.</td>
<td>Postualtes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province IV: 1,216, 1,360, 1,417

#### PROVINCE V (Mid-West)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Number of Parishes and Organised Missions</td>
<td>Ordinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ordi.</td>
<td>Postualtes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province V: 1,212, 1,162, 1,261

#### PROVINCE VI (Northwest)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Number of Parishes and Organised Missions</td>
<td>Ordinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ordi.</td>
<td>Postualtes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province VI: 569, 539, 569

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"

I. Diocesan Vital Statistics (Continued)

### Province VII (Southwest)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
<th>Total Number of Parishes and Organized Missions</th>
<th>Ordinations</th>
<th>Postulants</th>
<th>Candidates For Orders</th>
<th>Lay Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Arkansas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Dallas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kansas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Missouri</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. New Mexico and Southwest Texas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Northwest Texas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Oklahoma</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Western Kansas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Texas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. West Missouri</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. West Texas</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VII</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Province VIII (Pacific)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
<th>Total Number of Parishes and Organized Missions</th>
<th>Ordinations</th>
<th>Postulants</th>
<th>Candidates For Orders</th>
<th>Lay Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Arizona</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. California</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Idaho</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Los Angeles</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Nevada</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Northern California</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Oklahoma</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Oregon</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. San Joaquin</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Spokane</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Utah</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VIII</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Extra-Continental Missionary Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
<th>Total Number of Parishes and Organized Missions</th>
<th>Ordinations</th>
<th>Postulants</th>
<th>Candidates For Orders</th>
<th>Lay Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Alaska</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Honolulu</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Guam</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Micronesia</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Taiwan</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Virgin Islands</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"

I. DIOCESAN VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

OVERSEAS MISSIONARY DISTRICTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
<th>Total Number of Parishes and Organized Missions</th>
<th>Ordinations</th>
<th>Postulants</th>
<th>Candidates for Orders</th>
<th>Lay Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil-Central</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil-Southern</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil-Southwestern</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Congregations</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Total Number of Clergy</th>
<th>Non-Parochial Clergy</th>
<th>Total Number of Parishes and Organized Missions</th>
<th>Ordinations</th>
<th>Postulants</th>
<th>Candidates for Orders</th>
<th>Lay Readers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,710</td>
<td>1,637</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>1,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>1,517</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>1,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>1,490</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>1,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province V</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VI</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VII</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VIII</td>
<td>1,119</td>
<td>1,145</td>
<td>1,237</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8,898</td>
<td>9,213</td>
<td>9,445</td>
<td>1,479</td>
<td>2,230</td>
<td>2,101</td>
<td>7,209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"
### TRIENNIAL STATISTICS FOR THE YEARS 1961, 1962, AND 1963
#### II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS

#### Province I (New England)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Connecticut</strong></td>
<td>101,980</td>
<td>124,275</td>
<td>130,858</td>
<td>82,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maine</strong></td>
<td>21,718</td>
<td>21,815</td>
<td>21,887</td>
<td>12,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Massachusetts</strong></td>
<td>148,417</td>
<td>140,141</td>
<td>142,993</td>
<td>81,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Hampshire</strong></td>
<td>21,305</td>
<td>20,412</td>
<td>22,144</td>
<td>13,095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhode Island</strong></td>
<td>40,249</td>
<td>41,427</td>
<td>42,249</td>
<td>23,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vermont</strong></td>
<td>13,014</td>
<td>12,161</td>
<td>11,553</td>
<td>7,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western Massachusetts</strong></td>
<td>37,312</td>
<td>36,719</td>
<td>36,239</td>
<td>23,240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province I**: 422,905

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Received</th>
<th>6. Marriages</th>
<th>7. Burials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province I**: 818

### Province II (New York and New Jersey)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Albany</strong></td>
<td>50,486</td>
<td>50,129</td>
<td>49,036</td>
<td>31,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central New York</strong></td>
<td>61,070</td>
<td>61,155</td>
<td>61,526</td>
<td>36,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long Island</strong></td>
<td>119,865</td>
<td>117,875</td>
<td>118,662</td>
<td>71,189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Jersey</strong></td>
<td>86,299</td>
<td>86,938</td>
<td>88,628</td>
<td>54,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New York</strong></td>
<td>156,288</td>
<td>158,159</td>
<td>159,640</td>
<td>91,068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Newark</strong></td>
<td>99,457</td>
<td>97,244</td>
<td>99,649</td>
<td>60,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rochester</strong></td>
<td>31,166</td>
<td>31,578</td>
<td>30,656</td>
<td>19,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western New York</strong></td>
<td>41,099</td>
<td>43,955</td>
<td>40,321</td>
<td>25,937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province II**: 622,457

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>4,060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>4,060</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Province III (Washington)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Received</th>
<th>6. Marriages</th>
<th>7. Burials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>5. Received</th>
<th>6. Marriages</th>
<th>7. Burials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**9. Parochial Day Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Church Schools</th>
<th>Sunday and Released Time</th>
<th>a. Total No. of Teachers</th>
<th>b. Officers and Teachers</th>
<th>c. Pupils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**10. Statistics for the Territorial Districts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Total of Church Members</th>
<th>2. Total of Communicants</th>
<th>a. Children</th>
<th>b. Adults</th>
<th>c. Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**5. Received**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province III</th>
<th>535,245</th>
<th>532,608</th>
<th>538,094</th>
<th>348,230</th>
<th>354,239</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>3,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>4,060</td>
<td>3,306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8. Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Parochial Day Schools</th>
<th>a. Total No. of Schools</th>
<th>b. Officers and Teachers</th>
<th>c. Pupils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**8. Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Total of Church Members</th>
<th>2. Total of Communicants</th>
<th>a. Children</th>
<th>b. Adults</th>
<th>c. Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**9. Parochial Day Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Church Schools</th>
<th>Sunday and Released Time</th>
<th>a. Total No. of Teachers</th>
<th>b. Officers and Teachers</th>
<th>c. Pupils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**5. Received**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province III</th>
<th>535,245</th>
<th>532,608</th>
<th>538,094</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td>3,014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,373</td>
<td>1,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8. Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Parochial Day Schools</th>
<th>a. Total No. of Schools</th>
<th>b. Officers and Teachers</th>
<th>c. Pupils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**5. Received**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province III</th>
<th>535,245</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>1,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>3,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>1,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8. Church Schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Parochial Day Schools</th>
<th>a. Total No. of Schools</th>
<th>b. Officers and Teachers</th>
<th>c. Pupils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province IV (Sewanee)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>20,012</td>
<td>31,103</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>50,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>30,008</td>
<td>31,104</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>61,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>14,003</td>
<td>14,501</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>38,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>31,001</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>16,002</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>15,247</td>
<td>15,476</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington</td>
<td>13,930</td>
<td>9,745</td>
<td>9,407</td>
<td>24,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>39,048</td>
<td>39,003</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>92,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>15,691</td>
<td>17,138</td>
<td>16,749</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>33,299</td>
<td>34,439</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>19,471</td>
<td>20,503</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>43,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>92,978</td>
<td>101,009</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>232,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>34,198</td>
<td>34,835</td>
<td>34,845</td>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>148,576</td>
<td>149,216</td>
<td>149,057</td>
<td>322,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>9,695</td>
<td>9,665</td>
<td>10,109</td>
<td>24,611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province IV: 1,438,400 1,521,544 1,521,405 2,841,000 2,638,000 2,638,000 10,675,000 9,765,000 9,765,000 42,624,000 43,051,000 43,051,000 194,000,000 194,000,000 194,000,000

*Figures taken from: "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"
### II. Parochial Vital Statistics (Continued)

#### Province (Mid-West)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>114,433</td>
<td>115,951</td>
<td>115,293</td>
<td>30,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>70,550</td>
<td>71,010</td>
<td>70,800</td>
<td>17,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>63,958</td>
<td>64,420</td>
<td>64,078</td>
<td>16,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>86,950</td>
<td>87,450</td>
<td>87,150</td>
<td>24,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>120,390</td>
<td>120,950</td>
<td>120,510</td>
<td>31,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>183,420</td>
<td>183,850</td>
<td>183,470</td>
<td>45,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>4,473</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>4,473</td>
<td>1,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>40,550</td>
<td>41,000</td>
<td>40,600</td>
<td>10,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>4,490</td>
<td>4,520</td>
<td>4,490</td>
<td>1,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>51,260</td>
<td>51,720</td>
<td>51,370</td>
<td>12,220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Province V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125,230</td>
<td>124,140</td>
<td>118,340</td>
<td>276,920</td>
<td>277,700</td>
<td>276,340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Province VI (North-West)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>40,247</td>
<td>41,100</td>
<td>42,021</td>
<td>10,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>21,406</td>
<td>21,624</td>
<td>21,724</td>
<td>5,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>45,561</td>
<td>44,229</td>
<td>44,191</td>
<td>13,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>12,900</td>
<td>12,742</td>
<td>12,453</td>
<td>3,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>18,625</td>
<td>18,425</td>
<td>18,240</td>
<td>5,716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>2,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>17,625</td>
<td>17,854</td>
<td>18,085</td>
<td>5,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>15,260</td>
<td>15,453</td>
<td>15,663</td>
<td>5,292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Province VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>175,450</td>
<td>174,200</td>
<td>173,960</td>
<td>45,390</td>
<td>45,990</td>
<td>46,150</td>
<td>1,520</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures taken from: "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"


### II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### PROVINCE VI (NORTHWEST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>12,691</td>
<td>14,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10,098</td>
<td>10,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2,009</td>
<td>2,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2,446</td>
<td>3,314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1,250</td>
<td>1,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>6,924</td>
<td>9,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province VI</strong></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>12,289</td>
<td>12,669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PROVINCE VII (SOUTHWEST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>13,234</td>
<td>13,223</td>
<td>13,377</td>
<td>10,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>41,681</td>
<td>40,990</td>
<td>41,388</td>
<td>30,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>22,387</td>
<td>22,705</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>14,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>25,335</td>
<td>25,458</td>
<td>24,704</td>
<td>18,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>5,349</td>
<td>5,009</td>
<td>5,188</td>
<td>3,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>76,918</td>
<td>71,139</td>
<td>71,067</td>
<td>48,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>20,648</td>
<td>22,700</td>
<td>22,644</td>
<td>15,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>31,249</td>
<td>32,159</td>
<td>32,730</td>
<td>22,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province VII</strong></td>
<td>281,262</td>
<td>293,044</td>
<td>292,027</td>
<td>199,447</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"

†Figures taken from Diocesan Journals—1941

APPENDIX 32
### II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province VIII (Pacific)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>24,388</td>
<td>25,110</td>
<td>25,578</td>
<td>14,613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>80,418</td>
<td>85,071</td>
<td>78,505</td>
<td>47,574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>7,462</td>
<td>7,563</td>
<td>7,640</td>
<td>3,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>9,653</td>
<td>10,323</td>
<td>10,401</td>
<td>4,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>134,706</td>
<td>130,982</td>
<td>141,012</td>
<td>81,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>8,688</td>
<td>9,051</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>5,503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>7,988</td>
<td>8,650</td>
<td>9,014</td>
<td>4,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>16,654</td>
<td>16,976</td>
<td>17,057</td>
<td>10,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>18,412</td>
<td>18,346</td>
<td>18,465</td>
<td>11,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>8,046</td>
<td>7,911</td>
<td>8,291</td>
<td>3,911</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province VIII...** 410,163 | 416,100 | 425,900 | 232,012 | 231,774 | 230,977 | 14,319 | 14,584 | 14,190 | 3,257 | 3,026 | 3,131 | 17,573 | 16,850 | 17,321 | 16,591 | 17,214 | 17,672

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>5. Received</th>
<th>6. Marriages</th>
<th>7. Burials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VIII...</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Extra-Continental Missionary Districts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>7,265</td>
<td>7,239</td>
<td>6,067</td>
<td>3,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>18,290</td>
<td>18,907</td>
<td>18,080</td>
<td>12,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okinawa</td>
<td>1,457</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>1,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>10,679</td>
<td>10,609</td>
<td>13,319</td>
<td>5,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>9,094</td>
<td>8,498</td>
<td>8,080</td>
<td>3,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>6,016</td>
<td>6,075</td>
<td>6,056</td>
<td>2,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61,550</td>
<td>55,156</td>
<td>56,658</td>
<td>30,935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures taken from "Worksheet for Summarizing Parochial Reports"*
## II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)

### EXTRA-CONTINENTAL MISSIONARY DISTRICTS (Continued)

### Missionary Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Number a.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Total of (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Baptisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Confirmations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pupils of Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Officers and Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overseas Missionary Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Total Number a.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Total of (a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pupils of Schools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Officers and Teachers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figures taken from "Worksheets for Summarizing Parochial Reports"
II. PAROCHIAL VITAL STATISTICS (Continued)
SUMUARY

3. Baptisms

2. Tot.lof
Communicants

I. Total of
Church Members

a, Children

4. Confirmations

b. Adults

e. Total

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

10,889
16,585
13,704
ll,404
12,018
5,648
8,556
14,319
93,123
1,012
94,135
1,442
6,158

7,235
15,533
12,269
10,639
10,595
4,950
7,792
13,824
82,837
1,015
83,852
1,422
7,712

10,056
14,740
12,265
10,767
10,470
5,216
7,479
14,190
85,183
920
86,103
1,339
7,028

I,Oll
1,223
1,221
1,403
1,724
833
1,323
3,257
ll,995
256
12,251
202
1,259

749
1,308
1,226
1,434
1,627
688
1,601
3,026
ll,659
108
ll,767
179
1,085

922
1,169
1,236
1,321
1,724
742
1,291
3,131
11,536
103
ll,639
192
1,285

ll,900
17,808
14,925
12,807
13,742
6,481
9,879
17,576
105,118
1,268
106,386
1,644
7,417

7,984
16,841
13,495
12,073
12,222
5,638
9,393
16,850
94,496
1,123
95,619
1,601
8,797

10,978
15,909
13,501
12,088
12,194
5,958
8,770
17,321
96,719
1,023
97,742
1,531
8,313

ll,257
18,001
16,803
16,481
14,926
7,045
12,788
16,591
113,892
953
114,845
1,270
4,122

11,378
17,700
16,392
17,631
13,813
6,518
12,371
17,214
ll3,O15
940
113,955
1,101
4,040

ll,004
16,546
15,664
17,298
14,923
6,839
13,067
17,572
ll2,913
894
113,807
1,014
4,461

Grand Tolal. ......... ,571,900 3,584,373 3,591,164 2,238,021 2,243,859 2,245,782 101,735

92,986

94,470

13,712

13,031

13,116

ll5,H7

106,017

107,586

120,237

119,096

ll9,282

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

422,895 417,837 412,050 255,794 251,654 250,856
629,367 625,377 606,349 400,989 392,618 390,023
535,345 532,508 538,994 348,230 345,239 349,695
412,554 426,224 430,651 284,876 290,047 297,267
425,283 419,147 418,H7 276,928 277,706 276,344
117,310
178,454 174,208 179,845 ll5,619 ll5,081
281,629 293,044 292,027 199,447 218,482 205,023
410,903 419,150 -125,990 252,912 261,774 268,977
3,296,430 3,307,495 3,304,253 2,134,795 2,152,601 2,155,495
14,301
12,789
25,504
26,136
24,327
16,099
,321,934 3,333,631 3,328,580 2,150,894 2,166,902 2,168,28·1
32,331
14,296
13,617
13,394
36,046
29,022
Extra-Continental .. . . . . .
63,340
64,104
Overseas............. , .. . 213,920 221,720 230,253 72,631

Province I...............
Province II .. . . . . . . . . . . . .
Province III
Province IV. . . .": : : : : ... :
Province V..............
Province VI. . . . . . .
....
ProvinceVII . . . . .
Province VIII........ : ...
Total. .................
Alask. &: Honolulu ........
Total U.S..............

5. Received

6. Marriages

7. Burials

8. ChurchSchools
Sundayand Released Time

a. Total Number
of School.

b. Officer. and
Teacher.


Province I............... 848 822 878 2,610 2,53, 2,732 7,774 8,33 8,831
Province II. . . . . . . .. . . . . , 1,373 1,326 1,253 4,614 4,66C 4,67o 12,709 12,57 12,857
Province III. . . . . . . . . . . . . 580 767 742 3,515 3,578 3,915 8,434 8,89 9,263
1,438 800
854 2,469 2,630 2,882 5,607 6,034 6,277
Province IV.. . . . .
Province V........... :::. 890 831 940 2,742 2,702 3,09o 6,652 6,750 7,16o
Province VI. ............. 323 312 329 1,280 1,269 1,289 2,817 2,859 3,021
Province VII. ..... . . . . . . , 517 470 541 1,149 1,018 2,070 3,375 3,834 3,64o
Provine. Vll! ..........
399 8·11
737 3,844 3,996 4,44o 7,836 7,061 8,444
Total. ................. 6,368 6,178 6,214 22,832 23,288 25,106 55,204 57,240 59,493
38
242
Alaska &: Honolulu ........
27
4-l
251 258 284
195 222
Total U.~ ............... 6,395 6,216 6,318 23,083 23,546 25,39o 55,399 57,462 59,735
323
314
313
Extra-Continental ........ 146 ll9
ll8
326
304
384
Overseas................. 565 604 309
873 799 906 1,020 1,163 1,175

663 623 674
1,335 1,178 1,090
1,139 1,063 1,147
1,067 1,072 1,105
846 843 830
624 608 545
678 630 656
722 7ll 143
7,074 6,728 6,700
84
75
77
7,158 6,805 6,865
44
72
50
376 426 443

1962

1963

c. Pupils
1961

1962

1963

b. Officer. and
Teachers


~

"1

~

;l

8. ParochialDay Schools

a.TotaINo.
of Schools

~o-l
~

l'!'l
o-l

e. Pupils
1962 1963

52
63 173 473 849 1,854
ll,996 12,950 ll,669 07,493 99,927 08,249 9 22 48
17,077 17,022 16,720 144,382 158,852 144,205 41 49 57 270 3ll 409 3,450 3,350 3,068
17,532 17,566 17,674 141,342 161,950 140,392 88 103 117 566 717 656 5,283 5,056 6,994
15,783 15,769 15,606 129,720 128,204 133,127 1S8 243 178 712 973 894 10,415 12,371 12,037
98 1433 1,393 1,496
111,080 35 29 31 108 99
13,529 13,312 13,218 114,539
73
48 '487 726 503
5,910 5,969 5,848 48,248 Ig~:m 49,556 6 14 17 34
0,712 0,776 0,578 79,137 02,274 83,084 92 103 115 430 550 588 5,272 7,252 8,030
470
386
430
11,744
117,697
116,404
67
52
60
5,802 5,410 5,B97
12,175 11,681
109,941
103,714 104,045 102,077 B72,558 895,415 876,106 526 615 623 2,651 3,172 3,296 32,615 36,416 39,879
761 11,455 6,868 6,697 18 16 14 ~ll 182 56 2,751 2,625 717
524
746
63
390 3,633 6,125 5,394 17 12 12 79
80 1,282 1,234 1,759
212
465
1,264 1,471 1,618 23,293 23,013 27,078 213 193 192 013 955 953 14,901 21,171 19,826


~

l'!'l

~

a
s::

00

I,C)

w


### TRIENNIAL STATISTICS FOR THE YEARS 1961, 1962, AND 1963

#### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS

#### Province I (New England)

#### 1. Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>4,701,544</td>
<td>4,972,688</td>
<td>5,513,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>792,477</td>
<td>811,965</td>
<td>81,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>5,385,583</td>
<td>5,414,063</td>
<td>5,916,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>1,557,247</td>
<td>1,600,664</td>
<td>1,633,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>530,690</td>
<td>541,014</td>
<td>511,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,527,901</td>
<td>1,603,634</td>
<td>1,620,726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province I</td>
<td>15,073,259</td>
<td>15,914,574</td>
<td>16,918,901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>D. Total Receipts of Capital</th>
<th>Total Receipts from Income and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>1,228,912</td>
<td>1,371,890</td>
<td>876,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>126,101</td>
<td>164,204</td>
<td>81,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,072,557</td>
<td>1,019,356</td>
<td>940,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>186,053</td>
<td>94,856</td>
<td>193,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>279,198</td>
<td>303,119</td>
<td>212,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>120,393</td>
<td>188,944</td>
<td>118,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>1,522,364</td>
<td>1,593,404</td>
<td>1,699,729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province I</td>
<td>3,920,231</td>
<td>3,415,793</td>
<td>2,658,780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Notes:
- f. 1961 figures not available, 1960 figures used
- j. Estimated
- i. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3. Securities, Indebtedness, and Endowments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. Property—Insurance & Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5. Diocesan Financial Information (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 6. Property (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 7. Diocesan Financial Information—1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>371,580</td>
<td>434,456</td>
<td>828,112</td>
<td>4,273,824</td>
<td>3,360,925</td>
<td>3,005,925</td>
<td>20,400,062</td>
<td>20,067,758</td>
<td>25,050,448</td>
<td>317,236</td>
<td>428,469</td>
<td>1,751,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TRIENNIAL STATISTICS FOR THE YEARS 1961, 1962, AND 1963
#### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province II (New York and New Jersey)

#### 1. Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. For Local Support</td>
<td>1,817,939</td>
<td>2,195,904</td>
<td>3,265,976</td>
<td>3,506,687</td>
<td>3,319,565</td>
<td>3,506,687</td>
<td>1,008,018</td>
<td>1,694,362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</td>
<td>209,434</td>
<td>2,010,417</td>
<td>70,308</td>
<td>174,270</td>
<td>156,010</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>84,012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission Use</td>
<td>55,011</td>
<td>1,675,176</td>
<td>78,984</td>
<td>218,221</td>
<td>165,710</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>74,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Total Receipts of Capital</td>
<td>243,718</td>
<td>491,738</td>
<td>259,863</td>
<td>2,353,255</td>
<td>2,698,701</td>
<td>2,512,813</td>
<td>150,509</td>
<td>906,012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province II</td>
<td>25,085,977</td>
<td>26,220,965</td>
<td>27,871,106</td>
<td>3,600,314</td>
<td>3,631,425</td>
<td>3,941,605</td>
<td>1,325,059</td>
<td>1,409,422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>Long Island</td>
<td>Newark</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Total Local Current Expenses</td>
<td>1,231,251</td>
<td>1,217,265</td>
<td>1,358,977</td>
<td>93,138</td>
<td>101,319</td>
<td>101,659</td>
<td>76,984</td>
<td>195,284</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</td>
<td>259,434</td>
<td>2,332,255</td>
<td>78,984</td>
<td>218,221</td>
<td>165,710</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>74,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Diocesan Assessment</td>
<td>55,011</td>
<td>1,675,176</td>
<td>78,984</td>
<td>218,221</td>
<td>165,710</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>74,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Total for Special Purposes</td>
<td>87,661</td>
<td>1,675,176</td>
<td>78,984</td>
<td>218,221</td>
<td>165,710</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>74,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Diocesan and General Church Programs</td>
<td>195,284</td>
<td>2,332,255</td>
<td>78,984</td>
<td>218,221</td>
<td>165,710</td>
<td>2,375,877</td>
<td>3,341,835</td>
<td>74,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Note

- a. Includes all work outside parish or mission
- b. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### PROVINCE II (New York and New Jersey) (Continued)

#### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Albany</td>
<td>44,838</td>
<td>57,790</td>
<td>47,159</td>
<td>355,211</td>
<td>207,845</td>
<td>411,586</td>
<td>2,372,236</td>
<td>2,368,764</td>
<td>2,570,843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York</td>
<td>31,089</td>
<td>34,291</td>
<td>47,546</td>
<td>444,278</td>
<td>462,318</td>
<td>479,238</td>
<td>2,568,764</td>
<td>2,701,065</td>
<td>2,706,645</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island</td>
<td>136,975</td>
<td>152,721</td>
<td>170,748</td>
<td>819,294</td>
<td>860,289</td>
<td>785,508</td>
<td>8,071,113</td>
<td>10,432,689</td>
<td>10,425,737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark</td>
<td>124,610</td>
<td>139,070</td>
<td>134,419</td>
<td>886,251</td>
<td>815,103</td>
<td>844,580</td>
<td>4,716,941</td>
<td>4,900,157</td>
<td>4,979,201</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey</td>
<td>76,473</td>
<td>92,119</td>
<td>88,537</td>
<td>1,040,186</td>
<td>707,723</td>
<td>431,570</td>
<td>4,521,210</td>
<td>4,878,988</td>
<td>4,821,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York</td>
<td>261,967</td>
<td>288,140</td>
<td>276,303</td>
<td>1,585,933</td>
<td>1,291,818</td>
<td>1,488,002</td>
<td>11,862,883</td>
<td>12,152,133</td>
<td>12,567,177</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester</td>
<td>29,010</td>
<td>36,685</td>
<td>31,866</td>
<td>227,510</td>
<td>132,056</td>
<td>118,208</td>
<td>1,416,072</td>
<td>1,302,064</td>
<td>1,441,010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York</td>
<td>30,933</td>
<td>36,871</td>
<td>42,269</td>
<td>170,744</td>
<td>106,764</td>
<td>211,580</td>
<td>1,749,461</td>
<td>1,361,069</td>
<td>1,045,087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province II</td>
<td>724,519</td>
<td>1,232,124</td>
<td>722,329</td>
<td>5,238,437</td>
<td>4,028,070</td>
<td>5,443,984</td>
<td>34,226,298</td>
<td>35,101,645</td>
<td>35,911,610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5. Diocesan Financial Information—1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for Program Administration</th>
<th>Use in Diocese</th>
<th>Use Outside Dioces</th>
<th>Parishes &amp; Mission Prop.</th>
<th>Total All Property*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Albany</td>
<td>193,300,603</td>
<td>266,051,776</td>
<td>200,066,100</td>
<td>32,500,390</td>
<td>42,357,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York</td>
<td>122,211,154</td>
<td>178,980,010</td>
<td>203,628,831</td>
<td>30,500,200</td>
<td>49,290,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island</td>
<td>480,392,812</td>
<td>519,522,146</td>
<td>519,522,146</td>
<td>728,530</td>
<td>356,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark</td>
<td>29,445,883</td>
<td>41,577,870</td>
<td>30,172,777</td>
<td>12,771,171</td>
<td>718,735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey</td>
<td>26,249,095</td>
<td>35,300,210</td>
<td>26,249,095</td>
<td>35,300,210</td>
<td>35,300,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York</td>
<td>9,290,000</td>
<td>9,290,000</td>
<td>9,290,000</td>
<td>9,290,000</td>
<td>9,290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester</td>
<td>1,137,472</td>
<td>1,137,472</td>
<td>1,137,472</td>
<td>1,137,472</td>
<td>1,137,472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province II</td>
<td>150,897,915</td>
<td>208,914,161</td>
<td>151,068,365</td>
<td>122,842,881</td>
<td>1,053,510,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a. Includes all work outside parish or mission. b. Endowments only. c. Replacement value not available, insurance figure used.*

### 4. Property—Insurance & Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for Program Administration</th>
<th>Use in Diocese</th>
<th>Use Outside Dioces</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Albany</td>
<td>701,500</td>
<td>1,060,663</td>
<td>701,500</td>
<td>1,060,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York</td>
<td>327,200</td>
<td>312,750</td>
<td>327,200</td>
<td>312,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island</td>
<td>806,704</td>
<td>806,704</td>
<td>806,704</td>
<td>806,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark</td>
<td>727,896</td>
<td>895,000</td>
<td>727,896</td>
<td>895,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey</td>
<td>809,268</td>
<td>809,268</td>
<td>809,268</td>
<td>809,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York</td>
<td>353,120</td>
<td>353,120</td>
<td>353,120</td>
<td>353,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester</td>
<td>1,690,683</td>
<td>1,690,683</td>
<td>1,690,683</td>
<td>1,690,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York</td>
<td>216,370</td>
<td>216,370</td>
<td>216,370</td>
<td>216,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province II</td>
<td>1,496,900</td>
<td>1,496,900</td>
<td>1,496,900</td>
<td>1,496,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Use of Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Other Expenditures</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Albany</td>
<td>1,010,617</td>
<td>1,010,617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Central New York</td>
<td>216,0297</td>
<td>216,0297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Island</td>
<td>3,070,076</td>
<td>3,070,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Newark</td>
<td>3,007,828</td>
<td>3,007,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 New Jersey</td>
<td>3,124,589</td>
<td>3,124,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 New York</td>
<td>100,031</td>
<td>100,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Rochester</td>
<td>60,458</td>
<td>60,458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Western New York</td>
<td>201,400</td>
<td>201,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province II</td>
<td>293,683</td>
<td>293,683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TRIENNIAL STATISTICS FOR THE YEARS 1961, 1962, AND 1963
#### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province III (Washington)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission</th>
<th>For Diocesan and General Church Program</th>
<th>Special Offerings for Other Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>979,399</td>
<td>1,030,774</td>
<td>1,110,593</td>
<td>62,906</td>
<td>53,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>1,241,952</td>
<td>1,222,455</td>
<td>1,274,616</td>
<td>145,573</td>
<td>106,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton</td>
<td>412,600</td>
<td>433,099</td>
<td>451,516</td>
<td>30,321</td>
<td>21,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>890,533</td>
<td>637,198</td>
<td>657,665</td>
<td>38,045</td>
<td>26,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>921,257</td>
<td>941,199</td>
<td>1,031,048</td>
<td>49,041</td>
<td>50,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>3,107,183</td>
<td>3,236,817</td>
<td>3,370,453</td>
<td>122,313</td>
<td>125,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>5,531,107</td>
<td>5,824,616</td>
<td>6,241,016</td>
<td>441,422</td>
<td>441,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>1,892,414</td>
<td>1,958,833</td>
<td>1,923,888</td>
<td>88,822</td>
<td>224,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3,107,183</td>
<td>3,236,817</td>
<td>3,370,453</td>
<td>122,313</td>
<td>125,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>876,208</td>
<td>941,199</td>
<td>1,012,063</td>
<td>51,389</td>
<td>81,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>2,616,376</td>
<td>3,458,665</td>
<td>3,843,874</td>
<td>245,899</td>
<td>235,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>1,048,991</td>
<td>1,277,099</td>
<td>1,597,710</td>
<td>65,178</td>
<td>48,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province III</td>
<td>24,376,990</td>
<td>25,979,582</td>
<td>27,400,433</td>
<td>1,539,017</td>
<td>1,822,913</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DIOCESE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>D. Total Receipts of Capital</th>
<th>Total Receipts from Income and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>152,251</td>
<td>203,267</td>
<td>227,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>273,201</td>
<td>291,077</td>
<td>308,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easton</td>
<td>322,316</td>
<td>359,027</td>
<td>398,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>98,825</td>
<td>247,156</td>
<td>281,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>269,584</td>
<td>203,736</td>
<td>166,946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>444,230</td>
<td>635,312</td>
<td>708,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>780,078</td>
<td>829,338</td>
<td>900,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
<td>492,400</td>
<td>580,946</td>
<td>652,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>650,402</td>
<td>1,744,819</td>
<td>1,980,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>144,356</td>
<td>267,953</td>
<td>328,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province III</td>
<td>4,683,482</td>
<td>6,003,398</td>
<td>6,652,398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Includes all work outside parish or mission
b. 1963 figures not available, 1962 figures used
n.a. Not Available
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province III (Washington) (Continued)

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessments</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Diocese and General Church Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bethlehem</td>
<td>711,122</td>
<td>730,655</td>
<td>777,403</td>
<td>48,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Delaware</td>
<td>816,126</td>
<td>794,508</td>
<td>853,774</td>
<td>42,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Easton</td>
<td>274,377</td>
<td>207,692</td>
<td>317,757</td>
<td>44,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Erie</td>
<td>282,798</td>
<td>403,600</td>
<td>426,577</td>
<td>25,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Harrisburg</td>
<td>692,862</td>
<td>607,964</td>
<td>694,461</td>
<td>45,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Maryland</td>
<td>1,938,041</td>
<td>2,080,637</td>
<td>2,199,482</td>
<td>116,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Pennsylvania</td>
<td>3,894,355</td>
<td>4,032,125</td>
<td>4,063,132</td>
<td>210,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Pittsburgh</td>
<td>1,126,608</td>
<td>1,154,516</td>
<td>1,155,720</td>
<td>67,641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Southern Virginia</td>
<td>999,492</td>
<td>1,098,005</td>
<td>1,165,721</td>
<td>65,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td>522,760</td>
<td>555,004</td>
<td>560,770</td>
<td>33,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Washington</td>
<td>1,079,073</td>
<td>2,391,628</td>
<td>2,632,062</td>
<td>116,740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 West Virginia</td>
<td>675,827</td>
<td>621,538</td>
<td>630,461</td>
<td>42,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province III</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,707,941</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,769,554</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,770,116</strong></td>
<td><strong>557,713</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Expenses and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>For Local Support</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Other</strong></td>
<td><strong>For Special Parish or Mission Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bethlehem</td>
<td>38,127</td>
<td>38,760</td>
<td>31,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Delaware</td>
<td>57,341</td>
<td>55,003</td>
<td>60,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Easton</td>
<td>22,122</td>
<td>21,082</td>
<td>14,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Erie</td>
<td>87,081</td>
<td>87,385</td>
<td>38,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Harrisburg</td>
<td>42,747</td>
<td>41,667</td>
<td>32,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Maryland</td>
<td>59,241</td>
<td>87,352</td>
<td>105,103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Pennsylvania</td>
<td>200,065</td>
<td>202,019</td>
<td>202,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Pittsburgh</td>
<td>91,741</td>
<td>85,718</td>
<td>174,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Southern Virginia</td>
<td>61,414</td>
<td>60,424</td>
<td>77,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Southwestern Virginia</td>
<td>28,104</td>
<td>40,860</td>
<td>40,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Virginia</td>
<td>676,072</td>
<td>115,484</td>
<td>167,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Washington</td>
<td>83,500</td>
<td>109,492</td>
<td>119,207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province III</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,456,122</strong></td>
<td><strong>950,747</strong></td>
<td><strong>912,542</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### a. Includes all work outside parish or mission

#### b. 1963 figures not available, 1962 figures used

#### n.a. Not Available
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### FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province (Washington) (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>16,122,867</td>
<td>16,270,887</td>
<td>205,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>12,851,532</td>
<td>12,161,833</td>
<td>9,318,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>10,825,709</td>
<td>10,384,282</td>
<td>14,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>17,376,462</td>
<td>18,076,682</td>
<td>53,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>883,092</td>
<td>817,937,937</td>
<td>53,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>11,394,250</td>
<td>10,536,270</td>
<td>31,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>2,594,399</td>
<td>2,810,871,206</td>
<td>284,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>3,180,974</td>
<td>33,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>31,018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province III: 329,163

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>16,122,867</td>
<td>16,270,887</td>
<td>205,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>12,851,532</td>
<td>12,161,833</td>
<td>9,318,493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>10,825,709</td>
<td>10,384,282</td>
<td>14,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>17,376,462</td>
<td>18,076,682</td>
<td>53,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>883,092</td>
<td>817,937,937</td>
<td>53,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>11,394,250</td>
<td>10,536,270</td>
<td>31,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>2,594,399</td>
<td>2,810,871,206</td>
<td>284,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>3,180,974</td>
<td>33,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>31,018,250</td>
<td>31,018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province III: 329,163
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission: For Diocesan and General Church Program</th>
<th>Special Offerings for Other Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>1,760,909</td>
<td>1,931,825</td>
<td>1,940,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>1,055,728</td>
<td>1,011,545</td>
<td>1,011,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>6,392,049</td>
<td>6,522,458</td>
<td>6,357,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>725,800</td>
<td>750,378</td>
<td>774,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>814,228</td>
<td>863,006</td>
<td>803,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>3,067,182</td>
<td>2,719,176</td>
<td>2,828,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>963,977</td>
<td>1,023,916</td>
<td>1,293,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1,972,501</td>
<td>2,926,081</td>
<td>2,130,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>948,861</td>
<td>917,034</td>
<td>969,159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>1,029,411</td>
<td>1,133,663</td>
<td>1,108,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>561,367</td>
<td>585,775</td>
<td>646,029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Province IV: 21,820,943 23,034,696 21,577,250 1,419,136 1,232,177 1,282,062 890,091 804,998 961,332 576,255 751,597 571,848

D. Total Receipts of Capital: Total Receipts from Income and Capital Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers

III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
Province IV (Guanneese)
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### PROVINCE IV (SEWANEE) (Continued)

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>P. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessment</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Diocese and General Church Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>1,030,568</td>
<td>1,150,859</td>
<td>1,180,516</td>
<td>60,643</td>
<td>67,774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>410,019</td>
<td>497,071</td>
<td>498,450</td>
<td>31,417</td>
<td>34,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>1,375,206</td>
<td>1,337,943</td>
<td>1,378,126</td>
<td>13,994</td>
<td>14,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>201,279</td>
<td>494,298</td>
<td>497,720</td>
<td>20,248</td>
<td>22,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>1,326,568</td>
<td>1,191,069</td>
<td>1,242,308</td>
<td>75,993</td>
<td>76,655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>571,110</td>
<td>582,335</td>
<td>516,810</td>
<td>41,500</td>
<td>49,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1,167,728</td>
<td>1,255,582</td>
<td>1,331,002</td>
<td>76,145</td>
<td>81,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>536,267</td>
<td>580,501</td>
<td>616,878</td>
<td>90,144</td>
<td>95,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>2,731,147</td>
<td>2,537,207</td>
<td>2,729,689</td>
<td>101,835</td>
<td>171,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1,266,908</td>
<td>1,285,409</td>
<td>1,293,159</td>
<td>65,227</td>
<td>67,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>391,301</td>
<td>363,613</td>
<td>361,271</td>
<td>41,300</td>
<td>48,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>354,470</td>
<td>378,208</td>
<td>407,666</td>
<td>28,010</td>
<td>28,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Province IV

|                   | 12,552,013                       | 13,828,829                     | 14,140,757               | 800,313                       | 862,583                       | 917,050                       | 743,007                       | 984,853                       | 1,032,670                     | 3,725,867                      | 3,700,618                     | 3,705,040                     | 3,113,842                     | 3,508,287                     | 3,517,300                     |

#### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For Local Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Available n.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>420,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>323,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>46,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28,848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23,834</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Province IV

|                    | 1,140,239                       | 1,052,329                     | 1,052,329               | 309,601                       | 724,308                       | 74,461                       | 30,473,740                     | 31,086,384                     | 32,645,832                     | 450,821                       | 711,063                       | 2,152,562                     | 2,510,264                     |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Alabama                 | 11,041,004 | 11,441,064 | 11,658,063 | 11,852,570              |
| Atlantic                | 7,146,220  | 7,905,969  | 8,522,562  | 8,978,844               |
| East Carolina           | 3,328,441  | 3,792,664  | 4,360,371  | 4,916,485               |
| Florida                 | 5,073,478  | 5,073,478  | 5,073,478  | 5,073,478               |
| Georgia                 | 9,484,326  | 9,485,252  | 9,485,252  | 9,485,252               |
| Kentucky                | 5,703,090  | 5,703,090  | 5,703,090  | 5,703,090               |
| Louisiana               | 3,588,199  | 3,589,199  | 3,589,199  | 3,589,199               |
| Missouri                | 11,245,007 | 11,245,007 | 11,245,007 | 11,245,007              |
| North Carolina          | 6,025,000  | 6,025,000  | 6,025,000  | 6,025,000               |
| South Carolina          | 13,651,097 | 13,651,097 | 13,651,097 | 13,651,097              |
| South Florida           | 99,061,798 | 99,061,798 | 99,061,798 | 99,061,798              |
| Upper South Carolina    | 5,013,077  | 5,013,077  | 5,013,077  | 5,013,077               |
| Western North Carolina  | 10,969,297 | 11,124,370 | 11,279,450 | 11,434,530              |
| Total Province          | 125,816,434| 125,816,434| 125,816,434| 125,816,434             |

### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>22,093,650</td>
<td>22,093,650</td>
<td>22,093,650</td>
<td>22,093,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>14,985,945</td>
<td>17,052,812</td>
<td>18,802,992</td>
<td>18,802,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Carolina</td>
<td>8,966,164</td>
<td>17,070,737</td>
<td>18,543,383</td>
<td>18,543,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>6,073,478</td>
<td>6,073,478</td>
<td>6,073,478</td>
<td>6,073,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>8,270,526</td>
<td>8,270,526</td>
<td>8,270,526</td>
<td>8,270,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>6,460,550</td>
<td>6,460,550</td>
<td>6,460,550</td>
<td>6,460,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>16,947,083</td>
<td>16,947,083</td>
<td>16,947,083</td>
<td>16,947,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>5,909,227</td>
<td>5,909,227</td>
<td>5,909,227</td>
<td>5,909,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>6,025,000</td>
<td>6,025,000</td>
<td>6,025,000</td>
<td>6,025,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>13,651,097</td>
<td>13,651,097</td>
<td>13,651,097</td>
<td>13,651,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Florida</td>
<td>99,061,798</td>
<td>99,061,798</td>
<td>99,061,798</td>
<td>99,061,798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>5,013,077</td>
<td>5,013,077</td>
<td>5,013,077</td>
<td>5,013,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North Carolina</td>
<td>10,969,297</td>
<td>11,124,370</td>
<td>11,279,450</td>
<td>11,434,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province</td>
<td>125,816,434</td>
<td>125,816,434</td>
<td>125,816,434</td>
<td>125,816,434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province V (Mid-West)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. For Local Support</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>3,899,498</td>
<td>3,971,225</td>
<td>4,103,129</td>
<td>153,505</td>
<td>15,702</td>
<td>20,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>210,903</td>
<td>211,360</td>
<td>209,896</td>
<td>767,654</td>
<td>18,947</td>
<td>20,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>1,057,885</td>
<td>1,133,866</td>
<td>1,148,500</td>
<td>8,048</td>
<td>40,032</td>
<td>51,772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>4,335,615</td>
<td>4,333,920</td>
<td>4,509,171</td>
<td>300,197</td>
<td>241,763</td>
<td>357,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>1,315,008</td>
<td>1,210,170</td>
<td>1,204,984</td>
<td>415,754</td>
<td>109,229</td>
<td>55,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>614,024</td>
<td>618,176</td>
<td>669,727</td>
<td>211,047</td>
<td>41,697</td>
<td>27,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>201,054</td>
<td>208,090</td>
<td>212,923</td>
<td>3,540</td>
<td>7,690</td>
<td>9,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>3,394,157</td>
<td>3,352,679</td>
<td>3,610,554</td>
<td>237,818</td>
<td>138,476</td>
<td>120,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>232,640</td>
<td>265,700</td>
<td>308,158</td>
<td>18,523</td>
<td>10,530</td>
<td>14,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>2,022,778</td>
<td>1,899,309</td>
<td>1,991,651</td>
<td>150,004</td>
<td>151,385</td>
<td>147,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>488,657</td>
<td>503,232</td>
<td>620,314</td>
<td>41,690</td>
<td>17,148</td>
<td>32,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>1,057,002</td>
<td>1,132,105</td>
<td>1,192,195</td>
<td>20,600</td>
<td>37,760</td>
<td>42,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province V</strong></td>
<td>19,526,773</td>
<td>19,477,924</td>
<td>20,361,292</td>
<td>1,318,788</td>
<td>1,002,365</td>
<td>1,109,453</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **B. For Special Parish or Mission Use** |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Chicago       | 182,117   | 3,765,687 | 761,393 | 5,190,369 | 5,358,023 | 5,358,023 |
| Eau Claire   | 761,393   | 262,170   | 323,293 | 323,293 | 323,293 | 323,293 |
| Fond du Lac  | 319,050   | 710,553   | 651,894 | 887,021 | 887,021 | 887,021 |
| Indianapolis | 100,162   | 113,296   | 1,305,664 | 1,281,413 | 1,353,122 | 1,353,122 |
| Milwaukee    | 913,529   | 616,116   | 567,092 | 5,560,101 | 5,560,101 | 5,560,101 |
| Michigan     | 184,469   | 159,379   | 206,117 | 1,663,444 | 1,697,269 | 1,733,457 |
| Northern Indiana | 83,403 | 241,301 | 747,651 | 928,668 | 991,331 | 991,331 |
| Southern Ohio | 631,038   | 610,602   | 720,873 | 3,208,385 | 3,138,706 | 3,069,072 |
| Springfield  | 23,729    | 9,190     | 9,255   | 266,015 | 261,992 | 250,953 |
| Western Michigan | 530,008 | 706,875 | 628,003 | 4,482,820 | 4,654,038 | 4,747,992 |
| **Total Province V** | 4,068,884 | 3,752,251 | 3,098,087 | 28,683,445 | 28,016,172 | 27,260,673 |

| **C. Work Outside Parish or Mission** |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Chicago       | 186,277   | 175,494   | 188,257 | 5,834   | 7,230   | 8,518   |
| Eau Claire   | 28,576    | 25,910    | 25,910 | 22,510 | 22,510 | 22,510 |
| Fond du Lac  | 25,620    | 20,277    | 21,506 | 27,316 | 27,316 | 27,316 |
| Indianapolis | 141,386   | 141,386   | 141,386 | 141,386 | 141,386 | 141,386 |
| Milwaukee    | 49,051    | 41,907    | 48,597 | 58,122 | 58,122 | 58,122 |
| Michigan     | 11,076    | 14,095    | 9,458   | 14,095 | 14,095 | 14,095 |
| Northern Indiana | 210,217 | 198,676   | 202,765 | 210,217 | 198,676   | 202,765 |
| Southern Ohio | 28,572    | 28,572    | 28,572 | 28,572 | 28,572 | 28,572 |
| Springfield  | -11,927   | -11,927   | -11,927 | -11,927 | -11,927 | -11,927 |
| Western Michigan | 85,780   | 85,780    | 85,780 | 85,780 | 85,780 | 85,780 |

### Notes
- **A.** Includes all work outside parish or mission.
- **B.** Estimated.
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Province V (Mid-West) (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>F. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessment</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>2,470,727</td>
<td>2,572,709</td>
<td>2,687,929</td>
<td>144,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>159,346</td>
<td>143,577</td>
<td>142,777</td>
<td>12,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>278,202</td>
<td>275,230</td>
<td>283,371</td>
<td>10,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>631,034</td>
<td>685,000</td>
<td>700,257</td>
<td>46,674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2,749,508</td>
<td>2,765,019</td>
<td>2,916,274</td>
<td>140,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>718,031</td>
<td>753,021</td>
<td>780,280</td>
<td>51,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>379,416</td>
<td>406,037</td>
<td>410,429</td>
<td>27,126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>142,330</td>
<td>149,353</td>
<td>148,355</td>
<td>10,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>2,209,840</td>
<td>2,290,850</td>
<td>2,305,280</td>
<td>122,889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>170,486</td>
<td>177,647</td>
<td>190,019</td>
<td>12,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>1,515,465</td>
<td>1,471,515</td>
<td>1,540,103</td>
<td>97,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>301,410</td>
<td>318,201</td>
<td>329,810</td>
<td>23,213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>676,647</td>
<td>720,350</td>
<td>775,023</td>
<td>48,926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province V</td>
<td>12,360,019</td>
<td>12,735,563</td>
<td>13,389,067</td>
<td>774,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Expenses and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>151,128</td>
<td>175,985</td>
<td>242,444</td>
<td>1,109,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison</td>
<td>8,463</td>
<td>20,520</td>
<td>7,547</td>
<td>64,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>6,096</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>16,308</td>
<td>54,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>23,266</td>
<td>36,693</td>
<td>42,829</td>
<td>338,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>560,727</td>
<td>152,489</td>
<td>961,188</td>
<td>1,287,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>47,002</td>
<td>120,251</td>
<td>129,192</td>
<td>365,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>15,653</td>
<td>22,777</td>
<td>73,106</td>
<td>190,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>4,757</td>
<td>7,012</td>
<td>5,024</td>
<td>32,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>102,546</td>
<td>138,709</td>
<td>129,773</td>
<td>626,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>6,302</td>
<td>3,711</td>
<td>4,503</td>
<td>16,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>7,147</td>
<td>9,486</td>
<td>11,252</td>
<td>287,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>19,702</td>
<td>21,610</td>
<td>31,478</td>
<td>331,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province V</td>
<td>1,104,570</td>
<td>825,690</td>
<td>1,437,595</td>
<td>5,492,514</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**a.** Includes all work outside parish or mission.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Total Province</th>
<th>DIOCESE</th>
<th>Parishes</th>
<th>Steam</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Admin-</th>
<th>Program &amp;</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Other Expenditures</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parish &amp; Mission Prop.</td>
<td>Total All Property*</td>
<td>A. Receipts</td>
<td>B. Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insured for</td>
<td>Est. Re-</td>
<td>Insured for</td>
<td>Est. Re-</td>
<td>From Parishes</td>
<td>Misions for</td>
<td>Endowment for</td>
<td>Assessment/</td>
<td>From National Council for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Eau Claire</td>
<td>57,723</td>
<td>64,853</td>
<td>52,922</td>
<td>64,853</td>
<td>52,922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fond du Lac</td>
<td>76,369</td>
<td>81,930</td>
<td>93,369</td>
<td>81,930</td>
<td>93,369</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Indianapolis</td>
<td>8,211,600</td>
<td>11,554,275</td>
<td>6,865,303</td>
<td>11,554,275</td>
<td>6,865,303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Michigan</td>
<td>8,211,600</td>
<td>11,554,275</td>
<td>6,865,303</td>
<td>11,554,275</td>
<td>6,865,303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Milwaukee</td>
<td>2,507,782</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Northern Indiana</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Ohio</td>
<td>2,507,782</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td>2,545,880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Quincy</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Southern Ohio</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Springfield</td>
<td>6,050</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td>7,650</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Western Michigan</td>
<td>2,234,438</td>
<td>2,271,270</td>
<td>2,271,270</td>
<td>2,271,270</td>
<td>2,271,270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)**

**Province V (Mid-West) (Continued)**

**4. Property—Insurance & Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Chicago</td>
<td>627,000</td>
<td>700,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Eau Claire</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>265,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Fond du Lac</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>166,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Indianapolis</td>
<td>450,000</td>
<td>590,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Michigan</td>
<td>680,000</td>
<td>700,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Milwaukee</td>
<td>2,271,270</td>
<td>2,317,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Northern Indiana</td>
<td>135,377</td>
<td>131,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Northern Michigan</td>
<td>147,105</td>
<td>54,986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Ohio</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>105,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Quincy</td>
<td>575,000</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Southern Ohio</td>
<td>1,353,500</td>
<td>1,360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Springfield</td>
<td>235,111</td>
<td>431,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Western Michigan</td>
<td>1,241,739</td>
<td>1,114,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5. Diocesan Financial Information—1963**

- **A. Receipts**
- **B. Disbursements**
- **C. Endowments only**
- **D. Est. Replacement Value 1961**
- **Use in Other Expenditures**
- **Total**

[End of Table]
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### Province VI (NORTHWEST)

#### 1. Receipts

- **A. For Local Support**
  - 1961: 1,662,150
  - 1962: 1,712,766
  - 1963: 1,781,302
- **B. For Special Parish or Mission Use**
  - 1961: 77,663
  - 1962: 85,061
  - 1963: 72,482
- **C. Total Receipts**

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1,034,614</td>
<td>1,128,423</td>
<td>1,188,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>995,682</td>
<td>1,028,738</td>
<td>1,062,369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2,957,405</td>
<td>2,732,436</td>
<td>2,322,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>152,241</td>
<td>151,570</td>
<td>145,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>741,721</td>
<td>723,125</td>
<td>814,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>295,949</td>
<td>248,973</td>
<td>245,913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>401,629</td>
<td>441,486</td>
<td>433,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>459,045</td>
<td>480,955</td>
<td>517,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VI</td>
<td>6,976,965</td>
<td>7,205,071</td>
<td>7,871,763</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### D. Total Receipts of Capital

- **For Diocesan and General Church Program**
  - 1961: 1,397,474
  - 1962: 1,170,247
  - 1963: 1,311,601

#### E. Total for Special Purposes

- 1961: 2,087,495
- 1962: 2,163,436
- 1963: 2,235,401

#### F. Total Local Current Expenses

- 1961: 1,331,601
- 1962: 1,311,601
- 1963: 1,311,601

#### G. Church Pension Fund Premium

- 1961: 306,835
- 1962: 304,679
- 1963: 302,857

#### H. Diocesan Assessments

- 1961: 113,747
- 1962: 70,400
- 1963: 30,665

#### J. Total for Special Purposes

- 1961: 299,493
- 1962: 299,493
- 1963: 299,493

#### J. Work Outside Parish or Mission

- 1961: 299,493
- 1962: 299,493
- 1963: 299,493

#### 3. Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers

- **Total**
  - 1961: 9,126,000
  - 1962: 9,252,000
  - 1963: 9,455,000

#### 4. Work Outside Parish or Mission

- **Total**
  - 1961: 9,083,000
  - 1962: 9,145,000
  - 1963: 9,225,000

---

Note: j. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIORCE</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Expenses and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers For Local Support</th>
<th>For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 Iowa</td>
<td>12,010</td>
<td>23,388</td>
<td>22,589</td>
<td>36,656</td>
<td>36,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Minnesota</td>
<td>59,508</td>
<td>61,701</td>
<td>79,277</td>
<td>83,084</td>
<td>83,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Montana</td>
<td>8,156</td>
<td>8,605</td>
<td>7,015</td>
<td>211,610</td>
<td>211,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 South Dakota</td>
<td>31,118</td>
<td>31,483</td>
<td>29,278</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>79,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Wyoming</td>
<td>5,033</td>
<td>5,854</td>
<td>12,011</td>
<td>45,700</td>
<td>45,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VI</td>
<td>239,393</td>
<td>259,866</td>
<td>248,237</td>
<td>2,413,091</td>
<td>2,413,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)  
PROVINCE VI (NORTHWEST) (Continued)
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### Province VII (Southwest)

#### 1. Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>742,122</td>
<td>783,651</td>
<td>793,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>2,377,837</td>
<td>2,613,724</td>
<td>2,921,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>1,183,871</td>
<td>1,175,959</td>
<td>1,294,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>1,218,422</td>
<td>1,338,729</td>
<td>1,421,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
<td>801,654</td>
<td>908,317</td>
<td>980,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
<td>776,674</td>
<td>781,116</td>
<td>767,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>1,237,851</td>
<td>1,338,729</td>
<td>1,421,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>218,508</td>
<td>213,003</td>
<td>258,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>1,000,466</td>
<td>1,108,057</td>
<td>1,145,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>1,388,315</td>
<td>1,422,038</td>
<td>1,488,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VII</td>
<td>14,683,692</td>
<td>16,386,466</td>
<td>18,358,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### D. Total Receipts of Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>99,758</td>
<td>82,026</td>
<td>100,404</td>
<td>954,491</td>
<td>1,116,983</td>
<td>1,116,983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>511,093</td>
<td>265,703</td>
<td>324,485</td>
<td>3,088,884</td>
<td>3,337,074</td>
<td>3,337,074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>171,305</td>
<td>222,404</td>
<td>234,330</td>
<td>1,491,461</td>
<td>1,585,380</td>
<td>1,585,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>409,031</td>
<td>291,741</td>
<td>140,101</td>
<td>1,727,056</td>
<td>1,665,033</td>
<td>1,665,033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
<td>120,101</td>
<td>140,035</td>
<td>192,662</td>
<td>1,134,708</td>
<td>1,258,411</td>
<td>1,258,411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
<td>259,077</td>
<td>112,031</td>
<td>256,687</td>
<td>1,094,861</td>
<td>1,855,099</td>
<td>1,855,099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>350,107</td>
<td>341,120</td>
<td>242,247</td>
<td>1,701,712</td>
<td>1,811,401</td>
<td>1,811,401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>226,031</td>
<td>230,031</td>
<td>232,031</td>
<td>1,550,717</td>
<td>1,681,499</td>
<td>1,681,499</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>333,031</td>
<td>380,037</td>
<td>325,331</td>
<td>4,550,717</td>
<td>4,550,717</td>
<td>4,550,717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>14,649</td>
<td>34,621</td>
<td>25,665</td>
<td>311,212</td>
<td>327,508</td>
<td>327,508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>101,419</td>
<td>97,766</td>
<td>188,278</td>
<td>1,356,523</td>
<td>1,311,094</td>
<td>1,311,094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>265,061</td>
<td>372,740</td>
<td>295,409</td>
<td>1,874,925</td>
<td>2,233,599</td>
<td>2,233,599</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VII</td>
<td>3,013,062</td>
<td>2,542,732</td>
<td>2,133,619</td>
<td>19,315,673</td>
<td>20,659,969</td>
<td>20,659,969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### a. Includes all work outside parish or mission
#### b. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used
#### c. Not Available

---

*STATISTICS FOR THE TRIENNIIUM*
## III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

### Provinces VII (Southwest) (Continued)

#### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessments</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Dioceses and General Church Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diocese</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VII</td>
<td>8,200,928</td>
<td>8,599,312</td>
<td>9,152,678</td>
<td>8,200,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Disbursements (Continued)</td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Parishes or Missions</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Total Disbursements</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Total Parish or Mission Use</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
<td><strong>1962</strong></td>
<td><strong>1963</strong></td>
<td><strong>1961</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### APPENDIX 32

a. Includes all work outside parish or mission
b. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used
n.a. Not Available
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

**Province VII (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>171,695</td>
<td>6,515</td>
<td>7,460</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>20,800</td>
<td>28,500</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>11,200</td>
<td>16,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>4,076,809</td>
<td>43,645</td>
<td>47,645</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
<td>1,123,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>12,415</td>
<td>11,491</td>
<td>12,072</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>8,415</td>
<td>5,095</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>5,457</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>5,433</td>
<td>8,375</td>
<td>8,560</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>6,015</td>
<td>6,014</td>
<td>6,015</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4. Property—Insurance & Value**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Parish &amp; Mission Prop.</th>
<th>Total All Property*</th>
<th>A. Receipts</th>
<th>B. Disbursements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>4,739,596</td>
<td>7,129,597</td>
<td>6,029,236</td>
<td>7,656,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>8,733,279</td>
<td>10,108,262</td>
<td>8,733,279</td>
<td>10,413,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>8,911,952</td>
<td>14,362,313</td>
<td>9,905,900</td>
<td>13,240,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico &amp; S.W. Texas</td>
<td>4,733,537</td>
<td>6,857,468</td>
<td>5,665,658</td>
<td>6,857,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>9,450,699</td>
<td>12,014,844</td>
<td>9,096,099</td>
<td>12,014,844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>20,811,700</td>
<td>32,081,450</td>
<td>20,811,700</td>
<td>32,081,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>5,457</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Missouri</td>
<td>5,433</td>
<td>8,375</td>
<td>8,560</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>2,301,203</td>
<td>16,466,800</td>
<td>2,301,203</td>
<td>16,466,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VII</td>
<td>68,092,405</td>
<td>99,901,251</td>
<td>69,041,545</td>
<td>2,039,068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Diocesan figures not available for the years 1962 and 1963.\*
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### PROVINCE VIII (PACIFIC)

**1. Receipts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>887,752</td>
<td>914,251</td>
<td>1,126,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>3,504,434</td>
<td>3,892,292</td>
<td>4,134,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>390,030</td>
<td>391,782</td>
<td>377,677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>291,411</td>
<td>292,592</td>
<td>311,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>5,729,973</td>
<td>5,930,032</td>
<td>6,132,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>215,002</td>
<td>233,462</td>
<td>271,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>971,523</td>
<td>981,004</td>
<td>1,011,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1,128,545</td>
<td>1,200,160</td>
<td>1,362,501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>721,501</td>
<td>726,065</td>
<td>747,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>711,414</td>
<td>726,065</td>
<td>747,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>291,702</td>
<td>225,563</td>
<td>245,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>234,594</td>
<td>211,017</td>
<td>220,633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>582,136</td>
<td>561,425</td>
<td>620,040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province VIII** : 17,631,719 18,411,155 18,592,584 984,649 1,175,131 1,087,532 242,023 87,694 303,159 620,380 647,041 484,118

**2. Total Receipts of Capital**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>303,469</td>
<td>273,520</td>
<td>290,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>551,290</td>
<td>1,200,081</td>
<td>1,485,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>672,651</td>
<td>41,368</td>
<td>17,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>34,633</td>
<td>35,634</td>
<td>31,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>1,196,479</td>
<td>1,211,610</td>
<td>1,055,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>163,990</td>
<td>251,903</td>
<td>245,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>385,618</td>
<td>352,302</td>
<td>368,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>24,550</td>
<td>44,877</td>
<td>25,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td>14,229</td>
<td>44,877</td>
<td>25,954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>44,619</td>
<td>94,694</td>
<td>135,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>440,549</td>
<td>726,065</td>
<td>747,252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>37,212</td>
<td>30,185</td>
<td>27,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>31,178</td>
<td>30,745</td>
<td>17,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>31,178</td>
<td>30,745</td>
<td>17,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Province VIII** : 3,465,041 3,680,501 3,893,327 22,945,812 24,007,012 24,361,140 1,653,065 1,744,008

---

*A. Includes all work outside parish or mission. B. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used. C. Includes Guam. N.A. Not Available.*
III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (COnliRued)
l'RO'~NCE VIII (PACIFIC) (ConIiRu.d)
2. Disbursements

F. Total Local
Current Expenses

DIOCESE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

Arizona................. .
California................
Eastern Oregon.. .. . .....
Idaho.............
Loa Angeles ...........
Nevad...................
Northern California.......
Olympi. .................
Oregon .................
San Joaquin..............

II

Spokane ............... .

12 Utah....................
13 Alaska...................
14 Honolulu» ...............

Total ProvinceVIII ....

G. Church Pension
FundPremium

1961

1962

1963

498,880
2,043,266
166,754
173,326
3,126,971
149,670
521,696
1,090,153
725,815
397,152
437,936
109,337
153,603
370,526

519,175
2,247,628
178,588
183,780
3,286,166
158,311
553,845
1,158,9R6
768,290
398,074
453,727
112,504
131,222
344,581

671,149
2,479,763
173,183
192,616
3,718,574
171,300
596,502
1,251,458
807,013
425,722
471,16·1
137,775
145,375
397,900

9,965,085 10,497,877

11,639,494

H. Diocesan
A.esessmenl

J. Work Outside Parish or Misaion
To Diocese and General
Church Programs

I. Total for
SpecialPurposes

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

1961

1962

1963

1961

34,605
132,022
13,685
13,169
192,396
12,095
44,789
78,411
57,126
32,218
34,654
7,350
6,118
16,002

36,932
146,357
15,523
13,230
209,195
13,038
·18,287
86,775
57,638
32,889
35,875
7,934
6,385
18,046

44,870
163,315
15,250
14,725
213,131
13,450
53,003
93,120
61,038
33,569
36,801
11,010
6,971
21,344

63,236
307,618

85,709
390,381
53,127

265,971
11,169
137,591
123,364
97,110
38,617

115,049
312,128
66,966
12,461
419,695

171,901
123,232
92,895
63,385

2H,320
233,485
131,444
57,969

1,930
5,099
38,350

2,635
6,080
50,565

2,750
4,292
56,562

218,747
494,064
24,993
37,609
822,625
20,492
117,512
330,799
141,422
125,372
89,362
124,453
30,018
59,534

226,724
607,180
31,041
39,854
937,932
22,490
114,070
272,OH
162,073
124,844
73,979
38,509
32,091
97,829

278,414
555,352
33,767
39,343
945,891
22,034
210,261
252,230
190,033
116,095
90.931
92,111
28,016
89,561

37,608
37,841
337,539
277,638
54,292
53,931
55,574
922,217 d1,400,989
41,109
22,239
66
242,627
267,121
143,651
138,498
82,116
78,031
119,594 d132,233
27,420
26.321
16,117
19,317
50.079
18,942

67·1,670

728,101

781,600

1,090,055

1,039,910

1,659,221

2,637,062

2,780,663

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

2,9H,069

1963

1962

41,026
374,957
628
50,537
976,876
40,088
1,943
188,362
154,829
96,738
143,005
38,505
19,637
26,461

-

-

2,103,178

2,499,932

2,153,592

2. Disbursements (Comin.,d)

J. Work Outside
Parish or Mission (Continued)
DIOCE8E

K. Total Disbursements
for Capital Purposes

Other Parish and Mission Funds
Havin~ Separate Treasurers
For Special Parish
For Local
or Mission Use
Support

Total Disbursements
for Expenses and Capital

All Other

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

12
13
14

1961

1962

1963

Oregon ................
San Joaquin...... . . . .. ..
Spokane...
Utah.......... :::.::::::
Alask....................
Honolulu».............. .

26,197
65,004
5,365
8,162
140,153
5,234
9·1,6·18
107,889
165,197
27,971
19,180
7,458
8,3H
76,496

22,867
92,497
4,017
6,832
114,981
5,392
7·1,324
115,505
105,326
55,611
41,834
8,947
0,620
65,062

23,661
114,938
4,377
6,514
153,561
4,137
54,763
08,958
61,642
53,019
39,273
8,489
10,850
60,56·1

465,988
1,207,610
68,027
64,628
1,243,021
18,658
146,662
216,481
52:1,026
281,147
856,057
29,754
67,466
98,029

Total ProvinceVIII., ..

757,601

723,715

695,046

5,317,484

Arizona ..................
California ....... . . . . . . . . .
Eastern Oregon . . . . . . . . . . .
Idaho ...............

LoaAngel.............. : :
Nevada. ................. .
Northern California .......
Olympi ..................

d. Includes diocesan assessment

1961

J. 1962 figures not available, 1963 figures used

1962

1963

1961

1962

111,014
60,699
1,275,774
31,287
333,332
406,633
169,363
291,629
200,819
110,303
41,9H
52,382

381,597
1,842,957
28,726
61,324
872,124
31,675
662,050
570,202
204,048
122,969
122,416
79,232
36,983
129,009

1,345,261
4,587,123
333,116
351,125
6,713,354
239,557
1,062,028
2,210,724
1,818,194
984,593
1,557,683
306,603
286,858
709,016

1,128,903
5,356,18·1
393,310
359,969
7,225,037
271,627
1,295,825
2,520,296
1,499,236
1,044,463
938,467
308,252
2·19,665
618,307

4,969,310

5,145,312 22,545,135 23,239,511

199,655
1,~94,503

n, Includes Guam

1963

1961

1,555,766
5,843,410
321,997
377,520
7,299,852
282,984
1,822,842
Not
2,687,815
1,613,017 Available
006,081
903.593
360,872
252,151
781,401
25,018,33·1

n.a. N01Available

1961

CIl

~

::J

d
fh

"r.l

~
o-j
::c~
o-j

~

~

1962

1963

1962

1963

21,753
i134,584
10,387
13,717
i167,021
4,957
50,930
28,101
15,145
n. a.
25,132
8,875
i2,336
74,034

17,374
134,581
27,888
13,429
167,021
3,199
Not
26,814
28,875
14,102 Available
n. a.
30,573
9,809
2,336
64,397

20,633
i54,013
59,310
10,370
;245.773
12,751
84,021
70,1H
36,753
n. a.
187,280
10,420
il,092
104,611

20,400
54,013
13,314
16,721
245,773
18,308
41,374
82,252
36,715
n, a.
137,763
6,534
4,092
82,155

556,972

540,401

000,144

759,414

~

2
s::

100
W


### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### PROVINCE VIII (Pacific)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>1961</th>
<th>1962</th>
<th>1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province VIII</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DIACONIC PROPERTY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for</th>
<th>Est. Replacement Value 1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>5,225,966</td>
<td>6,318,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>14,368,063</td>
<td>17,057,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>1,786,738</td>
<td>2,155,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>282,920</td>
<td>273,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>24,888,808</td>
<td>27,045,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>1,685,352</td>
<td>1,871,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>3,137,180</td>
<td>3,544,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1,611,987</td>
<td>1,821,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>1,340,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>1,384,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>6,400,000</td>
<td>6,570,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2,175,414</td>
<td>2,405,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>649,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>1,071,200</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province VIII</strong></td>
<td>61,561,371</td>
<td>68,022,020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. Property—Insurance & Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for</th>
<th>Est. Replacement Value 1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>5,225,966</td>
<td>6,318,281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>14,368,063</td>
<td>17,057,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>1,786,738</td>
<td>2,155,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>282,920</td>
<td>273,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>24,888,808</td>
<td>27,045,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>1,685,352</td>
<td>1,871,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>3,137,180</td>
<td>3,544,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>1,611,987</td>
<td>1,821,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>1,340,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>1,384,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane</td>
<td>6,400,000</td>
<td>6,570,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2,175,414</td>
<td>2,405,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>649,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>1,071,200</td>
<td>1,130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province VIII</strong></td>
<td>61,561,371</td>
<td>68,022,020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5. Diocesan Financial Information—1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>Eastern Oregon</th>
<th>Idaho</th>
<th>Los Angeles</th>
<th>Nevada</th>
<th>Northern California</th>
<th>Oregon</th>
<th>San Francisco</th>
<th>San Jose</th>
<th>Spokane</th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>Honolulu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Province VIII</td>
<td>61,561,371</td>
<td>68,022,020</td>
<td>3,413,000</td>
<td>2,592,000</td>
<td>2,600,000</td>
<td>1,685,352</td>
<td>3,137,180</td>
<td>1,611,987</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>1,155,200</td>
<td>6,400,000</td>
<td>619,000</td>
<td>1,071,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures not available for the years 1962 and 1963*

---

- **f.** 1961 figures not available, 1960 figures used
- **g.** 1962 figures not available, 1961 figures used
- **h.** 1963 figures not available, 1962 figures used
- **i.** 1964 figures not available, 1963 figures used
- **m.** Includes Administration
- **n.** Includes Quasi
- **p.** Replacement value not available, insurance figure used
- **q.** Insurance figure not available, replacement value used
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)

#### Other Missionary Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. American Congregations in Europe</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Brasil, Central</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brasil, Southern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Brasil, Southwestern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Central America</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Cuba</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dominican Republic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Haiti</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Liberia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Mexico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Okinawa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Philippines</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Taiwan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Virgin Islands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>D. Total Receipts of Capital</th>
<th>Total Receipts from Income and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. American Congregations in Europe</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Brasil, Central</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brasil, Southern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Brasil, Southwestern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Central America</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Cuba</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dominican Republic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Haiti</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Liberia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Mexico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Okinawa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Philippines</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Taiwan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Virgin Islands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>E. Total Receipts of Capital</th>
<th>Total Receipts from Income and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish Funds having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. American Congregations in Europe</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Brasil, Central</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Brasil, Southern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Brasil, Southwestern</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Central America</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Cuba</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dominican Republic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Haiti</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Liberia</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Mexico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Okinawa</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Philippines</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Puerto Rico</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Taiwan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Virgin Islands</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnotes:
- g. 1962 figures not available, 1961 figures used
- n.a. Not Available
## III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
### OTHER Missionary Districts (Continued)

### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>F. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessment</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Diocese and General Church Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 American Congregations in Europe</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>185,734</td>
<td>107,020</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>10,313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brazil, Central</td>
<td>15,247</td>
<td>41,247</td>
<td>11,738</td>
<td>41,115</td>
<td>947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brazil, Southern</td>
<td>5,260</td>
<td>88,269</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>48,289</td>
<td>41,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brazil, Southwestern</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>45,430</td>
<td>6,024</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central America</td>
<td>32,902</td>
<td>35,118</td>
<td>36,078</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cuba</td>
<td>12,656</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>1,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dominican Republic</td>
<td>4,500</td>
<td>7,453</td>
<td>8,678</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Haiti</td>
<td>87,106</td>
<td>81,216</td>
<td>101,094</td>
<td>2,393</td>
<td>2,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Liberia</td>
<td>73,106</td>
<td>67,904</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>2,012</td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mexico</td>
<td>55,083</td>
<td>101,464</td>
<td>97,016</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Okinawa</td>
<td>15,749</td>
<td>17,320</td>
<td>10,970</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>84,164</td>
<td>74,083</td>
<td>54,891</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Philippines</td>
<td>141,670</td>
<td>98,489</td>
<td>132,270</td>
<td>1,898</td>
<td>2,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Puerto Rico</td>
<td>83,245</td>
<td>86,857</td>
<td>81,432</td>
<td>3,742</td>
<td>4,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Taiwan</td>
<td>84,245</td>
<td>98,489</td>
<td>54,891</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Virgin Islands</td>
<td>33,440</td>
<td>41,457</td>
<td>46,565</td>
<td>1,739</td>
<td>2,243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>792,993</strong></td>
<td><strong>801,426</strong></td>
<td><strong>702,176</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,161</strong></td>
<td><strong>29,671</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Expenses and Capital</th>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For Local Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For Special Parish or Mission Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 American Congregations in Europe</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brazil, Central</td>
<td>3,437</td>
<td>43,437</td>
<td>660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brazil, Southern</td>
<td>6,283</td>
<td>42,625</td>
<td>6,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brazil, Southwestern</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>2,106</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central America</td>
<td>774</td>
<td>2,960</td>
<td>3,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cuba</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dominican Republic</td>
<td>1,621</td>
<td>1,621</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Haiti</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Liberia</td>
<td>5,249</td>
<td>5,249</td>
<td>n. a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mexico</td>
<td>1,805</td>
<td>2,772</td>
<td>2,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Okinawa</td>
<td>4,249</td>
<td>3,541</td>
<td>2,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>3,249</td>
<td>12,895</td>
<td>6,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Philippines</td>
<td>1,446</td>
<td>3,491</td>
<td>2,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Puerto Rico</td>
<td>6,933</td>
<td>2,960</td>
<td>2,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Taiwan</td>
<td>1,303</td>
<td>1,533</td>
<td>3,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Virgin Islands</td>
<td>3,906</td>
<td>64,468</td>
<td>33,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>30,068</strong></td>
<td><strong>64,468</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,817</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*g. 1962 figures not available, 1961 figures used*
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### OTHER MISSIONARY DISTRICTS (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diocese</td>
<td>Other Parish and Mission Funds</td>
<td>Diocesan Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Having Separate Treasurers (Cont.)</td>
<td>Insured for 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Securities and Other Non-Cash Items</td>
<td>Indebtedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insured for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 American Congregations</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Europe</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brazil, Central</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brazil, Southern</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brazil, Southwestern</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central America</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cuba</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dominican Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Haiti</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Liberia</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Okinawa</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Philippines</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Puerto Rico</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Virgin Islands</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parish &amp; Mission Prop.</td>
<td>Total All Property*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Receipts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for</th>
<th>Est. Replacement Value 1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 American Congregations</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Europe</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brazil, Central</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brazil, Southern</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brazil, Southwestern</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central America</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cuba</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dominican Republic</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Haiti</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Liberia</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mexico</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Okinawa</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Philippines</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Puerto Rico</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Virgin Islands</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parish &amp; Mission Prop.</td>
<td>Total All Property*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Receipts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Disbursements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Insured for</th>
<th>Est. Replacement Value 1963</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 American Congregations</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Europe</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Brazil, Central</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Brazil, Southern</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Brazil, Southwestern</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Central America</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cuba</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dominican Republic</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Haiti</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Liberia</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Mexico</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Okinawa</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Panama Canal Zone</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Philippines</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Puerto Rico</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Virgin Islands</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL: 7,457,177 12,899,996**

*Diocesan figures not available for the years 1962 and 1963

q. 1962 figures not available, 1961 figures used
r. 1963 figures

<sup>917</sup> STATISTICS FOR THE TRIENNIAL

- **Source:** Not Available
- **Language:** English
- **Type:** Financial Statistics
- **Rows:** Diocese, Other Parish and Mission Funds, Having Separate Treasurers (Cont.), For Work Outside Parish or Mission, Securities and Other Non-Cash Items, Indebtedness, Total Endowments and Invested Funds (including Savings Accounts), Diocesan Property, Insured for 1961, Est. Replacement Value 1961, Parish & Mission Prop., Total All Property*, A. Receipts, B. Disbursements, Insured for, Est. Replacement Value 1963, From Parish & Mission Funds for Assessment Quota Budget, From National Council for any Purpose, All Other Income, Total, Administration (Assessment Budget), Program & Missions (Quota Budget less Nat. Coun. Portion), National Council Quota, Other Expenditures, Use in Diocese, Use Outside Diocese, Total.
### III. Financial Statistics (Continued)

#### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>A. For Local Support</th>
<th>B. For Special Parish or Mission Use</th>
<th>C. Work Outside Parish or Mission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>15,072,289</td>
<td>15,014,574</td>
<td>16,018,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>28,348,577</td>
<td>18,438,688</td>
<td>18,477,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>24,738,590</td>
<td>25,270,583</td>
<td>24,400,433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>21,820,043</td>
<td>23,034,601</td>
<td>24,577,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province V</td>
<td>10,526,773</td>
<td>19,477,924</td>
<td>20,361,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VI</td>
<td>6,592,585</td>
<td>7,105,071</td>
<td>7,271,783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VII</td>
<td>14,650,692</td>
<td>15,380,388</td>
<td>16,396,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province VIII</td>
<td>17,631,719</td>
<td>18,411,155</td>
<td>18,392,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>145,713,218</td>
<td>151,368,260</td>
<td>156,679,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Missionary Districts</td>
<td>832,530</td>
<td>1,079,222</td>
<td>1,187,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>146,545,748</td>
<td>152,446,782</td>
<td>160,867,121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1. Receipts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Receipts from Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2,658,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>29,665,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>21,862,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>21,862,580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Other Parish Funds Having Special Treasurers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2,658,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>29,665,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>21,862,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>21,862,580</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Disbursements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>F. Total Local Current Expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>10,035,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>10,016,737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>11,533,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>627,632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>G. Church Pension Fund Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>605,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>701,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>621,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>417,348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>H. Diocesan Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2,330,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>2,362,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>320,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>417,348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>I. Total for Special Purposes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2,330,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>2,362,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>320,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>417,348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission To Diocese and General Church Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>2,330,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1962</td>
<td>2,362,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963</td>
<td>320,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>417,348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### III. FINANCIAL STATISTICS (Continued)
#### Summary (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>J. Work Outside Parish or Mission (Continued)</th>
<th>K. Total Disbursements for Capital Purposes</th>
<th>Total Disbursements for Expenses and Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>212,488</td>
<td>506,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>222,171</td>
<td>521,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>224,017</td>
<td>526,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>222,171</td>
<td>521,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>655,836</td>
<td>1,555,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Missionary Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>212,488</td>
<td>506,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>222,171</td>
<td>521,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>224,017</td>
<td>526,372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>222,171</td>
<td>521,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>655,836</td>
<td>1,555,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6,485,786</td>
<td>165,372,812</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Disbursements (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Parish and Mission Funds Having Separate Treasurers (Cont.)</th>
<th>Securities and Other Non-Cash Items</th>
<th>Indebtedness</th>
<th>Total Endowments and Invested Funds (including Savings Accounts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For Work Outside Parish or Mission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>56,423</td>
<td>160,905</td>
<td>268,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Missionary Districts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>18,141</td>
<td>33,635</td>
<td>89,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>56,423</td>
<td>160,905</td>
<td>268,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>6,485,786</td>
<td>165,372,812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4. Property—Insurance & Value

| Province I                                                      | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province II                                                     | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province III                                                    | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province IV                                                     | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Total United States                                             | 56,423                              | 160,905     | 268,538                                                      |
| Other Missionary Districts                                      |                                     |             |                                                               |
| Province I                                                      | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province II                                                     | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province III                                                    | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Province IV                                                     | 18,141                              | 33,635      | 89,233                                                       |
| Total United States                                             | 56,423                              | 160,905     | 268,538                                                      |
| Grand Total                                                     | 6,485,786                            | 165,372,812 |                                                               |

#### 5. Diocesan Financial Information—1963

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parish &amp; Mission Property</th>
<th>Total All Property</th>
<th>A. Receipts</th>
<th>B. Disbursements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insured for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est. Replacement Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province I</td>
<td>127,000</td>
<td>214,318</td>
<td>341,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province II</td>
<td>127,000</td>
<td>214,318</td>
<td>341,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province III</td>
<td>127,000</td>
<td>214,318</td>
<td>341,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province IV</td>
<td>127,000</td>
<td>214,318</td>
<td>341,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total United States</td>
<td>501,000</td>
<td>642,636</td>
<td>943,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Missionary Districts</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>564,288,854</td>
<td>1,177,796,781</td>
<td>2,007,407,710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.a. Not Available

*Diocesan figures not available for the years 1962 and 1963*
I. PROLOGUE AND MANDATE

The Joint Commission on the Structure of General Convention and Provinces was created by and received a mandate from General Convention in 1961 to study and suggest implementation of the work formerly assigned to the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization of General Convention and the Joint Commission to Study the Provincial System. Both these matters had for a considerable time been engaging the attention of the General Convention, because of a growing dissatisfaction with the present provincial system in the American Church and a variety of concerns about the functioning of General Convention and its relationship to the ongoing life of the Church. The Joint Committee on Structure and Organization had been reconstituted at the General Convention of 1955, and its reports in 1958 and 1961 had found some acceptance in procedural changes, though none in structural matters. The Joint Committee to Study the Provincial System, recognizing the allied nature of its responsibility, asked to be discharged in 1961 and to have its functions assigned to the new Joint Commission.

Of special interest is the fact that the General Convention of 1961 suggested an expansion of the membership of this Commission by naming six laymen to act with the three bishops and three presbyters. The implication of this was that the considerable skills of the laity were not being fully utilized, and also that any change must have the ultimate support of the laity for its acceptance.

Pursuant to the action of the General Convention, the Joint Commission Membership was appointed, as follows:

The Rt. Rev. John P. Craine, D.D., of Indianapolis
The Rt. Rev. Henry I. Louttit, D.D., of South Florida
The Rt. Rev. Hamilton H. Kellogg, D.D., of Minnesota
The Very Rev. C. Julian Bartlett, D.D., of California
The Rev. W. Bradford Hastings of Western Massachusetts
The Rev. Canon Irwin C. Johnson, D.D., of Michigan
The Commission organized by choosing Bishop Craine to be Chairman and the Rev. Mr. Hastings as Secretary.

In 1962, Mr. Ullman resigned, and Mr. Fredriks D. Berger of Southern Ohio was appointed in his place. Upon the retirement of Canon Johnson from the active ministry, on January 1, 1963, the Very Rev. William B. Spofford, Jr., of Idaho, was named to the Commission.

The Commission held four meetings during the triennium. The organization meeting was held at Church Missions House in New York; subsequent meetings have been held in Chicago, as being more easily accessible to its widespread membership.

From a variety of sources, including material developed in the special hearings of the 1961 General Convention and memorials from dioceses and provinces, the Commission was requested to deal with such concerns of the Church as the following:

1. Can General Convention, meeting triennially, adequately serve the Church in the face of today's rapidly changing circumstances? Can existing procedures be streamlined and improved?

2. How can continuity in membership and work between Conventions be more adequately provided?

3. How can we prevent the General Convention from becoming an end in itself, often seeming to exist in a vacuum unrelated to National Council, provinces, dioceses, and parishes?

4. How can the whole Church have a greater sense of participation in the shaping of policies and decisions?

5. How can we improve communication between the Houses of Convention, National Council and its departments, and the dioceses of the Church?

6. How can we more effectively co-ordinate the work of General Convention with the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church?

7. Would a proportional method for electing deputies be a fairer basis of Convention membership?
(8) What will the Church do with the requests from many sources for the constitutional change of the term "layman" to "lay person"?

(9) How can the provincial system be made a more effective instrument in this Church's life and work, and what can we learn from other Anglican usages and our own historical development?

In dealing with these concerns, the Joint Commission called upon the following for recommendations and received helpful suggestions from them and others:


(2) The President, the Secretary, and the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business of the House of Deputies.

The Joint Commission also requested studies from

(1) The Rev. Canon C. Rankin Barnes—"A History of the Provincial System in the United States".

(2) Chancellor Reginald V. Harris of the Diocese of Nova Scotia—An outstanding authority on the provincial system in Canada.

(3) The Rev. John D. McCarty, Executive Director, General Division of Research and Field Study—"A Profile of General Convention Deputies". (Because of its immediate pertinence, this report is submitted as Appendix A.)

The Commission was mindful that the great gathering of the Church in the triennial Convention contains inspirational, economic, historic, and functional merits; but, at the same time, it was aware that expanding programs and solutions to complex problems cannot be developed with outmoded methods. The Commission was, further, mindful that, while the democratic processes of the General Convention—the parliamentary safeguards against precipitate action, the benefits of concurrence between the two Houses, and other tried and tested principles—must all be protected, it is imperative that every possible avenue be explored which might lead to more effective and efficient use of the time and talents of Deputies during General Convention, as well as during the intervening years.

While the continued study of this problem by a group of bishops and deputies especially fitted for the task is recommended, your Commission bespeaks your immediate consideration of the following specific recommendations.
II. GENERAL CONVENTION

A number of proposals have been received by your Commission to hold the General Convention at more frequent intervals than triennially. While there may be considerable merit in these proposals, the increasing demands on the time of the clergy and laity, arising from the development of our complex, fast-moving civilization, make any decision about more frequent meetings contingent, to a considerable degree, upon our ability to stream-line the format of the General Convention. The speed of modern travel and the disposition of men and women everywhere to get things done more rapidly condition us to changes that tradition of fifty years ago would not countenance.

Having these considerations in mind, and assuming that many procedural changes will, in due time, receive approval and implementation by the General Convention, your Commission can well envision the adoption in later years of an entirely new program for the Convention itself.

Accordingly, we can foresee future General Conventions starting, for example, on a Friday evening and ending with the “Missionary Service” and the reading of the Pastoral Letter on the second Sunday morning. The “Opening Service” might be deferred until the first Sunday evening. The first Friday evening and all day Saturday would give the Convention time to make a real start on its program, and put it in position for a solid week of intensive work. Committee meetings and hearings could also take place over the first week-end. There would, of course, be an opportunity under such a timetable for most of the dinners, rallies, and outside meetings which have become an important parallel activity and background of triennial meetings.

After giving the matter full consideration, the Commission was of the unanimous opinion that no change in the frequency of the meetings of the General Convention is presently feasible; but that certain procedural improvements are urgently needed, in any case, and would help make possible future consideration of more frequent meetings of the General Convention. Among such improvements, the Commission recommends the following:

Opening Roll-Call

By the amendment, in 1961, of Rule No. 2 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies, the necessity of the time-consuming opening roll-call was eliminated, and we recommend initiating this practice.
The President of the House of Deputies

In the previous triennium, the Joint Committee recommended that the President of the House of Deputies be elected at the close of the General Convention instead of at the beginning, but did not offer a specific proposal. Having studied the recommendation, this Commission is firmly convinced that the term of office of the President of the House of Deputies ought to run from the close of one General Convention to the close of the next. The President would then be free to appoint committees before the convening of the General Convention, and at least some of the committees might meet before the opening, thus being prepared to make reports earlier in the session.

The Commission also concluded that it would be desirable to have a Vice-President, of the order other than that of the President.

The following canonical and rule changes are, accordingly, recommended:

1. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That Clauses (a) and (b) of Section 1 of Canon 1 be amended to read as follows:

Sec. 1 (a). At the time and place appointed for the meeting of the General Convention, the President of the House of Deputies, or, in his absence, the Vice-President of the House, or, if there be neither, a Chairman pro tempore appointed by the members of the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee of Arrangements for the General Convention, shall call to order the members present. The Secretary, or, in his absence, a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the presiding officer, shall record the names of those whose testimonials, in due form, shall have been presented to him, which record shall be prima facie evidence that the persons whose names are therein recorded are entitled to seats. If there be a quorum present, the Secretary shall so certify, and the House shall proceed to organize by the election, by ballot, of a Secretary, and a majority of the votes cast shall be necessary to such election. Upon such election, the presiding officer shall declare the House organized. If there be a vacancy in the office of President or Vice-President, the vacancy or vacancies shall then be filled by election, by ballot, the term of any officer so elected to continue until the adjournment of the General Convention. As soon as such vacancies are filled, the President shall appoint a committee to wait upon the House of
Bishops and inform them of the organization of the House of Deputies, and of its readiness to proceed to business.

(b) There shall be a President and a Vice-President of the House of Deputies, who shall perform the duties normally appropriate to their respective offices or specified in these Canons. They shall be elected not later than the seventh day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention in the manner herein set forth. The House of Deputies shall elect from its membership by a majority of separate ballots, a President and a Vice-President, who shall be of different orders. Such officers shall take office at the adjournment of the triennial meeting at which they are elected, and shall continue in office until the adjournment of the following triennial meeting of the General Convention. They shall be and remain *ex officio* members of the House during their term of office. No person elected President or Vice-President shall be eligible for more than two consecutive terms in such respective offices. In case of resignation, death, absence, or inability, of the President, the Vice-President shall perform the duties of the office until a new President is elected;

and be it further

*Resolved*, the House of _______ concurring, That the present Clauses (b) through (i) of Section 1 of Canon 1 become Clauses (c) through (j), respectively; and be it further

*Resolved*, the House of _______ concurring, That this amendment become effective upon enactment, and that the election of a President and Vice-President of the House of Deputies be held at this meeting of the General Convention, although such election may occur after the seventh day thereof.

2. *Resolved*, the House of _______ concurring, That Clause (h) [now Clause (g)] of Section 1 of Canon 1 be amended to read:

(h). In case of the resignation, death, or total disability, of the President and Vice-President during the recess of the General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall perform such *ad interim* duties as may appertain to the office of President until the next meeting of the General Convention or until such disability is removed.
3. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Clause (i) [now Clause (h)] of Section 1 of Canon 1 be amended to read:

   (i). If, during recess, a vacancy shall occur in the office of Secretary of the House of Deputies, the duties thereof shall devolve upon the First Assistant Secretary, or, if there be none such, upon a Secretary pro tempore appointed by the President, or, if the office of President be also vacant, then by the Vice-President, and if both offices be vacant, then by the members of the House of Deputies on the Joint Committee on Arrangements for the next General Convention, appointed by the preceding General Convention.

4. Resolved, That the last paragraph of Rule No. 29 of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended to read as follows:

   The election of the President, Vice-President, or Secretary of the House, or of the Treasurer of the General Convention, shall be by individual secret ballot; though, by unanimous consent and direction of the House, a single ballot may be cast by an officer of the House in its behalf.

**Official Message Box**

In the interest of expediting such routine and time-consuming items as notifications, committee reports, announcements of hearings, distribution of the legislative calendar, etc., the Commission recommends that an official message box be provided for each deputation. It urges, therefore, the following amendment to the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies:

5. Resolved, That Standing Order III of the House of Deputies be supplemented by adding thereto the following:

   He shall also provide an official message box for the deputations from each of the Dioceses, Missionary Districts, and the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, for use for announcements concerning quorum, changes in deputations, committee appointments, organization, hearings, and reports, if feasible; the daily legislative calendar, when prepared, which shall note whether or not the respective committees will report, resolutions to be acted upon, and special orders; and for such other messages and purposes as the
President or Secretary of the House of Deputies may deem proper.

**Legislative Calendar**

Recognizing the proved value of the legislative calendar, introduced and used in the House of Deputies in 1961, the Commission recommends the continuation of this procedure.

**Convention Summary**

In the interest of improved communications, the Commission favors continuing the distribution of a printed summary of the actions of the Convention (as initiated by the Resolution appearing in the 1961 Journal, page 454), and therefore recommends that this become a regular procedure, and presents the following Resolutions to amend the Rules of Order of both Houses:

6. **Resolved**, That the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies be amended by adding a new Section IV to the Standing orders, to read:

IV. The Secretary of the House of Deputies, being the Secretary of the General Convention, shall, with the co-operation of the Secretary of the House of Bishops, and of such Bishops as may be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops, prepare a summary of the actions of the General Convention of particular interest to the Congregations of the Church, and make the same available to the Congregations through the ministers in charge thereof, and to the Lay Deputies; such summary to be sent to the clergy along with the Pastoral Letter put forth by the House of Bishops, and to be made available to all Deputies on the last day of the Convention, along with such Pastoral Letter, if feasible to do so, or within ten days thereafter.

7. **Resolved**, That Rule XXIII of the House of Bishops be amended by adding thereto the following:

and in assisting the Secretary of the General Convention in the preparation of the summary of the actions of the General Convention of particular interest to the Congregations of the Church, as provided in Section IV of the Standing Orders of the Rules of Order of the House of Deputies.
Consultants to Committees and Commissions

Recognizing the frequent value of having National Council staff members and other experts meeting with Joint Committees and Joint Commissions, the following amendment to the Joint Rules is proposed by the Commission to facilitate such procedure, namely:

8. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That Rule No. 8 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees be amended by adding thereto the following:

On the request of the Secretary of a Joint Committee or Joint Commission, when so authorized by the Committee or Commission, the Presiding Bishop, in respect of Bishops, and the President of the House of Deputies, in respect of Presbyters and Lay Persons, may appoint members and staff of the National Council, or other experts, as consultants to such Committee or Commission, to assist in the performance of its functions. Notice of such appointment shall be given to the Secretaries of both Houses.

Briefing Session and Study Groups

It is recommended that both the Briefing Session and the Study Groups be continued for new Deputies; and it is suggested that the delegates to the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church be invited to participate in the Study Groups.

Co-ordination of Committees and Commissions

It has become apparent in the work of this Commission that the complicated network of Joint Committees and Joint Commissions of the General Convention requires better co-ordination and clarification of duties. Therefore, the Commission presents the following Resolution:

9. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Committee on Committees and Commissions be requested to give consideration to ordering a study of means to the attaining of a more effective relationship in the work of Committees and Commissions.

Presentation and Referral of Resolutions

The Commission urges the adoption of a procedure whereby all Resolutions and Memorials be sent to the Secretary of the General Convention at least 60 days in advance of the opening date of
Convention; and that the presiding officers of the respective Houses refer these matters, by mail, to the proper Standing Committee; and that each such Committee be requested to meet some time immediately prior to the General Convention to consider such matters; and, further, that the Secretaries of both Houses be instructed to prepare listings of Memorials and Resolutions for each House, naming the proposers and giving a brief statement of their intent; such listings to be distributed in the official message boxes.

In the view of the Commission, no constitutional or canonical change is here involved. This recommendation can be implemented by Resolution.

**Use of Conference Committee Procedure**

In the interest of improving communication between the two Houses of the Convention and making more effective their joint action, the Commission strongly urges the implementation of the Conference Committee procedure made possible under Section 2 of the Joint Rules of Joint Committees.

* * * *

Other matters affecting the General Convention have been referred to the Commission or brought to its attention. With regard to these matters, the following recommendations are submitted:

**Lay Persons**

The General Convention—in 1952, 1955, and 1958—declined to amend the Constitution so as to change the term "layman" to "lay person". Again, in 1961, on the fifth day, a similar amendment was defeated. However, on the tenth day, the House of Deputies, by a vote of 250 to 121, directed this Commission to consider the communication from the Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church dealing with this matter. In recognition of the Memorials and Petitions from dioceses and other interested Church groups, this Joint Commission believes that the time has come to face squarely the fact that equality of opportunity is being denied the women of the Church to be members of its legislative body. Further, a substantial number of dioceses now seat women delegates in their diocesan conventions; yet, as the Constitution is presently interpreted, these same dioceses are restricted in their right to choose the representation they wish in the General Convention. The Commission has unanimously concluded to recommend the adoption of the following constitutional amendment:
10. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That, pursuant to Article XI. of the Constitution, the following amendment to the Constitution is hereby proposed; and that the same be made known to the several Dioceses and Missionary Districts and to the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe, in order that the same may be adopted at the next General Convention, to wit:

That Article I., Sec. 4, of the Constitution be amended by substituting the words "lay persons" for the word "laymen" and the words "lay person" for the word "layman".

**Proportional Representation**

The question of proportional representation in the General Convention must, of course, be left to the wisdom of the two Houses and the guidance of the Holy Spirit. If the goal to be sought is to have our legislative processes somewhat patterned after the system commonly in use in the United States, then it would appear that proportional representation should be adopted for the House of Deputies. At the same time, it would seem to follow that the principle of only one vote per diocese or missionary district should obtain in the House of Bishops. In determining the mechanics of proportional representation, this Commission urges the General Convention to direct the Provinces to study the matter, giving consideration to the following:

(a) Proportional representation for both dioceses and missionary districts might take into account these factors, to wit:

(1) A limit to be established for maximum and minimum number of deputies for each jurisdiction.

(2) In the House of Bishops, each bishop to have a voice, but each diocese or missionary district to have only one vote.

(b) In preparing this recommendation, the following guidelines might be considered:

(1) Number of communicants,

(2) Number of parochial clergy,

(3) Size of each deputation: 2, 4, or 6—or 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6—in each order.

Certain material (See Appendix B) is appended to this report which may be helpful to the Provinces in coming to a decision,
should the matter be referred to them by the General Convention. The Commission offers the following Resolution:

11. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That the General Convention direct the Provinces to consider, at the respective Synods next following this Convention, the matter of proportional representation in the House of Deputies of the General Convention, and to report their findings to the Joint Commission on the Structure of the General Convention immediately following such Synods.

**Appropriation to Host Dioceses**

**From the Budget of General Convention**

The raising of the funds necessary for the entertaining of the General Convention imposes a substantial burden on the host diocese, and the effort of raising them must compete with other diocesan goals, particularly that goal recommended by the General Convention—that dioceses and missionary districts give to the National Church an amount equal to that which is expended on diocesan programs.

In 1952, the Joint Committee on Structure and Organization introduced a Resolution to the effect that the Treasurer of the General Convention be instructed to pay to the host diocese of each General Convention the costs incurred by it in entertaining the General Convention, but not to exceed $50,000.00. An amendment was offered, and carried, to pay one-half of the costs, to a limit of $25,000.00. This is the arrangement which now prevails. Believing that General Convention should pay more of its own way, making lesser demands on the host diocese, this Commission recommends the following Resolution:

12. Resolved, the House of _____ concurring, That the Treasurer of the General Convention be instructed to pay to the Host Diocese each triennium seventy-five per cent (75%) of the net costs incurred by it in entertaining the General Convention, but not to exceed the sum of $50,000.00 in any such case.

**III. PROVINCES**

Having carefully studied Canon 8, "Of Provinces", the history and function of Provinces in the Anglican Communion, the several Memorials presented, and reports of the present functioning of Provinces in the Episcopal Church in the United States and in the Anglican Church of Canada, this Joint Commission is of the
opinion that the present functioning of the provincial synods and their programs, both at the synod meetings and through their several departments and commissions, provides real value for the Church.

However, it is believed that there presently exists a communications gap between the clergy and laity in general and the National Council and that this gap is particularly noticeable between General Conventions. During these three-year periods, the National Council operates largely from policy determined by the last General Convention, but the Church at large may not be aware of the practical problems encountered by the Council in implementing policy. Conversely, the Council has little exposure to situations being encountered by the clergy and laity at grass-root levels. The provincial synods would seem to have distinct usefulness in closing this gap. It is with this thought in mind that this Commission makes the following recommendations, with the hope that certain educational features of these proposals may serve to crystalize pre-Convention thinking, and thus, possibly, contribute to shortened General Conventions.

(1) General Convention deputies should be invited to have seat and voice in all synods—in addition to elected deputies. The following Resolution is recommended:

13. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the General Convention request and urge the Provinces to invite the Deputies to the General Convention (and Deputies-elect, if there be any) from their respective constituent Dioceses and Missionary Districts, to attend their Synods and to grant them a voice in the proceedings of the House of Deputies thereof; and that the Secretary of the General Convention notify the Presidents of the Provinces of the adoption of this Resolution, and request that appropriate action be taken to amend the Ordinances of the Synods, or otherwise, to carry out the intention of this Resolution.

(2) That General Convention refer, when feasible, all matters of major concern to the provincial synods for discussion and recommendation. The Commission recommends the following canonical change:

14. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That Section 10 of Canon 8 be hereby amended to read as follows:

Within sixty days after each session of the General Convention, the Presidents of the two Houses thereof shall refer to the Provincial Synods, or any of them, such
subjects as the General Convention may direct, or as they may deem advisable, for consideration thereof by the Synods, and it shall be the duty of such Synods to consider the subject or subjects so referred to them at the first meeting of the Synod held after the adjournment of the General Convention, and to report their action and judgment in the matter to the Secretary of the House of Bishops and to the Secretary of the House of Deputies at least six months before the date of the meeting of the next General Convention.

(3) That diocesan Memorials and Petitions to General Convention be referred, when feasible, to provincial synods for discussion and recommendation before presentation to the General Convention. In every case, said diocesan Petitions and Memorials shall state whether or not they have been considered by the provincial synod. The following Resolution is recommended:

15. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That Diocesan and Missionary District Memorials and Petitions to the General Convention be referred, when feasible, to the Provincial Synod of which the Diocese or Missionary District presenting such Memorial or Petition is a member for discussion and recommendation, if any, before being presented to the General Convention; and that a statement shall accompany each such Diocesan or Missionary District Memorial or Petition stating whether it has been considered by the Provincial Synod, and, if so, the recommendation, if any, made by the Synod.

(4) That each Province be represented by observers at one National Council meeting each triennium by the following representation: one bishop, one priest, and two lay persons, from each diocese in the Province; said observers to be nominated to the synod by their respective dioceses and elected by the synod. The Commission recommends this Resolution:

16. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the General Convention request the National Council to invite as observers at its meetings representatives from one or two of the Provinces (at the expense of the Provinces), consisting of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and two Lay Persons, from each Diocese and Missionary District in the Province, to be selected by the Provincial Synod from nominees recommended by the respective Dioceses and Missionary Districts, to the end that each Province shall have had one such opportunity during the triennium.
IV. CONCLUSION

The Joint Commission had many other matters presented to it for consideration. We report the following because they called for formal action:

1. The Commission would leave to the wisdom of the presiding officers of both Houses the advisability of jointly sponsored public hearings on controversial issues.

2. The Commission did not concur with the recommendation that Standing Committees of General Convention be enlarged to ensure that all deputies shall serve on one.

3. Regarding the suggestion that post-Convention teams be sent into dioceses and districts, the Commission felt strongly that this was a matter for local decision and a function of Convention deputies and the delegates to the Triennial of Women of the Church.

The Commission has been impressed with the size and complexity of the task referred to it. To simplify and make clear the procedures and relations of General Convention and the Provinces on the one hand, and the dioceses, parishes, and Church members on the other, is no simple or short job. Since this report represents only initial work, continuation of the Commission is herewith recommended:

17. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces be continued during the next triennium; such Joint Commission to be composed of three Bishops, three Presbyters, and six Lay Persons, and that, in so far as feasible, its members shall represent each of the Provinces; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the sum of $4,000.00 for the next triennium be provided in the budget for the work of said Joint Commission.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriation from General Convention .................. $3,600.00

Disbursements
Meetings (Arrangements and Expenses of Members) .................. $3,504.65
Secretarial services, duplicating, postage and supplies .................. 95.35
Total disbursements .......................... $3,600.00
APPENDIX A

Profile of General Convention Deputies 1964

In 1963, the Joint Commission on Structure of General Convention and Provinces requested that a profile of General Convention Deputies be developed. The General Division of Research and Field Study agreed to make such a profile, planning to collect the data for such a Study from the registration cards in St. Louis. The President of the House of Deputies, however, sent a questionnaire to all elected deputies requesting information to assist him in the appointment of General Convention Committees. The following tables have been constructed from this questionnaire for the assistance of the Joint Commission.

At the time of this Study, 436 individuals had answered the request. Of these, 235 were clergymen, and 201 were laymen.

Occupation: Of the 235 clergymen, 76.6% are parish priests, 11.9% Cathedral Deans, and 8% diocesan staff members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positions Held</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parish clergy</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathedral deans</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diocesan clergy</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian chaplains</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminary professors</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private school teachers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clergy in secular occupation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the 201 laymen reporting, 12 did not answer the question on occupation and five reported that they were retired. Excluding the 12 with undesignated occupations, 54% of the lay deputies report working for profit-making organizations, 38.6% are self-employed, 4.8% are government-employed, and 2.6% are retired.

One hundred eighty-four individuals report gainful occupations at the time of this study; of these, 38.6% are in managerial positions, 29.9% are members of the legal profession, 17.9% are members of other professions, and 11.4% are in real estate, insurance, advertising, and allied fields.

### Occupation Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managerial</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Management</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Management</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Managers</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Management</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Management</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmasters</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Presidents</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration Officials</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publisher</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Professional Persons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lawyers</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judges</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians and Surgeons</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Engineers</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professors and Instructors</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editors</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religious Workers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentists</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Scientist</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Workers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private School Dean</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other

|                                                               | Number |
|                                                               |--------|
| Insurance agents and brokers                                  | 10     |
| Advertising agents and salesmen                               | 7      |
| Real Estate agents and brokers                                | 4      |
| Farmers and ranchers                                          | 4      |
**Size of Congregation:** Although 55.4% of the congregations in the United States report fewer than 200 communicants, only 11.3% of the clerical, and 14.6% of the lay deputies, came from congregations of this size. On the other hand, there is good proportional representation when number of communicants is considered. The following table illustrates this distribution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIZE OF CONGREGATION BY NUMBER OF COMMUNICANTS</th>
<th>1-99</th>
<th>100-199</th>
<th>200-499</th>
<th>500-999</th>
<th>1000-+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of congregation in U.S.A.</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of communicants in U.S.A.</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of lay deputies</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of clerical deputies</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Geographic Area:** The types of areas in which the home churches of General Convention deputies are located is portrayed in the next chart. It illustrates the fact that the Episcopal Church is an urban church.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Area</th>
<th>% of Delegates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 10,000-49,999</td>
<td>22.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City 2,500-9,999</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town 1,000-2,499</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 1,000</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that the deputies to the House of Deputies are well-educated and, for the most part, from the managerial or professional groups within the population. They are men with leadership skills who have attained relatively high rank in their community and in their profession. They are urban men, although there is some representation from smaller places. Their geographic distribution is representative of the whole Church, and their attributes indicate that they are leaders of the Church.

**APPENDIX B**

**Dioceses Arranged In Ascending Order Of Number of Clergy (1962)**

The statistics below are broken into groups as follows: The figures in the right-hand column suggest a possible scale for proportional representation on the basis of clergy.
## APPENDIX 33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Dioceses</th>
<th>Clergy</th>
<th>Deputies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Under 50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>51 - 100</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>101 - 200</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>201 - 500</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Eau Claire</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Northern Michigan</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Quincy</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Western Kansas</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Easton</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Northwest Texas</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fond du Lac</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Northern Indiana</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>New Mexico and Southwest Texas</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Western Michigan</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Rochester</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Indianapolis</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Harrisburg</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Upper South Carolina</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Bethlehem</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>West Texas</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Western New York</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Southern Virginia</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Milwaukee</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Western Massachusetts</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Central New York</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Southern Ohio</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Structure of Convention and Provinces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Dioceses</th>
<th>Communicants</th>
<th>Deputies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Under 10,000</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10,001 - 20,000</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20,001 - 30,000</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30,001 - 50,000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50,001 - 100,000</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dioceses Arranged In Ascending Order Of Number of Communicants (1962)

The statistics below are broken into groups as follows: The figures in the right-hand column suggest a possible scale for proportional representation on the basis of communicants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Communicants</th>
<th>Deputies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Eau Claire</td>
<td>3186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Nevada</td>
<td>3396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Northern Michigan</td>
<td>3440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Western Kansas</td>
<td>3466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 North Dakota</td>
<td>3522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Utah</td>
<td>3831</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Eastern Oregon</td>
<td>4054</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Quincy</td>
<td>4212</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Idaho</td>
<td>4968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Easton</td>
<td>6267</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Lexington</td>
<td>6476</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Western North Carolina</td>
<td>6582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Springfield</td>
<td>7114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Montana</td>
<td>7408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Vermont</td>
<td>7646</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Fond du Lac</td>
<td>7871</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Northwest Texas</td>
<td>8287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Northern Indiana</td>
<td>9004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 9,526
The Joint Committee has been very much aided in its work by the many proposals and suggestions which have been forwarded to it. All of these have been carefully considered. Many of them concern matters, which, while interesting in themselves, were considered beyond the scope of the terms of reference provided by the General Convention; therefore our failure to make recommendations as to such matters does not mean that they might not be brought up before the Convention through other processes. Our recommendations below deal with matters which we believe fall within our province.
While some of the proposals speak for themselves, others, particularly those involving major changes, require some statement of the reasons for proposal. Among these are the following:

Re-apportionment of the Representation in the House of Deputies

Explaining the polity of the Protestant Episcopal Church, we customarily make much of analogy between our government and that of the United States. However, while the latter certainly sets no absolute form for us, we do believe that the contrast between the representation in the Senate and House of Representatives presents a sound principle, which—in spite of the frequent comparisons made between our Church and the Nation—does not obtain in our Church. In effect, the House of Deputies is not like the House of Representatives but is like the Senate, with equality of representation, in spite of vast differences of Church population within the respective dioceses. Therefore we are proposing a modest revision in the scheme of representation in the House of Deputies which will somewhat more nearly reflect the differences in the proportion of Church membership. We say “modest” because the “curve” is considerably “flattened”, in order to reduce by no more than one the representation in the smaller dioceses and to increase by no more than two the representation in the largest dioceses. There will be some who feel that this proposal is too conservative; but we feel that a conservative change is in order, since the Church has been so long under the other system.

Our reasons for the recommendation are these:

a. Those dioceses which contribute more fully to the life, work, and support of the Church should, in equity, have a somewhat larger voice in the decisions made which affect the clergy and communicants of the Church.

b. We feel that the House of Deputies itself is strengthened by the availability in the House of Deputies, for deliberation and committee work, of presbyters and laymen of proven ability, of the larger dioceses who, under the present system, are not sent to General Convention.

c. Generally speaking, the larger dioceses are in a better position to defray the expenses of deputies to the Convention than the smaller dioceses.

It will be noticed that the plan does not greatly reduce the number of deputies. This will be a disappointment to some. But the objective of studying this plan was not primarily the reduction of the size of the House, although this is doubtless a concern widely
felt. However, we are glad that, as an incidental result, some reduction is effected under the proposal.

To those in whom a quite natural "States' rights" reaction results from examining the proposal, we have this answer: The House of Bishops seems to be a sufficient representation of this interest, just as the United States Senate in our Federal Government.

The way that the plan would work out, under present figures as to the size of each diocese (symbolized by the number of its active clergy—omitting 47 National Council officers) is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Active Clergy</th>
<th>Number of Dioceses</th>
<th>Proposed Deputation In Each Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-125</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126-200</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 200</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Membership, 568
APPENDIX 34

REPORT OF THE TREASURER

The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America:

The Treasurer presents the following statement of receipts, expenditures, and fund balance, for the triennium ended August 15, 1964, preceded by the opinion of our independent accountants and auditors, Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co.

PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL & CO.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
SEVENTY PINE STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005

ACCOUNTANTS’ REPORT

The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America:

We have examined the statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance of The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for the three years ended August 15, 1964. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance presents fairly the recorded cash transactions of The General Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America for the three years ended August 15, 1964, on a basis consistent with that of the preceding period. Also, in our opinion, the accompanying schedule is stated fairly in all material respects when considered in conjunction with the statement of receipts, expenditures and fund balance taken as a whole.

/s/ Peat, Marwick, Mitcheli & Co.

New York, N. Y.
August 26, 1964

943
THE GENERAL CONVENTION OF THE PROTESTANT EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURES AND FUND BALANCE
THREE YEARS ENDED AUGUST 15, 1964

Receipts:
Assessments ........................................ $461,555.43
Sales of journal, constitution and canons .................. 12,439.07
Interest on savings accounts ................................ 10,596.15

Total Receipts ........................................ 484,590.65

Expenditures (Schedule 1) ................................ 447,609.27
Excess of receipts over expenditures ....................... 36,981.38

Fund balance:
Beginning of period .................................. 103,645.97
End of period ........................................ $140,627.35
Balance consisting of cash in banks ....................... $140,627.35
### Treasurers' Expenditures

**Schedule 1**

**Three Years Ended August 15, 1964**

#### Presiding Bishop:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$67,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$11,625.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary Fund</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$4,137.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenographic help</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>$576.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$139,838.72</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Retiring Allowance—Retired Bishops:

- Amount: $39,034.59

#### Seabury House Maintenance:

- Amount: $30,000.00

#### Travel of Bishops and other Clergy to Consecrations of Missionary Bishops:

- Amount: $2,989.22

#### House of Bishops:

**Secretary**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$841.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, steno, printing, postage, etc...</td>
<td>$2,209.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,050.66</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assistant Secretaries**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$477.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,077.67</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### House of Deputies:

**President—Travel and Office Expense**:

- Amount: $570.36

**Secretary**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$720.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$184.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, steno, printing, postage, etc...</td>
<td>$2,811.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>$9,325.44</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assistant Secretaries**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries</td>
<td>$900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$1,013.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,913.63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Historiographer:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Registrar:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$270.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>$3,490.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,560.39</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Custodian, Book of Common Prayer:

- Amount: $113.61

#### Recorder of Ordinations:

- Amount: $4,330.39

**Carried Forward**:

- Amount: $242,734.56
### EXPENDITURES, Continued
(Schedule 1 continued)

Brought forward: $242,734.56

**Treasurer:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td>$3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>2,393.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,993.49</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Committees, Commissions and Societies:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committees and Commissions</td>
<td>28.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutions and Canons</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecumenical Relations</td>
<td>$7,614.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds, etc.</td>
<td>685.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education for Holy Orders</td>
<td>12,958.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evangelism</td>
<td>4,709.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>242.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,467.63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention Arrangements</td>
<td>2,067.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention Structure and Organization</td>
<td>3,628.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healing Ministry</td>
<td>1,619.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical Society</td>
<td>48,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Affairs</td>
<td>2,476.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>286.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,190.04</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Areas</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missionary Districts</td>
<td>2,030.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>30.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Music</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominations for Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>1,592.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program and Budget</td>
<td>10,218.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quotas</td>
<td>2,167.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>123.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,043.90</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing Liturgical Commission</td>
<td>8,612.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of the Church</td>
<td>687.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unity</td>
<td>12,081.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>81.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>12,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women Church Workers</td>
<td>1,508.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>127,925.63</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Convention expense</td>
<td>5,676.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>97.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,579.54</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Host Diocese</td>
<td>25,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Printing:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal, Constitution and Canons</td>
<td>37,828.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>570.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>37,258.06</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reports of Committees</td>
<td>1,454.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less refunds</td>
<td>74.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,379.91</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingent Fund</td>
<td>1,738.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>38,637.97</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $447,609.27
The budget for the triennium amounted to $475,485.00. Expenditures were under that amount by $27,875.73, (5.86% of the total budget).

Disbursements for twenty-seven of the sixty-one expense items were less than the budget appropriations by $44,272.03, (9.31% of the total budget). Disbursements for ten items exceeded the budget appropriations by $16,396.30, (3.45% of the total budget).

The expenditures in excess of budget appropriations are listed as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Disbursed</th>
<th>Over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presiding Bishop, Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>11,475.00</td>
<td>11,625.00a</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel, Bishops &amp; Priests Officiating at</td>
<td>1,500.00</td>
<td>2,989.22b</td>
<td>1,489.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consecrations of Missionary Bishops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Custodian, Book of Common Prayer</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>113.61b</td>
<td>13.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecumenical Relations</td>
<td>4,500.00</td>
<td>6,928.22c</td>
<td>2,428.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>100.00b</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention, Arrangements</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>2,067.51c</td>
<td>1,067.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominations for Presiding Bishop</td>
<td>-0-</td>
<td>1,592.09d</td>
<td>1,592.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program &amp; Budget</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>10,218.05e</td>
<td>1,218.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Convention Expense</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>5,579.54</td>
<td>579.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing Journal, Constitution &amp; Canons</td>
<td>29,500.00</td>
<td>37,258.06d</td>
<td>7,758.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$62,075.00 $78,471.30 $16,396.30

a: Due to increased value of living quarters for Church Pension Fund assessment.
b: Reported to and approved by Chairman, Joint Committee on Expenses.
c: Joint Committee on Expenses approved additional $2,500.00 for each of these items.
d: Due to increased printing costs.

The charges to the Contingent Fund, approved by the Chairman of the Committee on Expenses, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historiographer, Church Pension Fund</td>
<td>$67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing &amp; distributing Statement of House of Bishops</td>
<td>1,144.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses of guest speakers House of Bishops</td>
<td>526.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total $1,738.08
The amount disbursed for the canonical retiring allowances to retired bishops, $39,034.59, was $17,965.41 less than the appropriation, because of five deaths.

It is a pleasure to report that all dioceses and missionary districts have paid their assessments in full for the years 1962, 1963 and 1964.

To the Presiding Bishop, the officers of both Houses, the Assistant Treasurer, the Joint Committee on Expenses, and the Joint Committee on Audit, the Treasurer expresses his appreciation for assistance and many courtesies.

Richard P. Kent, Jr. Treasurer
APPENDIX 35

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
ON APPROACHES TO UNITY

The Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, constituted after the close of the General Convention of 1961, consisted of the following members:

The Rt. Rev. Robert F. Gibson, DD., of Virginia
The Rt. Rev. C. Francis Burrill, D.D., of Chicago
The Rt. Rev. William H. Brady, D.D., of Fond du Lac
The Rt. Rev. Richard S. M. Emrich, Ph.D., of Michigan
The Rev. Powel M. Dawley, Ph.D., of New York
The Rev. Charles D. Kean, D.D., of Washington
The Rev. Alden D. Kelley, D.D., of Minnesota
The Rev. James P. De Wolfe, Jr., of Dallas
The Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, Ph.D., of Washington
J. L. Pierson of Missouri
Peter Day of Milwaukee
Andrew Oliver of New York
John Quarles of Massachusetts
Mrs. Clifford C. Cowin of Ohio

The Commission organized by the election of Bishop Gibson as Chairman, Dr. Dawley as First Vice-Chairman, Bishop Brady as Second Vice-Chairman, and Dr. Kean as Secretary-Treasurer.

The Joint Commission has held six regular or special meetings during the triennium, as well as a number of additional meetings attended by its officers and sub-committees.

There have been some changes in the Commission personnel. We regret the resignation of the Rev. Canon Theodore O. Wedel, because of the pressures of work; and we have welcomed the appointment of the Rev. William J. Wolf in his place. We report with sorrow the death, on October 16, 1963, of the Rev. Charles Duell Kean, who is memorialized elsewhere in this report.

The principal business during the past triennium has been to implement the decision of the General Convention of 1961 to engage representatives of the Episcopal Church with those of
other Churches in unity conversations, the organization of which has come to be called the Consultation on Church Union; and to carry out the instructions of the General Convention in respect of the conduct of these conversations from the side of the Episcopal Church. A large part of this Report, therefore, deals with the progress of the conversations inaugurated when the last General Convention accepted the invitation of the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America to join with it in inviting the Methodist Church and the United Church of Christ "to explore the establishment of a united Church, truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical".

Two matters, however, require reporting before we summarize the activities of the Consultation on Church Union. The first is the proposal for a single Ecumenical Commission, and the second is a note on relations with the Philippine Independent Church.

I

A SINGLE ECUMENICAL COMMISSION

The members of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity are in whole-hearted agreement with the proposals set forth in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations for the co-ordination of all the ecumenical activities of the Episcopal Church in the scope of a single enlarged Commission. Today, when opportunities for ecumenical encounter and achievement are presented on many sides, it seems of primary and urgent importance that a single Commission assume responsibility for the promotion, direction, and effective co-ordination of all these activities.

Participation in the Consultation on Church Union, the increase of conferences with representatives of Eastern Orthodoxy, the growing opportunities for dialog with Roman Catholics, the new direction taken in inter-Anglican relations, the increasing activity of the Wider Episcopal Fellowship—these, for example, as well as other movements in the ecumenical scene, present responsibilities which should no longer be undertaken independently of each other. We have reached a stage in the ecumenical affairs of Christendom that plainly requires a close co-ordination of efforts on every side.

The Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, therefore, is in full support of the Resolution presented to the General Convention in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations for the establishment of single official Ecumenical Commission to direct the activity of our Church in all these affairs and others of the same nature that may arise from time to time.
II

THE PHILIPPINE INDEPENDENT CHURCH

At the General Convention of 1961, it was the privilege of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity to sponsor the Concordat of Full Communion which has since been in effect between this Church and the Philippine Independent Church. During the triennium, the Commission has had a continuing relationship with the Philippine Independent Church through the Joint Council of the two Churches, the membership of which includes a representative of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity. Under appointment by the Presiding Bishop, the Bishop of Virginia, who is Chairman of the Joint Commission, has served in this liaison position. Bishop Gibson has been in regular communication with leaders of both Churches in the Philippines, has attended meetings of the Joint Council in Manila, and has traveled extensively in the Philippines during the winters of 1962 and 1963.

We report most encouraging progress in the Philippine Independent Church since the establishment of the Concordat, and note that the relations of that Church with the Episcopal Church in the Philippines have grown steadily stronger and more intimate. The missionary and ecumenical opportunities which have been widened by this relationship are of outstanding significance, and it is evident that they bear promise of great fruit if the Episcopal Church continues its interest, financial support, and co-operative activity in this area of responsibility.

A fuller report on this matter is contained in the Report of the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, to which this Commission gives its support. We also record our full endorsement of the Resolution regarding the Philippine Independent Church which has been submitted to the General Convention by the Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations.

III

THE CONSULTATION ON CHURCH UNION

Preparation

Acting upon the directive of the General Convention to re-publish the Statement of Faith and Order prepared for the Lambeth Conference of 1948 and the General Convention of 1949, the Commission caused to be printed a small pamphlet entitled, *Documents on Church Unity*, containing what the General Convention in 1961 termed "various historic statements defining this
Church's stand in the field of Christian re-union". In addition to the Statement of Faith and Order, the booklet includes the Chicago Quadrilateral of 1886, the Lambeth version of the Quadrilateral of 1888, and the Lambeth "Appeal to All Christian People" of 1920.

The Convention Resolution directing this publication instructed the Joint Commission "to make the historic position of this Church, as defined in these several statements, the framework for all Church unity conversations in which it shall be engaged". The Commission has sought to be faithful to this injunction at every opportunity, and the first use of the booklet was its circulation at the initial meeting of the Consultation on Church Union, in order that representatives of other Churches might be fully aware of our position. Publication of the pamphlet was subsequently assumed by the Seabury Press, in order that it might be widely available to our own people, as well as to others.

The First Conversation

The first meeting of representatives of the Churches was held at the College of Preachers in Washington, April 9-10, 1962. Papers dealing with aspects of Christian unity and its urgency were read by Professor John Dillenberger of the San Francisco Theological Seminary and Canon Theodore O. Wedel, formerly Warden of the College of Preachers. Discussion groups explored briefly some problems of faith and order, polity, and ecclesiastical organization, as well as social and cultural factors in disunion, seeking to give preliminary form to topics that might serve as a basis for future conversations. Considerable time at the first meeting of the Consultation on Church Union was necessarily devoted to the establishment of procedural regulations and other organizational arrangements. President James I. McCord of the Princeton Theological Seminary, representing the United Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, was chosen as Chairman of the Consultation, and Mr. Charles C. Parlin, a delegate from the Methodist Church, as Secretary. These two officers, with the chairmen of the delegations from each participating Church, were designated an Executive Committee for the direction of future activities of the Consultation.

It is perhaps appropriate to note at this point that when the terms of the elected officers of the Consultation expired at the third meeting in April, 1964, the Rt. Rev. Robert F. Gibson of our own delegation was chosen to succeed Dr. McCord as Chairman of the Consultation, while the Rev. Eugene Carson Blake of the
United Presbyterian Church was elected Vice-Chairman, and Mr. Ashby E. Bladen of the United Church of Christ was elected Secretary.

Invitations to Other Churches

The ecumenical relations of three of the Churches of the Consultation dictated the issuance of invitations to participate in the unity conversations to three Churches beyond the original four. At the instigation of the Methodists, an invitation was extended to the Evangelical United Brethren Church, with which the Methodist Church is presently conducting negotiations looking towards organic union. Similarly, at the suggestion of representatives of the United Church of Christ, an invitation to participate was sent to the International Convention of Christian Churches (Disciples of Christ), with which the United Church of Christ is in ecumenical negotiation. Both invitations were accepted, as were the invitations later given to a number of other Churches to send observer-consultants to future meetings of the Consultation.

As directed by the General Convention of 1961, the Joint Commission requested that the Consultation issue an official invitation, to participate as a member-Church, to the Polish National Catholic Church, with which the Episcopal Church is in full communion. This was done by the Consultation, and the invitation was also extended to the Polish National Catholic Church by the Joint Commission itself at a special meeting in New York on March 15, 1962, with the Prime Bishop and four other representatives of that Church. The invitation was graciously received, and the Prime Bishop informed the Commission that the matter would be considered at the Quadrennial Synod of the Polish National Catholic Church in October. The postponement of the synod, however, delayed action for some time; and, in December, 1963, the chairman of the Joint Commission was informed by the Prime Bishop that, for the present, the Polish National Catholic Church would not accept the invitation to become a member-Church of the Consultation, but would, instead, send official observer-consultants to its meetings.

From the beginning, it was earnestly hoped that active participation by a member-Church of the Old Catholic Fellowship of Churches would bring to the conversations the experience and heritage of a Church with a Catholic tradition so similar in many respects to our own that we have been able to enter into the relation of full communion with this Church. We hope that the Polish National Catholic Church will find opportunity for reconsideration of the invitation to full participation in the Consultation.
in the near future. We believe that if it were to accept membership a
wider Catholic dimension might be given to conversations, in which
the great majority of those participating at the moment are
representative of various Protestant traditions.

The Second Conversation

The second meeting of the Consultation was held at Oberlin,
Ohio, March 19-21, 1963, and was attended by fifty-four delegates
and two alternates from the six participating Churches. Also
present were twenty-four observer-consultants from other North
American Churches to which invitations to send such representa­
tives were extended. These included the African Methodist Episco­
pal Church Zion, the American Baptist Convention, the Anglican
Church of Canada, the Church of the Brethren, the Christian
Methodist Episcopal Church, the Conference of the Canonical
Orthodox Bishops in the Americas, the Moravian Church in
America, the National Baptist Convention, the Presbyterian Church
in Canada, the Reformed Church in America, the Society of
Friends, and the United Church of Canada. To this meeting, the
Joint Commission sent nine of its members, in accordance with the
Resolution of the General Convention which, when authorizing the
Joint Commission to participate in these conversations, also gave it
freedom "to determine the size and nature of any sub-committee
which shall from time to time take part". The decision to send nine
delegates, instead of the full Commission of fifteen, was made in
order that the Episcopal Church might have the same number of
representatives as the other five participating Churches.

In advance of the second Consultation, papers were prepared by
Professor Albert C. Outler of the Perkins School of Theology,
Professor Paul M. Harrison of Princeton University, and Professor
Massey H. Shepherd of the Church Divinity School of the Pacific.
Study commissions made these papers the basis of reports for use
during the conversations on their three topics: (1) "Scripture,
Tradition, and the Guardians of Tradition"; (2) "An Analysis of
the Participating Communions"; and (3) "The Worship and
Witness of the Church". The second Consultation made significant
advances in the increase of mutual understanding and appreciation,
particularly in the area of the liturgical renewal throughout the life
of the Church and its relation both to Christian mission and
Christian unity; and in the exploration of the authority and
relation of Scripture and Tradition.¹

¹The full text of proceedings and papers of the first and second Consultations will be
found in The Digest of the Proceedings of The Consultation on Church Union for 1962 and 1963,
published for the Consultation and available from its secretary at Box 69, Fanwood, New Jersey.
A similar digest will be available for the third conversation.
Co-operation in Liturgical Study

One of the results of the second conversation and its exploration of some of the significant ecumenical implications of the liturgical renewal in Christendom today, has been a request from the Consultation on Church Union that the official liturgical commissions or committees of the participating Churches seek opportunity to meet for joint study and conference. While the Churches of the Consultation have widely differing heritages and practices in the forms and patterns of Christian worship, yet all have been markedly influenced by the Liturgical Movement and all are responding to its impact throughout Christendom. The value of conversations and conference, in which the different experiences in liturgical renewal are discussed and shared, is plain.

The Standing Liturgical Commission of the Episcopal Church, presently under the chairmanship of the Bishop of Georgia, has welcomed the overture to joint conference and has offered to undertake this venture under the sponsorship of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, or under that of any re-constituted Commission of General Convention charged with oversight of ecumenical activity. An item, therefore, is included in the budget for the coming triennium to cover conference and travel expenses that may be incurred in the participation in such conversations by the Standing Liturgical Commission.

The Third Conversation

A third meeting of the representatives of the participating Churches was held at Princeton, April 13-16, 1964. The same general procedure used at the second conversation was followed, the topics chosen for discussion being (1) “One Ministry”; (2) “One Baptism”; and (3) “One Table”. These subjects were selected after the second meeting of the Consultation had clearly recognized that the doctrines of the Ministry and the Sacraments, among other major matters, were of crucial importance in any serious exploratory conversations.

Papers on each topic were submitted to preliminary study commissions, those on the first topic by Dr. John T. McNeill, formerly professor in the Union Theological Seminary, and Dr. J. Robert Nelson of the Graduate School of Theology, Oberlin College; those on the second by Dean Ralph G. Wilburn of the College of the Bible, and Professor Fred D. Gealy of the Methodist Theological School at Delaware, Ohio; and that on the third by Dr. Franklin W. Young of Princeton University. Again, as at the second con-
versation, reports of these study commissions formed the basis of discussion in both plenary sessions and smaller study groups.

Among the observer-consultants at the third Consultation were representatives of the African Methodist Episcopal Church Zion, the American Baptist Convention, the Anglican Church of Canada, the Church of the Brethren, the Hungarian Reformed Church in America, the Moravian Church in America (Northern Province), the Polish National Catholic Church, the Presbyterian Church in Canada, the Presbyterian Church in the United States, the Reformed Church in America, the Society of Friends, the United Church of Canada, and the Roman Catholic Church, the last being appointed and authorized as permanent observer-consultants to the Consultation by the Secretariat for Promoting Christian Unity.

Staff representatives of the World Council of Churches and the National Council of Churches of Christ were present at the meetings, as were student observers from each of the participating Churches, and observers from the departments of Christian Education of each member-Church.

The meetings of the third Consultation made the first exploration into some of the problems likely to confront the Churches when seeking some agreement in the significant areas of Ministry and Sacraments. Conversations on these points opened up some of the most difficult theological and ecclesiastical questions on which the Churches have divided in the past. The consensus that was reached was provisional in character, as the Consultation itself acknowledged, and does not represent "the full doctrinal position of any of our Churches, nor an exhaustive treatment of the subjects covered". Yet the progress made was distinctly encouraging, both through an advance in mutual understanding and in the discovery of large areas of agreement. Significant areas of difference, however, remain. Recognizing the importance of these subjects, and particularly of the Ministry, the Consultation determined to spend a full year in careful study of this topic, preparatory to devoting the entire time of its next meeting in April, 1965, to a consideration of this Ministry.

Future Conversations

During the past triennium, the topics of conversation in the Consultation have been those familiar for many years in discussions on Faith and Order among the Churches, and they have been necessarily approached in a purely exploratory fashion. The Consultation on Church Union, however, has made a proposal to its participating Churches which bears upon the character of future
conversations. The Joint Commission, acting upon the directive of the last General Convention that "any proposal shall be referred to the General Convention for its consideration and action", reports the proposal here.

The Consultation has requested that each Church seek from its governing body an authorization for its representatives to participate in the preparation of a Plan of Union for the Churches now engaged in these conversations. It is admitted that such activity cannot be expected to have fruitful results until there is further agreement on vital matters and sufficient theological consensus to make such an effort promising. The Consultation makes this request now, however, in order that there might be no delay in beginning the task of framing a Plan of Union, once it is agreed that the exploratory phase of the conversations has resulted in sufficient consensus to permit negotiation of a Plan.

The Joint Commission reports this proposal to the General Convention, but for several reasons does not recommend its adoption at the present time.

The rapid advance in ecumenical concern that has characterized all Christian Communions—Catholic, Orthodox, and Protestant alike—in the last few years has immeasurably strengthened the hand of those who seek for a united Church, truly Catholic, as well as truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical; but one of the lessons of the history of the Ecumenical Movement is that some of its failures and disappointments have resulted from precipitate action. Before any commitment should be made to the negotiation of a Plan of Union there must be, as the Consultation itself acknowledges, sufficient theological consensus to support this activity with the promise of achievement. To date, there have been only two meetings of the Consultation at which the conversations have grappled with profound issues of division among the Churches. Encouraging as the progress has been, the exploratory phase of the conversations is still proceeding. A number of major matters of doctrine, worship, and Church Order, are yet to receive thorough exploration at the theological level, building on the foundations that have been laid down in the conversations to date. Time spent on these may well be a sound investment in future achievement.

On the other hand, we do not believe that our Church should in any way diminish its participation in the deliberations of the Consultation, nor its concern for the goal towards which they are directed. We agree with the expressed intention of the Churches of the Consultation to seek to go forward together, and therefore we recommend that during the next triennium representatives of this Church should continue to explore, in conversations with those of
other Churches of the Consultation, possible ways to the establish-
ment of "a united Church, truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and
duly Evangelical". All topics of ecumenical discussion are in-
evitably relevant to possible future plans of union. If the conversa-
tions of the Consultation come, in time, to move within the
framework of the study of possible plans of union, we shall be no
less able to make our own Anglican witness clear, or to conduct the
conversations "on the basis of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadri-
lateral", as directed by the last General Convention.

Recommendation to General Convention

We, therefore, recommend the adoption of the following Resolution to authorize our continued participation in the Consultation on
Church Union:

1. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the General
Convention of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United
States of America continue, through its appropriate representatives,
to participate in the ecumenical conversations of the Consultation
on Church Union, exploring possibilities for an eventual united
Church, "truly Catholic, truly Reformed, and truly Evangelical";
with the understanding that any proposal arising from these con-
vocations shall be referred to the General Convention for its
consideration and action; and be it further

Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the General
Convention direct the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity
(or any Commission to which the General Convention shall entrust
its ecumenical responsibilities) to conduct these conversations, as
heretofore, on the basis of the Chicago-Lambeth Quadrilateral on
behalf of the Protestant Episcopal Church; to determine the size
and nature of any sub-committee which shall, from time to time,
take part; and to regularly report the progress of these conversa-
tions to the General Convention for its consideration.

IV

ADDITIONAL RESOLUTIONS

2. Resolved, the House of ______ concurring, That the work of
the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity be continued,
either as heretofore, or, as is recommended in section II of this
Report, by assignment of its responsibilities to a new single
Ecumenical Commission; and that such Commission be directed to
continue conversations with those Christian bodies with which we
are already in contact, and to initiate or pursue further conversa-
tions with representatives of such other Christian bodies as in its judgment may lead to closer fellowship with them.

3. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Treasurer of the General Convention be directed to provide the sum of $13,850.00 for the general expenses of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, or, in the event of the assignment of its work to a new single Ecumenical Commission, to provide this sum as part of the total budget of such Commission.

V

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts
Appropriated by General Convention .................. $12,000.00

Disbursements
Meetings and expenses of members attending meetings and conferences .................. $ 8,729.68
Secretarial services, typing, postage, telephone and supplies .................. 275.71
Literature, printing, and duplicating .................. 264.61
To Consultation on Church Union .................. 2,600.00
Total Disbursement, August 16, 1961, to May 31, 1964 .................. $11,870.00
Balance .................. $ 130.00

Submitted and approved by all members of the Commission:

William H. Brady
C. Francis Burrill
Richard S. M. Emrich
Robert F. Gibson
William F. Lewis
Powel M. Dawley
James P. DeWolfe, Jr.
Alden D. Kelley
William J. Wolf
Josephine V. Cowin
Peter Day
Andrew Oliver
John L. Pierson, Acting Secretary-Treasurer
John R. Quarles
In Memoriam

CHARLES DUELL KEAN

The Commission records its profound sense of loss at the death of the Rev. Charles Duell Kean, D.D., a member of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity since 1949, and for many years its faithful and indefatigable secretary. His fellow-workers on the Joint Commission record with admiration and gratitude their appreciation of his long years of devoted, sacrificial, and enthusiastic, service in the responsibilities entrusted to us, through which he made the most significant of contributions to the Commission's work.

Dr. Kean's brilliant career as a leader among Churchmen is well known. As rector of the Church of the Epiphany in Washington, deputy to four General Conventions, teacher and lecturer, and author of a number of books, his energetic and unsparing self-giving crowded more service into his fifty-three years of life than might be expected in several lifetimes. His name is inseparable from the cause of Christian re-union in this Church and its participation in all forms of ecumenical activity.

During this last triennium, Dr. Kean rendered outstanding service to the Consultation on Church Union. Serving on several sub-committees and study commissions, he also led the devotions of the Consultation as its chaplain during the second meeting at Oberlin. His colleagues of the other participating Churches have paid high tribute to his leadership and deeply mourn his loss.

To Mrs. Kean and their three children this Commission extends its heartfelt sympathy and affection; to the Church at large we bring this record of an indebtedness which will not be soon forgotten; to Almighty God we give thanks for the life of His servant in Christ.
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REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMISSION
ON THE STATUS AND TRAINING OF
PROFESSIONAL WOMEN CHURCH WORKERS

The Joint Commission was originally authorized by the General Convention of 1958. By action of the Presiding Bishop and the President of the House of Deputies, the following were appointed to serve during the triennium 1962–1964:

- THE Very Rev. L. Skerry Olsen, D.D., of Kansas
- THE Rev. Walter Williams, D.D., of California
- THE Rev. Lewis M. Hirshson, D.D., of Rochester
- MRS. Francis O. Clarkson of North Carolina
- MRS. Ernest E. Rucker of Southwestern Virginia
- DEACONESS Ruth Johnson of New York

The Commission met twice during the triennium. At its first meeting, Bishop Hall was elected Chairman, Bishop Crittenden Vice-Chairman, and Mrs. Rucker Secretary. Shortly after the General Convention, the Rev. Walter Williams died and his place on the Commission was filled by the appointment of the Rev. Robert N. Rodenmayer, S.T.D.

The findings of Commissions charged with comparable concerns (as reported to the General Conventions of 1923, 1943, and 1961) were studied and evaluated against the background of the present situation and the needs in the life of the Church.

The Commission has established the fact that only one jurisdiction (of ninety-two dioceses and missionary districts responding to a questionnaire addressed to all) has a Canon dealing with Women Church Workers.

The Commission records its satisfaction that the 1961 General Convention adopted a Resolution, recommended by this Commission, recognizing the standards of the Association of Professional Women Church Workers.

The Commission adopted the following Resolution, in respect of pensions and other benefits for Women Church Workers:

Whereas, The General Convention of 1961 requested the Trustees of the Church Pension Fund to make a study of the feasibility of establishing a
Church-wide retirement plan for lay workers, including Women Church Workers; and

Whereas, There is reason to expect that a report of this study will be made to the General Convention of 1964; be it

Resolved, That this Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Women Church Workers express its approval, in principle, of a plan for the provision of retirement income, and such fringe benefits as medical and hospital insurance, for Women Church Workers.

The members of the Joint Commission are convinced that the position of qualified women who are professionally employed by the Church must be regularized. To accomplish this end, and to give guidance to the several Ecclesiastical Authorities, the adoption of a proposed Canon on the subject is recommended, and the text of such a Canon is appended to this Report.

RESOLUTIONS

The Joint Commission recommends the adoption of the following Resolutions:

1. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on the Status and Training of Professional Women Church Workers be made a Standing Commission, and that its name be changed to “The Joint Commission on Women Church Workers”.

2. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the sum of $3,000.00 be appropriated to the Joint Commission on Women Church Workers for its expenses during the coming triennium.

3. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the Joint Commission on Women Church Workers be instructed to continue to co-operate closely with the Division of Christian Ministries of the Home Department of the National Council and with the Association of Professional Women Church Workers.

4. Resolved, the House of _______ concurring, That the following new Canon, to be numbered 53, be adopted:

CANON 53

Of Professional Women Church Workers

Sec. 1. Any woman, being a communicant of this Church, who is eligible for membership in the Association of Professional Women Church Workers, and is employed, or seeks to be employed, in the service of this Church in any Diocese or Missionary District thereof, shall apply to the Bishop of that jurisdiction to be accepted as an Approved Worker.
Sec. 2. The Bishop shall keep a list of such Approved Workers. When such an Approved Worker moves to another jurisdiction, the Ecclesiastical Authority shall give her a letter to the Bishop of the jurisdiction to which she shall remove, certifying that she has been on his list of Approved Workers.

Sec. 3. Each such Approved Worker shall report, either personally or by letter, to the Ecclesiastical Authority of the jurisdiction in which she is employed, annually, during Advent, as to the progress of her work. She shall also report at other times, if requested to do so by the Ecclesiastical Authority.

FINANCIAL REPORT

Receipts

Appropriation from the General Convention .............. $3,000.00

Disbursements

Expenses of members, housing, travel:

Meeting, November 7–9, 1962, Greenwich, Conn...... $ 679.04
Meeting, November 7–8, 1963, Greenwich, Conn...... 828.97
Printing of Brochure........................................ 839.00

$2,347.01

Balance...................................................... $ 652.99

Total......................................................... $3,000.00

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES F. HALL
WILLIAM CRITTENDEN
CHANDLER W. STERLING
L. SKERRY OLSEN
ROBERT N. RODENMAYER
LEWIS M. HIRSHSON
CANA B. CLARKSON
AILEEN RUCKER
RUTH JOHNSON
Part III

SPECIAL MEETINGS

of the

HOUSE OF BISHOPS
Pursuant to the call of the Presiding Bishop and by resolution of this House at the General Convention of 1961, the House of Bishops met in Special Session in Satterlee Hall, Trinity Episcopal Church, Columbia, South Carolina, on Saturday afternoon, October 27, 1962.

The Presiding Bishop took the chair.

The Bishop of Springfield read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Presiding Bishop conducted the devotions of the House.

The Secretary read the call for the Special Meeting:

June 14, 1962

Dear Bishop:

This is notification that the House of Bishops is called to meet at Satterlee Hall, Trinity Church, Columbia, South Carolina, on Saturday afternoon, October 27, through Thursday noon, November 1, 1962. The first session of the House will convene at 4:00 p.m. on Saturday in Satterlee Hall. It is hoped that adjournment will be possible following luncheon on Thursday.

The tentative agenda will be as follows:

Saturday:
4:00 p.m. Opening Business Session of the House of Bishops.

Sunday:
There will be a Quiet Day for the Bishops and their wives between the hours of 9:00 a.m.-12:00 noon, and 2:00-4:00 p.m. The leader will be the Archbishop of Canterbury.
Monday:
10:00–12:30 p.m. Regular Business Session of the House.
2:00–3:00 p.m. Regular Business Session of the House.
3:00–4:30 p.m. Executive Session. Report by the Bishop of Virginia for the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity.

Tuesday:
10:00 a.m.–1:00 p.m. Executive Session. Committee of Nine.
2:00–5:00 p.m. Executive Session. Report by the Bishop of Western New York for the Committee on Counsel to the Clergy.

Wednesday:
10:00–11:30 a.m. Regular Business Session of the House.
11:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m. Executive Session. Report by the Committee on the Pastoral.
2:00–3:00 p.m. Executive Session. Report by the Bishop of Western New York for the Committee on Counsel to the Clergy.

Thursday:
10:00 a.m.–12:00 noon. Regular Business Session of the House.

All members of the House will shortly receive housing and other information in a letter from the Committee of our host, the Bishop of Upper South Carolina. All replies should be made directly to the Committee noted in this letter and not to your Secretary.

The roll was called and it was found that 114 bishops, being more than a quorum, were present.

The Chair announced with regret that the following members of the House had indicated their inability to be present: The Bishops of Dallas, Harrisburg, Northern Indiana, and Rhode Island; the Suffragan Bishop of Texas; and the Rt. Reverends Howard R. Brinker, Frederick G. Goodwin, Louis C. Melcher, Henry Knox Sherrill, Beverley D. Tucker, and Benjamin M. Washburn.

On motion, the greetings of the House were sent to the above-mentioned members.

The Secretary read the names of those bishops who had died since the last meeting of the House of Bishops, to wit:


The House stood while the Presiding Bishop offered prayer for the above-mentioned members.

The Secretary read memorials, which were sent to families of members of this House who had departed this life since its last Meeting, prepared by committees appointed by the Presiding Bishop, as directed by the House:


The bishops recently consecrated and not yet presented were now presented to the House, to wit:

The Bishop of Cuba, the Rt. Rev. Romualdo Gonzales-Agueros, D.D., presented by the Suffragan Bishop of New York (Bishop Boynton), and Bishop Blankingship.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia, the Rt. Rev. Dillard Houston Brown, Jr., S.T.D., presented by the Bishop of Liberia and the Bishop of Delaware.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, the Rt. Rev. John Maury Allin, D.D., presented by the Bishop of Arkansas and the Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana.


The Suffragan Bishop of South Florida, the Rt. Rev. James Loughlin Duncan, presented by the Bishop Coadjutor of Louisiana and the Bishop of South Carolina.


The Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee, the Rt. Rev. William Evan Sanders, D.D., presented by the Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi and the Suffragan Bishop of Southern Virginia.
The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, the Rt. Rev. James Winchester Montgomery, presented by the Bishop of Fond du Lac and the Bishop of San Joaquin.


The Secretary presented the resignations of the following members of the House, to wit:


The Rt. Rev. Charles Larrabee Street, S.T.D., Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, under Canon 41, Sec. 6 (a), to become effective April 25, 1963.

The Rt. Rev. Dudley Scott Stark, D.D., Bishop of Rochester, under Canon 43, Sec. 8 (b), to become effective December 31, 1962.

These resignations, having already been acted upon by the House, called for no further action.

The Secretary read greetings from the Rt. Rev. Santos Molina, Bishop of the Reformed Episcopal Church of Spain.

The question of transfer of jurisdiction of the British Virgin Islands from the Church of the Province of the West Indies to that of the Protestant Episcopal Church was referred to the Committee on Overseas Missions.

The Secretary read an invitation from the Bishop of Moray inviting members of this House to a pilgrimage to the Isle of Iona, Scotland, on June 12, 1963, which date represents the fourteenth centenary of the landing of St. Columba on Iona.

The Secretary read a communication from the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone regarding a change in the boundaries of that Missionary District as requested by the District. The following resolution was proposed:

Resolved, That the territory of the Missionary District of the Panama Canal Zone be composed of the Panama Canal Zone, the Republic of Panama, the Republic of Colombia, and such other areas and countries as may be added hereafter. This territory may be reduced or extended in accordance with the Constitution and Canons for the government of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America.

Mr. Jackson A. Dykman has stated that it is his opinion in this matter that Canon 40, Sec. 1, provides: "The House of Bishops may establish Missionary Districts in ... territory beyond the United States, not under the charge of Bishops in communion with this Church. It may also, from time to time, change, increase, or diminish the territory included in such Missionary Districts."
After discussion, the proposed motion setting the boundaries of the Missionary District of the Panama Canal Zone was carried.

Bishop Mitchell addressed the House, speaking of the presence of Bishop Remington, its oldest member by order of consecration, and of the latter’s great love and devotion to the Church. The House gave Bishop Remington a standing ovation following his acknowledgment of its greetings.

The Secretary read greetings to the House of Bishops from the Christian Action Council of South Carolina. The House acknowledged this greeting with appreciation.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

——

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA,
SUNDAY, OCTOBER 28, 1962.

The House of Bishops met at 8:00 a.m., for a celebration of the Holy Communion in Trinity Episcopal Church, Columbia.

Acting in accordance with the resolution adopted at the 1961 General Convention, a Quiet Day was observed from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The Most Rev. and Rt. Hon. Arthur Michael Ramsey, D.D., Archbishop of Canterbury, was the leader.

The wives of the bishops were invited to participate in the Quiet Day.

——

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA,
MONDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1962.

The House met at 9:15 a.m., after devotions, the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session, which was accepted. Twenty-two additional members notified the Secretary of their presence in the House.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Chair introduced His Grace, the Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, thanking him deeply on behalf of the House for his leadership of their Quiet Day on Sunday.
The Archbishop spoke briefly of his gratitude in being able to be present at this meeting and throughout this land and of the ever-deepening relationship within the Anglican Communion throughout the world.

The Chair introduced Bishop Horacio Santa Maria, Secretary-General of the Philippine Independent Church, who brought greetings to the House on behalf of his Church. The Bishop also spoke to the work of that Church and its plans, in co-operation with the Episcopal Church in the Philippines, for the future.

The Chair called upon the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, who presented the following individuals to the House:

W. Croft Jennings, General Chairman of the Bishops' Committee for this Meeting;
Augustus T. Graydon, General Co-ordinator and Chairman of the Administrative Committee on Arrangements;
David G. Ellison, Jr., Chairman of the Committee for the 150th Anniversary of Trinity Church; and
Marvin L. McCrory, Chairman of the Hospitality Committee.

The House acknowledged by acclamation the excellent work these gentlemen, together with their respective committees, have done in preparation for this Meeting.

The Chair appointed the following Committee on Hospitality for the House:

The Bishop of South Carolina
The Bishop of Eastern Oregon
The Bishop of the Philippines

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions. He called upon the Bishop of New York to speak to the transfer of the jurisdiction of the British Virgin Islands from the Church of the Province of the West Indies to the Protestant Episcopal Church.

The Bishop of Massachusetts presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops agree to accept ecclesiastical jurisdiction in the British Virgin Islands as proposed in the letter of the Archbishop of the Church of the Province of the West Indies addressed to the Presiding Bishop under date of September 5, 1962, copy of which is attached, and authorize and request the Presiding Bishop to take appropriate steps to effect such transfer of jurisdiction; and be it further

Resolved, That upon completion of the act of transfer as outlined above, the British Virgin Islands be added to the Missionary District of the Virgin Islands of this Church.
The motion was carried and the resolution adopted.

The Bishop of Massachusetts called upon the Bishop of New York to speak to the election of a missionary bishop for the Virgin Islands.

The Bishop of New York said that such a bishop could help with ecumenical possibilities that might be forthcoming at a future date. He also called attention to the facts of social and racial unrest in the whole Caribbean area, of the potentially explosive situation that might develop in this respect, and of the importance of adequate Church leadership in working with such a social and political situation.

On motion of the Bishop of Massachusetts, for the Committee on Overseas Missions, the House unanimously voted to elect a bishop for the Virgin Islands.

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported for the Committee on Overseas Missions on the question of a second suffragan bishop for the Philippines. He called upon the Bishop of the Philippines, who spoke to the need for such a suffragan for work in the northern section of the Island of Luzon, where the Church is growing with great rapidity. He spoke, also, of the work of the present suffragan in the central and southern parts of the islands and of the increasing need for additional national leadership.

The Bishop of Massachusetts moved that a second suffragan for the Philippines be elected.

The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Michigan reported for the Committee on the Pastoral. He said that the Committee felt that a Pastoral Letter should not be issued at this time. Its reasoning was, that such a Pastoral might be outdated before presentation to the Church or fail to cover the necessary ground.

The Committee has therefore suggested a report which would include the following:

1. The general subject of Church Unity.
2. The international crisis.
3. The movement of the Holy Spirit in the Church, in its larger sense.
4. A statement on Race Relations.

This report would be made as a statement for the guidance of the Church at large.
The Committee invited suggestions from members of the House for its guidance in the preparation of the report. On motion, the House voted that the report come as a statement from the House of Bishops and not as a Pastoral Letter.

The Bishop of Bethlehem reported for the Committee on the new Episcopal Church Center. He said that the keys to the building will be turned over to the National Council sometime during the month of January and that the staff would move in on February 22, 1963. He stated, further, that the building is coming along very well, that the schedule is on time, and that the Committee is remaining within its proposed budget. He also spoke of the financial need, reporting that the cost would approximate one million dollars for the land, four million dollars for the construction, and approximately five-hundred thousand dollars for additional costs, such as legal fees, moving, and furnishings. Of this amount, approximately four and one-half million dollars is now in hand. He reminded the House that gifts toward the additional one million dollars needed would be welcomed—from individuals, parishes, or dioceses.

The Chair thanked the Bishop of Bethlehem for the especially fine leadership that he and his Committee have given in this matter.

The Bishop of Bethlehem introduced the Rev. Dr. William G. Pollard who spoke to the report, "A Summary Statement of the Findings of the Consultation on the Church's Attitude toward War and Peace". This statement is the result of a resolution presented by Bishops Lawrence (William Appleton) and Mitchell at the 1961 General Convention; which resolution was referred to the Committee on Social and International Affairs of this House, and, through it, to the Department of Christian Social Relations of the National Council, for a report at this Meeting.

The following resolution was moved by the Bishop of Bethlehem:

Resolved, That this Report be directed to the Committee on Social and International Affairs, with the request that it report back to the House at this Meeting on the use of this Report in the Church; and be it further

Resolved, That the House express its gratitude to those who prepared the Report.

The motion was carried.

The Committee reported, in Executive Session, by recommending that the Report, as amended, be included with the other statements then under preparation by the Committee on the Pastoral.

The Chair spoke to the advisability of changing the Rules of Order of the House of Bishops to the end that a Standing Commit-
tee on Ecumenical Relations might be added, and that the present membership of committees be increased from seven to eight members.

The Anglican Executive Officer moved the adoption of this resolution.

The resolution was adopted by unanimous vote.

The Bishop of Lexington presented to members of the House a pamphlet entitled, "St. Augustine and the See of Canterbury", a gift of the Episcopal Theological Seminary in Kentucky and the Diocese of Lexington.

The Anglican Executive Officer reported for the Committee on Religious Orders. He stated that the Committee's initial concern was in dealing with the dispensing of members of religious orders from their solemn vows. The question arose as to the manner by which religious orders could properly dispense its members under our existing organization. After discussion, it was unanimously carried, that the Rules of Order of the House of Bishops be amended to provide for a Standing Committee on Religious Communities, such Committee to advise the Presiding Bishop on matters pertaining to this problem.

The Chair appointed:

The Bishop of Georgia
The Bishop of Northern Indiana
The Bishop of New York

The House stood while the Chair officiated at noonday prayers.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina introduced the Hon. Ernest F. Hollings, Governor of South Carolina, who brought the greetings of his State to the House and welcomed it to South Carolina.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina introduced the Hon. Lester L. Bates, Mayor of Columbia, who brought the greetings of the City of Columbia to the House, welcoming it to his community.

The House recessed at 12:15 p.m., to reconvene at 2:00 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m., the Presiding Bishop in the chair.

The Bishop of Pennsylvania reported on The Church Pension Fund, its program and aims.

The Bishop of Bethlehem presented a motion of appreciation and gratitude to the Bishop of Pennsylvania for his devoted service as President of The Church Pension Fund.
The motion was carried by acclamation.

The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop DeWitt) reported on the program of the Church Society for College Work. He invited members of the House to remain after the regular session to see a new film, a gift to the Church Society for College Work, entitled, "A Voice on the Campus".

The Director of the Home Department (Bishop Corrigan) asked members of the House to give to the Home Department of the National Council information on the type and number of diocesan clergy conferences held in their dioceses, by filling out a questionnaire, which would enable the Department to better serve the dioceses of the Church in the field of missionary education.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 3:00 p.m.

The House rose at 5:00 p.m.

The House adjourned.

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA,  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1962.

The Chair called the House to order at 9:00 a.m.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chair led the House in devotions.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous day, which was accepted.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 9:30 a.m.

The Chair appointed a committee composed of the presidents of the provinces, the convener to be the President of the Fifth Province, to choose a time and place and to prepare the agenda for a Special Meeting of the House of Bishops in the year 1963.

It was suggested that, prior to this meeting, the members of the House meet in their respective provinces to discuss matters pertaining to the Meeting.

At 10:15 a.m., the House divided into ten study groups.

At 12:45 p.m., the House recessed, to reconvene in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m.
The House reconvened in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m.
The House rose at 5:20 p.m.
The House adjourned.

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA,
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 31, 1962.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a service of the Holy Communion in Trinity Church, Columbia, at 7:30 a.m., the Presiding Bishop being the celebrant; assisted by the Bishop of the Philippines, the Bishop of Puerto Rico, and the Rev. James Stirling, Rector of Trinity Church.

The House assembled in Executive Session, the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop MacLean) reading a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for a suffragan bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines and a bishop of the Missionary District of the Virgin Islands.

The *Veni, Creator Spiritus* was sung.

Silence was observed for a space, and the Service To Be Used Before Balloting was concluded.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a suffragan bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines. The Chair appointed the Bishop of Springfield, the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago (Bishop Montgomery), the Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee, and the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop MacLean), as tellers.

The bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñgid was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñgid, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of the Philippines, the Bishop of Honolulu, and the Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu, to notify the Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñgid of his election.
The Chair announced that an election was in order for bishop of the Missionary District of the Virgin Islands. The Chair appointed the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Hargrave), the Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Duncan), the Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut (Bishop Hutchens), and the Bishop Coadjutor of Mississippi, as tellers.

The bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, D.D., was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes and was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of the Virgin Islands.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Maryland, the Bishop of Puerto Rico, and the Bishop of the Dominican Republic, to notify the Rev. Cedric Earl Mills of his election.

Following prayers for the bishops elected, the House rose at 9:00 a.m., to reconvene at 10:00 a.m.

During the recess, the bishops signed the testimonials, in accordance with the provisions of Canon 39, Sec. 1(a), by a constitutional majority.

The House reconvened at 10:00 a.m., the Presiding Bishop in the chair.

The Suffragan Bishop of Florida (Bishop Hargrave) read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chair led the House in devotions.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous day, which was accepted.

The Chair introduced Mr. Henry McCorkle, Editor of The Episcopalian. Mr. McCorkle spoke about progress in quality and circulation of the Church magazine, stating that the printing order for November was 115,000. This figure compares with the last edition of the magazine Forth, which was 36,500. He introduced Mr. Donald Bowles, Promotion Director of The Episcopalian, to the House. Mr. McCorkle then spoke about diocesan publications, which now have a circulation of about 650,000, reaching two out of three homes in the Episcopal Church, and of the progress that they have made during the past few years. He spoke, further, of the Presiding Bishop's Awards for excellence in journalism, which have recently been established in the field of the national diocesan press. Awards
to diocesan papers were given in four categories: Best in Field for newspapers and for magazines, and Most Improvement in Field for newspapers and for magazines.

Judges for the awards included five men from the Schools of Journalism of some of the larger universities.

A Special Citation was presented to *The Alaska Churchman*, because of its ability to overcome unusual difficulties in the field of journalism. The Bishop of Alaska received this award.

The Award for Most Improvement in a diocesan newspaper went to *The Iowa Churchman*. It was presented to Mr. J. Horning, Editor, and the Bishop of Iowa.

The Award for Most Improvement in a diocesan magazine went to *The Sheaf* of the Missionary District of North Dakota. It was presented to Mr. Black, Editor, and the Bishop of North Dakota.

The Award for the Best in Field of a diocesan newspaper went to *The Olympia Churchman*. It was presented to the Rev. Canon Rudolf Devik, Editor, and the Bishop of Olympia.

The Award for Best in Field of a diocesan magazine went to *The Bulletin*, of the Diocese of New York. The award was presented to the Bishop of New York.

The Secretary announced the results of the elections of the morning. Elected Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of the Philippines, subject to receipt of a majority of Consents from the Standing Committees, was the Rev. Edward Gaudan Loñgid. Elected Bishop of the Missionary District of the Virgin Islands, subject to receipt of a majority of Consents from the Standing Committees, was the Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, D.D.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 10:30 a.m.

The Bishop of South Florida presented a resolution of thanks, which was adopted, to Bishop Goodwin and the Chancellor of the Diocese of Virginia, for their study and report on the Canons on Suspension and Deposition.

The Bishop of South Florida presented a resolution of thanks, which was adopted, to the Bishop of New York, for the gift of his book, *Ready and Desirous*, which he presented to members of the House.

The House recessed at 12:20 p.m., to reconvene in Executive Session at 2:00 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:00 p.m.
On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, the House voted confirmation of the election of the Rev. John M. Burgess as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Massachusetts.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, the House voted confirmation of the election of the Rev. Theodore H. McCrea as Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Dallas.

On motion of the Bishop of Western New York, the House voted that the Committee on Counsel to the Clergy be continued.

As directed earlier by the House, the Committee on the Pastoral presented the following statements, which were adopted, with the recommendation that they be distributed to the clergy of the Church for their thoughtful attention and as a basis for study, prayer, and action among their people:

I.

RACE RELATIONS

We, the Bishops of the Episcopal Church, entrusted with the duty to proclaim Christian truth, affirm the natural dignity and value of every man, of whatever color or race, as created in the image of God. Neither race nor color is in itself a barrier to any aspect of that life in community for which God created man.

In the words of the bishops at Lambeth, "The Church itself must bear witness to this truth in its own life. Inter-racial worship, inter-racial meeting both formal and informal, freedom of all races to enter and use educational, social and health facilities, must be seen within the pattern of the Church's life and witness without compromise, self-consciousness, or apology. There may be no easy answers to special and local conditions; nevertheless, the Church must affirm that any form of segregation or separation solely on the basis of race is contrary to the Divine Will." In these matters, as well as in all others of faith and morals, the Body of Christ should lead and not lag behind the secular state.

In civil life we call for willing obedience to laws which grant equal access to our public schools to all students, the right to vote to all citizens, and justice in economic and housing opportunities. We support the courageous actions of all who in places of tension and conflict have spoken and worked for law, order, and justice for all races and now labor in the long process of reconciliation.

II.

NEW MOVEMENTS IN THE CHURCH

Since, from time to time, new movements rise within the life of the Church, we, your Bishops, share two observations.

(a) When a new movement rises, which may stress some aspect of the richness of Christ, it is the duty of the whole Church to view it with sympathy, to work to keep it within the great fellowship, and to discern what
in the movement is of God that we all may learn from it. Our attitude must be generous, and charitably critical. If, for example, a movement rises concerned with the fact of the Holy Spirit, the proper response is for all of us to consider anew the divine promises and divine gifts, trying the spirits by their fruits. We must bear always in mind that souls differ, that God's Spirit is ever moving in new ways, and that new movements have in history enriched the Body of Christ. We observe further that we are a Church, and not a sect, and that our spiritual home is, and should be, spacious.

(b) Having said that to the whole Church, we observe that the danger of all new movements is self-righteousness, divisiveness, one-sidedness, and exaggeration. We call, therefore, upon all new movements to remain in the full, rich, balanced life of the historic Church, and thereby protect themselves against these dangers; and we remind all clergy of their solemn vow to conform to the doctrine, discipline, and worship of this Church. The Church, transcending in its life both the generations and the nations, is by its nature more comprehensive than any special groups within it; and the Church, therefore, is both enriched by and balances the insights of all particular movements.

III.

CHRISTIAN UNITY

We, meeting as always as Bishops of the One Holy Catholic Church, fully support the call of the Presiding Bishop for steady and fervent intercession for the Vatican Council. Joined as we are in Holy Baptism, the deepest of all unities on earth, it is sinful not to pray for one another within Christ's Body. And when we consider how widely we share with the Roman Catholic Church a common heritage of order and life, it is even more urgent that we express our brotherhood in prayer and any other ways open to us.

Profound differences remain between us. But these, we believe, should be the substance of our common and obedient study, not the occasion of suspicion and distrust. For it is our faith that God calls all Christians to unity, each from within his own tradition. The very nature of our Anglican tradition, with its profound sense of obedience to the supreme revelation of God as recorded in Holy Scripture, presses us in the search for every path to unity.

We know that our differences will never be resolved without full respect and brotherly dialogue between us. Our prayer must be, therefore, first of all, filled with the hope and the longing for an end to the wall of partition between us. Since we in fact possess through Baptism a unity that can now be confessed, we must be true to the unity that God has given.

With deep thanksgiving we recognize the far clearer path leading to unity with our brothers in the Orthodox Churches. Although often ignorant of each other in the past, God has lead us to discover how deeply and richly we share a common tradition in all essential matters of faith and order. Our prayer here must be for nothing less than the fulfillment of that mutual confidence, in full communion with one another. Diversities of culture and custom yield great gifts when the underlying unity of the Church is accepted and manifested. In prayer and boldness may we swiftly press forward until we break the Bread of Life together in one thankful obedience to the Saviour!

So too is our prayer offered for unity with those of our Protestant brothers in Christ with whom we are often most closely tied by every natural kinship of language, history, and community. Our unity in these natural gifts impels
us at times to forget our far deeper, supernatural unity in Baptism, thus confusing our dialogue and placing our obedience in a lesser setting than it should have. Let our prayer here be that we, and all the Church, may be unfailingly recalled to the unity already given to us, to the Body already at work in the world, to the Offering already and eternally being made.

IV.

WAR AND PEACE

Because of the nature of the Christian faith, Christians have an imperative obligation to pray and work for peace among men and nations. Questions of war and peace are not remote and peripheral concerns for the committed Christian; they grow out of basic understandings of man and his destiny which are inherent in the Christian revelation.

The Church through its official bodies must seek to define the obligations of the Christian as peace-maker for every age, and to fit them to the situation of man at every juncture of history. In earlier periods of Christian history, the "just war" doctrine represented such an effort to define the conditions of Christian support for and participation in war.

Since the early decades of this century there has been much less clarity about what constituted a "Christian" view of war and peace. Some in the Church have taken a pacifist position, and many of the resolutions of General Convention and other bodies have reflected this position; and with the emergence of "total war" concepts and technologies, there has been greater confusion about the Christian's approach to the waging of war. The increase of nuclear weapons, missile systems, and new ideological, military and economic challenges have made the situation at once more difficult and more deeply critical for the Christian conscience.

In the midst of all this, we believe it is possible to affirm an approach of Christian realism which is grounded in the basic truths of the Christian Gospel. Such an approach must be specific in its interpretation of the theological basis of Christian concern for all issues of war and peace, and must speak concretely to the frustrations of individual citizens faced by bewildering questions of nuclear testing, military service, the threats of aggression, and the seemingly insoluble tensions of international affairs.

This report consists of a brief summary of the theological basis of our concern and specific suggestions for Christian action.

I. The Theological Basis

Basic elements of the Christian faith lay a demand upon all Christians to come to grips with issues of war and peace. Among them are the following:

A. There is one God who is sovereign over all men. For a Christian, there is no loyalty which transcends his loyalty to the will of God. No earthly state is omnipotent. Before God, all men and all nations stand under judgment. God alone commands our ultimate obedience on all issues, including those of war and peace.

B. As there is but one God, so in Him there is one family of men. Christians are, by virtue of their membership in the Church, already a part of a worldwide community which transcends the purposes and policies of any
national government. We are citizens of our own nation and fulfill its civic obligations; yet we are part of a universal brotherhood which God wills for his people, and under a demand to make this evident in all that we do. Our Lord died for Russians, East Indians and Chinese as well as for Americans.

C. In the Gospel, the worth of each individual person is central. Respect for persons does not arise from humanistic logic, but stems from our faith that God has endowed all people with great worth, and that in His sight they must be treated as His creatures, not as things. The concern of the Christian in foreign policy, as in political affairs generally, must embody a sense of the individual dignity and rights of men, rather than partisan causes in support of secular goals of a particular nation.

D. We live in a sinful and fallen world, yet a world blessed by the grace of God and divine Providence at work in human history. There is place neither for unbridled optimism nor unlimited pessimism about man's situation, nor for national complacency. We are all fallen creatures, standing equally with our enemies in need of God's forgiveness. We cannot escape the sin of the world, the agony of our international tensions, nor the guilt for our human sinfulness which lies at the root of the threat of disaster.

E. We partake of a fellowship of redemption created by our Lord. The Church is called to be an extension in time and history of the saving ministry of His life, called to bear witness to an eternal kingdom beyond time and to His death until His coming again. Even though we live in a world in which it is often impossible to do what is absolutely right, yet nothing can separate us from the Love of God which is in Christ Jesus our Lord. Death is not the ultimate threat. No catastrophe in this world, not even the destruction of our world by a nuclear war, can threaten our redemption in Jesus Christ.

F. The knowledge of God's Love compels a vertical return of this love and a horizontal out-reach to our fellow men. We cannot say that we love God and hate our neighbor. The gentle, compassionate, understanding, forgiving love of a Christian for all men lies at the very heart of the Gospel. Therefore, we must not fail to respond to that part of God's image which is in every man.

G. The Church is, through hope, freed to witness in daily life to the power of Christ for healing. Because of our faith, the Church and Christians can take upon ourselves the special burdens of reconciliation in this world. Claiming the divine mercy and the power of the Holy Spirit, we have hope. It is the calling of the Church to make available to our own selves and to all men the accumulated Christian experience of the past, always realizing the danger of doing violence to the complexity of this human situation by a too-easy application of abstractions to the needs of the present. In Christ, we discern an eternal pattern to history, glimpsing an ultimate meaning beyond time and space, living with courageous faith in the world as we meet it, and accepting the hard choices without self-deception. Our witness is to an eternal Lord; but it must be exercised amid the particularities of life. It must therefore be specific and concrete, expressed within the choices open to us at our particular moment in human history.

II. Specific Christian Action (individual and corporate)

There are issues concerning war and peace which divide Christians in our own country and elsewhere: the question of nuclear testing; the extent to which national policy must rely upon military deterrence; the concept of a "just war" over against other interpretations of Christian ethics, including
the pacifist position. To some extent, our attitudes reflect the nature of our present responsibilities, our access to information, and the like. But we are unanimous in believing that there are specific courses of action on which the whole Church can give witness.

A. The Church corporate, and individual Christians, must meet all the issues of war and peace, including the menace of nuclear weapons. At all levels of its life, the Church must charge its people with the insistent duty of working with all their strength for the prevention and elimination of war.

Several suggestions for concrete action are listed below. The Church cannot fail to minister to those people who are working with the weapons of war under existing world conditions, as well as those people who are working to meet the economic and social conditions that will exist when peace is finally achieved and total disarmament comes. The Church's ministry cannot dissociate itself from any of its people and in fact should have a pastoral longing to share their frustrations. We can recognize the work of those of our people in military and military-related activities. To the men at the missile bases, scientific centers, and diplomatic posts, as well as to the people as a whole united in their determination to remain free, we must not hesitate to offer a full ministry, realizing the political and military complexity of our national situation, and the fact that the situation for all of us, military and civilian alike, is not totally of our own making. With equal—in some cases even greater—poignancy, we recognize the validity of the calling of the conscientious objector and the pacifist and the duty of the Church fully to minister to him, and its obligation to see that we live in a society in which the dictates of his conscience are respected.

B. The Church calls upon all people, especially the leaders of nations, to exercise the strongest discipline of conscience to prevent total war. Under modern conditions, such war cannot serve any moral or even useful purpose. Every possible moral force must be summoned to prevent its occurrence. It is becoming increasingly evident that all-out modern war cannot protect the world's peoples, that an atomic holocaust cannot serve the purpose that war may once have served as an instrument of political or police action to secure justice and peace, that total war under modern conditions is self-defeating, and that it will utterly fail to secure peace with the enemy or even peace within the borders of the countries waging it. When world disarmament is feasible, the weapons of war, including all nuclear weapons, must be abolished. Christians can and should exert every influence to insure that any war which breaks out anywhere in the world is limited. In any armed conflict, we must set clearly defined objectives and cease to wage war when they are achieved.

C. Realizing the social sin inherent in the world, the Church recognizes that the United States must remain strong militarily as long as the threat of military attack from without remains. The Church recognizes that a strong military posture does serve as a deterrent to an aggressor nation intent upon military conflict. To this end, the Church further recognizes that the government must keep itself abreast of all developments in warfare. However, the Church declares that the concept of massive retaliation marked by obliteration bombing of large areas and masses of people should be repudiated.

D. Christians are called to be peace-makers. Such responsibility exists not solely in relation to the larger issues of our society. Indeed the Christian should be distinguished by the ironic quality of life which he brings to family, work, and community life. The ministry of reconciliation is not a special calling, but an understanding of the Christian life as one which seeks to remove
the barriers which separate the children of God from each other both at home and among nations. The following lines of action commend themselves:

1. Personal action to bind up the wounds of past and present international conflict:
   a. Invitations to citizens of other lands and races to visit our Christian homes.
   b. Arrangements to see and visit individuals of other lands in their homes.
   c. Aid to citizens of other countries in rehabilitating themselves and their lands; support of refugee re-settlement.
   d. Aid in setting up opportunities for interchange of all sorts—cultural, professional, and political—with all peoples.
   e. Support of Church programs for lay persons, notably young persons and those just retired, to serve in Church programs overseas similar to the Peace Corps (such as that recently developed by the National Council of the Episcopal Church).
   f. Recognition of the world-wide community of Christians which already exists on both sides of economic, political, cultural and racial barriers, as exemplified in the World Council of Churches; support for all forms of Christian interchange across existing barriers.

2. Personal action to encourage governments in the peaceful settlement of international conflicts:
   a. Encouragement of Christians, particularly young people, to undertake careers in government and public life as a form of Christian vocation.
   b. Encouragement of Christians for thorough study of the problems of disarmament, including the details of various alternative programs, balancing wisely the requirements of national security and the Christian obligation to seek to settle conflicts by means other than war.
   c. Encouragement of the fullest and most open discussion of all issues affecting the national welfare, identifying all such discussions as part of the exercise of responsible citizenship, and not subject to condemnation, unfriendliness, suspicion, or humiliation from other Churchmen or other citizens who do not share the same views.
   d. Support of technical assistance programs, both governmental and people-to-people, economic collaboration programs with all nations, the lowering of trade barriers, and the setting up of common economic and legal institutions across national boundaries.
   e. Exercise of responsibilities of citizenship through communication and protest with respect to evils in government and community practices, pursuing only non-violent means.

3. Personal action to develop a united sense of the world as a community:
   a. Support of a program of counselling for every youth facing military service. In this respect, we believe it important that the clergy be given resources, and, if possible, training leading to effective coun-
selling so that young men may be better able to reconcile the demands of conscience and the duty of national service.

b. Encouragement of the development of agencies, such as the specialized agencies of the United Nations, which call for the co-operation of citizens of various nations to meet the economic, cultural, social, scientific, and political needs of the peoples of the world peacefully.

c. Support of research and personal and corporate devotion of time and money to the problems of communication, the failures of understanding, and the tensions existing between peoples of different races, creeds, ethnic groups, and nations.

d. Recognition that national sovereignty in foreign affairs will not always be compatible with our duty to the community of nations; support for the broad purposes of the United Nations; work for the development of a rule of law among the nations of the world, understanding the problems inherent in the establishment of such institutions.

e. Parishes must be encouraged to provide opportunities for thoughtful discussion of contemporary social issues. The above-described courses of action are not easy to undertake. We are aware that few opportunities now exist throughout the parishes of the Church for serious and disciplined adult study of such issues. We therefore specifically suggest that:

(1) Diocesan programs be set up, perhaps jointly by Christian Education and Christian Social Relations Departments, with the support and encouragement of the bishop, to stimulate adult study of social issues such as those of war and peace.

(2) Christian education study units be developed for teen age and adult levels on the subject of the Church’s role in seeking peace.

(3) Parish study groups of perhaps twelve persons be selected to undertake serious study of the issues of war and peace, with encouragement that these groups make their positions known to Congressman and others in government.

(4) Each Churchman affirm that the image of democracy for America be exemplified by opposition to any form of segregation because of race, color, or national origin, and each parish or mission of our Church practice this in its worship and daily endeavors.

f. The Christian’s peculiar calling, to pray for the peace and unity of God’s creation, should be encouraged by systematic liturgical observances, by reminders from the bishop, and by appropriate materials. It is not merely a pious after-thought to suggest that all Christians, whatever their position in life, can undertake to pray regularly for the reconciliation of God’s people. The persistent focusing of the total life of the Church in our day—its worship, prayers, study, and parish program—on the issues of the day, and particularly those of war and peace, is essential to the very life of the Church; just as it is our firm conviction that it is crucial to the life of the world itself that the Church do so.

The House rose at 4:10 p.m.
The Anglican Executive Officer reported to the House on the Council of the Church of South East Asia. Two of our jurisdictions, the Philippines and Taiwan, are included, to the degree permitted by the Constitutions and Canons of the Protestant Episcopal Church, their Convocations having approved their membership within it.

On motion of the Anglican Executive Officer, Paragraphs Nos. 20 and 21 of the Report of the Council of the Church of South East Asia, as presented to the House, were referred to the Committee on Constitution and the Committee on Canons for further study, with reports to come back to this House and to the General Convention.

Paragraph No. 20 follows:

*The two American Dioceses* are both now working members of the Council, and no immediate change in Constitution or Canons is required to permit this to continue. The flexibility of the Council plan provides for participation by any Church or Diocese, to the degree sought and permitted by Authority. The question of the election of bishops for the two jurisdictions is one which may require examination, either because they themselves wish greater responsibility or because the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America itself wishes to develop greater local initiative in this respect; and certainly the choice of bishops is a cardinal and most sensitive question in the "younger Churches" of our Communion.

Paragraph No. 21 follows:

*Further decentralization of authority* may also be desirable, with a view to giving greater autonomy to our Missionary Dioceses. If this is so, the Council provides a way to do this, short of the final step of establishing an independent Province (which, in the case of South East Asia, is an unusually complicated one). In any case, the experience of responsible inter-provincial life is one which is to be sought and encouraged in the present stage of Anglican and ecumenical life. It provides a way to avoid some of the dangers of ecclesiastical colonialism and undue nationalism. Whether constitutional or canonical recognition of the Council pattern is desirable, or even possible, at this point, I do not know. I should imagine that administrative recognition would suffice, at this stage, with the possible exception of an amendment to Canon 40, providing for some degree of responsible initiative by the Convocations of our Missionary Districts, in the nomination and election of their bishops, or the confirmation of those elected.

The Chair added the following members to the Advisory Committee on Religious Communities:

The Director of the Home Department of the National Council (Bishop Corrigan)

The Bishop of Milwaukee

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:00 p.m.
The Chair called the Meeting to order at 9:15 a.m.

The Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Duncan) read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chair led the House in devotions.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous day, which, after corrections, was accepted.

The Chair presented Mr. John Goodbody, President of The Seabury Press, to the House. Mr. Goodbody spoke of the misunderstanding on the part of the Church about The Seabury Press; of some of the basic publication problems, especially of planning ahead, and costs; and of the question often received from dioceses of the Church concerning the publication of educational materials. He concluded by speaking of the present and the future of The Seabury Press.

The Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop Crowley) reported for the Committee on the Resignation of Bishops, as follows:

Resolved, That it is with deep regret that this House accepts the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Charles Larrabee Street, Third Suffragan Bishop of the Diocese of Chicago, effective April 25, 1963. Born in Chicago, he lived and worked there most of his life. He received his education at St. Mark's School, Yale University, Columbia (Ph.D.), and General Theological Seminary (B.D.). He was ordained to the Diaconate in 1917 and to the Priesthood in 1918. He married Mary Louise Rouse in 1922 and they have been blessed with three fine children.

His ministry began in Chicago, on the Cathedral staff and the City Mission staff. Two years later (1920) he became Superintendent of the City Mission and served there for four years. He also has served as a college chaplain (University of Chicago), as headmaster of two Church schools, and as parish priest in three parishes. In 1949, Bishop Street was consecrated Suffragan Bishop of Chicago. In this position, in addition to other duties, he was given the responsibility for the social service work of the Diocese and was soon recognized as a leader in that field. He also was called upon to perform many civic duties and became a well-known public figure in his native city. As a member of the Joint Commission on Urban and Industrial Work he has given valuable assistance because of his urban experience. He also has served as an advisor to the Order of Deaconesses for several years. Beloved by the laity and clergy of his Diocese and by the members of this House, we wish him many happy and healthy years of retirement.

The resolution was adopted.
Resolved, That it is with deep regret that this House accepts the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Dudley Scott Stark, Bishop of the Diocese of Rochester since 1950, effective December 31, 1962. He was born in New York in November, 1894, and received his undergraduate degree from Trinity College in 1917. He has received honorary degrees from Trinity College, Kenyon College, Hobart College, Chicago Medical School, and Alfred University. He married Leila May Scott and they have been blessed with four fine children.

His ministry has been in Bethlehem, at St. Mark's, Mauch Chunk; Holy Trinity Chapel of St. James' Parish, New York City; and as Rector of St. Chrysostom's in Chicago from 1932 to 1950. He served as Ensign in the United States Naval Reserve, and is a member of Phi Delta Kappa.

A man of God, gifted in the art of preaching God's Word, he promoted leadership in the see city of Rochester with vision and fearlessness. His thirteen years as Bishop are marked by his warm pastoral concern, not only for those within his care, but also for his fellow-bishops in the Second Province. It is this same quiet concern and warmth that will be missed by the House of Bishops when Bishop Stark's resignation becomes effective on December thirty-first of this year (1962).

The resolution was adopted.

Resolved, That the resignation of the Rt. Rev. Arthur Barksdale Kinsolving, 2nd, effective as from October 1, 1962, is accepted by this House with deep and genuine regret. The son of the Rt. Rev. and Mrs. Lucien Lee Kinsolving of Brazil, he was graduated from the Episcopal High School of Alexandria, Va., and received degrees from Virginia Theological Seminary and New York University. In 1927, he married Edith Wharton Lester and they have been blessed with three fine children. He served as Assistant Rector of St. Paul's Church at the University of Virginia; Chaplain at West Point (1926-33); Dean of the Cathedral of the Incarnation, Garden City, L. I.; and Rector of Calvary, Pittsburgh, Pa. He was consecrated Missionary Bishop of Arizona on May 29, 1945, and became Bishop of Arizona on February 4, 1959.

Born in a missionary household, he has been a missionary in his every dealing with his fellow man. Like the Painted Desert in the jurisdiction which he led to diocesan status, the elements in a many-sided nature have blended to form a vivid and arresting picture of the Glory of God in the affairs of men. We record our appreciation of his sense of good humor, often displayed—always to enlighten and never to hurt. We miss him from this session of the House and extend to him our cordial greeting and the hope that he will be present when we meet again to consider the work of the Church in which he has taken so large and constructive a part.

The resolution was adopted.

Bishop Remington spoke of the feeling of "belongingness" among the members of the House.

The Bishop of Ohio reported for the Committee on the Special Meeting of the House for 1963. He presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the Chairman of the three Unity Commissions, to wit:

(a) Commission on Approaches to Unity
(b) Commission on Ecumenical Relations

(c) Commission on Orthodox and Eastern Churches

be asked to prepare background material, and to suggest an agenda, on the topic, "The Episcopate and Ecumenicity". (This should include a statement as to the nature of the unity we seek, with reference to faith, order, and organization); and be it further

Resolved, That the bishops meet in provincial gatherings to discuss the material when it becomes available, and prior to the Special Meeting, and that the presidents of the provinces be asked to convene such gatherings; and be it further

Resolved, That a Special Meeting of the House be held, the subject matter to be limited to the proposed agenda, and with no social or extra-curricular events to be arranged; and be it further

Resolved, That the invitation of the Bishop of Arkansas be accepted, to meet in Little Rock, Arkansas, from Tuesday, November 12, 1963, at noon, until Friday, November 15, 1963, at noon.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of West Texas reported for the Committee on Interim Meetings of the House. He presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That, in consideration of geographical location and the provisions for meeting in the facilities of Glacier National Park, the invitation of the Bishop of Montana be accepted for a meeting of the House of Bishops to be held during the second week of September, 1965.

The Bishop of Spokane moved a resolution that the House invite the bishops of the Anglican Church of Canada to attend the latter meeting.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop of Massachusetts reported a request of the Very Rev. Jesse M. Trotter, Dean of the Protestant Episcopal Theological Seminary of Virginia, regarding the question of better distribution of existing and new clergy. The Bishop of South Carolina moved that a committee of the House of Bishops be established for this purpose.

On motion of the Bishop of South Florida, the resolution was tabled.

The Bishop of Indianapolis reported on work being done by the Joint Commission on the Structure of General Convention. He stated, among other things, that the Commission had been increased so that it now includes three Bishops, three Presbyters, and three Laymen.
The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago (Bishop Street) presented the following resolution on the establishment of a special committee of this House on Deaconesses, which was adopted:

Resolved, That a special committee of this House be appointed to be known as the Advisory Committee on Deaconesses, on matters relating to the life and work of the Deaconesses in the Church.

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman) reported for the Joint Commission on Church Architecture and the Allied Arts.

The Suffragan Bishop of Minnesota reported to the House on the thrilling work taking place among the American Indians, both on the reservation and in urban areas.

The Bishop of South Carolina reported for the Committee on Hospitality. He submitted the following resolution, which was adopted:

We, the members of the House of Bishops, assembled in Trinity Church in the City of Columbia and the Diocese of Upper South Carolina, wish to express our deep appreciation to the Rt. Rev. C. Alfred Cole and his lady, the people of this Diocese, and the Rev. James Stirling and his staff, for the care and concern they have had for our welfare. There are many who should be thanked by us, but we would ask Messrs. W. Croft Jennings, General Chairman, and Augustus T. Graydon, Marvin McCrory and David G. Ellison, Jr., to express to the members of their committees our heartfelt gratitude. At least a part of the reason for the success of our Meeting has been due to the careful planning and attention to detail done by these committees.

Particularly are we grateful for the opportunity of sharing in the 150th anniversary of Trinity Church, Columbia. The visit of the Archbishop of Canterbury was a memorable occasion and we are indebted to our Presiding Bishop for making this possible.

As we go back to our respective jurisdictions, we do so with a deepened sense of what southern hospitality means and with an awakened awareness of the continuing life and vitality of this area of the country from whence our Church began.

On motion of the House, greetings were sent to Bishop Gravatt and Bishop Melcher.

On motion of the House, the Bishop of East Carolina was appointed to send the greetings of the House to former Presiding Bishop Sherrill.

The Bishop of West Virginia moved a resolution on the strategic distribution of clergy, which was not carried.

The Bishop of Kentucky requested permission to take the greetings of the House to Bishop Clingman. Permission was granted.
The Bishop of Spokane requested permission to take the greetings of the House to Bishop Cross. Permission was granted.

The Bishop of Western New York reported to the House on his recent trip to Russia. He stated that the delegation had recommended an increase in such visitations and study.

The Bishop of Rochester moved that the House give the Bishop of Western New York a vote of thanks for his work in the field of ecumenical relations.

The motion was carried.

The Chair announced that the Cuban Refugee offering from the services during the Meeting of the House of Bishops had amounted to twenty-one hundred dollars.

The Chair thanked the members of the House for their cooperation, interest, and deep Christian fellowship.

After the Benediction of the Presiding Bishop, the House adjourned sine die.

ARTHUR LICHENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Secretary
SPECIAL MEETING
OF THE
HOUSE OF BISHOPS

ROYAL YORK HOTEL,
TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA,
AUGUST 12, 1963.

Pursuant to the call of the Presiding Bishop, the House of Bishops met in Special Session on Monday evening, August 12, 1963, in the Royal York Hotel, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

The Presiding Bishop took the chair.

The Bishop of Bethlehem, Chairman of a Special Committee of the House appointed for the purpose, presented a report on Race Relations.

On motion, the following resolutions were adopted:

1. Resolved, That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church commend to all people the Presiding Bishop's letter dated Whitsunday, 1963, as appropriate and helpful in the present racial crisis; and that we support the Presiding Bishop in this wise and timely expression of Christian leadership.

2. Resolved, That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church, mindful of the Church Assembly to be held in Washington, D.C., on August 28, 1963, in co-operation with the "March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom", (a) recognize not only the right of free citizens to peaceful assemblage for the redress of grievances, but also that participation in such an assemblage is a proper expression of Christian witness and obedience, (b) welcome the responsible discipleship which impels many of our bishops, other clergy, and laity, to take part in such an assemblage, and support them fully, (c) pray that through such peaceful assemblage citizens of all races may bring before the government, for appropriate and competent action, the critical and agonizing problems posed to our nation by racial discrimination in employment, in access to places of public accommodation, in political rights, in education, and in housing.

3. Resolved, That the House of Bishops of the Protestant Episcopal Church urge the Congress of the United States to pass such civil rights legislation as shall fairly and effectively implement both the established rights and the needs of all minority groups in education, voting rights, housing, employment opportunities, and access to places of public accommodation.

On motion, the House adjourned sine die.

ARTHUR LICHTENBERGER, Presiding Bishop
ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Secretary
Pursuant to the call of the Presiding Bishop and by resolution of the House of Bishops, meeting in Special Session at Trinity Episcopal Church, Columbia, South Carolina, October 27 to November 1, 1962, the House of Bishops assembled in Special Session in Morrison Hall, Trinity Cathedral, Little Rock, on Tuesday afternoon, November 12, 1963.

In the absence of the Presiding Bishop, who was recuperating from post-operative complications, the Vice-Chairman, the Bishop of Ohio, took the chair and presided throughout the Special Meeting.

The Bishop of Upper South Carolina read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chairman conducted the devotions of the House.

The Chair called upon the Bishop of Arkansas, who welcomed the House of Bishops to Little Rock and the Diocese on behalf of the Church in Arkansas. He then presented the Honorable Byron R. Morse, Mayor of the City of Little Rock, who brought greetings of the City to the House, and the Honorable Orval E. Faubus, Governor of the State of Arkansas, who similarly brought the greetings of the State to the House.

The Secretary read the call for the Special Meeting:

June 18, 1963

Dear Bishop:

This is notification that the House of Bishops is called to meet in Morrison Hall, Trinity Cathedral, Little Rock, Arkansas, on Tuesday afternoon, November 12, 1963. The first session of the House will convene at 2:00 p.m.
on Tuesday. The Presiding Bishop will preside at all sessions of the House. It is hoped that adjournment will be possible following luncheon on Friday at noon.

The tentative agenda will be as follows:

**Tuesday, November 12:**

2:00 p.m. Opening Business Session of the House. Discussion of electing missionary bishops and possible nominations.

8:00 p.m. Executive Session. Report of Committee of Nine.

**Wednesday, November 13:**

9:30–10:30 a.m. Presentation of three papers on "The Episcopate", as directed by resolution of the House of Bishops meeting in Special Session at Columbia, South Carolina, 1962.

10:45 a.m.–12:30 p.m. Five discussion groups.

2:15–3:15 p.m. Reports to the House from the five discussion groups.

3:45–5:00 p.m. General discussion.

**Thursday, November 14:**

9:30 a.m. Continuation of Wednesday program.

6:30 p.m. Banquet—Albert Pike Hotel.

8:30 p.m. Entertainment—Arkansas Arts Center.

**Friday, November 15:**

9:30 a.m. Election of missionary bishops, if necessary. Continued discussion of "The Episcopate" and "The Nature of the Unity We Seek".

*Note:* Members of the House are reminded that, by resolution, wives are not invited to this Meeting.

Members are also reminded that they should bring their vestments.

Holy Communion—7:30 a.m. each morning in Christ Church, Little Rock. Breakfast will be served following the celebration at Christ Church Parish House.

All housing arrangements should be made through the Committee of the Host Diocese and not through your Secretary.

Those unable to attend this meeting may advise the Secretary.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely,

ALEXANDER M. RODGER
Secretary

The roll was called and it was found that 121 bishops, being more than a quorum, were present.

The Chair announced with regret that the following members of the House had indicated their inability to be present: The Bishops of the

Notice was given that regrets had been received from the Bishop of Colorado that he was unable to attend the meeting of the House at Columbia, South Carolina in 1962; but the regrets were not read at that time.

On motion, the greetings of the House were sent to the above-mentioned members.

The Secretary read the names of those bishops who had died since the last meeting of the House of Bishops, to wit:


The House stood while the Chairman offered prayers for the above-mentioned members.

The Secretary read memorials, which had been sent to the families of members of this House who had departed this life since its last Meeting, prepared by committees appointed by the Presiding Bishop, as directed by the House:

To the Rt. Rev. Norman Burdett Nash, D.D., Retired Bishop of Massachusetts, by a committee composed of Bishop Sherrill (Henry Knox), Bishop Peabody, and Bishop Lawrence (William Appleton).

To the Rt. Rev. Archie William Noel Porter, D.D., Retired Bishop of Northern California, by a committee composed of Bishop Dagwell, Bishop Gooden (Robert B.), and the Bishop of San Joaquin.


To the Rt. Rev. Clarence Alfred Cole, D.D., Bishop of Upper South Carolina, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Western North Carolina, the Bishop of South Carolina, and the Bishop of East Carolina.

To the Rt. Rev. Benjamin Dunlap Dagwell, D.D., Retired Bishop of Oregon, by a committee composed of Bishop Remington, the Bishop of Eastern Oregon, and the Anglican Executive Officer.

To the Rt. Rev. Donald MacAdie, D.D. Suffragan Bishop of Newark, by a committee composed of the Bishop of Bethlehem, the Bishop of Long Island, and the Bishop of Central New York.


The bishops recently consecrated and not yet introduced were now presented to the House, to wit:


The Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts, the Rt. Rev. John Melville Burgess, presented by the Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop Crowley) and the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Lawrence).


The Bishop of the Virgin Islands, the Rt. Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, D.D., presented by the Bishop of Liberia and the Bishop of Fond du Lac.

The Bishop of Rochester, the Rt. Rev. George West Barrett, D.D., presented by the Bishop of Western New York and Bishop Corrigan.

The Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, the Rt. Rev. Frederick Warren Putnam, Jr., D.D., presented by the Bishop of Chicago and the Bishop of Iowa.


The Bishop of Upper South Carolina, the Rt. Rev. John Adams Finckney, presented by the Bishop of South Carolina and the Bishop of East Carolina.
The Secretary presented the resignations of the following members of the House, to wit:


These resignations, having already been acted upon by the House, called for no further action.

The Bishop of Arkansas addressed the Chair. He reported that Monsignor James E. O'Connell, Rector of St. John's Roman Catholic Seminary, Little Rock, had requested that seminarians in small groups be allowed to sit in on the meeting, as convenient to the House. Two seminarians present at that time were warmly welcomed.

On motion, the Chair appointed the following committee to draw up a letter of greeting to the Presiding Bishop:

The Bishop of Honolulu
The Bishop of West Texas
The Bishop of Spokane

The Secretary read a communication addressed to the House by the Very Rev. Dom Benedict Reid, O.S.B., Chairman of the Conference on the Religious Life, in which he thanked the House for the setting up of the special committee of the House on Religious Communities and offering the co-operation of the Conference wherever needed.

The Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day. He called upon the Bishop of Massachusetts, Chairman of the Committee on Overseas Missions, who reported on behalf of the Committee, on the 49th Annual Convocation of the Church in Mexico. At that Convocation, action was taken to request, from the House of Bishops, additional episcopal assistance for Mexico.

On behalf of the Committee on Overseas Missions, the Bishop of Massachusetts moved the following resolution:
Resolved, That the House of Bishops approve the request of the Church of Mexico at its 49th Annual Convocation for additional episcopal assistance; and be it further

Resolved, That the House proceed to the election of two suffragan bishops for that jurisdiction.

The Bishop of Mexico spoke to the need for episcopal assistance, giving a review of historical background of the Church in Mexico and indicating the areas in which such episcopal assistance, if given, would be used.

After discussion, the Bishop of Spokane moved that the matter be laid over for further discussion at the next Executive Session of the House. The motion carried.

The Bishop of Connecticut moved that the House go into Executive Session in order that this matter be further discussed. The motion carried.

The House went into Executive Session at 4:20 p.m.

On motion, the House rose at 5:30 p.m. and recessed, to reconvene in Executive Session at 8:00 p.m.

The House reconvened at 8:00 p.m., the Vice-Chairman in the Chair.

After full discussion, the preceding resolution presented by the Bishop of Massachusetts for the Committee on Overseas Missions was adopted.

The Bishop of Massachusetts, reporting for the Committee on Overseas Missions, presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops detach from the jurisdiction of the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone the area comprising the Republic of Colombia and erect a new jurisdiction in that Republic to be known as the Missionary District of Colombia; and be it further

Resolved, That the House proceed to the election of a bishop to be known as the Bishop of Colombia.

The resolution was adopted.

The Bishop Coadjutor of Alabama reported for the Committee of Nine on suggested resolutions on Experimental Ministries. The Bishop of Pittsburgh moved the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops assumes that the normal ministry of Word and Sacraments will be in accordance with the Doctrine, Discipline, and Worship of this Church as set forth in its Constitution, Canons, and the Book of Common Prayer. The House, therefore, does not recommend or encourage departure from this norm.
The House recognizes, however, that from time to time there have been, and will be, responsibilities for missionary, pastoral, and institutional, service which, because of their unusual nature, cannot be fulfilled within the structure of this norm. When, within a bishop's judgment, such responsibility and opportunity demands exceptional activity, it is requested that the bishop present to the House his proposal for an experimental deviation from the normal practice and discipline.

After receiving the advice of the House, if such experiment is carried forward, the House expects periodic and continuing reports regarding the progress or the termination of the experiment.

The original suggestion of such a proposed experiment should be made in written form to the Presiding Bishop, who, if he chooses, may refer the suggestion directly to the House or to an appropriate committee for advisory reply. In all interim cases of committee action, a full report should be made to the House at the next regular meeting.

The Bishop of South Florida submitted the following statement in amplification of the above, which was adopted:

In taking counsel about the experimental deviations referred to above, we recognize that a central question in almost all of them will be that of the sacramental rites contemplated for use by the clergy. Whether the specific issue be that of ministry in a special inter-Church situation, or the use of alternative rites within a congregation, or the question of liturgical development, or the liberty to use the rites of other Churches than our own, at some point we are likely to be brought to the fundamental issue of freedom and authority within the Church, particularly as concerned in liturgical matters.

It may well be that a Pastoral Letter should be devoted to this theme. It may also be that amendment or enlargement of constitutional and canonical legislation is required. However that may be, in the meantime, the following considerations seem to us the principal ones to guide our counsel and action in these matters. In responding to the question, for instance, whether a priest of this Church may use a service of Holy Communion which is either an altered form of the service in the Book of Common Prayer or the service of another Church with which we are not in full communion, we would have at least these thoughts in mind:

A. First, these provisions of our Prayer Book and Constitution seem to us of particular relevance:

1. The Preface to the Prayer Book, dealing with freedom in worship, declares that "in every Church, what cannot be clearly determined to belong to Doctrine must be referred to Discipline; and therefore, by common consent and authority, may be altered, abridged, enlarged, amended or otherwise disposed of, as may seem most convenient for the edification of the people, according to the various exigency of times and occasions".

2. The Constitution of the Church makes it clear that no alteration or addition to the Book of Common Prayer may be made, except by the action of two successive meetings of the General Convention.

3. At ordination to the priesthood, the ordinand is required to promise, by the help of the Lord, "always so to minister the Doctrine and Sacraments, and the Discipline of Christ, as the Lord hath commanded, and as this Church hath received the same, according to the commandments of God .."
4. The Prayer Book provides that the bishop may authorize alternative forms of service, when “the edification of the Congregation so requires, in place of the Order for Morning Prayer, or the Order for Evening Prayer”.

B. Secondly, at least these principles following seem to us the most significant ones involved in both the disciplinary provisions outlined in the preceding section and also in our common counsel about deviation from these norms:

1. In our Church, the Book of Common Prayer and its services are understood as being not only forms of public worship but also as the principal guardian and teacher of the tradition of the Christian faith. Therefore, the question of authority in worship is always a question not only of appropriate liturgical patterns and formulas, but also—indeed fundamentally—of doctrine. The Church’s teaching ministry is mainly expressed through its corporate worship, in Word and Sacrament, rather than through individual gifts or authority.

2. The ministry of Christ in His Church is a single, corporate ministry expressed through the multiplicity of separate ministries, each of which has validity and authority as far as it expresses Christ's ministry in and through His Body. Therefore, the corporate action of the Church seems to us to be an essential requirement for true ministry, not merely on the grounds of democratic action, but on the grounds of the essential unity of the Church.

3. In the divided historical Church, anyone’s participation in Christ’s ministry must be through and in a particular body, that one which to him best permits his discipleship of the One Lord in the One Church. To the degree that we are moved to go outside the discipline of our membership and ministry within a particular Church, we run the risk of obscuring the existing unity of the Church as far as we can know it and possess it.

4. In approaching the dilemmas of our ecumenical situation, we believe it is essential to bear in mind that unity cannot come without the responsible, corporate action of Churches. Individual action, important as it may be in pointing the way toward unity, must never be allowed to obscure the need for the corporate decision of the Church.

5. We recognize the conflict of loyalties which often arises at such points, and we do not seek to pass judgment on the motives of those who move beyond what can be authorized as an official act of the Church. We would remind those whose conscience seems to require action beyond the limits of the Church’s corporate position, however, that they must recognize that they are acting without authority as its ministers and run the danger of courting misunderstanding of the Church’s doctrine and of confusing the greater issues often involved.

6. We also recognize that, in the unprecedented circumstances of our time, new duties may well be seen which the Church cannot adequately fulfill within its existing structure and authorities. We would then affirm that obedience to our Lord requires steady re-examination of all we do and all our structures and procedures, to make possible the new forms our obedience must take. In this necessary search, we believe that the procedure outlined in the previous resolution best meets the requirements and conditions which seem to us essential, and we commend it to every bishop accordingly.

The House rose.

On motion, the House adjourned at 9:50 p.m.
The Chairman called the House to order at 9:30 a.m. The Bishop of Northern Indiana read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chair led the House in devotions.

The Secretary read a summary of the Minutes of the previous session which was approved.

The Chair appointed the following Committee on Appreciation:

The Bishop of North Carolina, Chairman
The Bishop of West Virginia
The Bishop of Idaho

The Chair called upon the Secretary for additional communications. The Secretary read greetings from the Honorable John L. McClellan, United States Senator from Arkansas. Additional regrets were received from the Bishop of Lexington and the Bishop of Nebraska. Greetings of the House were sent these two members.

The Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Bishop of Virginia, Chairman of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, addressed the House. In compliance with a resolution adopted at the Special Meeting of the House of Bishops held at Columbia, South Carolina, in November, 1962, two bishops, two priests, and two laymen had been requested to prepare papers on "The Episcopate" and "The Nature of the Unity We Seek". Papers on The Episcopate were prepared by the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman), the Rev. Charles D. Kean, D.D., late rector of the Church of the Epiphany, Washington, D. C., and Mr. Peter Day, Ecumenical-Officer-designate, advisory to the Presiding Bishop. The Bishop of Virginia called upon these men in order to present resumes of their papers, in preparation for five discussion groups, into which members of the House would be divided.

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman) spoke to the relationship of the Episcopate to the Holy Spirit.

The Bishop of Virginia called attention to four points developed in the paper prepared by the late Dr. Kean. These points dealt with Faith in God, The Liturgical Person, The Pastor Pastorum, and The Sacramental Character of the Office of a Bishop.
The Bishop of Virginia went on to speak of the invaluable work done by the late Charles Kean as Secretary of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity. On motion of the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, the House stood while prayers were offered for Dr. Kean, and the Secretary was directed to send a letter of sympathy from the House to Mrs. Kean.

The Bishop of Virginia then called upon Mr. Peter Day, who spoke to the subject of The Episcopate itself, outlining his presentation as follows: The Episcopate as a wall of division between Churches, The minister of God as a man of God, The Reformation as an act of God, Reconsecration and Reconciliation; and The Episcopate—what God does.

The Bishop of Virginia, finally, presented a motion that the House grant two laymen and one priest participating in these presentations access to the Executive Session for discussion of these papers.

The motion was carried.

On motion of the Bishop of Virginia, the House went into Executive Session to discuss the three papers on The Episcopate.

The House rose at 12:30 p.m., and recessed, to reconvene in Executive Session at 2:15 p.m.

The House reconvened in Executive Session at 2:15 p.m.

The Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

Reports were received from the Secretaries of the five discussion groups which were set up to study the papers presented at the morning session on The Episcopate. The Chairmen of these groups were The Anglican Executive Officer, The Bishop of Virginia, The Bishop of Rhode Island, The Bishop of Delaware, and The Bishop of Western New York. The Secretaries were The Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess), The Bishop of Vermont, The Suffragan Bishop of Michigan (Bishop DeWitt), The Suffragan Bishop of South Florida (Bishop Duncan), and The Suffragan Bishop of Chicago.

The Bishop of Connecticut submitted the following statement on behalf of the Council of Nine and the House enacted that it be recorded as its counsel:

The Bishop of North Dakota presented to the House of Bishops his request for guidance in the following situation:

In certain areas of his diocese there are no resident ministers of any Christian Communion. Some Christian people are as much as sixty miles
from any opportunity to participate in service of Holy Communion in their own Churches. Is it permissible for him to permit such persons, who are not Episcopalians, to receive Holy Communion in our parish Churches?

The counsel of the House of Bishops is recorded as being, that where such baptized people, who have no reasonable opportunity to receive the Holy Communion in their own Churches, have been admitted to the Holy Communion in their own Churches, and have been instructed in the meaning of this service as set forth in the Book of Common Prayer, the bishop of the diocese may give permission for them to receive the Sacrament from Episcopal priests in his diocese.

Upon request from the Bishop of Nevada, and others, for guidance in similar situations, it is the counsel of the House of Bishops that the bishop of any other diocese may properly give similar permission.

The Bishop of Bethlehem reported on the financial situation of the new Episcopal Church Center, stating that the responsibility for the raising of the funds resided in a committee of which the Presiding Bishop is Chairman. The cost of the structure, in round numbers, is as follows:

Cost of building .................................. $3,800,000.00
Cost of land ..................................... 1,000,000.00
Associated costs:
(Moving, equipment, interest on mortgage, etc.) ......... 800,000.00
Total ............................................ $5,600,000.00

The Bishop of Bethlehem reported that available monies to meet this expense were approximately the following:

Monies in hand .................................... $1,100,000.00
Sale of 281 Fourth Ave., and Tucker House ........... 600,000.00
Gifts and Memorials................................ 1,400,000.00
Total ............................................ $3,100,000.00

The approximate unpaid balance is $2,500,000.00. The Bishop stated that there is outstanding the sum of $730,000.00 in estimates of promised gifts from dioceses and missionary districts and an additional amount of $900,000.00 in firm pledges, many of which possibly might now be considered uncollectable.

The Bishop of Virginia moved the following resolution:

Resolved, That the Chair be asked to appoint a committee of eight members of this House, one from each Province, to be a committee on the financing of the Episcopal Church Center, the committee to be asked to make a study and report back to this House and to the Committee on Program and Budget on suggested means for meeting this debt.

The resolution was adopted.
The following committee was appointed by the Chair:

The President of Province I
The President of Province II
The President of Province III
The President of Province IV
The President of Province V, Chairman
The President of Province VI
The President of Province VII
The President of Province VIII

The Bishop of New York reported for the Joint Committee on the Autonomy of the Brazilian Episcopal Church, which committee had held a meeting at Toronto in August, 1963, to consider the possibility of recommending to the General Convention that the Brazilian Church be set apart as an autonomous Church. He presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the House of Bishops declare its approval of autonomy for the Brazilian Episcopal Church as soon as the details of such autonomy are worked out to the satisfaction of both Churches. These details include:

1. A declaration of Faith and Order,

2. A plan of finance,

3. An organizational structure including Constitution and canons.

4. Recognition of responsibility for evangelism within the present Brazilian dioceses and in such new dioceses as may be created, and for participation in the world mission of the Church.

It is the Committee's hope that these details will be worked out so as to suggest a general policy for the Anglican Communion for the creation of other autonomous and autocephalous national or regional churches.

The Committee recommends that the National Council make available to the Brazilian Episcopal Church the services of members of the National Council's staff for assistance in setting up the organization of its autonomous Church.

The House rose.

On motion, the House adjourned at 6:00 p.m.
The Chairman called the House to order at 9:30 a.m.

The Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Chair led the House in devotions.

The Secretary read the summary of the Minutes of the previous day, which was approved. Four additional members notified the Secretary of their presence in the House.

The Bishop of Olympia moved that the greetings of the House be sent to Bishop Huston. Motion carried.

The Bishop of Eastern Oregon moved that the greetings of the House be sent to Bishop Remington. Motion carried.

The Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business moved the Order of the Day.

The Bishop of Washington informed the House that a majority of the Standing Committees of the Church have consented to the consecration of the Suffragan-Bishop-elect of Washington, the Very Rev. Paul Moore, Jr., S.T.D. On motion, the House gave its consent to the consecration.

The Bishop of Virginia, as Chairman of the Joint Commission on Approaches to Unity, and pursuant to the directive of this House meeting at Columbia, South Carolina, in November, 1962, introduced the subject of the day "The Nature of the Unity We Seek". He prefaced his introduction to the topic of the day and the introduction of the authors of the papers on this subject with a statement concerning the present situation of the Consultations on Church Union. For a complete report on the work being done in this field by six major Communions he strongly recommended a study of the book, Findings on Consultations on Church Union, which is obtainable from the Rev. George L. Hunt, Box 69, Fanwood, New Jersey, at a cost of $2.00. He stated that, to this point, the six Communions have associated with themselves in their consultations deputations from Orthodox Church bodies, and that, subsequently, they will also receive delegations from the Roman Church. He reported that Bishop Dun regretted that he was unable to be present to give a resume of his paper, which, therefore, was not summarized.

The Bishop of Virginia introduced the Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D., member of the faculty of Nashotah House, who presented a
resume of his paper on The Nature of the Unity We Seek. The summation of this paper dealt with the immediacy of God in personal salvation and salvation as it has taken its place in a structured location.

The Bishop of Virginia next presented Mr. William Stringfellow of New York, a layman and lawyer, to the House. Mr. Stringfellow summarized his paper on The Nature of the Unity We Seek. His paper dealt with the unity of the Church as the gift of God, and the precedence of this unity and its design, which is Pentecost.

On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 10:50 a.m. to meet in discussion groups similar to those of the previous day.

The House rose at 12:15 p.m. and recessed, to reconvene at 2:15 p.m.

The House reconvened at 2:15 p.m.

The Chair called upon the Secretaries of the five discussion groups, who reported on the following three questions, which had been directed to their respective groups by the Bishop of Virginia at the morning session:

1. Does this House interpret the Confirmation rubric to mean that reception of the Holy Communion is wrong for the non-confirmed?
2. Is full communion the objective of ecumenical action?
3. Do our Orders need, and would we accept, "Re-consecration" of our ministry?

Following the presentation of the findings of the groups, the Bishop of Virginia thanked the members of the House, on behalf of his Committee, for their study. He assured them that he was greatly encouraged and satisfied and that he had been given re-assurance of the mind of this House on the question of The Episcopate and The Nature of the Unity We Seek.

The Bishop of Virginia thereupon presented a motion of thanks, on behalf of the House, to the authors who had prepared the papers that had been presented. Communications of appreciation were directed to be sent to The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman), The Rt. Rev. Angus Dun, The Rev. Arthur A. Vogel, Ph.D., Mr. Peter Day, Mr. William Stringfellow, and Mrs. Charles D. Kean, in appreciation for the paper of the late Charles D. Kean.

On motion of the Bishop of Spokane, the House expressed appreciation to the members of the Committee responsible for the program of this Meeting: The Bishop of Virginia, The Bishop of Western New York, and The Bishop of Delaware.
On motion, the House went into Executive Session at 3:15 p.m.

The House rose at 4:00 p.m.

The Chair called upon the Anglican Executive Officer to report to the House on “Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ”, as the program has developed from the time of the meeting of the Anglican Congress, in Toronto in August until the present. Bishop Bayne informed the House that copies of his book, *Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ*, would be mailed to each member, and suggested that some might care to take an extra copy. His report will be presented to the National Council at its December meeting.

Following this presentation, the Bishop of Milwaukee presented the following resolution:

*Resolved*, That this House express to the Presiding Bishop, the National Council, and the Church, its desire to press forward for the implementation of principle in the document, “Mutual Responsibility and Interdependence in the Body of Christ”, and also ask the National Council to prepare plans for its implementation.

An amendment was offered by the Bishop of West Virginia that the phrase, “including necessary financial provisions”, be added to the resolution.

The amendment was carried.

The resolution was adopted.

On motion, the House expressed to the Anglican Executive Officer its deep pride that he is a member of this House and that this branch of the Church was turned to for the first such Officer in the Anglican Communion. It further expressed its appreciation for Bishop Bayne’s many capabilities and good works, with anticipation of his forthcoming return to work in this country.

The Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop Sherman) reminded the House that this was the 179th Anniversary of the Bestowal of the American Episcopate.

The Bishop of Alaska addressed the House on the growing interest in the Pentecostal Movement and presented the following resolution, which was adopted, to wit:

*Resolved*, That the Presiding Bishop appoint a committee of from three to five bishops to look into the Pentecostal Movement, in depth, in the Church, and to report back to this House at its next meeting.

The Bishop of Newark reported to the House that The Church Pension Fund is now working on a plan which would cover medical
needs in the field of the Blue Cross/Blue Shield and major medical costs, for all active clergy (parochial and non-parochial), for retiring and retired clergymen, and for widows and orphans. He asked the House whether it would be interested in having such a program presented for study at its meeting in 1964.

The Bishop of Michigan moved that such a study program by The Church Pension Fund be recommended. The motion was carried.

The Bishop of Milwaukee reported, by title, on the "Parish Plan" of the Church magazine, The Episcopalian. He stated that, as of today, there are 117,000 subscribers to the magazine, the highest number in the young life of The Episcopalian. He then called upon the Bishop of Kentucky to inform the House as to the method by which the Diocese of Kentucky has been successful in increasing the circulation of the magazine among its communicants.

The Bishop of West Virginia, on behalf of the Hospitality Committee, presented the following resolution, which was adopted:

Whereas, The Rt. Rev. Robert Raymond Brown, Bishop of the Diocese of Arkansas, in a generous mood, invited the House of Bishops to meet at Little Rock, Arkansas, from November 12 through 15, 1963; and

Whereas, The Diocese of Arkansas, through the various energetic and imaginative Committee Chairmen and their committees, has abundantly and magnanimously supported the Bishop's invitation; therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Bishops record its gratitude for all the many courtesies and cordiality given so unstintingly by Bishop Robert Raymond Brown and his most gracious and charming wife, Warwick; and be it further

Resolved, That the House of Bishops express thanks and appreciation (1) to the General Chairman, the Very Rev. Charles A. Higgins, Dean of Trinity Cathedral, his committee of clergymen, and especially to the ladies, Churchmen, and pages, who made our business sessions so physically pleasant; (2) to the Rev. Rufus J. Womble and his ladies, under the leadership of Mrs. Gordon S. Rather, of Christ Church, where we worshipped and breakfasted together; (3) to Mr. Walter Davis, Manager of the Albert Pike Hotel; (4) to Mr. and Mrs. Winthrop Rockefeller, our dinner hosts, who also arranged such a delightful evening of entertainment at the Arkansas Arts Center; (5) to Gen. Cort, who with his Executive Officer, Mr. Frank E. Whitbeck, organized his Command Post so efficiently that once again the House of Bishops was reminded of the strength of the Church Militant in the ongoing life of the Church; (6) to the Arkansas Territorial Capitol Restoration Commission for their dinner to the new bishops; as well as (7) to the various news media, the newspapers, radio, and television stations. In brief, the House of Bishops has been privileged to be the guest of Little Rock and the Diocese of Arkansas; and be it further

Resolved, That these resolutions be spread upon the Minutes of this meeting and that a copy be sent to the various Committee Chairmen and their Committees, who may be nameless in this resolution but who will be remembered by each Bishop who enjoyed the hospitality of their many acts of kindness.
Signed for the Committee of the House of Bishops by:
The Bishop of West Virginia
The Bishop of Idaho
The Bishop of North Carolina, Chairman

The Suffragan Bishop of New York (Bishop Boynton) presented a motion of appreciation on behalf of the House to the Vice-Chairman for his efficient, genial, co-operative, and consecrated, presiding at this Meeting in the absence of the Presiding Bishop.

The motion was unanimously carried.

On motion, the House adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS,
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1963.

Pursuant to the Order of the Day, there was a Celebration of the Holy Eucharist in Trinity Cathedral, Little Rock, at 7:30 a.m. The Vice-President of the National Council was the celebrant. He was assisted by the Bishop of Panama; the Bishop of Mexico; and the Very Rev. Charles A. Higgins, Dean of Trinity Cathedral.

The House assembled in Executive Session.

The Bishop of Rochester read a Lesson from Holy Scripture.

The Secretary read the list of nominations for two suffragan bishops for the Missionary District of Mexico and for a bishop for the Missionary District of Colombia.

The Veni, Creator Spiritus was sung.

Silence was observed for a space, and the Service To Be Used Before Balloting was concluded.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a suffragan bishop for the Missionary District of Mexico. The Chair appointed the Bishop of Upper South Carolina, the Bishop of Northern Indiana, the Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, and the Bishop of Rochester, as tellers.

The bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Rev. Leonardo Romero, priest-in-charge of the Church of the Ascension, Matamoros, Mexico, was found, on the first ballot, to have received
a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. Leonardo Romero, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Mexico, the Vice-President of the National Council, and the Bishop of Virginia, to notify the Rev. Leonardo Romero of his election.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a bishop for the Missionary District of Colombia. The Chair appointed the Bishop of the Virgin Islands, the Suffragan Bishop of Albany, the Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts (Bishop Burgess), and the Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, as tellers.

The bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Rev. David B. Reed was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Bishop of the Missionary District of Colombia.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Rev. David B. Reed, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone, the Bishop of Central America, and the Bishop of Arizona, to notify the Rev. David B. Reed of his election.

The Chair announced that an election was in order for a second suffragan bishop for the Missionary District of Mexico. The Chair appointed the Bishop of Springfield, the Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, the Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee, and the Suffragan Bishop of Long Island (Bishop MacLean), as tellers.

The bishops, in order, deposited their ballots; and the Very Rev. Melchor Saucedo, Dean of St. Andrew's Seminary, Mexico City, Mexico, was found, on the first ballot, to have received a majority of votes. He was thereupon declared by the Chair to have been chosen Suffragan Bishop of the Missionary District of Mexico.

The Chair announced the completion of the election of the Very Rev. Melchor Saucedo, subject to the consents of a majority of the Standing Committees.

The Chair appointed the Bishop of Mexico, the Vice-President of the National Council, and the Bishop of Virginia, to notify the Very Rev. Melchor Saucedo of his election.
The concluding action of the House was the presentation of the Presiding Bishop's awards for excellence in journalism, which are presented yearly by *The Episcopalian*.

Four awards were presented by the Vice-Chairman of the House, acting for the Presiding Bishop. They were for (1) the best Church newspaper, (2) the most improved Church newspaper, (3) the best Church magazine, and (4) the most improved Church magazine.

**For the best newspaper**—The award was presented to *The Albany Churchman* through its editor, Mr. John Douglas, who received the award in the presence of the Bishop of Albany.

**For the most improved newspaper**—*The Albany Churchman*. The award was received as noted above for the best Church newspaper.

**For the best Church magazine**—*The Dallas Churchman*. The award was presented through the editor, the Rev. John E. Jarrett.

**For the most improved Church magazine**—*The Highland Churchman* of the Diocese of Western North Carolina. The award was presented to its editor, the Rev. J. Finley Cooper, in the presence of the Bishop of Western North Carolina.

The Chair thanked the members of the House for their co-operation, interest, and deep Christian fellowship.

After the Benediction by the Vice-Chairman, the House adjourned, *sine die*.

NELSON M. BURROUGHS, Vice-Chairman
ALEXANDER M. RODGER, Secretary
Part IV

RULES OF ORDER
RULES OF ORDER—HOUSE OF BISHOPS
1964

Services and Devotions

I. As an indication of our humble dependence upon the Word and Spirit of God, and following the example of Primitive Councils, a copy of the Holy Scriptures shall always be reverently placed in view at all meetings of this House.

II. On each day of the Session of the House, the meeting shall be opened with prayer and the reading of the Holy Scriptures.

III. At the hour of noon on each day of the Session, there shall be a short devotional service, including prayers for Missions and other appropriate prayers.

IV. The daily session of the House shall be closed with the Benediction pronounced by the Bishop presiding.

V. At every session of the House of Bishops there shall be a daily celebration of the Holy Communion at such time and place as the Presiding Bishop or Vice-Chairman of the House shall appoint.

VI. Preceding the balloting for the election of a Presiding Bishop, a Missionary Bishop, or on the proposed transfer of a Missionary Bishop from one District to another, there shall be a celebration of the Holy Communion, with a special prayer for the guidance of the Holy Spirit. The Bishops should be vested for the service.

VII. The opening service of the General Convention and selection of the preacher shall be in charge of the Presiding Bishop, the Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops, and the Bishop of the Diocese wherein the Convention is to be held. The sermon shall be delivered by the Presiding Bishop, unless he shall elect to appoint some other Bishop as Preacher.

First Day of Session

I. The House of Bishops shall meet for business at such time and place as shall have been duly notified by the Presiding Bishop, or the Vice-Chairman of the House, to the members of this House, and shall be called to
order by the Presiding Bishop or the Vice-Chairman, or, in their absence, by the Senior Bishop present.

II. The House shall then proceed to elect a Secretary. He shall continue in office until the triennial Convention following his election. With the approval of the Presiding Officer, the Secretary may then, or later, appoint an Assistant Secretary.

III. The roll of members shall be called by the Secretary. On the second and third days the Secretary shall make a note of the late arrivals who shall inform him of their presence.

The minutes of the last meeting shall then be read by the Secretary and acted upon by the House. Such reading may be dispensed with by a majority vote of the House.

IV. Bishops appearing in the House for the first time after their Consecration shall then be presented to the President by one or more Bishops who took part in their Consecration; or in the absence of such Bishops, by one or more other Bishops.

V. The Presiding Bishop shall then announce, without word or comment, the fact and the date of the death of any members who have passed away since the last preceding meeting; after which he shall lead the House in prayer.

VI. The House shall then proceed to elect a Vice-Chairman, after hearing the report of a special nominating committee, appointed prior to the meeting by the Presiding Bishop, and after receiving any other nominations from the floor. The Vice-Chairman in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, or at his request, shall be the Presiding Officer of the House.

VII. As soon as the House shall have been organized by the election of its Secretary and Vice-Chairman, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Officer to instruct the Secretary to communicate to the House of Deputies the fact of its organization, and that it is ready to proceed to business.

**Daily Orders**

I. The regular order of business of the House shall be as follows:
1. Devotions.
2. Roll-call (except after the third day).
3. Minutes of the previous meeting.
4. Presentation of New Members.
5. Communications from the Presiding Bishop.
7. Petitions and Memorials.
8. Messages from the House of Deputies not yet disposed of.
10. Reports of Standing Committees in the order in which the Committees are named in General Rule I.
11. Reports of Commissions.
12. Reports of Special Committees.

II. At any Special Meeting of the House, the Secretary shall present and read the Official Call for such meeting and incorporate such Call in the Minutes.

2. The order of business at any Special Session shall be as follows:

1. Call to order.
2. Devotions.
3. The Reading of the Call for the Special Meeting.
4. Roll-call.
5. Presentation of New Members.
6. Communications from the Presiding Bishop.
7. The Special Business of the Meeting.
8. Reports of Special Committees.
9. Reading of the Minutes.
10. Adjournment.

III. On the second day of the Session, after Devotions, the Presiding Bishop shall lay before the House a statement of his official acts during the recess of the General Convention.

IV. On the days when the House of Bishops is expected to meet with the House of Deputies and others in Joint Session, the first order of business shall be the consideration of such matters as the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall report as urgently demanding attention.
Then shall follow consideration of messages from the House of Deputies not disposed of, reports from Standing Committees, and other business for which time shall remain. If the Joint Session shall adjourn before the customary hour for adjournment of the House of Bishops, the House shall resume its sitting. Any part of this rule may be suspended by a majority vote.

V. The Secretary shall keep a Calendar of Business, on which shall be placed in the order in which they are presented, reports of Committees, resolutions which lie over, and other matters undisposed of, indicating the subject of each item.

VI. The Order of the Day shall be taken up at the hour appointed, unless postponed by a vote of two-thirds of the members present.

VII. Bishops invited to honorary seats may be introduced by the Presiding Officer whenever no other business occupies the House.

General Rules

I. Committees shall be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House unless otherwise ordered. The Bishop first named on a Committee shall act as its convener, and each Committee at its first meeting shall elect its own Chairman and Secretary. Whenever an appointment to any place or position is made by direct action of the House, such appointment shall be by ballot. At his discretion the Presiding Bishop may refer to the Standing Committees, for their consideration, matters which arise and which should receive consideration at the next meeting of the House. The Standing Committees, to be announced not later than the third day of the session, shall be as follows:

1. On Dispatch of Business.
2. On Rules of Order, of which the Presiding Bishop shall be a member ex officio.
5. On Memorials and Petitions.
6. On Domestic Missions, who shall also serve as Committee on Nominations of Domestic Missionary Bishops.
7. On Overseas Missions, who shall also serve as Committee on Nominations of Overseas Missionary Bishops.


10. On Christian Education.

11. On the Consecration of Bishops.

12. On the Admission of New Dioceses.


15. On Social and International Affairs.


17. On Ecumenical Relations.

18. On Religious Communities.

Each of these Committees shall consist of not less than three nor more than eight members, at the discretion of the Presiding Officer of the House.

II. No memorial, petition, or address shall come before this House unless presented by the Presiding Officer of the House, or some other Bishop present.

III. Nothing other than reports and other documents printed for the use and by the order of the House, except the private correspondence of its members, shall be distributed in the House without having first been entrusted to the Secretary, and submitted to the approval of the Presiding Officer.

IV. All resolutions shall be reduced to writing, and no motion shall be considered as before the House until seconded.

V. Members in discussion shall address the Chair, and shall confine themselves to the question in debate. No member shall speak more than twice in the same debate without leave of the House.

VI. Officers of the House of Bishops, when addressing the House in debate, shall in all cases do so from the floor of the House.

VII. When a division is called for, every member present shall be counted, unless personally interested in the
question to be decided. When, in such procedure, the vote of the Presiding Officer produces a tie, the motion shall be considered as lost.

VIII. When it is proposed to give consent to the consecration or confirmation of a Bishop-elect or of a Bishop-Coadjutor-elect or of a Suffragan-Bishop-elect, it shall be competent for any three members of the House to call for a vote by ballot.

IX. On any question before the House the ayes and nays may be required by any three members, and shall in such cases be entered on the Journal.

X. When a question is under consideration, no motion shall be received unless to lay it upon the table, to postpone it to a certain time, to postpone it indefinitely, to commit it, to substitute another motion dealing with the question, to amend it, to divide it, or for a Committee of Conference; and motions for any of these purposes shall have precedence in the order herein named. Motions to lay upon the table, to commit, to refer, and to adjourn shall be decided without debate. A motion to adjourn shall always be in order.

XI. On motion duly put and carried the House may resolve itself into a Committee of the Whole, at which only members of the House shall be present and no records made of its action.

XII. On motion duly put and carried the House may go into Executive Session at which only members of the House shall be present. The Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall act as clerk and make a record of all motions adopted.

XIII. Reports of Committees shall be in writing, and shall be received of course. Reports recommending or requiring any action or expression of opinion by the House shall be accompanied by specific resolutions.

XIV. Reports of Committees appointed to sit during the recess, if not acted upon at once, shall, when presented, be made the Order of the Day for a time fixed. Printed committee reports which have been delivered to and circulated among the members of the House of Bishops in advance of the making of such reports upon
the floor of the House, shall be presented by Title and the Chairman or Committee member presenting said report shall be allowed five minutes for summarizing the same, which time may be extended only by a two-thirds vote of the House.

XV. When a report (or reports) of a Joint Committee or Joint Commission is referred to the Committee on Constitution and Canons, it shall be within the province of such Committee to pass and report only on the canonical form and not on the contents of such report or reports.

XVI. All questions of order shall be decided by the Chair without debate, but appeal may be taken from such decision. The decision of the Chair shall stand unless over-ruled by a two-thirds vote of the members present. On such appeal no member shall speak more than once without express leave of the House.

XVII. Amendments shall be considered in the order in which they are moved. When a proposed amendment is under consideration, a motion to amend the same may be made. No after-amendment to such second amendment shall be in order, but a substitute for the whole matter may be received. No proposition on a subject differing from the one under consideration shall be received under color of a substitute.

XVIII. A question being once determined shall stand as the judgment of the House, and shall not be again drawn into debate during the same session of the House except with the consent of two-thirds of the House. A motion to reconsider can be made only on the day the vote was taken, or on the next succeeding legislative day; and must be made and seconded by those who voted with the majority.

XIX. Except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, no new business shall be introduced for the consideration of the House after the fifth day of the Session, and for the purpose of this rule all days shall be counted excepting Sunday.

XX. All resolutions which are to be communicated to the House of Deputies, unless they contain information of action incomplete in this House, or be temporarily withheld by order of this House at the time of their pas-
sage, shall be transmitted to the House of Deputies as soon as conveniently may be, under the direction of the Presiding Officer of the House.

XXI. Committees from the House of Deputies shall be admitted immediately. Messages from the House of Deputies shall be handed by the Secretary of this House to the Presiding Officer, to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient. However, consideration of such message shall be subject to a motion for the appointment of a Committee of Conference as hereinafter provided in these Rules. All such messages communicating any legislative action on the part of the House of Deputies shall, without debate, be referred to the proper Committee, unless without debate the House shall decide to consider such messages without such reference. When the consideration of such message shall have been begun, it shall continue to be the Order of the Day until final action thereon. The final action of this House upon any message from the House of Deputies shall be by vote upon the question—"Shall this House concur in the action of the House of Deputies as communicated in their Message No.?"

XXII. If, during the consideration by this House of any action taken by the House of Deputies, a motion is made stating the position of this House and requesting a Committee of Conference, such motion shall have precedence and be put to a vote without debate, and if passed by a majority of the members of this House then present, a Committee of Conference shall be appointed. A Committee of Conference shall also be in order with or without motion (1) in cases where the House of Deputies has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by this House, or (2) in cases where this House has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by the House of Deputies. When a Committee of Conference has been appointed, final action upon the matter under consideration shall be deferred until the Committee of Conference shall have reported to this House; provided such report shall be made not later than the next business day or within one hour after the convening of the last Session of this House in Convention Assembled, whichever event shall first occur. Further, the Chairman of any Standing or other Committee shall have full authority,
either alone or with members of the Committee, to con­fer with the Chairman of any Committee of the House of Deputies having duties and responsibilities, the same as or similar to those of the Committee of the House of Bishops chaired by him.

XXIII. Two Bishops may be appointed by the Pre­sid­ing Officer to act with the Secretary in preparing daily reports of the action of this House, and furnishing them, at their discretion, to the public press.

XXIV. Bishops admitted to honorary seats in the House shall be conducted to the seats assigned to them by the Bishops who introduce them, and except when the House is in Executive Session or when meeting as a Council of Bishops, shall at all times be entitled to be present. At such a call the Secretary shall ask the Guests to leave the House.

XXV. There shall be constituted an Advisory Com­mit­tee of eight Bishops, one to be chosen by the Bishops of each Province, with which Committee the Presiding Bishop may counsel upon questions arising between the meetings of the House of Bishops.

XXVI. The Committee of the Bishops' Pastoral shall be a Standing Committee of the House composed of men eminently qualified for the task, and empowered to enlist additional assistance, with the consent of the Presiding Bishop, as may seem wise. The Committee shall make a report at each Session of the House.

XXVII. Additions and amendments to, or suspension or repeal of, these rules shall require a vote of two-thirds of the members present.

XXVIII. These rules shall be in force in subsequent sessions of this House until otherwise ordered.

**Bishops in Council**

I. It shall be competent for the House of Bishops to convene as, or being convened to resolve itself into, a Council of Bishops, at which only members of the House of Bishops and elected officers of the Council shall be present. Should neither the Presiding Bishop nor the Vice-Chairman of the House act as the Presiding Officer of the
Council, such officer shall be elected *pro tempore*. One of the members of the Council, chosen for that purpose, shall act as Clerk.

II. The body known as the Bishops in Council, as an assemblage of Catholic Bishops, and considering and acting upon matters of duty or responsibility resting on them as a portion of the universal Episcopate, may be convened at any time, suitable notice being given by the Presiding Bishop or the Vice-Chairman of the House of Bishops. Words spoken by anyone in Council shall be held by all as strictly confidential, and no proceedings shall be known to others than Bishops, save by order of the Council.

III. The body known as the Bishops in Council, when considering matters which are subject to the authority of the House of Bishops in its constitutional and canonical capacity, shall be guided by the following rules:

1. Such meetings shall be for mutual counsel and consideration only.

2. In such meetings no resolutions shall be adopted, except to adjourn, to rise, to report to the House of Bishops, to recommend to the House of Bishops, to take order for the giving out of information, or to commit; *Provided*, that no Committee or Commission of the Council shall be clothed with any power beyond the promotion of considerations, the preparation of reports, or the furthering of recommendations, to be submitted by the Council to the House of Bishops for action by the latter.

**The Presiding Bishop**

I. All nominations for the election of a Presiding Bishop shall be made only in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

II. The Nominating Committee, as provided by Canon 2, Sec. 1, shall present to the House not less than three of its members.

III. Opportunity for nominations from the floor shall be given but without the calling of the roll.
IV. The House of Bishops should remain within the confines of the church where the election has been held, until word has been received of the action by the House of Deputies.

Missionary Bishops

I. 1. When a vacancy occurs or is about to occur in the Missionary Episcopate, it shall be the duty of the Presiding Bishop to investigate the situation existing in the District, to consult with those persons in the field and at home best fitted to advise as to the conditions in the District, and to submit to the members of the House such information as he may secure.

2. Before any vacancy in the Missionary Episcopate is to be considered or filled at any special meeting of the House, notice to this effect shall be given in the call of such meeting, together with an invitation to the Bishops to send to the Secretary of the House any names of persons suggested for the vacancy. In such case, three weeks before the special meeting, the Secretary shall notify confidentially each Bishop of the names so suggested. The ballot for the choice of any such vacancy shall not, without unanimous consent, be taken at a special meeting until at least the first day, nor at a meeting of the General Convention until at least the second day, after such names and any others which may be offered shall have been presented in the House. In the event of the occurrence of a vacancy in a Missionary District, or the resignation of a Missionary Bishop, between the issuance of the call for a special meeting of the House of Bishops and the meeting thereof, the House by a two-thirds vote of those present and entitled to vote, shall be competent to fill such vacancy, or to act upon such resignation.

II. Further proceedings for the election of a Missionary Bishop shall be as follows:

1. The Committee on Domestic Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in Domestic Missionary Districts; and the Committee on Overseas Missions shall serve as a Nominating Committee to fill vacancies in Overseas Districts; and should make not less than three nominations for such vacancies.

2. The Presiding Bishop may at his discretion, make nominations for such vacancies.
3. Opportunity for further nominations from the floor shall be given, but without the calling of the roll.

4. The Bishops making nominations, and others having knowledge of the persons nominated, shall give to the Committee on Domestic Missions or the Committee on Overseas Missions, as the case may be, full information regarding the nominees, and such Committee having secured further information as may be possible, shall report to the House in Executive Session. Such further information concerning the intellectual, moral, and physical qualifications of the persons nominated, with dates of birth, graduation, and specific statements as to theological attainment, proficiency in languages and as to any specialty in sacred duties to which he may have devoted himself. Questions may be asked and other information given by the Bishops.

5. All nominations for vacant Missionary Districts shall be made in Executive Session. The names of the nominees shall be made known to the public only after the election.

6. In the case of a declination, or of another vacancy, another election can be held from the same names without further formality than re-nomination; but if new names are introduced, the order prescribed above shall be repeated.

7. In the case of the proposed transfer of a Bishop in charge of a Missionary District to another District, action shall be as in the case of the election of Missionary Bishops.

8. All proceedings in Executive Session shall be held strictly confidential. In the case of elections held in Executive Session and to be confirmed by the House of Deputies or by the Standing Committees of the Church, the names of those elected shall not be made known until they are published by the House of Deputies, or until they are ordered to be sent to the Standing Committees.

Standing Orders

I. WHEREAS, By provisions of Canon 38, Sec. 1 (a), (b), and Canon 38, Sec. 2 (c), the Presiding Bishop of the House is empowered to take order for the ordination and consecration of Diocesan and Missionary Bishops,
either in his own person or by commission issued to three Bishops:

It is hereby ordered that, in all cases of Episcopal consecrations, the place for the same shall be designated only with the consent of the Ecclesiastical Authority in whose Diocese or Jurisdiction such proposed place is; that the Bishop-elect shall have the right to designate the preacher and the two Bishops by whom he is to be presented; and that, in the absence of the Presiding Bishop, the Senior Bishop by consecration who is present shall preside, unless some other Bishop shall have been designated by the Presiding Bishop.

II. Seniority among the Bishops is according to the time of the consecration of each Bishop.

III. The House of Bishops shall assemble on every morning during the period of the General Convention, except the Lord's Day, for business, unless adjournment beyond that morning has been ordered by the vote of the House.

IV. Two or more of the Bishops shall be appointed at each General Convention to take charge, together with the Secretary of the House of Bishops, of the Journal of its proceedings, and to see that the whole, or such parts of it as the House may direct, be entered in its proper place in the Journal of the General Convention.

V. The Secretary of the House of Bishops shall keep, in a suitable book to be provided for this purpose, a Record of the members and officers of the House from the beginning, and shall record therein the names of the Bishops who are or have been members of this House, the date and place of their consecration, the names of their consecrators, together with the date of the termination, by death, resignation, or otherwise, of the membership of such Bishops as have ceased to have seats in this House, all of which facts shall be recorded only upon official information, for which it shall be the duty of the Secretary to call upon such persons as may be competent to furnish the same. The said book of record shall be the official Register of this House, and the roll of the House made up therefrom by the Secretary shall be by him certified to its Presiding Officer, who shall at each regular or special session of the House communicate the same.
to the House, as its official roll, as soon as he shall have
taken the chair. Such roll shall be subject to change only
by vote of the House.

VI. In making up the list of the Bishops who have
retained their constituted rights to seats in this House,
the Secretary is instructed to leave the name of any
Bishop resigned in the place which he occupies in
the order of his consecration, with the addition of the word
"Bishop," which shall be considered as the sufficient
official title of such resigned Bishop.

VII. In the event of the loss by any Bishop of his seat
in the House of Bishops, with the consequent omission
of his name from the roll, and his return to the House,
his name shall be entered on the roll at the place corre­
sponding with the time of such return.

VIII. At every meeting of the House of Bishops a seat
for the Chairman of the Committee on Dispatch of Busi-
ness shall be assigned near the front of the House.

IX. At every meeting of the House of Bishops seats
on the platform shall be assigned to such Bishops present
as have formerly held the office of Presiding Bishop, and
at every service of General Convention such Bishops as
have formerly held the office of Presiding Bishop shall
be assigned places immediately in front of the Chaplain
of the Presiding Bishop.

Standing Resolutions

I. Resolved, That the Standing Committee on the
Resignation of Bishops be requested to prepare a resolu-
tion taking note of the service of each Bishop whose
resignation is being accepted, such resolution to be pre-
sented to the House of Bishops along with the recom-
mandation on the resignation. Where a resignation is
accepted between Meetings of the House, such resolution
shall be presented at the next Meeting.

II. Resolved, That the Presiding Bishop be requested
to appoint on each occasion a Committee of three or more
Bishops to prepare, on behalf of the House of Bishops,
and send to the family of each Bishop who dies, a
memorial message, such Committee to represent the
House of Bishops at the funeral where it is practical for
them to attend.
III. Resolved, That within six months after the adjournment of each General Convention the Secretary of the House of Bishops shall communicate with the Bishop named as Convener of each Commission or Joint Commission appointed during the preceding General Convention, and inquire whether the Commission has convened and organized, keeping a record of the replies received.

JOINT RULES OF JOINT COMMITTEES

These are the same as the Joint Rules which appear on pp. 13 to 16 of the House of Deputies' Rules of Order.

1. Joint Special Committees, having made their final report, are to be considered as having exhausted their functions, and can only be revived by the concurrent action of the two Houses.

2. It shall be the privilege of either House to refer to a Joint Committee any matter relating to the subject for which it was appointed; but neither House shall have the power, without the consent of the other, to instruct the Joint Committee as to any particular line of action.

3. The Secretaries of both Houses in accordance with present practice shall, not later than the month of January following the meeting of General Convention, notify the members of the respective Houses who have appointments upon Joint Commissions and Joint Committees of such appointment and their duty to present a report to the next Convention; and shall remind them one year prior to the opening day of Convention of this duty.

4. When, in the judgment of any Joint Committee or Joint Commission, it is deemed advisable that its report should be sent to the members of the General Convention prior to its meeting, such report shall be sent by the first of June prior to said Convention to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, who shall print and distribute the same, as far as practicable, to all members of said Convention.

5. No Joint Committee or Joint Commission shall be deemed to have performed the duty assigned to it until it shall have presented its final report. Any Joint Committee or Commission which shall not have reported to the General Convention following its appointment shall be discharged at the termination of that Convention unless action is otherwise ordered.

6. Vacancies in Joint Committees and Joint Commissions occurring during the recess between General Conventions shall be filled by the Chairman of the House of Bishops on the part of that House and by the President of the House of Deputies on the part of that House.
7. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall set forth the names of its original members, any changes in membership, the names of all those who concur in and all those who dissent from its recommendations, and shall further state, if less than a majority of its entire membership sign the report, their authority for presenting it.

8. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission shall be convened by the Bishop first named, and when convened shall elect a Chairman, a Vice-Chairman, and a Secretary. The Chairman shall be a Bishop. The Vice-Chairman and the Secretary shall be a Presbyter or a Layman. In the event that the Committee is not organized as above provided within one year from the date of adjournment of the Convention which created or continued the Committee or Commission, any three members may take such action as may be necessary to organize the Committee. After the Committee or Commission shall have been convened, and officers chosen, the Chairman or the Vice-Chairman shall be empowered to call a meeting at a time to be fixed by him on the signed request of three members. The Presiding Bishop, in respect of Bishops, and the President of the House of Deputies, in respect of Presbyters and Lay Persons, may appoint members and staff of the Executive Council, or other experts, as consultants to any Committee or Commission, to assist in the performance of its functions. Notice of such appointment shall be given to the Secretaries of both Houses.

9. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall include a detailed report of all receipts and expenditures, including moneys received from any source whatsoever, during the preceding triennium, and its estimated requirements for the coming triennium.

10. Every Joint or Special Committee or Commission, or Committee or Commission of either House, whose report requests expenditure out of the funds of the General Convention (except for the printing of the report) shall present to the Joint Committee on Expenses its written request on or before the fourth business day of the session, and all resolutions providing for any such expenditures shall be immediately referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses. No proposition involving such an expenditure shall be considered unless so presented.

11. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission, as a condition precedent to the presentation and reception of any report in either House in which such Joint Committee or Commission proposes the adoption of any resolution, shall, by vote, authorize a member or members of that House, who, if possible, shall be a member of the Joint Committee or Commission, with such limitations as the Joint Com-
mittee or Commission may impose, to accept or reject on behalf of the Joint Committee or Commission, any amendments proposed in such House to any such resolution; Provided, however, that no such amend­ment may change the substance of the proposal but shall be primarily for the purpose of correcting errors. The name of the member or members of the particular House upon whom such authority has been conferred, and the limitations of authority, shall be communicated in writing by the Chairman of such Joint Committee or Commission to the Presiding Officer of such House not later than the presentation of such report in that House. The application of this Rule in either House may be suspended, in any particular case, by the majority vote of the members of such House.

12. There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention, to consist of five Bishops, five Presbyters, and eight Laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and Treasurer of the General Convention ex officio but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the later approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction, or elimination of any items, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

13. The Secretary of the House of Deputies, being the Secretary of the General Convention, shall, with the co-operation of the Secretary of the House of Bishops, and of such Bishops as may be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops, prepare a summary of the actions of the General Convention of particular interest to the Congregations of the Church, and make the same available to the Congregations through the Ministers in charge thereof, and to the Lay Deputies; such summary to be sent to the clergy along with the Pastoral Letter put forth by the House of Bishops, and to be made available to all Deputies on the last day of the Convention, along with such Pastoral Letter, if feasible to do so, or within ten days thereafter.

14. There shall be a Joint Committee on Audit, appointed at each General Convention, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and one Layman, to serve until their successors are appointed, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of the Treasurer of the General Convention and to serve as a joint advisory committee to co-operate with the Treasurer of the General Convention. The Joint Committee on Audit shall present its report to the House of Deputies at each triennial meeting of the General Convention.
OFFICES OF DEVOTION

I.

1. A Lesson from Holy Scripture.

2. The Lord be with you.

   And with thy spirit.

   O Lord, show they mercy upon us.

   And grant us thy salvation.

   O God, make clean our hearts within us.

   And take not thy Holy Spirit from us.

3. O God the Father,

   Have mercy upon us.

   O God the Son,

   Have mercy upon us.

   O God the Holy Ghost,

   Have mercy upon us.

   O Holy Trinity, one God,

   Have mercy upon us.

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord; and that it may please thee to grant peace to the whole world, and to thy Church;

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

   That it may please thee to sanctify and bless thy holy Church throughout the world;

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

   That it may please thee to inspire all Bishops, Priests, and Deacons with love of thee and of thy truth;

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

   That it may please thee to endue all Ministers of thy Church with devotion to thy glory and to the salvation of souls;

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

   That it may please thee to guide by thy indwelling Spirit those whom thou dost call to the Ministry of thy Church; that they may go forward with courage, and persevere to the end;

   We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.
That it may please thee to increase the number of the Ministers of thy Church, that the Gospel may be preached to all people;

We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

That it may please thee to hasten the fulfillment of thy purpose, that thy Church may be one;

We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

That it may please thee to grant that we, with all thy saints, may be partakers of thy everlasting kingdom;

We beseech thee to hear us, good Lord.

Lord, have mercy upon us.

Christ, have mercy upon us.

Lord, have mercy upon us.

Our Father, who art in heaven, etc.

Hearken unto our voice, O Lord, when we cry unto thee;

Have mercy upon us and hear us.

O Lord, arise, help us;

And deliver us, for thy Name's sake.

Let thy priests be clothed with righteousness;

And let thy saints sing with joyfulness.

Lord, hear our prayer;

And let our cry come unto thee.

Let us pray

O God, who dost ever hallow and protect thy Church; raise up therein, through thy Spirit, good and faithful stewards of the mysteries of Christ, that by their ministry and example thy people may abide in thy favour and be guided in the way of truth; through Jesus Christ our Lord, who liveth and reigneth with thee in the unity of the same Spirit ever, one God, world without end. Amen.

4. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.

or this

1. A Lesson from Holy Scripture.

2. The Creed.

3. The Lord be with you.

And with thy spirit.
4. The Lord's Prayer.
5. Collects.

**Collect**

O God, who dost teach the hearts of thy faithful people by sending to them the light of thy Holy Spirit; Grant us, thy servants, the Bishops and Pastors of thy flock, by the same Spirit to have a right judgment in all things and evermore to rejoice in his holy comfort; through the merits of Christ Jesus our Saviour, who liveth and reigneth with thee, in the unity of the same Spirit, one God, world without end. Amen.

**Collect for the First Sunday after the Epiphany**

O Lord, we beseech thee mercifully to receive the prayers of thy people who call upon thee; and grant that they may both perceive and know what things they ought to do, and also may have grace and power faithfully to fulfill the same; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

**Collect for St. Peter's Day**

O Almighty God, who by thy Son Jesus Christ didst give to thy Apostle Saint Peter many excellent gifts, and commandest him earnestly to feed thy flock; Make, we beseech thee, all Bishops and Pastors diligently to preach thy holy Word, and the people obediently to follow the same, that they may receive the crown of everlasting glory; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

**Collect for the Church**

O gracious Father, we humbly beseech thee for thy holy Catholic Church; that thou wouldest be pleased to fill it with all truth, in all peace. Where it is corrupt, purify it; where it is in error, direct it; where in anything it is amiss, reform it. Where it is right, establish it; where it is in want, provide for it; where it is divided, reunite it; for the sake of him who died and rose again, and ever liveth to make intercession for us, Jesus Christ, thy Son, our Lord. Amen.

6. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, etc.

II.

*A Form to be used before balloting for one to be chosen for a Missionary Bishopric*

1. Lesson, to be read by a Bishop designated by the Presiding Bishop, to wit: Exodus iv. 1-12; Isaiah vi. 1-8; Acts xx. at verse 17; or Revelation vii. at verse 9.

2. *Veni, Creator Spiritus*, the form provided in the Hymn of the
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Revised Hymnal, or the form in the Office for the Ordering of Priests.

3. The Lord be with you.
   \textit{And with thy spirit.}

4. Secret prayer, for which there shall silence be kept for a space.

5. The Lord's Prayer.

6. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, \textit{etc.}

\section*{III.
\textit{At the Confirmation of an Election}}

The Presiding Bishop shall bid the House to prayer, and after silence shall have been kept for a space, he shall say:

1. The Collect for the Fifth Sunday after the Epiphany.

2. The Collect for the Ninth Sunday after Trinity.

3. The Collect for the Nineteenth Sunday after Trinity.

4. The grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, \textit{etc.}

\section*{IV. Special Prayers}

\textit{A Prayer for a Bishop-elect}

O God, great in power, unsearchable in understanding, wondrous in counsels toward the children of men; Do thou fill with the gift of the Holy Spirit him whom thou has willed to undertake this Ministry; that he may be worthy to stand before thy holy Altar unblamably, to announce the Gospel of thy Kingdom, to administer the Word of thy truth, to offer gifts and spiritual sacrifices unto thee, and to renew thy people in the font of Regeneration; that, at the second coming of thine only-begotten Son, he may go forth to meet him, and by the multitude of thy mercies receive his reward; through the same Jesus Christ our Lord, to whom, with thee and the Holy Ghost, be all honour and glory, world without end. \textit{Amen.}

\textit{A Prayer for a Bishop who has accepted election}

O God, who at this time didst teach the hearts of thy faithful people, by sending to them the light of thy Holy Spirit; Grant us by the same Spirit to have a right judgment in all things, and evermore to rejoice in his holy comfort; through the merits of Christ Jesus our Saviour, who liveth and reigneth with thee, in the unity of the same Spirit, one God, world without end. \textit{Amen.}
RULES OF ORDER—HOUSE OF DEPUTIES
AS AMENDED, 1964

THE HOLY SCRIPTURES

As an indication of our humble dependence upon the Word and Spirit of God, and following the example of Primitive Councils, a copy of the Holy Scriptures shall always be reverently placed in view at the meetings of this House. This rule is to be carried into effect under the supervision of the President and Secretary of the House.

RULES IN FORCE

At the meetings of the House of Deputies the Rules and Orders of the previous meetings shall be in force until they are amended or repealed by the House. Canon 1, Sec. 1 (f).

OPENING OF THE SESSION

1. The daily sessions of the House shall be opened with prayer, and prayer for Missions shall be had daily at noon; Provided, however, that when the morning session is to be followed immediately by a Devotional Service which is to include prayer for Missions, the President may in his discretion omit the noonday prayer for Missions.

THE PRESIDENT

2. The President having taken the Chair, the roll of members shall be called whenever so ordered, without debate, by a majority of those present. At the opening of each daily session of the House, the Minutes shall be read unless they be certified as in these Rules provided. In absence of roll-call any member shall advise the Secretary of his personal attendance on the Convention.

3. When the President shall be in the Chair, no member shall continue standing or shall afterwards stand up, except to address the President, nor shall he address the House or make any motion until after recognition by the President.

4. While the President is putting any question, the members shall continue in their seats, and shall not hold any private discourse.

5. When any member is about to speak or to deliver any matter to the House, he shall, with due respect, address himself to the President, state his name and his Diocese or Missionary District, and confine himself strictly to the point in debate.

6. When the House is about to rise, every member shall keep his seat until the President leaves the Chair. Before leaving the Chair the President may make any communication to the House, or may cause any notice to be read by the Secretary.
Committees

7. All Committees shall be appointed and announced to the House by the President, unless otherwise ordered. A list of the members of the Standing Committees shall be prepared and distributed to the House as soon as may be thereafter.

8. (a) Not later than sixty (60) days in advance of the opening date of the Convention, the President shall appoint the following Standing Committees, to wit:

1. On the State of the Church (to consist of two Clerical Deputies and one Lay Deputy from each Province, two Clerical Deputies and two Lay Deputies from the Foreign Missionary Districts and one Deputy from the Convocation of the American Churches in Europe).

2. On the General Theological Seminary.

3. On Missions.

4. On the Admission of New Dioceses.

5. On the Consecration of Bishops.

6. On Amendments to the Constitution.

7. On Canons.

8. On Elections.


10. On Christian Education.


15. On Rules of Order (to consist of six members, of whom one shall be the President of the House), to which Committee shall be referred, without debate, all proposed amendments to the Rules of Order.


17. On Dispatch of Business.

18. On Evangelism.


20. On Ecumenical Relations.

21. On Theological Education.

The size of the Standing Committees, unless otherwise noted, shall be at the discretion of the President; Provided, that, when the number of members equals or exceeds the number of Provinces, there shall normally be at least one member from each Province.

The President may appoint Study Committees related to the work of the Executive Council, and such Special Committees as he deems desirable.

(b) Such Committees of the House of Deputies as are so instructed by the President shall convene at least two days in advance of the opening date of Convention to consider matters referred to them.

(c) Prior to the opening date of Convention, the Secretary or the Committee on Dispatch of Business shall arrange and publish an initial calendar of public hearings to be held by Committees after the opening of Convention.

ORDER OF BUSINESS

9. The Daily Order of Business shall be as follows:

I. Opening prayer.

II. Report on the Certification of the Minutes, or Reading of the Journal.

III. Communications from the President.

IV. Report of Committee on Elections.
   Report of Committee on Dispatch of Business (The President may also recognize the Committee on Dispatch of Business for further reports, as required, at any time).

V. Reports of other Standing Committees in numerical order, as given in Rule No. 8.

VI. Reports of Joint Committees and Joint Commissions in the following order:
   Joint Committee on Expenses.
   Other Joint Committees.
   Joint Commissions.

VII. Reports of Special Committees.

VIII. Petitions and Memorials.

IX. Motions and Resolutions.

X. Business on the Calendar.

The President may interrupt the Daily Order of Business for Messages from the House of Bishops, Noonday Prayers, or
Special Orders. If the Daily Order is not completed during the day, the President may, on the following day, after Items I to IV inclusive, resume the Order where it was interrupted on the previous day.

10. The Secretary shall keep a Calendar of Business, on which shall be placed, in the order of their presentation, the subjects being briefly indicated, Orders of the Day, reports from Committees, resolutions which lie over, and other matters undisposed of.

11. At twelve o'clock, after Prayer for Missions, unless there be an Order of the Day, or as soon thereafter as the Order of the Day shall be disposed of, the other business on the Calendar shall be taken up and be disposed of in the order in which it stands thereon.

A vote of two-thirds of the members present shall be required to take up any matter out of its order on the Calendar or to make any matter the Order of the Day for a particular time.

MOTIONS AND THEIR ORDER

12. No member shall address the President while any other member has the floor, except to present a parliamentary inquiry, a point of order, or a question of privilege touching the character of the House or of one or more of its members.

13. Except by leave of the House, no member shall speak more than twice in the same debate, nor longer than five minutes at one time.

14. Before being considered, all propositions involving expenses, except propositions to print, shall be referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.

15. (a) All Resolutions and Memorials from Dioceses, Missionary Districts, Provinces, and Commissions, should be sent to the Secretary of the General Convention at least ninety (90) days in advance of the opening date of Convention; and the President shall refer these matters, by mail, to the proper Standing Committee at least forty-five (45) days in advance of the opening date of Convention.

(b) All other Resolutions must be reduced to writing, presented in duplicate, bearing the name and Diocese or Missionary District of the mover thereof, and the same shall appear in the Minutes of the House. No such motion or resolution can be offered or shall be deemed to be before the House unless the maker has first been recognized by the President and the motion has been seconded.

16. If the question under debate contains several distinct propositions, at the request of any member the same shall be divided, and a separate vote shall be taken, but the motion to strike out and to
insert shall be indivisible. When the voting is by Dioceses and Orders, the request for division must be made by the entire Clerical or Lay representation from any Diocese.

17. When a question is under consideration, no motion shall be received except (1) to adjourn, (2) to lay it upon the table, (3) to take a vote thereon immediately or at a time certain, (4) to extend limits of debate, (5) to postpone to a time certain, (6) to commit or to recommit it, (7) to amend it, (8) to postpone it indefinitely or, (9) for a Committee of Conference.

Motions for any of these purposes shall have precedence in the order herein named.

18. If a motion be carried to lay on the table an amendment or a substitute, the matter before the House shall be proceeded with as though such amendment or substitute had not been offered.

The following questions cannot be laid on the table, viz., the motion (1) to adjourn, (2) to lay on the table, (3) to take from the table, (4) to take a vote immediately or at a time certain, or any questions as to (5) the Order of the Day, or (6) the priority of business.

Upon the arrival of the time when a vote is to be taken pursuant to a previous vote, the main question shall be put, and no debate shall then be in order nor any motion except that to adjourn.

The motion to adjourn shall always be in order; Provided that the same shall not be offered when another member has the floor.

19. When a motion is pending the following amendments shall be in order: (1) one amendment may be made to each independent or separable portion thereof, and (2) one motion to amend that amendment shall be in order; and it shall be in order also (3) to offer a further amendment by way of substitute to which may be offered (4) one amendment.

No proposition not germane to the subject under consideration shall be received under color of an amendment or a substitute.

Neither the substitute nor its amendment shall be voted on (except to lay on the table) until the original matter is perfected. An amendment or a substitute may be withdrawn by the mover with the consent of his seconder before amendment thereof or before decision is had thereon.

The amendment or the substitute shall be debatable only when the main question is debatable.
The adoption of an amendment by way of substitute or otherwise shall not displace the main resolution, which, after being so amended, shall be the question before the House.

The following questions cannot be amended, viz.:

(1) The call for the Order of the Day, (2) an appeal from the decision of the Chair, (3) an objection to consideration; or the motions (4) to adjourn, (5) to lay on the table, (6) to take from the table, (7) for leave to continue speaking, (8) to postpone indefinitely, (9) to reconsider, (10) to suspend rules, (11) to take up business out of order, or (12) for leave to withdraw a motion.

20. When a substitute is pending the motion to postpone indefinitely shall not be in order, but unless otherwise therein provided the motions, (1) to postpone to a certain time, (2) to commit or to recommit, (3) to take a vote immediately or at a certain time, or (4) to extend limits of debate, shall cover both the substitute and the main question.

21. (a) There shall be no debate upon any of the following motions, viz: (1) to refer any matter or resolution to a Standing Committee, (2) to lay on the table, (3) to take from the table, (4) to take a vote immediately or at a fixed time, (5) to adjourn unqualifiedly, (6) to extend limits of debate, (7) of an objection to consideration, (8) for a recess, (9) to permit a change of vote, (10) to permit the withdrawal of a motion.

(b) Any member may speak, not more than two minutes, on the following motions: (1) to adjourn to a time certain, (2) to fix a time at which to adjourn, (3) to postpone to a definite time, (4) to take up a question out of its order, or (5) to suspend the Rules.

22. All questions of order shall be decided by the President, without debate; but any member may appeal from such decision, and on such appeal any member may speak, but not for more than two minutes, nor more than once without express leave of the House. On such appeal the vote shall be upon the question, "Shall the decision of the Chair be sustained?"

23. Every resolution offered for the immediate action of the House shall be considered at once unless reference be requested, or objection be made as next provided.

If, before consideration of the resolution be begun, reference thereof be requested by any member, such resolution shall be re-
ferred to the appropriate Standing Committee, or if, in the opinion of the President, there be no appropriate Standing Committee, then to a Special Committee of such number as shall be designated by the President.

If no reference be requested, but timely objection be made to immediate consideration, then without any reference, the resolution shall lie over, and come up the next day as unfinished business.

But by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, the House may at once consider the resolution.

24. When memorials or petitions are presented, their contents shall be stated concisely by the Deputy presenting them, and they shall be referred, unless by a majority vote the memorial or petition shall be ordered to be read.

25. Reports of Committees appointed to sit during the recess, if not acted upon at once, when presented, shall be made the Order of the Day for a time fixed.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS

26. Messages from the House of Bishops shall be handed by the Secretary of this House to the President, to be laid before the House as early as may be convenient. All such messages communicating any legislative action on the part of the House of Bishops shall be referred, without debate, to the proper Committee, unless without debate the House shall decide to consider such message without such reference. The report of the Committee upon any message so referred shall be entitled to consideration as of the date and priority of the original receipt of such message, and the question of its immediate consideration shall be submitted to the House as soon as the report is presented.

When, either without reference, or after reference and report, the consideration of such message shall have begun, it shall continue to be the Order of the Day until final action thereon, and shall not be subject to any motion to postpone or to lay on the table. However, consideration of such message shall be subject to a motion for the appointment of a Committee of Conference as hereinafter provided in this Rule.

The final action of the House upon any such message shall be by vote upon the question, "Shall this House concur in the action of the House of Bishops as communicated by their Message No.—?" If amendments have been adopted, then shall be added the further words, "as amended". Upon the submission of such questions, all votes in the affirmative shall be counted in favor of such concurrence.
If, during the consideration by this House of any action taken by the House of Bishops, a motion is made stating the position of this House and requesting a Committee of Conference, such motion shall have precedence and be put to vote without debate, and if passed by a majority of the members of this House then present, a Committee of Conference shall be appointed. A Committee of Conference shall also be in order with or without motion (1) in cases where the House of Bishops has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by this House, or (2) in cases where this House has concurred, with amendments, in action taken by the House of Bishops. When a Committee of Conference has been appointed, final action upon the matter under consideration shall be deferred until the Committee of Conference shall have reported to this House; Provided, such report shall be made not later than the next business day or within one hour after the convening of the last session of this House in Convention Assembled, whichever event shall first occur.

The Chairman of any Standing Committee or other Committee shall have full authority, either alone or with members of the Committee, to confer with the Chairman of any Committee of the House of Bishops having duties and responsibilities the same as, or similar to, those of the Committee of the House of Deputies chaired by him.

VOTING

27. Unless excused by the House, every member who shall be in the House when any question is put must vote on a division. Unless he have leave, or be unable to attend, no member shall absent himself from the service of the House.

28. The vote upon any substantive question shall be taken by Dioceses and Orders whenever required by the Constitution or by Canon, or whenever required by the entire Clerical or Lay representation from any Diocese, before the voting begins. Whenever a vote shall be taken by Dioceses and Orders (except in the case of elections), the vote of each Order in each Diocese shall be stated by one member in each Order as "Aye" or "No" or "Divided." If so desired by the entire Deputation from such Diocese, the vote of the individuals of that Deputation shall be stated and recorded. Such record shall be made also in respect of the individual members of every Deputation, if so ordered, without debate, by a majority of the House.

29. Whenever a vote shall be taken by Orders (except in the case of elections), the Secretary of the House of Deputies shall audibly announce the vote in each Order in each Diocese, before announcing
the result to the House; and the vote of each Order in each Diocese so announced shall be corrected before, but not after, the final announcement of the vote of the House.

Any member absent from the House when a vote is taken but coming in before the final announcement of the vote on any question may vote thereon if then permitted by the House, but not otherwise.

The election of President, Vice-President, or Secretary of the House, or of Treasurer of the General Convention, shall be by individual secret ballot; though by unanimous consent and direction of the House, a single ballot may be cast by an officer of the House in its behalf.

RECONSIDERATION

30. (a) A question once determined may be reconsidered by the vote of two-thirds of those present in the House when motion for such reconsideration is duly made as hereinafter provided.

(b) Except as so reconsidered every determination of such question shall stand as the judgment of the House. Neither such question nor any question of like import shall be drawn again into debate or presented for action again during the same Convention except with the consent of two-thirds of the members of the House present and voting upon such motion to reconsider.

(c) Only one reconsideration of any matter shall be had. All motions to reconsider shall be made and be seconded on the day the vote is taken, or on the next succeeding day on which the House shall be in session.

31. In all questions decided numerically, the motion to reconsider must be made by one Deputy, and seconded by another, who voted in the majority; or, in case of equal division, by those who voted in the negative. In case of a vote by Orders, where there is a concurrence of both Orders, the motion shall be made by a majority of a Deputation from any Diocese of either Order voting in the majority; and, in case of a non-concurrence of Orders, the motion shall come from a majority of a Deputation from a Diocese of that Order which gave the majority in the negative.

In either case, a motion to reconsider may be seconded by a majority of any Deputation of either side, without regard to its previous vote.

REPORT OF COMMITTEES

32. The reports of all Committees shall be in writing, and unless recommitted by a vote of the House shall be received of course, and
without motion for acceptance. All reports recommending or requiring any action or expression of opinion by the House shall be accompanied by a resolution for the action of the House thereon. Printed reports which contain no Resolutions and have been delivered to the members of the House in advance, shall be presented by title, except that the spokesman for the report shall be allowed five minutes for summarizing the same.

No report of a Joint Committee or a Joint Commission that contains resolutions and that has been printed and distributed to the members of this House at least three weeks before a meeting of the Convention, shall be read at length to the House, but the Chairman or a member of that Committee may make an oral summary from such Committee.

If there be a minority report of such Joint Committee or Joint Commission, a member of such minority shall be afforded an opportunity to make an oral summary on the floor of the House.

Every report of a Joint Commission shall be referred to the appropriate Standing Committee of this House, if there be one, but otherwise to a Special Committee of this House. The House may at any time refer any report or resolution to the Committee on Amendments to the Constitution to draft a constitutional amendment or the Committee on Canons to draft a canon or canons which will carry into effect, if enacted, the report or resolution so referred. The appropriate Committee of the House to which such report has been referred shall afford an opportunity for the Chairman or other member of such Joint Commission (and if there be a minority report, a member of that minority) to appear before such Committee prior to its reporting to the floor of the House.

33. Reports from the Committee on Memorials of Deceased Members shall embody simply the name, Diocese, date of birth and death, and time of service in General Convention, of deceased members of the current or any preceding General Convention, of whom memorials shall not have theretofore been made; and after suitable devotions such reports shall be received by the House standing.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

34. Whenever so ordered by a vote of a majority of the members present, the House may go into Committee of the Whole for the consideration of any matter.

The President shall designate some member of the House to act as Chairman of the Committee of the Whole, which, when in session, shall be governed by these rules as adapted by the Chairman, subject
to appeal to the Committee, and also to the following provisions:

(a) A motion to rise and to report to the House, with or without request for leave to sit again, may be made at any time, and shall take precedence of all other motions, and shall be decided without debate. No such motion once made shall be renewed until after further proceeding shall have been had in the Committee of the Whole.

(b) A motion that a vote upon any pending proposition shall be taken at some designated time may be made and be disposed of without debate at any time, but as before provided a motion to report to the House shall take precedence.

No motion to lay on the table shall be entertained.

35. No debate shall be allowed in the House on any motion to permit the Committee of the Whole to sit again. Requests for such permission shall take precedence of all other business, and the motion thereof shall be put to vote immediately without reference.

GENERAL REGULATIONS

36. Except by a vote of two-thirds of the members present no new business requiring concurrent action shall be introduced in this House after the third calendar day of its session, exclusive of Sunday.

37. (a) When considering the election of a Bishop, the approval of his testimonials, or assent to his consecration, and when acting upon the election of the Presiding Bishop, the House shall sit in Executive Session, which shall be held as soon as practicable after the receipt of official notification from the House of Bishops of these elections.

(b) The election of the Presiding Bishop shall be by individual secret ballot unless otherwise ordered by vote of the House, or unless a vote by orders be required by the entire Clerical or Lay representation from any Diocese before the balloting begins.

(c) Confidential notifications from the House of Bishops of election by them of the Presiding Bishop or of any other Bishop shall be referred immediately, without reading, to the Committee on the Consecration of Bishops, who shall make report thereon to such Executive Session of the House.

38. No applause shall be permitted during any session of the House or of the Committee of the Whole.

39. Seats upon the platform shall be occupied by officers of the House of Deputies, by the Chairman of the Committee on the Dispatch of Business, and such other persons as may be invited by the President or authorized by vote of the House.
40. No one shall be admitted to the floor except members and officers of this House; former members of the House; the Presidents of Church Colleges, Deans of Church Seminaries; and the Officers, Secretaries, and Members of the Executive Council. The President of this House may further grant to any designated representative of any of the departments of the Executive Council the privilege of speaking, on the same footing as a member of the House, on any matter pertaining to the work of his department which is under discussion by the House.

41. Except with the assent of three-fourths of the members present, the House shall not accept any invitation, or participate in any exercises, which shall involve suspension, interruption, or abridgment of its regular appointed sessions.

42. Except when otherwise ordered by the House, no books, pamphlets, or other printed matter shall be distributed in the House, or be placed in the seats of the members, without the express permission of the President; but this prohibition shall not apply to the report of a Committee, or to any paper or other document presented to and accepted by the House or printed by its authority.

43. No rule shall be suspended without the assent of two-thirds of the members present.

44. By unanimous consent any action may be taken that is not in contravention of any provision of the Constitution or the Canons.

45. That a Committee of three Presbyters and three laymen be appointed by the President of this House to certify to the Minutes of each day's session and said certification shall be in lieu of the public reading of the Minutes at the opening of the day's session, unless otherwise ordered by the House. This Committee shall meet for this purpose with the Secretary of the House by arrangement prior to the hour of assembly, and said meeting shall be open to any member of this House who may desire to attend.

46. Any Committee of this House presenting or considering nominations of members of
   The Executive Council, of
   The Church Pension Fund, or of
   The General Theological Seminary,
   to present to this House, shall, before acting thereon, set a time and place for an open hearing to receive suggestions for such nominations, and such hearings shall be publicly announced to this House, and shall be held on the legislative day before such Committee shall present its report thereon to the House.
47. The Committee on the Dispatch of Business, when in its opinion it is advisable, may provide that no report of a Joint Commission or Joint Committee or of any Committee of this House to which any part of such report has been referred is made the order of business until the reports of all Committees to which any part of such report has been referred be ready to report thereon.

STANDING ORDERS

I. Previous to the meeting of each General Convention, the Secretary of the House of Deputies in the last Convention, under the direction of the Deputies from the Diocese in which the Convention is to be held, shall determine by lot the seats to be occupied by the Deputation from each Diocese and Missionary District. Missionary Districts shall be taken alphabetically in groups of four, and in the allotment each group shall be treated as one Diocese.

II. The names of Deputies who have not answered at the roll-call, or who have not later signified their presence to the Secretary, shall be noted as absent in the List of members, as printed in the Journal.

III. Proper notice boards shall be provided by the Secretary to be placed near the Secretary's desk and in a prominent place in the lobby, upon which shall be posted notices of all the meetings of Committees or Commissions of the House.

IV. There shall be a Sergeant-at-Arms, and such assistants as may be required, appointed by the President from the membership of the House. Their duties shall be, under the direction of the President or presiding officer:

(a) To maintain order and decorum in the House.
(b) To exclude from the floor of the House those not entitled to seats therein.
(c) To exclude non-members and visitors when the House is in Executive Session.
(d) To maintain contact with the local Committee on Arrangements with regard to fire and police protection and first-aid facilities, and to be prepared to act in any emergencies requiring assistance from these sources.
(e) To escort distinguished visitors, and to perform such other ceremonial duties as may be assigned by the President or presiding officer.

JOINT RULES OF JOINT COMMITTEES

1. Joint Special Committees, having made their final report, are to be considered as having exhausted their functions, and can only be revived by the concurrent action of the two Houses.
2. It shall be the privilege of either House to refer to a Joint Committee any matter relating to the subject for which it was appointed; but neither House shall have the power, without the consent of the other, to instruct the Joint Committee as to any particular line of action.

3. The Secretaries of both Houses in accordance with present practice shall, not later than the month of January following the meeting of General Convention, notify the members of the respective Houses who have appointments upon Joint Commissions and Joint Committees of such appointment and their duty to present a report to the next Convention; and shall remind them one year prior to the opening day of Convention of this duty.

4. When, in the judgment of any Joint Committee or Joint Commission, it is deemed advisable that its report should be sent to the members of the General Convention prior to its meeting, such report shall be sent by the first of June prior to said Convention to the Secretary of the House of Deputies, who shall print and distribute the same, as far as practicable, to all members of said Convention.

5. No Joint Committee or Joint Commission shall be deemed to have performed the duty assigned to it, until it shall have presented its final report. Any Joint Committee or Commission which shall not have reported to the General Convention following its appointment shall be discharged at the termination of that Convention unless action is otherwise ordered.

6. Vacancies in Joint Committees and Joint Commissions occurring during the recess between General Conventions shall be filed by the Chairman of the House of Bishops on the part of that House and by the President of the House of Deputies on the part of that House.

7. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission presented at the General Convention shall set forth the names of its original members, any changes in membership, the names of all those who concur in and all those who dissent from its recommendations, and shall further state, if less than a majority of its entire membership sign the report, their authority for presenting it.

8. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission shall be convened by the Bishop first named, and when convened shall elect a Chairman, a Vice-Chairman, and a Secretary. The Chairman shall be a Bishop. The Vice-Chairman and the Secretary shall be a Presbyter or a Layman. In the event that the Committee is not organized as above provided within one year from the date of adjournment of the Convention which created or continued the Committee or Com-
mission, any three members may take such action as may be neces­
sary to organize the Committee. After the Committee or Commission
shall have been convened, and officers chosen, the Chairman or the
Vice-Chairman shall be empowered to call a meeting at a time to
be fixed by him on the signed request of three members. The Presid­
ing Bishop, in respect of Bishops, and the President of the House of
Deputies, in respect of Presbyters and Lay Persons, may appoint
members and staff of the Executive Council, or other experts, as
consultants to any Committee or Commission, to assist in the per­
formance of its functions. Notice of such appointment shall be given
to the Secretaries of both Houses.

9. The report of every Joint Committee and Joint Commission
presented at the General Convention shall include a detailed report
of all receipts and expenditures, including moneys received from any
source whatsoever, during the preceding triennium, and its estimated
requirements for the coming triennium.

10. Every Joint or Special Committee or Commission, or Com­
mittee or Commission of either House whose report requests ex­
penditure out of the funds of the General Convention (except for
the printing of the report) shall present to the Joint Committee on
Expenses its written request on or before the fourth business day of
the session, and all resolutions providing for any such expenditures
shall be immediately referred to the Joint Committee on Expenses.
No proposition involving such expenditures shall be considered
unless so presented.

11. Every Joint Committee and Joint Commission, as a condition
precedent to the presentation and reception of any report in either
House in which such Joint Committee or Commission proposes the
adoption of any resolution, shall, by vote, authorize a member or
members of that House, who, if possible, shall be a member of the
Joint Committee or Commission, with such limitations as the Joint
Committee or Commission may impose, to accept or reject on behalf
of the Joint Committee or Commission, any amendments proposed
in such House to any such resolution; Provided, however, that no
such amendment may change the substance of the proposal but shall
be primarily for the purpose of correcting errors. The name of the
member or members of the particular House upon whom such au­
thority has been conferred, and the limitations of authority, shall be
communicated in writing by the Chairman of such Joint Committee
or Commission to the Presiding Officer of such House not later than
the presentation of such report in that House. The application of
this Rule in either House may be suspended, in any particular case,
by the majority vote of the members of such House.
12. There shall be a Joint Committee on Expenses, appointed at the beginning of each General Convention, to consist of five Bishops, five Presbyters, and eight Laymen (one from each Province), together with the Secretary and Treasurer of the General Convention ex officio but without the right to vote. The Joint Committee on Expenses shall report to the House of Deputies not later than the fifth day of each triennial meeting of the General Convention the items of a tentative estimated Budget of General Convention for the following triennium, subject to the later approval of the Budget as a whole, and subject also to the later increase, reduction, or elimination of any items, or the addition of other items, by action of either House on consideration of the report or resolution out of which the asking arises.

13. The Secretary of the House of Deputies, being the Secretary of the General Convention, shall, with the co-operation of the Secretary of the House of Bishops, and of such Bishops as may be appointed by the Presiding Officer of the House of Bishops, prepare a summary of the actions of the General Convention of particular interest to the Congregations of the Church, and make the same available to the Congregations through the Ministers in charge thereof, and to the Lay Deputies; such summary to be sent to the clergy along with the Pastoral Letter put forth by the House of Bishops, and to be made available to all Deputies on the last day of the Convention, along with such Pastoral Letter, if feasible to do so, or within ten days thereafter.

14. There shall be a Joint Committee on Audit, appointed at each General Convention, to consist of one Bishop, one Presbyter, and one Layman, to serve until their successors are appointed, to direct a periodic audit of the accounts of the Treasurer of the General Convention and to serve as a Joint Advisory Committee to co-operate with the Treasurer of the General Convention. The Joint Committee on Audit shall present its report to the House of Deputies at each triennial meeting of the General Convention.
SECRETARY OF THE HOUSE OF BISHOPS
THE REV. ALEXANDER M. RODGER
207 FAIRMOUNT ROAD, RIDGEWOOD, N. J.

To whom communications relating to the House of Bishops should be addressed.

SECRETARY OF THE HOUSE OF DEPUTIES
THE REV. CANON CHARLES M. GUILBERT, S.T.D.
815 SECOND AVENUE, NEW YORK, N. Y. 10017

To whom, as Secretary of the Convention, all communications relating to the general work of the Convention should be addressed; and to whom should be forwarded copies of the Journals of Diocesan Conventions or Convocations, together with Episcopal Charges, Statements, Pastoral Letters, and other papers which may throw light upon the state of the Church in the Diocese or Missionary District, as required by Canon 5, Sec. 2. A certified copy of the testimonials of members appointed as Deputies to the next General Convention should be forwarded to the Secretary as soon as may be practicable.

All orders for General Convention Journals and Constitution and Canons should be sent to the Secretary of the General Convention, 815 Second Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10017.

TREASURER OF THE GENERAL CONVENTION
RICHARD P. KENT, JR.
815 SECOND AVENUE, NEW YORK, N. Y. 10017

Canon 1, Sec. 6. In order that the contingent expenses of the General Convention, and the stipend of the Presiding Bishop, together with the necessary expenses of his office, and Church Pension Fund assessments, may be defrayed, it shall be the duty of the several Diocesan Conventions and of the Convocations of the several Missionary Districts to forward to the Treasurer of the General Convention annually, on the first Monday of January, as to each Diocese not more than twenty-two dollars for each Bishop having jurisdiction therein, any Bishop Coadjutor, and each Suffragan Bishop in active service therein, and each retired Bishop and each Presbyter and Deacon canonically resident therein, and as to each Missionary District an amount equal to one-quarter of the above described diocesan levy for each Bishop having jurisdiction therein, any Bishop Coadjutor, and each Suffragan Bishop in active service therein, and each retired Bishop and each Presbyter and Deacon canonically resident therein. The number of Bishops, Presbyters, and Deacons canonically resident in each Diocese and Missionary District, as reported to the House of Deputies and recorded in the Journal of the General Convention last preceding, shall be the basis upon which such assessment shall be made. The amount of such assessment shall be determined by the Joint Committee on Expenses. A new Diocese not recorded in the last Journal shall furnish to the Treasurer, prior to the first day of November, a report of the number of Bishops, Presbyters, and Deacons for which such Diocese is subject to assessment, which shall be the same as in its report to the House of Deputies.

The 62nd General Convention will be held in the City of Seattle, Diocese of Olympia, State of Washington, from September 17 to 27, 1967.
INDEX
INDEX

A

Aging, Church’s Ministry to the, 215
Aging, Episcopal Society for Ministry to the, 216
   Presentation of, to House of Bishops, 967
Amendments to the Canons (see Canons)
Amendments to the Constitution (see Constitution)
American Bible Society, 216
   Address to House of Bishops on, 37
   Address to House of Deputies on, 125
American Church Building Fund
   Report of, 389
American Church Institute
   Report received, 218
   Report of, 393
American Indians, Joint Staff Committee for Work with
   Report of, 359
Anglican Church of Canada, Prolocutor, Lower House, General Assembly,
   Addressed House of Bishops, 36
   Addressed House of Deputies, 119
Anglican World, Editor of
   Addressed House of Bishops, 38
   Addressed House of Deputies, 144
Annotated Constitution and Canons, Joint Committee on Supplements to
   Continued, 259
   Report of, 440
Apocrypha, Spanish translation of, proposed
   Referred to Caribbean Council for study, 39
Appreciation, Resolutions of
   Anglican Executive Officer, 49
   Arnatt, Ronald, 202
   Barsale, Rev. Herbert, 201
   Bartlett, Very Rev. C. Julian, 202
   Bayne, Rt. Rev. Stephen F., Jr., 49
   Bushy, Douglas A., 203
   Cadigan, Rt. Rev. George L., 67, 203
   Crump, Charles M., 202
   Episcopalians in Republic of Ecuador, 203
   Feehan, Rev. J. Mave, 67, 203
   Guilbert, Rev. Canon Charles M., 201
   King, Rev. Martin Luther, 114
   Lally, Mrs. Johanna L., 201, 204
   Mason, Rt. Rev. C. Avery, 204
   McClurken, Rev. D. Williams, 202
   Mead, Very Rev. William, 67, 202
   Mills, Rev. John G., 201
   Missouri, Church in the Diocese of, 67, 203
   Morehouse, Clifford P., 203

1049
Neuwoehner, Hiram, Jr., 67, 203
O'Reilly, Mrs. Elliott, 201
Sergeant-at-arms and assistants, 205
Shepley, Ethan, 67, 203
St. Louis Seminaries, 282
Tucker, Hon. Mr., Mayor of St. Louis, 203
Washington, Rev. Paul M., 202
Approaches to Unity, Joint Commission on
Merged with Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, 283
Report of, 949
Architecture and the Allied Arts, Joint Commission on
Report of, 420
Armed Forces, Suffragan Bishop for
Decision to elect, 16
Election, 321
Suffragan-Bishop-elect addressed House of Deputies, 164
Arnatt, Ronald, Organist, Christ Church Cathedral, St. Louis
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 202
Assistant Secretaries
House of Bishops, 9
House of Deputies, 88
Athenagoras I, His All-Holiness, Ecumenical Patriarch
Greetings of House of Bishops to, 32
Audit, Joint Committee on
Report of, 423
(see also Rules of Order, Joint Rule 14)
Authority, levels of within the Church, 312
Awards, The Episcopalian, to diocesan periodicals, 42, 977, 1010

B

Bailey, Rt. Rev. Scott Field, Suffragan Bishop of Texas, 874
Presented to House of Bishops, 11
Addressed House of Bishops, 47
Barnds, Rev. William P.
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115
Barrett, Rt. Rev. George West, Bishop of Rochester, 864
Presented to House of Bishops, 995
Barsale, Rev. Herbert
Appointed Assistant Secretary, House of Deputies, 88
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 201
Bartlett, Very Rev. C. Julian
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 202
Bayne, Rt. Rev. Stephen F., Jr., Anglican Executive Officer
Addressed Joint Session, 209
Appreciation of House of Bishops expressed to, 49
Bentley, Rt. Rev. John Boyd, Vice-President, National Council
Resignation of, accepted by House of Bishops, 65
Resolution on, 65
"Bible Sunday", Second Sunday in Advent, designated, 44
INDEX

Bible, Uniform English Translation, 219
Biennial Meetings, General Convention, proposed, not adopted, 153
Bishops
Election of, for "overseas" jurisdictions, procedure, 50
House of, 9
Consecrated since last General Convention, 833, 847
Deceased, 11, 966, 994
Memorial messages on deaths of, 11, 967, 994
Missionary, election of
  Colombia, 1009
  Mexico (two Suffragans), 1009
  North Dakota, 319
  Philippines (Suffragan), 975
  Puerto Rico (Coadjutor), 320
  Taiwan, 318
  Virgin Islands, 975
Office and Work of, Committee to study, called for, 33
Presentation of newly consecrated, 10, 967, 995
Resignations accepted during triennium, House of Deputies notified, 91
Retiring allowance, supplemental, for certain retired, 361
Translation (see Constitution—Article II., Sec. 8)
Bishops, House of
  Assistant Secretaries appointed, 9
  Bishops, newly consecrated, presented to, 10, 967, 995
  Brazilian Church granted autonomy, 219
Interim Meetings
  Site and dates of 1965 Meeting, 48
  Site of 1966 Meeting, 63
  Theme of 1965 Meeting, "Current Theological Trends", 66
Journal of, 9
Memorials and Petitions presented in, 17
Necrology, 11, 966, 994
Officers of, 1
Organization of, House of Deputies informed of, 12
Pastoral Letter, v
  Read to Joint Session, 211
Position Papers
  Christian Obedience, x
  Deicide and the Jews, ix
  Poverty, ix
  Proclamation of the Faith, xi
  Resignation, Presiding Bishop, Resolution on, 65
Roster, 2
Rules of Order, 1011
Secretary, election of, 9
Special Meetings of, 965, 991, 992
Standing Committees, New, established, 973, 989
Standing and Special Committees of, 13
Vice-Chairman of, elected, 12
Bolt, Clifford A.
  Addressed House of Deputies re Panama Canal Zone, 205
Book of Common Prayer
  Custodian of Standard, elected, 279
  Revision of, proposed, 348
  Version in Basic English, 347
Brazilian Bishops
   Accorded seats in House of Bishops, 53
   Addressed House of Bishops, 53
Brazilian Episcopal Church, Autonomy for
   Approved in principle, 1003
   Granted by House of Bishops, 219
   House of Deputies voted congratulations, 157
Bronson, David E.
   Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 114
Brown, Rt. Rev. Dillard Houston, Bishop Coadjutor of Liberia, 850
   Presented to House of Bishops, 967
Brown, John Nicholas
   Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115
Budget of the General Convention, 295
Budgets for General Church Program, 737
Bueno, Rev. Diamantino F., of Southern Brazil
   Addressed House of Deputies, 157
Burgess, Rt. Rev. John Melville, Suffragan Bishop of Massachusetts, 860
   Presented to House of Bishops, 985
Burrill, Rt. Rev. G. Francis, Bishop of Chicago
   Addressed Joint Session, 210
Burroughs, Rt. Rev. Nelson Marigold, Bishop of Ohio
   Addressed Joint Session, 210
   Elected Vice-Chairman, House of Bishops, 12
   Officiated at Opening Service, 9
Bushy, Douglas A.
   Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203

C

Cadigan, Rt. Rev. George L., Bishop of Missouri
   Addressed Joint Session, 207
   Appreciation of House of Bishops expressed to, 67
   Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203
Canon 1, 2, 3, etc.—"National" to Executive Council, 220
Canon 1, Sec. 1—President and Vice-President, House of Deputies, 222
Canon 2, Sec. 7—Presiding Bishop, Disability Allowance for, 224
Canon 3 and Canon 4—Treasurer, Executive Council and Domestic and
   Foreign Missionary Society, how elected, 225
Canon 4—Proposal to involve women in adoption of Program and Budget
   (not adopted), 172
Canon 4, Sec. 2(a)—Membership, Executive Council, 227
Canon 4, Sec. 6(d)—Proportional sharing, Dioceses and National Church,
   repealed, 228
Canon 6, Sec. 3—Exception, encumbering Church property, removed, 228
Canon 7—Additional qualifications, Trustees, The Church Pension Fund
   (not adopted), 169
Canon 7, Sec. 2—Interim appointment, Trustees, Pension Fund, 229
Canon 8, Sec. 1—Creation of new Province IX, 230
Canon 8, Sec. 10—Referral of matters to Synods for study, 231
INDEX

Canon 12—Prohibition, linking congregations of this and other Churches (not adopted), 120, 173
Canon 16, Sec. 4—Racial equality of all Church members, 232
Canon 18—Proposal to amend one-year waiting period, marital cases, (not adopted), 168
Canon 20—Authorization, New English Bible, 233
Canon 21, Sec. 6—Grammatical changes in Canon, 234
Canon 26, Sec. 1(b)—Slight verbal change for accuracy, 235
Canon 26, Sec. 2(b)—Termination of Postulancy, 235
Canon 26, Sec. 5—Examination subjects for non-graduates, 236
Canon 29, Sec. 1(a)(7)—Elimination of electives from canonical examinations, 237
Canon 34, Sec. 10(c)—Functions of Perpetual Deacons, 238
Canon 40 (Now Canon 39), Sec. 2(b)—Nominations for Missionary Episcopate, 239
Canon 41 (Now Canon 40), Sec. 2(b) and (c), Sec. 7(b)—Procedures in election of Suffragan Bishops, 240
Canon 41 (Now Canon 40), Sec. 6(c), and Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 7(c)—Removal of provision for supplemental retiring allowances for Bishops from the Canons, 241
Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 8(e)—Supplemental retiring allowance for Missionary Bishops (not adopted), 242
Canon 43 (Now Canon 42), Sec. 8, new subsection (f)—Provision for retirement of Missionary Bishops for strategic reasons, 243
Canon 47 (Now Canon 46)—Proposed method for filling vacant cures (not adopted), 245
Canon 50 (Now Canon 49)—Automatic Lay Reader licenses for Seminarians (not adopted), 246
Canon 51 (Now Canon 50), Sec. 1—Admission of Deaconesses, 247
Canon 51 (Now Canon 50), Sec. 5—Minimum age for Deaconesses, 248
Canon 53 (New)—Women Church Workers, 249
Canon 55—Proposal to extend powers, Provincial Courts (withdrawn), 167
Canon 55—Proposal to suspend accused Presbyter, pending final judgment (not adopted), 120
Canons—Certification of Changes in, 251
   Committee to certify, House of Deputies, 205
Caribbean Council
   Proposal to create a new Province, Province IX, 34, 230
   Proposed translation of Constitution and Canons into Spanish (no action), 33
   Version of Apocrypha in Spanish referred to, for study, 39
Certificates of Recognition for veteran service, House of Deputies Present to Deputies, 114
Cession of Diocesan territory (see Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8)
Chalice
   Administration of, by laymen, 61
   Denial of, to inmates of Federal prisons, 43
Chambers, Rt. Rev. Albert Arthur, Bishop of Springfield, 858
   Presentation of, to House of Bishops, 968
Christian Obedience
   Position Paper, House of Bishops, x, 60
   Resolution on, defeated in House of Deputies, 155

Christian Unity
   New Delhi Statement on, 251
   Statement on, House of Bishops, 979

Christian Witness
   In South Africa, 367
   In Soviet Union, 368

Church Architecture and the Allied Arts, Joint Commission on
   Report, 420

Church Center, Episcopal
   Reports on financing of, to House of Bishops, 37, 972, 1002

Church Fire Insurance Corporation
   Exemption of, 252
   Policies of, 253

Church Historical Society
   Report, 611
   Report received, 123

Church in Human Affairs, Joint Commission on
   Continued, 259, 307
   Report, 651

Church Music, Joint Commission on
   Continued, 259
   Report, 714

Church Pension Fund, The
   Stipendiary basis of pension benefits re-affirmed, 370
   Trustees
      Election, 254
      Factors to be considered in membership of, 131
      Report, 425
      Vacancies, interim appointments to fill (see Canon 7, Sec. 2)
   Widow's benefit unchanged, 384

Church-State Relations, Executive Council's Commission on
   Report, 549
   To be continued, 256

Civil War Centennial Observance, 257

Clergy
   Medical Plan for, 315, 430
   Retirement at age 65, 258
   Salaries, Proposed Commission to study (not adopted), 181
   Study-Leaves for, 374

Clingman, Rt. Rev. Charles and Mrs.
   Greetings of House of Bishops sent to, on fifty-fifth wedding anniversary, 53

Coadjutor, Puerto Rico
   Approved, 40
   Elected, 320

   Presented to House of Bishops, 10

Colombia, Missionary District of
   Constituted, 996
   Election of Bishop for, 1009
Commissions and Committees, Joint
   Reports of, introduced in House of Bishops, 17
   Reports of, introduced in House of Deputies, 107
Committees and Commissions—Inter-relationships, 260
Committees and Commission (Various) continued, 259
Committees, Special
   House of Bishops, 14
   House of Deputies, 104
Committees, Standing
   House of Bishops, 13
   House of Deputies, 99
Committees, Study, House of Deputies, 94
   Report of Overseas Committee, 154
Constitution, Amendment proposed at the General Convention of 1961, and
   finally adopted in 1964
   Article X.—Trial Use, 260
Constitution, Amendments Proposed at the General Convention of 1964
   and to be finally acted upon at the General Convention of 1967
   Preamble—Name of the Church, 262
   Article I., Sec. 3—Presiding Bishop, election of, in interim, 264
   Article I., Sec. 7—"National" to "Executive" Council, 266
   Article II., Sec. 8—Translation of Bishops, 267
   Article V., Sec. 8—Cession of Diocesan territory, 270
   Article IX.—Judicial sentences, 272
Constitution, Amendments attempted, but not proposed
   Article VIII.—Remove name of Church from ordination declarations, 276
   Various Articles—Remove references to Missionary Districts, 274
Constitution—Certification of changes in, 273
   Committee to certify changes, House of Deputies, 205
Constitutional Amendments, Committee on Draftsmanship for, 281
Consultation on Church Unity
   Continued participation in, approved, 277
   Report on, 951
   Resolution regarding exchange of pulpits and distribution of descriptive
      material, House of Bishops, 36
Coolidge, William A., Episcopal Church Foundation
   Addressed House of Bishops, 36
   Addressed House of Deputies, 135
Co-operation with Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, Joint Commission on
   Merged with Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, 283
   Report, 453
Courts for the Trial of a Bishop, xiv
Crump, Charles M.
   Appreciation of the House of Deputies expressed to, 202
Custodian of the Standard Book of Common Prayer
   Elected, 279
   Report, 442

D

da Rocha, Col. Assyr Ferreira
   Addressed House of Deputies, 157
Day, Peter
   Presented paper to House of Bishops, 1001
Deacons, Perpetual (see Canon 34, Sec. 10(c))

Deaconesses
Admitted since last General Convention, 833
Minimum age for setting apart, (see Canon 51, Sec. 5)
"Ordered" vice "appointed" (see Canon 51, Sec. 1)

Dean, Rt. Rev. Ralph, Bishop of Cariboo, Anglican-Executive-Officer-designate
Greetings to, from House of Bishops, 49

Deceased Members, House of Deputies
Memorial Service for, 144
Names of, 698

Deicide and the Jews
Position Paper of the House of Bishops, ix
Resolution on, 279

de los Reyes, Most Rev. Isabelo, Jr., Obispo Maximo, Philippine Independent Church
Addressed House of Bishops, 19
Addressed Joint Session, 208
Introduced in House of Deputies, 145

Depositions from the Ministry, 836

Deputies, House of
Assistant Secretaries appointed, 88
Assistants to Sergeant-at-arms appointed, 98, 123
Certificates of Recognition to veteran Deputies, 114
Chaplain appointed, 98
Committee of the Whole, to consider Report of Committee on Reconstruction of General Convention, 134

Committees
Special, 104
Standing, 99
Study, 94

Committees, organization of, 105
Committees required to report by end of third day, 188
Greetings to House of Bishops, 91
Journal, 87
Memorials of Deceased Members, 144, 698

Officers, 69
Organization of, notice of, communicated to House of Bishops, 91

President and Vice-President, how elected (see Canon 1, Sec. 1)
President
Election, 88, 164
Inaugural allocution, 88
Rebuked House for lack of constructive action, 200
To be elected prior to seventh day (see Canon 1, Sec. 1)
To remain Deputy through term of office (see Canon 1, Sec. 1)
Roster, 70

Rules of Order, 1032

Secretary
Called House to order, 88
Election of, 88
Report of pre-Convention actions, 88

Sergeant-at-arms appointed, 98

Vice-President
Election of, 165
How elected (see Canon 1, Sec. 1)
INDEX

Destinies of missionary operations, in U.S. and overseas, 280
Diocesan boundaries, study of, 280
Diocesan periodicals, Episcopalian awards to, 42, 977, 1010
Diocesan territory, cession of (see Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8)
Disability allowance, Presiding Bishop, 349
Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society
  Report of Treasurer, 538
  Treasurer, how elected (see Canon 3 and Canon 4)
Douglas, Col. Malcolm L., Prolocutor, Lower House, General Assembly of the Anglican Church of Canada
  Addressed House of Bishops, 36
  Addressed House of Deputies, 119
Draftsmanship, Committee on—Constitutional Amendments, 281
Duncan, Rt. Rev. James Loughlin, 853
  Presented to House of Bishops, 967

E

Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, Joint Commission on Co-operation with
  Merged with Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, 283
  Report, 453
Ecuador, Episcopalians in, greetings from
  Read to House of Bishops, 38
  Read to House of Deputies, 114
  Resolution of appreciation, House of Deputies, 203
Ecumenical Day
  Report of success of, 42, 135
  Resolution of thanks for, 282
Ecumenical Relations, Joint Commission on
  Continued, 283
  Merged with Commissions on Approaches to Unity and Co-operation with Eastern and Old Catholic Churches, 283
  Other ecumenical projects assigned to, 283
  Report, 470
  Study Committee on NCCC, Report of, 493
Education for Holy Orders, Joint Commission on
  Report, 630
Elective subjects, examination in, for Holy Orders, removed, 237
Emrich, Rt. Rev. Richard S. M., Bishop of Michigan
  Appreciation of House of Bishops expressed to, 66
Episcopal Church Center
  Progress reports on financing, 972, 1002
  Report, House of Bishops, on completed financing, 37
Episcopal Church Foundation
  Address on, House of Bishops, 36
  Address on, House of Deputies, 135
  Resolution of appreciation, 135
Episcopal Colleges, Foundation for
  Address on, House of Deputies, 117
  Resolution of support for, 286
Episcopalian, The
Address on, House of Bishops, 42
Address on, House of Deputies, 135
Awards to diocesan periodicals, 42, 977, 1010
“Episcopalian, Inc., The”
Report of, 517
Resolutions concerning, adopted, 286
Episcopali ans of Ecuador
Greetings from, read to House of Bishops, 38
Greetings from, read to House of Deputies, 114
Resolution of appreciation for message, 203
Evangelism, Joint Commission on
Continued, 288
Report, 531
Executive Council
Election of Members, 290
Factors to be considered in membership of, 131
Membership of (see Canon 4, Sec. 2(a))
Officers and Members of, xxiii
Organization of, by Departments and Divisions, xxiv
Report of Treasurer, 538
Report to Joint Session, 207
Requested to budget equally for work at home and overseas, 160
Treasurer to be elected by Members (see Canon 3 and Canon 4)
Experimental Ministries, Resolution on, 997

F

Faith of the Church, Special Committee, House of Bishops
Appointed, 56
Report of, on Christian Obedience, 60
Report of, on Proclamation of the Faith, 58
Faith, Proclamation of the
Position Paper, House of Bishops, xi
Family planning, 294
Feehan, Rev. J. Maver
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203
Forward Movement Publications
Appreciation of House of Bishops expressed to, 20
Continued, 294
Report, 294
Resolution of gratitude to former and acting editors, 384
Foulkes, Howard T.
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 114
Franklin, Lindley M., Jr.
Addressed Joint Session, 209

G

Garrett, Harrison
Addressed Joint Session, 209
General Church Program (see Program and Budget)
INDEX

General Convention
Assessment rates for coming triennium, 299
Biennial Meetings proposed, not adopted, 153
Budget of, 295
Closing Service, 211
Inaugural Communion, 9
Journal, printing and distribution of, 299
Officers, xiii
Secretary of, elected, 364
Subsidy to Host Diocese, 376
Treasurer
   Elected, 379
   Report of, 943
General Convention, 1967
   Date and place of, 300
   Duration, 299
General Theological Seminary, The
   Trustees of
      Elected, 301
      Report of, 546
      Report presented to House of Bishops, 42
      Report presented to House of Deputies, 163
Gilson, Rt. Rev. Charles Packard, Suffragan Bishop of Honolulu, 848
   Recognition of work in Taiwan, 62
   Resident assignment in Okinawa announced, 62
Gonzales-Agüeros, Rt. Rev. Romualdo, Missionary Bishop of Cuba, 849
   Presented to House of Bishops, 967
Goodbody, John C., President, The Seabury Press
   Addressed House of Bishops, 42
   Addressed House of Deputies, 139
Good Friday Offering, 303
Gosnell, Rev. Harold C.
   Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115
Government Aid to Church-Related Schools, 303
   Report of Executive Council's Commission on Church-State Relations, 549
Guilbert, Rev. Canon Charles M.
   Addressed Joint Session, 209
   Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 201
   Called House of Deputies to order, 88
   Elected Custodian, Standard Book of Common Prayer, 279
   Elected Secretary, House of Deputies, 88
   Elected Secretary, General Convention, 364
   Participated in Opening Service and Inaugural Communion, 9
   Printing to be done under direction of, 299

H

Hargrave, Rt. Rev. William Loftin, Suffragan Bishop of South Florida, 854
   Presented to House of Bishops, 967
Harris, Rt. Rev. Bravid Washington, Missionary Bishop of Liberia
   Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 996
Hart, Rt. Rev. Oliver James, Bishop of Pennsylvania
   Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 996
Harvey, Rev. Peter, editor of *Anglican World*
  Addressed House of Bishops, 38
  Addressed House of Deputies, 144

Healing, Joint Commission on the Ministry of
  Continued, 304
  Report, 557
  Statements on, adopted, 305

  Addressed House of Deputies after election, 142
  Elected Presiding Bishop, 350
  Resignation of, as Bishop of Texas, 57
  Resolution on, House of Deputies, 143

Historical Society, Church
  Report, 611

Historiographer
  Election of, 306
  Report, 622

Holy Communion, Ministers of the Administration of, 674

Holy Orders, Joint Commission on Education for
  Report, 630

House of Bishops (see Bishops, House of)

House of Deputies (see Deputies, House of)

Human Affairs, Joint Commission on the Church in
  Continued, 259, 307
  Report, 651
  Report commended to Church for study and implementation, 307

Human Rights Conventions, 307

Hutchens, Rt. Rev. J. Warren, Suffragan Bishop of Connecticut, 852
  Presented to House of Bishops, 967

I

Indians, American, Joint Staff Committee for Work with
  Report, 359

Indian Work
  Advance program, 309
  Commendation of Joint Staff Committee, 308

International Co-operation Year, 309

J

Joint Committees and Commissions, Reports introduced
  House of Bishops, 17
  House of Deputies, 107

Joint Committees and Joint Commissions for 1964-1967, xv

Joint Council, Philippine Independent and Episcopal Churches
  Re-organization, 343

Joint Sessions, 207, 209, 210, 211

Journal of Convention, printing and distribution of, 299
INDEX

Judd, Rev. Albert O.
Appointed Assistant Secretary, House of Bishops, 9
Judicial Sentences (see Constitution—Article IX.)

K

Kean, Rev. Charles Duell
Paper presented to House of Bishops, 1000

Kenney, Rev. W. Murray
Addressed House of Bishops on subject of Ecumenical Day, 16, 42
Addressed House of Deputies on subject of Ecumenical Day, 135

Kent, Richard P., Jr.
Elected Treasurer of General Convention, 379
Report of, for past triennium, 943

Kenyon, Robert E., Jr., Chairman of the Board, “The Episcopalian, Inc.”
Addressed House of Bishops, 42
Addressed House of Deputies, 135

King, Rev. Martin Luther
Addressed House of Deputies, 114
Resolution concerning, 114

Kinsolving, Rt. Rev. Arthur Barksdale, 2nd, Bishop of Arizona
Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 968

Klein, Rt. Rev. Walter Conrad, Bishop Coadjutor of Northern Indiana, 866
Presented to House of Bishops, 995

L

Lally, Mrs. Johanna L.
Appointed Assistant Secretary, House of Deputies, 88
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 201, 204
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115

Lay administration of the Chalice, 61
Lay employees—Medical plan for, 311
“Layman” versus “lay person”
Proposal to amend Article I. of the Constitution defeated, 125

Leffler, Very Rev. John C.
Elected Vice-President, House of Deputies, 165
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115

Levels of authority within the Church, 312

Lewis, Rt. Rev. Arnold Meredith, Missionary Bishop of Western Kansas
Addressed House of Deputies, 164
Elected Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces, 321
Resignation of, as Bishop of Western Kansas, 59

Lewis, Clifford A., appointed Sergeant-at-arms, 98

Lichtenberger, Rt. Rev. Arthur, Presiding Bishop
Address at Opening Service read by deputy, 10
Appreciation for address expressed by House of Bishops, 35
Disability allowance for, 349
Official Acts during past triennium, 22
Program in honor of, 210
Resignation of, as Presiding Bishop, 65, 314
Resolution of House of Bishops concerning resignation, 65
Rising tribute to, in House of Deputies, 117
Statement of, concerning vote on women as Deputies, 128
To accept teaching post at Episcopal Theological Seminary, Cambridge, 21

Liturgical Commission, Standing
Proposal for preparing a plan for Prayer Book revision referred to, 348
Proposal for Version of Prayer Book in basic English referred to, 347
Report of, 665
Supplemental Report on Ministers of the Administration of Holy Communion, 674

Liturgical material in Commission reports (not adopted), 314
Lofigid, Rt. Rev. Edward Guadan, Suffragan Bishop of the Philippines, 862
Elected, 975
Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Lusitanian Church, Bishop of, addressed House of Bishops, 19
Lund, F. Edward
Addressed House of Deputies on Foundation for Episcopal Colleges, 117

MacLean, Rt. Rev. Charles Waldo, Suffragan Bishop of Long Island, 855
Presented to House of Bishops, 967
Magill, Rev. Robert A.
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 115
Mallett, Rt. Rev. Reginald, Bishop of Northern Indiana
Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 996
Marmion, Rt. Rev. William H., Bishop of Southwestern Virginia
Addressed Joint Session, 208
Mason, Rt. Rev. C. Avery, Bishop of Dallas
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 204
Greetings and good wishes of House of Bishops voted, 38
Masuda, Rev. George Theodore
Elected Bishop of North Dakota, 320
McClurken, Rev. D. Williams
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 202
McCone, John, of the American Bible Society
Addressed House of Bishops, 37
Addressed House of Deputies, 125
McCook, Anson T.
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 114
McCorkle, Henry L., editor of The Episcopalian
Presented editors of diocesan periodicals to Presiding Bishop to receive awards for excellence, 42, 977, 1010
McCrea, Rt. Rev. Theodore Harper, Suffragan Bishop of Dallas, 859
Presented to House of Bishops, 995
Mead, Very Rev. William, Dean of Christ Church Cathedral, St. Louis
Appreciation of House of Deputies, expressed to, 67, 202
Medical Plan for the clergy
Recommended by The Church Pension Fund Trustees, 123, 430
Resolution to adopt, subject to approval of Dioceses, 315
Memorial of Deceased Members, House of Deputies, 144, 698
Memorial Minutes, House of Bishops, for deceased Bishops, 11, 967, 994
Memorials and Petitions introduced in House of Bishops, 17

From Dioceses and Missionary Districts
- Alabama—Pronouncements, NCCC, 43
- Albany—Division of Pastoral Care (not acted upon)
- Arizona—Church support for Seminaries, 364
- Bethlehem—General Secretary for Evangelism (not acted upon)
- California—Authorization, New English Bible, 233
- Chicago—Cession of diocesan territory, 270
- Chicago—Division of Pastoral Care (not acted upon)
- Chicago—Name of the Church, 45, 262
- Chicago—Seminary scholarships (not acted upon)
- Delaware—Lay administration of Chalice, 61
- Harrisburg—Intinction in Federal prisons, 43
- Idaho—Prayer Book revision, 45
- Iowa—Church-wide policy concerning racial matters (not acted upon)
- Louisiana—Actions of Church officials re race, 312
- Massachusetts—Study of Marriage Canons, 64
- Michigan—Bishop for the Armed Forces, 16, 321
- Mississippi—Pronouncements, NCCC, 43
- Montana—Name of the Church, 45, 262
- Nebraska—Status of Missionary Districts, 52
- New Jersey—Church-wide support for Seminaries, 364
- New Jersey—Name of the Church, 45, 262
- New York—Hospital and Institutional chaplaincies (not acted upon)
- Northern Indiana—Bishop for the Armed Forces, 16, 321
- Northern Indiana—Uniform English Bible, 219
- Pennsylvania—Mutual Responsibility (not acted upon)
- Puerto Rico—Apocrypha in Spanish, 39
- Quincy—Cession of diocesan territory, 270
- Rhode Island—Prayer Book revision, 44
- South Carolina—Pronouncements, Church leaders, 312
- South Florida—Easter Collect and Preface, 44
- South Florida—Name of the Church, 45, 262
- Southern Ohio—Division of Pastoral Care (not acted upon)
- Springfield—Cession of diocesan territory, 270
- Washington—Study of episcopal assistance, 64
- Western Massachusetts—Days of Remembrance, 45
- Western North Carolina—Lay administration of Chalice, 61

From Joint Council, Philippine Independent and Episcopal Churches
- Re-organization of Joint Council, 343

From the National (Executive) Council
- American Bible Society, 216
- Membership of Council, 227
- Name of Council, 220
- Perpetual Diaconate, 238
- Retirement, Missionary Bishops, for strategic reasons, 243
- Treasurer of Council, method of election, 225

From the Provinces
- Province VI—Amend Canon 8 (not acted upon)
- Province VI—Amend Article I., Sec. 4, 39, 52
- Province VIII—Mutual Responsibility (not acted upon)
Memorials and Petitions introduced in the House of Deputies, 108

From Dioceses and Missionary Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diocese</th>
<th>Petition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany (HD 76)</td>
<td>Public Affairs Officer in Washington, 146, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem (HD 14)</td>
<td>Assessments, Pension Fund, 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethlehem (HD 28)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California (HD 69)</td>
<td>Policies, Church Fire Ins. Corp., 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago (HD 29)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas (HD 55)</td>
<td>Study of Pension Fund investments, 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie (HD 46)</td>
<td>Medical Plan for the clergy, 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie (HD 60)</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fond du Lac (HD 20)</td>
<td>Commission, Relations with R.C. Church, 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (HD 30)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (HD 57)</td>
<td>Division of Pastoral Care, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa (HD 51)</td>
<td>Equal-pension plan, 370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexington (HD 65)</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island (HD 26)</td>
<td>Christian-Jewish dialogue, 279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island (HD 22)</td>
<td>Clerical salaries, pensions, study of, 181, 370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island (HD 21)</td>
<td>Deployment of clergy, study of, 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland (HD 31)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland (HD 71)</td>
<td>Widow's benefit, limited service, 141, 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts (HD 52)</td>
<td>Equal-pension plan, 370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan (HD 48)</td>
<td>Public Affairs Officer, 146, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan (HD 73)</td>
<td>Self-allocation vice quotas, 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana (HD 32)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana (HD 42)</td>
<td>Limited service, retired clergymen, 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana (HD 40)</td>
<td>Widow's death benefit, 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire (HD 67)</td>
<td>Criteria, budget increases, 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire (HD 66)</td>
<td>Diocesan voting methods, 153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey (HD 19)</td>
<td>Bible-reading, public schools, 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey (HD 33)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement, full pension, age 65, 181, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mex. &amp; SW Texas (HD 70)</td>
<td>Limited service, retired clergymen, 141, 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York (HD 25)</td>
<td>Church-State Relations, Joint Commission, 194, 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York (HD 63)</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark (HD 34)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark (HD 24)</td>
<td>Clerical Salaries, Joint Commission, 181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newark (HD 49)</td>
<td>Medical plan for the clergy, 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California (HD 68)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement, age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California (HD 62)</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Texas (HD 35)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma (HD 61)</td>
<td>Parochial Report Form, 159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania (HD 72)</td>
<td>Equal-pension plan, 370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania (HD 47)</td>
<td>Medical plan for the clergy, 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania (HD 50)</td>
<td>Women workers, salary, fringe benefits, 187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island (HD 44)</td>
<td>Medical plan for the clergy, 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester (HD 36)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement at age 65, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester (HD 43)</td>
<td>Limited service, retired clergymen, 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester (HD 41)</td>
<td>Widow's death benefit, 141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin (HD 37)</td>
<td>Clergy retirement, age 65; widow's death benefit; limited service in retention, 141, 183, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane (HD 75)</td>
<td>Union with Convention as Diocese, 369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield (HD 17)</td>
<td>Episcopal clergymen serving other congregations, 120, 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield (HD 15)</td>
<td>Linking of Episcopal and other congregations, 120, 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springfield (HD 18)</td>
<td>Provincial Courts of Review, 167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Vermont (HD 38)—Clergy retirement, age 65; widow's death benefit, 141, 258
Western Massachusetts (HD 64)—Women as Deputies, 125
Western Michigan (HD 45)—Medical plan for the clergy, 311

From General Convention, 1961
HD 13—Filling of vacancies, Trustees, Pension Fund, 229

From Provinces
Province IV (HD 25)—Clergy Placement, Joint Commission, 194
Province VI (HD 58)—Biennial Conventions, 153
Province VIII (HD 53)—Equal-pension plan, 370
Province VIII (HD 54)—Pension benefits, increase, 182
Province VIII (HD 27)—Philippine Independent Church (not reported out of Committee)
Province VIII (HD 59)—Provinces, strengthening of (not reported out of Committee)

From the National Council
American Bible Society (HD 74), 216
Good Friday Offering (HD 56), 303
"National" to "Executive" Council (HD 77), 220, 266
Vestrymen, responsibilities of (HD 16), 120, 154

From Church Divinity School of the Pacific
Retirement of professors at age 65, 258

Memorials and Petitions from Dioceses
To be referred to Provincial Synods, 316
To be sent to Secretary of Convention in advance of Convention, 188

Memorials of Deceased Members, Committee on
Memorial Service, 144
Report of, and list of deceased Deputies, 698

Message Centers, House of Deputies
Provision of, announced by Secretary, 91
To be provided also in 1967, 136

Mexico, Missionary District of
Two Suffragan Bishops authorized and elected, 1008, 1009
Mills, Rt. Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, Missionary Bishop, Virgin Islands, 863
Elected, 976
Presented to House of Bishops, 995

Mills, Rev. John G.
Appointed Assistant Secretary, House of Deputies, 88
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 201

Ministries, Experimental
Resolution of House of Bishops on, 997

Ministry
Depositions from, 836
Restorations to, 836

Minor Feasts and Fasts, Propers for, approved for trial use, 380
Minority Groups in the Urban Program, 317
Missionary Bishops, election of, 318, 975, 1008
Missionary Bishops, flexibility in Budgets, 323
Missionary Districts, Joint Committee on Nomenclature and Status of Report, 706
Report (Minority), 713
Missionary Districts and Dioceses,
Statement of intent to remove distinctions between, 324
Mississippi, Church in, and others, encouraged, 191
Molina, Rt. Rev. Santos M., Bishop of Spanish Reformed Church
Addressed House of Bishops, 12
Introduced to House of Deputies, 145
Montgomery, Rt. Rev. James Winchester, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago, 857
Presented to House of Bishops, 968
Moore, Rev. Francis J., Acting Editor, Forward Movement Publications
Resolution of appreciation to, 384
Moore, Rt. Rev. Paul, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Washington, 868
Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Morehouse, Clifford P.
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203
Elected President, House of Deputies, 88, 164
Movements in the Church, New
Statement of the House of Bishops on, 978
Mutual Responsibility
Presiding Bishop's Committee on
Joint Session to receive Report, 209
Report, 722
Resolution of individual commitment, 156
Resolutions of General Convention on
Accepting the document, 324
Organizing for response, 326
Responding to immediate need, 327
Triennial Meeting of the Women of the Church
Resolution of, communicated to House of Deputies, 171
Myers, Rt. Rev. Chauncie Kilmer, Suffragan Bishop of Michigan, 875
Presented to House of Bishops, 11

N

Name of the Church (see Constitution—Preamble)
National Council (see Executive Council; see also Canons 1, 2, 3, etc., and Constitution—Article I., Sec. 7)
National Council of the Churches of Christ in America (NCCC)
Appropriations for, explained, 745
Open hearings ordered, for consideration of matters relating to, 320
Report of Study Committee, Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, 493
Representatives, General Board of, Report to Church, 511
Resolutions of General Convention on, 330
Necrology
Bishops, 779
Deacons and Priests, 780
Memorial Messages sent to Bishops' families, 11, 967, 994
Neuwoehner, Hiram, Jr.
Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203
New Delhi Statement on Christian Unity, 251
New English Bible, New Testament, authorized (see Canon 20)
INDEX

Nomenclature and Status of Missionary Districts, Joint Committee on Report, 706
North Dakota, Missionary District of Election of the Rev. George Theodore Masuda as Bishop, 319

Office and Work of a Bishop
Report of Committee of Nine on, 33
Resolution to appoint a committee to study, 34

Officers General Convention, xiii
House of Bishops, 1
House of Deputies, 69

Officers of Special and Standing Committees, House of Deputies, 105

Ogilby, Rt. Rev. Lyman C., Missionary Bishop of the Philippines Addressed House of Bishops, 48

Open Communion (Non-concurrence), 388
Ordinations to Priesthood of men ordained Deacon before 1961, 786
Ordinations to Diaconate and Priesthood (1961-1963), 791

Oregon, Diocese of Suffragan Bishop for, authorized, 340
O'Reilly, Mrs. Elliott Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 201

Orthodox Bishops, Standing Conference on Greetings to General Convention from, 22, 118
Osborn, Prime F., III Addressed Joint Session, 209

Overseas jurisdictions, election of Bishops for, procedures Missionary Districts included in South East Asia Council, 63 Other overseas Missionary Districts, 62

Page, Rt. Rev. Herman Riddle, Bishop of Northern Michigan Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 996
Panama Canal Zone, Missionary District of Boundaries re-defined, 963 Republics of Colombia and Ecuador subtracted from, 996

Partnership Plan Director, 340 Joint Commission on, established, 341 Principle directed to apply to budget of Executive Council, 160

Pastoral Letter, v Read to Joint Session, 211
Pension Fund (see Church Pension Fund) Pensions—Assessment rate and benefits, 342
Pentecostal Movements in the Church
  Committee on, House of Bishops, 1006
  Report of, 56
Pereira, Rt. Rev. Luis C. R., Bishop of the Lusitanian Church
  Addressed House of Bishops, 19
  Introduced to House of Deputies, 145
Periodicals, diocesan, Episcopalian awards to, 42, 977, 1010
Perpetual Deacons
  Revision of Canon on (see Canon 34, Sec. 10(c))
Persell, Rt. Rev. Charles Bowen, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Albany, 862
  Presented to House of Bishops, 995
Philippine Episcopal and Philippine Independent Churches
  Joint Council of, re-organized, 343
Philippine Independent Church
  Greetings to, 345
  Supreme Bishop of, addressed House of Bishops and Joint Session, 19, 208
Philippines, Missionary District of the
  Second Suffragan for, authorized and elected, 971, 975
Pinckney, Rt. Rev. John Adams, Bishop of Upper South Carolina, 867
  Presented to House of Bishops, 995
Plante, Rev. Leon H.
  Appointed Assistant Secretary, House of Bishops, 9
Pollard, Rev. William G.
  Addressed House of Bishops, 972
Pope Paul VI
  Greetings to, sent from the General Convention, 346
  Reply, through Augustin Cardinal Bea, read to House of Bishops, 54
Population explosion, birth-control information, 347
Position Papers, House of Bishops
  Christian Obedience, x
  Deicide and the Jews, ix
  Poverty, ix
  Proclamation of the Faith, xi
Poverty, Position paper on, ix
Powell, Rt. Rev. Noble Cilley, Bishop of Maryland
  Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 996
Prayer Book
  Plan for revision of, to be reported to 62nd General Convention by the
    Standing Liturgical Commission, 348
  Translation of, into basic English, proposed, 347
President, House of Deputies
  Elected, 88, 164
  To be elected prior to seventh day of Convention, 222
  To hold office until end of succeeding Convention, 222
  To retain status as Deputy throughout term, 222
Presiding Bishop (Bishop Hines)
  Election of, 350
Presiding Bishop (Bishop Lichtenberger)
  Addressed Opening Service, 9
  Address read by deputy, 9
  Appreciation of House of Bishops for, expressed, 35
  Disability allowance for, voted, 349
INDEX

Method of nominating and electing during interim, study of, proposed, not adopted, 352
Minute concerning resignation adopted, 65
Official acts (1961-1964), 22
Presided over House of Bishops, 9 passim
Resignation of, 314
Resolution concerning resignation, House of Deputies, 116
Rising tribute to, House of Deputies, 117
Statement of, concerning defeat of proposal to seat women as Deputies, read to House of Deputies, 128
Proclamation of the Faith
Position paper, House of Bishops, xi
Program and Budget, Joint Committee on
General Church Program, 1965-1967, proposed, 737
Joint Session to receive Report of, 210
National Council of Churches, appropriations to, explained, 745
Quotas to Dioceses, 1965, assigned, 743
Report, 731
Resolutions adopted, 353
Special Funds, Report on, 742
Proportional representation in House of Deputies, 357
Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America
Removal of, from Article VIII., defeated, 276
Removal of, from Prayer Book, referred to Standing Liturgical Commission, 175
Province IX created (see Canon 8, Sec. 1)
Provincial Synods
General Convention Deputies to be invited to, 358
To study and report on matters referred (see Canon 8, Sec. 10)
Public Affairs Officer in Washington, 146, 158
Puerto Rico, Missionary District of
Approval of Coadjutor for, 40
Election of Coadjutor, 320
Putnam, Rt. Rev. Frederick Warren, Jr., Suffragan Bishop of Oklahoma, 865
Presented to House of Bishops, 995

Quota system to continue throughout triennium, 358
Quotas, Joint Committee on Study of
Re-constituted as Joint Committee on the Partnership Plan, 341
Report, 757
Quotas to Dioceses (1965) assigned, 743

Race Relations
Statement on, by House of Bishops, 978
Racial inclusiveness of the Church, 359
(see also Canon 16, Sec. 4)
Led Quiet Day, Special Meeting, House of Bishops, 969
Rath, Rt. Rev. George Edward, Suffragan Bishop of Newark, 871
Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Ray, Rt. Rev. Chandu, Bishop of Karachi, CIBPC
Addressed House of Bishops, 36
Reception of clergymen from other Churches of Anglican Communion, 834
Recorder
Report of, 778
Re-alignment of diocesan boundaries (see Constitution—Article V., Sec. 8)
Study of, 280
Reed, Rt. Rev. David Benson, Bishop of Colombia, 873
Elected, 1009
Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Registrar
Elected, 360
Report, 847
Representation in the General Convention, 361
Proportional representation to be studied by Provinces, 357
Resignations of Bishops (1961-1964) reported to House of Deputies, 91
Resolutions introduced in House of Bishops, 20, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 43, 55
Bishop Blankingship—Equalization of Missionary salaries, 63
Bishop of Bethlehem—Translation of Bishops, 267
Bishop of Central America—Amend Canon 26, 236
Bishop of Delaware—Greetings to the Pope, 346
Bishop of the Dominican Republic—Amend Canon 40, Sec. 2(b), 239
Bishop of the Dominican Republic—Creation of Province IX, 230
Bishop of Florida—Amend Constitution, Article IX., 272
Bishop of Lexington—Amend Rules of Order, name of Committee, 35
Bishop of the Panama Canal Zone—Translation of Constitution and Canons into Spanish (not reported out of Committee)
Bishop of South Florida—Amend Canon 40, Sec. 2(c), 58
Bishop of Southern Ohio—Two Resolutions on the subject of Race Relations (not reported out of Committee)
Bishop of Southern Virginia—Interpretation of Canon 47, Sec. 5, 41
Bishop of Springfield—Amend Canon 51 "Of Deaconesses", 247, 248
Bishop of Springfield—Christian Witness in South Africa, 367
Bishop of Western New York—Greetings to the Ecumenical Patriarch, 32
Bishop Peabody—Amend Article I., Sec. 2, 39
Bishop Peabody—Amend Canon 47, 245
Presiding Bishop—Amend Article I., Sec. 3, 264
Resolutions introduced in the House of Deputies, 112, 115, 122, 129
Adkins (Easton), HD 146—Criticism of political candidates, 372
Anderson (New York), HD 153—Christian Witness in Soviet Union, 368
Badger (Chicago), HD 157—See for Presiding Bishop, 165
Ball (Albany), HD 105—Racial situation, South Africa, 367
Bound (New York), HD 90—Population explosion, 347
Bronson (Minnesota), HD 143—Quota system, 138
Bronson (Minnesota), HD 142—Study, election procedure, Presiding Bishop, 352
Brummitt (Quincy), HD 151—Amend Canon 7, 169
Brummitt (Quincy), HD 87—Amend Canon 21, 234
Burr (Indianapolis), HD 137—Variable pension system, 184
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burt (Los Angeles)</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>Consultation on Church Union, 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burt (Los Angeles)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Fair housing, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler (New York)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Amend Canon 2, 224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler (New York)</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>Disability allowance, Presiding Bishop, 349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler (New York)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Racial equality, Church members, 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causey (Virginia)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Study of Scriptures, 176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbs (Alabama)</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>National Council of Churches, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coburn (Massachusetts)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Christian Unity, New Delhi Statement, 251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper (New Jersey)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>Name of the Church, 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper (New Jersey)</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>Name of Church in BCP, 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross (Northern Calif.)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Vote by orders, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day (Massachusetts)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>Racial situation, South Africa, 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day (Massachusetts)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>United Nations, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Graff (Quincy)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Fair housing, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn (Alabama)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>National Council of Churches, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake (East Carolina)</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>Mutual Responsibility (no report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flournoy (Southern Va.)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Canon 41, Sec. 6(c) and Canon 43, Sec. 7(c), repeal of, 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlington (Montana)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Clergy personnel and placement, 194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasch (Washington)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Vote by orders, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasek (Central New York)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Episcopal Society for Ministry to the Aging, 216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germad (Northern Mich.)</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Placement, Church funds, 177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillett (Quincy)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>Amend Canon 4, Sec. 6, 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressle (Delaware)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Diocesan boundaries, 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffin (Alabama)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Centennial observance, Civil War, 257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannum and Watkins (Alaska)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Prayer Book in basic English, 347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hart (South Carolina)</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>National Council of Churches, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humrickhouse (Virginia)</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Minimum pension benefits, 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs (Ohio)</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>Fair housing and fair employment, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones (Central New York)</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Inter-racial marriage, 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones (Central New York)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Mississippi voter registration, 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones (Los Angeles)</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>Pronouncements on political parties, 372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keedwell (Southern Virginia)</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>Duration, General Convention, 299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreedwell (Southwestern Virginia)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>NCCC, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenney (Missouri)</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Ecumenical Day, 282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krumm (New York)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Human Rights Conventions, 307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacMillan (Erie)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>Prayer Book revision, 348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClenaghan (Northern California)</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>Ministry to aging, 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCook (Connecticut)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>Representation, Missionary Districts, 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore (South Carolina)</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>National Council of Churches, 149, 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan (Arizona)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Christian obedience, 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan (Arizona)</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Non-violence, 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newlin (Lexington)</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Re-codify Canons, 169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagán (Puerto Rico)</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Retirement, clergy, age 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perry (Western North Carolina)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Mutual Responsibility, 329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollard (Tennessee)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>National Council of Churches, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay (Michigan)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Status of Deaconesses, 168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash (Kentucky)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roca (Arizona)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Alternative pension plan, 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skerry Olsen (Kansas)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Women as Deputies, 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spofford (Idaho)</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Non-violence, 178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stone (Oregon), HD 85—Suffragan for Oregon, 340
Sweeney (Kansas), HD 166—Consolidation, men's and women's work, 189
Walker (Los Angeles), HD 88—Amend Canon 6, 228
Walker (Los Angeles), HD 89—Amend Canon 18, 168
Watson (Maryland), HD 96—Evangelism, 189
Wattley (Louisiana), HD 114—Amend Canon 41, 240
Wattley (Louisiana), HD 113—Amend Canon 55, 120, 173
Worthington (Connecticut), HD 163—Church Fire Insurance Corp., 252
Young (New Hampshire), HD 121—Diocesan voting methods, 153
Young (New Hampshire), HD 91—Greetings to certain prelates (no report)

Retiring allowances for certain Bishops, 361
Reus-Froylán, Very Rev. Francisco
Elected Bishop Coadjutor of Puerto Rico, 321
Ritter, His Eminence, Cardinal, Archbishop of St. Louis
Representatives of, observers at General Convention, 120
Rodger, Rev. Alexander M.
Election of, as Registrar of the General Convention, 360
Election of, as Secretary, House of Bishops, 9

Roman Catholic official observers (Very Rev. Paul C. Reinert, S.J., President, St. Louis University; Very Rev. Dom. Columba Cary-Elwes, O.S.B.; and Mr. Carl Gaertner)
Introduced to House of Bishops, 33
Introduced to House of Deputies, 120
Letters of appreciation from
To House of Bishops, read, 66
To House of Deputies, read, 204
Romero, Rt. Rev. Leonardo, Suffragan Bishop of Mexico, 869
Elected, 1008
Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Rose, Very Rev. Lawrence, Dean, The General Theological Seminary
Addressed House of Bishops, 41
Addressed House of Deputies, 163

Rules of Order
House of Bishops, 1011
House of Deputies, 1032

Rules of Order, House of Deputies, Revisions of
Rule 8—Standing Committees, 92, 186
Rule 9—Order of Business, 93
Rule 15—Resolutions and Memorials from Dioceses, etc., 188
Rule 28—Vote by orders, 185
Rule 29—Vote by ballot, 186
Standing Rule IV—Sergeant-at-arms, 93

Rules of Order, Joint Rules, Revisions of
Joint Rule 8, 362
Joint Rule 13, 362
Joint Rule 14, 363

Rusack, Rt. Rev. Robert Claflin, Suffragan Bishop of Los Angeles, 876
Presented to House of Bishops, 11

Rural Work, Committee on, House of Bishops
Name changed to "Town and Country Work", 35
INDEX

S

Sanders, Rt. Rev. William Evan, Bishop Coadjutor of Tennessee, 856
  Presented to House of Bishops, 967
Santa Maria, Rt. Rev. Horacio, Philippine Independent Church
  Addressed House of Bishops, 970
Sargent, Rt. Rev. Douglas N., Bishop Suffragan of Selby, England
  Addressed House of Bishops, 12
Saucedo, Rt. Rev. Melchor, Suffragan Bishop of Mexico, 870
  Addressed Joint Session, 208
  Elected, 1009
  Presented to House of Bishops, 10
Scholarship Fund for theological education, 364
Seabury Press, The
  Address on, House of Bishops, 42
  Address on, House of Deputies, 139
Secretary
  General Convention
    Election of, 364
  House of Bishops
    Assisted at Inaugural Communion, 9
    Assisted at Opening Service, 9
    Election of, 9
    Election of, as Registrar, 360
  House of Deputies
    Assisted at Inaugural Communion, 9
    Assisted at Opening Service, 9
    Called House to order, 88
    Election of, 88
    Election of, as Secretary of the General Convention, 364
See for Presiding Bishop, 165
Selway, Rt. Rev. George Rhys, Bishop of Northern Michigan, 877
  Presented to House of Bishops, 11
Sentences, Judicial (see Constitution—Article IX.)
Sergeant-at-arms and Assistants
  Appointed, 98
  Appointment of additional Assistants, 123
  Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 205
Services
  Closing, 211
  Inaugural Holy Communion, 9
  Memorial for Deceased Members, House of Deputies, 144
  Opening, 9
Sexual behavior, Christian understanding of, 365
Shepley, Ethan
  Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203
Sherrill, Rt. Rev. Henry Knox, former Presiding Bishop
  Greetings of House of Bishops sent to, 66
Simões, Rt. Rev. Plínio Lauer, Missionary Bishop of Southwestern Brazil
  Addressed Joint Session, 209
Site of General Convention, 1967, 300
Smith, Rev. Birney W., Jr.
Addressed Joint Session, 208

Sorg, Mrs. Harold, Presiding Officer, Triennial Meeting, Women of the
Church
Addressed House of Deputies, 124

South Africa, Christian witness in, 367

South East Asia Council
Election procedures for Bishops of jurisdictions in, 63

Soviet Union, Christian witness in, 368

Spanish Reformed Episcopal Church, Bishop of, addressed House of
Bishops, 12

Spokane
Erection of, as Diocese, 369
Message of congratulations to, sent by House of Bishops, 40
Provisional Deputies seated in House of Deputies, 134, 139

Standing Committees
House of Bishops, 13
House of Deputies, 99

Standing Conference of Orthodox Bishops
Telegram from, 22, 118

Standing Liturgical Commission
Report of, 665
Supplemental Report on Ministers of the Holy Communion, 674

Stark, Rt. Rev. Dudley Scott, Bishop of Rochester
Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 968

State of the Church, House of Deputies Committee, ad interim, on the
Report, 879
Report received, 125
Statistics for the triennium 1961-1964, 882
Stipendiary pension system re-affirmed, 370

Stowe, Rev. Canon Walter H.
Elected Historiographer, 306
Presented with Certificate of Recognition, 114
Presided over Committee of the Whole, 134

Street, Rt. Rev. Charles Larrabee, Suffragan Bishop of Chicago
Resignation of, to House of Bishops, 968

Stringfellow, William
Presents paper to House of Bishops, 1005
“Stringfellow Incident”
Committee to investigate appointed, 144
Report of ad hoc Committee distributed, 167
Resolution adopted, 372

Structure of General Convention and Provinces, Joint Commission on the
Continued, 374
Report, 920

Study-leaves for the clergy, 374

Style of Bishop heading a missionary task force, 375

Subsidy to Host Diocese, General Convention, 376

Suffragan Bishop for the Armed Forces elected, 321

Suffragan Bishops, election of (see Canon 40)

Summary of General Convention Actions (see Rules of Order, Joint Rule 8)
INDEX

Suspensions from the Ministry, 835
Terminations of suspensions, 836
Switz, Theodore M.
Letter from, referred to House of Bishops Committee on Mutual Responsibility, 53

T

Taiwan, Missionary District of
Decision to elect Bishop for, 51
Election of the Rt. Rev. James C. L. Wong, 319
Terminations of suspensions from the Ministry, 836
Theological Committee, House of Bishops
Resolution creating, 62
To be appointed by Presiding Bishop, 62
Theological Education (see also Holy Orders, Education for)
Offering for, authorized, 376
Scholarships for, 364
Theological Education Sunday, 376
Tilson, John
Addressed Joint Session, 210
Tithing commended, 835
Town and Country Work
Priority for, if income of Executive Council exceeds expectations, 159
Transfers of clergymen to other Churches of Anglican Communion, 835
Translation of Bishops (see Constitution—Article II., Sec. 8)
Translation into Spanish proposed (not adopted)
Apocrypha, 39
Constitution and Canons, 33
Transportation from Government Installations to Church-related schools, 377
Treasurer, Domestic and Foreign Missionary Society, 377
How elected (see Canon 3 and Canon 4)
Report of, 538
Treasurer, the General Convention
Budget for triennium, 295
Election of, 379
Report, 943
Report adopted, 380
Trial use, Propers of Minor Feasts and Fasts, 380
(see also Constitution—Article X.)
Triennial Meeting, Women of the Church
Greetings to, from House of Deputies, 113
Greetings and appreciation for United Thank Offering, 150
Invitation to Officers and Members to visit House of Deputies, 113
Nomination of Members of Executive Council, 140
Presiding Officer addressed House of Deputies, 124
Resolutions communicated to House of Deputies
On formation of Province IX, 140
On full participation of Lay Persons in life of Church, 162
On Mutual Responsibility, 171
On Society for Ministry to the Aging, 171
On urban work, 162
Trustees, The Church Pension Fund
   Elected, 254
   Factors to be considered in choosing, 131
   Report of, 425
Tucker, Hon. Mr., Mayor of St. Louis
   Appreciation of House of Deputies expressed to, 203

U

Uniform English Bible, 219
United Nations
   International Co-operation Year, 309
   Universal Conventions on Human Rights, 307
United States Olympic Team, message to, 167
United Thank Offering
   Appreciation for, expressed by House of Deputies, 150
Unity, Joint Commission on Approaches to
   Merged with Joint Commission on Ecumenical Relations, 283
   Report, 949
Urban Work, 382

V

Vatican Council II, 383
Vestrymen, proposal to extend responsibilities of, not adopted, 154
Vice-Chairman, House of Bishops
   Election of, 12
Virgin Islands, British
   Jurisdiction accepted from Province of the West Indies, 970
Virgin Islands, Missionary District of
   Bishop for, authorized, 971
   Election of the Rev. Cedric Earl Mills, 976
Visser 't Hooft, Wilhelm, Greetings to, 383
Vital Statistics (1961-1963), 882
Vogel, Rev. Arthur A.
   Presents paper to House of Bishops, 1004
   Presents Roman Catholic observers to House of Deputies, 120
Vote by orders, proposed change in procedures, not adopted, 166

W

Wagner, Dr. O. Walter, Executive Director, Church Federation of Greater
   St. Louis
   Greetings from, read to House of Bishops, 38
War and Peace, Statement on, by House of Bishops
   Endorsement of, by General Convention ruled unnecessary, 142
   Text of, 980
Warren, Rev. Canon Max, Sub-dean of Westminster Abbey
   Addressed House of Bishops, 36
Washburn, Rt. Rev. Benjamin M.
   Gratitude to, from House of Bishops, on occasion of thirty-second anniversary of his consecration, 21
INDEX

Washington, Rev. Paul M.
  Appointed Chaplain, House of Deputies, 98
  Appreciation of House of Deputies, expressed to, 202

Welsh, Clement J., and Moore, T. J., Forward Movement Publications
  Resolution of appreciation adopted, 384

Western Kansas, Missionary District of
  Committee from Province VII to study, 62

White and Dykman's Annotated Constitution and Canons, Joint Committee
  on Supplements to
    Continued, 259
    Report, 440

Widow's immediate death benefit, proposed increase in (not adopted), 384

Wilson, Houston
  Addressed Joint Session, 210

Women, proposal to amend Constitution to permit election of, as Deputies, 125

Women Church Workers
  Canon on, adopted (see Canon 53)
  Joint Commission on Status and Training of
    Continued as Standing Joint Commission on Women Church Workers, 385
    Relationships with Division of Christian Ministries and Association of
    Professional Women Church Workers to continue, 386
    Report, 961

Women of the Church (see Triennial Meeting)

Wong, Rt. Rev. James C. L., Sometime Bishop of Jesselton, Borneo
  Elected Bishop of Taiwan, 319
  Election accepted, 60

Wright, Rt. Rev. Thomas H., Bishop of East Carolina
  Moderated Joint Session, 209

Y

Young, Robert
  Addressed Joint Session, 209

Z

Zimmerman, Brother Thomas F., General Superintendent, Assemblies of
  God
  Addressed House of Bishops, 41